RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 211 3 APRIL 2014 PAGES 25999 TO 26140



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Page 25999		Page 26001
1	[PROCEEDINGS ON 3 APRIL 2014]	1	it.
2	[09:30] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2	MS LE ROUX: And then we've reviewed your
3	Sorry that we're starting later than scheduled, but we had	3	answers on Tuesday and it seems fair to say that you agree
4	some housekeeping to attend to in chambers before we came	4	with Mr White's criticisms insofar as they relate to the
5	in, which is a partial reason for the late start.	5	failure of intelligence gathering, the failures with
6	Luitenant-kolonel, ek moet u daaraan herinner dat u nog	6	respect to planning of the operation at Marikana, failure
7	steeds gebonde is deur u plegtige bevestiging wat u gemaak	7	with respect to briefing for the operation, as well as in
8	het.	8	recordkeeping, and in respect of those four issues
9	SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Dis korrek.	9	particularly, intelligence, planning, briefing and
10	CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux.	10	recordkeeping, is there anything in Mr White's statement
11	MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair.	11	that you disagree with, that you take issue with?
12	CHAIRPERSON: I believe you've got some	12	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, on that four points
13	more questions for the witness.	13	I agree.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.):	14	MS LE ROUX: And then the one point that
15	Just a few, thank you, Chair. Chair, first of all on	15	you disagreed with on Tuesday related to the conclusion set
16	housekeeping we have now provided the Commission as well as	16	out in paragraph 10.1.1(e), if we could put that up on
17	the evidence leaders with hardcopies of what we marked as	17	screen. It's at page 126 of Mr White's statement and this
18	OOO22, the interrogatories that we dealt with on Tuesday,	18	was where you disagreed that the police response to the
19	and then Lieutenant-Colonel, I'd like to start with CC21,	19	incidents demonstrate a lack of accountability and an
20	the Johannesburg water cannon video that we had some	20	unwillingness to take responsibility for the events, and in
21	trouble with on Tuesday. We've managed to sort that out	21	relation to that your testimony was that you couldn't
22	and would like to show you a clip. I've asked that we play	22	totally agree because there were circumstances where some
23	it first at normal speed and then that we slow it down a	23	of the officers, the seniors who were there did take some
24	little bit so that you can see the two gentlemen we'd like	24	responsibility for specific things, so you couldn't say
25	your help identifying. You'll see them walk from right to	25	that at all they don't take any responsibility.
-			
	Page 26000		Page 26002
1	Page 26000 left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video	1	Page 26002 So we wanted to look at that a little further and
1 2	<u> </u>	1 2	6
	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video		So we wanted to look at that a little further and
2	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two	2	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement?
2 3	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it	2 3	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as
2 3 4	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two	2 3 4	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White
2 3 4 5	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it	2 3 4 5	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as
2 3 4 5 6	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well.	2 3 4 5 6	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look,
2 3 4 5 6 7	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little	2 3 4 5 6 7	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact – CHAIRPERSON: It's not even practical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other aspects of the Marikana operation that the SAPS have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact – CHAIRPERSON: It's not even practical question, if I may say so. Sorry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other aspects of the Marikana operation that the SAPS have identified as attributing to the result that occurred on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact – CHAIRPERSON: It's not even practical question, if I may say so. Sorry? MS LE ROUX: Let me not respond.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other aspects of the Marikana operation that the SAPS have identified as attributing to the result that occurred on that day? Can you –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact – CHAIRPERSON: It's not even practical question, if I may say so. Sorry? MS LE ROUX: Let me not respond. Lieutenant-Colonel, we ended on Tuesday going through Gary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other aspects of the Marikana operation that the SAPS have identified as attributing to the result that occurred on that day? Can you – COLONEL VERMAAK: Not that I'm aware of,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact – CHAIRPERSON: It's not even practical question, if I may say so. Sorry? MS LE ROUX: Let me not respond. Lieutenant-Colonel, we ended on Tuesday going through Gary White's conclusions that appear page 125, paragraph 10.1.1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other aspects of the Marikana operation that the SAPS have identified as attributing to the result that occurred on that day? Can you – COLONEL VERMAAK: Not that I'm aware of, but if I look at this point 1 to point 6 it is well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact – CHAIRPERSON: It's not even practical question, if I may say so. Sorry? MS LE ROUX: Let me not respond. Lieutenant-Colonel, we ended on Tuesday going through Gary White's conclusions that appear page 125, paragraph 10.1.1 of his statement, and just to confirm again, you've read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other aspects of the Marikana operation that the SAPS have identified as attributing to the result that occurred on that day? Can you – COLONEL VERMAAK: Not that I'm aware of, but if I look at this point 1 to point 6 it is well problems that's been identified, but there was no person
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact – CHAIRPERSON: It's not even practical question, if I may say so. Sorry? MS LE ROUX: Let me not respond. Lieutenant-Colonel, we ended on Tuesday going through Gary White's conclusions that appear page 125, paragraph 10.1.1 of his statement, and just to confirm again, you've read his entire statement as well as the annexures, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other aspects of the Marikana operation that the SAPS have identified as attributing to the result that occurred on that day? Can you – COLONEL VERMAAK: Not that I'm aware of, but if I look at this point 1 to point 6 it is well problems that's been identified, but there was no person who took responsibility for that shortcomings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	left on the screen. For the record, this appears at video time code 25:51. The time on screen is 15:56:26 and eTV time, this occurs at 16:26:03. So again, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, if you could try to identify the two gentlemen. If you can't and you'd like to look at it again, we can do that in the adjournment as well. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, perhaps if you look at the TV screen closest to you, it's a little bit clearer than on the big screen. COLONEL VERMAAK: No, unfortunately I cannot – CHAIRPERSON: It's a bold man who can identify people simply by looking at their backs. If he identifies them then people will say how do you know, how does that person's back differ from anybody else's back. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the fact – CHAIRPERSON: It's not even practical question, if I may say so. Sorry? MS LE ROUX: Let me not respond. Lieutenant-Colonel, we ended on Tuesday going through Gary White's conclusions that appear page 125, paragraph 10.1.1 of his statement, and just to confirm again, you've read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So we wanted to look at that a little further and could I ask you to turn to page 38 of Mr White's statement? And in particular we'll be looking at paragraph 4.2.23 and on the next page 4.2.24, and this is where Mr White highlights the six errors that the SAPS have identified as contributing to the tragedy at Marikana. So if we look, commencing in paragraph 4.2.23 he identifies six errors. He states, "My understanding from the evidence along with the written evidence of Mr de Rover," that's the SAPS expert, "that the SAPS have currently identified six main errors in the operation of 16 August 2012, namely inadequate radios, insufficient camera technology, the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition, the absence of teargas masks at scene 1, the need for more less-than-lethal options, and finally ineffective command and control." Are you aware of any other areas, any other aspects of the Marikana operation that the SAPS have identified as attributing to the result that occurred on that day? Can you – COLONEL VERMAAK: Not that I'm aware of, but if I look at this point 1 to point 6 it is well problems that's been identified, but there was no person

		1	
1	Page 26003 course are points that you had referred to and had drawn to	1	Page 26005
1		2	that it occurred primarily because of the incorrect
2	the attention of the National Commissioner when you had	2	equipment that the police had on the day? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I agree with him
3	your interview with her. That's correct, isn't it?		
4	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Sir.	4	that there was poor planning and information during this
5	CHAIRPERSON: Do you know, as a matter of	5	plannings.
6	interest, whether R5s are still used by POP people? I	6	MS LE ROUX: So you would agree that it's
7	think you told us that normally there are, I think each	7	poor planning, poor briefing, and poor decision-making, not
8	group of POP police have, there are about eight of them and	8	incorrect equipment?
9	most of them use rubber bullets and shotguns with rubber	9	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I think the
10	bullets, and so on, but there's one person with an R5. I	10	equipment was available and it is only for the right people
11	think that's what you said. Do I understand correctly?	11	to use the right equipment.
12	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	12	MS LE ROUX: Mr White then goes on to
13	CHAIRPERSON: Is that still the case as	13	deal with the specific problems relating to ineffective
14	far as you're aware?	14	command and control and he notes that, you know, that
15	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, it was	15	Brigadier Mkhwanazi identified these as being clearly a
16	still the case until I've been called up for the	16	significant contributor to the events at scene 2 and notes
17	Commission. So there might be afterwards new –	17	though that "Aside from a passing reference to the issue in
18	CHAIRPERSON: When were you called up for	18	the oral evidence of Brigadier Mkhwanazi and a concession
19	the Commission?	19	in the cross-examination of Major-General Mpembe, I'm not
20	COLONEL VERMAAK: I started in the	20	aware that the SAPS have addressed in any significant way
21	beginning of March.	21	in either oral or written evidence the ineffective command
22	CHAIRPERSON: This year?	22	and control problem."
23	COLONEL VERMAAK: This year.	23	Other than Brigadier Mkhwanazi and Major-General
24	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, so right up to March	24	Mpembe in his evidence are you aware of any other SAPS
25	this year, as far as you know the police have not	25	member that has acknowledge ineffective command and control
	Page 26004		Page 26006
1	Page 26004 implemented its own –	1	Page 26006 of the operation?
1 2	Page 26004 implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no –	1 2	
	implemented its own -		of the operation?
2	implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no –	2	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of
2 3	implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No.	2 3	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody.
2 3 4	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-	2 3 4	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that
2 3 4 5	implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No.	2 3 4 5	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any
2 3 4 5 6 7	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocityammunition shouldn't be used?	2 3 4 5 6	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up –	2 3 4 5 6 7	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to
2 3 4 5 6 7	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocityammunition shouldn't be used?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –CHAIRPERSON:They haven't implemented	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocityammunition shouldn't be used?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –CHAIRPERSON:They haven't implementedthat yet?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocityammunition shouldn't be used?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –CHAIRPERSON:They haven't implementedthat yet?COLONEL VERMAAK:Up to that stage therewas no instruction on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocityammunition shouldn't be used?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –CHAIRPERSON:They haven't implementedthat yet?COLONEL VERMAAK:Was no instruction on that.MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocityammunition shouldn't be used?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –CHAIRPERSON:They haven't implementedthat yet?COLONEL VERMAAK:Up to that stage therewas no instruction on that.MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel, you'llsee that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up – CHAIRPERSON: They haven't implemented that yet? COLONEL VERMAAK: Up to that stage there was no instruction on that. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors identified states that he doesn't dissent from any of them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocityammunition shouldn't be used?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –CHAIRPERSON:They haven't implementedthat yet?COLONEL VERMAAK:Up to that stage therewas no instruction on that.MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel, you'llsee that Mr White when he reflects on these six errorsidentified states that he doesn't dissent from any of thembut notes that five of the six relate to the equipment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	implemented its own –COLONEL VERMAAK:No, there was no –CHAIRPERSON:- realisation –COLONEL VERMAAK:No.CHAIRPERSON:- that the use of high-velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocityammunition shouldn't be used?COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –COLONEL VERMAAK:Yes, up –CHAIRPERSON:They haven't implementedthat yet?COLONEL VERMAAK:Up to that stage therewas no instruction on that.MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel, you'llsee that Mr White when he reflects on these six errorsidentified states that he doesn't dissent from any of thembut notes that five of the six relate to the equipmentavailable to the SAPS rather than the decisions made by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up – CHAIRPERSON: They haven't implemented that yet? COLONEL VERMAAK: Up to that stage there was no instruction on that. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors identified states that he doesn't dissent from any of them but notes that five of the six relate to the equipment available to the SAPS rather than the decisions made by them, and he states, "I do not accept that the Marikana</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for the events. Do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up – CHAIRPERSON: They haven't implemented that yet? COLONEL VERMAAK: Up to that stage there was no instruction on that. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors identified states that he doesn't dissent from any of them but notes that five of the six relate to the equipment available to the SAPS rather than the decisions made by them, and he states, "I do not accept that the Marikana tragedy occurred because the SAPS were not issued with the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for the events. Do you still disagree with that conclusion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up – CHAIRPERSON: They haven't implemented that yet? COLONEL VERMAAK: Up to that stage there was no instruction on that. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors identified states that he doesn't dissent from any of them but notes that five of the six relate to the equipment available to the SAPS rather than the decisions made by them, and he states, "I do not accept that the Marikana tragedy occurred because the SAPS were not issued with the correct equipment. Instead I believe that it occurred</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for the events. Do you still disagree with that conclusion? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you take the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>implemented its own –</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for the events. Do you still disagree with that conclusion? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you take the whole operation and the points that you have pointed out now, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>implemented its own – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no – CHAIRPERSON: - realisation – COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up – CHAIRPERSON: They haven't implemented that yet? COLONEL VERMAAK: Up to that stage there was no instruction on that. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors identified states that he doesn't dissent from any of them but notes that five of the six relate to the equipment available to the SAPS rather than the decisions made by them, and he states, "I do not accept that the Marikana tragedy occurred because the SAPS were not issued with the correct equipment. Instead I believe that it occurred because of poor planning, poor briefing, and most importantly poor decision-making."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for the events. Do you still disagree with that conclusion? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you take the whole operation and the points that you have pointed out now, I do agree with this statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>implemented its own - COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no - CHAIRPERSON: - realisation - COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up - CHAIRPERSON: They haven't implemented that yet? COLONEL VERMAAK: Up to that stage there was no instruction on that. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors identified states that he doesn't dissent from any of them but notes that five of the six relate to the equipment available to the SAPS rather than the decisions made by them, and he states, "I do not accept that the Marikana tragedy occurred because the SAPS were not issued with the correct equipment. Instead I believe that it occurred because of poor planning, poor briefing, and most importantly poor decision-making." Do you agree with Mr White's conclusion that the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for the events. Do you still disagree with that conclusion? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you take the whole operation and the points that you have pointed out now, I do agree with this statement. MS LE ROUX: Now we don't need to turn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>implemented its own - COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no - CHAIRPERSON: - realisation - COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up - CHAIRPERSON: They haven't implemented that yet? COLONEL VERMAAK: Up to that stage there was no instruction on that. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors identified states that he doesn't dissent from any of them but notes that five of the six relate to the equipment available to the SAPS rather than the decisions made by them, and he states, "I do not accept that the Marikana tragedy occurred because the SAPS were not issued with the correct equipment. Instead I believe that it occurred because of poor planning, poor briefing, and most importantly poor decision-making." Do you agree with Mr White's conclusion that the tragedy at Marikana occurred primarily because of poor </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for the events. Do you still disagree with that conclusion? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you take the whole operation and the points that you have pointed out now, I do agree with this statement. MS LE ROUX: Now we don't need to turn back, but on that page 39 where we were in paragraph 4.2.24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>implemented its own - COLONEL VERMAAK: No, there was no - CHAIRPERSON: - realisation - COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: - that the use of high- velocity 5.56 calibre ammunition rather than lower velocity ammunition shouldn't be used? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, up - CHAIRPERSON: They haven't implemented that yet? COLONEL VERMAAK: Up to that stage there was no instruction on that. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see that Mr White when he reflects on these six errors identified states that he doesn't dissent from any of them but notes that five of the six relate to the equipment available to the SAPS rather than the decisions made by them, and he states, "I do not accept that the Marikana tragedy occurred because the SAPS were not issued with the correct equipment. Instead I believe that it occurred because of poor planning, poor briefing, and most importantly poor decision-making." Do you agree with Mr White's conclusion that the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the operation? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of anybody. MS LE ROUX: And are you aware of any SAPS member who has accepted any responsibility for that lack of command and control? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware. MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you then to return to paragraph 10.1.1(e) on page 126 and ask you whether you still maintain your position that you had on Tuesday, which is that the SAPS have shown a willingness to accept responsibility for the tragedy? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can you just repeat that reference? MS LE ROUX: Sure, page 126, paragraph 10.1.1(e). This is where Mr White concludes that the police response demonstrates a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for the events. Do you still disagree with that conclusion? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you take the whole operation and the points that you have pointed out now, I do agree with this statement. MS LE ROUX: Now we don't need to turn

	Page 26007		Page 26009
1	made in May 2013 that they would provide a statement of	1	MS LE ROUX: So it's a conclusory wrap-up
2	lessons learnt and we were informed subsequent to Mr	2	section –
3	White's statement being finalised that it wouldn't in fact	3	CHAIRPERSON: You're now misbehaving, Ms
4	be a statement of lessons learnt but that it was a document	4	le Roux. I asked the witness a question. I'm sure you're
5	that would be produced by Mr de Rover, the SAPS expert.	5	not doing it deliberately, but please, good advocates don't
6	Have you been consulted by Mr de Rover about lessons learnt	6	do that. Luitenant-kolonel, wat – sorry, you're giving
7	by the police from Marikana?	7	evidence in English from now.
8	COLONEL VERMAAK: No at all.	8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Well, I try to –
9	MS LE ROUX: Have you consulted with Mr	9	CHAIRPERSON: What do you say about the
10	de Rover at all in his preparation –	10	point that I put to you?
11	COLONEL VERMAAK: No.	11	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair yes, to – I think
12	MS LE ROUX: - of his reports to the	12	my command, my comment on the unwillingness to take
13	Commission?	13	responsibility for all the events, there are some
14	COLONEL VERMAAK: No.	14	commanders who was deployed on the 16th who is willing to
15	CHAIRPERSON: This is a point I should	15	take the responsibility and they state it in their
16	have asked you about a couple of minutes ago before Ms le	16	statements, as I understand. So I can't say there is no
17	Roux moved on. There's one aspect I don't understand about	17	willingness for in total of the events that take place, but
18	something you've agreed with that Mr White says and that's	18	if you look at the whole incident then there is nobody at
19	the second sentence of paragraph (e). Let's read the whole	19	this stage who want to say on a planning, people on the
20	paragraph. "The police response to the incidents	20	planning phase or overall command, if any other senior
21	demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness	21	officer that will say listen, we take responsibility for
22	to take responsibility for the events. The case presented	22	all this shortcomings that has been highlighted in this
23	to the Commission of Inquiry continues to contain	23	documents and from our experience what happened there on
24	significant evidential gaps which either indicate seriously	24	the 16th, but so just to clarify that specific question.
25	inadequate recordkeeping, or a police service that's not	25	Thank you, Sir.
	Page 26008		Page 26010
1	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now	1	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can
2	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part.	2	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with
	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential	2 3	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the
2 3 4	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping,	2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I
2 3	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't	2 3	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you.
2 3 4	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that	2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document?
2 3 4 5	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an	2 3 4 5	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for
2 3 4 5 6	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that	2 3 4 5 6	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document?
2 3 4 5 6 7	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have difficulty in understanding that, but if you persist in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware what the reason was that he didn't ask me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have difficulty in understanding that, but if you persist in saying you agree with Mr White I'd be grateful if you'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware what the reason was that he didn't ask me. MS LE ROUX: We understand that Mr de
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have difficulty in understanding that, but if you persist in saying you agree with Mr White I'd be grateful if you'd explain it to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware what the reason was that he didn't ask me. MS LE ROUX: We understand that Mr de Rover went to particularly scene 2 with some members and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have difficulty in understanding that, but if you persist in saying you agree with Mr White I'd be grateful if you'd explain it to me. MS LE ROUX: Chair, if I could just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware what the reason was that he didn't ask me. MS LE ROUX: We understand that Mr de Rover went to particularly scene 2 with some members and they consulted with him. You weren't present at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have difficulty in understanding that, but if you persist in saying you agree with Mr White I'd be grateful if you'd explain it to me. MS LE ROUX: Chair, if I could just clarify before Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak responds, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware what the reason was that he didn't ask me. MS LE ROUX: We understand that Mr de Rover went to particularly scene 2 with some members and they consulted with him. You weren't present at that either?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have difficulty in understanding that, but if you persist in saying you agree with Mr White I'd be grateful if you'd explain it to me. MS LE ROUX: Chair, if I could just clarify before Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak responds, the structure of Mr White's statement, that's obviously a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware what the reason was that he didn't ask me. MS LE ROUX: We understand that Mr de Rover went to particularly scene 2 with some members and they consulted with him. You weren't present at that either? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I wasn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have difficulty in understanding that, but if you persist in saying you agree with Mr White I'd be grateful if you'd explain it to me. MS LE ROUX: Chair, if I could just clarify before Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak responds, the structure of Mr White's statement, that's obviously a conclusion and the inadequate recordkeeping he's referring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware what the reason was that he didn't ask me. MS LE ROUX: We understand that Mr de Rover went to particularly scene 2 with some members and they consulted with him. You weren't present at that either? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I wasn't. COUNILSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, can I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	acting in the spirit of full and frank disclosure." Now never mind the second part. I understand the second part. But if what are described as the significant evidential gaps were caused by seriously inadequate recordkeeping, that's something that relates to the past. They didn't keep records in the past on the 16th and before. Then that wouldn't demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. It might give rise to other criticisms, but I'm afraid I don't understand on what basis Mr White says, and you agree with the contention, with the statement that if there was seriously inadequate recordkeeping and that was the reason for what are described as significant evidential gaps, that can be said to demonstrate a lack of accountability and an unwillingness to take responsibility for events. I have difficulty in understanding that, but if you persist in saying you agree with Mr White I'd be grateful if you'd explain it to me. MS LE ROUX: Chair, if I could just clarify before Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak responds, the structure of Mr White's statement, that's obviously a conclusion and the inadequate recordkeeping he's referring back to the 4.2 paragraphs that we've already, that we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can return to the fact that Mr de Rover hasn't consulted with you, so the document that he's produced and provided to the Commission don't have the benefit of any of your input. I understand he may not have consulted in person with you. Have you given him any input at all into his document? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, he didn't ask for any written input from my side and also did not consult personally at any stage with me. MS LE ROUX: Okay, and given that you had been quite clear with the SAPS about your criticisms of the operation at Marikana and POP policing in general before that, do you know of any reason why you haven't been asked to provide input to Mr de Rover? COLONEL VERMAAK: No Chair, I'm not aware what the reason was that he didn't ask me. MS LE ROUX: We understand that Mr de Rover went to particularly scene 2 with some members and they consulted with him. You weren't present at that either? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I wasn't. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, can I just ask you when you were head of the POP, you were commander

1	Page 26011 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And how would you	1	Page 26013 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is possible.
2	have ensured that?	2	CHAIRPERSON: And you didn't require
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: We have actually the,	3	complicated equipment, you didn't require expensive
	every section that is being deployed have a record-keeper	4	equipment, it was equipment that had been available in 2005
4 5	on a formal document where he have to keep all the record	4 5	when you left the POP, is that right?
			COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
6	of everything that his section is in and all those	6 7	CHAIRPERSON: And of course we don't
7	information he always convey to the JOC, who's also keeping	8	know, perhaps someone will try to explain to us why that
8 9	then record of all the sitreps that they get from the different sections and after the duties they will hand it	0 9	didn't happen on this occasion. We wouldn't have to guess
	-	9 10	
10 11	in, that document, and it will be put together in one document, then, what is then available for the information	11	what happened for eight minutes when we don't know what was on the radio and only have the benefit of Captain Ryland's
12	manager of the unit and also then for the commander of the	12	cell phone to tell us what happened the other time.
12	unit.	13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, that's correct.
14	Maybe if I can just comment also on that; all	14	CHAIRPERSON: That surely is not in
15	those sitreps then afterwards is put on computer on the	15	accordance with sound POP policing, is it?
16	IRIS system. Now the IRIS system have everything, detail	16	COLONEL VERMAAK: No –
17	of the incidents, the members involved, firearms involved,	17	CHAIRPERSON: Not to have a recording –
18	equipment being involved. If there's other police from	18	COLONEL VERMAAK: They are supposed to
19	other units also their particulars will be captured on the	19	record everything.
20	IRIS system. So when people at national head office, they	20	CHAIRPERSON: Ja.
21	can immediately go into IRIS system and they will can see	21	COLONEL VERMAAK: As I said, with video
22	how this operation is going on.	22	cameras at all times at the –
23	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But how do you	23	CHAIRPERSON: Where would those
24	ensure adequate recordkeeping in the JOC when the incidents	24	recordings have been done, in the JOC or -
25	are taking place at such a fast pace?	25	COLONEL VERMAAK: We have a separate
	Page 26012		Page 26014
1	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events	1	office where only me and the two people who was working
2	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at	2	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it.
2 3	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the	2 3	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come
2 3 4	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will	2 3 4	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that
2 3 4 5	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is	2 3 4 5	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about.
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is the way that we operate when I was in the POP.	2 3 4 5 6	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is the way that we operate when I was in the POP. CHAIRPERSON: And of course did you at	2 3 4 5 6 7	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is the way that we operate when I was in the POP. CHAIRPERSON: And of course did you at that stage keep a recording of everything that was said over the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is the way that we operate when I was in the POP. CHAIRPERSON: And of course did you at that stage keep a recording of everything that was said over the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minute gap that we talked about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is the way that we operate when I was in the POP. CHAIRPERSON: And of course did you at that stage keep a recording of everything that was said over the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minute gap that we talked about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone.Now in yourtime was the radio, the audio track on the radio –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone.Now in yourtime was the radio, the audio track on the radio –COLONEL VERMAAK:Chairperson, yes –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone. Now in yourtime was the radio, the audio track on the radio –COLONEL VERMAAK:ChAIRPERSON:Was that recorded?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone. Now in yourtime was the radio, the audio track on the radio –COLONEL VERMAAK:ChAIRPERSON:Was that recorded?COLONEL VERMAAK:I did have that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one big entry in the occurrence book about things that happened
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone. Now in yourtime was the radio, the audio track on the radio –COLONEL VERMAAK:ChAIRPERSON:Was that recorded?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one big entry in the occurrence book about things that happened over a quite significant period of time and no times at all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone.Now in yourtime was the radio, the audio track on the radio –COLONEL VERMAAK:Chairperson, yes –CHAIRPERSON:Was that recorded?COLONEL VERMAAK:I did have thatfacility at my unit where we recorded five channels.At	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one big entry in the occurrence book about things that happened
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone. Now in yourtime was the radio, the audio track on the radio –COLONEL VERMAAK:ChAIRPERSON:Was that recorded?COLONEL VERMAAK:I did have thatfacility at my unit where we recorded five channels. Atall time, 24-hour basis there was two members who was on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one big entry in the occurrence book about things that happened over a quite significant period of time and no times at all because it was said it was all happening so fast. I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL VERMAAK:When there's big eventsyou will always see that you have your OPS commander and atleast two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in theJOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they willtell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that isthe way that we operate when I was in the POP.CHAIRPERSON:And of course did you atthat stage keep a recording of everything that was saidover the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minutegap that we talked about.COLONEL VERMAAK:Ja.CHAIRPERSON:And bits of the radio, weonly get through Captain Ryland's cell phone.Now in yourtime was the radio, the audio track on the radio –COLONEL VERMAAK:Chairperson, yes –CHAIRPERSON:Was that recorded?COLONEL VERMAAK:I did have thatfacility at my unit where we recorded five channels.Atall time, 24-hour basis there was two members who was onstandby and they will go in, change the tapes, file it, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one big entry in the occurrence book about things that happened over a quite significant period of time and no times at all because it was said it was all happening so fast. I can understand that the people who were trying to write down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is the way that we operate when I was in the POP. CHAIRPERSON: And of course did you at that stage keep a recording of everything that was said over the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minute gap that we talked about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And bits of the radio, we only get through Captain Ryland's cell phone. Now in your time was the radio, the audio track on the radio – COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, yes – CHAIRPERSON: Was that recorded? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did have that facility at my unit where we recorded five channels. At all time, 24-hour basis there was two members who was on standby and they will go in, change the tapes, file it, and if there's something that you want to go through.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one big entry in the occurrence book about things that happened over a quite significant period of time and no times at all because it was said it was all happening so fast. I can understand that the people who were trying to write down had problems but of course they wouldn't have arisen if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is the way that we operate when I was in the POP. CHAIRPERSON: And of course did you at that stage keep a recording of everything that was said over the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minute gap that we talked about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And bits of the radio, we only get through Captain Ryland's cell phone. Now in your time was the radio, the audio track on the radio – COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, yes – CHAIRPERSON: Was that recorded? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did have that facility at my unit where we recorded five channels. At all time, 24-hour basis there was two members who was on standby and they will go in, change the tapes, file it, and if there's something that you want to go through. [09:50] You can go back and you can listen to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one big entry in the occurrence book about things that happened over a quite significant period of time and no times at all because it was said it was all happening so fast. I can understand that the people who were trying to write down had problems but of course they wouldn't have arisen if there had been a recording.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: When there's big events you will always see that you have your OPS commander and at least two people who is assisting with recordkeeping in the JOC, and if they can't keep up with the sitreps they will tell the people hold on, I'll come back to you. So that is the way that we operate when I was in the POP. CHAIRPERSON: And of course did you at that stage keep a recording of everything that was said over the radio? You know, here we've got this eight-minute gap that we talked about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: And bits of the radio, we only get through Captain Ryland's cell phone. Now in your time was the radio, the audio track on the radio – COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, yes – CHAIRPERSON: Was that recorded? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did have that facility at my unit where we recorded five channels. At all time, 24-hour basis there was two members who was on standby and they will go in, change the tapes, file it, and if there's something that you want to go through. [09:50] You can go back and you can listen to that conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office where only me and the two people who was working with the videos of the recording system have keys for it. So we, if there was enquiries on that, the person will come and we will play that back for him to listen to that conversation that he is asking about. CHAIRPERSON: Well, here what we have is an occurrence book in which things have been recorded. There are suggestions that some things were incorrectly recorded, both as to who had sent in the report and seeing the exact content of what was written down and the time, things happened eventually so fast that the recorders didn't even bother to put the times in anymore. They wrote things on little bits of paper and there are suggestions that some of the papers perhaps were, recording the contents of what was written on the piece of paper were recorded in the wrong order. There's a whole, there's one big entry in the occurrence book about things that happened over a quite significant period of time and no times at all because it was said it was all happening so fast. I can understand that the people who were trying to write down had problems but of course they wouldn't have arisen if there had been a recording. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 26015 my mind on anything until the end but it does seem prima facie as if that failure is difficult to explain or to excuse. Would you agree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I do agree with that. MS LE ROUX: Chair and Commissioner Hemraj, just for record, for the record in due course, if I can just link back. I misspoke earlier. Mr White's statement deals with inadequate record-keeping at page 39 to 45, which is section 4.3. That's where he deals with both the operational record-keeping as well as minutes before, decision taking leading up to the operation, all of that record of – the audit trail of all of the decisions up to operation and then through the operation. Lieutenant- Colonel, if I could ask you to now turn to page 19 of Mr White's statement, I'd now like to start going through some specific points in Mr White's statement that relate, in part, to your role in the operation. Page 19 paragraph 2.3.6 and then 2.3.7. This is where Mr White sets out his concerns around the SAPS rubber rounds that are currently in use and he refers to a PowerPoint document that he's seen. This is the document that has now been marked as OO021. So Mr White sets out in paragraph 2.3.6 that he's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 26017to get that information for us without the necessarily fororal evidence on the point.MS LE ROUX:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:He's been very co-operativeup to now and I'm sure he'll continue to be so. So ofcourse to be fair, I suppose if the presentation was madeto them in 2011 their systems are such that they can't buyit and write out a cheque the next day, it does take sometime to pass through the budgeting process and so on butthat's something that can be investigated, if necessary,later.MS LE ROUX:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Your point, I take it, isIf the yrd had these grenate launchers in August 2012 thenthe story might be very different.MS LE ROUX:Well –CHAIRPERSON:Is that the point you'reworking up to?MS LE ROUX:Well –CHAIRPERSON:Is that the point you'reworking up to?MS LE ROUX:Chair, the point is, if wereturn to Mr White's statement 2.3.7 where he sets out that"It appears SAPS haven't yet acquired the weaponry capableof firing these safe impact rounds or at least that thatwas not available at Marikana" and he sets out his ownexperience concerning that "They are a more effective, less </th
24 25	recommending that the SAPS acquire Brügge and Thomet	24 25	lethal option which delivers an impact which is unlikely to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 26016 grenade launchers with the ability to fire safe impact rounds, SIRs. These appear to be similar to the attenuating energy projectiles used in Northern Ireland because the existing 12-bore rubber rounds were problematic. That presentation, if we could pull it up, is OOO21 and slide 2 of that presentation to the SAPS sets out the reasons why SAPS need a large calibre grenade launcher and it states, "Recent experience during service delivery protests revealed the need for a weapon other than the muzzler shotgun. 12-gauge rubber is not effective at long range, it's notoriously inaccurate and can be easily blocked with makeshift shields or thick clothing. Ketties and slingshots shoot further than rubber. And then if we continue to the next slide, if we can continue to the next slide - CHAIRPERSON: While we're looking at these things, is this a presentation you say which was made to the police at the head of the police, I take it, in 2011? MS LE ROUX: Chair, that's our understanding. It's on the SAPS hard drive. We don't have too much more detail about context, we know the date – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, that you = MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Mell, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Mell, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Mell, I'm sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair, the presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Mell Chair sure – MS LE ROUX: Chair sure – MS	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 26018 cause serious or life-threatening injury but is of sufficient force to dissuade or prevent a violent or potentially violent person from their intended course of action and thereby neutralise the threat." That's paragraph 2.3.7 of Mr White – CHAIRPERSON: So let's just make sure we understand this. Is it suggested that if, at scene 1, there'd been some of these grenade launchers and they had been used, we would not have had the deaths that we had at scene 1? Is that the attack, if it was an attack – I know there's an argument it wasn't an attack – if it was an attack, it appeared to be perceived by the police to have been an attack, if it was an attack or simply a perceived attack, it could have been repelled without loss of life. Is that your case? MS LE ROUX: Chair, potentially. Obviously Mr White would need more information in order to make that connection. At the moment I just am identifying Mr White has been able to see that the presentation was made suggesting there were safer options available before August 2012 and the only question I had was, to the Lieutenant-Colonel, was whether he was aware whether the safe impact rounds had been acquired and were in use by the POP or what had happened to this presentation. As to what could have happened at scene 1 we would obviously need

1	Page 26019 further information from Mr White to	1	Page 26021
2	CHAIRPERSON: No, but I'm not sure	2	necessary for us to have oral evidence on the point.
2	whether being in the air wing he'd be able to help us but	2	Obviously I can't dictate to the police what they want to do but the information should be fairly uncontroversial
4	maybe he can, but it might be interesting to hear, and	4	that we're interested in and which I'm sure they can
5	valuable I think to hear from the police. Again they don't	5	provide to us in affidavits or some other way.
6	have to lead oral evidence on it, they can give us a	6	MS LE ROUX: And we will follow up.
7	document on it, what the result was of this demonstration	7	Lieutenant-Colonel, so page 118 of Mr White's statement and
	which they received, whether they made any decisions and	8	I'd like to deal with the issues canvassed in paragraph
8 9		0 9	7.6.8 through to 7.6.10. This is a sixth criticism that Mr
9 10	how long it took to implement it because obviously one has got to realise in a body like the police, as I say, they	9 10	White sets out here and I relates to the lack of co-
10	can't just order the things immediately and write out a	10	ordination of the vari8ous units in the operation,
12	cheque the next day and have them delivered the day after.	12	particularly at scene 2 and with respect to the use of the
13	There are processes which take time so one has got to be	12	radios. And we'll go through some of these in detail but
14	reasonable but if it was something which was required as a		if you could just familiarise yourself now, we won't read
14	matter of high priority I would have thought it would have	14	
	been possible to have got it by August 2012, but that's	15	it into the record but if you could just – I know you've
16		16	read this whole statement but just to orientate yourself,
17 18	something which may not be correct and the police will presumably deal with that in due course.	17 18	paragraph 7.6.8 through to 10, if you could just reorientate yourself that these are the points we're
19	MS LE ROUX: And Chair, we will follow	19	dealing with. Now –
20	up. In response to a discovery request we were told that	20	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Ms Le Roux, I'm
20	this type of ammunition was available to the SAPS but not	20	sorry I was being discourteous to you. We were discussing
22	used at Marikana but the detail of that has still not been	22	the implication of your cross-examination at the moment and
23	forthcoming. We'll follow up again but simply, Lieutenant-	23	where it's going to lead us, particularly in the light of
24	Colonel Vermaak, do you have any knowledge of whether safe	24	the information I hope the police will be able to give us.
25	impact rounds have been made available to POP at any stage	25	Please forgive me and carry on, I promise to listen now.
		_	
	Page 26020		Page 26022
1	Page 26020 since May 2011?	1	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
2	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of	1 2	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the
	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any.		MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your
2	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to	2	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we
2 3 4 5	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118.	23	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide
2 3 4 5 6	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about	2 3 4 5 6	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked
2 3 4 5 6 7	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different ones. Some of them seem to have little rubber balls	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now listened to the audio on the Protea Coin chopper video and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different ones. Some of them seem to have little rubber balls inside, some of them are just a projectile themselves –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now listened to the audio on the Protea Coin chopper video and he's read the transcript and he is struck by the vague and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different ones. Some of them seem to have little rubber balls inside, some of them are just a projectile themselves – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway it sounds as if –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now listened to the audio on the Protea Coin chopper video and he's read the transcript and he is struck by the vague and confusing nature of the directions given. And then if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different ones. Some of them are just a projectile themselves – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway it sounds as if – MS LE ROUX: There seem to be a range of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now listened to the audio on the Protea Coin chopper video and he's read the transcript and he is struck by the vague and confusing nature of the directions given. And then if you could turn over, we'll start with 7.6.9A on page 119. Here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different ones. Some of them are just a projectile themselves – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway it sounds as if – MS LE ROUX: There seem to be a range of options that the launcher can accommodate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now listened to the audio on the Protea Coin chopper video and he's read the transcript and he is struck by the vague and confusing nature of the directions given. And then if you could turn over, we'll start with 7.6.9A on page 119. Here Mr White sets out, "There's a distinct lack of call signs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different ones. Some of them are just a projectile themselves – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway it sounds as if – MS LE ROUX: There seem to be a range of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now listened to the audio on the Protea Coin chopper video and he's read the transcript and he is struck by the vague and confusing nature of the directions given. And then if you could turn over, we'll start with 7.6.9A on page 119. Here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different ones. Some of them are just a projectile themselves – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway it sounds as if – MS LE ROUX: There seem to be a range of options that the launcher can accommodate. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, it sounds as if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now listened to the audio on the Protea Coin chopper video and he's read the transcript and he is struck by the vague and confusing nature of the directions given. And then if you could turn over, we'll start with 7.6.9A on page 119. Here Mr White sets out, "There's a distinct lack of call signs used in the communication" and he gives examples such as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	since May 2011? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I'm not aware of any. MS LE ROUX: If I could then ask you to turn to page 118 of Mr White's statement, page 118. CHAIRPERSON: Do you know anything about these grenades that are fired, large – what did they, do you know anything about them? If you don't know anything about them then I – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I don't know anything. CHAIRPERSON: - then I needn't question you, because I'm interested to know what they contain. Is it gas or is it – MS LE ROUX: Chair, if you page through the presentation they have cross-sections of the different ones. Some of them are just a projectile themselves – CHAIRPERSON: Anyway it sounds as if – MS LE ROUX: There seem to be a range of options that the launcher can accommodate. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, it sounds as if the witness can't help us because he's been out of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, of course we know your evidence that you were not the aerial commander on the 16th of August and it wasn't your responsibility to command the operation but as we understand it, it was your responsibility to provide situational awareness to the JOC and I think we've talked about how you had to direct traffic at scene 2. That seems to be the method that the people have used. You accept that was your role, not aerial commander but responsible for providing situational awareness to the JOC and directing traffic? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, my main purpose there was to convey what I saw on the ground to the JOC and to the operational commander. MS LE ROUX: So if we could start then in paragraph 7.6.9 where Mr White states that he has now listened to the audio on the Protea Coin chopper video and he's read the transcript and he is struck by the vague and confusing nature of the directions given. And then if you could turn over, we'll start with 7.6.9A on page 119. Here Mr White sets out, "There's a distinct lack of call signs used in the communication" and he gives examples such as references to the water cannon at the back or the Nyala on

1	Page 26023 don't necessarily know that they are the target of the	1	Page 26025 understand your explanation for that but you very rarely
		1	
2	communication, secondly that those who are spoken to do not	2	were able to use call signs?
3	necessarily know who's speaking to them but, most	3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, where I mainly
4	importantly, that none of the other participants listening	4	spoke to Brigadier Calitz in Pappa7 and the JOC, and also
5	to that radio communication would have any idea of what's	5	there is stipulated on the water cannons, at that stage we
6	going on and he says that if call signs are used, everyone	6	couldn't identify the call sign on the top of the water
7	listening to the radio will know that for instance Pappa7	7	cannons so that is why we tried to get their attention that
8	is moving alongside W2 and entering the koppie. So in	8	they know that we are talking to them specifically, but it
9	light of the comments in 7.6.9A do you agree that the use	9	makes it very, very difficult from the air to control
10	of call signs helps all involved in the operation with	10	vehicles on the ground if you cannot identify them
11	situational awareness?	11	properly.
12	COLONEL VERMAAK: What we have	12	MS LE ROUX: And I understand your answer
13	experienced, and that is for a very long time and it was	13	with respect to the difficulty of identify the vehicle
14	also brought under the attention of the cluster commanders,	14	necessarily, but you just said that you had to try to get
15	is that when there is an operation where the air wing is	15	the vehicle's driver aware that you were speaking to them.
16	assisted, the identifying of the vehicles on the ground is	16	On the radio communication we have, we don't often hear the
17	very difficult. Normally when we are called to a station	17	driver responding immediately, you know, sort of chopper 1
18	to assist we will take shoeshine or black shoeshine and	18	received or something like that. How would you know that,
19	write a letter on the top of the vehicle so that we can	19	other than repeating it over and over again, the Nyala at
20	identify them from above. That was also being done in this	20	the back, water cannon on the left, how do you know that
21	operation but you must remember at 300 feet it is not	21	they have managed to hear you?
22	always very much visible and I think where the other	22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Normally what you, what
23	problem occurred is those people are coming from other	23	gives some indication to you is, when you spoke to a
24	provinces, other units, and now all of a sudden he's using	24	specific vehicle and you can see that you have indicated to
25	his call sign at other places. It's been printed out on	25	him he must turn right and that vehicle is responding and
1	Page 26024 the side of his vehicle, that is his call sign and now he's	1	Page 26026 it's turning right, then you are sure that he heard the
1	coming to this side and he must use a new call sign and as	1	message from you.
2 3	we mark the Nyalas from Pappa1 to Pappa18, so it happens	2 3	MS LE ROUX: So the actions of the
	that they don't think you are talking to them. They are	4	vehicles that you could observe confirmed that you'd
4 5	used to their own call sign and now all of a sudden		venicies that you could observe confirmed that you'd
			managed to communicate
0	-	5	managed to communicate.
6	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that	6	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.
7	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers	6 7	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then
7 8	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every	6 7 8	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page,
7 8 9	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call	6 7 8 9	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no
7 8 9 10	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and	6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was
7 8 9 10 11	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that	6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of
7 8 9 10 11 12	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant-	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any operation, just that proposition. Do you agree that the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very little information provided by you about the positioning of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any operation, just that proposition. Do you agree that the use of call signs –	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very little information provided by you about the positioning of various units and resources in relation to the koppie? So
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any operation, just that proposition. Do you agree that the use of call signs – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, that is –	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very little information provided by you about the positioning of various units and resources in relation to the koppie? So people listening to the communication would know that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any operation, just that proposition. Do you agree that the use of call signs – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, that is – MS LE ROUX: - helps the situational	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very little information provided by you about the positioning of various units and resources in relation to the koppie? So people listening to the communication would know that you're addressing a Nyala or a water cannon but they
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any operation, just that proposition. Do you agree that the use of call signs – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, that is – MS LE ROUX: - helps the situational awareness?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very little information provided by you about the positioning of various units and resources in relation to the koppie? So people listening to the communication would know that you're addressing a Nyala or a water cannon but they wouldn't know where that vehicle is in relation to koppie
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any operation, just that proposition. Do you agree that the use of call signs – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, that is – MS LE ROUX: - helps the situational awareness? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is true.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very little information provided by you about the positioning of various units and resources in relation to the koppie? So people listening to the communication would know that you're addressing a Nyala or a water cannon but they wouldn't know where that vehicle is in relation to koppie 3?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any operation, just that proposition. Do you agree that the use of call signs – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, that is – MS LE ROUX: - helps the situational awareness? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is true. MS LE ROUX: And then do you accept that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very little information provided by you about the positioning of various units and resources in relation to the koppie? So people listening to the communication would know that you're addressing a Nyala or a water cannon but they wouldn't know where that vehicle is in relation to koppie 3? COLONEL VERMAAK: In the first place we
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	somebody is calling Pappa7. And what also happened is that it seems to us from the air wing side that the drivers didn't, when they got the Nyalas they are not driving every time the same Nyala. They didn't make sure of the call sign on top of the Nyala, so you are calling Pappa7 and that driver in the vehicle is for instance November1 that he's used to. So that for sure caused some problems. MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, that gives us some clarity on what happened on the day but I do still need an answer to my question which was whether you agree that the use of call signs helped to provide situational awareness during any operation, just that proposition. Do you agree that the use of call signs – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, that is – MS LE ROUX: - helps the situational awareness? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is true.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. [10:10] MS LE ROUX: If I could ask you to then turn over to page 120, to the first paragraph on that page, paragraph 7.6.9C where Mr White notes, "There is almost no communication providing situational awareness as was excepted of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. The kinds of communication that might have been expected" and then he gives some examples, "all call signs be aware that C3 forces are approaching the koppie from the west," or they are approaching from the south or "all call signs be aware that C-sec's*10:10/00-06 members are entering the koppie on foot from the east." Do you agree that there was also very little information provided by you about the positioning of various units and resources in relation to the koppie? So people listening to the communication would know that you're addressing a Nyala or a water cannon but they wouldn't know where that vehicle is in relation to koppie 3?

1	Page 26027 I explained, that in the afternoon briefing we were not	1	Page 26029 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
2	there. We are mostly concentrating on the Nyalas that is	2	CHAIRPERSON: The second problem was that
3	visible and some of the sitreps is that we have said that	3	the plan itself which was explained to us by Lieutenant-
4	there are people or members close to the bush, we also at	4	Colonel Scott, formed part of the briefing which you
4 5		5	weren't invited to either at 2:30, that plan was rather
	one stage conveyed the message to Brigadier Calitz that the people are, or the koppie is encircled. Yes, the way that		incomplete I would say, although I don't think Colonel
6 7		6 7	Scott would agree with it but it took the situation up to
	he is putting it here, that is the ideal situation but on		-
8	the 16th it was very, very difficult in that situation to give feedback in this way. In a normal operation where the	8 9	the dry riverbed in the vicinity of koppie 2 and then said, well, you know, the operational commander must then do what
9			
10 11	people are approaching places you know who is coming from which side and what they must be doing, then you can do it	10	he considers appropriate. So there was no plan as to what
12	which side and what they must be doing, then you can do it. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	11 12	would happen after that. If the people moved to koppie 3, for example, who was to go there, how it was to be dealt
12	I understand your evidence that being able to use the	12	with. So you were, you laboured under that disability as
	specific call sign may have been difficult on the 16th so we		
14		14	well, I mean you didn't even know what the plan was but the
15	may not end up with first prize as Mr White sets it out but	15	truth was, there wasn't a plan after the dry riverbed – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
16	even when we see, we saw developments in the operation, your radio communication as the eye in the sky still didn't	16 17	
17 18	reflect that in a way that could've informed other units on	18	CHAIRPERSON: - as far as koppie 3. So if you'd known what people were supposed to be there and
19	the ground. So to take an example, when the K9 unit starts	19	what people were supposed to be doing, it would have been
20	moving up from the south you would have seen those vehicles	20	much easier for you to do what Mr White expected you to do.
20	approaching, that movement doesn't get reflected on the	20	Is that fair?
22	radio that, you know, there's a unit moving up from the	22	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair.
22	south or you know, people are coming from the forward	22	The shortcoming on the plan was, when you draw up a plan,
23	holding areas towards the koppie. So there isn't	23	an operational plan, you will also always have a plan B.
24	situational awareness on the radio, even without call	25	You will always have to, foreseen circumstances that might
20		20	For win diversion to rescent circumstances that might
	Page 26028		Page 26030
1	signs, as to the movements that you would have been able to	1	flow out of your main planning and to address those things
~			
2	observe. Do you have a comment on that?	2	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So
2	observe. Do you have a comment on that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that	2 3	
	-		that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that	3	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you
3 4	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the	3 4	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on
3 4 5	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we	3 4 5	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if $-$
3 4 5 6	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the	3 4 5 6	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that.
3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to	3 4 5 6 7	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz	3 4 5 6 7 8	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major-
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we acknowledge that we did not give anything through to this reasons that I mentioned to you. CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel, I don't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And he didn't bother to tell you or anybody else that he was there.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we acknowledge that we did not give anything through to this reasons that I mentioned to you. CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel, I don't know if what I'm going to put to you is correct but if it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And he didn't bother to tell you or anybody else that he was there. COLONEL VERMAAK: No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we acknowledge that we did not give anything through to this reasons that I mentioned to you. CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel, I don't know if what I'm going to put to you is correct but if it's wrong, don't hesitate to tell me, but the impression I get	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And he didn't bother to tell you or anybody else that he was there. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we acknowledge that we did not give anything through to this reasons that I mentioned to you. CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel, I don't know if what I'm going to put to you is correct but if it's wrong, don't hesitate to tell me, but the impression I get is that you were labouring under two disabilities here	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And he didn't bother to tell you or anybody else that he was there. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we acknowledge that we did not give anything through to this reasons that I mentioned to you. CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel, I don't know if what I'm going to put to you is correct but if it's wrong, don't hesitate to tell me, but the impression I get is that you were labouring under two disabilities here which, in fairness, should be fed into this criticism. The	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And he didn't bother to tell you or anybody else that he was there. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, just two follow-ups coming, arising out of your evidence.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we acknowledge that we did not give anything through to this reasons that I mentioned to you. CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel, I don't know if what I'm going to put to you is correct but if it's wrong, don't hesitate to tell me, but the impression I get is that you were labouring under two disabilities here which, in fairness, should be fed into this criticism. The first is that no-one bothered to invite you to the JOCCOM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And he didn't bother to tell you or anybody else that he was there. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, just two follow-ups coming, arising out of your evidence. With respect to the movement of the K9 forces from the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we acknowledge that we did not give anything through to this reasons that I mentioned to you. CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel, I don't know if what I'm going to put to you is correct but if it's wrong, don't hesitate to tell me, but the impression I get is that you were labouring under two disabilities here which, in fairness, should be fed into this criticism. The first is that no-one bothered to invite you to the JOCCOM meeting at 1:30 so you weren't briefed as to what was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And he didn't bother to tell you or anybody else that he was there. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, just two follow-ups coming, arising out of your evidence. With respect to the movement of the K9 forces from the south, please could you just remind me when did you first
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, the movements that we observed we did give through. For example when the people gathered again after, at the back of koppie 2, we did give that feedback to the operational members on the ground. We also indicated to them that they're moving to koppie 3 at the back. Then thereafter Brigadier Calitz lined up the Nyalas and he continued with his dispersion actions but you must also remember we had a situation at that stage where the Oryx helicopter didn't stick to the altitude that he was supposed to stick to. So we had to move further away for safety reasons and we also had to give feedback on the informal settlement for groupings. So there is a possibility, yes, that we missed it and we acknowledge that we did not give anything through to this reasons that I mentioned to you. CHAIRPERSON: Lieutenant-Colonel, I don't know if what I'm going to put to you is correct but if it's wrong, don't hesitate to tell me, but the impression I get is that you were labouring under two disabilities here which, in fairness, should be fed into this criticism. The first is that no-one bothered to invite you to the JOCCOM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you're not prepared in your main planning for. So that is definitely a shortcoming on this plan. As you said, it was going up to the riverbed and from there on there was no plan B if – CHAIRPERSON: You didn't even know that. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And of course the further problem you had was, as far as I can make out, Major- General Naidoo wasn't expected to be anywhere near koppie 3, is that right? In fact you didn't even see him, as I understand it. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: And the people with him, until fairly later in the proceedings, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And he didn't bother to tell you or anybody else that he was there. COLONEL VERMAAK: No. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, just two follow-ups coming, arising out of your evidence. With respect to the movement of the K9 forces from the

1	Page 26031		Page 26033
	them on the move?	1	MS LE ROUX: Right and that's of course,
2	COLONEL VERMAAK: Are you referring to	2	in those photos it's before they get to the koppie but the
3	the K9, was with General Naidoo?	3	point of my question was, you never reflected in the radio
4	MS LE ROUX: Yes.	4	communications that these groups were moving up to the
5	COLONEL VERMAAK: I really first observed	5	koppie.
6	them when I started to take photos and I saw the vehicles	6	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, no.
7	standing on that side of the koppie and at one stage I also	7	MS LE ROUX: And then you also said that
8	see a group of people on the other side. I later was	8	one of the things that was handicapping you was the fact
9	informed it was the reaction group of Captain Kidd.	9	that the Oryx was flying at the incorrect altitude, meaning
10	MS LE ROUX: So did you see the K9 forces	10	you had to increase yours?
11	approaching?	11	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
12	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I did not.	12	MS LE ROUX: And that made it more
13	CHAIRPERSON: Remember there are two sets	13	difficult for you to see the call signs.
14	of K9 forces, Ms Le Roux. There's Captain Kidd's K9 forces	14	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, because
15	_	15	I have to move further way and that has an influence on
16	MS LE ROUX: Yes.	16	your sight on what is going on, on the ground.
17	CHAIRPERSON: - and there are General	17	MS LE ROUX: Right. Were you not able to
18	Naidoo's K9 forces.	18	communicate with the Oryx helicopter and tell it to move?
19	MS LE ROUX: General Naidoo, let's start	19	COLONEL VERMAAK: They didn't have a
20	with General Naidoo's group. You only saw them when they	20	police radio on that stage that we have communicated with
21	got to the koppie?	21	them. It was only the aviation radio. You must also
22	COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw them when they	22	remember that is not, they were not on a channel that is
23	are next to the koppie with the vehicles.	23	just only for the helicopters that were operating there.
24	MS LE ROUX: Okay and then you see	24	It is an o
25	Captain Kidd's group arriving?	25	9pen channel, people from Springs, everywhere,
	Page 26032		Page 26034
1	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where	1	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't
2	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying –	1 2	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and
	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that		you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go
2 3 4	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy?	2 3 4	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude.
2 3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the	2 3	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a
2 3 4	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point.	2 3 4	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	2 3 4 5	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them	2 3 4 5 6	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground?
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or –	2 3 4 5 6 7	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you help us with which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's chopper and they have to use the other unit's camera system
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you help us with which photos you can see them approximation in the protea in the protea in the protea in the photos you mean your –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's chopper and they have to use the other unit's camera system on its own and then the other chopper has, they must take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you help us with which photos you can see them approaching in? Do you mean your – COLONEL VERMAAK: It is photos –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's chopper and they have to use the other unit's camera system on its own and then the other chopper has, they must take out the computer box. So from three different units they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you help us with which photos you can see them approaching in? Do you mean your – COLONEL VERMAAK: It is photos – MS LE ROUX: - do you think they're in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's chopper and they have to use the other unit's camera system on its own and then the other chopper has, they must take out the computer box. So from three different units they put together one system for that specific day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you help us with which photos you can see them approaching in? Do you mean your – COLONEL VERMAAK: It is photos – MS LE ROUX: - do you think they're in yours?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's chopper and they have to use the other unit's camera system on its own and then the other chopper has, they must take out the computer box. So from three different units they put together one system for that specific day. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, to round
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you help us with which photos you can see them approaching in? Do you mean your – COLONEL VERMAAK: It is photos – MS LE ROUX: - do you think they're in yours? COLONEL VERMAAK: - that I have taken and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's chopper and they have to use the other unit's camera system on its own and then the other chopper has, they must take out the computer box. So from three different units they put together one system for that specific day. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, to round up this point, would you accept that the type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you help us with which photos you can see them approaching in? Do you mean your – COLONEL VERMAAK: It is photos – MS LE ROUX: - do you think they're in yours? COLONEL VERMAAK: - that I have taken and on that photos you will see there were also the task force	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's chopper and they have to use the other unit's camera system on its own and then the other chopper has, they must take out the computer box. So from three different units they put together one system for that specific day. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, to round up this point, would you accept that the type of communication Mr White suggest with respect to call signs,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, at the stage where we saw that two people was lying – COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, does that mean you did not see the convoy? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did not see the convoy entering from General Naidoo to that specific point. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just want to be clear. You're saying you only saw them when you were on the ground taking photos or – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, in the air, in the air. MS LE ROUX: In the air, in the Protea Coin chopper? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. There are photos available that show it. MS LE ROUX: Right. Correct, okay. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you help us with which photos you can see them approaching in? Do you mean your – COLONEL VERMAAK: It is photos – MS LE ROUX: - do you think they're in yours? COLONEL VERMAAK: - that I have taken and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you can hear talking then on that radio. So there wasn't really time, things happened too quick to get to them and to get their attention. So we mainly rather move out, go to a different altitude. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If you're at a higher altitude in any other operation, do you require any assistance? Do you use any other assistance to see what's going on, on the ground? COLONEL VERMAAK: Under normal circumstances, Chair, we are utilising it on 300 feet and it is – we can see the vehicles on the ground when we have marked it with black on the top. If there's really big operations, I remember after Marikana there was a memorial service where we arranged with Johannesburg to assist us with the FLIR camera on one of their helicopters but it was very difficult because why, they have to use this unit's chopper and they have to use the other unit's camera system on its own and then the other chopper has, they must take out the computer box. So from three different units they put together one system for that specific day. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, to round up this point, would you accept that the type of

1	Page 26035 White's suggestion that being able to have that kind of	1	Page 26037 and pause at that point, so if we could play through to
2	communication would have avoided the creation of the	2	11:14 just after the Lieutenant-Colonel says, "Alright
3	situation where there was the potential for dangerous	3	guys, you've got them in the middle."
4	cross-fire at the koppie. So if –	4	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]
5	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I think if there	5	MS LE ROUX: - that's why everybody
6	was better communication it could have been avoided.	6	sounds a little strange. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I didn't hear that.
7	MS LE ROUX: Mr White, I'd now like – not Mr White, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'd now like to move	7	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I didn't hear that. The sound of what you said was a bit unclear to me. What
8 9	on to the next point made by Mr White also on page 119.	8 9	did you say?
9 10	This is paragraph 7.6.9D(1) and if we could - this deals	9 10	MS LE ROUX: It wasn't being played at
11	with the way the water cannons were used at koppie 3 and	10	normal speed, that's why the audio sounded different to
12	how they were affecting the movement of the strikers, if we	12	what we're used to hearing.
13	could actually start by putting up JJJ10 and photograph	13	CHAIRPERSON: Okay, alright.
14	4547. Chair, if I could also ask for any assistance from	14	MS LE ROUX: If we could –
15	my learned friends the evidence leaders, if anyone has got	15	CHAIRPERSON: - what was wrong, thank you
16	a laser pointer available it may assist in some of this.	16	for explaining it to me.
17	So Lieutenant-Colonel –	17	MS LE ROUX: If we could actually just
18	CHAIRPERSON: I always like, when we see	18	pause at 11:10, so if we could play from 10 through to
19	these slides, to be told where north and south are and then	19	11:10 which would be just before Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak
20	I get my bearings. Can someone please tell us where north	20	says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle." So if
21	and south are?	21	we –
22	MS LE ROUX: Chair, south is the top left	22	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]
23	corner.	23	MS LE ROUX: And if we could actually
24	CHAIRPERSON: And the bottom right is –	24	zoom in on the koppie it'll assist actually. Mr Chair, we
25	north. Is that right?, is that right?	25	can see the two, the two water cannons shooting into -
1	Page 26036 MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is	1	Page 26038 Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen
1 2	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is	1	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen
2	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see	2	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you.
	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie,		Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screenbehind you.[10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon
2	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see	2 3	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you.
2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the	2 3 4	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you.[10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving –
2 3 4 5	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They	2 3 4 5	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the
2 3 4 5 6	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction,	2 3 4 5 6	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water	2 3 4 5 6 7	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is taken. If we could get – 10 minutes, and then I'd like us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the koppie as it appears at this point in the video.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is taken. If we could get – 10 minutes, and then I'd like us to play it all the way through to 12, 12:12. Lieutenant-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the koppie as it appears at this point in the video. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is taken. If we could get – 10 minutes, and then I'd like us to play it all the way through to 12, 12:12. Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, just to orientate you, we'll see the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the koppie as it appears at this point in the video. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] koppie, the area below the two quadrilaterals that I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is taken. If we could get – 10 minutes, and then I'd like us to play it all the way through to 12, 12:12. Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, just to orientate you, we'll see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie moving east to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the koppie as it appears at this point in the video. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] koppie, the area below the two quadrilaterals that I'd referred to earlier. That's the dry dam and that's what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is taken. If we could get – 10 minutes, and then I'd like us to play it all the way through to 12, 12:12. Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, just to orientate you, we'll see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie moving east to west, pushing the strikers to the west-south-west and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the koppie as it appears at this point in the video. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] koppie, the area below the two quadrilaterals that I'd referred to earlier. That's the dry dam and that's what you just indicated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is taken. If we could get – 10 minutes, and then I'd like us to play it all the way through to 12, 12:12. Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, just to orientate you, we'll see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie moving east to west, pushing the strikers to the west-south-west and we hear you at 11:14 in the video saying, "Alright guys,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the koppie as it appears at this point in the video. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] koppie, the area below the two quadrilaterals that I'd referred to earlier. That's the dry dam and that's what you just indicated. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is taken. If we could get – 10 minutes, and then I'd like us to play it all the way through to 12, 12:12. Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, just to orientate you, we'll see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie moving east to west, pushing the strikers to the west-south-west and we hear you at 11:14 in the video saying, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle" and you testified in chief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the koppie as it appears at this point in the video. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] koppie, the area below the two quadrilaterals that I'd referred to earlier. That's the dry dam and that's what you just indicated. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, that's correct, that's the dry dam you're referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS LE ROUX: Now, this photograph is taken of koppie at approximately 16:07:24 and we can see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie, spraying into the koppie. If we could then zoom into the koppie and see the movements of the protesters. They appear to be moving in a west-south-westerly direction, sort of in the direction way from the spray of the water cannon, the two water cannons. They seem to be, you know, moving away from, towards the top of the photograph away from where they're being sprayed from the two water cannons. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to CC22, the Protea Coin chopper video and if we could start at 10 minutes into that. Chair, for the record that's at 16:07:30 so it's six seconds after that photograph is taken. If we could get – 10 minutes, and then I'd like us to play it all the way through to 12, 12:12. Lieutenant- Colonel Vermaak, just to orientate you, we'll see the two water cannons on either side of the koppie moving east to west, pushing the strikers to the west-south-west and we hear you at 11:14 in the video saying, "Alright guys,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chair, if you could turn your attention to the screen behind you. [10:29] We can mark the two, so that's one water cannon spraying in, and the other, and driving – CHAIRPERSON: Just to describe for the record, if one, as one looks at the photograph one sees koppies more or less in the centre of the photograph and bottom left there's a white shape, which is a quadrilateral of some kind and at the bottom right there's another one. Those are the two water cannon. MS LE ROUX: Chair, the next clip that, I think if we just go through to 11:15, this is when Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says, "Alright guys, you've got them in the middle," and this is where the strikers are now on the eastern edge of the dry dam. So that's where we're marking now. So it's what appears at the bottom of the koppie as it appears at this point in the video. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] koppie, the area below the two quadrilaterals that I'd referred to earlier. That's the dry dam and that's what you just indicated. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,

	Page 26039		Page 26041
1	through now to 11:24.	1	koppie. It's come past the western portion. So your view
2	[VIDEO SHOWN]	2	at this point was reasonably clear of the movement of the
3	Again marking the dry dam where we are referring	3	strikers. So –
4	to.	4	COLONEL VERMAAK: And I think that one
5	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, that	5	that you pointed out is the Oryx, the white Oryx.
6	is more or less the area. You will see all over around	6	CHAIRPERSON: Now for the benefit of
7	here is policemen and police vehicles. At this corner here	7	those who are going to read the record later, which will
8	was no policemen visible for us.	8	include all of us, I take it, all the Commissioners and
9	CHAIRPERSON: What you call "this corner	9	lawyers, the helicopter to which you are referring, would
10	here" is the dry dam –	10	you please describe where it is, where the witness says is
11	COLONEL VERMAAK: Excuse me, Chair, at	11	the Oryx?
12	the dry dam there was no vehicles or policemen visible for	12	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
13	us from the air and that is where we noticed that the	13	perhaps if you could help us if you think it's the Oryx.
14	people is breaking through, still under the impression for	14	Maybe we should just watch this little clip again so it's,
15	the way that the vehicles was moving in, directed by myself	15	if we go back to 11:14 to 25.
16	and also repeated the instructions by Brigadier Calitz,	16	[VIDEO SHOWN]
17	that the plan was that they must be encircled. So that is	17	COLONEL VERMAAK: There is the Oryx.
18	why we said they're going to break through at the dry dam.	18	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
19	MS LE ROUX: Now Lieutenant-Colonel	19	zoom picture. If one looks at the picture one sees the
20	Vermaak, you've just testified that there were no police	20	koppie, one sees what I think is the dry dam. Is that
21	vehicles visible there, but of course Captain Kidd's team,	21	correct? And more or less in the middle of the dry dam, or
22	that's where they are. They're in that area of the koppie.	22	above the middle of the dry dam is a white shape which the
23	They're at the dry dam –	23	witness tells us is the Oryx. Do you see your helicopter
24	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, after –	24	anywhere on this picture?
25	MS LE ROUX: - at this point in time.	25	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair. That is so
	Page 26040		Page 26042
1	COLONEL VERMAAK: Afterwards we have	1	small a helicopter, it's difficult to see from this height.
2	heard that they approach that area from where they were and	2	MS LE ROUX: If we could just play it
3	they were actually close to the dry dam when we give that	3	again through to 11:25.
4	information through that they're going to break through.	4	[VIDEO SHOWN]
5	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You say at the time	5	Pause there. Now Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
6	the strikers were leading to that area unhindered. Captain	6	when you did that circuit of the koppie you didn't see any
7	Kidd's people were not there at that stage?	7	bodies on the west of the koppie at the edge of the dry dam
8	COLONEL VERMAAK: We couldn't see them,	8	at that point, did you?
9	Chair. Afterwards we saw that there was policemen on that	9	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I didn't see any
10	side, but at the moment that I give that report that the	10	body there.
11	people is going to break through at that area there I	11	MS LE ROUX: Okay, and what was it that
12	didn't see Captain Kidd's members on the ground. That is	12	you saw that made you say they're going to break through?
13	why I reported they're going to break through on that side	13	COLONEL VERMAAK: The people were coming
14	for on all the other sides there are policemen.	14	out of the bushes and they were moving in the direction of
15	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Did you in fact	15	the dry dam. So that's why I said they are breaking
16	observe them breaking through that area?	16	through, under the impression it is supposed to be a cordon
17	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. They	17	movement that the police had.
18 19	were moving to that specific area, Chair.	18 10	MS LE ROUX: And they're moving in that
19	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Did they break	19	direction because it's away from where the water cannons are?
20	through and loave the area through there?		
20	through and leave the area through there?	20 21	
21	COLONEL VERMAAK: They came through and	21	COLONEL VERMAAK: It's away from the
21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: They came through and then all of a sudden they ran back into the bushes.	21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: It's away from the water cannons and where the other vehicles were standing.
21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: They came through and then all of a sudden they ran back into the bushes. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: It's away from the water cannons and where the other vehicles were standing. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
21 22 23 24	COLONEL VERMAAK: They came through and then all of a sudden they ran back into the bushes.	21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: It's away from the water cannons and where the other vehicles were standing.

	Page 26043		Page 26045
1	COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive.	1	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
2	MS LE ROUX: Then if we play through now,	2	MS LE ROUX: And then if we could zoom in
3	we can actually play it through till about 12:12. 20	3	to the dry dam where we will observe two bodies. Chair,
4	seconds after this, so at about 11:47, which is 16:09:17	4	I'm not sure if we need a warning. It's not close-up –
5	eTV time, you'll hear yourself, you start to say "JOC	5	CHAIRPERSON: No well, let's play it
6	chopper," you're interrupted. Brigadier Calitz announces	6	safe. I'm informed that when we zoom in to this picture
7	arrest, counting them off, and then when you come back on	7	we'll see two bodies. It may well be that those who are
8	the radio you complete your sentence and it's to record	8	the relatives and loved ones of the people whose bodies
9	that you now see two bodies at the back of the koppie. So	9	will be seen may find that something that causes emotional
10	if we could just play through until approximately 12:12 and	10	distress to them. So do we know – could you mention the
11	just listen out for that communication, "JOC chopper,"	11	names of the people, please Ms le Roux? Ms le Roux, can
12	interrupted by Brigadier Calitz and then you report the two	12	you mention the names of the people whose bodies will be
13	bodies at the back of the second koppie.	13	shown so that those who think that they will be caused
14	[VIDEO SHOWN]	14	unhappiness and emotional stress by looking at them will
15	Stop there. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I just	15	have an opportunity to leave? - they are, we'll take the
16	want to understand one other –	16	comfort break now.
17	CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt.	17	MS LE ROUX: Chair, it's body N, and then
18	Can I just get something clear? Am I remembering correctly	18	somebody who did not die but was injured.
19	that you said "by the second koppie there," you didn't mean	19	CHAIRPERSON: May I suggest, let's take
20	the koppie by the dry riverbed, you meant the second, what	20	the comfort break now. Let's find out the name. It's
21	I can call sub-koppie which is part of koppie 3? Is that	21	never satisfactory to talk about body M and body N. We're
22	correct?	22	talking about human beings who died and they're entitled to
23	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	23	respect in death as they were entitled to respect in life.
24	MS LE ROUX: If we could now go to	24	So we'll take the short adjournment, the comfort break now.
25	ККК16.5136 –	25	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
		1	
	Page 26044		Page 26046
1	Page 26044 CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video	1	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms
1 2	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now?	1 2	[11:10]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes.Msle Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased
	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies,		[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring?
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video.	2 3 4	[11:10]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes. Msle Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceasedpersons to whom you were referring?MS LE ROUX:Yes, Chair, just to clarify
2	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay.	2 3 4 5	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is –
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but	2 3 4 5 6	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination,	2 3 4 5 6 7	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The other, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the Oryx.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The other, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as we understand survived his injuries. We don't know his name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the Oryx. CHAIRPERSON: That's the Oryx, I see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The other, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as we understand survived his injuries. We don't know his name though.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the Oryx. CHAIRPERSON: That's the Oryx, I see. Did you actually take this picture? Who took this picture?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is - CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The other, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as we understand survived his injuries. We don't know his name though. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. We've</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the Oryx. CHAIRPERSON: That's the Oryx, I see. Did you actually take this picture? Who took this picture? COLONEL VERMAAK: I, if I recall I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	[11:10]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes. Msle Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring?MS LE ROUX:Yes, Chair, just to clarifyMS LE ROUX:Yes, Chair, just to clarifythere is -CHAIRPERSON:Alright, well let me remindCHAIRPERSON:Alright, well let me remindthe witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in EnglishI'll remind you in English, you're still bound by theaffirmation you made.SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:Ms le Roux.CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.):Thank you, Chair.Just to clarify, we'll see two people,two bodies in the photograph.One of those is known in theCommission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa.Theother, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as weunderstand survived his injuries.We don't know his namethough.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.We'vebeen informed that we're going to see a photograph when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the Oryx. CHAIRPERSON: That's the Oryx, I see. Did you actually take this picture? Who took this picture? COLONEL VERMAAK: I, if I recall I think that was a photo of Colonel Botha.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The other, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as we understand survived his injuries. We don't know his name though. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. We've been informed that we're going to see a photograph when it's enlarged of the late Mr Mkhonjwa and if there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the Oryx. CHAIRPERSON: That's the Oryx, I see. Did you actually take this picture? Who took this picture? COLONEL VERMAAK: I, if I recall I think that was a photo of Colonel Botha. CHAIRPERSON: I see. So it's not your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The other, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as we understand survived his injuries. We don't know his name though. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. We've been informed that we're going to see a photograph when it's enlarged of the late Mr Mkhonjwa and if there are people who feel – and there may well be – who feel that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the Oryx. CHAIRPERSON: That's the Oryx, I see. Did you actually take this picture? Who took this picture? COLONEL VERMAAK: I, if I recall I think that was a photo of Colonel Botha. CHAIRPERSON: I see. So it's not your helicopter, it's got the Oryx, it's the one of Colonel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The other, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as we understand survived his injuries. We don't know his name though. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. We've been informed that we're going to see a photograph when it's enlarged of the late Mr Mkhonjwa and if there are people who feel – and there may well be – who feel that it will cause them distinct emotional distress if they see his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: We're getting a new video now? MS LE ROUX: It's to show the two bodies, then I'll come back to the chopper video. CHAIRPERSON: I see. Alright, okay. Because I'd like to take the comfort break fairly soon, but I don't want to break the flow of your cross-examination, so when you've reached a suitable stage will you let me know, please? MS LE ROUX: Chair, given that we're going to switch between – let me see if I, I should be able to complete it in a few minutes. So this is KKK16.5136, which we time at approximately 16:16:39. So – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you. In that video we see a helicopter. Is that your helicopter? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, that is the Oryx. CHAIRPERSON: That's the Oryx, I see. Did you actually take this picture? Who took this picture? COLONEL VERMAAK: I, if I recall I think that was a photo of Colonel Botha. CHAIRPERSON: I see. So it's not your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[11:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Ms le Roux, have you now got the names of the two deceased persons to whom you were referring? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, just to clarify there is – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well let me remind the witness, seeing that you're giving evidence in English I'll remind you in English, you're still bound by the affirmation you made. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Ms le Roux. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): Thank you, Chair. Just to clarify, we'll see two people, two bodies in the photograph. One of those is known in the Commission, is identified as body N, Mr Mkhonjwa. The other, the man in the red-orange shirt, as far as we understand survived his injuries. We don't know his name though. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. We've been informed that we're going to see a photograph when it's enlarged of the late Mr Mkhonjwa and if there are people who feel – and there may well be – who feel that it

		1	
1	Page 26047 after 30 seconds have expired from now. Alright, the 30	1	Page 26049 MS LE ROUX: But would you accept that
2	seconds is up; no-one has left. So we can proceed.		it's possible they were part of the group that we see
3	MS LE ROUX: So if we could zoom in to	2 3	running away from the water cannons?
4	the dry dam. So if we'll mark that first, if we mark the	4	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is possible, but I
4 5	man in the orange-red shirt, that's the unidentified man	4 5	cannot confirm that they were part of that group.
	who we believe survived his injuries. Body N, Mr Mkhonjwa,		
6 7	is the body at the, towards the top of the zoomed portion,	6	
8	and then, Chair, critically these are members of Captain	7 8	running away from the water cannon, did they run to that
8 9	Kidd's group behind the rock.	0 9	position where we see Mr Mkhonjwa and his colleague? COLONEL VERMAAK: If we –
9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Is this near the bottom of	10	MS LE ROUX: They run in that direction,
11	the page, there's a rock and there are some people lying	11	to that point.
12	down behind it?	12	COLONEL VERMAAK: If we can get that
13	MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair.	13	still photo back I can –
14	CHAIRPERSON: I see, and that's Captain	14	MS LE ROUX: If we could go back to
15	Kidd's people. That's K9 people, is it? I don't see any	15	KKK16.5136, please, and if we could zoom in again to the
16	dogs in –	16	dry dam area, and we note of course they're at the edge of
17	MS LE ROUX: Chair, they're TRT.	17	the dry dam. Is that the direction –
18	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, they're TRT. I see.	18	COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can just go to
19	And you talk about the zoomed portion. If one looks	19	that side, on to the right side, please, and then to the
20	carefully at the photograph one sees, it's easy to see the	20	top. They were coming through this area through the bush
21	body with, it looks like an orange T-shirt of some kind,	21	here, or on the bottom from that rocks, that is where we
22	and above that slightly to the left is the other body.	22	saw them.
23	That's the one you referred to.	23	CHAIRPERSON: What is pointed out is if
24	MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, the body of Mr	24	one looks at the photograph the centre of the photograph
25	Mkhonjwa. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm that	25	there's a helicopter and if one goes to the left of the
	Page 26048		Page 26050
1	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we	1	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one
2	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are	2	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated.
2 3	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals?	2 3	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.
2 3 4	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair.	2 3 4	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was
2 3 4 5	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out	2 3 4 5	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time?
2 3 4 5 6	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin	2 3 4 5 6	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through	2 3 4 5 6 7	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two bodies. Can you confirm that that's where you first see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's something you've looked at, but do we have an earlier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two bodies. Can you confirm that that's where you first see them is appearing in that 20-second interval?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's something you've looked at, but do we have an earlier photograph of this scene which, this dry dam area, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two bodies. Can you confirm that that's where you first see them is appearing in that 20-second interval? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's something you've looked at, but do we have an earlier photograph of this scene which, this dry dam area, which doesn't show these people lying down, so the inference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two bodies. Can you confirm that that's where you first see them is appearing in that 20-second interval? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: So then would you agree with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's something you've looked at, but do we have an earlier photograph of this scene which, this dry dam area, which doesn't show these people lying down, so the inference being that they, something happened to them between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two bodies. Can you confirm that that's where you first see them is appearing in that 20-second interval? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: So then would you agree with me that it's likely that those two individuals, Mr Mkhonjwa and the individual we can't identify, were part of the group being driven to the west by the water cannon? They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's something you've looked at, but do we have an earlier photograph of this scene which, this dry dam area, which doesn't show these people lying down, so the inference being that they, something happened to them between the photograph which doesn't show them there and this photograph? MS LE ROUX: Chair, I don't immediately
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two bodies. Can you confirm that that's where you first see them is appearing in that 20-second interval? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: So then would you agree with me that it's likely that those two individuals, Mr Mkhonjwa and the individual we can't identify, were part of the group being driven to the west by the water cannon? They would have been part of that group moving.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's something you've looked at, but do we have an earlier photograph of this scene which, this dry dam area, which doesn't show these people lying down, so the inference being that they, something happened to them between the photograph which doesn't show them there and this photograph? MS LE ROUX: Chair, I don't immediately have available a photograph preceding this, showing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two bodies. Can you confirm that that's where you first see them is appearing in that 20-second interval? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: So then would you agree with me that it's likely that those two individuals, Mr Mkhonjwa and the individual we can't identify, were part of the group being driven to the west by the water cannon? They would have been part of that group moving. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's something you've looked at, but do we have an earlier photograph of this scene which, this dry dam area, which doesn't show these people lying down, so the inference being that they, something happened to them between the photograph? MS LE ROUX: Chair, I don't immediately have available a photograph preceding this, showing the clear area, but we can time the appearance of Mr Mkhonjwa
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	the two bodies at the back of the second koppie that we hear you announcing in the Protea Coin chopper video are these two individuals? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: And we can actually zoom out of the photograph, and if we can go back to the Protea Coin video. And Chair, if we could play it from 11:00 through to 12:20. [VIDEO SHOWN] So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you confirm for the Commission that the two bodies appear in the position that we see in KKK16.5136 during the 20 seconds, because that's all that there is between the time where you say they're going to break through and then you start to say "JOC chopper," and then report that you can see the two bodies. Can you confirm that that's where you first see them is appearing in that 20-second interval? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: So then would you agree with me that it's likely that those two individuals, Mr Mkhonjwa and the individual we can't identify, were part of the group being driven to the west by the water cannon? They would have been part of that group moving.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	helicopter, well there's more or less a straight line, one sees the rocks and that's the point that you indicated. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: And that photograph was taken at what time? MS LE ROUX: At approximately 16:16:39. CHAIRPERSON: 16:16:39. Now do we have a photograph of this area taken before that, before 16:16, which indicates that the bodies aren't there? I'm trying to find out when the bodies first appeared there and whether there's any basis for suggesting – I don't know if the witness will be able to help us, but that one of those TRT people who was lying on the ground there behind the rock may have been responsible for the shooting, but that's a line of investigation, I don't know whether it's something you've looked at, but do we have an earlier photograph of this scene which, this dry dam area, which doesn't show these people lying down, so the inference being that they, something happened to them between the photograph which doesn't show them there and this photograph? MS LE ROUX: Chair, I don't immediately have available a photograph preceding this, showing the

Pretoria

1	Page 26051 evidence as to when he's reporting that, the first time he	1	Page 26053 inaudible]. Sorry, I was interrupting you. You say the
2	says that –	2	explanation from Ms le Roux, what were you saying about
3	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, I understand –	3	that?
4	MS LE ROUX: Because he just confirmed	4	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's a possibility.
5	this in the 20 seconds –	5	CHAIRPERSON: It's a possibility, but
6	CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand –	6	other things are possible too, I mean aren't they? Anyway,
7	MS LE ROUX: - on the Protea Coin	7	but presumably some extra work can be done on that. You
8	chopper.	8	can't necessarily help us on it, but what you can say is
9	CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand when he	9	you didn't see them earlier but they may have been there,
10	first saw them, but I'm interested in the question when	10	but what you possibly do say is you didn't see them.
11	they were first there, whether it's possible for us with	11	COLONEL VERMAAK: No.
12	the wealth – if that's the right word – of photographs and	12	CHAIRPERSON: And that's a factor which
13	videos and so on to identify with some degree of precision	13	will be used –
14	a point, an earlier point when they weren't yet there,	14	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
15	which will then help us to draw the inference that you're	15	CHAIRPERSON: - in argument later, I'm
16	seeking us to draw, which the witness says he can't. I	16	sure.
17	mean it's possible, anything is possible but that doesn't	17	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, let me
18	help us, but to whether we can get more precision than	18	see if we can clarify this even further. When you said,
19	that, and it may well be capable of being done but I don't	19	and we hear it on the radio communication, "They're in the
20	know that the witness can necessarily help us. But maybe	20	middle, they're going to break through," could you mark on
21	that's something that Mr Fischer and those other people who	21	the photograph where the group was that you were reporting
22	are good at this sort of thing can help us on by going back	22	on?
23	to the video screen and the drawing board.	23	COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage there
24	MS LE ROUX: But Chair, without the need	24	were a lot of people -
25	for a photograph Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak has assisted us	25	MS LE ROUX: If we could zoom in it
	2		
1	Page 26052	1	Page 26054
1	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the	1	probably would be a little easier.
2	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you	2	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw
2 3	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any	2 3	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I
2 3 4	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people	2 3 4	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in
2 3 4 5	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand	2 3 4 5	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests.
2 3 4 5 6	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the	2 3 4 5 6	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at
2 3 4 5 6 7	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand	2 3 4 5 6 7	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are
2 3 4 5 6	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and	2 3 4 5 6	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an unkind way. I'm just asking for information, is it an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees another vehicle which is partly obscured by bushes. Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an unkind way. I'm just asking for information, is it an accurate summary of your evidence?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees another vehicle which is partly obscured by bushes. Is that another Nyala?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an unkind way. I'm just asking for information, is it an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: More or less, but we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees another vehicle which is partly obscured by bushes. Is that another Nyala? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an unkind way. I'm just asking for information, is it an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: More or less, but we must remember the chopper is moving all the time. So there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees another vehicle which is partly obscured by bushes. Is that another Nyala? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and below that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an unkind way. I'm just asking for information, is it an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: More or less, but we must remember the chopper is moving all the time. So there is a possibility that I couldn't see it when I reported, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees another vehicle which is partly obscured by bushes. Is that another Nyala? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and below that vehicle there are bushes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an unkind way. I'm just asking for information, is it an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: More or less, but we must remember the chopper is moving all the time. So there is a possibility that I couldn't see it when I reported, so explanation from Mrs le Roux, it's also true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees another vehicle which is partly obscured by bushes. Is that another Nyala? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and below that vehicle there are bushes. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an unkind way. I'm just asking for information, is it an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: More or less, but we must remember the chopper is moving all the time. So there is a possibility that I couldn't see it when I reported, so explanation from Mrs le Roux, it's also true. CHAIRPERSON: Ms. COLONEL VERMAAK: Miss le Roux, ekskuus. MS LE ROUX: We can do Ms, if you like.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees another vehicle which is partly obscured by bushes. Is that another Nyala? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and below that vehicle there are bushes. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And there are also bushes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because, Lieutenant-Colonel, if you could just confirm the evidence you've just given. As I understand it you observed that area, did not see two bodies, did not see any body in that area. You testified that you saw people corralled at the edge of the dry dam, which as I understand it is where we see some of the Nyalas positioned, so to the left of the bushes that you've identified. As I understand your evidence you saw people running to that point and there were no bodies that you'd observed in the area and then we can time 20 seconds later you report the two bodies that we then see appearing. Is that your recollection? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, is that an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – CHAIRPERSON: I'm not asking it in an unkind way. I'm just asking for information, is it an accurate summary of your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: More or less, but we must remember the chopper is moving all the time. So there is a possibility that I couldn't see it when I reported, so explanation from Mrs le Roux, it's also true. CHAIRPERSON: Ms. COLONEL VERMAAK: Miss le Roux, ekskuus.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	probably would be a little easier. COLONEL VERMAAK: At that stage we saw people there in that surrounding there and that is why I report that they are surrounded and that they can move in and make arrests. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, if one looks at this photograph, in the middle of the photograph there are what I think are four vehicles. One I think is a Canter and the other three are Nyalas. Is that right? And – oh sorry, which is which? The one on the extreme left is a water cannon, that's in the centre. Then in front of it diagonally to the right, to its right are two vehicles, which I take it are Nyalas. Is that right? And then if one moves, one draws a line from those two vehicles in the direction towards the right top of the photograph one sees another vehicle which is partly obscured by bushes. Is that another Nyala? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and below that vehicle there are bushes. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: And there are also bushes of course going towards the right. Now is that the area

	Page 26055		Page 26057
1	we saw them.	1	open area, there where we could see them, the people who
2	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay.	2	were not in the bushes, and then there were people in this
3	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	3	area as well. That where they are lying now is where after
4	I don't have the precise page reference - I can get that,	4	the people have moved in and arrested them.
5	but in your evidence-in-chief you said that the strikers	5	MS LE ROUX: And if we could zoom out a
6	were corralled at the eastern edge of the dry dam, which we	6	little bit –
7	understood was a reference to the area which on this	7	CHAIRPERSON: I was facing the wrong way
, 8	photograph would be where we see the two vehicles parked	8	at the time. Would you be kind enough to put on record
9	below the water cannon. So more towards the bottom of the	9	
			what the witness just showed us?
10	photograph over the bushes that you have now referred to,	10	MS LE ROUX: Certainly, Chair. In the
11	being marked now. We'd understood your evidence-in-chief	11	centre of the photograph, slightly to the left is a large
12	when you said the eastern edge of the dry dam to mean that	12	bold rocks without the bushes. We zoomed in to that
13	location.	13	portion from there and then below to a ring of five
14	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That	14	vehicles that we see, and in this photograph we see people
15	is, that where I'm indicating now –	15	lying on the ground and being arrested. Lieutenant-Colonel
16	MS LE ROUX: But on which side of the	16	Vermaak indicated that he saw people in that rocky outcrop
17	bushes that we see in the middle of the area that you've	17	before the bushes which appear to the top of the
18	marked?	18	photograph, as well as in that flat area where in this
19	COLONEL VERMAAK: About in that circle we	19	photograph we see people being arrested. Lieutenant-
20	saw the people.	20	Colonel, is that accurate?
21	MS LE ROUX: So you saw some people	21	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is accurate.
22	towards the bottom of the photograph with the bushes behind	22	MS LE ROUX: Now in your evidence-in-
23	them towards the top of the photograph?	23	chief –
24	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct –	24	CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, can I interrupt
25	CHAIRPERSON: The circle which the	25	you? What we also see on this photograph to the right,
	Page 26056		Page 26058
1	Page 26056 witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the	1	Page 26058 more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and –
	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are		more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I
2	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the	2	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and –
2 3	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph,	2 3	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that
2 3 4	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of	2 3 4	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct?
2 3 4 5	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think	2 3 4 5	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in
2 3 4 5 6	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm	2 3 4 5 6	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair?
2 3 4 5 6 7	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about.	2 3 4 5 6 7	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter, yes, there they are –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Was this your BlackBerry photograph, one of your BlackBerry photographs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter, yes, there they are – CHAIRPERSON: One can see, in fact, both parties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Was this your BlackBerry photograph, one of your BlackBerry photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter, yes, there they are – CHAIRPERSON: One can see, in fact, both parties. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Was this your BlackBerry photograph, one of your BlackBerry photographs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter, yes, there they are – CHAIRPERSON: One can see, in fact, both parties. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Was this your BlackBerry photograph, one of your BlackBerry photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is of the Pentax.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter, yes, there they are – CHAIRPERSON: One can see, in fact, both parties. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Chair, we've managed to find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Was this your BlackBerry photograph, one of your BlackBerry photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is of the Pentax. CHAIRPERSON: This is your Pentax</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter, yes, there they are – CHAIRPERSON: One can see, in fact, both parties. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Was this your BlackBerry photograph, one of your BlackBerry photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is of the Pentax. CHAIRPERSON: This is your Pentax photograph. I see, thank you. COLONEL VERMAAK: If I can indicate, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am I correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter, yes, there they are – CHAIRPERSON: One can see, in fact, both parties. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Chair, we've managed to find the reference. It's page 25419 on day 206. Actually if we start at line 22 on 2541 –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>witness is indicating is around the bushes which are immediately below the third Nyala to which I referred, the one which is more or less dead centre on the photograph, which is also, which is to the left, diagonally forward of the water cannon to which reference has been made. I think anyone who looks at the photograph will understand what I'm talking about. COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if they might go to photo 4552 we can maybe give a better indication. CHAIRPERSON: This photograph, is this another one of Colonel Botha's photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is one of mine. CHAIRPERSON: Is this one of your photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Was this your BlackBerry photograph, one of your BlackBerry photographs? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is of the Pentax. CHAIRPERSON: This is your Pentax photograph. I see, thank you.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	more or less in the middle towards the right we see – am 1 correct? – the person with the orange T-shirt and – [11:30] Or orange upper garment of some kind, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: If they can zoom in there for us please, Chair? CHAIRPERSON: Further to the right, it's further to the right. No, no, it's further down I think. Further, it's further to the right. That's strange, I saw it when we saw the main photograph, now we've zoomed in I can't see it. COLONEL VERMAAK: Go down. CHAIRPERSON: There we are, there we are, there it is. COLONEL VERMAAK: Next to the Canter, yes, there they are – CHAIRPERSON: One can see, in fact, both parties. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: Chair, we've managed to find the reference. It's page 25419 on day 206. Actually if we start at line 22 on 2541 –

1			
1	Page 26059	1	Page 26061
1	where the question comes from my learned friend Ms Pillay.	1	MS KEETSE: Matageni, M-A-T-A-G-E-N-I.
2	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see. There's 25418.	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
3	The question was?		
4	MS LE ROUX: If we go down to 22?	4 5	just to make sure that I'm understanding what may be an
5	CHAIRPERSON: No, it's near the foot of		inconsistency between your answers in chief and today, the
6	that page, yes. It's Ms Pillay at line 22 saying, "And	6	people you saw in that dark area where, in photograph 4552
7	when you say on the next page of that transcript, 'They're	7	we see vehicles parked, they were heading in the direction
8	going to break through, they're going to break through,'	8	of the dry dam where we eventually see Mr Mkhonjwa and Mr
9	can you just describe to us what you were seeing in	9	Matageni lying?
10	relation to this?" And then the answer is 25419, the	10	COLONEL VERMAAK: Ma'am, not exactly
11	witness, "Dit was waar 'n	11	those people. I said there were people coming out of the
12	groepie, u sal sien dit lyk soos, amper soos 'n	12	bushes in the direction of the dry dam. So I'm not saying
13	droë dam wat daar is aan die linkerkant – of nee, meer in	13	those people were moving to that side. I said there were
14	die middel van die foto, so dit lyk soos 'n droë pan of 'n	14	people breaking through and they were moving, as I
15	dam wat daar is. In daardie rigting het mense toe begin	15	testified, in the direction of the dry dam and if you can
16	beweeg en ons het toe vir hulle in kennis gestel." So	16	see it's a quite big area and I didn't say the middle or
17	translating that is, that was where a small group, you will	17	the side or so on.
18	see it looks as if, almost like a dry dam which is there on	18	MS LE ROUX: Right and can you just
19	the left side – or no, more in the middle of the photo, it	19	indicate –
20	looks like a dry pan or a dam which is there. In that	20	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can you help me?
21	direction the people began to move and we then informed	21	What is the time lapse between the photograph we saw with
22	them – and then that's the answer. Is that the passage to	22	just these two people lying there and the photograph we now
23	which you're referring?	23	see?
24	MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. If we return to	24	COLONEL VERMAAK: It's a very –
25	the photograph 4552, Chair, the confusion seems to be	25	MS LE ROUX: Chair, I think it's
	Page 26060		Page 26062
1	arising because from your clarification to Lieutenant-	1	approximately 40 minutes.
2	Colonel Vermaak, the dry dam is not the dark area with the	2	CHAIRPERSON: 40, 4-0?
3	paths on it where the vehicles are parked –	3	MS LE ROUX: 4-0.
4	CHAIRPERSON: No, the dry dam is where	4	CHAIRPERSON: So that explains where all
5	the red, where the gentleman with the red garment, where	5	the vehicles came from.
6	the two bodies are.	6	MS LE ROUX: Correct.
7	MS LE ROUX: Correct. So the –	7	CHAIRPERSON: I see. And perhaps the
8	CHAIRPERSON: And there's a Canter,	8	witness can help us. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you,
9	there's a Canter close to the person, I think you said we	9	can we see on this photograph where the rock behind which,
10	don't know who he is. He appears to be an anonymous	10	it appeared to be a number of TRT people were lying? You
11	survivor. He was obviously injured, it must be one of Mr	11	remember on the earlier photograph?
		12	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
12	Mpofu's injured clients lying there with the red, an orange	12	
12 13	Mpofu's injured clients lying there with the red, an orange garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct?	13	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on
13	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct?	13	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on
13 14	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right?	13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph?
13 14 15	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair.	13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph? COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to look
13 14 15 16	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now Ms Keetse,	13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph? COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to look quickly. It's difficult to identify it from the air here,
13 14 15 16 17	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now Ms Keetse, can she tell us who the gentleman is with the orange upper	13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph? COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to look quickly. It's difficult to identify it from the air here, Chair.
13 14 15 16 17 18	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now Ms Keetse, can she tell us who the gentleman is with the orange upper garment? Or perhaps she can find out from her clients. Ms	 13 14 15 16 17 18 	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph? COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to look quickly. It's difficult to identify it from the air here, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. If you
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now Ms Keetse, can she tell us who the gentleman is with the orange upper garment? Or perhaps she can find out from her clients. Ms Keetse, can you help us?	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph? COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to look quickly. It's difficult to identify it from the air here, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. If you can't, you can't. I just was hopeful you could help us but
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now Ms Keetse, can she tell us who the gentleman is with the orange upper garment? Or perhaps she can find out from her clients. Ms Keetse, can you help us? MS KEETSE: I think I know the person.	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph? COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to look quickly. It's difficult to identify it from the air here, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. If you can't, you can't. I just was hopeful you could help us but – Ms Hemraj, who of course wasn't there either in a
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now Ms Keetse, can she tell us who the gentleman is with the orange upper garment? Or perhaps she can find out from her clients. Ms Keetse, can you help us? MS KEETSE: I think I know the person. It should be Happy Matageni. We did some investigation	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph? COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to look quickly. It's difficult to identify it from the air here, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. If you can't, you can't. I just was hopeful you could help us but – Ms Hemraj, who of course wasn't there either in a helicopter or on the ground, she thinks it's the rock on
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now Ms Keetse, can she tell us who the gentleman is with the orange upper garment? Or perhaps she can find out from her clients. Ms Keetse, can you help us? MS KEETSE: I think I know the person. It should be Happy Matageni. We did some investigation with Mr Wesley sometime ago and I checked with the client,	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	CHAIRPERSON: Is that rock visible on this photograph? COLONEL VERMAAK: I just want to look quickly. It's difficult to identify it from the air here, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. If you can't, you can't. I just was hopeful you could help us but – Ms Hemraj, who of course wasn't there either in a helicopter or on the ground, she thinks it's the rock on the right-hand side right near the edge above that shadow
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	garment. That appears to be the dry dam, is that correct? Have I got that right? MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now Ms Keetse, can she tell us who the gentleman is with the orange upper garment? Or perhaps she can find out from her clients. Ms Keetse, can you help us? MS KEETSE: I think I know the person. It should be Happy Matageni. We did some investigation with Mr Wesley sometime ago and I checked with the client, it is indeed Happy Matageni, a survivor. Thank you.	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	CHAIRPERSON:Is that rock visible onthis photograph?COLONEL VERMAAK:I just want to lookquickly.It's difficult to identify it from the air here,Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, okay.If youcan't, you can't.I just was hopeful you could help us but- Ms Hemraj, who of course wasn't there either in ahelicopter or on the ground, she thinks it's the rock onthe right-hand side right near the edge above that shadowbut if you can't tell us then she can't tell us either, but

Pretoria

1 MS LE ROUX: Chair, I think we can. We 1 and at one point, the back way to describe II to how who 2 believe - were marking the rock now. Chair, II may be 3 are soging to look the due black is, It was the vehicle 4 this and if we zoon in on the rock where the TRT members 4 the helicoptor flow at one stage. That's 5 and they, and the RT are lying behnd the second from the 6 and they, and the RT are lying behnd the second from the 6 and they, and the RT are lying behnd the second from the 6 and they cores examination. The still on quile 7 top of the pholograph. 7 Top of the pholograph. The still the vehicle subtract referring to. Anyway. 1 dots. Add then if the refurn to 8 such stage and the RT would be the refurn to 10 dots. COLONEL VERMAAK. That may - 11 Ms SE E ROUX: Learners were sorging them and you baser dot the worker and at the would be the social to 11 of the paol the refurn to the of refurn the refurn to refurn to the social to 13 Rescense the social the worker and the orgin the refurn to the social to 13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing atmosthand the social to 14 <		Page 26063		Page 26065
2 believe – were marking the rock now. Chair, it may be asselved for you to go tack to 5136 and them come tack to the vector were the rock where the TR members and they, and the TRT are lying behind the second from the top of the photograph. 2 are going to took at the belicopter flew at one states and they, and the TRT are lying behind the second from the top of the photograph. 3 does to a point it modiately above the whick optic referring to. Anyway. 7 For the photograph. 10 452.7 and they stowed work the whick optic and they stowed optic to making but take it that it will be come clear to min it due course. 10 MS LE ROUX: And then if we return to an 11 of cket direction in the vectors. 10 MS LE ROUX: And xoom, those appears to an 11 of the sing of the second the an 11 of the targ of the second the and turning back, does that occur in the 20 seconds between the photograph there are, proceeding down trom the cents. 11 The the photograph. There are, proceeding down trom the cents. 10 MS LE ROUX: Make the that you target the photograph. 2 was, Ithink the third, more or loss the one in the motograph. 10 10 10 3 optic target	1	5	1	•
3 caster for you to go back to 5136 and then come back to this and if we zoom in on the rock where the TRT members are. So there seem to to sort of four groupings of rocks and they, and the TRT are jving behind the second from the cOLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. 3 cbs to which the helicopter flaw at one stage. There's and they, and the TRT are jving behind the second from the cOLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. 5 came very dose to a point limicalistly above the vehicle and they, and the TRT are jving behind the second from the cOLONEL VERMAAK: In an any - 5 came very dose to a point limicalistly above the vehicle and they and the TRT are jving behind the second for MS LE ROUX. And then if we return to a first cane very dose to a point limicalistly above the vehicle are method, thread and the rook and an an transport of the are point above the vehicle are method, thread and the rook and and the rook from the top of that series. 11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 16 16 cannow very dose to a point limicalistical that juo and traing back dose that occurs. 16			2	
4 this and if we zoom in on the rock where the TRT members 4 the helicopter moving across the scene and a ne point II 5 are. So there seem to be sort of four groupings of rocks 5 and the St we helicopter moving across the scene and a ne point II 7 top of the photograph. 5 and the St we helicopter moving across the scene and a ne point II 10 4552 - MS LE ROUX: And then if we return to 5 and the St we helicopter moving across the scene and a net we helice and the st we helicopter moving across the scene and a net point III and the two moves at 11 652 - MS LE ROUX: And zoon, those appears to 10 MS LE ROUX: And zoon, those appears to 12 on to tock direction at the vehicle that we now seat 11 for working each on the scene and a net moving in the and you saw them moving in the test of thit sares. 13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in 13 for working each on the trop of thit sares. 14 the photograph there are, proceeding down form the contert 14 and the scene and the or you are point them being in the middle and when you 15 of the age down to the right hand bottom correr there are point of the advers. It was the moving at the scene and the or you are point them being in the middle and when you 14				
5 are. So there seem to be sort of four groupings of rocks 6 and they, and the TR are lying behind the second from the 6 and they, and the TR are lying behind the second from the 6 and that's the vehicle synare referring to. Anyway, 7 COLONEL VERMAAK: in. 9 become team to me in due course. 10 4552 - COLONEL VERMAAK: That may - 11 discourd and behavior to me in due course. 10 12 MS LE ROUX: And them any course examination. The still not quile 13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in 1 14 and individe been behavior 11 if we could pick up one other aspect of your veldence about 14 and Individe been behavior 13 poople running away from the direction in which the water 15 ords from the top of that series. 14 and uning back, does that accours. 15 ords from the top of that series. 16 and uning back, does that accours. 16 the pholograph. fund in run back. That stopping 17 of that series. fund in run back. That stopping 18 when you report them baling in the middle and when yo				
6 and they, and the TRT are lying behind the second from the 6 and that's the vehicle your's reterrings to. Anyway, 7 top of the photograph.				
7 top of the photograph. 7 proceed with your cross-examination. I'm still not quite 8 COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. 8 strew shat point your making but 1 kake it that it will 10 4552 - MS LE ROUX: Inductional that may - 11 COLONEL VERMAAK: That may - 12 MS LE ROUX: Inductional the weaker 13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in 14 ant 10 ockk direction at the weaker 15 Mrikhonjwa and the TRT would've been behind the second 16 rock from the top of that series. 17 of that series, is that right? 18 the point other arc. proceeding down from the care. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
8 COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja. 8 sure what point you're making but I take it that it will 9 MS LE ROUX: And then if we return to 9 become clear to me in due course. 11 COLONEL VERMAAK: That may – 11 if we could pick up one other aspect of your evidence about 12 MS LE ROUX: And zoom, those appears to 12 this, you testified this moming that you observed the 13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in 13 poope numps away from the direction in which the water 14 and then fit or of that series. 14 in poope numps away from the direction in which the water 15 forck from the top of that series. And then ing you were point to 5 direction of the dry dam and then 'um back. That stopping 16 the photograph three are, two rocks, and the one you were point to 14 wan you export them being in the middle and when you 23 suppose there are five rocks, and the one you were point to 14 movement? 24 MS LE ROUX: Ves, Chair. 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No Learon you 3 parkaps comment on that now, Licutenant-Colone? 7 3 benich, the whick is that you? 1			6	
9 MS LE ROUX: And then if we return to 9 become clear to me in due course. 10 452 - MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, 11 COLONEL VERMAAK: That may - MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, 12 an 11 octock direction at the vehicle that we now see at 1 if we could pick up on either aspect of your evidence about 13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in 13 peopler running maxy from the direction in which the water 14 an 11 octock direction at the vehicle that we now see at 1 cannos were spraying them and you saw them moving in the 16 rock from the top of that sories. Aright. If one looks at 1 and turning back, does that occur in the 20 seconds between 16 of the page down to the right-hand bottom comer there are 10 occurept the babolis; that shooling, that shooling, that shooling took 12 was, I think the third, more or less the one in the middle 2 drive that sportikers the shooling, that shooling, the sport port in the spage 20060 trevek through, is that sporting to more. <td>7</td> <td></td> <td>7</td> <td>proceed with your cross-examination. I'm still not quite</td>	7		7	proceed with your cross-examination. I'm still not quite
10 4552 - 10 MS LE ROUX: Lisutenant-Colonel Vermaak, 11 COLONEL VERMARK: That may - 11 I' we could pick up one other aspect of your evidence about 13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in 11 I' we could pick up one other aspect of your evidence about 14 an 11 oclock direction at the vehicle that we now see at 11 I' we could pick up one other aspect of your evidence about 15 for Khrom the top of that series: assu them mowing that you base them and then you saw them mowing in the 16 for Khrom the top of that series: aspect of the page down to the right-hand bottom corner there are and turning back, does that occur in the 20 seconds between 19 of the asgee down to the right-hand bottom corner there are 12 and turning back, does that occur in the 20 seconds between 19 of that series, is that right? 20 COLONEL VERMAK: That's correct. 21 suppose there are five rocks, al 21 MS LE ROUX: Did you also observe Papa9 22 was, I think the tright? 21 MS LE ROUX: No Le ROUX: Did you observe Papa9 23 place in that poried of time? Did you observe Papa9 22 drive to that specific point<	8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja.	8	sure what point you're making but I take it that it will
11 If we could pick up one other aspect of your evidence about 12 MS LE ROUX: And zoom, those appears to 13 be one, to three, our, so we see them pointing almost it 14 an 11 o'clock direction at the vehicle that we now see at 15 Mr Mknojwa and the TRT would've been behind the second 16 for kork from the top of that series. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Alright. If one looks at 18 the photograph there are, proceeding down from the centre 19 optimum way fight-hand bottom corner there are 20 actually essentially, 1 suppose, four or five rocks, 1 21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 22 was, 1 think tho third, more or less the one in the middle 23 of that series, is that right? 24 m & bE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair. 26 routsment on that nony, Lieutenant-Colonel? 27 right? 28 pathaps comment on that nony. Lieutenant-Colonel? 29 routsment shar secord fire way of the statements 3 perhaps comment on that nony. Lieutenant Colonel? 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If 1 hook at the two <td>9</td> <td>MS LE ROUX: And then if we return to</td> <td>9</td> <td>become clear to me in due course.</td>	9	MS LE ROUX: And then if we return to	9	become clear to me in due course.
12 MS LE ROUX: And zoom, those appears to 12 this, you testified this morning that you observed the 13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in 13 people running away from the direction in which the water 14 an 11 of cock direction at the vehicle that we now see at 14 cance two, three, four, so we see spray lime and you saw them mowing in the 16 rock from the top of that series. 15 direction of the dry dam and then you testified that you 16 rock from the top of that series. 16 saw them mowe through and then turb back. That stopping 17 of that period to the right-hand bottom corner there are 17 report them being in the middle and when you 20 actually sestifially. Suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 21 MS LE ROUX: Nat soot where the shooling, that shooting took 21 wiss, I think the third, more or less the one in the middle 21 MS LE ROUX: No. I was not 22 wiss, I think the third, more or cars you 3 place in that period of time? Did you observe Papapa? 23 belicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you 3 place in that period of time? Did you observe? 24 hollcopter, Macan't Help weand the the work in a the	10	4552 –	10	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
13 be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in 13 people running away from the direction in which the water 14 an 11 o'clock direction at the vehicle that we now see at 14 cnanors were spraying them and you saw them moving in the 16 for kindly and the TR would've been behind the second 16 cnanors were spraying them and you saw them moving in the 17 CHAIRPERSON: Aright. If one looks at 16 saw them move through and the Turn back. That stopping 18 the photograph thers are, proceeding down from the certain 17 collable. 18 when wore through and then turn back. That stopping 18 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point 17 roport the two bodies? 18 when you report them being in the middle 20 of that series, is that right? 21 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 22 21 was the point of that series, is that right? 23 drive that spot where the shooting, that shooting took 23 of that series, is that right? 32 fold that series, is that right? 24 24 fold that series, is that right? 34 concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 3 people turning away	11	COLONEL VERMAAK: That may –	11	if we could pick up one other aspect of your evidence about
14 an 11 o'clock direction at the vehicle that we now see at 14 canons were spraying them and you saw them moving in the 15 Mr Mkhonjwa and the TRT would've been behind the second 16 saw them move through and them turn back. That stopping 17 CHAIRPERSON: Airight. If one looks at 18 when you report them being in the middle and when you 19 of the page down to the right-hand bottom corner there are 18 when you report them being in the middle and when you 20 actually essentially. I suppose, four or five rocks, I 10 movement? 20 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 21 whas LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 20 clock of time? Did you abso observe Pappa? 21 movement? 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No I was not 3 belicopter, he carn't help us but that's – or can you 3 behind the Pappa? vehicle that has been pointed out now. 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two 5 behind the Pappa? vehicle that has been pointed out now. 5 protaps comment on that now, Licutenant-Colonel? 4 MS LE ROUX: Lie Row, would you like to carry on? 6 chair firk firk	12	MS LE ROUX: And zoom, those appears to	12	this, you testified this morning that you observed the
15 Mr Mkhonjwa and the TRT would've been behind the second 15 direction of the dry dam and then you testified that you 16 rock from the top of that series. 16 saw them move through and then turn back. That is topping 17 CHAIRPERSON: Aright. If one looks at 17 and turning back, does that occur in the 20 seconds between 18 the photograph there are, proceeding down from the centre 18 when you report them being in the middle and when you 19 of the page down to the right-hand bottom corner three are 19 report the two bodies? 20 actually essentially. I suppose, four or five rocks, I 18 when you report them being in the middle and when you 21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 18 When you report them bodies? 22 was, I think the trid, more or less the one in the middle 20 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 23 of that series, is that right? 24 forocentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 2 helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you 3 behind the Papa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. 3 protex, I think that is – COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 5 have you had an opportunity to review any	13	be one, two, three, four, so we see them pointing almost in	13	people running away from the direction in which the water
15 Mr Mkhonjwa and the TRT would've been behind the second 15 direction of the dry dam and then you testified that you 16 rock from the top of that series. 16 saw them move through and then turn back. That stopping 17 of HARRERSON: Aringht. If one looks at 17 and turning back, does that occur in the 20 seconds between 18 the photograph there are, proceeding down from the centre 18 when you report the two bodies? 20 actually essentially. I suppose, four of five rocks, I 18 when you report the two bodies? 21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 18 when you report the two bodies? 22 was, I think the tird, more or less the one in the middle 20 cloud there, where the shotoling, that shooting took 23 of that series, is that right? 24 drive to that spot where the shotoling, that shooting took 24 novement? COLONEL VERMAK: No. I was not 25 colonell type phylic that any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you 3 36 photos, Chair, I think that is – colonell type phylic the targe phylic that series; first 10 36 photos, Chair, I think that is –	14	an 11 o'clock direction at the vehicle that we now see at	14	cannons were spraying them and you saw them moving in the
16 rock from the top of that series. 16 saw them move through and then turn back. That stopping 17 CHAIRPERSON: Airight. If one looks at 18 the photograph there are, proceeding down from the centre 19 of the page down to the right-hand bottom corner there are 20 actually essentially. I suppose, four or five rocks, I 21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 22 was, I think the third, more or less the one in the middle 23 of that series, is that right? 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I was not 26 colonel Vermaak. No, I was not 27 protos, Chair, I think that is - can you 28 right? MS LE ROUX: Lee Noux: 30 protos, Chair, I think that is - CLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two 31 something that she will derive some satisfaction from. S 32 right? Submit the spage 20064 concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 34 helicopter, he can't help us but that's - or can you S bohind the Papage Vehicle that has been pointed	15	Mr Mkhonjwa and the TRT would've been behind the second	15	direction of the dry dam and then you testified that you
17 CHAIRPERSON: Alright. If one looks at 18 the photograph there are, proceeding down from the centre 19 of the page down to the right-hand bottom corner there are 20 actually essentially, I suppose, four or five rocks, I 21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 22 was, I think the third, more or less the one in the middle 23 of that series, is that right? 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 25 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the witness who was 2 ha better position than any of us bocause he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's - or can you 3 protos, Chair, I think that is - COLONEL VERMAAK: If look at the two 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If look at the two Shate gout had an opportunity to review any of the statements 5 right? You think Ms Le Roux is Shave you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 6 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. Yes the rear individual to two: 7 statements? booting and then a movement forwards and then a 8 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 9 COLONE	16	-	16	
18 the photograph there are, proceeding down from the centre 18 when you report them being in the middle and when you 19 of the page down to the right-hand bottom corner there are 20 actually essentially, I suppose, four or five rocks, I 20 20 actually essentially, I suppose, four or five rocks, I 20 COLONEL VERMAK: That's correct. 21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to MS LE ROUX: Did you also observe Pappa9 22 was, I think the third, more or less the one in the middle of that series, is that right? 25 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the witness who was 25 COLONEL VERMAK: No, I was not 7 in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you page 26066 1 in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you page 26066 2 protos, Chair, I think that is – COLONEL VERMAK: If hink & Le Rou's 1 concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 8 photos, Chair, I think was is right. 6 by the TR members that record that shooting, because those 4 COLONEL VERMAK: If hink she's right. 9 because they report this movement forwards and then a				
19 of the page down to the right-hand bottom corner there are tally essentially. I suppose, four of five rocks, I 19 report the two bodies? 20 actually essentially. I suppose, four of five rocks, I 20 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 21 MS LE ROUX: Tess, is that right? 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 22 drive to that spot where the shooting, that shooting took provement? 25 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the witness who was and hele one you were point to the page 20064 1 in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you gentage comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? Page 22064 1 Page 22064 3 perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? 4 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel? 4 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, 5 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 3 cOLONEL VERMAAK: If I hook at the two because they report this movement forwards and then a file on the angroup of the statements? 5 because they report this movement forwards and then a file on the specifies of that shooting, because they report this movement forwards and then a file welpif from the middle, they're going to for that they are going to them they are lister ore sacet 1. Now I hope not		5		-
20 actually essentially, I suppose, four or five rocks, I 20 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 21 MS LE ROUX: Did you also observe Pappa9 22 was, I think the third, more or less the one in the middle 22 drive to that spot where the shooting, that shooting took 23 of that series, is that right? 23 drive to that spot where the shooting. That scorrect. 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I was not 25 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the witness who was 26 1 concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 2 helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you 3 behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two 4 S have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 5 6 CHAIRPERSON: Airight, well, that's 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 10 Something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 1 to the Connexiston. I wasn't going to do that today, 9 CHAIRPERSON: Airight, well, that's 1 Statements? 1 Statements? 10				
21 suppose there are five rocks and the one you were point to 21 MS LE ROUX: Did you also observe Pappa9 22 was, I think the third, more or less the one in the middle 22 drive to that spot where the shooting, that shooting took 23 of that series, is that right? 22 drive to that spot where the shooting, that shooting took 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 23 25 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the witness who was 25 26 COLONEL VERMAAK: No. I was not 27 perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? 24 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel? 26 CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 3 perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? 4 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If hiok she's right. 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 5 pottog Something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 10 shooting and then a movement forwards and then a 10 something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 11 Le Roux, would you like to				-
22 was, I think the third, more or less the one in the middle 22 drive to that spot where the shooting, that shooting took 23 of that series, is that right? 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I was not 24 movement? 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I was not Page 2006 1 in a better position than any of us because he was in a belicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you 3 concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 5 printos, Chair, I think that is – 6 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 8 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 9 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 9 beause they report this movement forwards and then a 10 something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 1 tatements? 12 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – 13 and if we play it from 11 to 11.47, Chair, that clip on 13 shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen tos shooting you cross-examination and 14 14 14 14 14 14				
23 of that series, is that right? 23 place in that period of time? Did you observe Pappa9's 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I was not 25 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the witness who was 26 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I was not 26 Page 26064 1 concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 2 helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you 3 perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? 3 pothos, Chair, I think that is – COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two 5 hold the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 6 CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 7 right? 8 to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, 9 9 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's 10 shooting and then a movement forwards and then a 10 something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 12 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got - 11 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on 13 MS LE ROUX:				
24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 24 movement? 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I was not 26 in a better position than any of us because he was in a 2 helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you Page 26064 3 perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? they are going to break through, is that specific point 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two 5 photos, Chair, I think that is – - 6 CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is 7 right? Something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 8 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 9 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's 10 something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 1 11 Le Roux, would you like to carry on? 1 12 MS LE ROUX: Chair, fif we go back to CC22 13 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on 13 14 FW rote coin well see Papa9 come to that position at the secouse the web been dealing 14 16 with from, 'Guys theyre in the middle, theyre going to 15				
25 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway the witness who was 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I was not 24 Page 26064 In a better position than any of us because he was in a a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you a perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? Page 26064 3 perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? ColoneL VERMAAK: If I look at the two of they are going to break through, is that specific point 5 photos, Chair, I think that is – 6 CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is 6 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 5 have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements 6 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 9 because they report this movement forwards and then a 10 something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 1 statements? 1 11 Le Roux, would you like to carry on? 1 coloneL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – 12 MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 1 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – 13 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47. Chair, that clip on 1 Statements? 1 statements? 14 Protea Coin we'll see Papa9a com		-		
Page 26064 Page 26064 1 in a better position than any of us because he was in a concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 2 helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that 3 perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two 5 photos, Chair, I think that is – 6 6 CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is 7 right? 5 8 COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. 9 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's 10 something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms 1 11 Le Roux, would you like to carry on? 1 12 MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 13 and if we play if from 11 to 11:47. Chair, that clip on 1 14 Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at 15 15 exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing 15 16 with from, "Guys theyre in the middle, theyre going to 14 17 break through to the communication about the two 17 18 bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position at 16 19 break throu				
1in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's - or can you1concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that2helicopter, he can't help us but that's - or can you2they are going to break through, is that specific point3perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel?3behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now.4COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two4MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,5photos, Chair, I think that is -5have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements6CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is6by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those7statements will - we can put them together and provide them8COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right.9because they report this movement forwards and then a9CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin well see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to13MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,19bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the <td< td=""><td>25</td><td>CHAIRFERSON. Anyway the witness who was</td><td>25</td><td>COLONEL VERWAAR. NO, I Was hot</td></td<>	25	CHAIRFERSON. Anyway the witness who was	25	COLONEL VERWAAR. NO, I Was hot
1in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's - or can you1concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that2helicopter, he can't help us but that's - or can you2they are going to break through, is that specific point3perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel?3behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now.4COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two4MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,5photos, Chair, I think that is -5have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements6CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is6by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those7statements will - we can put them together and provide them8COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right.9because they report this movement forwards and then a9CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin well see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to13MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,19bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
3perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel?3behind the Papa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now.4COLONEL VERMAAK:If I look at the two5behind the Papa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now.5photos, Chair, I think that is -6CHAIRPERSON:You think Ms Le Roux is56CHAIRPERSON:You think Ms Le Roux is5have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements6COLONEL VERMAAK:I think she's right.5something that she will derive some satisfaction from.87COLONEL VERMAAK:I think she's right.9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from.10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11Statements?12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:No, I haven't got -13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Papa9 come to that position at14I'm ontom ypenultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position at16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not t		Page 26064		Page 26066
4COLONEL VERMAAK:If I look at the two4MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,5photos, Chair, I think that is -6MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,6CHAIRPERSON:You think Ms Le Roux is6by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those7right?6by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those8COLONEL VERMAAK:I think she's right.8to the Commission.I wasn't going to do that today,9CHAIRPERSON:Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11statements?12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:14Protea Coin we'll see Papa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the19Stop.[VIDEO I S SHOWN]14If that's of assistance to you and1424[VIDEO I S SHOWN]24for video footage that ca	1		1	
4COLONEL VERMAAK:If I look at the two4MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,5photos, Chair, I think that is –5have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements6CHAIRPERSON:You think Ms Le Roux is6by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those7right?7statements will – we can put them together and provide them8COLONEL VERMAAK:I think she's right.8to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today,9CHAIRPERSON:Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11statements?2MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC22113and if we play if from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and17break through'' through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position at19commission. I should also just note that the footage, the20[VIDEO I S SHOWN]Chair, were marking it on14that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team,21[VIDEO I S SHOWN]Chair, were marking it on14that has been made by the Human Ri	_	in a better position than any of us because he was in a		concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that
5photos, Chair, I think that is -5have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements6CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is6by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those7right?7statements will - we can put them together and provide them8COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right.8to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today,9CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11statements?12MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got -13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17break through' through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position at14'Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]20 <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you</td><td>2</td><td>concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point</td></t<>	2	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you	2	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point
6CHAIRPERSON:You think Ms Le Roux is6by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those7right?5statements will – we can put them together and provide them8COLONEL VERMAAK:I think she's right.8to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today,9CHAIRPERSON:Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11statements?12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:No, I haven't got –13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too16bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18video cotage that has come to light after the presentation21IVIEO IS SHOWNIMS LE ROUX.Chair, we're marking it on21that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team,21MS LE ROUX.Chair, we're marking it on22that is being updated and a consolidat	2 3	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel?	2 3	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now.
7right?7statements will - we can put them together and provide them8COLONEL VERMAAK:I think she's right.8to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today,9CHAIRPERSON:Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11statements?12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:No, I haven't got -13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17break through" through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the19fMIDEO IS SHOWNI20Video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on21that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team,23 <td>2 3 4</td> <td>in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two</td> <td>2 3 4</td> <td>concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,</td>	2 3 4	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two	2 3 4	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
8COLONEL VERMAAK:I think she's right.8to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today,9CHAIRPERSON:Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:No, I haven't got –13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17break through" through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the19IVIDEO IS SHOWNI20video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on2123your fellow Commissioners.22that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will23 <td>2 3 4 5</td> <td>in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is –</td> <td>2 3 4 5</td> <td>concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements</td>	2 3 4 5	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is –	2 3 4 5	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements
9CHAIRPERSON:Alright, well, that's9because they report this movement forwards and then a10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11statements?12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:No, I haven't got –13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and16exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17break through'' through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the19stop.IVIDEO IS SHOWNI20video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on21that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will23your fellow Commissioners.23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account </td <td>2 3 4 5 6</td> <td>in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is</td> <td>2 3 4 5 6</td> <td>concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those</td>	2 3 4 5 6	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is	2 3 4 5 6	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those
10something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms10shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11statements?12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:No, I haven't got –13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17break through" through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then19commission. I should also just note that the footage, the20IVIDEO IS SHOWNI20video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on2123your fellow Commissioners.22that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will23your fellow Commissioners.23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle25 <td>2 3 4 5 6 7</td> <td>in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right?</td> <td>2 3 4 5 6 7</td> <td>concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them</td>	2 3 4 5 6 7	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them
11Le Roux, would you like to carry on?11statements?12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:No, I haven't got –13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17break through" through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the19stop.19Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]20video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on2123your fellow Commissioners.23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]23eride footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle2526CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle2527Gran we can be blow of you indicated the vehicle2528C	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today,
12MS LE ROUX:Chair, if we go back to CC2212COLONEL VERMAAK:No, I haven't got -13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17break through" through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the19stop.19Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]20video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on2122the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and22that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will23your fellow Commissioners.23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle25series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a
13and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on13MS LE ROUX:Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too17break through" through to the communication about the two17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the19Stop.19Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]20video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on2123the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and22that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will23your fellow Commissioners.23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle25series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those
14Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.14I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the commission. I should also just note that the footage, the video footage that has come to light after the presentation that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team, that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account of the video footage that came to light after that GW6 series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements?
15exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.15that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the commission. I should also just note that the footage, the video footage that has come to light after the presentation that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team, that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account is provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account of the video footage that came to light after that GW6 is provided to the commission shortly. That after that GW623CHAIRPERSON;You indicated the vehicle25series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got –
16with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.16of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX: the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and your fellow Commissioners.21that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team, that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account of the video footage that came to light after that GW6 Series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
17break through '' through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.17long on this because we, there's a lot of objective18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the 1920[VIDEO IS SHOWN]20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]2021MS LE ROUX: the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and your fellow Commissioners.21that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team, 2224[VIDEO IS SHOWN]23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account 2425CHAIRPERSON: You indicated the vehicle25series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and
18bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the 1920[VIDEO IS SHOWN]18evidence that's available and that's been provided to the 191920[VIDEO IS SHOWN]20iVIDEO IS SHOWN]2021MS LE ROUX: the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and your fellow Commissioners.21that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team, 2224[VIDEO IS SHOWN]23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account 2425CHAIRPERSON: You indicated the vehicle24series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement
19stop.19Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]20video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on21that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team,22the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and22that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will23your fellow Commissioners.23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too
20[VIDEO IS SHOWN]20video footage that has come to light after the presentation21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on21that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team,22the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and22that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will23your fellow Commissioners.23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle2526Series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective
21MS LE ROUX:Chair, we're marking it on21that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team,22the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and22that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team,23your fellow Commissioners.23be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective evidence that's available and that's been provided to the
22the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and your fellow Commissioners.22that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will 2324[VIDEO IS SHOWN]24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective evidence that's available and that's been provided to the Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the
23 your fellow Commissioners.23 be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account24 [VIDEO IS SHOWN]24 of the video footage that came to light after that GW625 CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle26 CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective evidence that's available and that's been provided to the Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the video footage that has come to light after the presentation
24[VIDEO IS SHOWN]24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle24of the video footage that came to light after that GW625CHAIRPERSON:You indicated the vehicle25series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're marking it on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective evidence that's available and that's been provided to the Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the video footage that has come to light after the presentation that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team,
25 CHAIRPERSON: You indicated the vehicle 25 series was completed. But there are three aspects of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're marking it on the screen behind you, jf that's of assistance to you and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective evidence that's available and that's been provided to the Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the video footage that has come to light after the presentation that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team, that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're marking it on the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and your fellow Commissioners.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective evidence that's available and that's been provided to the Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the video footage that has come to light after the presentation that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team, that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in a better position than any of us because he was in a helicopter, he can't help us but that's – or can you perhaps comment on that now, Lieutenant-Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: If I look at the two photos, Chair, I think that is – CHAIRPERSON: You think Ms Le Roux is right? COLONEL VERMAAK: I think she's right. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well, that's something that she will derive some satisfaction from. Ms Le Roux, would you like to carry on? MS LE ROUX: Chair, if we go back to CC22 and if we play it from 11 to 11:47, Chair, that clip on Protea Coin we'll see Pappa9 come to that position at exactly the time, the 20 second gap that we've been dealing with from, "Guys they're in the middle, they're going to break through" through to the communication about the two bodies. So you'll see it drive to that position and then stop. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're marking it on the screen behind you, if that's of assistance to you and your fellow Commissioners. [VIDEO IS SHOWN]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	concentrating on them. Where I said to the vehicles that they are going to break through, is that specific point behind the Pappa9 vehicle that has been pointed out now. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, have you had an opportunity to review any of the statements by the TRT members that record that shooting, because those statements will – we can put them together and provide them to the Commission. I wasn't going to do that today, because they report this movement forwards and then a shooting and then a movement back. Have you seen those statements? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I haven't got – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm onto my penultimate topic in your cross-examination and that relates to scene 1 striker movement, so the movement of the strikers at scene 1. Now I hope not to spend too long on this because we, there's a lot of objective evidence that's available and that's been provided to the Commission. I should also just note that the footage, the video footage that has come to light after the presentation that has been made by the Human Rights Commission team, that is being updated and a consolidated presentation will be provided to the Commission shortly, that takes account of the video footage that came to light after that GW6

1	Page 26067	1	Page 26069
1	evidence on the movement of the strikers at scene 1 that	1	depiction on OOO17 is that the strikers do not go nearly as
2	I'd like to deal with today. As I understand it and you	2	far to the north as you suggest when they leave the koppie.
3	set this out in the exhibit that's been marked as 00017,	3	So if we can start in this GW6A and go to page 25, here we
4	there are three aspects of what you testified about and how	4	see – again it's not able to definitively attach a time to
5	you marked it in exhibit 00017 that we'd like to deal with	5	this, it seems to be around 15:51 when we correlate it to
6	because it doesn't accord with our analysis of the	6	the Rowland headgear footage.
7	objective evidence, of the video and photograph evidence	7	[11:49] But here the point is we see the lead striker
8	that we have. And we will submit to the Commission that	8	group walking immediately adjacent to Nyala 5 and then if
9	what is set out in GW6A, the annexure to Gary White's	9	we go to the next slide, page 26, this plots that on the
10	statement, depicts the route taken, save for the one	10	plan. So we have the group shown in the grey oval in
11	amendment that we must make in light of video footage that	11	relation to Nyala 4 and Nyala 5. So it's closer to Nyala
12	came before the Commission after that document was	12	5.
13	prepared, with respect to the suggested attempt by some	13	If we then go to the video clip JJJ194.13 we will
14	protesters to pass between the kraal and Pappa5, that	14	submit that this confirms that the whole of the lead group
15	attempt being blocked by Nyala 4 dragging its barbed wire	15	passes very closely to the north of Nyala 5, not nearly as
16	across. So we need to amend in that respect but even if we	16	far to the north as Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak indicates on
17	compare, with that amendment in mind, on the GW6A document	17	OOO17, if we could just watch that clip, 194.13. So Chair,
18	- for the record it would be page 60 - there are some	18	that's Nyala 5 that we see and this is the strikers very
19	significant differences that we now want to deal with and	19	close to it.
20	we'll do the comparison. So it relates to the movement of	20	If we then go back into GW6A and to slide 29 and
21	the strikers in two respects as well as the use of teargas,	21	then 30 we see there the objective evidence showing the
22	stun grenades and water cannon.	22	lead strikers continuing to be very close to Nyala 5 even
23	Chair, perhaps just for the record to clarify	23	when it's driven past them. That's Nyala 4. Nyala 5 is
24	what we're comparing, it's exhibit OOO17 where Lieutenant-	24	the vehicle we see to the left out of frame, and if we go
25	Colonel Vermaak has marked the route of protesters and then	25	to the next slide again that is plotted and the grey oval
	Page 26068		Page 26070
1	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary	1	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and
1 2	5	1 2	
	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement.		indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and
2	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not	2	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5.
2 3	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement.	2 3	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I
2 3 4	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1.	2 3 4	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll
2 3 4 5	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the
2 3 4 5 6	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and	2 3 4 5 6	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the
2 3 4 5 6 7	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide,	2 3 4 5 6 7	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that needs to be made in light of the video footage we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it. I stay with what I've shown there. That is a picture that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that needs to be made in light of the video footage we've obtained but just to show that this is, this is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it. I stay with what I've shown there. That is a picture that I've taken there and if you look at this picture you will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that needs to be made in light of the video footage we've obtained but just to show that this is, this is the *11:30/18-46 document we have in front of you, with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it. I stay with what I've shown there. That is a picture that I've taken there and if you look at this picture you will not see any people near this area here. When we saw them,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that needs to be made in light of the video footage we've obtained but just to show that this is, this is the *11:30/18-46 document we have in front of you, with that one minor amendment, it's the Human Rights Commission's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it. I stay with what I've shown there. That is a picture that I've taken there and if you look at this picture you will not see any people near this area here. When we saw them, and that is the picture that is in front of us, most of –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that needs to be made in light of the video footage we've obtained but just to show that this is, this is the *11:30/18-46 document we have in front of you, with that one minor amendment, it's the Human Rights Commission's analysis of what the objective evidence shows, striker	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it. I stay with what I've shown there. That is a picture that I've taken there and if you look at this picture you will not see any people near this area here. When we saw them, and that is the picture that is in front of us, most of – you will see most of the people gathering in this side,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that needs to be made in light of the video footage we've obtained but just to show that this is, this is the *11:30/18-46 document we have in front of you, with that one minor amendment, it's the Human Rights Commission's analysis of what the objective evidence shows, striker movement at scene 1. And I'd now like to do the three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it. I stay with what I've shown there. That is a picture that I've taken there and if you look at this picture you will not see any people near this area here. When we saw them, and that is the picture that is in front of us, most of – you will see most of the people gathering in this side, that group was moving in front of them. So there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that needs to be made in light of the video footage we've obtained but just to show that this is, this is the *11:30/18-46 document we have in front of you, with that one minor amendment, it's the Human Rights Commission's analysis of what the objective evidence shows, striker movement at scene 1. And I'd now like to do the three major discrepancies between that analysis and what OOO17	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it. I stay with what I've shown there. That is a picture that I've taken there and if you look at this picture you will not see any people near this area here. When we saw them, and that is the picture that is in front of us, most of – you will see most of the people gathering in this side, that group was moving in front of them. So there were nobody moving according to us and the observation that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if we put up page 60 of GW6A, that's part of JJJ178, Gary White's statement. It's an annexure to the statement, not in the statement. MS PILLAY: Chair, JJJ178.1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS LE ROUX: If we can find JJJ178.1 and if we can go to slide 60, the final slide, the final slide, 60. And Chair and Commissioners, if I could ask you to turn around so that we can mark the one amendment we need to make on this after viewing the video footage, is that the third arrow needs to extend towards the gap between the kraal and Pappa5 and then loop back to the fourth arrow and continue around the kraal. So that third arrow needs to come closer to the kraal and then the yellow arrows continue around the kraal. That's the one amendment that needs to be made in light of the video footage we've obtained but just to show that this is, this is the *11:30/18-46 document we have in front of you, with that one minor amendment, it's the Human Rights Commission's analysis of what the objective evidence shows, striker movement at scene 1. And I'd now like to do the three major discrepancies between that analysis and what OOO17 shows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	indicates the protester group in relation to Nyalas 4 and 5. So if we can now go back to OOO17, Chair if I could ask you and the fellow Commissioners to turn, we'll mark Nyala 5 where we see it on the path. So on this the arrows that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak places as the movement of the group, we see from the objective evidence they are too far to the north, that that gap between the path where Nyala 5 was and the striker group is much closer as we see in the objective evidence. In light of what I've just pointed out to you, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, do you accept that the lead group didn't go as far north as you suggest but instead walked directly past Nyala 5 towards the kraal? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I do not accept it. I stay with what I've shown there. That is a picture that I've taken there and if you look at this picture you will not see any people near this area here. When we saw them, and that is the picture that is in front of us, most of – you will see most of the people gathering in this side, that group was moving in front of them. So there were nobody moving according to us and the observation that we have that was moving closing to that side.

		1	
1	Page 26071 from where the word "front" appears towards the second TSW.	1	Page 26073 concentrating on the point that's under discussion. We
2	There are two TSWs to the photograph, that stands for	2	were puzzling about where it's taking us, but that's
3	teargas, stun grenades, and water cannon. As one moves	3	something that will become clear to us in due course, I
4	into the photograph from the left-hand side there's one TSW	4	trust.
5	and then there's another one slightly above the kraal. Now	5	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if I can
6	between that TSW, the second, what I call the second TSW	6	start with the water cannon, how sure are you that the
7	and the front there's a path and you say that you didn't	7	water cannon was used to deter strikers from the attempt to
8	see protesters, or strikers as I prefer to call them, in	8	pass between the kraal and Papa5 and Nyala 4?
9	that area. You then refer to the position close to the	9	COLONEL VERMAAK: We saw the water cannon
10	word "second," which is further on, on the photograph and I	10	spraying in that area. I can't exactly point out the
11	understood you to say that it's more or less in that	11	direction that they was concentrating on, but I can assure
12	vicinity that you saw the strikers.	12	you that we were able to see that the water cannon was
13	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	13	activated.
14	CHAIRPERSON: Am I summarising correctly?	14	MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel
15	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	15	Vermaak, let me be clear; we don't dispute that the water
16	MS LE ROUX: Now Lieutenant-Colonel,	16	cannon was used. The dispute is as to when it was used.
17	obviously the objective evidence speaks for itself, but	17	You can't pinpoint –
18	there do seem to be two groups. There's the lead group and	18	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I can't-
19	obviously in this photograph that you've plotted on they'd	19	MS LE ROUX: - timing-wise when you saw
20	already moved past that position with Nyala 5. So the lead	20	the water cannon spraying?
21	group that we see in the objective evidence going very	21	COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot give that
22	close to Nyala 5 and not nearly as far north as the group	22	information.
23	that you're describing on this document, you accept that	23	MS LE ROUX: Because on OOO17, your
24	the distinction between the two groups may explain this?	24	BlackBerry photograph, that's JJJ11.1515, that's taken at
25	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot say that	25	15:51:47 eTV time and we can see Nyala 4 in this image
		1	
	D 0/070		
1	Page 26072 because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of	1	Page 26074 halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so
1	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of	1	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so
2	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a	1 2 3	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water
	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you	2	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4
2 3	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a	2 3	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water
2 3 4	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it	2 3 4	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that?
2 3 4 5	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much	2 3 4 5	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible.
2 3 4 5 6	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise	2 3 4 5 6	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a
2 3 4 5 6 7	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from	2 3 4 5 6 7	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have expected the teargas,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading up to scene 1 and what we see from the objective evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once the way was blocked. So I would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the Nyala 4 got to the kraal and blocked the strikers' route.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading up to scene 1 and what we see from the objective evidence and that relates to the use of teargas, stun grenade, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once the way was blocked. So I would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the Nyala 4 got to the kraal and blocked the strikers' route. But if I'm on the wrong track please put me on the right –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading up to scene 1 and what we see from the objective evidence and that relates to the use of teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon between the kraal and Papa5.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once the way was blocked. So I would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the Nyala 4 got to the kraal and blocked the strikers' route. But if I'm on the wrong track please put me on the right – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I think you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading up to scene 1 and what we see from the objective evidence and that relates to the use of teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon between the kraal and Papa5. COLONEL VERMAAK: What is the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once the way was blocked. So I would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the Nyala 4 got to the kraal and blocked the strikers' route. But if I'm on the wrong track please put me on the right – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I think you are quite correct, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading up to scene 1 and what we see from the objective evidence and that relates to the use of teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon between the kraal and Papa5. COLONEL VERMAAK: What is the question about it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once the way was blocked. So I would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the Nyala 4 got to the kraal and blocked the strikers' route. But if I'm on the wrong track please put me on the right – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I think you are quite correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: Right, so Lieutenant-Colonel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading up to scene 1 and what we see from the objective evidence and that relates to the use of teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon between the kraal and Papa5. COLONEL VERMAAK: What is the question about it? MS LE ROUX: I'm just waiting for our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once the way was blocked. So I would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the Nyala 4 got to the kraal and blocked the strikers' route. But if I'm on the wrong track please put me on the right – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I think you are quite correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: Right, so Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, as I'd understood your evidence it was that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading up to scene 1 and what we see from the objective evidence and that relates to the use of teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon between the kraal and Papa5. COLONEL VERMAAK: What is the question about it? MS LE ROUX: I'm just waiting for our Commissioners to return their attention to your answers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once the way was blocked. So I would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the Nyala 4 got to the kraal and blocked the strikers' route. But if I'm on the wrong track please put me on the right – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I think you are quite correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: Right, so Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, as I'd understood your evidence it was that you thought it happened at that time. The objective evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	because why what we saw there was one group. The rest of the people join the group in the front. So I'm not a expert on photographs but what we have experienced, if you take a photo for, from here to this chair, on the photo it will be appears that the people at the back are much closer. So maybe somebody else can who have that expertise try to explain it to the Commission, but what we saw from the air is that is being pointed out on that photo there. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I think I should move off the point because we'll, you know the document that we have submitted plots the photograph and takes account of the foreshortening effect that you refer to by plotting it to objective landmarks that we see, and that's how we plotted the movements and we'll provide that to the Commission in an updated consolidated form. Let me move on then to the second discrepancy that we have between your description of the events leading up to scene 1 and what we see from the objective evidence and that relates to the use of teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon between the kraal and Papa5. COLONEL VERMAAK: What is the question about it? MS LE ROUX: I'm just waiting for our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	halfway between its starting position and the kraal and so presumably the use of the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon happened some time around the time that Nyala 4 arrived at the kraal. You'll accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, it's possible. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, can I just ask a question on that? Presumably by the time Nyala 4 got to the kraal it was no longer possible for the strikers to go past there because their way would have been blocked by the wire – COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - and Nyala 4. So I would have thought, just as a matter of probability, but I could be wrong, that the need for the teargas and the stun grenades and the water cannon would have fallen away once the way was blocked. So I would have expected the teargas, stun grenade, and water cannon to have been used before the Nyala 4 got to the kraal and blocked the strikers' route. But if I'm on the wrong track please put me on the right – COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I think you are quite correct, Chair. MS LE ROUX: Right, so Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, as I'd understood your evidence it was that you

	D 0/075		5 0/073
1	Page 26075 would have to be, as the Chair has put to you, prior to	1	Page 26077 photograph.
2	that.	2	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, one sees a path in
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, what the Chair put	3	fact first and they're to the left of the path.
4	to me, it is more possibility that – as I said to you, I	4	MS LE ROUX: Yes. These are the two
5	can't give you exact time when they activate the water	5	water cannons still only driving towards the kraal, but
6	cannon.	6	they're obviously about 30 to 40 metres away. We also
7	MS LE ROUX: Right.	7	observe in the photograph they're not shooting any water.
8	COLONEL VERMAAK: You must still	8	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to give you the timings
9	remember, as I said in the beginning, we are in a chopper	9	again; Nyala 4 reaches the kraal at 15:52:03. We see in
10	which is flying against about 120 kilometres an hour.	10	GW6D, page 35, one second after that is when the water
11	There are some instances that you don't have everything	11	cannons only start moving. 30 seconds before the TRT
12	visual that you have, wish to have.	12	shooting we see them in this photograph 30 to 40 metres
13	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, we know	13	away still and not shooting any water. In light of this
14	that Nyala 4 reaches the kraal at 15:52:03. We know that	14	objective evidence do you accept that it would be
15	from the Rowland Headgear and GW6A slide 47 records that	15	impossible for the water cannon to have been used at the
16	development, JJJ178.1, slide 47. So there we see Nyala 4	16	time that you claimed when you were explaining your OO017?
17	just reaching the kraal and being stationary. That's	17	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I will not say it's
18	15:52:03.	18	impossible. The water cannon can spray a long distance and
19	If I could then ask that we show GW6D, which is	19	what I saw is what I've testified, but unfortunately
20	the analysis of water cannons more closely. If we go to	20	there's no aerial footage of that. I think there is, I did
21	GW6D, which I assume is JJJ178.4. Perhaps Ms - is that	21	see somewhere photos on that two scenes where the water
22	correct?	22	cannons was activated, but I'll have to go through all the
23	MS PILLAY: That's correct, Chair.	23	photos to give you that specific one.
24	MS LE ROUX: 178.4. I'm indebted to my	24	MS LE ROUX: But Lieutenant-Colonel
25	learned friend for her assistance. Thank you, and if we go	25	Vermaak, the water cannons can't shoot 180 metres, can
	Page 26076		Page 26078
1	to slide 33 of this, this is where we see from 15:43:56	1	they?
2	North West and Jo'burg water cannons posted approximately	2	COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm not sure of what is
3	180 metres from the kraal. They're marked in this slide,	3	the total distance that they can shoot at.
4	and then if we go through to slide 35 we see that they	4	MS LE ROUX: Let me move on then. We
5	don't even start to move until 15:52:04. Slide 35	5	won't do the same exercise with respect to teargas and stun
6	indicates it's from the North West water cannon camera, it	6	grenade, but you've seen GW6E which, with respect to
7	shows them both still stationary and the North West water	7	teargas and stun grenade GW6E –
8	cannon about to set off towards the kraal. The Jo'burg	8	CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, are you moving
9	water cannon is visible still stationary beside it in this	9	on to a slightly new point?
10	screenshot. So the two water cannons don't even start to	10	MS LE ROUX: Yes.
11	move from their position about 180 metres away from the	11	CHAIRPERSON: Because I was requested to
12	kraal until 15:52:04, which is one second after Nyala 4 has	12	take the tea adjournment now.
13	arrived at the kraal. You'll recall that's at 15:52:03.	13	MS LE ROUX: That's fine, Chair.
14	If I could then ask that we look at JJJ11.1516.	14	CHAIRPERSON: So we have to bear in mind
15	JJJ11, photograph 1516, this photograph is taken at	15	the strain on people attending here, particularly those who
16	15:53:21. So that is less than 30 seconds before the TRT	16	are involved in the proceedings. So we'll take the tea
17	shooting starts and we can see both water cannons in the	17	adjournment now.
18	top right-hand corner of the photograph still driving	18	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
19	towards the kraal. Chair and Commissioners, if you turn,	19 20	[12:32] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
20	we're marking them with the laser pointer, and to describe	20 21	Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation.
21	them for the record, they're in the top right-hand corner	21 22	Ms Le Roux?
22 23	of the photograph. From the immediate right there's a small collection of vehicles, then moving to the left one	22 23	SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: (affirms further)
23	vehicle in isolation, and then moving again to the left we	23 24	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.):
24	see two larger vehicles heading towards the left of the	24 25	Thank you, Chair. Lieutenant-Colonel, just to round out
		20	manie jour onanie Eloutonanie obionon just to round out
	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE		

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

		-	
	Page 26079		Page 26081
1	the objective evidence on the water cannon, the objective	1	could play it?
2	evidence shows it shooting nine seconds before the TRT	2	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]
3	shooting starts, that's when we see a recording of, or any	3	MS LE ROUX: If we go a little bit
4	footage of the water cannon firing. So we, that's what the	4	further forward.
5	objective evidence shows. Do you accept that it's possible	5	CHAIRPERSON: 07.
6	that that's what you observed, the water cannon deploying	6	MS LE ROUX: We've paused it at seven
7	water nine seconds before the TRT shooting?	7	seconds into the clip0.
8	COLONEL VERMAAK: I will not say that is	8	CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
9	what I observed but I cannot dispute it as I was not on the	9	MS LE ROUX: And you can see the strikers
10	ground at that stage.	10	in this clip beyond the vehicles. That's Nyala 4 that we
11	MS LE ROUX: Then with respect to the use	11	see and we see the – so Nyala 4 at this point has already
12	of teargas and stun grenades, you've seen GW6E and there	12	touched the kraal and we see the strikers moving from left
13	it's set out how the objective evidence demonstrates that	13	to right.
14	there's no teargas or stun grenades being used more than 20	14	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
15	seconds before the TRT fire. Do you accept that	15	MS LE ROUX: If we could now move to
16	possibility, that there's no teargas or stun grenades until	16	JJJ194.16 and on this clip if we could just, if we could
17	20 seconds before the TRT volley starts?	17	pause this clip at 16 seconds, that's eTV time 15:52:29.
18	COLONEL VERMAAK: If there's evidence to	18	Chair, we're looking in this clip and we'll see a large
19	that, I can also not say it's not true.	19	group of the strikers in the background some distance away.
20	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, if we	20	This is, as we understand it, not the lead group that we
21	now move on to the third and final discrepancy that we have	21	see in this. We see the large group through the mouth of
22	between our analysis of the objective evidence and your	22	the kraal.
23	account set out in OOO17 and that's the large loop – if we	23	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]
24	can go back to OOO17? It's the large loop that we see	24	MS LE ROUX: Pause. If we go back a
25	between the first and second TSW marks, teargas, stun	25	little bit, there. Chair, this is paused at 15 seconds,
20	between the first and second row marks, teargas, stan	20	
	Page 26080		Page 26082
1	grenade, water cannon use on your document. And if we	1	that's the group of strikers that we see – Chair, if you
2	could start in JJJ197, these are the Channel 4 clips that	2	look at the screen behind you we're marking it with the
3	show the movement of the lead group.	3	laser pointer. Between the two vehicles you see the group
4	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]	4	of strikers through the kraal, through the mouth of the
5	MS LE ROUX: Chair, the –	5	kraal. You see them moving left to right.
6	CHAIRPERSON: It doesn't look as if this	6	CHAIRPERSON: What we see really in the
7	is the clip you want. This is –	7	bottom left-hand corner of the photograph is the mouth of
8	MS LE ROUX: No, no, it is the clip, it	8	the kraal and then we see into the kraal and it looks as if
9	is the clip we want because –	9	the strikers are on the other side of the kraal. We see
10	CHAIRPERSON: - Lieutenant-General	10	them through the twigs and the branches and whatever it was
11	Mbombo, isn't it?	11	that the kraal was made up of, is that right?
12	MS LE ROUX: No, Chair, the clip follows	12	MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair, and of course
13	- this is the press report and then they cut to the footage	13	what we're noting is that group is some distance back. If
14	we're referring to. We don't have an exact time on it but	14	we could then play the video, if we could play it slowly
15	it seems to be 15:52:08, the clip that we – we look at it,	15	and then pause in two seconds' time at 18. There we see a
16	it comes about four seconds into this clip, if we can play	16	group much closer to the kraal, they seem to be passing
17	the clip?	17	much closer to the kraal, so if we could play it at slow
18	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]	18	motion and pause –
19	MS LE ROUX: Go – if we could go in slow	19	CHAIRPERSON: Passing it on the other
20	motion?	20	side, on the far side?
21	CHAIRPERSON: Where you've paused, you've	20	MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair.
22	paused it at 06. Well, anyway, when you pause please put	22	CHAIRPERSON: Mm, okay.
23	on record where you've paused, for the benefit of those who	23	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]
24	are following our footsteps later.	23	MS LE ROUX: Pause there. We can see the
25	MS LE ROUX: I will do, Chair. So if we	24	group – again we're marking it on the big screen behind
			group again were marking it on the big screen behind
-		-	

		I	
1	Page 26083	1	Page 26085 see there's no indication that people is – where I'm
1	you, Chair. Between the Nyala and its barbed wire trailer		pointing now, any people. It is clear that they come with
3	we see a group of people much closer. And we've zoomed in	2 3	that loop back. They came back, they came back here and
	on that portion and you can actually see in darker silhouette the group closer to the kraal and behind them in	4	then they followed this route. You can see the point of
4 5	a lighter grey silhouette is the group that we saw earlier	4 5	5
	which was further away, passing, all walking left to right		the people, the sharp end there at the corner of the kraal.
6		6	So according to me, what I saw from the air is what I more
7	at the kraal. If we then go forward in this to one minute	7	or less indicate on the previous picture.
8	one second, this is where we see the group, they've now	8	CHAIRPERSON: What you didn't show – I'm
9	come around the kraal. This is 15:53:14 on eTV time and	9	not criticising you because I take it you didn't see, but
10	here we see the group walking very slowly forward. And if	10	what you didn't show was those people we appear to have
11	we start at one minute and if we play it in slow motion,	11	seen on that, on the photograph who were walking behind the
12	between one minute one second and one minute eight seconds	12	kraal. They appeared to be quite close to the fence, as it
13	which correlates to 15:53:21. If you watch, if we zoom in	13	were, of the kraal. Now I take it what's been put to you
14	on, between the vehicles – Chair, again we're marking the	14	is that – if I'm wrong I'll be corrected – what they call
15	far left-hand side of the screen. The camera will then	15	the front group or the core group, they didn't take that
16	focus on that group. In this period between one minute one	16	wide arc, they walked along close to the outside wall of
17	second and one minute eight seconds, if we do it in slow	17 10	the kraal. That's why one can see them in that photograph
18	motion you'll be able to see they take seven steps in that	18	going quite close. The others appear, as we can see from
19 20	period as they come around the kraal.	19	your photographs, the rest of them, to have taken a wider
20	[VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: And if we could zoom in to	20	arc or to have been quite far away from the kraal when they
21		21	went past it. But the thrust of the cross-examination, as
22	the group we see on the left of the screen? [VIDEO IS SHOWN]	22	I understand it – if I'm wrong I hope I'll be corrected –
23 24	MS LE ROUX: And if we pause there, if we	23	is that the front group, what she calls the core group,
24 25	go to JJJ11.1516 it correlates with this one minute 08 here	24 25	they appear if that photograph is correct that we saw and we're correctly interpreting it, they appear to have walked
23	go to 333 11.13 to it correlates with this one minute of here	25	were correctly interpreting it, they appear to have walked
	Page 26084		Page 26086
1	Page 26084 where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly	1	Page 26086 past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the
1 2	•	1 2	
	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel		past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at?
2	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise	2	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that
2 3	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video	2 3	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at
2 3 4	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516	2 3 4	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the
2 3 4 5	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video	2 3 4 5	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at
2 3 4 5 6	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31	2 3 4 5 6	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the
2 3 4 5 6 7	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43	2 3 4 5 6 7	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where we see them very close to the kraal at all times, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only – CHAIRPERSON: You can't say what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where we see them very close to the kraal at all times, do you accept that it's possible that you're mistaken that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only – CHAIRPERSON: You can't say what you didn't see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where we see them very close to the kraal at all times, do you accept that it's possible that you're mistaken that the lead group took this big loop that you described?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only – CHAIRPERSON: You can't say what you didn't see. COLONEL VERMAAK: I can only testify what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where we see them very close to the kraal at all times, do you accept that it's possible that you're mistaken that the lead group took this big loop that you described? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I have testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only – CHAIRPERSON: You can't say what you didn't see. COLONEL VERMAAK: I can only testify what I saw.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where we see them very close to the kraal at all times, do you accept that it's possible that you're mistaken that the lead group took this big loop that you described? <u>COLONEL VERMAAK:</u> Chair, I have testified that it was not the whole gro8up that was moving around in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only – CHAIRPERSON: You can't say what you didn't see. COLONEL VERMAAK: I can only testify what I saw. CHAIRPERSON: The question is whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where we see them very close to the kraal at all times, do you accept that it's possible that you're mistaken that the lead group took this big loop that you described? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I have testified that it was not the whole gro8up that was moving around in that big loop. I said the majority of the people were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only – CHAIRPERSON: You can't say what you didn't see. COLONEL VERMAAK: I can only testify what I saw. CHAIRPERSON: The question is whether people came close, some people came –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where we see them very close to the kraal at all times, do you accept that it's possible that you're mistaken that the lead group took this big loop that you described? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I have testified that it was not the whole gro8up that was moving around in that big loop. I said the majority of the people were going back and then they came forward. If you look at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only – CHAIRPERSON: You can't say what you didn't see. COLONEL VERMAAK: I can only testify what I saw. CHAIRPERSON: The question is whether people came close, some people came – COLONEL VERMAAK: Close to –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	where the group is now around the kraal, proceeding slowly forward, if we go to JJJ11.1516. That correlates time-wise with the movement of the group. So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, what JJJ197 and 194.16 correlated to JJJ11.1516 show us is that there's a 43 second gap on the video footage where we can't see the lead group. So at 15:52:31 we see them immediately behind the kraal, close to it, walking around it. That's where I showed you there's the group further away and the group close at the kraal. 43 seconds later we pick them up again, 15:53:14, still in line with the kraal and walking around it, adjacent to the kraal, but on your account if we go back to OOO17, this is where they make a rather enormous loop that we've estimated on Google Earth to be approximately 300 metres, retreating away from the kraal – so we would have expected to see the back of them – and then returning to the kraal. And in light of what we've shown you on the two video clips where we see them very close to the kraal at all times, do you accept that it's possible that you're mistaken that the lead group took this big loop that you described? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I have testified that it was not the whole gro8up that was moving around in that big loop. I said the majority of the people were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	past the other side of the kraal, fairly close to the kraal. Would you agree with that from the photograph that we've looked at? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, what a possibility can be is after they tried to go through at Nyala 4, remember they are a little bit scattered after the police acted against them, then they moved back. So I don't know at what stage exactly that photos was taken, was it taken just after that the police act or how long after that the police act, but what we saw is that the whole group of people moved back and then they turned back, as it is on the photo there. So I cannot say that photos is wrong that is in front of us but I just name the possibility – CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I mean you can only tell us what you saw. COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I can only – CHAIRPERSON: You can't say what you didn't see. COLONEL VERMAAK: I can only testify what I saw. CHAIRPERSON: The question is whether people came close, some people came –

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1	Page 26087 when the photograph was taken. Some people came close to	1	Page 26089 little more so we can see the text at the bottom of the
2	the kraal on the other side but they were people whom you	2	slides it'll assist the witness. So here we have 14:27:35,
3	didn't see, that's really the possibility that is being put	3	we see the first teargas canisters hit the ground and two
4	to you and I take it you agree with that.	4	plumes of smoke, of gas that we've circled in red on the
5	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	5	right of this still from the video. We then, on the next
6	CHAIRPERSON: Ja.	6	slide which is at 14:27:50, this is 15 seconds later, the
7	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	7	two plumes from the teargas canisters have spread, their
8	when you say the police acted, do you mean for example when	8	source is still circled in red. At this time we see a new
9	Pappa5 starts shooting rubber bullets which would cause the	9	visible explosion, it's the one circled in yellow to the
10	people to scatter away from that area? That's what, when	10	right. Now we can't determine from this alone whether this
11	you're saying the police acted –	11	was teargas or stun grenades but as we'll see in the
12	COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot say they shoot	12	following imagery, it appears more consistent with the
13	rubber. What we did observe was teargas and stun grenades	13	double bang of the stun grenade. This is the explosion
14	that went off. We can't see from the air which rifles that	14	that has not been accounted for in the SAPS case.
15	they were using.	15	[12:52] We turn to the next slide which is within the
16	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	16	same second, 14:27:50. Within a second of the first
17	I'm moving on to my final topic of cross-examination which	17	explosion we see a second, that's circled in yellow. This
18	is a very narrow point relating to the use of stun grenades	18	is not only consistent with the double bang of the stun
19	on the 13th of August. You've been given a copy of what has	19	grenade but it also has a reddy-orange colour suggesting
20	been marked as exhibit triple zero, OOO20 which is the CALS	20	it's not teargas and this likely stun grenade explosion is
21	analysis, exhibit Z2 and Z3, the use of stun grenades and	21	the one that has not yet been accounted for. Lieutenant-
22	teargas on 13 August 2013. You've had an opportunity to go	22	Colonel, do you agree with our analysis that that red-
23	through this presentation.	23	orange colouring is more consistent with a stun grenade
24	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	24	than teargas?
25	MS LE ROUX: Chair, what I'd like to do	25	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.
	Page 26088		Page 26090
1	Page 26088 is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly	1	Page 26090 MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next
1 2		1 2	
	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly		MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next
2	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this	2	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21
2 3	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case	2 3	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the
2 3 4	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades	2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in
2 3 4 5	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS,	2 3 4 5	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion
2 3 4 5 6	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and	2 3 4 5 6	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow
2 3 4 5 6 7	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there were two stun grenades thrown by the police prior to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun grenade some distance in front of the striker group and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there were two stun grenades thrown by the police prior to the attack by the strikers on 13 August and that the first of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun grenade some distance in front of the striker group and that's been circled in what we call pink in the caption but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there were two stun grenades thrown by the police prior to the attack by the strikers on 13 August and that the first of those has not been accounted for or explained by the SAPS. And secondly, to demonstrate that the second stun grenade exploded very close to the faces of the lead strikers and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun grenade some distance in front of the striker group and that's been circled in what we call pink in the caption but I know the Chair sometimes refers to it as purple. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there were two stun grenades thrown by the police prior to the attack by the strikers on 13 August and that the first of those has not been accounted for or explained by the SAPS. And secondly, to demonstrate that the second stun grenade	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun grenade some distance in front of the striker group and that's been circled in what we call pink in the caption but I know the Chair sometimes refers to it as purple. We still have the front of the striker gro8up marked with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there were two stun grenades thrown by the police prior to the attack by the strikers on 13 August and that the first of those has not been accounted for or explained by the SAPS. And secondly, to demonstrate that the second stun grenade exploded very close to the faces of the lead strikers and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun grenade some distance in front of the striker group and that's been circled in what we call pink in the caption but I know the Chair sometimes refers to it as purple. We still have the front of the striker gro8up marked with the arrow in the image.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there were two stun grenades thrown by the police prior to the attack by the strikers on 13 August and that the first of those has not been accounted for or explained by the SAPS. And secondly, to demonstrate that the second stun grenade exploded very close to the faces of the lead strikers and it was the spark for the attack on police. If we then turn to the third slide, this is where we start with the analysis from exhibit Z2. The time stamp is revealed at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun grenade some distance in front of the striker group and that's been circled in what we call pink in the caption but I know the Chair sometimes refers to it as purple. We still have the front of the striker gro8up marked with the arrow in the image. Turning to the next slide which is within one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there were two stun grenades thrown by the police prior to the attack by the strikers on 13 August and that the first of those has not been accounted for or explained by the SAPS. And secondly, to demonstrate that the second stun grenade exploded very close to the faces of the lead strikers and it was the spark for the attack on police. If we then turn to the third slide, this is where we start with the analysis from exhibit Z2. The time stamp is revealed at the top, we start at 14:27:35. If we could zoom out a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun grenade some distance in front of the striker group and that's been circled in what we call pink in the caption but I know the Chair sometimes refers to it as purple. We still have the front of the striker gro8up marked with the arrow in the image. Turning to the next slide which is within one second of that, we see a further explosion, the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is go through this presentation, we can do it fairly swiftly. What we see here if we start on slide 2, this records on day 124 where the Chair summarised the SAPS case with respect to the throwing of teargas and stun grenades and my learned friend Mr Ngalwana, counsel for SAPS, confirmed that. And the features that were summarised and confirmed are, first Warrant Officer Kuhn fired the teargas grenade canister from the left-hand side of the police line while following the strikers, Lieutenant Baloyi then fired the stun grenade towards the strikers, the strikers then attacked the police and Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak then threw stun grenades from the helicopter. If we go to the next slide it sets out the purpose of this analysis, which is using two different sources of objective evidence to demonstrate two things, firstly that it's likely that there were two stun grenades thrown by the police prior to the attack by the strikers on 13 August and that the first of those has not been accounted for or explained by the SAPS. And secondly, to demonstrate that the second stun grenade exploded very close to the faces of the lead strikers and it was the spark for the attack on police. If we then turn to the third slide, this is where we start with the analysis from exhibit Z2. The time stamp is revealed at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: If we then go to the next slide 7, this is 14:27:56, so six seconds later but 21 seconds after the teargas is released, we still see the plume of teargas rising from the source, still circled in red. Six seconds after the apparent stun grenade explosion there's no smoke rising from its source, that's the yellow circle, but we do see the remnants of the stun grenade smoke Despite both teargas and stun grenade being used, we see the strikers still proceeding in the same direction. They don't appear to have commenced any attack n the police and the front of the striker group is marked with the arrow. To the right of the image circled in green we have 11 SAPS members. The next slide, slide 8, is in the same, within the same second. Still 14:27:56, so less than one second later we see the first explosion of a second stun grenade some distance in front of the striker group and that's been circled in what we call pink in the caption but I know the Chair sometimes refers to it as purple. We still have the front of the striker gro8up marked with the arrow in the image. Turning to the next slide which is within one second of that, we see a further explosion, the second explosion of the second stun grenade. That's circled in

		1	
1	Page 26091	1	Page 26093 the two pieces of footage taken together, is that it seems
1	this image and then those that follow in the presentation,	1 2	highly likely that this second stun grenade exploded at
2	you'll see that the distance between the explosion and the heads of the lead striker is minimal. In this image we see	2	head height directly in front of the lead members of the
3	C C		G
4	the smoke from that explosion at head height in relation to	4	striker group.
5	the leading members of the striker group that are marked	5	If we then go to the final slide, slide 14, that
6	with the arrow and here we see the head of that lead striker visible between the camera and the smoke from the	6	sets out the Human Rights Commission's conclusions based on
7		7	this analysis, the first being with respect to the
8	explosion, meaning his head is silhouetted against the	8	unexplained stun grenade, that again to recap, the SAPS
9	smoke.	9	case is that before the attack on police by the strikers on
10	The next image which we'll get to is less than a	10	the 13th there was a single teargas canister thrown by
11	quarter of a second later where we see the same man's head,	11	Warrant Officer Kuhn and a single stun grenade thrown by
12	the head of the same striker obscured by the expanding	12	Lieutenant Baloyi and thereafter, Lieutenant-Colonel
13	smoke, indicating that this explosion has taken place very	13	Vermaak, you threw stun grenades from the helicopter after
14	close to his head.	14	the attack had commenced by protesters, but this analysis
15	If we can move to slide 10 in the presentation,	15	demonstrates that there were very likely two stun grenades thrown at the strikers at 14:27:50 and 14:27:57 and the
16	here we see the head of the lead striker obscured by the	16	
17	smoke although we can see his right leg and torso protruding from the front of and beneath the cloud of smoke	17	SAPS obviously must account for both of these bit are yet
18		18	to account for one of them. And on this evidence that we
19	but his head is obscured by the smoke from the stun grenade	19	currently have we must therefore assume that at least one
20	explosion. Behind him we see a second striker, this man is	20 21	of the two is either thrown by you, Lieutenant-Colonel
21	again silhouetted between the stun grenade smoke and the		Vermaak, from the helicopter before the attack commences,
22	camera so his head and body are silhouetted against the	22	alternatively that another stun grenade was thrown from a
23	smoke we see.	23	SAPS member on the ground that we haven't yet heard from.
24 25	The next two images on slides 11 and 12 are taken	24 25	The second conclusion sets out that the stun grenade
25	within one second of this explosion and here we see the	25	explosion was within a metre of the strikers' faces.
	Page 26092		Page 26094
1	Page 26092 group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We	1	Page 26094 So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this
1 2		1 2	
	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We	_	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this
2	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by	2	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is
2 3	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is	2 3	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only
2 3 4	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group	2 3 4	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun
2 3 4 5	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera	2 3 4 5	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that
2 3 4 5 6	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the	2 3 4 5 6	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have
2 3 4 5 6 7	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him.	2 3 4 5 6 7	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different camera. This is marked as exhibit Z3. So if there was any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and there you can see very clearly all the teargas is in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different camera. This is marked as exhibit Z3. So if there was any concern around foreshortening, this camera should assist	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and there you can see very clearly all the teargas is in a specific area and that is where we're hovering, that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different camera. This is marked as exhibit Z3. So if there was any concern around foreshortening, this camera should assist us. What we see here is that, despite the different angle,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and there you can see very clearly all the teargas is in a specific area and that is where we're hovering, that is where I've thrown the teargas and the stun grenades where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different angle, at the was any concern around foreshortening, this camera should assist us. What we see here is that, despite the different angle, it also shows the further stun grenade explosion being in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and there you can see very clearly all the teargas is in a specific area and that is where we're hovering, that is where I've thrown the teargas and the stun grenades where the policemen were more or less attacked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different angle, it also shows the further stun grenade explosion being in immediate proximity to the head of the lead striker.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and there you can see very clearly all the teargas is in a specific area and that is where we're hovering, that is where I've thrown the teargas and the stun grenades where the policemen were more or less attacked. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different camera. This is marked as exhibit Z3. So if there was any concern around foreshortening, this camera should assist us. What we see here is that, despite the different angle, it also shows the further stun grenade explosion being in immediate proximity to the head of the lead striker. That's the man circled in the blue circle on the right of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and there you can see very clearly all the teargas is in a specific area and that is where we're hovering, that is where I've thrown the teargas and the stun grenades where the policemen were more or less attacked. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I suspect the Human Rights Commission team would agree with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different camera. This is marked as exhibit Z3. So if there was any concern around foreshortening, this camera should assist us. What we see here is that, despite the different angle, it also shows the further stun grenade explosion being in immediate proximity to the head of the lead striker. That's the man circled in the blue circle on the right of the image. So if Z2 had been deceptive as to depth, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and there you can see very clearly all the teargas is in a specific area and that is where we're hovering, that is where I've thrown the teargas and the stun grenades where the policemen were more or less attacked. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I suspect the Human Rights Commission team would agree with you on that. If we can just deal with HHH23, though, if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	group split on either side of the stun grenade smoke. We see some silhouetted against the smoke, some obscured by the smoke. So if we can turn to slide 11, this is 15:27:57, within the same second. We see the striker group now split on either side of the smoke, some are camera side, some are obscured. Note that we still can't see the lead striker because the smoke has now fully engulfed him. The difficulty with the screen shot is that we can't see that the other strikers are also obscured by the smoke. You see that in the video from which these screen shots are taken. If we then turn to slide 12, this is at 15:27:58, again we see the striker group split, some obscured by the smoke, some silhouetted against it. This was the first basis for our conclusion that the stun grenade explodes at the head height of this lead group of the strikers. And if we now turn to slide 13, this is an image from a different, from a different angle, a different camera. This is marked as exhibit Z3. So if there was any concern around foreshortening, this camera should assist us. What we see here is that, despite the different angle, it also shows the further stun grenade explosion being in immediate proximity to the head of the lead striker. That's the man circled in the blue circle on the right of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, having seen this analysis and the presentation, we know from HHH23, which is the discharge list of 13 August, that you're the only person recorded on that document as having thrown any stun grenades. Lieutenant Baloyi is not even reflected on that document. So the logical conclusion is that you must have thrown one of the two stun grenades from the helicopter into the crowd and if you disagree with that, can you otherwise account for that second stun grenade that we haven't yet had an explanation for? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I cannot account for what has been thrown on the ground, what ammunition were used, but I can assure the Chair that I only throw the stun grenade after the policemen have been attacked. On some of the photos I can maybe point out to you more or less where the chopper was hovering because why, of the tear smoke is being drawn up by the chopper's downdraft and there you can see very clearly all the teargas is in a specific area and that is where we're hovering, that is where I've thrown the teargas and the stun grenades where the policemen were more or less attacked. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I suspect the Human Rights Commission team would agree with

		r	
1	Page 26095 discharge list from 13 August.	1	Page 26097 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you move on,
2	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you go there	2	Ms Le Roux, my attention has been drawn to the fact that
3	can I just ask you, Colonel, on page 4 of OOO20 if you look	3	it's five past one.
4	at that slide, the two trails of gas on the extreme right-	4	MS LE ROUX: Chair, I –
5	hand side, does that suggest two gas canisters?	5	CHAIRPERSON: You know when one is
6	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. That is the	6	having, when one is enjoying oneself time passes, one
7	teargas that's been shot with the shotgun so it'll have two	7	doesn't notice but do you –
8	small teargas – how did you call it – but they discharge at	8	MS LE ROUX: Chair, I have three
9	the same time and they are burning together but they are	9	questions –
10	not every effective for a big distance. That is why in my	10	CHAIRPERSON: If you can finish in a very
11	letters I criticised this specific thing that we cannot –	11	short time we'll do it, otherwise we'll take the lunch
12	you remember the letter in May, that the equipment that has	12	adjournment but I'm in your hands.
13	been used, there's a lack on that to use it for a distance	13	MS LE ROUX: Chair, I have three
14	against protesters. So that specific one was shot by a	14	questions so I'd rather complete before we take the lunch
15	shotgun.	15	adjournment, if I could.
16	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But it's one	16	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. Let's try
17	canister?	17	anyway.
18	COLONEL VERMAAK: One canister, yes.	18	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
19	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, just so	19	with respect to the second conclusion about the second stun
20	that we're clear, when we talk about canisters I think	20	grenade exploding very closely to the lead striker's head,
21	we're congruent with you that it's one shot but there are	21	was that your perception from the helicopter?
22	two canisters that then start deploying?	22	COLONEL VERMAAK: The second stun
23	COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, it's not - actually	23	grenade?
24	it's one canister that has been shot but it's got inside	24	MS LE ROUX: The stun grenade that we see
25	two small pellets. It's like –	25	in the presentation exploding very close to the head –
	Page 26096		Page 26098
1	MS LE ROUX: Sort of cartridges –	1	COLONEL VERMAAK: If we can go back to
2	COLONEL VERMAAK: - two cartridges of	2	that photo?
3	teargas that ignite at the same time.	3	MS LE ROUX: The series commences at
4	MS LE ROUX: Right, but we're in	4	slide 9 and then proceeds through. If we stop there we'll
5	agreement there's only one shot of teargas.	5	see the lead striker silhouetted against the smoke.
6	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	6	COLONEL VERMAAK: Are you –
7	MS LE ROUX: Right. So if we can go to	7	MS LE ROUX: From your vantage point in
8	HHH23, the discharge list for 13 August, here only you are	8	the helicopter could you see the proximity of that, this
9	recorded in the top line as having used stun grenades. Do	9 10	second explosion relative to the strikers – COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't observe it
10	you know Lieutenant Baloyi is not on this list?		
11 12	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot say why he isn't on this list.	11 12	from the helicopter but I can give an opinion, what I saw on the picture. If you look at the smoke, the direction
12	CHAIRPERSON: You didn't compile the	12	that the smoke is going on the big area on the left of the
13		13	photo, it is moving to the left side. If you look at the
1 1 4	list	1 1 4	photo, it is moving to the fort side. If you look at the
	list.	15	green circle you will see at the most right-hand side of
15	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this	15 16	green circle you will see at the most right-hand side of the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is
15 16	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list.	16	the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is
15 16 17	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list. MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that there		the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is moving to the left-hand side. So I will not say it was in
15 16 17 18	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list. MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that there are other members missing other than Lieutenant Baloyi?	16 17 18	the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is moving to the left-hand side. So I will not say it was in front of his face. The next one is more or less the same.
15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list. MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that there are other members missing other than Lieutenant Baloyi? CHAIRPERSON: I imagine the answer to	16 17	the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is moving to the left-hand side. So I will not say it was in front of his face. The next one is more or less the same. If you can see the head on the top of the purple circle,
15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list. MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that there are other members missing other than Lieutenant Baloyi? CHAIRPERSON: I imagine the answer to that question must be yes.	16 17 18 19	the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is moving to the left-hand side. So I will not say it was in front of his face. The next one is more or less the same. If you can see the head on the top of the purple circle, you will also see that it is, if you really go and analyse
15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list. MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that there are other members missing other than Lieutenant Baloyi? CHAIRPERSON: I imagine the answer to that question must be yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, there is a	16 17 18 19 20	the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is moving to the left-hand side. So I will not say it was in front of his face. The next one is more or less the same. If you can see the head on the top of the purple circle, you will also see that it is, if you really go and analyse that photo you will see it's a distance from him and it was
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list. MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that there are other members missing other than Lieutenant Baloyi? CHAIRPERSON: I imagine the answer to that question must be yes.	16 17 18 19 20 21	the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is moving to the left-hand side. So I will not say it was in front of his face. The next one is more or less the same. If you can see the head on the top of the purple circle, you will also see that it is, if you really go and analyse
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list. MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that there are other members missing other than Lieutenant Baloyi? CHAIRPERSON: I imagine the answer to that question must be yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, there is a possibility. If they missed one, they can miss two.	 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is moving to the left-hand side. So I will not say it was in front of his face. The next one is more or less the same. If you can see the head on the top of the purple circle, you will also see that it is, if you really go and analyse that photo you will see it's a distance from him and it was not above him or close to his face. That grenade or stun
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't compile this list. MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that there are other members missing other than Lieutenant Baloyi? CHAIRPERSON: I imagine the answer to that question must be yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, there is a possibility. If they missed one, they can miss two. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	the circle is a little bit of smoke and then the biggest is moving to the left-hand side. So I will not say it was in front of his face. The next one is more or less the same. If you can see the head on the top of the purple circle, you will also see that it is, if you really go and analyse that photo you will see it's a distance from him and it was not above him or close to his face. That grenade or stun grenade was definitely on the ground when it was activated.

1			
1	Page 26099 from exhibit Z3, I'm not sure if it will assist but if we	1	Page 26101 documents –
2	can zoom in on the turquoise circle?	2	COLONEL VERMAAK: I've got a bundle of
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, you will also	3	documents here, Chair.
4	see on the bottom or the middle of the person's body, it is	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I take it that
5	on the ground where the smoke is starting to rise from the	5	bundle would include a PowerPoint presentation which has
6	ground, yes.	6	got 14 items. I don't know whether you've got the same
7	MS LE ROUX: But Lieutenant-Colonel, this	7	bundle where you have the PowerPoint presentation and then
8	is – this is the moment of explosion, so the light imagery	8	it ends with the statement of Constable Mguye.
9	you see is not the smoke coming from the ground. That's	9	COLONEL VERMAAK: I haven't got the –
10	the moment of explosion of this grenade mid-air.	10	CHAIRPERSON: Are you referring to the
11	COLONEL VERMAAK: You must remember the	11	document headed "The death of Mr Sokanyile?
12	moment that it explodes it is not making a big ball of	12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's just the
13	smoke. It takes a few seconds to ignite the CS gas inside	13	PowerPoint presentation.
14	that grenade. So it will land on the ground, take a second	14	CHAIRPERSON: You have the PowerPoint,
15	or two to explode and then the teargas will be set free.	15	right. Now do you want to go through the exhibits in the
16	MS LE ROUX: But this is a stun grenade,	16	order in which you're going to present them so that we can
17	not a CS gas.	17	mark them as exhibits so that we don't have to mark them as
18	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is not a stun	18	we go along, or do you want to mark them as you go along?
19	grenade. The stun grenade is not making so much smoke.	19	I'm in your hands. It's your cross-examination.
20	MS LE ROUX: Chair, we'll make	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, perhaps let's mark
21	submissions on this second explosion but Lieutenant-Colonel	21	them as we go along, Mr Chairman.
22	Vermaak, you didn't see this one from the helicopter?	22	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, what's the first
23	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, it was not observed	23	one going to be?
24	from the air, it's only what I saw now on the pictures	24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I wanted us to
25	here.	25	paginate the PowerPoint presentation entitled "The death of
	Page 26100		
	Page 20100		Page 26102
1	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my	1	Page 26102 Mr Sokanyile" –
1 2		1 2	-
	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my		Mr Sokanyile" –
2	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination.	2	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first
2 3	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you –	2 3	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination?
2 3 4	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,	2 3 4	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23.
2 3 4 5	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance.	2 3 4 5	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make
2 3 4 5 6	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock.	2 3 4 5 6	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation,
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are numbers on my copy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair [Microphone off, inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are numbers on my copy. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, mine didn't have –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair [Microphone off, inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are numbers on my copy. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, mine didn't have – well –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair [Microphone off, inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: I suppose what I'd said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are numbers on my copy. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, mine didn't have – well – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm afraid there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair [Microphone off, inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: I suppose what I'd said wasn't recorded. The Commission resumes. You're still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are numbers on my copy. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, mine didn't have – well – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm afraid there's being discrimination against you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair [Microphone off, inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: I suppose what I'd said wasn't recorded. The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation. Mr Ntsebeza.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are numbers on my copy. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, mine didn't have – well – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm afraid there's being discrimination against you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I suspect –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair [Microphone off, inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. CHAIRPERSON: I suppose what I'd said wasn't recorded. The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation. Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are numbers on my copy. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, mine didn't have – well – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm afraid there's being discrimination against you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I suspect – CHAIRPERSON: My copy has got, seriously,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS LE ROUX: Chair, that concludes my cross-examination. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you – MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, thank you very much for your assistance. COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Miss. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the lunch adjournment now. We'll try to resume at 2 o'clock. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:07] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you're going to cross-examine now on behalf of the families? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair [Microphone off, inaudible]. CHAIRPERSON: I suppose what I'd said wasn't recorded. The Commission resumes. You're still bound by your affirmation. Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chair, let's deal with some housekeeping matters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Sokanyile" – CHAIRPERSON: That will be the first topic you're going to cover in cross-examination? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we will make that then, we'll make that OOO23. MR NTSEBEZA SC: OOO23. CHAIRPERSON: PowerPoint presentation, "The death of Mr Sokanyile." MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, could we paginate that entire presentation with that page where you have "The death of Mr Sokanyile" as number 1. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, the front page as always is number 1. It's got 1 at the bottom – they are paginated. Look at the bottom right-hand corner, there are numbers on my copy. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, mine didn't have – well – CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm afraid there's being discrimination against you. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I suspect – CHAIRPERSON: My copy has got, seriously, it's got in the bottom right-hand corner –

	Page 26103		Page 26105
1	the way through to 13.	1	Anyway, it's Mr Ntsebeza's cross-examination. He must
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It should actually be	2	elect how he wants to do it.
3	going up to 25 and 25 would have a heading, "Who shot Mr	3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: As long as we'll be
4	Sokanyile?"	4	together every time I –
5	CHAIRPERSON: "Who shot Mr Sokanyile?" is	5	CHAIRPERSON: Either we can start with
6	the one that's got 13 in the bottom right-hand corner. Ah,	6	that, or we can start with something else in the meanwhile
7	Adv Hemraj suggests the answer is there are two slides per	7	and that could be sorted out at teatime. I'm in your
8	page and you've got one slide per page, we've got two	8	hands, Mr Ntsebeza.
9	slides per page. That's probably the answer.	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, Mr Chairman, we'll
10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Then it's going	10	do the presentation. I just wanted also to make sure that
11	to be – I don't know, I don't know what the witness has.	11	the Colonel has got statements which I will refer to
12	CHAIRPERSON: Let's ask him. Have you	12	towards the end of the cross-examination, statements which
13	got one slide per page or two slides per page on the	13	he made, and I believe they are all exhibits from what I've
14	PowerPoint presentation called "The death of Mr Sokanyile"?	14	been told. Yes. Now Colonel -
15	COLONEL VERMAAK: I've got it. I've got	15	CHAIRPERSON: Some of them as far as I
16	it –	16	could see when I read them last night will be new exhibits.
17	CHAIRPERSON: No, you've got it. Now	17	Some of them are old exhibits, some are new.
18	what we want to know from you is have you got one slide per	18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Some will be new
19	page or two?	19	exhibits, Mr Chairman. I'm with you there. Now if we
20	COLONEL VERMAAK: One, one slide.	20	could just put up just for us to identify what we are
21	CHAIRPERSON: No, hang on.	21	talking about, if we could just put up exhibit GGG7,
22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja –	22	exhibit GGG7. Oh, it's 17, I'm told. Oh, GGG17.
23	CHAIRPERSON: Let's take it slowly. "The	23	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, I've got news
24	death of Mr Sokanyile" is page 1. It's got that in a	24	for you. We've now been handed fresh copies of the
25	quadrilateral, as it were, and then below that there's a	25	documents that we were given yesterday. Now it's one slide
	Page 26104		Page 26106
1	slide, it's a photograph –	1	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't
2	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	2	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered.
	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr	2 3	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
2 3 4	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at	2 3 4	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as
2 3 4 5	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack."	2 3 4 5	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think.
2 3 4 5 6	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That	2 3 4 5 6	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We –
2 3 4 5 6 7	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGTT which is this witness's, one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGC17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGT7 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: That's the way it works –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so let's start the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: That's the way it works – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we could just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so let's start the engine and let the car start moving now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: That's the way it works – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we could just indicate, this is a PowerPoint presentation which would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGC17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so let's start the engine and let the car start moving now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's the way it works – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we could just indicate, this is a PowerPoint presentation which would mean all of us working off the electronic document, each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGGT7 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so let's start the engine and let the car start moving now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Now Colonel, just to confirm GGG17 is a statement which you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: That's the way it works – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we could just indicate, this is a PowerPoint presentation which would mean all of us working off the electronic document, each slide would be a separate slide. So –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so let's start the engine and let the car start moving now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Now Colonel, just to confirm GGG17 is a statement which you made and signed on the 30th of August 2012. Do you confirm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: That's the way it works – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we could just indicate, this is a PowerPoint presentation which would mean all of us working off the electronic document, each slide would be a separate slide. So – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes. No, I know, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so let's start the engine and let the car start moving now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Now Colonel, just to confirm GGG17 is a statement which you made and signed on the 30th of August 2012. Do you confirm that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	slide, it's a photograph – COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - and it says, "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream," and then at the bottom it says "The place of the initial attack." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. That is what I have. CHAIRPERSON: That's also on your page 1? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, and then the second page you've got a slide with the heading "Vermaak's version," and below that another quadrilateral that says "Vermaak's testimony in relation to exhibit OOO6." COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Right, so that's on your page 2. COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: That's the way it works – MS PILLAY: Chair, if we could just indicate, this is a PowerPoint presentation which would mean all of us working off the electronic document, each slide would be a separate slide. So –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	per page and as you correctly said, these pages aren't numbered. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So we will number them as we go along, I think. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. We – CHAIRPERSON: 1 to 25. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We could, yes – CHAIRPERSON: But at the moment we've got before us, on the screen GGG17 which is this witness's, one of his statements. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Colonel – CHAIRPERSON: I just want to check if he's got it so I – let's just check. Have you got all the statements that have been referred to? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, I believe so, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so let's start the engine and let the car start moving now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Now Colonel, just to confirm GGG17 is a statement which you made and signed on the 30th of August 2012. Do you confirm

1	Page 26107	1	Page 26109
1	two-page statement in Afrikaans. On the second page one	1	the screen; it's not the screen anymore, the events of the
2	can see that you purported to be signing the statement at	2	13th of August.
3	the bottom, "Ek sertifiseer dat die bostaande verklaring	3	Then the next statement, which is number 16 in Ms
4	deur my afgeneem is." That was deposed to before Mr Botha	4	Pillay's bundle, is another statement made on the same day,
5	and at Potchefstroom at 11:40 on the 30th of August 2012.	5	which starts, "Op Donderdag 16 Augustus was ek aan diens
6	You see that?	6	gewees." "On Thursday the 16th of August 2012 I was on
7	COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that.	7	duty," and that is the statement which is currently before
8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now from my reading of	8	us on the screen, and that's HHH4.
9	that statement it seems to be exclusively dealing with	9	The next one is number 17 in Ms Pillay's bundle.
10	events that took place on the 16th of August 2012. It	10	That's the short statement which is HHH5. That was a
11	starts by saying, "Op Donderdag 16de Augustus 2012." You	11	statement made on the, or certainly attested on the 5th of
12	see that?	12	November 2012 and that deals with the helicopters and the,
13	COLONEL VERMAAK: I think then there's	13	some were operational and some weren't and dealing with the
14	the wrong one on the board. That one is from the 13th.	14	complaints about the fact that the B105 helicopter wasn't
15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, that one on the	15	working, and so on. That's HHH5.
16	board is clearly not GGG, 1-7. GGG, 1-7 is what I've	16	Then the next one is number 18, which is a
17	referred you to.	17	handwritten statement, which is exhibit HHH6, and that
18	MS PILLAY: Chair, the one being shown is	18	appears to have been attested, or made actually and
19	GGG, 1-7.	19	attested on the 4th of February 2013. It's intended to be
20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Is that right? Is	20	an amplification of the statement he made on the 30th of
21	that GGG, 1-7?	21	August, amplifies paragraph 5. It's a short manuscript
22	CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG17 starts off,	22	statement.
23	"Op 2012-8-13," in other words the 13th of August 2012, "was	23	Then the next one is the final statement I think
24	ek aan diens en ontplooi na Lonmin Myn, Marikana, waar daar	24	which she put in, which is number 19 in Ms Pillay's bundle.
25	sedert Vrydag 10 Augustus 2012 onluste was." "On the 13th	25	That's LLL8 and that's the statement effectively I think
	Page 26108		Page 26110
	of Assessed 2012 I used on during and deviced to the Lemman	1	5
1	of August 2012 I was on duty and deployed to the Lonmin	1	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was
2	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday	2	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year.
2 3	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August."	2 3	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further
2 3 4	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have –	2 3 4	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement
2 3 4 5	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how	2 3 4 5	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August.
2 3 4 5 6	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins.	2 3 4 5 6	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then	2 3 4 5 6 7	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of documents by Ms Pillay who led his evidence-in-chief and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of August, which was for docket CAS119/08/2012.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of documents by Ms Pillay who led his evidence-in-chief and these documents are numbered and indexed at the front, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of August, which was for docket CAS119/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of documents by Ms Pillay who led his evidence-in-chief and these documents are numbered and indexed at the front, the table of contents in front, and the exhibit numbers are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of August, which was for docket CAS119/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. It's just as well that –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of documents by Ms Pillay who led his evidence-in-chief and these documents are numbered and indexed at the front, the table of contents in front, and the exhibit numbers are given. The GGG17 is the document which is number 15 in Ms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of August, which was for docket CAS119/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. It's just as well that – CHAIRPERSON: Which is the one that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of documents by Ms Pillay who led his evidence-in-chief and these documents are numbered and indexed at the front, the table of contents in front, and the exhibit numbers are given. The GGG17 is the document which is number 15 in Ms Pillay's bundle and that's the statement made on the 1st of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of August, which was for docket CAS119/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. It's just as well that – CHAIRPERSON: Which is the one that you want to cross-examine on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of documents by Ms Pillay who led his evidence-in-chief and these documents are numbered and indexed at the front, the table of contents in front, and the exhibit numbers are given. The GGG17 is the document which is number 15 in Ms Pillay's bundle and that's the statement made on the 1st of August 2012 – no, I'm sorry, on the 30th, 30th, I beg your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of August, which was for docket CAS119/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. It's just as well that – CHAIRPERSON: Which is the one that you want to cross-examine on? MR NTSEBEZA SC: It will be, it will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of documents by Ms Pillay who led his evidence-in-chief and these documents are numbered and indexed at the front, the table of contents in front, and the exhibit numbers are given. The GGG17 is the document which is number 15 in Ms Pillay's bundle and that's the statement made on the 1st of August 2012 – no, I'm sorry, on the 30th, 30th, I beg your pardon - the 30th of August 2012, and that's the one that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of August, which was for docket CAS119/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. It's just as well that – CHAIRPERSON: Which is the one that you want to cross-examine on? MR NTSEBEZA SC: It will be, it will actually be now, now that we have corrected everything it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Mine, Marikana, where there had been unrest since Friday the 10th of August." MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I have – CHAIRPERSON: That's what, that's how exhibit GGG17 begins. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, I'm sure then there's been a mistake, Mr Chairman, because what I have as GGG, 1-7, 17, is a statement that refers to events on the 16th, which I would believe is now HHH4, if Ms Pillay could confirm? There you are, GGG17. MS PILLAY: This exhibit is HHH4, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no, this thing is confusing us. If you scroll down you will see up there – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Ntsebeza, I'm sorry to interrupt you. We were given a bundle of documents by Ms Pillay who led his evidence-in-chief and these documents are numbered and indexed at the front, the table of contents in front, and the exhibit numbers are given. The GGG17 is the document which is number 15 in Ms Pillay's bundle and that's the statement made on the 1st of August 2012 – no, I'm sorry, on the 30th, 30th, I beg your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from which he was led by Ms Pillay, and that statement was affirmed on the 21st of January this year. MS PILLAY: Chair, there's one further statement, that's OOO8, which was the handwritten statement of the 16th of August. CHAIRPERSON: What number was that in your – oh, I beg your pardon, yes. I missed that. Exhibit, so number 12 in Ms Pillay's bundle was a manuscript statement dated the 16th of August for case docket CAS119/08/2012 and that you say was exhibit? MS PILLAY: OOO8, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] Sorry, I don't think that was on record, but when my microphone was of I went through the statements in the bundle Ms Pillay gave us before she led the witness and there were six statements. One of them is a new statement, OOO8, which is the manuscript statement dated the 16th of August, which was for docket CAS119/08/2012. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. It's just as well that – CHAIRPERSON: Which is the one that you want to cross-examine on? MR NTSEBEZA SC: It will be, it will

		I	
1	Page 26111 Am I right, that statement is GGG, 1-7?	1	Page 26113 MR NTSEBEZA SC: So are you saying since
2	CHAIRPERSON: That's the typewritten	2	Tuesday you have not really had an opportunity to acquaint
3	statement of the 30th of August –	3	yourself with the contents of the bundle?
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	4	COLONEL VERMAAK: I did go through the
5	CHAIRPERSON: - 2012.	5	statements. I explained that that one I missed.
6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I will just mention,	6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh, it's only your
7	which is what I was indicating to the General, that now	7	statement which you made at the beginning of the year?
8	that we have ascertained that the statement that deals with	8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Only one, yes. Only
9	the events on the 16th of August in the main is HHH4, I just	9	one.
10	want to confirm with the Colonel that HHH4 is the statement	10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, that's the only one
11	which we now have shown up, and that is a statement which	11	that –
12	you purported to sign on the 30th of August 2012 in	12	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's the only – the
		12	-
13	Potchefstroom at 11:40. Do you confirm that, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.		other I did go through.
14		14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And when you said you
15	[14:27] MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then again, once	15	missed it, when did you get your sight on it? Right now?
16	again five minutes later on the same day at 11:45 you	16	COLONEL VERMAAK: When I sort out the
17	appended your signature to what we now know as GGG17, same	17	documents now I saw that statement was with the documents
18	day, same place, at 11:45, and that's the statement that	18	that I received on Tuesday.
19	deals largely with the events on the 13th of August 2012.	19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh, I see.
20	Do you agree with that, Colonel?	20	COLONEL VERMAAK: It wasn't in a file and
21	COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree, Chair.	21	I put it in the –
22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then the last	22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay, I think now that
23	statement in relation to which we will be looking at how	23	we have identified the statements, I think we may just as
24	you recall the events, particularly the 13th, will be the	24	well concentrate, and we will come back to the topic; we'll
25	statement that the Chairman has referred to already,	25	just concentrate on the statement which covers the events
	Page 26112		Page 26114
1	exhibit LLL8, which as the Chairman indicated you signed on	1	of the 13th, which we now know to be what? GGG, 1-7, and
2	the 21st of January this year and the English translation of	2	the statement which you made this year, the beginning of
3	that statement is what you were led on. The English	3	this year in January, which is LLL8. Are we together?
4	translation, as I understand it, was done by Mr Mahlangu	4	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
5	and – you see that, Colonel? You haven't seen the	5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now you will know from
6	statement?	6	your bundle, I'm sure you have seen that in the bundle, in
7	COLONEL VERMAAK: I haven't seen it	7	the presentation in the main, which I will ask you to go to
8	before in the translation format, but in the Afrikaans one,	8	in a while, the presentation deals with several topics.
9	yes.	9	The first one is about the death of Mr Sokanyile, a person
10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: So you haven't – I	10	who – and you'll correct me if I'm wrong – you have
11	believe it is in your bundle, however.	11	identified in your evidence as the protester in the white
12	COLONEL VERMAAK: I've got it now, yes,	12	overalls whose body was found across the stream.
13	Sir.	13	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.
14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, when did you get	14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's correct. Now
15	the bundle?	15	let's give him a name now, the protester across – and we
16	COLONEL VERMAAK: I think it was on	16	know now who we are talking about; we're talking about Mr
17	Tuesday.	17	Sokanyile. Now I suppose let's go straight to the Power
18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: On Tuesday.	18	presentation, because we would like to take you through the
19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Afternoon.	19	evidence which you gave in chief relevant to the death of
20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And did you have the –	20	Mr Sokanyile. Now if we could go to page 2, slide 2 of
21	are you saying you didn't have this statement in that	20	exhibit, what's the exhibit number of this?
22	bundle? When did you get this English version –	22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Exhibit triple –
22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Well, it seems to me	22	CHAIRPERSON: 00023.
23	that I missed this statement, Chair. All the documents was	23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: 00023.
27	that i missoa this statement, onan. All the aucuments was		
25	only give me in a parcel	25	CHAIRPERSON: And I've paginated my pages
25	only give me in a parcel.	25	CHAIRPERSON: And I've paginated my pages

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

	Page 26115		Page 26117
1	and I take it everybody else has had opportunity to do so.	1	Chair –
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I say you would have
3	CHAIRPERSON: Page 2 was [Microphone off,	3	been aware if there was another group.
4	inaudible] on the screen.	4	COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, if there was –
5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON: Which would be a photograph	6	COLONEL VERMAAK: Not necessarily because
7	of – page 2 is what, I paginated my copy of this document.	7	why we land there, I spent some time with Warrant-Officer
8	Page 2 is the one we now see on the screen, which is an	8	Monene. The police were spread over a area, I can say 50
9	aerial photograph of the area where the main events of the	9	to a hundred metres. There might be a possibility that
10	13th of August took place and at the top of it we see the	10	people were also following some of the protesters, but I
11	words "Mr Sokanyile's body was found across the stream,"	11	wasn't aware of them.
12	and at the bottom we see the words, "The place of the	12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: But since you
13	initial attack relative to where Mr Sokanyile's body was	13	participated - which is where you made these statements
14	ultimately found." So that's the document you're busy	14	from - at Roots, that would have come to your attention and
15	with. That's page 2 of this exhibit.	15	it also would have been mentioned in exhibit L if there was
16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, so you see that as	16	another group that pursued those people in the manner in
17	the Chairman has said, the big red circle represents where	17	which your group did.
18	the main incident occurred on this day. Do you agree with	18	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, not necessarily.
19	that, Colonel?	19	I don't think all the information was got at Roots.
20	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: You're not seriously
21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And the other red circle	21	suggesting that there is another group other than your
22	which is furthest away on the screen, and you will see that	22	group that pursued the protesters on the 13th, about which
23	there is a CAS-number, C-A-S, 115/08/2012. That is the	23	nobody has said anything? Are you?
24	circle where, which is in the approximate area where Mr	24	COLONEL VERMAAK: There's a possibility,
25	Sokanyile's body was found across the stream. Is that	25	yes.
	Page 26116		Page 26118
1	Page 26116 correct?	1	Page 26118 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is
1 2	•	1 2	
	correct?		MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is
2	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you
2	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of	2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if
2 3 4	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in	2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in
2 3 4 5	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine	2 3 4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that
2 3 4 5 6	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there	2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days
2 3 4 5 6 7	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that that person must be either killed or injured during the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my group done. If other people withheld information I cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that that person must be either killed or injured during the clash with the police. During the follow-up there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my group done. If other people withheld information I cannot stand in for them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that that person must be either killed or injured during the clash with the police. During the follow-up there was being shot at us. As far as my knowledge is we were the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my group done. If other people withheld information I cannot stand in for them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I don't disagree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that that person must be either killed or injured during the clash with the police. During the follow-up there was being shot at us. As far as my knowledge is we were the only group of people that was following the person who's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my group done. If other people withheld information I cannot stand in for them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I don't disagree with you, Colonel. I actually support your view that there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that that person must be either killed or injured during the clash with the police. During the follow-up there was being shot at us. As far as my knowledge is we were the only group of people that was following the person who's being carried with the white overall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my group done. If other people withheld information I cannot stand in for them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I don't disagree with you, Colonel. I actually support your view that there was only one group, the group that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that that person must be either killed or injured during the clash with the police. During the follow-up there was being shot at us. As far as my knowledge is we were the only group of people that was following the person who's being carried with the white overall. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my group done. If other people withheld information I cannot stand in for them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I don't disagree with you, Colonel. I actually support your view that there was only one group, the group that you commanded, and that it is highly unlikely that if there was another group that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that that person must be either killed or injured during the clash with the police. During the follow-up there was being shot at us. As far as my knowledge is we were the only group of people that was following the person who's being carried with the white overall. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I would have thought so. After all, if there were another group that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my group done. If other people withheld information I cannot stand in for them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I don't disagree with you, Colonel. I actually support your view that there was only one group, the group that you commanded, and that it is highly unlikely that if there was another group that pursued those protesters would not have surfaced by now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now your version of events regarding what happened after your members went in pursuit of the persons whom you say you saw carrying an R5 rifle and the protester whom you saw in white overalls, now I just want to clarify one thing before we go to examine your version. Do I understand you to be saying that there was one group of SAPS members that pursued these protesters in the direction of and at the stream, and who shot at protesters there? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as I testified that I saw a person being carried with a white overall in the direction of the stream. After I visited Warrant- Officer Monene I get people together and we follow up for the main purpose to secure a crime scene as I realised that that person must be either killed or injured during the clash with the police. During the follow-up there was being shot at us. As far as my knowledge is we were the only group of people that was following the person who's being carried with the white overall. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, everything is possible under the sun. I'm simply putting to you that you are not seriously suggesting to the Commission that if there was another group that was pursuing these people in the manner in which you had taken command of the group that followed them, that would never have been mentioned. There would have been mention of it either at Roots or in exhibit L, which was, as you know, a reflection of days and days and weeks of consultation about what happened, about what must go into the SAPS presentation. Isn't that right, Colonel? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I can only say what I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. COLONEL VERMAAK: And what I know is that I was the only group according to me and I reported what my group done. If other people withheld information I cannot stand in for them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I don't disagree with you, Colonel. I actually support your view that there was only one group, the group that you commanded, and that it is highly unlikely that if there was another group that

	Page 26119		Page 26121
1	compilation of exhibit L, and nowhere else is there any	1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And your further
2	account of anybody who pursued the group of protesters on	2	evidence was that after the SAPS members shot they went
3	that day other than your group. Can we settle on that	3	through the stream and saw the body with the white overalls
4	basis?	4	where it is marked on exhibit 0006.
5	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, Chair, as the same	5	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.
6	as nobody else reported the second stun grenade that was	6	[14:47] MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I would like to
7	thrown, that I was also not aware of until today. So I'm,	7	engage you in some analysis of the evidence, what has now
8	if there was another group it is possible that I wasn't	8	come to be known as objective evidence where we deal with
9	aware of them.	9	the body of Mr Sokanyile, where it was found. Now if you
10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now we have put	10	went to slide 6, now the small screen is clearer but I'm
11	what we wanted to put and we'll argue in the end that all	11	sure you have become aware that the middle screen sometimes
12	things taken to account, your group was the only group that	12	blurs. Now you see the source of those photographs?
13	pursued this group of protesters. Now if you went to slide	13	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.
14	3, now slide 3 is a photograph that my colleague, my	14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has got nothing to do
15	learned friend Adv Pillay admitted as exhibit OOO6 during	15	with Mr Bizos, it's LCRC.
16	your evidence-in-chief. You recall that?	16	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
17	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.	17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Mr Bizos's
18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and if we see those	18	organisation is the LRC. Now you will see that that
19	arrows, but if you went to, if we all go to page 4, let's	19	photograph depicts what was found by the LCRC photographer.
20	just clear what you testified about relevant to OOO6. Now	20	COLONEL VERMAAK: I did see.
21	this was your testimony. On day 25 – if you could put that	21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, relative to where
22	up – T25248, starting from line 21 I think, I want the	22	the photographer was standing, him or herself, and was
23	section, line 9 where you say, "The striker was shooting,"	23	taking the photos, you see that?
24	in the range from line T25248 to line 21, 25249, what comes	24	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.
25	out in that evidence is that the striker who was shooting	25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where they say
			D 0/100
1	Page 26120 at the SAPS members who were under your command was where	1	Page 26122
1	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where	1	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain
2	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on	2	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to
	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3.	2 3	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be
2 3 4	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows	2 3 4	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but
2 3 4 5	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your	2 3 4 5	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the
2 3 4 5 6	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we	2 3 4 5 6	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do
2 3 4 5 6 7	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point of the arrow is. You were being led by my learned friend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point of the arrow is. You were being led by my learned friend Adv Pillay and that was your testimony. Do you confirm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In relation to that tree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point of the arrow is. You were being led by my learned friend Adv Pillay and that was your testimony. Do you confirm your testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In relation to that tree do you see that the body is to the left of the tree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point of the arrow is. You were being led by my learned friend Adv Pillay and that was your testimony. Do you confirm your testimony? COLONEL VERMAAK: There was a striker	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In relation to that tree do you see that the body is to the left of the tree? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point of the arrow is. You were being led by my learned friend Adv Pillay and that was your testimony. Do you confirm your testimony? COLONEL VERMAAK: There was a striker that was shooting from that direction, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In relation to that tree do you see that the body is to the left of the tree? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the photographer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point of the arrow is. You were being led by my learned friend Adv Pillay and that was your testimony. Do you confirm your testimony? COLONEL VERMAAK: There was a striker that was shooting from that direction, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and your testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In relation to that tree do you see that the body is to the left of the tree? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the photographer also picks up another landmark which he or she labels point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point of the arrow is. You were being led by my learned friend Adv Pillay and that was your testimony. Do you confirm your testimony? COLONEL VERMAAK: There was a striker that was shooting from that direction, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and your testimony was that the members under your command shot in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In relation to that tree do you see that the body is to the left of the tree? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the photographer also picks up another landmark which he or she labels point A. Do you see point A?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at the SAPS members who were under your command was where the end point of the arrow is, that is now in exhibit, on page 3, slide 3. If we went to slide 3, you talked about arrows and you testified that the striker who was shooting at your members was where the end point of the arrow is. Can we have a clearer vision the – ja. You confirm that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, if you refer to "the striker," are you meaning the person in the white overall, or a striker, anybody who was part of the group? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if you are answering the question. Your evidence was that the striker who was shooting at the SAPS members who were under your command – we'll come to your statement, but we're just dealing with the evidence so far – was where the end point of the arrow is. You were being led by my learned friend Adv Pillay and that was your testimony. Do you confirm your testimony? COLONEL VERMAAK: There was a striker that was shooting from that direction, Chair. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and your testimony was that the members under your command shot in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	point C, position of photographer. Now there are certain landmarks which, on our argument, landmarks in relation to which the position of Mr Sokanyile's body must be determined and I'll come to where you had put his body but let's just look at the landmarks first. If you look to the left of his body – let's start with where the body is. Do you see where it says the body of, the small red circle second from the top of the picture where there is a bigger red circle, the one below the big circle. Do you see it, do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I did. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's where the photographer, the LCRC photographer locates the body of Mr Sokanyile. Do you see the tree which is indicated on the photographer's photo as a tree, point B a tree? Do you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In relation to that tree do you see that the body is to the left of the tree? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the photographer also picks up another landmark which he or she labels point

Pretoria

		ſ	
1	Page 26123 stumps and a ridge, would you agree? I don't know if this	1	Page 26125 any GPS readings of everything where we found the body. As
2	thing can be blown up such that we –	2	I remembered, I pointed it out on the photo. So yes, if
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: On the photo, yes.	3	this is the photo of LCRC where they take the photos of, I
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, you are more	4	take it as the correct ones.
5	acquainted with photos of time scenes than I am, Colonel,	5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: So you were mistaken?
6	so but if you agree with me that's okay. That at A we have	6	I'm not saying you were deliberate, I just want you to say
7	got tree stumps and the ridge. Now if you looked in front	7	this so that I can persuade the Chairman –
8	of his body and towards the centre of the photograph you	8	COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, to the
9	will see the pathway that crosses the stream.	9	best of my knowledge after the 18 months, that is where I
10	COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree.	10	have marked the body and I make it clear, it might differ
11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And it curves to the	11	but that is how I can remember it. As you show now on the
12	right, can you see that?	12	pictures of LCRC, I take it as the correct one.
13	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's fair enough for
14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now slide 7, it becomes,	14	me, except that you confirmed what you may have had a view
15	in our submission – we say slide 7 now gives you another	15	to be the position 18 months ago, you confirmed it last
16	view, firstly of the stumps and the ridge, do you see that?	16	week when you were being led by my learned friend. Now I
17	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	17	would like us to look at the weapons that were found on Mr
18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: On the left-hand side	18	Sokanyile's body. You remember you testified about the
19	where it says point A.	19	panga and whatever else. Now we deal with this on slide
20	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.	20	10. Now it's common cause and I would hope you would agree
21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And slide 7 also says at	21	with that, that from that photo it does appear that when it
22	point B – you see that tree that I indicated in the	22	was taken, Mr Sokanyile was face down when he was found.
23	previous slide, the tree to the right, point B. Do you	23	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.
24	confirm that?	24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: If you could turn to
25	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	25	slide 11, please? Mr Chairman, I understand that my
-			
	Page 26124		Page 26126
1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the	1	clients were alerted that we would be doing this
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right,	2	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation.
2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide	2 3	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn
2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that?	2 3 4	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think
2 3 4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that.	2 3 4 5	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me
2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to	2 3 4 5 6	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words	2 3 4 5 6 7	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps	2 3 4 5 6 7	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see where your body is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and tells you that it's safe to do so. We'll now take the tea
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see where your body is? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and tells you that it's safe to do so. We'll now take the tea adjournment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see where your body is? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now we hope to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and tells you that it's safe to do so. We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see where your body is? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now we hope to be able to get your confirmation when we make the submission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and tells you that it's safe to do so. We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:24] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see where your body is? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now we hope to be able to get your confirmation when we make the submission that you were mistaken in your evidence-in-chief when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and tells you that it's safe to do so. We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:24] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see where your body is? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now we hope to be able to get your confirmation when we make the submission that you were mistaken in your evidence-in-chief when you said the body was found where you said it was found. Would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and tells you that it's safe to do so. We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:24] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see where your body is? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now we hope to be able to get your confirmation when we make the submission that you were mistaken in your evidence-in-chief when you said the body was found where you said it was found. Would you concede that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I was asked almost 18 months after the incident to point out more or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and tells you that it's safe to do so. We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:24] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.): Thank you, Mr Chairman. Now Colonel, we were still dealing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you can see the pathway that crosses the stream and curves to the right, the same pathway that we referred to with regard to slide 6, you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I see that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And as we indicated to you with regard to slide 6, the body where – in other words where Mr Sokanyile was found as we indicated relevant to slide 6 is between the tree which is point B and the stumps and the ridge which is point B, point A. Do you see where we point the position of Mr Sokanyile's body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now on – we see also where you, in evidence on being led by my learned colleague, my learned friend, had put the body. Do you see where your body is? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now we hope to be able to get your confirmation when we make the submission that you were mistaken in your evidence-in-chief when you said the body was found where you said it was found. Would you concede that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I was asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clients were alerted that we would be doing this presentation. CHAIRPERSON: I've been asked to adjourn strictly at 3 o'clock for the tea adjournment, so I think we'll take the adjournment now but before we take it let me say that we're seeing photographs of Mr Sokanyile, those members of his family and those loved ones of his who feel that they will be occasioned emotional distress by seeing his picture, his dead body here on the screen, I would advise them not to come back after the adjournment. Perhaps when these pictures are not being shown, someone can go out and tell them that we've finished but we're going to adjourn now but I suggest when we come back, if anyone thinks that he or she will be emotionally upset by these pictures, don't come back until someone comes out and tells you that it's safe to do so. We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:24] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: Affirms. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.):

	Page 26127		Page 26129
1	that from this photograph it seems clear that Mr Sokanyile	1	MS PILLAY: Chair, just an objection;
2	was face-down when he was found and the photo was taken.	2	that was never the witness's testimony that the weapons
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	3	were planted in Mr Sokanyile's hand.
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I don't know whether	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: My recollection, it's
5	it's possible to zoom in to the picture but you will see	5	just that I don't have the reference –
6	that there is a stick and a panga underneath Mr Sokanyile's	6	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps you can give us the
7	body. You know you can see –	7	reference to the transcript where that is said by the
8	COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm familiar with –	8	witness? I don't remember him saying it, I must confess,
9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, the handle of this	9	but neither do Adv Tokota or Adv Hemraj, but if you are
10	thing. Okay, that's the stick and then somewhere there,	10	correct you'll be borne out by the transcript, so if you
11	there is, on the smaller one it's very clear that there is	11	can give us the reference we can then –
12	a handle of a panga, that there.	12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Chair, yes indeed, can
13	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	13	we flag this for now? I would like us to return to it
14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now it appears	14	because I –
15	also that his left hand is holding the handle of the panga.	15	Now the next issue I would like to deal with is,
16	It needs to be blown up. Maybe let's go to slide 12.	16	are his wounds. Now Mr Sokanyile was shot once. Are you
17	There you are. The left hand, you know he's lying face-	17	aware of that? He was shot in the head in his left cheek.
18	down, ja left. Left, ja. In fact in this blown-up you can	18	COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.
19	see in the handle that there are two fingers here, four	19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And the exit wound was
20	fingers. On the main screen, do you see, Colonel, there	20	at the right side of the nape of his neck and his spinal
21	are –	21	cord was injured. You're aware of that?
22	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, no I know. It is	22	COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm aware.
23	clear.	23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact Dr Perumal, the
24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	24	expert pathologist from the Legal Resources Centre, who is
25	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	25	prepared to make available a written report - in fact we
	Page 26128		Page 26130
1	Page 26128 clear on the main screen [inaudible].	1	Page 26130 wanted him to make that available today and it turned out
1 2	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of	1 2	0
	clear on the main screen [inaudible].		wanted him to make that available today and it turned out
2	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the	2	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a
2 3	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body?	2 3	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have
2 3 4	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	2 3 4	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under	2 3 4 5 6 7	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the parga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the parga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a special.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a special. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, the second point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I can see, ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a special. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, the second point made relative thereto is that Mr Sokanyile would not have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I can see, ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I'll come back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a special. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, the second point made relative thereto is that Mr Sokanyile would not have lived for longer than three minutes thereafter with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I can see, ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I'll come back to this, to the significance of this because I want to deal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, the second point made relative thereto is that Mr Sokanyile would not have lived for longer than three minutes thereafter with that kind of bullet, I mean bullet wound.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I can see, ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I'll come back to this, to the significance of this because I want to deal with the conclusions that we arrived at when we have put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a special. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, the second point made relative thereto is that Mr Sokanyile would not have lived for longer than three minutes thereafter with that kind of bullet, I mean bullet wound. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I can see, ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I'll come back to this, to the significance of this because I want to deal with the conclusions that we arrived at when we have put all of the evidence in the slides. I would like us to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a special. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, the second point made relative thereto is that Mr Sokanyile would not have lived for longer than three minutes thereafter with that kind of bullet, I mean bullet wound. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You accept that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I can see, ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I'll come back to this, to the significance of this because I want to deal with the conclusions that we arrived at when we have put all of the evidence in the slides. I would like us to consider his wounds. Now I understood your evidence to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a special. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, the second point made relative thereto is that Mr Sokanyile would not have lived for longer than three minutes thereafter with that kind of bullet, I mean bullet wound. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You accept that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clear on the main screen [inaudible]. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and the blade of the panga – next slide, slide 13, you confirm that? Can you see that the blade of the panga is protruding to the left of his body? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: His left arm is under his body. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Next slide, please. Now we see him in a position that suggests that he was turned over. I would like to assume that that would have been done by the members of the LCRC. You can see that – COLONEL VERMAAK: I notice. MR NTSEBEZA SC: - in this position his left hand can be seen holding the handle of the panga. Can you see that? COLONEL VERMAAK: I can see, ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I'll come back to this, to the significance of this because I want to deal with the conclusions that we arrived at when we have put all of the evidence in the slides. I would like us to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wanted him to make that available today and it turned out that he was still in a trial – he makes three conclusions from these wounds. He says, 1, the bullet would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile immediately. Now assuming as a doctor that is so, you yourself wouldn't disagree with that? COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was informed by the SAPS legal team when I still consult with them. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, but that's what Dr Perumal would say if it came to him producing a report to that effect. He will say that kind of injury which is sustained, spinal cord injured in the manner in which it was by that single bullet, would have paralysed Mr Sokanyile. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept his word as a special. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, the second point made relative thereto is that Mr Sokanyile would not have lived for longer than three minutes thereafter with that kind of bullet, I mean bullet wound. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept it. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You accept that?

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

		l I	
1	Page 26131 everything that we have been told Mr Sokanyile was possibly	1	Page 26133
1	looking over his left shoulder as he was running away from	1	showed you slide 7, if we could go back to slide 7 again, we went through several places - and we indicated where it
2	the pursuing police members when he was shot, if we look at	3	can be found - in your evidence where you testified that
4	just the evidence of the trajectory of the wounds. It	4	you members were at the base of the arrow, at the base of
5	seems to me he was looking backward and he was shot from,	5	the arrow when they shot in the direction of the arrow.
	people who were shooting at him from behind. Entrance	6	-
6		7	Remember that was your evidence? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.
	wound is on the cheek and the exit wound to the right and		
8	the nape of his neck. COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot really comment	8	5
9 10		9 10	Now we want to explore with reference to slide 20 where we believe – can we get slide 20?
11	on that precisely. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You wouldn't deny it.	11	[15:44] Now this is a sketch plan by Captain Moshwana.
12	You wouldn't deny it if it was put to you as evidence by an	12	You know Captain Moshwana?
13	expert. Now what I want to suggest is that having looked	13	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I know him.
14	at these photos and the evidence being that, from your	14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Captain Moshwana
14	evidence that when you saw – and we'll come to your	14	processed the scene. Now you will see that these roundish
16	statements and your evidence relevant to this – you saw	16	things are cartridges. Now I never know whether you should
	somebody being carried by protesters while fleeing from the		say cartridge or cartridge cases, but I'm sure you
17 18	police. This is what we want to suggest in connection	17 18	understand the language that I'm indicating.
19	therewith; that it's highly improbable that these	19	COLONEL VERMAAK: I do.
20	protesters would have carried someone who on the available	20	CHAIRPERSON: These must be cartridge
21	objective evidence might have been a dead person, for about	21	cases, as far as I understand. Very few of the cartridges
22	600 metres whilst fleeing from the police. What would your	22	were found. Those that hit people were disintegrated in
23	comment be thereto?	23	the body of the person hit.
24	COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree, if you look at	24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
25	all the circumstances, the way that the body was lying and	25	CHAIRPERSON: I think we can accept that
	Page 26132		Page 26134
1	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could	1	these are cartridge cases.
2	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we	2	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes.
2 3	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper.	2 3	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases
2 3 4	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with	2 3 4	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would
2 3 4 5	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical	2 3 4 5	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these
2 3 4 5 6	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately	2 3 4 5 6	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is
2 3 4 5 6 7	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you	2 3 4 5 6 7	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? Colonel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and killed at the position where his body was found.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? COlonel? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at the document –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? Colonel? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at the document – KOLONEL VERMAAK: Ekskuus, sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and killed at the position where his body was found. COLONEL VERMAAK: With the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? Colonel? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at the document – KOLONEL VERMAAK: Ekskuus, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: What Mr Ntsebeza is doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and killed at the position where his body was found. COLONEL VERMAAK: With the evidence before us I believe it is true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? Colonel? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at the document – KOLONEL VERMAAK: Ekskuus, sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and killed at the position where his body was found. COLONEL VERMAAK: With the evidence before us I believe it is true. MR NTSEBEZA SC:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? Colonel? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at the document – KOLONEL VERMAAK: Ekskuus, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: What Mr Ntsebeza is doing is he's indicating on the screen with the laser where A is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and killed at the position where his body was found. COLONEL VERMAAK: With the evidence before us I believe it is true. MR NTSEBEZA SC: MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we want to explore with you what the objective evidence will tell the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? Colonel? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at the document – KOLONEL VERMAAK: Ekskuus, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: What Mr Ntsebeza is doing is he's indicating on the screen with the laser where A is. So he just wants to make sure –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and killed at the position where his body was found. COLONEL VERMAAK: With the evidence before us I believe it is true. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we want to explore with you what the objective evidence will tell the Commission as to where Mr Sokanyile in all probability was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? Colonel? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at the document – KOLONEL VERMAAK: Ekskuus, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: What Mr Ntsebeza is doing is he's indicating on the screen with the laser where A is. So he just wants to make sure – COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what was underneath him. I agree that that person could not be the one that we saw that was carrying away what we have identified from the chopper. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact to agree with you agreeing with me in my suggestion, if as the medical evidence indicates Mr Sokanyile was immediately incapacitated, it seems to us, and we suggest that to you for your comment, it would have been impossible for his left hand to have been tied around the handle of the panga in the manner in which it is indicated, after being carried for a distance of about 620 metres. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agreed. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And so to say we would like to get your comment when we do then submit that the only reasonable inference from what we have been saying about this evidence is that Mr Sokanyile was shot and killed at the position where his body was found. COLONEL VERMAAK: With the evidence before us I believe it is true. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we want to explore with you what the objective evidence will tell the Commission as to where Mr Sokanyile in all probability was shot from. Do you follow that? We are going to show where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these are cartridge cases. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Cartridge cases, yes. Now do you see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found – and I don't know, the slide 21 perhaps would show this – you will see that the majority of these cartridge cases were found before the stream, but what is important, it is directly in line with Mr Sokanyile's body. CHAIRPERSON: According from what I can see they were all found on the west side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Whereas Mr Sokanyile's body was found on the eastern side of the stream. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see where the body is, A? Colonel? You see that, you see where A is? Colonel? CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, you're looking at the document – KOLONEL VERMAAK: Ekskuus, sorry. CHAIRPERSON: What Mr Ntsebeza is doing is he's indicating on the screen with the laser where A is. So he just wants to make sure – COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. CHAIRPERSON: - you can see it. So you

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Page 26135	4	Page 26137
1	Chair.	1	what day it was. That's the simple answer, isn't it?
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: The body seems to be	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Lines 9 to 11.
3	there still. Now what I was putting to you, that it seems	3	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
4	to us that the majority of the cartridges are found before	4	looking for page 645. Am I right, Mr Ntsebeza, you're
5	the stream, if we hold that to be the stream. You see	5	looking for page 645?
6	where I indicate the stream to be?	6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Yes, yes.
7	COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept that is	7	CHAIRPERSON: Not 745, 645.
8	pointed out on the photo.	8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm looking for the
9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. If you went to the	9	evidence where Captain Moshwana testifies that the
10	next slide it seems clearer – no, no. Ja, it seems clearer	10	cartridges were about 74,4 metres away from Mr Sokanyile's
11	because that's a photograph that plots where some of the	11	body.
12	cartridges were found and what we are saying is you will	12	CHAIRPERSON: What day –
13	see that they were before the stream directly in line with	13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Here we are.
14	Mr Sokanyile's body. You see that?	14	CHAIRPERSON: Now the next question; what
15	COLONEL VERMAAK: I see.	15	day is that?
16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: In other words Mr	16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I believe it's day 6.
17	Sokanyile is that other side of – in fact if we went to the	17	CHAIRPERSON: Do we have to spend time on
18	previous photo, or slide, the body is on that other side,	18	what day it was? Can't we discover it subsequently? As
19	there's the stream, here are cartridges. C, D, N, F, E, B.	19	long as it's page 645, lines 9 to 12, then everyone should
20	COLONEL VERMAAK: I saw that.	20	be happy, and whether it's day 6 or day 7 is a matter of no
21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now the slide with	21	importance to anybody and I don't propose dealing with it
22	the red circles, you see Mr Sokanyile's body in the red	22	in the report, not covered by the terms of reference.
23	circle, that's the –	23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm in your hands, Mr
24	COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.	24	Chairman. As long as we have located – do you see where
25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now behind his body in a	25	Captain Moshwana testifies that the cartridges were 74, -
1	Page 26136		Page 26138
	line you'll see the bidder circle, daal een.	1	there it is. First of all he says, he doesn't say Mr
1	line you'll see the bigger circle, daai een. KOLONEL VERMAAK: Dis korrek.	1 2	there it is. First of all he says, he doesn't say Mr Sokanvile but we know from several indications, he says
2	KOLONEL VERMAAK: Dis korrek.	2	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says
2 3	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in an	2 3	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have
2 3 4	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges were	2 3 4	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr
2 3 4 5	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.	2 3 4 5	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the
2 3 4 5 6	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.	2 3 4 5 6	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river.
2 3 4 5 6 7	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know from	2 3 4 5 6 7	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KOLONEL VERMAAK: Dis korrek. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now that is in an approximate area the place where these cartridges were found, if you compare that with the previous picture. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we know from evidence – MS PILLAY: Chair, if I could just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebeza	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagram	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman.I can assure the Commissioner and my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence -MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.CHAIRPERSON:That's something to look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.CHAIRPERSON:That's something to lookforward to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I may just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence -MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.CHAIRPERSON:That's something to lookforward to.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now let's just in fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I may just indicate, if I understand the basis of this question and if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.CHAIRPERSON:That's something to lookforward to.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now let's just in factin keeping with my learned friend's request, set the scene	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I may just indicate, if I understand the basis of this question and if the basis is this picture that's being shown now, may I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.CHAIRPERSON:That's something to lookforward to.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now let's just in factin keeping with my learned friend's request, set the sceneby firstly referring to the testimony of Captain Moshwana	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I may just indicate, if I understand the basis of this question and if the basis is this picture that's being shown now, may I record that that doesn't completely depict what Captain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	KOLONEL VERMAAK: Dis korrek. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now that is in an approximate area the place where these cartridges were found, if you compare that with the previous picture. COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree with that. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now we know from evidence – MS PILLAY: Chair, if I could just indicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebeza should put to the witness all of the cartridges which Captain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagram which is attached to his affidavit. MR NTSEBEZA SC: We'll take it step by step, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and my learned colleague that all will be revealed. CHAIRPERSON: That's something to look forward to. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now let's just in fact in keeping with my learned friend's request, set the scene by firstly referring to the testimony of Captain Moshwana who drew these sketches. On day 6, T645, can we have this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I may just indicate, if I understand the basis of this question and if the basis is this picture that's being shown now, may I record that that doesn't completely depict what Captain Moshwana sets out in the annexure to his affidavit in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.CHAIRPERSON:That's something to lookforward to.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now let's just in factin keeping with my learned friend's request, set the sceneby firstly referring to the testimony of Captain Moshwanawho drew these sketches.On day 6, T645, can we have thisevidence shown now so that we can settle this thing once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I may just indicate, if I understand the basis of this question and if the basis is this picture that's being shown now, may I record that that doesn't completely depict what Captain Moshwana sets out in the annexure to his affidavit in relation to the placement of the cartridges?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence -MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.CHAIRPERSON:That's something to lookforward to.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now let's just in factin keeping with my learned friend's request, set the sceneby firstly referring to the testimony of Captain Moshwanawho drew these sketches.On day 6, T645, can we have thisevidence shown now so that we can settle this thing onceand for all, where it's day 6 or day 7. Day 6, T645 -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I may just indicate, if I understand the basis of this question and if the basis is this picture that's being shown now, may I record that that doesn't completely depict what Captain Moshwana sets out in the annexure to his affidavit in relation to the placement of the cartridges? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Yes, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	KOLONEL VERMAAK:Dis korrek.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now that is in anapproximate area the place where these cartridges werefound, if you compare that with the previous picture.COLONEL VERMAAK:I agree with that.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now we know fromevidence –MS PILLAY:Chair, if I could justindicate, I think in fairness to the witness Mr Ntsebezashould put to the witness all of the cartridges whichCaptain Moshwana found and which is depicted on the diagramwhich is attached to his affidavit.MR NTSEBEZA SC:We'll take it step bystep, Mr Chairman. I can assure the Commissioner and mylearned colleague that all will be revealed.CHAIRPERSON:That's something to lookforward to.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now let's just in factin keeping with my learned friend's request, set the sceneby firstly referring to the testimony of Captain Moshwanawho drew these sketches.On day 6, T645, can we have thisevidence shown now so that we can settle this thing once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Sokanyile but we know from several indications, he says "the body across the stream, CAS115/8/2012." Now we have established that that is the CAS-number that deals with Mr Sokanyile, isn't it? The person who was shot across the river. COLONEL VERMAAK: I accept. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now I want to suggest that if you went to slide 20 we will – let's go to slide 23 in fact. Now your testimony which I was testing you on relative to slide 7, remember when we did slide 7 we showed where you had testified your SAPS members to have been at and the direction in which you were shooting and all of that. Now I want to put this to you; firstly there were no cartridges that were – or cartridge cases that were found at the point where you said your members were shooting from. MS PILLAY: Chair, if I may just indicate, if I understand the basis of this question and if the basis is this picture that's being shown now, may I record that that doesn't completely depict what Captain Moshwana sets out in the annexure to his affidavit in relation to the placement of the cartridges?

	Page 26139
1	according to his affidavit.
2	MS PILLAY: That's correct, Commissioner
3	Hemraj.
4	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: It's in that second
5	area that one rifle cartridge case was found.
6	MS PILLAY: That's correct.
7	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: These as I
8	understand are all 9mm cartridges.
9	MS PILLAY: They're 9mm cartridges,
	that's correct.
10	
11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I don't know if –
12	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Ntsebeza. It
13	doesn't appear clear from the post mortem report whether
14	the gunshot injury that was described as having caused the
15	death was one that could have been caused by a 9mm
16	projectile or by a projectile fired from a rifle.
17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chair, I would hope
18	that my learned friend can trust me. I'm very much aware
19	of the evidence that she believes is being excluded. We
20	know that Mr Moshwana came for a second time and he found
21	three cartridge cases. He doesn't say where it was found.
22	We are going to try and indicate that those cartridge cases
23	would have been found in the area where the remainder of
24	the cartridge cases - and we'll show by reference to the
25	kind of evidence that she is indicating that these
	Page 26140
1	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or
1 2	
	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or
2	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved.
2 3	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic
2 3 4	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll
2 3 4 5	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made
2 3 4 5 6	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of
2 3 4 5 6 7	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's now 4 o'clock and we will adjourn now until tomorrow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's now 4 o'clock and we will adjourn now until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock or as soon thereafter as we can get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's now 4 o'clock and we will adjourn now until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock or as soon thereafter as we can get everybody together.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's now 4 o'clock and we will adjourn now until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock or as soon thereafter as we can get everybody together. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's now 4 o'clock and we will adjourn now until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock or as soon thereafter as we can get everybody together.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's now 4 o'clock and we will adjourn now until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock or as soon thereafter as we can get everybody together. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's now 4 o'clock and we will adjourn now until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock or as soon thereafter as we can get everybody together. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cartridge cases came from the same firearms, or more or less the same firearms as were involved. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, the forensic statements that were actually handed to us, which we'll have to give an exhibit number to tomorrow, which is made by Captain Moshwana says in paragraph 4 that on the 13th of August at about 16:31 he went to donker bush Marikana for photographic and forensic investigation and he collected a number of exhibits. There are six 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits B to G. There are another seven 9mm cartridge cases which he describes as exhibits I to O, and there's one rifle cartridge case which he describes as exhibit H, and all those - and there were other things as well – all those were collected, he says, during his visit which commenced at 16:31 on the 13th of August. So I think that what you put isn't entirely correct, but let's resume that debate on the morrow. It's now 4 o'clock and we will adjourn now until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock or as soon thereafter as we can get everybody together. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.

				Page
A	ADJOURNED	26060:16 26062:18	26017:21 26038:16	asking 26014:5
aan 26059:13 26107:24	26140:22	26063:17 26064:9	26038:17 26060:10	26052:15,16
26109:5	adjournment 26000:6	26070:24 26097:16	26060:13 26063:12	aspect 26007:17
ability 26016:1	26045:24 26078:12	26101:22 26102:5,13	26071:1 26072:5	26065:11
able 26016:25	26078:17 26097:12	26104:10 26106:18	26089:12 26109:18	aspects 26002:18
26018:19 26019:3	26097:15 26100:8	alternatively 26093:22	26127:14	26066:25 26067:4
26021:24 26025:2	26126:4,5,10,17	altitude 26028:12	appended 26111:17	assist 26023:18
26027:13 26028:1	ADJOURNS 26045:25	26033:9 26034:4,6	approach 26040:2	26034:14 26035:16
26033:17 26035:1	26078:18 26100:9	amend 26067:16	approaching 26026:14	26037:24 26089:2
26044:11 26050:12	26126:18	amendment 26067:11	26026:15 26027:10	26092:19 26099:1
26069:4 26073:12	admitted 26119:15	26067:17 26068:9,15	26027:21 26031:11	assistance 26034:7,7
26083:18 26124:19	Adv 26103:7 26119:15	26068:19	26032:18	26035:14 26064:22
absence 26002:14	26120:18 26129:9,9	ammunition 26002:13	appropriate 26029:10	26075:25 26100:5
accept 26004:18	advise 26126:10	26002:14 26004:6,7	approximate 26115:24	assisted 26023:16
26006:12 26022:8	advocates 26009:5	26019:21 26094:12	26136:4	26051:25
26024:24 26034:22	aerial 26022:3,9 26077:20 26115:9	amper 26059:12 amplification 26109:20	approximately 26036:2 26043:10 26044:13	assisting 26012:3 assume 26075:21
26049:1 26070:12,15	affidavit 26136:13	amplifies 26109:21	26050:6 26062:1	26093:19 26128:12
26071:23 26074:4,25	26138:22 26139:1	analyse 26098:20	26076:2 26084:14	assuming 26130:4
26077:14 26079:5,15	affidavits 26021:5		APRIL 25999:1	assure 26073:11
26084:19 26130:15	affirmation 26046:9	analysis 26067:6 26068:20,22,25	arc 26085:16,20	26094:13 26136:15
26130:21,22,23	26078:20 26100:11	26075:20 26079:22	area 26038:19 26039:6	attach 26069:4
26133:25 26135:7	26100:21 26126:20	26075:20 26079:22 26087:21 26088:13	26039:22 26040:2,6	attached 26136:13
26138:7	affirmed 26110:2	26087:21 26088:13 26088:23 26089:22	26039:22 26040:2,6 26040:11,16,18,20	attack 26018:10,10,11
accepted 26006:5	affirms 26078:22	26093:7,14 26094:2	26049:16,20 26050:8	26018:12,13,13,14
accommodate	26100:12 26126:21	26096:25 26121:7	26050:17,24 26052:3	26088:17,21
26020:21	afgeneem 26107:4	angle 26092:17,20	26052:4,9 26054:23	26090:10 26093:9,14
accord 26067:6	afraid 26008:9	26098:25	26054:25 26055:7,17	26093:21 26104:5
account 26066:23	26102:19	annexure 26067:9	26057:1,3,18 26060:2	26115:13
26072:12 26079:23	Afrikaans 26107:1	26068:2 26138:22	26061:6,16 26070:18	attacked 26088:11
26084:12 26093:17	26112:8	annexures 26000:24	26071:9 26073:10	26094:14,21
26093:18 26094:9,11	afternoon 26027:1	announces 26043:6	26087:10 26094:19	attempt 26067:13,15
26119:2,12	26112:19	announcing 26048:2	26098:13 26115:9,24	26073:7
accountability	ago 26007:16 26060:22	anonymous 26060:10	26117:8 26136:4	attend 25999:4
26001:19 26006:17	26125:15	answer 26024:15	26138:25 26139:5,23	attending 26078:15
26007:21 26008:7,14	agree 26001:3,13,22	26025:12 26059:10	areas 26002:17	attention 26003:2
accounted 26088:18	26004:23 26005:3,6	26059:22 26096:19	26026:25 26027:24	26023:14 26025:7
26089:14,21	26006:22 26008:10	26103:7,9 26137:1	aren't 26050:9 26053:6	26034:3 26038:1
accurate 26052:12,17	26008:17 26015:3,4	answering 26120:13	26106:1	26072:24 26097:2
26057:20,21	26023:9 26024:16,18	answers 26001:3	argue 26119:11	26117:14
acknowledge 26005:25 26028:16	26026:17 26029:7	26061:5 26072:24	argument 26018:11	attenuating 26016:3
acquaint 26113:2	26048:19 26086:2	anybody 26000:16	26053:15 26122:2	attested 26109:11,18
acquainted 26123:5	26087:4 26089:22	26006:3 26030:18	arisen 26014:21	26109:19
acquire 26015:25	26094:23 26111:20	26119:2 26120:10	arising 26030:22	attributing 26002:19
acquired 26017:21	26111:21 26115:18	26137:21	26060:1	audio 26012:14
26018:23	26123:1,6,10	anymore 26014:12	arm 26128:7	26022:17 26037:11
act 26086:9,10	26125:20 26131:24	26109:1	arranged 26034:14	audit 26015:13
acted 26086:7 26087:8	26132:1,4 26136:6	anyway 26020:19	arrest 26043:7	August 26002:11
26087:11	agreed 26007:18	26053:6 26063:25	arrested 26057:4,15,19	26017:14 26018:21
acting 26008:1	26126:25 26132:12	26065:6 26080:22	arrests 26054:5	26019:16 26022:3
action 26018:4	agreeing 26132:5	26097:17 26105:1	arrived 26074:4	26087:19,22
actions 26026:3	agreement 26096:5	apologise 26134:25	26076:13 26128:21	26088:17 26094:3
26028:10	Ah 26103:6	apparent 26090:5	arriving 26031:25	26095:1 26096:8
activate 26075:5	air 26019:3 26023:15	appear 26000:22	arrow 26068:11,12,13	26106:22 26107:5,10
activated 26073:13	26024:7 26025:9	26016:2 26036:6	26090:12,20 26091:6	26107:23 26108:1,3
26077:22 26098:23	26032:10,11,12	26048:11 26057:17	26120:2,6,17,24	26108:23,24 26109:2
address 26030:1	26039:13 26062:16	26085:10,18,24,25	26133:4,5,5	26109:6,21 26110:5,
addressed 26005:20	26072:8 26085:6	26090:10 26125:21	arrows 26068:14	26110:18 26111:3,9
addressing 26026:21	26087:14 26099:24	26139:13	26070:6 26119:19	26111:12,19
adequate 26010:24	alerted 26126:1	appearance 26050:24	26120:4	26115:10 26140:7,16
26011:24	alongside 26023:8	appeared 26018:12	ascertained 26111:8	Augustus 26107:11,25
adjacent 26069:8	alright 26036:22	26050:10 26062:10	Aside 26005:17	26109:5
26084:11	26037:2,13,20	26085:12	asked 25999:22	available 26004:17
adjourn 26126:3,13	26038:13 26044:5	appearing 26048:17	26007:16 26009:4	26005:10 26011:11
26140:18	26046:6,19 26047:1	26052:11	26010:13 26124:23	26013:4 26017:23
	26054:6,19 26055:2	appears 26000:1	26126:3	26018:20 26019:21
ARCHIVE EO	8 LUSTICE			

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
26019:25 26032:15	bearings 26035:20	26064:18 26065:19	budgeting 26017:9	26077:15,18 26079:1
26035:16 26050:23	beg 26108:23 26110:7	body 26019:10	bullet 26130:3,13,20,20	26079:4,6 26080:1
26066:18 26129:25	began 26059:21	26042:10 26045:17	bullets 26003:9,10	cannons 26025:5,7
26130:1 26131:20	beginning 26003:21	26045:21,21	26087:9	26035:11 26036:3,8
aviation 26033:21	26075:9 26113:7	26046:15,24 26047:6	bundle 26100:24,25	26036:11,20
avoided 26035:2,6	26114:2	26047:7,21,22,24	26101:2,5,7 26108:17	26030:11,20
aware 26002:17,21	begins 26108:6,25	26052:4 26091:22	26108:22 26109:4,9	26042:22,25 26049:3
	behalf 26100:14			26065:14 26075:20
26003:14 26005:20		26099:4 26104:4	26109:24 26110:8,15	
26005:24 26006:2,4,7	beings 26045:22	26114:12 26115:11	26112:11,15,22	26076:2,10,17
26010:15 26018:22	believe 25999:12	26115:13,25 26121:3	26113:3 26114:6,6	26077:5,11,22,25
26020:2 26025:15	26004:20,25 26047:6	26121:9 26122:3,4,6	burning 26095:9	Canter 26054:8
26026:13,15	26063:2 26105:13	26122:6,7,13,19	bush 26027:4 26049:20	26058:15 26060:8,9
26116:24,25 26117:3	26106:16 26108:10	26123:8 26124:7,11	26140:7	canvassed 26021:8
26117:11 26119:7,9	26112:11 26132:19	26124:15,16,21,25	bushes 26040:22	can't 26000:5 26009:16
26121:11 26129:17	26132:24,25	26125:1,10,18	26042:14 26052:7	26012:4 26017:7
26129:21,22	26133:10 26137:16	26126:9 26127:7	26054:16,20,22	26048:21 26051:16
26139:18	believes 26139:19	26128:5,8 26131:25	26055:10,17,22	26053:8 26064:2
awareness 26022:6,10	beneath 26091:18	26132:17 26133:23	26056:1 26057:2,12	26073:10,17,18
26023:11 26024:17	benefit 26010:4	26134:7,11,13	26057:17 26061:12	26075:5 26077:25
26024:22 26026:10	26013:11 26041:6	26135:2,14,18,22,25	busy 26115:14	26086:18 26089:10
26027:25	26080:23	26137:11 26138:3	buy 26017:7	26092:8 26137:18
	best 26065:1 26125:9	bold 26000:13	B105 26109:14	capable 26017:21
B	better 26035:6 26056:9	26057:12		26051:19
B 26029:24 26030:5	26064:1	book 26014:7,17	С	Captain 26012:13
26122:15 26123:22	bevestiging 25999:7	borne 26129:10	C 26122:1 26135:19	26013:11 26031:9,14
26123:23 26124:9,10	beweeg 26059:16	bostaande 26107:3	calibre 26002:13	26031:25 26039:21
26135:19 26140:10	beyond 26081:10	Botha 26044:21,24	26004:6 26016:7	26040:6,12 26047:8
back 26000:16,16	big 26000:10 26012:1	26107:4	Calitz 26025:4 26027:5	26047:14 26133:11
26006:24 26008:23	26014:17 26034:12	Botha's 26056:11	26028:8 26039:16	26133:12,14
26012:5,22 26014:4	26061:16 26082:25	bother 26014:12	26043:6,12	26136:12,21 26137:9
	26084:20,23	26030:17	·	26137:25 26138:21
26015:8 26022:23			call 26022:21 26023:6	
26025:20 26028:5,8	26095:10 26098:13	bothered 26028:23	26023:10,25 26024:1	26140:6
26040:22 26041:15	26099:12 26115:17	bottom 26035:24	26024:2,5,9,16,19,25	caption 26090:17
26043:7,9,13 26044:4	26122:9	26038:8,9,16	26025:2,6 26026:13	captions 26088:25
26048:1,6 26049:13	bigger 26122:8 26136:1	26047:10 26049:21	26026:15 26027:14	captured 26011:19
26049:14 26051:22	biggest 26098:16	26055:9,22 26063:19	26027:25 26033:13	car 26106:19
26063:3,3 26064:12	bit 25999:24 26000:10	26082:7 26089:1	26034:23,24 26039:9	careful 26014:25
26065:16,17	26037:8 26057:6	26099:4 26102:14,15	26043:21 26071:6,8	carefully 26047:20
26066:10 26068:12	26081:3,25 26086:6	26102:23 26103:6	26085:14 26090:17	carried 26116:13,21
26069:20 26070:3	26088:25 26093:17	26104:5 26107:3	26095:8 26104:24,25	26131:17,20
26072:5 26079:24	26098:16	26115:12	called 26003:16,18	26132:10
26081:24 26082:13	bits 26012:12 26014:13	bound 26046:8	26023:17 26103:14	carry 26021:25
26084:12,16,24	Bizos 26121:15	26078:20 26100:11	calling 26024:6,10	26064:11 26134:24
26085:3,3,3 26086:7	Bizos's 26121:17	26100:21 26126:20	calls 26085:23	carrying 26116:5
26086:11,11 26098:1	black 26023:18	box 26034:19	CALS 26087:20	26132:2
26113:24 26126:10	26034:12	Brügge 26015:24,25	camera 26002:12	cartridge 26133:17,17
26126:13,15	BlackBerry 26056:17	branches 26082:10	26034:15,17 26076:6	26133:20 26134:1,2,3
26128:19 26133:1	26056:18 26073:24	break 26039:18	26083:15 26091:7,22	26134:6 26138:15
	blade 26128:2,4	26040:4,11,13,19		
background 26081:19			26092:5,18,19	26139:5,21,22,24
backs 26000:14	blocked 26016:12	26042:12 26044:6,7	cameras 26013:22	26140:1,9,11,12
backward 26131:5	26067:15 26074:9,16	26045:16,20,24	canister 26088:8	cartridges 26096:1,2
ball 26099:12	26074:18	26048:14 26053:20	26093:10 26095:17	26133:16,21 26135:4
balls 26020:17	blown 26123:2	26059:8,8 26064:17	26095:18,24	26135:12,19 26136:4
Baloyi 26088:9	26127:16	26066:2	canisters 26089:3,7	26136:11 26137:10
26093:12 26094:5	blown-up 26127:18	breaking 26039:14	26095:5,20,22	26137:25 26138:15
26096:10,18	blue 26090:24	26040:16 26042:15	cannon 25999:20	26138:23,25 26139:8
bang 26089:13,18	26092:23	26061:14	26022:23 26025:20	26139:9
barbed 26067:15	blurs 26121:12	briefed 26028:24	26026:21 26032:24	case 26003:13,16
26083:1	board 26051:23	briefing 26001:7,9	26036:8 26038:3,10	26007:22 26018:15
base 26133:4,4	26107:14,16	26004:21,25 26005:7	26048:22 26049:7	26088:3 26089:14
		26027:1 26029:4	26054:11 26055:9	26093:9 26110:9
based 26093:6	bodies 26042:7 26043:9	20027.1 20029.4		
	bodies 26042:7 26043:9 26043:13 26044:3	Brigadier 26005:15,18	26056:5 26067:22	26139:5 26140:12
based 26093:6				26139:5 26140:12 cases 26133:17,21
based 26093:6 basis 26008:10 26012:19 26050:11	26043:13 26044:3 26045:3,7,8,12	Brigadier 26005:15,18 26005:23 26025:4	26056:5 26067:22 26071:3 26072:20	cases 26133:17,21
based 26093:6 basis 26008:10 26012:19 26050:11 26092:14 26119:4	26043:13 26044:3 26045:3,7,8,12 26046:14 26048:1,11	Brigadier 26005:15,18 26005:23 26025:4 26027:5 26028:8	26056:5 26067:22 26071:3 26072:20 26073:6,7,9,12,16,20	cases 26133:17,21 26134:1,2,3,6
based 26093:6 basis 26008:10 26012:19 26050:11	26043:13 26044:3 26045:3,7,8,12	Brigadier 26005:15,18 26005:23 26025:4	26056:5 26067:22 26071:3 26072:20	cases 26133:17,21

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
26140:9,11	circled 26089:4,8,9,17	26064:14	Commissioner 26003:2	26106:24 26108:11
CAS-number 26115:23	26090:4,12,17,23	colleague 26049:8	26010:22 26011:1,23	26111:10,13 26120:7
26138:4	26092:23	26050:25 26119:14	26015:6 26032:3	26120:18 26123:24
CAS115/8/2012	circles 26135:22	26124:15 26136:16	26034:5 26040:5,15	26128:3
26138:3	circuit 26042:6	collected 26140:8,14	26040:19 26095:2,16	confirmation 26124:19
CAS119/08/2012	circumstances	collection 26076:23	26136:15 26138:24	confirmed 26026:4
26110:10,18	26001:22 26029:25	colour 26089:19	26139:2,4,7	26051:4 26088:6,7
cause 26018:1	26034:10 26131:25	colouring 26089:23	Commissioners	26125:14,15
26022:24 26046:23	claimed 26077:16	come 26012:5 26014:3	26041:8 26064:23	confirms 26069:14
26087:9 26125:20	clarification 26060:1	26030:25 26041:1	26068:8 26070:4	confusing 26022:19
caused 26008:4	clarify 26008:20	26043:7 26044:4	26072:24 26076:19	26108:14
26024:12 26045:13	26009:24 26046:4,13	26063:3 26064:14	Commission's	confusion 26059:25
26139:14,15	26053:18 26067:23	26066:20 26068:14	26068:19 26093:6	congruent 26095:21
causes 26045:9	26116:7	26083:9,19 26085:2	common 26125:20	connection 26018:18
CC21 25999:19	clarity 26024:14	26113:24 26117:14	communicate 26026:5	26131:18
CC22 26036:13	clash 26116:18	26118:24,25	26033:18	consider 26128:23
26064:12	clear 26010:11 26032:8	26120:15 26121:8	communicated	consideration 26130:25
cell 26012:13 26013:12	26041:2 26043:18	26122:4 26126:10,13	26033:20	considers 26029:10
centre 26038:7	26050:24 26065:9	26126:15 26128:19	communication	consistent 26089:12,18
26049:24 26054:11	26073:3,15 26085:2	26131:15	26022:22 26023:2,5	26089:23
26056:3 26057:11	26095:20 26119:20	comes 26059:1	26025:16 26026:10	consolidated 26066:22
26063:18 26123:8	26125:10 26127:1,11	26080:16 26119:24	26026:12,20	26072:15
26129:24	26127:23 26128:1	26126:15	26027:17 26034:23	Constable 26101:8
certain 26122:1	26139:13	comfort 26044:6	26035:2,6 26043:11	consult 26010:8
certainly 26057:10	clearer 26000:10	26045:16,20,24	26053:19 26064:17	26130:8
26109:11 26118:24	26120:7 26121:10	coming 26023:23	communications	consultation 26118:9
Chairman 26100:18	26135:10,10	26024:2 26027:10,23	26033:4	consulted 26007:6,9
26101:21 26102:10	clearly 26005:15	26030:22 26042:13	compare 26067:17	26010:2,5,19
26105:9,19 26106:20	26094:18 26107:16 client 26060:22	26049:20 26061:11 26099:9	26136:5	contain 26007:23 26020:13
26108:8 26110:19 26111:25 26112:1			comparing 26067:24	CONTD 25999:14
	clients 26060:12,18	command 26002:16	comparison 26067:20	
26115:2,17 26125:7	26126:1 clip 25999:22 26038:11	26005:14,21,25	compilation 26119:1	26046:12 26078:24 26126:23
26125:25 26126:24 26136:15 26137:24	26041:14 26064:13	26006:6 26009:12,20 26022:4 26118:5	compile 26096:13,15 complaints 26109:14	content 26014:10
26140:21	26069:13,17 26080:7	26120:1,15,23	complete 26043:8	contention 26008:11
chamber 26046:25	26080:8,9,12,15,16	commanded 26118:21	26044:12 26097:14	contents 26014:15
chambers 25999:4	26080:17 26081:10	commander 26010:23	completed 26066:25	26108:20 26113:3
change 26012:20	26080.17 20081.10	26011:12 26012:2	completely 26138:21	context 26016:22
channel 26033:22,25	clips 26080:2 26084:17	26022:3,9,14 26029:9	complicated 26013:3	continue 26016:14,14
26080:2	clip0 26081:7	commanders 26009:14	computer 26011:15	26017:5 26068:13,15
channels 26012:18	close 26027:4 26040:3	26023:14	26034:19	continued 26028:9
check 26100:24	26060:9 26065:3,5	commenced 26090:10	concede 26124:22	continues 26007:23
26106:13,14	26069:19,22 26071:9	26093:14 26140:15	concentrate 26113:24	continuing 26069:22
checked 26060:22	26071:22 26084:7,9	commences 26093:21	26113:25	contributing 26002:6
cheek 26129:17	26084:18 26085:12	26098:3	concentrating 26027:2	contributor 26005:16
26131:7	26085:16,18 26086:1	commencing 26002:7	26066:1 26073:1,11	control 26002:16
cheque 26017:8	26086:23,24 26087:1	comment 26009:12	concern 26092:19	26005:14,22,25
26019:12	26088:20 26090:25	26011:14 26028:2	concerning 26017:24	26006:6 26025:9
chief 26036:23	26091:14 26097:25	26034:25 26064:3	concerns 26015:20	conversation 26012:23
26057:23 26061:5	26098:22	26130:25 26131:9,23	concession 26005:18	26014:5
26114:19	closely 26069:15	26132:8,14	concludes 26006:16	convey 26011:7
chopper 26022:17	26075:20 26097:20	comments 26023:9	26100:1	26022:13
26025:17 26032:13	closer 26068:14	Commission 25999:2	conclusion 26001:15	conveyed 26027:5
26034:17,18	26069:11 26070:9	25999:16 26003:17	26004:23 26006:19	convoy 26032:4,6
26036:14 26043:6,11	26072:6 26082:16,17	26003:19 26007:13	26008:22 26092:14	copies 26104:25
26044:4 26048:2,15	26083:2,4	26007:23 26010:4	26093:24 26094:6	26105:24
26051:8 26052:19	closest 26000:9	26045:25,25 26046:1	26096:24 26097:19	сору 26087:19
26075:9 26094:16	close-up 26045:4	26046:15 26048:11	conclusions 26000:22	26102:16,22 26115:7
26132:3	closing 26070:23	26066:8,19,21,23	26093:6 26128:21	cord 26129:21
chopper's 26094:17	clothing 26016:12	26067:8,12 26072:7	26130:2	26130:12
circle 26055:19,25	cloud 26091:18	26072:15 26078:18	conclusory 26009:1	cordon 26042:16
26090:7 26092:23	cluster 26023:14	26078:18,19	confess 26129:8	core 26085:15,23
26098:15,16,19	code 26000:2	26094:23 26100:9,9	confirm 26000:23	corner 26022:24
26099:2 26115:17,21	Coin 26022:17	26100:10,20 26118:3	26047:25 26048:10	26035:23 26039:7,9
	26022.12 26026.14	26126.10 10 10	26048:16 26049:5	26063:19 26076:18
26115:24 26122:7,9,9	26032:13 26036:14	26126:18,18,19	20046.10 20049.3	20003.17 20070.10
26115:24 26122:7,9,9 26135:23 26136:1	26048:2,6 26051:7	26120:18,18,19 26132:22 26140:22	26052:1 26106:21,22	26076:21 26082:7

2605.25 26072-16 26013-24 261013-24 26					Page
corratiol 2003:2: 0055:6 2000:2: 02013:1 20010:1: 02013:2.3,9 discrepancy: 2008: corrected 2008:5: 10:0:24 2013:1: 02013:1: 02013:2: 02072:1: 020072:1: 02002:1: 02072:1: 02072:1: 02072:1: 02072:1: 02072:1: 020	26085:5 26102:15,23	cut 26080:13	death 26045:23	dictate 26021:2	
2605.25 2605.14 26026:16 26103:24 26103:24 26103:24 26102:25 26026:25 26072:16 26072:17 26072:17 26072:17 26072:17 26072:17 26072:17 26073:17 26073:12 <	26103:6	C-A-S 26115:23	26101:11,25 26102:9	didn't 26008:5 26010:7	discovery 26019:20
corrected 2008:14,22 C3 2002:25 2007:17 2002:25 2007:17 2002:25 2007:17 2007:16 2007:16 2007:16 2007:16 2007:16 2007:17 2007:16 2007:17<	corralled 26036:24	C-sec's*10:10/00-06	26102:12 26103:14	26010:16 26013:2,3,9	discrepancies 26068:22
20002:25 20110:24	26052:5 26055:6	26026:16	26103:24 26114:9,19	26014:12 26024:8,9	discrepancy 26068:24
D debate 26140:17 26003:11 D debate 26140:17 26003:19 26103:2007:11 D 26103:12 26003:12 <	corrected 26085:14,22	C3 26026:13	26139:15	26026:25 26027:17	
26043-18 26071-14 D 26135-19 decessed: 26046-2 2603319-26037-7 discussing 26012-12 260852-26106-11 discussing 26012-12 decisions 26003-112 decisions 26003-112 discussing 26012-12 260842-26083-13.25 diarran 25999-6 260131-26003-117 26061-16 26070-13 discussing 26012-12 260852-26004-8 260331-52 decisions 26003-17 260873-26006-13 discussing 26012-12 260852-26004-8 260331-52 decisions 26003-13 discussion 20073-1 discussion 20073-1 260852-26004-8 260403-26004-13 260403-26005-13 discussion 20073-1 discussion 20073-1 260852-26004-7 26043-25600-13 discussion 20073-1 discussion 20073-1 discussion 20073-1 260852-26004-7 26043-25600-74 deliberate 2612-66 26093-13 26083-12000-13 discussion 20073-1 26017-22 decision 26007-14 deliberate 2613-66 26073-22 26073-12000-13 discussion 2007-13 discussion 2007-13 discussion 2007-13 discussion 2007-13 discussion 2007-13 26073-1200-13 discussion 2007-13 26073-12000-13 discussion 2007-13			deaths 26018:9		
26088:25 26106:1 dama 26136:1 deceptive 2609:24 26040:12 26042:69 discussion 26073:1 correlate 26088:13.05 anardie 26059:15 26043:12 20039:8,0 discussion 26073:1 contal ve 2608:13.05 anardie 26059:15 26004:12 26048:2 26071:2 26085:8,0 dispute 26073:15.0 contal ve 2601:21.24 dama 26136:5:1 26064:22.25 26000:7 260873:3 26096:13.15 dissue 26073:15 contal ve 2608:15 2608:15 2001:21 definitely 26009:3 26101:21:2001:21.24 260873:2001:21:2001:21 contal ve 2608:17 26043:22:20 2608:13:13 distance 26017:2 distance 26017:2 contal ve 2608:17 26041:22:20042:7:15 definitely 2609:3:1 delivers 2607:22 26087:14 261073 26081:12 26095:10 contar 26003:1 26051:72:20057:10 26051:12 26095:10 delivers 2607:22 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26095:10 26082:12 26005:10 26082:1	-				
correlate 26089:5 correlate 26089:5 correlate 26084:4 colin724 decision 26005:12 26084:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
correlated 20084:4 26107:24 decisions 26004:17 26061:16 26070:13 dispersion 26028:9 26084:2 couldn't 26001:21.24 darardie 26059:15 26007:9 26007:9 26007:9 26007:9 26007:9 26079:15 26098:15 26007:9 26078:15 26098:10 26078:15 26098:10 26078:15 26098:10 26078:15 26098:10 26078:15 26098:10 26078:12 26098:10 26078:12 26098:10 26078:12 26098:10 26078:12 26098:10 26078:12 26098:10 26078:12 26098:10 26078:12 26098:10 26078:12 26098:10 26078:12 8609:13 26098:12 26098:10 26078:12 8609:13 26098:12 26098:10 26078:12 8609:13 26098:12 26098:10 26078:12 8609:13 26098:12 26098:10 26078:12 8609:13 26098:12 26098:10 26078:12 8609:13 2607			-		
correlates 26083:13.25 daaraan 25999:6 26015:13 26019:8 26071:7 26083:8,019 dispute 26073:15,16 couldiv 26001:21,24 dam 26086:25 26003:25 26005:7 26087:3 26086:19 26087:3 26086:19 26087:3 26086:19 26087:3 26086:19 26087:3 26086:19 26087:3 26099:21 distance 26077:18 could ve 26027:18 26040:3 26041:22 26047:7.15 26074:7 26087:3 26099:23 26102:17 26112:21 distance 26077:18 26078:3 26099:121 26078:3 26099:121 26078:3 26099:121 26078:3 26099:121 26078:3 26099:121 26078:3 26099:121 26099:12 2012:7 26099:14,14 26107:24 26109:22 26078:3 26099:121 26099:12 21 distance 2607:12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	
26084-2 couldn's 2600121.24 daardie 260991.5 decision-making 26085.15 20085.15 20085.13 26096.13.15 distance 26077.15 26052-20 26038.15 20.23 26048.23 26048.23 26078.13 26096.13.15 distance 26077.18 26048.23 26048.22 26048.22 26102.17 26112.21 distance 26077.18 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.3 26096.1 26078.1 4 2607.3 26078.2 2609.1 26078.1 4 2607.3 26078.2 2609.1 26078.2 26078.1 26078.2 2609.1 26078.2					
condin' 20001:21:24 dam. 26036:25 20004:22:25 20005:7 20087:3 20091:13 dissent 20004:15 26025:6 204068. 26038:15:20.23 definitively 26030:3 26098:23 26102:17 26112:21 distance 26077:18 condit've 2007:18 26044:3 2041:20.21 definitively 26099:2 26124:25 26048:25 20099:14 26124:25 distance 26077:18 26099:12 20099:13 26082:13 26099:13 26082:13 26099:13 26099:12 46109:12 distance 26077:18 26099:12 46109:12 distance 26077:18 26099:12 46109:12 distance 26077:18 26099:12 46109:12 distance 2607:10 distance 26079:10 26099:12 46120:12 distance 26071:20 distance 2607:12 distance 2607:12 distance 2607:12 distance 2607:12 distance 2607:10 distance 2607:11 distance 2607:10 distance 2607:12 distance 2607:12 distance 2607:10 distance 2607:12 distance 2607:10 distance					-
26052:0 260393.10.12.823 26098:10.26098:23 26098:10.26098:22 definitely 26098:23 261021:72.6112:21 distance 26018:2 counting 26048:7 26041:22.26042:7,15 definitiely 26095:1 die 26045:18.26059:13 26098:13.26099:1 course 26003:1 26095:1.6,17 definitiely 26095:5 diel 26045:2.26047:4 dilberate 26182.2 26091:2.26091:10 26098:13.26099:1 26012:7.26013:7 26055:1.6,12.26059:13 dediberate 26006:19 differ 26000:16 distinct 2607:12 26098:12.26097:16 26098:12.26097:16 26098:12.26097:10 26098:23.26062:20 26061:2.21.51 26061:2.21.51 26061:2.21.51 26061:2.21.51 26061:2.21.51 26068:13.26009:11 26046:23.2612:62 26046:23.2612:62 26046:23.2612:62 26046:23.2612:62 26046:23.2612:62 26068:14.26090:12 26046:23.2612:62 26061:12.16 26046:23.2612:62 26061:12.16 26046:23.2612:62 26010:3.6.2612:62 26069:12 26046:23.2612:62 26066:12.20:67:62 26071:12.26088:15.29 26010:3.2612:62 26067:12 26013:2.2608:12.2609:12 26010:3.2608:12 26010:3.2613:12 26010:3.2613:12 26010:3.2613:12 26010:3.2613:12 26010:					
26052:20 26039:3.10.12.18.23 26048:25 26098:23 26102:17 26112:21 distance 26077:18 counting 26043:7 26043:3 26047.4 degree 26051:13 delberate 26125:6 26099:12.12 26098:21 26099:13 26098:21 26099:13 26098:21 26099:13 26098:21 26099:12 26098:21 26099:12 26098:21 26099:12 26098:21 26099:12 26014:21 26005:17 26019:12 26099:13 26014:21 26009:12 distance 2607:19 distance 2607:19 distance 2607:19 26014:21 26009:12 26016:12 26099:12 26016:12 26099:12 26016:12 26099:12 26016:12 26099:12 26016:12 26099:12 26016:12 26009:12 26017:12 56020:16 26014:22 2609:11 26014:22 2609:11 26014:22 2609:11 26014:22 2609:11 26014:22 2609:12 26014:12 2609:11 26014:22 2609:12 26014:12 2609:11 26014:12 2609:11 26014:12 2609:11 26014:12 2609:11 26014:12 2609:11 26014:12 2609:11 26014:12 2609:11 26014:12 2609:11 26014:12 26011:12 12008:12 2601111:12 12015:2 2601111:12 12015:2 2601111:12 12015:2 2601111:12 12015:2 2601111:12 12015:2 2601111:12 12015:2 2601111:12 12015:2 2601111:12 12015:2 2601111:12 26015:1 2601111:12 26015:1 2601111:12 26015:1				-	
couldi ve 26027:18 26040:3.26041:20.201 definitively 26009:4 26124:25 26073:3.26081:19 counting 26043:7 26043:3.26047:4 deliberate 26125:6 die 26045:18.26059:13 26093:1.26090:1 course 2603:1 26059:17.25 deliberate 26125:6 die 26074:22109:5 26083:1.3 26080:1 26083:1.3 26080:1 26083:1.3 26080:1 26083:1.3 26080:1 26091:2.26095:10 26094:2.26095:10 26094:2.26095:10 26094:2.26095:10 26046:2.3 26005:12 26065:12 26016:12 26010:12 26016:12 260111:12 26010:12					
counsel 20088:5 26041:22 260427.13 deilberate 26125.6 die 2004518 260051.3 26091:2 220951.3 26091:2 220951.3 26091:2 220951.13 deilberate 26125.6 260591.14,14 26107.3 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 220951.1 26091:2 200951.1 26091:2 200951.1 26091:2 200951.1 26091:2 200951.1 260145.12 50021.6 26046:23 26046:24 2607112 26067:12 26067:12 26067:12 26067:12 26067:12 <					
counting 26043:7 26043:3: 26047:4 deilberate 26125:6 20059:14 26107:3 26091:2 26095:1 course 26003:1 26050:17 26052:5 deilvered 26019:12 deilvered 26017:25 deilvered 26017:25 deilvered 26017:25 deilvered 26017:12 deilvered 26009:11 2604:13 deilvered 26017:12 deilvered 2601					
couple 26007:16 26049:16,17 delivered 26019:12 died 26045:22 26098:21 26132:12 26012:7 26013.7 26055:61,12 26055:61,12 26055:61 delivered 26017:25 differ 26000:16 26046:23 26017:6 26018.3 26066:24,13 260618.3 26066:24,13 260618.3 26066:24,13 260618.3 26066:24,13 260618.3 26066:24,13 260618.3 26066:24,13 260618.3 26066:24,13 260618.3 26066:15 26088:15,19 26017:15 26020:16 different 26001:19 26046:23 26126:10 26068:212 darke 26006:2 20061:6 26079:13 26093:15 26088:15,21 26088:15,21 docket 26110:10,18 docket 26110:10,18 26048:12 darke 26006:22 depict 26138:22 depict 26138:22 260111:1,12 26093:17 docket 26110:10,18 26049:217 date 26016:22 depict 26138:21 different 26003:13 docket 26100:14 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2 cover 26102:3 date 26016:22 depict 26136:12 26027:11 2608:11 26088:15 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2 260111:12:015:2<					
course 26003:1 26050:17 26052:5 delivered 26019:12 delivered 26009:12 delivered 26009:12 delivered 26009:12 delivered 26009:12 delivered 26009:12 delivered 26009:12 delivered 26019:12 delivered 26019:12 delivered 26017:12 delivered 26009:17 26066:12 26066:12 26066:12 26066:12 26009:13 26066:12 26009:13 26066:12 26009:13 decker 26100:11 26006:12 26009:13 260019:12 260019:11 260019:12 260019:11 26007:12 26007:12 26007:11 26007:12 26007:12 26007:11 26007:12 26007:11 26007:12 26007:11 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12 26007:12					,
26012:7 26013:7 26055:6.12 26059:13 delivers 26017:25 delivery 26016:8 26125:10 distinction 26071:24 26017:6 26018:3 26060:2.2 26061:12,15 26007:21 26087:11 differences 26067:19 distinction 26071:24 26030:8 26033:1 26065:15 26088:15.19 26007:15 26008:17 26017:15 26020:16 dit 26059:11,12,14 26054:23 26062:20 dark 26060:2 26061:6 26079:13 26093:15 26088:14 26092:17 doctor 26130:52 26079:13 26093:15 26088:14 26092:17 doctor 26130:52 26017:15 26020:17,17,20 doctor 26130:52 2cover 26102:3 date 2610:52.2 date 26016:22 depict 26136:12 26002:17,17,20 doctor 26130:52 26017:12 26017		,			
26014:21 26015:7 26059:15,18,20 delivery 26016:8 26125:10 distinction 26071:22 26017:6 26018:3 26060:24,13 26061:8 demonstrate 26001:19 different 26011:9 26046:23 26126:8 26030:8 26033:1 26065:15 26007:21 26008:7.14 26073:12 26008:7.14 26073:12 2603:4.19 26037:10 doctor 26130:5 26054:23 26062:20 darker 26083:3 dameonstrates 26006:17 26034:4.19 26037:10 doctor 26130:5 26082:12 dat 25999:6 26107:3 date 26016:22 deemostration 26019:7 26003:17 26002:17 doctor 26130:5 covere 26107:24 date 26016:22 depict 26136:12 26033:13 26063:13 26067:12,17 covere 26103:52 could regized 2610:1.11 26012:12 26013:13 26062:16 26077:12,17 covere 2610:1.26 26034:25 26058:22 26121:19 26062:16 26077:12,17 26088:18 2607:12 criticisal 26095:11 26111:16,18 depioting 2607:56 direct 26022:7 26108:12 26033:12 2608:12 26015:2 26099:12 26014:12 26065:13 26092:13 26092:12 26111:12 6104:2 26002:12 26005:12 26101:12 6002:14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
26017:6 26018:3 26060:2,4,13 26061:8 demonstrate 26007:19 difference 26067:19 distress 26045:10 26030:8 26033:1 26065:15 26088:15,19 26017:15 26020:16 dit26059:11,12,14 26030:2 26062:20 dark 26062:2 26061:6 26038:15,19 26088:15,19 26088:14 26092:17 docket 26110:0,18 26054:2 26062:20 dark 26062:2 26061:6 darker 26083:3 demonstrates 26006:17 26088:14 26092:17,17,20 docket 26110:0,18 26088:12 date 26016:22 date 26016:22 depict 26138:21 dimest 26007:10 26092:17,17,20 document 26007:4 cover 26102:3 date 26016:22 date 26016:22 depict 26138:21 depict 26138:21 depict 26138:21 depict 26138:21 depict 26033:13 26067:12,17 cover 26101:3 26017:4 26017:4 26027:81,426033:13 26067:12,17 26008:16 26042:14,26035:12 26008:16 26072:11,2608:1 26072:11,2608:1 26072:11,2608:1 26072:11,2608:1 26072:12,2608:1 26072:11,2608:1 26072:12,2608:1 26072:12,2608:1 26072:12,2608:1 26072:12,2608:1 26072:12,2608:1 26072:12,2608:1 26072:12,2608:1					
26019:18 26022:2 26061:12,15 26007:21 26008:7,14 differut 26011:9 26046:32 26126:8 26030:8 26033:1 26065:15 26088:15,19 26017:15 26020:16 dict 2619:11,12,14 26039:21 26049:16 dangerous 26035:3 demonstrates 26006:17 26088:14 26092:17 docket 26110:10,18 26082:12 darker 26083:3 demonstrates 26006:17 26098:25 26011:12 2007:4 26082:12 date 26016:22 depict 26138:21 difficult 26015:2 26011:11 26015:2 covere 26107:3 date 26010:9,17 depict 26136:12 26023:17 26025:9 26015:22 26019:22 covere 26107:4 26017:4 26017:4.2 26078:12 26017:4 26037:13 26067:10 26034:16 26042:11 26068:18 26071:2 covere 26103:52 26024:15 26038:22 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 26011:1 26108:2 260108:1 26002:1 26008:1 26002:1					
26030:8 2603:1 26065:15 26088:15,19 26017:15 26020:16 dia 26059:11,12,14 26054:25 26062:20 dark 26060:2 26061:6 dark 26083:3 26079:13 26093:15 26088:14 26092:17 docket 26110:10,18 26054:25 26073:3 dat 25999:6 26107:3 dat 25999:6 26107:3 demonstrates 26006:17 26092:17,17,20 26092:17,17,20 26092:17,17,20 260092:17,17,20 260092:17,17,20 26010:3.6 26011:3 26010:3.6 26011:3 26008:15,22 26010:3.6 26011:3 26008:15,22 26010:3.6 26011:3 26008:12,22 26010:3.6 26011:3 26008:12,22 26010:3.6 2607:12 26007:12,17 26007:12,17 26008:18 2607:12 26007:12,17 26066:1 26077:13,17,200 26067:10 26034:16 26042:1 26067:12 26067:12 26067:10 26034:16 26042:1 26067:12					
26039:21 26049:16 dangerous 26035:3 demonstrates 26006:17 26034:4,19 26037:11 docket 26110:10,18 26055:2 26073:3 darke 26083:3 demonstrates 260093:15 26098:25 26010:3, 62011:11 26082:12 darke 26061:2 depict 26138:21 difficult 26015:2 26011:11 2608:1 26023:17 26025:9 26015:22 26019:1 26011:11 2608:1 26012:14 26039:1 26011:11 2608:1 26012:14 26005:16 26074:4,6 26096:2: 26011:11 2608:1 26025:13 2609:14 26025:13 26075:16 26094:4,6 26095:2: 26111:11 26014:2 26025:13 26075:16 26095:12 26110:11 26104:2 26012:12 26021:1 26101:11 26104:2 26025:12 26108:11 26103:12 26025:12 26111:11 26104:2 26025:12 26010:11 26103:12 26025:11 26103:12 26025:11<					
26054:23 26062:20 dark 26060:2 26061:6 26079:13 26093:15 26088:14 26092:17 doctor 26130:5 26052:12 dat 25999:6 26107:3 dat 25999:6 26107:3 depict 26138:21 difficult 26015:2 26011:11 26015:2 covere 26102:3 date 26016:22 depict 26138:21 difficult 26015:2 26011:11 26015:2 26011:11 26015:2 26011:11 26015:2 26011:11 26015:2 26017:12,17 covere 26103:2 date 26016:22 depict 26036:12 26023:17 26025:9 26017:12,17 26062:12,17 covere 26103:2 260121:5 26034:20 260121:19 26022:12 26072:11 26080:1 26072:12,17 covere 26103:1 26034:25 26058:22 deployed 26009:14 26072:11 26080:1 26072:11 26080:1 26072:12 26067:12 criticised 26095:11 26131:12,15,16,18,20 26011:4 26108:1 26022:17 deposed 26107:4 difficulty 26008:16 26094:4,6 26099:2 criticised 26001:4 26137:12,15,16,18,20 deposed 26107:4 deposed 26107:4 deposed 26107:4 deposed 26009:11 26046:12 26076:20 26041:10 2605:15 26113:17,17 26021:22 26046:12 26037:10 26046:12 26075:7<					
26065:9 26073:3 darker 26083:3 demonstration 26092:17,17,20 document 26097:11 26082:12 date 26102:3 date 26102:3 date 26101:3 26011:1 26017:3 depict 26013:1 26011:1 26011:1 26011:1 26011:1 26017:3 26017:3 26017:3 26017:1 26017:3 26017:1 26017:3 26017:3 26017:1 26017:3 26017:3 26017:3 26017:3 26073:1 26017:1 26017:3 26073:1 26017:1 26073:1 26017:1 26017:1 26017:1 26017:1 26017:1 26017:1 26017:1 26008:12 26011:1 26008:16 26072:11 26008:12 26011:1 26008:16 26072:11 26008:12 26011:1 26009:14 26018:12 26003:15 26134:17 26008:12 26011:1 26108:12 26017:4 deploying 26077:96 direct 26022:7 direct 26039:15 26101:11 26104:12 26025:13 2607:12 26134:17 26003:14 26003:14 26003:16 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>				-	
26082:12 dat 25999:6 26107:3 deny 26131:11.12 26098:25 26010:3,6 26011:5 covere 26102:3 date 26016:22 depict 26138:21 depict 26138:21 26017:12 2005:2 26017:12 2005:2 26017:12 2005:2 26017:12 2005:2 26017:12 2005:2 26017:12 2005:2 26017:12 2005:12 26027:8,14 26033:13 26067:12,17 26068:18 26071:2 26027:8,14 26033:13 26067:12,17 26068:18 26071:2 26026:16 2607:12,17 26068:18 26071:2 26026:16 2607:12,17 26068:18 26071:2 26068:18 26071:2 26068:18 26071:2 26068:18 26071:2 26068:18 26071:2 26068:18 26071:2 26068:18 26071:2 26068:12 2605:11 26078:14 2608:16 26079:44 26096:2 26101:11 26104:2 26078:15 26115:11 26019:2 26108:12 26115:7 26108:12 26115:7 26108:12 26115:7 26108:12 26115:7 26108:12 26115:7 26138:12 26115:1 26138:12 26115:1 26078:12 26078:12 26078:12 26078:12 26078:12 26078:12 26088:21 26089:13 26108:12 26078:12 26108:12 26078:12 26115:17 26108:12 26078:12 26118:17 26108:12 26115:17 26108:12 26115:17 26108:12 26115:18 26108:12 26078:12<					
cover 26102:3 covers 26113:25 date 26016:22 dated 26110:9,17 day 26002:20 26005:12 26017:8 26019:12,12 depict 26138:21 depicted 26136:12 depicted 26136:12 difficult 26015:2 26023:17 26025:9 26023:17 26025:9 26011:1 26015:2 26023:13 26025:9 co-operative 26017:4 creation 26035:2 26017:8 26019:12,12 26024:15 26034:20 depicts 26067:10 26024:15 26033:13 26067:12,17 co-operative 26017:4 creation 26035:1 26017:8 26019:42 depicts 26067:10 26034:16 26042:1 26068:18 26071:2 26025:13 26092:8 26101:12 126104:2 criticised 26095:11 26111:16,18 deploying 26079:6 direct 26022:7 26108:21 2610:12 26108:21 2610:12 criticism 26021:9 26136:24,24 26137:1 deploying 26079:6 directing 26032:13 documents 26009:22 directing 26032:11 documents 26009:23 26008:9 26010:11 26137:20 describe 26038:5 26049:10,17 26108:12 26108:12 26008:9 26000:14 26137:20 describe 26038:5 26049:10,17 26108:12 26008:13 26008:9 26010:11 26137:20 describe 26038:5 26049:10,17 26108:12 26105:22 26108:12 26008:19 2612:22 26041:10 26059:29 26063:12 2676:20 26063:12 2676:20 26063:13 <					
covered 26137:22 covers 26113:25 co-operative 26017:4 creation 26035:2 dated 26110:9,17 day 26002:20 26005:2 depicted 26136:12 depiction 26069:1 depiction 26069:1 26023:17 26025:9 26027:8,14 26033:13 26027:12,17 26015:22 26019:7 26068:18 26071:12 26068:18 26071:12 26068:18 26071:12 26068:18 26071:12 26068:18 26071:12 26068:18 26071:12 26068:18 26071:12 26068:18 2608:16 26075:12 12 26072:11 26080:1 26072:11 26080:1 critically 26047:8 critically 26047:8 critically 26047:8 critically 26047:8 26018:19 26111:16,18 26111:16,18 deployed 26009:14 26011:4 26108:11 diffect 26022:7 26108:12 26118:2 26108:12 26108:12 26095:22 direct 26022:7 26108:12 26118:18 26108:12 26108:12 26095:22 direct 26022:11 depit 26092:24 direct 26022:11 direction 26036:6,7 26109:25 26101:1 26109:25 26101:1 26109:25 26101:1 26109:25 26101:1 26109:25 26101:1 26008:9 26010:11 26038:2 26007:5,6 26065:1 26076:20 26042:14,19 26042:14,19 26005:15 26073:11 26005:15 26073:11 26005:15 26073:11 26005:15 26073:11 26005:15 26073:11 26005:12 26065:7 26046:12 26065:7 26046:12 26065:7 26046:12 26065:7 26046:12 26065:7 26046:12 26065:7 26046:12 26065:7 26046:12 26075:7 26126:9 26131:21 26007:123 26126:2122 26019:22 26138:13 26120:21,24 26133:5 26120:21,24 26133:5					
covers 26113:25 day 26002:20 26005:2 depiction 26069:1 26027:8,14 26033:13 26067:12,17 creation 26035:2 26034:15 26034:20 26121:19 26034:16 26042:1 26088:18 26071:2 critically 26047:8 26084:25 26058:22 deployed 26009:14 difficulty 26008:16 26094:4,6 26096:2 criticising 26095:11 26111:16,18 deploying 26079:6 direct 26022:7 26138:12 26103:11 2614:17 criticising 26085:9 26115:18 26119:3,21 26095:22 deploying 26079:6 direct 26039:15 26134:17 criticism 26001:4 26137:12,15,16,18,20 devix 2604:10 26042:14,19 26105:25 26108:1 26088:22 26011:4 26078:2 deploying 26079:6 direction 26036:6,7 26108:12 26022:7 26108:12 26022:7 26138:17 criticism 26001:1 26137:12,15,16,18,20 devix 26064:10 26042:14,19 26105:25 26108:1 26108:12 2605:2 26113:17,17 26108:12 2605:2 26113:17,17 26108:19 26109:2 26113:17,17 26108:19 26079:20 26065:11 26076:20 26065:11 26076:20 26065:11 26076:20 26065:12 26073:11 260502:0 26097:12 26113:17,17 260					
co-operative 26017:4 creation 26035:2 26017:8 26019:12,12 26024:15 26034:20 depicts 26067:10 26121:19 26034:16 26042:1 26052:16 26068:18 26071:2 26072:11 26080:16 critically 26047:8 26088:3 26109:4 26018:12 26085:22 26011:4 26108:1 deploying 26079:6 deploying 26079:6 direct 26022:7 direct 26022:11 26108:12 26104:2 26133:8 26136:22,24 deposed 26107:4 directing 26039:15 direct 26022:11 26108:21 26115:7 26134:17 criticism 26001:4 26137:12,15,16,18,20 deflox 26064:10 26042:14,19 26105:22 26108:1 26003:52 26109:22 directing 26032:11 documents 26009:2 directing 26032:11 documents 26009:2 directing 26032:11 documents 26009:2 directing 26039:15 26108:12 26108:1 26048:19 26112:2 26008:9 26010:11 26137:20 describe 26038:5 26041:10 26059:9 26041:10 26059:9 26054:15 26073:11 26108:19 26112:2 26103:12 26065:13 26027:12 26005:13 26027:12 26051:1 26027:12 26051:1 26027:12 26051:1 26027:12 26051:1 26027:12 26051:1 26027:12 26051:1 26027:12 26051:1 26027:12 26051:1 26066:14 26078:24 deal 26005:13 describe 26140:10,11 26099:8:12 2607:6 26077:7 26126:12 26131:21 26138:1,21 26139:21 26138:1,21 26139:21 26100:2,2 26101:19 2607:2,5,19 26128:20 2619:13 26138:13 26138:					
creation 26035:2 26024:15 26034:20 26121:19 26062:16 26072:11 26080:1 critically 26047:8 26088:3 26109:4 26011:4 26108:1 26082:27 26101:12 26092:8 26101:12 126104:2 criticised 26095:11 26111:16,18 deployed 26009:14 26072:11 26082:1 26108:12 26113:17 criticised 26095:12 26113:18 26119:3,21 26095:22 directing 26035:6,7 26136:24,24 26137:1 deployed 2609:24 directing 26022:11 documents 26009:22 criticisms 26001:4 26137:12,15,16,18,20 derive 26064:10 26042:14,19 26105:25 26108:1 26008:9 26010:11 26137:12,15,16,18,20 derive 26064:10 26042:10,17 26108:19 26112:2 26124:2 de 26007:10 26017:5,6 26065:1 26075:0 26041:10 26059:9 26054:15 26059:21 26113:17,17 26124:2 dead 26067:2 26067:10 26010:2,14 describe 26100:10,11 26008:12 26067:12,15 document 26002:9 26124:2 dead 26067:2 26131:21 26140:10,11 26009:812 26067:12,215 26066:14 26078:24 dead 26002:8 describe 26100:10,11 26009:812 2			-	-	26068:18 26071:23
crime 26116:16 26034:25 26058:22 deployed 26009:14 difficulty 26008:16 26094:4,6 26096:2 criticially 26047:8 26088:3 26109:4 26011:1 26108:1 26025:13 26092:8 26101:11 26104:2 criticising 26085:9 26115:18 26119:3,21 26095:22 direct 26023:7 26108:21 26115:7 criticising 26001:4 26133:8 26136:22,24 deploying 26079:6 directing 26022:11 documents 26009:22 26028:22 26136:24,24 26137:1 26095:22 depts 26042:10 26042:14,19 26105:25 26108:1 26008:9 26010:11 26137:12,15,16,18,20 describe 26038:5 26049:10,17 26108:19 26112:2 26012:12 days 26118:8,8 26041:10 26059:9 26065:12 6073:11 26008:12 26065:1 25099:14 26005:19 26010:17 26084:20 26139:14 26065:13 26073:11 26080:20 2605:12 26046:12 26065:7 26126:9 26131:21 26140:10,11 26090:9 26098:12 26067:6 26074:25 26041:12 26065:7 26126:29 26131:21 26140:10,11 26090:9 26098:12 26086:12 62079:13 26005:12 26071:12 26101:16 26090:9 26098:12 26106:12 62071:25 2					
critically 26047:8 26088:3 26109:4 26011:4 26108:1 26025:13 26092:8 26101:11 26104:2 criticism 26085:9 26111:16.18 26095:22 direct 26022:7 26108:21 26115:7 criticism 26001:4 26133:8 26136:22,24 deposed 26107:4 directing 26022:11 documents 26009:22 26028:22 26136:24,24 26137:1 26137:12,15,16,18,20 derive 26064:10 26042:14,19 26105:25 26108:1 26008:9 26010:11 26137:20 derive 26064:10 26049:10,17 26108:19 26112:2 26108:19 26112:2 crosses 26123:9 days 26118:8,8 26001:10,214 describe 26038:5 26049:10,17 26108:19 26112:2 26021:22 26044:7 de 26002:9 26007:5.6 26065:1 26075:13 26065:13 2605:13 26027:21 2605:13 26065:12 26057.7 26126:9 26139:14 26065:13 26073:13 26065:12 26073:11 26056:15 26073:11 26056:15 26073:11 26050:20 26051:13 26005:17 26126:9 26131:21 describea 26140:10,11 26069:08 directing 26022:19 26065:15 26073:13 26065:15 26073:13 26065:15 26073:13 26065:12 26073:13 26138:13 26138:13 26138:13					26094:4,6 26096:25
criticised 26095:11 26111:16,18 deploying 26079:6 direct 26022:7 26108:21 26115:17 criticising 26085:9 26115:18 26119:3,21 26095:22 directed 26039:15 26103:14:17 criticism 26001:4 26136:24,24 26137:1 depto 26095:22 directing 26022:11 documents 26009:22 criticisms 26001:4 26137:12,15,16,18,20 depto 26064:10 26042:14,19 26105:25 26108:1 crosses 26123:9 days 26118:8,8 26041:10 26059:9 26054:15 26059:21 26108:19 26112:2 26021:22 26044:7 dead 26046:24 26056:3 26084:20 26139:14 26063:14 26065:13 26027:21 26050:1 26085:21 26065:7 26109:13 describe 26090:8 2610:10,11 26080:6 26097:7 26138:1,21 26038:5 26109:12 26085:21 26067:12 26019:18 26021:8 26090:9 26098:12 26080:6 26097:7 26100:2,22 26101:19 26097:12 describe 26090:8 directing 26079:21 26138:13 26139:21 2608:6 26097:7 26100:2,32 26105:1,12 26097:18 26102:18 describe 26090:8 directing 26022:19 doing 26099:5 26138:13 26139:21 26016:22 2609:13 26102:12 26047:16<				-	26101:11 26104:21
criticising 26085:9 26115:18 26119:3,21 26095:22 directed 26039:15 26134:17 criticism 26021:9 26133:8 26136:22,24 depsed 26107:4 directing 26022:11 documents 26009:22 26028:22 26136:24,24 26137:1 depth 26092:24 directing 26023:15 26100:25 26101:1 26008:9 26010:11 26137:12,15,16,18,20 derive 26064:10 26042:14,19 26105:25 26108:1 26008:9 26010:11 26137:20 describe 26038:5 26049:10,17 26108:19 26112:2 crosses 26123:9 days 26118:8,8 26041:10 26005:99 26065:15 26079:21 26113:17,17 26021:22 26044:7 dead 26046:24 26056:3 26065:13 26073:11 26057:12 26057:13 26057:20 26051:1 26085:21 26087:17 26019:13 describe 26109:13 26140:13 26110:10,14 26080:6 26097:7 26100:2,22 26101:19 26067:2,5,19 descriping 26071:23 26138:13 26138:13 26139:14 26100:2,22 26101:1,12 26064:15 26100:23 26140:13 26093:3 26134:7 26027:12 2607:12 26100:2,22 26101:1,12 26019:13 descriping 26071:23 26138:13 2613		26111:16,18	deploying 26079:6	direct 26022:7	26108:21 26115:7,14
criticism 26021:9 26028:22 26133:8 26136:22,24 26136:24,24 26137:1 deposed 26107:4 dept 26092:24 derive 26064:10 directing 26022:11 documents 26009:22 26100:25 26101:1 criticisms 26001:4 26008:9 26010:11 26137:20 derive 26064:10 derive 26064:10 26042:14,19 26100:25 26108:1 crosses 26123:9 days 26118:8,8 26041:10 26059:9 26054:15 26059:21 26113:17,17 26124:2 de 26002:9 26007:5,6 26065:1 26076:20 26061:7,12,15 doesn't 26004:15 25099:14 26005:19 26007:10 26010:2,14 describe 26140:10,11 26065:15 26073:11 26050:20 26051:1 26046:12 26065:7 26126:9 26131:21 26140:13 26016:12,23 261067:2,5,19 260167:23 26100:23 26105:1,12 26097:12 26016:22,26019:22 26138:1,21 26139 26139:21 26100:14 26110:22 cof4:15 26107:9 26016:22,26019:22 26138:13 26139:21 26100:14 26110:22 26128:20 26129:15 26016:22 26019:22 26138:13 26139:21 26100:14 26110:22 26128:20 26129:15 26016:22 26019:22 26135:13 26126:126134:19 26100:14 26110:22 26109:13					
criticisms 26001:4 26137:12,15,16,18,20 derive 26064:10 26042:14,19 26105:25 26108:1 26008:9 26010:11 26137:20 describe 26038:5 26049:10,17 26108:19 26112:2 crosses 26123:9 days 26118:8,8 26041:10 26059:9 26054:15 26059:21 26113:17,17 26124:2 de 26002:9 26007:5,6 26065:1 26076:20 26066:17,12,15 describe 26008:3,13 26063:14 26055:13 26027:21 26050:1 25099:14 26005:19 26010:17 26108:12 26065:1 26065:15 26073:11 26050:20 26051:1 26050:20 26051:1 26066:14 26078:24 deal 260015:13 26140:10,11 26090:9 26098:12 26067:6 26074:25 26066:14 26078:24 deal 26005:13 describing 26071:23 26120:21,24 26133:5 26138:1,21 26139 26100:2,22 26101:19 26094:24 26100:23 26092:20 directions 26022:19 dogs 26047:16 26100:14 26110:22 26112:8 26125:19 26108:25 26109:13 26108:25 26109:12 26016:22 26019:22 26138:13 26126:12 6134:19 26102:14 26110:22 26108:15 26107:9 26108:25 26109:13 26108:25 26109:12 26108:25 26109:12 26108:12 26019:22	criticism 26021:9		deposed 26107:4	directing 26022:11	documents 26009:23
26008:9 26010:11 26137:20 describe 26038:5 26049:10,17 26108:19 26112:2 crosses 26123:9 days 26118:8,8 26001:0:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26007:10 26010:2,14 26005:13 26005:13 26005:15 26073:11 26005:10 2605:7 26126:9 26131:21 26140:13 26005:15 26073:11 26005:20 2605:13 26007:6 26074:25 26100:12,24 26133:5 26131:21 26140:13 26116:10,14 26005:20 2605:7 26138:1,21 26139:21 26065:12 26087:17 26019:18 26021:8 describing 26071:23 describing 26071:23 26120:21,24 26133:5 26138:1,21 26139:21 26138:13 26139:21 dogs 26047:16 26100:2,2 26101:19 26097:2,5,19 describing 26092:10 describing 26019:21 26138:13 26128:20 26129:15 26016:22 26019:22 26133:21 26035:4 26027:11 26029:13 dogs 26047:16 doing 26009:5 26126:22 26109:13 26128:20 26129:15 26016:22 26019:22	26028:22	26136:24,24 26137:1	depth 26092:24	direction 26036:6,7	26100:25 26101:1,3
crosses 26123:9 26124:2days 26118:8,8 de 26002:9 26007:5,626041:10 26059:9 26005:10 26010:2,1426054:15 26059:21 26065:1 26076:20 260665:1 26076:2026113:17,17 doesn't 26004:1525999:14 26005:19 26021:22 26044:726007:10 26010:2,14 26016:12 26065:726007:10 26010:2,14 26016:12 26065:726007:10 26010:2,14 26026:22 26149:14260065:15 26073:11 26008:20 26139:1426026:515 26073:11 26009:9 26098:1226057:2 26027:21 26050:1 26007:20 26051:126046:12 26065:7 26066:14 26078:24 26065:13deal 26006:24 26056:3 26126:9 26131:2126140:13,13 26140:1326161:0,14 26090:9 26098:1226080:6 26097:7 26138:1326065:12 26077.7 26066:14 26078:24 26085:21 26087:17 26007:2,5,19describing 26071:23 descripting 26071:2326120:21,24 26133:5 26120:21,24 26133:526138:1,21 26139 26138:1,21 2613926100:2,22 26101:19 26007:2,5,1926092:20 description 26072:17 26092:20directly 26070:14 directly 26070:14doing 26009:5 26138:1326120:23 26105:1,12 26100:14 26110:22 cross-sections26128:20 26129:15 26108:25 26109:13 26108:25 26109:1326016:22 26019:22 26016:22 26019:22 26016:22 26019:22Dis 25999:9 26136:2 26135:13Donderdag 26107:1 26102:16 26126:24 26137:1726020:16 crows 26094:8 CS 26099:13,17 26015:20 26093:1926106:22 26019:20 26005:10 26109:12 26005:10 26109:12 26005:10 26109:12determine 26089:10 26007:16disability 26029:13 26138:19 26130:5donker 26140:7 26006:19 26094:8 26100:25 26009:526015:20 26093:19 26015:20 26093:1926111:8,19 26114:8 26015:9,1026027:16 2	criticisms 26001:4	26137:12,15,16,18,20	derive 26064:10	26042:14,19	26105:25 26108:18
26124:2 de 26002:9 26007:5,6 26065:1 26076:20 26061:7,12,15 doesn't 26004:15 25999:14 26005:19 26010:17 dead 26046:24 26056:3 26084:20 26139:14 26065:15 26073:11 26005:20 26051:1 26046:12 26065:7 26126:9 26131:21 dead 26046:24 26056:3 26126:9 26131:21 26116:10,14 26080:6 26097:7 26065:14 26078:24 deal 26005:13 26126:9 26131:21 describes 26140:13 26110:10,14 26080:6 26097:7 26066:14 26078:24 deal 26005:13 26097:2,5,19 describing 26071:23 26120:21,24 26133:5 26138:1,21 26139 26100:2,22 26101:19 26094:24 26100:23 26092:20 directions 26022:19 dogs 26047:16 26126:23 26121:8 26125:19 26092:20 detail 26011:16 26093:3 26134:7 26027:11 26029:1 26100:14 26110:22 26128:20 26129:15 26016:22 26019:22 26135:13 26126:1 26134:19 26100:14 26110:22 cross-sections 26108:25 26109:13 deter 26073:7 disability 26029:13 donker 26140:7 26020:16 26109:12 26005:19 26107:9 26108:12 26109:13 determine 26089:10 disab	26008:9 26010:11	26137:20	describe 26038:5	26049:10,17	26108:19 26112:24
cross-examination26007:10 26010:2,14described 26008:3,1326063:14 2605:1326027:21 2605:1325999:14 26005:1926010:17dead 26046:24 26056:326084:20 26139:1426065:15 26073:1126050:20 26051:126046:12 26065:726126:9 26131:21dead 26006:24 26056:326140:10,1126090:9 26098:1226067:6 26074:2526065:12 26047:726126:9 26131:21deal 26005:1326140:1326116:10,1426080:6 26097:726065:21 26087:1726019:18 26021:8describing 26071:2326120:21,24 26133:526138:1,21 2613926100:2,22 26101:1926067:2,5,19description 26072:1726138:1326139:2126126:2326121:8 26125:1926092:20directly 26070:14doing 26009:526126:2326121:8 26125:1926016:22 26019:2226135:1326126:12 6134:1926100:14 26110:22dealing 26071:1326021:1326126:21 26134:1926126:12 6134:1926100:14 26110:2226109:1326108:25 26109:1326021:1326135:1326126:12 6134:1926100:1626126:2426073:7disability 26029:1326109:526109:526100:1626126:24determine 26089:10disagree 26001:11donker 26140:726005:19 26094:826137:21development 26075:1626118:19 26130:526006:23 26007:126015:20 26093:1926137:21developments26006:19 26094:826006:23 26007:126015:20 26093:1926111:8,19 26114:826027:16discharge 26094:326016:21 26013:7,1	crosses 26123:9		26041:10 26059:9	26054:15 26059:21	26113:17,17
25999:14 26005:19 26021:22 26044:7 26046:12 26065:726010:17 dead 26046:24 26056:3 26126:9 26131:2126084:20 26139:14 describes 26140:10,11 26100:1326005:15 26073:11 26090:9 26098:12 26126:9 26031:2126050:20 26051:1 26046:12 26007:7 26126:9 26131:2126066:14 26078:24 26085:21 26087:17 26100:2,22 26101:19 26102:3 26105:1,12 26126:23deal 26005:13 26077:25,1926140:13 describing 26071:23 describing 26071:23 description 26072:17 26192:2026120:21,24 26133:5 26138:1326138:1,21 26139 26138:1326100:2,22 26101:19 26102:3 26105:1,12 26128:20 26129:15 26102:14 26110:22 cross-sections26094:24 26100:23 26128:20 26129:15 26128:20 26129:15 26016:22 26019:22 26016:22 26019:22 26016:22 26019:22 26135:1326027:14 26033: 26134:7 26027:14 26029:14 26022:1026100:14 26110:22 cross-sections 26100:14 26110:22 cross-sections26126:24 26137:21 deter 26073:7 26138:13deter 26073:7 26020:16 26137:21 deter 26073:76Dis 25999:9 26136:2 26006:19 26094:8 26001:11 26006:19 26094:8 26006:23 26007:12 26008:9 26009:5Donderdag 26107:1 26006:19 26094:8 26006:23 26007:126005:20 26093:19 26015:20 26093:1926111;8,19 26114:8 26111;8,19 26114:826027:1626043:3 26027:16260001:15,18 260021:16	26124:2	de 26002:9 26007:5,6	26065:1 26076:20	26061:7,12,15	doesn't 26004:15
26021:22 26044:7 26046:12 2605:7dead 26046:24 26056:3 26126:9 26131:21describes 26140:10,11 26140:1326090:9 26098:12 26116:10,1426080:6 26074:25 26080:6 26097:726066:14 26078:24 26085:21 26087:17 26085:21 26087:17 26100:2,22 26101:19 26102:3 26105:1,12deal 26005:13 26091:18 26021:8 26097:2,5,19describing 26071:23 description 26072:17 26192:2026120:21,24 26133:5 26138:1326139:21 dogs 26047:16 doing 26090:526100:2,22 26101:19 26102:3 26105:1,12 26102:23 26105:1,1226094:24 26100:23 26094:24 26100:23 26121:8 26125:1926092:20 detail 26011:16directions 26022:19 doirectly 26070:14 26093:3 26134:7doing 26009:5 26027:11 26029:12cross-examine 26128:20 26129:15 26100:14 26110:22 cross-sections26128:20 26129:15 26064:15 26107:9 26108:25 26109:1326016:22 26019:22 26021:1326135:13 Dis 25999:9 26136:2 Donderdag 26107:1 disabilities 26028:21 26109:5Donderdag 26107:1 don't 26001:2526020:16 crowd 26094:8 26099:13,17 26015:20 26093:1926120:16 26126:24 26137:21 deals 26015:9,10 26035:10 26109:12determined 26122:4 development 26075:16 26017:14disagree 26001:11 26118:19 26130:5don't 26001:25 26008:9 26009:526010:2020:10 26035:10 26109:12 26015:20 26093:1926111:8,19 26114:826027:16disagree 26001:15,18 26010:4 26013:7,1					26027:21 26050:18
26046:12 26065:726126:9 26131:2126140:1326116:10,1426080:6 26097:726066:14 26078:24deal 26005:13describing 26071:2326120:21,24 26133:526138:1,21 2613926085:21 26087:1726019:18 26021:8description 26072:1726138:1326139:2126100:2,22 26101:1926067:2,5,19despite 26090:8directions 26022:19dogs 26047:1626126:2326121:8 26125:1926092:20directly 26070:14doing 26009:526100:14 26110:2226128:20 26129:1526016:22 26019:2226135:1326126:1 26134:1926100:14 26110:22dealing 26021:1926021:13Dis 25999:9 26136:2Donderdag 26107:126020:1626108:25 26109:13deter 26073:7disabilities 26028:2126109:526020:1626120:16 26126:24determine 26089:10disability 26029:13donker 26140:726020:1626120:16 26126:24development 26075:1626118:19 26130:526006:23 26007:126035:10 26109:1226035:10 26109:1226027:1626118:19 26130:526008:9 26009:526015:20 26093:1926111:8,19 26114:826027:1626128:19,1326118:19 26130:526008:9 26009:5					26050:20 26051:17
26066:14 26078:24 26085:21 26087:17 26100:2,22 26101:19 26102:3 26105:1,12 26128:20 26129:1,12deal 26005:13 26094:24 26100:23 26094:24 26100:23 26121:8 26125:19 26128:20 26129:15describing 26071:23 description 26072:17 despite 26090:8 26092:2026120:21,24 26133:5 26138:1,326138:1,21 26139 26139:21 dogs 26047:16 doing 26009:5cross-examine 26102:14 26110:22 cross-sections 26020:1626094:24 26100:23 26128:20 26129:15describing 26071:23 despite 26090:8 26092:20directly 26070:14 26093:3 26134:7doing 26009:5 26016:22 26019:22 26016:22 26019:22cross-examine 26108:25 26109:13 26020:1626064:15 26107:9 26138:15 26107:9 26128:20 26129:13deter 26073:7 deter 26073:7 deter 26073:7 deter 26073:7Dis 25999:9 26136:2 disability 26029:13 disability 26029:13 disability 26029:13 donker 26140:7Donderdag 26107:1 don't 26001:25crowd 26094:8 26099:13,17 26015:20 26093:1926137:21 deals 26015:9,10 26035:10 26109:1226027:16disagree 26001:11 26027:1626006:23 26007:1' 26008:9 26009:5developments 26015:20 26093:1926111;8,19 26114:826027:1626029:13 disagree 26001:15,18 26006:19 26094:826006:23 26007:1' 26008:9 26009:5			-		26067:6 26074:25
26085:21 26087:17 26100:2,22 26101:19 26102:3 26105:1,12 26126:2326019:18 26021:8 26094:24 26100:23 26121:8 26125:19description 26072:17 despite 26090:8 26092:2026138:13 directions 26022:19 directly 26070:14 26093:3 26134:726139:21 dogs 26047:16 doing 26009:5cross-examine 26100:14 26110:22 cross-fire 26035:426121:8 26125:19 26108:25 26109:1326016:22 26019:22 26016:22 26019:2226135:13 2616:22 26019:2226135:13 26108:25 26109:1326126:1 26134:19 26021:1326020:16 crowd 26094:8 26002:10 26015:20 26093:1926137:21 deals 26015:9,10 26015:20 26093:1926137:21 developments 26027:16determined 26122:4 developments 26027:16disagree 26001:11,18,19 26114:826006:19 26094:8 26016:21 26019:526006:23 26007:1 26002:10 26035:10 26109:12 26015:20 26093:1926016:22 26019:22 26027:1626006:19 26094:8 26017:126006:23 26007:1 26005:16 26126:24				-	
26100:2,22 26101:19 26067:2,5,19 despit 26090:8 directions 26022:19 dogs 26047:16 26102:3 26105:1,12 26094:24 26100:23 26092:20 directly 26070:14 doing 26009:5 26126:23 26121:8 26125:19 26128:20 26129:15 26016:22 26019:22 26135:13 26126:1 26134:19 26100:14 26110:22 dealing 26021:19 26021:13 Dis 25999:9 26136:2 26126:1 26134:19 26020:16 26108:25 26109:13 deter 26073:7 disabilities 26028:21 26109:5 26020:16 26120:16 26126:24 determine 26089:10 disagree 26001:11 don't 26001:25 26094:8 26137:21 dewelopment 26075:16 26118:19 26130:5 26006:23 26009:5 26005:20 26093:19 26111:8,19 26114:8 26027:16 26108:15,18 26006:23 26007:1				-	26138:1,21 26139:13
26102:3 26105:1,12 26094:24 26100:23 26092:20 directly 26070:14 doing 26009:5 26126:23 26121:8 26125:19 26128:20 26129:15 detail 26011:16 26093:3 26134:7 26027:11 26029:1 cross-examine 26128:20 26129:15 dealing 26021:19 26016:22 26019:22 26135:13 26126:1 26134:19 26100:14 26110:22 dealing 26021:19 26021:13 deter 26073:7 disabilities 26028:21 26109:5 cross-sections 26120:16 26126:24 determine 26089:10 determine 26029:13 donker 26140:7 26020:16 26137:21 deur 25999:7 26107:4 26006:19 26094:8 26006:23 26007:1 CS 26099:13,17 26035:10 26109:12 26035:10 26109:12 26027:16 26118:19 26130:5 260008:9 26009:5 26015:20 26093:19 26111:8,19 26114:8 26027:16 26027:16 26001:15,18 26010:4 26013:7,1					
26126:23 26121:8 26125:19 detail 26011:16 26093:3 26134:7 26027:11 26029:11 cross-examine 26128:20 26129:15 detail 26011:16 26093:3 26134:7 26027:11 26029:11 26100:14 26110:22 dealing 26021:19 26016:22 26019:22 26135:13 Donderdag 26107:1 cross-fire 26035:4 26064:15 26107:9 deter 26073:7 disabilities 26028:21 Donder 26140:7 cross-sections 26120:16 26126:24 determine 26089:10 determine 26029:13 donker 26140:7 26020:16 26137:21 deur 25999:7 26107:4 development 26075:16 26006:19 26094:8 26006:23 26007:11 CS 26099:13,17 deals 26015:9,10 26035:10 26109:12 26027:16 development 26075:16 26118:19 26130:5 260008:9 26009:5 currently 26002:10 26035:10 26109:12 26027:16 developments 26027:16 26010:4 26013:7,1 26015:20 26093:19 26111:8,19 26114:8 26027:16 discharge 26094:3 26016:21 26019:5			-		
cross-examine 26100:14 26110:22 cross-fire 26035:426128:20 26129:15 dealing 26021:19 26064:15 26107:9 26108:25 26109:1326016:22 26019:22 26021:1326135:13 Dis 25999:9 26136:2 disabilities 26028:21 disability 26029:1326126:1 26134:19 Donderdag 26107:1 26109:5cross-sections 26020:1626108:25 26109:13 26120:16 26126:24deter 26073:7 determine 26089:10 determined 26122:4 deur 25999:7 26107:4disabilities 26028:21 disability 26029:13 disability 26029:13Donderdag 26107:1 26100:5crowd 26094:8 CS 26099:13,17 26015:20 26092:1026137:21 26035:10 26109:12 26035:10 26109:12development 26075:16 developments26118:19 26130:5 26027:1626006:19 26094:8 26111:8,19 26130:526000:23 26007:1 26027:16corrently 26002:10 26015:20 26093:1926111:8,19 26114:826027:16disagree 26001:15,18 26027:1626016:21 26019:5				-	
26100:14 26110:22 dealing 26021:19 26021:13 Dis 25999:9 26136:2 Donderdag 26107:1 cross-fire 26035:4 26064:15 26107:9 deter 26073:7 disabilities 26028:21 26109:5 cross-sections 26108:25 26109:13 determine 26089:10 determine 26089:10 disability 26029:13 donker 26140:7 26020:16 26120:16 26126:24 determine 26089:10 determine 26089:10 disagree 26001:11 don't 26001:25 crowd 26094:8 26137:21 development 26075:16 26118:19 26130:5 26006:23 26007:1 CS 26099:13,17 26035:10 26109:12 developments 26027:16 disagree 26001:15,18 26010:4 26013:7,1 26015:20 26093:19 26111:8,19 26114:8 26027:16 discharge 26094:3 26016:21 26019:5					
cross-fire 26035:4 cross-sections26064:15 26107:9 26108:25 26109:13 26120:16 26126:24deter 26073:7 determine 26089:10 determine 26089:10disabilities 26028:21 disability 26029:13 disagree 26001:1126109:5 donker 26140:7 don't 26001:25crowd 26094:8 CS 26099:13,17 26015:20 26092:10 26015:20 26093:1926137:21 26015:20 26091:2determine 26075:16 development 26075:16disagree 26001:11 26027:16don't 26001:25 26073:7 development 26075:16crowd 26094:8 CS 26099:13,17 26015:20 26093:1926137:21 26015:20 26093:19development 26075:16 26019:12 260111:8,19 26114:826027:16disapree 26001:15,18 disagree 26094:326010:4 26013:7,1 26016:21 26019:526016:21 26019:5					
cross-sections26108:25 26109:13determine 26089:10disability 26029:13donker 26140:726020:1626120:16 26126:24determined 26122:4disagree 26001:11don't 26001:25crowd 26094:826137:21deur 25999:7 26107:426006:19 26094:826006:23 26007:1'CS 26099:13,17deals 26015:9,10development 26075:1626118:19 26130:526008:9 26009:5currently 26002:1026035:10 26109:12developmentsdisagree 26001:15,1826010:4 26013:7,126015:20 26093:1926111:8,19 26114:826027:16discharge 26094:326016:21 26019:5		0			-
26020:16 26120:16 26126:24 determined 26122:4 disagree 26001:11 don't 26001:25 crowd 26094:8 26137:21 deur 25999:7 26107:4 26006:19 26094:8 26006:23 26007:1 CS 26099:13,17 deals 26015:9,10 development 26075:16 26118:19 26130:5 26008:9 26009:5 currently 26002:10 26035:10 26109:12 developments disagree 26001:15,18 26010:4 26013:7,1 26015:20 26093:19 26111:8,19 26114:8 26027:16 discharge 26094:3 26016:21 26019:5					
crowd 26094:8 CS 26099:13,17 currently 26002:10 26015:20 26093:1926137:21 deals 26015:9,10 26019:12 26111:8,19 26114:8deur 25999:7 26107:4 development 26075:16 development 26075:1626006:19 26094:8 26118:19 26130:5 disagreed 26001:15,18 discharge 26094:326006:23 26007:1 26018:19 26130:5					
CS 26099:13,17 deals 26015:9,10 development 26075:16 26118:19 26130:5 26008:9 26009:5 currently 26002:10 26035:10 26109:12 developments disagreed 26001:15,18 26010:4 26013:7,1 26015:20 26093:19 26111:8,19 26114:8 26027:16 discharge 26094:3 26016:21 26019:5				5	
currently 26002:1026035:10 26109:12developmentsdisagreed 26001:15,1826010:4 26013:7,126015:20 26093:1926111:8,19 26114:826027:16discharge 26094:326016:21 26019:5	/ 31 1 1 22				
26015:20 26093:19 26111:8,19 26114:8 26027:16 discharge 26094:3 26016:21 26019:5	111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
					-
					26020:8,10 26023:1 26024:4 26025:16
N. Martin P I have all the Real Internal Internal					26024:4 26025:16 26028:18,20 26029:6
20124:2 20029:12 diagram 20130:12 discourteous 20021:21 20028:18,20 2002			uiagi ani 20150:12	uiscour teous 20021:21	20020.10,20 20029:0

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page !
26044:7 26046:17	eastern 26036:25	26011:18 26013:3,4,4	excepted 26026:11	extra 26053:7
26047:15 26050:11	26038:15 26055:6,12	26095:12	excluded 26139:19	extreme 26054:10
26050:15,22	26134:12	errors 26002:5,7,11	exclusively 26107:9	26095:4
26051:19 26055:4	easy 26047:20	26004:14	excuse 26015:3	eye 26027:17
26060:10 26073:15	edge 26036:25	essentially 26063:20	26039:11	
26075:11 26076:5,10	26038:15 26042:7	established 26138:4	exercise 26078:5	F
26080:14 26086:8	26049:16 26052:5	estimated 26084:13	exhibit 26067:3,5,24	F 26135:19
26090:10 26101:6,17	26055:6,12 26062:22	eTV 26000:2 26043:5	26087:20,21	face 26098:18,22
26103:11,11	een 26136:1	26073:25 26081:17	26088:23 26092:18	26125:22 26127:17
26110:13 26117:19	effect 26072:12	26083:9	26099:1 26104:13	faces 26088:20
26118:19 26120:12	26130:11	events 26001:20	26105:21,22	26090:25 26093:25
26123:1 26126:15	effective 26016:10	26005:16 26006:18	26107:22 26108:6,12	face-down 26127:2
26127:4 26129:5,8	26017:24 26095:10	26007:22 26008:8,15	26108:20 26109:17	facie 26014:25 26015:2
26134:4 26137:21	effectively 26109:25	26009:13,17 26012:1	26110:8,10 26112:1	facility 26012:18
26139:11	eight 26003:8 26013:10	26072:17 26107:10	26114:21,21,22	facing 26057:7
double 26089:13,18	26083:12,17	26108:9 26109:1	26115:15 26117:15	fact 26000:17 26007:3
downdraft 26094:17	eight-minute 26012:9	26111:9,19,24	26118:7 26119:1,15	26010:2 26030:11
Dr 26129:23 26130:9	either 26005:21	26113:25 26115:9	26120:2 26121:4	26033:8 26040:15
dragging 26067:15	26007:24 26010:20	26116:4	26140:5,13	26058:17 26077:3
draw 26029:23	26029:5 26036:3,20	eventually 26014:11	exhibits 26101:15,17	26097:2 26106:25
26051:15,16	26062:20,23 26092:1	26061:8	26105:13,16,17,19	26109:14 26127:18
drawing 26051:23	26092:5 26093:20	everybody 26037:5	26140:9,10,11	26129:23,25 26132:4
drawn 26003:1	26105:5 26116:17	26115:1 26140:20	existing 26016:4	26135:17 26136:19
26094:17 26097:2	26118:7	evidence 25999:17	exit 26129:19 26131:7	26138:10
draws 26054:14	ek 25999:6 26107:3,24	26002:8,9 26005:18	expanding 26091:12	factor 26053:12
drew 26136:22	26109:5	26005:21,24 26009:7	expected 26026:12	failure 26001:5,6
drive 26016:21	ekskuus 26052:23	26017:2 26019:6	26029:20 26030:10	26015:2
26064:18 26065:22	26134:18	26021:1 26022:2	26074:16 26084:15	failures 26001:5
driven 26048:22	elect 26105:2	26027:13 26030:22	expensive 26013:3	fair 26001:3 26017:6
26069:23	electronic 26104:21	26035:15 26046:7	experience 26009:23	26020:22 26029:21
driver 26024:11	else's 26000:16	26051:1 26052:2,8,13	26016:8 26017:24	26125:13
26025:15,17	emotional 26045:9,14	26052:17 26065:11	experienced 26023:13	fairly 26021:3
drivers 26024:7	26046:23 26126:8	26066:18 26067:1,7,7	26072:3	26030:15 26044:6
driving 26024:8	emotionally 26126:14	26068:20,25	expert 26002:10	26086:1 26088:1
26038:4 26076:18	en 26059:16 26107:24	26069:21 26070:7,10	26007:5 26072:3	fairness 26028:22
26077:5	encircled 26027:6	26071:17,21	26129:24 26131:13	26136:10
droë 26059:13,14	26039:17	26072:18 26074:23	expertise 26072:6	fallen 26074:15
dry 26029:8,15	ended 26000:21	26074:24 26077:14	expired 26047:1	familiar 26127:8
26036:25 26038:15	ends 26101:8	26079:1,2,5,13,18,22	explain 26008:18	familiarise 26021:14
26038:20,23 26039:3	energy 26016:3	26088:14 26093:18	26013:8 26015:2	families 26100:14
26039:10,12,18,23	engage 26121:7	26114:11,19	26071:24 26072:7	family 26126:7
26040:3 26041:20,21	engine 26106:19	26119:25 26120:13	explained 26027:1	far 26003:14,25
26041:22 26042:7,15	English 26009:7	26120:16 26121:2,7,8	26029:3 26088:18	26029:17 26030:9
26043:20 26045:3	26046:7,8 26112:2,3	26124:14 26128:22	26113:5	26046:16 26069:2,16
26047:4 26049:16,17	26112:22	26128:23 26131:4,12	explaining 26037:16	26070:8,13 26071:22
26050:17 26052:5	engulfed 26092:7	26131:14,15,16,21	26077:16	26082:20 26083:15
26055:6,12 26059:18	enjoying 26097:6	26132:6,16,18,21,24	explains 26062:4	26085:20 26105:15
26059:20 26060:2,4	enlarged 26046:21	26133:3,6 26136:8,23	explanation 26025:1	26116:19 26120:16
26060:13 26061:8,12	enlargement 26046:25	26137:9 26139:19,25	26034:24 26052:21	26133:21
26061:15 26065:15	enormous 26084:13	evidence-in 26057:22	26053:2 26094:10	fast 26011:25 26014:11
due 26015:7 26019:18	enquiries 26014:3	evidence-in-chief	explode 26099:15	26014:19
26065:9 26073:3	ensure 26010:24	26055:5,11 26108:18	exploded 26088:20	features 26088:6
duties 26011:9	26011:24	26119:16 26124:20	26093:2	February 26109:19
duty 26108:1 26109:7	ensured 26011:2	evidential 26007:24	explodes 26090:24	fed 26028:22
	entering 26023:8	26008:3,13	26092:14 26099:12	feedback 26027:9
E	26026:16 26032:6	exact 26014:10 26075:5	exploding 26097:20,25	26028:6,14
e 26007:19 26135:19	entire 26000:24	26080:14	explore 26132:20	feel 26046:22,22
earlier 26015:8	26102:11	exactly 26061:10	26133:9	26126:7
26038:20 26050:16	entirely 26140:16	26064:15 26073:10	explosion 26089:9,13	feet 26023:21 26034:10
26051:14 26053:9	entitled 26045:22,23	26086:8	26089:17,20 26090:5	fellow 26064:23
26062:11 26083:5	26101:25	examine 26116:7	26090:15,22,23,24	26070:4
	Entrance 26131:6	example 26027:19	26091:2,4,8,13,20,25	fence 26085:12
Earth 26084:14		-		
Earth 26084:14 easier 26029:20	entry 26014:17	26028:4 26029:12	26092:21 26093:25	file 26012:20 26113:20
La 11 Derive		26028:4 26029:12 26087:8	26092:21 26093:23 26098:9 26099:8,10	final 26068:7,7
easier 26029:20 26054:1 26063:3	entry 26014:17 equipment 26004:16 26004:20 26005:2,8			
easier 26029:20	equipment 26004:16	26087:8	26098:9 26099:8,10	final 26068:7,7

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page 6
finalised 26007:3	forces 26026:14	26084:9 26090:22	26049:14,18 26056:8	26079:14,16
finally 26002:15	26030:23 26031:10	26092:21 26110:3	26058:12,25 26059:4	26087:13,18,21
find 26045:9,20	26031:14,14,18	26121:1	26063:3 26064:12	26088:4,12,16
26050:10 26058:21	forensic 26140:3,8	furthest 26115:22	26068:7 26069:1,3,9	26089:11 26093:13
26060:18 26068:6	foreseen 26029:25		26069:13,20,24	26093:15 26094:5,7
fine 26078:13	foreshortening	G	26070:3,13 26074:8	26094:20 26096:9
fingers 26127:19,20	26072:12 26092:19	G 26140:10	26075:20,25 26076:4	grey 26069:10,25
finish 26097:10	forgive 26021:25	gap 26012:10 26064:15	26077:22 26079:24	26083:5
finished 26126:12	form 26072:15	26068:11 26070:8	26080:19,19 26081:3	groepie 26059:12
fire 26016:1 26079:15	formal 26011:5	26084:5	26081:24 26083:7,25	ground 26022:13
firearms 26011:17	format 26112:8	gaps 26007:24 26008:4	26084:2,12 26086:5	26023:16 26025:10
26140:1,2	formed 26029:4	26008:13	26087:22 26088:1,12	26027:19 26028:7
fired 26020:7 26088:7	forthcoming 26019:23	garment 26058:3	26090:1 26093:5	26032:9 26033:16
26088:9 26139:16	forward 26027:23	26060:5,13,18	26095:2 26096:7	26034:8,11 26040:12
firing 26017:22	26056:4 26081:4	Gary 26000:21 26067:9	26098:1,20,24	26050:13 26057:15
26079:4	26083:7,10 26084:2	26068:1	26101:15,18,18,21	26062:21 26079:10
first 25999:15,23	26084:24 26136:18	gas 26020:14 26089:4	26106:5 26113:4,13	26089:3 26093:23
26026:8,24 26027:15	forwards 26066:9	26095:4,5 26099:13	26114:7,17,20	26094:12 26098:23
26028:23 26030:24	foto 26059:14	26099:17	26116:7 26118:10	26099:5,6,9,14
26031:5 26047:4	found 26104:4	gathered 26028:5	26119:19 26126:12	groupings 26028:14
26048:16 26050:10	26114:12 26115:11	gathering 26001:5	26127:16 26133:1	26063:5
26051:1,10,11	26115:14,25 26121:9	26070:20	26138:9	groups 26033:4
26068:24 26077:3	26121:19 26124:8,21	gebonde 25999:7	goes 26005:12	26071:18,24
26079:25 26088:7,17	26124:21 26125:1,17	gemaak 25999:7	26049:25	gro8up 26084:22
26089:3,16 26090:15	26125:22 26127:2	general 26010:12	going 26000:21	26090:19
26092:13 26093:7	26132:17 26133:3,22	26030:10 26031:3,17	26011:22 26015:16	guess 26013:9
26101:22 26102:2	26134:4,6,9,12	26031:19,20 26032:6	26021:23 26023:6	gunshot 26139:14
26114:9 26122:5	26135:4,12 26136:5	26111:7	26028:19 26030:4	guys 26036:22 26037:3
26138:1	26136:12 26138:16	gentleman 26060:5,17	26033:16 26034:8	26037:20 26038:13
firstly 26022:25	26138:25 26139:5,20	gentlemen 25999:24	26039:18 26040:4,11	26064:16
26088:15 26123:16	26139:21,23	26000:5	26040:13 26041:7	GW6 26066:24
26136:21 26138:14	four 26001:8,12	gestel 26059:16	26042:12 26044:11	GW6A 26067:9,17
Fischer 26051:21	26054:8 26063:5,13	getting 26044:1	26046:20 26048:14	26068:1 26069:3,20
five 26004:16 26012:18	26063:20 26080:16	gewees 26109:6	26051:22 26053:20	26075:15
26057:13 26063:20	26127:19	GGG 26107:16,16,19	26054:23 26059:8,8	GW6D 26075:19,21
26063:21 26097:3	fourth 26068:12	26107:21 26108:9	26064:16 26065:2	26077:10
26111:16	frame 26069:24	26110:25 26111:1	26066:2,8 26071:21	GW6E 26078:6,7
flag 26129:13	frank 26008:1	26114:1	26084:24 26085:18	26079:12
flat 26057:18	free 26099:15	GGG17 26105:22	26098:13 26100:13	20079.12
fleeing 26131:17,22	fresh 26105:24	26106:10,21	26101:16,23 26102:3	H
flew 26065:3	Friday 26108:2	26107:22 26108:6,11	26103:3,10 26126:13	H 26140:13
FLIR 26034:15	friend 26059:1	26108:21 26111:17	26132:23 26139:22	halfway 26074:1
flow 26030:1 26044:7	26075:25 26088:5	GGG7 26105:21,22	good 26009:5 26051:22	hand 26011:9 26095:5
flying 26033:9	26119:15 26120:17	give 26008:9 26019:6	Google 26084:14	26127:15,17
26040:25 26075:10	26124:15 26125:16	26021:24 26027:9	GPS 26125:1	26128:16,25 26129:3
focus 26083:16	26139:18	26021:24 20027:9	grateful 26008:17	26132:9
follow 26019:19,23	friends 26035:15	26040:3,10 26056:9	green 26090:12	handed 26105:24
26021:6 26091:1	friend's 26035.15	26073:21 26075:5	26098:15	26140:4
26116:15 26132:23	front 26054:11	26075.21 26075.5	grenade 26016:1,7	handicapping 26033:8
followed 26020:25	26068:18 26070:19	26112:25 26114:15	26017:14 26018:8	handle 26127:9,12,15
26085:4 26118:6	26070:21 26071:1,7	26129:6,11 26140:5	26072:19 26074:2,17	26127:19 26128:16
following 26080:24	26072:2 26085:15,23	given 26010:6,10	26078:6,7 26080:1	26132:9
26088:9 26089:12	26086:13 26090:11	26022:19 26044:10	26088:8,10,19	hands 26097:12
26116:20 26117:10	26090:16,19	26052:2 26087:19	26089:13,19,20,23	26101:19 26105:8
follows 26080:12	26091:18 26093:3	26105:25 26108:17	26090:5,7,8,16,23	26137:23
follow-up 26116:18	26098:18 26102:13	26108:21	26091:19,21 26092:1	handwritten 26109:17
follow-ups 26030:22	26108:19,20 26123:7	gives 26022:22	26092:14,21 26093:2	26110:4
foot 26026:17 26059:5	full 26008:1	26024:14 26025:23	26093:8,11,22,24	hang 26103:21
footage 26066:19,20,24	fully 26092:7	26026:13 26123:15	26094:9,14 26097:20	happen 26013:9
26067:11 26068:10	further 26002:1	giving 26009:6 26046:7	26097:23,24	26029:11
26068:16 26069:6	26016:13 26019:1	go 26000:25 26011:21	26098:22,23	happened 26009:23
26077:20 26079:4	26028:13 26030:8	26012:20,21,22	26099:10,14,16,19,19	26013:10,12
	20020:13 20030		,	20012.10,12
26080:13 26084.6			26119:6	26014:11 17
26080:13 26084:6 26092:25 26093:1	26033:15 26053:18	26021:13 26029:12	26119:6 grenades 26020:7	26014:11,17 26018:24.25 26024:6
26092:25 26093:1	2603 3 :15 2 6 053:18 26058:7,8,8,9,9	26021:13 26029:12 26034:3 26036:13	grenades 26020:7	26018:24,25 26024:6
	26033:15 26053:18	26021:13 26029:12		

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
26116:4 26118:9	hesitate 26028:20	26090:12,20 26091:1	26122:14 26123:22	interrupted 26043:6,12
happening 26014:19	het 25999:8 26059:15	26091:3,10 26092:16	26124:6,8 26132:10	interrupting 26053:1
26028:25	26059:16	26092:24 26098:25	26133:2	interval 26048:17
happens 26024:3	he'd 26019:3	imagery 26089:12	indicates 26050:9	interview 26003:3
happy 26060:21,23	he'll 26017:5	26099:8	26069:16 26070:1	investigated 26017:10
26137:20	he's 26008:22 26010:3	images 26091:24	26076:6 26132:6	investigation 26050:15
hard 26016:21	26015:21,23 26017:4	imagine 26096:19	indicating 26055:15	26060:21 26140:8
hardcopies 25999:17	26020:23 26022:18	immediate 26076:22	26056:1 26091:13	invite 26028:23
hasn't 26010:2	26023:24 26024:1,12	26092:22	26111:7 26133:18	invited 26029:5
haven't 26004:9	26051:1 26106:14	immediately 26011:21	26134:20 26139:25	involved 26011:17,17
26010:13 26094:10	26127:17 26134:20	26019:11 26025:17	indication 26025:23	26011:18 26023:10
26101:9 26112:5,7,10	HHH23 26094:2,24	26050:22 26056:2	26056:9 26085:1	26078:16 26140:2
head 26010:23	26096:8	26065:5 26069:8	indications 26138:2	Ireland 26016:3
26011:20 26016:18	HHH4 26108:10,12	26084:7 26130:4	individual 26048:21	IRIS 26011:16,16,20
26091:4,6,8,11,12,14	26109:8 26111:9,10	26132:6	individuals 26048:3,20	26011:21
26091:16,19,22	HHH5 26109:10,15	impact 26016:1	ineffective 26002:16	isn't 26003:3 26096:12
26092:15,22 26093:3	HHH6 26109:17	26017:22,25	26005:13,21,25	26116:24 26118:10
26097:20,25	high 26004:5 26019:15	26018:23 26019:25	inference 26050:18	26137:1 26138:5
26098:19 26129:17	higher 26034:6	implement 26019:9	26051:15 26132:15	26140:16
headed 26101:11	highlighted 26009:22	implemented 26004:1	influence 26033:15	isolation 26076:24
headgear 26069:6	highlights 26002:5	26004:9	informal 26028:14	issue 26001:11
26075:15	highly 26093:2	implication 26021:22	information 26005:4	26005:17 26129:15
heading 26061:7	26118:22 26131:19	importance 26137:21	26011:7,11 26017:1	issued 26004:19
26076:25 26103:3	high-velocity 26002:13	important 26134:7	26018:17 26019:1	issues 26001:8 26021:8
26104:11	hit 26089:3 26133:22	importantly 26004:22	26021:3,24 26026:18	items 26101:6
heads 26091:3	26133:23	26023:4	26040:4 26052:16	it'll 26037:24 26089:2
hear 26019:4,5	hold 26012:5 26135:5	impossible 26077:15,18	26073:22 26117:19	26095:7
26025:16,21 26034:1	holding 26027:24	26132:8	26118:17	I'd 25999:19 26008:17
26036:22 26037:7	26127:15 26128:16	impression 26028:20	informed 26007:2	26035:8 26038:19
26043:5 26048:2	hope 26021:24	26039:14 26042:16	26027:18 26031:9	26044:6 26067:2
26053:19 26128:25	26066:16 26085:22	improbable 26131:19	26045:6 26046:20	26074:23 26100:19
heard 26026:1 26040:2	26124:18 26125:20	inaccurate 26016:11	26059:21 26130:7	I'll 26012:5 26044:4
26093:23	26139:17	inadequate 26002:12	initial 26104:5	26046:8 26077:22
hearing 26037:12	hopeful 26062:19	26007:25 26008:4,12	26115:13	26128:19
height 26042:1 26091:4	hour 26075:10	26008:22 26015:9	injured 26045:18	I'm 26002:21 26005:19
26092:15 26093:3	housekeeping 25999:4	inaudible 26038:18	26060:11,12	26006:2,7 26008:9
helicopter 26028:11	25999:16 26100:23	26041:18 26053:1	26116:17 26129:21	26009:4 26010:15
26033:18 26040:24	hovering 26094:16,19	26100:16,17	26130:12 injuries 26046:17	26043:17 26045:4,6
26041:9,23 26042:1	huge 26022:24 hulle 26059:16	26110:12 26115:4	U	26050:9 26051:10 26052:15,16
26044:15,15,23	human 26045:22	26127:25 26128:1 26137:3	26047:6	-
26049:25 26050:1	26066:21 26068:19		injury 26018:1 26130:11 26139:14	26053:15 26055:15
26062:21 26064:2	26093:6 26094:23	incapacitated 26132:7 incident 26009:18	input 26010:4,6,8,14	26056:6 26057:24 26072:2,23 26074:19
26065:3,4 26088:12 26093:13,21 26094:7	hundred 26117:9	26115:18 26124:24	Input 20010.4,0,8,14 Inquiry 26007:23	26075:24 26078:2,8
26095:15,21 26094.7	Hundred 20117.9	incidents 26001:19	inside 26020:18	26101:19 26102:19
26099:22 26109:14	I	26007:20 26011:17		
helicopters 26033:23	idea 26023:5	26007:20/26011:17 26011:24	26095:24 26099:13 insofar 26001:4	26105:7,19,22 26108:7,16,16,23
26034:15 26109:12	ideal 26023:5	include 26041:8	instance 26023:7	
help 25999:25 26019:3	identified 26002:5,10	26101:5	26024:11	26114:6,10 26118:2 26119:7 26127:8
26020:23 26032:17	26002:19,23	incomplete 26029:6	instances 26075:11	26129:22 26133:17
26041:13 26050:12	26002:19,25 26004:15 26005:15	inconsistency 26061:5	instruction 26004:12	26129:22 26135:17 26133:18 26137:8,23
26051:15,18,20,22	26004:15 26005:15 26046:15 26052:7	inconsistency 26061:5 incorrect 26005:1,8	instruction 26004:12 instructions 26039:16	26139:18
26053:8 26060:19	26046:15 26052:7 26113:23 26114:11	26033:9	insufficient 26002:12	I've 25999:22 26003:16
26061:20 26062:8,19	26132:3	incorrectly 26014:8	intelligence 26001:5,9	26070:11,16,17
26062:24,24 26064:2	identifies 26000:15	increase 26033:10	intended 26018:3	26077:19 26101:2
helped 26024:17	26002:7	indebted 26075:24	26109:19	26103:15,15
helps 26023:10	identify 26000:4,14	indexed 26108:19	interest 26003:6	26105:13,23
26024:21	26023:20 26025:6,10	indicate 26007:24	interested 26020:13	26107:16 26112:12
Hemraj 26010:22	26025:13 26048:21	26056:23 26061:19	26021:4 26051:10	26114:25
	26051:13 26062:16	26085:7 26104:20	interesting 26019:4	2011 1.20
26011173760151	20031.13 20002.10		interpreting 26085:25	J
26011:1,23 26015:7 26032:3 26034:5	26105.20	26133.626136.10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
26032:3 26034:5	26105:20 identifying 25999:25	26135:6 26136:10 26138:19 26139:22		ia 26003·24 26012·11
26032:3 26034:5 26040:5,15,19	identifying 25999:25	26138:19 26139:22	interrogatories	ja 26003:24 26012:11 26013:20 26025:3
26032:3 26034:5 26040:5,15,19 26062:20 26095:2,16	identifying 25999:25 26018:18 26023:16	26138:19 26139:22 indicated 26025:24	interrogatories 25999:18	26013:20 26025:3
26032:3 26034:5 26040:5,15,19 26062:20 26095:2,16 26103:7 26129:9	identifying 25999:25 26018:18 26023:16 ignite 26096:3	26138:19 26139:22 indicated 26025:24 26028:7 26038:21	interrogatories 25999:18 interrupt 26043:17	26013:20 26025:3 26030:20 26043:1
26032:3 26034:5 26040:5,15,19 26062:20 26095:2,16	identifying 25999:25 26018:18 26023:16	26138:19 26139:22 indicated 26025:24	interrogatories 25999:18	26013:20 26025:3

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
26103:22 26106:16	26026:14,16,19,22	lawyers 26041:9	26103:23 26106:14	link 26015:8
26113:10 26117:4	26027:6,24 26028:5,8	LCRC 26121:15,19	26106:18 26114:15	linkerkant 26059:13
26120:7 26121:21	26029:8,11,17	26122:13 26125:3,12	26114:17 26119:19	list 26094:3 26095:1
26127:9,18,18	26030:10,25 26031:7	26128:13	26122:5,6 26127:16	26096:8,10,12,14,16
26128:18 26135:10	26031:21,23 26033:2	lead 26019:6 26021:23	26136:19 26138:9	listen 26009:21
January 26110:2	26033:5 26035:4,11	26069:7,14,22	26140:17	26012:22 26014:4
26112:2 26114:3	26036:2,3,4,5,20	26070:12 26071:18	Lieutenant 26000:3	26021:25 26043:11
JJJ10 26035:13	26037:24 26038:17	26071:20 26080:3	26015:14 26019:23	listened 26022:17
JJJ11 26076:15	26038:19 26039:22	26081:20 26084:6,20	26024:13 26029:3	listening 26023:4,7
JJJ11.1515 26073:24	26041:1,20 26042:6,7	26088:20 26090:25	26036:18 26057:19	26026:20
JJJ11.1516 26076:14	26043:9,13,19,20,21	26091:3,6,16 26092:7	26060:1 26067:24	little 25999:24 26000:9
26083:25 26084:2,4	26048:1 26069:2	26092:15,22 26093:3	26088:9 26089:21	26002:1 26014:13
JJJ178 26068:1	koppies 26038:7	26097:20 26098:5	26093:12 26094:5	26020:17 26026:18
JJJ178.1 26068:4,6	korrek 25999:9	leaders 25999:17	26096:10,18	26037:6 26041:14
26075:16	26136:2	26035:15	Lieutenant-Colonel	26054:1 26057:6
JJJ178.4 26075:21	kraal 26067:14	leading 26015:12	25999:19 26000:8,21	26081:3,25 26086:6
		26040:6 26072:17		26081:3,23 20080.0
JJJ194.13 26069:13	26068:12,13,14,15		26004:13 26008:20	
JJJ194.16 26081:16	26070:14 26071:5	26091:5	26010:1 26018:22	26098:16
JJJ197 26080:2	26072:20 26073:8	learned 26035:15	26021:7 26022:1	lived 26130:19
26084:4	26074:1,4,8,18	26059:1 26075:25	26026:11 26027:12	LLL8 26109:25
JOC 26011:7,24	26075:14,17 26076:3	26088:5 26119:15	26028:18 26030:21	26112:1 26114:3
26012:4 26013:24	26076:8,12,13,19	26120:17 26124:14	26032:7,17 26034:21	located 26137:24
26022:6,10,14	26077:5,9 26081:12	26124:15 26125:16	26035:8,17 26037:2	locates 26122:13
26025:4 26043:5,11	26081:22 26082:4,5,8	26136:16,20	26037:19 26038:13	location 26055:13
26048:15	26082:8,9,11,16,17	26139:18	26038:22 26039:19	logical 26094:6
JOCCOM 26028:23	26083:4,7,9,19	learnt 26007:2,4,6	26040:23 26041:12	long 26016:10 26019:9
JOHANNES 25999:9	26084:1,7,9,11,12,15	leave 26040:20	26040:23 26041:12 26042:5,23 26043:15	26023:13 26066:17
26046:10 26078:22	26084:16,18 26085:5	26045:15 26046:24	26047:25 26048:10	26077:18 26086:9
26100:12 26126:21	26085:12,13,17,20	26069:2	26050:25 26051:25	26105:3 26137:19,24
Johannesburg	26086:1,2 26087:2	led 26108:18 26110:1	26052:1 26053:17	longer 26074:8
25999:20 26034:14	Kuhn 26088:7	26110:15 26112:3	26055:3 26057:15	26130:19
join 26072:2	26093:11	26120:17 26124:14	26061:3 26062:8	Lonmin 26107:24
Jo'burg 26076:2,8	K9 26027:19 26030:23	26125:16	26064:3 26065:10	26108:1
	26031:3,10,14,14,18	left 26000:1 26013:5	26066:4,13 26068:24	look 26000:5,9 26002:1
K	26032:24 26047:15	26025:20 26035:22	26069:16 26070:6,12	26002:6,22 26009:18
keep 26008:6 26011:5	20032.2120011.13	26038:8 26047:2,22	26071:16 26072:9	26062:15 26064:4
26012:4,8	L	26049:25 26052:7	26073:5,14 26074:22	26065:2 26070:17
-				
keeping 26011:7	L 26117:15 26118:8	26054:10 26056:4	26075:13 26077:8,24	26076:14 26080:6,15
26136:20	26119:1	26057:11 26059:19	26078:20,25	26082:2 26084:24
Keetse 26060:16,19,20	labels 26122:22	26069:24 26076:23	26079:20 26084:3	26090:25 26095:3
26061:1	laboured 26029:13	26076:24,25 26077:3	26087:7,16 26088:11	26098:12,14
kennis 26059:16	labouring 26028:21	26081:12 26082:5	26093:12,20 26094:1	26102:15 26122:5,5
Ketties 26016:12	lack 26001:19 26006:6	26083:6,22 26098:13	26094:22 26095:19	26125:17 26131:3,24
keys 26014:2	26006:17 26007:21	26098:14 26122:6,19	26096:23 26097:18	26136:17,25
Kidd 26031:9	26008:7,14 26021:10	26127:15,17,18,18	26099:7,21 26100:4	looked 26050:16
Kidd's 26031:14,25	26022:21 26095:13	26128:5,7,16	26100:11 26126:20	26086:3 26123:7
26039:21 26040:7,12	land 26099:14 26117:7	26129:17 26131:2	Lieutenant-General	26131:13
26047:9,15	landmark 26122:22	26132:9	26080:10	looking 26000:14
killed 26116:17	landmarks 26072:13	left-hand 26071:4	life 26018:14 26045:23	26002:3 26016:16
26132:17	26122:2,2,5	26082:7 26083:15	life-threatening	26045:14 26081:18
kilometres 26075:10	language 26133:18	26088:8 26098:17	26018:1	26111:23 26131:2,5
kind 26035:1 26038:9	lapse 26061:21	26123:18	light 26021:23 26023:9	26134:16 26137:4,5,8
26047:21 26057:8	large 26016:7 26020:7	leg 26091:17	26066:20,24	looks 26038:6 26041:19
26058:3 26130:11,20	26057:11 26079:23	legal 26129:24 26130:8	26067:11 26068:16	26047:19,21
26139:25	26079:24 26081:18	lessons 26007:2,4,6	26070:11 26077:13	26049:24 26054:6
kinds 26026:11	26081:21	less-than-lethal	26084:17 26099:8	26056:6 26059:18,20
KKK16.5136 26043:25	largely 26111:19	26002:15	lighter 26083:5	26063:17 26082:8
26044:12 26048:12	larger 26076:25	lethal 26017:25	line 26050:1,15	loop 26068:12
26049:15	laser 26035:16	letter 26023:19	26054:14 26058:23	26079:23,24
knowledge 26019:24	26076:20 26082:3	26095:12	26059:6 26084:11	26084:13,20,23
26116:19 26125:9	26134:20	letters 26095:11	26088:8 26096:9	26085:3
known 26029:18	late 25999:5 26046:21	let's 26007:19 26018:6	26119:22,23,24,24	loss 26018:14
26046:14 26121:8	launcher 26016:7	26031:19 26036:25	26134:7 26135:13	lot 26053:24 26066:17
KOLONEL 26134:18	26020:21	26045:5,19,20	26136:1	loved 26045:8 26126:7
26136:2	launchers 26016:1	26097:16 26100:23	lined 26028:9	lower 26002:13
	launchers 26016:1 26017:14 26018:8	26097:16 26100:23 26101:20 26103:12	lined 26028:9 lines 26137:2,19	lower 26002:13 26004:6

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
LRC 26121:18	26039:3 26063:2	26055:17 26056:24	26081:15 26091:15	necessary 26017:10
Luitenant-kolonel	26064:21 26076:20	26058:1 26059:19	26097:1	26021:1
25999:6 26009:6	26082:2,25 26083:14	26061:16 26063:22	moved 26007:17	neck 26129:20 26131:8
lunch 26097:11,14	marks 26079:25	26064:16 26065:18	26029:11 26057:4	nee 26059:13
26100:7	masks 26002:14	26099:4 26121:11	26071:20 26086:7,11	need 26002:15
lying 26032:2 26046:24	Matageni 26060:21,23	mid-air 26099:10	movement 26027:21	26006:23 26016:7,9
26047:11 26050:13	26061:1,9	mind 26008:2 26015:1	26030:23 26035:12	26018:17,25
26050:18 26057:3,15	matter 26003:5	26067:17 26078:14	26041:2 26042:17	26024:15 26045:4
26060:12 26061:9,22	26019:15 26074:13	mine 26056:13	26065:24 26066:9,10	26051:24 26067:16
26062:10 26063:6	26137:20	26102:17 26108:2	26066:15,15 26067:1	26068:9 26074:14
26127:17 26131:25	matters 26100:23	minimal 26091:3	26067:20 26068:21	needn't 26020:12
lyk 26059:12,14	Ma'am 26061:10	minor 26068:19	26070:7 26080:3	needs 26068:11,13,16
Iyk 20059.12,11	Mbombo 26080:11	minute 26083:7,11,12	26084:3	26127:16
M	mean 26029:14	26083:12,16,17,25	movements 26028:1,3	neither 26129:9
M 26045:21	26032:4,18 26043:19	minutes 26007:16	26036:5 26072:14	neutralise 26018:4
Mahlangu 26112:4	26051:17 26053:6	26013:10 26015:11	moves 26054:14	never 26008:2 26033:3
main 26002:10	26055:12 26086:15	26036:15,17	26071:3	26045:21 26118:6
26022:12 26030:1,2	26087:8 26104:21	26044:12 26062:1	moving 26023:8	26129:2 26133:16
26058:10 26111:9	26130:20	26111:16 26130:19	26027:20,22 26028:7	new 26003:17 26024:2
26114:7 26115:9,18	meaning 26033:9	misbehaving 26009:3	26033:4 26036:6,9,20	26044:1 26078:9
26116:16 26127:20	26091:8 26120:9	missed 26028:15	26039:15 26040:18	26089:8 26105:16,17
26128:1	meant 26043:20	26096:22 26110:7	26042:14,18	26105:18 26110:16
maintain 26006:10	medical 26132:5	26112:24 26113:5,15	26048:23 26052:19	news 26105:23
major 26030:9	meer 26059:13	missing 26096:18	26061:13,14 26065:4	Ngalwana 26088:5
26068:22	meeting 26028:24	misspoke 26015:8	26065:14 26070:21	night 26105:16
majority 26084:23	member 26005:25	mistake 26108:8	26070:22,23	nine 26079:2,7
26134:3,5 26135:4	26006:5 26093:23	mistaken 26084:19	26076:23,24	nog 25999:6
Major-General	members 26010:18	26124:20 26125:5	26077:11 26078:8	normal 25999:23
26005:19,23	26011:17 26012:19	Mkhonjwa 26046:15	26081:12 26082:5	26027:9 26034:9
makeshift 26016:12	26026:16 26027:4	26046:21 26047:6,25	26084:22 26087:17	26037:11
making 26065:8	26028:6 26040:12	26048:20 26049:8	26096:24 26098:14	normally 26003:7
26099:12,19	26047:8 26063:4	26050:24 26061:8	26098:17 26106:19	26023:17 26025:22
man 26000:13	26066:6 26090:13	26063:15	Mpembe 26005:19,24	north 26035:19,20,25
26046:16 26047:5,5	26091:5 26093:3	Mkhwanazi 26005:15	Mpofu's 26060:12	26069:2,15,16
26091:20 26092:23	26096:18 26116:4,9	26005:18,23	muzzler 26016:10	26070:8,13 26071:22
managed 25999:21	26120:1,6,14,23	Mm 26082:22	Myn 26107:24	26076:2,6,7
26025:21 26026:5	26121:2 26126:7	moet 25999:6	M-A-T-A-G-E-N-I	Northern 26016:3
26058:21	26128:13 26131:3	moment 26018:18	26061:1	note 26049:16
manager 26011:12	26133:4 26138:12,16	26021:22 26040:10	20001.1	26066:19 26092:6
manner 26117:16	memorial 26034:13	26099:8,10,12	N	notes 26004:16
26118:5 26130:12	mense 26059:15	26106:9	n 26045:17,21	26005:14,16
26132:10	mention 26045:10,12	Monene 26116:15	26046:15 26047:6	26022:24 26026:9
manuscript 26109:21	26111:6 26118:7	26117:8	26059:11,12,14,14	notice 26097:7
26110:9,17	mentioned 26028:17	months 26124:24	26090:10 26135:19	26128:14
man's 26091:11	26117:15 26118:6	26125:9,15	Naidoo 26030:10	noticed 26039:13
March 26003:21,24	message 26026:2	morning 26065:12	26031:3,19 26032:6	noting 26082:13
Marikana 26001:6	26027:5	26140:19	Naidoo's 26031:18,20	notoriously 26016:11
26002:6,18 26004:18	met 26118:25	morrow 26140:17	name 26045:20	November 26109:12
26004:24 26007:7	method 26022:8	mortem 26139:13	26046:17 26086:13	November1 26024:11
26010:12 26017:23	metre 26093:25	Moshwana 26133:11	26114:15	no-one 26028:23
26019:22 26034:13	metres 26076:3,11	26133:12,14	names 26045:11,12	26047:2
26107:24 26108:2	26077:6,12,25	26136:12,21 26137:9	26046:2	Ntsebeza's 26105:1
20107.24 20100.2	20077.0,12,23			number 26062:10
26140:7	26084:14 26117:9	26137:25 26138:22	nape 26129:20 26131:8	number 20002.10
26140:7		26137:25 26138:22 26139:20 26140:6	nape 26129:20 26131:8 narrow 26087:18	
26140:7	26084:14 26117:9		-	
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11	26139:20 26140:6	narrow 26087:18	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20 marked 25999:17	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18 26041:18 26052:25	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18 mouth 26081:21	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19 near 26030:10	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21 26126:25 26140:5,9
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20 marked 25999:17 26015:22 26034:12	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18 26041:18 26052:25 26100:16,17	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18 mouth 26081:21 26082:4,7	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19 near 26030:10 26047:10 26059:5	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21 26126:25 26140:5,9 numbered 26106:2
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20 marked 25999:17 26015:22 26034:12 26055:11,18 26067:3	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18 26041:18 26052:25 26100:16,17 26110:12,14 26115:3	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18 mouth 26081:21 26082:4,7 move 26028:13	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19 near 26030:10 26047:10 26059:5 26062:22 26070:18	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21 26126:25 26140:5,9 numbered 26106:2 26108:19
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20 marked 25999:17 26015:22 26034:12 26055:11,18 26067:3 26067:5,25 26076:3	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18 26041:18 26052:25 26100:16,17 26110:12,14 26115:3 26127:25 26137:3	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18 mouth 26081:21 26082:4,7 move 26028:13 26031:1 26033:15,18	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19 near 26030:10 26047:10 26059:5 26062:22 26070:18 nearly 26069:1,15	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21 26126:25 26140:5,9 numbered 26106:2 26108:19 numbers 26102:16,25
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20 marked 25999:17 26015:22 26034:12 26055:11,18 26067:3 26067:5,25 26076:3 26087:20 26090:11	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18 26041:18 26052:25 26100:16,17 26110:12,14 26115:3 26127:25 26137:3 middel 26059:14	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18 mouth 26081:21 26082:4,7 move 26028:13 26031:1 26033:15,18 26034:3 26035:8	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19 near 26030:10 26047:10 26059:5 26062:22 26070:18 nearly 26069:1,15 26071:22	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21 26126:25 26140:5,9 numbered 26106:2 26108:19 numbers 26102:16,25 26108:20
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20 marked 25999:17 26015:22 26034:12 26055:11,18 26067:3 26067:5,25 26076:3 26087:20 26090:11 26090:19 26091:5	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18 26041:18 26052:25 26100:16,17 26110:12,14 26115:3 26127:25 26137:3 middel 26059:14 middle 26036:23	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18 mouth 26081:21 26082:4,7 move 26028:13 26031:1 26033:15,18 26034:3 26035:8 26054:4 26059:21	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19 near 26030:10 26047:10 26059:5 26062:22 26070:18 nearly 26069:1,15 26071:22 necessarily 26017:1	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21 26126:25 26140:5,9 numbered 26106:2 26108:19 numbers 26102:16,25 26108:20 Nyala 26022:23
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20 marked 25999:17 26015:22 26034:12 26055:11,18 26067:3 26067:5,25 26076:3 26087:20 26090:11 26090:19 26091:5 26092:18 26121:4	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18 26041:18 26052:25 26100:16,17 26110:12,14 26115:3 26127:25 26137:3 middel 26059:14 middle 26036:23 26037:3,20 26038:14	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18 mouth 26081:21 26082:4,7 move 26028:13 26031:1 26033:15,18 26034:3 26035:8 26054:4 26059:21 26065:16 26072:10	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19 near 26030:10 26047:10 26059:5 26062:22 26070:18 nearly 26069:1,15 26071:22 necessarily 26017:1 26023:1,3 26025:14	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21 26126:25 26140:5,9 numbered 26106:2 26108:19 numbers 26102:16,25 26108:20 Nyala 26022:23 26024:9,10 26025:19
26140:7 mark 26024:3 26038:3 26047:4,4 26053:20 26068:9 26070:5 26101:17,17,18,20 marked 25999:17 26015:22 26034:12 26055:11,18 26067:3 26067:5,25 26076:3 26087:20 26090:11 26090:19 26091:5	26084:14 26117:9 26131:22 26132:11 26137:10 Mguye 26101:8 microphone 26038:18 26041:18 26052:25 26100:16,17 26110:12,14 26115:3 26127:25 26137:3 middel 26059:14 middle 26036:23	26139:20 26140:6 motion 26080:20 26082:18 26083:11 26083:18 mouth 26081:21 26082:4,7 move 26028:13 26031:1 26033:15,18 26034:3 26035:8 26054:4 26059:21	narrow 26087:18 national 26003:2 26011:20 nature 26022:19 near 26030:10 26047:10 26059:5 26062:22 26070:18 nearly 26069:1,15 26071:22 necessarily 26017:1	26102:12,14 26106:4 26108:21 26109:3,9 26109:16,24 26110:6 26110:8 26114:21 26126:25 26140:5,9 numbered 26106:2 26108:19 numbers 26102:16,25 26108:20 Nyala 26022:23

20069:81,111,11,15 20079:22,222 20009:12,222,2073.8 20019:72,222,2073.8 20019:72,222,2073.8 20019:72,222,2073.8 20019:72,222,2073.8 20019:72,222,2073.8 20019:72,222,2073.8 20019:72,2023.7 20014:32,2013.8 20019:72,2023.7 20014:32,2013.8 20019:72,2013.7 20013:72,2013.8					Page 10
26070:25.9.14 26071:20.22 (2007) 26031:24 2003:21 20018:13 2000:25 20018:32 2006:32 20018:32 2008:32 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
26070:25.9.14 26071:20.22 (2007) 26031:24 2003:21 20018:13 2000:25 20018:32 2006:32 20018:32 2008:32 </td <td>26069:18,22,23,23</td> <td>26110:7 26113:6,19</td> <td>orange-red 26047:5</td> <td>Pappa7 26023:7</td> <td>person 26002:23</td>	26069:18,22,23,23	26110:7 26113:6,19	orange-red 26047:5	Pappa7 26023:7	person 26002:23
20071212 20073-82 20081:12 20019-81 20018:17 20019-11 Pappa 20064:14 20058:12 20067-3 20073-82 20074-57 20081:13 20019-12 20018:11 20019-11 20056:12 20067-3 20018:14 20119-12 20073-12 20077-9 20097:16 2010-12 20078:14 10:12 2017-10 20018:10 2006-12 20018:10 2006-12 20118:13 20118-15 20086:10-11 20088:1-0 20122 2007-12 20081:10-11 20078-12 20118:13 20118-15 20108:11-11 20078-12 20118:13 20118-15 20108:11-11 20078-12 20118:13 20118-13 2					
20073:12:32 26074:13; 26074:13; 26074:12; 26066:3 26066:3 26064:22:20069:3; 20094:42:0114:9; 20076:12:20077:9 26097:16:2007:9; 26097:16:2007:9; 26097:16:2007:9; 26097:16:2007:9; 26097:12:2007:9; 26097:12:2007:9; 26017:11:20:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:22:2017:9; 26017:11:15:20:2017:11:15:20:2017:16:2007:16:0; 26017:11:15:20:2017:16:0; 26017:11:15:20:2017:16:0; 26017:11:15:20:2017:16:0; 26017:11:15:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:17:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0; 26116:13:20:2017:12:0;<					
2007:14:12.18 2004:55:20 ordination 26021:11 Pappe 9's 26065:23 2604:20:20:20:20:20:20:20:20:20:20:20:20:20:	·		26101:16		26058:2 26060:9.20
2007:11-0 2006:12 2008:22: organisation 2012:16 2000:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:16 2001:17 2001:11 2001:12			ordination 26021:11		
26077:112 26077:9 26097:16 26103:10 26081:16.11 2620023.7 261092 6131:21 26081:16.11 26083:1 26131:22 26132:3 26005:16 20005.37 26132:12 6133:23 26082:16.11 26082:3 26015:17 26031:18.12 2013:23 26005:18.23 20015:15 26005:18.23 20015:15 26005:18.12 6003:29 26005:18.2005:08 26005:19.2005:08 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:16 26005:12 26110:5 26005:12 26110:7 26005:12 26110:10 26110:7 26106:12 26106:12 26005:12 26106:12 26006:12 <	-				
20081:10.11 26083:1 2613:22 2612:64 20036:6 2607:10 20007:19.2007:12 2007:19.2007:1					
26086.6 26127.10 Oyra 26028.11 26033-9 26007.19.20 260151.82 326021.5 260151.82 326021.5 260151.82 326021.5 260151.82 326021.5 260151.82 326021.5 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.82 32602.15 260151.83 2002.89, 26005.16 26099.4 26099.4 26099.4 26099.4 26099.16 26099.16 26099.16 26099.16 26099.16 26099.2 parafysed 26102.57 Perumal 26129.23 201161.32.1 26006.2 2009.20 parafysed 26102.54 260151.8 2009.16 20092.0 20097.2 2008.22 201161.32.1 20106.3 2019.21 2006.9 2000.17 20092.2009.22 201161.32.1 20110.21 2006.9 2000.17 20092.2009.22 201161.32.1 20011.2 2009.22.13 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 20011.2 2001					
Nyalas 20124:3.8 old 26105:17 26033:18 26041:5.5 26013:18.2041:5.5 26013:18.2041:5.5 26013:18.2041:5.5 26013:18.2041:5.5 26013:18.2020:18.23 persons 2000:16 20070:2 2012:52 2010:51 2000:21.2009:20 2010:51 2000:21.2009:20 persons 2000:16 2010:52 2010:51 2000:21.2009:20 persons 2000:16 2010:13.21 persons 2000:16 2010:13.21 persons 2000:16 2010:13.21 2010:02.21 2010:02 2	-				
26027.2 20028.9 once 2607415 26041:11,13,12,23 26021.8,17.26022.16 26046:43 26075:2 602649.91.3 2611:115 26129.16 2004try 17,18,23 26026.87 26008.23 26015.27 26035.16 26109.21 26140.6 26109.21 26140.6 0 26035:24 26140.12 onestel 26097.6 onuside 26095.16 26109.21 26140.6 26109.21 26140.6 26009.20 paragraps 2608.23 26009.20 paragraps 2608.21 26109.21 2610.67 2610.07 2610.67 26009.20 paratel 26112.25 Persumal 2612.92.3 26100.12 26009.20 2610.07 paratel 2612.25 Persumal 2612.92.3 26101.12 26009.20 26009.20 26009.20 26009.20 26009.20 26009.20 26009.20 26009.20 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.22 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.22 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 26009.21 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></td<>				-	
26052.6 26054:9.13 26111:15 26129:16 26044:17.18.23 26092.6 2005:10 26105:12 2005:16 0 261254:26126:17 outside 26085:16 paralysed 26109:21 2010:25 26099:4 0 261254:26126:17 outside 26085:16 paralysed 26109:21 2010:25 26109:12 2010:27 0-0 261657:12 6006:17 outside 2609:10.25 overall 2609:10.25 26106:13.21 26067:12 6007:10 200707:26007:16 26101:13.21 26106:13.21 26108:21 2005:8 260707:10,1000216:07:16 20079:23 26106:2611:12 26108:21 2009:2,14 26013:12 2009:2,14 260707:11,15,13:22 26070:21 20070:2,12 26070:21 2009:2,14 26009:2,2 2009:2,12 26018:21 2009:2,14 26088:12 26011:25 26016:6 26000:1,25 2602:24 26008:1,2 2009:2,14 26092:2,6 0,12 26016:6 26000:22 26011:25 26018:12 2009:2,14 26092:2,1 0,12 26016:6 26000:22 26011:25 26018:12 2009:2,14 260092:2,0 0,12 26011:25 26018:12 2009:2,11 26008:12 2009:2,14 260092:2,0 2000:25 260111:25 260011:25 26018:12 2009:	-			-	
20070:1 26136:23 outcrop 26057:16 26109:21 26140:6 26109:21 26140:6 26099:4 0 2033:24 26140:12 000121 26058:16 001362 26058:16 201254 2009:12 20109:21 26140:6 20109:21 20112 20019:21 2009:21					-
O ones 26020:17 260458 oviside 26085:16 paragraphs 26008:23 26099:4 0 026033:24 26140:12 oneseff 26097:6 ovaral 2609:10.25 paralysed 26130:4.13 paralysed 26130:4.13 paralysed 26130:4.13 paralysed 26130:4.13 paralos 26130:4.13	-			-	
O 261254 26126:7 oreal 2009:10.25 paraitysel 26130,4.13 paraitysel 26130,4.13 persuade 26125:7 objection 26109:11 outsite 26107:25 outsite 26107:25 outsite 26107:25 paraitysel 26130,4.13	20070.1				-
26033:24 26140:12 oneself 26097:6: onuscl 25107:25 onuscl 25107 onuscl 25107:25 onuscl 25107:25 onuscl 25107:25 onuscl					
objection 26129:1 objective 260661/7 26067:7 26068:20.25 26067:7 26068:20.25 26067:17 26068:22 onluste 26107:25 00716 26079:12 260716 26079:23 26076:32 26076:17 26078:12 26078:12 26078:12 26078:12 26078:12 26078:12 26088:12 26088:12 26088:12 26088:12 26088:12 26088:12 26088:12 26001:12 26002 2600022 28999:18 26001:12 26002 260022 26999:18 26001:12 26002 260022 26999:18 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 260022 26999:18 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26002 26001:12 26003 26001:12 26003 260073:12 26078:12 26003:12 26003 260073:12 26078:12 26003:12 26003 260073:12 26003 260073 260073:12 26003 260073:12 26003 260073:12 26003 260073 260073:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
objective 2606:17 ons 26059:16 2612:12 2610:7 parked 26055:8 parked 26055:8 26007:72:668:20.25 00017:26007:3.5.24 00017:26007:3.5.24 00017:26007:3.5.24 26110:7 parked 26055:8 26009:2.0 26077:12:6112:3 26070:3.12:6073:2.3 0°ctock 26160:8.19 0°ctock 26063:14 26015:18:26029:4 26049:5.22:6069:624 26049:5.22:6069:624 26049:5.22:6069:2.2 26049:5.22:6069:2.2 26049:5.22:6069:62:2.2 26049:5.22:6069:2.2 26049:5.22:6069:2.2 26049:5.2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5.2:2:6069:62:2.2 26049:5.2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6069:2.2 26049:5:2:2:6021:2.3:2:6023:4 2605:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2				-	
26067:7 26007:2 26007:2 26077:1 26077:1 26077:1 26077:2 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 26078:1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
26069:21 26070:7.10 OOO17 26067:3.5.24 26116:6.26121:3 26060:3.26061:7 26013:22017:4 26072:13.18 26077:13.22 26077:24 26079:23 26079:24 26079:24 26079:24 26079:24 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:21 2608:21 2608:21 2608:21 2608:21 2608:21 2608:21 2608:22 2608:22 2608:22 2608:21 2608:21 2608:21 26121:2 2608:21 26121:2 2608:21 26122:15 26123:3 26133:3 26133:3 26133:3 26133:3 26133:3 26133:3 26133:3 26133:3 26133:3 26133:3	0				
26071:17,21 26068:22 26069:1,17 o'clock 26161:18 26072:32 patr 26008:2.2 patr 26008:2.2 26049:13 26056:9.24 26079:1,15,13.22 26079:23 26100:2 26102:5 26108:2 2126048:21 26098:21 26098:21 26098:21 26098:21 26098:21 26098:21 26122:15 26131:21 26132:24 00021 2605:53 pace 26011:25 26100:17 26002:2,4 participants 2609:19 26132:32 26068:12 26098:21 26138:18 26091:12,16,19 00022 2699:18 26001:17 26002:2,4 participants 26002:3 participants 26002:3 patricipants 26002:3 26088:12 26094:12 26018:13 26020:5,15 2601:7 participants 26002:3 participants 26002:3 patricipants 26002:3 26092:2,2 2020:25,15 2601:7 26119:15 20 2612:14 26035:9 26047:11 2602:20 26020:5,15 2601:7 26093:24 26058:12 26011:8 26021:19 26058:10 22:05 26058:10 2:05:05:12 26019:5 2610:19 26059:10 2:05:05:12 26019:5 2610:12 26019:5 2610:12 26019:5 2610:12 26019:5 26063:19 26055:10 2:05:05:11 26055:10 2:05:05:11 26055:10 2:05:05:11 26055:10 2:05:05:11 26055:10 2:05:05:11 26055:10 2:05:05:11 26055:10 2:05:05:11 26055:10 2:05:05:11 26055:10 2:05:0		-		-	-
26072:13,18 26070:23 26073:23 26070:12 26070:14 26013:18 26029:4 26049:13 260569:24 26078:14 26121:8 26077:16 26077:44 26097:24,2684:12 26097:24,2684:12 26098:21 26122:15 26038:14 26121:8 00020 26087:20 page 26001:25 partial 25999:5: 26049:5: 26049:2 26098:21 26122:15 26092:2, 69,12 00023 26015:6.7 260115:9.15,18 partial 25999:5: 26132:12 26035:13 26038:12 260919:2 26092:2, 69,12 00023 26010:6.7 26015:9.15,18 particinart 26002:3 particinart 26001:9 26038:12 2609:4 26038:19.16 26038:6 26002:2, 50,12 00023 26010:2,6.7 26015:9.15,18 26010:18 26021:12 26038:19.16 26038:6 26005:2,12 26030:2,5 26010:4.13 26055:4.2 26003:19 26049:12.2 2605:12 26049:2.12 2605:12 26049:2.12 2605:12 26049:2.12 2605:12 26049:1.2 2605:12 26055:1.2 2605:8.2 2608:12 26055:1.2 2605:8.2 2608:12 26055:3.2 26003:19 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1 26055:1.2 2605:8.1					
26074:24 26077:14 26077:16 26079:23 26100:8 26126:4 26043:21 26048:21 26059:19 26072:44,8 26088:14 26121:8 26095:21 26088:12 26095:21 26088:12 26098:21 26092:2 26098:21 26092:2 26132:24 OOO21 26087:20 pace 26011:25 26102:10 26132:32 26109:22 20088:21 26098:21 26122:15 26091:12,16,19 OOO22 2599:18 26000:51,15 26021:7 participants 2602:4 participants 2602:4 participants 2602:4 26088:12 26114:23,24 26015:2 2002:55,15 26021:7 participants 2601:19 26038:7 26046:16,20 26008:12 20030:25 26114:23,24 26059:4,67,26068:12 26111:24 26059:4,2088:12 26011:12 26047:20 26048:6 26004:16 26045:3 OOO3 26101:25 26059:4,67,26068:12 26011:12 26059:4,67,26068:12 26011:12 26059:4,2088:12 26011:12 26047:20 26048:6 26005:12 50810:12 particulary 26061:14 26059:4,67,26068:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 26059:12 <td>·</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td>	·		,		
26079:1,1,5,13,22 26079:24 26084:12 26086:12 26049:2 26049:2 26086:12 26086:12 26098:21 42612:15 26131:21 26132:21 26005:3 pace 26011:25 26120:10 26132:3 26125:2,3 26038:2,2 26018:12 26008:1,3 26038:1,2 26018:12 26018:12 26018:12 26018:12,2 26018:12,2 26018:12,2 26018:12,2 26011:12 260112:2 26011:12 260112:2 26011:12 260111:2 260111:2 260111:2 260111:2 260111:2 260111:2 260111:2 260111:2 260111:2 260111:2 2					
26088:14 26121:8 OOO20 26087:20 P 26049:5 26048:1 26098:1 2612:15 26131:21 26132:21 26095:3 DOO21 26015:23 page 26000:22 partial 25999:5 26123:24 2613:23 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2612:25 2614:23:24 2600:25,15 2600:21:7 particular y 2600:25 26049:24:24 26005:51 2602:25 2619:15,20 2607:12 2605:42:6058:12 26011:12 2605:42:6058:12 26011:12 2605:42:6058:12 26011:12 2605:51:22 2605:11:24 2605:51:22 2605:11:24 2605:51:22 2605:11:24 2605:51:22 2605:11:24 2605:51:22 2605:11:24 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:51:22 2605:5	26074:24 26077:14	26077:16 26079:23	26100:8 26126:4	26043:21 26048:21	26059:19 26072:4,4,8
200314 20131:21 26095:3 pace 26011:25 pace 2600:22 participants 26023:4 participants 26023:4 participants 26023:4 pace 2601:12 2600:17 2600:17 2600:12	26079:1,1,5,13,22			-	
26132:24 OOO21 20015:23 page 26000:22 participants 26023:4 26127:2 6135:8,18 obscured 26054:16 26016:6 26000:17 26002:24 participants 26023:4 photograph 26035:13 26091:22,69,12 OOO22 25999:18 OOO22 25999:18 26005:9,15,24 participants 26023:4 26038:7 26046:14,20 26082:2 6030:25 26114:33,24 26025:25 26021:7 particular 26002:3 26004:16 2001:19 26047:10 26048:6 26082:2 2030:25 26119:15,20 26121:4 26053:4 26088:12 26011:18 26021:12 26049:24,24 26050:4 26083:13 26098:10 OOO28 26110:25 26053:24 26008:1 partice 2068:12 26005:17 26067:14 26051:25 26053:21 26092:23 26009:12 operation 26001:67 26109:33 26102:11,18 26055:3 26008:1 partice 26058:12 2607:71 26005:12 26009:23 26005:12 26009:23 26005:12 26009:14 26005:12 26005:12 26007:11,18 26066:1 26005:12 26005:12 26005:12 26005:12 26005:12 26005:12 26007:12 26005:12 26005:12 26005:12 26007:13 26005:12 26007:13 26005:12 26007:13 26005:12 26007:13 26005:12 26007:13 26005:12 26007:13 26005:12 26007:13 26005:12 <	26088:14 26121:8	OOO20 26087:20	P	26049:5 26068:1	26098:21 26122:15
obscured 26054:16 26016:6 26001:17 26002:2,4 participants 26023:4 photograph 26035:13 26091:12,16,19 OOO22 25999:18 26006:9,15,24 participants 26023:4 participants 26023:4 26038:7 26046:14,20 26082:26030:25 26114:23,24 260202:0,5,15 26021:7 participants 26073:15 26004:12 26047:20 26044:6 26082:2 26030:25 26119:15,20 26121:4 26035:9 26047:11 26055:15 26051:19 26057:12 26058:12 26057:12 26058:12 26057:12 26058:12 26057:12 26058:12 26057:12 26058:18 26057:10,22,23 26087:13 26098:10 0perato 2601:67 26109:5 26110:25 26067:18 26068:19 participants 26017:9 26067:14 26055:10 26057:1 26057:10,22,23 26079:6 26099:23 0perato 2601:67 26103:13,13,19,24 passe 26107:9 26067:14 26057:11,14,14,18,19,25 26079:6 26099:23 26002:11,18 26006:1 26107:1 passe 2607:15 26062:9,11,44 26018:2 56019:9 26002:11,18 26006:1 26107:1 26097:6 26065:16 26007:17,12,24017:2 26070:6 2608:17 26002:19,2424 26109:13 26114:20 2615:37,8 passing 26005:17 26062:9,11,14,14	26131:21 26132:21	26095:3	pace 26011:25	26120:10	26123:3 26125:2,3,21
26091:12,16,19 OOO22 25999:18 26006:9,15,24 participated 26117:13 26036:1,9,16 2038:6 0bservation 26070:22 obtervation 26070:22 cold 14:23,24 26015:9,15,18 particular 26002:3 26047:12 26048:6 26082:20,2030:25 cold 14:3,24 26005:5,15 26021:7 26047:20 26047:11 26047:20 26047:12 26047:20 26047:11 26057:12 26047:11 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26058:12 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26058:13 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26056:12 26058:12 26058:12 26056:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26052:14	26132:24	OOO21 26015:23	page 26000:22	partial 25999:5	26127:2 26135:8,18
26091:12,16,19 OOO22 25999:18 26006:9,15,24 participated 26117:13 26036:1,9,16 2038:6 0bservation 26070:22 obtervation 26070:22 cold 14:23,24 26015:9,15,18 particular 26002:3 26047:12 26048:6 26082:20,2030:25 cold 14:3,24 26005:5,15 26021:7 26047:20 26047:11 26047:20 26047:12 26047:20 26047:11 26057:12 26047:11 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:12 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26057:11 2055:10,22,23 26058:12 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26058:13 2605:12 26058:12 2605:12 26056:12 26058:12 26058:12 26056:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26058:12 26052:14	obscured 26054:16	26016:6	26001:17 26002:2,4	participants 26023:4	photograph 26035:13
2609:2.6,9,12 OOO3 26102:6.7 26015:9,15,18 particular/26002:3 26038:7 26046:14.20 observe 2026:4 0OO6 26104:13 26020:5,5,15 26021:7 particular/y 2601:9 26047:20 26048:6 26028:2 26030:25 26119:15,20 26112:4 26035:9 26047:11 26050:3201 26049:24,24 26050:4 26040:16 26045:3 OOO8 26110:4,11,17 26055:4 26058:22 26111:24 26053:21 26051:25 26063:21 26087:13 26098:10 0p 26107:11,23 26057:6,7 26063:19 particulary 2605:16 26055:3,210,22,23 26031:5 26036:24 oper atio 2601:6,7 26095:3 26102:11,13 parts 26058:18 26055:3:10,02,2,33 26077:10 operating 2603:23 26101:25 26103:8,8,9 26077:8 26057:3,10,18,22 26079:6,9 26099:23 26000:12 26101:12 26101:12 26107:1 26077:6 26067:7 26011:22 26015:12 26101:12 26115:17,7 26077:7 26077:2,3 26076:15,1,18,18 26077:1 26077:2,3 26076:15,1,18,26067:7 26011:12 26015:12 26101:12 26115:1,20 26115:1,20 26077:2 26076:15,1,18,26067:7 26067:14	26091:12,16,19	OOO22 25999:18	26006:9,15,24		
observation 26070:22 26114:23.24 26020:55.15 26021:7 particularly 26001:9 26047:20 26048:6 observe 26026:4 OOO 6 26104:13 26023:20 26026:8,8 26010:18 26021:12 26049:24.24 26050:4 26082:20 26030:25 OOO 8 26110:4,11,17 26055:4 26058:22 26011:12 26050:1:25 26053:21 26087:13 26098:10 26109:5 26110:25 26059:6,7 26063:19 particularly 26051:19 26055:3,2 26057:11 26031:5 26036:24 operate 26012:6 26095:3 26102:11,18 26055:10,22,23 26055:10,22,23 26032:24 26049:6 operation 26001:6,7 26103:13,13,19,24 passage 26059:22 26061:6,21,22 26079:6,9 26099:23 26002:11,18 26006:1 26104:8,11,16 26097:6 26005:3,7 26002:14 26071:2 26071:2,41,019 26018:25 26019:9 26021:11 26010:12 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 passage 26059:12 26071:12,41,019 26071:12,41,019 26018:25 26019:9 26021:11 2602:4 26103:2,621,62:5 26075:11,7,12,26082:7 26075:11,26034:6 26075:11,26034:6 26075:11,263,26075:11 26075:11,26023:6 26075:11,26023:6 26075:11,26023:6 26075:11,26023:6 26075		OOO23 26102:6,7			
observe 26026:4 OOG6 26104:13 26022:20 26026:8.8 26010:18 26021:12 26040:12 26040:24,2426050:4 26040:16 26045:3 OOG6 26104:11,23 26035:9 26047:11 26035:9 26047:11 26051:52 26053:21 26111:124 26051:52 26053:21 26051:25 26053:21 26051:12 26073:12 26073:12 26073:12 26051:12 26073:12 26073:13 26052:12 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26061:12.2 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 26073:13 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
26028:2 26030:25 26119:15.20 26121:4 26035:9 26047:11 26021:23 26078:15 26050:8,17,20,21,23 26040:16 26045:3 ODO8 26110:4,11,17 26055:4 26058:22 26111:24 26055:1,12 26087:13 26098:10 Op 26107:11,23 26057:7 26063:19 particulars 26011:19 26055:4,17,20,21,23 26031:2 26078:15 26098:10 operat 26012:6 operat 26012:6 26098:10 26055:4,11,41,81,81,82,22 26042:24 26049:6 operation 26001:6,7 26103:13,13,19,24 pass 260179:20667:14 26058:10 26059:25 26052:1,18 26006:21 26100:11,82 20061:12 26106:1 26107:1 26082:16,19 2605:17 26065:17 26065:17 26065:7,18 26067:7 26018:25 26019:9 26011:12 26015:12 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 passes 26059:22 26067:18 26067:7 26063:1,18 26067:7 26018:25 26019:9 26012:11 46022:4 26104:21 26107:1 26082:16,19 26083:1 26077:12 2607:12 26067:18 2607:7 26018:25 26019:9 26012:12 26015:12 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 passes 26059:22 26067:12 2607:7 26011:20 26011:20 26002:11 2602:4 26112:20 2607:7 26082:16,19 26083:6 26077:12					
26040:16 26045:3 26065:21,23 26077:7 0 p26107:11,23 26087:12 32098:10 26087:12 32098:10 26087:12 32098:10 26087:12 32098:10 26087:12 32098:10 26087:12 32098:10 26087:12 32088:10 26087:12 32088:10 26077:12 32087:10 26077:12 32087:11 26077:12					<i>*</i>
26065:21,23 26077:7 26087:13 26098:10 Op 26107:11,23 26057:12 26068:19 26057:12 26068:1 partice 26011:19 partly 26054:16 26055:3 26077:10 26052:3 26102:11,13 26052:3 26102:12 26002:11,18 26006:1 26102:12 26015:12 26102:12 26015:12 26102:12 26015:12 26102:12 26015:12 26102:12 26015:12 26102:12 26015:12 26102:12 26015:12 26102:12 26015:12 26102:12 26015:12 26002:11 26022:14 26102:12 26015:12 26002:12 26012:12 26002:12 26003:17 26002:12 26003:12 26113:2 26113:1 26113:2 26113:1 2611					
26087:13 26098:10 26109:5 26110:25 26067:18 26068:1 parties 26058:18 26055:10,22,23 observed 26028:4 open 26057:1 26095:3 26102:11,13 party 26054:16 26055:10,22,23 26031:5 26036:24 operatio 26012:6 26095:3 26102:11,13 pass 26017:9 26067:14 26055:10,22,23 26032:5 26036:24 operatio 26001:6,7 26103:13,13,19,24 pass 26017:9 26067:12 26005:12,22 26079:6,9 26099:23 26002:11,18 26006:1 26104:8,11,16 passe 26059:12 260061:6,21,22 26011:2 26011:2 26011:2 26015:12 26114:20 26115:13,7,8 passing 26005:17 260061:7,14,410,9 26012:2 426018:17 26011:2 26015:12 26114:20 26115:13,7,8 passing 26005:17 26071:12,41,019 26012:2 426012:1 2602:14,2602:4 26120:3 26136:25 path 26071:2,41,019 26071:17,12 26082:7 26002:14 2602:4 26102:15 26017:1 26034:1 26071:17,26077:2,3 26077:1,7,12 26082:7 26002:19 2602:14 26028:6 26002:19 26028:6129:22 26037:1,18 26123:8 26127:1 26026:219:9,24 26109:13 operation 26098:12 26069:14,20 2614:24 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
observed 26028:4 26031:5 26036:24 26042:24 26049:6 26042:24 26049:6 26052:3,9 26065:12 26072:6,9 26099:23 26072:6,9 26099:23 26072:6,9 26099:23 26072:6,9 26099:23 26072:6,9 26099:23 26072:6,9 26099:23 26072:1,9 26068:17 260668:17 260662:1 26010:12 260161:22 26015:12 260161:22 26015:12 260161:22 26015:12 26018:25 26019:9 26012:14,14,18 26115:15 26119:19 26018:25 26019:9 26022:14 26021:2 26022:14 26023:2 26022:14 26023:2 26027:16 26034:6 26029:14 26023:13 26029:14 26023:13 26029:14 26023:13 26029:12 26029:12 26021:10 26022:14 26028:10 26022:14 26028:4 26029:19 26027:16 26034:6 26029:19 26022:12 26023:10 26022:14 26028:10 26029:19 26022:12 26029:12 26029:12 26029:12 26029:12 26029:12 26029:12 26023:13 26029:12 26029:12 26028:10 26022:14 26028:10 26022:19 26022:12 26029:12 26029:12 26029:12 26029:12 26066:5 26087:22 26127:16 26081:6,25 26122:15 26017:2 26017:25 26132:10 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26003:16 26018:20 26003:16 26018:20 26003:16 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26002:15 26018:20 26003:16 26018:20 26003:16 26018:20 26008:16,21 260					
26031:5 26036:24 operate 26012:6 26095:3 26102:11,13 pass 26017:9 26067:14 26057:11,14,18,19,25 26042:24 26049:6 operating 26033:23 26102:25 26103:8,8,9 26073:8 26055:12 26061:6,21,22 26079:6,9 26099:23 operating 26033:23 26104:8,11,16 passes 26069:15 26061:6,21,22 26071:7 26005:1 26005:1 26005:17 26061:6,21,22 26062:9,11,14 26014:24 26018:17 26015:12 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 passes 26069:15 26062:9,11,14 26018:25 26019:9 26015:14,14,18 26115:15 26119:19 26082:16,19 26083:6 26072:11 26073:24 26011:20 2017:17 26015:14,2022:4 26120:3 26136:25 path 26070:5,9,25 26076:15,15,18,22 26007:12 26077:6 26033:17 26072:16 26034:6 26106:1 26114:25 path 26070:5,9,25 26076:15,17,17,24 260071:19 26074:25 26027:16 26034:6 26106:1 26114:25 path 26070:12,24 26085:11,7,24 26085:11,7,24 26085:17,17,24 26088:17,17,24 26088:17,17,24 26086:2 26087:1 26002:19 26002:19 26002:19,22,42 66109:13 26104:126114:25				-	
26042:24 26049:6 operating 26033:23 26102:25 26103:8,8,9 26073:8 26058:10 26059:25 26052:3,9 26065:12 operation 26001:6,7 26103:13,13,19,24 passage 26059:22 26061:6,21,22 obtained 26068:17 26006:21 26010:12 26104:8,11,16 26097:6 26062:9,11,14 obtained 26068:17 26001:22 26015:12 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 26097:6 26082:16,19 26083:6 26077:24,410,19 26014:24 26018:17 26011:22 26015:12 26115:15 26119:19 26082:16,19 26083:6 26077:12,4,10,19 26002:24 26021:2 26023:10,15,21 26137:4,5,19 26071:7 26077:2,3 26077:17,17,12 26082:7 26071:19 26074:25 26027:16 26034:6 26106:1 26114:25 path 26070:5,9,25 26076:15,15,8,22 26077:19 26073:17 0perational 26015:11 26106:1 26114:25 paths 26000:3 26086:2 26087:1 26077:19 26074:25 26023:10,15,21 26102:11 26102:15 paths 26060:32 26086:2 26087:1 26071:12 26073:17 0perational 26015:11 0perational 26015:15 paginate 26101:25 26081:7,24 26086:2 26087:1 0ccasion 26017:2 26029:1,24 2619:1		-	-		
26052:3,9 26065:12 operation 26001:6,7 26103:13,13,19,24 passage 26059:22 26061:6,21,22 26079:6,9 26099:23 26000:118 26010:12 26104:8,11,16 26097:6 26062:9,11,14 obtained 26068:17 26011:22 26015:12 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 26097:6 26067:7 26014:24 26018:17 26015:14,14,18 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 26071:17 26071:14,40,19 26014:24 26019:9 26021:11 26022:4 26120:3 26136:25 26077:17 26077:2,3 26077:11,7,12 26082:7 26077:6 26093:17 26027:16 26034:6 26106:1 26101:25 26077:17 26077:2,3 26077:1,7,12 26082:7 26077:6 26093:17 operational 26015:11 paginate 26101:25 26106:1 26101:25 26086:2 26087:1 26077:6 26093:17 operations 26034:13 26102:15 paginate 26102:15 26124:2,3 2619:14 2612:4 26004:19,20,24 opportunity 26045:15 26087:22 26081:17,24 26132:2 26122:1 26132:2 26122:1 26005:12 20000:21 26066:5 26087:22 26132:2 26122:1 26083:24 26122:15,12,25 26122:15,13,24 26014:120 poption 26071:25 26132:2 2612:15 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>,</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>		-	,	-	
26079:6,9 26099:23 26002:11,18 26006:1 26104:8,11,16 passes 26069:15 26062:9,11,14 obtained 26068:17 26000:21 26010:12 26104:8,11,16 26097:6 26003:7,18 26067:7 obtously 26008:21 26015:12 26015:12 26114:120 26115:3,78 passing 26005:17 26071:2,4,10,19 26014:24 26018:17 26012:2 2602:24 26115:15 26119:19 26082:16,19 26083:6 26077:17,12 26082:7 26060:11 26071:17 2602:24 2602:24 26102:24 26106:1 26114:25 path 26070:5,9,25 26077:17,12 26082:7 26077:6 26093:17 operational 26015:11 pages 26104:24 paths 26060:3 26086:2 26087:1 26077:6 26093:17 operational 26015:11 paginate 26101:25 pathway 26123:9 26104:1 26115:6,9 occur 26005:17 operations 26034:13 26104:24 26114:25 26082:1,18 26123:2 11 occur 26005:17 operations 26034:15 panga 26125:19 pause 26037:1,18 26123:16,19 26004:19,20,24 opportunity 26045:15 panga 26125:19 26083:24 26132:1 occur 26000:3 option 26017:25 26132:9 paled 26080:21,22,23					
obtained 26068:17 26006:21 26010:12 26106:1 26107:1 26097:6 26003:7,18 26067:7 26014:24 26018:17 26011:22 26015:12 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 26082:16,19 26083:61 26071:2,4,10,19 26018:25 26019:9 260021:41 26022:4 26115:15 26119:19 path 26070:5,9,25 26070:11,26073:24 26020:24 26021:2 26023:10,15,21 26137:4,5,19 path 26070:5,9,25 26077:17,7,12 26082:7 26071:19 26074:25 26027:16 26034:6 26106:1 26114:25 paths 26060:3 26088:11,7,24 26077:6 26093:17 operational 26015:11 paginate 26101:25 pathway 26123:9 26104:1 26115:6,9 occasion 26013:9 26022:14 26028:6 26102:15 paginated 26102:15 pause 26037:1,18 26123:8 26127:1 occurred 26005:17 operations 26034:13 26104:24 26114:25 26042:5 26080:22 26135:11 occurred 26005:17 opinion 26098:11 26115:7 26088:12,24 26121:19,22 26122:1 occurred 26005:12 26032:23 26066:5 26087:22 26132:9 pale 26093:12 26121:19,22 26122:1 26066:5 26087:22 26132:9 paga 26072:20 2608	-	-			
obviously 26008:21 26011:22 26015:12 26114:20 26115:3,7,8 passing 26005:17 26071:2,4,10,19 26014:24 26018:17 26015:14,14,18 26115:15 26119:19 26082:16,19 26083:6 26072:11 26073:24 26018:25 26019:9 26021:11 26022:4 26120:3 26136:25 path 26070:5,9,25 26077:1,7,12 26082:7 26060:11 26071:17 26023:10,15,21 26137:4,5,19 26071:7 26077:2,3 26075:17,17,12 26082:7 26077:5 26093:17 operational 26015:11 pages 26104:24 paths 26060:3 26085:120:24 26077:6 26093:17 operations 26034:13 26102:11 26124:2,3 26119:14 26121:19 occasione 26113:9 26022:14 26028:6 26102:11 26124:2,3 26119:14 26121:19 occur 26065:17 operations 26034:13 26102:15 paginated 26102:15 paths 26080:22 26135:11 occur 26002:19 opinion 26098:11 26113:2 26118:25 pang 26125:19 26082:15,18,24 26121:19,22 26122:1 26004:19,20,24 optortunity 26045:15 pang 26125:19 26083:24 26121:19,22 26122:1 26005:1 26003:23 26013:2:2 6018:22.9 pellets 26095:25 <	,	-	· · ·		
26014:24 26018:1726015:14,14,1826115:15 26119:1926082:16,19 26083:626072:11 26073:2426018:25 26019:926021:11 26022:426120:3 26136:25path 26070:5,9,2526076:15,15,18,2226020:24 26021:226023:10,15,2126137:4,5,1926071:7 26077:2,326077:1,7,12 26082:726060:11 26074:2526027:16 26034:626102:12path 26070:5,9,2526077:1,7,12 26082:726077:6 26093:17operational 26015:11paginate 26101:25path 26060:326086:2 26087:126077:6 26093:17operations 26034:1326102:1126124:2,326119:14 26121:19occur 26065:17operations 26034:1326114:252604:24 26114:2526081:17,2426004:19,20,24opportunity 26045:15pan 26059:14,2026082:15,18,2426121:19,22 26122:126005:1 26023:2326113:2 26115:126127:6,12,1526083:2426121:19,22 26122:126015:12 6003:3option 26017:2526132:9palexe 26080:21,22,2326081:6,2526014:1226018:20 2602:1526132:2 6017:2026132:9photographer's26014:1226018:20 2602:1526073:20Pentax 26056:20,21photographer's26014:1226018:20 2602:1526073:20Pentax 26056:20,21photographer's26014:1326017:2 26019:626073:226073:8percetived 26080:12,1326072:3 26085:1926014:14percetived 26018:12,1326072:3 26085:1926056:11,15,1826072:3 26085:1926014:150rat 26005:18,2126074:326045:23 26083:1626033:162					
26018:25 26019:9 26020:24 26021:226021:11 26022:4 26023:10,15,21 26023:10,15,2126120:3 26136:25 26137:4,5,19path 26070:5,9,25 26071:7 26077:2,3 pathologist 26129:24 paths 26060:326076:15,15,18,22 26077:1,7,12 26082:7 26077:1,7,12 26082:7 26086:2 26087:1 26106:1 26114:25 26102:11 26102:11 26102:11 26102:11 26102:12 26104:24 26114:25 26042:5 26080:22 26104:24 26114:25 26042:5 26080:22 26104:24 26114:25 26042:5 26080:22 26042:5 26080:22 26132:14 26085:126023:23 26066:5 26087:22 26113:2 26115:1 26015:12 26013:2 26115:1 26113:2 26115:1 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 26122:19 26128:3,4,16,24 26081:6,2526076:15,15,18,22 26077:2,3 26071:7 26077:2,3 26104:12 26123:9 26104:14 26083:14 26083:1426076:15,15,18,22 26077:2,3 26104:12 26104:14 26102:1326071:19 26022:14 26023:23 26066:5 26087:22 26115:12 26014:1426121:15 26083:14 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,1526082:15,18,24 26082:15,18,24 26082:12,226122:1 26083:2426122:15 26122:150ccur 26000:3 0ctur 26000:3 0ctur 26003:30ption 26002:15 26132:926128:3,4,16,24 26081:6,2526080:21,22,23 26083:160ctur 26000:3 0ctur 26003:40ption 26002:15 26013:2026132:9 26132:9Peltes 26095:25 Pentax 26056:20,21 Pentax 26056:20,21 Period 26014:18Photographic 26140:8 Photographic 26140:8 Photog 26031:60ctur 26003:12 26014:12 <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></b<>					
26020:24 26021:2 26023:10,15,21 26137:4,5,19 26071:7 26077:2,3 26077:1,7,12 26082:7 26000:11 26071:17 26024:18,25 26027:9 26027:16 26034:6 pages 26104:24 pathologist 26129:24 26086:2 26087:1 26077:6 26093:17 operational 26015:11 paginate 26101:25 26102:15 pathologist 26129:24 26086:2 26087:1 occasion 26013:9 26022:14 26028:6 26102:11 paginate 26101:25 26104:1 26114:25 26104:1 26115:6,9 occur 26065:17 operations 26034:13 operations 26034:13 26104:24 26114:25 26081:17,24 26123:8 26127:1 26004:19,20,24 opportunity 26045:15 26113:2 26115:1 26113:2 26115:1 26113:2 26115:1 26137:6,12,15 26081:17,24 photographer occurrence 26014:7,17 OPS 26012:2 26132:9 pages 26072:20 26081:6,25 26122:15 26122:15 26014:1 26017:2 26017:2 26013:2 26137:8 26072:20 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15 26122:15				-	
26060:11 26071:17 26071:19 26074:25 26077:6 26093:1726024:18,25 26027:9 26027:16 26034:6 operational 26015:11 26022:14 26028:6 26029:9,24 26098:13 26029:9,24 26199:13 perations 26034:13 occur 26065:17 cocur 26065:17pages 26104:24 26102:15 paginate 26101:25 26102:16pathologist 26129:24 paths 26060:3 26122:1926085:11,17,24 26086:2 26087:1 26121:19occur 26065:17 occur 26065:17 occur 26005:12 26002:1926029:9,24 26191:13 26123:12 opinion 26098:11 26104:12 26115:7paginate 26102:15 26104:24 26114:25 26104:24 26114:25pathway 26123:9 26124:2,326104:1 26115:6,9 26124:2,3occur 26065:17 occur 26005:17 26005:1 26002:19operations 26034:13 26115:726104:24 26114:25 26014:26 26114:2526080:22 26081:17,2426133:8 26127:1 26083:2426005:1 26023:23 26016:2 26014:2426115:7 26017:25panga 26125:19 26123:926083:24 26083:2426121:19,22 26122:1 26083:24occur 26000:3 option 26017:25 26014:12option 26002:15 26017:25Panga 26072:20 26132:9pallets 26095:25 Pentax 26056:20,21 26073:8photographer's 26081:6,25office 26011:20 26017:2option 26002:15 26017:2Papa5 26072:20 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:1426065:23 26083:16 26072:21 26072:3 26085:19officer 26009:21 26017:22 26017:2colo17:2 26017:22 26017:22papa1 26024:3 Papa1 26024:3percetion 26097:21 period 26014:18 26005:16,25officer 26001:23 06017:23colo17:22 26017:22papa1 26024:3 Papa5 26067:14percetion 26097:21 26013:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
26071:19 26074:25 26027:16 26034:6 26106:1 26114:25 paths 26060:3 26086:2 26087:1 26077:6 26093:17 operational 26015:11 26022:14 26028:6 26102:11 paths 26060:3 26104:1 26115:6,9 occasioned 26126:8 26029:9,24 26109:13 paginate 26101:25 26104:1 26112:19 26104:1 26121:19 occurre 26065:17 operations 26034:13 operations 26034:13 26104:24 26114:25 26042:5 26080:22 26135:11 occurred 26002:19 opinion 26098:11 26115:7 261015:7 26082:15,18,24 26121:19,22 26122:1 26004:19,20,24 opportunity 26045:15 pan 26059:14,20 26082:15,18,24 26121:19,22 26122:1 26005:1 26023:23 26016:7 26087:22 paginade 26125:19 26083:24 26121:19,22 26122:1 26115:18 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 paused 26080:21,22,23 photographer's 26014:1 26017:25 26132:9 pellets 26095:25 pentax 26056:0,21 photographs 26051:12 26014:12 26073:8 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 perceived 26018:12,13 26056:11,15,18 26014:15 oral 26005:18,21 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 percep					
26077:6 26093:17 occasion 26013:9operational 26015:11 26022:14 26028:6 26029:9,24 26109:13 operations 26034:13 operations 26034:14 operations 26047:21 26004:19,20,24 26005:12,202 26113:2 26115:1paginate 26101:25 26102:15 26104:24 26114:25 26104:24 26114:25 26083:24pathway 26123:9 26124:2,3 26082:15,18,24 26082:15,18,24 26083:2426104:1 26115:6,9 26121:19,22 26122:1 26083:2426104:12 26005:12 26014:12 26014:12 26014:12 26017:25 26014:12 26017:2 26019:6page 26072:20 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:14 perceived 26018:12,13 26005:23 26083:16 26005:23 26083:16 26032:9,15,18,19,23 26033:2 26064:52604:5 2609:21 26017:22 26019:6 26017:22 26019:6paper 26014:14 paper 26014:14 paper 26014:18 perceived 26018:12,13 26056:23 26083:16 26032:9,15,18,19,23 26033:2 26064:52603:29,15,18,19,23 26033:2 26064:5					
occasion 26013:926022:14 26028:626102:1126124:2,326119:14 26121:19occasioned 26126:826029:9,24 26109:13paginated 26102:15paginated 26102:1526123:8 26127:1occur 26065:17operations 26034:13operations 26034:1326104:24 26114:2526042:5 26080:2226135:11occurred 26002:19opinion 26098:1126115:726055:17.026082:15,18,2426121:19,22 26122:126004:19,20,24opportunity 26045:15pan 26059:14,2026082:15,18,2426121:19,22 26122:126005:1 26023:2326066:5 26087:22panga 26125:1926083:2426122:13,13,2126115:1826113:2 26115:126127:6,12,1526083:2426122:13,13,21occurrence 26014:7,17OPS 26012:226128:3,4,16,2426081:6,2526122:15office 26001:20option 26007:1526132:9palets 26095:25photographer's26014:126017:2 26019:626073:8paper 26014:13,15perceived 26018:12,1326072:3 26085:1926088:7 26093:1126017:2 26019:626021:1paper 26014:14perception 26097:2126121:12 26126:626116:15orange 26047:2126058:2,3 26060:1226065:23 26083:1626032:9,15,18,19,23officers 26001:23orange 26047:2126058:2,3 26060:1226083:1926033:2 26064:5					
occasioned 26126:826029:9,24 26109:13paginated 26102:15pause 26037:1,1826123:8 26127:1occur 26065:17operations 26034:1326104:24 26114:2526042:5 26080:2226135:11occurred 26002:19opinion 26098:1126115:726081:17,24photographer26004:19,20,24opportunity 26045:15pan 26059:14,2026082:15,18,2426121:19,22 26122:126005:1 26023:2326066:5 26087:22panga 26125:1926083:2426122:13,13,2126115:1826113:2 26115:126127:6,12,15paused 26080:21,22,23photographer'soccurrence 26014:7,17OPS 26012:226132:9pellets 26095:25photographer'soffice 26011:20options 26002:15Papa5 26072:20Pentax 26056:20,21photographs 26051:1226014:126018:20 26020:2126073:8penultimate 26066:1426056:11,15,1826088:7 26093:1126017:2 26019:6paper 26014:13,15percetived 26018:12,1326072:3 26085:1926116:150range 26047:21Pappa1 26024:3period 26014:18photographic 2614:6officers 26001:230range 26047:21Pappa18 26024:326065:23 26083:1626032:9,15,18,19,23officers 26001:230range 26047:21Pappa5 26067:1426083:1926033:2 26064:5	26077:6 26093:17	operational 26015:11	paginate 26101:25	pathway 26123:9	26104:1 26115:6,9
occur 26065:17 operations 26034:13 26104:24 26114:25 26042:5 26080:22 26135:11 occurred 26002:19 opinion 26098:11 oportunity 26045:15 26115:7 26082:15,18,24 26121:19,22 26122:1 26005:1 26023:23 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 26083:24 26122:13,13,21 26115:18 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 26081:6,25 26122:15 occurs 26000:3 option 26017:25 26132:9 palas 26072:20 26081:6,25 26122:15 26014:1 26018:20 26020:21 26073:8 paper 26014:13,15 perception 26097:21 26056:11,15,18 26116:15 26021:1 26017:2 26019:6 paper 26014:14 perception 26097:21 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26021:1 orang 26047:21 Papa1 26024:3 perception 26097:21 26032:9,15,18,19,23 26058:2,3 26060:12 26058:2,3 26060:12 Pappa1 26024:3 Pappa1 26024:3 26065:23 26083:16 26032:9,15,18,19,23 26033:2 26064:5 26058:2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5	occasion 26013:9	26022:14 26028:6	26102:11		26119:14 26121:19
occur 26065:17 operations 26034:13 26104:24 26114:25 26042:5 26080:22 26135:11 occurred 26002:19 opinion 26098:11 oportunity 26045:15 26115:7 26082:15,18,24 26121:19,22 26122:1 26005:1 26023:23 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 26083:24 26122:13,13,21 26115:18 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 26081:6,25 26122:15 occurs 26000:3 option 26017:25 26132:9 pellets 26095:25 photographer's 26014:1 26018:20 26020:21 26073:8 paper 26014:13,15 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26021:1 26017:2 26019:6 paper 26014:14 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26017:2 26019:6 26021:1 26021:1 26073:8 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 0ral 26005:18,21 26014:13,15 paper 26014:14 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 0ral 26005:18,21 26024:3 papa126024:3 26065:23 26083:16 26032:9,15,18,19,23 0fficers 26001:23 0c58:2,3	occasioned 26126:8	26029:9,24 26109:13	paginated 26102:15		
occurred 26002:19 26004:19,20,24 26005:1 26023:23opinion 26098:11 opportunity 26045:15 26066:5 26087:22 26113:2 26115:126115:7 pan 26059:14,20 panga 26125:19 26127:6,12,1526081:17,24 26083:24photographer 26122:13,13,2126115:18 occurrence 26014:7,17 occurs 26000:326113:2 26115:1 option 26017:2526127:6,12,15 26128:3,4,16,2426080:21,22,23 26128:3,4,16,24photographer's 26081:6,25office 26011:20 26014:1option 26007:25 26018:20 26020:2126128:3,4,16,24 26132:926081:6,25 26132:9photographer's 26081:6,25officer 26009:21 26088:7 26093:11 26116:15oral 26005:18,21 26021:1paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:14 Pappa1 26024:3perception 26097:21 period 26014:18 26065:23 26083:1626020:21 26033:2 26064:5officers 26001:23 oh 26047:18 26054:926058:2,3 26060:12Pappa5 26067:1426055:23 26083:16 26083:1926032:9,15,18,19,23 26033:2 26064:5	occur 26065:17	operations 26034:13			26135:11
26004:19,20,24 opportunity 26045:15 pan 26059:14,20 26082:15,18,24 26121:19,22 26122:1 26005:1 26023:23 26016:5 26087:22 26132:2 6113:1 26127:6,12,15 26083:24 26122:13,13,21 26115:18 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 26080:21,22,23 26122:15 photographer's 26000:3 option 26017:25 26132:9 Papa5 26072:20 Pentax 26056:20,21 photographic 26140:8 26014:1 26018:20 26020:21 26073:8 paper 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 parceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26017:2 26019:6 paper 26014:14 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26021:1 papa1 26024:3 period 26014:18 26032:9,15,18,19,23 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Pappa1 26024:3 period 26014:18 26032:9,15,18,19,23 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 26058:2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5	occurred 26002:19			26081:17,24	photographer
26005:1 26023:23 26066:5 26087:22 panga 26125:19 26083:24 26122:13,13,21 26115:18 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 26080:21,22,23 photographer's occurrence 26014:7,17 OPS 26012:2 26128:3,4,16,24 26081:6,25 photographic 26140:8 office 26011:20 option 26017:25 26132:9 Papa5 26072:20 Pentax 26056:20,21 photographic 26140:8 officer 26009:21 coral 26005:18,21 26014:13,15 paper 26014:13,15 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26021:1 26021:1 papa1 26024:3 period 26014:18 26032:9,15,18,19,23 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Papa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5				-	
26115:18 26113:2 26115:1 26127:6,12,15 paused 26080:21,22,23 photographer's occurrence 26014:7,17 OPS 26012:2 26128:3,4,16,24 26081:6,25 26122:15 occurs 26000:3 option 26017:25 26132:9 pellets 26095:25 photographic 26140:8 office 26011:20 26018:20 26020:21 26073:8 paper 26014:13,15 penultimate 26066:14 26056:11,15,18 officer 26009:21 oral 26005:18,21 paper 26014:13,15 perception 26097:21 26121:12 26126:6 26116:15 26021:1 paper 26014:14 perception 26097:21 26121:12 26126:6 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Papa1 26024:3 period 26014:18 photographic 26031:6 officers 26001:23 ocolosis, 2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5					
occurrence 26014:7,17 OPS 26012:2 26128:3,4,16,24 26081:6,25 26122:15 occurs 26000:3 option 26017:25 26132:9 pellets 26095:25 photographic 26140:8 office 26011:20 26018:20 26020:21 26073:8 penultimate 26066:14 26056:11,15,18 officer 26009:21 coral 26005:18,21 paper 26014:13,15 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26021:1 26021:1 paper 26014:14 perception 26097:21 26121:12 26126:6 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Papa1 26024:3 period 26014:18 26033:2 26063:16 officers 26001:23 corange 26047:21 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5					
occurs 26000:3 option 26017:25 26132:9 pellets 26095:25 photographic 26140:8 office 26011:20 options 26002:15 26018:20 26020:21 26073:8 penultimate 26066:14 26056:11,15,18 officer 26009:21 oral 26005:18,21 paper 26014:13,15 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26021:1 26021:1 paper 26014:14 perception 26097:21 26121:12 26126:6 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Pappa1 26024:3 period 26014:18 26032:9,15,18,19,23 officers 26001:23 ocasse:2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5				-	
office 26011:20 26014:1 options 26002:15 26018:20 26020:21 Papa5 26072:20 26073:8 Pentax 26056:20,21 photographs 26051:12 officer 26009:21 26088:7 26093:11 oral 26005:18,21 paper 26014:13,15 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26021:1 Papa1 26024:3 percode 0097:21 26121:12 26126:6 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Pappa18 26024:3 period 26014:18 photographs 26031:6 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
26014:1 26018:20 26020:21 26073:8 penultimate 26066:14 26056:11,15,18 officer 26009:21 0ral 26005:18,21 paper 26014:13,15 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26088:7 26093:11 26017:2 26019:6 paper 26014:13,15 perception 26097:21 26121:12 26126:6 officers 26001:23 0range 26047:21 Pappa1 26024:3 Pappa18 26024:3 26065:23 26083:16 26032:9,15,18,19,23 oh 26047:18 26054:9 26058:2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5					
officer 26009:21 oral 26005:18,21 paper 26014:13,15 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26088:7 26093:11 26017:2 26019:6 papers 26014:14 perceived 26018:12,13 26072:3 26085:19 26116:15 26021:1 Pappa1 26024:3 percoid 26014:18 photos 26031:6 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Pappa18 26024:3 26065:23 26083:16 26032:9,15,18,19,23 oh 26047:18 26054:9 26058:2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5	/ 31 1 195-				
26088:7 26093:11 26017:2 26019:6 papers 26014:14 perception 26097:21 26121:12 26126:6 26116:15 26021:1 Pappa1 26024:3 period 26014:18 photos 26031:6 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Pappa1 26024:3 26065:23 26083:16 26032:9,15,18,19,23 oh 26047:18 26054:9 26058:2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5				-	
26116:15 26021:1 Pappa1 26024:3 period 26014:18 photos 26031:6 officers 26001:23 orange 26047:21 Pappa18 26024:3 26065:23 26083:16 26032:9,15,18,19,23 oh 26047:18 26054:9 26058:2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5	In II Detter			-	
officers 26001:23 oh 26047:18 26054:9orange 26047:21 26058:2,3 26060:12Pappa18 26024:3 Pappa5 26067:1426065:23 26083:16 26083:1926032:9,15,18,19,23 26033:2 26064:5		press ()			
oh 26047:18 26054:9 26058:2,3 26060:12 Pappa5 26067:14 26083:19 26033:2 26064:5					
			Pappa5 26067:14	26083:19	26033:2 26064:5

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 1
26077:21,23 26086:8	26074:19 26080:22	26005:4,7,7,7	26104:20 26105:10	protruding 26091:18
				. 0
26086:12 26094:15	26125:25 26128:10	POP 26003:6,8	26114:7,8,18	26128:4
26121:23 26123:5	plegtige 25999:7	26010:12,23 26012:6	26118:10 26126:2	provide 26007:1
26125:3 26131:14	plots 26069:9 26072:11	26013:5,15 26018:24	presented 26007:22	26010:14 26021:5
pick 26065:11	26135:11	26019:25	press 26080:13	26022:5 26024:17
26084:10	plotted 26069:25	POPS 26010:24	presumably 26019:18	26066:7 26072:14
picks 26122:22	26071:19 26072:14	portion 26041:1	26020:25 26053:7	provided 25999:16
picture 26041:19,19,24	plotting 26072:13	26047:7,19 26057:13	26074:2,7	26010:3 26026:18
26044:19,19 26045:6	plume 26090:4	26083:3	prevent 26018:2	26066:18,23
26070:16,17,19	plumes 26089:4,7	position 26006:10	previous 26085:7	providing 26022:10
26084:25 26085:7	point 26001:14	26048:12 26049:8	26123:23 26135:18	26026:10
	-			
26098:12 26122:8	26002:22,22	26064:1,14,18	26136:5	provinces 26023:24
26126:9 26127:5	26007:15 26009:10	26071:9,20 26074:1	prima 26014:25	proximity 26092:22
26136:5 26138:20	26017:2,13,17,19	26076:11 26122:1,3	26015:1	26098:8
pictures 26099:24	26021:1 26032:6	26124:11 26125:15	primarily 26004:24	pull 26016:5
26125:12 26126:11	26033:3 26034:22	26128:11,15	26005:1	purple 26090:18
26126:15	26035:9 26037:1	26132:17	printed 26023:25	26098:19
piece 26014:15	26038:17 26039:25	positioned 26052:6	prior 26075:1 26088:16	purported 26107:2
pieces 26093:1	26040:25 26041:2	positioning 26026:18	priority 26019:15	26111:12
Pillay 26059:1,6	26040:23 26041:2 26042:8 26049:11	positive 26043:1	prize 26027:15	purpose 26022:13
26068:4 26075:23	26050:2 26051:14,14	possibility 26028:15	probability 26074:13	26088:13 26116:16
26104:19 26107:18		26052:20 26053:4,5	-	
	26052:8 26063:21	,	26132:22	pursued 26116:9
26108:10,12,18	26065:1,4,5,8	26075:4 26079:16	probable 26130:25	26117:16,22
26110:1,3,11,15	26066:2 26069:7	26086:5,14 26087:3	probably 26054:1	26118:23 26119:2,13
26119:15 26120:18	26072:10 26073:1,10	26096:22 26117:9,24	26103:9	pursuing 26118:4
26129:1 26136:9	26078:9 26081:11	possible 26012:25	problem 26005:22	26131:3
26138:18 26139:2,6,9	26085:4 26087:18	26013:1 26019:16	26023:23 26029:2	pursuit 26116:5
Pillay's 26108:22	26094:15 26098:7	26049:2,4 26051:11	26030:9	pushing 26036:21
26109:4,9,24 26110:8	26120:2,6,16 26122:1	26051:17,17 26053:6	problematic 26016:5	put 26001:16 26009:10
pink 26090:17	26122:15,22,23	26074:5,8 26079:5	problems 26002:23	26011:10,15
pinpoint 26073:17	26123:19,22,23	26084:19 26096:17	26005:13 26014:21	26014:12 26028:19
place 26009:17		26118:2 26119:8	26022:25 26024:12	26034:20 26057:8
	26124:9,10,10,11,24			
26011:25 26026:24	26130:17 26138:16	26127:5	proceed 26047:2	26066:7 26068:1
26065:23 26091:13	pointed 26006:21	possibly 26053:10	26065:7	26070:24 26074:19
26104:5 26107:10	26041:5 26049:23	26131:1	proceeding 26063:18	26075:1,3 26080:22
26111:18 26115:10	26066:3 26070:11	post 26139:13	26084:1 26090:9	26085:13 26087:3
26115:12 26136:4	26072:8 26125:2	posted 26076:2	proceedings 25999:1	26094:25 26105:20
placement 26138:23	26135:8	Potchefstroom 26107:5	26030:15 26078:16	26105:21 26109:24
places 26023:25	pointer 26035:16	26111:13 26118:25	proceeds 26098:4	26113:21 26119:10
26027:10 26070:6	26076:20 26082:3	potential 26035:3	process 26017:9	
26133:2	20070120 2000212		Drocess ZOULT 9	26119:11.21 26122:4
plan 26029:3,5,10,14	nointing 26063.13			26119:11,21 26122:4 26124:15 26128:21
	pointing 26063:13	potentially 26018:3,16	processed 26133:15	26124:15 26128:21
	26085:2	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12
26029:15,23,23,24,24	26085:2 points 26001:12	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2 26135:3
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2 26135:3 puzzling 26073:2
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2 26135:3
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2 26135:3 puzzling 26073:2 Q
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2 26135:3 puzzling 26073:2 Q quadrilateral 26038:8
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2 26135:3 puzzling 26073:2 Q quadrilateral 26038:8 26103:25 26104:12
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17	26124:15 26128:21 26130:24 26131:12 26136:11 26138:14 26140:16 putting 26027:7 26035:13 26118:2 26135:3 puzzling 26073:2 Q quadrilateral 26038:8 26103:25 26104:12 quadrilaterals
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \hline quadrilateral\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \textbf{quadrilateral}\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \textbf{quarter}\ 26091:11\\ \textbf{question}\ 26000:19\\ 26009:4,24\ 26018:21\\ \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26080:16	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \textbf{quadrilateral}\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \textbf{quarter}\ 26091:11\\ \textbf{question}\ 26000:19\\ 26009:4,24\ 26018:21\\ 26020:12\ 26024:16\\ \hline \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26080:16 26081:1 26082:14,14	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \textbf{quadrilateral}\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \textbf{quarter}\ 26091:11\\ \textbf{question}\ 26000:19\\ 26009:4,24\ 26018:21\\ 26020:12\ 26024:16\\ 26033:3\ 26051:10\\ \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26080:16 26081:1 26082:14,14 26082:17 26083:11	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8 26131:3,18,22	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24 26017:6 26018:19,24	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5 26067:14,25 26071:8	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \textbf{quadrilateral}\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \textbf{quarter}\ 26091:11\\ \textbf{question}\ 26000:19\\ 26009:4,24\ 26018:21\\ 26020:12\ 26024:16\\ 26033:3\ 26051:10\\ 26059:1,3\ 26072:21\\ \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26080:16 26081:1 26082:14,14	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \textbf{quadrilateral}\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \textbf{quarter}\ 26091:11\\ \textbf{question}\ 26000:19\\ 26009:4,24\ 26018:21\\ 26020:12\ 26024:16\\ 26033:3\ 26051:10\\ \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26080:16 26081:1 26082:14,14 26082:17 26083:11	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8 26131:3,18,22	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24 26017:6 26018:19,24	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5 26067:14,25 26071:8	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \textbf{quadrilateral}\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \textbf{quarter}\ 26091:11\\ \textbf{question}\ 26000:19\\ 26009:4,24\ 26018:21\\ 26020:12\ 26024:16\\ 26033:3\ 26051:10\\ 26059:1,3\ 26072:21\\ \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26080:16 26081:1 26082:14,14 26082:17 26083:11 played 26037:10 please 26009:5	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8 26131:3,18,22 policemen 26039:7,8 26039:12 26040:9,14	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24 26017:6 26018:19,24 26020:16 26066:20 26066:22 26087:23	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5 26067:14,25 26071:8 26093:14 26095:14 26116:9,11 26117:10	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \textbf{quadrilateral}\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \textbf{quarter}\ 26091:11\\ \textbf{question}\ 26000:19\\ 26009:4,24\ 26018:21\\ 26020:12\ 26024:16\\ 26033:3\ 26051:10\\ 26059:1,3\ 26072:21\\ 26074:7\ 26086:22\\ 26096:20\ 26120:13\\ \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26082:14,14 26082:17 26083:11 played 26037:10 please 26009:5 26021:25 26030:24	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8 26131:3,18,22 policemen 26039:7,8 26039:12 26040:9,14 26094:14,21	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24 26017:6 26018:19,24 26020:16 26066:20 26066:22 26087:23 26088:1 26091:1,15	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5 26067:14,25 26071:8 26093:14 26095:14 26116:9,11 26117:10 26117:22 26118:23	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline Q\\ \textbf{quadrilateral}\ 26038:8\\ 26103:25\ 26104:12\\ \textbf{quadrilaterals}\\ 26038:19\\ \textbf{quarter}\ 26091:11\\ \textbf{question}\ 26000:19\\ 26009:4,24\ 26018:21\\ 26020:12\ 26024:16\\ 2603:3\ 26051:10\\ 26059:1,3\ 26072:21\\ 26074:7\ 26086:22\\ 26096:20\ 26120:13\\ 26134:24\ 26137:14\\ \end{array}$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26082:14,14 26082:17 26083:11 played 26037:10 please 26009:5 26021:25 26030:24 26035:20 26041:10	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8 26131:3,18,22 policemen 26039:7,8 26039:12 26040:9,14 26094:14,21 policing 26010:12	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24 26017:6 26018:19,24 26020:16 26066:20 26066:22 26087:23 26088:1 26091:1,15 26094:2 26097:25	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5 26067:14,25 26071:8 26093:14 26095:14 26116:9,11 26117:10 26117:22 26118:23 26119:2,13 26131:17	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26080:16 26081:1 26082:14,14 26082:17 26083:11 played 26037:10 please 26009:5 26021:25 26030:24 26035:20 26041:10 26044:9 26045:11	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26018:12 26019:5,10 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8 26131:3,18,22 policemen 26039:7,8 26039:12 26040:9,14 26094:14,21 policing 26010:12 26013:15	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24 26017:6 26018:19,24 26020:16 26066:20 26066:22 26087:23 26088:1 26091:1,15 26094:2 26097:25 26101:5,7,13,25	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5 26067:14,25 26071:8 26093:14 26095:14 26116:9,11 26117:10 26117:22 26118:23 26119:2,13 26131:17 26131:20	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline$
26029:15,23,23,24,24 26030:3,5 26039:17 26069:10 26133:11 planning 26001:6,9 26004:21,25 26005:4 26005:7 26009:19,20 26030:1,2 plannings 26005:5 planted 26128:24 26129:3 play 25999:22 26014:4 26036:18,25 26037:1 26037:18 26038:25 26042:2 26043:2,3,10 26045:5 26048:7 26064:13 26082:14,14 26082:17 26083:11 played 26037:10 please 26009:5 26021:25 26030:24 26035:20 26041:10	26085:2 points 26001:12 26002:25 26003:1 26006:21 26015:17 26021:18 police 26001:18 26003:8,25 26005:2 26006:17 26007:7,20 26007:25 26011:18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26016:18,18 26019:17 26021:2,24 26033:20 26039:7,20 26042:17 26086:7,9 26086:10 26087:8,11 26088:8,11,16,21 26090:10 26093:9 26116:18 26117:8 26131:3,18,22 policemen 26039:7,8 26039:12 26040:9,14 26094:14,21 policing 26010:12	potentially 26018:3,16 Power 26114:17 PowerPoint 26015:21 26101:5,7,13,14,25 26102:8 26103:14 26104:20 practical 26000:18 preceding 26050:23 precise 26055:4 precisely 26131:10 precision 26051:13,18 prefer 26071:8 preparation 26007:10 prepared 26030:2 26067:13 26129:25 present 26010:19 26101:16 presentation 26015:24 26016:5,6,17,24 26017:6 26018:19,24 26020:16 26066:20 26066:22 26087:23 26088:1 26091:1,15 26094:2 26097:25	processed 26133:15 processes 26019:13 produced 26007:5 26010:3 producing 26130:10 projectile 26020:18 26139:16,16 projectiles 26016:3 promise 26021:25 properly 26025:11 propose 26137:21 proposition 26024:18 Protea 26022:17 26032:12 26036:14 26048:2,6 26051:7 26064:14 protester 26070:1 26114:11,15 26116:6 protesters 26036:5 26067:14,25 26071:8 26093:14 26095:14 26116:9,11 26117:10 26117:22 26118:23 26119:2,13 26131:17	$\begin{array}{c} 26124:15\ 26128:21\\ 26130:24\ 26131:12\\ 26136:11\ 26138:14\\ 26140:16\\ \textbf{putting}\ 26027:7\\ 26035:13\ 26118:2\\ 26135:3\\ \textbf{puzzling}\ 26073:2\\ \hline \hline$

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 12
quick 26034:2	26070:25 26076:21	26059:10 26069:11	26025:25	26068:19 26093:6
quickly 26062:16	26080:23 26110:13	26070:1 26091:4	responds 26008:20	26094:23
quite 26010:11	26138:21	26104:13 26111:23	response 26001:18	right-hand 26062:22
26014:18 26061:16	recorded 26012:16,18	26122:2,18 26138:23	26006:17 26007:20	26063:19 26076:18
26065:7 26074:21	26014:7,9,16 26094:4	relative 26098:9	26019:20	26076:21 26098:15
26085:12,18,20	26096:9 26100:20	26115:13 26121:21	responsibility 26001:20	26102:15,23 26103:6
	recorders 26014:11	26130:18 26138:11	26001:24,25	rigting 26059:15
<u> </u>	recording 26012:8	relatives 26045:8	26002:24 26006:5,12	ring 26057:13
radio 26012:9,12,14,14	26013:17 26014:2,14	released 26090:3	26006:18 26007:22	rise 26008:9 26099:5
26012:25 26013:11	26014:22 26079:3	relevant 26114:19	26008:8,15 26009:13	rising 26090:4,6
26023:5,7 26025:16	recordings 26013:24	26119:20 26124:8	26009:15,21 26022:4	river 26138:6
26027:17,22,25	recordkeeping 26001:8	26131:16	26022:5 responsible 26022:9	riverbed 26029:8,15
26033:3,20,21	26001:10 26007:25	remainder 26139:23 remember 26023:21	26050:14	26030:4 26043:20 rock 26047:9,11
26034:1 26043:8 26053:19	26008:4,12,22 26010:24 26011:24	26028:10 26031:13	rest 26072:1 26085:19	26050:14 26062:9,13
radios 26002:12	26012:3	26033:22 26034:13	result 26002:19	26062:21 26063:2,4
26021:13	records 26008:6	26052:19 26062:11	26019:7	26063:16
ran 26040:22	26075:15 26088:3	26075:9 26086:6	resume 26100:8	rocks 26049:21
range 26016:11	record-keeper 26011:4	26095:12 26099:11	26140:17	26050:2 26057:12
26020:20 26119:24	record-keeping	26124:25 26125:11	resumes 25999:2	26063:5,20,21
rarely 26024:25	26015:9,11	26125:18 26129:8	26045:25 26046:1	rocky 26057:16
26025:1	red 26060:5,5,12	26132:25 26133:6	26078:18,19 26100:9	role 26015:18 26022:9
reached 26044:8	26089:4,8,22 26090:5	26138:11	26100:10,20	Roots 26117:14,19
reaches 26075:14	26115:17,21 26122:7	remembered 26125:2	26126:18,19	26118:7,24
26077:9	26122:9 26135:22,22	remembering 26043:18	retreating 26084:14	round 26034:21
reaching 26075:17	reddy-orange 26089:19	remind 26030:24	return 26006:9	26078:25
reaction 26031:9	red-orange 26046:16	26046:6,8	26010:2 26017:20	roundish 26133:15
read 26000:23	refer 26071:9 26072:13	remnants 26090:7	26059:24 26063:9	rounds 26015:20
26007:19 26021:14	26105:11 26120:9	reorientate 26021:18	26072:24 26129:13	26016:2,4 26017:22
26021:16 26022:18	reference 26005:17	repeat 26006:13	returning 26084:16	26018:23 26019:25
26041:7 26105:16	26006:14 26055:4,7	repeated 26039:16	revealed 26016:9	route 26067:10,25
reading 26107:8	26056:5 26058:22	repeating 26025:19	26088:23 26136:16	26074:18 26085:4
readings 26125:1	26129:5,7,11 26133:9	repelled 26018:14	review 26066:5	Rover 26002:9 26007:5
realisation 26004:3	26137:22 26139:24	report 26014:9	reviewed 26001:2	26007:6,10 26010:2
realise 26019:10	references 26022:23 referred 26003:1	26040:10 26043:12	ridge 26123:1,7,16 26124:10	26010:14,18 Developed 26060.6
realised 26116:16 really 26031:5 26034:2	26006:25 26038:20	26048:15 26052:10	rifle 26116:6 26139:5	Rowland 26069:6 26075:15
26034:12 26082:6	26047:23 26055:10	26054:4 26065:18,19 26066:9 26080:13	26139:16 26140:12	rubber 26003:9,9
26087:3 26098:20	26056:2 26106:15	26129:25 26130:10	rifles 26087:14	26015:20 26016:4,10
26113:2 26131:9	26107:17 26111:25	26137:22 26139:13	right 25999:25	26016:13 26020:17
reason 25999:5	26124:3	reported 26040:13	26003:24 26005:10	26087:9,13
26008:12 26010:13	referring 26008:22	26052:20 26118:16	26005:11 26013:5	run 26049:7,10
26010:16	26031:2 26038:23	26119:6	26025:25 26026:1	running 26042:25
reasonable 26019:14	26039:3 26041:9	reporting 26051:1	26030:11 26032:16	26049:3,7 26052:8
26132:15	26046:3 26059:23	26053:21	26033:1,17 26035:24	26065:13 26131:2
reasonably 26041:2	26065:6 26080:14	reports 26007:12	26035:25,25 26038:9	runs 26070:25
reasons 26016:7	26101:10 26136:21	represents 26115:17	26049:19 26051:12	Ryland's 26012:13
26028:13,17	refers 26015:21	request 26019:20	26054:9,12,12,13,15	26013:11
recall 26044:20	26090:18 26108:9	26136:20	26054:23 26057:25	R5 26003:10 26116:5
26076:13 26111:24	reflect 26027:18	requested 26078:11	26058:1,7,8,9	R5s 26003:6
26119:16	reflected 26027:21	require 26013:2,3	26060:14 26061:18	
recap 26093:8	26033:3 26094:5	26034:6	26062:22 26063:23	<u> </u>
received 26019:8	reflection 26118:8	required 26019:14	26064:7,8 26074:19	safe 26016:1 26017:22
26025:18 26113:18	reflects 26004:14	resources 26026:19	26074:22 26075:7	26018:23 26019:24
recollection 26052:11	regard 26124:3,7	26129:24	26076:22 26081:13	26045:6 26126:16
26129:4	regarding 26116:4 relate 26001:4	respect 26001:6,7,8	26082:5,11 26083:6	safer 26018:20
recommending 26015:25	26004:16 26015:17	26021:12 26025:13 26030:23 26034:23	26089:5,10 26090:12 26091:17 26092:23	safety 26028:13 sal 26059:12
record 26000:1	related 26001:15	26030:23 26034:23 26045:23,23	26091:17 26092:23 26095:4 26096:4,7	sal 26059:12 SALMON 25999:9
26011:5,8 26012:25	relates 26008:5	26043:23,23 26067:13,16 26078:5	26093:4 20090:4,7 26101:15 26104:15	26046:10 26078:22
26013:19 26015:7,7	26021:10 26066:15	26078:6 26079:11	26107:20 26111:1	26100:12 26126:21
26015:13 26021:15	26067:20 26072:19	26088:4 26093:7	26113:15 26118:10	SAPS 26002:5,9,10,18
26036:15 26038:6	relating 26005:13	26096:24 26097:19	26123:12,23 26124:2	26004:17,19
26041:7 26043:8	26087:18	respects 26067:21	26129:20 26131:7	26005:20,24 26006:5
26057:8 26066:6	relation 26001:21	respond 26000:20	26137:4	26006:11,25 26007:5
20037.020000.0	1 Cidtion 20001.21	1 C5D0Hu 20000.20		
26067:18,23	26026:19,22	responding 26025:17	Rights 26066:21	26010:11 26015:20

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 1
26015:25 26016:6,7	26083:22 26092:8,10	seniors 26001:23	26095:7,15	signing 26107:2
26016:21 26017:21	26094:25 26106:10	sent 26014:9	shotguns 26003:9	signs 26022:21 26023:6
26019:21 26088:3,5	26108:25 26109:1,1,8	sentence 26007:19	shots 26092:10	26023:10 26024:17
26088:18 26089:14	26115:4,8,22	26043:8	shoulder 26131:2	26024:19,25 26025:2
26090:13 26093:8,17	26121:10,11 26126:9	separate 26013:25	shouldn't 26004:7	26026:13,15 26028:1
26093:23 26116:9	26127:20 26128:1	26104:22	26020:25	26033:13 26034:23
			show 25999:22	
26118:10 26120:1,14	26134:20	series 26063:16,23		26034:25
26121:2 26130:8	screenshot 26076:10	26066:25 26098:3	26032:15 26044:3	silhouette 26083:4,5
26138:12	scroll 26108:14	serious 26018:1	26050:18,20	silhouetted 26091:8,21
satisfaction 26064:10	second 26007:19	seriously 26007:24	26068:17 26075:19	26091:22 26092:2,13
satisfactory 26045:21	26008:2,2 26029:2	26008:4,12 26102:22	26080:3 26084:5,25	26098:5
save 26067:10	26043:13,19,20	26117:20 26118:3	26085:8,10 26125:11	similar 26016:2
saw 26022:13 26027:16	26048:1 26063:6,15	sertifiseer 26107:3	26132:23 26134:5	simple 26137:1
26031:6,20,22	26064:15 26071:1,6,6	service 26007:25	26139:24	simply 26000:14
26032:2,8 26040:9	26071:10 26072:16	26016:8 26034:14	showed 26057:9	26018:13 26019:23
26042:12 26049:22	26076:12 26077:10	set 26001:15 26067:3,9	26084:8 26133:1	26118:2
26051:10 26052:4,8	26079:25 26083:8,12	26076:8 26079:13,23	26138:12	single 26093:10,11
26054:2,24 26055:1	26083:17 26084:5	26096:24 26099:15	showing 26050:23	26130:13
26055:20,21	26088:19 26089:16	26136:20	26069:21	Sir 26003:4 26009:25
26057:16 26058:9,10	26089:16,17	sets 26015:19,23	shown 26006:11	26106:24 26112:13
26061:6,21 26065:14	26090:14,15,15,22,22	26016:6 26017:20,23	26037:4,22 26039:2	SIRs 26016:2
26065:16 26070:18	26090:23,24	26021:10 26022:21	26041:16 26042:4	sitreps 26011:8,15
26071:12 26072:1,7	26091:11,20,25	26027:15 26031:13	26043:14 26045:13	26012:4 26027:3
26073:9,19 26077:19	26092:4 26093:2,24	26088:13 26093:6,24	26046:25 26048:9	situation 26027:7,8
26083:5 26085:6,24	26094:9 26096:24	26138:22	26064:20,24	26028:10 26029:7
26085:10,16,21	26097:19,19,22	settle 26119:3 26136:23	26069:10 26070:16	26035:3
26098:11 26099:24	26098:9 26099:14,21	settlement 26028:14	26080:4,18 26081:2	situational 26022:6,10
26113:17 26116:5,6	26104:11 26107:1	seven 26081:6	26081:23 26082:23	26023:11 26024:17
26116:13 26121:3	26119:6 26122:8	26083:18 26140:11	26083:20,23	26024:21 26026:10
26122:17 26131:15	26130:17 26138:25	shadow 26062:22	26084:17 26107:18	26027:25
26131:16 26132:2	26139:4,20	shape 26038:8	26111:11 26126:11	six 26002:5,7,10
26135:20	secondly 26023:2	26041:22	26136:23 26138:20	26004:14,16
saying 26008:17	26088:19	sharp 26085:5	shows 26068:20,23	26036:16 26090:2,5
26032:8 26036:22	seconds 26036:16	she's 26064:8	26076:7 26079:2,5	26110:16 26140:9
26053:2 26059:6	26043:4 26047:1,2	shields 26016:12	26092:21	sixth 26021:9
26061:12 26087:11	26048:12 26051:5	shirt 26046:16 26047:5	side 26010:8 26020:24	sketch 26133:11
26107:11 26112:21	26052:10 26065:17	shoeshine 26023:18,18	26024:1,2,7 26027:11	sketches 26136:22
26113:1 26116:8	26076:16 26077:11	shoot 26016:13	26031:7,8 26036:3,20	sky 26027:17
26125:6 26129:8	26079:2,7,15,17	26077:25 26078:3	26040:10,13	slide 26016:6,14,15
26132:15 26135:12	26080:16 26081:7,17	26087:12	26049:19,19	26068:7,7,7 26069:9
says 26007:18	26081:25 26082:15	shooting 26037:25	26055:16 26059:19	26069:20,25
26008:10 26023:6	26083:12,17	26050:14 26065:22	26061:13,17	26075:15,16 26076:1
26037:2,20 26038:13	26084:10 26089:6	26065:22 26066:6,10	26062:22 26070:20	26076:3,4,5 26088:2
26041:10 26051:2,16	26090:2,3,5 26099:13	26076:17 26077:7,12	26070:23 26071:4	26088:13,22 26089:6
26104:3,5,12 26122:7	section 26009:2	26077:13 26079:2,3,7	26082:9,20,20	26089:15 26090:2,13
26123:19,21 26130:3	26011:4,6 26015:10	26087:9 26119:23,25	26082:15 26086:1	26090:13,21
	,			
26138:1,2 26140:6,14	26119:23	26120:5,14,21	26087:2 26088:8	26091:15 26092:3,11
scatter 26087:10	sections 26011:9	26131:6 26138:13,17	26092:1,5,6 26095:5	26092:16 26093:5,5
scattered 26086:6	secure 26116:16	short 26045:24	26098:14,15,17	26095:4 26098:4,24
scene 26002:14	sedert 26107:25	26097:11 26109:10	26123:18 26129:20	26103:8,13,18,20
26005:16 26010:18	seeing 26014:9 26046:7	26109:21	26134:9,12 26135:17	26104:1,11,22,22
26018:7,10,25	26059:9 26104:24	shortcoming 26029:23	26135:18	26105:25 26114:20
26021:12 26022:7	26126:6,8	26030:3	sides 26040:14	26119:13,14 26120:3
26050:17 26065:4	seeking 26051:16	shortcomings 26002:24	sien 26059:12	26120:4 26121:10
26066:15,16 26067:1	seen 26015:22,24	26009:22	sight 26033:16	26123:14,15,21,23
26068:21 26072:18	26027:20 26045:9	shortly 26066:23	26113:15	26124:3,7,9 26125:19
26116:16 26133:15	26066:10 26078:6	shot 26092:8 26095:7	sign 26023:25 26024:1	26125:25 26126:25
			0	
26136:20	26079:12 26085:11	26095:14,21,24	26024:2,5,10 26025:6	26127:16 26128:3,3
scenes 26077:21	26094:1 26112:5,7	26096:5 26103:3,5	26027:14 26111:12	26128:10 26133:1,1,9
26123:5	26114:6 26128:16	26116:10,19	signature 26111:17	26133:10 26134:4
scheduled 25999:3	sees 26038:6 26041:19	26120:23 26121:2	signed 26106:22	26135:10,18,21
0.44 00000 4 5		26129:16,17 26131:3	26112:1	26138:9,10,11,11
Scott 26029:4,7	26041:20 26047:20	20129.10,17 20131.3		20100.9,10,11,11
Scott 26029:4,7 screen 26000:1,2,9,10	26041:20 26047:20 26050:2 26054:15	26131:5 26132:16,23	significance 26128:20	slides 26035:19
screen 26000:1,2,9,10	2	26131:5 26132:16,23	significance 26128:20	
screen 26000:1,2,9,10 26001:17 26038:1	2605 0 :2 26054:15 2607 0 :25 26077:2	26131:5 26132:16,23 26132:25 26133:5	significance 26128:20 significant 26005:16,20	slides 26035:19 26089:2 26091:24
screen 26000:1,2,9,10	26050:2 26054:15	26131:5 26132:16,23	significance 26128:20	slides 26035:19

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
slightly 26047:22	sounds 26020:19,22	26015:16 26022:15	strange 26037:6	sub-koppie 26043:21
26057:11 26071:5	26037:6	26022:20 26031:19	26058:9	sudden 26023:24
26078:9	soundtrack 26012:25	26035:13 26036:14	stream 26104:4	26024:5 26040:22
slingshots 26016:13	source 26089:8	26043:5 26048:14	26114:12 26115:11	sufficient 26018:2
slow 25999:23	26090:4,6 26121:12	26058:23 26069:3	26115:25 26116:10	suggest 26034:23
26080:19 26082:17	sources 26088:14	26073:6 26076:5,10	26116:14 26121:3	26045:19 26046:24
26083:11,17	south 26026:15	26077:11 26080:2	26123:9 26124:2	26069:2 26070:13
<i>*</i>	26027:20,23			26095:5 26126:13
slowly 26082:14	,	26083:11 26088:2,22	26134:6,9,12 26135:5	
26083:10 26084:1	26030:24 26035:19	26088:24 26095:22	26135:5,6,13,19	26131:13,18 26132:
26103:23	26035:21,22	26105:5,6 26106:18	26138:3	26138:9
small 26042:1	spark 26088:21	26106:19 26122:6	strength 26132:24	suggested 26018:7
26059:17 26076:23	speaking 26023:3	started 26003:20	stress 26045:14	26067:13
26095:8,25 26121:10	26025:15	26031:6	strictly 26126:4	suggesting 26018:20
26122:7	speaks 26071:17	starting 25999:3	striker 26066:15	26050:11 26089:19
smaller 26127:11	special 26130:16	26074:1 26099:5	26068:20 26069:7	26117:21 26118:3
smake 26089:4 26090:6	specific 26001:24	26119:22	26070:9 26090:11,16	suggestion 26035:1
				66
26090:8 26091:4,7,9	26005:13 26009:24	starts 26027:19	26090:19 26091:3,5,7	26132:5
26091:13,17,18,19,21	26015:17 26025:24	26076:17 26079:3,17	26091:12,16,20	suggestions 26014:8,1
26091:23 26092:1,2,3	26026:25 26027:14	26087:9 26107:11,22	26092:4,7,12,22	suggests 26103:7
26092:5,7,9,13	26032:6 26034:20	26109:5 26110:25	26093:4 26098:5	26128:11
26094:17 26098:5,12	26040:18 26066:2	state 26009:15	26119:23,25 26120:5	suitable 26044:8
26098:13,16 26099:5	26077:23 26094:19	statement 26000:23,24	26120:9,10,13,20	summarised 26088:3,
26099:9,13,19	26095:11,14	26001:10,17 26002:2	strikers 26035:12	summarising 26071:1
soft 26032:24	specifically 26025:8	26006:22 26007:1,3,4	26036:21,24	summary 26052:13,17
Sokanyile 26101:11	speed 25999:23	26008:11,21 26015:9	26038:14 26040:6	sun 26118:2
26102:1,9,12 26103:4	26037:11	26015:16,17	26041:3 26042:24	support 26074:25
26103:5,14,24	spell 26060:25	26017:20 26020:5	26055:5 26066:16	26118:20
26114:9,17,20	spend 26066:16	26021:7,16 26067:10	26067:1,21 26069:1	suppose 26017:6
26121:9 26122:14	26137:17	26068:2,2,3 26101:8	26069:18,22 26071:8	26063:20,21
26124:8 26125:22	spent 26117:7	26106:21 26107:1,2,9	26071:12 26073:7	26100:19 26114:17
26126:6 26127:1	spinal 26129:20	26108:9,22 26109:3,4	26074:8,18 26081:9	supposed 26013:18
26129:16 26130:4,14	26130:12	26109:7,10,11,17,20	26081:12,19 26082:1	26028:12,25
26130:18 26131:1	spirit 26008:1	26109:22,23,25	26082:4,9 26088:9,10	26029:18,19
26132:6,16,22	split 26092:1,5,12	26110:1,4,4,9,16,17	26088:10,17,20	26042:16
26135:17 26138:2,5	spoke 26025:4,23	26111:1,3,8,10,11,18	26090:9,25 26092:9	sure 26006:15 26009:4
Sokanyile's 26104:4	spoken 26022:25	26111:23,25 26112:3	26092:15 26093:9,16	26016:23 26017:5
26115:11,13,25	26023:2	26112:6,21,24	26093:25 26098:9	26018:6 26019:2
26122:3 26124:11	spot 26065:22	26113:7,17,25	striker's 26097:20	26021:4 26024:9,12
26125:18 26127:6	spotted 26056:25	26114:2 26120:15	struck 26022:18	26026:1 26045:4
26128:25 26129:3	spray 26036:7	statements 26009:16	structure 26008:21	26053:16 26061:4
	1 0			
26134:7,11 26135:14	26077:18	26066:5,7,11	stumps 26123:1,7,16	26065:8 26073:6
26135:22 26137:10	sprayed 26036:10	26105:11,12	26124:9	26078:2 26099:1
somebody 26024:6	26042:24	26106:11,15	stun 26067:22 26071:3	26105:10 26108:7
26045:18 26072:6	spraying 26036:4	26110:14,16 26113:5	26072:19 26074:2,14	26114:6 26121:11
26131:17	26038:4 26065:14	26113:23 26117:13	26074:17 26078:5,7	26133:17 26134:21
soon 26044:6 26140:19	26073:10,20	26131:16 26140:4	26079:12,14,16,25	surely 26013:14
soos 26059:12,12,14	spread 26089:7	states 26002:8	26087:13,18,21	surfaced 26118:23
sorry 25999:3	26117:8	26004:15,18 26016:8	26088:4,10,12,16,19	surname 26060:25
26000:19 26009:6			26089:11,13,18,20,23	
	Springs 26033:25	26022:16		surrounded 26054:4
26021:20,21 26037:7	stage 26004:11	station 26023:17	26090:5,7,8,15,23	surrounding 26054:3
26043:17 26044:14	26009:19 26010:9	stationary 26075:17	26091:19,21 26092:1	survived 26046:17
26052:12 26053:1	26012:8 26019:25	26076:7,9	26092:14,21 26093:2	26047:6
26054:10 26057:24	26025:5 26027:5	stay 26070:16	26093:8,11,13,15,22	survivor 26060:11,23
26061:20 26074:6	26028:11 26031:7	steeds 25999:7	26093:24 26094:4,7,9	suspect 26094:23
26078:8 26097:1	26032:1 26033:20	step 26136:14,15	26094:14,20 26096:9	26102:21
26108:16,17,23	26040:7 26044:8	steps 26083:18	26097:19,22,24	sustained 26130:12
26110:13 26134:18	26053:23 26054:2	stick 26028:11,12	26098:22 26099:16	swiftly 26088:2
26139:12	26065:3 26079:10	26127:6,10	26099:18,19 26119:6	switch 26044:11
sort 25999:21 26025:17	26086:8	stipulated 26025:5	submission 26123:15	system 26011:16,16,20
26036:7 26051:22	stamp 26088:23	stop 26043:15	26124:19	26011:21 26014:2
26063:5 26096:1	stand 26118:18	26064:19 26098:4	submissions 26099:21	26034:17,20
26113:16	standby 26012:20	stopping 26065:16	submit 26067:8	systems 26017:7
sorted 26105:7	standing 26031:7	story 26017:15	26069:14 26132:14	~Joving 20017.7
				Т
sound 26013:15	26042:22 26121:22	straight 26050:1	submitted 26072:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
26037:8 sounded 26037:11	stands 26071:2 start 25999:5,19	26114:17 strain 26078:15	<pre>subsequent 26007:2 subsequently 26137:18</pre>	table 26108:20 take 26001:11,20,23,2

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

510 April 2014	Wa		an y	Tretor
				Page 1
20000 10 20		0.0047 17 10		
26006:18,20	26060:17 26062:23	26047:17,18	Thursday 26109:6	trailer 26083:1
26007:22 26008:8,15	26062:23 26086:16	26048:14 26049:16	tied 26132:9	trails 26095:4
26009:12,15,17,21	26126:12 26132:21	26053:19,20 26059:7	till 26043:3	trajectory 26131:4
26016:18 26017:8,13	tells 26041:23 26126:16	26059:8 26064:16,16	time 26000:2,2,3	transcript 26022:18
26019:13 26023:18	terms 26137:22	26076:3,21 26077:3,6	26012:14,19	26059:7 26129:7,10
26027:19 26031:6	testified 26036:23	26077:7 26139:9	26013:12 26014:10	translating 26059:17
26034:18 26041:8	26039:20 26052:4	they've 26083:8	26014:18 26017:9	translation 26112:2,4,8
26044:6,19 26045:15	26061:15 26065:12	thick 26016:12	26019:13 26020:24	tree 26122:14,15,15,18
26045:19,24	26065:15 26067:4	thing 26051:22	26023:13 26024:9	26122:19,25 26123:7
26054:13 26065:8	26077:19 26084:21	26095:11 26108:13	26034:2 26039:25	26123:22,23 26124:9
26072:4 26078:12,16	26116:12 26119:20	26116:7 26123:2	26040:5,25 26043:5	trial 26130:2
26083:18 26085:9,13	26120:5 26125:18	26127:10 26136:23	26044:13 26048:13	tried 26025:7 26086:5
26085:15 26087:4	26133:3 26138:12	things 26001:24	26050:5,24 26051:1	triple 26087:20
26097:11,14	testifies 26137:9,25	26014:7,8,11,13,17	26052:10,19 26057:8	26114:22
26099:14 26100:7	testify 26086:20	26016:17 26019:11	26061:21 26064:15	trouble 25999:21
26101:4 26103:23	testimony 26001:21	26020:24 26030:1	26065:23 26069:4	TRT 26047:17,18
26114:18 26115:1	26104:13 26119:21	26033:8 26034:2	26073:25 26074:3,3,7	26050:13 26062:10
26125:3,4,12 26126:5	26120:18,19,22	26053:6 26088:15	26074:24 26075:5	26063:4,6,15 26066:6
26126:5,16 26136:14	26129:2 26136:21	26119:12 26133:16	26077:16 26080:14	26076:16 26077:11
taken 26032:22	26138:10	26140:14	26081:17 26082:15	26079:2,7,15,17
26036:2,17 26050:5,8 26067:10 26070:17	testing 26138:10	think 26003:7,7,11	26083:9 26086:25	true 26024:23
	text 26089:1	26005:9 26009:11	26088:23 26089:8 26095:9 26096:3	26052:21 26079:19
26073:24 26076:15	thank 25999:11,15	26019:5 26022:6		26132:19
26085:19 26086:8,9	26000:7 26009:25	26023:22 26024:4	26097:6,11 26105:4	trust 26073:4 26139:18
26087:1 26091:13,24	26024:13 26030:20	26029:6 26032:20	26117:7 26123:5	truth 26029:15
26092:11 26093:1	26037:15 26045:1	26035:5 26036:25	26137:17 26139:20	try 26000:4 26009:8
26118:5 26119:12	26046:13,19	26038:12 26041:4,13	times 26013:22	26013:8 26025:14
26125:22 26127:2	26056:22 26058:20	26041:20 26044:20	26014:12,18	26070:24 26072:7
takes 26066:23	26060:23,24 26061:2	26045:13 26054:8,8	26084:18	26097:16 26100:8
26072:12 26099:13	26068:5 26075:25	26056:5 26058:8	time-wise 26084:2	26139:22
talk 26045:21 26047:19	26078:25 26100:3,5,6	26060:9,20 26061:25	timings 26077:8	trying 26014:20
26095:20	26100:18 26106:20	26063:1,22 26064:5,6	timing-wise 26073:19	26050:9
talked 26012:10	26110:19 26115:2	26064:8 26072:10	today 26061:5 26066:8	TSW 26071:1,4,6,6
26022:6 26120:4	26126:24 26140:21	26074:20 26077:20	26067:2 26119:7	26079:25
talking 26024:4	thereto 26130:18	26095:20 26106:5	26130:1	TSWs 26071:2
26025:8 26034:1	26131:23	26107:13 26109:23	toe 26059:15,16	Tuesday 25999:18,21
26045:22 26056:7	therewith 26131:19	26109:25 26110:13	Tokota 26129:9	26000:21 26001:3,15
26105:21 26114:16	there'd 26018:8	26112:16 26113:22	told 26003:7 26019:20	26006:11 26112:17
26114:16	there's 26003:10	26113:23 26117:19	26035:19 26105:14	26112:18 26113:2,18
tapes 26012:20	26007:17 26011:18	26119:22 26126:4	26105:22 26131:1	turn 26002:2 26006:23
target 26023:1	26012:1,21 26014:16	26133:25 26136:10	tomorrow 26140:5,18	26015:15 26020:5
task 26032:23	26014:16 26018:11	26140:16	top 26023:19 26024:10	26022:20 26025:25
tea 26078:12,16	26022:21 26027:22	thinks 26062:21	26025:6 26032:24	26022:20 20023:25
26126:4,16	26031:14 26034:12	26126:14	26034:12 26035:22	26065:16 26068:9
team 26039:21	26031:14 20034:12 26038:8,9 26047:11	third 26056:2 26063:22	26034:12 20033:22 26036:9 26047:7	26070:4 26076:19
		26068:11,13		26088:21 26089:15
26066:21 26094:23 26130:8	26049:25 26050:1,11 26059:2 26060:8,9	26079:21 26088:22	26049:20 26054:15 26055:23 26057:17	
26130:8				26092:3,11,16
tear 26094:17	26065:3 26066:17	Thomet 26015:24,25	26063:7,16 26076:18	26125:24
teargas 26002:14	26070:25 26071:4,5,7	thought 26019:15	26076:21 26088:24	turned 26086:11
26067:21 26071:3	26071:18 26076:22	26074:13,24	26096:9 26098:19	26128:11 26130:1
26072:19 26074:2,14	26077:20 26079:14	26116:23	26115:10 26122:8	turning 26026:1
26074:16 26078:5,7	26079:16,18 26084:5	threat 26018:4	topic 26066:14	26065:17 26090:21
26079:12,14,16,25	26084:8 26085:1	three 26034:19 26054:9	26087:17 26102:3	turquoise 26099:2
26087:13,22 26088:4	26090:6 26095:13	26063:13 26066:25	26113:24	TV 26000:9
26088:7 26089:3,7,11	26096:5 26102:19	26067:4 26068:21	topics 26114:8	twigs 26082:10
26089:20,24 26090:3	26103:25 26107:13	26097:8,13 26130:2	torso 26091:17	two-page 26107:1
20007.20,21 20070.3	26108:8 26110:3	26130:19 26139:21	total 26009:17 26078:3	type 26019:21
26090:4,8 26093:10		threw 26088:12	totally 26001:22	26034:22
	26117:24 26135:19		· ·	
26090:4,8 26093:10	26117:24 26135:19 26138:25 26140:12	26093:13	touched 26081:12	typewritten 26111:2
26090:4,8 26093:10 26094:18,20 26095:7 26095:8 26096:3,5	26138:25 26140:12	26093:13		typewritten 26111:2 T-shirt 26047:21
26090:4,8 26093:10 26094:18,20 26095:7 26095:8 26096:3,5 26099:15	26138:25 26140:12 they'd 26017:14	26093:13 throw 26094:13	touched 26081:12 track 26012:14 26074:19	typewritten 26111:2 T-shirt 26047:21 26058:2
26090:4,8 26093:10 26094:18,20 26095:7 26095:8 26096:3,5 26099:15 teatime 26105:7	26138:25 26140:12 they'd 26017:14 26030:25 26071:19	26093:13 throw 26094:13 throwing 26088:4	track 26012:14 26074:19	T-shirt 26047:21 26058:2
26090:4,8 26093:10 26094:18,20 26095:7 26095:8 26096:3,5 26099:15 teatime 26105:7 technically 26012:24	26138:25 26140:12 they'd 26017:14 26030:25 26071:19 they're 26028:7	26093:13 throw 26094:13 throwing 26088:4 thrown 26088:16	track 26012:14 26074:19 traffic 26022:7,11	T-shirt 26047:21 26058:2 T25248 26119:22,24
26090:4,8 26093:10 26094:18,20 26095:7 26095:8 26096:3,5 26099:15 teatime 26105:7 technically 26012:24 technology 26002:12	26138:25 26140:12 they'd 26017:14 26030:25 26071:19 they're 26028:7 26032:20 26036:10	26093:13 throw 26094:13 throwing 26088:4 thrown 26088:16 26093:10,11,16,20,22	track 26012:14 26074:19 traffic 26022:7,11 tragedy 26002:6	T-shirt 26047:21 26058:2
26090:4,8 26093:10 26094:18,20 26095:7 26095:8 26096:3,5 26099:15 teatime 26105:7 technically 26012:24 technology 26002:12 tell 26012:5 26013:12	26138:25 26140:12 they'd 26017:14 26030:25 26071:19 they're 26028:7 26032:20 26036:10 26039:18,22,23	26093:13 throw 26094:13 throwing 26088:4 thrown 26088:16 26093:10,11,16,20,22 26094:4,7,12,20	track 26012:14 26074:19 traffic 26022:7,11 tragedy 26002:6 26004:19,24	T-shirt 26047:21 26058:2 T25248 26119:22,24
26090:4,8 26093:10 26094:18,20 26095:7 26095:8 26096:3,5 26099:15 teatime 26105:7 technically 26012:24 technology 26002:12	26138:25 26140:12 they'd 26017:14 26030:25 26071:19 they're 26028:7 26032:20 26036:10	26093:13 throw 26094:13 throwing 26088:4 thrown 26088:16 26093:10,11,16,20,22	track 26012:14 26074:19 traffic 26022:7,11 tragedy 26002:6	T-shirt 26047:21 26058:2 T25248 26119:22,24 T645 26136:22,24

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 16
26059:12	V	26027:3 26039:8,12	26054:11 26055:9	we're 25999:3
ultimately 26115:14	vague 26022:18	26039:21 26062:13	26056:5 26065:13	26016:16 26021:4,18
unclear 26037:8	valuable 26019:5	26076:9 26089:9	26067:22 26071:3	26037:12 26038:15
uncontroversial	valuable 20019.5 van 26059:14	26091:7	26072:20 26073:6,7,9	26044:1,10 26045:21
26021:3	vantage 26098:7	vision 26120:7	26073:12,15,20	26046:20 26063:2
underneath 26127:6	various 26026:19	visit 26140:15	26074:2,15,17	26064:21 26067:24
26132:1	vari8ous 26020.19	visited 26116:14	26075:5,20 26076:2,6	26076:20 26080:14
understand 26003:11	various 20021:11 vehicle 26023:19	visual 26075:12	26076:7,9,10,17	26081:18 26082:2,13
26007:17 26008:2,10		volley 26079:17	26077:5,7,10,13,15	26082:25 26083:14
26009:16 26010:5,17	26024:1,11 26025:13	Vrydag 26107:25	26077:18,21,25	26085:25 26094:19
26014:20 26018:7	26025:24,25	· - ;g · ·	26079:1,4,6,7	26095:20,21 26096:4
26022:5 26025:1,12	26026:22 26054:16	W	26080:1	26114:16 26120:15
26022:3 26023:1,12	26054:20 26063:14	waar 26059:11	way 26005:20 26012:6	26126:6,12
26034:24 26043:16	26064:25 26065:2,5,6	26107:24	26021:5 26027:6,9,18	we've 25999:21
26046:17 26051:3,6,9	26066:3 26069:24	waiting 26072:23	26033:15 26035:11	26001:2 26008:23,23
26052:2,5,7 26056:6	26076:24	walk 25999:25	26036:7,18 26039:15	26012:9 26022:6
26067:2 26081:20	vehicles 26023:16	walked 26070:14	26052:16 26057:7	26046:19 26058:10
26085:22 26086:15	26025:10 26026:4	26085:16,25	26065:1 26074:9,16	26058:21 26064:15
26112:4 26116:8	26027:20 26031:6,23	walking 26069:8	26103:1 26104:18	26068:16 26081:6
26125:25 26133:18	26032:24,25	26083:6,10 26084:8	26131:25	26083:2 26084:13,17
26133:21 26138:19	26034:11 26039:7,12	26084:11 26085:11	wealth 26051:12	26086:3 26089:4
26139:8	26039:15,21	wall 26085:16	weapon 26016:9	26103:8 26104:25
understanding 26002:8	26042:22 26054:8,12	want 26009:19	weaponry 26017:21	26105:24 26106:9
26008:16 26016:21	26054:14 26055:8	26012:21 26021:2	weapons 26125:17	26126:12
26061:4	26057:14 26060:3	26032:8 26043:16	26129:2	what's 26023:5
understood 26055:7,11	26061:7 26062:5	26044:7 26062:15	week 26125:16	26034:7 26085:13
26071:11 26074:23	26066:1 26076:23,25		weeks 26118:9	26101:22 26114:21
26128:23	26081:10 26082:3	26067:19 26080:7,9		
	26083:14	26100:24 26101:15	went 26010:18	whilst 26131:22
undertaking 26006:25	vehicle's 26025:15	26101:18 26103:18	26085:21 26087:14	white 26002:4 26004:14 26005:12
unexplained 26093:8	velocity 26002:14	26106:13 26110:22	26110:14 26116:4	
unfortunately	26004:6,6	26111:10 26116:7	26119:13,19 26120:4	26006:16,25
26000:11 26077:19	verklaring 26107:3	26119:22 26125:6	26121:2,10 26133:2	26007:18 26008:10
unhappiness 26045:14	Vermaak's 26050:25	26128:20 26130:24	26135:9,17 26138:9	26008:17 26015:19
unhindered 26040:6	26104:11,13	26131:13,18	26140:7	26015:23 26018:5,17
unidentified 26047:5	version 26104:12	26132:20 26133:9	weren't 26010:19	26018:19 26019:1
unit 26011:12,13	26112:22 26116:3,8	26138:8,14	26051:14 26109:13	26021:10 26022:16
26012:18 26027:19	vicinity 26029:8	wanted 26002:1	weren't 26028:24	26022:21 26026:9
26027:22	26071:12	26101:24 26105:10	26029:5	26027:15 26029:20
units 26011:19	video 25999:20	26119:11 26130:1	Wesley 26060:22	26034:23 26035:7,8,9
26021:11 26023:24	26000:1 26013:21	wants 26105:2	west 26026:14	26038:8 26041:5,22
26026:19,25	26022:17 26036:14	26134:21	26036:21 26042:7	26114:11 26116:6,13
26027:18 26034:19	26036:22 26037:4,22	warning 26045:4	26048:22 26076:2,6,7	26116:21 26120:10
unit's 26034:16,17	26038:17 26039:2	Warrant 26088:7	26134:9	26121:3
unkind 26052:16	26040:24 26041:16	26093:11 26116:14	western 26041:1	White's 26000:22
unrest 26108:2	26042:4 26043:14	Warrant-Officer	west-south-west	26001:4,10,17
unwillingness 26001:20	26044:1,4,15 26048:2	26117:7	26036:21	26002:2 26004:23
26006:18 26007:21	26048:7,9 26051:23	wasn't 26010:21	west-south-westerly	26007:3 26008:21
26008:8,15 26009:12	26064:20,24 26065:2	26018:11 26022:3	26036:6	26015:8,16,17
updated 26066:22	26066:20,24 26067:7	26029:15 26030:10	we'd 25999:24	26017:20 26020:5
26072:15	26067:11 26068:10	26034:1 26037:10	26055:11 26067:5	26021:7 26035:1
upper 26058:3	26068:16 26069:13	26062:20 26066:8	we'll 26002:3 26019:23	26067:9 26068:2
26060:17	26080:4,18 26081:2	26100:20 26109:14	26021:13 26022:20	who's 26011:7 26023:3
upset 26126:14	26081:23 26082:14	26113:20 26117:11	26036:19 26045:7,15	26116:20
use 26002:12 26003:9	26082:23 26083:20	26119:8	26045:24 26046:13	wide 26085:16
26004:5 26005:11	26083:23 26084:5,17	wat 25999:7 26009:6	26047:4 26064:14	wider 26085:19
26015:21 26018:23	26089:5 26092:10	26059:13,15	26067:20 26070:4	willing 26009:14
26021:12 26023:9	videos 26014:2	watch 26041:14	26072:10,14	willingness 26006:11
26024:2,16,19	26051:13	26069:17 26083:13	26078:16 26081:18	26009:17
26025:2 26027:13	view 26014:25 26041:1	water 25999:20	26089:11 26091:10	wing 26019:3 26023:15
26034:7,16,17,24	26118:20 26123:16	26022:23 26025:5,6	26097:11,11 26098:4	26024:7
26067:21 26072:19	26125:14 26128:24	26025:20 26026:21	26099:20 26100:8	wire 26067:15
26074:2 26079:11	viewing 26068:10	26032:24 26035:11	26102:6 26105:3,9	26074:10 26083:1
26080:1 26087:18,21	violent 26018:2,3	26036:3,7,8,10,20	26113:24 26119:11	wish 26075:12
26095:13	vir 26059:16	26037:25 26038:3,10	26120:15 26126:5,16	withheld 26118:17
utilised 26026:25	visible 26023:22	26042:19,22,25	26131:15 26136:14	witness 25999:13
utilising 26034:10		26048:22 26049:3,7	26139:24 26140:4	26009:4 26020:23
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	110101
				Page 1
				гауе т
26041:10,23 26046:7	26032:8 26034:5	10 26021:17 26036:14	14:27:56 26090:2,14	20 26043:3 26048:12
26050:12 26051:16	26038:23 26043:6	26036:17 26037:18	14:27:57 26093:16	26051:5 26052:10
26051:20 26056:1	26046:7,8 26051:15	26091:15 26107:25	14:47 26121:6	26064:15 26065:17
26057:9 26059:11	26059:23 26065:6,8	26125:20	15 26081:25 26089:6	26079:14,17 26133:9
26062:8 26063:25	26071:23 26078:20	10th 26108:3	26108:21	26133:10 26138:9
26089:2 26100:24	26084:19 26087:11	10.1.1 26000:22	15:24 26126:19	20-second 26048:17
26103:11 26110:15	26094:3 26100:11,13	10.1.1(e) 26001:16	15:27:57 26092:4	2005 26013:4
26129:8 26136:10,11	26100:20 26101:16	26006:9,16	15:27:58 26092:11	2011 26015:24
/				
witness's 26106:10	26102:3 26115:14	10:10 26026:7	15:43:56 26076:1	26016:19 26017:7
26129:2	26117:20 26126:20	10:29 26038:3	15:44 26133:11	26020:1
won't 26078:5	26129:21 26134:16	11 26063:14 26064:13	15:51 26069:5	2012 26002:11
word 26051:12 26071:1	26137:4	26090:13 26091:24	15:51:47 26073:25	26017:14 26018:21
26071:10 26130:15	You've 26087:19	26092:3 26125:25	15:52:03 26075:14,18	26019:16 26106:22
words 26107:23	you've 25999:12	26126:25 26137:2	26076:13 26077:9	26107:5,10,11,23,25
26115:11,12 26124:7	26000:23 26007:18	11:00 26048:7	15:52:04 26076:5,12	26108:1,23,24
26135:16	26021:15 26036:23	11:10 26037:18,19	15:52:08 26080:15	26109:6,12 26111:5
work 26053:7	26037:3,20 26038:13	26046:1	15:52:29 26081:17	26111:12,19
	-			
working 26014:1	26039:20 26044:8	11:14 26036:22	15:52:31 26084:6	2012-8-13 26107:23
26017:18 26104:21	26050:16 26052:2,7	26037:2 26041:15	15:53:14 26083:9	2013 26007:1 26087:22
26109:15	26055:17 26071:19	11:15 26038:12	26084:10	26109:19
works 26104:18	26078:6 26079:12	11:24 26039:1	15:53:21 26076:16	2010 25999:1
wouldn't 26007:3	26080:21,21,23	11:25 26042:3	26083:13	206 26058:22
26008:7 26013:9	26087:22 26101:6	11:30 26058:3	15:56:26 26000:2	21 26090:2 26119:22,24
26014:21 26026:22	26103:8,17 26104:11	11:30/18-46 26068:18	1516 26076:15	26134:4
	20105.0,17 20104.11			
26130:5 26131:11,12		11:40 26107:5	16 26002:11 26081:17	21st 26110:2 26112:2
would've 26063:15	Z	26111:13	26109:3,5	22 26058:23 26059:4,6
wound 26129:19	zero 26087:20	11:45 26111:16,18	16de 26107:11	23 26138:10
26130:20 26131:7,7	zoom 26036:4	11:47 26043:4	16th 26008:6 26009:14	24-hour 26012:19
wounds 26128:23	26037:24 26041:19	26064:13	26009:24 26022:3	25 26041:15 26069:3
26129:16 26130:3	26045:2,6 26047:3	11:49 26069:7	26027:8,14 26107:10	26103:3,3 26106:7
			-	
26131:4	26048:5 26049:15	115/08/2012 26115:23	26108:10 26109:6	26119:21
wrap-up 26009:1	26053:25 26056:24	118 26020:5,5 26021:7	26110:5,9,17 26111:9	25:51 26000:2
write 26014:20 26017:8	26057:5 26058:5	119 26022:20 26035:9	16:07:24 26036:2	25249 26119:24
26019:11 26023:19	26063:4,12 26083:13	12 26036:18 26091:24	16:07:30 26036:16	2541 26058:23
written 26002:9	26083:21 26088:24	26092:11 26110:8	16:09:17 26043:4	25418 26058:25
26005:21 26010:8	26088:25 26099:2	26127:16 26137:19	16:16 26050:8	26059:2
26014:10,15	26127:5	12-bore 26016:4	16:16:39 26044:13	25419 26058:22,24
26129:25	zoomed 26047:7,19	12-gauge 26016:10	26050:6,7	26059:10
wrong 26014:16	26057:12 26058:10	12:12 26036:18	16:26:03 26000:3	26 26069:9
26028:20 26037:15				
	26083:2	26043:3,10	16:31 26140:7,15	29 26069:20
26057:7 26074:14,19	Z2 26087:21 26088:23	12:20 26048:8	17 26105:22 26108:9	
26085:14,22	26092:24	12:32 26078:19	26109:9	3
,			178.4 26075:24	3 25999:1 26026:23
26086:13 26107:14	Z3 26087:21 26092:18	12:52 26089:15		
26114:10	26099:1	120 26026:8 26075:10	18 26082:15 26109:16	26028:8 26029:11,17
wrote 26014:12		124 26088:3	26124:24 26125:9,15	26030:11 26035:11
	0	125 26000:22	180 26076:3,11	
W2 26023:8				26043:21 26119:14
	06 26080:22	126 26001:17 26006:9	26077:25	26119:14 26120:3,3,4
Y	07 26081:5	26006:15	19 26015:15,18	26126:4
year 26003:22,23,25	08 26083:25	13 26087:22 26088:17	26109:24	30 26047:1,1 26069:21
				·
26110:2 26112:2	09:30 25999:2	26092:16 26094:3	194.13 26069:17	26076:16 26077:6,11
26113:7 26114:2,3	09:50 26012:22	26095:1 26096:8	194.16 26084:4	26077:12
yellow 26068:14		26098:24 26103:1,6		30th 26106:22 26107:5
	1		2	
26089:9,17 26090:6	I	26128:3		26108:23,23,24
yesterday 26105:25	1 26002:15,22 26018:7	13th 26087:19	2 26005:16 26010:18	26109:20 26111:3,12
you'd 26000:5	26018:10,25	26093:10 26107:14	26016:6 26021:12	300 26023:21 26034:10
26008:17 26026:4	26025:17 26066:15	26107:23,25 26109:2	26022:7 26028:5	26084:14
26052:9	26066:16 26067:1	26111:19,24 26114:1	26029:8 26088:2	33 26076:1
you'll 25999:25	26068:21 26072:18	26115:10 26117:22	26100:8 26104:16	35 26076:4,5 26077:10
26004:13 26043:5	26102:12,14,14	26140:6,15	26114:20,20 26115:3	38 26002:2
26064:18 26074:4	26103:24 26104:8	14 26093:5 26101:6	26115:7,8,15	39 26006:24 26015:9
/ 31 1 1/2		14:07 26100:10	2A 26104:25	
26076:13 26083:18	26106.7.26130.3			4
26076:13 26083:18	26106:7 26130:3	14.27 26111.15		
26091:2 26114:10	1st 26108:22	14:27 26111:15	2B 26104:25	
111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		14:27 26111:15 14:27:35 26088:24	2B 26104:25 2.3.6 26015:19,23	4 26067:15 26069:11,23
26091:2 26114:10 26129:10 26136:1	1st 26108:22 1-7 26107:16,16,19,21	14:27:35 26088:24	2.3.6 26015:19,23	4 26067:15 26069:11,23
26091:2 26114:10 26129:10 26136:1 you're 26003:14	1st 26108:22 1-7 26107:16,16,19,21 26108:9 26110:25	14:27:35 26088:24 26089:2	2.3.6 26015:19,23 2.3.7 26015:19	4 26067:15 26069:11,23 26070:1 26073:8,25
26091:2 26114:10 26129:10 26136:1 you're 26003:14 26009:3,4,6 26017:17	1st 26108:22 1-7 26107:16,16,19,21 26108:9 26110:25 26111:1 26114:1	14:27:35 26088:24 26089:2 14:27:50 26089:6,16	2.3.6 26015:19,23 2.3.7 26015:19 26017:20 26018:5	4 26067:15 26069:11,23 26070:1 26073:8,25 26074:3,7,12,18
26091:2 26114:10 26129:10 26136:1 you're 26003:14	1st 26108:22 1-7 26107:16,16,19,21 26108:9 26110:25	14:27:35 26088:24 26089:2	2.3.6 26015:19,23 2.3.7 26015:19	4 26067:15 26069:11,23 26070:1 26073:8,25

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

26076:12 26077:9	26140:9,11		Page
26080:2 26081:10,11	9pen 26033:25		
26086:6 26095:3			
26119:19 26140:6,18			
4th 26109:19 4-0 26062:2,3			
4.2 26008:23			
4.2.23 26002:3,7			
4.2.24 26002:4			
26006:24			
4.3 26015:10			
40 26062:1,2 26077:6			
26077:12			
43 26084:5,9 45 26015:10			
45 2 6 0 1 5 1 1 1 4 5 4 7 2 6 0 3 5 : 1 4			
4552 26056:9 26059:25			
26061:6 26063:10			
47 26075:15,16			
5			
5 26069:8,11,12,15,18			
26069:22,23 26070:2			
26070:5,9,14			
26071:20,22			
26109:21			
5th 26109:11			
5.56 26002:13 26004:6 50 26117:8			
5136 26063:3			
6			
6 26002:22 26121:10			
26124:4,7,9 26136:22			
26136:24,24			
26137:16,20 60 26067:18 26068:1,7			
26068:8			
600 26131:22			
620 26132:11			
645 26136:25 26137:4,5			
26137:7,19			
7			
7 26090:2 26123:14,15			
26123:21 26133:1,1			
26136:24 26137:20			
26138:11,11 7.6.10 26021:9			
7.6.10 26021:9 7.6.8 26021:9,17			
7.6.9 26022:16			
7.6.9A 26022:20			
26023:9			
7.6.9C 26026:9			
7.6.9D(1) 26035:10			
74 26137:25			
74,4 26137:10			
745 26137:7			
8	54		
8 26090:13	50 A (K-		
	The links		
9	and the la		
9 2 6098:4 26119:23	m le have		
26137:2,19 26140:19 9mm 26139:8,9,15	a you oth		
5 mm 20139.8,9,15	LUSTICE		