RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 254

3 JULY 2014 PAGES 31955 TO 32035



[©] REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@mweb.co.za Web Address: http://www.realtimesa.co.za



	Page 31955		Page 31957
1	[PROCEEDINGS ON 3 JULY 2014]	1	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, that's very
2	[09:12] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2	important information. Do you agree? It is very important
3	Would you please tell the witness he's still under oath?	3	information that you would have killed management if they
4	MR X: [s.u.o. through interpreter]	4	hadn't given you the money.
5	MR MAHLANGU: Confirmed.	5	MR X: If he had brought something that
6	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender.	6	did not satisfy us, yes.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR BUDLENDER SC (CONTD.):	7	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, but what I'm
8	Thank you, Chair. Good morning, Mr X.	8	saying to you is that it's important that anybody who wants
9	MR X: Good morning, Sir.	9	to know about what happened, that should know that your
10	MR BUDLENDER SC: I wanted to ask you a	10	plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the
11	preliminary question. You told the Commission that you	11	money.
12	were instructed that for the muti to work you must not	12	MR X: If the question could be repeated?
13	change your clothes.	13	I did not regard it as being important that we should kill
14	MR X: Yes, Sir.	14	him.
15	MR BUDLENDER SC: And we know that you	15	MR BUDLENDER SC: And it was unimportant
16	wanted the muti to work.	16	- you say it was not important that you decided to kill the
17	MR X: Yes, I want it to work.	17	management if they didn't give you the money?
18	MR BUDLENDER SC: So I take it that you	18	MR X: It wasn't an important thing
19	obeyed the instruction that you must not change your	19	because we were being under the control of the muti.
20	clothes?	20	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, let me ask you
21	MR X: Yes, I did.	21	the question again, make sure that you understand what I'm
22	MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, thank you. Now	22	saying. You say you decided that if the management didn't
23	can we go to the transcript of day 248, page 31196, line 2,	23	give you the money, you were going to kill them. Is that
24	and you'll see what's happening there is the Chairperson is	24	correct?
25	referring to the speech that Mr Noki made at the meeting	25	MR X: Yes, Sir.
1	Page 31956	1	Page 31958
1	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been	1	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to
2	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that	2	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened
2 3	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and –	2 3	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the
2 3 4	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes.	2 3 4	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money.
2 3 4 5	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the	2 3 4 5	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again?
2 3 4 5 6	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says,	2 3 4 5 6	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to
2 3 4 5 6 7	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of Lonmin at the koppie to address the strikers and give a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you made to the Phokeng Police in February 2013. You didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of Lonmin at the koppie to address the strikers and give a negative response about the demand of the strikers?" and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you made to the Phokeng Police in February 2013. You didn't mention that your plan was to kill the management if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of Lonmin at the koppie to address the strikers and give a negative response about the demand of the strikers?" and your answer is, "We would have killed that white man." You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you made to the Phokeng Police in February 2013. You didn't mention that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. Why didn't you mention it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of Lonmin at the koppie to address the strikers and give a negative response about the demand of the strikers?" and your answer is, "We would have killed that white man." You remember that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you made to the Phokeng Police in February 2013. You didn't mention that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. Why didn't you mention it? MR X: This is because the person who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of Lonmin at the koppie to address the strikers and give a negative response about the demand of the strikers?" and your answer is, "We would have killed that white man." You remember that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you made to the Phokeng Police in February 2013. You didn't mention that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. Why didn't you mention it? MR X: This is because the person who took my first statement did not question me extensively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of Lonmin at the koppie to address the strikers and give a negative response about the demand of the strikers?" and your answer is, "We would have killed that white man." You remember that? MR X: I remember that. MR BUDLENDER SC: So what you say is that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you made to the Phokeng Police in February 2013. You didn't mention that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. Why didn't you mention it? MR X: This is because the person who took my first statement did not question me extensively like the person who asked me questions later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of Lonmin at the koppie to address the strikers and give a negative response about the demand of the strikers?" and your answer is, "We would have killed that white man." You remember that? MR X: I remember that. MR BUDLENDER SC: So what you say is that if the management hadn't given you what you wanted you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you made to the Phokeng Police in February 2013. You didn't mention that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. Why didn't you mention it? MR X: This is because the person who took my first statement did not question me extensively like the person who asked me questions later. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person who asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with the police on the 13th of August. We had just been listening to the recording of Mr Noki speaking at that event and – MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry. And the Chairperson then says, line 5 he says, line 5 it says, "Come back with the boss that hired us so he can give us the response we want from him," and then the Chairperson says to you, "Is that the passage we just heard, I take it?" and you say, "That's correct, Chair, that is so." Do you remember that? MR X: I do remember. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then Mr Mathibedi says, "Thanks, Chair. Sir, what would have happened had the police succeeded in bringing a representatives of Lonmin at the koppie to address the strikers and give a negative response about the demand of the strikers?" and your answer is, "We would have killed that white man." You remember that? MR X: I remember that. MR A: I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR BUDLENDER SC: And what I'm saying to you is this; anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: If that could be repeated again? MR BUDLENDER SC: Anybody who wanted to understand what happened that week needed to know that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: You didn't mention this in your statement which you made in February 2013. Why is it not mentioned there? MR X: Which statement, Sir? MR BUDLENDER SC: The statement which you made to the Phokeng Police in February 2013. You didn't mention that your plan was to kill the management if they didn't give you the money. Why didn't you mention it? MR X: This is because the person who took my first statement did not question me extensively like the person who asked me questions later. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person who asked you questions later was Mr Mathibedi.

Pretoria

1	Page 31959	1	Page 31961
1	Mathibedi about this? Did you tell him that your plan was	1	demand and if our demand is not met that day there was
2	to kill the management if they didn't give you the money?	2	going to be bloodshed." Admittedly it doesn't say whose
3	MR X: Yes.	3	blood was going to be shed, but there is a statement along those lines.
4	MR BUDLENDER SC: Then why is it not in	4	
5	the statement which he took from you in March 2014? Can	5	MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll move on, Chair.
6	you explain that?	6	Mr X, you say that on the 16th of August the committee
7	MR X: I don't hear, you can repeat that	7	decided that the police were a stumbling block to the
8	question?	8	attainment of your demand, so they must be attacked and
9	MR BUDLENDER SC: You say you gave Mr	9	removed. Correct?
10	Mathibedi this important information. If that is so, why	10	MR X: Yes, Sir.
11	is it not in the statement which Mr Mathibedi took? I'm	11	MR BUDLENDER SC: That also is not in
12	sorry –	12	your February 2013 statement, the one which you made at
13	MR X: I said so –	13	Phokeng. Correct?
14	MR BUDLENDER SC: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I	14	MR X: The person who was taking that
15	am mistaken, it is in the statement. I withdraw that. My	15	statement did not question me extensively like the person
16	apologies. My apologies to Mr Mathibedi and the witness	16	asking me questions later.
17	and the Commission.	17	MR BUDLENDER SC: It was a policeman who
18	MR MATHIBEDI SC: It's at page 20,	18	was questioning you. You were telling him the story of
19	paragraph 38.	19	what happened and you never mentioned to him that your plan
20	MR BUDLENDER SC: My apologies. Alright,	20	on the 16th was to attack the police.
21	let's move on.	21	MR X: This was because of the language
22	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, page 20,	22	difficulty. We did not understand each other in languages.
23	paragraph 38 –	23	MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, and I want to
24	MR BUDLENDER SC: That's a different	24	put to you that the reason you give for this alleged
25	thing, yes.	25	decision to attack the police makes no sense. Your demand
	Page 31960		Page 31962
1	Page 31960 CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all.	1	Page 31962 was that the management must come to the koppie and speak
1 2		1 2	5
	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all.		was that the management must come to the koppie and speak
2	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for	2	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month.
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the	2 3	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes.
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to	2 3 4	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer	2 3 4 5	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told	2 3 4 5 6	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of	2 3 4 5 6 7	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" - [microphone off, inaudible].	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to come to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" - [microphone off, inaudible]. MR BUDLENDER SC: I think my apology is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to come to us. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and the police said they would try to get the employer to come and speak to you. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" - [microphone off, inaudible]. MR BUDLENDER SC: I think my apology is to be withdrawn, but I'll move on. I'll move on. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to come to us. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and the police said they would try to get the employer to come and speak
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" - [microphone off, inaudible]. MR BUDLENDER SC: I think my apology is to be withdrawn, but I'll move on. I'll move on. It speaks for itself and can be dealt with [inaudible].	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to come to us. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and the police said they would try to get the employer to come and speak to you. Correct? MR X: It is so, Sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And the police never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" - [microphone off, inaudible]. MR BUDLENDER SC: I think my apology is to be withdrawn, but I'll move on. I'll move on. It speaks for itself and can be dealt with [inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to come to us. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and the police said they would try to get the employer to come and speak to you. Correct? MR X: It is so, Sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" - [microphone off, inaudible]. MR BUDLENDER SC: I think my apology is to be withdrawn, but I'll move on. I'll move on. It speaks for itself and can be dealt with [inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] that may be half of the proposition [inaudible]. Paragraph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to come to us. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and the police said they would try to get the employer to come and speak to you. Correct? MR X: It is so, Sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And the police never said to management don't pay them R12 500 a month. That's correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" - [microphone off, inaudible]. MR BUDLENDER SC: I think my apology is to be withdrawn, but I'll move on. I'll move on. It speaks for itself and can be dealt with [inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] that may be half of the proposition [inaudible]. Paragraph 37 on page 19 says, "On the 16th of August 2012, noting that Mathunjwa did not give us a concrete response from Lonmin management, the committee of 15 met and decided that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to come to us. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and the police said they would try to get the employer to come and speak to you. Correct? MR X: It is so, Sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And the police never said to management don't pay them R12 500 a month. That's correct? MR X: No, they did not say that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: - doesn't say that at all. Page 20, paragraph 38 says this, "While we waited for Mathunjwa to return and address us a car approached the koppie and two gentlemen alighted. One of them appear to be a priest. Mambush, Xolani, Bhele, Anele and Kaizer approached the gentlemen, one of whom we were later told they had discussions with them but we were not advised of what transpired. In the presence of the bishop the strikers openly displayed an assortment of dangerous weapons in their possession, chanted songs that they were going to kill NUM and its members. The bishop never returned and either the bishop or Mathunjwa returned to Lonmin management and gave feedback to the effect if the strikers' demands had not been met Lonmin representatives would have been violently attacked in the presence of" - [microphone off, inaudible]. MR BUDLENDER SC: I think my apology is to be withdrawn, but I'll move on. I'll move on. It speaks for itself and can be dealt with [inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] that may be half of the proposition [inaudible]. Paragraph 37 on page 19 says, "On the 16th of August 2012, noting that Mathunjwa did not give us a concrete response from Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was that the management must come to the koppie and speak to you and must agree to pay you R12 500 per month. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And I put to you that the police were not a stumbling block to the attainment of that demand, for three reasons. Firstly they actually said that they would talk to the management and ask them to come to speak to you. Is that not correct? MR X: We said he should bring the management along and not speak to management. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is it correct that the police said to you "We will speak to the management and ask them to speak to you"? MR X: Yes, that's what they were saying. We said the person we are interested in is the employer to come to us. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and the police said they would try to get the employer to come and speak to you. Correct? MR X: It is so, Sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And the police never said to management don't pay them R12 500 a month. That's correct?

1	Page 31963 interfered with your activities only on one occasion. That	1	Page 31965 MR BUDLENDER SC: Fine, let's move on to
2	was on the 13th of August when you met General Mpembe.	2	another subject.
3	MR MAHLANGU: If you could repeat the	3	MR MATHIBEDI SC: Sorry, Chairperson.
4	question?	4	The witness said, the witness said in English he was not
4 5	MR BUDLENDER SC: The police interfered	4 5	questioned like the one – sorry – stated that the last
	-		
6	with your activities only on one occasions. That was on the 13th of August when you met General Mpembe.	6	portion, that the questioning that was done on the 13th did not happen like which was done at a later stage. I think
7	MR X: Yes, Sir.	7 8	Mr Mahlangu missed that last portion.
8 9	MR BUDLENDER SC: And on that occasion	0 9	5
10	they actually did what you wanted them to do; they accompanied you while you went towards the koppie with your	10	language difficulty. He said there was that language-
11 12		11	CHAIRPERSON: The suggestion is that the
	dangerous weapons. Is that not correct?	12	way he was questioned was different from what happened
13	MR X: Yes, yes, Sir.	13	later and Mr Mathibedi, I must confess I didn't hear it but
14	MR BUDLENDER SC: So the reason you give	14	Mr Mathibedi says he actually heard it in English being
15	for the decision to kill the police, the alleged decision	15	said by the witness, is that correct?
16	to kill the police makes no sense because they weren't	16	MR MATHIBEDI SC: I was translating what
17	obstructing you in the attainment of your demand. Is that	17	he said in Xhosa.
18	not correct? MR X: They shot at us. They shot at us	18	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, you were translating –
19		19	MR MATHIBEDI SC: Yes.
20 21	with rubber bullets, Chairperson.	20	CHAIRPERSON: - into English from Xhosa.
	MR BUDLENDER SC: On the 13th?	21	MR MAHLANGU: I didn't hear him say that
22 23	MR X: Yes, they shot with rubber bullets on the 13th.	22 23	but what I'm sure was the last thing he said was because of
23 24	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, there was a	23 24	the language difficulty. May I ask that my colleague take over for a minute?
24	confrontation on the 13th.	24 25	MR BUDLENDER SC: For my part I accept
20		25	
	Page 31964		Page 31966
1	Page 31964 MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took	1	Page 31966 that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions,
1 2	<u> </u>	1 2	5
	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took		that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions,
2	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place.	2	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the
2 3	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes.	2 3	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked.
2 3 4	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You	2 3 4	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed
2 3 4 5	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that?	2 3 4 5	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone
2 3 4 5 6	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first	2 3 4 5 6	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem –
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no sense and one doubts whether there ever was such a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was another one. Anyway that's a matter that can perhaps be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no sense and one doubts whether there ever was such a decision, particularly given that you didn't tell the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was another one. Anyway that's a matter that can perhaps be clarified in evidence later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no sense and one doubts whether there ever was such a decision, particularly given that you didn't tell the police about it at Phokeng. Would you like to comment on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was another one. Anyway that's a matter that can perhaps be clarified in evidence later. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. Right, now Mr X,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no sense and one doubts whether there ever was such a decision, particularly given that you didn't tell the police about it at Phokeng. Would you like to comment on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was another one. Anyway that's a matter that can perhaps be clarified in evidence later. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. Right, now Mr X, I want to start by showing you the two shots, pictures from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no sense and one doubts whether there ever was such a decision, particularly given that you didn't tell the police about it at Phokeng. Would you like to comment on that? MR X: This is because the person – this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was another one. Anyway that's a matter that can perhaps be clarified in evidence later. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. Right, now Mr X, I want to start by showing you the two shots, pictures from the video of the 13th on which you identified yourself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no sense and one doubts whether there ever was such a decision, particularly given that you didn't tell the police about it at Phokeng. Would you like to comment on that? MR X: This is because the person – this is because the person who was taking down that statement on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was another one. Anyway that's a matter that can perhaps be clarified in evidence later. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. Right, now Mr X, I want to start by showing you the two shots, pictures from the video of the 13th on which you identified yourself. Could we go to the – I've given the operators photographs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no sense and one doubts whether there ever was such a decision, particularly given that you didn't tell the police about it at Phokeng. Would you like to comment on that? MR X: This is because the person – this is because the person who was taking down that statement on the 13th from me didn't ask me questions and there was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was another one. Anyway that's a matter that can perhaps be clarified in evidence later. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. Right, now Mr X, I want to start by showing you the two shots, pictures from the video of the 13th on which you identified yourself. Could we go to the – I've given the operators photographs of Mr X on the 13th, the ones taken from the video, we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR X: Yes, there was a fight that took place. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a question at this point? Dealing with this fight, you told us that the police, that was in fact General Mpembe was counting. You remember that? [09:32] And what you say in paragraph 19 of your first statement, page 11 – sorry, am I interrupting - MR BUDLENDER SC: [Microphone off, inaudible] CHAIRPERSON: - well, I won't persist with it. I thought it fitted in here but I'll obviously not interfere with your plan. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. I just want to put it to you, Mr X, that the reason you give for this alleged decision to attack the police makes no sense and one doubts whether there ever was such a decision, particularly given that you didn't tell the police about it at Phokeng. Would you like to comment on that? MR X: This is because the person – this is because the person who was taking down that statement on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that he has said, the witness has said on many occasions, he's given two reasons, one is the language issue and the other is he says he wasn't asked as Mr Mathibedi asked. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Do I understand you to say that the long statement which you made in February 2013, which was written out in handwriting and later typed for us, 24 pages, that that statement was taken by someone who wasn't a Xhosa speaker, there was a language problem – it was in respect of that statement that there's a language problem. Is that what you're saying? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to move on, Chair. I think that's a matter for argument ultimately. CHAIRPERSON: Because there was certainly a statement, another statement which he referred to where he said it was taken by someone who was a Shangaan speaker but my recollection was that it wasn't this one, it was another one. Anyway that's a matter that can perhaps be clarified in evidence later. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. Right, now Mr X, I want to start by showing you the two shots, pictures from the video of the 13th on which you identified yourself. Could we go to the – I've given the operators photographs

	Page 31967		Page 31969
1	please? This is photographs of Mr X on the 13th. Could we	1	pointer?
2	have the first one? This is part of an exhibit already but	2	CHAIRPERSON: You can point it but is it
3	I think we should give this its own exhibit number which	3	necessary, you can see the pink blanket and immediately
4	will be -	4	below the pink blanket you see white trousers or overalls.
5	CHAIRPERSON: This will be AAAA31.	5	There are, below that you see at least one boot. Maybe the
6	MR BUDLENDER SC: AAA33 I'm told, Chair.	6	witness has got colour issues, maybe he's colour blind, Mr
7	AAAA, rather.	7	Budlender – see how he can identify himself.
8	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I thought it was 31 but	8	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, I'm now pointing
9	if Ms Pillay knows what 31 and 32 are then I'll bow to her	9	to a person who is on the left hand, towards the left-hand
10	superior knowledge.	10	side of the photograph, he is wearing a pinkish blanket and
11	MS PILLAY: Chair, I've just reserved	11	we see that his overalls are, or his trousers are white or
12	those two exhibit numbers for the cell phone records of Mr	12	light coloured.
13	Mathunjwa and Mr Nzuza –	13	MR X: Yes, I see it.
14	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see, alright. Okay,	14	MR BUDLENDER SC: I think those are
15	so we will make this AAA, sorry, AAAA33. Extract from –	15	actually overalls, not trousers, is that right?
16	are these stills that you're showing or actual clips?	16	MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, as you are
17	MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, this is a still	17	searching, looking for me on that photo, on the 10th we had
18	extracted from the video which has been shown.	18	not used the muti, we were sleeping at our places,
19	CHAIRPERSON: Still extracted from, from	19	respective places. That was still the case on the 11th
20	what video?	20	because on the 11th, the morning of the 11th I woke up from
21	MR BUDLENDER SC: From the video HHH61.	21	my place and went to the meeting.
22	CHAIRPERSON: Video HHH61. Before you	22	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes.
23	carry on can I ask Mr Mathibedi to do something for me?	23	MR X: Only after I had started using the
24	Would you please tell, inform the evidence leaders and us	24	muti, I did not go to my place.
25	who took the statement which was the February 2013	25	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, you used – sorry.
1	Page 31968	1	Page 31970
1	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home	1	MR X: That is all, thank you.
2	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an	2	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on
2 3	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used.	2 3	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the
2 3 4	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair.	2 3 4	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti.
2 3 4 5	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that	2 3 4 5	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.
2 3 4 5 6	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph?	2 3 4 5 6	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the
2 3 4 5 6 7	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white. He's either got white trousers or white overalls on, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit number?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white. He's either got white trousers or white overalls on, do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit number? MR BUDLENDER SC: It's described as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white. He's either got white trousers or white overalls on, do you see that? MR X: That's my work, the work overall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit number? MR BUDLENDER SC: It's described as exhibit Z1, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white. He's either got white trousers or white overalls on, do you see that? MR X: That's my work, the work overall. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes and then if we go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit number? MR BUDLENDER SC: It's described as exhibit Z1, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. A still, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white. He's either got white trousers or white overalls on, do you see that? MR X: That's my work, the work overall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit number? MR BUDLENDER SC: It's described as exhibit Z1, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. A still, so exhibit AAAA33.2 is a still extracted from video exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white. He's either got white trousers or white overalls on, do you see that? MR X: That's my work, the work overall. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes and then if we go to the – can we go to the next photograph? MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, 1 think in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit number? MR BUDLENDER SC: It's described as exhibit Z1, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. A still, so exhibit AAAA33.2 is a still extracted from video exhibit Z1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white. He's either got white trousers or white overalls on, do you see that? MR X: That's my work, the work overall. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes and then if we go to the - can we go to the next photograph? MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, 1 think in fairness if Mr Budlender can point the trouser on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit number? MR BUDLENDER SC: It's described as exhibit Z1, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. A still, so exhibit AAAA33.2 is a still extracted from video exhibit Z1. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement, whose handwriting it is and what his home language is and what languages does he speak and whether an interpreter was used. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now you see that photograph? MR X: I see it. MR BUDLENDER SC: When you gave your evidence in chief you identified the man with the pinkish blanket as you. Can you see him? MR X: Yes, I see that. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is correct, isn't it, that is the man you identified as yourself? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And if we look at the trousers which that man is wearing, his trousers are white. He's either got white trousers or white overalls on, do you see that? MR X: That's my work, the work overall. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes and then if we go to the – can we go to the next photograph? MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, 1 think in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR X: That is all, thank you. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you used the muti on the 11th. That photograph was, that film was taken on the 13th so that's after you used the muti. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Then can we go to the next photograph which you also identified as yourself. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, do we make this one AAAA33.2 and the previous one 33.1? MR BUDLENDER SC: I think that would be convenient, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: So this is still an extract from what, what exhibit? MR BUDLENDER SC: This is also an extract from video material of the 13th of August. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what's the exhibit number? MR BUDLENDER SC: It's described as exhibit Z1, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. A still, so exhibit AAAA33.2 is a still extracted from video exhibit Z1.

	Page 31971		Page 31973
1	the laser.	1	MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, that is not
2	CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? That's	2	change of –
3	you, the person you identified as yourself?	3	MR BUDLENDER SC: It's not for Mr
4	MR X: I'm behind the Sotho-speaking man	4	Mathibedi to answer that, Chair – he can argue later –
5	who was wearing a torn T-shirt.	5	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Budlender, I think
6	MR BUDLENDER SC: Can you see the man	6	it would be fair, if you take off your overalls that you've
7	that I'm pointing out?	7	got on over - what's the answer? What's the answer? What
8	MR X: Yes, that thing is pointed at me.	8	is he saying?
9	CHAIRPERSON: Just for the benefit of	9	MR QGIRANA: The witness is breaking up.
10	those who are going to read the record in after years, the	10	I can see he is talking but –
11	bottom right hand corner of the photograph is a man with a	11	CHAIRPERSON: Alright.
12	black jacket, it looks like an anorak maybe, with a white	12	MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, Chair I think
13	and black striped T-shirt and behind his right shoulder is	13	with due respect, I think if I object I think that the
14	the person being pointed out.	14	proper way of dealing with the objection, unlike you know,
15	MR BUDLENDER SC: And the picture we can	15	Mr Budlender you know, coming – no, no, Chair with – no,
16	see is probably a bit clearer than the one you can see,	16	no, Chair, I think –
17	that one also shows the pinkish blanket and the light or	17	CHAIRPERSON: Give me an opportunity to
18	whitish trousers or overall pants. Do you see that?	18	say something first. I was on the point of ruling on your
19	MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, but that photo was	19	objection and upholding it, as a matter of fact, when the
20	taken on the 13th because on the 13th we first stood there	20	witness started saying something and I requested that what
21	and caucused near some water pipes, namely that when we met	21	he said be interpreter. So don't fight with me. I think I
22	the police we should not all speak, only three people	22	was actually saying to Mr Budlender that if you take your,
23	should speak. We appointed Xolani and Mosotho, the one who	23	if your overalls are on over your trousers and you take
24	is burning there with the T-shirt, those people were to	24	them off you're not changing clothes. So I was upholding
25	speak, not all of us.	25	the objection you were making, so don't fight with me - but
	Page 31972		Page 31974
1	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous	1	the witness then said something which had not yet been
2	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to	2	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen.
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would	2	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes.	2 3 4	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying,
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see.	2 3 4 5	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you	2 3 4 5 6	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen. MR X: I see that, Mr Chair. I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear – MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll try to restrain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen. MR X: I see that, Mr Chair. I was wearing the overalls on top of the pants. I did not change	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear – MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll try to restrain myself, Chair. Can I ask, is this a ruling by the Chair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen. MR X: I see that, Mr Chair. I was wearing the overalls on top of the pants. I did not change the pants.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear – MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll try to restrain myself, Chair. Can I ask, is this a ruling by the Chair that when a man is wearing overalls and takes them off,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen. MR X: I see that, Mr Chair. I was wearing the overalls on top of the pants. I did not change the pants. MR BUDLENDER SC: So where are your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear – MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll try to restrain myself, Chair. Can I ask, is this a ruling by the Chair that when a man is wearing overalls and takes them off, he's not changing his clothes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen. MR X: I see that, Mr Chair. I was wearing the overalls on top of the pants. I did not change the pants. MR X: Mr Chair, if you take off the overalls and put it aside, I was only wearing the trousers,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear – MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll try to restrain myself, Chair. Can I ask, is this a ruling by the Chair that when a man is wearing overalls and takes them off, he's not changing his clothes? CHAIRPERSON: When he takes his overalls off and the trousers are underneath, is not changing his clothes, yes, that's my ruling but let's hear what he said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen. MR X: I see that, Mr Chair. I was wearing the overalls on top of the pants. I did not change the pants. MR BUDLENDER SC: So where are your overalls on that picture? MR X: Mr Chair, if you take off the overalls and put it aside, I was only wearing the trousers, it was hot,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear – MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll try to restrain myself, Chair. Can I ask, is this a ruling by the Chair that when a man is wearing overalls and takes them off, he's not changing his clothes? CHAIRPERSON: When he takes his overalls off and the trousers are underneath, is not changing his clothes, yes, that's my ruling but let's hear what he said that wasn't interpreted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen. MR X: I see that, Mr Chair. I was wearing the overalls on top of the pants. I did not change the pants. MR BUDLENDER SC: So where are your overalls on that picture? MR X: Mr Chair, if you take off the overalls and put it aside, I was only wearing the trousers, it was hot. MR BUDLENDER SC: So you changed your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear – MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll try to restrain myself, Chair. Can I ask, is this a ruling by the Chair that when a man is wearing overalls and takes them off, he's not changing his clothes? CHAIRPERSON: When he takes his overalls off and the trousers are underneath, is not changing his clothes, yes, that's my ruling but let's hear what he said that wasn't interpreted. [09:52] MR X: Mr Chair, I was saying I took off
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. The previous photograph we saw, which is exhibit AAAA33.1, according to Mr Budlender was also taken on the 13th August and one would naturally expect you to be wearing the same clothes. MR X: Okay, Mr Chair, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I'd like you to look at a photograph of the committee caucusing on the 15th. It's AAAA25. For convenience sake, well yes, AAAA25 yes. Now there is, the arrow shows the person you identified as yourself, do you see that? Right? MR X: I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: That person is wearing dark trousers, can you see that? Blue jeans, it's blue jeans. Can you see that? We can see it clearly on the small screen. MR X: I see that, Mr Chair. I was wearing the overalls on top of the pants. I did not change the pants. MR BUDLENDER SC: So where are your overalls on that picture? MR X: Mr Chair, if you take off the overalls and put it aside, I was only wearing the trousers, it was hot,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the witness then said something which had not yet been interpreted and I asked that that happen. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Chair, I'm not objecting to what the Chair is saying. All I'm saying, Chair, is that if I make an objection I think there's a proper way of that objection to be dealt with and this is not the first time. Each, there are times when I make objections, I mean, when Mr Budlender looks back at me and howls at me. That's the complaint, Chair. I mean if they object I don't do that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, I know advocates sometimes get excited in the course of cross- examination but Mr Mathibedi is correct, you don't have to turn around and glare at him just because he's raised an objection but anyway let's move on. Can we please hear – MR BUDLENDER SC: I'll try to restrain myself, Chair. Can I ask, is this a ruling by the Chair that when a man is wearing overalls and takes them off, he's not changing his clothes? CHAIRPERSON: When he takes his overalls off and the trousers are underneath, is not changing his clothes, yes, that's my ruling but let's hear what he said that wasn't interpreted.

	Page 31975		Page 31977
1	things that I was wearing.	1	CHAIRPERSON: That's AAAA33.1.
2	COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: You must also	2	MR BUDLENDER SC: AAAA33.1. There's the
3	interpret that [African language].	3	photograph of you and we can see that you are wearing
4	MR QGIRANA: Yes.	4	gumboots, not shoes.
5	MR X: And I was wearing at times overall	5	MR X: No, that is not gumboots.
6	on top of what I was wearing.	6	MR BUDLENDER SC: You say these are just
7	CHAIRPERSON: And what did you have on	7	ordinary shoes?
8	your feet?	8	MR X: Mr Chair, we were in a bushy area,
9	MR X: I was wearing boots.	9	that is the socks that you see up the sleeve of the
10	CHAIRPERSON: So what happened to the	10	overall. I tucked the legging of the overall inside the
11	boots?	11	socks to keep the overalls clean.
12	MR X: It's the boots, pair of boots that	12	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Budlender, does
13	I'm wearing.	13	it not look like there are laces there on that leg just
14	MR BUDLENDER SC: Are you saying that	14	above the foot? It really does look like a knot of a lace.
15	those, the equipment on your – let's look at where your	15	MR BUDLENDER SC: I can't see that,
16	foot is. I'm pointing to your foot. Are you saying that	16	Commissioner, but that's no evidence that they're not
17	is the same footwear as the gumboots you were wearing on	17	there.
18	the previous photograph? Or did you change your shoes?	18	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: It's perhaps
19	MR X: Let me explain. As we were told	19	clearer on our screen.
20	not to have a change of clothes, as you see me now wearing	20	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes.
21	this black jacket, you have to stay for seven days without	20	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But it does look
22	a bath after using this muti. We must count when the seven	22	like the bow of a lace tied.
23	days expires from the 11th until the 16th, after having used	23	MR BUDLENDER SC: Well, let me move on
23	the muti on the mountain. So what I'm trying to say, this	24	from this. I want to look more closely at the person in
25	jacket for instance, ever since I started attending the	25	the video of the 13th. Could we go back to the sequence of
25	Jacket for instance, ever since i started attending the	20	the video of the roth. Sound we go back to the sequence of
	Page 31976		Page 31978
1	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing	1	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third
1 2	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I	1 2	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show
	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body.		the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can
2	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I	2	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph
2 3	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were	2 3	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can
2 3 4	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and	2 3 4	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph
2 3 4 5	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you	2 3 4 5	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one
2 3 4 5 6	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on	2 3 4 5 6	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this	2 3 4 5 6 7	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and on the 13th you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do you see that? Can you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and on the 13th you were wearing gumboots.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do you see that? Can you see that? MR X: I also don't have a gap.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and on the 13th you were wearing gumboots. MR X: I was wearing gumboots, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do you see that? Can you see that? MR X: I also don't have a gap. MR BUDLENDER SC: Have you got a gap – do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and on the 13th you were wearing gumboots. MR X: I was wearing gumboots, the overall, no, no, no, no. I was wearing like this [pointing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do you see that? Can you see that? MR BUDLENDER SC: Have you got a gap – do you see that person has no gap in his front teeth?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and on the 13th you were wearing gumboots. MR X: I was wearing gumboots, the overall, no, no, no, no. I was wearing like this [pointing to the picture].	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do you see that? Can you see that? MR X: I also don't have a gap. MR BUDLENDER SC: Have you got a gap – do you see that person has no gap in his front teeth? CHAIRPERSON: Would you smile for us and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and on the 13th you were wearing gumboots. MR X: I was wearing gumboots, the overall, no, no, no, no. I was wearing like this [pointing to the picture]. MR BUDLENDER SC: Let's go back to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do you see that? Can you see that? MR X: I also don't have a gap. MR BUDLENDER SC: Have you got a gap – do you see that person has no gap in his front teeth? CHAIRPERSON: Would you smile for us and show us your front teeth? Could you smile and show us your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and on the 13th you were wearing gumboots. MR X: I was wearing like this [pointing to the picture]. MR BUDLENDER SC: Let's go back to the photograph of the 13th then. The first of the photographs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do you see that? Can you see that? MR X: I also don't have a gap. MR BUDLENDER SC: Have you got a gap – do you see that person has no gap in his front teeth? CHAIRPERSON: Would you smile for us and show us your front teeth? Could you smile and show us your front teeth? There's a gap in between your two front
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Commission I've never changed this jacket. I'm wearing this jacket every day. I do not wash or take a bath. I only wipe certain parts of the body. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that and perhaps you'll be asked about that later, but what you were asked about was those are shoes that one can see clearly on the screen, or as far as I can see, and if I'm wrong you must tell me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The point is on this photograph on the 15th you are wearing shoes. On the photograph on the 13th you are wearing gumboots. How do you explain that? MR X: I hear you. MR BUDLENDER SC: And what's your explanation? MR X: I was wearing these shoes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, and on the 13th you were wearing gumboots. MR X: I was wearing gumboots, the overall, no, no, no, no. I was wearing like this [pointing to the picture]. MR BUDLENDER SC: Let's go back to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the 13th, please? Now I want to look at the third photograph in the sequence of the 13th. Could you show that, please? That will be exhibit AAAA33.3, and we can see you in the bottom right-hand picture of the photograph looking directly at the camera. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next one is a bit clearer than that. We see you again looking directly at the camera, wearing your pinkish blanket. MR X: Yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: I have to say to you that I'm not an expert on, none of us is an expert on faces, but that face looks very different from your face. That's a round face. Yours is a long face. MR X: No, Mr Chair, that is me. MR BUDLENDER SC: The person that we see on that photograph has got no gap in his front teeth. Do you see that? Can you see that? MR X: I also don't have a gap. MR BUDLENDER SC: Have you got a gap – do you see that person has no gap in his front teeth? CHAIRPERSON: Would you smile for us and show us your front teeth? Could you smile and show us your

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

	Page 31979		Page 31981
1	MR BUDLENDER SC: Give us a nice smile,	1	something like 3 000 – I think there were probably more,
2	Mr X. There is a gap in your front teeth. Why is that not	2	but let's stick to 3 000 at the moment – something like
3	in the picture? Mr X, I want to –	3	3 000 RDOs working for Lonmin who were on strike, who were
4	CHAIRPERSON: He's trying to say	4	on the koppie. They weren't there all the time, anyway.
5	something.	5	MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, I think there
6	MR BUDLENDER SC: Well, I'm waiting.	6	were 3 000 strikers on the koppie, but I don't think they
7	CHAIRPERSON: I think we must give him an	7	were all RDOs. There were some hundreds of RDOs.
8	opportunity.	8	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps the Lonmin people
9	MR BUDLENDER SC: Sure.	9	can tell us, how many RDOs did you have working for you at
10	MR X: No, I don't agree with you.	10	Lonmin?
11	MR BUDLENDER SC: The Commissioners can	11	MR YEATES: Chair, just for the record,
12	make their own observation as to whether you have a gap in	12	my name is Michael Yeates for Lonmin.
13	your front teeth, which is different from what we see on	13	CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
14	the photograph.	14	MR YEATES: I'll get an instruction from
15	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, is it	15	Lonmin on exactly how many people were on strike on -
16	possible for us to unzoom the picture we're looking at, or	16	CHAIRPERSON: And never mind how many
17	possibly to have a clearer picture of his face? Because I	17	were on strike. We want to know how many RDOs there were
18	notice he has what looks like a scar in a bone-shape from	18	who were on strike.
19	the top of the middle of his nose above his right, halfway	19	MR YEATES: Yes, we'll get those
20	above his right eye, and if that isn't - the picture isn't	20	instructions.
21	very clear. I can't see it on this picture, but to be fair	21	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Well according to
22	this picture may not be clear enough to see it. Are there	22	the evidence there were a substantial number of RDOs on
23	other pictures of the same person, perhaps –	23	strike, who worked for Lonmin and who were on strike and
24	MR BUDLENDER SC: We have the one which	24	only 200 of them were at the railway line on the 13th. So
25	is on the screen at the moment, Chair, and then we have the	25	the fact that you're an RDO and the fact that you were on
	Page 31980		Page 31982
1	Page 31980 next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you,	1	Page 31982 strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't
1 2	-	1 2	
	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you,		strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't
2	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's	2	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about
2	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason	2 3	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that?
2 3 4	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th	2 3 4	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question.
2 3 4 5	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is	2 3 4 5	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The
2 3 4 5 6	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February	2 3 4 5 6	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of
2 3 4 5 6 7	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let	2 3 4 5 6 7	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin? MR X: I do not know, Mr Chair, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the photograph is not you. We've been through that. I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin? MR X: I do not know, Mr Chair, but Lonmin has three hostels, it's Karee, Western and Eastern.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the photograph is not you. We've been through that. I'm not going to go there again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who wert to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin? MR X: I do not know, Mr Chair, but Lonmin has three hostels, it's Karee, Western and Eastern. CHAIRPERSON: And some of the RDOs also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the photograph is not you. We've been through that. I'm not going to go there again. The second reason is that when you made your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin? MR X: I do not know, Mr Chair, but Lonmin has three hostels, it's Karee, Western and Eastern. CHAIRPERSON: And some of the RDOs also live in the informal settlement, don't they?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the photograph is not you. We've been through that. I'm not going to go there again. The second reason is that when you made your statement in February 2013 at Phokeng you did not know what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin? MR X: I do not know, Mr Chair, but Lonmin has three hostels, it's Karee, Western and Eastern. CHAIRPERSON: And some of the RDOs also live in the informal settlement, don't they? MR X: The hostel issue is now over. A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the photograph is not you. We've been through that. I'm not going to go there again. The second reason is that when you made your statement in February 2013 at Phokeng you did not know what had happened on the 13th. Let me read you your statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin? MR X: I do not know, Mr Chair, but Lonmin has three hostels, it's Karee, Western and Eastern. CHAIRPERSON: And some of the RDOs also live in the informal settlement, don't they? MR X: The hostel issue is now over. A person gets hired then he would stay outside, what we call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the photograph is not you. We've been through that. I'm not going to go there again. The second reason is that when you made your statement in February 2013 at Phokeng you did not know what had happened on the 13th. Let me read you your statement and I'll show you why. Could we have AAAA1.2, page 12, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who wert to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin? MR X: I do not know, Mr Chair, but Lonmin has three hostels, it's Karee, Western and Eastern. CHAIRPERSON: And some of the RDOs also live in the informal settlement, don't they? MR X: The hostel issue is now over. A person gets hired then he would stay outside, what we call living out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the photograph is not you. We've been through that. I'm not going to go there again. The second reason is that when you made your statement in February 2013 at Phokeng you did not know what had happened on the 13th. Let me read you your statement and I'll show you why. Could we have AAAA1.2, page 12, and we go to the sixth line. This is what you said in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	next one, point 4, which is a close-up. Let me tell you, Mr X, what I think the problem is. I don't think that's you on that photograph, and I'll tell you another reason why I think that. I don't think you were there on the 13th and the reason I don't think you were there on the 13th is that you don't know what happened – is that in February last year you didn't know what happened on the 13th. Let me – MR X: Let me explain it this way. Take my number, go to Lonmin - my company number, go to Lonmin, check what my position is there. I'm an RDO, and secondly, go and ask the Lonmin people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who were striking on that day at Lonmin, who are the people who went to time office to demand R12 500. That is how it is. CHAIRPERSON: How many RDOs work for Lonmin? MR X: I do not know, Mr Chair, but Lonmin has three hostels, it's Karee, Western and Eastern. CHAIRPERSON: And some of the RDOs also live in the informal settlement, don't they? MR X: The hostel issue is now over. A person gets hired then he would stay outside, what we call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	strike - I take it those two facts are correct – doesn't prove you were at the railway line. What do you say about that? MR X: Repeat the question. CHAIRPERSON: With pleasure. The evidence indicates that there were quite a big number of RDOs who were on strike, well over a thousand, and on the 13th only about 200 people, strikers, were at the railway line near Donkerkop on the 13th. So if one accepts that you are an RDO, if one accepts that you were on strike, it doesn't follow that you necessarily were at the railway line on the 13th of August. What's the answer to that? MR X: I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, there are two reasons why I suspect that you weren't there. The first reason is that I suggest to you the person you show on the photograph is not you. We've been through that. I'm not going to go there again. The second reason is that when you made your statement in February 2013 at Phokeng you did not know what had happened on the 13th. Let me read you your statement and I'll show you why. Could we have AAAA1.2, page 12, and

		I	
1	Page 31983 we must disperse and surrender our weapons. The police	1	Page 31985 for at least 100 yards, I think it was about 300 yards and
2	then started counting from 1 and before he could finish	2	it was only at that point after a teargas canister was
3	shooting I hear some gunshots being fired from the side of	3	fired and there was a stun grenade, that the police started
4	the police." Sorry, I misread it.	4	firing shots in response to attacks from the strikers.
5	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	5	That's what we've seen on the video. Now it's possible
6	exhibit at page 11, para 19 near the foot of, four lines	6	that you thought that the stun grenades were actually shots
7	from the foot of the page. It appears differently on the	7	being fired with live ammunition but that was not while
8	screen, but that's the passage you're reading.	8	General Mpembe was still counting. It was after the
9	MR BUDLENDER SC: I must have misread it.	9	strikers walked away in the direction of the koppie for, I
10	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	10	think it was something like 300, over 100 metres.
11	said he was going.	11	MR X: In which statement did I mention
12	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes.	12	that, Mr Chair?
13	CHAIRPERSON: That according to the	13	CHAIRPERSON: In statements which you
14	koppie we were given by the police, the typed copy, is page	14	made in February 2013.
15	11 of 18, paragraph 19, four lines from –	15	MR X: The one I made to Mr Chomela.
16	MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, alright. Thank	16	CHAIRPERSON: Well, I've asked the police
17	you. So let's just read it again. "The tall police	17	to tell us to whom you made the statement, but the
18	officer said that he was going to start counting and that	18	statement you made in February 2013 is the statement that
19	we must disperse and surrender our weapons. The police	19	contains the passage that I read to you from paragraph 19
20	then started counting from 1 and before he could finish	20	on page 11 of the typed version.
21	counting I hear some gunshots being fired from the side of	21	MR X: Mr Chair, that gentleman did not
22	the police. I also saw Mambush and Baai shooting at the	22	understand Xhosa well. He is Shangaan and I am Xhosa.
23	police. The police first shoot upwards there.	23	There was a misunderstanding. If I say A, he would write
24	[10:11] Thereafter I was caught or affected by tear smoke	24 25	B. MD DUDUENDED CC. Mr. V. view modele
25	and started running around looking for cover." So what you	25	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you made a
	Page 31984		Page 31986
1	– sorry. So what you said in your statement is that the		
		1	statement under oath, is that correct?
2	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting,	1 2	statement under oath, is that correct? MR X: I did not take the oath, I just
2 3			
	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting,	2	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just
3	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did	2 3	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement.
3 4	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked	2 3 4	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the
3 4 5	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at	2 3 4 5	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is
3 4 5 6 7 8	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire.	2 3 4 5 6	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So –
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa-
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood axhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes, whatever he was saying.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it? MR X: Yes, it was read to me, read back
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes, whatever he was saying. MR BUDLENDER SC: What your statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it? MR X: Yes, it was read to me, read back to me.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes, whatever he was saying. MR BUDLENDER SC: What your statement says is, "The police then started counting from one and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it? MR X: Yes, it was read to me, read back to me. MR BUDLENDER SC: And it was read to you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes, whatever he was saying. MR BUDLENDER SC: What your statement says is, "The police then started counting from one and before he could finish counting I hear some gunshots being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it? MR X: Yes, it was read to me, read back to me. MR BUDLENDER SC: And it was read to you in a language which you understood?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes, whatever he was saying. MR BUDLENDER SC: What your statement says is, "The police then started counting from one and before he could finish counting I hear some gunshots being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it? MR X: Yes, it was read to me, read back to me. MR BUDLENDER SC: And it was read to you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes, whatever he was saying. MR BUDLENDER SC: What your statement says is, "The police then started counting from one and before he could finish counting I hear some gunshots being fired from the side of the police."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it? MR X: Yes, it was read to me, read back to me. MR BUDLENDER SC: And it was read to you in a language which you understood? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes, whatever he was saying. MR BUDLENDER SC: What your statement says is, "The police then started counting from one and before he could finish counting I hear some gunshots being fired from the side of the police." CHAIRPERSON: What we've seen on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it? MR X: Yes, it was read to me, read back to me. MR BUDLENDER SC: And it was read to you in a language which you understood? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And you didn't say to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting, do you see that? Now we know that's not true, so why did you say that in your statement in February 2013? MR X: Mr Chair, in the scenario of that tall policeman there was a man who nearer to us. We walked past that man without him firing. He pointed a firearm at us but did not shoot. We were waiting for him to fire. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's not an answer to the question, Mr X. In your statement in 2013 you said that the police started shooting while General Mpembe was counting. That's not the truth. I'm putting – sorry? MR X: No, Chair. General Mpembe did count. He said we are not going to go through with these things that we were carrying. He then started counting. We had already stood up and we walked against his wishes, whatever he was saying. MR BUDLENDER SC: What your statement says is, "The police then started counting from one and before he could finish counting I hear some gunshots being fired from the side of the police." CHAIRPERSON: What we've seen on the video is that he counted, he didn't count to 10, he counted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR X: I did not take the oath, I just gave a statement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Oh, you didn't take the – so the Captain is wrong when he says you took the oath? Is he lying? MR X: To raise your hand like this, is it not so? That I did not do. I just made a statement to that gentleman. MR BUDLENDER SC: So – MR X: They had to arrange a Xhosa- speaking person or person who understood Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood a Xhosa, to whom I made a statement and we understood each other. MR BUDLENDER SC: Was the statement read to you and interpreted to you before you signed it or put your thumb prints on it? MR X: Yes, it was read to me, read back to me. MR BUDLENDER SC: And it was read to you in a language which you understood? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: And you didn't say to them, no, this statement is wrong, the shooting started

		I	
	Page 31987	1	Page 31989
1	the shooting started. I just mentioned in my statement	1	MR X: We did not understand each other.
2	that the police started counting, then there was some	2	CHAIRPERSON: Was that because the person
3	shooting. The gentleman to whom I made a statement later	3	who took the statement's home language wasn't Xhosa?
4	asked me thoroughly and asked me at what point was the	4	MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.
5	shooting, whether the counting was still on, how many	5	CHAIRPERSON: How many statements did
6	minutes had the counting been going on when the shooting	6	that person take from you?
7	started.	7	MR X: Three people took statements. It
8	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, we know –	8	was a Tswana-speaking person, the Shangaan and the Xhosa-
9	MR MPOFU: Sorry, sorry, Mr Budlender.	9	speaking person. CHAIRPERSON: I want to know from you,
10	No, he also said that he was confused. That's very	10	CHAIRPERSON: I want to know from you, the person who took the statement, the long statement that
11 12	important. MR X: The centleman who took the initial	11 12	
			Adv Budlender quoted you from where you, about the counting
13	statement did not lead me thoroughly like the gentleman who	13 14	and the shooting and so on, the person who took that statement, how many statements did he take from you?
14	took the later statement. He did not ask me how long did	14	MR X: He took one statement.
15	the counting go on. He did not ask me for how long did the counting go on, yes.	16	CHAIRPERSON: Was there only one
16		17	statement therefore which was taken from you by someone who
17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Interpreter, there are two points. The one is I'm told that you said to him at	18	wasn't able to communicate properly with you because of
19	one stage that he's talking too fast, you can't interpret,	19	language difficulties, that's this long statement that Adv
20	you talk much –	20	Budlender quoted you from?
21	MR QGIRANA: That is correct.	21	MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.
22	CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't do that, you	22	CHAIRPERSON: You see, previously when
23	mustn't say anything to him that we haven't said or if you	23	you gave evidence and were being led by Mr Mathibedi, you
24	do say something to him, you must interpret what you've	24	referred to another statement which contained wrong
25	said as well, you know, I have said to him –	25	information which you said was caused by the fact that it
	.,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 31988		Page 31990
-			1490 01770
1	MR QGIRANA: I understand.	1	was taken by this person where there was a language problem
1 2		1 2	5
	MR QGIRANA: I understand.		was taken by this person where there was a language problem
2	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd	2	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms
2 3	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there	2 3	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from
2 3 4	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,	2 3 4	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement
2 3 4 5	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because	2 3 4 5	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were
2 3 4 5 6	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a	2 3 4 5 6	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a long time and things obviously were left out but the one	2 3 4 5 6 7	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a long time and things obviously were left out but the one part that I do not want to be left out is where he says, says says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR QGIRANA:I understand.CHAIRPERSON:You mustn't just do – I'dlike to ask a question.MR MPOFU:Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,to do this.Just in case – it's a separate thing – thereis still a part where the witness, which I would like to beconfirmed with him which was not interpreted, not becauseof the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for along time and things obviously were left out but the onepart that I do not want to be left out is where he says,and at that stage he was still confused and he was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR QGIRANA:I understand.CHAIRPERSON:You mustn't just do – I'dlike to ask a question.MR MPOFU:Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,to do this.Just in case – it's a separate thing – thereis still a part where the witness, which I would like to beconfirmed with him which was not interpreted, not becauseof the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for along time and things obviously were left out but the onepart that I do not want to be left out is where he says,and at that stage he was still confused and he was notunder police protection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a long time and things obviously were left out but the one part that I do not want to be left out is where he says, and at that stage he was still confused and he was not under police protection. That's going to be important for us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR QGIRANA:I understand.CHAIRPERSON:You mustn't just do – I'dlike to ask a question.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,to do this.Just in case – it's a separate thing – thereis still a part where the witness, which I would like to beconfirmed with him which was not interpreted, not becauseof the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for along time and things obviously were left out but the onepart that I do not want to be left out is where he says,and at that stage he was still confused and he was notunder police protection.That's going to be important forus.MR QGIRANA:That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR QGIRANA:I understand.CHAIRPERSON:You mustn't just do – I'dlike to ask a question.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,to do this.Just in case – it's a separate thing – thereis still a part where the witness, which I would like to beconfirmed with him which was not interpreted, not becauseof the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for along time and things obviously were left out but the onepart that I do not want to be left out is where he says,and at that stage he was still confused and he was notunder police protection.That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct. Now I'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR QGIRANA:I understand.CHAIRPERSON:You mustn't just do – I'dlike to ask a question.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,to do this.Just in case – it's a separate thing – thereis still a part where the witness, which I would like to beconfirmed with him which was not interpreted, not becauseof the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for along time and things obviously were left out but the onepart that I do not want to be left out is where he says,and at that stage he was still confused and he was notunder police protection.That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct. Now I'dlike to ask a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR QGIRANA:I understand.CHAIRPERSON:You mustn't just do – I'dlike to ask a question.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,to do this.Just in case – it's a separate thing – thereis still a part where the witness, which I would like to beconfirmed with him which was not interpreted, not becauseof the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for along time and things obviously were left out but the onepart that I do not want to be left out is where he says,and at that stage he was still confused and he was notunder police protection.That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct.NR QGIRANA:That is correct.Now I'dlike to ask a question.We're talking now about theFebruary 2013 statement.You say the person who took the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the person who took that statement. It's a different statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR QGIRANA:I understand.CHAIRPERSON:You mustn't just do – I'dlike to ask a question.MR MPOFU:Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,to do this.Just in case – it's a separate thing – thereis still a part where the witness, which I would like to beconfirmed with him which was not interpreted, not becauseof the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for along time and things obviously were left out but the onepart that I do not want to be left out is where he says,and at that stage he was still confused and he was notunder police protection.That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct.NR QGIRANA:That is correct.NR QGIRANA:That is correct.NR QGIRANA:That is correct.NR QJI Statement.You say the person who took thestatement didn't ask you the same questions you were asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the person who took that statement. It's a different statement from the statement from which Mr Budlender was quoting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR QGIRANA:I understand.CHAIRPERSON:You mustn't just do – I'dlike to ask a question.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson,to do this.Just in case – it's a separate thing – thereis still a part where the witness, which I would like to beconfirmed with him which was not interpreted, not becauseof the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for along time and things obviously were left out but the onepart that I do not want to be left out is where he says,and at that stage he was still confused and he was notunder police protection.That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:That is correct.NR QGIRANA:You say the person who took thestatement didn't ask you the same questions you were askedlater.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the person who took that statement. It's a different statement from the statement from which Mr Budlender was quoting to you. You said there was only one statement taken where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a long time and things obviously were left out but the one part that I do not want to be left out is where he says, and at that stage he was still confused and he was not under police protection. That's going to be important for us. MR QGIRANA: That is correct. CHAIRPERSON: That is correct. Now I'd like to ask a question. We're talking now about the February 2013 statement. You say the person who took the statement didn't ask you the same questions you were asked later. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the person who took that statement. It's a different statement from the statement from which Mr Budlender was quoting to you. You said there was only one statement taken where there was a language problem and that, according to what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a long time and things obviously were left out but the one part that I do not want to be left out is where he says, and at that stage he was still confused and he was not under police protection. That's going to be important for us. MR QGIRANA: That is correct. CHAIRPERSON: That is correct. Now I'd like to ask a question. We're talking now about the February 2013 statement. You say the person who took the statement didn't ask you the same questions you were asked later. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that the statement where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the person who took that statement. It's a different statement from the statement from which Mr Budlender was quoting to you. You said there was only one statement taken where there was a language problem and that, according to what you told us previously, was the statement which had this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a long time and things obviously were left out but the one part that I do not want to be left out is where he says, and at that stage he was still confused and he was not under police protection. That's going to be important for us. MR QGIRANA: That is correct. CHAIRPERSON: That is correct. Now I'd like to ask a question. We're talking now about the February 2013 statement. You say the person who took the statement didn't ask you the same questions you were asked later. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, CHAIRPERSON: Is that the statement where you say, apart from the fact that you weren't asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the person who took that statement. It's a different statement from the statement from which Mr Budlender was quoting to you. You said there was only one statement taken where there was a language problem and that, according to what you told us previously, was the statement which had this incorrect reference to goats. That's not the statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a long time and things obviously were left out but the one part that I do not want to be left out is where he says, and at that stage he was still confused and he was not under police protection. That's going to be important for us. MR QGIRANA: That is correct. CHAIRPERSON: That is correct. Now I'd like to ask a question. We're talking now about the February 2013 statement. You say the person who took the statement didn't ask you the same questions you were asked later. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Is that the statement where you say, apart from the fact that you weren't asked questions about things so they didn't find their way into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the person who took that statement. It's a different statement from the statement from which Mr Budlender was quoting to you. You said there was only one statement taken where there was a language problem and that, according to what you told us previously, was the statement which had this incorrect reference to goats. That's not the statement from which Mr Budlender quoted to you, the long statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR QGIRANA: I understand. CHAIRPERSON: You mustn't just do – I'd like to ask a question. MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, Chairperson, to do this. Just in case – it's a separate thing – there is still a part where the witness, which I would like to be confirmed with him which was not interpreted, not because of the fault of the interpreter, because he went on for a long time and things obviously were left out but the one part that I do not want to be left out is where he says, and at that stage he was still confused and he was not under police protection. That's going to be important for us. MR QGIRANA: That is correct. CHAIRPERSON: That is correct. Now I'd like to ask a question. We're talking now about the February 2013 statement. You say the person who took the statement didn't ask you the same questions you were asked later. MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, CHAIRPERSON: Is that the statement where you say, apart from the fact that you weren't asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was taken by this person where there was a language problem and that was the statement – I didn't mark my one, Ms Pillay will tell us what it is – it's the statement from the docket in Marikana CAS205/08/2012 and in that statement in paragraph 6 you describe what happened on the hill on the 11th of August when the – I see it's AAAA8 – you described how the sangoma and another man came. They were the sons, they described, introduced themselves as the sons of the traditional healer. You talk about the test that was done on the box and then you say, then the statement says this, "He displayed a test on the box, which he did ritual on it, as well as two goats and he fired shots at them and bullets could not penetrate them or injure them." And you said the reference to the goats was not correct, that was not what you said and that was caused by the fact that there was this language difficulty between you and the person who took that statement. It's a different statement from the statement from which Mr Budlender was quoting to you. You said there was only one statement taken where there was a language problem and that, according to what you told us previously, was the statement which had this incorrect reference to goats. That's not the statement

	Page 31991		Page 31993
1	about that?	1	know how far he counted because there was then a fight.
2	MR X: Can you repeat the question,	2	CHAIRPERSON: Do you know whether he was
3	please?	3	still counting when the first shots came from the side of
4	CHAIRPERSON: Certainly. You told us	4	the police?
5	that you only, there was only one statement in respect of	5	MR X: He said "Now I'm counting."
6	which there were language problems with the statement was	6	CHAIRPERSON: You haven't answered the
7	taken. You told us previously when Mr Mathibedi was	7	question. I'll ask it again –
8	leading your evidence that the statements in respect of	8	MR X: And we had left that place,
9	which there were problems because of language difficulties,	9	beating the weapons against each other, ululating, and then
10	was exhibit AAAA8 where there's an incorrect statement in	10	there was a sound of shots.
11	relation to goats, where the statement that.	11	CHAIRPERSON: The question I asked you,
12	[10:31] As I read it, that shots were fired at a box as	12	which I'll repeat for the last time, was do you know
13	well as the two goats and the bullets couldn't penetrate	13	whether he was still counting when the shots were fired?
14	the goats or injure them. Now you explained that there was	14	MR X: No, I did not notice whether he
15	no mention of goats and there were no goats at which shots	15	was finished or not.
16	were fired which couldn't penetrate. That was a mistake,	16	CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well, we'll take
17	and that mistake got into the statement because the person	17	the first comfort break at this stage. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
18 19	who took it couldn't speak Xhosa properly, and you said there was only one statement in respect of which there were	18 19	[11:01] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Interpreter, would you
20	language difficulties and it was the statement about the	20	please tell the witness that he's still under oath? Mr
20	goats.	20	Budlender, you may continue with your cross-examination.
22	Mr Budlender was quoting to you from another	22	MR QGIRANA: Yes, Mr Chair.
23	statement you made, a long statement you made in February	23	MR X: (s.u.o.)
24	2013, which contained the averment that shots were fired by	24	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Budlender, am I
25	the police while General Mpembe was still counting. From	25	correct that there's no suspect statement taken from this
	Page 31992		Page 31994
1	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of	1	witness with regard to the events of the 13th?
2	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain	2	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not
2 3	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to	2 3	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one.
2 3 4	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you?	2 3 4	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't
2 3 4 5	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he	2 3 4 5	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all
2 3 4 5 6	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down	2 3 4 5 6	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in
2 3 4 5 6 7	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said	2 3 4 5 6 7	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	witness with regard to the events of the 13th?MR BUDLENDER SC:Commissioner, I'm notaware of one.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Because I haven'tseen it in the documents that have been provided and allthe other dates and events seem to be put to him and inrespect of which he's given suspect statements between the26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the eventsafter the railway line episode on the 13th.MR BUDLENDER SC:I believe that'scorrect, Commissioner.CHAIRPERSON:Mr Mathibedi and MrPretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspectstatement relating to those events they can tell us, but as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a watch on your arm to know how far you've walked. So how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	witness with regard to the events of the 13th?MR BUDLENDER SC:COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Because I haven'tseen it in the documents that have been provided and allthe other dates and events seem to be put to him and inrespect of which he's given suspect statements between the26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the eventsafter the railway line episode on the 13th.MR BUDLENDER SC:I believe that'scorrect, Commissioner.CHAIRPERSON:Mr Mathibedi and MrPretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspectstatement relating to those events they can tell us, but asfar as I can recall also, I haven't seen one although I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect statement relating to those events they can tell us, but as far as I can recall also, I haven't seen one although I've worked through that police docket. Anyway, but it may well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a watch on your arm to know how far you've walked. So how far had you walked?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect statement relating to those events they can tell us, but as far as I can recall also, I haven't seen one although I've worked through that police docket. Anyway, but it may well be that there is a statement either that I've missed or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a watch on your arm to know how far you've walked. So how far had you walked? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, we had started	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect statement relating to those events they can tell us, but as far as I can recall also, I haven't seen one although I've worked through that police docket. Anyway, but it may well be that there is a statement either that I've missed or alternatively there's one that wasn't in the docket but was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a watch on your arm to know how far you've walked. So how far had you walked? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, we had started moving from the place where we were seated initially. Now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect statement relating to those events they can tell us, but as far as I can recall also, I haven't seen one although I've worked through that police docket. Anyway, but it may well be that there is a statement either that I've missed or alternatively there's one that wasn't in the docket but was left out by mistake, in which case Mr Mathibedi will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a watch on your arm to know how far you've walked. So how far had you walked? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, we had started moving from the place where we were seated initially. Now I did not look at the distance we had covered, how long we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect statement relating to those events they can tell us, but as far as I can recall also, I haven't seen one although I've worked through that police docket. Anyway, but it may well be that there is a statement either that I've missed or alternatively there's one that wasn't in the docket but was left out by mistake, in which case Mr Mathibedi will be able to give us that information in due course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a watch on your arm to know how far you've walked. So how far had you walked? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, we had started moving from the place where we were seated initially. Now I did not look at the distance we had covered, how long we walked, because we realised now there will be a fight.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>witness with regard to the events of the 13th?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a watch on your arm to know how far you've walked. So how far had you walked? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, we had started moving from the place where we were seated initially. Now I did not look at the distance we had covered, how long we walked, because we realised now there will be a fight. CHAIRPERSON: But the counting had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect statement relating to those events they can tell us, but as far as I can recall also, I haven't seen one although I've worked through that police docket. Anyway, but it may well be that there is a statement either that I've missed or alternatively there's one that wasn't in the docket but was left out by mistake, in which case Mr Mathibedi will be able to give us that information in due course. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair, we'll check.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what you told us that's not the statement in respect of which there was a language problem. So how do you explain the inaccuracy in that statement which Mr Budlender put to you? MR X: General Mpembe when we met him he said he is counting. He asked us to put the weapons down and said we cannot go through with the weapon. He said "Now I'm counting." He said "I'm counting." We then started moving from this place where we were seated and he said he was counting. Now I don't know at what stage did the shots rang off, because we did not have watched on the mountain. CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand you didn't have watches, but you'd walked for quite a distance, hadn't you, before any shots were fired? You don't have to have a watch on your arm to know how far you've walked. So how far had you walked? MR X: Yes, Mr Chair, we had started moving from the place where we had covered, how long we walked, because we realised now there will be a fight. CHAIRPERSON: But the counting had stopped before the shots came?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	witness with regard to the events of the 13th? MR BUDLENDER SC: Commissioner, I'm not aware of one. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Because I haven't seen it in the documents that have been provided and all the other dates and events seem to be put to him and in respect of which he's given suspect statements between the 26th and the 29th January, there's nothing on the events after the railway line episode on the 13th. MR BUDLENDER SC: I believe that's correct, Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mathibedi and Mr Pretorius can investigate that and if there is a suspect statement relating to those events they can tell us, but as far as I can recall also, I haven't seen one although I've worked through that police docket. Anyway, but it may well be that there is a statement either that I've missed or alternatively there's one that wasn't in the docket but was left out by mistake, in which case Mr Mathibedi will be able to give us that information in due course. MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair, we'll check. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR BUDLENDER SC (CONTD.):

Page 31995	Page 31997
explain your statement that you made at Phokeng, you said	statement which you made to Mr Mathibedi or with Mr
that you were confused and you were not under police	Mathibedi's assistance and questioning, you did not say
protection, is that correct?	that the shots were fired while General Mpembe was
MR X: Repeat the question?	counting.
MR BUDLENDER SC: When you were asked to	MR X: I did not change the statement, I
explain your statement which you made at Phokeng, you said	said they counted or he counted.
that at the time that you made that statement you were	CHAIRPERSON: What you had said in the
confused and you were not under police protection.	first statement, was it before he finished counting the
MR X: At that time, Mr Chair.	police shots were fired, whereas you didn't say that in
MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, at that time in	your later statement, March 2013? That's correct, isn't
February 2013.	it?
12MR X:Yes, Mr Chair, because I was from13the security, mine security on my way to Phokeng.14MR BUDLENDER SC:Yes, that's right and15you said that, you said this morning that when you made16that statement at Phokeng you were not under police17protection.18MR X:I was put under the police19protection on that day when I made the statement.20MR BUDLENDER SC:Were you confused when21you made the statement?22MR X:Mr Chair, the cause for my23confusion was the death of a child back home and the police24even brought me to Pretoria to my uncle here.25MR BUDLENDER SC:So you were confused.	 MR X: Mr Chair, the gentleman asked where were we going to when the police fired, then we said we were going towards the settlement. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'm not going to - the statements will speak for themselves, Chair. Mr X, let me sum up on this point. When the Commission hears argument at the end of its hearings we are going to submit that there are three reasons while you were probably not present on the 13th. Let me say at least three reasons. The first reason is that you are not in the photograph which you pointed out as yourself. The second reason is that you did know what actually happened on the 13th of August until after you'd been taken into police protection and, thirdly, your answers to the Chairperson this person
Page 31996	Page 31998
1 MR X: Yes, I was confused because of	show that even now you don't know what actually happened on
that reason.	the 13th. Would you like to comment on any of that?
3 MR BUDLENDER SC: And then after you made	MR X: No, Chair. I was there, I know
4 the statement, the Phokeng statement, you were taken into	what happened.
5 police protection.	MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, then I'm going
6 MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.	to move on to a different subject. Now as you've told the
7 MR BUDLENDER SC: And sometime after that	Commission, this year you consulted with Adv Mathibedi to
8 you made the further statement in which you changed what	prepare your evidence to the Commission, correct?
9 you had said. You no longer said that the shots were fired	MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.
10 while the General was counting.	MR BUDLENDER SC: You went through your
11 MR X: No, I've never changed it, Mr	Phokeng statement with him and you prepared a supplementary
12 Chair. I just wrote what I was supposed to write.	statement. Is that correct?
13 MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, well, the	MR X: It is still the same statement of
14 statements will speak to themselves –	Phokeng, I did not change anything.
15 CHAIRPERSON: I think to be fair, there	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, you went through
16 may be a misunderstanding. He thinks you're suggesting	your Phokeng statement with Mr Mathibedi and you prepared a
17 that he actually physically changed the earlier statement.	supplementary statement.
18 That's not what you mean but I think he didn't fully –	MR QGIRANA: The witness is breaking at
19 MR BUDLENDER SC: Perhaps I should	some points, but what I could hear, I told Mr Mathibedi as
20 clarify. Thank you, Chair. What I mean, Mr X, is that	I have told them at Phokeng. We did not change anything.
21 when you made your later statement in March 2014 you no	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to
22 longer said that the shots were fired while General Mpembe	the question, this is not a trick question.
23 was counting.	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, sorry. We think
24 MR X: I said he counted.	there may be a problem with the sound because just before
25 MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, but in your	the interpreter interpreted what had been said, there was a

	Page 31999		Dage 22001
1	noise which wasn't something he said and his voice fades.	1	Page 32001 provide.
2	It may not be his fault –	2	MR X: I did not get the question
3	MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not suggesting	3	clearly.
4	it is, Chair.	4	MR BUDLENDER SC: I say I'm sure you
5	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, what I'm suggesting	5	went, with him you went carefully through the Phokeng
6	is that we adjourn for a couple of minutes so that the	6	statement to see what additional information you needed to
7	sound system can be tested, so we can then carry on.	7	provide.
8	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	8	MR X: Okay, now I understand.
9		9	y ,
10	chamber to announce that we had to take the tea adjournment	10	it correct that you went through your Phokeng statement
11	early because there was a mechanical problem and predicted	11	carefully with him to see what additional information must
12	that we might only start at 12 noon, I didn't realise the	12	be provided?
13	prediction would be fulfilled. The Commission resumes.	13	MR X: Yes, Sir.
14	Would you please tell the witness he's still under oath?	14	MR BUDLENDER SC: And if you had seen
15	MR X: [s.u.o. through interpreter]	15	anything in your Phokeng statement which was wrong, you
16	MR QGIRANA: Yes, Mr Chair.	16	would have told him.
17	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender.	17	MR X: Yes, Sir.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR BUDLENDER SC (CONTD.):	18	MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now can we have
19	Thank you, Chair. Mr X, let's go back a little bit. We	19	that statement up on the screen? It's AAAA1.2, I'd like to
20	know that you consulted this year with Adv Mathibedi about,	20	look at paragraph 4. Now this is what the statement says.
21	to prepare your evidence to the Commission.	21	It talks about the march to the time office on the 10th of
22	MR X: Yes.	22	August. Then it says, "There were a lot of people, about 5
23	MR BUDLENDER SC: Did you go through your	23	to 8 000 people and we were now joined by people of other
24	February 2013 statement, the Phokeng statement, with him?	24	sections or departments, but all mineworkers." It says,
25	MR X: Yes, we did.	25	"There were five members who were nominated to represent us
	Page 32000		Page 32002
1	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you	1	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then
2	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement?	2	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho
	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair.		when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that
2 3 4	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the	2 3 4	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct?
2 3	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng	2	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so.
2 3 4	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement.	2 3 4	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go
2 3 4 5	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that.	2 3 4 5	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day
2 3 4 5 6	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement.	2 3 4 5 6	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said earlier. CHAIRPERSON: What you were doing though,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR BUDLENDER SC:And with him youprepared a supplementary statement?MR X:Yes, Chair.MR X:Yes, Chair.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of thesupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:To add? Just repeat that.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of yoursupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:To add? Just repeat that.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of yoursupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:No, I was not adding, I was sayingthe same thing, the same and one thing that I've saidearlier.CHAIRPERSON:What you were doing though,you were also answering questions which Mr Mathibedi asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said earlier. CHAIRPERSON: What you were doing though, you were also answering questions which Mr Mathibedi asked you, which you told us had not been asked you by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking person," and then Mr Mathibedi asks you, "You have given us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR BUDLENDER SC:And with him youprepared a supplementary statement?MR X:Yes, Chair.MR X:Yes, Chair.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of thesupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:To add? Just repeat that.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of yoursupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:To add? Just repeat that.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of yoursupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:No, I was not adding, I was sayingthe same thing, the same and one thing that I've saidearlier.CHAIRPERSON:What you were doing though,you were also answering questions which Mr Mathibedi askedyou, which you told us had not been asked you by theprevious person who'd taken your earlier statement, so you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking person," and then Mr Mathibedi asks you, "You have given us four names. Do you remember the fifth name?" and your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR BUDLENDER SC:And with him youprepared a supplementary statement?MR X:Yes, Chair.MR X:Yes, Chair.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of thesupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:To add? Just repeat that.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of yoursupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:To add? Just repeat that.MR BUDLENDER SC:The purpose of yoursupplementary statement was to add to your Phokengstatement.MR X:No, I was not adding, I was sayingthe same thing, the same and one thing that I've saidearlier.CHAIRPERSON:What you were doing though,you, which you told us had not been asked you by theprevious person who'd taken your earlier statement, so youwere making a statement containing additional material in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking person," and then Mr Mathibedi asks you, "You have given us four names. Do you remember the fifth name?" and your answer was, "The next one was myself." Do you hear what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said earlier. CHAIRPERSON: What you were doing though, you were also answering questions which Mr Mathibedi asked you, which you told us had not been asked you by the previous person who'd taken your earlier statement, so you were making a statement containing additional material in answer to questions which you hadn't been asked before, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking person," and then Mr Mathibedi asks you, "You have given us four names. Do you remember the fifth name?" and your answer was, "The next one was myself." Do you hear what I've said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said earlier. CHAIRPERSON: What you were doing though, you were also answering questions which Mr Mathibedi asked you, which you told us had not been asked you by the previous person who'd taken your earlier statement, so you were making a statement containing additional material in answer to questions which you hadn't been asked before, you say, which were now asked by Mr Mathibedi. That's correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking person," and then Mr Mathibedi asks you, "You have given us four names. Do you remember the fifth name?" and your answer was, "The next one was myself." Do you hear what I've said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said earlier. CHAIRPERSON: What you were doing though, you were also answering questions which Mr Mathibedi asked you, which you told us had not been asked you by the previous person who'd taken your earlier statement, so you were making a statement containing additional material in answer to questions which you hadn't been asked before, you say, which were now asked by Mr Mathibedi. That's correct, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking person," and then Mr Mathibedi asks you, "You have given us four names. Do you remember the fifth name?" and your answer was, "The next one was myself." Do you hear what I've said? MR X: I hear you, Sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now if your Phokeng
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said earlier. CHAIRPERSON: What you were doing though, you were also answering questions which Mr Mathibedi asked you, which you told us had not been asked you by the previous person who'd taken your earlier statement, so you were making a statement containing additional material in answer to questions which you hadn't been asked before, you say, which were now asked by Mr Mathibedi. That's correct, isn't it? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking person," and then Mr Mathibedi asks you, "You have given us four names. Do you remember the fifth name?" and your answer was, "The next one was myself." Do you hear what I've said? MR X: I hear you, Sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now if your Phokeng statement is the truth, then the evidence which you gave to the Commission last week is not the truth because you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR BUDLENDER SC: And with him you prepared a supplementary statement? MR X: Yes, Chair. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of the supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: To add? Just repeat that. MR BUDLENDER SC: The purpose of your supplementary statement was to add to your Phokeng statement. MR X: No, I was not adding, I was saying the same thing, the same and one thing that I've said earlier. CHAIRPERSON: What you were doing though, you were also answering questions which Mr Mathibedi asked you, which you told us had not been asked you by the previous person who'd taken your earlier statement, so you were making a statement containing additional material in answer to questions which you hadn't been asked before, you say, which were now asked by Mr Mathibedi. That's correct, isn't it? MR X: Yes, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when talking to the employer about our demands." And then it says, "We nominated one Baai, Bhele, two Lesotho nationals, and one Setswana-speaking person." Now is that statement correct? MR X: Yes, that is so. MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now I want to go to your evidence to the Commission. Could we go to day 245, page 30947, line 6, or perhaps we start with line 2. Mr Mathibedi asks you, "The question is – sorry, Sir – the question is, was it discussed how the demand was going to be presented to Lonmin management? Were all the strikers going to talk, or what was it?" and your answer was, "We elected five men to represent us. The first one was Booi, Bhele [B-H-E-L-E], another person was Tswana-speaking, his name was Andries, and then there was a Sotho-speaking person," and then Mr Mathibedi asks you, "You have given us four names. Do you remember the fifth name?" and your answer was, "The next one was myself." Do you hear what I've said? MR X: I hear you, Sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now if your Phokeng statement is the truth, then the evidence which you gave to

		r	
1	Page 32003	1	Page 32005
1	MR BUDLENDER SC: Were you a member of	1	Baai, and Booi.
2 3	the committee of five? MR X: No, Mr Chair, I was a member of	2	CHAIRPERSON: Who were the other two?
		3	Did you know their names? Do you know which language they
4	that committee. What happened is when we went to the time	4	spoke?
5	office the other people who had been chosen the previous	5	MR X: I know them, but even if they are
6	day, or previous night, had not arrived, had not come to	6	not Xhosa-speaking, they do speak Xhosa because these
7	the place where the majority of people were. There were	7	languages are easier for them, unlike us Xhosa-speaking
8	many of us, other people were standing, on the way, the	8	people, other languages are not easy.
9	others had arrived. Booi was late but he eventually came	9	MR BUDLENDER SC: So what you're telling
10	and he represented someone who did not make it to the time	10	the Commission is that the people who were chosen were
11	office.	11	Bhele, Baai, Booi and two men whose names you don't know,
12	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, who were the	12	but they were able to speak Xhosa?
13	workers who were chosen to represent the workers on that	13	MR X: Repeat the question.
14	day, the 10th of August?	14	MR BUDLENDER SC: You just told the
15	MR X: The person who was talking at the	15	Commission that the people who were elected to the
16	time office on that day was Bhele, and Booi and Baai were	16	committee or to speak on behalf of the workers were Bhele,
17	standing next to him.	17	Baai, Booi, and two men whom you know, you don't know their
18	MR BUDLENDER SC: No, that's not what I'm	18	names but they do speak Xhosa.
19	asking you, Mr X.	19	MR X: Yes, Sir, that is so. That's what
20	MR X: And I was also present.	20	I'm saying.
21	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to	21	CHAIRPERSON: I understood you to say – I
22	the question. You've told this Commission that five people	22	may have misunderstood you. I understood you to say that
23	were chosen to represent the workers in talking to	23	the other two whose names you can't remember, though they
24	management. Who were the five people who were chosen?	24	could speak Xhosa it wasn't their, Xhosa wasn't their home
25	MR X: The person who spoke at the time	25	language, or their first language. Did I understand you
	Page 32004		Page 32006
1	Page 32004 office is Bhele.	1	Page 32006 correctly, or did I misunderstand you?
1 2	•	1 2	Page 32006 correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir.
	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to		correctly, or did I misunderstand you?
2	office is Bhele.	2	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their
2 3	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you	2 3	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir.
2 3 4	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who	2 3 4	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one
2 3 4 5	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their	2 3 4 5	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the
2 3 4 5 6	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf?	2 3 4 5 6	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages.
2 3 4 5 6 7	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and	2 3 4 5 6 7	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to speak on the 10th with the employer. Then these people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele. MR X: No, Sir, I was present amongst the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to speak on the 10th with the employer. Then these people who eventually spoke with the employer came up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele. MR X: No, Sir, I was present amongst the five.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to speak on the 10th with the employer. Then these people who eventually spoke with the employer came up. CHAIRPERSON: The question you were asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele. MR X: No, Sir, I was present amongst the five. MR BUDLENDER SC: Just answer the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to speak on the 10th with the employer. Then these people who eventually spoke with the employer came up. CHAIRPERSON: The question you were asked is who were the people who were chosen. What you said in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele. MR X: No, Sir, I was present amongst the five. MR BUDLENDER SC: Just answer the question. Are you Bhele?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to speak on the 10th with the employer. Then these people who eventually spoke with the employer came up. CHAIRPERSON: The question you were asked is who were the people who were chosen. What you said in your evidence previously was you said "We elected five men	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele. MR X: No, Sir, I was present amongst the five. MR BUDLENDER SC: Just answer the question. Are you Bhele? MR X: No, I'm not Bhele, I'm Mr X.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to speak on the 10th with the employer. Then these people who eventually spoke with the employer came up. CHAIRPERSON: The question you were asked is who were the people who were chosen. What you said in your evidence previously was you said "We elected five men to represent us," and what Mr Budlender wants to know from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele. MR X: No, Sir, I was present amongst the five. MR BUDLENDER SC: Just answer the question. Are you Bhele? MR X: No, I'm not Bhele, I'm Mr X. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to speak on the 10th with the employer. Then these people who eventually spoke with the employer came up. CHAIRPERSON: The question you were asked is who were the people who were chosen. What you said in your evidence previously was you said "We elected five men to represent us," and what Mr Budlender wants to know from you is this; who were the five men whom you elected to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele. MR X: No, Sir, I was present amongst the five. MR BUDLENDER SC: Just answer the question. Are you Bhele? MR X: No, I'm not Bhele, I'm Mr X. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Repeat the answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, please listen to the question. I'm asking you again. I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who were the five workers who were chosen by the strikers to speak to management on their behalf? MR X: The names I remember is Bhele and Booi and Baai, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: Bhele and Booi and Baai. MR X: Yes, the people who spoke, yes. MR BUDLENDER SC: No, I'm not asking you who spoke. I'm asking you who was chosen as the committee. MR X: Yes, I'm talking about the people who were chosen the previous day, the 9th, who were going to speak on the 10th with the employer. Then these people who eventually spoke with the employer came up. CHAIRPERSON: The question you were asked is who were the people who were chosen. What you said in your evidence previously was you said "We elected five men to represent us," and what Mr Budlender wants to know from you is this; who were the five men whom you elected to represent you - you being the strikers, elected to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correctly, or did I misunderstand you? MR X: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what their first languages were? There were two of them. Was the first language of both of these people the same, or did one of them have one first language and the other another? MR X: They speak all these languages. You won't understand whether a person is Xhosa or what. MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, you've now confirmed that you were not one of the five people who were chosen to speak on behalf of the workers. MR X: No Sir, I was present. I was there. MR BUDLENDER SC: No Mr X, you are not Bhele. MR X: No, Sir, I was present amongst the five. MR BUDLENDER SC: Just answer the question. Are you Bhele? MR X: No, I'm not Bhele, I'm Mr X. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Repeat the answer. MR X: No, I'm not Bhele, I'm Mr X.

	Page 32007		Page 32009
1	MR X: I'm not Baai.	1	late, five people were elected but during the discussions
2	MR BUDLENDER SC: Are you Booi?	2	at the time office Bhele and Booi did the talking.
3	MR X: No, I'm not Booi.	3	CHAIRPERSON: You still haven't answered
4	MR BUDLENDER SC: Are you a person whose	4	my question so I'll ask it to you again. You told us that
5	name you don't know?	5	five people were elected, I think you said on the 9th, the
6	MR X: No, I know my name but for the	6	Thursday, the public holiday, to represent the strikers and
7	purposes of the Commission I'm Mr X.	7	on the Friday you said not all five came. There were some
8	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, but if you're	8	who were late and I want to know how many were late? We're
9	not –	9	waiting for your answer. Still waiting. We're still
10	CHAIRPERSON: We don't want you to tell	10	waiting.
11	us your name either.	11	MR X: Sir, what I'm saying about these
12	MR BUDLENDER SC: But if you're not one	12	people who were late, the people who came early is Bhele
13	of those people whom I've just listed, then you weren't a	13	and Booi.
14	member of that group who were chosen. Why can't you just	14	CHAIRPERSON: Are you saying the other
15	admit that?	15	three were late?
16	[12:18] MR X: No sir, I'm saying to you I was	16	MR X: No, the person who came early is
17	there.	17	Bhele, he was there when we had the meeting. There we
18	CHAIRPERSON: You may have been there but	18	elected Booi to stand, to go and talk to this employer.
19	the question is whether you were one of the five who was	19	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, I don't want to
20	elected and you've given us five names and your name is not	20	waste more time on this. I want to put it to you that your
21	one of them. That's correct, isn't it?	21	claim in your evidence to the Commission that you were one
22	MR X: No, I was there.	22	of the five elected is clearly false. It's contradicted by
23	CHAIRPERSON: No, I don't think you've	23	your Phokeng statement and it's contradicted by the
24	understood the question, let me put it to you again. I	24	evidence you've given this morning. Would you like to
25	understand you say you were there. There were a lot of	25	comment on that?
	Page 32008		Page 32010
1	Page 32008 other people there as well but you were there, you say, but	1	Page 32010 MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there
1 2	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were	1 2	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that.
	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but		MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to
2	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were	2	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that.
2 3	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names	2 3	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to
2 3 4	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of	2 3 4	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a
2 3 4 5	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the	2 3 4 5	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to
2 3 4 5 6	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely?	2 3 4 5 6	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a
2 3 4 5 6 7	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came. CHAIRPERSON: How many people were late?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August. MR X: Yes, on the day when the two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came. CHAIRPERSON: How many people were late? MR X: But now when the employer came, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August. MR X: Yes, on the day when the two presidents arrived there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came. CHAIRPERSON: How many people were late? MR X: But now when the employer came, we decided certain people should go and talk to him, we cannot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August. MR X: Yes, on the day when the two presidents arrived there. MR BUDLENDER SC: The photograph is on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came. CHAIRPERSON: How many people were late? MR X: But now when the employer came, we decided certain people should go and talk to him, we cannot all talk to the employer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August. MR X: Yes, on the day when the two presidents arrived there. MR BUDLENDER SC: The photograph is on the screen and there's an arrow pointing at the person that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came. CHAIRPERSON: How many people were late? MR X: But now when the employer came, we decided certain people should go and talk to him, we cannot all talk to the employer. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, I understand that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August. MR X: Yes, on the day when the two presidents arrived there. MR BUDLENDER SC: The photograph is on the screen and there's an arrow pointing at the person that you identified as you, as a member of the committee.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came. CHAIRPERSON: How many people were late? MR X: But now when the employer came, we decided certain people should go and talk to him, we cannot all talk to the employer. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, I understand that but you haven't answered my question. How many people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August. MR X: Yes, on the day when the two presidents arrived there. MR BUDLENDER SC: The photograph is on the screen and there's an arrow pointing at the person that you identified as you, as a member of the committee. MR X: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came. CHAIRPERSON: How many people were late? MR X: But now when the employer came, we decided certain people should go and talk to him, we cannot all talk to the employer. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, I understand that but you haven't answered my question. How many people who were elected came late?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August. MR X: Yes, on the day when the two presidents arrived there. MR BUDLENDER SC: The photograph is on the screen and there's an arrow pointing at the person that you identified as you, as a member of the committee. MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: That is the person that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	other people there as well but you were there, you say, but what you've said in your evidence is that five men were elected to represent the strikers. You've given the names of three of them and you say you don't know the names of the other two. So quite clearly you weren't one of the five. That must be right, surely? MR X: Mr Chair, I am amongst the five. You see on the 9th we elected Bhele and Baai but now Booi did not pitch up on the 10th, or rather Booi was not elected on the 9th, he was only elected on the 10th when we realised other people did not come that were chosen. CHAIRPERSON: How many people didn't come who should have come, who were elected? How many people who were elected to be representatives didn't come on the 10th? MR X: They came but they were late, we were already in the meeting when they came. CHAIRPERSON: How many people were late? MR X: But now when the employer came, we decided certain people should go and talk to him, we cannot all talk to the employer. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, I understand that but you haven't answered my question. How many people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR X: No, sir, I'm saying I was there and I'm telling the truth. I'm not changing from that. MR BUDLENDER SC: I want to suggest to you that you have made a false statement that you were one of representatives elected by the workers in order to exaggerate your own importance in these events. You were a foot soldier but you want to present yourself as a general. MR QGIRANA: The witness is lost. MR BUDLENDER SC: Would you like to answer that? Let me put it differently. You may have been a foot soldier but you claim that you were a general. MR X: No, I was there in front. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright, I'll move on. Now the exhibit which we saw this morning, AAAA25, is a photograph of the committee which was caucusing in front of the koppie. That was on the 14th of August, correct – 15th, I'm sorry, it's 15th of August. MR X: Yes, on the day when the two presidents arrived there. MR BUDLENDER SC: The photograph is on the screen and there's an arrow pointing at the person that you identified as you, as a member of the committee. MR X: Yes, sir.

	Page 32011		Page 32013
1	I don't know whether you are numerate but we've called him	1	those who follow later on the record and the photographs.
2	number 4 in the key to that photograph.	2	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. Bhele is numbered
3	CHAIRPERSON: Let me ask you a question	3	as 4 – I beg your pardon, Bhele is numbered as 5 and the
4	first before you proceed. Do you see at the top of the	4	person to your left is numbered 3. That's 3, 4, 5 next to
5	photograph there are numbers – there are names, do you see	5	each other. Do you see that?
6	them?	6	MR X: Yes, I see it. There is someone
7	MR X: Yes, I see –	7	bending down, the head is not clearly visible.
8	CHAIRPERSON: 15 on the extreme left, six	8	MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. Chair, this
9	on the extreme right, can you see that?	9	numbered version doesn't have an exhibit number. I think
10	MR X: I see them.	10	it had better have one. AAAA25.1 – so it would, ja.
11	CHAIRPERSON: Now you'll see the fourth	11	CHAIRPERSON: AAAA33.4. Oh this, sorry,
12	number from the right is 4, do you see that? 6, 7, 5 and	12	I'm reminded this photograph of Mr Marinovich is AAAA25 so
13	4, do you see that? Do you see that?	13	you want to make this one –
14	MR X: Which number? Number 4.	14	MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, I think that
15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Now you see there's a	15	there's going to be a series of photographs. I think it
16	– sorry?	16	might be better to make it a new series, a number with a
17	MR X: Yes, I see it.	17	new series.
18	CHAIRPERSON: Alright.	18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Well, that's 34 then, AAAA
19 20	MR X: I see. CHAIRPERSON: And then there's a yellow	19 20	– MR BUDLENDER SC: 34. I think this
20 21	CHAIRPERSON: And then there's a yellow arrow that goes down from the 4 and the arrowhead is on the	20 21	should be 34.1.
21	top of someone's head and that's the person on the	21	CHAIRPERSON: So what do we say, the
22	photographs that you say is you, is that correct?	22	numbered version –
23	MR X: Yes, I see.	23	MR BUDLENDER SC: The numbered version of
25	MR BUDLENDER SC: And that person has a	25	AAAA25 –
		20	
	Page 32012		Page 32014
1	Page 32012 dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish	1	Page 32014 CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34.
1 2	9	1 2	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now
	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person.		CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry,
2	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look	2	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been
2 3	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group,	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary,
2 3 4 5 6	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki,	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time.
2 3 4 5 6 7	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is another man with a light top. I think he is – he is Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group photographed by Colonel Mere at 17:31 on that day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is another man with a light top. I think he is – he is Mr Bhele, if I'm not mistaken.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group photographed by Colonel Mere at 17:31 on that day. CHAIRPERSON: We can make this 34.2 or do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is another man with a light top. I think he is – he is Mr Bhele, if I'm not mistaken. MR X: Yes, that is Bhele.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group photographed by Colonel Mere at 17:31 on that day. CHAIRPERSON: We can make this 34.2 or do you want me –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is another man with a light top. I think he is – he is Mr Bhele, if I'm not mistaken. MR X: Yes, that is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's Bhele.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group photographed by Colonel Mere at 17:31 on that day. CHAIRPERSON: We can make this 34.2 or do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is another man with a light top. I think he is – he is Mr Bhele, if I'm not mistaken. MR X: Yes, that is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's Bhele. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, you might,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group photographed by Colonel Mere at 17:31 on that day. CHAIRPERSON: We can make this 34.2 or do you want me – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is another man with a light top. I think he is – he is Mr Bhele, if I'm not mistaken. MR X: Yes, that is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's Bhele.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group photographed by Colonel Mere at 17:31 on that day. CHAIRPERSON: We can make this 34.2 or do you want me – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, please. CHAIRPERSON: - to make it 35?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is another man with a light top. I think he is – he is Mr Bhele, if I'm not mistaken. MR X: Yes, that is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's Bhele. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, you might, when you refer to these people, refer to the numbers as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group photographed by Colonel Mere at 17:31 on that day. CHAIRPERSON: We can make this 34.2 or do you want me – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, please. CHAIRPERSON: - to make it 35? MR BUDLENDER SC: 34.2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dark top, blue jeans and he's carrying a beige or a pinkish blanket. MR X: I see that person. MR BUDLENDER SC: And then if you'll look a little bit to the left of the photo in the same group, you'll see a person in a green jacket. That's Mr Noki, isn't it? MR X: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON: That's the person with the number 1 above him and a red arrow going down from the number 1 to his head, that's right? MR X: Yes, sir. MR BUDLENDER SC: And immediately to your left is another man who also, who has a beige blanket. MR X: Yes, I see. MR BUDLENDER SC: And on your right is another man with a light top. I think he is – he is Mr Bhele, if I'm not mistaken. MR X: Yes, that is Bhele. MR BUDLENDER SC: That's Bhele. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, you might, when you refer to these people, refer to the numbers as well –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: - of AAAA25, that's AAAA34. MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you, Chair. Now Mr X, I would like to go to the next slide. I'm sorry, before we get there, the time of that photograph has been marked, it's at 17:27 on that day and, if necessary, there'll be evidence to show that that's the correct time. CHAIRPERSON: Taken, this was taken on the Wednesday afternoon and the shootings were on Thursday the 16th. This is the Wednesday afternoon, the 15th and it's taken in the afternoon, 27 minutes past 5. That's what Mr Budlender put to you. MR BUDLENDER SC: Now can we go to the next slide in this series, please. Now this is the same group photographed by Colonel Mere. This, or it shows two photographs. Firstly it shows the one we've been looking at and next to it and below it, it shows the same group photographed by Colonel Mere at 17:31 on that day. CHAIRPERSON: We can make this 34.2 or do you want me – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, please. CHAIRPERSON: - to make it 35? MR BUDLENDER SC: 34.2. CHAIRPERSON: In other words, the

Г

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Dogo 22015		Dorg 22017
1	Page 32015 already an exhibit or not?	1	Page 32017 MR BUDLENDER SC: Then next to him is
2	MR BUDLENDER SC: I think not, Chair, no.	2	number 2, that's Mr Nzuza. Is that correct?
3	I'm not sure that it's the identical photograph but there's	3	MR X: Yes.
4	a series and it may or may not be.	4	MR BUDLENDER SC: And next to him is
5	CHAIRPERSON: So okay, AAAA34.2 is a	5	number 3. You see him? The man with the beige, with the
6	numbered version of Colonel Mere's photograph VSC3519 and		blanket.
7	you say which was taken at, and here you've got eTV time,	7	MR X: I see it.
8	taken at eTV 17:31:12. So that's taken, if those two times	8	MR BUDLENDER SC: And next to him is
9	are correct, taken four minutes after the –	9	number 4, you say that's you.
10	MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now	10	MR X: Yes, Sir.
11	you'll see the person, you'll see again the person who is	11	MR BUDLENDER SC: And next to you is
12	numbered 4 whom you've identified as yourself, there he is	12	number 5, that's Bhele.
13	with his beige or pink blanket, his dark top and his blue	13	MR X: Yes, Sir.
14	jeans. He's standing up now. Do you see that?	14	MR BUDLENDER SC: Now we go to the next
15	MR X: No, it's not that same person.	15	photograph, and let's just say to the left of Mr Noki is a
16	MR BUDLENDER SC: Not? To his left, on	16	man with a blue blanket. He's number 16. You see that?
17	the left of that person – look at the person to the left -	17	MR X: I see it.
18	MR X: That jean is light. The jean worn	18	MR BUDLENDER SC: Right, now let's go to
19	by the person standing is lighter than the jean worn by the	19	the next photograph. On the left, towards the left we see
20	other person in the other photo.	20	the man in the blue blanket, number 16. Right? In the
20	[12:38] MR MATHIBEDI SC: Sorry, Chair, the Mere	20	lower photograph we can see the man with the blue blanket.
22	photo does not show Mr Nzuza. Mr Nzuza is not appearing in	22	CHAIRPERSON: I see it's blue and then
22	the Mere photograph, the photo.	22	there's beige. You see the beige, it's either another
23 24	MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, I'm aware of	23	blanket on top of the blue blanket or it's part of the
24 25	that and we'll come to that, but it's not for my learned	24 25	design. That's number 16. We've seen that in the first
20	and and we income to that, but its not for my feather	20	design. That's hamber to: we ve seen that in the hist
	Page 32016		Page 32018
1	Page 32016 friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for	1	Page 32018 photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left
1 2		1 2	
	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for		photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left
2	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it.	2	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki.
2 3	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting	2 3	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is.
2 3 4	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct.	2 3 4	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that
2 3 4 5	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in	2 3 4 5	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza.
2 3 4 5 6	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the	2 3 4 5 6	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not
2 3 4 5 6 7	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed	2 3 4 5 6 7	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there, but some people are no longer there, had moved. So though	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct, is it not? MR X: Yes, that is Anele. CHAIRPERSON: That's Anele, and then –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct, is it not? MR X: Yes, that is Anele. CHAIRPERSON: That's Anele, and then – that's what Mr Budlender was asking you about, and then to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there, but some people are no longer there, had moved. So though	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct, is it not? MR X: Yes, that is Anele. CHAIRPERSON: That's Anele, and then –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there, but some people are no longer there, had moved. So though you can – I think you have to put it more circumspectly to the witness than you've done. MR BUDLENDER SC: Let me just take –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct, is it not? MR X: Yes, that is Anele. CHAIRPERSON: That's Anele, and then – that's what Mr Budlender was asking you about, and then to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there, but some people are no longer there, had moved. So though you can – I think you have to put it more circumspectly to the witness than you've done. MR BUDLENDER SC: Let me just take – let's go back, Mr X, to the first photograph. Starting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct, is it not? MR X: Yes, that is Anele. CHAIRPERSON: That's Anele, and then – that's what Mr Budlender was asking you about, and then to the right of Mr Noki on the first photograph is someone who appears to have a jersey, sort of – I think it's a jersey – black jersey, brown trousers, and there appears to be two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there, but some people are no longer there, had moved. So though you can – I think you have to put it more circumspectly to the witness than you've done. MR BUDLENDER SC: Let me just take – let's go back, Mr X, to the first photograph. Starting with Mr Noki, we see Mr Noki is sitting there, he's number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct, is it not? MR X: Yes, that is Anele. CHAIRPERSON: That's Anele, and then – that's what Mr Budlender was asking you about, and then to the right of Mr Noki on the first photograph is someone who appears to have a jersey, sort of – I think it's a jersey – black jersey, brown trousers, and there appears to be two white, two yellow lines at the bottom of the, it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there, but some people are no longer there, had moved. So though you can – I think you have to put it more circumspectly to the witness than you've done. MR BUDLENDER SC: Let me just take – let's go back, Mr X, to the first photograph. Starting with Mr Noki, we see Mr Noki is sitting there, he's number 1. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct, is it not? MR X: Yes, that is Anele. CHAIRPERSON: That's Anele, and then – that's what Mr Budlender was asking you about, and then to the right of Mr Noki on the first photograph is someone who appears to have a jersey, sort of – I think it's a jersey – black jersey, brown trousers, and there appears to be two white, two yellow lines at the bottom of the, it's a tracksuit top actually. You see that person on the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	friend to say that. That's evidence, that's a matter for evidence and I'll come to it. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you were putting things to the witness as if they were definitely correct. The point that is obvious is that if this photograph was in fact taken four minutes later, and if it represents the same group of people, then some people have changed position. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Because the person marked 2 who is to the right of Mr Noki appears not to be there. In fact you haven't got him numbered. MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes, I was – CHAIRPERSON: And the person who's marked 5 – no, the person who's marked 3, the person who's marked 3 you say is there and the person who is marked 7 you say is – no, it's the person who's marked 4 you say is there, but some people are no longer there, had moved. So though you can – I think you have to put it more circumspectly to the witness than you've done. MR BUDLENDER SC: Let me just take – let's go back, Mr X, to the first photograph. Starting with Mr Noki, we see Mr Noki is sitting there, he's number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	photograph. You see that? The one immediately to the left of Mr Noki. MR BUDLENDER SC: There he is. MR X: The chin doesn't appear in that person who's sitting next to Nzuza. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, you're not understand. Mr X, I'm sorry, you're not understanding. MR X: We don't see the same, we don't see the same person. MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, can I try? CHAIRPERSON: What I was putting to the witness was Mr Budlender is asking you something else. He was asking you about the person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki. The person immediately to the left of Mr Noki in both photographs has got a blue blanket. That is correct, is it not? MR X: Yes, that is Anele. CHAIRPERSON: That's Anele, and then – that's what Mr Budlender was asking you about, and then to the right of Mr Noki on the first photograph is someone who appears to have a jersey, sort of – I think it's a jersey – black jersey, brown trousers, and there appears to be two white, two yellow lines at the bottom of the, it's a

Page 320191immediately to the right of Mr Noki. Do you see that?1MR X: Yes, that's not me.2MR X: That is Nzuza.2CHAIRPERSON: Yes, and the p3CHAIRPERSON: He doesn't appear on the3on the left-hand photograph, Mr Marinovich'4right-hand photograph, the photograph taken by Colonel4blanket over his left shoulder and who you set5Mere. That's correct too, is it?5got a dark top. That's also correct, is it?6MR X: Yes, he does not appear.6MR X: What I'm wearing there	Page 32021
2MR X:That is Nzuza.2CHAIRPERSON:Yes, and the p3CHAIRPERSON:He doesn't appear on the3on the left-hand photograph, Mr Marinovich'4right-hand photograph, the photograph taken by Colonel4blanket over his left shoulder and who you s5Mere.That's correct too, is it?5got a dark top.	
3CHAIRPERSON:He doesn't appear on the a right-hand photograph, the photograph taken by Colonel 53on the left-hand photograph, Mr Marinovich' a blanket over his left shoulder and who you s 55Mere. That's correct too, is it?5got a dark top. That's also correct, is it?	erson who is
4right-hand photograph, the photograph taken by Colonel4blanket over his left shoulder and who you s5Mere. That's correct too, is it?5got a dark top. That's also correct, is it?	
5 Mere. That's correct too, is it? 5 got a dark top. That's also correct, is it?	•
	, ag 10 go a, 11ao
	is
7 MR BUDLENDER SC: So going from left to 7 reversible. I can wear it on the other side.	
8 right on both photographs we have the man with the blue 8 same colour.	
9 blanket, Anele. Correct? 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I under	erstand. It's
10 MR X: Ja, I see him. 10 a dark top and the person on the right-hand	
11 MR BUDLENDER SC: Next to him is Mr Noki. 11 is standing up with a similar blanket to your	
12 MR X: I see him. 12 his left shoulder, has got a dark top as well.	
13 MR BUDLENDER SC: And then on the first 13 correct, is it? That's correct, isn't it? I'm wa	
14 photograph next to Mr Noki is Mr Nzuza, but on the lower 14 your answer.	J
15 photograph Mr Nzuza has now left. You see that? 15 MR X: No, now I'm suffering fr	om a
16 MR X: I see that. 16 headache.	
17 MR BUDLENDER SC: And then the next 17 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry about	it the
18 person is there on both photograph, he's the person who's 18 headache. I hope when we finish the lunch	
19 called number 3, with the beige blanket and a bald head. 19 headache would have disappeared. Just on	•
20 You see that? 20 question I want to ask you, you'll notice the	
21 MR X: I see it. 21 these two photographs are slightly different.	
22 MR BUDLENDER SC: And then on the top 22 at the person who is to the left of Mr Noki, v	who is Anele,
23 photograph next to him is you. Is that correct? 23 who is on the left-hand of the photograph, N	Mr Marinovich's
24 MR X: Yes. 24 photograph, you will see his blanket is a dar	k blue. Can
25 MR BUDLENDER SC: But you say the man 25 you see that?	
Page 32020	Page 32022
1 next to him on the bottom photograph is not you, and you 1 MR X: Yes, I see it.	
2 say that even though he also has a beige blanket, even 2 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, and when	
3 though he also has a dark top, and even though he also has 3 Colonel Mere's photograph on the right one s	
4 blue jeans? Is that your evidence, that that man standing 5 up is not used black a suit to the man standing 6 up is not used black a suit to the man standing 7 up is not used black a suit to the man standing 7 up is not used black a suit to the man standing	ne diue is
5 up is not you, even though he's next to the man you were 6 standing, you were next to, and he has a beige blanket, a 6 MR X: No, I don't agree, Mr Cha	oir I
	a result of
7 dark top, and blue jeans? 7 don't know whether it's because I'm dizzy as	
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see –	have a
8MR X:No, that's not me, the man who's8the headache, or I can't see –9standing.9CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry if you	
8MR X:No, that's not me, the man who's8the headache, or I can't see -9standing.9CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry if you10CHAIRPERSON:The man who's standing has10headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad	ljournment now.
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the question	ljournment now. on now.
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start	ljournment now. on now. again at
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can determine the start	ljournment now. on now. again at
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can can 14 on the left. That's correct, is it? 14 further on this.	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can can 14 on the left. That's correct, is it? 14 further on this. 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES]
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can of 14 on the left. That's correct, is it? 14 further on this. 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM] 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 16 [13:53] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes.
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 14 further on this. 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. So it's a 17 Would you please tell the witness he's still ur	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes.
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 9 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can can be 14 on the left. That's correct, is it? 14 further on this. 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 16 [13:53] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission and you please tell the witness he's still und the source of the source	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes.
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 9 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can can be 14 on the left. That's correct, is it? 14 further on this. 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 16 [13:53] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission 17 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. So it's a 17 Would you please tell the witness he's still und 18 similar blanket. So the person in the left-hand 18 MR X: Yes, sir.	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes. nder oath?
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 9 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 14 on the left. That's correct, is it? 14 further on this. 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. So it's a 18 similar blanket. So the person in the left-hand 18 MR X: Yes, sir. 19 photograph, who is you, has got a beige blanket on his 19 MR X: (s.u.o.)	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes. nder oath?
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 9 standing. 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 14 on the left. That's correct, is it? 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. So it's a 18 similar blanket. So the person in the left-hand 18 MR X: Yes, sir. 19 photograph, who is you, has got a beige blanket on his 19 MR X: (s.u.o.) 20 cHAIRPERSON: Are you feeling 21 also the number 4 superimposed above his head in the right- 21 bit better now, Mr X? <td>journment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes. nder oath? a little</td>	journment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes. nder oath? a little
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can complete the on this. 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 16 [13:53] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission 17 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. So it's a 17 Would you please tell the witness he's still und 18 similar blanket. So the person in the left-hand 18 MR X: Yes, sir. 19 photograph, who is you, has got a beige blanket on his 19 MR X: (s.u.o.) 20 Left, over his left shoulder, and the perso	journment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes. nder oath? a little
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can ce 14 on the left. That's correct, is it? 14 further on this. 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 17 Would you please tell the witness he's still ur 18 similar blanket. So the person in the left-hand 18 MR X: Yes, sir. 19 photograph, who is you, has got a beige blanket on his 19 MR X: (s.u.o.) 20 CHAIRPERSON: Are you feeling 21 also the number 4 superimposed above his head in	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes. nder oath? a little hild died
8 MR X: No, that's not me, the man who's 8 the headache, or I can't see – 9 standing. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry if you 10 CHAIRPERSON: The man who's standing has 10 headache, and I think we'll take the lunch ad 11 got this beige blanket over his left shoulder in Colonel 11 It's not fair to force you to answer the questi 12 Mere's photograph and you had a beige blanket over your 12 We'll take the lunch adjournment, we'll start 13 left shoulder in Mr Marinovich's photograph, the first one 13 quarter to 2 and perhaps Mr Budlender can can the left. That's correct, is it? 15 MR X: Mr Chair, these blankets are the 15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMM 16 same but that is not me. These are the Sotho blankets. 16 [13:53] CHAIRPERSON: The Commis: 17 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. So it's a 17 Would you please tell the witness he's still ur 18 similar blanket. So the person in the left-hand 18 MR X: Yes, sir. 19 photograph, who is you, has got a beige blanket on his 19 MR X: (s.u.o.) 20 CHAIRPERSON: Are	ljournment now. on now. again at juestion you ISSION RESUMES] sion resumes. nder oath? a little hild died ar that and

Г

	Page 32023		Page 32025
1	members of your family?	1	MR X: - Makarapa members and the
2	MR X: Yes. I just did not want to	2	committee members who come together on the mountain.
3	report this in the morning but I received a call late	3	MR BUDLENDER SC: I understand that. Is
4	yesterday.	4	he, was he a member of the committee of 15?
5	CHAIRPERSON: I can understand and I can	5	MR X: But Mr Chair, if you count on the
6	understand how you feel in those circumstances. That's why	6	top group, those people are 17 without the number 15, they
7	I said we convey our sympathies to you and your family.	7	are people from the Makarapa group who joined us.
8	MR X: Thank you.	8	MR BUDLENDER SC: You haven't answered my
9	CHAIRPERSON: It must be hard not to be	9	question. Was he a member of the committee?
10	able to go home in circumstances such as this.	10	MR X: He was a member of the Makarapas,
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR BUDLENDER SC (CONTD.):	11	I do not know him.
12	Thank you, Chair. Mr X, I just want to clarify some	12	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, can you just
13	evidence you gave just before lunch. It wasn't clear to me	13	answer the question? Was he a member of the committee?
14	what you were saying. If I understand it, you said that	14	MR X: No, sir.
15	the jersey which you were wearing on the 15th is a	15	MR BUDLENDER SC: So what we have is that
16	reversible jersey, is that right?	16	- and you've told us that this was a member, this was a
17	MR X: Yes, Mr Chair.	17	meeting, this was a caucus meeting of the committee.
18	MR BUDLENDER SC: Do you mean that you	18	MR X: Yes, sir.
19	can reverse it to be one way and then to be inside out, or	19	MR BUDLENDER SC: So what you're telling
20	do you mean you can reverse the back to the front and the	20	the Commission is that this person in the lower photograph
21	front to the back?	21	is a person who is attending a meeting of the committee of
22	MR X: It's a jersey that you wear inside	22	which he's not a member. He – sorry. He's wearing jeans
23	out, you reverse it, the inside is outside.	23	that were similar to the one, to the member you've pointed
24	MR BUDLENDER SC: Thank you. Now I'm not	24	out, he's wearing a top which is similar to the member
25	going to repeat all of the questions that you were asked	25	you've pointed out, he's wearing a blanket similar to the
1	Page 32024	1	Page 32026
1	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the	1	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same
2	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so	2	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the
	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower	_	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the
2 3 4	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same	2 3 4	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out.
2 3 4 5	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we	2 3 4 5	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there
2 3 4 5 6	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both	2 3 4 5 6	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member.
2 3 4 5 6 7	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs,	2 3 4 5 6 7	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a Makarapa member, for the fact he is amongst us, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that photograph?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a Makarapa member, for the fact he is amongst us, the committee members, one of the people who had also undergone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that photograph? MR X: I'm there, down there. You see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a Makarapa member, for the fact he is amongst us, the committee members, one of the people who had also undergone rituals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that photograph? MR X: I'm there, down there. You see the person sitting next to Mambush, that's me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a Makarapa member, for the fact he is amongst us, the committee members, one of the people who had also undergone rituals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that photograph? MR X: I'm there, down there. You see the person sitting next to Mambush, that's me. CHAIRPERSON: On Mambush's right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a Makarapa member, for the fact he is amongst us, the committee members, one of the people who had also undergone rituals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that photograph? MR X: I'm there, down there. You see the person sitting next to Mambush, that's me. CHAIRPERSON: On Mambush's right? MR X: Yes, on the right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a Makarapa member, for the fact he is amongst us, the committee members, one of the people who had also undergone rituals. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is he a member of the committee? MR X: It's a member of the Makarapa. I do not know his name. I mentioned the people I know, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that photograph? MR X: I'm there, down there. You see the person sitting next to Mambush, that's me. CHAIRPERSON: On Mambush's right? MR X: Yes, on the right. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Number 3 on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a Makarapa member, for the fact he is amongst us, the committee members, one of the people who had also undergone rituals. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is he a member of the committee? MR X: It's a member of the Makarapa. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that photograph? MR X: I'm there, down there. You see the person sitting next to Mambush, that's me. CHAIRPERSON: On Mambush's right? MR X: Yes, on the right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	before lunch. We are going to submit in argument that the person who is standing up on the – could we have 34.2 up so I just can show it again – that the person in the lower photograph who is standing up on the right is the same person as the person you identified in the upper one and we say that because he is next to the same person in both photographs, he is wearing blue jeans in both photographs, he is wearing a dark top in both photographs and he's carrying, he's wearing a beige blanket in both photographs and he's carrying, wearing the beige blanket over his left shoulder. And those two photographs are just four minutes apart. You say the man in the lower photograph is not you. MR X: No, that is not me. MR BUDLENDER SC: Who is he? MR X: I do not know his name but he is a Makarapa member, for the fact he is amongst us, the committee members, one of the people who had also undergone rituals. MR BUDLENDER SC: Is he a member of the committee? MR X: It's a member of the Makarapa. I do not know his name. I mentioned the people I know, the five people I know from the group on top and I do not know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one you pointed out, he's wearing the blanket in the same manner as the one that you pointed out, but he's not the [inaudible]. And he's standing in the same place as the one you pointed out. MR X: Mr Chair, that person came there to listen to what was being said. He is a Makarapa member. MR BUDLENDER SC: Alright. Chair, I'd like to put in some further photographs – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you do that, Mr X are you visible in the second photograph? MR X: There are many of us there, Mr Chair. We did not choose to be where we are and the people are caucusing. CHAIRPERSON: That's not the question you were asked. The question you were asked was, can we see you on the second photograph? Are you visible on that photograph? MR X: I'm there, down there. You see the person sitting next to Mambush, that's me. CHAIRPERSON: On Mambush's right? MR X: Yes, on the right. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Number 3 on that photograph. Now you told us that the committee consisted

	Page 32027	1	Page 32029
1	from the Eastern shaft, weren't you?	1	that person who died. There is a funeral back home at one,
2	MR X: Yes, sir.	2	so can I please rest my – mentally I need a rest, I'm
3	CHAIRPERSON: Can you see any of the	3	mentally exhausted.
4	other delegates from the Eastern shaft on either of those	4	CHAIRPERSON: I'll tell you what we'll
5	two photographs?	5	do. We'll take an adjournment just now. Mr Mathibedi can
6	MR X: There are other members there.	6	contact, as to the witness at the remote venue at which he
7	CHAIRPERSON: Can you see?	7	is and then report back to us on what we can do with the
8	MR X: Makhubane works at 3 shaft,	8	rest of the afternoon.
9	Eastern.	9	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
10	CHAIRPERSON: The Eastern shaft?	10	[14:37] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr
11	MR X: Yes, this is at Eastern, he works	11	Mathibedi, are you in a position to report on what is to
12	at 3 shaft.	12	happen now?
13	CHAIRPERSON: Anybody else from the	13	MR MATHIBEDI SC: Thanks, Chair. Chair,
14	Eastern shaft on either of these photographs that you can	14	due to bereavement in Mr X's family we ask that this matter
15	identify?	15	be postponed to the 14th because he is not in a proper fit
16	MR X: Yes, there are other people but I	16	and mental state to can proceed with the proceedings today
17	don't have their names here.	17	because of the emotions that he's undergoing, and also
18	CHAIRPERSON: But you had their names, I	18	because tomorrow according to his culture we have a
19	mean they were from Eastern shaft, you were from Eastern	19	situation where rituals have to be performed.
20	shaft also.	20	CHAIRPERSON: Is he thereafter in a
21	MR X: The people I recognised from that	21	period of mourning that he would have to observe?
22	group is myself and Makhubane who are from Eastern. The	22	MR MATHIBEDI SC: That's correct, Chair,
23	other people are from Saffy, there's a shaft called Saffy	23	hence I'm asking that the matter be postponed to the 14th.
24	there. One from Eastern would be working at Karee shaft,	24	CHAIRPERSON: I see. Mr Budlender or Ms
25	we were mixed there from the different shafts.	25	Pillay, do you have anything to say in regard to the
1	Page 32028 CHAIRPERSON: Makhubane, did he have	1	Page 32030 application?
2	another name?	2	MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, it's very
3	MR X: Rasta.	3	unfortunate, but it seems that there's no alternative and
4	CHAIRPERSON: According to your statement	4	one can understand the position. I've just been reminded
5	you made in February 2013, Rasta, otherwise known as	5	that the 14th is the day when the former Minister of Police
6	Makhubane, was a delegate. He was a delegate from the	6	will be giving evidence, Mr Mthethwa, so this witness would
7	Western shaft, not from the Eastern shaft.	7	need to be available but he would have to come immediately
8	MR X: I mentioned Makhubane's name, he's	8	after Mr Mthethwa. We can't move Mr Mthethwa around. If
9	there, he's in the committee.	9	the Commission agrees that that is the way forward, in that
10	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr X, do I understand	10	regard we suggest that parties which wish to cross-examine
11	you correctly that in response to the question from	11	Minister Mthethwa should give notice, should apply for
12	Commissioner Hemraj you said that you are the person	12	leave, indicating what they want to cross-examine on, what
13	numbered 3 on the lower photograph? I'm pointing out you,	13	subject matter, how long they'll be and identifying
14	that's that person.	14	documents by Tuesday because Minister Mthethwa no doubt has
15	MR X: Mr Chair, I'm thinking that could	15	many other documents which he must read. We must give him
16	be me, I'm not sure. I'm not sure about the photo – on top	16	some time to read before the Monday following, and we're
17	of the photo above.	17	expecting a supplementary statement from the Minister which
18	MR BUDLENDER SC: Earlier today you	18	will be distributed, we're expecting it tomorrow. It will
19	agreed that the person who is number 3 on the lower	19	be distributed as soon as we receive it.
20	photograph is the same person as the person who is number 3	20	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. I see from
21	on the upper photograph, so that can't be you. You agreed	20	my diary that, I don't know if this entry is still correct
22	with that, so how could it be you?	22	but that Mr Ramaphosa, the Deputy President, is scheduled
23	MR X: Mr Chair, I have a request. Our	23	to be here on the 16th. Is that correct?
20			
24	culture is not the same as white people's culture. In our	24	
24 25	culture is not the same as white people's culture. In our culture when there's a death in the family you mourn for	24 25	MR BUDLENDER SC: That date has been changed. Chair. It's now towards the end of July. I think
25	culture is not the same as white people's culture. In our culture when there's a death in the family you mourn for	24 25	changed, Chair. It's now towards the end of July. I think

		1	
1	Page 32031	1	Page 32033
1	the current date is the 29th of July.	1	nothing one can do about things. The inevitability has to be faced. So we will adjourn then until the 14th –
2	CHAIRPERSON: So the only witness of that group that the two ministers and the Deputy President, the	2 3	MR GOTZ: Chair, sorry, might I impress
3			
4	only witness from that group is Mr Mthethwa who is due to	4 5	upon the evidence leaders to please provide us with a
5	give evidence on the 14th, you say?	5	schedule or timetable as soon as possible? The last
6	MR BUDLENDER SC: 14th and 15th, although	6	communication we had on the subject was in May, in terms of
7	we hope we'll be able to complete it in one day, but we'll	7	which we were told that the Minister of Police would
8	have to see.	8	provisionally be coming on the 14th of July. We raised the
9	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I see, so that those	9	issue last week with Ms Pillay. We were not told that the
10	who are going to be cross-examining this witness once the	10	Minister had been confirmed for the 14th of July and we've
11	evidence of Minister Mthethwa is over must be ready to do	11	been –
12	so. You will obviously continue with your cross-	12	CHAIRPERSON: You've now been told –
13	examination. I understood you to tell me in chambers that	13	MR GOTZ: - asking for the timetable for
14	you thought it shouldn't be more than half an hour.	14	some time, Chair, and we respectfully request that the
15	MR BUDLENDER SC: That's right.	15	evidence leaders provide the parties with one as soon as
16	CHAIRPERSON: And I think then the next	16	possible. Thank you.
17	cross-examiner would be –	17	CHAIRPERSON: Well, you've now been told
18	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr Tip.	18	in good time what will happen on the 14th. Mr Budlender, do
19	CHAIRPERSON: Ms Pillay, is –	19	you want to say something?
20	MR BUDLENDER SC: Mr Tip will be –	20	MR BUDLENDER SC: I'd like to respond to
21	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Tip, I think.	21	that, because I don't appreciate the tone of that remark.
22	MR TIP SC: That's correct, Chair.	22	Mr Gotz knows as well as we do that it was only early this
23	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, and how long did	23	week that we were told that the President had decided to
24	we give you?	24	grant an extension. Any programme would be entirely
25	MR TIP SC: You've given me two hours,	25	provisional until we knew when the work of the Commission
	Dade 33033		Page 32034
1	Page 32032 Chair.	1	Page 32034 was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are
1 2	Chair.	1 2	Page 32034 was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to
	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then		was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are
2	Chair.	2	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to
2 3	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross-	2 3	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with
2 3 4	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us
2 3 4 5	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were
2 3 4 5 6	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you	2 3 4 5 6	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was
2 3 4 5 6 7	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate –	2 3 4 5 6 7	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: How long do they have?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will be made available to us, the Commissioners, as soon as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: How long do they have? MS PILLAY: They also have half an hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will be made available to us, the Commissioners, as soon as possible. So we also haven't got it yet. But I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: How long do they have? MS PILLAY: They also have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: I see, and thereafter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will be made available to us, the Commissioners, as soon as possible. So we also haven't got it yet. But I'm not frustrated because I understand the problems that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: How long do they have? MS PILLAY: They also have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: I see, and thereafter? MS PILLAY: It's the LRC and they've got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will be made available to us, the Commissioners, as soon as possible. So we also haven't got it yet. But I'm not frustrated because I understand the problems that the evidence leaders have. I think that in the circumstances
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: How long do they have? MS PILLAY: They also have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: I see, and thereafter? MS PILLAY: It's the LRC and they've got have a day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will be made available to us, the Commissioners, as soon as possible. So we also haven't got it yet. But I'm not frustrated because I understand the problems that the evidence leaders have. I think that in the circumstances you will get it as soon as the rest of us, and I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: How long do they have? MS PILLAY: They also have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: I see, and thereafter? MS PILLAY: It's the LRC and they've got have a day. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. So that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will be made available to us, the Commissioners, as soon as possible. So we also haven't got it yet. But I'm not frustrated because I understand the problems that the evidence leaders have. I think that in the circumstances you will get it as soon as the rest of us, and I don't think it's appropriate, if I may say so, for reproach for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: How long do they have? MS PILLAY: They also have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: I see, and thereafter? MS PILLAY: It's the LRC and they've got have a day. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. So that should take us to the end of the 15th if we finish the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will be made available to us, the Commissioners, as soon as possible. So we also haven't got it yet. But I'm not frustrated because I understand the problems that the evidence leaders have. I think that in the circumstances you will get it as soon as the rest of us, and I don't think it's appropriate, if I may say so, for reproach for requests, for counsel's respectful requests to be made in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, and then I think after you is Lonmin. You're going to cross- examine, Mr Van As? MR VAN AS: That's correct, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate – MR VAN AS: An hour, please, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: An hour, and then after that it's counsel for - I think Mr Gumbi, is it? Sorry, it's [inaudible] of the Monene family, I think, and – MS PILLAY: No, Chair, it's the Baloyi team is after Lonmin and they have half an hour. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: Half an hour, and then – MS PILLAY: It's the Monene family. CHAIRPERSON: I see, and thereafter? MS PILLAY: It's the LRC and they've got have a day. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright. So that should take us to the end of the 15th if we finish the Minister on the 14th. Alright, thank you. So very well,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was going to end. Now that we have been told that we are working on the programme. We hope to be in a position to distribute a programme very shortly, but the complaint with respect is ill-founded. It would have been useless for us to make an announcement as to when particular people were going to come when we didn't know when the Commission was going to finish its work. MR GOTZ: Chair, might I apologise if my frustration in this regard has led me to adopt a tone which is inappropriate, but it is borne of frustration, Chair. I've raised this on a number of occasions that we would like a timetable, and – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure, I'm also waiting for the programme. I was told as soon as we got notice of the extension that a programme was being prepared and will be made available to us, the Commissioners, as soon as possible. So we also haven't got it yet. But I'm not frustrated because I understand the problems that the evidence leaders have. I think that in the circumstances you will get it as soon as the rest of us, and I don't think it's appropriate, if I may say so, for reproach for requests, for counsel's respectful requests to be made in the open chamber unless the matters have been discussed

	Page 32035	
1 2	explanation for the delay. But on that note we'll adjourn until 9 o'clock on the morning the 14th.	
2	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	•	
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
+ RS	Con con con	
	As an ET It is little	
2	infy home, fine on to have	
Le .	A. youthy Cleyour othe	
A	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	

				Page
A	alright 31959:20	arrowhead 32011:21	32017:23 32019:19	break 31993:17
AAA 31967:15	31961:23 31967:14	aside 31972:22	32020:2,6,11,12,19	breaking 31973:9
AAAA 31967:7	31973:11 31980:24	31974:25	32020:23 32021:3	31998:18
32013:18	31981:21 31983:16	asked 31958:21,22	32024:9,10	briefly 31994:24
AAAA1.2 31982:22	31996:13 31997:15	31966:3,3 31974:2	believe 31994:10	bring 31962:9
32001:19	32010:13 32011:18	31976:5,6 31984:25	bending 32013:7	bringing 31956:15
AAAA25 31972:8,8	32020:17 32026:7	31985:16 31986:25	benefit 31971:9	brought 31957:5
32010:14 32013:12	32032:21,23	31987:4,4 31988:18	bereavement 32029:14	31995:24
32013:25 32014:1,24	alternative 32030:3	31988:22 31992:6	better 32013:10,16	brown 32018:22
AAAA25.1 32013:10	alternatively 31994:18	31993:11 31994:25	32022:21	bullets 31963:20,22
AAAA31 31967:5	ammunition 31985:7	31995:5 31997:12	Bhele 31960:5 32002:2	31990:13 31991:13
AAAA33 31967:15	Andries 32002:15	32000:15,16,19,20	32002:14 32003:16	buried 32022:23
AAAA33.1 31972:2	Anele 31960:5	32004:18 32023:25	32004:1,7,9,25	burning 31971:24
31977:1,2	32018:17,18 32019:9	32026:15,15	32005:11,16	bushy 31977:8
AAAA33.2 31970:9,21	32021:22 32022:4	asking 31961:16	32006:15,19,20,23	B-H-E-L-E 32002:14
AAAA33.3 31978:3	announce 31999:10	32003:19 32004:3,3,4	32008:8 32009:2,12	
AAAA33.4 32013:11	announcement 32034:5	32004:12,13	32009:17 32012:18	C
AAAA34 32014:1	anorak 31971:12	32018:12,13,19	32012:19,20 32013:2	call 31980:22 32023:3
AAAA34.1 32014:24	answer 31956:18	32029:23 32033:13	32013:3 32017:12	called 32011:1
AAAA34.1 32014.24 AAAA34.2 32015:5	31973:4,7,7 31982:12	asks 32002:9,16	big 31982:6	32019:19 32027:23
AAAA8 31990:6	31984:9 32000:19	assistance 31997:2	bishop 31960:8,11,12	camera 31978:5,9
31991:10	32002:12,18	assortment 31960:9	bit 31971:16 31978:8	canister 31985:2
AAA33 31967:6	32006:18,22,24	attack 31961:20,25	31999:19 32012:5	can't 31977:15
able 31989:18	32009:9 32010:10	31964:17	32022:21	31979:21 32005:23
31994:20 32005:12	32021:14 32022:11	attacked 31960:15	black 31971:12,13	32007:14 32022:8
32023:10 32031:7	32025:13	31961:8	31975:21 32018:22	32030:8
accept 31965:25	answered 31993:6	attacks 31985:4	blanket 31968:10	Captain 31986:5
accepts 31982:9,10	32008:23 32009:3	attainment 31961:8	31969:3,4,10	car 31960:3
accompanied 31963:11	32025:8	31962:5 31963:17	31971:17 31978:9	carefully 32000:24
activities 31963:1,6	answering 32000:15	attending 31975:25	32012:2,14 32015:13	32001:5,11
actual 31967:16	answers 31997:25	32025:21	32017:6,16,20,21,24	carry 31967:23
add 32000:5,7,9	anticipate 32032:7	August 31956:1	32017:24 32018:15	31999:7
adding 32000:11	anybody 31957:8	31960:22 31961:6	32019:9,19 32020:2,6	carrying 31984:15
additional 32000:18,25	31958:2,6 32027:13	31963:2,7 31970:15	32020:11,12,18,19,23	32012:1 32024:9,10
32001:6,11	anyway 31966:18	31972:3 31982:12	32020:24 32021:4,11	case 31969:19 31988:5
address 31956:16	31974:15 31981:4	31990:6 31997:24	32021:11,24 32022:4	31994:19 32034:25
	31994:16	32001:22 32003:14	32024:9,10 32025:25	CAS205/08/2012
31960:3,25	apart 31988:22	32010:16,17	32026:1	31990:4
adjourn 31999:6 32033:2 32035:1	32024:12	available 32030:7	blankets 32020:15,16	caucus 32025:17
ADJOURNED 32035:3	apologies 31959:16,16	32034:16	blind 31969:6	caucused 31971:21
adjournment 31999:10	31959:20	averment 31991:24	block 31961:7 31962:5	caucusing 31972:7
32021:18 32022:10	apologise 32034:8	aware 31994:3	blood 31961:3	32010:15 32026:13
32022:12 32029:5	apology 31960:17	32015:24	bloodshed 31961:2	caught 31983:24
ADJOURNS 31993:18	appear 31960:4		blue 31972:13,13	cause 31995:22
	32018:4 32019:3,6	В	32012:1 32015:13	caused 31989:25
31999:8 32022:15 32029:9	appearing 32015:22	B 31985:24	32017:16,20,21,22,24	31990:15
admit 32007:15	appears 31983:7	Baai 31983:22 32002:2	32018:15 32019:8	cell 31967:12
Admittedly 31961:2	32016:11 32018:21	32003:16 32004:8,10	32020:4,7 32021:24	certain 31976:3
adopt 32034:9	32018:22	32005:1,11,17	32022:4 32024:7	32008:20
Adv 31989:12,19	application 32030:1	32006:25 32007:1	body 31976:3	certainly 31966:14
31998:7 31999:20	apply 32030:11	32008:8	bone-shape 31979:18	31991:4
advised 31960:7	appointed 31971:23	back 31956:7 31974:8	Booi 32002:13 32003:9	Chairman 32032:5,8
advocates 31974:12	appreciate 32033:21	31976:23 31977:25	32003:16 32004:8,9	chamber 31999:10
African 31975:3	approached 31960:3,6	31986:17 31995:23	32005:1,11,17	32034:23
afternoon 32014:8,9,10	appropriate 32034:21	31999:19 32016:22	32007:2,3 32008:8,9	chambers 32031:13
32029:8	area 31977:8	32023:20,21 32029:1	32009:2,13,18	change 31955:13,19
agree 31957:2 31962:2	argue 31973:4	32029:7	boot 31969:5	31972:17 31973:2
31979:10 32002:24	argument 31966:13	bald 32019:19	boots 31975:9,11,12,12	31975:18,20 31997:5
32022:6	31997:18 32024:1	Baloyi 32032:12	borne 32034:10	31998:14,20
agreed 32028:19,21	arm 31992:16	bath 31975:22 31976:2	boss 31956:7	changed 31972:24
agreed 32028:19,21 agrees 32030:9	arrange 31986:11	beating 31993:9	bottom 31971:11	31976:1 31996:8,11
- Gran I I I FEE	arrived 32003:6,9	beg 32013:3	31978:4 32018:23	31996:17 32016:7
alighted 31960:4 alleged 31961:24	32010:19	behalf 32004:6	32020:1	32030:25
AUPUPU 1901.74			bow 31967:9 31977:22	changing 31973:24
	arrow 31972:9	52005:10 52000:11	DUW 51701.7 51711.2/	
31963:15 31964:17	arrow 31972:9 32010:21 32011:21	32005:16 32006:11 beige 32012:1.14		
	32010:21 32011:21 32012:10	beige 32012:1,14 32015:13 32017:5,23	box 31990:10,11 31991:12	31974:19,21 32010:2 chanted 31960:10

RealTime Transcriptions

31994:2232022:15,1631971:2 31974:1332021:5,10,12,24chief 31968:932025:20 32029:9,931982:1 31986:132024:8dichild 31995:2332029:10 32030:931987:21 31988:14date 32030:24 32031:1di32022:22,2332032:25 32033:2531988:15 31990:14dates 31994:6chin 32018:432034:6 32035:331993:25 31994:11date 31995:23 31961:1diChomela 31985:15Commissioner 31975:231995:3 31997:1031976:2 31980:12dichose 32026:1231977:12,16,18,2131993:24 31994:2,432001:9,10 32002:432003:6,14,16dichosen 32003:5,13,2331994:11 32026:932007:21 32010:1632014:5,17 32030:5di32004:15,1932028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5di32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15days 31975:21,23di32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,13dealing 31964:5di32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23di31973:1432023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,2331973:14	iscussions 31960:7 32009:1 isperse 31983:1,19 isplayed 31960:9 31990:11 istance 31992:14,20 istribute 32034:3 istributed 32030:18 32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
chief 31968:9 child 31995:23 32022:22,2332025:20 32029:9,9 32029:10 32030:931982:1 31986:1 31987:21 31988:14 31987:21 31988:14 31997:23 31990:1432024:8 date 32030:24 32031:1 dates 31994:6dichin 32018:4 Chomela 31985:15 chose 32026:12 chose 32003:5,13,23 32003:24 32004:15,19 32004:15,19 32005:10 32006:11 32005:10 32006:11 32007:14 32008:11Commissioner 31975:2 31993:24 31994:2,4 32007:21 32010:16 32007:21 32010:1631976:2 31980:12 dates 31994:10 31995:3 31997:10di32003:24 32004:5,13 32004:15,19 32005:10 32006:11 32007:14 32008:1131994:11 32026:9 32007:14 32008:11 31979:11 32034:16 32016:1932011:23 3201:46 32017:2 32018:15 32016:4 32017:2 32018:15 32021:13 32029:2232031:7 32032:20 days 31975:21,23 32020:14 32021:5,13 32002:14 32021:5,13 32003:21,23dicircumstances 32023:6 32002:24 32003:2,4 32004:13 32005:1632021:13 32029:22 32030:21,2331973:14 dealt 31960:19 31974:6	isperse 31983:1,19 isplayed 31960:9 31990:11 istance 31992:14,20 istribute 32034:3 istributed 32030:18 32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
chief 31968:9 child 31995:2332025:20 32029:9,9 32029:10 32030:931982:1 31986:1 31987:21 31988:14 31987:21 31988:1432024:8 date 32030:24 32031:1di date 32030:24 32031:132022:22,23 chin 32018:432032:25 32033:25 32032:25 32033:2531987:21 31988:14 31993:25 31990:1431995:23 31994:6 day 31955:23 31961:1di dates 31994:6Chomela 31985:15 chosen 32003:5,13,23Commissioner 31975:2 31993:24 31994:2,431995:3 31997:10 31995:3 31997:1031976:2 31980:12 31995:3 31997:10di day 31955:23 31980:1232003:24 32004:5,13 32003:24 32004:15,1931993:24 31994:2,4 32002:61132001:9,10 32002:4 32007:21 32010:1632004:15 32010:18 32004:15 32010:18di di 32011:23 32014:632005:10 32006:11 32007:14 32008:11Commissioners 31979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15 32017:2 32018:1532032:25 32032:2032016:19 circumstances 32023:631961:6 31972:7 32002:24 32003:2,432021:13 32029:22 32032:1231973:14 dealt 31960:19 31974:6	isplayed 31960:9 31990:11 istance 31992:14,20 istribute 32034:3 istributed 32030:18 32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
child 31995:2332029:10 32030:931987:21 31988:14date 32030:24 32031:1di32022:22,2332032:25 32033:2531988:15 31990:14dates 31994:6dates 31994:6chin 32018:432034:6 32035:331993:25 31994:11dates 31994:6dates 31994:6Chomela 31985:15Commissioner 31975:231995:3 31997:1031976:2 31980:12dichose 32026:1231977:12,16,18,2131998:8,12 32000:2031995:19 32002:7dichosen 32003:5,13,2331993:24 31994:2,432001:9,10 32002:432003:6,14,16di32003:24 32004:5,1331994:11 32026:932007:21 32010:1632004:15 32010:18di32004:15,1932028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5do32005:10 32006:11S2006:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15days 31975:21,23do32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,1332032:25dealing 31964:5do32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23di31973:14do	isplayed 31960:9 31990:11 istance 31992:14,20 istribute 32034:3 istributed 32030:18 32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
chin 32018:432034:6 32035:331993:25 31994:11day 31955:23 31961:1diChomela 31985:15Commissioner 31975:231995:3 31997:1031976:2 31980:12dichose 32026:1231977:12,16,18,2131998:8,12 32000:2031995:19 32002:7dichosen 32003:5,13,2331993:24 31994:2,432001:9,10 32002:432003:6,14,16di32003:24 32004:5,1331994:11 32026:932007:21 32010:1632004:15 32010:18di32005:10 32006:1132028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5do32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:1532031:7 32032:20di32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,1332032:25dealing 31964:5do32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23di31973:14di	istance 31992:14,20 istribute 32034:3 istributed 32030:18 32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
chin 32018:432034:6 32035:331993:25 31994:11day 31955:23 31961:1diChomela 31985:15Commissioner 31975:231995:3 31997:1031976:2 31980:12dichoose 32026:1231977:12,16,18,2131998:8,12 32000:2031995:19 32002:7dichosen 32003:5,13,2331993:24 31994:2,432001:9,10 32002:432003:6,14,16di32003:24 32004:5,1331994:11 32026:932007:21 32010:1632004:15 32010:18di32004:15,1932028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5do32005:10 32006:11Commissioners32015:9 32016:432031:7 32032:20di32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15days 31975:21,23do32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,1332032:25dealing 31964:5do32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23dealt 31960:19 31974:6di	istribute 32034:3 istributed 32030:18 32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
Chomela 31985:15Commissioner 31975:231995:3 31997:1031976:2 31980:12dichoose 32026:1231977:12,16,18,2131998:8,12 32000:2031995:19 32002:7dichosen 32003:5,13,2331993:24 31994:2,432001:9,10 32002:432003:6,14,16di32003:24 32004:5,1331994:11 32026:932007:21 32010:1632004:15 32010:18di32004:15,1932028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5do32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:1532031:7 32032:20di32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,1332032:25de32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,1331973:14de32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23di31973:14	istribute 32034:3 istributed 32030:18 32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
choose 32026:1231977:12,16,18,2131998:8,12 32000:2031995:19 32002:7dichosen 32003:5,13,2331993:24 31994:2,432001:9,10 32002:432003:6,14,16di32003:24 32004:5,1331994:11 32026:932007:21 32010:1632004:15 32010:18di32004:15,1932028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5do32007:14 32006:11Commissioners32015:9 32016:432031:7 32032:20di32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15days 31975:21,23docircumspectlycommittee 31960:2432019:5,9,2332032:25dealing 31964:5do32002:10 32034:1932002:24 32003:2,432021:13 32029:2231973:14do32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23dealt 31960:19 31974:6do	istributed 32030:18 32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
chosen 32003:5,13,2331993:24 31994:2,432001:9,10 32002:432003:6,14,1632003:24 32004:5,1331994:11 32026:932007:21 32010:1632004:15 32010:18di32004:15,1932028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5de32005:10 32006:11Commissioners32015:9 32016:432031:7 32032:20de32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15days 31975:21,23decircumspectlycommittee 31960:2432019:5,9,2332032:25de32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,13dealing 31964:5de32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23dealt 31960:19 31974:6de	32030:19 izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
32003:24 32004:5,1331994:11 32026:932007:21 32010:1632004:15 32010:18di32004:15,1932028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5do32005:10 32006:11Commissioners32015:9 32016:432031:7 32032:20do32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15days 31975:21,23docircumspectlycommittee 31960:2432019:5,9,2332032:25deling 31964:5do32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,13dealing 31964:5docircumstances 32023:632002:24 32003:2,432021:13 32029:2231973:14do32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23dealt 31960:19 31974:6do	izzy 32022:7 ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
32004:15,1932028:1232011:23 32014:632014:5,17 32030:5de32005:10 32006:11Commissioners32015:9 32016:432031:7 32032:20de32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15days 31975:21,23decircumspectlycommittee 31960:2432020:14 32021:5,1332032:25de32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,13dealing 31964:5decircumstances 32023:632002:24 32003:2,432021:13 32029:2231973:14dealing 31964:532023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23dealing 31960:19 31974:6	ocket 31990:4 31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
32005:10 32006:11 32007:14 32008:11Commissioners 31979:11 32034:1632015:9 32016:4 32017:2 32018:1532031:7 32032:20 days 31975:21,23decircumspectly 32016:19committee 31960:24 31961:6 31972:732019:5,9,23 32020:14 32021:5,13dealing 31964:5 31973:14 dealing 31964:5decircumstances 32023:6 32023:10 32034:1932002:24 32003:2,4 32004:13 32005:1632030:21,23dealing 31964:5 32030:21,23de	31994:16,18 ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
32007:14 32008:1131979:11 32034:1632017:2 32018:15days 31975:21,23docircumspectlycommittee 31960:2432019:5,9,2332032:25dealing 31964:5do32016:1931961:6 31972:732020:14 32021:5,13dealing 31964:5docircumstances 32023:632002:24 32003:2,432021:13 32029:2231973:14do32023:10 32034:1932004:13 32005:1632030:21,23dealt 31960:19 31974:6	ocuments 31994:5 32030:14,15 oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
circumspectly 32016:19committee 31960:24 31961:6 31972:732019:5,9,23 32020:14 32021:5,13 32021:13 32029:2232032:25 dealing 31964:5 31973:14 dealt 31960:19 31974:6dealing 31964:5 31973:14 dealt 31960:19 31974:6	32030:14,15 besn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
32016:19 31961:6 31972:7 32020:14 32021:5,13 dealing 31964:5 dealing 31964:5 circumstances 32023:6 32002:24 32003:2,4 32021:13 32029:22 31973:14 31973:14 32023:10 32034:19 32004:13 32005:16 32030:21,23 dealtra 31960:19 31974:6 dealtra 31960:19 31974:6	oesn't 31960:1 31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
circumstances32023:632002:2432003:2,432021:1332029:2231973:1432023:1032034:1932004:1332005:1632030:21,23dealt31960:1931974:6	31961:2 31982:1,11 32018:4 32019:3
32023:10 32034:19 32004:13 32005:16 32030:21,23 dealt 31960:19 31974:6	32018:4 32019:3
claim 32009:21 32010:15,22 32031:22 32032:5 death 31995:23 de	oing 32000:14
	Oonkerkop 31982:9
	on't 31959:7
	31962:22 31978:19
	31979:10 31980:2,4,5
	31980:6,20 31981:6
	31992:10,15,25
	32005:11,17 32007:5
	32007:10 32018:8,8
	32022:6,7 32030:21
	32033:21 32034:20
i i	oubt 32030:14
	oubts 31964:18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ue 31973:13 31994:20
	32029:14 32031:4
clips 31967:16 31988:7 32006:10 31984:12,15,19,20 31980:14 32002:10	
closely 31977:24 32033:10 31985:8 31986:24 demands 31960:14	E
	arlier 31996:17
	32000:13,17
	32028:18
	arly 31999:11
	32009:12,16
	32033:22
Colonel 32014:14,17,25 consisted 32026:23 31997:8 31990:7,8 ea	asier 32005:7
	32012:25
	Castern 31980:18
colour 31969:6,6 contact 32029:6 31994:20 didn't 31957:10,17,22	32026:25 32027:1,4,9
32021:8 contained 31989:24 cover 31983:25 31958:4,8,11,16,18	32027:10,11,14,19,19
	32027:22,24 32028:7
colours 32021:20 containing 32000:18 cross 31974:12 31980:7 31992:13 ea	asy 32005:8
come 31956:7 31962:1 contains 31985:19 32031:12 32032:3 31999:12 32034:6 ef	ffect 31960:13
31962:7,16,18 CONTD 31955:7 cross-examination died 32022:22 32029:1 ei	ither 31960:12
32003:6 32008:11,12 31994:23 31999:18 31955:7 31993:21 different 31959:24	31968:17 31994:17
	32007:11 32017:23
32015:25 32016:2 continue 31993:21 32023:11 31979:13 31990:17	32027:4,14
	lected 32002:13,24
	32004:20,22,23
	32005:15 32007:20
	32008:3,8,9,10,13,14
	32008:24,25 32009:1
	32009:5,18,22
	32010:5
	motions 32029:17
	mployer 31960:25
	31962:15,18 32002:1
	32004:16,17
	32008:19,21
The man P. I have self be Real Internet Int Fig.	32009:18
	Cnglish 31965:4,14,20
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
entirely 32033:24	31990:15 32016:6,12	fitted 31964:13	32031:25	happen 31965:7
entry 32030:21	32024:16	five 32001:25 32002:13	giving 32030:6	31974:2 32029:12
episode 31994:9	facts 31982:1	32003:2,22,24	glare 31974:14	32033:18
equipment 31975:15	fades 31999:1	32004:4,20,22	go 31955:23 31966:23	happened 31956:14
eTV 32015:7,8	fair 31973:6 31979:21	32006:10,17	31968:20,21	31957:9 31958:2,7
event 31956:3	31996:15 32022:11	32007:19,20 32008:2	31969:24 31970:6	31961:19 31965:12
events 31994:1,6,8,14	fairness 31968:23	32008:6,7 32009:1,5	31976:23 31977:25	31975:10 31980:6,7
32010:6	false 32009:22 32010:4	32009:7,22 32024:23	31980:10,10,12	31982:21 31990:5
eventually 32003:9	family 32022:23	32026:24,24,25	31982:18,23	31997:23 31998:1,4
32004:17	32023:1,7 32028:25	follow 31982:11	31984:14 31987:15	32003:4
evidence 31966:19	32029:14 32032:11	32013:1	31987:16 31992:7	happening 31955:24
31967:24 31968:9	32032:15	following 32030:16	31999:19,23 32002:6	hard 32023:9
31977:16 31980:25	far 31976:7 31992:16	foot 31975:16,16	32002:7 32008:20	haven't 31993:6
31981:22 31982:6	31992:17 31993:1	31977:14 31983:6,7	32009:18 32014:3,12	32016:12 32034:17
31989:23 31991:8	31994:15	32010:7,11	32016:22 32017:14	head 32011:22
31998:8 31999:21	fast 31987:19	footwear 31975:17	32017:18 32023:10	32012:11 32013:7
32002:7,22 32004:20	fault 31988:8 31999:2	force 32022:11	goats 31990:12,14,22	32019:19 32020:21
32008:2 32009:21,24	February 31958:12,16	former 32030:5	31991:11,13,14,15,15	headache 32021:16,18
32014:6 32016:1,2	31961:12 31966:5	forward 32030:9	31991:21	32021:19 32022:8,10
32020:4,24 32023:13	31967:25 31980:6	four 31983:6,15	goes 32011:21	healer 31990:9
32030:6 32031:5,11	31982:20,24 31984:4	32002:17 32015:9	going 31957:23	hear 31959:7 31965:13
32033:4,15 32034:19	31985:14,18	32016:6 32024:11	31960:11 31961:2,3	31965:21 31974:15
exactly 31981:15	31988:17 31991:23	fourth 32011:11	31971:10 31982:18	31974:22 31976:13
exaggerate 32010:6	31995:11 31999:24	Friday 32009:7	31982:25 31983:11	31983:3,21 31984:20
examination 31974:13	32028:5	friend 32016:1	31983:18 31984:14	31998:19 32002:18
32031:13	feedback 31960:13	front 31978:17,21,23	31987:6 31988:12	32002:20 32022:24
examine 32032:4	feel 32023:6	31978:24,24 31979:2	31990:25 31997:13	heard 31956:9
excited 31974:12	feeling 32022:20	31979:13 32010:12	31997:14,15,18	31965:14 31992:25
exhausted 32029:3	feet 31975:8	32010:15 32023:20	31998:5 32002:10,12	hearings 31997:18
exhibit 31967:2,3,12	fifth 32002:17	32023:21	32004:15 32012:10	hears 31997:17
31970:13,16,19,21,21	fight 31964:1,5	frustrated 32034:18	32013:15 32019:7	helpful 31968:24
31972:2 31978:3	31973:21,25	frustration 32034:9,10	32023:25 32024:1	Hemraj 31977:12,18
31983:6 31991:10	31992:21 31993:1	fulfilled 31999:13	32031:10 32032:3	31977:21 31993:24
32010:14 32013:9	film 31970:3	fully 31996:18	32034:1,6,7	31994:4 32026:9
32015:1	find 31988:23	funeral 32029:1	good 31955:8,9	32028:12
expect 31972:4	Fine 31965:1	further 31996:8	32006:24 32033:18	he's 31955:3 31966:2
expecting 32030:17,18	finish 31983:2,20	32022:14 32026:8	Gotz 32033:3,13,22	31968:17 31969:6
expert 31978:12,12	31984:20 31994:24 32021:18 32032:22	G	32034:8	31974:14,19 31979:4
expires 31975:23 explain 31959:6	32034:7	gap 31978:17,19,20,21	grant 32033:24 green 32012:6	31987:19 31992:25
31975:19 31976:12	finished 31993:15	gap 31978:17,19,20,21 31978:24 31979:2,12	grenade 31985:3	31993:20 31994:7 31999:14 32012:1
31975.19 31976.12	31997:8	general 31963:2,7	grenades 31985:6	32015:14 32016:23
31980.9 31992.2	fire 31984:8	31964:6 31984:2,11	group 32007:14	32013.14 32010.23
explained 31991:14	firearm 31984:7	31984:13 31985:8	32012:5 32014:14,16	32017:10 32018:25 32019:18
explanation 31976:15	fired 31983:3,21	31991:25 31992:5	32012:3 32014:14,10	32018.25 32019.18
32035:1	31984:21 31985:3,7	31996:10,22 31997:3	32010.7 32024.23	32020:3 32022:17
extension 32033:24	31990:12,24	32010:7,11	32025.0,7 52027.22	32025:22,22,24,25
32034:15	31990.12,24 31991:12,16,24	gentleman 31985:21	Gumbi 32032:10	32025:22,22,24,25
extensively 31958:20	31992:15 31993:13	31986:9 31987:3,12	gumboots 31975:17	32028:9 32029:17
31961:15	31996:9,22 31997:3,9	31980.9 31987.3,12	31976:11,19,20	HHH61 31967:21,22
extract 31967:15	31997:13	gentlemen 31960:4,6	31977:4,5	hill 31990:5
31970:12,14	firing 31984:7 31985:4	give 31956:7,16	gun 31984:25	hired 31956:7
extracted 31966:25	first 31958:20 31964:8	31957:10,17,23	gunshots 31983:3,21	31980:22
31967:18,19	31967:2 31971:20	31958:4,8,18 31959:2	31984:20	holiday 32009:6
31970:21	31973:18 31974:7	31960:23 31961:24		home 31968:1 31989:3
extreme 32011:8,9	31976:24 31982:15	31963:14 31964:16	Н	31995:23 32005:24
eye 31979:20	31983:23 31993:3,17	31967:3 31973:17	hadn't 31956:22	32023:10 32029:1
· · · ·	31997:8,21 32002:13	31979:1,7 31994:20	31957:4 31992:14	hope 32021:18 32031:7
F	32005:25 32006:4,5,6	32030:11,15 32031:5	32000:19	32034:2
face 31978:13,13,14,14	32011:4 32016:22	32031:24	half 31960:21 32031:14	hostel 31980:21
		given 31956:22	32032:13,14,17	hostels 31980:18
31979:17	32017:25 32018:20			hot 31972:23
/ 35 1. 1. 1. 1.	32017:25 32018:20	31957:4 31960:25	halfway 31979:19	HOU 31972.23
31979:17		31957:4 31960:25 31964:19 31966:2,23	halfway 31979:19 hand 31969:9 31971:11	hour 32031:14 32032:8
31979:17 faced 32033:2	32018:24 32019:13			
31979:17 faced 32033:2 faces 31978:13	32018:24 32019:13 3202 0 :13	31964:19 31966:2,23	hand 31969:9 31971:11	hour 32031:14 32032:8
31979:17 faced 32033:2 faces 31978:13 fact 31964:6 31973:19	32018:24 32019:13 32020:13 Firstly 31962:6	31964:19 31966:2,23 31983:14 31994:7	hand 31969:9 31971:11 31986:7 32020:22	hour 32031:14 32032:8 32032:9,13,14,17

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page
hundreds 31981:7	interrupting 31964:9	jeans 31972:13,14	32006:5,6	Lonmin 31956:16
	introduced 31990:8	32012:1 32015:14	languages 31961:22	31960:13,14,23
I	investigate 31994:13	32020:4,7 32024:7	31968:2 32005:7,8	31980:10,10,12,13,16
identical 32015:3	isn't 31979:20,20	32025:22	32006:4,7	31980:18 31981:3,8
identified 31966:22	32000:21 32021:13	jersey 32018:21,21,22	laser 31971:1	31981:10,12,15,23
31968:9,13 31970:7	32022:5,5	32023:15,16,22	late 32003:9 32008:16	32002:11 32032:3,13
31970:25 31971:3	issue 31966:2 31980:21	joined 32001:23	32008:18,24 32009:1	look 31968:15 31972:7
31972:10 32010:22	32033:9	32025:7	32009:8,8,12,15	31975:15 31977:13
32015:12 32024:5	issues 31969:6	July 31955:1 32030:25	32023:3	31977:14,21,24
identify 31969:7	it's 31957:8 31959:18	32031:1 32033:8,10	lead 31987:13	31978:1 31992:20
32027:15	31970:18 31972:8,13	K	leaders 31967:24	32001:20 32012:4
identifying 32030:13	31973:3 31975:12		32033:4,15 32034:19	32015:17 32021:21
ill-founded 32034:4	31977:18 31980:18 31985:5 31988:5	Kaizer 31960:5 Karee 31980:18	leading 31991:8 learned 32015:25	looking 31969:17 31978:5,8 31979:16
immediately 31969:3 32012:13 32018:1,13	31990:3,6,17	32026:24 32027:24	leave 32030:12	31983:25 32014:15
32012:13 32018:1,13	32001:19 32009:22	keep 31977:11	led 31989:23 32034:9	looks 31971:12 31974:8
32030:7	32009:23 32010:17	key 32011:2	left 31969:9 31988:9,10	31978:13 31979:18
importance 32010:6	32014:5,9 32015:3,15	kill 31957:10,13,16,23	31993:8 31994:19	32022:2
important 31957:2,2,8	32015:25 32016:17	31958:3,8,17 31959:2	32011:8 32012:5,14	lose 32032:24
31957:13,16,18	32017:22,23,24	31960:11 31963:15	32013:4 32015:16,17	lost 32010:8
31959:10 31987:11	32018:21,23	31963:16	32015:17 32017:15	lot 32001:22 32007:25
31988:12	32020:17,23,24	killed 31956:18,23,24	32017:19,19 32018:1	lower 32017:21
impress 32033:3	32021:7,9 32022:7,11	31957:3	32018:13,14 32019:7	32019:14 32024:3,12
inaccuracy 31992:3	32023:22 32024:21	knew 32033:25	32019:15 32020:11	32025:20 32028:13
inappropriate	32030:2,25 32032:10	knot 31977:14	32020:13,14,20,20,23	32028:19
32034:10	32032:11,12,15,19	know 31955:15	32021:4,12,22	LRC 32032:19
inaudible 31960:16,19	32034:21	31957:9,9 31958:3,7	32024:10	lunch 32021:18
31960:20,21	I'd 31988:15 32001:19	31973:14,15	left-hand 31969:9	32022:10,12
31964:11 31983:5,10	32026:7 32033:20	31974:11 31980:6,7	32020:18 32021:3,23	32023:13 32024:1
32026:3 32032:11	I'll 31960:18,18	31980:17,25	leg 31977:13	lying 31986:6
incorrect 31990:22	31961:5 31964:13	31981:17 31982:20	legging 31977:10	M
31991:10	31967:9 31974:16	31984:3 31987:8,25	Lesotho 32002:2	$\frac{M}{M}$
incorrectly 31988:25	31980:3 31981:14	31989:10 31992:10	let's 31959:21 31965:1	Mahlangu 31955:5
indicates 31982:6	31982:22 31993:7,12	31992:16,24 31993:1	31974:15,22	31963:3 31965:8,9,21
indicating 32030:12	32009:4 32010:13 32016:2 32029:4	31993:2,12 31997:23	31975:15 31976:23 31981:2 31983:17	majority 32003:7
inevitability 32033:1 inform 31967:24	I'm 31956:5 31957:7	31998:1,3 31999:20 32004:21 32005:3,3,5	31999:19 32016:22	Makarapa 32024:16,21 32025:1,7 32026:6
informal 31980:20	31957:21 31958:1	32004.21 32005.3,3,3	32017:15.18	Makarapas 32024:24
information 31957:2,3	31959:11,14,14	32006:3 32007:5,6	light 31969:12	32025:10
31959:10 31989:25	31975:13,16,24	32008:4 32009:8	31971:17 32012:17	Makhubane 32027:8
31994:20 32000:25	31976:1,7 31978:12	32011:1 32022:7	32015:18	32027:22 32028:1,6
32001:6,11	31979:6 31980:11	32024:15,22,22,23,23	lighter 32015:19	Makhubane's 32028:8
initial 31987:12	31982:17 31992:8,8	32025:11 32030:21	32022:5	making 31973:25
initially 31992:19	31993:5 32000:24	32034:6	line 31955:23 31956:6	32000:18
injure 31990:13	32001:4 32003:18	knowledge 31967:10	31956:6 31981:24	Mambush 31960:5
31991:14	32004:3,3,4,12,13,14	known 32028:5	31982:2,9,12,23	31983:22 32026:19
inside 31977:10	32005:20 32006:20	knows 31967:9	31994:9 32002:8,8	Mambush's 32026:20
32023:19,22,23	32006:20,23,23	32033:22	lines 31961:4 31983:6	man 31956:18 31968:9
instance 31975:25	32007:1,3,7 32015:24	koppie 31956:16	31983:15 32018:23	31968:13,16
instructed 31955:12	32018:7 32021:6,13	31960:4 31962:1	listed 32007:13	31970:25 31971:4,6
instruction 31955:19	32021:15,17 32022:7	31963:11 31981:4,6	listen 31998:21	31971:11 31974:18
31981:14	32022:9 32029:23	31983:14 31985:9	32003:21 32004:2	31984:6,7,25 31990:7
instructions 31981:20	32032:24 32034:13	32010:16	32026:6	32012:14,17 32017:5
interested 31962:15	32034:13,17	Т т	listening 31956:2	32017:16,20,21
interfere 31964:14	I've 31976:1 32000:12	$\frac{\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{L}}$	little 31999:19 32012:5	32019:8,25 32020:4,5
interfered 31963:1,5	32002:19 32007:13	lace 31977:14,22	32022:20	32020:8,10 32024:12
interpret 31975:3	32030:4 32032:24	laces 31977:13	live 31980:20 31985:7	management 31956:22
31987:19,24 interpreted 31074:2.23	32034:11	language 31961:21	living 31980:23	31957:3,10,17,22
interpreted 31974:2,23	E 84 1 /J A	31964:25 31965:10	long 31966:5 31978:14	31958:4,8,17 31959:2
31986:15 31988:7		31965:10,23 31966:2	31987:14,15 31988:9 31989:11 19	31960:13,24 31962:1
31998:25	ja 32013:10 32019:10 jacket 31971:12	31966:8,9 31968:2 31975:3 31986:20	31989:11,19 31990:23 31991:23	31962:7,10,10,12,22 32002:11 32003:24
interpreter 31955:4	31975:21,25 31976:1	31988:25 31980:20	31990:25 51991:25	32002:11 32003:24 32004:5
31068.2 21072.01		JI700.2J JI707.3,19	51772.20 52030.15	52004.5
31968:3 31973:21 31987:17 31988:8			32031.23 32032.6 16	manner 32026.2
31987:17 31988:8	31976:2 32012:6	31990:1,16,20	32031:23 32032:6,16 longer 31996:9 22	manner 32026:2 march 31959:5
			32031:23 32032:6,16 longer 31996:9,22 32016:18	manner 32026:2 march 31959:5 31996:21 31997:10

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

				Page
32001:21	Mere's 32014:25	31991:25 31992:5	32010:25,25 32011:2	outside 31980:22
Marikana 31990:4	32015:6 32020:12,22	31996:22 31997:3	32011:12,14,14	32023:23
Marinovich 32013:12	32022:3	MPOFU 31987:9	32012:10,11 32013:9	overall 31968:19
Marinovich's 32020:13	met 31960:14,24	31988:4	32013:16 32016:23	31971:18 31975:5
32021:3,23	31961:1 31963:2,7	Mthethwa 32030:6,8,8	32017:2,5,9,12,16,20	31976:21 31977:10
mark 31990:2	31971:21 31992:5	32030:11,14 32031:4	32017:25 32018:25	31977:10
marked 32014:5	metres 31985:10	32031:11	32019:19 32020:21	overalls 31968:17
	Michael 31981:12	mustn't 31987:22,23	32025:6 32026:22	31969:4,11,15
32016:17	microphone 31960:16	31988:2	32028:19,20	31972:17,20,22,25
marking 31970:25	31960:20 31964:10	muti 31955:12,16	32020:19,20	31973:6,23 31974:18
material 31970:15	31983:5,10	31957:19 31969:18	numbered 32013:2,3,4	31974:20,25
32000:18	middle 31979:19	31969:24 31970:2,4	32013:9,23,24	31977:11
Mathibedi 31956:13	mind 31981:16	31909.24 31970.2,4	32013:9,23,24	o'clock 32035:2
31958:23 31959:1,10	mine 31995:13	51975.22,24	32014.24,23 32015.0	U CIUCK 52035.2
31959:11,16,18	mineworkers 32001:24	N	32013.12 32010.12	Р
		name 31981:12	numbers 31967:12	page 31955:23
31965:3,13,14,16,19	Minister 32030:5,11,14		32011:5 32012:22	
31966:3 31967:23	32030:17 32031:11	32002:15,17 32007:5		31959:18,22 31960:2
31968:4,22 31973:1,4	32032:23 32033:7,10	32007:6,11,20	numerate 32011:1	31960:22 31964:9
31973:12 31974:3,13	ministers 32031:3	32024:15,22 32028:2	Nzuza 31967:13	31982:22 31983:6,7
31989:23 31991:7	minute 31965:24	32028:8	32015:22,22 32017:2	31983:14 31985:20
31994:12,19,21	minutes 31987:6	names 32002:17	32018:5 32019:2,14	32002:8
31997:1 31998:7,16	31999:6 32014:10	32004:7 32005:3,11	32019:15	pages 31966:7
31998:19 31999:20	32015:9 32016:6	32005:18,23		pair 31975:12
32000:15,20 32002:9	32024:11	32007:20 32008:3,4	0	pants 31971:18
32002:16 32015:21	misread 31983:4,9	32011:5 32024:24	oath 31955:3 31986:1,2	31972:17,18
32029:5,11,13,22	missed 31965:8	32027:17,18	31986:5 31993:20	para 31983:6
Mathibedi's 31997:2	31994:17	nationals 32002:3	31999:14 32022:17	paragraph 31959:19
Mathunjwa 31960:3,12	mistake 31991:16,17	naturally 31972:4	obeyed 31955:19	31959:23 31960:2,21
31960:23 31967:13	31994:19	near 31971:21 31982:9	object 31973:13	31964:8 31983:15
matter 31966:13,18	mistaken 31959:15	31983:6	31974:10	31985:19 31990:5
31973:19 32016:1	32012:18	nearer 31984:6	objecting 31974:4	32001:20
32029:14,23	misunderstand	necessarily 31982:11	objection 31973:14,19	pardon 32013:3
32030:13	32006:1	necessary 31969:3	31973:25 31974:5,6	part 31965:25 31967:2
matters 32034:23	misunderstanding	32014:5	31974:15	31988:6,10 32017:24
mean 31974:8,9	31985:23 31996:16	need 32029:2 32030:7	objections 31974:8	particular 32034:5
31996:18,20	misunderstood	needed 31958:3,7	observation 31979:12	particularly 31964:19
32023:18,20	32005:22	32001:6	observe 32029:21	parties 32030:10
32027:19	mixed 32027:25	negative 31956:17		32033:15
mechanical 31999:11	moment 31979:25		obstructing 31963:17	
		never 31960:11	obvious 32016:5	parts 31976:3
meeting 31955:25	31981:2	31961:19 31962:21	obviously 31964:13	passage 31956:9
31969:21 32008:17	Monday 32030:16	31976:1 31981:16	31988:9 32022:4	31983:8 31985:19
32009:17 32025:17	Monene 32032:11,15	31996:11	32031:12	pay 31962:2,22
32025:17,21	money 31956:25	new 32013:16,17	occasion 31963:1,9	penetrate 31990:13
member 32003:1,3	31957:4,11,17,23	nice 31979:1	occasions 31963:6	31991:13,16
32007:14 32010:22	31958:4,9,18 31959:2	night 32003:6	31966:1 32034:11	people 31971:22,24
32024:16,19,21	month 31962:2,22	noise 31999:1	office 31980:13	31980:12,13 31981:8
32025:4,9,10,13,16	morning 31955:8,9	Noki 31955:25 31956:2	32001:21 32003:5,11	31981:15 31982:8
32025:22,23,24	31969:20 31995:15	32012:6 32016:11,23	32003:16 32004:1	31989:7 32001:22,23
32026:6	32009:24 32010:14	32016:23 32017:15	32009:2	32001:23 32003:5,7,8
members 31960:11	32023:3 32035:2	32018:2,14,14,20	officer 31982:25	32003:22,24
32001:25 32023:1	Mosotho 31971:23	32019:1,11,14	31983:18	32004:11,14,16,19
32024:17,24 32025:1	mountain 31975:24	32021:22	Oh 31965:18 31967:8	32005:8,10,15
32025:2 32027:6	31992:12 32025:2	nominated 32001:25	31967:14 31983:16	32006:5,10 32007:13
men 32002:13	mourn 32028:25	32002:2	31986:4 32013:11	32008:1,11,12,13,18
32004:20,22	mourning 32029:21	noon 31999:12	okay 31967:14 31972:5	32008:20,23,25
32005:11,17 32008:2	move 31959:21	nose 31979:19	32001:8 32015:5	32009:1,5,12,12
	31960:18,18 31961:5	note 32035:1	32020:17	32012:22 32016:7,7
		notice 31979:18	once 32031:10	32012.22 32010.7,7 32016:18 32024:17
mental 32029:16	31965:1 31966.12			32024:22,23 32025:6
mental 32029:16 mentally 32029:2,3	31965:1 31966:12 31974:15 31977:23	31993.14 32021.20		
mental 32029:16 mentally 32029:2,3 mention 31958:11,17	31974:15 31977:23	31993:14 32021:20 32030:11 32034:14	ones 31966:24	
mental 32029:16 mentally 32029:2,3 mention 31958:11,17 31958:18 31985:11	31974:15 31977:23 31994:25 31998:6	32030:11 32034:14	open 32034:23	32025:7 32026:12,24
mental 32029:16 mentally 32029:2,3 mention 31958:11,17 31958:18 31985:11 31991:15	31974:15 31977:23 31994:25 31998:6 32010:13 32030:8	32030:11 32034:14 noting 31960:22	open 32034:23 openly 31960:9	32025:7 32026:12,24 32027:16,21,23
mental 32029:16 mentally 32029:2,3 mention 31958:11,17 31958:18 31985:11 31991:15 mentioned 31958:13	31974:15 31977:23 31994:25 31998:6 32010:13 32030:8 moved 32016:18	32030:11 32034:14 noting 31960:22 NUM 31960:11	open 32034:23 openly 31960:9 operators 31966:23	32025:7 32026:12,24 32027:16,21,23 32034:5
mental 32029:16 mentally 32029:2,3 mention 31958:11,17 31958:18 31985:11 31991:15 mentioned 31958:13 31961:19 31987:1	31974:15 31977:23 31994:25 31998:6 32010:13 32030:8 moved 32016:18 moving 31992:9,19	32030:11 32034:14 noting 31960:22 NUM 31960:11 number 31967:3	open 32034:23 openly 31960:9 operators 31966:23 opportunity 31973:17	32025:7 32026:12,24 32027:16,21,23 32034:5 people's 32028:24
mental 32029:16 mentally 32029:2,3 mention 31958:11,17 31958:18 31985:11 31991:15 mentioned 31958:13 31961:19 31987:1 32024:22 32028:8	31974:15 31977:23 31994:25 31998:6 32010:13 32030:8 moved 32016:18 moving 31992:9,19 Mpembe 31963:2,7	32030:11 32034:14 noting 31960:22 NUM 31960:11 number 31967:3 31970:17 31974:25	open 32034:23 openly 31960:9 operators 31966:23 opportunity 31973:17 31979:8	32025:7 32026:12,24 32027:16,21,23 32034:5 people's 32028:24 perfectly 32034:25
mental 32029:16 mentally 32029:2,3 mention 31958:11,17 31958:18 31985:11 31991:15 mentioned 31958:13 31961:19 31987:1	31974:15 31977:23 31994:25 31998:6 32010:13 32030:8 moved 32016:18 moving 31992:9,19	32030:11 32034:14 noting 31960:22 NUM 31960:11 number 31967:3	open 32034:23 openly 31960:9 operators 31966:23 opportunity 31973:17	32025:7 32026:12,24 32027:16,21,23 32034:5 people's 32028:24

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
persist 31964:12	31969:24 31986:24	31999:21	QGIRANA 31973:9	31980:3,5 31982:16
Phokeng 31958:16	31992:9,19 31993:8	prepared 31998:11,16	31975:4 31987:21	31982:19 31996:2
31961:13 31964:20	32003:7 32026:3	32000:2 32034:15	31988:1,14 31993:22	31997:21,22
31982:20 31995:1,6	places 31969:18,19	presence 31960:8,15	31998:18 31999:16	reasons 31962:6
31995:13,16 31996:4	plan 31957:10 31958:3	present 31997:20	32010:8	31966:2 31982:15
31998:11,14,16,20	31958:8,17 31959:1	32003:20 32006:12	quarter 32022:13	31997:19,20
31999:24 32000:5,9	31961:19 31964:14	32006:16 32010:7	question 31955:11	recall 31994:15
			-	
32000:24 32001:5,10	please 31955:3 31967:1	presented 32002:11	31957:12,21	receive 32030:19
32001:15 32002:21	31967:24 31974:15	President 32030:22	31958:20 31959:8	received 32023:3
32009:23	31978:1,3 31991:3	32031:3 32033:23	31961:15 31963:4	recognised 32027:21
phone 31967:12	31993:20 31998:21	presidents 32010:19	31964:4 31982:4	recollection 31966:17
photo 31969:17	31999:14 32003:21	Pretoria 31995:24	31984:10 31988:3,16	record 31971:10
31971:19 32012:5	32004:2 32014:13,20	Pretorius 31994:13	31991:2 31993:7,11	31981:11 32013:1
32015:20,22,23	32022:17,25 32029:2	previous 31970:9	31995:4 31998:22,22	recorded 31988:25
32028:16,17	32032:8 32033:4	31972:1 31975:18	32001:2 32002:9,10	recording 31956:2
photograph 31968:6,21	pleasure 31982:5	32000:17 32003:5,6	32003:22 32004:3,18	records 31967:12
31969:10 31970:3,7	point 31964:5 31968:23	32004:15	32005:13 32006:19	red 32012:10
31970:24 31971:11	31969:2 31973:18	previously 31989:22	32007:19,24	reduced 31974:25
31972:2,7 31975:18	31976:9 31980:1	31990:21 31991:7	32008:23 32009:4	refer 32012:22,22
31976:10,11,24	31984:24 31985:2	32004:20	32011:3 32021:20	reference 31990:14,22
31977:3 31978:2,4,17	31987:4 31997:17	priest 31960:5	32022:11,13 32025:9	31990:24
31979:14 31980:3	32016:5 32021:19	prints 31986:16	32025:13 32026:14	referred 31966:15
31982:17 31997:21	pointed 31971:8,14	probably 31971:16	32026:15 32028:11	31989:24
32010:15,20 32011:2	31984:7 31997:22	31981:1 31997:19	questioned 31965:5,12	referring 31955:25
32011:5 32013:12	32025:23,25 32026:1	problem 31966:8,10	questioning 31961:18	regard 31957:13
32014:4,25 32015:3,6	32026:2,4	31980:2 31990:1,20	31965:6 31997:2	31994:1 32029:25
32015:23 32016:5,22	pointer 31969:1	31992:2 31998:24	questions 31958:21,23	32030:10 32034:9
32017:15,19,21	pointing 31969:8	31999:11 32022:22	31961:16 31964:24	relating 31994:14
32018:1,20,25,25	31971:7 31975:16	problems 31988:25	31988:18,23	relation 31991:11
	31976:21 32010:21	31991:6,9 32034:18	32000:15,19	reluctant 32032:24
32019:4,4,14,15,18				
32019:23 32020:1,12	32028:13	proceed 32011:4	32023:25	remark 32033:21
32020:13,19,22,22	points 31987:18	32029:16	quite 31982:6 31992:14	remember 31956:11,12
32021:3,10,23,24	31998:19	proceedings 31955:1	32008:5	31956:19,20 31964:7
32022:3 32024:4,12	police 31956:1,15	32029:16	quoted 31989:12,20	32002:17 32004:7,25
32025:20 32026:10	31958:16 31961:7,20	programme 32033:24	31990:23	32005:23
32026:16,17,23	31961:25 31962:5,12	32034:2,3,14,15	quoting 31990:18	reminded 32013:12
32028:13,20,21	31962:17,21,25	proper 31973:14	31991:22	32030:4
photographed	31963:5,15,16	31974:6 32029:15		remote 32029:6
32014:14,17	31964:6,17,20	properly 31989:18	R	removed 31961:9
photographs 31966:23	31971:22 31982:24	31991:18	railway 31981:24	repeat 31959:7 31963:3
31967:1 31976:24				-
	31983:1,4,14,17,19	proposition 31960:21	31982:2,8,11 31994:9	31982:4 31991:2
32011:23 32013:1,15	31983:22,23,23	protection 31988:12	raise 31986:7	31993:12 31995:4
32014:15 32018:15	31984:2,11,19,21	31995:3,8,17,19	raised 31974:14	32000:7 32005:13
32019:8 32021:21	31985:3,16 31987:2	31996:5 31997:24	32033:8 32034:11	32006:22 32023:25
32024:7,7,8,9,11	31988:12 31991:25	prove 31982:2	Ramaphosa 32030:22	repeated 31957:12
32026:8 32027:5,14	31993:4 31994:16	provide 32001:1,7	rang 31992:11	31958:5
physically 31996:17	31995:2,8,16,18,23	32033:4,15	Rasta 32028:3,5	report 32023:3 32029:7
picture 31971:15	31996:5 31997:9,13	provided 31994:5	RDO 31980:11	32029:11
31972:20 31976:22	31997:24 32030:5	32001:12	31981:25 31982:10	represent 32001:25
31978:4 31979:3,16	32033:7	provisional 32033:25	RDOs 31980:15,19	32002:13 32003:13
31979:17,20,21,22	policeman 31961:17	provisionally 32033:8	31981:3,7,7,9,17,22	32003:23 32004:21
	31984:6			32004:23,24 32008:3
pictures 31966:21		public 32009:6	31982:7	-
31979:23	portion 31965:6,8	purpose 32000:4,8	read 31971:10	32009:6
Pillay 31967:9,11	position 31980:11	purposes 32007:7	31982:21 31983:17	representatives
31990:3 32029:25	32016:8 32029:11	put 31961:24 31962:4	31985:19 31986:14	31956:15 31960:14
32031:19 32032:12	32030:4 32034:2	31964:16 31972:22	31986:17,17,19	32008:14 32010:5
32032:15,17,19	possession 31960:10	31974:25 31986:15	31991:12 32030:15	represented 32003:10
32033:9	possible 31979:16	31992:3,6 31994:6	32030:16	represents 32016:6
pink 31969:3,4	31985:5 32033:5,16	31995:18 32007:24	reading 31983:8	reproach 32034:21
32015:13	32034:17	32009:20 32010:10	ready 32031:11	request 32028:23
pinkish 31968:9	possibly 31979:17	32014:11 32016:19	realise 31999:12	32033:14
31969:10 31971:17	postponed 32029:15,23	32014.11 32010.19	realised 31999:12	
Di II I Distant				requested 31973:20
31978:9 32012:1	predicted 31999:11	putting 31984:12	32008:10	requests 32034:22,22
pipes 31971:21	prediction 31999:13	32016:3 32018:11	really 31977:14	reserved 31967:11
pitch 32008:9	preliminary 31955:11		reason 31961:24	respect 31966:9
1 210(1 2 210(0 21)	prepare 31998:8	Q	31963:14 31964:16	31973:13 31991:5,8
place 31964:2 31969:21		¥	51705.14 51704.10	51775.15 51771.5,0

Pretoria

				Page
31991:19 31992:1	31974:24 31975:14	31985:6 31990:12,24	31967:15 31969:25	strike 31981:3,15,17,18
31994:7 32034:4	31975:16 31984:17	31991:12,15,24	31983:4 31984:1,12	31981:23,23 31982:1
respectful 32034:22	32000:11 32005:20	31992:11,15,23	31987:9,9 31988:4,4	31982:7,10
respectfully 32033:14	32007:16 32009:11	31993:3,10,13	31998:23 32002:9	strikers 31956:16,17
respective 31969:19	32009:14 32010:1	31996:9,22 31997:3,9	32010:17 32011:16	31960:9,14 31981:6
respond 32033:20	32023:14	shoulder 31971:13	32013:11 32014:3	31982:8 31984:24
response 31956:8,17	says 31956:6,6,6,9,14	32020:11,13,20,23	32015:21 32018:7	31985:4,9 32002:11
31960:23 31985:4	31960:2,22 31965:14	32021:4,12 32024:11	32021:17 32022:9,24	32004:5,23 32008:3
32028:11	31966:3 31984:19	shouldn't 32031:14	32025:22 32032:10	32009:6
rest 32029:2,2,8	31986:5 31988:10	show 31978:2,23,23	32033:3	striking 31980:12
32034:20	31990:11 32001:20	31982:16,22 31998:1	sort 32018:21	striped 31971:13
restrain 31974:16	32001:22,24 32002:2	32014:6 32015:22	Sotho 32020:16	stumbling 31961:7
result 32022:7	scar 31979:18	32024:3	Sotho-speaking	31962:5
resumes 31955:2	scenario 31984:5	showing 31966:21 31967:16	31971:4 32002:15	stun 31985:3,6
31993:18 31999:8,13 32022:15,16 32029:9	schedule 32033:5 scheduled 32030:22	shown 31967:18	sound 31993:10 31998:24 31999:7	subject 31965:2 31998:6 32030:13
32022.13,10 32029.9	screen 31968:24	shows 31971:17	speak 31962:1,8,10,12	32033:6
return 31960:3	31972:15 31976:7	31972:9 32014:14,15	31962:13,18 31968:2	submit 31997:18
returned 31960:12,12	31977:19 31979:25	32014:16	31902.13,18 31908.2	32024:1
reverse 32023:19,20,23	31983:8 32001:19	side 31969:10 31970:24	31991:18 31996:14	substantial 31981:22
reversible 32021:7	32010:21	31983:3,21 31984:21	31997:16 32004:5,16	substantial 31981.22 succeeded 31956:15
32023:16	searching 31969:17	31993:3 32021:7	32005:6,12,16,18,24	suffering 32021:15
right 31955:22	seated 31992:9,19	signed 31986:15	32006:7,11	sufficient 31960:25
31966:20 31968:5	second 31982:19	similar 32020:18,24	speaker 31966:8,16	suggest 31982:16
31969:15 31971:11	31997:22 32026:10	32021:11 32025:23	speaking 31956:2	32010:3 32030:10
31971:13 31972:6,10	32026:16	32025:24,25	31986:12 31989:9	suggesting 31996:16
31979:19,20	secondly 31980:11	sir 31955:9,14	speaks 31960:19	31999:3,5
31995:14 31998:5	sections 32001:24	31956:14,24	speech 31955:25	suggestion 31965:11
32001:18 32002:6	security 31995:13,13	31957:25 31958:14	spoke 31965:9	sum 31997:17
32008:6 32011:9,12	seen 31984:22 31985:5	31958:24 31961:10	32003:25 32004:4,11	superimposed
32012:11,16	31994:5,15 32001:14	31962:20 31963:8,13	32004:13,17 32005:4	32020:21
32015:10,10	32017:25	32001:13,17 32002:9	stage 31965:7 31987:19	superior 31967:10
32016:11,24	sees 32022:3	32002:20,25	31988:11 31992:10	supplementary
32017:18,20	sense 31961:25	32004:25 32005:19	31993:17	31998:11,17 32000:2
32018:20 32019:1,8	31963:16 31964:18	32006:2,12,16	stand 32009:18	32000:5,9 32030:17
32020:21 32022:3,5	separate 31988:5	32007:16 32009:11	standing 32003:8,17	supposed 31996:12
32023:16 32024:4	sequence 31977:25	32010:1,23 32012:8	32015:14,19 32020:4	sure 31957:21
32026:20,21	31978:2	32012:12 32017:10	32020:6,9,10	31965:22 31979:9
32031:15 32032:2	series 32013:15,16,17	32017:13 32022:18	32021:11 32024:2,4	32000:24 32001:4
right-hand 31970:24	32014:13 32015:4	32025:14,18 32027:2	32026:3	32015:3 32028:16,16
31978:4 32019:4	Setswana-speaking	sitting 32016:23	start 31966:21	32034:13
32021:10	32002:3	32018:5 32026:19	31982:25 31983:18	surely 32008:6
ritual 31990:12	settlement 31980:20	32032:25	31999:12 32002:8	surrender 31983:1,19
rituals 32024:18	31997:14 seven 31975:21,22	situation 32029:19	32022:12 started 31969:23	suspect 31982:15
32029:19		six 32011:8		31993:25 31994:7,13
rock 31984:25 round 31978:14	shaft 32026:24,25,25 32027:1,4,8,10,12,14	sixth 31982:23 sleeping 31969:18	31973:20 31975:25 31983:2,20,25	sympathies 32023:7 sympathy 32022:25
rubber 31963:20,22	32027:19,20,23,24	sleeve 31977:9	31983:2,20,23	system 31999:7
ruling 31973:18	32027.19,20,23,24 32028:7,7	slide 32014:3,13	31985:3 31986:23	s.u.o 31955:4 31993:23
31974:17,22	shafts 32027:25	slightly 32021:21	31985:1,2,7 31992:9	31999:15 32022:19
running 31983:25	Shangaan 31966:16	small 31972:15	31992:18	51777.15 52622.17
R12 31962:2,22	31985:22 31989:8	smile 31978:22,23	Starting 32016:22	Т
31980:14	shed 31961:3	31979:1	state 32029:16	take 31955:18 31956:9
	shoes 31975:18	smoke 31983:24	stated 31965:5	31965:23 31972:21
S	31976:6,10,16	socks 31977:9,11	statements 31985:13	31973:6,22,23
Saffy 32027:23,23	31977:4,7	soldier 32010:7,11	31989:5,7,14 31991:8	31976:2 31980:9
sake 31972:8	shoot 31983:23	somebody 31968:25	31994:7 31996:14	31982:1 31986:2,4
sangoma 31990:7	31984:8	someone's 32011:22	31997:16	31989:6,14 31993:16
satisfactory 32034:25	shooting 31983:3,22	songs 31960:10	statement's 31989:3	31999:10 32016:21
satisfy 31957:6	31984:2,11 31986:23	sons 31990:8,8	stay 31975:21 31980:22	32022:10,12 32029:5
saw 31972:2 31983:22	31987:1,3,5,6	soon 32030:19 32033:5	stick 31981:2	32032:22
32010:14	31989:13	32033:15 32034:14	stills 31967:16	taken 31966:7,16,24
saying 31957:8,22	shootings 32014:8	32034:16,20	stood 31971:20	31970:3 31971:20
		010555	21004.16.04	21072.2 21000.17
31958:1 31962:14	shortly 32034:3	sorry 31956:5	31984:16,24	31972:3 31989:17
31966:10 31973:8,20	shot 31963:19,19,22	31959:12,14,14	stopped 31992:23	31990:1,19 31991:7
	shot 31963:19,19,22 shots 31966:21 31985:4			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
31997:24 32000:17	32014:10 32015:8	Thursday 32009:6	Tswana-speaking	31985:5
32014:7,7,10 32015:7	32016:1,1 32017:2,9	32014:8	31989:8 32002:14	violently 31960:15
32015:8,8,9 32016:6	32017:12,25	tied 31977:22	tucked 31977:10	visible 32013:7
32019:4	32018:18,19 32019:5	time 31960:25 31974:7	Tuesday 32030:14	32026:10,16
takes 31974:18,20	32020:8,14,24	31980:13 31981:4	turn 31974:14	voice 31999:1
talk 31962:7 31987:20	32021:1,5,12,13	31988:9 31993:12	two 31960:4 31966:2	VSC3519 32015:6
31990:9 32002:12	32022:5 32023:6	31995:7,9,10	31966:21,25	
32008:20,21	32026:14,19	32001:21 32003:4,10	31967:12 31978:24	W
32009:18	32028:14 32029:22	32003:16,25 32009:2	31982:1,14 31987:18	waited 31960:2
talking 31973:10	32031:15,22 32032:2	32009:20 32014:4,6	31990:12 31991:13	waiting 31979:6
31987:19 31988:16	32032:5	32015:7 32030:16	32002:2 32005:2,11	31984:8 32009:9,9,10
32002:1 32003:15,23	there'll 32014:6	32033:14,18	32005:17,23 32006:4	32021:13 32034:13
32004:14 32009:2	there's 31966:9	times 31974:7 31975:5	32008:5 32010:18	walked 31984:6,16,24
talks 32001:21	31974:5 31977:2	32015:8	32014:14 32015:8	31984:25 31985:9
tall 31982:24 31983:17	31978:24 31991:10	timetable 32033:5,13	32018:22,23	31992:14,16,17,21
31984:6	31993:25 31994:8,18	32034:12	32021:21 32024:11	want 31955:17 31956:8
tea 31999:10	32010:21,25	Tip 32031:18,20,21,22	32027:5 32031:3,25	31961:23 31964:16
team 32032:13	32011:15,20	32031:25	typed 31966:6	31966:21 31977:24
tear 31983:24	32013:15 32015:3	today 32022:23	31983:14 31985:20 T abint 31071:5 13 24	31978:1 31979:3
teargas 31985:2	32017:23 32027:23	32028:18 32029:16	T-shirt 31971:5,13,24	31980:24 31981:17
teeth 31978:17,21,23	32028:25 32030:3	TOKOTA 31975:2 told 31955:11 31960:6	U	31988:10 31989:10
31978:24,25 31979:2 31979:13	32032:25	31964:5 31967:6		31994:24 32002:6 32007:10 32009:8,19
tell 31955:3 31958:25	they'll 32030:13 they're 31977:16	31964:5 31967:6 31975:19 31987:18	ultimately 31966:13 ululating 31993:9	32007:10 32009:8,19 32009:20 32010:3,7
31959:1 31964:19	they've 32032:19	31990:21 31991:4,7	uncle 31995:24	32013:13 32014:19
31967:24 31976:8	thing 31957:18	31990:21 31991:4,7	uncle 31995:24 undergoing 32029:17	32013:13 32014:19
31980:1,3 31981:9	31959:25 31965:22	31998:20 32000:16	undergone 32024:17	32030:12 32033:19
31985:17 31990:3	31971:8 31988:5	32001:16 32003:22	underneath 31974:21	wanted 31955:10,16
31993:20 31994:14	32000:12,12	32005:14 32009:4	understand 31957:21	31956:22,25 31958:2
31999:14 32007:10	things 31975:1	32025:16 32026:23	31958:2,7 31961:22	31958:6 31963:10
32022:17 32029:4	31984:15 31988:9,23	32033:7,9,12,17,23	31966:4 31976:4	wants 31957:8
32031:13	31988:24 32016:4	32034:1,14	31985:22 31988:1	32004:21
telling 31961:18	32033:1	tomorrow 32029:18	31989:1 31992:13	wash 31976:2
32005:9 32010:2	think 31960:17	32030:18	32001:8 32005:25	wasn't 31957:18
32025:19	31965:7,9 31966:13	tone 32033:21 32034:9	32006:8 32007:25	32005:24,24
terms 32033:6	31967:3 31968:22,24	top 31972:17 31975:6	32008:22 32018:7	wasn't 31966:3,8,17
test 31990:9,11	31969:14 31970:10	31979:19 32011:4,22	32021:9 32023:5,6,14	31974:23 31989:3,18
tested 31999:7	31973:5,12,13,13,16	32012:1,17 32015:13	32024:25 32025:3	31994:18 31999:1
thank 31955:8,22	31973:21 31974:5	32017:24 32018:24	32028:10 32030:4	32023:13
31964:15 31970:1,20	31979:7 31980:2,2,4	32019:22 32020:3,7	32034:18	waste 32009:20
31970:23 31983:16	31980:4,5 31981:1,5	32021:5,10,12	understandable	watch 31992:16
31996:20 31999:19	31981:6 31985:1,10	32024:8,23 32025:6	32034:25	watched 31992:11
32000:23 32014:2	31996:15,18	32025:24 32028:16	understanding 32018:7	watches 31992:14
32023:8,12,24	31998:23 32007:23	topic 31994:24	understood 31986:12	water 31971:21
32030:20 32031:23	32009:5 32012:17	torn 31971:5	31986:13,20	way 31965:12 31973:14
32032:23 32033:16	32013:9,14,15,20	tracksuit 32018:24	32005:21,22	31974:6 31980:9
Thanks 31956:14	32015:2 32016:19	traditional 31990:9	32007:24 32031:13	31988:23 31995:13
31968:4 31994:21	32018:21 32022:10	transcript 31955:23	unfortunate 32030:3	32003:8 32023:19
32029:13	32030:25 32031:16	translating 31965:16	unimportant 31957:15	32030:9
that's 31956:10	32031:21 32032:3,10	31965:18	unzoom 31979:16	weapon 31992:7
31957:1 31959:24	32032:11 32034:19	transpired 31960:8	upholding 31973:19,24	weapons 31960:10
31962:14,22	32034:21	trick 31998:22	upper 32024:5	31963:12 31983:1,19
31966:13,18	thinking 32028:15	trouser 31968:23	32028:21	31992:6 31993:9
31968:19 31970:4	thinks 31996:16	trousers 31968:16,16	upwards 31983:23	wear 32021:7 32023:22
31971:2 31974:9,22	third 31978:1	31968:17 31969:4,11	useless 32034:4	wearing 31968:16
31977:1,16 31978:14	thirdly 31997:25	31969:15 31971:18	V	31969:10 31971:5
31980:2 31983:8	thoroughly 31987:4,13	31972:13,22		31972:4,12,17,22
31984:3,9,12 31985:5	thought 31964:13	31973:23 31974:21	Van 32032:4,5,8	31974:18 31975:1,5,6
31987:10 31988:12	31967:8 31985:6 32031:14	32018:22 true 31984:3	venue 32029:6	31975:9,13,17,20
21020-10 21000-22		true 31984:3 truth 31984:12	version 31985:20	31976:1,10,11,16,19
31989:19 31990:22 31992:1 31994:10	thousand 21022.7		32013:9,23,24	31976:20,21 31977:3
31992:1 31994:10	thousand 31982:7		32014.24 25 22015.6	31078.0 22021.6
31992:1 31994:10 31995:14 31996:18	three 31962:6 31971:22	32002:22,23 32010:2	32014:24,25 32015:6 video 31966:22 24 25	31978:9 32021:6 32023:15 32024:7 8 9
31992:1 31994:10 31995:14 31996:18 32000:20 32003:18	three 31962:6 31971:22 31980:18 31984:24	32002:22,23 32010:2 try 31962:18 31974:16	video 31966:22,24,25	32023:15 32024:7,8,9
31992:1 31994:10 31995:14 31996:18 32000:20 32003:18 32005:19 32011:22	three 31962:6 31971:22 31980:18 31984:24 31989:7 31997:19,20	32002:22,23 32010:2 try 31962:18 31974:16 32018:10	video 31966:22,24,25 31967:18,20,21,22	32023:15 32024:7,8,9 32024:10 32025:22
31992:1 31994:10 31995:14 31996:18 32000:20 32003:18	three 31962:6 31971:22 31980:18 31984:24 31989:7 31997:19,20 32008:4 32009:15	32002:22,23 32010:2 try 31962:18 31974:16	video 31966:22,24,25	32023:15 32024:7,8,9

week 31958:3,7				
	workers 32003:13,13	09:12 31955:2	20 31959:18,22 31960:2	9
32002:23 32033:9,23	32003:23 32004:4	09:32 31964:8	200 31981:24 31982:8	9 32035:2
went 31963:11	32005:16 32006:11	09:52 31974:24	2012 31960:22	9th 32004:15 32008:8
31969:21 31980:13	32010:5		2013 31958:12,16	32008:10 32009:5
31988:8 31998:10,15	working 31981:3,9	1	31961:12 31966:6	
32000:24 32001:5,5	32027:24 32034:2	1 31983:2,20 32012:10	31967:25 31982:20	
32001:10 32003:4	works 32027:8,11	32012:11 32016:24	31982:24 31984:4,10	
weren't 31963:16	worn 32015:18,19	10 31984:23	31985:14,18	
31981:4 31982:15	write 31985:23	10th 31969:17	31988:17 31991:24	
32007:13	31996:12	32001:21 32003:14	31995:11 31997:10	
weren't 31988:22	written 31966:6	32004:16 32008:9,10	31999:24 32028:5	
32008:5 32027:1	wrong 31976:7 31986:5	32008:15	2014 31955:1 31959:5	
Western 31980:18	31986:23 31989:24	10:11 31983:24	31996:21	
32026:25 32028:7	32001:15	10:31 31991:12	24 31966:7	
we'll 31981:19	wrote 31996:12	100 31985:1,10	245 32002:8	
31993:16 31994:21	X	11 31964:9 31983:6,15	248 31955:23	
32015:25 32022:10		31985:20	26th 31994:8	
32022:12,12 32029:4	Xhosa 31965:17,20	11th 31969:19,20,20	27 32014:10	
32029:5 32031:7,7	31966:8 31985:22,22	31970:3 31975:23	29th 31994:8 32031:1	
32035:1	31986:11,12 31989:3	31990:6	3	
we're 31979:16	31989:8 31991:18	11:01 31993:19		
31988:16 32009:8,9	32005:6,12,18,24,24	11:58 31999:9	3 31955:1 31981:1,2,3	
32030:16,18	32006:8	12 31982:22 31999:12	31981:6 32013:4,4	
we've 31966:24	Xhosa-speaking	12:18 32007:16	32016:15,16 32017:5	
31980:25 31982:17	32005:6,7	12:38 32015:21	32019:19 32026:22	
31984:22 31985:5	Xolani 31960:5	13th 31956:1 31963:2,7	32027:8,12 32028:13	
32011:1 32014:15	31971:23	31963:21,23,25 31964:24 31965:6	32028:19,20 300 21085:1 10	
32017:25 32033:10 what's 31955:24	X's 32029:14	31966:22,24 31965:6	300 31985:1,10 30947 32002:8	
31970:16 31973:7,7	Y	31970:4,15 31971:20	31 31967:8,9	
31976:14 31982:12	yards 31985:1,1	31970.4,13 31971.20	31196 31955:23	
32022:3	year 31980:7 31998:7	31976:11,18,24,25	3190 31933.23 32 31967:9	
white 31956:18	31999:20	31977:25 31978:1,2	33.1 31970:9	
31968:16,17,17	years 31971:10	31980:4,5,7 31981:24	34 32013:18,20	
31969:4,11 31971:12	Yeates 31981:11,12,14	31980.4,5,7 51981.24	34.1 32013:21	
32018:23 32028:24	31981:19	31994:1,9 31997:20	34.2 32014:18,22,24	
whitish 31971:18	yellow 32011:20	31997:23 31998:2	32024:2	
who'd 32000:17	32018:23	13:53 32022:16	35 32014:21	
who's 32016:14,15,15	yesterday 32023:4	14th 32010:16	37 31960:22	
32016:17 32018:5	you'd 31992:14	32029:15,23 32030:5	38 31959:19,23 31960:2	
32019:18 32020:8,10	you'll 31955:24	32031:5,6 32032:23	30 51757.17,25 51700.2	
wipe 31976:3	31970:24 31976:5	32033:2,8,10,18	4	
wish 32030:10	32010:25 32011:11	32035:2,0,10,10	4 31980:1 32001:20	
wishes 31984:16	32010:25 32011:11	14:37 32029:10	32010:25 32011:2,12	
withdraw 31959:15	32015:11 32021:20	15 31960:24 32011:8	32011:13,14,21	
withdrawn 31960:18	you're 31966:10	32025:4,6 32026:24	32013:3,4 32015:12	
witness 31955:3	31967:16 31973:24	15th 31972:8 31976:10	32015:17 32017:9	
31959:16 31965:4,4	31981:25 31983:8	32010:16,17 32014:9	32020:21	
31965:15 31966:1	31996:16 32005:9	32023:15 32031:6		
31969:6 31973:9,20	32007:8,12 32018:6,7	32032:22	5	
31974:1 31988:6	32025:19 32032:3	16 32017:16,20,25	5 31956:6,6 32001:22	
31993:20 31994:1	you've 31987:24	16th 31960:22 31961:6	32011:12 32013:3,4	
31998:18 31999:14	31992:16 31998:6	31961:20 31975:23	32014:10 32016:15	
32010:8 32016:4,20	32003:22 32006:9	32014:9 32030:23	32017:12	
32018:12 32022:17	32007:23 32015:7	17 32025:6	500 31962:2,22	
32029:6 32030:6	32016:20 32025:16	17:27 32014:5	31980:14	
32031:2,4,10	32025:23,25	17:31 32014:17		
woke 31969:20	32031:25 32033:12	17:31:12 32015:8	6	
won't 32006:8	32033:17	18 31983:15	6 31990:5 32002:8	
words 32014:23	84	19 31960:22 31964:8	32011:12	
work 31955:12,16,17	Z	31983:6,15 31985:19		
WULK 51955.12,10,17	Z1 31970:19,22		7	
31968:19,19	LII 31970.19,22			
31968:19,19 31980:15 32033:25	Stir / Style	2	7 32011:12 32016:16	
31968:19,19 31980:15 32033:25 32034:7		2 31955:23 32002:8		
31968:19,19 31980:15 32033:25	Stir / Style		7 32011:12 32016:16 8 8 32001:23	