# RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

## **COMMISSION OF INQUIRY**

### MARIKANA

#### **BEFORE TRIBUNAL**

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

#### **HELD ON**

DAY 299 13 NOVEMBER 2014 PAGES 39430 TO 39590



#### © REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335

E-mail: realtime@mweb.co.za

Web Address: http://www.realtimesa.co.za



3

4

5

6

7

8

Page 39430

[PROCEEDINGS ON 13 NOVEMBER 2014]

2 [09:02] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr

3 Tip.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

4 MR TIP SC: Thank you, Chair. Chair, in 5 the time available I propose to deal with a limited number of topics and to do so as rapidly as I can, bearing in mind 6 7 that there are a number of parties who still have to 8 address the Commission.

The topics that I will deal with are first of all an examination of the five employees who were killed in the course of the 12th, 13th and 14th of August 2012 by the strikers. The Commission has already received some detail concerning those [mechanical interruption].

I am back on the air, thank you, Chair. Yes, I was just in the process of saying that there is additional material to which the Commission should with respect have regard, that is to be found in the post mortem material, and then we will also address an aspect concerning particularly Mr Twala that should be highlighted.

I am going to make submissions in respect of all five of these gentlemen. Mr Fundi of course as you know, formally I act for Mrs Fundi, Mr Mabelane, Mr Mabebe, Mr Langa - I've discussed this with my learned colleague Mr Ramphele and he's very satisfied that I should do this. In respect of Mr Twala we don't have a formal instruction but Page 39432

to Lonmin Security and its operation and what it could and could not do, but those will be highlights.

In all of these topics, Chair, we will very much bear in mind that we have had the opportunity to file written submissions. It was a hard-pressed job; it turned out to be enormous and the deadline came forward inexorably, but we believe nevertheless that we have captured at least the essence of the topics that particular effect NUM and -

9 10 CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to say – I was 11 going to say it at the end, I may as well say it now - that 12 not only you but your colleagues as well, but you have 13 given us an enormous amount of assistance in the written 14 heads supplied and the references to the evidence highlighting important aspects, so it is a formidable task, 16 it's going to be a formidable task to write the report. I 17 see it as a big mountain in front of us which we have to 18 climb, but we're substantially assisted by the heads that 19 we got from all the parties and topics that you deal with 20 you deal with in fair detail, full and comprehensive 21 actually heads, and they also will be of great assistance 22 to us and I think it appropriate to express our gratitude 23 not only to you but of course to your learned friends 24 appearing for the other parties and the evidence leaders 25 and the Human Rights Commission and LRC as well.

Page 39431

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

we have given assistance to the family inter alia in the

2 presentation, and Mr Twala was of course a NUM official.

3 So that is an aspect that we think is important to

4 complete.

> Then the second topic will be in the field of labour relations, collective bargaining, and there I shall seek to highlight some particularly important features of the labour relations environment, particularly in respect of the nature of collective bargaining and how it is to be respected, and the purpose of that will again be essentially to seek to be of assistance to the Commission in that it will be generally submitted that the events that the Commission has been concerned with over the past two and a quarter years need to be inter alia closely connected with the proper appreciation of what the labour relations environment implies for those events.

Those will be the principal topics perhaps, and 18 then thirdly we will deal with aspects of the events of 10 19 and 11 August 2012. Chair, I will try to be brief there. 20 We have various references to the transcript. I had thought to read through them, but I will instead just 22 summarise what the submissions are and give you the references to look at more fully.

There will be one or two comments concerning 25 submissions made by my learned friend Mr Bham in relation

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39433 MR TIP SC: Well, thank you, Chair, and those remarks fortify me in the view that I can indeed deal with the topics that I wish to highlight fairly swiftly.

May I just add, arising out of that and perhaps echoing a little bit of the debate that we had yesterday concerning the questions that Mr Semenya might address in a supplementary note if he wished to, and to make this general offer, and I'm again confident that all my colleagues would share this, share my view, and that is if in the course of the deliberations over the next many months that the Commission must now undertake certain topics appear not to have been fully addressed to the satisfaction of the Commission, a word to us and we will very, very gladly give any further assistance that we can.

CHAIRPERSON: If we do that of course we'll ensure that whatever assistance we get would be in written form -

MR TIP SC: Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON: - and would be circulated 20 to all the parties so they can comment on it as well.

21 MR TIP SC: Oh, quite so.

22 CHAIRPERSON: That is a generous offer

23 and before it's withdrawn I hasten to accept it. 24

MR TIP SC: Yes, it's cast in stone and of course this would, Chair, this would be done on an

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

Page 39434

absolutely transparent basis, yes.

2 CHAIRPERSON: I wouldn't expect anything 3 else. I think I have to set a deadline and that would have

4 to be - but of course the answer is we would be, the

5 initiative would come from - there are two aspects; insofar

6 as the initiative comes from us we don't have to set a

7 deadline because that's, we will be masters as it were of

that, but if parties wish to give us extra thoughts or 8

9 extra points they fell we haven't perhaps understood, I

believe there's a suggestion I don't understand McCann's 10

case properly, well I will see when I get a note on that 11

12 whether that's correct or not, but anyway the fact of the

13 matter is if there are points that people feel we don't

14 understand properly or we might overlook, haven't been

15 dealt with properly in the argument stage they're obviously

free again on this transparent basis we've referred to, to 16

17 give us extra notes on the point. But there I'm afraid I

18 think I must set a deadline of the end of January.

MR TIP SC:

Yes, that's appreciated. Certainly when I raised this proposal I had in mind that we would react only to requests from the Commission and that

it shouldn't become another open season for further

23 discussions.

19

20

21

22

1

7

8

9

10

11

16

24 CHAIRPERSON: I understand that, but it

25 would be rather awkward if there's a big point somewhere Page 39436

vou a further photograph. We do not intend to -

2 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]

MR TIP SC: 3 You have it, yes. Copies have been given to the other parties. We do not intend to

put that on the screen.

Then in respect of Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane, of course their circumstances are to an extent joint in that they travelled in the same vehicle on the 12th of August, and that essential history has already been placed adequately before you.

I'll take the position up from 9:55 on that day when, as we know from the Lonmin vehicle tracking system, the Nissan Livina in which they were travelling came to its final stopping point near the loop. Then events clearly happened very swiftly. There has already been some interaction between Mr Mabelane and other security officers in respect of the arrangement, but what then takes place is that a line of all the security officers is formed across the road. The strikers continue their advance. Some rubber bullets are fired, they have no effect. The strikers charge. All the others escape with their lives, and you'll remember that Mr Masibi has described that he ran to his car with his keys in his hand, realised that he would not have time to get in the car and to start it and to drive away, and ran past.

Page 39435

that's eluded us all and it suddenly becomes clear to one

of the parties and it might well make all the difference, 2

3 or a significant difference on a particular part of the

4 matter, it would be rather embarrassing if they're not

5 allowed to tell us and that we blunder on, produce a

report, overlooking this point, if there is such a point. 6

So that's why we have to have the two aspects.

MR TIP SC:

Chair. Then if I may turn to the first area that we wish to address, namely the circumstances of the killings of the five gentlemen I've referred to, why this is important to

Yes, quite so. Thank you,

12 complete, Chair, is that as we all know it's been in the

13 nature of the investigation of the Commission that a good 14

deal of scrutiny has been given to the circumstances of a

number of the other deaths that form part of your terms of 15

reference, and it's simply important that these five should

17 be on a par and that in particular the families of the five

18 should be confident and have the comfort of knowing that to

the extent possible all the relevant material is before the

20 Commission and will therefore receive full attention from

21 it.

22 Chair, some of this, as I said, are aspects that arise out of the post mortem report, particularly in respect to Mr Mabelane, that haven't been traversed. It's

distressing material and we will shortly be placing before

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Page 39437 Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane made a different call;

they got into the Livina, both of them, and again from the vehicle tracking system we know that at 10 o'clock the

ignition of that Livina came on, evidently in an attempt to

5 start and drive away, and it then went off, and we can

6 confidently infer from that, Chair, that that would be the

7 very instant at which the Livina was surrounded by the 8

one of those strikers got hold of the ignition, the keys,

10

and switched it off. The ignition never came on again and

strikers who were now attacking, presumably that someone,

the events then unfolded.

There is one aspect that I would like just to mention here and that is that in the course of the crossexamination of Mr X, you may recall that I asked him the question "Did either Mr Fundi or Mr Mabelane have an opportunity to say anything or to plead for their lives, or anything of that nature?" and his answer was spontaneous, he didn't follow my suggestion of a plea. He said, "No,

19 all that they did was to apologise, but nobody was

20 listening, the strikers were attacking," and it is that

21 kind of detail which in the circumstances we submit should

22 be accepted as a part of plausible evidence from Mr X. It

add to what was happening. What we know is that then both

24 Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane are indeed killed in the course of

the attack which continues.

Page 39438

Now it is in relation to the detail of the death 1 2 of Mr Mabelane that I would like just to refer the 3 Commission to the post mortem report in respect of him. 4 Could we – oh, there it's coming, thank you. If we can go 5 to page 2 of the report firstly, Chair, you will see there 6 right at the top "General, paragraph 1, height 1.16

7 metres." Now that is not a mistake, Chair, and if you look 8 at paragraph 4 which describes the external appearance of

9 the body and the condition of limbs, (a), it is recorded

10 that there are third-degree 100 burns, that is apparent

11 from the material we've seen, but (b), all limbs amputated

12 and fractured, and that I believe is an aspect which has

13 not yet been brought to the attention of the Commission, 14 and if one then looks at the photograph that has been

submitted it - I should just source it, it is part of the 15

16 exhibit series ZZZZ6 and it is within that referenced as

17 DSC0357. That originally emanates from the SAPS hard

18 drive, and that as I said with respect is a disturbing

photo. I should just say what it depicts so that everyone 19

20 who doesn't have the photo will have some idea. It depicts

21 the burnt remains of Mr Mabelane, which is essentially his

22 torso. It shows that both limbs have been amputated at

23 just above the knee level, both legs, and both arms have

24 been amputated just a short distance from the shoulder, and

25 that, Chair, I -

Page 39440 statement that I made earlier that when the families

ultimately see the report they will also have the

3 confidence that what happened to their fathers, husbands,

5 Commission. So what I might have to say is not so

6 important as what the response of the Commission would be.

brothers, as the case may be, was fully appreciated by the

7 May I then turn to page 1 of this post mortem 8 report, because there is another distressing detail.

9 Chair, before I go on to that, Mr Chaskalson has very

10 helpfully just sent me a note. When I described the

11 reference so that you can find the photograph if you need

12 to on the system.

13 [09:22] He says the full description should be ZZZZ6.116

14 and then DSC0357. Chair, what is to be seen on page 1 is

that paragraph D says "The chief post-mortem findings made

by me were the following. Black adult male with history of 16

17 burns, third degree 100 burns soot in the trachea." And

the trachea, of course, for anyone who is not familiar, is

19 that portion that we call the windpipe just below the

20 larynx which we call the voice pipe. It leads directly

21 into the bronchi which then disseminate into the lungs.

22 The significance of the finding which the doctor again

23 though prominent enough to include as a chief finding of

soot in the trachea indicates that at least for momentarily

or for some short while Mr Mabelane was in fact still

Page 39439

CHAIRPERSON: Do we know what caused the amputation? Is it a sharp instrument of some kind, or is

3 it possibly the burning away of joint material or

have that uniformity of the event.

4 something?

1

2

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

MR TIP SC: All that we have is the post mortem report where the doctor has very deliberately used the word "amputated" and you know one looks at the terminal points of both legs and arms and one can see that there has in fact been a severing and not a burning away. A burning away would result in a different picture. One wouldn't

Chair, when I was preparing the presentation of this sort of detail I had resolved that I would refrain from adding adjectives of my own and I intend not to do that, but it is part of a request that we would very respectfully make of the Commission, which is that the Commission should take into account this material from two, having regard to two facets in respect of these events.

19 The one is what was the conduct of the attacking strikers, what did they do, and the second of course is to try

21 somehow to place oneself in the position of the persons who

22 were killed at the time of the event in order to try to

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

gain some sense of what must have been going through their

24 minds, and of course what was happening to them physically,

and we make that submission also as part of the general

Page 39441 taking in breath at the time that fire was beginning to

envelope him which gives one an idea of the extent to which

he must have endured the situation before finally

succumbing to the third degree burns. Chair, those are the

5 points that arise from this post-mortem that we thought it

6 important to place before you in this fashion. Then if I

7 may turn to Mr Fundi. We know that he also, of course, was

killed in the course of this event. We know the essence of

9 his injuries which were a fracture of the skull and also an

10 open fracture of the jaw. There is then, of course, the

11 additional detail which emerges clearly from the photograph

12 than it does from the post-mortem report which is that both

13 the upper lip and the lower lip had been sliced off or

14 hacked off. And here I must refer to the affidavit from

15 his brother, Mr Fundi, which is in exhibit AAAA40 and

16 you'll recall that his brother conducted the pre-funeral

17 washing and related ceremonies and he confirmed that he had

18 seen this, he had seen some other injuries, but also he

19 stated that half of the tongue had been cut out. And that

20 is something that needs to be addressed because there has

21 been not an entirely consistent interpretation of this in

22 the submissions that I've heard thus far. Chair, one, with

23 respect, can accept absolutely what he has said. It brings

24 Mr X into the picture again and we've given the references

to this in our written submissions. In that paragraph we

Page 39442

- 1 pose the questions well what happened to these lips that
- 2 had been cut off and this tongue that had been removed
- 3 because they are nowhere to be seen, of course, in the
- 4 photographic material. And Mr X in his statement says what
- 5 took place and he refers there to the tongue having been
- 6 cut out and that in our submission is something that lends
- 7 plausibility and credibility to that fragment of his
- 8 evidence. He says those parts together with some blood
- 9 that had been as it were drained from Mr Fundi went into a
- 10 plastic bag and they were taken to the sangoma on the
- 11 koppie and they were used for the preparation of further
- muti. And why we say that that should, with respect, be
- 13 accepted as evidence is that had he not been there then he
- 14 would not have known that the tongue had in fact been cut

15 out.

16

17

18

19

CHAIRPERSON: What tends to support that and I just put this again as a prima facie comment, others that don't agree they're free to make submissions on the point, but the danger with Mr X, of course, was one didn't

- 20 know what information he'd been fed by other people. And
- 21 so the argument that his evidence is confirmed by things of
- 22 objective evidence is subject to the criticism that we
- 23 don't know whether confirmatory features were fed to him
- 24 before he made his statement. But if it's correct and I
- 25 take it this is implicitly what you're saying, if it is

Page 39443

25

- 1 correct that the police and other people in contact with
- 2 him at the time he made his statements didn't know about
- 3 the tongue because it wasn't on the post-mortem material at
- 4 the time and if it's correct, the confirmation of that fact
- 5 only came to light afterwards, after he made his statement
- 6 when the brother's statement could have changed that would
- 7 be an indication that that detail at least wasn't fed to
- 8  $\,$  him. And that would confirm part of his story. Am I
- 9 understanding your submission correctly?

10 MR TIP SC: Chair, your understanding is

11 absolutely correct. That's precisely the chain of

12 reasoning and that the post-mortem report, even with a

13 later addendum, really just spoke about lacerations of the

14 tongue which didn't convey that in fact half of the tongue

- 15 had been cut out. So it's on that basis particularly and,
- 16 Chair, you've put it precisely that we say it is that sort
- 17 of independent knowledge that makes this plausible. But
- 18 what is clear is, of course, what happened to Mr Fundi,
- 19 again I'll leave those circumstances in the hands of the
- 20 Commission for evaluation. Then if I may turn to Mr
- 21 Mabebe. Here there is a good deal of the information was
- 22 traversed albeit briefly by me with particularly Mr Blou at
- 23 a stage when we were seeking to unfold a number of features
- 24 of what had taken place that hadn't been otherwise dealt
- 25 with and to do so even if briefly rather than not at all.

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

1 And, Chair, there if I may just summarise it on the same

2 basis as I've dealt with Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane, Mr

- 3 Mabebe, as we know, comes to work, he has no reason to
- 4 expect of the nature that in fact unfolds when he arrives
- 5 at K4 shaft. Perhaps he's bewildered when the attack
- 6 begins, but very soon he would realise that he is in fact a
- 7 target. He is very seriously and quite viciously attacked
- 8 and we have those details. There is a terrible fracture of
- 9 the skull with brain extrusion, there is a fracture of the
- 10 jaw, there are five stab wounds. Four other people are
- 11 injured, Mr Janse van Vuuren who gave evidence says that
- 12 his life was saved only because his windscreen kept a heavy
- 13 steel pipe out. They all go to Andrew Saffy together in an
- 14 ambulance, at the same time. But what is important to
- 15 appreciate in respect of what Mr Mabebe went through is
- 16 that those terrible injuries don't kill him immediately.
- 17 So that after the attackers have gone and Mr Janse van
- 8 Vuuren sees that he is lying there next to a vehicle which
- 19 is now burning and drags him a little distance away for
- 20 safety, Mr Mabebe is still conscious and Mr Mabebe is still
- 21 able to say quite clearly to Mr Janse van Vuuren something
- 22 about the dreadful pain that he is in. And Mr Mabebe, as
- 23 we know, is still alive one and a half later when he gets
- 24 to Andrew Saffy. Probably by then in a situation of
  - extremeness and dies, we're not sure when, but not too long

Page 39445

- after. The question of the treatment of him medically
- 2 speaking is not in focus at the moment. It is really just
- 3 what he would have experienced in consequence of that
- 4 attack on him. Mr Langa, Mr Julius Langa, we know even
- 5 less about in respect of the circumstances. Actually I
- 6 heard Mr Mpofu, my learned friend, Mr Mpofu suggest
- 7 yesterday that this might have been just one or two people
- 8 who set on him. In our submission the probabilities are
- 9 that that would not have been the case and that this was
- 10 part of the general exercise that was being conducted at
- 11 that stage which was to intimidate people to the extent
- 12 where they might die and that Mr Langa was one of those.
- 13 And partly why I say that is that what we do know about Mr
- 14 Langa is that he sustained 18 stab wounds including a
- 15 series of deep penetrating wounds involved in the spleen,
- 16 the stomach, both lungs, the pericardium, the heart, the
- 17 liver and the only thought that I would identify perhaps
- 18 for further consideration by the Commission is that it may
- 19 be easy to say well there were 18 stab wounds, what is less
- 20 easy, with respect, is to form an image in one's mind about
- 21 how 18 stab wounds come to be inflicted on one person.
- 22 Just what the nature of that event is and that is why is we
- 23 say this was not a fracas or some incidental argument
- 24 between Mr Langa and some other person. Then Mr Twala

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

25 there is an aspect there that needs to be given some

Page 39446

- further attention. Mr Twala, of course, we have some
- 2 description of what took place there from Mr X who
- 3 essentially describes that Mr Twala was called up as it
- 4 were that there were accusations made and that he was then
- 5 taken away and killed. Mr X wasn't at the place where he
- died, but that's his essential sequence. Now what I'm 6
- 7 going to take the Commission to is a portion of the
- 8 evidence in chief of Mr Nzuza and to examine what he said
- 9 about that. And I will seek to demonstrate that from that
- 10 it is quite clear what took place and that the evidence of
- 11 Mr X to which I've incidentally referred is in fact not
- critical for a finding in respect of what took place. 12
- Could we have please the transcript day 277 beginning at 13
- 14 page 35513?
- 15 CHAIRPERSON: What line do you want?
- MR TIP SC: From line 11. As I said this 16
- 17 is the evidence in chief and I'm going to read the full
- 18 passage if I may and then seek to draw the inferences that
- 19 I say, with respect, should be drawn. So my learned
- 20 friend, Mr Mpofu is leading, he says "Okay, then later on
- 21 the 14th there is evidence that the body of Mr Twala or a
- 22 body, you might not have known the person's name, was found
- 23 near the koppie, do you remember that?" "I remember that."
- 24 "Mr X says you were involved in that incident. Can you
- 25 explain what, well firstly were you involved in the killing
  - Page 39447

1

- of Mr Twala?" "No I was not there." "Okay, did you see Mr
- 2 Twala or the person that we've now seen in exhibit L as Mr
- 3 Twala, did you seem him on that day?" "Yes I saw him."
- 4 "What happened? Can you just briefly tell the Commission
- 5 where you observed him and how you observed him?" And then
- this is the important part. Mr Nzuza says "I did not know 6
- who was, what his name was, I just knew that he works at 4 7
- Belt. When I went I arrived, sat next to Mambush because 8
- 9 he was standing on his feet and talking and I just heard
- him saying "The person who knows me" this was clearly Mr 10
- Twala who was speaking "The person knows me as this one, 11
- 12 this boy sitting here, he knows where I work and so on and
- 13 that's when I said no old man don't say you know me. I
- 14 only met you once, one morning when I came off duty and you
- 15 wanted goggles from me, goggles or sunglasses from me. And
- that's when I responded to him, I said I only met you on 16
- 17 that day, I did not know you and you said you will cause me
- 18 to be fired. And that's when I left, stood up and went to
- 19 a place where I was having cold drink on the side at the
- Wonderkop." "Yes and did you see him or talk to him or
- 21 interact with him at any other stage on that day?" "No I
- 22 did not see him again. I just went to sit on the other
- side." Now we're not going to address the guestion of
- 24 whether Mr Nzuza description of how he left is accepted, we
- accept it for this purpose entirely, but what is important

- Page 39448
- is that Mr Nzuza's account of the exchange while he is
- 2 present with Mambush and Mr Twala is that quite clearly Mr
- 3 Twala is in fact facing some kind of accusation, presumably
- 4 headed or led or made only by Mambush and that his
- 5 credentials in a sense are at issue. And there
- 6 particularly in context, of course, it would be what, you
- 7 know what is he doing at the koppie. Is he a genuine
- 8 member of the strike, what is he doing? And that is why
- 9 one has, on that account, Mr Twala saying but this boy
- knows me, he knows me. He can tell you about me. So
- 11 clearly what is happening there is that Mr Twala is seeking
- 12 some kind of voucher to be given in respect of who he is
- 13 and that he's a real worker etcetera, etcetera. He doesn't
- 14 get it as it happens from Mr Nzuza. Mr Nzuza says no I
- 15 don't actually know you and then perhaps somewhat
- 16 inconsistently he says there was just this occasion when
- 17 you wanted something from me and I didn't give it to you
- 18 and you then said well Twala will see that he, Nzuza, is
- 19 fired. Now, Chair, what - the essential inference that I
- 20 respectfully submit is to be drawn from this is that that
- 21 is indeed a characterisation of what Mr Twala's position
- 22 was at that time. He was under challenge, he was seeking
- 23 to find some kind of support and corroboration and, he
- 24 didn't get it. Now that's all we know about the
- 25 interaction, we know nothing about any further discussion.

Page 39449 CHAIRPERSON: Not only did he not get it,

- he got an accusation of some kind of hostility to Mr Nzuza,
- a statement that he would cause him to be fired. So far
- 4 from getting positive support, he got something negative
- from Mr Nzuza which would strengthen the fact that in some
- 6 way or other Twala was under hostile scrutiny, potentially
- 7
  - hostile scrutiny.
- 8 MR TIP SC: Thank you, Chair, indeed that 9 emergences very clearly from the latter part or that
- 10 exchange.
- 11 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Tip, I recall in
- 12 the docket dealing with Mr Twala's death there are
- 13 statements from witnesses, not Mr X and not warning
- 14 statements, that speak of this very issue where Mr Twala is
- 15 being questioned and someone is asked if he knows him and
- 16 the reply is yes he is the person who used to discipline
- 17 us. So there is some corroboration for this version.
- 18 MR TIP SC: Quite correct, Commissioner
- 19 Hemraj. That is to be found there. So this is not 20 uncorroborated.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON: There's another factor, Mr
- Tip, I don't know whether you rely on it. It may be not
- 23 appropriate, I suppose, to rely on it, but I put it to you 24 to get your comment. He was a NUM office bearer and
- whether he was really acting as kind of spy or whether he

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

RealTime Transcriptions

Page 39450

- was not, the fact that he was known to be a NUM office
- 2 bearer might well, this may be speculation, I suppose one
- 3 must be careful, might give rise to the inference anyway
- 4 that he was viewed with suspicion because he was a NUM
- 5 office bearer. And there was some kind - even though some
- 6 of the people on the koppie were NUM members, it does
- 7 appear, I think from the leaders as it were, well there was
- 8 hostility towards NUM because there were the events of the
- 9 11th and the 12th. And in fact it was said that they'd been
- attacked by NUM, that's why they were carrying weapons to 10
- 11 defend themselves against them. Now here's somebody who's
- a NUM office bearer and if his loyalty to the strike cause 12
- is not entirely accepted then that would explain the 13
- hostility. But I don't know, it may be dangerous to put 14
- 15 too much weight on it.

1

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

- [09:42] MR TIP SC: It may well be that that was 16
- 17 a factor because you know, if you are an office-bearer of
- 18 any union people are aware of that, but I would with great
- 19 respect seek to position my submissions on the basis that
- 20 excludes that consideration because there seems to me to be
- 21 an inexorable line that has to be drawn from the exchange
- 22 that we see in the evidence-in-chief if Mr Nzuza and what
- 23 happens to Mr Twala which is also objectively entirely
- beyond dispute, which is that he is killed, and we know 24
- 25 that the spot where he is killed, it is approximately 100

Page 39451

- metres towards the back of the koppie away from where the
- 2 workers, the strikers were gathered, and it of course is
- 3 clear that Mr Twala would have been taken there by those
- 4 who killed him. If that is correct, then there is the
- 5 critical intervening moment, the decisive moment rather,
- 6 not the intervening moment, the decisive moment when a
- 7 decision is made that he is to be taken away and that he is
- to be killed, because that can't happen as a matter of 9
- spontaneous action by a couple of people who just take him
- 10 off. In our submission, the probability then is that
- 11 somebody with an individual or a small group, somebody
- 12 makes a decision, really it would be a cold-blooded
- 13 decision that he, Mr Twala, is to die and he is to be taken
- 14 away for that purpose.

The death of course as we know, is also a horrible event, well all deaths are horrible but there's particularly a horrible aspect to it. He too suffers a number of stab wound injuries, 13 in total, but what particularly characterises his death is the placing of this bleached skull and horns of a cow or an ox on his chest.

- 21 And what we say about that is that it again on balance, can
- be nothing other than a final violation of Mr Twala as a 22
- person, even with his passage into death. It is of course
- 24 consistent with a notion that a decision has been taken

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

25 that he should be put to death because his position on the

koppie is found to be unacceptable. That is as far as we

- can take that on the available facts, but we do submit that
- 3 there is a continuum there that makes sense only on the
- basis of what happens with Mr Nzuza's evidence albeit that
- 5 Mr Nzuza then leaves the scene, he says, to the point of
- 6 death. Chair, those are the matters that we sought to
- 7 place before you in respect of these deaths, and I won't
- 8 enlarge on them collectively at all.

9 If I may proceed to the next topic, which is the

- 10 issue of collective bargaining. This has been addressed.
- 11 Again I am going to condense what I had prepared to place
- 12 before you here because we've dealt with it in our written
- 13 submissions. We've set out some portions of the
- 14 Constitution. We have set out some extracts from the
- 15 Labour Relations Act, LRA. Lonmin have done the same. My
- 16 learned friend, Mr Burger, dealt with those in the early
- 17 stages of his submissions on Monday, and I am not going to
- repeat those. All I am going to underline there is that
- 19 there is a very distinct and very important statutory
- 20 framework that bears on all these events with the
- 21 Constitution and its value as its bedrock and then detailed
- 22 provisions in respect of how collective bargaining - inter
- 23 alia how collective bargaining is to be done, how the
- 24 rights conferred by the Constitution are to be protected
  - and advanced. Why we say this is a departure point for

Page 39453

- these particular submissions is in part that paragraph 1 of
- 2 your terms of reference, if I may just read, it begins as
- 3 follows – well, it says the following, "The Commission
- 4 shall enquire into, make findings, report on and make
- 5 recommendations concerning the following, taking into
- 6 consideration the Constitution and other relevant
- 7 legislation policies and guidelines." And we say that what
- 8 that underlines is really a very important orienting
- 9 consideration which is that one has to bear in mind that
- 10 all the events that have been enquired into, particularly
- 11 over the 9th to the 16th of August have arisen, have
- 12 developed, have unfolded and finally all take place in a
- 13 work place and that work place is to a very substantial
- 14 extent, governed at the level of how labour relations is to
- 15 be managed through particularly the LRA. So in a sense, if
- 16 one would pose a question along the lines of well, to what
- 17 extent does the Commission need to have regard to things
- 18 like labour relations then our answer would be to a fairly
- 19 substantial extent, you are not dealing with an inquiry
- 20 into labour relations only. That's a different matter. 21
- You are dealing ultimately with all the violence and the 22 deaths that ensued. But our submission is that in order to
- 23 accurately position that examination, it needs to have
- 24 regard to precisely where it started in the sense of what
- breakdown, if there was one in the labour relations

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 Email: realtime@mweb.co.za RealTime Transcriptions

Page 39454

- environment led to the kind of increase of hostility, the
- 2 breakdown in communication and relationships that in turn
- 3 produced a situation where violence and mayhem really
- 4 became what they were. So it's in that context that I make
- 5 these submissions, and I am going to very summarily deal
- 6 with one or two supplementary aspects. The one is that -
- 7 well, we've set out in our written submissions various sub-
- 8 paragraphs of sub-topics concerning collective bargaining
- 9 and how it works and the role of mandates and so on. None
- 10 of that I am going to reproduce in these oral submissions
- 11 unless there is a particular area of query, but what NUM's
- 12 role in all of this is of course an important role and it
- 13 needs to be examined closely and appreciated closely, and
- 14 part of that entails the very full description albeit in
- 15 fairly summary terms, it spanned the entire exercise of
- 16 NUM's approach to collective bargaining. Again, I am just
- 17 going to highlight that it begins with the process of
- 18 obtaining demands and processing them at section level, at
- 19 shaft level. It's grass roots. It's not imposed from
- 20 above. There is then an elaborate but effective process of
- 21 these being evaluated, condensed into a set of provisional
- 22 demands which then go back to a mass meeting for a set of
- 23 demands finally to be approved by the workers in that mass
- meeting. NUM being what it is, which with respect is that 24
- 25 it is a mature union, those demands then go into a head
  - Page 39455
  - office process where they are assessed in terms of a whole
- range of considerations relating to the financial and 2
- 3 economic strength of the sector and the performance of the
- 4 companies and so on and ultimately, they come out of that
- 5 and if they are approved the negotiations begin. Those
- 6 negotiations then with the company have been described also
- 7 by Mr Gcilitshana and they conclude ultimately with the
- 8 obtaining of a mandate from the workers in a mass meeting.
- 9 Only then can a collective bargaining agreement be entered 10
  - into.

11

23

1

- Now that happens at Impala, and as the Commission
- 12 knows a collective agreement is entered into there on 7
- 13 October 2011. It takes place also later at Lonmin and
- there is a collective agreement dated 2 December 2011 but 14
- 15 effective from 1 October 2011. As that, there's absolutely
- 16 nothing to suggest any level of complaint in respect of the
- 17 content of those collective agreements over several months
- 18 particularly at Impala which is the critical one with this,
- that's where the first derailment as it were takes places.
- So when do they give the rise to this certificated miners,
- 21 that's the point, Chair.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON: When was that?

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

- That's the very point I am MR TIP SC:
- 24 coming to if I may and that's a critical factor. But just
- for a moment before I get to that which is 18 December

- 2011, what I want to submit is that actually as at mid
- December 2011 the entire platinum belt is working.
- Collective bargaining has function. There are collective
- 4 agreements in place that are being respected and applied
- 5 and we are now shortly before the long break over Christmas
- 6 and New Year and so on and other than incidental issues
- 7 around say, AMCU and NUM and recruiting at Lonmin which are
- 8 of no consequence in the present context at all.
- 9 Everything is running smoothly. 18 December 2011 is the
- 10 critical factor moment because that is when Impala
- 11 management takes the unilateral decision that all
- 12 certificated miners are to receive an increase and it's a
- 13 substantial increase. AMCU's counsel have described it
- 14 quite appropriately as whopping increase and it was, for
- one particular group of employees. Why? Because Impala
- management have come to the view that other mines in the
- 17 area are paying that category of worker better and they are
- 18 concerned about losing some of those workers. They are not
- 19 concerned about anyone else, and that is why they singled
- 20 them out for this unilateral substantial increase. No
- 21 consultation, no negotiations, no forewarning, it's simply
- 22 done. And of course as one knows and we see echoes of this
- 23 in Lonmin, how do these decisions get taken? They get
- 24 taken by commercial management essentially who say well, if
  - we don't do this we may lose those people or some of them

- Page 39457 and if we lose some of those people our production is going
- to be severely affected because they are critical to the
- process. Only they can insert explosive charges, etcetera.
- What will it cost us to get back on par for those people?
- 5 What will it cost us if we reopen negotiations? Oh well,
- 6 that's, we are not going to deal with it. So it's 18
- 7 December and all of my colleagues who practise in the field
- 8 of labour law will agree with what I am about to say, when
- 9 decisions of this kind are taken very often timing of
- 10 course is critical. What will be the impact of what we are
- 11 about to do with this unilateral decision and I am saying
- 12 this of course on the speculative basis, Chair, but with
- 13 some confidence in respect of what happens in practice.
- 14 Let's do this just before everyone goes away for the break,
- and hopefully by the time they come back we will just
- 16 continue. The miners will be with us still and life will
- 17 go on. Now of course that doesn't happen at Impala. There
- 18 is a swift reaction to this and it happens if I may borrow
- 19 a phrase from the article that was put in from Mr Hartford
- 20 although he was talking about NUM, in fact what takes place
- 21 is that on the foothills of Lusikisiki and Flagstaff the
- 22 RDOs discuss what has happened. The miners don't discuss
- 23 it, they are happy. The RDOs discuss it and far from
- 24 Impala resuming with a contented workforce and peace around
- this unilateral increase, come January 2012 when people

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Page 39458

- 1 return, there is almost instantly the demand from RDOs for
- 2 R9 000 basic wage. But that demand of course reflects in
- 3 part anger with Impala as to what happened but
- 4 unfortunately for NUM it also then turns into very, very
- 5 substantial anger with NUM and Mr Gcilitshana has dealt
- 6 with all of this quite fully in his statement. You may
- 7 recall that, of course he was the chief negotiator for
- 8 Lonmin, not for Impala but he's in contact with the people,
- 9 the NUM people at Impala to the extent that it's hearsay,
- 10 that wasn't a problem and none of it has been challenged.
- 11 But he underlined the following, he says, why do people
- 12 become angry with NUM where Lonmin I beg your pardon,
- 13 Impala has unilaterally made this major differential
- 14 entries to one category of employees. Well, they're angry
- 15 because they say during the negotiations, during the time
- 16 that led to the conclusion of our agreement in October
- 17 2011, NUM was coming back to us in the feedback meetings
- 18 and in the mandate meetings and it was saying, we've
- 19 negotiated hard for you and Impala can't do better and now
- 20 suddenly they can do better. And workers, Chair, have very
- 21 well developed antennae when it comes to collective
- 22 bargaining issues and regularity of process and where there
- 23 is a demonstration that some irregular has been done that
- 24 in fact creates a differential and operates to their
- 25 prejudice they identify it immediately and they react
  - Page 39459
  - immediately. If they feel that there is a lack of bona
- 3 has said to them, this is what we've been able to achieve

fides, they pick that up and they react to it. So when NUM

- 4 for you and nothing more, the coffers aren't empty,
- 5 therefore you haven't actually done the best you can. And
- 6 there was -

1 2

7

8

- CHAIRPERSON: coming to that now. That among the beneficiaries, among the miners who go the
- 9 increase were the NUM negotiators.
- 10 MR TIP SC: Well, that was the second
- 11 point I was about to make and it's a very, very important,
- 12 we say coincidental aggravating feature. So it wasn't so
- 13 much the negotiators, it was that both chairpersons of the
- 14 two Impala NUM branches at the time were certificated
- 15 miners. But that's sufficient for a wave of rage to
- 16 spread, and we have seen repeatedly and frequently in the
- 17 consideration of how views get disseminated at Lonmin, and
- 18 the same happens at Impala. You've got workers who live
- 19 very close together and it just requires one or two people
- 20 to say but do you know what, NUM said there was no more
- 21 money but what happens? The two chair people of NUM, they
- 22 are getting this 18% extra and they are betraying our cause
- 23 and said Mr Gcilitshana that that gave rise then to this
- 24 duality of anger partly directed to Impala but of course by
- 25 far the more immediately available avenue for expression of

- Page 39460
- rage is the Union, and hence he says very swiftly this
- 2 demand for R9 000 very predictably also turned into an
- 3 unprotected strike and that was a very violent strike also
- 4 and a lot of that violence was directly against members of
- 5 NUM and the officials of NUM. NUM's offices were attacked.
- 6 NUM officials had to leave for their own safety, so it
- 7 becomes really a very fragmented and dangerous environment.
- 8 [10:01] When one looks at it from the key, through the
- 9 key lens of collective bargaining there has been a
- 10 breakdown. And that breakdown is anything but cured when
- 11 in April if I remember that date correctly, 20 April 2012,
- 12 Impala again unilaterally announces a set of across the
- 13 board increases now in order to bring an end to this
- 14 unprotected strike and that includes promotion of RDOs. It
- 15 includes again the benefits, wages that are increased, time
- 16 periods in the collective agreement are brought forward,
- 17 very substantial advantages ensue. And why again that is a
- 18 particularly exacerbating feature of a breakdown of a
- 19 fissure in the collective bargaining environment is that
- 20 all those strikers and as happened later at Lonmin this
- 21 became not only a strike of Impala RDOs but a strike of all
- 22 workers at RDOs. Everyone gathered and as it happens
- 23 everyone received benefits.

Perhaps the RDOs of course got the largest

because of their category promotion but everyone

ge 39459

24

- benefitted, so one has a process where collective
- 2 bargaining has simply not been observed in the most
- 3 fundamental way possible. The breakdown, the first
- 4 unilateral increase triggers an unprotected violent strike
- 5 which is brought to an end by again unilateral management
- 6 decisions and all those strikers have seen the message.
- 7 Forget about the union. Forget about collective bargaining
- 8 and everything that is involved. Just do it yourself.
- 9 Violence will accelerate a response and that's the message.
- 10 So that is why we say that far from being just a somewhat
- 11 distant parallel in some respects with what took place at
- 12 Lonmin Impala demonstrates very, very clearly what happens
- 13 when the collective bargaining regime, when the statutory
- 14 framework is breached and that's a problem.

So may I then turn to looking at what happens in

- 16 Impala, I beg your pardon, Lonmin? Now, interesting,
- 17 Chair, what we know from Mr Da Costa is that as at 21 June
- 18 2012 which is of course another key date when the first two
- 19 RDO representatives, when the first small march takes place
- 20 to his office, as at that stage Mr Da Costa has been
- 21 receiving reports from within his management and security
- 22 environment that RDOs at Karee have held a number of
- 23 meetings at Karee but they've been very poorly attended.
- 24 And why that's paragraph 3.6 of his main statement, 0017.
- 25 Why that is significant, Chair, we're now talking about

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

9

10

11

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

5

6

7

8

18

19

20

1

4

5

11

15

17

18

19

21

Page 39462

June 2012, several months after the conclusion of the

2 strike at Impala but even at that stage Lonmin is

essentially functioning without difficulties on the 3

4 collective bargaining front.

The collective agreement of 2 December 2011 has been in operation without difficulty for some seven or eight months by then, well, longer going back to 1 October. And in short what one can say about it is that the

9 situation at Lonmin was in fact not just a bed of dry

10 tinder which would ignite upon the mere spark arising, so

Impala didn't immediately trigger the events at Lonmin. I 11

must underline the word "immediately" because clearly it 12

13 does play a very clear role in the sense that the way

14 things happened at Impala become the way that things

15 happened at Lonmin but they're not immediate. And that is

important when one looks at the response of Lonmin to the 16

17 approaches at Karee.

> Now, we know and perhaps we can just call up on the screen please XXX3. If we can go to page 5 I think of that bundle I may – yes, that's the document. Just go back

21 a bit, page 3, I'm sorry. Yes, Chair, I'm going to look at

just a few aspects of this. This you will recall is the 22

23 memorandum that Mr Da Costa sent through to EXCO at Lonmin

24 shortly after the first approach to him on 21 June. This

25 is dated 27 June. Now, what - before I get to that Mr Da

Page 39463

Costa was cross-examined quite extensively in respect of

various aspects including the - sorry, let me just check. 2

3 I just want to check my consumption of time, Chair.

I've got time to deal with this, Chair. Mr Da Costa was cross-examined by a number of people including

myself on the response of he himself and then of course 6

7 Lonmin as the company to the approaches by the Karee RDOs

and I aligned myself with what I had already heard which 8

9 was that Mr Da Costa's response to them initially was

absolutely appropriate, namely that he saw them and that he 10

met with them and that he established from them what it was

12 that was their concern. And let me just underline that.

13 It's a theme that I'll echo once or twice again as I go

14 through this topic.

Why it was appropriate for Mr Da Costa to see those employees is because collective bargaining and labour relations generally as a philosophy and as a management framework has also - gives very clear recognition to practical considerations such as what on the basis of a ordinary sense of fairness is appropriate to do in a particular situation, what in terms of an ordinary 22 cultivation of relationship and the prevention of immediate

frustration is acceptable, and that is why when Mr Da Costa

24 sees the RDOs that come to him as at 21 June is not to be

25 faulted. Then there is his reaction. Now, Mr Da Costa as

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39464

we know was in fact a member of the negotiating team for

2 Lonmin and he showed himself in the course of cross-

3 examination on various topics relating to labour relations

4 to be well-informed and to be sensitive to actually what

5 the entire paradigm is supposed to achieve. That

6 understanding by him is reflected in important ways in the

7 memorandum that he sends through to Lonmin.

Let me – sorry, if I may have a moment just to get my copy, on the following page there if we can just go one page down, yes, under the rubric there of proposal this is now what he is suggesting to EXCO. He says I suggest

12 that, I propose that this is how we deal with it. And the

13 first one is feedback will be given to the two

14 representatives who met with the vice-president as follows

and the first bullet point is the only one that I'm going

16 to underline. "Lonmin has well-established processes for

17 the negotiation of wage increases and other conditions of

18 employment and is not in favour of ad hoc negotiations

19 taking place outside of those processes." Now, that is a

20 very important statement for Mr Da Costa to make to his

21 EXCO because he very succinctly there highlights a core

22 aspect of sound collective interaction and sound labour

23 relations and that is that the agreed structures have to be

24 respected. They have to be cultivated. I said a few

minutes ago that workers are very sensitive to derailments,

Page 39465 demonstrations of bona fides. Workers are generally

2 requiring reinforcement.

3 CHAIRPERSON: I apologise. If it wasn't my phone I would cause the owner to be evicted from the chamber but I can't do that. I'm sorry.

MR TIP SC: Perhaps I might say that you have to excuse yourself for 15 minutes while we take the first comfort break.

CHAIRPERSON: Are you suggesting I take the tea adjournment now?

MR TIP SC: We'll defer it, Chair.

12 Thanks, okay, so Chair, this is - collective bargaining

13 involves if I may just take a sideways step for a moment in

14 order to underline very, very prominently why this is a

15 correct statement, collective bargaining is in a sense of

course a regime that has been structured through policy 16

17 dimensions. It's a very important regime and it goes all

18 the way back to the certification of the Constitution

19 itself where the Constitutional Court said, well,

20 collective bargaining is there as a means of equalising the

21 power balance because employers on their own of course have

22 all the power.

23 Employees on their own have no power. It is only when they come together that collective bargaining can take place and only when they come together properly of course

3

5

6

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

10

11

12

13

Page 39468

Page 39466

- that they can enforce their power through a strike. The
- 2 structure envisages only lawful strikes, protected strikes,
- 3 again huge problem when it's an unprotected strike. But it
- 4 is that equation that there is also inevitably the
- 5 dimension that there has to be majoritarianism when it
- 6 comes to the formulation of demands so that if you have a
- 7 collective bargaining arrangement and a union or more than
- 8 one union possibly which is formulating demands then as
- 9 happens with NUM's processes which have been outlined you
- 10 will have different demands from different sections.

Somehow they will have to reconciled and those who wanted 11 12

an increase of 23% have to be reconciled with those who are content with an across the board increase of 14% as an

14 opening gambit.

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

So there is this give and take and that is why in the sense there has to be continuing cultivation and protection of the mechanism so that the buy-in by all workers is maintained. Now, that, coming back to Mr Da Costa's statement that we see there, if one begins what he correctly calls a process of ad hoc negotiations then of course there is immediately the process of the fracturing of the collective coherence of the process because then it becomes open for ad hoc groups to formulate their own demands and the entire situation becomes precisely what the statute doesn't envisage and what the policy doesn't

again with the RDOs.

Then he says to EXCO, "The feedback outlined above is not likely to resolve the matter." Again critical statement and showing a real astute understanding on the part of Mr Da Costa of what the likely dynamics are of what has begun by this first approach to him on 21 June.

7 They're not likely to resolve the matter. More work will

8 be required over the next few weeks in this regard. The

9 following is proposed. Bullet number one, critical,

10 "Engage both the NUM and AMCU at national level to inform

11 them of this development and to solicit their opinion on

12 the matter." Now, that again, something that certainly I

13 and I think others also in the course of the cross-

14 examination of Mr Da Costa indicated that that too

15 indicated a thoroughly sound grasp on his part of how this

situation could be approached in a constructive way and in

17 a way that would maintain its coherent relationship with

the overall framework. 19

There are a few elements that need to be identified here and singled out. First of all he says engage both NUM and AMCU. Now, that of course has a real recognition for what was a factual complicating feature at Lonmin at the time which was the NUM overall remained the bargaining agent for the whole of Lonmin. NUM was at that stage certainly still well entrenched as the majority union

Page 39467

desire.

2 Incidentally if I may just jump ahead for a 3 moment we saw a very clear illustration of that at Lonmin 4 and you will recall that after the RDOs had their series of 5 interactions with Mr Da Costa and through Mr Da Costa with 6 EXCO a group of team leaders came to see him on the same 7 basis. They also had a set of demands. Well, that was 8 easy to deal with because there are only 15 or 20 team 9 leaders and they're not RDOs. They're not drilling the rock face and they're not certificated miners and they are 10 sent away, but it's precisely that kind of process that is 11 12 always the latent peril when one starts to defuse the

So this is really a ground rule and it needs to be viewed in precisely that term. This is the ground rule and Mr Da Costa is saying to EXCO our feedback must tell them that this is the ground rule. Now, as soon as you established that ground rule then of course one has to examine what is the best way for this particular situation to be dealt with and if I may go on to the next if you just scroll up the page a fraction please, sorry, I mean, downwards to the lower - ja. In keeping with the general statement of the ground rule Mr Da Costa then says, "This 24 feedback will be given on 2 July 2012." That of course you'll recall is the date on which he is scheduled to meet

Page 39469 at Eastern Plats and Western Plats but AMCU had most

certainly become the majority union at Karee. The RDOs

were from Karee. So he is recognising a practical

situation and here I echo what I said a while back about

common sense being a very vital component of a sound labour

6 relations practitioner's approach. It's common sense.

The second important feature there is that he says at national level, to be underlined and to be endorsed, and why that is important is that there is an appreciation that the relationships at Karee can't easily be addressed at a local level and be resolved at a local level. He also understands does Mr Da Costa and this is an important point that the wage agreement has been entered

14 into at a national level. Mr Gcilitshana is the chief

15 negotiator. He is a national office bearer of NUM.

16 Negotiations aren't conducted at a place like Lonmin at

17 branch level.

18 [10:22] There are representatives from the branches in

the negotiating team, but no branch has the authority to

20 conclude or to amend a collective agreement. Then he says

21 again very constructively inform them of this development,

22 tell them what's happening, and finally and also with

insight he says solicit their opinion on the matter. Now 23

24 opinion may be a formalistic word, but that essentially

what he is saying is that we've got a complicated situation

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

integrity of collective bargaining.

RealTime Transcriptions

here, get both unions at national level involved, get their 2 views.

3 Now had that - and perhaps just for completeness

- 4 before I adumbrate on that, Chair, at the conclusion of the
- 5 memorandum, if we can just go to the next page briefly, Mr
- Da Costa also says to EXCO engage with the NUM and AMCU at 6
- 7 Marikana to reach an agreement on the matter, and of course
- that is essential as well. You've got to have buy-in at 8
- 9 the local level, but the input in respect of something like
- 10 the status of a collective agreement cannot come from the

11 local level.

12

13

14

15

16

1

11

15

16

17

18

19

Now Chair, why that approach sets out in this memorandum to EXCO was a promising one had it been observed, is that it would have immediately drawn in NUM and AMCU at formal level to give their views. NUM nationally would have come in and it would have looked at

- 17 the entirety of the situation. It may have begun by having
- 18 mass meetings at Eastern Plats and Western Plats, that in
- 19 itself may dramatically have changed the course of events,
- 20 but what would then happen is that NUM and AMCU would have
- 21 to address the situation of the de facto demand that had
- 22 come from RDOs at Karee, and that then sets up an entirely
- 23 appropriate dialogue process. Now we can't pretend, Chair,
- 24 that that would have simply been, or that that would have
- 25 been a simple interaction. AMCU is seeking to augment its

Page 39471

- position. NUM is seeking to defend its position and
- 2 perhaps reclaim membership. So there are those sorts of
- 3 considerations that would doubtless also feed into the
- 4 interaction, but once that approach and once that
- 5 interaction has been established, then those parties, all
- three parties, in fact all four parties as it were NUM, 6
- 7 AMCU, Lonmin and as a distinct entity, albeit not without
- 8 formal status, the RDOs at Karee - then need to address
- 9 what is a common problem. I recall that one stage in the
- 10
  - cross-examination of Mr Da Costa he was asked a little about how did all this work and so on, and he said well, of
- 12 course a lot of attention would have had to be given to how
  - any negotiating forum could be established. So he was well
- 13

aware of the need for sensitivities there. 14

But what it would absolutely have crystallised would be a response at that level to that situation from these two unions, and after that, well things unfold. One can't predict what would have said, but then the further process of course develops from that position. That is

- what happens in labour relations. One makes the first move
- 21 in accordance with sound principle. The second move has
- 22 then to take place, hopefully also in accordance with sound
- principle, but it moves and that's the key thing.
- So Chair, what I should just add here is that
- 25 you'll recall that Mr Gcilitshana described that NUM would

Page 39472

- have been happy to discuss outside collective bargaining at
- a certain stage, but it's correct for me to make this point
- that that was in the context of what had happened already
- by mid-August 2012. So I say that only to avoid an
- inadvertent translocation of that bit of evidence as
- 6 perhaps being what NUM's attitude would have been at 27
- 7 June. It was never canvassed and AMCU's views were never
- canvassed in accordance with that suggestion because EXCO
- 9 then took a different route, and perhaps, Chair, I can turn 10 to that after the brief adjournment.

11 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Tip, 15

12 minutes.

16

17

13 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS **COMMISSION RESUMES**] 14 [10:43] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.

Yes, Mr Tip.

MR TIP SC: Thank you, Chair, if I may continue. I'm about to turn to a consideration of what

EXCO decides, but perhaps before I do that I should say one

19 or two things further about Mr Da Costa's proposal about

20 giving the NUM, the national unions at national level

involved, and there is a point to be underlined there as

22 well. One is seeking to bring in those unions, each of

23 which have status at Karee. AMCU is already recognised in

24 respect of a wide range of entitlements, and the importance

of bringing them in and getting interaction with them going

Page 39473

is that it also would inevitably clarify the position of

the RDOs, and one of the particular matters that would of

course necessarily be addressed is the position of the RDOs

that they do not want any of the unions involved.

5 Now that's a key proposition and there comes a

6 time in labour relations and industrial management of this

7 kind and the preservation of collective bargaining that one

8 has to be firm and a company has to be firm. So this is in

9 fact, implicit in all of this is that there has to be a

10 moment where the statements that are made to the RDOs by

11 Lonmin that Lonmin won't negotiate outside the collective

12 structures has to be given force.

13 However, what is critically absent in what 14 happens once the matter gets through EXCO is that that 15 interaction is extinguished before it starts actually, and

16 as soon as you remove those two unions AMCU and NUM at

17 national level, you remove an essential couple of

18 ingredients that must go into the pot of formulating a

19 workable, a practically workable formula for moving forward

and then you leave, as happened here, you leave the RDOs on

21 their own. It's their declared route, but it's an

- 22 unacceptable route. We know that from the ordinary
- 23 philosophy of labour relations, and we know that from what
- 24 actually happened at Impala where precisely that remained
- the position. There too there was no engagement with the

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 39476

Page 39477

Mr Tip, previously

Page 39474

unions. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

So there does come a moment when one has to say well, we will not in fact bargain with you because you are outside the collective structures, but here is an arrangement which has been devised to everyone's satisfaction between the two unions, Lonmin and now it's up to you, and if workers who are acting outside of these structures with the already clear intention, and that is something that Mr Da Costa perceived immediately right from the start, he was concerned that underlying all of this was the prospect of industrial action, as he put it, unprotected strike action, as he developed it in his evidence. As soon as you've got that prospect then one has to take an in-principle position that will deal with it.

effect to ignore this triumbrant of recommendations from Mr Da Costa that I've gone through already, and they do what we submit Impala management did, they sit and they look at the thing from a commercial perspective and there is that very unsatisfactory bit of evidence that at one stage they ask for an opinion on what will be the consequence of reopening collective bargain, and then it's just dropped as a topic. It's never taken anywhere. What they do look at is well, what are the present relationships between what we are paying RDOs and what other people are paying RDOs and

What EXCO does - to come to that now - is in

doesn't really fit into your wage structure, but of course 2 it does, and technically it does and with respect, Chair, 3 more than once you have said precisely this, but it's part 4 of their remuneration. They would perceive it as part of 5 their remuneration. Of course they did, but by definition 6 in terms of the Basic Conditions of Employment Act 7 remuneration includes all payments in cash or in kind that 8 form part of the packages that a worker receives. So this 9

is remuneration technically so and it has to be dealt with

SO. The fundamental problem with what EXCO did was to actually keep the RDOs in an isolated stream, to recognise them in their position as an isolated stream - and by isolated stream I mean maintaining their declared position of having nothing to do with the unions - and at the same time then to say to them well, we're not going to talk to you. So you don't do what Mr Da Costa did, which was to set up on a joint basis a forum that could address it. That's discarded by EXCO. It says here's an allowance, take it or leave it, and we're not going to talk to you.

21 Well, that with respect is a formula for catastrophe. We 22 know that it became such, but it was also on any reasonable

23 interpretation in view of what was taking place at the time 24

going to be a formula for catastrophe. So it is what

happens, Chair, when one has these very deficient views in

Page 39475

what will it cost us to bring us back into parity so that

we keep them, and then you get to this utterly 2

3 unsatisfactory formula of an allowance, unilaterally

4 determined, not put on the table for discussion. It's ex

5 cathedra, it is EXCO saying well this is what you're going

to get, and of course it doesn't save the day. 6

Chair, perhaps it might be useful for me just to take a moment to say something about the idea of an allowance because it may sound like something that is neutral but of course it isn't, not in the context of labour relations and collective bargaining. An allowance, like any other term and condition of employment is subject to negotiation and that is why one sees for instance in the collective agreement that we're dealing with here there is an allowance, a living-out allowance. It's negotiated. It's increased or it stays the same, whatever.

17 Mr Gcilitshana has given evidence that it was the 18 practice at Lonmin that even when matters such as bonuses 19 came up for discussion a task team would be set up, a joint task team which would investigate it. It would produce figures and data and possibilities. They would then be 22 negotiated and some agreement would be concluded. Now that's important. What EXCO did was to say well, this is an allowance, as though an allowance is somehow immune from collective bargaining, and it isn't, that an allowance

respect of collective bargaining taken by management.

Chair, you've remarked, if I may respectfully echo it, that even in the unlikely source of the Lonmin occurrence book security personnel are saying that, you know, we have difficulty; management are sitting in the office, they say these things, we then have to deal with this. So it was recognised by them that this ongoing refusal - admittedly that wasn't in August, but precisely the same consideration applies as at 21 June, 27 June, 2 July.

COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:

when allowances were granted were these the subject of these discussions and negotiations with the unions? MR TIP SC: Not at all, no, these were not. You see this is, it of course should have been but what was, Commissioner Hemraj, if I may just say, you underline again an important differential that should be clarified. I've explained that allowances of this kind, even if properly so called, would form part of remuneration. They would form part of the negotiation framework, like any other allowance.

If I may just take another sideway step and I'll come directly back to your question, an allowance has a historical place in labour relations and historically of course one had a tool allowance for journeymen, or a travel

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

9

10

11

12

13

14

Page 39481

Page 39478

- allowance for people who had to come long distance to
- perform their work, or possibly even a heat allowance for 2
- 3 people who worked in particularly heated environments that
- 4 posed dangers and so on. Those were all classified
- 5 properly as allowances that were paid in order to
- facilitate you coming to do you work. So the tool 6
- 7 allowance, you do the work, you're using your own tools,
- 8 you get an allowance.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

The wage is something different and now I'm coming directly to your question, Commissioner. In truth this allowance was an increase in the wages of RDOs. It doesn't pretend to be anything else. It simply says well here's an allowance. It doesn't say a risk allowance. It will have to be negotiated anyway, but in truth, it is an addition to their wage and the wage of course is absolutely at the core of every negotiations.

as an employer you call part of the remuneration an allowance, when you negotiate, as we have seen both at Impala and at Lonmin, a union will come and it will say our opening demand is an across the board increase of 19%. That's 19% on the basic wage rate. If half of that is called an allowance then employees are entitled, if it goes through on that basis, to 19% on half of what they're

There's a side effect incidentally here, that if

Page 39480 I hope I'm not repeating it unduly, Mr Da Costa's memo of

27 June when he says get hold of AMCU and NUM at national

3 level and get their views, that would have avoided what

subsequently happened because there would have been

interaction. One way or another there would have been a 6 result that would have been sound and acceptable. So a

7 very important moment, Chair, in the context of this.

The last point, if I may deal with it, in the framework of collective bargaining is that again philosophically and in terms of the constitutional and LRA imperatives that we've already looked at, that framework is geared towards non-violence. Everything about it seeks to prevent the generation of non-violence and when you break it, of course you open the way for violence because you open the way for unprotected strike action deliberately

15

being pursued by people without any regulatory influence 17 who understand that if there is enough build-up of pressure

because of violence and destruction, at some point somebody

19 will talk to us and we'll get what we want. It's not

20 incidental, it's understood, and that is why one, you know

21 at the seminars, we refer to them in our written

22 submissions, one has this understanding that this is how

23 you do it. How do you, what's rule number 1 with a strike

24 and solidarity? Well, you make sure that nobody breaks the

strike. You intimidate and there's violence and that's

Page 39479

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in terms of description, they have real consequences. I'm

actually getting. So these are not just minor distinctions

- 2 not suggesting that Lonmin's EXCO was necessarily looking
- 3 at it from that point of view, but over the long run it's
- 4 important, and again from a worker perspective, because
- 5 workers understand this, that if you get an increase in
- your basic wage rate then that forms a new table, it's a 6
- 7 baseline, and every percentage increase that you get over
- 8 every year of negotiation is a percentage increase on that
- 9 baseline and the entire baseline moves up. If part of that
- 10 baseline lags behind because it's called an allowance,

you're actually moving up with less and at the end of the 11

day as the increases unfold, it's like compound interest, 12 13 you're actually getting less. So they're aware of that as

well.

24 collective bargaining.

14

15

17

18

19

21

But it is fundamentally in terms, here we are really at the interface, Chair, of the considerations that stand at the interface between the violent events that you've been examining and the labour relations departure points that contributed materially to it, and it is this moment where EXCO fails to deal with it on a collective interactive basis, makes a unilateral grant, which is 22 refused, and then reverts to the position that we're not going to talk to you because you're not part of the

Mr Da Costa's memo, if I may just echo that, and

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

recognised in the academic journals and we have seen it here as well. So that is why these things are of such importance.

But the particular aspect of that that I want to underline is what I'd begun to say, that the framework as a whole, collective bargaining philosophically and statutorily eschews violence as a mode of action. If it does occur then it poses of course another testing moment, just as Lonmin had at the time that the RDOs said we don't want unions involved, that's a testing moment. Do you fall in with that, or do you maintain first principle and look for a way to deal with it?

When you get violence then one has to, with respect you've got to say well this is the labour relations issue and this is a violence issue. The violence issue, if there is criminal conduct, which there must be if there is violence, is not to be treated differently because it has a root in a wage issue or an industrial dispute or whatever it is. That line has to be preserved and that is why it is necessary, with respect, to examine for example the appeals by Mr Zokwana, my president at the time. He comes to Lonmin on the Sunday afternoon in the immediate aftermath of what has happened to Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane. He has a meeting with management. He determines, correctly, that Lonmin is not in a position to secure the situation - that

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Page 39484

Page 39485

Page 39482

is common cause - and he then starts to say to the provincial office and then to the national minister, we 2 3 have to restore law and order.

Now Mr Zokwana was taken up in one way or another in various places in his evidence and he was consistent throughout, he says that this is no longer, when you have violence of this order, of this nature, then peace has to be restored before you can properly address the issues of negotiation, and he was consistent in that, and in our respectful submission that is sound on the basis of what I am saying. [11:03] Chair, we've had a lot of cross-examination which has one way or another led to some blurring of the issue, so a number of police officers have been cross-examined for instance on the basis that the true dispute at Lonmin was a wage dispute. Well, yes, that's the underlying dispute. Well, then you policemen shouldn't have been there because now you are interfering with a wage dispute. And we say that those propositions and all of them along that line

need to be carefully examined. If a police officer and I'm

what had been said by the provincial commissioner, if a

police officer says we are going to act there in order to

break a strike, well, then from a philosophical position

thinking of my learned friend Mr Mpofu's submissions about

that would be incorrect and unacceptable and there can be

is a consequence that it has to address and that consequence is that it has to ensure that its elimination in effect of any capacity to deal with large scale events of the kind that arose as from the morning of the 11th, if it no longer has the capacity then it has to ensure the SAPS will be there on time and in sufficient force.

And it comes back to what of course I underlined in my cross-examination of Mr Blou to this notion of scenario planning which is two words that we respectfully submit should be very close to centre stage in a consideration of Lonmin and what it failed to do. Scenario planning, you sit and you do the obvious. You say, well, we've got this situation where both we see that there is a very big mood shift on the 10th. Where is it going to go? What do we need to prepare for? We must see to it that SAPS is there because if that happens we cannot deal with

The 11th, the events of the 11th one may say, well, that's on the cusp. We submit that it's over the cusp and that they should in fact have identified that possibility, but once we get to the 12th, Chair, for Lonmin security to at that stage still be at odds and ends as we said in our heads - Mr Sinclair is putting out memoranda that talk about a revenge attack and preparation for war,

25 Mr Blou is blindly unaware of any information of that kind,

Page 39483

no doubt about that. If the police officer says we are

2 going to position sufficient forces there and take the

indicated action in order to break the criminal conduct

4 then that is appropriate. Chair, that's a distinction that

5 must be made. I'm going to leave the topic if I may of

collective bargaining. I hope that I haven't spent too 6

7

much time on it but it did seem to be something -

CHAIRPERSON: You've given us full heads on it. They are there. What you've said has served to explain and highlight the main points.

MR TIP SC: Yes. Well, then if I may just very swiftly go through a few topics relating to other aspects, and immediately drawing on the position of Lonmin security my learned friend Mr Bham in the course of his submission said, well, you know, when you look at Lonmin's

deficit in terms of its security apparatus and if you 16

17 examine what happened to Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane you would

18 remember that it took a decision in 2005/2006 that it would

19 demilitarise its security apparatus. Well, that's fine but

20 we have very clearly both in our cross-examination and in

21 our written submissions made this point, and I echo it

22 because it seems to occupy fairly cardinal terrain here,

once Lonmin takes that decision, disposes of all its

24 armoured vehicles, reduces its security personnel, relies

on CCTV, relies on other sources of information then there

of any prospect of that kind. He says, well, it's a

Sunday. We've never had these sorts of things on a Sunday.

3 Now, that we say is absolutely inexcusable, that failure to

actually do the ordinary thing, to take stock, to evaluate,

to plan to say if this happens again we're in trouble,

6 SAPS, and to see to it in a concerted way that SAPS is 7

there.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

nature of this crowd.

They didn't. They didn't - they complain and they say we made calls and nothing happened. Well, you've got to be far more deliberate in a situation such as this. And we say that that kind of deficit really led to a situation where Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane died on the morning of the 12th. If I may just say one thing about the situation there in this context, you've heard that Mr Mabelane was determined that his force of a dozen people or so would maintain, would stop this march, that it would prevent the destruction of the NUM office and we know that that in retrospect was not a sustainable decision given the

We know of course that he also didn't know about Mr Louw's warning, do not engage. That's another deficit. But what that underlines is this utterly unsatisfactory feature in Lonmin's evidence on security which Mr Blaauw described as, well, they may be an in invidious position, the security officers, because on the one hand they have

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

5

7

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

19

20

21

23

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

16

17

18

19

20 21 Page 39488

Page 39486

- got to defend. That's their duty. They must protect. On
- 2 the other hand we tell them, you know, if it's dangerous,
- 3 well, then extract yourself. They leave people like
- 4 Superintendent Mabelane and Superintendent Fundi hanging in
- 5 the middle. When they get there and they see march then
- they say, all right, well, that's it. We run away. And 6
- 7 whatever they're going to burn gets burnt. It's just an
- 8 impossible situation to put them in.

Mr Mabelane takes his stand. Chair, you have used the word in respect of him, "courageous". We echo that with respect. It was an unsustainable decision. And also to underline what an aspect of that because Mr Bham referred to Mr Masibi, what Mr Masibi tells us in his evidence is as part of the disagreement that there was amongst the security officers when that line was being formed, not only the question of how do you park vehicles and so on, not only the question of that, well, there aren't enough of us but also the question of there is no armoured vehicle and had there been an armoured vehicle then Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane would've been able to leap into that instead of jumping into their Livina in the hope

So it again, that underlines the clear understanding of the security personnel themselves and what my learned friend, Mr Bham, did not really address was a

that they could get I started and drive off.

on the 10th.

2 NUM took up the position that its members and 3 others who wanted to go to work should be able to get to work and they took up the position that they would perform an escort function. And we stand by that decision, we have 6 in our heads and in our replying submissions and we say that in a situation such as that there is again potentially 8 a difficult choice to be made but there are people who want 9 to exercise their right to go to work. They want to work. 10 They want to earn money. They want to look after 11 themselves and their families. And where Lonmin security 12 is not in a position to ensure safe passage then NUM took 13 it upon itself to do that escorting.

Now, as was noted in the OB there was a query I think Mr Kwadi who said, was it Kwadi, ja, says well, there's a bit of concern about NUM doing this because of the risk that it takes the law into its own hands and that might give rise to conflict and that's a concern. That does come into the picture, Chair, and a holistic assessment of everything that took place, we must have regard to that, but on balance we say that what NUM did was indicated and that otherwise, you know, one says, well, you're taking the law into your own hands but it's in a situation where you have strikers who have taken the law into their own hands and who are asserting not only their

Page 39487

query from yourself with respect, Chair, which is that the

- 2 presence of armoured vehicles are not necessarily there to
- 3 deal with a mass situation. They are there to protect your
- 4 personnel. Mr Bham rather dismissively spoke about, well,
- 5 can you imagine a situation where Mr Sinclair is at the
- 6 head of a procession of armoured vehicles. That's not the
- 7 point at all. It is this built-in deficit which is known,
- 8 it is known to Lonmin security because they have twice
- 9 motivated for the armoured vehicles to be obtained. It is
- 10 known to security officer Masibi when he sees where he is
- 11 that Sunday morning. And the only remedy, Chair, was to

ensure that there were armoured vehicles as a last resort 12

13 and that they were used or that SAPS was there and that

14 I've already made a submission about in relation to proper

15 planning and proper calling.

Chair, may I turn in the last minute that I think I have available to me to one or two aspects relating to the events of the 10th and 11th in respect of the position of NUM? First of all the 10th, Chair, we know that what happened was that intimidation began, it's documented entirely at the logs. Mr Setelele has dealt with it also 22 in his statement. As we've set out in our written submission one can be quite certain that there were more 24 events than those that are documented in the Lonmin log,

but those began as early as half past four that afternoon

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39489

- right to strike but some entitlement to make sure that you
- don't exercise your right to work. That's unpalatable and
- it's in that particular interface that we say it's

5 My learned friend, Mr Mpofu, has spoken yesterday about this Quantum as he has done from time to time which

is he says driving around and shooting at people and

8 forcing them to go work etcetera, etcetera, we have from

9 the start disagreed with that entirely. Even in his heads

10 at this stage, Chair, we see no reference to evidence to

that effect and ultimately of course Mr Setelele made a 11

12 statement and gave evidence and he says that in the course

13 of that night he went out with a Quantum on several trips.

14 As it happens he saw no intimidation. There was only one 15 occasion when there was a group of people who said, well,

16 you're breaking the strike and we're going to kill you, but

17 Mr Setelele was the person to whom propositions of that

18 kind had to be put and they were not.

So we ask you to disregard that. There are some hearsay suggestions in the evidence of Mr Mabuyakhulu that somebody came to the meeting on the 11th of August and spoke about shooting from the Quantum and goes so far as to say that someone was actually injured but there's absolutely no, there's no corroboration for that at all. Chair, in respect of the 11th we have addressed the events in and

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 Email: realtime@mweb.co.za RealTime Transcriptions

2 3

4

5

Page 39490 around the NUM office I hope quite comprehensively in our 2 heads of argument. May I just say two things about it? 3 The one is that there have been suggestions that when the 4 NUM officials fired the shots that that was at a distance 5 of about 50 metres which of course is a very different 6 picture from what in fact it was. Now, Mr Gegeleza has 7 given a very close description and detailed description of 8 it and this confrontation actually ultimately happens right 9 in the vicinity of the intersection between - if I may just 10 refer YY2 - street B and street C in the area of the MTN 11 container which is right on the corner right next to the 12 satellite police station. The same is said -13 CHAIRPERSON: What was the distance 14 there? What was the distance between the NUM people and 15 the advancing strikers? MR TIP SC: 16 At the time -17 CHAIRPERSON: When the shots were fired. 18 MR TIP SC: At the time of the shots that 19 would've been a matter of metres. Chair. 20 CHAIRPERSON: Metres. 21 MR TIP SC: Well, it's - we have it there. Chair, the evidence of Mr Gegeleza is that some of 22 23 the strikers had come around. They'd gone into street C 24 and were proceeding into street D. There were some NUM 25 members there outside the NUM office below the words WPL.

induced them to turn and begin to run away. Now, I can't 2 say to you that those were warning shots because that's not 3 something that I -4 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt, would the answer not be that what we - in a prima facie 6 view obviously at the moment, but what I think prima facie 7 we should do is to refer this whole matter as to what 8 happened in relation to the shots and the injuries to the 9 provincial DPP with the recommendation that he have it 10 fully investigated. The docket has already been opened, in 11 fact a temporary murder docket has been opened, but it 12 would appear that the investigation stopped when the 13 commission started. They haven't fully investigated. And then consider in the light of the information received as part of the investigation whether there should be a 16 prosecution. 17 MR TIP SC: Yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON: If the evidence indicates 19 that there were warning shots and this is why the people 20 were running away then of course the other question would 21 be why if warnings shots were fired and people were 22 reacting to that there were then shots fired at a dangerous 23 level, but anyway, those are all matter that the DPP would 24 look at. 25 MR TIP SC: Ves

Page 39491

1

Gegeleza and his small group were on the other side on street B and they were outside the NUM office so that would be a matter of 10 metres. Chair. CHAIRPERSON: If it was 10 metres was

6 strikers appear to have been armed with pangas and spears 7 and so on according to the NUM evidence, was there not time 8 for the NUM people to give a warning to shout and say if 9 you come any closer we will have to fire and possibly to have fired a warning shot or two in the air? Was there not 10 11 time to do that?

there not time regard being had to the fact that the

12 MR TIP SC: Chair, in - well, let me 13 first of all address the question of whether there may not have been warning shots. Mr Gegeleza's evidence is that he 14 15 heard three or more shots. We also know because it has 16 been put by my learned friend, Mr Mpofu, and it's the 17 evidence, it's common cause really, that it is upon the 18 firing of the shots that the strikers turn and run away. 19 Now, Chair, there's a logical -20 CHAIRPERSON: - shots two people appear

21 to have been injured as a result of gunshot wounds. MR TIP SC: Well, correct, but there's a 22 logical corollary to that, Chair. Two people are struck by

24 bullets and they are already running away. As a matter of

logic we submit that that means that there were shots that ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39493

CHAIRPERSON: So we don't have to make a finding. We can't really make a finding based on what you're saying. It would be inadvisable for us to make a finding which might prejudice any future criminal case if there was one. 6 MR TIP SC: Yes.

7 CHAIRPERSON: The most we can do is what 8 I've said. Isn't that where we can leave it? 9 MR TIP SC: Chair, entirely. I'm in full agreement with you with respect and perhaps I should've 10 made it clear that the only purpose of my going into the 11 question of whether there might have been warning shots was

12 13 merely to see that that is left open here. 14 CHAIRPERSON: Yes. 15 MR TIP SC: That one shouldn't have a 16 finding that there were not warning shots. 17 [11:23] But on the question that you raise about the fact 18 that there are dockets and that there should be

investigations that they were suspended because of the commission. NUM has no guarrel whatsoever with that course 21 of action. From day one we declared ourselves to be in

favour of action against anybody who had conducted himself 23 or herself in a way that required it. So where one has if

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

24 I can just put it bluntly, one has the firing of shots it's

a complicated situation which is unfolding very quickly.

Page 39494

- One has injuries. That must be investigated. There are
- 2 dockets. They must be pursued and NUM aligns itself
- 3 without reservation with that and I may add, Chair, to that
- 4 that Mr Mabuyakhulu also gave evidence that as he was
- 5 fleeing and he had got to [inaudible] workshop he received
- blows from apparently a member of NUM who was part of the 6
- 7 group that as seeking to keep them on the move. That too,
- 8 Chair, that can't be called part of the defence of an
- 9 officer or self-defence. That too must be investigated and
- again we align ourselves fully with that course of action. 10

CHAIRPERSON: 11 I'd like to ask you a

12 question on another aspect of your argument. I don't know

13 how much time you've got left but it's quite an important

14 point that I'd like to ask you about and that is of course

- the case that is put up by the strikers is they went 15
- 16 unarmed, sorry to argue at this stage, to the NUM office.
- 17 They went to ask the NUM people nicely to take up their
- 18 demand or the claim for increase in emoluments and so
- 19 forth. And it was because NUM attacked them that they then
- 20 took arms and went to the koppie. That's their case and
- 21 their excuse for not handing down, handing over their arms
- 22 later is they were keeping them to defend themselves
- 23 against NUM and that's a matter you've addressed in your
- 24 heads. And what I want to ask you about is the factual
- dispute as to whether they were indeed unarmed when they 25

- make, the statement they put up was they needed the -
- retained their weapons to protect themselves against NUM
- because NUM had attacked them when they'd gone to them
- unarmed. That statement, if the evidence we're now talking
- about is correct, that statement of the strikers was
- 6 untrue.

7

15

21

MR TIP SC: That is our position. What we have said in our heads of argument is that we accept that after this confrontation at the NUM office that they

9

10 armed themselves more heavily, but that is an entirely

11 different proposition from the blunt one and we qualify it, we say that was because they intended to revisit the NUM 12

office but this time there would be no retreat and there

14 would be no surprise.

number. I'll have to look at the statement. Perhaps you

I can't remember the

17 can tell me. How many weapons did Mr Cassim who was the

weapons merchant in Marikana, how many weapons did he sell? 19 I know he sold his whole stock but how big was his stock?

20 SPEAKER: 30, Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON:

CHAIRPERSON: 30, yes, thank you.

22 MR TIP SC: Yes.

23 CHAIRPERSON: So the armaments which

24 were, again which were possessed by the strikers increased

by 30 if that was the only source of additional armaments.

Page 39495

approached the offices.

1

2 Now, you deal with that to some extent at the end

3 of paragraph 211 of your heads on page 75 where you quote

- 4 the evidence of Mr Gegeleza who says, describes, he saw the
- 5 marchers turn the corner from street A to street B coming
- in the direction of the satellite police station and the 6
- 7 NUM office. They were singing songs and moving fast,
- 8 running. Their mood was aggressive. And then he says he
- 9 saw sticks, knobkieries, pangas and spears amongst them.
- Now, what I'd like to ask you is was that evidence of Mr 10
- Gegeleza challenged in any way in cross-examination? I 11
- 12 couldn't find any passage on the matter but have you any
- 13 submission to make in that regard?

14 MR TIP SC: I don't recall that being

challenged. In fact for the greatest part his description 15

of what happened was not challenged. There was some cross-16

17 examination around the question of pangas in the sense the

although he had given that evidence pangas had not been

18 19 included in his statement if I recall correctly and there

was a query raised at that level, but may I just say that

21 security officer Debukwane on ZZ3 is quite clear that what

22 he saw were knobkieries and spears amongst them so they

were not unarmed. Those are both of course potentially

lethal weapons.

CHAIRPERSON:

The suggestion that they

Page 39497 MR TIP SC: Well, that's correct but let

me say this that, you know, Marikana of course is a place

where a great many people had weapons anyway and you will

recall that Mr Setelele says in his statement that there

was, there were weapons in the NUM office that morning.

6 Usually there are none. Some came from strikers from whom

7 they'd been taken. Others came from NUM members who in the

course of the events of the evening had gone home to fetch

some. So it wouldn't - Mr Cassim's stock would in no way

10 define - it went, but that would in no way define the

11 quantity of weaponry around. Chair, what's on - perhaps

12 if \_

13 CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if you saw Mr 14 Wesley sign that he held up.

MR TIP SC: 15 I was avoiding looking at him

16 but - I've been informed of it so it's -

> CHAIRPERSON: There was a popular song

when I was young called only five minutes more, that's what

19 the -

17

18

20 MR TIP SC: My time is now brutally short

and if I may just make one further point, Chair, related to

what you've asked me and that is why did, why were the

23 strikers going there that morning. Was it this innocent

expedition or was it what we absolutely believed and that

is that they were attacking with the intention to burn it

7

8

17

7

8

Page 39498

1 down? Now, in the course of the submissions made for AMCU

2 by my learned friend, Mr Gotz, he spoke about this

3 information that the strikers were going to come to the NUM

4 office to burn it down and he called it a rumour from an

5 unidentified source. Now, that's simply materially

6 incorrect and this is the particular point I want to make.

7 In our replying submissions we've identified, we 8 prepared a short condensed version of factors that relate

9 to the motivation that the strikers had when they came to

10 the NUM office. The first one is that Mr Debukwane on the

11 Friday evening, so this is in the course now of NUM having

12 demonstrated its opposition to the strike and its escort

13 functions, he receives information that they are going to

14 have a meeting on the following day because they are angry

15 with NUM because what NUM is doing is not to adhere to the

16 embargo, the work embargo. Mr Debukwane gets another

17 report the following day and so of course does Mr

18 Motlogelwa. He also hears it and it comes through on the

19 system that now they're going to the NUM office. Friday

20 evening it was they were going to go and challenge them.

21 Now it has become they are going to burn the office, but

22 where does this information come from? Is this an

23 unidentified rumour? It's not. It comes from a trained

24 Lonmin security operative who is posted there on the ground

for the very purpose of discerning what it is that is being

Page 39499

1 discussed and what it is that is being planned and he

2 reports that in to Lonmin security, its command centre. It

3 becomes part of the record. Now, that is not a rumour from

4 an undisclosed source and incidentally he's not

5 unidentified. Last week Ms Pillay when the guestion arose

6 informed the commission that in fact we know who it is.

7 There's a statement. We have a name. He just won't give

8 evidence for fear of his personal safety. He's not

9 unidentified. But when it comes, Chair, to classifying the

weight of hearsay evidence we submit that far from this

11 being a rumour that passes from some incidental person on

12 the scene -

25

10

13

14 15

16

18

23

CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to interrupt you unduly. The five minutes is up but the point you're making is a point that I think you can finish off in two sentences as long as the sentences aren't too long.

17 MR TIP SC: Yes, all right, two

sentences, thank you, two short sentences.

19 CHAIRPERSON: Don't take advice from Mr 20 Mpofu on short sentences. I'm not sure that his practice

21 is necessarily the correct one. I say that in a friendly

22 way without being -

MR TIP SC: This report is from a high

24 quality source, part of his trained function, and

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

25 deliberately given for the purpose of a security operation.

Page 39500

1 One could hardly have a better quality hearsay statement

2 than that. Chair, I thank you for the -

3 CHAIRPERSON: - the first sentence.

4 Thank you. Thank you very much.

MR TIP SC: Thank you, Chair.

6 CHAIRPERSON: I think Ms Nkosi Thomas,

you're next.

MS THOMAS: Thank you, Chairperson.

9 Thank you, Chairperson, honourable commissioners. We have

10 been allocated 45 minutes. We have no intention of using

11 the entire 45 minutes and we're happy to donate the

12 remaining time to my learned friend, Mr Semenya.

13 CHAIRPERSON: The donations have to be 14 made to me. I decide whether I'm going to keep them or I'm

going to hand them out, but I hear the suggested

16 destination of the donation.

MS THOMAS: Yes, perhaps all I can do is to recommend that as chairperson considers the allocation

19 of that time Mr Semenya should be given preference. Chair,

20 we have filed brief heads. We have no intention of

21 regurgitating, repeating that which we say in our heads but

22 this is what we propose to do before you this morning. We

23 propose to deal briefly with the policy framework issues.

24 We also propose to deal with the facts to the extent that

they pertain to the former minister of police and those

Page 39501
1 facts would really be the fact around the political

2 pressure basis. And having done so, Chairperson, we will

3 then deal with our learned friend's submissions in

4 particular our learned friend, Mr Mpofu's submissions, our

5 learned friend Mr Ngcukaitobi's submissions and the

6 evidence leaders, we part ways with them on a tiny issue

and we will then deal with that but for the most part the

evidence leaders and us are aligned on major issues.

9 As regards, Chair, the policy framework issue it 10 is not controversial. Perhaps one should start there.

11 Exhibit R before this commission being the policy that

12 governs situations such as the situation that occurred on

13 the 16th has been determined by the minister. He says in

14 evidence that it is not an event but it's the

15 implementation thereof. It's going to take a while.

16 Certain pieces of the policy have been implemented but he's

17 yet to roll out some of the components thereof. He cannot

18 we submit be criticised, Chairperson, for the policy not

19 having been fully rolled out. He explained fully why that

20 has not, why that is not so.

What is important is that the fact that the policy has not been fully rolled out cannot be, is not the

23 cause as it were of what happened on the 16th and the days

24 prior. This we say at paragraph 15 of our heads of

5 argument and we rely in that regard on what Professor Gary

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

White says. He says in that regard that it is not the

- 2 policy framework that explains the disastrous operational
- 3 outcome that was witnessed in the police actions at
- 4 Marikana particularly on the 16th, so to the extent,
- 5 Chairperson, we concede it has not been fully rolled out it
- 6 is not the, it was not because of that that what occurred,
- 7 occurred, Chairperson. Secondly as far as the policy
- 8 framework is concerned, Chair, there is the report that
- 9 served before this commission, the NDP report. The
- 10 evidence suggests that - uncontradicted but it is now
- 11 government policy. Certain portions of it have been
- 12 implemented, for example the demilitarisation –

13 CHAIRPERSON: The national commissioner

14 seems to have a different understanding of how the

minister, former minister, you concede that her 15

16 understanding was incorrect and that that is government 17

policy and needs to be implemented. 18 MS THOMAS: I didn't follow. I beg your

19 pardon, Chairperson.

20 CHAIRPERSON: The national

21 commissioner's evidence about that chapter of the national

22 development plan seem to be different. She said it was

23 just a discussion document. She was asked whether it was

24 going to implemented and when and so forth and she gave a

25 different answer, but I'm saying I'm putting to you that Page 39504

Page 39505

place. The minister would have been remiss in the extreme

2 had he said - had he having been so informed sat on the

information, sat on his - I don't want to use - not

4 conveyed accordingly, I don't want to use unhappy words,

Chair.

5

6

21

CHAIRPERSON: Sat on his chair perhaps.

7 MS THOMAS: Right, thank you. He would

8 have been criticised. It's a question of - and I think our

9 learned friends also on behalf of Lonmin used the phrase

10 "damned if you do and damned if you don't". The question 11 really is can it be said that the minister overstepped the

12 line, the bright line between meddling and oversight?

13 There is no evidence that suggests that such, that line has

14

been breached. Some of our colleagues agree. In fact perhaps I'm getting ahead of myself but, I mean, the heads

16 have been read. I don't have to go page by page. But

17 there is a judgment that we refer to in our heads and the

18 evidence leaders referred to the Judge, judgment

19 Constitutional Court where the Constitutional Court makes

20 it clear that this, the kind of oversight that we have, the

executive exercising oversight over SAPS is part of our

22 constitutional scheme. It's not problematic.

23 There's nothing wrong with that. What becomes a

24 difficulty is meddling, i.e. the minister instead of

exercising his oversight functions delves into matters

Page 39503

that's not your argument. You argument on behalf of the

2 minister is it is government policy.

3 MS THOMAS: Indeed.

CHAIRPERSON: 4 And it is getting

5 implemented.

1

MS THOMAS: 6 Yes, and certain portions of

7 it have been implemented i.e. the demilitarisation is

8 underway as we speak. That now takes us, Chair, to the

9 allegations of undue influence. We've dealt with those in

our heads. That debate starts from page 10 of our heads. 10

11 And all that there is to be said about this, Chairperson,

12 is that there is no evidence before this commission. Our

13 learned friend, yes, our learned friends for the families

14 in particular sought to make a big issue out of the fact

15 that the minister was called but two gentlemen, Mr Zokwana

and Mr Ramaphosa on the 12th, and having been so called he 16

conveyed the message to the operational team. They sought 17

18 to interpret that as political influence but it's

19 impossible, it was impossible in argument of our learned

friend, Mr Ngcukaitobi, to get past your Kalahari, Chair,

your example, proverbial Kalahari farmer issue. And that

22 is precisely because there is nothing controversial.

There's absolutely nothing wrong with either Mr Joe Soap or

24 indeed Mr Ramaphosa calling the minister of police and

saying intervene because acts of criminality are taking

operational. There is no suggestion whatsoever before this

commission that the minister sought to give operational

directions to the generals. If anything the evidence is

overwhelming that the minister merely sought to validate

5 what is happening in Marikana. I hear, I have received

6 information that acts of criminality are underway. Is that

7 a fact? Then he gets told, yes, it is a fact. What is

happening? Are you operational guys taking care of the

situation? Yes, minister, we are in fact actively seized

with the situation and that's the general tenor of the 10

numerous conversations that he had with his operational 11

12 team, Chairperson.

13 [11:42] There is no evidence of the line being crossed,

14 there's no evidence of meddling, there's no evidence before

15 this Commission that operational orders have been granted,

16 were granted at any stage whatsoever by the Minister to the

17 operational team. And we accordingly submit that the

18 proposition that the Minister exerted undue pressure on the

19 operational team solely being mentioned merely for being

rejected, Chairperson. I'm now quickly going to move to -

21 deal with my learned friend, Mr Dali Mpofu's heads of

22 argument and what he says.

23 CHAIRPERSON: I was proposing to take the 24 tea adjournment around about now, I'll leave it to you to

decide whether you want me to take the tea adjournment now

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

20

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Page 39506

and you can get refreshment to strengthen you to deal with 2

Mr Mpofu after the tea adjournment or whether you want to -

3 MS THOMAS: I'd rather confront Mr Mpofu

4 when I'm fresh, Chairperson. After the tea adjournment.

CHAIRPERSON: 15 minutes.

[COMMISSION ADJOURNS **COMMISSION RESUMES**] 6

7 [12:01] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.

Yes, Ms Nkosi-Thomas? You were going to deal with the

9 allegations of undue influence from pages 10 and following

10 of your heads, I think.

> MS NKOSI-THOMAS SC: Indeed, Chairperson. We now propose, the submissions that we've made already sought to summarise the crux of what we say there in the written heads. I propose now, we propose to deal with Mr Mpofu's, the points that he makes in his written heads as regards this particular thesis.

He deals with this issue from page 116 and following of his heads. At paragraph 337 of the heads the following is said, is stated, Chairperson, that "After the end of the NMF meeting," now this would have been on the 15th in the evening, 15th of August, "an extraordinary sitting of the NMF was convened to discuss the situation in

23 Marikana and this was chaired by the National

24 Commissioner." He then continues to say, "It was at this

25 meeting that the decision was taken to proceed to stage 3 Page 39508

is" - I think it must read "it is submitted." that must be a typographical error - "it is submitted that a finding ought to be made that actionable failure," and so on, "and that the following persons should be charged." Mr Mthethwa is mentioned there, 361.2, as accused number 2.

Of course having had the interchange, the argument by our learned friends and the interchange with the Chair and the Commissioners, this recommendation properly construed should mean that a prima facie case has been established so as to warrant a referral of the matter to the NDP for further investigation. This is how perhaps it should have been couched, but the foundation of that recommendation by our learned friends is based on an extraordinary meeting of the 15th in the evening, a meeting in respect of which it is common cause the Minister was not in attendance. The objective evidence before this Commission demonstrates that from that time, the evening of the 15th, until the occurrence of the tragedy the Minister never spoke to either the Provincial Commissioner or the National Commissioner. So the connection, the nexus is unclear, with respect. Why is it that that meeting, which is the one according to our learned friends that decided on the implementation of stage 3, which according to our

Page 39507

of the operation or the tactical phase in the event that the anticipated negotiations would fail."

At paragraph 340 says, "The National Commissioner was also in telephonic contact with Minister Mthethwa regarding this situation," and this is the 15th now and what emerges from what is stated here, Chairperson, it appears to be the case that the actus reus, the conduct, the morally reprehensible conduct complained of on the part of the Minister that yielded the unfortunate outcome on their thesis is this that is found there, the fact that it was

10

11 decided on the 15th in the evening to execute stage 3, and

12 340, "The Minister was kept informed of that decision."

13 341, "She informed the Minister," so proceeds the argument,

"She informed the Minister that stage 3 of the operation 14

15 would be implemented." Then this is according to the

16 initial statement, that's something else, I'll leave that

17 out. 342, "It is respectfully submitted that the

18 Commission must disbelieve the evidence of the National

19 Commissioner on this point." Okay, and "this Commission

must proceed from the point of view that she informed the

21 Minister of the decision to implement stage 3 of the plan."

All of this is happening on the 15th, and then having laid

that foundation our learned friends proceed to conclude at

paragraph 361 of the heads that "In view of the aforegoing

25 and to cut to the chase," this is what is stated there, "it

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39509 connection? There is simply none. One will look in vain

learned friends it is stage 3 that led to the demise and

the injuries sustained by the protesters, where is the

at the telephone records to find a connection because the

only connection that can be there would have been on the

assumed telephonic contact, which is what he refers to at

page 340. This is the one basis that this proposition

really it has been mentioned simply for purposes of

rejection by this Commission, with respect, Chair.

Now the conduct again in the sense of actus reus relied upon, it seems, it seems - it's not clear, but it

10 seems to have been the phone calls between Mr Ramaphosa, Mr

11 Zokwana on the one hand, and the Minister, and this actus

12 reus is a moving target because when one looks at the

totality of the evidence, at some point the political

rhetoric is the actus reus that has the causal effect, but

15 for now we'll just confine ourselves to this second actus

16 reus which appears, occurs at paragraph 359 of our learned

17 friends' head. There it is stated that the unlawful

18 activities and utterances of the two commissioners were a

19 response to a political, or to the political pressure

exerted by Mr Ramaphosa via Minister Mthethwa and so on, as

21 the unlawful conduit.

22 Now having said this, although we've made our 23 submissions around the absence of evidence that shows meddling, there's no such evidence, but be that as it may, our learned friends having made this statement then proceed

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions

Page 39513

Page 39510

elsewhere in the heads to mention the so-called, what they

- 2 call game changers. Now those game changers we submit,
- 3 Chair, that properly construed they constitute novus actus
- 4 because what is said there is that there was a revenge
- 5 issue that arises. I use that as an example, but we submit
- that all of those so-called game changers, if the actus 6
- 7 reus which our learned friends are relying on is the
- telephonic conversations of the 12th, then the chain of 8
- 9 causality was broken there on their own version by the
- 10 revenge issue and all of the game changers that they
- mention, including the meeting of the NMF which according 11

12 to their argument is the one that decided to escalate to

13 stage 3.

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

3

So Chairperson, we submit that there is no basis whatsoever to support the recommendation made by our learned friends that a prima facie case in the first place has been made out which should, on the basis of which this Commission should recommend that further investigation be conducted by the NPA as far as the Minister is concerned so as to establish culpability or otherwise, there's simply

- 20
- 21 nothing to justify such a course, so therefore we submit
- 22 that no such recommendation should be made. It's not
- 23 justified on the totality of the evidence before this
- 24 Commission. These submissions that we make apply with
- 25 equal force to Mr Ngcukaitobi's proposal, or rather

down in cases like Govan & Skidmore and other cases which

- followed it in the Appellate Division. So I'm not sure
- that necessarily affects the result of your argument, but just we've got to set the correct test -

5 MS NKOSI-THOMAS SC: Yes

6 CHAIRPERSON: - in order to get the 7 correct answer. So the real question you have to address

8 is whether it is a more plausible inference than any other

9 inferences that there may be, that the Minister's remarks

10 had the effect of bringing about closing the ranks and

11 whether one can go further and infer from the fact that he

12 made those remarks that the submission made by the evidence

13 leaders is correct.

14

15

17

18

1

MS NKOSI-THOMAS SC: Chairperson, thanks, as regards the, for that helpful advice, he says advice, yes. But it does not, Chair, with respect, alter the outcome of the conclusion that we would have, applying the same test because we say so because the Minister had -

- 19 first of all he is not saying, he's not judging the conduct
- 20 of what happened the previous day. That's the first thing.
- 21 Secondly, it cannot be disputed that there had been acts of
- 22 criminality. The only issue at that stage is that it was
- 23 unclear who had committed the acts of criminality, and so
- 24 on. So all that he's saying is that to the extent that you
  - conduct yourself as a professional force, not only -

Page 39511

recommendation, and the fact that the matter should be

2

referred for further investigation. What remains to be dealt with is what the

4 evidence leaders deal with in their heads, namely the 5

- closing of ranks. They deal with that at page 502 of their 6 written submissions and they arrive at that conclusion
- 7 based on the press conference, the statement that the
- 8 Minister made after the fact, addressing, I think it was a
- 9 parade, addressing the members of the police service,
- 10 mentioning things like "We appreciate a professional police
- 11 service; we appreciate your effort of maintaining and
- 12 upholding the rule of law," and so on. The interpretation
- 13 that they seek to ascribe to the content of that address by
- 14 the Minister is that it amounts to a closing of ranks.
- 15 There is no direct evidence as far as the Minister is
- 16 concerned to suggest that he was part of any such scheme,
- 17 if indeed such scheme does exist. In that regard we submit
- 18 that it can hardly be said that the only reasonable
- 19 inference that falls properly to be drawn from that address
- 20 by the Minister is that he was indeed participating -
- 21 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that that's
- the test though. If the test if the civil standard and not 22
- the criminal standard then the test is not whether it's the 23
- only reasonable inference but whether it's the more

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

- 25 probably or plausible inference. That's the law as laid

service - not only in respect of yesterday, but ever since.

- We have to remember that this police service would have
- 3 been dealing with getting Agliotti and the likes in jail
- 4 and so on, so it wouldn't have been prudent for the
- Minister on that day, simply because of what had happened
- 6 yesterday, which was yet to be investigated, to start
- 7 castigating and judging and being judgmental. So all that
- 8 he's saying is that to the extent that you've acted
- 9 professionally, and I assume that you've acted
- 10 professionally as you've been doing so in the past, I thank
- 11 you for that. To the extent that you've acted upholding
- 12 the rule of law, yes, I'm grateful for your service to this
- 13 country. He's not passing any moral judgment whatsoever.
- 14 So therefore the conclusion of the closing of ranks, Chair, 15

doesn't follow from that address, we submit. 16 Chair, just one second, please, if I may be

17 indulged to check with my learned colleagues. Chairperson, 18 those are our submissions.

19 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms Nkosi-Thomas.

20 Mr Semenya, you're next.

21 MR SEMENYA SC: Mr Badenhorst is.

22 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I beg your

pardon. Mr Badenhorst, sorry, I had my order, batting

24 order wrong.

23

25 MR BADENHORST SC: Chairperson,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8

Page 39516

Page 39514

honourable members, we appear on behalf of the Department

- 2 of Mineral Resources. Seated next to me is my instructing
- 3 attorney, the State Attorney, Mr Mathebula. We appreciate
- 4 this brief opportunity to address you and unsurprisingly
- 5 almost forgotten in the process, Chairperson -
- CHAIRPERSON: 6 I didn't forget you. I
- 7 thought you were after Mr Semenya.

MR BADENHORST SC: No, no, I shouldn't

- 9 have said that. I withdraw that statement, Chairperson.
- Chairperson, what we thought would perhaps be the most 10
- 11 helpful approach is to focus – or highlight is perhaps more
- accurately stated highlight seven points which were 12
- 13 raised in the written submissions, and these are the seven
- 14 points; firstly the interaction between Mr Ramaphosa and
- 15 Minister Shabangu in the days shortly before the 16th of
- August; secondly the Lonmin siege comment, that is the 16
- comment made during the speech on the 24th of May 2013; 3, 17
- 18 the undue influence charge, which is really related to
- 19 point 1; fourth, the requests for an adverse finding and
- 20 the referral made by counsel for the injured and arrested
- 21
- persons; 5, the alleged failure to supervise the labour and
- other licence conditions applicable to Lonmin; and the two 22
- 23 last points that -
- 24 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, the fifth point as I
- 25 understand it is no longer within our terms of reference.

because - and I do not intend repeating what I'm sure

- 2 you've heard several times now - it would have been
- 3 surprising for the Minister not to take the calls or to in 4 some way respond to it. Even if there was influence, even
- 5 assuming what she says is wrong and that she was in fact
- 6 influenced, it had no consequence. That with respect is
- 7 ultimately what we respectfully submit is your interest in
- 8 what was done or not done.

If I may then turn to the second point, and that is the so-called siege comment. Now there was obviously a great deal of reaction to that particular comment and there we say again you're dealing with a meeting of the NUM shop stewards on the 24th of May 2013. Now what we say in our submission is that whatever she in fact intended to say or did say is again something that is so far after the event that we cannot, with respect, see how that should have an

I then come to the undue influence point. Now this is of course a charge that the Minister has denied. I have indicated that it is related to the first point. We would simply, as we attempted to do, point out that there is an interesting corroboration of the Minister reaching an independent view in the form of her so-called Brakpan statement and also her interview that morning with Mr

Gwala. She clearly was concerned about what had been

Page 39515

MR BADENHORST SC: That is the -1

- 2 CHAIRPERSON: I think in the light of the
- 3 deletion of paragraph 1.5 that's no longer within our terms
- 4 of reference, so -
- As it pleases you, 5 MR BADENHORST SC:
- Chairperson. That is precisely the point we wish to make 6
- and have made in our comments, so I would simply delete 7
- that -8
- 9 CHAIRPERSON: What was the sixth point
- 10 that's now the fifth?
- 11 MR BADENHORST SC: The sixth, the new
- fifth point is the shoot-to-kill comment. That was the old 12
- 13 comment in April 2008, and finally, which would now be
- 14 number 6, the alleged failure by the Minister to discharge
- 15 her duties.

16 Chairperson, honourable members, the first point,

- 17 and that is the exchanges between Mr Ramaphosa and Minister
- 18 Shabangu, we submit that there has been given to you a
- 19 satisfactory explanation by the Minister. The fact that
- 20 there are some variances between their recall or versions
- 21 would in fact as usual be unsurprising. It would perhaps
- 22 be more questionable if they had exactly the same version
- or the same recall of what had happened.
- What we say also is in any event we say that the
- very substructure of this charge is in fact devoid of merit

Page 39517

- happening and she said the criminal element, as she called
- it during her evidence, had crept into the mix.

impact on your findings in this inquiry.

- [12:21] And there again, with respect, we simply -
- 4 perhaps we should underscore the point. Whether or not she
- 5 was influenced she is after all the Minister of Mineral
- 6 Resources, so anything that affects that industry and this
- 7 clearly was a major effect on the industry as we all have
- 8 seen from the events at the time and since then, it would
- 9 have been irresponsible for her not to take the call or not
- 10 to do something about it. And with respect, what she did
- is beyond reproach we submit. That brings me to the 11
- 12 finding that you are requested to make and that is - we
- deal with it at page 13 of our table of submissions, the 13
- 14 statement made by the injures and arrested persons'
- 15 representative is that the involvement of the Minister in
- 16 any of these sordid matters should at least attract an
- 17 adverse finding against her as an individual or her
- 18 department or a referral for investigation of her conduct
- 19 by the Ethics Committee of Parliament and or the Public
- 20 Protector. We submit there's no merit in these requests or
- submissions. We would ask what adverse finding and
- referral of what conduct? It's simply left up in the air
- 23 and is no more than, we submit, than counsel's debating
- 24 points, devoid of substance. I'm left then with the two
- last points. The shoot to kill comment on 10 April 2008,

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

- 1 now again that was a statement made as you may recall, from
- 2 the press report which was quite frequently referred to
- 3 earlier in the proceedings by Mr Bizos. It is a comment on
- 4 which people will have their views, but with respect, it
- 5 was a statement made, as we understand from that press
- 6 report, to beleaguered residents of certain areas who had
- 7 been facing major crime problem and we submit the Minister
- 8 in fact refused to answer questioning on it. With respect,
- $\,9\,\,$  correctly so because it is irrelevant to the business of
- 10 the this Commission.

11 We then finally deal with the failure to

12 discharge duties. Now this is how the charge is

13 formulated. "Failed to discharge her duty to advance the

14 lot of the historically disadvantaged South Africans."

15 Merely by stating that proposition exposes its flaw. It is

16 so vague, it was also to the best of what I could find in

17 the record, not put to the Minister in a way that she could

18 logically deal with it. And we have asked you in our

19 submission to in this regard apply the rule in Brown versus

20 Dunn which, of course, the Commission is very familiar

21 with. This sort of charge should be put to a witness so

22 that the witness can deal with it.

25

1

7

10

11

23 CHAIRPERSON: Before we even get there,

24 is it covered by the terms of reference? Would that not

also be a matter that - has fallen away, that issue?

Page 39519

MR BADENHORST SC: Yes.

2 CHAIRPERSON: It has fallen away in light

3 of the leash in paragraph 1.5.

4 MR BADENHORST SC: With respect so, Mr

5 Chairperson, that is indeed the case in any event, as you

6 say. May I have moment just to confer with my attorney?

Thank you, Mr Chairman, that is all that we have to say.

8 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Badenhorst.

9 Mr Semenya, I think it is your turn now.

MR SEMENYA SC: It indeed is, Chair.

Chair, I think in the nature of things we're going to field

12 a whole number of issues which have been raised against the

13 conduct of the SAPS and please pardon us -

14 CHAIRPERSON: I think as far as I can see

15 they've all been raised so far in the debate. It's not

16 necessary for us to raise them again, you know what they

17 are. Many of them are raised, I think all of them are

18 raised in the heads of your learned friends. So it's not

19 necessary for us to raise matters. Unless something arises

0 specifically, of course, but we must give you full

21 opportunity to deal with these issues.

22 MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Chair.

23 Perhaps the better place to start is this, you have been

24 urged as a commission by various parties to make

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

25 recommendations in relation to police action which, as the

Page 39520

1 argument goes, where it points to criminal wrong doing on

2 the part of the police. That you direct that to be further

3 investigated and possible consideration being given by the

4 DPP to prosecute. You have also been asked similarly that

5 where there is pointers for delictual liability on the part

6 of the Minister.

CHAIRPERSON: Vicariously on the part of the Minister, vicarious liability on the part of the

Minister.

7

8

9

10 MR SEMENYA SC: That's correct, Chair.

11 Whether it's vicarious liability on the part of the

12 Minister that the families and injured must rest on your

13 recommendation that you have found evidence of there being

14 possible civil liability of one kind or another. Now,

15 Chair, all this argument misunderstands what a Commission

16 is and we hope to explain it, Chair, that for my part I

17 would be seriously surprised if the President receives your

18 report which is an advice to him that says there are

19 pointers of criminal wrong doing on the part of the

20 National Commissioner of Police, please have this

21 investigated and where evidence prima facie points to

22 culpability then have the DPP prosecute. The President

23 shouldn't be interested in that and I can make the

24 submission that it must correct that the President knows

there are instruments in this country who's primary

Page 39521 1 statutory responsibility it is to do that. They don't need

2 a recommendation from you, Chair, with respect to go by way

3 of advice to the President to go and tell the Independent

4 Police Investigative Directorate, in fact the nomenclature

5 just tells you. Their function is to investigate

6 independently where there are complaints about police

7 culpability. So should they wait for you, Chair, as a

8 commission to make that recommendation? What does the

9 President say to them? Whereas you have a statutory

10 responsibility to do this thing, please on the advice of

11 the Commission of Inquiry Marikana, I now advising you to

12 do that which is your function. So it is completely

13 unnecessary to explore that terrain, it's just outside your

14 province. But also, Chair, your report is an advice to the

15 President, it cannot seek to be legal advice to the

16 families and the injured. You would not be speaking to

17 them. If there is vicarious liability, so there is, I mean

18 they are capably represented by my learned colleagues, Mr

19 Mpofu and Mr Ntsebeza who would tell them that if they're

20 able to meet the elements of a delictual liability they can

21 sue the Minister vicariously to recover whatever damages

22 they're competent to do. But let me interrupt myself here,

Chair and say there is something even much more profound
 because unless we understand what a commission does –

25 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, before you

RealTime Transcriptions

- carry on, in the terms of reference, at the very end we are
- 2 enjoined where appropriate to refer any matter for
- 3 prosecution and further investigation or the convening of a
- 4 separate inquiry to the appropriate law enforcement agency,
- 5 government department or regulator regarding the conduct of
- certain persons. You are correct in saying that we won't 6
- 7 refer those to the President and say you do the necessary
- 8 because for the reasons you give, it's not for the
- 9 President to do the necessary. But clearly one of the
- things he wants us to do, that he's told us to do is if we 10
- 11 think it's appropriate we must do the things that I've read
- 12 out. So it's therefore not correct to say that the
- 13 question of possible prosecutions and so forth is not a
- 14 matter we need consider because the terms of reference tell
  - us we must. But in the way which is set out in that term
- of reference, i.e. to refer it where appropriate, to the 16
- appropriate agency which in that cased would be I take it 17
- 18 the Provincial Director of Public Prosecutors.
- 19 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, Chair, I thought I
  - was careful enough to say in relation to the police. There
- 20
- is already a statutory institution established by law in 21
- 22 matters of that kind.

1

14

15

- 23 CHAIRPERSON: I understand that, but the
- 24 word used is any matter, any matter and any matter is wide
- 25 enough to cover the conduct of the police, that's why the

- Page 39524
- of reference to go and see exactly what your instruction is
- in terms those terms of reference by the commission to the
- commission it says "Please investigate." Not to
- adjudicate. And might we make this point, Chair, that if
- you look for instance at the Inquest Act you'd see that the
- 6 function of an inquest is to investigate and if there is
- 7 any finding of prima facie criminal wrong doing to then
- 8 take the matter forward. I'm just saying at the very
- 9 highest. You'd also see that is often presided by a
- judicial officer of one kind or another. Even where there
- 11 is conclusive evidence before an inquest it cannot make a
- 12 finding of murder for instance. Even if it can identify
- 13 who the killer is and that the death is unlawful and what
- 14 have and there's a good reason why that is so, why the
- statute curbs its area of investigation to be purely that.
- You do not want to end up with an inquest finding that
- 17 somebody has been murdered and in respect of the same event
- a criminal court says the person has been acquitted. You
- 19 would then be having two structures which are having
- 20 conflicting opinions. So I caution by the same vein,
- 21 Chair, we ought to be careful in this Commission not to
- 22 make judicial findings and by that I mean finding certain
- 23 things to have been unlawful and finding certain things to
- 24 be civilly liable and the like. There are going to
  - processes I suspect where the Constitution reposes judicial

Page 39523

- conduct of the police has been the subject of investigation
- here. Apart from the fact that the conduct of the police 2
- 3 is also specifically dealt with in an earlier term of
- 4 reference. So that's why we've - in accordance with that
- 5 term of reference we've investigated at great length the
- 6 conduct of the police and we are then asked to make certain
- 7 findings, pursuant obviously to answering that term of
- 8 reference. But we're also told that we must, where we
- 9 consider it appropriate refer any matter which would
- include matters relating to the police, Lonmin, AMCU, NUM 10
- and the individual strikers to the prosecuting authorities 11
- 12 if we consider appropriate.

13 MR SEMENYA SC: I'm resisting the

proposition you're putting to me, Chair, I'm saying as a

matter of fact IPID is already doing that. That's the only

16 point I'm making. And Chair, I was about to say that the

17 other significant factor that tells us what functions

18 commissions do is this. All right we immediately look at

19 section 84.2F and you see those are the constitutional

powers of the President to appoint a commission. Then next

21 you realise that that happens under the Commissions Act and

- 22 there is something very, very instructive about that if you
- look at it. It says "A commission of inquiry is to

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

- 24 investigate matters of public interest." Not to adjudicate
- 25 them, to investigate them. And then one goes to the terms

- Page 39525 function on civil courts and criminal courts that are going
- to pronounce themselves one way or another on these issues.
- 3 So it would seem to us that at the very highest where the
- 4 evidence is available to enable the Commission to go down
- 5 that road to say there appears to be no reason to justify
- the killing of Mr whoever. That's high you can go, Chair. 6
- 7 You cannot, as a commission with a duty to investigate then
- 8 go the distance of saying that so and so killing was a
- 9 murder or something. And if the evidence is available to
- say he was murdered by Joe Soap because by that you'd now 10
- be doing judicial function not an investigative function. 11
- 12 Now that leapfrogs me into the next point. And that is why
- 13 it is glibly said in some of the arguments that there is an
- 14 onus and the SAPS in the face of a killing if it cannot
- 15 justify it, those are the words used, then there must be
- 16 findings and recommendations that go in a direction that we
- 17 have already discussed. And then there are words to the
- 18 effect that there are parties before you which again
- 19 confuses the point. There's no party before you, Chair.
- You are a Commission of Inquiry with a duty to investigate.
- 21 There are even questions of what test must be applied or no
- 22 test to be applied, an investigative body, Chair, should be
- 23 able to say you know what there's a reasonable suspicion
- 24 that this is true. I can't find it on the available
- evidence, but there are pointers to that direction and Mr

16

17

Page 39526

- President this is the story and this is what we recommend.
- Personally I again would be surprised if the President is 2
- 3 interested in what credibility profile Mr X offers.
- 4 Whether he's a credible witness or not a credible witness,
- 5 I wouldn't think that to be particularly important to the
- President. But if there is a reasonable possibility that 6
- 7 what he says, in fact explains what the tragedy of Marikana
- is and that got us into domestic shame and international 8
- 9 condemnation is true I think the President is interested.
- In making sure that the policy formulations they do as 10
- government and other measures they are able to do, even 11
- 12 legislatively, that can help South Africa avoid another
- 13 Marikana. That is what he would be interested in. You
- 14 cannot say in the face of that evidence which holds a
- 15 reasonable suspicion to be true to say what, no, Mr
- President, I'm not going to tell you about it, it's because 16
- it did not pass a threshold. What threshold? There are no 17
- 18 thresholds here.

19

1

- CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on, I had
- 20 a problem at the very beginning of this line of argument.
- 21 The terms of reference say the following. "The Commission
- 22 shall enquire into, make findings and report on and make
- 23 recommendations concerning the following, taking into
- 24 consideration the Constitution and other legislation
- 25 policies and guidelines." That's at the very beginning of
  - Page 39527
  - the terms of reference. So I think I must have
- misunderstood you because I thought you said that we 2
- 3 mustn't make findings, but if we say - can you imagine what
- 4 would happen if we say to the President, thank you, Mr
- 5 President, we've spent over two years, spent how many
- million rand in sitting holding investigations. The 6
- 7 investigations are all there in the record, in the
- 8 exhibits. We're not going to make any findings because we
- 9 mustn't. We'll make some recommendations and that's all."
- 10 That can't be right. Perhaps I misunderstood you, so
- 11 perhaps you could [inaudible] and reformulate to the
- 12 Commission in a way that I can understand.
- 13 MR SEMENYA SC: The fault may not yours,
- 14 it might just be my ability to articulate myself as proper
- 15 as I should. Of course we're going to be discussing in the
- 16 course of our submissions what factual findings can be
- 17 made. Was the proper plan - was the plan proper, could it
- 18 have been done differently and a whole hose of other
- 19 things. Of course that's going to - the submission was
- 20 make the factual findings which you are entitled to make as
- 21 an investigative body. Please do not do adjudicative
- 22 functions, that's the difference between -
- [12:41] That's what I had meant to convey with that
- 24 submission, Chair. Perhaps let me say, Chair, that
- 25 difficult as it is, but perhaps my duty as a lawyer entails

Page 39528

- that I do that. Speaking for myself personally I would
- 2 hope this process was to yield restoration. I hope it was
- 3 to yield reconciliation. I would hope there would have
- 4 been compensation and such similar things because as a
- 5 human being I think it touches me closely, but as a lawyer
- 6 I must point out that unlike something like the Truth and
- 7 Reconciliation Commission which operated on a particular
- statute, which statute gave it powers to make reparations
- and to pardon if certain criteria is met in relation to the
- 10 particular evidence of one or other individuals, this
- 11 Commission doesn't have those powers. Your powers are 12 contained and constrained by the Commissions Act and the
- 13 terms of reference. But my heart goes out to those who
- 14 suffered injuries and lost their loved ones in all of this.

Chair, my learned friend Mr Chaskalson correctly says this to us; please let us not forget the horror that day was. Let's not get numbed by the effluxion of time,

18 which is 24 months or so, and to lose sight of what that 19 horror was.

20 Might I just put for later, looking at the police 21

conduct, and I will hark back at what Mr Tip was saying, 22 Mrs Fundi has been coming here daily, Mrs Fundi, on days

23

that the Commission sits. It's two years down the line; 24 she's still in black, and I don't have the evidence, Chair,

25 but I want to give us somewhat a graphic account of

Page 39529

- ordinary life. I think, and I surmise that on 12 August
  - 2012 early in the morning Mr Fundi wakes up, as he would
  - normally do when he goes to work, and says to his wife I'm
  - doing this type of shift, I'm knocking off at this type of
  - hour and oh, by the way, that domestic chore or that other
  - 6 domestic chore we will get to be able to do it next
  - 7 Saturday when I'm off, kisses his wife and leaves the door.
  - 8 He's not signing a death warrant. He is consciously doing
  - what you and I are entitled to do; we wake up every
  - 10 morning, we come to work without necessarily knowing that
  - 11 to be a life-threatening endeavour, and he does it because

12 he has to fend for his family.

he gets hacked to death.

Now he gets to work obviously to go and do security services, which he has been doing for Lonmin. Now he is with his colleagues there, as is practice and habit in the past they would do the security measures. There would be unprotected strikes. They would use their nonlethal weapons to use force if circumstances so require it, and so too was the day of 12th August 2012. But this time

Now this country, Chair - this is where I'm going - this country cannot allow to be numbed, Mr Chaskalson warns us. We cannot be numbed by this. There are a whole number of dastardly criminal things which people do amidst us. They kill for a cell phone, they kill for that, but

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Page 39530

it's not many who get killed for going to work. You

- shouldn't die in a democratic country for purely going to 2
- 3 work. They don't want anything from you. Their motive is
- 4 just to stop you from doing your work -

MR SEMENYA SC:

5 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] stop you from exercising your constitutional right not to 6

7 strike if you consider it appropriate to do that.

9 milieu where we are told that one of the fundamental human

And it is done in a

rights is right to life, but why not that of Mr Fundi? And

I can say the same about a whole host of others that Mr Tip 12

has referred us to.

8

10

11

13

14

15

1

Now it would be a folly if, as we must, we only look at what the police do or not do. But let me try, Chair, to give us this construct. Because we are living in

16 a constitutional democracy, the Constitution then says to

17 all of us the responsibility to maintain law and order is 18 that of the police alone. There is no other institution in

19 the country under the Constitution which has that duty, and

- 20 then it says in relation to Public Order Policing because
- 21 part of the maintenance of law and order is intended to
- 22 give competing interest at times an opportunity to co-exist
- 23 in the same space, we will do it this way; we will have a
- 24 Public Order Policing unit within the police service. The
- 25 primary function is that law and order, but is to make sure

Page 39531

- that those who want to exercise their constitutional rights
- 2 of assembly are able to do that with those who want to
- 3 drive to work. So it says I'm going to have a Regulation
- 4 of Gatherings Act and I'm going to structure it in a way
- 5 that makes sure that we are able to have a section 4
- meeting and allocate various responsibilities to each one 6
- 7 of us just so that you can give expression to a lawful
- 8 conduct which is stipulated in section 18 of the
- 9 Constitution, and then says to Public Order Policing
- because you're not dealing with robbers and people in 10
- large-scale criminal activity, unless under extreme 11
- circumstances I'm not authorising you do discharge your 12
- 13 duty with methods that are lethal, because after all your
- 14 responsibility under this statute is to help those who want
- 15 to express their legal right to do it and to do it safely,
- 16 and if there should be disruptions, please, rule number 1,
- 17 no force; rule number 2, if it has to be there it must be
- 18 minimal force; 3, no more than is required to disperse
- those things, and we'll talk about section 9(2)(d) a little 19
- later. So already the law constrains the use of force in 20
- 21 relation to the regulation of conduct like that. Now this
- 22 is the country where we want to live, all of us, where those constraints are placed on police in respect of lawful

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

24 conduct.

23

Now then you have panga-wielding individuals and

we are told that they are on an unprotected strike. No,

- Chair, we are being numbed again. It is not strikers with
- pangas, it is something that a democratic society, such as
- we are, a constitutional democracy, such as we are, should 5

trigger complete outrage.

6 Can I show you, Chair, what we describe to have 7 happened to Warrant Officer Baloyi - Lieutenant Baloyi I'm

8 told, sorry, Chair. You will find that in our written

9 submissions on page 60, paragraph 136.10. There in his

statement Lieutenant Baloyi says that he suffered nine stab

11 wounds, two stab wounds on the head, two stab wounds were

12 on his right shoulder, two on his left shoulder, three on

13 his left hand, one on his stomach below the umbilical cord,

14 and one on his buttocks. He describes the circumstances

leading up to the injuries he sustained, more pertinently

he says that within two seconds of teargas being fired and

17 once some of the strikers were approximately 15 metres away

from where he stood outside the Nyala, some of the strikers

19 started running towards him and he threw a stun grenade to

20 the oncoming strikers. He ran to the Nyala and upon his

21 arrival at the door of the Nyala he realised that the

22 strikers had caught up with him and were attacking him and

23 other members of SAPS. He ran past the Nyala with the

24 strikers still chasing him. Whilst running he fired rubber

bullets at the strikers. He also used his shotgun to wade

Page 39533

- his way through the group of strikers while he was being
- stabbed from behind. He was hit on the head with something
- like a panga. He tripped and fell to the ground. The
- strikers started stabbing him in the chest while he was
- kicking and ducking. The strikers attempted to disarm him
- 6 of his shotgun. He was stabbed below the umbilical cord
- 7 with an assegai and ended up surrendering the shotgun. He
- 8 later discovered that his pistol and radio were also taken
- 9 by the strikers. Now these are men and women that we ask
- 10 under the Constitution to come and look after our law and
- 11 order, and now he can't because he has been medically

12 boarded with post traumatic stress disorder, quite fitting.

13 What country should look at this and accept it as par for the course in a constitutional democracy, and point at those police officers every moment he's available to say

but you are police officers after all. Would it be a 16

17 convenient stage to have our lunch, Chair?

18 CHAIRPERSON: We will reassemble at 19 quarter to 2.

[COMMISSION ADJOURNS **COMMISSION RESUMES**]

[13:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.

Yes, Mr Semenya?

MR SEMENYA SC: Thank -

24 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, we were at page 61

of your heads. You were reading 136.10. I don't know

20

23

Page 39534 Page 39536 whether you're going to go on with that or are you going to time in their careers. 2 move on to something else? 2 Quite another, and Mr De Rover helps us to avoid 3 MR SEMENYA SC: No, I'll be speaking this numbness that Mr Chaskalson has warned us against. He about something quite alight to that though and, Chair, the 4 says to us, in the Netherlands in a particular tenure 5 period they lose one police officer who dieselfde in a line attempt really is to try and see if you were not able to probe the other side of the coin. Now the law then says to 6 of duty. In South Africa in five years we lose 800 of 6 7 7 Public Order Policing, if you should use force at all and them, so we are beginning to become a society that is 8 8 the circumstances warrant it, use rubber balls, use teargas euthanized if I use a very strong word, to crime and 9 9 and the police go out to Marikana, this is now the 13th of violence. Chair, you would remember -August 2012 and what they do, they discharge the teargas. 10 CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if euthanized 10 11 Now one would have thought that the worst consequence that 11 is the right word, I think it is sort of paralysed. must follow that is if you fire it without an order there 12 12 MR SEMENYA SC: No, not even paralysed, 13 will be disciplinary process and it may have its 13 we're beyond paralysis now, even those who are anesthetised 14 14 consequences. That's the top line of it and then we sit they still have sensus. 15 15 and listen to argument that says, firing a teargas is CHAIRPERSON: Anesthetised is the right attacking strikers and because you fire it without cause 16 word, anesthetises. 16 they will attack and hack you to death. 17 MR SEMENYA SC: 17 Those who are 18 CHAIRPERSON: The hacking to death part anesthetised are still alive and just numb to pain. We're 19 will be, we can put it aside for the moment, but I take it 19 on the other side now but, yes Chair, I take the advice. firing teargas at someone is actually an assault, if 20 20 The real point is, you would recall, Chair, last week 21 someone, if I walk down the street and someone fires 21 Monday when the week started, I think it was last week 22 22 teargas at me, isn't that an assault? It may be justified, Monday. 23 the circumstances it may be such as to make it lawful but 23 CHAIRPERSON: We sat for two days last 24 it is an assault, isn't it? 24 week, Wednesday and Thursday. 25 25 MR SEMENYA SC: MR SEMENYA SC: I'm trying to portray the I remember that but I

Page 39535

5

7

distinction that must exist between you and I and a police

officer, the constitutional obligation to maintain law and 2

3 order.

1

13

14

15

4 CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but if I'm walking down 5 the street and a policeman fires teargas at me and I'm not disturbing the peace or anything of that kind, then he is 6 7 still assaulting me, I would thought, whether I'm entitled 8 to react and to kill him is of course another question and 9 I'm entitled to defend myself against assault but there are 10 bounds which I cannot see and if I exceed the bounds then 11 of course I myself commit offence, is that right? 12

MR SEMENYA SC: I'm gingerly trying to make sure that I use my language a little carefully, Chair. An assault and an attack may be synonymous at a particular point, but there is a particular point where the

16 differences are manifest. Now particularly in this

17 instance where, let's take Warrant Officer Baloyi as an

18 example, Lieutenant Baloyi as an example who discharges a

19 stun grenade without an order in self defence, in a mist of

an attack and there we're told that triggers an attack on

21 the police. And the submissions we're trying to make,

22 Chair, is that that's not the type of society we're talking

about. We can see and live with the consequences or a

police officer must be able to live with the consequences

of misconduct if they act arrantly at a particular point in

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39537 think it was last week Monday, if I'm correct where South

Africa was hit with a story, that in the East Rand some two

police officers have been robbed of their firearms, they've

been bungled in a van and locked in there.

CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

6 MR SEMENYA SC: Is this the

constitutional democracy that we are trying to have where

you articulate as we correctly do often, the fundamental

rights of human dignity, freedom and equality, where your

10

law enforcement officers are subjected to that and if we go

11 back to Marikana and we look at what happens there, Mr Noki

12 goes, Chair, and says to police officers who are numbering

13 700 odd, there won't be two bulls in this kraal, one is

14 going to have to leave. Do you and I, Chair, want to live

15 in a country where in the face of that type of threat, what

16 the police must do is to say, oh, Mr Noki, you know what,

17 very correct, we are living here, surely that can't be

18 correct, surely we shouldn't permit a society that allows

19 somebody so brazenly to approach a police force, a police

20 service and say to them, you see those who were coming from

21 the Eastern Cape don't go back. We're going to let the

22 world see all of us here, kill each other. Chair, that is

23 horrible and we will get somewhere if South Africans in all

24 our midst are not quiet about it.

25 We must have our hair raised and we must have the

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions

- ability to rebuke that type of conduct amongst ourselves
- 2 but if we leave it and we almost flow with it, then Mr
- 3 Chaskalson is right, again we are being numbed by the
- 4 continual assault on our sense of decency and our rights
- 5 and freedom, so the constitution promises all of us, but
- 6 that's not all, Chair. The unfurling of a barbed wire will
- 7 ultimately result in the death of 34 people, because if I
- 8 understand the argument as it goes, you know you should
- 9 have known that that may provoke a reaction on the part of
- the strikers. You know unfurling of a barbed wire in a 10
- normal democratic society should not provoke that response, 11
- 12 Chair. It is a defensive measure, it is not hurting
- 13 anybody. It is done for ill advised purposes. It is one
- 14 thing, society must be able to steel, live with itself

despite those types of errors which may be properly managed 15

under disciplinary processes of the police.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

Then rolls the one Nyala and Mr Noki comes and he says, no, you shouldn't do this thing here. Now what should the police do if they are to avoid another Marikana 2, when Mr Noki in those set of circumstances says, look, you are not roll this barbed wire here, in fact you must go. What should the police do? Do they go? I don't want to, Chair, with respect embellish the point but at the same time I don't want to underestimate somebody saying, the

police are going to die here in the hippos and they are not

Page 39540

Chair, you would recall Mr De Rover says to us, if that

group went into the union building no police service will 3 contain them.

4 That's how grave the situation is, Chair. That's 5 how grave the situation was on the 16th of August and we 6 will have to jump some difficult hoops in addressing the 7 other actions of the police and whether they were 8 appropriate or not appropriate, but we are not going to be 9 able to measure that until we have a clear understanding of 10 the nature of the threat and what the Noki group was doing. 11 I would hope that one day when the report is out and 12 published hopefully, if the Mail and Guardian judgment goes 13 in a particular direction in the Constitution Court, I 14 would hope that type of report keeps telling South 15 Africans, we are going to have to keep the police in check,

16 but by and large South Africa would have the rights that the constitution promises all of us, if we are able to all

17 18 express outrage at conduct like this. If you are able to

19 get to that point where all of us will say, as Mr Ntsebeza 20 would use the expression, not in our name. You are not

21 going to bear arms against the State and that is what the 22

people on the 16th were doing. 23

Now if that can be accomplished, Chair, then maybe we will build a type of society where we even stop for a red light. There is this big question, Chair, that I

Page 39539

24

7

8

- doing it even remotely. They are doing it whilst bearing 1
- 2 arms, and yes, that's the point I should make, Chair, and
- 3 we refer to it tangentially in our heads and I express my
- 4 regret for the typos that are seen all over the place and -
- 5 CHAIRPERSON: Well, you people had to
- produce the heads under great stress of time. There was 6
- 7 short time to do it, a lot of work has to be done, I
- 8 understand the typos. You don't have to ask for
- 9 forgiveness, but if you ask for it you can have it.

MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Chair. It is a mis-description, Chair, to say that there were strikers

12 who were on unprotected strikes and carrying weapons. It

13 is a huge mis-description. The correct description about

14 this, Chair and Commissioners, is that there was a 3 to 400

15 people who were bearing arms against the State. Show me

16 any higher treason and if we bring home that this group of

17 people were attacking the police they are attacking the

18 authority of the State, the only authority that is able to

19 maintain law and order. That's the gravity of that problem

and if we diagnose the problem proper, it is the type of

21 factual finding that we would be asking from the police and

22 it is not in the original heads, that we would be asking

for as SAPS that the Commission makes, it must make a

24 factual finding that there were people who were bearing

25 arms against the State. And it is not an exaggeration,

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

- Page 39541 think must be asked. I'm surprised it has taken us so long
- to get there. I hazard a guess, Chair, with respect, I
- hazard this guess that if the strikers in Marikana in
- August 2012 were not armed not one striker would have been
- dead, not one employee would have been dead, no one
- 6 security would have been dead of Lonmin, no one police
  - officer would be dead.

CHAIRPERSON: If they had in fact

9 listened to the appeal which General Mpembe had made on the 10 afternoon of the 13th and laid down their arms, walked away

to the koppie, continued with their strike and as you say, 11

12 well, there would have been, at that stage of course there

13 would have been four dead people because there were four

14 people dead already, but if they hadn't have the arms at

15 all, because then an attempt hadn't been made to enforce

this unprotected strike by violence and intimidation and as 16

17 you say there would have been no death at all. That must

18 be right.

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR SEMENYA SC: That must be right, I can't see how anybody can give account effectively as the expression has now found a life of its own in this hearing. Chair, now let's examine this. We then ask the question, why are you armed at all and the answer is, no, we're armed to defend ourselves against NUM. Can that even be offered as an explanation, Chair, for 300, 400 people?

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 Email: realtime@mweb.co.za RealTime Transcriptions

Page 39542

CHAIRPERSON: 1 The first question you have 2

- to answer first, sorry, the first question you've got to
- 3 answer is, were they armed when they went to NUM offices,
- 4 armed with dangerous weapons, when they went to the NUM
- 5 office on the morning of the 11th of August? According to
- the arguments of Mr Tip they were armed. There was 6
- 7 evidence to the affect that they had, some of them anyway,
- 8 had pangas and spears and so on and if that's correct then
- 9 the explanation they give that they were armed later, that
- they were only armed later and that was because they had 10
- 11 been shot at by NUM is clearly not correct.
- 12 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, -
- 13 CHAIRPERSON: One of the questions we've
- 14 got to decide, a question which we have to make a finding
- is whether it is true that they were unarmed when they went 15
- to the NUM office and they just went to ask the NUM people, 16
- 17 please to raise their demands with the employer. If we
- 18 accept their version that they weren't armed and they only
  - got arms later because they had be fired at, that's one
- 19
- 20 thing, but if we reject that version, well, Mr Tip's
- 21 argument, then of course the case adopts a different
- 22 dimension, doesn't it?

1

- 23 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, yes, indeed, Chair,
- but we would hope also to make the argument that we know 24
- 25 they were also armed in the same manner on the 16th. So I
  - Page 39543

- want to explore the entire -
- CHAIRPERSON: 2 I'm sorry to interrupt you
- 3 unduly, but is the further, I'm just thinking aloud,
- 4 putting points to you, to help you, to help us by giving
- 5 arguments on the points that I'm thinking about. Even if
- they originally got arms to protect themselves against NUM, 6
- 7 the next question that arises is, did they have to keep
- 8 those arms if the police said, you don't need them to
- 9 protect yourself against NUM, we're here, we won't allow
- NUM to attack you, because remember General Mpembe's 10
- evidence was that they wanted to escort the group for two 11
- 12 reasons. Firstly to stop them doing things they shouldn't
- 13 be doing, attacking people in the informal settlement on
- the routes, but they also wanted to protect them in case 14
- 15 there is a problem from NUM. So that's the further point
- that has to be considered. 16
- 17 MR SEMENYA SC: Indeed, Chair, I'm
- 18 indebted to you, but how does this even work, assuming they
- were entitled to arm themselves to the teeth so that they
- protect themselves against NUM, now what do you have? You
- 21 have a group of 3, 400 people with pangas and spears and
- 22 machetes and all sorts of other weapons against 300 of NUM
- people with machetes and then they hack one another in self
- 24 and private defence, what type of country is this? It 25 can't even be stated as a basis of bearing arms in that
  - ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

- manner. You go as, Chair, you correctly point out, you go
- to the police and you say, look, we are being threatened
- here, we are threatened by even officials of NUM and there
- is an investigation and so the consequences of the law take
- hold. What you don't do is to go to Mr Cassim and take his
- 6 entire stock and maybe let me interrupt myself there,
- 7 Chairperson, because I might just, it might just slip my
- 8 mind. One of the recommendations we wish you would make,
- 9 it is not in our written submission, Chair, in relation to
- 10 Mr Cassim, traders who trade in weapons of that kind must
- 11 keep a register and they must sell to somebody whose
- 12 particulars they can have, the ID have and the purpose for
- the use of that thing, just so that when General Mpembe 13
- comes to Lonmin and you know he might have done nothing
- wrong, as a trader he probably is entitled to do what he is
- doing. 16
- 17 [14:05] CHAIRPERSON: Before we get to General
- 18 Mpembe coming to Lonmin, I was just thinking about your
- 19 recommendation you as us to make. Firstly you would say an
- 20 arms dealer like that, or weapons dealer like that has got
- 21 to keep a register of people to whom he's selling the
- 22 weapons, with detail, I would imagine with details like
- 23 their ID number, require production of proof of identity,
- 24 that's the first thing. But secondly it may also be
  - necessary to say that the arms dealer must have reason to

Page 39545 believe, or rather must not - I don't know how one would

- 2 formulate it, perhaps you can think about that and come
- 3 back to us - must not have reason to believe, must have
- 4 reason to believe that the person to whom he's selling the
- 5 weapon will use it only for a lawful purpose that needs it,
- 6 so I don't know how effective that would be. It will
- 7 presumably have some effect, so that if possible the number
- 8 of dangerous weapons in circulation is reduced. But
- 9 anyway, I'd be grateful if you'd think about that and
- 10 perhaps formulate a proposal for us which we can put in our
- 11 recommendations.
- 12 MR SEMENYA SC:
- We'll do that. We'll do 13 that, Chair. And that's typically why a commission of this
- 14 kind would be useful because you'd find that Mr Cassim is
- 15 trading, he's acting within the law, he does nothing wrong
- 16 he's doing, but now that we know he's located in a place
- 17 that tends to be volatile in events of industrial action
- 18 such a measure would be necessary at least to mitigate the
- 19 problem.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON: I think there's another
- 21 section that could be put in the act, if I'm thinking aloud
- in response to what you're putting, is I think it would be
- 23 necessary also for the prospective buyer of a weapon of
- 24 this kind that we're talking about to make a statement to
  - the prospective seller as to how many weapons he's got, or

Page 39546

perhaps he hasn't got any weapons. In other words a man

- 2 comes along and he says I'd like a panga and I need it
- 3 because I want to protect myself for various reasons. It
- 4 would be relevant to ask him well, how many pangas have you
- 5 got, and if he then says I've got six and then the merchant
- would say I'm sorry, I can't sell you one. If of course he 6
- 7 says I've got one, of if he says I've got one and if you
- 8 can subsequently prove that he's got more than, that he had
- 9 weapons already when he made the purchase, that would be a
- crime in itself and you say that so indirectly you could 10
- 11 also have some kind of a basis for restricting the sale of
- dangerous weapons. There may again be, I'm sure there are 12
- 13 more ideas you could think of, but that just strikes me as
- 14 your argument. It's clearly an important matter. We've
- 15 got to control the number of dangerous weapons in society.
- We've got to see to it that they're only possessed for 16
- lawful purposes and that the weapons merchants must be 17
- 18 under some kind of restraint, such as applies to second-
- 19 hand dealers and scrap metal purchasers and that kind of
- 20 thing, but far more reason actually for control of this
- 21 kind than exists in the other cases to which I've referred.
- 22 MR SEMENYA SC: Indeed, Chair, and for
- 23 argument sake when General Mpembe gets met with no, these
- 24 people are faceless, at least he would go in my example to
- 25 Mr Cassim and say who did you sell to, and that can make a

perhaps Ms Pillay with her customary efficiency can tell

- us, it can then be put on the screen. It was handed in as
- 3 an exhibit, section 9(2)(d) of the Regulation of Gatherings 4 Act.

5 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I wouldn't imagine 6 that the Regulation of Gatherings Act is an exhibit.

7 CHAIRPERSON: I thought it was put in as 8

an exhibit during the cross-examination of one of the 9 witnesses when we were in Rustenburg, long, long ago.

10 MR SEMENYA SC: I thought maybe the

11 Standing Order as opposed to the Regulation of Gatherings 12

Act -

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

CHAIRPERSON: Look, I may be wrong, but my recollection -I've got a pretty clear recollection that it was referred to and put in as an exhibit, but I could be wrong and it wouldn't be the only thing in respect of which I'd be wrong.

MR SEMENYA SC: But I'll try to read it in a way that we can all follow. It reads, "If any person who participates in a gathering or demonstration, or any person who hinders, obstructs or interferes with persons who participate in a gathering or demonstration -

(i), kills or seriously injures or attempts to kill or seriously injure, or shows a manifest intention of killing or seriously injuring any person; or

Page 39547

search and seizure a little bit more pointed. At least

there's an avenue, there's a tool somewhere there. 2

3 CHAIRPERSON: And Mr Cassim has got to be

satisfied the person he's selling the weapon to is the

person whose identity card he's seen, so if the - he writes

6 down the number and later it appears that the person, the

7 card belongs to somebody who doesn't look remotely like the

8 photograph, the photograph doesn't look remotely like the

9 person who came to buy the weapon, then Mr Cassim is in

10 trouble. It's perhaps unfair to refer to Mr Cassim. I'm

11 going to use a neutral letter of the alphabet, Mr A, the -

12 MR SEMENYA SC: Weapons dealer.

13 CHAIRPERSON: - the weapons dealer.

14 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, Chair. Chair, before I also go to more difficult spots, I wish to refer 15

16 us to the provisions of section 9(2)(d) of the Regulation

17 of Gatherings Act, and maybe if I were to read it. Of

18 course Chair would know that the earlier subsection of that

19 section deals with who'd be able to do what in dispersing a

gathering that is recalcitrant and what is the ultimate

21 available power in terms of the statute that deals with

22 that, and 9(2)(d) then says, "If any person who

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

participates" -23

1

4

5

I've got an idea it is an 24 CHAIRPERSON:

25 exhibit, so if you can tell us what the exhibit number is,

Page 39549 (ii), destroys or does serious damage to, or

attempts to destroy or to do serious damage to, or shows a manifest intention of destroying or doing serious damage

to, any immovable property or movable property considered

to be valuable.

6 such a member of the police or above the rank of 7 warrant officer may order the members of the police under

8 his command to take the necessary steps to prevent the

9 action contemplated in subparagraphs (i) and (ii), and may 10

for that purpose [we emphasise] if he finds other methods 11 to be ineffective or inappropriate, may issue an order the

12 use of force, including the use of firearms and other

13 weapons."

14 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 15 my recollection is correct, there it is.

MR SEMENYA SC: [Microphone off,

17 inaudible]

16

23

18 CHAIRPERSON: It's not an exhibit, I'm

19 told. My recollection was defective then, I'm sorry.

20 Anyway, there it is.

21 MR SEMENYA SC: Now we've asked the

22 operator to be given a copy.

> CHAIRPERSON: I see. I was wrong in

24 thinking it was an exhibit, but anyway, we've got it on the

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

screen, so that's nice.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

21

Page 39550

MR SEMENYA SC: Now there are a number of 1 things that flow from this. Chair. Of course the statute 2 3 is not constitutionally impugned in one way or another. It 4 is good law as far as this Commission is concerned, but it 5 is significant that it also obtains under the Regulation of Gatherings Act and it contemplates that where the 6 7 circumstances exist such as are described there, the police are entitled to use firearms and other weapons if other 8 9 methods prove ineffective.

Now police experts are correct to say, you know, the use of an R5 in Public Order Policing, it's a no-no and maybe we should make recommendations to that effect, et cetera. The legislature seems to have recognised that this instrument called the Regulation of Gatherings Act is intended to facilitate legally valid discourse in an exercise of a constitutional right. It's not saying you threaten to kill or you manifest an intention to do so, damage property, then the police are going to use rubber bullets on you. It says if those methods don't work then the law authorises the use of firearms and other weapons.

So it's important that the context within which we measure the events in Marikana must be within the parallel lines of the statutory power that is there. We cannot abridge those powers which the police have, and our discussions about whether or not it is TRT line or this and

Page 39552

can do the next four seconds. I ask the question, how much time is between the first four seconds and the second four second?

4 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] four seconds there were calls for cease fire of course. 6 But ignoring that, you say four seconds is a relatively 7 short period.

MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair, I'm saying there is no moment between the first four seconds and the beginning of the second four seconds, and for now I'm just addressing the question of do you then wait and say okay, let me see what happens when this dust is down, and we should be careful not to go down that road.

We refer in our written heads at page 22 where

the courts we say in various judgments that we cite there, including the R v Patel, at the top of page 23 says the court there, "Men faced in moments of crisis with a choice of alternatives are not to be judged as if they had both time and opportunity to weigh the pros and cons. Allowance must be made for the circumstances of their position." What is the blood pressure of a police officer who is faced with, assuming we're able to get this home, faced with people coming with spears and pangas and actually others shooting, with a present knowledge of 10 deaths that have happened by that point, no difficulty to ascribe some of

Page 39551

the other, must also be construed within the parameters of the law. That's what the law says.

3 Another general remark, Chair, that we ought to 4 make and to which a whole number of judgments in this 5 country have told us is that we shouldn't sit here with, as I describe it, with a systole of 120 over a diastole of 80 6 7 and saying you know, with that type of blood pressure we 8 look at the events with a pause button on our computer and 9 the rewind button in the waiting and begin to count, okay, 10 on exhibit X, Y, Z there appears to be, okay, maybe 10, 11 okay, and that other one is facing the other direction and 12 maybe this group is now split into two. That's precisely 13 what is cautioned against, Chair. We should see that scenario through the eye of the TRT man. He does not have 14 15 the benefit of rewind button, count them. If he did his 16 conduct would not fall within the confines of self and 17 private defence. He would fail at that level already. 18 Self and private defence, Chair, properly 19

understood as I believe we all do, says you don't have that luxury of weighing the various options available to you and choosing the best amongst them. By definition it says you don't have that opportunity. Now I make this submission in 22 the light of the type of arguments that we have heard. 24 After four seconds there was a curtain of dust. They should have waited to see the dust fall down before they

Page 39553 those to some of these members who are coming in front of you? What is the opportunity of the judgment that we are imposing on them?

I make the point, Chair, that it is one thing for us to sit here and not immerse ourselves in the real danger that was present and immediate to them. If a TRT man had an opportunity to look at his rifle and say where is automatic, is it here or is it here or is it there, and can I pull it back then he is not under imminent threat. [14:25] He has an opportunity to judge that and to look at what is coming in front of him. Now, I'm giving us a graphic example of the exhibit if you are to look at it. Warrant Officer Kuhn in one of the frames you see him come around the, I mean, the strikers are coming around the corner of the kraal. He is on their side of the street with the street, I mean, the pathway going to Nkaneng behind him. By the time the shots are fired he's on the other side of the path. He was backtracking. We see him almost trying to trip there. And if we do justice to this assignment it means therefore that we must immerse ourselves in the foot of the Warrant Officer Kuhn and then measure whether or not the conduct was consistent with that of a reasonable person given the same set of circumstances.

What we shouldn't do is as I have observed we have 180 degree view here of stills of photos that we keep

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Page 39554

1 watching every day and then impute that understanding of

- 2 the environment as I say under an air-conditioned
- 3 auditorium and then talk about it. Again I would like to
- 4 touch on some of these broad principles of law and see if
- $\,\,$  5  $\,\,$  I'm not able to migrate them closer to help us understand
- 6 the facts with which we are concerned.

COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Sorry, before you do that, there was an argument, I may have misunderstood it, but if I misunderstood it you'll excuse me. There was an argument of comparing the events of the 13th and the events of the 16th. A policeman standing in front of the group approaching him, the group went past and didn't do anything. You take Kuhn on the 16th. He's in front of the group. If I understood the argument the submission would've been that they would've done the same thing they

did on the 13th. What do you say to that argument?

MR SEMENYA SC: Maybe a number of points,

Commissioner Tokota, but this is precisely what is the

danger. You have a general of the police service, a

general, standing tall as General Mpembe does saying to

arms-bearing people leave your weapons and leave. And I'm

- 22 going to count to ten he says. At three they just stand up
- 23 and walk past. I'm saying even that honest officer could
- not have known better that he would survive that if theyattacked him. We shouldn't just look at that type of
  - attacked him. We shouldn't just look at that type of

Page 39556

- 1 the risk of being too simplistic I illustrate, I make this
- 2 example. Chair, if I'm facing imminent threat to life, to
- 3 my life, and I fire at an attacker who gets struck by one
- 4 bullet and nine other bullets are shown to have missed, it
- is not proper reasoning to go, well, you fired 10 where one
- 6 could work. That's not logic.

What the law discourages is that type of forcewhich is over and above that which managed to contain the

- 9 threat. That is what the law discourages. Now, you
- 10 haven't been shown which of the 320 something odd bullets
- 11 that were discharged on that day were fired in
- 12 circumstances where the threat has been contained and, and
- 13 that's the most important part, and it is that additional
- 14 force responsible for the death of the individual. If you
- 15 cannot tie all of these imponderables as I describe them
- 16 you're not able to say force was excessive. Now, for
- 17 argument's sake we are told -

CHAIRPERSON: I just want to understand your argument. Let me put to you the why I understand it.

- 20 If I'm wrong you can correct me. A man thinks he's in
- 21 imminent danger. He has to fire to defend himself. Then
- 22 he fires 10 shots. Only one hits his assailant. And let's assume for the sake of argument it's the first one. The
- 24 other nine missed and he needed that one shot to defend
- 24 Other fille missed and he needed that one shot to deterior

25 himself. Then can you say that he acted disproportionately

Page 39555

- 1 conduct and think it's okay to defy a police order like
- 2 that. Can you imagine if you and I were to drive out of
- 3 here and the police officer entitled under the law to stop
- 4 because they have reasonable suspicion to do A, B, C, D and
- 5 you're like who do you think you are?

You just press your accelerator and go and all of us are able to do likewise. Why? Because we can. No, no. And if you go to the 16th and you look at Warrant Officer Kuhn you can see the man is in trouble if he doesn't move back. You can see other police officers, the POP members running into the Nyalas. Why? Because some people are going to be coming. There ought to be a particular point at which we say not in our name as Mr Ntsebeza would say. You don't go to Nkaneng like that. I was going to make another separate point about proportionality, Chair.

At scene one we have something like 328 rounds of
live ammunition discharged in the eight seconds or 12
seconds – if the contention proves correct it is 12
seconds, 328. And then the argument goes that shows you
how disproportionate the force is in relation to the
threat. And I'm hoping to be able to persuade you, Chair
and Commissioners, that there are a whole host of
imponderables that the evidences does not help you tidy up
and help you come to the conclusion that you are being
invited to come to. Some of those are these and perhaps at

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39557 because in addition to the one shot that hit the target

- 2 which on the assumption that we're making was necessary the
- 3 force became disproportionate because he fired another nine
- 4 that missed. Is that your argument?

5 MR SEMENYA SC: Let me make it even more 6 graphic. The subsequent nine bullet are fired in the air 7 and backward.

8 CHAIRPERSON: If they're fired in the 9 air and backwards then they wouldn't count at all.

10 MR SEMENYA SC: That's what the -

11 CHAIRPERSON: If the ten were fired all
12 at the assailant and only one was necessary and only one
13 hit the target and it was the first one then the fact that
14 there were other non-causally connected bullets fired the
15 question would arise, did that mean that the force was
16 ctill regarded as proportionate. Well, do you require to

still regarded as proportionate. Well, do you require tolook at causally connected bullets in order to assess the

18 proportionality or otherwise? Am I understanding you

19 correctly? That's basically the point you're making.

20 MR SEMENYA SC: That is the point I'm
21 making, Chair, and I may push that example and say if a
22 bullet that finally stops him is the bullet 10 on the same
23 facts you'll come to a different verdict.

CHAIRPERSON: - question, I just want to isolate the question. It's always helpful to know the

17

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 39558

question, what the question says before you know where you

- 2 can find the answer. If your argument is that you only
- 3 count causally connected bullets then it doesn't matter
- 4 whether it's the first or the tenth, so your argument - I
- 5 don't want to put an argument to you that you don't agree
- with. I'm just trying to make sure I understand your 6
- 7 argument. Is your argument that only causally connected
- bullets count? 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

1

5

7

MR SEMENYA SC: It is that, Chair, to say when the law says you cannot use more force than is reasonably required to contain the threat it will punish you where that additional force you use is causally connected to the outcome. If it isn't it's not disproportionate to anything and that's why I'm making a graphic example that if I fire the other nine bullets you cannot make the argument that one bullet was adequate, you

16 17 fired ten, therefore your force was disproportionate to the 18 threat. It doesn't work that arithmetically. 19 Again let me touch on something that has been,

that requires some address, Chair, which in our respectful submission illustrates the dangers of hindsight. The argument goes somewhat like this that JOC should have halted the operation after scene one and maybe scene two

24 would not have happened. If on the evidence we are

25 satisfied that JOC only knew of one shooting then I'll say

Page 39559 there is a flaw in the argument that they should have

halted the operation after that one shooting. 2

3 CHAIRPERSON: By one shooting you mean

4 the firing of one -

> MR SEMENYA SC: Scene, no, scene one.

CHAIRPERSON: Scene one. So they knew -6

the assumption you're making or the question is they knew a

8 lot of shots had been fired over a period of eight and ten

9 or 12 seconds at scene one. They knew that a number of

bodies were down. They knew that what had been envisaged 10

as part of the plan hadn't actually happened. The plan had 11

12 been disrupted. Things have happened that were not

13 intended to happen. And you're saying they could still

14 carry on with scene two.

15 MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, Chair, I'm

16 looking -

17 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not being difficult.

MR SEMENYA SC: 18 No, no.

19 CHAIRPERSON: I'm trying to make sure I

understand you. 20

I hope I do, Chair. I'm 21 MR SEMENYA SC:

saying the criticism that says at that time, JOC, you 22

should have halted the operation suffers from this

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

24 hindsight and let me illustrate it. Can anybody mount an

argument that JOC should have halted the operation at scene

Page 39560

two, after scene two? Nobody will make that argument.

2 Why? Because we know there was no scene three. So I'm

3 saying if you want to impute some wrong on the JOC you

4 would have to transpond in their minds the understanding

5

that there's going to be scene two because if they don't

6 know that they can't stop scene one. We blame them for 7

scene - for not stopping at scene one because you now know 8

scene two has happened. And the distinction between scene

9 one and scene two is ours. It is us trying to better

10 understand what happened on the 16th. As far as Brigadier

11 Calitz was concerned there was one operation of dispersal.

12 There wasn't we're going to disperse here and make another

13 plan for dispersal at koppie three as some of the arguments

14 advanced have been formulated.

> CHAIRPERSON: - simple as that, Mr Semenya. The test might be not that there had to be a scene two but there may have been a scene two and if the JOC had received after this fusillade of bullets, give the appropriate expression there, bodies down and report to IPID and so on, after all that happened if they then got information from Colonel Vermaak for example in the sky that people were going off in the direction of koppie three

22 23 and the police were in pursuit and that something was going

24 to have to happen at scene three, the argument I take it is

- no sorry, at scene two, koppie three, scene two. The

Page 39561

argument I take it would be that there'd been a lot of bloodshed already. Things weren't going according to plan.

Before any further shots are fired no further dispersal attempts should be made. If the people were all holed up as it were in koppie three then the police should perhaps have formed a ring around koppie three but not fired further shots until the situation could be carefully assessed. I take it that's the argument. Whether it's a good argument or not it's for us to decide in the end, but the - I take it that's really what the argument is. Mr De Rover says they should've stopped at the end of scene one. General Mpembe also told him that. His evidence was a little bit - I won't say contradictory but equivocal about when he came but that appears to be his attitude as well.

So it's from your own camp as it were that the point comes. However but I put the problem to you as I understand it and I'd be grateful to hear your submissions. What I'm saying to you is not - you had to be sure that there will be a scene two but if you think that there may be scene two and you may think that a lot of firing will take place, that there'll be bloodshed which could be avoided by holding back, not firing, but just surrounding the koppie and trying to keep things under control in that way, that would be the argument you've got to meet I think on that point.

Page 39565

Page 39562 MR SEMENYA SC: And it is one to which I 1 will concede immediately but already it imports a knowledge 2 3 at JOC, that's why I'm talking about JOC, a knowledge in 4 JOC that having heard there's bodies down, bodies down, there is still an operation going on trying to chase 5 certain people and they knew that there might again be 6 7 trouble. Then they have to be faulted for not halting 8 scene one, but you don't have that information. You don't 9 have that evidence in our respectful submission. And -10 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Are you perhaps 11 saying that what the JOC knew that what was to follow was 12 the sweeping through of the koppies and -13 MR SEMENYA SC: They did not even know 14 that the operation hadn't ceased. That's the point I'm 15 16 CHAIRPERSON: But I'd have to, we'd have 17 to look very carefully at what Colonel Vermaak was 18 reporting from the eye in the sky and one of the problems

and that in fact we will be asked, we happen to have been

2 already, to find that they did know before scene 2. We

3 were asked I think if I remember, I think Mr Chaskalson

4 asked us that, they did know about scene 1 before scene 2

5 even started. And they had time to stop it. Obviously if

6 they didn't know, they only knew after the end of scene 2

7 then the argument doesn't arise. Then clearly they

8 couldn't have stopped it before scene 2 happened if they

9 didn't know that scene 1 had happened. That must be so

10 surely.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

16

MR SEMENYA SC: No, but I'm not even advancing that before I invite a reply from the evidence leaders on the point. We know that even Brigadier Calitz at 16:03 is inviting IPID, so they knew about that. The point I'm trying to make is that if you want to criticise at the level of saying their failure to stop the operation must assume that they knew the operation was still ongoing despite, that is the first thing. Secondly, they knew it is going to wherever, where there is likely to further casualties then the criticism is home and dry, I can't resist it. But I'm saying you have to find those constituent elements building bricks to construct that conclusion. I know somehow I did raise the McCann question, can I address McCann? CHAIRPERSON: You're now moving away from

Page 39563

I'm not making a point

higher than an invitation really that we must measure each 2

is that for some reason I don't understand the police

told in the JOC after they heard that fusillade on the

radio and after Brigadier Pretorius sent an SMS to IPID,

after Brigadier Pretorius spoke to I think it was Captain

didn't record all the radio traffic but that's a problem we

sit with, but we have to look and see what they were being

3 one of them on the available information to them at a

Loest. It's not quite as simple as -

MR SEMENYA SC:

4 point. If the information that we now know was not

5 available to them at the point we would be erring in

6 imputing our afterthought to them at a time that this

7 episode was unfolding and I place it principally on one,

8 the evidence of General Annandale is that it was very late

9 that he realised there were actually two incidents in the

JOC. 10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON: I'm going to cut the argument short in this way. If the evidence stands that the people in the JOC only knew about what happened at

scene one after scene two was over then you can't argue

15 they should've stopped their operation after the end of

scene one so that scene two couldn't have happened clearly.

17 If that evidence is accepted the argument doesn't arise. I

18 would think not.

[14:45] The problem is, I withdraw the word problem the

complication arises from the fact that there is evidence

21 upon which an argument is based, whether the argument's a

22 good one is a different matter. But there is evidence on

which the argument is based that the evidence that they

24 only knew after the end of scene 2, after scene 2 that

25 scene 1 had happened. But that evidence may not be correct

- you've made your point about stopping after the end of

scene 1. Now you're moving onto the next chapter which is

McCann, question mark.

MR SEMENYA SC: Correct, Chair.

5 CHAIRPERSON: My attention is drawn to a

6 very important item of information, it's now quarter to

7 three. Would you like to tell us what you're going to have

8 to say in your chapter in the McCann question mark at 3

9 o'clock.

10 MR SEMENYA SC: I can undermine the 11 importance of that question, Chair, I must consider.

12 CHAIRPERSON: 15 minutes

[COMMISSION ADJOURNS 13 COMMISSION RESUMES]

14 [15:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes.

15 Yes, Mr Semenya.

> MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Chair. I was

17 about to deal with McCann. Now Chair, one of the not so

18 important factors about McCann is that it is a judgment of

19 the European Court of Human Rights and a, it's binding on

20 members states who are signatories to the convention and

21 that South Africa is not one of those but that's not the

22 important element about it. I thought and if I'm incorrect

23 in my view then I withdraw the submission. I thought

McCann was being advanced as a legal basis to hold in our

case the members in the JOC criminally liable for conduct

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39566

done by the TRT at scene 1. Something like that. 1

2 CHAIRPERSON: I don't think so. I've

- 3 been, there is apparently a suggestion going around that I
- 4 don't understand McCann myself. So I've got to be very
- 5 careful the way I put to you what I'm going to put. In my
- 6 understanding is this, that where there is an operation
- 7 which is poorly planned, remember the facts in McCann were
- 8 the court held by a very narrow majority actually, the
- 9 court held that's on the fact, not so much the law, the
- 10 court held that the operation to deal with the IRA
- 11 terrorists who were coming to Gibraltar to commit acts of
- 12 terrorism the planning of how to deal with them was
- 13 defective. They could have been dealt with in a particular
- 14 way which wouldn't have had led to such, as much bloodshed
- as there was. So the plan was devised, the special, the 15
- SAS people were dealing with it. They implemented the plan 16
- 17 and the inquest court in Gibraltar held that they acted in
- 18 self defence and acted appropriately, self and private
- 19 defence and so the inquest court found them not liable
- 20 guilty of anything and that was, that finding was not
- 21 upset, not challenged in any way by the European Court of
- 22 Human Rights. But the European Court of Human Rights said
- 23 though the individual shooters get off, because they acted
- 24 appropriately that doesn't excuse the people who came up
- 25 with the defective plan. That's the, my understanding of
  - Page 39567

1

2

- the case. I will presumably get a note in due course 1
- 2 pointing my errors out, that's the way I see it. I have
- 3 read the judgment. The question is whether that principle
- 4 applies in our domestic law. It doesn't apply proprio
- 5 vigore because it's as you say, it's just persuasive and
- its persuasive really as to whether that principle applies, 6
- 7 the question is whether that principle applies in our
- 8 domestic law and then we were referred to Mhlanga AJP's
- 9 judgment and then there was the example that I put up of
- 10 the homicidal criminal is running amok in, running in the
- 11 police head quarters and he's running down the passage and
- 12 there's a T-junction and he can, if those who are in
- 13 charge, who are able to do so somehow shunt him into the
- 14 room on the left we just close the door, no one will be
- 15 killed but if they allow him to go into the room on the
- 16 right which is a whole lot of warrant officers and higher
- 17 officials all with nine millimetre pistols and they will be
- 18 attacked by this person and they would have to defend
- 19 themselves it's reasonably foreseeable that he will be 20
- killed. It's also reasonably foreseeable that he will be 21 killed by policemen acting lawfully in self defence. But
- 22 if the McCann principle applies then they will still be
- liable, not the shooters in the right hand office but the
- people who were controlling the situation will be liable
- 25 for not sending him into the left hand room, they would,
- ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

- because in the left hand room he can stopped without any
- 2 bloodshed. That's my understanding of how the principle
- 3 works. The question is whether even if McCann didn't exist
- 4 whether on those facts the person who decided to shunt him
- 5 into the right room rather than the left would be liable,
- 6 would be guilty either for murder if he or she, you must
- 7 remember there also have female senior police officers
- 8 these days, if he or she foresaw that there was a
- 9 reasonable possibility of death if he went right and acted
- 10 recklessly. Alternatively if that foresight wasn't there
- 11 but a reasonable person in the situation would have
- 12 foreseen it would have been culpable homicide. That's how
- 13 it works as I understand but it's not that the controller
- 14 shall we shall is vicariously liable for anything that the people in the, the facts in our case anything the TRT did,
- if there's liability on this principle it would be, it
- 17 would be because things could have been arranged
- differently without bloodshed, things were arranged in a
- 19 way which gave rise to a foreseeable situation where they
- 20 would be killed which could have been avoided by doing,
- 21 planning it differently. Then the liability would be a
- 22 direct liability on the part of the planner who came up
- 23 with the defective plan. That's my understanding of the
- 24 principle. As I say I may learn a bit more about it in due
  - course but that's the way I see it and that's the point.

Page 39569

MR SEMENYA SC: I'll make a short and a

little longer answer, Chair. We don't need McCann to

3 improve on our law of vicarious liability, we don't. 4

CHAIRPERSON: We're busy with vicarious

5 liability. We're busy with direct liability and McCann

would just be a foreign case which would be, would have 6

7 some persuasive force, of course it wouldn't be binding on 8 us. We could say it's very interesting that they say that

9

but we don't agree because our law is different.

10 Alternatively we may say well its interesting they say it

11 and its persuasive in the sense that it's as sensible

12 solution to a legal problem which we haven't encountered

13 directly but hat, if we have to lay down the law is the way

14 we lay it down. That's the way I see it.

15 MR SEMENYA SC: Secondly, Chair, if I 16 read McCann it had nothing to concern itself with whether

17 the officers would be criminally liable or not. It

18 concerned itself as far as UK is concerned with whether or

19 not it UK as a country is in breach of Article 2 which is

20 the right to life and it dealt with the question of

21 compensation. It's in civil liability those issues are not

- complicated. We don't need McCann. We are able to 22
- 23 establish them. McCann is not authority for the
- 24 proposition that I correctly described when I opened of
  - whether you can imbue criminal liability on remote people.

Page 39572

Page 39570

McCann did not deal with that. It did not concern itself

- 2 with that and you'll recall, Chair, then there was
- 3 reference to Walters where McCann is being referred by
- 4 Judge Kriegler in that matter.

5 CHAIRPERSON: But on a different

principle. 6

7 MR SEMENYA SC: On a different principle 8 all together. So I'm saying it, you don't even have the

9 ability as a commission sitting here to say I can learn

something from McCann a principle which McCann is not even 10

advocating. Vicarious liability, civil liability, direct 11

12 civil liability, all of that our law of delectus repeat

13 with good authority on those points. Of course if you can

14 show that your claim is good in delict as a results of the

15 negligent conduct of somebody sitting in JOC why do you

need McCann. You don't. Our law is good on that. Chair, 16

can I touch on something different. Of course if you're 17

18 facing an avalanche you have to somewhat, do what I'm

19 doing. There was -

20

21

25

CHAIRPERSON: How do you, I don't want to dictate the order in which you argue your case. How do you

22 deal with my example of the homicidal criminal running

23 around in the police headquarters and he's shunted, allowed

24 to go into the right hand room where he faces certain death

because people will defend themselves, have to defend

Page 39571

themselves in killing him. Which he will avoid if he goes 1

- 2 in to the left. That's the, you may say that doesn't arise
- 3 on our facts and that's of course a question that I'll get
- 4 to later. But on those facts and viewed as a matter of
- 5 domestic South African criminal law, remember I put it to
- 6 you the basis that the controller foresees, the first
- 7 example, foresees that this man will go to certain death or
- 8 reasonably possible go to his death if he goes to the right
- 9 hand room and the controller's reckless when that happens,
- the question is whether that would be murder. 10
- Alternatively if he didn't foresee it but it was reasonably 11
- 12 foreseeable then it might be culpable homicide.

MR SEMENYA SC: 13 Well my -

14 CHAIRPERSON: Unless you say no, no that

15 criminal liability doesn't arise at all on those facts.

16 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, my kneejerk 17 reaction would be to say that you're going to have

18 difficulties the actus reus element of a criminal trial.

19 You would remember what brings under criminal law home

those people who did, were not direct participants, it's

21 common purpose, that's how you avoid that I don't need to

22 show that your conduct is connected, is the causative cause

of this because you were in common design with whoever you

24 went out with. Now it's a kneejerk reaction but I can see

25 the complication arising out of bringing imputing criminal

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

liability on an non actor, on the ground other then common 2

purpose.

Is he a non actor, he may 3 CHAIRPERSON:

not be a trigger puller but is he not an actor if he gives

5 instructions that one door is to be, you know my example.

6 One door is to be open and another door is to be closed and

7 so forth. He is like the general on the battlefield, he

8 isn't actually the frontline shooting the enemy, he's

9 giving instructions from his headquarters but to say that

10 he's not doing anything at all and therefore there's no

actus reus or non reus they can attributed to him is with 11 12

respect not right.

MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair, you would remember actus reus is the wrongful conduct. To say to close the door is not a wrong conduct and -

16 CHAIRPERSON: Not closing the door could

17 lead to death.

13

14

18

MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, no. But you

19 would have to have the conduct of those people who caused

20 the death but I'm merely saying which is an interesting

debate we're having, Chair, that in common purpose cases 21

22 it's where you been sitting at home on your computer and

23 you have common desire to go rob a particular place and you

24 are guilty, it doesn't matter the remoteness of your

station in relation to what happens at the grocery store

Page 39573

where the robbery is to take place. The law as I

2 understand it bring you in that circle of culpability on

3 the ground of common purpose. So it doesn't matter what

4 you do, if you are able to show that you are somehow

connected with the common design of those who are

6 perpetrating the offence. But I intend taking it no

7 further than that, it makes for provocative intellectual

8 thinking on the issue and I accept the challenge.

9 CHAIRPERSON: - apply on the facts of 10 this case depending on one possible factual finding but 11 anyway we will -

12 MR SEMENYA SC: Maybe let me explore it 13 if it is at that level, a possibility. Commissions don't

14 make law, Chair. 15 CHAIRPERSON: Don't make law but

sometimes Commissioners if they have to, if they have to give advice, have to say what they think the law is because it won't be binding if they - again it might just be

19 persuasive to the point which may arise in a court later.

20 MR SEMENYA SC: And yes, if the facts in 21 this, in a Commission's hearing are such as may advise the

President that legislation of this kind may require

23 constitutional scrutiny or it may require an enactment

24 order, that's good advice, that's why Commissions are

there. But I mean you're not creating law in a Commission.

16

17

18

Page 39576

Page 39577

Page 39574

1 That' doesn't happen.

2 CHAIRPERSON: Let me ask what you think

3 the law is, in fact in this case I had to do that. Because

- 4 I had to say what, how you approach an application for
- 5 someone to give evidence from a remote venue through a
- 6 television link and I went into the law and I set out the
- 7 law as I understood it and I quoted, you remember you read
- 8 it, comparative material from elsewhere and it may be that
- 9 at some future stage a court will be asked to make an order
- 10 of that kind and it may look at what I said and either say
- 11 it's wrong or say well it's got useful ideas which will be
- 12 incorporated. So even though it hasn't got the force of
- 13 law, it won't find its way into the law reports but it
- 14 might at some stage in the future, if anyone ever remembers
- it, be looked at as a source of persuasive material on how 15
- the court should decide a legal question. The same might 16
- 17 apply here.

18 MR SEMENYA SC: Well, Chair, I thought

maybe you were not travelling in any virgin territory 19

- 20 there. As you're entitled to do applying the law that is
- 21 there, you have been given powers to make procedural
- 22 regulations that give you powers to make procedural orders
- 23 and you did.

1

7

- 24 CHAIRPERSON: Well that isn't quite
- 25 right. The three commissioners have the power to determine

- argument about when the other plan was abandoned, when the
- new plan came into operation. But I'm not concerned with
- that at the moment. A new plan was devised. It is said
- and it's been argued that that plan was far riskier than
- 5 the original plan which couldn't be implemented on the
- 6 Thursday and there was a distinct risk of bloodshed if that
- 7 plan were implemented and that it was implemented and there
- 8 was bloodshed. Now I understand that a whole lot of
- 9 factual issues which arise which may render the argument
- 10 inoperative but the, but put crisply one possible
- 11 permutation is there was a risk free plan which could only
- 12 be implemented on the Friday. The decision was taken to go
- 13 on the Thursday when the risk free plan couldn't be
- 14 applied. Because it was Thursday, because it was later in
- the day there was a lot of risk and that risk in fact
- produced deaths. So on that basis the, what one can, for
- 17 shorthand purposes call the McCann principle might find an
- application if it's part of our domestic law and there may
- 19 also be other questions about international obligations and
- 20 so on. But just focus on it narrowly, the question that
- 21 may have to be to be considered is whether as a matter of
- 22 domestic law with the criminal law of South Africa, whether
- 23 on the facts that I've outlined there might or might not be
- 24 criminal liability. That's something in respect of which
  - you may wish to present arguments to us, either today or

Page 39575

- what the procedure would be, those laid down general rules
- of procedure. The actual application of the rule we laid 2
- 3 down, whether it applied and should be used in the
- 4 particular case was something I had to consider myself, but
- 5 anyway. Let's not waste more time on that.
- MR SEMENYA SC: 6 Okay. Chair, I was going
  - to move on to again another concept which -
- 8 CHAIRPERSON: - before you move on, with
- q how this problem could arise in practice in this matter.
- The, there is evidence to the effect that there was a plan 10
- 11 devised on the Monday night, Tuesday morning by Scott with
- 12 public order policing inputs from Merafe for example. This
- 13 plan was thought to be relatively risk free, that that plan
- 14 could only be executed early in the morning, it couldn't be
- 15 executed on the Thursday because they had to wait to find
- 16 out what was going to happen at 9 o'clock and therefore
- 17 another plan had to be devised. These are all, I'm not
- saying these are the facts, these are factual findings that 18
- 19 may be laid. The 6:30 JOCCOM decided that if they didn't
- lay, if the strikers didn't lay down their arms a plan
- 21 would have to be devised according to Brigadier Calitz to
- 22 deal with the situation and Brigadier Calitz said, in fact
- the minutes the plan had to be devised. Brigadier Calitz
- said that Colonel Scott was the man who had to do it. Well
- Scott eventually produced a plan. I know there's an

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

- 2 MR SEMENYA SC: Let me better reflect on
- 3 it, Chair.

1

- 4 CHAIRPERSON: If we get the note that
- I've been told we will get pointing out the, my errors in
- understanding the McCann principle and that note will be 6
- 7 available to you as well and you will be able to take it,
- 8 framing your reply.

tomorrow or in writing later.

- 9 MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Chair, but
- 10 that question also got a bulb in my brain going on and as
- Mr De Rover would say policing Chair, is not a Chinese 11
- 12 parliament, it's not a Chinese Parliament, you don't in the
- 13 face of an operation then have 30 generals sitting here
- 14 each one of them giving you a permutation of how an
- 15 operation could be done differently and then juggle them up
- 16 in space and just see and have a vote on it. That maybe
- 17 we'll go with option C as opposed to option Z, that's not
- 18 how policing works and it becomes important that we 19 understand the environment which this Commission has been
- 20 invited to investigate and report on and as I think we make
- 21 the point in our written submissions the test shouldn't be
- whether or not plan A or B or C would produce better
- 23 outcomes because you can never know that. The scrutiny 24 that must be placed on the police is having had made that
- decision to go with that plan at that time did your conduct

11

12

13

18

19

20

7

10

Page 39578

- have enough measures in it to mitigate the risks associated
- 2 with it. That is what you should judge. Let's take the
- 3 Merafe, Mpembe episode of the 13th of August. Maybe the
- 4 people would not be, would not have been attacked after the
- 5 teargas and maybe we would not have lost five people if
- Merafe's option was to arrest them there. But our sense of 6
- 7 assessment tells us that Mpembe's option was a better one
- and we have a sense that you know what it could probably 8
- 9 have produced worse outcomes if the Merafe option had been
- 10 taken. We harbour that but that's not how you measure the
- 11 police action.
- [15:26] If on that dispute of view between Merafe and 12
- 13 Mpembe the police regime was to say this is a call for a
- 14 POP, not you, General, POP, we would not criticise Merafe
- for not listening to the General. We would have to measure
- that now that the power to make this decision was that of a 16
- 17 POP you have made it, did you factor the risks associated
- 18 with disarming them at that time, and if you haven't and
- 19 you were negligent about it, then the consequences are
- 20 yours. We don't say the Mpembe one would not have produced
- 21 those outcomes. I don't know if I'm conveying the
- 22 distinctions quite lucidly as I must.
- CHAIRPERSON: 23 I'm sorry, I have
- 24 difficulty in understanding that. Very often in say a
- 25 culpable homicide case - let's talk about culpable homicide

- just to make it simple very often in culpable homicide
- cases you say the accused was negligent in doing that. It 2
- 3 was open to him to do this. The reasonable person would
- 4 have done this. What he did deviated from the standard of
- 5 a reasonable man because he did that and that caused the
- 6 death. Now here if you've got two alternative plans the
- 7 accused adopts one plan and that plan leads to disaster

- 10
- foreseeable, then you would be able to get a conviction of 11
- 12

13 MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, no, Chair, you're

14 right with that example, but I think the point I'm trying

- 15 to make is if you're measuring whether a particular driver
- 16
- 17

- 19

- relation to the facts as they exist for him.

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

I don't know if that's

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 39580

- travel from Pretoria to Johannesburg. He's got a choice of
- 2 going today or tomorrow. If he goes today he's warned you
- 3 shouldn't go today, it's terrible weather, there are all
- 4 sorts of problems, it's extremely dangerous to travel
- today. Wait till the storm clouds have passed. Tomorrow,
- 6 you don't have to go tonight, wait till the storm clouds
- 7 have passed, you can travel through tomorrow without any
- 8 problem, and he says no, no, I'm going tonight and he does
- 9 and there's catastrophe, you mean to tell me you only judge 10 him by what has happened on -

MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, yes.

CHAIRPERSON: - and you can't have regard to the fact that he made a foolish decision which a

reasonable person wouldn't have made to travel in the face

of all those adverse weather conditions today and he didn't

wait till tomorrow? I'd be very interested to know whether

17 that's the law of South Africa.

> MR SEMENYA SC: You see, if I say to you in that example, Chair, that you have warned me about all of these things, I'm going to drive at five kilometres per hour, why can't I take my trip? Because the things that

22 you are warning me against are presupposing I'll be driving

at 80 and of course I'll be exposed to those -23

24 CHAIRPERSON: Those aren't my facts. My

facts are even at five there are all sorts of dangers of

Page 39579

- 8 which was reasonable foreseeable it would, and it was open
- 9 to him and the reasonable man would have adopted the other
  - plan which wouldn't have led to disaster and that was
- culpable homicide, I would have thought.
- is negligent or not in relation to a particular accident,
- what you do not do is to postulate that had you taken the
- 18 trip the following day the accident would not have
- happened. That's not how it works. How it does work is to
- say having made the decision to be on that road were you
- 21 driving at high speed, did you factor in the rain, did you
- 22 factor the distance? You measure the individual in
- CHAIRPERSON:
- 25 right. Take your traffic example. Someone has got to

Page 39581 floods and even the five kilometres an hour vehicle can be

- 2 swept into the river and people can drown.
- 3 MR SEMENYA SC: In that example I do four

4 and a half. 5 CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to waste time:

- 6 your time is precious, but -
  - MR SEMENYA SC: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON: - you know, these are the
- 9 points you're arguing and I -
  - MR SEMENYA SC: Yes.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON: If there are difficulties I
- 12 see I must put them to you.
- 13 MR SEMENYA SC: No, I accept that, Chair.
- 14 Chair, can I deal with another concept, because I'm trying
- 15 to have us understand the environment within which the
- 16 terms of reference invite you to measure the conduct of the
- 17 police. Mr De Rover has referred us to what he calls 18 associative threat perception and I could hear argument
- 19 saying ja, that already is an admission of liability if
- 20 you're going to have that type of thing.
- 21 Can I try and make the submission into helping at
- least me, if not the Commission, understand that concept. 23
- If anybody screams fire we are going to all hurdle up there 24 and we are going to stampede and perhaps suffer serious
- injury or a calamity worse, and somebody asks you did you

Page 39584

Page 39585

Page 39582

- see the fire, that question does not understand human
- 2 behaviour and I use it in relation to two elements
- 3 pertaining to this case, the cease fire call and the
- 4 shooting call. Chair, and this probably requires us to
- 5 have a closer understanding of how police work. If in your
- 6 radio you hear that the police are under fire and as a
- 7 police officer you race there, you will join the police in
- 8 returning the fire of those who are firing at the police.
- 9 You are not going to hold an inquiry with them and then
- 10 find out what exactly did they do and were you the
- 11 offending one, et cetera. It doesn't work that way, and
- 12 they are trained to work in pairs and it's precisely to say
- 13 given the nature of your environment you require certain
- 14 primitive instincts that help you accomplish your

constitutional obligation for law and order differently. 15

Now the associative threat perception in the environment of the facts that we are talking about is exactly that. A police officer would know my colleague can't shoot in vain. That's not how we work. But I don't also ask him, even the McCann is a typical example, you

- 21 don't have to ask did you arrest him and does he have a
- 22 detonator or doesn't he have a detonator. That's not how
- 23 it works. Once a judgment call is made by your member who
- you have joint brief with and you understand what the brief 24
- 25 is and what the threat is and what his training is, you

Page 39583

- must accept reasonably that his conduct would be in line,
- it's not supposed to be out of line. You're not doing work 2
- 3 with somebody you just don't know, you met at the corner of
- 4 the street, and you must assume therefore that if he covers
- 5 this angle, you will cover that other angle. That's the
- nature of the concept, if I understood Mr De Rover 6
- 7 correctly.

16

17

18

19

20

1

8

9

10

Cease fire; it is one thing if you are shouting

that to people in an auditorium like this, quite a

different one where Mr White as a police expert tells us I

11 can understand that Brigadier Calitz did not here the

12 shooting. There's enough commotion there, the engines are

13 going on and as we say the helicopters are hovering around

- 14 there, there's rubber bullet and what have you, so it's
- 15 reasonable possible that he did not hear it. Cease fire
- 16 happens when we are cold and calculating here, four
- 17 seconds. How much response time is available? Again we
- 18 have to be human on these things; how much response time is
- 19 available? Is it 30 nanoseconds? Does the one hear the
- 20 cease fire from the other tail end of the line? And we
- 21 know we have what, 53 of the TRT people that discharge
- 22 their firearms. So we have to understand that, and as I
- say they are not working on a blood pressure of 120 over
- 24 80. It's something quite radically different, and because
- tomorrow I'll be dealing with difficult aspects of what I

believe is our case it is important that we prepare the

2

3

canvass against which we are going to measure their conduct. If we don't we might err.

4 Chair, my learned colleague George Bizos referred you to a section of our heads and that was paragraph 95, 6 and expressed an exclamation that we don't seem to 7 recognise some of the limitations of our case. 95, Chair, 8 you will find at page 40 and that's where we try very hard

9 to define how we understand our case. If we can have

10 paragraph 95 on the screen, this is how it reads, "The

11 position of SAPS in these proceedings and specifically in

12 relation to the persons who died as a result of police

13 action is that their deaths" - and here comes the

14 qualifier, Chair, "where evidence is available occurred in

15 circumstances of self and/or private defence, alternatively

putative self or private defence." We have come now to the

17 end of the proceedings to know that if we haven't been able

18 to place evidence before the Commission in relation to the

19 deaths of certain individuals, then that's what it is,

20 there is no such evidence and no justification can be

21 gleaned from it.

22

23

CHAIRPERSON: The ruling was based on oral evidence. The ruling related to oral evidence.

24 MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair, I'm saying if

oral or otherwise we have not been able to place sufficient

evidence before you that could explain or justify the death

- of so and so, then we haven't, and I think the finding will
- go so far as to say that has not been shown, that there
- doesn't appear to be any justification for the killing of
- so and so, and in paragraph 136, if we go there, of the
- 6 heads, and for instance we start with 136.1, there we say,
- 7 "The circumstances under which Mr Sokanyile died are set
- 8 out in the statement of Constable Mguye. In summary he
- explains that after the attack on the police Lieutenant
- 10 Vermaak ordered some members of POP and TRT to go with him
- 11 to pursue a group of strikers who had run into the informal
- 12 settlement across the gravel road from where the attack on
- 13 the police occurred. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak informed

14 the POP and TRT members that one of the strikers had an R5

15 rifle taken from him," and we continue describing the

16 evidence there.

17

18

19

20

But more significant when we talk at paragraph 136.4, in the written submission we say, "Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak has offered conflicting evidence regarding the shooting incident in which he gave orders to members of

21 the TRT to shoot at the striker who had an R5 rifle in the

- 22 informal settlement. He testified that the person that he
- 23 pursued with the TRT members disappeared into the informal
- settlement. On this account it clearly cannot be Mr
- Sokanyile that he and the TRT members were pursuing. We

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

RealTime Transcriptions

9

10

18

19

20

23

24

25

1

7

Page 39586

submit that nothing turns on the contradiction on either

2 account. The SAPS is unable to make a submission whether

- 3 or not the death of Mr Sokanyile occurred in circumstances
- 4 excusable in law." So we do make that admission which was
- 5 not immediately apparent in the opening statement we made
- when the hearings commenced, and so too we say on page 59 6 7 in relation to the deaths of others.

8 Chair, can I step back and understand what SAPS

did in relation to koppie 3? Firstly we tend that the

evidence of Mr De Rover, not direct evidence, purely an

11 attempt by Mr De Rover to reconstruct the events and see 12

whether or not that reconstruction can help the Commission

13 better understand what may have happened. There was no

14 direct evidence in relation particularly to those bodies 15

that were found inside the koppie, and the De Rover 16

evidence was an attempt at trying to help us seek possible

scenario that may explain them. 17

> We haven't been able to get to the point where we say, in relation to those at least that there is sufficient evidence before the Commission to show that their deaths

21 were in circumstances that are excusable in law. We

22 haven't been able to get there.

> Then we're going to have to deal with the various issues, including the planning, but I would hope before we

get into the real evidence in relation to the operation

Page 39588

- officer test to measure all of those type of things. But
- if you ask me my instinct would go with Mr De Rover. A
- decision of that magnitude should enjoy the entire top
- brass of the South African Police Service if they're doing
- their work correctly. It's an important decision, it has
- 6 ramifications of note as we now know. And we can ask the
- 7 next question whether or not there was enough probing about
- 8 whether it should have been carried in the manner it was
- 9 and those are matters with which I propose, if you allow
- 10 me, Chair, to deal with tomorrow. But I thought I should
- 11 bring home that one. I have also thought perhaps I'm still
- 12 able to deal with what the evidence leaders deal with in
- 13 relation to the National Commissioner. I think the section
- 14 they're referring to about the removal of the National
- 15 Commissioner is section 8 of the Police Act, but I'm making
- no higher point than to say probably the section that they
- 17 may want to rely on would be 9. And Mr Mpofu I see he
- agrees with me. But, Chair, the warning that rings in my
- 19 head is that if anybody ever looked at the terms of
- 20 reference and the issues with which the Commission was to
- busy itself with, it must be most unfair that the National 21
- 22 Commissioner now all of a sudden is faced with a probe into
- 23 the fitness or otherwise of her office. It could not have
- 24 naturally flown from looking at the terms of reference that
  - that is the issue. And if she was better advised that not

Page 39587

- that we put aside, or deal at least in part if not properly
- with the issues like the decision to disarm. You recall we 2
- 3 deal with two decisions of the Provincial Commissioner that
- 4 people be disarmed on the 13th and another one that people
- 5 be disarmed on the 16th.
- 6 In that regard, Chair, our submission is if you
  - find that that decision is lawful then cadit quaestio. You
- 8 cannot then say it is also reckless. The two are mutually
- 9 destructive. Reckless decisions should not be outcomes of
- 10 lawful conduct, and it's cardinal that we make that
- 11 distinction because you look at the Constitution and it
- 12 says to you that look, you have a duty, Mr Police Officer,
- 13 to obey a lawful command. The only command that we, the
- 14 fathers and mothers of the Constitution tell you, you can
- 15 ignore is one which is manifestly illegal. Now there can't
- 16 be anything manifestly illegal by saying, as I say, there
- 17 are people who are bearing arms against the State, they
- 18 have killed state agents.
- 19 [15:46] They have killed security personnel, they have
- killed co-workers. In my submission, Chair and with the
- 21 damage that had happened at that time it is a lawful
- 22 decision which was given to the police. We can investigate
- and do deeper probing tomorrow as to whether the how, the
- 24 when that lawful command was implemented, was in the

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

25 circumstances reasonable and we'll be using the reasonable

- Page 39589
- even one of the terms of reference of that commission would
- be to do that, not that the legislation will permit that,
- 3 it will be an offence against a whole number of instruments
- 4 that deal with the removal of office of the National
- Commissioner. But assume it did that at least she would
- 6 have been forewarned, she would have known how to protect
- 7 her rights, she would have taken various other positions in
- 8 relation to this matter. So it's a little ambush, maybe
- 9 that's a strong word, but it's unfair that her fitness to
- 10 hold office becomes a subject matter of the Commission into
- 11 Marikana where her conduct is said to constitute a
- 12 misconduct within the meaning of that section. Enough unto
- the day is the evil thereof, Chair, if you'll permit me can 13
- 14 I stop here?
- 15 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry I beg your pardon?
- 16 MR BUDLENDER SC: We announced when the
- 17 program was settled that we'd start at quarter to nine
- 18 tomorrow.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON: I see, just as well you
- 20 told me.
- 21 MR BUDLENDER SC: With your consent,
- 22 Chair.
- 23 MR SEMENYA SC: Why, Jeff?
- 24 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will adjourn
- until 8:45 Mr Budlender, I suggest you listen. The

1	Page 39590 Commission will adjourn until 8:45am tomorrow morning.	
2	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]	
3		
4	•	
5	•	
6 7		
8		
9		
10	•	
11 12	•	
13		
14		
15		
16	•	
17 18	•	
19		
20		
21		
22	•	
23 24	•	
25		
	[ ] PER [4 ]	
+ R	As my tre o have	
	As The Title	
0	ming home, trave or to have	
y )	I goufty all you offer	

	1
A	39548:12 39550:6,14
<b>AAAA40</b> 39441:15	39588:15
abandoned 39576:1	acted 39513:8,9,11
ability 39527:14	39556:25 39566:17
39538:1 39570:9	39566:18,23 39568:9
<b>able</b> 39444:21 39459:3	acting 39449:25
39486:20 39488:3	39474:7 39545:15
39521:20 39525:23	39567:21
39526:11 39529:6	action 39451:9
39531:2,5 39534:5	39474:11,12
39535:24 39538:14	39480:15 39481:7
39539:18 39540:9,17	39483:3 39493:21,22
39540:18 39547:19	39494:10 39519:25 39545:17 39549:9
39552:22 39554:5	39578:11 39584:13
39555:7,21 39556:16	actionable 39508:3
39567:13 39569:22	actions 39502:3
39573:4 39577:7	39540:7
39579:11 39584:17	actively 39505:9
39584:25 39586:18	activities 39509:18
39586:22 39588:12	activity 39531:11
abridge 39550:24	actor 39572:1,3,4
absence 39509:23	acts 39503:25 39505:6
<b>absent</b> 39473:13	39512:21,23
<b>absolutely</b> 39434:1 39441:23 39443:11	39566:11
39455:15 39463:10	actual 39575:2
39471:15 39478:15	actus 39507:7 39509:8
39485:3 39489:23	39509:11,14,15
39497:24 39503:23	39510:3,6 39571:18
academic 39481:1	39572:11,14
accelerate 39461:9	ad 39464:18 39466:20
accelerator 39555:6	39466:23
accept 39433:23	add 39433:4 39437:23
39441:23 39447:25	39471:24 39494:3
39496:8 39533:13	<b>addendum</b> 39443:13
39542:18 39573:8	adding 39439:14
39581:13 39583:1	addition 39478:15
acceptable 39463:23	39557:1
39480:6	additional 39430:15
accepted 39437:22	39441:11 39496:25 39556:13 39558:12
39442:13 39447:24	address 39430:8,18
39450:13 39563:17	39433:6 39435:10
accident 39579:16,18	39447:23 39470:21
accomplish 39582:14 accomplished 39540:23	39471:8 39476:18
account 39439:17	39482:8 39484:1
39448:1,9 39528:25	39486:25 39491:13
39541:20 39585:24	39511:13,19 39512:7
39586:2	39513:15 39514:4
accurately 39453:23	39558:20 39564:24
39514:12	addressed 39433:12
accusation 39448:3	39441:20 39452:10
39449:2	39469:11 39473:3
accusations 39446:4	39489:25 39494:23
accused 39508:5	addressing 39511:8,9
39579:2,7	39540:6 39552:11
achieve 39459:3	adequate 39558:16
39464:5	adequately 39436:10
acquitted 39524:18	adhere 39498:15
act 39430:22 39452:15	adjectives 39439:14
39476:6 39482:23	adjourn 39589:24
39523:21 39524:5	39590:1
39528:12 39531:4	ADJOURNED 39590:2
39535:25 39545:21	adjournment 39465:10
39547:17 39548:4,6	39472:10 39505:24
The state of the s	39505:25 39506:2,4

```
ADJOURNS 39472:13
  39506:6 39533:20
  39565:13
adjudicate 39523:24
  39524:4
adjudicative 39527:21
admission 39581:19
  39586:4
admittedly 39477:8
adopted 39579:9
adopts 39542:21
  39579:7
adult 39440:16
adumbrate 39470:4
advance 39436:19
  39518:13
advanced 39452:25
  39560:14 39565:24
advancing 39490:15
  39564:12
advantages 39460:17
adverse 39514:19
  39517:17,21
  39580:15
advice 39499:19
  39512:15,15
  39520:18 39521:3,10
  39521:14,15
  39536:19 39573:17
  39573:24
advise 39573:21
advised 39538:13
  39588:25
advising 39521:11
advocating 39570:11
affect 39542:7
affidavit 39441:14
aforegoing 39507:24
afraid 39434:17
Africa 39526:12
  39536:6 39537:2
  39540:16 39565:21
  39576:22 39580:17
African 39571:5
  39588:4
Africans 39518:14
  39537:23 39540:15
aftermath 39481:22
afternoon 39481:22
  39487:25 39541:10
afterthought 39563:6
agency 39522:4,17
agent 39468:24
agents 39587:18
aggravating 39459:12
aggressive 39495:8
Agliotti 39513:3
ago 39464:25 39548:9
agree 39442:18
  39457:8 39504:14
  39558:5 39569:9
agreed 39464:23
agreement 39455:9,12
  39455:14 39458:16
  39460:16 39462:5
  39469:13,20 39470:7
```

39470:10 39475:14 39475:22 39493:10 **agreements** 39455:17 39456:4 agrees 39588:18 ahead 39467:2 39504:15 air 39430:14 39491:10 39517:22 39557:6,9 air-conditioned 39554:2 **AJP's** 39567:8 albeit 39443:22 39452:4 39454:14 39471:7 alia 39431:1,14 39452:23 alight 39534:4 align 39494:10 aligned 39463:8 39501:8 aligns 39494:2 alive 39444:23 39536:18 allegations 39503:9 39506:9 alleged 39514:21 39515:14 **allocate** 39531:6 **allocated** 39500:10 **allocation** 39500:18 allow 39529:22 39543:9 39567:15 39588:9 allowance 39475:3,9,11 39475:15,15,24,24,25 39476:19 39477:21 39477:23,25 39478:1 39478:2,7,8,11,13,13 39478:19,23 39479:10 39552:19 **allowances** 39477:12 39477:18 39478:5 allowed 39435:5 39570:23 allows 39537:18 aloud 39543:3 39545:21 alphabet 39547:11 alter 39512:16 alternative 39579:6 alternatively 39568:10 39569:10 39571:11 39584:15 alternatives 39552:18 **ambulance** 39444:14 ambush 39589:8 AMCU 39456:7 39468:10,21 39469:1 39470:6,15,20,25 39471:7 39472:23 39473:16 39480:2 39498:1 39523:10 AMCU's 39456:13 39472:7 amend 39469:20

amidst 39529:24 **ammunition** 39555:17 amok 39567:10 amount 39432:13 amounts 39511:14 **amputated** 39438:11 39438:22,24 39439:7 amputation 39439:2 **Andrew** 39444:13,24 and/or 39584:15 anesthetised 39536:13 39536:15.18 anesthetises 39536:16 anger 39458:3,5 39459:24 angle 39583:5,5 angry 39458:12,14 39498:14 Annandale 39563:8 **announced** 39589:16 **announces** 39460:12 answer 39434:4 39437:17 39453:18 39492:5 39502:25 39512:7 39518:8 39541:23 39542:2,3 39558:2 39569:2 answering 39523:7 antennae 39458:21 anticipated 39507:2 anybody 39493:22 39538:13 39541:20 39559:24 39581:23 39588:19 anyway 39434:12 39450:3 39478:14 39492:23 39497:3 39542:7 39545:9 39549:20,24 39573:11 39575:5 **Apart** 39523:2 apologise 39437:19 39465:3 apparatus 39483:16,19 apparent 39438:10 39586:5 apparently 39494:6 39566:3 appeal 39541:9 appeals 39481:20 appear 39433:12 39450:7 39491:6,20 39492:12 39514:1 39585:4 appearance 39438:8 **appearing** 39432:24 **appears** 39507:6 39509:16 39525:5 39547:6 39551:10 39561:14 Appellate 39512:2 **applicable** 39514:22 application 39574:4 39575:2 39576:18 applied 39456:4

39525:21,22 39575:3

				Page
39576:14	39556:23 39557:4	39549:21 39564:1,3,4	attempted 39516:21	39553:9 39555:10
applies 39477:9	39558:2,4,5,7,7,16,22	39574:9	39533:5	39561:22 39586:8
39546:18 39567:4,6,7	39559:1,25 39560:1	asking 39539:21,22	attempts 39548:23	backtracking 39553:18
39567:22	39560:24 39561:1,8,9	asks 39581:25	39549:2 39561:4	backward 39557:7
apply 39510:24	39561:10,24	aspect 39430:18	attendance 39508:16	backwards 39557:9
39518:19 39567:4	39563:12,17,21,23	39431:3 39437:12	<b>attended</b> 39461:23	Badenhorst 39513:21
39573:9 39574:17	39564:7 39576:1,9	39438:12 39445:25	<b>attention</b> 39435:20	39513:23,25 39514:8
applying 39512:17	39581:18	39451:17 39464:22	39438:13 39446:1	39515:1,5,11 39519:1
39574:20	arguments 39525:13	39481:4 39486:12	39471:12 39565:5	39519:4,8
appoint 39523:20	39542:6 39543:5	39494:12	attitude 39472:6	bag 39442:10
appreciate 39444:15	39551:23 39560:13	aspects 39431:18	39561:14	balance 39451:21
39511:10,11 39514:3	39576:25	39432:15 39434:5		
*			attorney 39514:3,3	39465:21 39488:21
appreciated 39434:19	argument's 39556:17	39435:7,22 39454:6	39519:6	balls 39534:8
39440:4 39454:13	39563:21	39462:22 39463:2	attract 39517:16	<b>Baloyi</b> 39532:7,7,10
appreciation 39431:15	arisen 39453:11	39483:13 39487:17	attributed 39572:11	39535:17,18
39469:10	arises 39510:5	39583:25	auditorium 39554:3	<b>barbed</b> 39538:6,10,21
approach 39454:16	39519:19 39543:7	assailant 39556:22	39583:9	<b>bargain</b> 39474:3,22
39462:24 39468:6	39563:20	39557:12	augment 39470:25	<b>bargaining</b> 39431:6,9
39469:6 39470:12	arising 39433:4	assault 39534:20,22,24	<b>August</b> 39430:11	39452:10,22,23
39471:4 39514:11	39462:10 39571:25	39535:9,14 39538:4	39431:19 39436:8	39454:8,16 39455:9
39537:19 39574:4	arithmetically	assaulting 39535:7	39453:11 39477:8	39456:3 39458:22
	39558:18	assegai 39533:7	39489:21 39506:21	
approached 39468:16				39460:9,19 39461:2,7
39495:1	arm 39543:19	assembly 39531:2	39514:16 39529:1,19	39461:13 39462:4
<b>approaches</b> 39462:17	armaments 39496:23	asserting 39488:25	39534:10 39540:5	39463:16 39465:12
39463:7	39496:25	assess 39557:17	39541:4 39542:5	39465:15,20,24
approaching 39554:12	<b>armed</b> 39491:6	assessed 39455:1	39578:3	39466:7 39467:13
appropriate 39432:22	39496:10 39541:4,23	39561:8	authorises 39550:20	39468:24 39472:1
39449:23 39463:10	39541:23 39542:3,4,6	assessment 39488:20	authorising 39531:12	39473:7 39475:11,25
39463:15,20	39542:9,10,18,25	39578:7	authorities 39523:11	39477:1 39479:24
39470:23 39483:4	<b>armoured</b> 39483:24	assignment 39553:20	<b>authority</b> 39469:19	39480:9 39481:6
39522:2,4,11,16,17	39486:19,19 39487:2	assistance 39431:1,11	39539:18,18	39483:6
39523:9,12 39530:7	39487:6,9,12	39432:13,21	39569:23 39570:13	based 39493:2
39540:8,8 39560:19	arms 39438:23 39439:8	39433:14,16	automatic 39553:8	39508:13 39511:7
*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
appropriately	39494:20,21 39539:2	assisted 39432:18	available 39430:5	39563:21,23
39456:14 39566:18	39539:15,25	associated 39578:1,17	39452:2 39459:25	39584:22
39566:24	39540:21 39541:10	associative 39581:18	39487:17 39525:4,9	<b>baseline</b> 39479:7,9,9,10
<b>approved</b> 39454:23	39541:14 39542:19	39582:16	39525:24 39533:15	<b>basic</b> 39458:2 39476:6
39455:5	39543:6,8,25	<b>assume</b> 39513:9	39547:21 39551:20	39478:22 39479:6
approximately	39544:20,25	39556:23 39564:17	39563:3,5 39577:7	<b>basically</b> 39557:19
39450:25 39532:17	39575:20 39587:17	39583:4 39589:5	39583:17,19	<b>basis</b> 39434:1,16
<b>April</b> 39460:11,11	arms-bearing 39554:21	assumed 39509:4	39584:14	39443:15 39444:2
39515:13 39517:25	<b>arose</b> 39484:4 39499:5	assuming 39516:5	avalanche 39570:18	39450:19 39452:4
area 39435:9 39454:11	arranged 39568:17,18	39543:18 39552:22	avenue 39459:25	39457:12 39463:19
39456:17 39490:10	arrangement 39436:17	<b>assumption</b> 39557:2	39547:2	39467:7 39476:18
39524:15	39466:7 39474:5	39559:7	avoid 39472:4	39478:24 39479:21
areas 39518:6	arrantly 39535:25	astute 39468:4	39526:12 39536:2	39482:10,15 39501:2
aren't 39459:4	arrest 39578:6	attack 39437:25	39538:19 39571:1,21	39509:5 39510:14,17
39469:16 39486:18	39582:21	39444:5 39445:4	avoided 39480:3	39543:25 39546:11
39499:16 39580:24	arrested 39514:20	39484:24 39534:17	39561:22 39568:20	39565:24 39571:6
<b>argue</b> 39494:16	39517:14	39535:14,20,20	avoiding 39497:15	39576:16
39563:14 39570:21	arrival 39532:21	39543:10 39585:9,12	aware 39450:18	<b>batting</b> 39513:23
argued 39576:4	arrive 39511:6	attacked 39444:7	39471:14 39479:13	battlefield 39572:7
<b>arguing</b> 39581:9	arrived 39447:8	39450:10 39460:5	awkward 39434:25	bear 39432:4 39453:9
argument 39434:15	arrives 39444:4	39494:19 39496:3		39540:21
39442:21 39445:23	article 39457:19	39554:25 39567:18	В	bearer 39449:24
39490:2 39494:12	39569:19	39578:4	<b>b</b> 39438:11 39490:10	39450:2,5,12
39496:8 39501:25	articulate 39527:14	attacker 39556:3	39491:2 39495:5	39469:15
39503:1,1,19	39537:8	attackers 39444:17	39555:4 39577:22	bearing 39430:6
39505:22 39507:13	ascribe 39511:13	attacking 39437:8,20	back 39430:14 39451:1	39539:1,15,24
39508:7 39510:12	39552:25	39439:19 39497:25	39454:22 39457:4,15	39543:25 39587:17
39512:3 39520:1,15	aside 39534:19 39587:1	39532:22 39534:16	39458:17 39462:7,20	bears 39452:20
39526:20 39534:15	asked 39437:14	39539:17,17	39465:18 39466:18	<b>bed</b> 39462:9
39538:8 39542:21,24	39449:15 39471:10	39543:13	39469:4 39475:1	bedrock 39452:21
39546:14,23 39554:8	39497:22 39502:23	attempt 39437:4	39477:23 39484:7	beg 39458:12 39461:16
39554:10,14,16	39518:18 39520:4	39534:5 39541:15	39528:21 39537:11	39502:18 39513:22
39555:19 39556:19	39523:6 39541:1	39586:11,16	39537:21 39545:3	39589:15
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

began 39487:20,25 beginning 39441:1	blurring 39482:13 board 39460:13	<b>Brown</b> 39518:19 <b>brutally</b> 39497:20	39509:10 39516:3 39552:5 39581:17	39458:14 39460:25 cathedra 39475:5
39446:13 39526:20	39466:13 39478:21	<b>Budlender</b> 39589:16,21	<b>camp</b> 39561:15	caught 39532:22
39526:25 39536:7	boarded 39533:12	39589:25	canvass 39584:2	causal 39509:14
39552:10	<b>bodies</b> 39559:10	build 39540:24	canvassed 39472:7,8	causality 39510:9
<b>begins</b> 39444:6 39453:2 39454:17 39466:19	39560:19 39562:4,4 39586:14	<b>building</b> 39540:2 39564:22	can't 39458:19 39465:5 39469:10 39470:23	causally 39557:17 39558:3,7,12
begun 39468:6	<b>body</b> 39438:9 39446:21	build-up 39480:17	39471:18 39492:1	causative 39571:22
39470:17 39481:5	39446:22 39525:22	<b>built-in</b> 39487:7	39493:2 39494:8	cause 39447:17
<b>behalf</b> 39503:1 39504:9	39527:21	<b>bulb</b> 39577:10	39496:15 39525:24	39449:3 39450:12
39514:1	<b>bona</b> 39459:1 39465:1	<b>bullet</b> 39464:15	39527:10 39533:11	39459:22 39465:4
behaviour 39582:2	<b>bonuses</b> 39475:18	39468:9 39556:4	39537:17 39541:20	39482:1 39491:17
beleaguered 39518:6	book 39477:4	39557:6,22,22	39543:25 39546:6	39501:23 39508:15
<b>believe</b> 39432:7 39434:10 39438:12	borrow 39457:18 bounds 39535:10,10	39558:16 39583:14 <b>bullets</b> 39436:20	39560:6 39563:14 39564:20 39580:12	39534:16 39571:22 caused 39439:1
39545:1,3,4 39551:19	boy 39447:12 39448:9	39491:24 39532:25	39580:21 39582:19	39572:19 39579:5
39584:1	brain 39444:9	39550:19 39556:4,10	39587:15	caution 39524:20
<b>believed</b> 39497:24	39577:10	39557:14,17 39558:3	capably 39521:18	cautioned 39551:13
<b>belongs</b> 39547:7	<b>Brakpan</b> 39516:23	39558:8,15 39560:18	<b>capacity</b> 39484:3,5	CCTV 39483:25
belt 39447:8 39456:2	branch 39469:17,19	<b>bulls</b> 39537:13	Cape 39537:21	cease 39552:5 39582:3
beneficiaries 39459:8	branches 39459:14	bundle 39462:20	Captain 39562:24	39583:8,15,20
<b>benefit</b> 39551:15 <b>benefits</b> 39460:15,23	39469:18 <b>brass</b> 39588:4	<b>bungled</b> 39537:4 <b>Burger</b> 39452:16	captured 39432:8 car 39436:23,24	ceased 39562:14 cell 39529:25
benefitted 39461:1	brazenly 39537:19	burn 39486:7 39497:25	card 39547:5,7	centre 39484:10
best 39459:5 39467:19	breach 39569:19	39498:4,21	cardinal 39483:22	39499:2
39518:16 39551:21	<b>breached</b> 39461:14	<b>burning</b> 39439:3,9,9	39587:10	ceremonies 39441:17
<b>betraying</b> 39459:22	39504:14	39444:19	care 39505:8	certain 39433:11
<b>better</b> 39456:17	break 39456:5	burns 39438:10	careers 39536:1	39472:2 39487:23
39458:19,20 39500:1	39457:14 39465:8	39440:17,17 39441:4	careful 39450:3	39501:16 39502:11
39519:23 39554:24 39560:9 39577:2,22	39480:13 39482:24 39483:3	<b>burnt</b> 39438:21 39486:7	39522:20 39524:21 39552:13 39566:5	39503:6 39518:6 39522:6 39523:6
39578:7 39586:13	breakdown 39453:25	business 39518:9	carefully 39482:20	39524:22,23 39528:9
39588:25	39454:2 39460:10,10	busy 39569:4,5	39535:13 39561:7	39562:6 39570:24
bewildered 39444:5	39460:18 39461:3	39588:21	39562:17	39571:7 39582:13
<b>beyond</b> 39450:24	<b>breaking</b> 39489:16	<b>buttocks</b> 39532:14	carried 39588:8	39584:19
39517:11 39536:13	breaks 39480:24	<b>button</b> 39551:8,9,15	carry 39522:1	certainly 39434:20
<b>Bham</b> 39431:25 39483:14 39486:12	breath 39441:1 bricks 39564:22	<b>buy</b> 39547:9 <b>buyer</b> 39545:23	39526:19 39559:14 carrying 39450:10	39468:12,25 39469:2 <b>certificated</b> 39455:20
39486:25 39487:4	brief 39431:19	buy-in 39466:17	39539:12	39456:12 39459:14
big 39432:17 39434:25	39472:10 39500:20	39470:8	case 39434:11 39440:4	39467:10
39484:14 39496:19	39514:4 39582:24,24		39445:9 39493:4	certification 39465:18
39503:14 39540:25	<b>briefly</b> 39443:22,25	C	39494:15,20 39507:7	cetera 39550:13
binding 39565:19	39447:4 39470:5	C 39490:10,23 39555:4	39508:9 39510:16	39582:11
39569:7 39573:18 <b>bit</b> 39433:5 39462:21	39500:23	39577:17,22 cadit 39587:7	39519:5 39542:21 39543:14 39565:25	<b>chain</b> 39443:11 39510:8
39472:5 39474:20	<b>Brigadier</b> 39560:10 39562:23,24	calamity 39581:25	39567:1 39568:15	chaired 39506:23
39488:16 39547:1	39564:13 39575:21	calculating 39583:16	39569:6 39570:21	<b>Chairman</b> 39519:7
39561:13 39568:24	39575:22,23	Calitz 39560:11	39573:10 39574:3	chairpersons 39459:13
<b>Bizos</b> 39518:3 39584:4	39583:11	39564:13 39575:21	39575:4 39578:25	challenge 39448:22
Blaauw 39485:23	bright 39504:12	39575:22,23	39582:3 39584:1,7,9	39498:20 39573:8
black 39440:16	bring 39460:13	39583:11	cased 39522:17	<b>challenged</b> 39458:10
39528:24 <b>blame</b> 39560:6	39472:22 39475:1 39539:16 39573:2	<b>call</b> 39437:1 39440:19 39440:20 39462:18	cases 39512:1,1 39546:21 39572:21	39495:11,15,16 39566:21
bleached 39451:20	39588:11	39478:18 39510:2	39579:2	chamber 39465:5
blindly 39484:25	bringing 39472:25	39517:9 39576:17	cash 39476:7	changed 39443:6
<b>blood</b> 39442:8 39551:7	39512:10 39571:25	39578:13 39582:3,4	Cassim 39496:17	39470:19
39552:21 39583:23	<b>brings</b> 39441:23	39582:23	39544:5,10 39545:14	<b>changers</b> 39510:2,2,6
bloodshed 39561:2,21	39517:11 39571:19	called 39446:3	39546:25 39547:3,9	39510:10
39566:14 39568:2,18	broad 39554:4	39477:19 39478:23	39547:10	<b>chapter</b> 39502:21
39576:6,8 <b>Blou</b> 39443:22 39484:8	<b>broken</b> 39510:9 <b>bronchi</b> 39440:21	39479:10 39494:8 39497:18 39498:4	Cassim's 39497:9 cast 39433:24	39565:2,8 characterisation
39484:25	brother 39441:15,16	39503:15,16 39517:1	castigating 39513:7	39448:21
blows 39494:6	<b>brothers</b> 39440:4	39550:14	casualties 39564:20	characterises 39451:19
<b>blunder</b> 39435:5	<b>brother's</b> 39443:6	<b>calling</b> 39487:15	catastrophe 39476:21	<b>charge</b> 39436:21
<b>blunt</b> 39496:11	brought 39438:13	39503:24	39476:24 39580:9	39514:18 39515:25
<b>bluntly</b> 39493:24	39460:16 39461:5	<b>calls</b> 39466:20 39485:9	<b>category</b> 39456:17	39516:19 39518:12

Pretoria

				Page
39518:21 39567:13	39484:10 39490:7	39561:16 39584:13	competent 39521:22	39519:13 39522:5,25
<b>charged</b> 39508:4	39567:14 39572:15	<b>comfort</b> 39435:18	competing 39530:22	39523:1,2,6 39528:21
<b>charges</b> 39457:3	closed 39572:6	39465:8	complain 39485:8	39531:8,21,24
<b>chase</b> 39507:25	closely 39431:14	<b>coming</b> 39438:4	complained 39507:8	39538:1 39540:18
39562:5	39454:13,13 39528:5	39455:24 39458:17	complaint 39455:16	39551:16 39553:22
chasing 39532:24	<b>closer</b> 39491:9 39554:5	39459:7 39466:18	complaints 39521:6	39555:1 39565:25
Chaskalson 39440:9	39582:5	39478:6,10 39495:5	complete 39431:4	39570:15 39571:22
39528:15 39529:22 39536:3 39538:3	<b>closing</b> 39511:5,14 39512:10 39513:14	39528:22 39537:20 39544:18 39552:23	39435:12 39532:5 completely 39521:12	39572:14,15,19 39577:25 39581:16
39564:3	39572:16	39553:1,11,14	completery 39321.12 completeness 39470:3	39583:1 39584:3
check 39463:2,3	clouds 39580:5,6	39555:12 39566:11	complicated 39469:25	39587:10 39589:11
39513:17 39540:15	<b>coffers</b> 39459:4	command 39499:2	39493:25 39569:22	conducted 39441:16
chest 39451:20 39533:4	coherence 39466:22	39549:8 39587:13,13	complicating 39468:22	39445:10 39469:16
<b>chief</b> 39440:15,23	<b>coherent</b> 39468:17	39587:24	complication 39563:20	39493:22 39510:19
39446:8,17 39458:7	coin 39534:6	<b>commenced</b> 39586:6	39571:25	conduit 39509:21
39469:14	coincidental 39459:12	comment 39433:20	<b>component</b> 39469:5	confer 39519:6 conference 39511:7
Chinese 39577:11,12 choice 39488:8	<b>cold</b> 39447:19 39583:16	39442:17 39449:24 39514:16,17	<b>components</b> 39501:17 <b>compound</b> 39479:12	conferred 39452:24
39552:17 39580:1	<b>cold-blooded</b> 39451:12	39515:12,13	compound 39479.12	confidence 39440:3
choosing 39551:21	colleague 39430:23	39516:10,11	39432:20	39457:13
chore 39529:5,6	39582:18 39584:4	39517:25 39518:3	comprehensively	confident 39433:8
Christmas 39456:5	colleagues 39432:12	comments 39431:24	39490:1	39435:18
<b>circle</b> 39573:2	39433:9 39457:7	39515:7	computer 39551:8	confidently 39437:6
circulated 39433:19	39504:14 39513:17	commercial 39456:24	39572:22	<b>confine</b> 39509:15
circulation 39545:8	39521:18 39529:15	39474:19	concede 39502:5,15	confines 39551:16
circumstances	<b>collective</b> 39431:6,9	commissioner	39562:2	<b>confirm</b> 39443:8
39435:10,14 39436:7 39437:21 39443:19	39452:10,22,23 39454:8,16 39455:9	39449:11,18 39477:11,16	<b>concept</b> 39575:7 39581:14,22 39583:6	confirmation 39443:4 confirmatory 39442:23
39445:5 39529:18	39455:12,14,17	39477.11,10	concern 39463:12	confirmed 39441:17
39531:12 39532:14	39456:3,3 39458:21	39502:13 39506:24	39488:16,18	39442:21
39534:8,23 39538:20	39460:9,16,19	39507:3,19 39508:19	39569:16 39570:1	<b>conflict</b> 39488:18
39550:7 39552:20	39461:1,7,13 39462:4	39508:20 39520:20	concerned 39431:13	conflicting 39524:20
39553:23 39556:12	39462:5 39463:16	39554:7,18 39562:10	39456:18,19	39585:19
39584:15 39585:7	39464:22 39465:12	39587:3 39588:13,15	39474:10 39502:8	<b>confront</b> 39506:3
39586:3,21 39587:25	39465:15,20,24	39588:22 39589:5	39510:19 39511:16	confrontation 39490:8
cite 39552:15 civil 39511:22	39466:7,22 39467:13 39469:20 39470:10	<b>commissioners</b> 39500:9 39508:8 39509:18	39516:25 39550:4 39554:6 39560:11	39496:9 <b>confuses</b> 39525:19
39520:14 39525:1	39472:1 39473:7,11	39539:14 39555:22	39569:18,18 39576:2	connected 39431:14
39569:21 39570:11	39474:4,22 39475:11	39573:16 39574:25	concerning 39430:13	39557:14,17 39558:3
39570:12	39475:14,25 39477:1	commissioner's	39430:18 39431:24	39558:7,13 39571:22
civilly 39524:24	39479:20,24 39480:9	39502:21	39433:6 39453:5	39573:5
<b>claim</b> 39494:18	39481:6 39483:6	commissions 39523:18	39454:8 39526:23	connection 39508:20
39570:14	collectively 39452:8	39523:21 39528:12	concerted 39485:6	39509:1,2,3
<b>clarified</b> 39477:18	Colonel 39560:21	39573:13,24	conclude 39455:7	cons 39552:19
clarify 39473:1 classified 39478:4	39562:17 39575:24 39585:19	Commission's 39573:21	39469:20 39507:23 concluded 39475:22	conscious 39444:20 consciously 39529:8
classifying 39499:9	come 39434:5 39445:21	commit 39535:11	conclusion 39473.22	consent 39589:21
clear 39435:1 39443:18	39455:4 39456:16	39566:11	39462:1 39470:4	consequence 39445:3
39446:10 39451:3	39457:15,25	committed 39512:23	39511:6 39512:17	39456:8 39474:21
39462:13 39463:18	39463:24 39465:24	<b>Committee</b> 39517:19	39513:14 39555:24	39484:1,2 39516:6
39467:3 39474:8	39465:25 39470:10	<b>common</b> 39469:5,6	39564:23	39534:11
39486:23 39493:11	39470:16,22 39474:2	39471:9 39482:1	conclusive 39524:11	consequences 39479:1
39495:21 39504:20	39474:15 39477:23	39491:17 39508:15	condemnation 39526:9	39534:14 39535:23
39509:9 39540:9	39478:1,20 39488:19	39571:21,23 39572:1	condense 39452:11	39535:24 39544:4
39548:14 <b>clearly</b> 39436:14	39490:23 39491:9 39498:3,22 39516:18	39572:21,23 39573:3 39573:5	condensed 39454:21 39498:8	39578:19 <b>consider</b> 39492:14
39441:11 39444:21	39529:10 39533:10	commotion 39583:12	condition 39438:9	39522:14 39523:9,12
39447:10 39448:2,11	39545:2 39553:13	communication	39475:12	39530:7 39565:11
39449:9 39461:12	39555:24,25	39454:2	<b>conditions</b> 39464:17	39575:4
39462:12 39483:20	39557:23 39584:16	companies 39455:4	39476:6 39514:22	consideration 39445:18
39516:25 39517:7	<b>comes</b> 39434:6 39444:3	<b>company</b> 39455:6	39580:15	39450:20 39453:6,9
39522:9 39542:11	39458:21 39466:6	39463:7 39473:8	<b>conduct</b> 39439:19	39459:17 39472:17
39546:14 39563:16	39473:5 39481:21	comparative 39574:8	39481:16 39483:3	39477:9 39484:11
39564:7 39585:24	39484:7 39498:18,23	comparing 39554:10	39507:7,8 39509:8	39520:3 39526:24
climb 39432:18 close 39459:19	39499:9 39538:17 39544:14 39546:2	compensation 39528:4 39569:21	39512:19,25 39517:18,22	considerations 39455:2 39463:19 39471:3
Close 39439.19		37307.41	37311.10,22	37703.17 374/1.3
	. , , , , , , , ,			

39479:16	39506:24	39468:14 39469:12	criteria 39528:9	39492:22 39542:4
considered 39543:16	continuing 39466:16	39470:6 39471:10	critical 39446:12	39545:8 39546:12,15
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
39549:4 39576:21	continuum 39452:3	39474:9,17 39476:17	39451:5 39455:18,24	39580:4
considers 39500:18	contradiction 39586:1	<b>Costa's</b> 39463:9	39456:10 39457:2,10	dangers 39478:4
consistent 39441:21	contradictory	39466:19 39472:19	39468:3,9	39558:21 39580:25
39451:24 39482:5,9	39561:13	39479:25 39480:1	critically 39473:13	dastardly 39529:24
39553:22	contributed 39479:19	couched 39508:12	criticise 39564:15	data 39475:21
Constable 39585:8	<b>control</b> 39546:15,20	<b>couldn't</b> 39495:12	39578:14	date 39460:11
constituent 39564:22	39561:23	39563:16 39564:8	criticised 39501:18	39461:18 39467:25
constitute 39510:3	controller 39568:13	39575:14 39576:5,13	39504:8	dated 39455:14
39589:11		,		
	39571:6	counsel 39456:13	criticism 39442:22	39462:25
constitution 39452:14	controller's 39571:9	39514:20	39559:22 39564:20	day 39436:11 39446:13
39452:21,24 39453:6	controlling 39567:24	counsel's 39517:23	<b>cross</b> 39437:13 39464:2	39447:3,17,21
39465:18 39524:25	controversial 39501:10	count 39551:9,15	39468:13 39495:16	39475:6 39479:12
39526:24 39530:16	39503:22	39554:22 39557:9	crossed 39505:13	39493:21 39498:14
39530:19 39531:9	<b>convened</b> 39506:22	39558:3,8	cross-examination	39498:17 39512:20
39533:10 39538:5	convenient 39533:17	<b>country</b> 39513:13	39471:10 39482:12	39513:5 39528:17
39540:13,17	convening 39522:3	39520:25 39529:21	39483:20 39484:8	39529:19 39540:11
39587:11,14	convention 39565:20	39529:22 39530:2,19	39495:11 39548:8	39554:1 39556:11
constitutional	conversations	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cross-examined	
		39531:22 39533:13		39576:15 39579:18
39465:19 39480:10	39505:11 39510:8	39537:15 39543:24	39463:1,5 39482:14	39589:13
39504:19,19,22	convey 39443:14	39551:5 39569:19	crowd 39485:19	days 39501:23
39523:19 39530:6,16	39527:23	<b>couple</b> 39451:9	crux 39506:13	39514:15 39528:22
39531:1 39532:4	conveyed 39503:17	39473:17	crystallised 39471:15	39536:23 39568:8
39533:14 39535:2	39504:4	courageous 39486:10	culpability 39510:20	<b>de</b> 39470:21 39536:2
39537:7 39550:16	conveying 39578:21	<b>court</b> 39465:19	39520:22 39521:7	39540:1 39561:10
39573:23 39582:15	conviction 39579:11	39504:19,19	39573:2	39577:11 39581:17
constitutionally	<b>Copies</b> 39436:3	39524:18 39540:13	<b>culpable</b> 39568:12	39583:6 39586:10,11
				-
39550:3	<b>copy</b> 39464:9 39549:22	39552:17 39565:19	39571:12 39578:25	39586:15 39588:2
constrained 39528:12	<b>cord</b> 39532:13 39533:6	39566:8,9,10,17,19	39578:25 39579:1,12	<b>dead</b> 39541:5,5,6,7,13
constrains 39531:20	core 39464:21	39566:21,22	cultivated 39464:24	39541:14
constraints 39531:23	39478:16	39573:19 39574:9,16	cultivation 39463:22	deadline 39432:6
construct 39530:15	corner 39490:11	courts 39525:1,1	39466:16	39434:3,7,18
39564:22	39495:5 39553:15	39552:15	<b>curbs</b> 39524:15	<b>deal</b> 39430:5,9
constructive 39468:16	39583:3	cover 39522:25	<b>cured</b> 39460:10	39431:18 39432:19
constructively	<b>corollary</b> 39491:23	39583:5	curtain 39551:24	39432:20 39433:2
39469:21	<b>correct</b> 39434:12	covered 39518:24	<b>cusp</b> 39484:19,20	39435:14 39443:21
construed 39508:9	39442:24 39443:1,4	covers 39583:4	customary 39548:1	39454:5 39457:6
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
39510:3 39551:1	39443:11 39449:18	<b>cow</b> 39451:20	<b>cut</b> 39441:19 39442:2,6	39463:4 39464:12
consultation 39456:21	39451:4 39465:15	co-exist 39530:22	39442:14 39443:15	39467:8 39474:14
consumption 39463:3	39472:2 39491:22	co-workers 39587:20	39507:25 39563:11	39477:6 39479:20
<b>contact</b> 39443:1	39496:5 39497:1	creates 39458:24		39480:8 39481:12
39458:8 39507:4				
	39499:21 39512:4,7	creating 39573:25		39484:3,16 39487:3
39509:4	39512:13 39520:10	credentials 39448:5	<b>D</b> 39440:15 39490:24	39495:2 39500:23,24
contain 39540:3	39520:24 39522:6,12	credibility 39442:7	39555:4	39501:3,7 39505:21
39556:8 39558:11	39537:1,17,18	39526:3	<b>Da</b> 39461:17,20	39506:1,8,14 39511:4
contained 39528:12	39539:13 39542:8,11	credible 39526:4,4	39462:23,25 39463:4	39511:5 39516:11
			•	
39556:12	39549:15 39550:10	crept 39517:2	39463:9,15,23,25	39517:13 39518:11
container 39490:11	39555:18 39556:20	<b>crime</b> 39518:7 39536:8	39464:20 39466:18	39518:18,22
contemplated 39549:9	39563:25 39565:4	39546:10	39467:5,5,16,23	39519:21 39565:17
contemplates 39550:6	correctly 39443:9	<b>criminal</b> 39481:16	39468:5,14 39469:12	39566:10,12 39570:1
content 39455:17	39460:11 39466:20	39483:3 39493:4	39470:6 39471:10	39570:22 39575:22
39466:13 39511:13	39481:24 39495:19	39511:23 39517:1	39472:19 39474:9,17	39581:14 39586:23
contented 39457:24	39518:9 39528:15	39520:1,19 39524:7	39476:17 39479:25	39587:1,3 39588:10
contention 39555:18	39537:8 39544:1	39524:18 39525:1	39480:1	39588:12,12 39589:4
<b>context</b> 39448:6	39557:19 39569:24	39529:24 39531:11	daily 39528:22	dealer 39544:20,20,25
39454:4 39456:8	39583:7 39588:5	39567:10 39569:25	<b>Dali</b> 39505:21	39547:12,13
39472:3 39475:10	corroboration	39570:22 39571:5,15	damage 39549:1,2,3	dealers 39546:19
39480:7 39485:14	39448:23 39449:17	39571:18,19,25	39550:18 39587:21	<b>dealing</b> 39449:12
39550:21	39489:24 39516:22	39576:22,24	damages 39521:21	39453:19,21
continual 39538:4	cost 39457:4,5 39475:1	criminality 39503:25	damned 39504:10,10	39475:14 39513:3
continue 39436:19	Costa 39461:17,20	39505:6 39512:22,23	danned 39304.10,10 danger 39442:19	39516:12 39531:10
Di II Derice				
39457:16 39472:17	39462:23 39463:1,5	criminally 39565:25	39553:5 39554:19	39566:16 39583:25
39585:15	39463:15,23,25	39569:17	39556:21	deals 39506:17
continued 39541:11				
Continued 59541.11	39464:20 39467:5.5	crisis 39552:17	dangerous 39450:14	39547:19,21
continues 39437:25	39464:20 39467:5,5 39467:16,23 39468:5	<b>crisis</b> 39552:17 <b>crisply</b> 39576:10	<b>dangerous</b> 39450:14 39460:7 39486:2	39547:19,21 <b>dealt</b> 39434:15

-				rage
39443:24 39444:2	39584:16	describe 39532:6	39568:3 39571:11	disaster 39579:7,10
39452:12,16 39458:5	<b>defend</b> 39450:11	39551:6 39556:15	39575:19,20	disastrous 39502:2
39467:20 39476:9	39471:1 39486:1	described 39436:22	39580:15	disbelieve 39507:18
39487:21 39503:9	39494:22 39535:9	39440:10 39455:6	die 39445:12 39451:13	discarded 39476:19
39511:3 39523:3	39541:24 39556:21	39456:13 39471:25	39530:2 39538:25	discerning 39498:25
39566:13 39569:20	39556:24 39567:18	39485:24 39550:7	<b>died</b> 39446:6 39485:12	discharge 39515:14
death 39438:1	39570:25,25	39569:24	39584:12 39585:7	39518:12,13
39449:12 39451:15	<b>defensive</b> 39538:12	describes 39438:8	dies 39444:25	39531:12 39534:10
39451:19,23,25	defer 39465:11	39446:3 39495:4	dieselfde 39536:5	39583:21
39452:6 39524:13	deficient 39476:25	39532:14	difference 39435:2,3	discharged 39555:17
39529:8,20 39534:17	deficit 39483:16	describing 39585:15	39527:22	39556:11
39534:18 39538:7	39485:11,21 39487:7	description 39440:13	differences 39535:16	discharges 39535:18
39541:17 39556:14	<b>define</b> 39497:10,10	39446:2 39447:24	different 39437:1	disciplinary 39534:13
39568:9 39570:24	39584:9	39454:14 39479:1	39439:10 39453:20	39538:16
39571:7,8 39572:17	<b>definition</b> 39476:5	39490:7,7 39495:15	39466:10,10 39472:9	<b>discipline</b> 39449:16
39572:20 39579:6	39551:21	39539:13	39478:9 39490:5	discourages 39556:7,9
39585:1 39586:3	<b>defuse</b> 39467:12	design 39571:23	39496:11 39502:14	discourse 39550:15
deaths 39435:15	defy 39555:1	39573:5	39502:22,25	discovered 39533:8
39451:16 39452:7	degree 39440:17	desire 39467:1	39542:21 39557:23	discuss 39457:22,22,23
39453:22 39552:24	39441:4 39553:25	39572:23	39563:22 39569:9	39472:1 39506:22
39576:16 39584:13	delectus 39570:12	despite 39538:15	39570:5,7,17	discussed 39430:23
39584:19 39586:7,20	delete 39515:7	39564:18	39583:10,24	39499:1 39525:17
debate 39433:5	<b>deletion</b> 39515:3	destination 39500:16	differential 39458:13	discussing 39527:15
39503:10 39519:15	deliberate 39485:10	destroy 39549:2	39458:24 39477:17	discussion 39448:25
39572:21	deliberately 39439:6	destroying 39549:3	differently 39481:17	39475:4,19 39502:23
<b>debating</b> 39517:23	39480:15 39499:25	destroys 39549:1	39527:18 39568:18	discussions 39434:23
<b>Debukwane</b> 39495:21	deliberations 39433:10	destruction 39480:18	39568:21 39577:15	39477:13 39550:25
39498:10,16	delict 39570:14	39485:17	39582:15	dismissively 39487:4
<b>December</b> 39455:14,25	delictual 39520:5	destructive 39587:9	difficult 39488:8	disorder 39533:12
39456:2,9 39457:7	39521:20	detail 39430:12	39527:25 39540:6	dispersal 39560:11,13
39462:5	delves 39504:25	39432:20 39437:21	39547:15 39559:17	39561:4
<b>decency</b> 39538:4	demand 39458:1,2	39438:1 39439:13	39583:25	<b>disperse</b> 39531:18
<b>decide</b> 39500:14	39460:2 39470:21	39440:8 39441:11	difficulties 39462:3	39560:12
39505:25 39542:14	39478:21 39494:18	39443:7 39544:22	39571:18 39581:11	dispersing 39547:19
39561:9 39574:16	demands 39454:18,22	<b>detailed</b> 39452:21	difficulty 39462:6	disposes 39483:23
decided 39507:11	39454:23,25 39466:6	39490:7	39477:5 39504:24	disproportionate
39508:22 39510:12	39466:8,10,24	details 39444:8	39552:25 39578:24	39555:20 39557:3
39568:4 39575:19	39467:7 39542:17	39544:22	dignity 39537:9	39558:14,17
decides 39472:18	demilitarisation	determine 39574:25	dimension 39466:5	disproportionately
decision 39451:7,12,13	39502:12 39503:7	determined 39475:4	39542:22	39556:25
39451:24 39456:11	demilitarise 39483:19 demise 39508:24	39485:15 39501:13	dimensions 39465:17	dispute 39450:24
39457:11 39483:18 39483:23 39485:18		determines 39481:24 detonator 39582:22,22	<b>direct</b> 39511:15 39520:2 39568:22	39481:18 39482:15
39486:11 39488:5	<b>democracy</b> 39530:16 39532:4 39533:14	developed 39453:12	39569:5 39570:11	39482:16,16,18 39494:25 39578:12
39506:25 39507:12	39537:7	39458:21 39474:12	39571:20 39586:10	disputed 39512:21
39507:21 39576:12	democratic 39530:2	development 39468:11	39586:14	disregard 39489:19
39577:25 39578:16	39532:3 39538:11	39469:21 39502:22	directed 39459:24	disrupted 39559:12
39579:20 39580:13	demonstrate 39446:9	develops 39471:19	direction 39495:6	disruptions 39531:16
39587:2,7,22 39588:3	demonstrated	deviated 39579:4	39525:16,25	disseminate 39440:21
39588:5	39498:12	devised 39474:5	39540:13 39551:11	disseminated 39459:17
decisions 39456:23	demonstrates 39461:12	39566:15 39575:11	39560:22	distance 39438:24
39457:9 39461:6	39508:17	39575:17,21,23	directions 39505:3	39444:19 39478:1
39587:3,9	demonstration	39576:3	directly 39440:20	39490:4,13,14
decisive 39451:5,6	39458:23 39548:20	devoid 39515:25	39460:4 39477:23	39525:8 39579:22
declared 39473:21	39548:22	39517:24	39478:10 39569:13	distant 39461:11
39476:14 39493:21	demonstrations	diagnose 39539:20	<b>Director</b> 39522:18	<b>distinct</b> 39452:19
deep 39445:15	39465:1	<b>dialogue</b> 39470:23	Directorate 39521:4	39471:7 39576:6
deeper 39587:23	denied 39516:19	diastole 39551:6	disadvantaged	distinction 39483:4
defective 39549:19	department 39514:1	dictate 39570:21	39518:14	39535:1 39560:8
39566:13,25	39517:18 39522:5	didn't 39437:18	disagreed 39489:9	39587:11
39568:23	<b>departure</b> 39452:25	39442:19 39443:2,14	disagreement 39486:14	distinctions 39478:25
defence 39494:8	39479:18	39448:17,24	disappeared 39585:23	39578:22
39535:19 39543:24	depending 39573:10	39462:11 39485:8,8	disarm 39533:5	<b>distressing</b> 39435:25
39551:17,18	depicts 39438:19,20	39485:20 39502:18	39587:2	39440:8
39566:18,19 39567:21 39584:15	derailment 39455:19 derailments 39464:25	39514:6 39554:12 39562:20 39564:6,9	disarmed 39587:4,5 disarming 39578:18	<b>disturbing</b> 39438:18 39535:6
39307:21 39384:13		37304.20 37304.0,7	uisai iiiiig 373/0.10	39333.0
				•

				Page
<b>Division</b> 39512:2	39567:14 39572:5,6,6	economic 39455:3	enjoy 39588:3	39441:8
docket 39449:12	39572:15,16	effect 39432:9	<b>enlarge</b> 39452:8	essential 39436:9
39492:10,11	doubt 39483:1	39436:20 39474:16	enormous 39432:6,13	39446:6 39448:19
dockets 39493:18	doubtless 39471:3	39478:17 39484:3	<b>enquire</b> 39453:4	39470:8 39473:17
39494:2	downwards 39467:22	39489:11 39509:14	39526:22	essentially 39431:11
doctor 39439:6	dozen 39485:15	39512:10 39517:7	<b>enquired</b> 39453:10	39438:21 39446:3
39440:22	<b>DPP</b> 39492:9,23	39525:18 39545:7	ensue 39460:17	39456:24 39462:3
document 39462:20	39520:4,22	39550:12 39575:10	ensued 39453:22	39469:24
39502:23	<b>drags</b> 39444:19	<b>effective</b> 39454:20	<b>ensure</b> 39433:16	<b>establish</b> 39510:20
documented 39487:20	<b>drained</b> 39442:9	39455:15 39545:6	39484:2,5 39487:12	39569:23
39487:24	dramatically 39470:19	effectively 39541:20	39488:12	established 39463:11
doesn't 39438:20	draw 39446:18	efficiency 39548:1	<b>entails</b> 39454:14	39467:18 39471:5,13
39448:13 39457:17	<b>drawing</b> 39483:13	<b>effluxion</b> 39528:17	39527:25	39508:10 39522:21
39466:25,25 39475:6	drawn 39446:19	effort 39511:11	entered 39455:9,12	et 39550:13 39582:11
39476:1 39478:12,13	39448:20 39450:21	eight 39462:7 39555:17	39469:13	etcetera 39448:13,13
39513:15 39528:11	39470:14 39511:19	39559:8	entire 39454:15	39457:3 39489:8,8
39542:22 39547:7,8	39565:5	either 39437:15	39456:2 39464:5	Ethics 39517:19
39555:9 39558:3,18	dreadful 39444:22	39503:23 39508:19	39466:24 39479:9	European 39565:19
39563:17 39564:7	drilling 39467:9	39568:6 39574:10	39500:11 39543:1	39566:21,22 euthanized 39536:8,10
39567:4 39582:11,22	drink 39447:19	39576:25 39586:1	39544:6 39588:3	· ·
39585:4 <b>doing</b> 39448:7,8	<b>drive</b> 39436:25 39437:5 39438:18 39486:22	elaborate 39454:20 element 39517:1	entirely 39441:21 39447:25 39450:13	evaluate 39485:4 evaluated 39454:21
39488:16 39498:15	39531:3 39555:2	39565:22 39571:18	39450:23 39470:22	evaluation 39443:20
39513:10 39520:1,19	39580:20	elements 39468:19	39487:21 39489:9	evening 39497:8
39523:15 39524:7	driver 39579:15	39521:20 39564:22	39493:9 39496:10	39498:11,20
39525:11 39529:4,8	driving 39489:7	39582:2	entirety 39470:17	39506:21 39507:11
39529:14 39530:4	39579:21 39580:22	elimination 39484:2	<b>entitled</b> 39478:23	39508:14,17
39539:1,1 39540:10	dropped 39474:22	eluded 39435:1	39527:20 39529:9	event 39439:11,22
39540:22 39543:12	drown 39581:2	<b>emanates</b> 39438:17	39535:7,9 39543:19	39441:8 39445:22
39543:13 39544:16	<b>dry</b> 39462:9 39564:20	embargo 39498:16,16	39544:15 39550:8	39451:16 39501:14
39545:16 39549:3	<b>DSC0357</b> 39438:17	embarrassing 39435:4	39555:3 39574:20	39507:1 39515:24
39568:20 39570:19	39440:14	embellish 39538:23	entitlement 39489:1	39516:15 39519:5
39572:10 39579:2	duality 39459:24	emergences 39449:9	entitlements 39472:24	39524:17
39583:2 39588:4	<b>ducking</b> 39533:5	<b>emerges</b> 39441:11	<b>entity</b> 39471:7	events 39431:12,16,18
domestic 39526:8	due 39567:1 39568:24	39507:6	entrenched 39468:25	39436:14 39437:11
39529:5,6 39567:4,8	<b>Dunn</b> 39518:20	emoluments 39494:18	entries 39458:14	39439:18 39450:8
39571:5 39576:18,22	dust 39551:24,25	emphasise 39549:10	<b>envelope</b> 39441:2	39452:20 39453:10
donate 39500:11	39552:12	employee 39541:5	environment 39431:8	39462:11 39470:19
donation 39500:16	duties 39515:15	employees 39430:10	39431:16 39454:1	39479:17 39484:3,18
donations 39500:13 don't 39430:25	39518:12	39456:15 39458:14	39460:7,19 39461:22	39487:18,24 39489:25 39497:8
	<b>duty</b> 39447:14 39486:1 39518:13 39525:7,20	39463:16 39465:23 39478:23	39554:2 39577:19 39581:15 39582:13	39489:23 39497:8
39434:6,10,13 39442:18,23	39527:25 39530:19	employer 39478:18	39582:17	39550:22 39551:8
39444:16 39447:13	39531:13 39536:6	39542:17	environments 39478:3	39554:10,11
39448:15 39449:22	39587:12	employers 39465:21	envisage 39466:25	39586:11
39450:14 39456:25	dynamics 39468:5	employment 39464:18	envisaged 39559:10	eventually 39575:25
39457:22 39476:17		39475:12 39476:6	envisages 39466:2	everyone's 39474:5
39481:9 39489:2	E	empty 39459:4	episode 39563:7	evicted 39465:4
39493:1 39494:12	<b>earlier</b> 39440:1	enable 39525:4	39578:3	evidences 39555:23
39495:14 39497:13	39518:3 39523:3	enactment 39573:23	equal 39510:25	evidence-in-chief
39499:13,19 39504:3	39547:18	encountered 39569:12	equalising 39465:20	39450:22
39504:4,10,16	early 39452:16	endeavour 39529:11	equality 39537:9	evidently 39437:4
39521:1 39528:24	39487:25 39529:2	ended 39533:7	equation 39466:4	evil 39589:13
39530:3 39533:25	39575:14	endorsed 39469:9	equivocal 39561:13	<b>ex</b> 39475:4
39536:10 39537:21	earn 39488:10	ends 39484:22	err 39584:3	exacerbating 39460:18
39538:22,24 39539:8	easily 39469:10	<b>endured</b> 39441:3	<b>erring</b> 39563:5	exactly 39515:22
39543:8 39544:5	East 39537:2	enemy 39572:8	error 39508:2	39524:1 39582:10,18
39545:1,6 39550:19	Eastern 39469:1	<b>enforce</b> 39466:1	errors 39538:15	exaggeration 39539:25
39551:19,22	39470:18 39537:21	39541:15	39567:2 39577:5	examination 39430:10
39555:14 39558:5,5	easy 39445:19,20	enforcement 39522:4	escalate 39510:12	39437:14 39453:23
39560:5 39562:8,8,19	39467:8	39537:10	escape 39436:21	39464:3 39468:14
39578:20,21	echo 39463:13 39469:4	engage 39468:10,21	eschews 39481:7	39495:17
39579:24 39580:6	39477:3 39479:25	39470:6 39485:21	escort 39488:5	examine 39446:8
39581:5 39582:19,21 39583:3 39584:3,6	39483:21 39486:10 echoes 39456:22	<b>engagement</b> 39473:25 <b>engines</b> 39583:12	39498:12 39543:11 escorting 39488:13	39467:19 39481:20 39483:17 39541:22
door 39529:7 39532:21	echoing 39433:5	engines 39383:12 enjoined 39522:2	essence 39432:8	examined 39454:13
uoor 37327.7 37332.21	echoling 39433.3	cijoiicu 37322.2	COSCILCE 37732.0	CAUTHINGU 37734.13

Page 8

				Page
39482:20	explains 39502:2	39490:6 39491:5	39485:10 39489:22	39542:14 39566:20
<b>examining</b> 39479:18	39526:7 39585:9	39492:11 39493:17	39499:10 39502:7	39573:10 39585:2
<b>example</b> 39481:20	explanation 39515:19	39495:15 39499:6	39510:19 39511:15	<b>findings</b> 39440:15
39502:12 39503:21	39541:25 39542:9	39501:1,21 39503:14	39516:15 39519:14	39453:4 39516:17
39510:5 39535:18,18	<b>explore</b> 39521:13	39504:14 39505:7,7,9	39519:15 39546:20	39523:7 39524:22
39546:24 39553:12	39543:1 39573:12	39507:10 39511:1,8	39550:4 39560:10	39525:16 39526:22
39556:2 39557:21	explosive 39457:3	39512:11 39515:19	39569:18 39576:4	39527:3,8,16,20
39558:15 39560:21	exposed 39580:23	39515:21,25 39516:5	39585:3	39575:18
39567:9 39570:22	<b>exposes</b> 39518:15 <b>express</b> 39432:22	39516:14 39518:8	farmer 39503:21	finds 39549:10
39571:7 39572:5 39575:12 39579:14	39531:15 39539:3	39521:4 39523:2,15 39526:7 39538:21	<b>fashion</b> 39441:6 <b>fast</b> 39495:7	fine 39483:19 finish 39499:15
39579:25 39580:19	39540:18	39541:8 39557:13	fathers 39440:3	fire 39441:1 39491:9
39581:3 39582:20	expressed 39584:6	39563:20 39564:1	39587:14	39534:12,16 39552:5
exceed 39535:10	expression 39459:25	39566:9 39574:3	fault 39527:13	39556:3,21 39558:15
excessive 39556:16	39531:7 39540:20	39575:22 39576:15	<b>faulted</b> 39463:25	39581:23 39582:1,3,6
exchange 39448:1	39541:21 39560:19	39580:13	39562:7	39582:8 39583:8,15
39449:10 39450:21	extensively 39463:1	<b>facto</b> 39470:21	<b>favour</b> 39464:18	39583:20
exchanges 39515:17	<b>extent</b> 39435:19	<b>factor</b> 39449:21	39493:22	<b>firearms</b> 39537:3
exclamation 39584:6	39436:7 39441:2	39450:17 39455:24	fear 39499:8	39549:12 39550:8,20
excludes 39450:20	39445:11 39453:14	39456:10 39523:17	feature 39459:12	39583:22
<b>EXCO</b> 39462:23	39453:17,19 39458:9	39578:17 39579:21	39460:18 39468:22	fired 39436:20
39464:11,21 39467:6	39495:2 39500:24	39579:22	39469:7 39485:23	39447:18 39448:19
39467:16 39468:2 39470:6,13 39472:8	39502:4 39512:24 39513:8,11	<b>factors</b> 39498:8 39565:18	<b>features</b> 39431:7 39442:23 39443:23	39449:3 39490:4,17 39491:10 39492:21
39470:0,13 39472:8	external 39438:8	facts 39452:2 39500:24	<b>fed</b> 39442:20,23	39492:22 39532:16
39474:15 39475:5,23	extinguished 39473:15	39501:1 39554:6	39443:7	39532:24 39542:19
39476:11,19 39479:2	extra 39434:8,9,17	39557:23 39566:7	<b>feed</b> 39471:3	39553:17 39556:5,11
39479:20	39459:22	39568:4,15 39571:3,4	feedback 39458:17	39557:3,6,8,11,14
excusable 39586:4,21	<b>extract</b> 39486:3	39571:15 39573:9,20	39464:13 39467:16	39558:17 39559:8
<b>excuse</b> 39465:7	<b>extracts</b> 39452:14	39575:18 39576:23	39467:24 39468:2	39561:3,7
39494:21 39554:9	extraordinary	39579:23 39580:24	feel 39434:13 39459:1	<b>fires</b> 39534:21 39535:5
39566:24	39506:21 39508:14	39580:25 39582:17	<b>feet</b> 39447:9	39556:22
execute 39507:11	extreme 39504:1	factual 39468:22	fell 39434:9 39533:3	firing 39491:18
executed 39575:14,15	39531:11	39494:24 39527:16	female 39568:7	39493:24 39534:15
<b>executive</b> 39504:21 <b>exercise</b> 39445:10	extremely 39580:4 extremeness 39444:25	39527:20 39539:21 39539:24 39573:10	<b>fend</b> 39529:12 <b>fetch</b> 39497:8	39534:20 39559:4 39561:20,22 39582:8
39454:15 39488:9	extrusion 39444:9	39575:18 39576:9	fides 39459:2 39465:1	firm 39473:8,8
39489:2 39531:1	eye 39551:14 39562:18	fail 39507:2 39551:17	field 39431:5 39457:7	first 39430:9 39435:9
39550:16	<b>cyc</b> 33331.11 33302.10	failed 39484:11	39519:11	39455:19 39461:3,18
exercising 39504:21,25	<b>F</b>	39518:13	<b>fifth</b> 39514:24	39461:19 39462:24
39530:6	<b>face</b> 39467:10 39525:14	fails 39479:20	39515:10,12	39464:13,15 39465:8
<b>exerted</b> 39505:18	39526:14 39537:15	failure 39485:3	<b>figures</b> 39475:21	39468:6,20 39471:20
39509:20	39577:13 39580:14	39508:3 39514:21	<b>file</b> 39432:4	39481:11 39487:19
<b>exhibit</b> 39438:16	faced 39552:17,21,22	39515:14 39518:11	<b>filed</b> 39500:20	39491:13 39498:10
39441:15 39447:2	39588:22	39564:16	<b>final</b> 39436:14	39500:3 39510:16
39501:11 39547:25	faceless 39546:24	fair 39432:20	39451:22	39512:19,20
39547:25 39548:3,6,8 39548:15 39549:18	faces 39570:24	<b>fairly</b> 39433:3 39453:18 39454:15	<b>finally</b> 39441:3 39453:12 39454:23	39515:16 39516:20 39542:1,2,2 39544:24
39549:24 39551:10	<b>facets</b> 39439:18 <b>facie</b> 39442:17 39492:5	39483:22	39469:22 39515:13	39552:2,9 39556:23
39553:12	39492:6 39508:9	fairness 39463:20	39518:11 39557:22	39557:13 39558:4
exhibits 39527:8	39510:16 39520:21	fall 39481:10 39551:16	financial 39455:2	39564:18 39571:6
exist 39511:17 39535:1	39524:7	39551:25	<b>find</b> 39440:11 39448:23	firstly 39438:5
39550:7 39568:3	facilitate 39478:6	fallen 39518:25	39495:12 39509:2	39446:25 39514:14
39579:23	39550:15	39519:2	39518:16 39525:24	39543:12 39544:19
exists 39546:21	facing 39448:3 39518:7	falls 39511:19	39532:8 39545:14	39586:9
<b>expect</b> 39434:2 39444:4	39551:11 39556:2	<b>familiar</b> 39440:18	39558:2 39564:2,21	<b>fissure</b> 39460:19
<b>expedition</b> 39497:24	39570:18	39518:20	39574:13 39575:15	fit 39476:1
<b>experienced</b> 39445:3	fact 39434:12 39439:9	families 39435:17	39576:17 39582:10	fitness 39588:23
expert 39583:10	39440:25 39442:14	39440:1 39488:11	39584:8 39587:7	39589:9
experts 39550:10 explain 39446:25	39443:4,14 39444:4,6	39503:13 39520:12 39521:16	<b>finding</b> 39440:22,23 39446:12 39493:2,2,4	<b>fitting</b> 39533:12 <b>five</b> 39430:10,21
39450:13 39483:10	39446:11 39448:3 39449:5 39450:1,9	59521:16 family 39431:1	39446:12 39493:2,2,4 39493:16 39508:2	39435:11,16,17
39520:16 39585:1	39457:20 39458:24	39529:12	39514:19 39517:12	39444:10 39497:18
39586:17	39462:9 39464:1	far 39441:22 39449:3	39517:17,21 39524:7	39499:14 39536:6
<b>explained</b> 39477:18	39471:6 39473:9	39452:1 39457:23	39524:12,16,22,23	39578:5 39580:20,25
39501:19	39474:3 39484:20	39459:25 39461:10	39539:21,24	39581:1
ARCHIVE FO				

	ı	Γ	ı	ıg-
Flagstaff 39457:21	39432:16	39501:4,5 39503:13	gambit 39466:14	39500:19 39515:18
flaw 39518:15 39559:1	<b>forms</b> 39479:6	39503:20 39505:21	game 39510:2,2,6,10	39520:3 39549:22
fleeing 39494:5	formula 39473:19	39528:15	Gary 39501:25	39553:23 39574:21
floods 39581:1	39475:3 39476:21,24		gathered 39451:2	39582:13 39587:22
		friendly 39499:21	O	
flow 39538:2 39550:2	formulate 39466:23	friends 39432:23	39460:22	gives 39441:2 39463:18
flown 39588:24	39545:2,10	39503:13 39504:9	gathering 39547:20	39572:4
focus 39445:2 39514:11	formulated 39518:13	39507:23 39508:7,13	39548:20,22	<b>giving</b> 39472:20
39576:20	39560:14	39508:22,24	Gatherings 39531:4	39543:4 39553:11
<b>follow</b> 39437:18	formulating 39466:8	39509:17,25 39510:7	39547:17 39548:3,6	39572:9 39577:14
39502:18 39513:15	39473:18	39510:16 39519:18	39548:11 39550:6,14	<b>gladly</b> 39433:14
39534:12 39548:19	formulation 39466:6	friend's 39501:3	Gcilitshana 39455:7	gleaned 39584:21
39562:11	formulations 39526:10	<b>front</b> 39432:17 39462:4	39458:5 39459:23	glibly 39525:13
<b>followed</b> 39512:2	<b>forth</b> 39494:19	39553:1,11 39554:11	39469:14 39471:25	<b>go</b> 39438:4 39440:9
<b>following</b> 39440:16	39502:24 39522:13	39554:13	39475:17	39444:13 39454:22
39453:3,5 39458:11	39572:7	frontline 39572:8	<b>geared</b> 39480:12	39454:25 39457:17
39464:9 39468:9	<b>fortify</b> 39433:2	frustration 39463:23	<b>Gegeleza</b> 39490:6,22	39459:8 39462:19,20
39498:14,17 39506:9	<b>forum</b> 39471:13	<b>full</b> 39432:20 39435:20	39491:1 39495:4,11	39463:13 39464:9
39506:18,19 39508:4	39476:18	39440:13 39446:17	<b>Gegeleza's</b> 39491:14	39467:20 39470:5
39526:21,23	forward 39432:6	39454:14 39483:8	general 39433:8	39473:18 39483:12
39579:18	39460:16 39473:19	39493:9 39519:20	39438:6 39439:25	39484:14 39488:3,9
follows 39453:3	39524:8	fully 39431:23	39445:10 39467:22	39489:8 39498:20
39464:14	found 39430:17	39433:12 39440:4	39505:10 39541:9	39504:16 39512:11
folly 39530:13	39446:22 39449:19	39458:6 39492:10,13	39543:10 39544:13	39521:2,3 39524:1
foolish 39580:13	39452:1 39507:10	39494:10 39501:19	39544:17 39546:23	39525:4,6,8,16
foot 39553:21	39520:13 39541:21	39501:19,22 39502:5	39551:3 39554:19,20	39529:13 39534:1,9
foothills 39457:21	39566:19 39586:15	function 39456:3	39554:20 39561:12	39537:10,21
force 39473:12 39484:6	<b>foundation</b> 39507:23	39488:5 39499:24	39563:8 39572:7	39538:22,22 39544:1
39485:15 39510:25	39508:12	39521:5,12 39524:6	39575:1 39578:14,15	39544:1,5 39546:24
39512:25 39529:18	<b>four</b> 39444:10 39471:6	· ·	generally 39431:12	39547:15 39552:13
39531:17,18,20	39487:25 39541:13	39525:1,11,11 39530:25	39463:17 39465:1	
				39555:6,8,14 39556:5
39534:7 39537:19	39541:13 39551:24	functioning 39462:3	generals 39505:3	39567:15 39570:24
39549:12 39555:20	39552:1,2,2,5,6,9,10	functions 39498:13	39577:13	39571:7,8 39572:23
39556:7,14,16	39581:3 39583:16	39504:25 39523:17	generation 39480:13	39576:12 39577:17
39557:3,15 39558:10	fourth 39514:19	39527:22	generous 39433:22	39577:25 39580:3,6
39558:12,17 39569:7	fracas 39445:23	fundamental 39461:3	gentlemen 39430:21	39585:3,5,10 39588:2
39574:12	<b>fraction</b> 39467:21	39476:11 39530:9	39435:11 39503:15	goes 39457:14
forces 39483:2	fracture 39441:9,10	39537:8	<b>genuine</b> 39448:7	39465:17 39478:23
<b>forcing</b> 39489:8	39444:8,9	fundamentally	George 39584:4	39489:22 39520:1
<b>foreign</b> 39569:6	fractured 39438:12	39479:15	<b>getting</b> 39449:4	39523:25 39528:13
foresaw 39568:8	fracturing 39466:21	Fundi 39430:21,22	39459:22 39472:25	39529:3 39537:12
<b>foresee</b> 39571:11	fragment 39442:7	39436:6 39437:1,15	39478:25 39479:13	39538:8 39540:12
foreseeable 39567:19	fragmented 39460:7	39437:24 39441:7,15	39503:4 39504:15	39555:19 39558:22
39567:20 39568:19	frames 39553:13	39442:9 39443:18	39513:3	39571:1,8 39580:2
39571:12 39579:8,11	framework 39452:20	39444:2 39481:23	<b>Gibraltar</b> 39566:11,17	<b>goggles</b> 39447:15,15
foreseen 39568:12	39461:14 39463:18	39483:17 39485:12	gingerly 39535:12	good 39435:13
<b>foresees</b> 39571:6,7	39468:18 39477:21	39486:4,20 39528:22	<b>give</b> 39431:22 39433:14	39443:21 39524:14
foresight 39568:10	39480:9,11 39481:5	39528:22 39529:2	39434:8,17 39448:17	39550:4 39561:9
forewarned 39589:6	39500:23 39501:9	39530:10	39450:3 39455:20	39563:22 39570:13
forewarning 39456:21	39502:2,8	<b>further</b> 39433:14	39466:15 39470:15	39570:14,16
<b>forget</b> 39461:7,7	<b>framing</b> 39577:8	39434:22 39436:1	39488:18 39491:8	39573:24
39514:6 39528:16	<b>free</b> 39434:16 39442:18	39442:11 39445:18	39499:7 39505:2	Gotz 39498:2
forgiveness 39539:9	39575:13 39576:11	39446:1 39448:25	39519:20 39522:8	Govan 39512:1
forgotten 39514:5	39576:13	39471:18 39472:19	39528:25 39530:15	governed 39453:14
<b>form</b> 39433:17	freedom 39537:9	39497:21 39508:11	39530:22 39531:7	government 39502:11
39435:15 39445:20	39538:5	39510:18 39511:2	39541:20 39542:9	39502:16 39503:2
39476:8 39477:19,20	frequently 39459:16	39512:11 39520:2	39560:18 39573:17	39522:5 39526:11
39516:23	39518:2	39522:3 39543:3,15	39574:5,22	governs 39501:12
formal 39430:25	fresh 39506:4	39561:3,3,7 39564:19	given 39431:1	grant 39479:21
39470:15 39471:8	Friday 39498:11,19	39573:7	39432:13 39435:14	granted 39477:12
formalistic 39469:24	39576:12	fusillade 39560:18	39436:4 39441:24	39505:15,16
formally 39430:22	friend 39431:25	39562:22	39445:25 39448:12	graphic 39528:25
101111111 J) TJU. 22	39445:6 39446:20	<b>future</b> 39493:4 39574:9	39464:13 39467:24	39553:12 39557:6
formed 30/136-18	ロー・シフェーン・U フフォナリ・ムU			
<b>formed</b> 39436:18	7 - 1 / 2 - 1 / 2	30571/-11	30/71-12 30/72-12	1 30558-15
39486:16 39561:6	39452:16 39482:21	39574:14	39471:12 39473:12 39475:17 39483:8	39558:15
39486:16 39561:6 <b>former</b> 39500:25	39452:16 39482:21 39483:14 39486:25		39475:17 39483:8	grasp 39468:15
39486:16 39561:6 former 39500:25 39502:15	39452:16 39482:21 39483:14 39486:25 39489:5 39491:16	G	39475:17 39483:8 39485:18 39490:7	<b>grasp</b> 39468:15 <b>grass</b> 39454:19
39486:16 39561:6 <b>former</b> 39500:25	39452:16 39482:21 39483:14 39486:25		39475:17 39483:8	grasp 39468:15

20545-0-20561-17	h 20451.0
39545:9 39561:17	<b>happen</b> 39451:8
<b>gratitude</b> 39432:22	39457:17 39470:20
grave 39540:4,5	39527:4 39559:13
gravel 39585:12	39560:24 39564:1
gravity 39539:19	39574:1 39575:16
great 39432:21	happened 39436:15
39450:18 39497:3	39440:3 39442:1
39516:11 39523:5	39443:18 39447:4
39539:6	39457:22 39458:3
<b>greatest</b> 39495:15	39460:20 39462:14
grenade 39532:19	39462:15 39472:3
39535:19	39473:20,24 39480:4
grocery 39572:25	39481:23 39483:17
ground 39467:14,15,17	39485:9 39487:20
39467:18,23	39492:8 39495:16
39498:24 39533:3	39501:23 39512:20
39572:1 39573:3	39513:5 39515:23
<b>group</b> 39451:11	39532:7 39552:25
39456:15 39467:6	39558:24 39559:11
39489:15 39491:1	39559:12 39560:8,10
39494:7 39533:1	39560:20 39563:13
39539:16 39540:2,10	39563:16,25 39564:8
39543:11,21	39564:9 39579:19
,	39580:10 39586:13
39551:12 39554:12	
39554:12,14	39587:21
39585:11	<b>happening</b> 39437:23
groups 39466:23	39439:24 39448:11
<b>Guardian</b> 39540:12	39469:22 39505:5,8
guess 39541:2,3	39507:22 39517:1
guidelines 39453:7	happens 39448:14
39526:25	39450:23 39452:4
	39455:11 39457:13
guilty 39566:20	
39568:6 39572:24	39457:18 39459:18
<b>gunshot</b> 39491:21	39459:21 39460:22
<b>guys</b> 39505:8	39461:12,15 39466:9
Gwala 39516:25	39471:20 39473:14
	39476:25 39484:16
H	39485:5 39489:14
habit 39529:15	39490:8 39523:21
hack 39534:17	39537:11 39552:12
39543:23	39571:9 39572:25
hacked 39441:14	39583:16
39529:20	happy 39457:23
<b>hacking</b> 39534:18	39472:1 39500:11
hadn't 39443:24	<b>harbour</b> 39578:10
39541:14,15	<b>hard</b> 39438:17
39559:11 39562:14	39458:19 39584:8
hair 39537:25	hard-pressed 39432:5
half 39441:19 39443:14	hark 39528:21
39444:23 39478:22	Hartford 39457:19
39478:24 39487:25	hasn't 39546:1
39581:4	39574:12
halted 39558:23	hasten 39433:23
39559:2,23,25	<b>hat</b> 39569:13
<b>halting</b> 39562:7	haven't 39434:9,14
hand 39436:23	39435:24 39459:5
39485:25 39486:2	39483:6 39492:13
39500:15 39509:11	39556:10 39569:12
39532:13 39546:19	39578:18 39584:17
39567:23,25 39568:1	39585:2 39586:18,22 hazard 39541:2,3
39570:24 39571:9	
210 (10)	
handed 39548:2	head 39454:25 39487:6
handing 39448:21,21 hands 39443:19	

```
headquarters 39570:23
  39572:9
heads 39432:14.18.21
  39483:8 39484:23
  39488:6 39489:9
  39490:2 39494:24
  39495:3 39496:8
  39500:20,21
  39501:24 39503:10
  39503:10 39504:15
  39504:17 39505:21
  39506:10,14,15,18,18
  39507:24 39510:1
  39511:4 39519:18
  39533:25 39539:3,6
  39539:22 39552:14
  39584:5 39585:6
hear 39500:15 39505:5
  39561:17 39581:18
  39582:6 39583:15,19
heard 39441:22
  39445:6 39447:9
  39463:8 39485:14
  39491:15 39516:2
  39551:23 39562:4,22
hearing 39541:21
  39573:21
hearings 39586:6
hears 39498:18
hearsay 39458:9
  39489:20 39499:10
  39500.1
heart 39445:16
  39528:13
heat 39478:2
heated 39478:3
heavily 39496:10
heavy 39444:12
height 39438:6
held 39461:22
  39497:14 39566:8,9
  39566:10,17
helicopters 39583:13
help 39526:12
  39531:14 39543:4,4
  39554:5 39555:23,24
  39582:14 39586:12
  39586:16
helpful 39512:15
  39514:11 39557:25
helpfully 39440:10
helping 39581:21
helps 39536:2
Hemraj 39449:11,19
  39477:11,16
  39562:10
here's 39450:11
  39476:19 39478:13
he'd 39442:20
he's 39430:24 39444:5
  39448:13 39458:8
  39499:4,8 39501:16
  39512:19,24 39513:8
  39513:13 39522:10
```

```
39553:17 39554:13
  39556:20 39567:11
  39570:23 39572:8,10
  39580:1,2
high 39499:23 39525:6
  39579:21
higher 39539:16
  39563:2 39567:16
  39588:16
highest 39524:9
  39525:3
highlight 39431:7
  39433:3 39454:17
  39483:10 39514:11
  39514:12
highlighted 39430:19
highlighting 39432:15
highlights 39432:2
  39464:21
hinders 39548:21
hindsight 39558:21
  39559:24
hippos 39538:25
historical 39477:24
historically 39477:24
  39518:14
history 39436:9
  39440:16
hit 39533:2 39537:2
  39557:1,13
hits 39556:22
hoc 39464:18 39466:20
  39466:23
hold 39437:9 39480:2
  39544:5 39565:24
  39582:9 39589:10
holding 39527:6
  39561:22
holds 39526:14
holed 39561:5
holistic 39488:19
home 39497:8
  39539:16 39552:22
  39564:20 39571:19
  39572:22 39588:11
homicidal 39567:10
  39570:22
homicide 39568:12
  39571:12 39578:25
  39578:25 39579:1,12
honest 39554:23
honourable 39500:9
  39514:1 39515:16
hoops 39540:6
hope 39480:1 39483:6
  39486:21 39490:1
  39520:16 39528:2,2,3
  39540:11,14
  39542:24 39559:21
  39586:24
                        immerse 39553:5,20
hopefully 39457:15
                        imminent 39553:9
  39471:22 39540:12
hoping 39555:21
                        immovable 39549:4
```

39545:4.15.16.16.25

39546:8 39547:4.5

horrible 39451:16.16 39451:17 39537:23 horror 39528:16.19 hose 39527:18 host 39530:11 39555:22 hostile 39449:6,7 hostility 39449:2 39450:8,14 39454:1 hour 39529:5 39580:21 39581:1 **hovering** 39583:13 huge 39466:3 39539:13 human 39432:25 39528:5 39530:9 39537:9 39565:19 39566:22,22 39582:1 39583:18 hurdle 39581:23 hurting 39538:12 **husbands** 39440:3

ID 39544:12,23 idea 39438:20 39441:2 39475:8 39547:24 ideas 39546:13 39574:11  $identified\ 39468:20$ 39484:20 39498:7 **identify** 39445:17 39458:25 39524:12 **identity** 39544:23 39547:5 **ignite** 39462:10 **ignition** 39437:4,9,10 **ignore** 39474:16 39587:15 **ignoring** 39552:6 ii 39549:1,9 ill 39538:13 illegal 39587:15,16 illustrate 39556:1 39559:24 illustrates 39558:21 illustration 39467:3 image 39445:20 **imagine** 39487:5 39527:3 39544:22 39548:5 39555:2 imbue 39569:25 **immediate** 39462:15 39463:22 39481:22 39553:6 **immediately** 39444:16 39458:25 39459:1,25 39462:11.12 39466:21 39470:14

hands 39443:19

39488:17,23,25 hanging 39486:4

39533:2 39567:11

39588:19

headed 39448:4

39526:4 39529:8

39533:15 39544:21

horns 39451:20

39474:9 39483:13

39523:18 39562:2

39586:5

39556:2,21

immune 39475:24

				Page 1
<b>impact</b> 39457:10	incidental 39445:23	inferences 39446:18	instruction 39430:25	investigated 39492:10
39516:17	39456:6 39480:20	39512:9	39524:1	39492:13 39494:1,9
Impala 39455:11,18	39499:11	<b>inflicted</b> 39445:21	instructions 39572:5,9	39513:6 39520:3,21
39456:10,15	incidentally 39446:11	influence 39480:16	instructive 39523:22	39523:5
39457:17,24 39458:3	39467:2 39478:17	39503:9,18 39506:9	instrument 39439:2	investigation 39435:13
39458:8,9,13,19	39499:4	39514:18 39516:4,18	39550:14	39492:12,15
39459:14,18,24	incidents 39563:9	influenced 39516:6	instruments 39520:25	39508:11 39510:18
39460:12,21	include 39440:23	39517:5	39589:3	39511:2 39517:18
39461:12,16 39462:2	39523:10	inform 39468:10	integrity 39467:13	39522:3 39523:1
39462:11,14	included 39495:19	39469:21	intellectual 39573:7	39524:15 39544:4
39473:24 39474:18	includes 39460:14,15	informal 39543:13	intend 39436:1,4	investigations
39478:20	39476:7	39585:11,22,23	39439:14 39516:1	39493:19 39527:6,7
imperatives 39480:11	including 39445:14	information 39442:20	39573:6	investigative 39521:4
implement 39507:21	39463:2,5 39510:11	39443:21 39483:25	<b>intended</b> 39496:12	39525:11,22
implementation	39549:12 39552:16	39484:25 39492:14	39516:14 39530:21	39527:21
39501:15 39508:23	39586:24	39498:3,13,22	39550:15 39559:13	invidious 39485:24
implemented 39501:16	inconsistently	39504:3 39505:6	intention 39474:8	invitation 39563:2
39502:12,17,24	39448:16	39560:21 39562:8	39497:25 39500:10	invite 39564:12
39503:5,7 39507:15	incorporated 39574:12	39563:3,4 39565:6	39500:20 39548:24	39581:16
39566:16 39576:5,7,7	incorrect 39482:25	<b>informed</b> 39497:16	39549:3 39550:17	invited 39555:25
39576:12 39587:24	39498:6 39502:16	39499:6 39504:2	inter 39431:1,14	39577:20
<b>implicit</b> 39473:9	39565:22	39507:12,13,14,20	39452:22	inviting 39564:14
implicitly 39442:25	increase 39454:1	39585:13	interact 39447:21	involved 39445:15
implies 39431:16	39456:12,13,14,20	ingredients 39473:18	interaction 39436:16	39446:24,25 39461:8
imponderables	39457:25 39459:9	initial 39507:16	39448:25 39464:22	39470:1 39472:21
39555:23 39556:15	39461:4 39466:12,13	initially 39463:9	39470:25 39471:4,5	39473:4 39481:10
importance 39472:24	39478:11,21 39479:5	<b>initiative</b> 39434:5,6	39472:25 39473:15	involvement 39517:15
39481:3 39565:11	39479:7,8 39494:18	injure 39548:24	39480:5 39514:14	involves 39465:13
<b>important</b> 39431:3,7	increased 39460:15	injured 39444:11	interactions 39467:5	<b>in-principle</b> 39474:14
39432:15 39435:11	39475:16 39496:24	39489:23 39491:21	interactive 39479:21	<b>IPID</b> 39523:15
39435:16 39440:6	increases 39460:13	39514:20 39520:12	interchange 39508:6,7	39560:20 39562:23
39441:6 39444:14	39464:17 39479:12	39521:16	<b>interest</b> 39479:12	39564:14
39447:6,25 39452:19	<b>indebted</b> 39543:18	<b>injures</b> 39517:14	39516:7 39523:24	IRA 39566:10
39453:8 39454:12	independent 39443:17	39548:23	39530:22	irregular 39458:23
39459:11 39462:16	39516:23 39521:3	injuries 39441:9,18	interested 39520:23	irrelevant 39518:9
39464:6,20 39465:17	independently 39521:6	39444:16 39451:18	39526:3,9,13	irresponsible 39517:9
39469:7,9,13	<b>indicated</b> 39468:14,15	39492:8 39494:1	39580:16	isn't 39475:10,25
39475:23 39477:17	39483:3 39488:22	39508:25 39528:14	interesting 39461:16	39493:8 39534:22,24
39479:4 39480:7	39516:20	39532:15	39516:22 39569:8,10	39558:13 39572:8
39494:13 39501:21	indicates 39440:24	injuring 39548:25	39572:20	39574:24
39526:5 39546:14	39492:18	injury 39581:25	interface 39479:16,17	isolate 39557:25
39550:21 39556:13	indication 39443:7	innocent 39497:23	39489:3	isolated 39476:12,13,14
39565:6,18,22	indirectly 39546:10	inoperative 39576:10	interferes 39548:21	issue 39448:5 39449:14
39577:18 39584:1	individual 39451:11 39517:17 39523:11	input 39470:9	interfering 39482:18 international 39526:8	39452:10 39481:15 39481:15,15,18
39588:5 imports 39562:2	39556:14 39566:23	inputs 39575:12 inquest 39524:5,6,11	39576:19	39482:13 39501:6,9
imposed 39454:19	39579:22	39524:16 39566:17	interpret 39503:18	39503:14,21
imposing 39553:3	individuals 39528:10	39566:19	interpretation	39506:17 39510:5,10
impossible 39486:8	39531:25 39584:19	inquiry 39453:19	39441:21 39476:23	39512:22 39518:25
39503:19,19	induced 39492:1	39516:17 39521:11	39511:12	39549:11 39573:8
improve 39569:3	indulged 39513:17	39522:4 39523:23	interrupt 39492:4	39588:25
impugned 39550:3	industrial 39473:6	39525:20 39582:9	39499:13 39521:22	issues 39456:6
impute 39554:1	39474:11 39481:18	insert 39457:3	39543:2 39544:6	39458:22 39482:8
39560:3	39545:17	inside 39586:15	<b>interruption</b> 39430:13	39500:23 39501:8
<b>imputing</b> 39563:6	industry 39517:6,7	insight 39469:23	intersection 39490:9	39519:12,21 39525:2
39571:25	ineffective 39549:11	insofar 39434:5	intervene 39503:25	39569:21 39576:9
inadvertent 39472:5	39550:9	<b>instance</b> 39475:13	intervening 39451:5,6	39586:24 39587:2
inadvisable 39493:3	inevitably 39466:4	39482:15 39524:5,12	interview 39516:24	39588:20
inappropriate	39473:1	39535:17 39585:6	intimidate 39445:11	item 39565:6
39549:11	inexcusable 39485:3	instant 39437:7	39480:25	<b>I'd</b> 39481:5 39494:11
inaudible 39436:2	inexorable 39450:21	<b>instantly</b> 39458:1	intimidation 39487:20	39494:14 39495:10
39494:5 39527:11	inexorably 39432:7	<b>instinct</b> 39588:2	39489:14 39541:16	39506:3 39545:9
39530:5 39549:14,17	infer 39437:6 39512:11	<b>instincts</b> 39582:14	investigate 39475:20	39546:2 39548:17
39552:4	inference 39448:19	institution 39522:21	39521:5 39523:24,25	39561:17 39562:16
incident 39446:24	39450:3 39511:19,24	39530:18	39524:3,6 39525:7,20	39580:16
39585:20	39511:25 39512:8	instructing 39514:2	39577:20 39587:22	<b>I'll</b> 39436:11 39443:19
ARCHIVE FO	R LUSTICE	l		<u> </u>

13th November 2014

				Page <sup>2</sup>
39463:13 39477:22	<b>June</b> 39461:17 39462:1	39535:6 39544:10	larynx 39440:20	39537:14 39538:2
39496:16 39505:24	39462:24,25	39545:14,24	late 39563:8	39554:21,21
39507:16 39534:3	39463:24 39468:6	39546:11,18,19,21	latent 39467:12	leaves 39452:5 39529:7
39548:18 39558:25	39472:7 39477:9,9	39573:22 39574:10	law 39457:8 39482:3	led 39448:4 39454:1
39569:1 39571:3	39480:2	kisses 39529:7	39488:17,23,24	39458:16 39482:13
39580:22,23	justice 39553:19	knee 39438:23	39511:12,25	39485:11 39508:24
39583:25	justification 39584:20	kneejerk 39571:16,24	39513:12 39522:4,21	39566:14 39579:10
<b>I've</b> 39430:23 39435:11	39585:4	knew 39447:7	39530:17,21,25	<b>left</b> 39447:18,24
39441:22 39444:2	justified 39510:23	39558:25 39559:6,7,9	39531:20 39533:10	39493:13 39494:13
39446:11 39463:4	39534:22	39559:10 39562:6,11	39534:6 39535:2	39517:22,24
39474:17 39477:18	justify 39510:21	39563:13,24 39564:6	39537:10 39539:19	39532:12,13
39487:14 39493:8	39525:5,15 39585:1	39564:14,17,18	39544:4 39545:15	39567:14,25 39568:1
39497:16 39522:11		<b>knobkieries</b> 39495:9,22	39550:4,20 39551:2,2	39568:5 39571:2
39546:5,7,7,21	K	knocking 39529:4	39554:4 39555:3	legal 39521:15
39547:24 39548:14	<b>Kalahari</b> 39503:20,21	knowing 39435:18	39556:7,9 39558:10	39531:15 39565:24
39566:2,4 39576:23	<b>Karee</b> 39461:22,23	39529:10	39566:9 39567:4,8	39569:12 39574:16
39577:5	39462:17 39463:7	knowledge 39443:17	39569:3,9,13	legally 39550:15
<b>i.e</b> 39503:7 39504:24	39469:2,3,10	39552:24 39562:2,3	39570:12,16 39571:5	legislation 39453:7
39522:16	39470:22 39471:8	known 39442:14	39571:19 39573:1,14	39526:24 39573:22
	39472:23	39446:22 39450:1	39573:15,17,25	39589:2
<u>J</u>	<b>keep</b> 39475:2 39476:12	39487:7,8,10 39538:9	39574:3,6,7,13,13,20	legislatively 39526:12
<b>ja</b> 39467:22 39488:15	39494:7 39500:14	39554:24 39589:6	39576:18,22,22	legislature 39550:13
39535:4 39581:19	39540:15 39543:7	knows 39447:10,11,12	39580:17 39582:15	legs 39438:23 39439:8
jail 39513:3	39544:11,21	39448:10,10	39586:4,21	lends 39442:6
Janse 39444:11,17,21	39553:25 39561:23	39449:15 39455:12	lawful 39466:2 39531:7	length 39523:5
<b>January</b> 39434:18	keeping 39467:22	39456:22 39520:24	39531:23 39534:23	lens 39460:9
39457:25	39494:22	<b>koppie</b> 39442:11	39545:5 39546:17	lethal 39495:24
jaw 39441:10 39444:10	keeps 39540:14	39446:23 39448:7	39587:7,10,13,21,24	39529:18 39531:13
Jeff 39589:23	<b>kept</b> 39444:12 39507:12	39450:6 39451:1 39452:1 39494:20	lawfully 39567:21 lawyer 39527:25	letter 39547:11 let's 39457:14
<b>job</b> 39432:5 <b>JOC</b> 39558:22,25	key 39460:8,9 39461:18	39541:11 39560:13	39528:5	39528:17 39535:17
39559:22,25 39560:3	39471:23 39473:5	39560:22,25 39561:5	lay 39569:13,14	39541:22 39556:22
39560:18 39562:3,3,4	keys 39436:23 39437:9	39561:6,23 39586:9	39575:20,20	39575:5 39578:2,25
39562:11,22	kicking 39533:5	39586:15	lead 39572:17	level 39438:23
39563:10,13	<b>kill</b> 39444:16 39489:16	koppies 39562:12	leaders 39432:24	39453:14 39454:18
39565:25 39570:15	39517:25 39529:25	kraal 39537:13	39450:7 39467:6,9	39454:19 39455:16
<b>JOCCOM</b> 39575:19	39529:25 39535:8	39553:15	39501:6,8 39504:18	39468:10 39469:8,11
Joe 39503:23 39525:10	39537:22 39548:24	<b>Kriegler</b> 39570:4	39511:4 39512:13	39469:12,14,17
Johannesburg 39580:1	39550:17	<b>Kuhn</b> 39553:13,21	39564:13 39588:12	39470:1,9,11,15
join 39582:7	killed 39430:10	39554:13 39555:9	leading 39446:20	39471:16 39472:20
joint 39436:7 39439:3	39437:24 39439:22	Kwadi 39488:15,15	39532:15	39473:17 39480:3
39475:19 39476:18	39441:8 39446:5	<b>K4</b> 39444:5	leads 39440:20 39579:7	39492:23 39495:20
39582:24	39450:24,25 39451:4		leap 39486:20	39551:17 39564:16
<b>journals</b> 39481:1	39451:8 39530:1	L	leapfrogs 39525:12	39573:13
journeymen 39477:25	39567:15,20,21	<b>L</b> 39447:2	<b>learn</b> 39568:24 39570:9	<b>liability</b> 39520:5,8,11
<b>judge</b> 39504:18	39568:20 39587:18	<b>labour</b> 39431:6,8,15	learned 39430:23	39520:14 39521:17
39553:10 39570:4	39587:19,20	39452:15 39453:14	39431:25 39432:23	39521:20 39568:16
39578:2 39580:9	killer 39524:13	39453:18,20,25	39445:6 39446:19	39568:21,22 39569:3
judged 39552:18	killing 39446:25	39457:8 39463:16	39452:16 39482:21	39569:5,5,21,25
judging 39512:19	39525:6,8,14	39464:3,22 39469:5	39483:14 39486:25	39570:11,11,12
39513:7	39548:25 39571:1	39471:20 39473:6,23	39489:5 39491:16	39571:15 39572:1
judgment 39504:17,18	39585:4	39475:11 39477:24	39498:2 39500:12	39576:24 39581:19
39513:13 39540:12	killings 39435:10	39479:18 39481:14	39501:3,4,5 39503:13	liable 39524:24
39553:2 39565:18	kills 39548:23	39514:21	39503:13,19 39504:9	39565:25 39566:19
39567:3,9 39582:23	kilometres 39580:20	lacerations 39443:13	39505:21 39507:23	39567:23,24 39568:5
judgmental 39513:7	39581:1	lack 39459:1	39508:7,13,22,24	39568:14 39569:17
judgments 39551:4 39552:15	<b>kind</b> 39437:21 39439:2 39448:3,12,23	lags 39479:10 laid 39507:22 39511:25	39509:16,25 39510:7 39510:16 39513:17	licence 39514:22 Lieutenant 39532:7,10
judicial 39524:10,22,25		39541:10 39575:1,2	39510:10 39513:17	39535:18 39585:9,18
39525:11	39449:2,25 39450:5 39454:1 39457:9	39575:19	39528:15 39584:4	Lieutenant-Colonel
juggle 39577:15		Langa 39430:23	leash 39519:3	39585:13
Julius 39445:4	39476:7 39477:18	39445:4,4,12,14,24	leave 39443:19 39460:6	life 39444:12 39457:16
July 39467:24	39484:4,25 39485:1	language 39535:13	39473:20,20	39529:1 39530:10
39477:10	39485:11 39489:18	large 39484:3 39540:16	39476:20 39483:5	39541:21 39556:2,3
jump 39467:2 39540:6	39504:20 39520:14	largest 39460:24	39486:3 39493:8	39569:20
jumping 39486:21	39522:22 39524:10	large-scale 39531:11	39505:24 39507:16	life-threatening
1001111000		i -	I	I ~

			_	Page
39529:11	39460:20 39461:12	39445:16	manifestly 39587:15,16	39553:16 39557:15
<b>light</b> 39443:5 39492:14	39461:16 39462:2,9	Lusikisiki 39457:21	manner 39542:25	39559:3 39573:25
39515:2 39519:2	39462:11,15,16,23	luxury 39551:20	39544:1 39588:8	39580:9
39540:25 39551:23	39463:7 39464:2,7,16	lying 39444:18	march 39461:19	meaning 39589:12
likes 39513:3	39467:3 39468:23,24	2, mg 0, 1 1110	39485:16 39486:5	means 39465:20
likewise 39555:7	39469:16 39471:7	M	marchers 39495:5	39491:25 39553:20
limbs 39438:9,11,22	39473:11,11 39474:6	Mabebe 39430:22	Marikana 39470:7	meant 39527:23
limitations 39584:7	39475:18 39477:3	39443:21 39444:3,15	39496:18 39497:2	measure 39538:12
limited 39430:5	39478:20 39481:9,22	39444:20,20,22	39502:4 39505:5	39540:9 39545:18
line 39436:18 39446:15	39481:25 39482:15	<b>Mabelane</b> 39430:22	39506:23 39521:11	39550:22 39553:22
39446:16 39450:21	39483:13,23	39435:24 39436:6,16	39526:7,13 39534:9	39563:2 39578:10,15
39481:19 39482:19	39484:11,21 39487:8	39437:1,15,24	39537:11 39538:19	39579:22 39581:16
39486:15 39504:12	39487:24 39488:11	39438:2,21 39440:25	39541:3 39550:22	39584:2 39588:1
39504:12,13	39498:24 39499:2	39444:2 39481:23	39589:11	measures 39526:11
39505:13 39526:20	39504:9 39514:16,22	39483:17 39485:12	mark 39565:3,8	39529:16 39578:1
39528:23 39534:14	39523:10 39529:14	39485:15 39486:4,9	<b>Masibi</b> 39436:22	measuring 39579:15
39536:5 39550:25	39541:6 39544:14,18	39486:20	39486:13,13	mechanical 39430:13
39583:1,2,20	Lonmin's 39479:2	Mabuyakhulu	39487:10	mechanism 39466:17
lines 39453:16	39483:15 39485:23	39489:20 39494:4	mass 39454:22,23	meddling 39504:12,24
39550:23	look 39431:23 39438:7	machetes 39543:22,23	39455:8 39470:18	39505:14 39509:24
link 39574:6	39462:21 39474:18	magnitude 39588:3	39487:3	medically 39445:1
lip 39441:13,13	39474:23 39481:11	Mail 39540:12	masters 39434:7	39533:11
lips 39442:1	39483:15 39488:10	main 39461:24	material 39430:16,17	meet 39467:25
listen 39534:15	39492:24 39496:16	39483:10	39435:19,25	39521:20 39561:24
39589:25	39509:1 39523:18,23	maintain 39468:17	39438:11 39439:3,17	meeting 39454:22,24
listened 39541:9	39524:5 39530:14	39481:11 39485:16	39442:4 39443:3	39455:8 39481:24
listening 39437:20	39533:10,13	39530:17 39535:2	39574:8,15	39489:21 39498:14
39578:15	39537:11 39538:20	39539:19	materially 39479:19	39506:20,25
little 39433:5 39444:19	39544:2 39547:7,8	maintained 39466:18	39498:5	39508:14,14,21
39471:10 39531:19	39548:13 39551:8	maintaining 39476:14	Mathebula 39514:3	39510:11 39516:12
39535:13 39547:1	39553:7,10,12	39511:11	matter 39434:13	39531:6
39561:13 39569:2	39554:25 39555:8	maintenance 39530:21	39435:4 39451:8	meetings 39458:17,18
39589:8	39557:17 39562:17	<b>major</b> 39458:13	39453:20 39468:3,7	39461:23 39470:18
live 39459:18 39531:22	39562:21 39574:10	39501:8 39517:7	39468:12 39469:23	member 39448:8
39535:23,24	39587:11,12	39518:7	39470:7 39473:14	39464:1 39494:6
39537:14 39538:14	looked 39470:16	majoritarianism	39490:19 39491:3,24	39549:6 39582:23
39555:17	39480:11 39574:15	39466:5	39492:7,23 39494:23	members 39450:6
<b>liver</b> 39445:17	39588:19	majority 39468:25	39495:12 39508:10	39460:4 39488:2
lives 39436:21	looking 39461:15	39469:2 39566:8	39511:1 39518:25	39490:25 39497:7
39437:16	39479:2 39497:15	making 39499:15	39522:2,14,24,24,24	39511:9 39514:1
<b>Livina</b> 39436:13	39528:20 39559:16	39523:16 39526:10	39523:9,15 39524:8	39515:16 39532:23
39437:2,4,7 39486:21	39588:24	39557:2,19,21	39546:14 39558:3	39549:7 39553:1
living 39530:15	looks 39438:14 39439:7	39558:14 39559:7	39563:22 39570:4	39555:10 39565:20
39537:17	39460:8 39462:16	39562:15 39563:1	39571:4 39572:24	39565:25 39585:10
living-out 39475:15	39509:12	39588:15	39573:3 39575:9	39585:14,20,23,25
local 39469:11,11	loop 39436:14	male 39440:16	39576:21 39589:8,10	membership 39471:2
39470:9,11	lose 39456:25 39457:1	Mambush 39447:8	matters 39452:6	memo 39479:25
located 39545:16	39528:18 39536:5,6 losing 39456:18	39448:2,4	39473:2 39475:18	39480:1 memoranda 39484:23
locked 39537:4 Loest 39562:25	lost 39528:14 39578:5	man 39447:13 39546:1 39551:14 39553:6	39504:25 39517:16 39519:19 39522:22	memoranda 39484:23 memorandum
log 39487:24	lot 39460:4 39471:12		39519:19 39522:22 39523:10,24 39588:9	39462:23 39464:7
logic 39487:24 logic 39491:25 39556:6	39482:12 39518:14	39555:9 39556:20 39571:7 39575:24	mature 39454:25	39462:23 39464:7
logical 39491:19,23	39539:7 39559:8	39579:5,9	mayhem 39454:3	men 39533:9 39552:17
logically 39518:18	39561:1,20 39567:16	managed 39453:15	McCann 39564:23,24	mention 39437:13
logs 39487:21	39576:8,15	39538:15 39556:8	39565:3,8,17,18,24	39510:1,11
long 39444:25 39456:5	Louw's 39485:21	management 39456:11	39566:4,7 39567:22	mentioned 39505:19
39478:1 39479:3	loved 39528:14	39456:16,24 39461:5	39568:3 39569:2,5,16	39508:5 39509:6
39499:16,16 39541:1	lower 39441:13	39461:21 39463:17	39569:22,23 39570:1	mentioning 39511:10
39548:9,9	39467:22	39473:6 39474:18	39570:3,10,10,16	Merafe 39575:12
longer 39462:7 39482:6	loyalty 39450:12	39477:1,5 39481:24	39576:17 39577:6	39578:3,9,12,14
39484:5 39514:25	LRA 39452:15	mandate 39455:8	39582:20	Merafe's 39578:6
39515:3 39569:2	39453:15 39480:10	39458:18	McCann's 39434:10	merchant 39496:18
<b>Lonmin</b> 39432:1	LRC 39432:25	mandates 39454:9	mean 39467:21	39546:5
39436:12 39452:15	lucidly 39578:22	manifest 39535:16	39476:14 39504:15	merchants 39546:17
39455:13 39456:7,23	lunch 39533:17	39548:24 39549:3	39508:9 39521:17	mere 39462:10
39458:8,12 39459:17	lungs 39440:21	39550:17	39524:22 39553:14	merely 39493:13
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

Page 14

				Page
39505:4,19 39518:15	39497:18 39499:14	39479:9	39545:18,23 39549:8	39513:19
39572:20	39500:10,11 39506:5	moving 39473:19	39557:2,12	NMF 39506:20,22
merit 39515:25	39565:12 39575:23	39479:11 39495:7	need 39431:14	39510:11
39517:20	misconduct 39535:25	39509:12 39564:25	39440:11 39453:17	Noki 39537:11,16
message 39461:6,9	39589:12	39565:2	39468:19 39471:8,14	39538:17,20
39503:17	missed 39556:4,24	<b>Mpembe</b> 39541:9	39482:20 39484:15	39540:10
met 39447:14,16	39557:4	39544:13,18	39521:1 39522:14	nomenclature 39521:4
39463:11 39464:14 39528:9 39546:23	mist 39535:19 mistake 39438:7	39546:23 39554:20 39561:12 39578:3,13	39543:8 39546:2 39569:2,22 39570:16	<b>non</b> 39529:17 39572:1 39572:3,11
39583:3	misunderstands	39578:20	39571:21	non-causally 39557:14
metal 39546:19	39520:15	<b>Mpembe's</b> 39543:10	needed 39496:1	non-violence 39480:12
methods 39531:13	misunderstood	39578:7	39556:24	39480:13
39549:10 39550:9,19	39527:2,10 39554:8,9	<b>Mpofu</b> 39445:6,6	needs 39441:20	normal 39538:11
metres 39438:7	mis-description	39446:20 39489:5	39445:25 39453:23	normally 39529:3
39451:1 39490:5,19	39539:11,13	39491:16 39499:20	39454:13 39467:14	<b>note</b> 39433:7 39434:11
39490:20 39491:3,4	mitigate 39545:18	39506:2,3 39521:19	39502:17 39545:5	39440:10 39567:1
39532:17	39578:1	39588:17	negative 39449:4	39577:4,6 39588:6
Mguye 39585:8 Mhlanga 39567:8	mix 39517:2	<b>Mpofu's</b> 39482:21	<b>negligent</b> 39570:15 39578:19 39579:2,16	noted 39488:14
Microphone 39436:2	mode 39481:7 moment 39445:2	39501:4 39505:21 39506:15	negotiate 39473:11	<b>notes</b> 39434:17 <b>notion</b> 39451:24
39530:5 39549:14,16	39451:5,5,6,6	Mthethwa 39507:4	39478:19	39484:8
39552:4	39455:25 39456:10	39508:4 39509:20	negotiated 39458:19	<b>NOVEMBER</b> 39430:1
mid 39456:1	39464:8 39465:13	MTN 39490:10	39475:15,22	novus 39510:3
middle 39486:5	39467:3 39473:10	murder 39492:11	39478:14	no-no 39550:11
midst 39537:24	39474:2 39475:8	39524:12 39525:9	negotiating 39464:1	<b>NPA</b> 39510:19
mid-August 39472:4	39479:20 39480:7	39568:6 39571:10	39469:19 39471:13	Ntsebeza 39521:19
migrate 39554:5	39481:8,10 39492:6	murdered 39524:17	negotiation 39464:17	39540:19 39555:13
milieu 39530:9	39519:6 39533:15	39525:10	39475:13 39477:20	numb 39536:18
millimetre 39567:17 million 39527:6	39534:19 39552:9 39576:3	mustn't 39527:3,9 muti 39442:12	39479:8 39482:9	numbed 39528:17
mind 39430:6 39432:4	momentarily 39440:24	mutually 39587:8	<b>negotiations</b> 39455:5,6 39456:21 39457:5	39529:22,23 39532:2 39538:3
39434:20 39445:20	moments 39552:17	mutuany 39367.6	39458:15 39464:18	number 39430:5,7
39453:9 39544:8	Monday 39452:17	N	39466:20 39469:16	39435:15 39443:23
minds 39439:24	39536:21,22 39537:1	name 39446:22	39477:13 39478:16	39451:18 39461:22
39560:4	39575:11	39447:7 39499:7	39507:2	39463:5 39468:9
Mineral 39514:2	money 39459:21	39540:20 39555:13	negotiator 39458:7	39480:23 39482:14
39517:5	39488:10	nanoseconds 39583:19	39469:15	39496:16 39508:5
miners 39455:20	months 39433:11	narrow 39566:8	negotiators 39459:9,13	39515:14 39519:12
39456:12 39457:16	39455:17 39462:1,7	narrowly 39576:20	Netherlands 39536:4	39529:24 39531:16
39457:22 39459:8,15 39467:10	39528:18 <b>mood</b> 39484:14	national 39468:10 39469:8,14,15	neutral 39475:10 39547:11	39531:17 39544:23 39545:7 39546:15
mines 39456:16	39495:8	39470:1 39472:20,20	never 39437:10	39547:6,25 39550:1
minimal 39531:18	moral 39513:13	39473:17 39480:2	39472:7,7 39474:23	39551:4 39554:17
minister 39482:2	morally 39507:8	39482:2 39502:13,20	39485:2 39508:19	39559:9 39589:3
39500:25 39501:13	morning 39447:14	39502:21 39506:23	39577:23	numbering 39537:12
39502:15,15 39503:2	39484:4 39485:13	39507:3,18 39508:20	nevertheless 39432:7	numbness 39536:3
39503:15,24 39504:1	39487:11 39497:5,23	39520:20 39588:13	new 39456:6 39479:6	numerous 39505:11
39504:11,24 39505:2	39500:22 39516:24	39588:14,21 39589:4	39515:11 39576:2,3	NUM's 39454:11,16
39505:4,9,16,18	39529:2,10 39542:5	nationally 39470:16	nexus 39508:20	39460:5 39466:9
39507:4,9,12,13,14	39575:11,14 39590:1	naturally 39588:24	Ngcukaitobi 39503:20	39472:6 Nyolo 20522:18 20 21
39507:21 39508:15 39508:18 39509:11	mortem 39430:17	nature 39431:9	<b>Ngcukaitobi's</b> 39501:5 39510:25	<b>Nyala</b> 39532:18,20,21 39532:23 39538:17
39508:18 39509:11 39509:20 39510:19	39435:23 39438:3 39439:6 39440:7	39435:13 39437:17 39444:4 39445:22	nice 39549:25	Nyalas 39555:11
39511:8,14,15,20	mothers 39587:14	39482:7 39485:19	nicely 39494:17	Nzuza 39446:8 39447:6
39512:18 39513:5	motivated 39487:9	39519:11 39540:10	night 39489:13	39447:24 39448:14
39514:15 39515:14	motivation 39498:9	39582:13 39583:6	39575:11	39448:14,18 39449:2
39515:17,19 39516:3	motive 39530:3	<b>NDP</b> 39502:9 39508:11	nine 39532:10 39556:4	39449:5 39450:22
39516:19,22 39517:5	Motlogelwa 39498:18	near 39436:14	39556:24 39557:3,6	39452:5
39517:15 39518:7,17	mount 39559:24	39446:23	39558:15 39567:17	<b>Nzuza's</b> 39448:1
39520:6,8,9,12	mountain 39432:17	necessarily 39473:3	39589:17	Nzuza's 39452:4
39521:21	movable 39549:4	39479:2 39487:2	Nissan 39436:13	
Minister's 39512:9	move 39471:20,21	39499:21 39512:3	Nkaneng 39553:16	OP 20499.14
minute 39478:25	39494:7 39505:20	39529:10	39555:14 <b>Nkosi</b> 39500:6	<b>OB</b> 39488:14 <b>obey</b> 39587:13
minute 39487:16 minutes 39464:25	39534:2 39555:9 39575:7,8	necessary 39481:20 39519:16,19 39522:7	Nkosi-Thomas 39506:8	objective 39442:22
39465:7 39472:12	moves 39471:23	39519.10,19 39322.7	39506:11 39512:5,14	39508:16
ARCHIVE FO	R I II S T I C E	5,522., 5,5 TT.25	2,200,11 3,012,3,14	3,300.10
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	_			Page
objectively 39450:23	39569:17	<b>option</b> 39577:17,17	39470:5 39495:3	39576:18 39587:1
obligation 39535:2	offices 39460:5 39495:1	39578:6,7,9	39503:10 39504:16	participants 39571:20
39582:15	39542:3	options 39551:20	39504:16 39506:17	participate 39548:22
obligations 39576:19	office-bearer 39450:17	oral 39454:10 39584:23	39509:5 39511:5	participates 39547:23
<b>observed</b> 39447:5,5	official 39431:2	39584:23,25	39517:13 39532:9	39548:20
39461:2 39470:14	officials 39460:5,6	order 39439:22	39533:24 39552:14	participating 39511:20
39553:24	39490:4 39544:3	39453:22 39460:13	39552:16 39584:8	particular 39432:8
<b>obstructs</b> 39548:21	39567:17	39465:14 39478:5	39586:6	39435:3,17 39453:1
<b>obtained</b> 39487:9	<b>oh</b> 39433:21 39438:4	39482:3,7,23 39483:3	pages 39506:9	39454:11 39456:15
<b>obtaining</b> 39454:18	39457:5 39529:5	39512:6 39513:23,24	paid 39478:5	39463:21 39467:19
39455:8	39537:16	39530:17,20,21,24,25	pain 39444:22	39473:2 39481:4
<b>obtains</b> 39550:5	okay 39446:20 39447:1	39531:9 39533:11	39536:18	39489:3 39498:6
<b>obvious</b> 39484:12	39465:12 39507:19	39534:7,12 39535:3	pairs 39582:12	39501:4 39503:14
<b>obviously</b> 39434:15	39551:9,10,11	39535:19 39539:19	panga 39533:3 39546:2	39506:16 39516:11
39492:6 39516:10	39552:11 39555:1	39548:11 39549:7,11	<b>pangas</b> 39491:6	39528:7,10 39535:14
39523:7 39529:13	39575:6	39550:11 39555:1	39495:9,17,18	39535:15,25 39536:4
39564:5	old 39447:13 39515:12	39557:17 39570:21	39532:3 39542:8	39540:13 39555:12
occasion 39448:16	once 39447:14	39573:24 39574:9	39543:21 39546:4	39566:13 39572:23
39489:15	39463:13 39471:4,4	39575:12 39582:15	39552:23	39575:4 39579:15,16
occupy 39483:22	39473:14 39476:3	ordered 39585:10	panga-wielding	particularly 39430:19
occur 39481:8	39483:23 39484:21	orders 39505:15	39531:25	39431:7,8 39435:23
occurred 39501:12	39532:17 39582:23	39574:22 39585:20	par 39435:17 39457:4	39443:15,22 39448:6
39502:6,7 39584:14	oncoming 39532:20	ordinary 39463:20,21	39533:14	39451:17,19
39585:13 39586:3	ones 39528:14	39473:22 39485:4	parade 39511:9	39453:10,15
occurrence 39477:4 39508:18	oneself 39439:21 one's 39445:20	39529:1	paradigm 39464:5	39455:18 39460:18
		orienting 39453:8	paragraph 39438:6,8	39478:3 39502:4
occurs 39509:16 October 39455:13,15	ongoing 39477:7 39564:17	original 39539:22 39576:5	39440:15 39441:25 39453:1 39461:24	39526:5 39535:16 39586:14
39458:16 39462:7	onus 39525:14	originally 39438:17	39495:3 39501:24	particulars 39544:12
odd 39537:13 39556:10	open 39434:22	39543:6	39506:18 39507:3,24	parties 39430:7
odds 39484:22	39441:10 39466:23	ought 39508:3	39509:16 39515:3	39432:19,24
offence 39535:11	39480:14,15	39524:21 39551:3	39519:3 39532:9	39433:20 39434:8
39573:6 39589:3	39493:13 39572:6	39555:12	39584:5,10 39585:5	39435:2 39436:4
offending 39582:11	39579:3,8	outcome 39502:3	39585:17	39471:5,6,6 39519:24
offer 39433:8,22	opened 39492:10,11	39507:9 39512:17	paragraphs 39454:8	39525:18
offered 39541:24	39569:24	39558:13	parallel 39461:11	partly 39445:13
39585:19	opening 39466:14	outcomes 39577:23	39550:23	39459:24
offers 39526:3	39478:21 39586:5	39578:9,21 39587:9	paralysed 39536:11,12	parts 39442:8
office 39449:24	operated 39528:7	outlined 39466:9	paralysis 39536:13	party 39525:19
39450:1,5,12 39455:1	operates 39458:24	39468:2 39576:23	parameters 39551:1	pass 39526:17
39461:20 39469:15	operation 39432:1	<b>outrage</b> 39532:5	<b>pardon</b> 39458:12	passage 39446:18
39477:6 39482:2	39462:6 39499:25	39540:18	39461:16 39502:19	39451:23 39488:12
39485:17 39490:1,25	39507:1,14 39558:23	<b>outside</b> 39464:19	39513:23 39519:13	39495:12 39567:11
39491:2 39494:16	39559:2,23,25	39472:1 39473:11	39528:9 39589:15	passed 39580:5,7
39495:7 39496:9,13	39560:11 39562:5,14	39474:4,7 39490:25	parity 39475:1	passes 39499:11
39497:5 39498:4,10	39563:15 39564:16	39491:2 39521:13	park 39486:16	passing 39513:13
39498:19,21 39542:5	39564:17 39566:6,10	39532:18	parliament 39517:19	Patel 39552:16
39542:16 39567:23	39576:2 39577:13,15	overall 39468:18,23	39577:12,12	path 39553:18
39588:23 39589:4,10	39586:25	overlook 39434:14	part 39435:3,15	pathway 39553:16
officer 39482:20,23	operational 39502:2	overlooking 39435:6	39437:22 39438:15	pause 39551:8
39483:1 39487:10	39503:17 39505:1,2,8	oversight 39504:12,20	39439:15,25 39443:8	paying 39456:17
39494:9 39495:21	39505:11,15,17,19	39504:21,25	39445:10 39447:6	39474:25,25
39524:10 39532:7	operative 39498:24	overstepped 39504:11	39449:9 39453:1	payments 39476:7
39535:2,17,24	operator 39549:22	overwhelming 39505:4	39454:14 39458:3	peace 39457:24
39536:5 39541:7 39549:7 39552:21	<b>opinion</b> 39468:11 39469:23,24	owner 39465:4 ox 39451:20	39468:5,15 39476:3,4 39476:8 39477:19,20	39482:7 39535:6 penetrating 39445:15
39549.7 39552.21	39409.23,24	o'clock 39437:3		perceive 39476:4
39554:23 39555:3,8	opinions 39524:20	39565:9	39478:18 39479:9,23 39486:14 39492:15	perceive 39476:4 perceived 39474:9
39534:25 39555:5,8 39582:7,18 39587:12	opportunity 39432:4	o'clock 39575:16	39494:6,8 39495:15	percentage 39479:7,8
39588:1	39437:16 39514:4	U CIUCK 373/3.10	39499:3,24 39501:6,7	percentage 39479.7,8 perception 39581:18
officers 39436:16,18	39519:21 39530:22	P	39504:21 39507:8	39582:16
39482:14 39485:25	39551:22 39552:19	packages 39476:8	39511:16 39520:2,5,7	perform 39478:2
39486:15 39533:15	39553:2,7,10	page 39438:5 39440:7	39520:8,11,16,19	39488:4
39533:16 39537:3,10	opposed 39548:11	39440:14 39446:14	39530:21 39534:18	<b>performance</b> 39455:3
39537:12 39555:10	39577:17	39462:19,21 39464:9	39538:9 39556:13	pericardium 39445:16
39567:16 39568:7	opposition 39498:12	39464:10 39467:21	39559:11 39568:22	peril 39467:12
A R C H I V F F O	R IIISTICE	27.3.110.37107.21	2,22,112,000.82	

				Page
period 39536:5	39439:21 39441:6	39479:3 39480:8,18	39502:11 39503:6	39473:24 39476:3
39552:7 39559:8	39442:5 39443:24	39483:21 39487:7	portray 39534:25	39477:8 39503:22
periods 39460:16	39446:2,5,10,12	39494:14 39497:21	<b>pose</b> 39442:1 39453:16	39515:6 39551:12
<b>permit</b> 39537:18	39447:19 39452:7,11	39498:6 39499:14,15	<b>posed</b> 39478:4	39554:18 39582:12
39589:2,13	39453:12,13,13	39507:19,20	poses 39481:8	<b>predict</b> 39471:18
permutation 39576:11	39455:13 39456:4	39509:13 39514:19	<b>position</b> 39436:11	predictably 39460:2
39577:14	39457:20 39461:11	39514:24 39515:6,9	39439:21 39448:21	<b>preference</b> 39500:19
<b>perpetrating</b> 39573:6	39461:19 39464:19	39515:12,16 39516:9	39450:19 39451:25	prejudice 39458:25
<b>person</b> 39445:21,24 39447:2,10,11	39465:25 39469:16 39471:22 39476:23	39516:18,20,21 39517:4 39523:16	39453:23 39471:1,1 39471:19 39473:1,3	39493:4 <b>preparation</b> 39442:11
39449:16 39451:23	39477:24 39488:20	39524:4 39525:12,19	39471:19 39473:1,3	39484:24
39489:17 39499:11	39497:2 39504:1	39528:6 39533:14	39476:13,14	prepare 39484:15
39524:18 39545:4	39510:16 39519:23	39535:15,15,25	39479:22 39481:25	39584:1
39547:4,5,6,9,22	39539:4 39545:16	39536:20 39538:23	39482:24 39483:2,13	prepared 39452:11
39548:19,21,25	39561:21 39563:7	39539:2 39540:19	39485:24 39487:18	39498:8
39553:23 39567:18	39572:23 39573:1	39543:15 39544:1	39488:2,4,12 39496:7	<b>preparing</b> 39439:12
39568:4,11 39579:3	39584:18,25	39552:25 39553:4	39552:20 39584:11	presence 39487:2
39580:14 39585:22	placed 39436:9	39555:12,15	positions 39589:7	present 39448:2
personal 39499:8	39531:23 39577:24	39557:19,20	positive 39449:4	39456:8 39474:24
personally 39526:2	places 39455:19	39561:16,25	possessed 39496:24	39552:24 39553:6
39528:1	39482:5 placing 39435:25	39562:14 39563:1,4,5	39546:16 possibilities 39475:21	39576:25 presentation 39431:2
<b>personnel</b> 39477:4 39483:24 39486:24	39451:19	39564:13,15 39565:1 39568:25 39573:19	possibility 39484:21	39439:12
39487:4 39587:19	plan 39485:5 39502:22	39577:21 39579:14	39526:6 39568:9	preservation 39473:7
persons 39439:21	39507:21 39527:17	39586:18 39588:16	39573:13	preserved 39481:19
39508:4 39514:21	39527:17 39559:11	pointed 39547:1	possible 39435:19	presided 39524:9
39517:14 39522:6	39559:11 39560:13	pointers 39520:5,19	39461:3 39520:3,14	president 39481:21
39548:21 39584:12	39561:2 39566:15,16	39525:25	39522:13 39545:7	39520:17,22,24
person's 39446:22	39566:25 39568:23	<b>pointing</b> 39567:2	39571:8 39573:10	39521:3,9,15 39522:7
perspective 39474:19	39575:10,13,13,17,20	39577:5	39576:10 39583:15	39522:9 39523:20
39479:4	39575:23,25 39576:1	<b>points</b> 39434:9,13	39586:16	39526:1,2,6,9,16
persuade 39555:21	39576:2,3,4,5,7,11,13	39439:8 39441:5	possibly 39439:3	39527:4,5 39573:22
persuasive 39567:5,6	39577:22,25 39579:7	39479:19 39483:10	39466:8 39478:2	press 39511:7 39518:2
39569:7,11 39573:19	39579:7,10	39506:15 39514:12	39491:9	39518:5 39555:6
39574:15 pertain 39500:25	<b>planned</b> 39499:1 39566:7	39514:14,23 39517:24,25 39520:1	<b>post</b> 39430:17 39435:23 39438:3	<b>pressure</b> 39480:17 39501:2 39505:18
pertaining 39582:3	planner 39568:22	39520:21 39543:4,5	39439:5 39440:7	39509:19 39551:7
pertinently 39532:15	planning 39484:9,12	39554:17 39570:13	39533:12	39552:21 39583:23
phase 39507:1	39487:15 39566:12	39581:9	posted 39498:24	presumably 39437:8
philosophical 39482:24	39568:21 39586:24	policeman 39535:5	postulate 39579:17	39448:3 39545:7
philosophically	<b>plans</b> 39579:6	39554:11	<b>post-mortem</b> 39440:15	39567:1
39480:10 39481:6	<b>plastic</b> 39442:10	policemen 39482:17	39441:5,12 39443:3	presupposing 39580:22
philosophy 39463:17	platinum 39456:2	39567:21	39443:12	<b>pretend</b> 39470:23
39473:23	<b>Plats</b> 39469:1,1	policies 39453:7	pot 39473:18	39478:12
<b>phone</b> 39465:4	39470:18,18	39526:25	potentially 39449:6	Pretoria 39580:1
39509:10 39529:25	plausibility 39442:7	policing 39530:20,24	39488:7 39495:23	Pretorius 39562:23,24
photo 39438:19,20	plausible 39437:22	39531:9 39534:7	power 39465:21,22,23	pretty 39548:14
<b>photograph</b> 39436:1 39438:14 39440:11	39443:17 39511:25 39512:8	39550:11 39575:12 39577:11,18	39466:1 39547:21 39550:23 39574:25	<b>prevent</b> 39480:13 39485:17 39549:8
39441:11 39547:8,8	play 39462:13	policy 39465:16	39578:16	prevention 39463:22
photographic 39442:4	plea 39437:18	39466:25 39500:23	powers 39523:20	previous 39512:20
photos 39553:25	plead 39437:16	39501:9,11,16,18,22	39528:8,11,11	previously 39477:11
phrase 39457:19	please 39446:13	39502:2,7,11,17	39550:24 39574:21	pre-funeral 39441:16
39504:9	39462:19 39467:21	39503:2 39526:10	39574:22	<b>prima</b> 39442:17
physically 39439:24	39513:16 39519:13	political 39501:1	<b>practical</b> 39463:19	39492:5,6 39508:9
pick 39459:2	39520:20 39521:10	39503:18 39509:13	39469:3	39510:16 39520:21
<b>picture</b> 39439:10	39524:3 39527:21	39509:19,19	practically 39473:19	39524:7
39441:24 39488:19	39528:16 39531:16	poorly 39461:23	<b>practice</b> 39457:13	primary 39520:25
39490:6	39542:17	39566:7	39475:18 39499:20	39530:25
pieces 39501:16	pleases 39515:5	<b>POP</b> 39555:10	39529:15 39575:9	primitive 39582:14
Pillay 39499:5 39548:1	point 39434:17,25	39578:14,14,17	practise 39457:7	principal 39431:17
<b>pipe</b> 39440:20	39435:6,6 39436:14	39585:10,14	practitioner's 39469:6	principally 39563:7
39444:13 <b>pistol</b> 39533:8	39442:19 39452:5,25 39455:21,23	popular 39497:17 portion 39440:19	precious 39581:6 precisely 39443:11,16	<b>principle</b> 39471:21,23 39481:11 39567:3,6,7
pistols 39553:8 pistols 39567:17	39455:21,23 39459:11 39464:15	39446:7	39453:24 39466:24	39567:22 39568:2,16
place 39436:17	39469:13 39472:2,21	portions 39452:13	39467:11,15	39568:24 39570:6,7
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	r 32 22 23 25 7 7 25 27 7 2		2,233.213,310.0,1

39570:10 39576:17
39577:6
principles 39554:4
prior 39501:24
private 39543:24
39551:17,18
39566:18 39584:15
39584:16
probabilities 39445:8
probability 39451:10
<b>probably</b> 39444:24
39511:25 39544:15
39578:8 39582:4
39588:16
<b>probe</b> 39534:6
39588:22
<b>probing</b> 39587:23
39588:7
<b>problem</b> 39458:10
39461:14 39466:3
39471:9 39476:11
39518:7 39526:20
39539:19,20
39543:15 39545:19
39561:16 39562:20
39563:19,19
39569:12 39575:9
39580:8
<b>problematic</b> 39504:22
problematic 59304:22
problems 39562:18
39580:4
procedural 39574:21
39574:22
<b>procedure</b> 39575:1,2
<b>proceed</b> 39452:9
39506:25 39507:20
39507:23 39509:25
proceeding 39490:24
proceedings 39430:1
39518:3 39584:11,17
<b>proceeds</b> 39507:13
process 39430:15
39454:17,20 39455:1
39457:3 39458:22
39461:1 39466:20,21
39466:22 39467:11
39470:23 39471:19
39514:5 39528:2
39534:13
processes 39464:16,19
39466:9 39524:25
39538:16
processing 39454:18
procession 39487:6
procession 33467.6
39475:20 39539:6
39577:22
produced 39454:3
39575:25 39576:16
39578:9,20
395 /8:9,20 production 39457:1
39544:23
professional 39511:10
39512:25
professionally 39513:9
39513:10
ARCHIVE FO

```
Professor 39501:25
profile 39526:3
profound 39521:23
program 39589:17
prominent 39440:23
prominently 39465:14
promises 39538:5
  39540:17
promising 39470:13
promotion 39460:14,25
pronounce 39525:2
proof 39544:23
proper 39431:15
  39487:14,15
  39527:14,17,17
  39539:20 39556:5
properly 39434:11,14
  39434:15 39465:25
  39477:19 39478:5
  39482:8 39508:9
  39510:3 39511:19
  39538:15 39551:18
  39587:1
property 39549:4,4
  39550:18
proportionality
 39555:15 39557:18
proportionate
  39557:16
proposal 39434:20
  39464:10 39472:19
  39510:25 39545:10
propose 39430:5
  39464:12 39500:22
  39500:23.24
  39506:12,14,14
 39588:9
proposed 39468:9
proposing 39505:23
proposition 39473:5
  39496:11 39505:18
  39509:5 39518:15
  39523:14 39569:24
propositions 39482:19
  39489:17
proprio 39567:4
pros 39552:19
prosecute 39520:4,22
prosecuting 39523:11
prosecution 39492:16
  39522:3
prosecutions 39522:13
Prosecutors 39522:18
prospect 39474:11,13
  39485:1
prospective 39545:23
  39545:25
protect 39486:1
  39487:3 39496:2
  39543:6,9,14,20
  39546:3 39589:6
protected 39452:24
  39466:2
protection 39466:17
Protector 39517:20
protesters 39508:25
```

```
prove 39546:8 39550:9
proverbial 39503:21
proves 39555:18
province 39521:14
provincial 39482:2,22
  39492:9 39508:19
  39522:18 39587:3
provisional 39454:21
provisions 39452:22
  39547:16
provocative 39573:7
provoke 39538:9,11
prudent 39513:4
public 39517:19
  39522:18 39523:24
  39530:20,24 39531:9
  39534:7 39550:11
  39575:12
published 39540:12
pull 39553:9
puller 39572:4
punish 39558:11
purchase 39546:9
purchasers 39546:19
purely 39524:15
  39530:2 39586:10
purpose 39431:10
  39447:25 39451:14
  39493:11 39498:25
  39499:25 39544:12
  39545:5 39549:10
  39571:21 39572:2,21
  39573:3
purposes 39509:6
  39538:13 39546:17
  39576:17
pursuant 39523:7
pursue 39585:11
pursued 39480:16
  39494:2 39585:23
pursuing 39585:25
pursuit 39560:23
push 39557:21
put 39436:5 39442:17
  39443:16 39449:23
  39450:14 39451:25
  39457:19 39474:11
  39475:4 39486:8
  39489:18 39491:16
  39493:24 39494:15
  39496:1 39518:17,21
  39528:20 39534:19
  39545:10.21 39548:2
  39548:7.15 39556:19
  39558:5 39561:16
  39566:5,5 39567:9
  39571:5 39576:10
  39581:12 39587:1
putative 39584:16
putting 39484:23
  39502:25 39523:14
  39543:4 39545:22
```

```
quantity 39497:11
Quantum 39489:6,13
  39489:22
quarrel 39493:20
quarter 39431:14
  39533:19 39565:6
  39589:17
quarters 39567:11
query 39454:11
  39487:1 39488:14
  39495:20
question 39437:15
  39445:1 39447:23
  39453:16 39477:23
  39478:10 39486:16
  39486:17,18
  39491:13 39492:20
  39493:12,17
  39494:12 39495:17
  39499:5 39504:8,10
  39512:7 39522:13
  39535:8 39540:25
  39541:22 39542:1,2
  39542:14 39543:7
  39552:1,11 39557:15
  39557:24,25 39558:1
  39558:1 39559:7
  39564:24 39565:3,8
  39565:11 39567:3,7
  39568:3 39569:20
  39571:3,10 39574:16
  39576:20 39577:10
  39582:1 39588:7
questionable 39515:22
questioned 39449:15
questioning 39518:8
questions 39433:6
  39442:1 39525:21
  39542:13 39576:19
quickly 39493:25
  39505:20
quiet 39537:24
quite 39433:21 39435:8
  39444:7.21 39446:10
  39448:2 39449:18
  39456:14 39458:6
  39463:1 39487:23
  39490:1 39494:13
  39495:21 39518:2
  39533:12 39534:4
  39536:2 39562:25
  39574:24 39578:22
  39583:9,24
quote 39495:3
quoted 39574:7
          R
R 39501:11 39552:16
race 39582:7
radically 39583:24
```

qualify 39496:11

quality 39499:24

39500:1

```
rain 39579:21
raise 39493:17
  39519:16.19
  39542:17 39564:23
raised 39434:20
  39495:20 39514:13
  39519:12,15,17,18
  39537:25
Ramaphosa 39503:16
  39503:24 39509:10
  39509:20 39514:14
  39515:17
ramifications 39588:6
Ramphele 39430:24
ran 39436:23,25
  39532:20,23
rand 39527:6 39537:2
range 39455:2
  39472:24
rank 39549:6
ranks 39511:5,14
  39512:10 39513:14
rapidly 39430:6
rate 39478:22 39479:6
RDO 39461:19
RDOs 39457:22,23
  39458:1 39460:14,21
  39460:22,24
  39461:22 39463:7,24
  39467:4,9 39468:1
  39469:2 39470:22
  39471:8 39473:2,3,10
  39473:20 39474:25
  39474:25 39476:12
  39478:11 39481:9
reach 39470:7
reaching 39516:22
react 39434:21
  39458:25 39459:2
  39535:8
reacting 39492:22
reaction 39457:18
  39463:25 39516:11
  39538:9 39571:17,24
read 39431:21
  39446:17 39453:2
  39504:16 39508:1
  39522:11 39547:17
  39548:18 39567:3
  39569:16 39574:7
reading 39533:25
reads 39548:19
  39584:10
real 39448:13 39468:4
  39468:21 39479:1
  39512:7 39536:20
  39553:5 39586:25
realise 39444:6
  39523:21
realised 39436:23
  39532:21 39563:9
really 39443:13
  39445:2 39449:25
  39451:12 39453:8
  39454:3 39460:7
  39467:14 39476:1
```

O

quaestio 39587:7

**qualifier** 39584:14

radio 39533:8

39562:20,23 39582:6

rage 39459:15 39460:1

				Page 1
39479:16 39485:11	39510:15,22 39511:1	regard 39430:17	rely 39449:22,23	39461:19 39464:14
39486:25 39491:17	39520:13 39521:2,8	39439:18 39453:17	39501:25 39588:17	39469:18
39493:2 39501:1	39544:19	39453:24 39468:8	relying 39510:7	represented 39521:18
39504:11 39509:6	recommendations	39488:21 39491:5	remained 39468:23	reproach 39517:11
39514:18 39534:5	39453:5 39474:16	39495:13 39501:25	39473:24	reproduce 39454:10
39561:10 39563:2	39519:25 39525:16	39502:1 39511:17	remaining 39500:12	request 39439:15
39567:6	39526:23 39527:9	39518:19 39580:12	remains 39438:21	requested 39517:12
reason 39444:3	39544:8 39545:11	39587:6	39511:3	requests 39434:21
39524:14 39525:5	39550:12	regarded 39557:16	remark 39551:3	39514:19 39517:20
39544:25 39545:3,4	reconciled 39466:11,12	regarding 39507:5	remarked 39477:2	require 39529:18
39546:20 39562:19	reconciliation 39528:3	39522:5 39585:19	remarks 39433:2	39544:23 39557:16
reasonable 39476:22	39528:7	<b>regards</b> 39501:9	39512:9,12	39573:22,23
39511:18,24	reconstruct 39586:11	39506:16 39512:15	remedy 39487:11	39582:13
39525:23 39526:6,15	reconstruction	<b>regime</b> 39461:13	remember 39436:22	required 39468:8
39553:23 39555:4	39586:12	39465:16,17	39446:23,23	39493:23 39531:18
39568:9,11 39579:3,5	record 39499:3	39578:13	39460:11 39483:18	39558:11
39579:8,9 39580:14	39518:17 39527:7	register 39544:11,21	39496:15 39513:2	requires 39459:19
39583:15 39587:25	39562:20	regret 39539:4	39536:9,25 39543:10	39558:20 39582:4
39587:25	recorded 39438:9	regularity 39458:22	39564:3 39566:7	requiring 39465:2
reasonably 39558:11	records 39509:2	regulation 39531:3,21	39568:7 39571:5,19	reservation 39494:3
39567:19,20 39571:8	recover 39521:21	39547:16 39548:3,6	39572:14 39574:7	residents 39518:6
39571:11 39583:1	recruiting 39456:7 red 39540:25	39548:11 39550:5,14 <b>regulations</b> 39574:22	remembers 39574:14 remiss 39504:1	resist 39564:21
reasoning 39443:12 39556:5	reduced 39545:8	regulator 39522:5	remote 39569:25	resisting 39523:13 resolve 39468:3,7
reasons 39522:8	reduces 39483:24	regulatory 39480:16	39574:5	resolved 39439:13
39543:12 39546:3	refer 39438:2 39441:14	regurgitating 39500:21	remotely 39539:1	39469:11
reassemble 39533:18	39480:21 39490:10	reinforcement 39465:2	39547:7,8	resort 39487:12
rebuke 39538:1	39492:7 39504:17	reject 39542:20	remoteness 39572:24	Resources 39514:2
recalcitrant 39547:20	39522:2,7,16 39523:9	rejected 39505:20	removal 39588:14	39517:6
recall 39437:14	39539:3 39547:10,15	rejection 39509:7	39589:4	respect 39430:16,20,25
39441:16 39449:11	39552:14	relate 39498:8	remove 39473:16,17	39431:8 39435:24
39458:7 39462:22	reference 39435:16	related 39441:17	removed 39442:2	39436:6,17 39438:3
39467:4,25 39471:9	39440:11 39453:2	39497:21 39514:18	remuneration 39476:4	39438:18 39439:18
39471:25 39495:14	39489:10 39514:25	39516:20 39584:23	39476:5,7,9 39477:20	39441:23 39442:12
39495:19 39497:4	39515:4 39518:24	relating 39455:2	39478:18	39444:15 39445:5,20
39515:20,23 39518:1	39522:1,14,16	39464:3 39483:12	render 39576:9	39446:12,19
39536:20 39540:1	39523:4,5,8 39524:1	39487:17 39523:10	reopen 39457:5	39448:12 39450:19
39570:2 39587:2	39524:2 39526:21	<b>relation</b> 39431:25	reopening 39474:22	39452:7,22 39454:24
receive 39435:20	39527:1 39528:13	39438:1 39487:14	reparations 39528:8	39455:16 39457:13
39456:12	39570:3 39581:16	39492:8 39519:25	repeat 39452:18	39463:1 39470:9
received 39430:12	39588:20,24 39589:1	39522:20 39528:9	39570:12	39472:24 39476:2,21
39460:23 39492:14	referenced 39438:16	39530:20 39531:21	repeatedly 39459:16	39477:1 39481:14,20
39494:5 39505:5	references 39431:20,23	39544:9 39555:20	repeating 39480:1	39486:10,11 39487:1
39560:18	39432:14 39441:24	39572:25 39579:16	39500:21 39516:1	39487:18 39489:25
receives 39476:8	referral 39508:10 39514:20 39517:18	39579:23 39582:2	reply 39449:16	39493:10 39508:15
39498:13 39520:17 <b>receiving</b> 39461:21	39514:20 39517:18	39584:12,18 39586:7 39586:9,14,19,25	39564:12 39577:8 replying 39488:6	39508:21 39509:7 39512:16 39513:1
reckless 39571:9	referred 39434:16	39588:13 39589:8	39498:7	39516:6,16 39517:3
39587:8,9	39435:11 39446:11	relations 39431:6,8,15	report 39432:16	39517:10 39518:4,8
recklessly 39568:10	39486:13 39504:18	39452:15 39453:14	39435:6,23 39438:3,5	39519:4 39521:2
reclaim 39471:2	39511:2 39518:2	39453:18,20,25	39439:6 39440:2,8	39524:17 39531:23
recognise 39476:12	39530:12 39546:21	39463:17 39464:3,23	39441:12 39443:12	39538:23 39541:2
39584:7	39548:15 39567:8	39469:6 39471:20	39453:4 39498:17	39548:16 39572:12
recognised 39472:23	39570:3 39581:17	39473:6,23 39475:11	39499:23 39502:8,9	39576:24
39477:7 39481:1	39584:4	39477:24 39479:18	39518:2,6 39520:18	respected 39431:10
39550:13	referring 39588:14	39481:14	39521:14 39526:22	39456:4 39464:24
recognising 39469:3	refers 39442:5 39509:4	relationship 39463:22	39540:11,14	respectful 39482:10
recognition 39463:18	reflect 39577:2	39468:17	39560:19 39577:20	39558:20 39562:9
39468:22	reflected 39464:6	relationships 39454:2	<b>reporting</b> 39562:18	respectfully 39439:16
recollection 39548:14	reflects 39458:2	39469:10 39474:24	reports 39461:21	39448:20 39477:2
39548:14 39549:15	reformulate 39527:11	relatively 39552:6	39499:2 39574:13	39484:9 39507:17
39549:19	refrain 39439:13	39575:13	reposes 39524:25	39516:7
recommend 39500:18	refreshment 39506:1	relevant 39435:19	reprehensible 39507:8	respects 39461:11
39510:18 39526:1	refusal 39477:8	39453:6 39546:4	representative	respond 39516:4
recommendation	<b>refused</b> 39479:22	relied 39509:9	39517:15	responded 39447:16
39492:9 39508:8,13	39518:8	relies 39483:24,25	representatives	response 39440:6
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	1	ı	·

				Page 1
39461:9 39462:16	ring 39561:6		39563:24,25 39564:2	39535:10,23
39463:6,9 39471:16	rings 39588:18	<u>S</u>	39564:4,4,6,8,9	39537:20,22
39509:19 39538:11	rise 39450:3 39455:20	safe 39488:12	39565:2 39566:1	39541:20 39546:16
	39459:23 39488:18	safely 39531:15		
39545:22 39583:17		<b>safety</b> 39444:20	scheduled 39467:25	39549:23 39551:13
39583:18	39568:19	39460:6 39499:8	scheme 39504:22	39551:25 39552:12
responsibilities	risk 39478:13 39488:17	<b>Saffy</b> 39444:13,24	39511:16,17	39553:13,18 39554:4
39531:6	39556:1 39575:13	sake 39546:23	Scott 39575:11,24,25	39555:9,10 39562:21
responsibility 39521:1	39576:6,11,13,15,15	39556:17,23	scrap 39546:19	39567:2 39568:25
39521:10 39530:17	riskier 39576:4	sale 39546:11	screams 39581:23	39569:14 39571:24
39531:14	risks 39578:1,17	sangoma 39442:10	screen 39436:5	39577:16 39580:18
responsible 39556:14	river 39581:2	SAPS 39438:17	39462:19 39548:2	39581:12 39582:1
rest 39520:12	road 39436:19 39525:5	39484:6,16 39485:6,6	39549:25 39584:10	39586:11 39588:17
restoration 39528:2	39552:13 39579:20	39487:13 39504:21	scroll 39467:21	39589:19
restore 39482:3	39585:12	39519:13 39525:14	scrutiny 39435:14	seek 39431:7,11
restored 39482:8	<b>rob</b> 39572:23		39449:6,7 39573:23	39446:9,18 39450:19
restraint 39546:18	robbed 39537:3	39532:23 39539:23	39577:23	39511:13 39521:15
restricting 39546:11	robbers 39531:10	39584:11 39586:2,8	search 39547:1	39586:16
result 39439:10	robbery 39573:1	SAS 39566:16	season 39434:22	seeking 39443:23
39480:6 39491:21	rock 39467:10	sat 39447:8 39504:2,3,6	Seated 39514:2	39448:11,22
39512:3 39538:7	role 39454:9,12,12	39536:23	second 39431:5	39470:25 39471:1
		satellite 39490:12		
39584:12	39462:13	39495:6	39439:20 39459:10	39472:22 39494:7
results 39570:14	roll 39501:17 39538:21	satisfaction 39433:13	39469:7 39471:21	seeks 39480:12
resumes 39430:2	rolled 39501:19,22	39474:6	39509:15 39513:16	seen 39438:11
39472:13,14 39506:6	39502:5	satisfactory 39515:19	39516:9 39546:18	39440:14 39441:18
39506:7 39533:20,21	rolls 39538:17	satisfied 39430:24	39552:2,3,10	39441:18 39442:3
39565:13,14	room 39567:14,15,25	39547:4 39558:25	secondly 39502:7	39447:2 39459:16
<b>resuming</b> 39457:24	39568:1,5 39570:24	<b>Saturday</b> 39529:7	39512:21 39514:16	39461:6 39478:19
retained 39496:2	39571:9	save 39475:6	39544:24 39564:18	39481:1 39517:8
retreat 39496:13	root 39481:18	saved 39444:12	39569:15	39539:4 39547:5
retrospect 39485:18	roots 39454:19	saw 39447:3 39463:10	seconds 39532:16	sees 39444:18 39463:24
return 39458:1	rounds 39555:16	39467:3 39489:14	39551:24 39552:1,2,5	39475:13 39487:10
returning 39582:8	route 39472:9		39552:6,9,10	seized 39505:9
reus 39507:7 39509:8	39473:21,22	39495:4,9,22	39555:17,18,19	seizure 39547:1
39509:12,14,16	routes 39543:14	39497:13	39559:9 39583:17	self 39535:19 39543:23
39510:7 39571:18	Rover 39536:2 39540:1	saying 39430:15	section 39454:18	39551:16,18
39572:11,11,14	39561:11 39577:11	39442:25 39447:10	39523:19 39531:5,8	39566:18,18
		39448:9 39457:11	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
revenge 39484:24	39581:17 39583:6	39458:18 39467:16	39531:19 39545:21	39567:21 39584:15
39510:4,10	39586:10,11,15	39469:25 39475:5	39547:16,19 39548:3	39584:16
reverts 39479:22	39588:2	39477:4 39482:11	39584:5 39588:13,15	self-defence 39494:9
revisit 39496:12	rubber 39436:20	39493:3 39502:25	39588:16 39589:12	sell 39496:18 39544:11
rewind 39551:9,15	39532:24 39534:8	39503:25 39512:19	<b>sections</b> 39466:10	39546:6,25
rhetoric 39509:14	39550:18 39583:14	39512:24 39513:8	<b>sector</b> 39455:3	seller 39545:25
<b>rifle</b> 39553:7 39585:15	rubric 39464:10	39522:6 39523:14	<b>secure</b> 39481:25	<b>selling</b> 39544:21
39585:21	<b>rule</b> 39467:14,15,17,18	39524:8 39525:8	security 39432:1	39545:4 39547:4
<b>right</b> 39438:6 39474:9	39467:23 39480:23	39528:21 39538:24	39436:16,18	seminars 39480:21
39486:6 39488:9	39511:12 39513:12	39550:16 39551:7	39461:21 39477:4	sending 39567:25
39489:1,2 39490:8,11	39518:19 39531:16	39552:8 39554:20,23	39483:14,16,19,24	sends 39464:7
39490:11 39499:17	39531:17 39575:2	39559:13,22 39560:3	39484:22 39485:23	senior 39568:7
39504:7 39523:18	rules 39575:1	39561:18 39562:11	39485:25 39486:15	sense 39439:23 39448:5
39527:10 39530:6,10	ruling 39584:22,23		39486:24 39487:8,10	39452:3 39453:15,24
39531:15 39532:12	rumour 39498:4,23	39564:16,21 39570:8	39488:11 39495:21	39462:13 39463:20
39535:11 39536:11	39499:3,11	39572:20 39575:18	39498:24 39499:2,25	39465:15 39466:16
39536:15 39538:3	run 39479:3 39486:6	39581:19 39584:24		
		39587:16	39529:14,16 39541:6	39469:5,6 39495:17
39541:18,19	39491:18 39492:1	scale 39484:3	39587:19	39509:8 39538:4
39550:16 39567:16	39585:11	scenario 39484:9,11	see 39432:17 39434:11	39569:11 39578:6,8
39567:23 39568:5,9	running 39456:9	39551:14 39586:17	39438:5 39439:8	sensible 39569:11
39569:20 39570:24	39491:24 39492:20	scene 39452:5	39440:2 39447:1,20	sensitive 39464:4,25
39571:8 39572:12	39495:8 39532:19,24	39499:12 39555:16	39447:22 39448:18	sensitivities 39471:14
39574:25 39579:14	39555:11 39567:10	39558:23,23 39559:5	39450:22 39456:22	sensus 39536:14
39579:25	39567:10,11	39559:5,6,9,14,25	39463:15 39466:19	<b>sent</b> 39440:10 39462:23
<b>rights</b> 39432:25	39570:22	39560:1,2,5,6,7,7,8,8	39467:6 39477:15	39467:11 39562:23
39452:24 39530:10	Rustenburg 39548:9	39560:9,17,17,24,25	39484:13,15 39485:6	sentence 39500:3
39531:1 39537:9	<b>R5</b> 39550:11 39585:14	39560:25 39561:11	39486:5 39489:10	sentences 39499:16,16
39538:4 39540:16	39585:21	39561:19,20 39562:8	39493:13 39516:16	39499:18,18,20
39565:19 39566:22	<b>R9</b> 39458:2 39460:2	•	39519:14 39523:19	separate 39522:4
39566:22 39589:7	a from other	39563:14,14,16,16,24	39524:1,5,9 39534:5	39555:15
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

ī	_			r age z
sequence 39446:6	39557:1	39487:5	39558:22 39570:18	spoke 39443:13
<b>series</b> 39438:16	shotgun 39532:25	singing 39495:7	song 39497:17	39487:4 39489:21
39445:15 39467:4	39533:6,7	singled 39456:19	songs 39495:7	39498:2 39508:19
serious 39549:1,2,3	<b>shots</b> 39490:4,17,18	39468:20	<b>soon</b> 39444:6 39467:17	39562:24
39581:24	39491:14,15,18,20,25	<b>sit</b> 39447:22 39474:18	39473:16 39474:13	spoken 39489:5
seriously 39444:7	39492:2,8,19,21,22	39484:12 39534:14	<b>soot</b> 39440:17,24	spontaneous 39437:17
39520:17 39548:23	39493:12,16,24	39551:5 39553:5	sordid 39517:16	39451:9
39548:24,25	39553:17 39556:22	39562:21	<b>sorry</b> 39462:21	<b>spot</b> 39450:25
<b>served</b> 39483:9	39559:8 39561:3,7	sits 39528:23	39463:2 39464:8	<b>spots</b> 39547:15
39502:9	<b>shoulder</b> 39438:24	<b>sitting</b> 39447:12	39465:5 39467:21	<b>spread</b> 39459:16
service 39511:9,11	39532:12,12	39477:5 39506:22	39492:4 39494:16	<b>spy</b> 39449:25
39513:1,2,12	shouldn't 39434:22	39527:6 39570:9,15	39513:22,23	stab 39444:10
39530:24 39537:20	39482:17 39493:15	39572:22 39577:13	39514:24 39532:8	39445:14,19,21
39540:2 39554:19	39514:8 39520:23	<b>situation</b> 39441:3	39533:24 39542:2	39451:18 39532:10
39588:4	39530:2 39537:18	39444:24 39454:3	39543:2 39546:6	39532:11,11
services 39529:14	39538:18 39543:12	39462:9 39463:21	39549:19 39554:7	stabbed 39533:2,6
set 39434:3,6,18	39551:5 39553:24	39466:24 39467:19	39560:25 39578:23	stabbing 39533:4
39445:8 39452:13,14	39554:25 39577:21	39468:16 39469:4,25	39589:15	stage 39434:15
39454:7,21,22	39580:3	39470:17,21	sort 39439:13 39443:16	39443:23 39445:11
39460:12 39467:7	<b>should've</b> 39493:10 39561:11 39563:15	39471:16 39481:25	39518:21 39536:11 sorts 39471:2 39485:2	39447:21 39461:20 39462:2 39468:25
39475:19 39476:18 39487:22 39512:4	shout 39491:8	39484:13 39485:10 39485:12,14 39486:8	39543:22 39580:4,25	39402:2 39408:25 39471:9 39472:2
39522:15 39538:20	shouting 39583:8	39487:3,5 39488:7,24	sought 39452:6	39471:9 39472:2
39553:23 39574:6	show 39532:6 39539:15	39493:25 39501:12	39503:14,17 39505:2	39484:22 39489:10
39585:7	39570:14 39571:22	39505:9,10 39506:22	39505:4 39506:13	39494:16 39505:16
Setelele 39487:21	39573:4 39586:20	39507:5 39540:4,5	sound 39464:22,22	39506:25 39507:11
39489:11,17 39497:4	showed 39464:2	39561:7 39567:24	39468:15 39469:5	39500.25 39307.11
sets 39470:12,22	showing 39468:4	39568:11,19	39471:21,22 39475:9	39508:23,24
settled 39589:17	shown 39556:4,10	39575:22	39480:6 39482:10	39510:13 39512:22
settlement 39543:13	39585:3	situations 39501:12	source 39438:15	39533:17 39541:12
39585:12,22,24	shows 39438:22	six 39546:5	39477:3 39496:25	39574:9,14
seven 39462:6	39509:23 39548:24	sixth 39515:9,11	39498:5 39499:4,24	stages 39452:17
39514:12,13	39549:2 39555:19	Skidmore 39512:1	39574:15	stampede 39581:24
severely 39457:2	<b>shunt</b> 39567:13	<b>skull</b> 39441:9 39444:9	sources 39483:25	stand 39479:17
severing 39439:9	39568:4	39451:20	<b>South</b> 39518:14	39486:9 39488:5
<b>Shabangu</b> 39514:15	shunted 39570:23	sky 39560:21 39562:18	39526:12 39536:6	39554:22
39515:18	side 39447:19,23	sliced 39441:13	39537:1,23 39540:14	standard 39511:22,23
<b>shaft</b> 39444:5 39454:19	39478:17 39491:1	<b>slip</b> 39544:7	39540:16 39565:21	39579:4
<b>shame</b> 39526:8	39534:6 39536:19	<b>small</b> 39451:11	39571:5 39576:22	<b>standing</b> 39447:9
<b>share</b> 39433:9,9	39553:15,18	39461:19 39491:1	39580:17 39588:4	39548:11 39554:11
<b>sharp</b> 39439:2	<b>sideway</b> 39477:22	<b>smoothly</b> 39456:9	<b>so-called</b> 39510:1,6	39554:20
<b>she's</b> 39528:24	sideways 39465:13	SMS 39562:23	39516:10,23	stands 39563:12
<b>shift</b> 39484:14 39529:4	<b>siege</b> 39514:16	<b>Soap</b> 39503:23	<b>space</b> 39530:23	<b>start</b> 39436:24 39437:5
<b>shoot</b> 39517:25	39516:10	39525:10	39577:16	39474:10 39489:9
39582:19 39585:21	sight 39528:18	society 39532:3	<b>spanned</b> 39454:15	39501:10 39513:6
shooters 39566:23	sign 39497:14	39535:22 39536:7	spark 39462:10	39519:23 39585:6
39567:23	signatories 39565:20	39537:18 39538:11	speak 39449:14	39589:17
shooting 39489:7,22	significance 39440:22	39538:14 39540:24	39503:8	started 39453:24
39552:24 39558:25	significant 39435:3	39546:15	SPEAKER 39496:20	39486:22 39492:13
39559:2,3 39572:8	39461:25 39523:17	<b>Sokanyile</b> 39585:7,25	speaking 39445:2	39532:19 39533:4
39582:4 39583:12 39585:20	39550:5 39585:17 signing 39529:8	39586:3 sold 39496:19	39447:11 39521:16 39528:1 39534:3	39536:21 39564:5 starts 39467:12
shoot-to-kill 39515:12	similar 39528:4	solely 39505:19	spears 39491:6 39495:9	39473:15 39482:1
shop 39516:12	similarly 39520:4	solicit 39468:11	39495:22 39542:8	39503:10
short 39438:24	simple 39470:25	39469:23	39543:21 39552:23	state 39514:3 39539:15
39440:25 39462:8	39560:15 39562:25	solidarity 39480:24	special 39566:15	39539:18,25
39497:20 39498:8	39579:1	solution 39569:12	specifically 39519:20	39540:21 39587:17
39499:18,20 39539:7	simplistic 39556:1	somebody 39450:11	39523:3 39584:11	39587:18
39552:7 39563:12	simplistic 37330.1 simply 39435:16	39451:11,11	speculation 39450:2	stated 39441:19
39569:1	39456:21 39461:2	39480:18 39489:21	speculative 39457:12	39506:19 39507:6,25
shorthand 39576:17	39470:24 39478:12	39524:17 39537:19	speech 39514:17	39509:17 39514:12
shortly 39435:25	39498:5 39509:1,6	39538:24 39544:11	speed 39579:21	39543:25
39456:5 39462:24	39510:20 39513:5	39547:7 39570:15	spent 39483:6 39527:5	statement 39440:1
39514:15	39515:7 39516:21	39581:25 39583:3	39527:5	39442:4,24 39443:5,6
<b>shot</b> 39491:10	39517:3,22	somewhat 39448:15	<b>spleen</b> 39445:15	39449:3 39458:6
39542:11 39556:24	<b>Sinclair</b> 39484:23	39461:10 39528:25	<b>split</b> 39551:12	39461:24 39464:20
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

				Page 2
39465:15 39466:19	39535:5 39553:15,16	39587:6,20	39437:18 39472:8	systole 39551:6
39467:23 39468:4	39583:4	submissions 39430:20	39495:25 39505:1	
39487:22 39489:12	strength 39455:3	39431:22,25 39432:5	39566:3	T
39495:19 39496:1,4,5	strengthen 39449:5	39441:22,25	suggestions 39489:20	table 39475:4 39479:6
39496:16 39497:4	39506:1	39442:18 39450:19	39490:3	39517:13
39499:7 39500:1	stress 39533:12	39452:13,17 39453:1	suggests 39502:10	tactical 39507:1
39507:16 39509:25	39539:6	39454:5,7,10	39504:13	tail 39583:20
39511:7 39514:9	strike 39448:8 39450:12 39460:3,3	39480:22 39482:21 39483:21 39488:6	summarily 39454:5 summarise 39431:22	take 39436:11 39439:17 39442:25
39516:24 39517:14 39518:1,5 39532:10	39460:14,21,21	39498:1,7 39501:3,4	39444:1 39506:13	39446:7 39451:9
39545:24 39585:8	39461:4 39462:2	39501:5 39506:12	summary 39454:15	39452:2 39453:12
39586:5	39466:1,3 39474:12	39509:23 39510:24	39585:8	39465:7,9,13,24
statements 39443:2	39480:15,23,25	39511:6 39513:18	Sunday 39481:22	39466:15 39471:22
39449:13,14	39482:24 39489:1,16	39514:13 39517:13	39485:2,2 39487:11	39474:14 39475:8
39473:10	39498:12 39530:7	39517:21 39527:16	sunglasses 39447:15	39476:20 39477:22
states 39565:20	39532:1 39541:11,16	39532:9 39535:21	Superintendent	39483:2 39485:4
stating 39518:15	striker 39541:4	39561:17 39577:21	39486:4,4	39494:17 39499:19
station 39490:12	39585:21	submit 39437:21	supervise 39514:21	39501:15 39505:23
39495:6 39572:25 status 39470:10	<b>strikers</b> 39430:12 39436:19,21 39437:8	39448:20 39452:2 39456:1 39474:18	<b>supplementary</b> 39433:7 39454:6	39505:25 39516:3 39517:9 39522:17
39471:8 39472:23	39437:9,20 39439:19	39484:10,19	supplied 39432:14	39524:8 39534:19
statute 39466:25	39451:2 39460:20	39491:25 39499:10	support 39442:16	39535:17 39536:19
39524:15 39528:8,8	39461:6 39488:24	39501:18 39505:17	39448:23 39449:4	39544:4,5 39549:8
39531:14 39547:21	39490:15,23 39491:6	39510:2,5,14,21	39510:15	39554:13 39560:24
39550:2	39491:18 39494:15	39511:17 39513:15	suppose 39449:23	39561:1,8,10,21
statutorily 39481:7	39496:5,24 39497:6	39515:18 39516:7	39450:2	39573:1 39577:7
statutory 39452:19	39497:23 39498:3,9	39517:11,20,23	<b>supposed</b> 39464:5	39578:2 39579:25
39461:13 39521:1,9	39523:11 39532:2,17	39518:7 39586:1	39583:2	39580:21
39522:21 39550:23	39532:18,20,22,24,25	<b>submitted</b> 39431:12 39438:15 39507:17	sure 39444:25 39480:24 39489:1	taken 39442:10
stays 39475:16 steel 39444:13	39533:1,4,5,9 39534:16 39538:10	39508:1,2	39499:20 39511:21	39443:24 39446:5 39451:3,7,13,24
39538:14	39539:11 39541:3	subparagraphs	39512:2 39516:1	39456:23,24 39457:9
step 39465:13 39477:22	39553:14 39575:20	39549:9	39526:10 39530:25	39474:23 39477:1
39586:8	39585:11,14	subsection 39547:18	39531:5 39535:13	39482:4 39488:24
steps 39549:8	strikes 39466:2,2	subsequent 39557:6	39546:12 39558:6	39497:7 39506:25
stewards 39516:13	39529:17 39539:12	subsequently 39480:4	39559:19 39561:18	39533:8 39541:1
sticks 39495:9	39546:13	39546:8	surely 39537:17,18	39576:12 39578:10
stills 39553:25	strong 39536:8 39589:9	substance 39517:24	39564:10	39579:17 39585:15
<b>stipulated</b> 39531:8 <b>stock</b> 39485:4 39496:19	struck 39491:23 39556:3	<b>substantial</b> 39453:13 39453:19 39456:13	surmise 39529:1 surprise 39496:14	39589:7
39496:19 39497:9	structure 39466:2	39456:20 39458:5	surprised 39520:17	takes 39436:17 39455:13,19
39544:6	39476:1 39531:4	39460:17	39526:2 39541:1	39456:11 39457:20
stomach 39445:16	structured 39465:16	substantially 39432:18	surprising 39516:3	39461:19 39483:23
39532:13	structures 39464:23	substructure 39515:25	surrendering 39533:7	39486:9 39488:17
stone 39433:24	39473:12 39474:4,8	<b>sub-topics</b> 39454:8	surrounded 39437:7	39503:8
<b>stood</b> 39447:18	39524:19	succinctly 39464:21	surrounding 39561:22	talk 39447:20 39476:16
39532:18	stun 39532:19	succumbing 39441:4	survive 39554:24	39476:20 39479:23
stop 39485:16 39530:4	39535:19	sudden 39588:22	suspect 39524:25	39480:19 39484:24
39530:6 39540:24 39543:12 39555:3	sub 39454:7 subject 39442:22	suddenly 39435:1 39458:20	<b>suspended</b> 39493:19 <b>suspicion</b> 39450:4	39531:19 39554:3 39578:25 39585:17
39560:6 39564:5,16	39475:12 39477:12	sue 39521:21	39525:23 39526:15	talking 39447:9
39589:14	39523:1 39589:10	suffer 39581:24	39555:4	39457:20 39461:25
stopped 39492:12	subjected 39537:10	suffered 39528:14	sustainable 39485:18	39496:4 39535:22
39561:11 39563:15	submission 39439:25	39532:10	<b>sustained</b> 39445:14	39545:24 39562:3
39564:8 39568:1	39442:6 39443:9	<b>suffers</b> 39451:17	39508:25 39532:15	39582:17
stopping 39436:14	39445:8 39451:10	39559:23	sweeping 39562:12	tall 39554:20
39560:7 39565:1	39453:22 39482:10	sufficient 39459:15	swept 39581:2	tangentially 39539:3
stops 39557:22	39483:15 39487:14	39483:2 39484:6	swift 39457:18	target 39444:7
store 39572:25 storm 39580:5,6	39487:23 39495:13 39512:12 39516:14	39584:25 39586:19 suggest 39445:6	swiftly 39433:3 39436:15 39460:1	39509:12 39557:1,13 task 39432:15,16
story 39443:8 39526:1	39518:19 39520:24	39455:16 39464:11	39483:12	39475:19,20
39537:2	39527:19,24 39544:9	39511:16 39589:25	switched 39437:10	tea 39465:10 39505:24
stream 39476:12,13,14	39551:22 39554:14	suggested 39500:15	synonymous 39535:14	39505:25 39506:2,4
street 39490:10,10,23	39558:21 39562:9	suggesting 39464:11	system 39436:12	team 39464:1 39467:6
39490:24 39491:2	39565:23 39581:21	39465:9 39479:2	39437:3 39440:12	39467:8 39469:19
39495:5,5 39534:21	39585:18 39586:2	suggestion 39434:10	39498:19	39475:19,20
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE		<u> </u>	

				Page 2
39503:17 39505:12	39500:2,4,4,5,8,9	39568:18 39580:20	tidy 39555:23	39588:10 39589:18
39505:17,19	39504:7 39513:10,19	39580:21 39583:18	tie 39556:15	39590:1
teargas 39532:16	39519:7,8,22 39527:4	39588:1	till 39580:5,6,16	tongue 39441:19
39534:8,10,15,20,22	39533:23 39539:10	think 39431:3	time 39430:5 39436:24	39442:2,5,14 39443:3
39535:5 39578:5	39565:16 39577:9	39432:22 39434:3,18	39439:22 39441:1	39443:14,14
technically 39476:2,9	thanks 39465:12	39450:7 39462:19	39443:2,4 39444:14	tonight 39580:6,8
teeth 39543:19	39512:14	39468:13 39487:16	39448:22 39457:15	tool 39477:25 39478:6
telephone 39509:2	theme 39463:13	39488:15 39492:6	39458:15 39459:14	39547:2
<b>telephonic</b> 39507:4 39509:4 39510:8	thereof 39501:15,17 39589:13	39499:15 39500:6 39504:8 39506:10	39460:15 39463:3,4 39468:23 39473:6	tools 39478:7 top 39438:6 39534:14
television 39574:6	there'd 39561:1	39508:1 39511:8	39476:16,23 39481:9	39552:16 39588:3
tell 39435:5 39447:4	there'll 39561:21	39515:2 39519:9,11	39481:21 39483:7	topic 39431:5 39452:9
39448:10 39467:16	there's 39434:10,25	39519:14,17	39484:6 39489:6,6	39463:14 39474:23
39469:22 39486:2	39449:21 39455:15	39522:11 39526:5,9	39490:16,18 39491:5	39483:5
39496:17 39521:3,19	39478:17 39480:25	39527:1 39528:5	39491:7,11 39494:13	topics 39430:6,9
39522:14 39526:16	39488:16 39489:23	39529:1 39536:11,21	39496:13 39497:20	39431:17 39432:3,8
39547:25 39548:1	39489:24 39491:19	39537:1 39541:1	39500:12,19	39432:19 39433:3,12
39565:7 39580:9	39491:22 39499:7	39545:2,9,20,22	39508:17 39517:8	39464:3 39483:12
39587:14	39503:23 39504:23	39546:13 39555:1,5	39528:17 39529:19	torso 39438:22
telling 39540:14	39505:14,14	39561:19,20,24	39536:1 39538:24	total 39451:18
tells 39486:13 39521:5	39509:24 39510:20	39562:24 39563:18	39539:6,7 39552:2,19	totality 39509:13
39523:17 39578:7 39583:10	39517:20 39524:14 39525:19,23	39564:3,3 39566:2 39573:17 39574:2	39553:17 39559:22 39563:6 39564:5	39510:23 touch 39554:4
temporary 39492:11	39525:19,23 39545:20 39547:2,2	39573:17 39574:2 39577:20 39579:14	39503:6 39504:5 39575:5 39577:25	39558:19 39570:17
tem 39554:22 39557:11	39560:5 39562:4	39585:2 39588:13	39578:18 39581:5,6	touches 39528:5
39558:17 39559:8	39567:12 39568:16	thinking 39482:21	39583:17,18	trachea 39440:17,18,24
tend 39586:9	39572:10 39575:25	39543:3,5 39544:18	39587:21	tracking 39436:12
tends 39442:16	39580:9 39583:12,14	39545:21 39549:24	times 39516:2	39437:3
39545:17	thesis 39506:16	39573:8	39530:22	trade 39544:10
tenor 39505:10	39507:10	thinks 39556:20	timing 39457:9	trader 39544:15
tenth 39558:4	they'd 39450:9	<b>third</b> 39440:17 39441:4	tinder 39462:10	traders 39544:10
tenure 39536:4	39490:23 39496:3	thirdly 39431:18	tiny 39501:6	<b>trading</b> 39545:15
term 39467:15	39497:7	third-degree 39438:10	<b>Tip</b> 39430:3,4 39433:1	traffic 39562:20
39475:12 39522:15	they're 39434:15	Thomas 39500:6,8,17	39433:18,21,24	39579:25
39523:3,5,7 <b>terminal</b> 39439:7	39435:4 39442:18 39458:14 39462:15	39502:18 39503:3,6 39504:7 39506:3	39434:19 39435:8 39436:3 39439:5	tragedy 39508:18 39526:7
terms 39435:15	39467:9,9,10 39468:7	thoroughly 39468:15	39443:10 39446:16	trained 39498:23
39453:2 39454:15	39478:24 39479:13	thought 39431:21	39449:8,11,18,22	39499:24 39582:12
39455:1 39463:21	39486:7 39498:19	39441:5 39445:17	39450:16 39455:23	training 39582:25
39476:6 39479:1,15	39521:19,22	39514:7,10 39522:19	39459:10 39465:6,11	transcript 39431:20
39480:10 39483:16	39546:16 39557:8	39527:2 39534:11	39472:11,15,16	39446:13
39514:25 39515:3	39588:4,14	39535:7 39548:7,10	39477:11,14	translocation 39472:5
39518:24 39522:1,14	they've 39461:23	39565:22,23	39483:11 39490:16	transparent 39434:1
39523:25 39524:2,2	39519:15 39537:3	39574:18 39575:13	39490:18,21	39434:16
39526:21 39527:1	thing 39471:23	39579:12 39588:10	39491:12,22	transpond 39560:4
39528:13 39547:21	39474:19 39485:4,13	39588:11	39492:17,25 39493:6	traumatic 39533:12
39581:16 39588:19 39588:24 39589:1	39512:20 39521:10	thoughts 39434:8 threat 39537:15	39493:9,15 39495:14	travel 39477:25
terrain 39483:22	39538:14,18 39542:20 39544:13	39540:10 39553:9	39496:7,22 39497:1 39497:15,20	39580:1,4,7,14 travelled 39436:8
39521:13	39544:24 39546:20	39555:21 39556:2,9	39499:17,23 39500:5	travelling 39436:13
terrible 39444:8,16	39548:16 39553:4	39556:12 39558:11	39528:21 39530:11	39574:19
39580:3	39554:15 39564:18	39558:18 39581:18	39542:6	traversed 39435:24
<b>territory</b> 39574:19	39581:20 39583:8	39582:16,25	<b>Tip's</b> 39542:20	39443:22
terrorism 39566:12	things 39442:21	threaten 39550:17	today 39576:25	treason 39539:16
terrorists 39566:11	39453:17 39462:14	threatened 39544:2,3	39580:2,2,3,5,15	treated 39481:17
test 39511:22,22,23	39462:14 39471:17	<b>three</b> 39471:6 39491:15	<b>Tokota</b> 39554:7,18	treatment 39445:1
39512:4,18 39525:21	39472:19 39477:6	39532:12 39554:22	told 39505:7 39522:10	trial 39571:18
39525:22 39560:16	39481:2 39485:2	39560:2,13,22,24,25	39523:8 39530:9	trigger 39462:11
39577:21 39588:1	39490:2 39511:10	39561:5,6 39565:7	39532:1,8 39535:20	39532:5 39572:4
testified 39585:22	39519:11 39522:10	39574:25	39549:19 39551:5	triggers 39461:4
testing 39481:8,10 thank 39430:4,14	39522:11 39524:23 39524:23 39527:19	threshold 39526:17,17 thresholds 39526:18	39556:17 39561:12 39562:22 39577:5	39535:20 trip 39553:19 39579:18
39433:1 39435:8	39528:4 39529:24	threw 39532:19	39589:20	39580:21
39438:4 39449:8	39531:19 39543:12	Thursday 39536:24	tomorrow 39577:1	tripped 39533:3
39472:11,16	39550:2 39559:12	39575:15 39576:6,13	39580:2,5,7,16	trips 39489:13
39496:21 39499:18	39561:2,23 39568:17	39576:14	39583:25 39587:23	triumbrant 39474:16
ARCHIVE FO			l	I

				Page 2
trouble 39485:5	39561:19,20 39563:9	39576:8 39577:19	39466:3 39474:12	39515:22 39542:18
39547:10 39555:9	39563:14,16 39579:6	39581:15,22 39582:1	39480:15 39529:17	39542:20
39562:7	39582:2 39587:3,8	39582:24 39583:11	39532:1 39539:12	versions 39515:20
TRT 39550:25	<b>type</b> 39529:4,4	39583:22 39584:9	39541:16	versus 39518:19
39551:14 39553:6	39535:22 39537:15	39586:8,13	unsatisfactory	vicarious 39520:8,11
39566:1 39568:15	39538:1 39539:20	understanding 39443:9	39474:20 39475:3	39521:17 39569:3,4
39583:21 39585:10	39540:14,24	39443:10 39464:6	39485:22	39570:11
39585:14,21,23,25	39543:24 39551:7,23	39468:4 39480:22	unsurprising 39515:21	vicariously 39520:7
<b>true</b> 39482:15	39554:25 39556:7	39486:24 39502:14	unsurprisingly 39514:4	39521:21 39568:14
39525:24 39526:9,15	39581:20 39588:1	39502:16 39540:9	unsustainable	vice-president
39542:15	types 39538:15	39554:1 39557:18	39486:11	39464:14
truth 39478:10,14	typical 39582:20	39560:4 39566:6,25	untrue 39496:6	vicinity 39490:9
39528:6	typically 39545:13	39568:2,23 39577:6	<b>upholding</b> 39511:12	viciously 39444:7
<b>try</b> 39431:19 39439:20	typographical 39508:2	39578:24 39582:5	39513:11	view 39433:2,9
39439:22 39530:14	<b>typos</b> 39539:4,8	understands 39469:12	<b>upper</b> 39441:13	39456:16 39476:23
39534:5 39548:18	<b>T-junction</b> 39567:12	understood 39434:9	<b>upset</b> 39566:21	39479:3 39492:6
39581:21 39584:8		39480:20 39551:19	<b>urged</b> 39519:24	39507:20,24
trying 39534:25	U	39554:14 39574:7	<b>use</b> 39504:3,4 39510:5	39516:23 39553:25
39535:12,21 39537:7	UK 39569:18,19	39583:6	39529:17,18	39565:23 39578:12
39553:19 39558:6	<b>ultimate</b> 39547:20	undertake 39433:11	39531:20 39534:7,8,8	viewed 39450:4
39559:19 39560:9	ultimately 39440:2	<b>underway</b> 39503:8	39535:13 39536:8	39467:15 39571:4
39561:23 39562:5	39453:21 39455:4,7	39505:6	39540:20 39544:13	views 39459:17
39564:15 39579:14	39489:11 39490:8	undisclosed 39499:4	39545:5 39547:11	39470:2,15 39472:7
39581:14 39586:16	39516:7 39538:7	<b>undue</b> 39503:9	39549:12,12 39550:8	39476:25 39480:3
<b>Tuesday</b> 39575:11	umbilical 39532:13	39505:18 39506:9	39550:11,18,20	39518:4
<b>turn</b> 39435:9 39440:7	39533:6	39514:18 39516:18	39558:10,12 39582:2	<b>vigore</b> 39567:5
39441:7 39443:20	unable 39586:2	<b>unduly</b> 39480:1	useful 39475:7	violation 39451:22
39454:2 39461:15	unacceptable 39452:1	39499:14 39543:3	39545:14 39574:11	violence 39453:21
39472:9,17 39487:16	39473:22 39482:25	unfair 39547:10	usual 39515:21	39454:3 39460:4
39491:18 39492:1	unarmed 39494:16,25	39588:21 39589:9	Usually 39497:6	39461:9 39480:14,18
39495:5 39516:9	39495:23 39496:4	unfold 39443:23	utterances 39509:18	39480:25 39481:7,13
39519:9	39542:15	39471:17 39479:12	utterly 39475:2	39481:15,15,17
turned 39432:5	unaware 39484:25	unfolded 39437:11	39485:22	39482:7 39536:9
39460:2	unclear 39508:21	39453:12	V	39541:16
turns 39458:4 39586:1	39512:23	unfolding 39493:25	<del></del>	violent 39460:3
Twala 39430:19,25	uncontradicted	39563:7	v 39552:16	39461:4 39479:17
39431:2 39445:24	39502:10	unfolds 39444:4	vague 39518:16	virgin 39574:19
39446:1,3,21 39447:1	uncorroborated	unfortunate 39507:9	vain 39509:1 39582:19	vital 39469:5
39447:2,3,11 39448:2	39449:20	unfortunately 39458:4	valid 39550:15	voice 39440:20
39448:3,9,11,18	underestimate	unfurling 39538:6,10	validate 39505:4	volatile 39545:17
39449:6,14 39450:23	39538:24	unhappy 39504:4	valuable 39549:5	vote 39577:16
39451:3,13,22 Twole's 30448:21	<b>underline</b> 39452:18 39462:12 39463:12	<b>unidentified</b> 39498:5 39498:23 39499:5,9	value 39452:21	voucher 39448:12 Vuuren 39444:11,18,21
<b>Twala's</b> 39448:21 39449:12	39462:12 39463:12	uniformity 39439:11	van 39444:11,17,21 39537:4	<b>vuuren</b> 39444.11,10,21
twice 39463:13 39487:8	39477:17 39481:5	unilateral 39456:11,20	variances 39515:20	W
two 39431:13,24	39486:12	39457:11,25 39461:4	various 39431:20	wade 39532:25
39434:5 39435:7	underlined 39458:11	39461:5 39479:21	39454:7 39463:2	wage 39458:2 39464:17
39439:17,18 39445:7	39469:8 39472:21	unilaterally 39458:13	39464:3 39482:5	39469:13 39476:1
39454:6 39459:14,19	39484:7	39460:12 39475:3	39519:24 39531:6	39478:9,15,15,22
39459:21 39461:18	underlines 39453:8	union 39450:18	39546:3 39551:20	39479:6 39481:18
39464:13 39471:17	39485:22 39486:23	39454:25 39460:1	39552:15 39586:23	39482:16,18
39472:19 39473:16	underlying 39474:10	39461:7 39466:7,8	39589:7	wages 39460:15
39474:6 39484:9	39482:16	39468:25 39469:2	vehicle 39436:8,12	39478:11
39487:17 39490:2	undermine 39565:10	39478:20 39540:2	39437:3 39444:18	wait 39521:7 39552:11
39491:10,20,23	underscore 39517:4	unions 39470:1	39486:19,19 39581:1	39575:15 39580:5,6
39499:15,17,18	understand 39434:10	39471:17 39472:20	vehicles 39483:24	39580:16
39503:15 39509:18	39434:14,24 39479:5	39472:22 39473:4,16	39486:16 39487:2,6,9	waited 39551:25
39514:22 39517:24	39480:17 39514:25	39474:1,6 39476:15	39487:12	waiting 39551:9
39524:19 39527:5	39518:5 39521:24	39477:13 39481:10	vein 39524:20	wake 39529:9
39528:23 39532:11	39522:23 39527:12	unit 39530:24	venue 39574:5	wakes 39529:2
39532:11,12,16	39538:8 39539:8	unlawful 39509:17,21	verdict 39557:23	walk 39534:21
39536:23 39537:2,13	39554:5 39556:18,19	39524:13,23	Vermaak 39560:21	39554:23
In 11 Denne	39558:6 39559:20	unnecessary 39521:13	39562:17 39585:10	walked 39541:10
39343:11 39331:12				
39543:11 39551:12 39558:23 39559:14	39560:10 39561:17	unpalatable 39489:2	39585:13.19	<b>walking</b> 39535:4
39558:23 39559:14 39560:1,1,5,8,9,17,17	39560:10 39561:17 39562:19 39566:4	unpalatable 39489:2 unprotected 39460:3	39585:13,19 <b>version</b> 39449:17	walking 39535:4 Walters 39570:3
39558:23 39559:14			-	

	ı	T	T	. age .
39463:3 39473:4	weapon 39545:5,23	39447:2 39454:7	39539:7 39543:18	X
39480:19 39481:4,10	39547:4,9	39458:18 39459:3	39550:19 39556:6	X 39437:14,22
39488:8,9,10,10	weaponry 39497:11	39469:25 39480:11	39558:18 39579:19	39441:24 39442:4,19
39494:24 39498:6	weapons 39450:10	39482:12 39484:13	39582:5,11,12,19	*
39499:13 39504:3,4	39495:24 39496:2,17	39485:2 39487:22	39583:2 39588:5	39446:2,5,11,24
39505:25 39506:2	39496:18,18 39497:3	39498:7 39503:9	workable 39473:19,19	39449:13 39526:3
39524:16 39528:25	39497:5 39529:18	39506:12 39509:22	worked 39478:3	39551:10
				<b>XXX3</b> 39462:19
39530:3 39531:1,2,14	39539:12 39542:4	39512:4 39523:4,5	worker 39448:13	
39531:22 39537:14	39543:22 39544:10	39527:5 39542:13	39456:17 39476:8	Y
39538:22,24 39543:1	39544:20,22 39545:8	39546:14,16	39479:4	<b>Y</b> 39551:10
39546:3 39556:18	39545:25 39546:1,9	39549:21,24	workers 39451:2	<b>year</b> 39456:6 39479:8
39557:24 39558:5	39546:12,15,17	we've 39452:12,13	39454:23 39455:8	years 39431:14
39560:3 39564:15	39547:12,13	whatsoever 39493:20	39456:18 39458:20	39527:5 39528:23
39570:20 39581:5	39549:13 39550:8,20	39505:1,16 39510:15	39459:18 39460:22	39536:6
39588:17	39554:21	39513:13	39464:25 39465:1	yesterday 39433:5
wanted 39432:10	weather 39580:3,15	what's 39469:22	39466:18 39474:7	39445:7 39489:5
39447:15 39448:17	Wednesday 39536:24	39480:23 39497:11	39479:5	39513:1,6
39466:11 39488:3	week 39499:5 39536:20	whilst 39532:24	workforce 39457:24	yield 39528:2,3
39543:11,14	39536:21,21,24	39539:1	working 39456:2	yielded 39507:9
wants 39522:10	39537:1	White 39502:1	39583:23	young 39497:18
war 39484:24	weeks 39468:8	39583:10	works 39447:7 39454:9	you'd 39524:5,9
warned 39536:3	weigh 39552:19	<b>whopping</b> 39456:14	39568:3,13 39577:18	
39580:2,19	weighing 39551:20	who'd 39547:19	39579:19 39582:23	39525:10 39545:9,14
warning 39449:13	weight 39450:15	who's 39450:11	workshop 39494:5	you'll 39436:22
39485:21 39491:8,10	39499:10	39520:25	world 39537:22	39441:16 39467:25
39491:14 39492:2,19	well-established	wide 39472:24	worse 39578:9	39471:25 39554:9
	39464:16	39522:24		39557:23 39570:2
39493:12,16			39581:25	39589:13
39580:22 39588:18	well-informed 39464:4	wife 39529:3,7	worst 39534:11	you're 39442:25
warnings 39492:21	went 39437:5 39442:9	windpipe 39440:19	wouldn't 39434:2	39475:5 39478:7
warns 39529:23	39444:15 39447:8,18	windscreen 39444:12	39439:10 39497:9	39479:11,13,23
warrant 39508:10	39447:22 39489:13	wire 39538:6,10,21	39513:4 39526:5	39488:23 39489:16
39529:8 39532:7	39494:15,17,20	wish 39433:3 39434:8	39548:5,16 39557:9	39493:3 39499:14
39534:8 39535:17	39497:10 39540:2	39435:9 39515:6	39566:14 39569:7	39500:7 39513:20
39549:7 39553:13,21	39542:3,4,15,16	39544:8 39547:15	39579:10 39580:14	39516:12 39523:14
39555:8 39567:16	39554:12 39568:9	39576:25	would've 39486:20	39531:10 39534:1
warranted 39489:4	39571:24 39574:6	wished 39433:7	39490:19 39554:15	39545:22 39555:5
washing 39441:17	weren't 39542:18	withdraw 39514:9	39554:15	39556:16 39557:19
wasn't 39443:3,7	39561:2	39563:19 39565:23	wound 39451:18	39559:7,13 39564:25
39446:5 39458:10	Wesley 39497:14	withdrawn 39433:23	wounds 39444:10	39565:2,7 39570:17
39459:12 39465:3	<b>Western</b> 39469:1	witness 39518:21,22	39445:14,15,19,21	39571:17 39573:25
39477:8 39560:12	39470:18	39526:4,4	39491:21 39532:11	
39568:10	we'd 39562:16	witnessed 39502:3	39532:11,11	39574:20 39579:13
waste 39575:5 39581:5	39589:17	witnesses 39449:13	WPL 39490:25	39579:15 39581:9,20
watching 39554:1	we'll 39433:16	39548:9	write 39432:16	39583:2
wave 39459:15	39465:11 39480:19	women 39533:9	writes 39547:5	<b>you've</b> 39443:16
way 39449:6 39461:3	39509:15 39527:9	<b>Wonderkop</b> 39447:20		39459:18 39470:8
39462:13,14		won't 39473:11	writing 39577:1 written 39432:5,13	39474:13 39477:2
	39531:19 39545:12		,	39479:18 39481:14
39465:18 39467:19	39545:12 39577:17	39499:7 39522:6	39433:17 39441:25	39483:8,9 39485:9,14
39468:16,17 39480:5	39587:25	39537:13 39543:9	39452:12 39454:7	39494:13,23
39480:14,15	we're 39432:18	39561:13 39573:18	39480:21 39483:21	39497:22 39513:8,9
39481:12 39482:4,13	39444:25 39447:23	39574:13	39487:22 39506:14	39513:10,11 39516:2
39485:6 39493:23	39461:25 39475:14	word 39433:13 39439:7	39506:15 39511:6	39542:2 39561:24
39495:11 39497:9,10	39476:16,20	39462:12 39469:24	39514:13 39532:8	39565:1 39579:6
39499:22 39516:4	39479:22 39485:5	39486:10 39522:24	39544:9 39552:14	<b>YY2</b> 39490:10
39518:17 39521:2	39489:16 39496:4	39536:8,11,16	39577:21 39585:18	
39522:15 39525:2	39500:11 39519:11	39563:19 39589:9	wrong 39503:23	<b>Z</b>
39527:12 39529:5	39523:8 39527:8,15	words 39484:9	39504:23 39513:24	<b>Z</b> 39551:10 39577:17
39530:23 39531:4	39535:20,21,22	39490:25 39504:4	39516:5 39520:1,19	<b>Zokwana</b> 39481:21
39533:1 39548:19	39536:13,18	39525:15,17 39546:1	39524:7 39544:15	39482:4 39503:15
39550:3 39561:24	39537:21 39541:23	work 39444:3 39447:12	39545:15 39548:13	39509:11
39563:12 39566:5,14	39543:9 39545:24	39453:13,13 39468:7	39548:16,17	<b>ZZZZ6</b> 39438:16
39566:21 39567:2	39552:22 39557:2	39471:11 39478:2,6,7	39549:23 39556:20	<b>ZZZZ6.116</b> 39440:13
39568:19,25	39560:12 39569:4,5	39488:3,4,9,9	39560:3 39572:15	
39569:13,14	39572:21 39586:23	39489:2,8 39498:16	39574:11	<b>ZZ3</b> 39495:21
39574:13 39582:11	we've 39434:16	39529:3,10,13	wrongful 39572:14	0
ways 39464:6 39501:6	39438:11 39441:24	39530:1,3,4 39531:3		
A R C H I V E F O	R JUSTICE			

			 <u> </u>
<b>000</b> 39458:2 39460:2	39506:5 39532:17	39543:21 39565:8	 
<b>0017</b> 39461:24	39565:12	39586:9	
<b>09:02</b> 39430:2	<b>15th</b> 39506:21,21	<b>3.6</b> 39461:24	
<b>09:22</b> 39440:13	39507:5,11,22	<b>30</b> 39496:20,21,25	
<b>09:42</b> 39450:16	39508:14,18	39577:13 39583:19	
	<b>15:26</b> 39565:14	<b>300</b> 39541:25 39543:22	
1	39578:12	<b>320</b> 39556:10	
<b>1</b> 39438:6 39440:7,14	<b>15:46</b> 39587:19	<b>328</b> 39555:16,19	
39453:1 39455:15	<b>16th</b> 39453:11	<b>337</b> 39506:18	
39462:7 39480:23	39501:13,23 39502:4	<b>34</b> 39538:7	
39514:19 39531:16 39563:25 39564:4,9	39514:15 39540:5,22 39542:25 39554:11	<b>340</b> 39507:3,12 39509:5 <b>341</b> 39507:13	
39565:2 39566:1	39554:13 39555:8	<b>342</b> 39507:17	
<b>1.16</b> 39438:6	39560:10 39587:5	<b>35513</b> 39446:14	
<b>1.5</b> 39515:3 39519:3	<b>16:03</b> 39564:14	<b>359</b> 39509:16	
<b>10</b> 39431:18 39437:3	<b>18</b> 39445:14,19,21	<b>361</b> 39507:24	
39491:3,4 39503:10	39455:25 39456:9	<b>361.2</b> 39508:5	
39506:9 39517:25	39457:6 39531:8		
39551:10 39552:24	<b>18%</b> 39459:22	4	
39556:5,22 39557:22	<b>180</b> 39553:25	<b>4</b> 39438:8 39447:7	
<b>10th</b> 39484:14	<b>19%</b> 39478:21,22,24	39531:5	
39487:18,19 39488:1	2	<b>40</b> 39584:8	
<b>10:01</b> 39460:8		<b>400</b> 39539:14 39541:25	
<b>10:22</b> 39469:18	<b>2</b> 39438:5 39455:14	39543:21 4 <b>5</b> 30500:10 11	
<b>10:43</b> 39472:14 <b>100</b> 39438:10 39440:17	39462:5 39467:24 39477:9 39508:5	<b>45</b> 39500:10,11	
39450:25	39531:17 39533:19	5	
<b>11</b> 39431:19 39446:16	39538:20 39563:24	<b>5</b> 39462:19 39514:21	
11th 39450:9 39484:4	39563:24 39564:2,4,6	<b>50</b> 39490:5	
39484:18,18	39564:8 39569:19	<b>502</b> 39511:5	
39487:18 39489:21	<b>20</b> 39460:11 39467:8	<b>53</b> 39583:21	
39489:25 39542:5	<b>2005/2006</b> 39483:18	<b>59</b> 39586:6	
<b>11:03</b> 39482:12	<b>2008</b> 39515:13		
<b>11:23</b> 39493:17	39517:25	6	
<b>11:42</b> 39505:13	<b>2011</b> 39455:13,14,15	<b>6</b> 39515:14	
<b>116</b> 39506:17	39456:1,2,9 39458:17	<b>6:30</b> 39575:19	
<b>12</b> 39529:1 39555:17,18	39462:5	<b>60</b> 39532:9	
39559:9 124: 20420:11:20426:8	<b>2012</b> 39430:11	<b>61</b> 39533:24	
<b>12th</b> 39430:11 39436:8	39431:19 39457:25	7	
39450:9 39484:21 39485:13 39503:16	39460:11 39461:18 39462:1 39467:24	<b>7</b> 39455:12	
39510:8 39529:19	39402.1 39407.24	<b>7 39433</b> :12 <b>700</b> 39537:13	
<b>12:01</b> 39506:7	39534:10 39541:4	<b>75</b> 39495:3	
<b>12:21</b> 39517:3	<b>2013</b> 39514:17	37 173.3	
<b>12:41</b> 39527:23	39516:13	8	
<b>120</b> 39551:6 39583:23	<b>2014</b> 39430:1	<b>8</b> 39588:15	
<b>13</b> 39430:1 39451:18	<b>21</b> 39461:17 39462:24	<b>8:45</b> 39589:25	
39517:13	39463:24 39468:6	<b>8:45am</b> 39590:1	
<b>13th</b> 39430:11 39534:9	39477:9	<b>80</b> 39551:6 39580:23	
39541:10 39554:10	<b>211</b> 39495:3	39583:24	
39554:16 39578:3	<b>22</b> 39552:14	<b>800</b> 39536:6	
39587:4 12,54,30533,31	<b>23</b> 39552:16	<b>84.2F</b> 39523:19	
<b>13:54</b> 39533:21	<b>23</b> % 39466:12	9	
<b>136</b> 39585:5 <b>136.1</b> 39585:6	<b>24</b> 39528:18 <b>24th</b> 39514:17	<b>9</b> 39575:16 39588:17	
<b>136.10</b> 39532:9	39516:13	9 39575:16 39588:17 9th 39453:11	
39533:25	<b>27</b> 39462:25 39472:6	<b>9(1)</b> 39453:11 <b>9(2)</b> ( <b>d</b> ) 39531:19	
<b>136.4</b> 39585:18	39477:9 39480:2	39547:16,22 39548:3	
<b>14th</b> 39430:11	<b>277</b> 39446:13	<b>9:55</b> 39436:11	
39446:21 14% 39466:13	54	<b>95</b> 39584:5,7,10	
<b>14%</b> 39466:13	3 20 13	,-,	
<b>14:05</b> 39544:17	<b>3</b> 39462:21 39506:25		
<b>14:25</b> 39553:10	39507:11,14,21		
	20500 22 24		
<b>14:45</b> 39563:19	39508:23,24		
<b>14:45</b> 39563:19 <b>15</b> 39465:7 39467:8 39472:11 39501:24	39508:23,24 39510:13 39514:17 39531:18 39539:14		