RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC
MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 210 1 APRIL 2014 PAGES 25864 TO 25998



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za
Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



2

3

15

1

Page 25864

1 [PROCEEDINGS ON 1 APRIL 2014]

2 [09:15] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.

- 3 Before we came into the chamber this morning we had two
- 4 applications which we had to consider in chambers, one from
- 5 the Human Rights Commission to amend their previous
- 6 application to cross-examine Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
- 7 which was granted in part, and an application which was
- 8 belatedly brought before us, which was supposed to have
- 9 been brought before us on Friday, by the SAPS for leave to
- 10 cross-examine Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. I've granted the
- 11 application, but I made it clear to the attorney for the
- 12 police who came to see us that in future applications for
- 13 leave to cross-examine must be made timeously and there's
- 14 no excuse for not having complied with the time limits that
- 14 The excuse for flot having complied with the time limits that
- 15 we laid down, which were actually agreed to in any event by
- 16 the police, and in future if applications are made out of
- 17 time for leave to cross-examine there will have to be
- 18 compelling reasons to justify condonation of the late
- 19 application.

1

- That having been said, we are this morning proceeding with the application to receive the evidence of
- 22 Mr X in camera, with certain other relief. Supplementary
- 23 affidavits have been filed by some of the opposing parties
- 24 and a further replying affidavit has been filed by the
- 25 police. I understand that counsel for the opposing parties
 - Page 25865
 - wish to supplement their argument. Is that correct? Who's
- 2 going to address me on behalf of the opposing parties?
- 3 MR MPOFU: Chairperson, the main address
- 4 is going to be done by Ms Barnes. I will add to it a
- 5 little bit at the end, just a broad submission, but before
- 6 she does so, if I may just comment on your earlier remark
- 7 about the cross-examination applications, just something to
- 8 consider. I think one of I don't know if it's other
- 9 people's experience, but my experience has been that one of
- 10 the reasons for the delays in submitting those applications
- 11 is the fact that sometimes the due date is set even before
- 12 the witness has finished evidence-in-chief. So I think as
- 13 a compromise, I accept that once a date is set it must be
- 14 set, but maybe something that the Commission might consider
- 15 is to set those dates not far, or rather definitely not
- 16 before the evidence-in-chief is finished, but maybe a day
- 17 or so after that.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, in all cases the

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

- 19 witness's evidence-in-chief should be covered, or it isn't
- 20 always, but should be covered by the statement filed, but I
- 21 have already discussed the matter with Mr Budlender and he
- 22 and I have not yet, and we agreed that we would endeavour
- 23 to determine a date which has regard to the kind of factors
- 24 that you've mentioned.
- 25 MR MPOFU:

Thank you very much.

Page 25866

CHAIRPERSON: We haven't had an

opportunity to finalise our considerations -

MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.

4 CHAIRPERSON: - our thoughts in that

regards, and I'll discuss it obviously with my colleaguesas well. But it's a point that is well made. Ms Barnes.

7 MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair. So

8 Chair, as Mr Mpofu indicated, I'll be making these

9 submissions which relate to the additional affidavits filed

10 belatedly by SAPS. The submissions are made on behalf of

11 four parties that appear before the Commission, the injured

12 and arrested persons, AMCU, the families of the three

13 persons killed on the 13th of August 2012, and of the 34

14 families killed on the 16th of August 2012 -

CHAIRPERSON: The three civilians killed

16 on the 13th. There were five people killed on the 13th.

17 MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct, Chair.

Thank you. And then also the family of Mr Ledingoane,who's represented by the Legal Resources Centre.

Now Chair, we filed an affidavit in which we

21 dealt with the additional affidavits filed by the police.

22 We filed that affidavit last week, I believe it was filed

23 on Tuesday morning last week. Chair, before I make the

24 arguments that arise out of that affidavit I'd just like to

provide a synopsis of the parties' oppositions to the SAPS

Page 25867

application, which will set the scene for the submissions

that I'm going to make.So what we have here, Chair, is an application by

4 SAPS which will to a greater or lesser extent infringe a

5 whole string of rights, rights and principles. These are

6 the principle of open justice, the right of access to

7 courts, which is guaranteed in section 34 of the

8 Constitution, the common law right to fair procedure and to

9 be able to test evidence through full and effective cross-

10 examination –

11 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]

12 the wording of the third one. I didn't get it down.

13 MS BARNES: The rights that exist at
14 common law to public trials, to fair procedure and to test
15 the evidence of witnesses through full and effective cross-

16 examination.

17 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, of course those are 18 probably just an elaboration on the first point, namely the

right to open justice, because the open justice brings

20 those things with it, but it's probably sensible to set

those things with it, but it's probably sensible to set them out separately so that one doesn't overlook any one of

22 them.

23 MS BARNES: There is indeed some overlap

24 there, Chair. That is correct.

25 CHAIRPERSON: Okay, any other -

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

Page 25868

MS BARNES: Yes, the final set of rights 1 we point to are the rights of the victim parties and the 2 3 public to participate in the proceedings of the Commission. 4 CHAIRPERSON: Well, how do the public 5 participate? I can understand the parties, but public don't normally participate. Sometimes they observe and 6 7 it's been said that sometimes their presence encourages everyone concerned to behave properly and have regard to 8 9 what goes on, what is going on and so you don't get the abuse of the secret trials and secret hearings and so on, 10 but I'm not sure the public participate. But anyway, 11 that's the way you put it; the right of victim parties and 12 13 public to participate.

MS BARNES: Chair, we say this simply because of the nature of the allegations made by Mr X and the large number of people that they potentially implicate. There may be people that do not form part of one of the parties that may be affected by his evidence and may have something to say in that regard. We simply make the submission to that extent.

CHAIRPERSON: It's not really the public, it's other persons potentially affected really.

23 MS BARNES: That's correct, Chair. That 24 would perhaps be a more accurate way of putting it. So we 25 say, Chair, that clearly this application brought by the

Page 25870

be undermined and it will be possible for him to - to put it bluntly - be assassinated. That's their case as I understand it. If I'm wrong I will be corrected.

So their case isn't that it's not enough to have a ring of steel around here. Their case is substantially more than that is required. So I don't think, with respect, unless I've misunderstood something, that I'm understanding you correctly.

MS BARNES: Chair, they simply, or they don't explain in their affidavit why the provision of additional security for Mr X both at the Commission and in the course of transporting him to and from the Commission would not be effective. There is nowhere in the papers that averments are made to explain why that would not be effective, and the SAPS case is in fact stated, and the only place it is really stated is in paragraph 9 of their founding affidavit and there they simply say, "The logistical requirements to secure Mr X's presence in the Commission will be enormous," and that is their case. They don't say prohibitive at any stage, even in reply. They simply say that the logistical requirements will be enormous, and if one goes through the papers that is simply all one finds.

Now I will address the question of the costs and I will submit, Chair, that SAPS has not even shown that the

Page 25869

SAPS is going to a greater or lesser extent infringe the rights and principles that I've just enumerated.

Then we ask, Chair, on what basis does the SAPS seek to justify this infringement. What is the SAPS case really? And perhaps, Chair, we should ask by asking what the SAPS case is not, and what it is not is a case that the provision of additional security for Mr X at the Commission would not be effective. So in other words the SAPS does not say anywhere that providing additional security at the Commission for Mr X would not be an effective means of protecting him.

CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] they say that. I understood the argument to go further than that. They say it's not enough to have a ring of steel around this chamber or this building. There's a further danger, they say, and whether it's a good point is another matter. I mean we're trying to ascertain what their case is. They say there's a danger that if there are people who are minded to assassinate him because of the evidence that he is going to give, or it is thought that he will give, it would be possible for them to observe him 22 when he arrives, follow him when he leaves, in that way ascertain where he is being kept under the witness protection programme, and once his whereabouts are known

25 the whole purpose of the witness protection programme will

Page 25871

costs will be enormous relative to the provision of a video link or relative to anything at all, and there must of course be enormous relative to something. But certainly they don't make out a case that they're prohibitive. In fact they don't even allege that the costs are prohibitive.

But even if they had been able to show, Chair, that these costs were enormous relative perhaps to the video link, then we submit, Chair, that that simply cannot be a justifiable basis for infringing the rights that are at stake here, for infringing any of the rights that are at stake here, let alone a constitutional right.

So if I can deal then, Chair, with our submissions in response to the additional affidavits filed by SAPS, we make essentially four submissions in response to them. The first submission that we make is simply that the contents of the additional late affidavits are irrelevant and we say this because they deal with matters of convenience and cost. They do not deal with the necessity of the rights and the principles of open justice being limited.

As we've submitted previously, if section 4 of the Commissions Act is to be interpreted consistently with the Constitution, as of course it must, then the Commission should have the power to limit the principle of open justice only when it is necessary to do so. The facts set

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Page 25874

Page 25872

out in the additional affidavits have no bearing on the necessity inquiry. They speak only to matters of 2 3 convenience and cost and therefore we submit that their 4 contents are irrelevant and should simply be disregarded by 5 the Commission.

Then, Chair, the second submission we make, we say even if the contents of these additional affidavits are relevant in some way, we say that they in fact, their contents in fact confirm the position taken by the parties that I represent today, and this is simply because, it's because of what they don't say and because of what they do say. They don't say that it would be impossible or ineffective to use additional security to protect Mr X. They don't say that the cost of that would be prohibitive. They say that there is, and then what they do say is that there is some cost involved. His protection would require a plan and they set out what that plan would entail. Therefore if one has regard to the allegations on the papers we submit that it's clear that security can be

provided and should be provided. On page 7 of our affidavit in paragraph 20 we refer there to the pleadings, and I draw the Commission's attention particularly to the submission we make in paragraph 20. There we point out that the SAPS have failed to deny, or to deal with in any way in reply with what we

to complain about.

2 But our complaint really is that we need 3 information about how much the video link would cost because obviously that cost would need to be set off 5 against the cost of providing additional security for Mr X 6 if he is to testify here in this Commission, and SAPS simply does not give us this information.

We go into some detail in our opposing affidavit, Chair, about what would be required here. There would be a series of cameras and screens would be required. Not only would there need to be a clear and consistent link between an evidence leaders or a cross-examiner and the witness, but video material would need to be shown to the witness for example, or transcript references would need to be shown to the witness and he would need to, and that would also need to be done seamlessly.

We make the point on page 8, paragraph 24 of our opposing affidavit that the two venues would have to be linked either via high-speed fibre optic cable or microwave connection, if technically possible, so that the crystalclear video images and audio that the applicant has promised can be transmitted between them.

Now we have, despite having set this out, in the latest replying affidavit we get virtually no information in this regard. We're simply told that SAPS does have some

Page 25873

- say in paragraph 42 of our opposing affidavit. If one
- 2 takes that failure to deny that allegation, together with
- 3 the new facts we have in the additional affidavits
- 4 regarding the plan that can be made and the costs that
- 5 would be involved, then we submit that in fact we're all ad
- 6 idem that there are less restrictive means to ensure the
- 7 protection of Mr X which do not infringe on the principle
- 8 of open justice, the right to a public hearing, and the
- 9 rights of the accused, the victims, and the public more
- broadly. 10

Then Chair, the last two submissions we make deal with some of the detail of the facts provided by SAPS in these additional affidavits. The first is the failure really on SAPS' part to provide any evidence of what the costs would be of setting up a video link, and it appears -

CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] MS BARNES: Yes, Chair. The third point that we'd like to make is we'd like to draw attention to the fact that the SAPS provide no evidence of what it would cost in order to set up the video link that they seek, and

- 20 21 it appears here from their latest replying affidavit that
- 22 they've misunderstood our complaint in this regard. They
- 23 say in their replying affidavit that we, as in the parties
- 24 that I represent today, will not have to foot the bill for
- 25 the video link, so therefore we really don't have anything

Page 25875

- sort of video link in place currently, but there's
- absolutely no detail as to what that entails, and
- importantly SAPS does concede in its latest replying
- 4 affidavit that additional cameras and screens would still
- be required for this video link that is sought. So clearly
- 6 more needs to be done. They don't tell us though what
- 7 exactly that is, let alone what it would cost, and so it's
- 8 impossible in the circumstances, with respect, to assess
- 9 SAPS' claim that the cost of this would be enormous without
- 10 having that critical piece of information about how much
- 11 the video link would cost, not only to set up but to
- 12 operate on a continuous basis.

And then the fourth point that we make, Chair, is we deal with some of the figures that are set out in the affidavit of Mr Rungusamy. We really make two points here. First we say what Mr Rungusamy does is he sets out the cost of, the salary cost essentially of eight warrant-officers and eight sergeants which are on a daily basis, which is what the SAPS have said would be required to protect Mr X. We point out that that's not an additional cost; those are persons employed by SAPS who would be deployed, would

- simply be deployed to protect Mr X, as opposed to somebody 23 else.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON: They won't have to employ extra warrant-officers and sergeants from somewhere else to

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Page 25876 Page 25878 fill the gap caused by the fact that they're deployed here. that your submission? 1 2 Is that right? 2 MS BARNES: Indeed. 3 CHAIRPERSON: MS BARNES: Indeed. Indeed, Chair, and 3 So the cost point should be 4 they don't make that allegation. They do say in reply that 4 excluded from consideration, is that your argument? 5 normally the witness protection programme protects Mr X and 5 MS BARNES: Indeed. Indeed, Chair, they 6 now since he would be their witness they would have to take 6 haven't -7 7 CHAIRPERSON: I understand. over his protection, but they don't say that they'd have to hire additional people to do that. 8 MS BARNES: SO finally then, Chair, we 8 9 9 [09:35] CHAIRPERSON: On that basis it's just would submit that it hasn't been shown that - I use the 10 essentially a bookkeeping entry as far as the police 10 word "enormous" simply because that's the word that SAPS service are concerned, the fact that they have got to make 11 uses, that is how they have set out their case in paragraph 11 12 9 of their founding affidavit - they haven't demonstrated 12 these bookkeeping entries and debit one division and credit 13 another, doesn't mean there overall extra cost to the SAPS. 13 that and even if they had, though, that is not a basis in 14 Is that essentially the point you're making? 14 order to grant them the relief they seek which would 15 MS BARNES: Yes, indeed. Absolutely, 15 infringe a whole gamut of rights including a constitutional Chair, and then the other point we make, Chair, is we take right. So they haven't proved their assertions from facts 16 16 some issue with the travel costs that they set out which 17 17 but even if they had, we say it wouldn't assist them on the is, comes to an astronomical total of R243 000 a month is 18 18 law. Thank you, Chair. 19 what they say is the travel cost they say they would have 19 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms Barnes. Mr 20 to incur. We've taken issue with it in our reply. They 20 Mpofu, you said you wanted to -21 haven't really explained it in - at least in our answer 21 MR MPOFU: Yes. we've taken issue with it. They haven't even explained it 22 22 CHAIRPERSON: - make broad submissions, I 23 in reply. They've said we don't know, they said they don't 23 think is the way you -24 know where we get the figure of R243 000 from. Well, 24 MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you, Chair. Chair, 25 that's the total travel expenses they assert they'll have if I may, just a housekeeping issue before I start, because Page 25877 Page 25879 to incur. So mean we simply get it from Mr Rangasamy's of this issue of Mr X there's obviously extra interest from 1 affidavit but it's not explain, Chair, but it seems at best 2 2 the people that we represent and there's now a lot more 3 then, assuming that that travel expense is correct and 3 people apparently than the devices. If I may just address 4 justified, that that would be the only additional cost and 4 them, Chair, and find out if those who don't have the 5 that would then have to be set off against the cost of the devices can live with no interpretation and then maybe we 6 video link and it may well be that the cost of the video 6 can provide a summary for them at the end or rather at the 7 7 break, during the break. Or Mr Mahlangu could come here link comes to far more than the travel cost. 8 8 So in the circumstances we submit that the SAPS but then that will take more time. Let me just find out 9 9 have not established that the cost will be enormous how many people are affected. 10 10 relative to the video link, relative to anything. They CHAIRPERSON: It depends on how long 11 certainly haven't established that it will be prohibitive 11 you're going to be. 12 and even if they had -12 MR MPOFU: Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON: 13 So is your submission that CHAIRPERSON: If you're not going to be 14 in the absence of comparative figures indicating that it 14 very long then it won't take much extra time if we have 15 would be more expensive to do the one rather than the 15 consecutive translation. other, the cost factor should be excluded from 16 16 MR MPOFU: Yes. 17 consideration? 17 CHAIRPERSON: But if you're going to 18 MS BARNES: 18 regale us with an argument that will take the rest of the They say we will have these 19 CHAIRPERSON: 19 day, then it might be an idea to do something about tremendous expenses for travelling and so on but they don't 20 simultaneous translation. 21 say what the expenses would be with the video link and 21 MR MPOFU: No, it will take slightly less 22 unless you know that the travelling costs and those extra 22 book entries with warrant officers being transferred from 23 CHAIRPERSON: It's in your hands. Tell 24 one division to another and so on, lest we know those costs 24 me what you propose to do. 25 we can't say that the cost factor is a relevant one. Is 25 MR MPOFU: Slightly less than the day,

Page 25882

Chairperson. No, I'm joking Chair, it's just a few 2 submissions. 3 CHAIRPERSON:

If it's going to be a few 4 submissions, I'd suggest we ask Mr Mahlangu to come and 5 let's do it consecutively because as you say, this is an

important matter -6

> MR MPOFU: Yes.

8 CHAIRPERSON: - and people have come here 9 out of interest to know what's going on and it's only right

that they should understand. 10

11 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.

12 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 13 - puts you under an obligation to be brief and to the

14 point.

7

15 MR MPOFU: As usual, Chairperson.

16 CHAIRPERSON: Which I'm sure you're

17 capable of being. I won't respond to that particular bait. 18 While we're waiting for the interpreter to come, Ms Pillay,

19 do you propose addressing any additional submissions to us

20 on this issue?

21 MS PILLAY: Chair, we do not intend

22 making additional submissions, just to add, Chair, that the

23 two sets of further affidavits need to be marked as

24 exhibits because we've marked the previous affidavits as

25 the NNN series of exhibits. Page 25880

costs, the cost benefit analysis, as I will call it here.

2 And as Ms Barnes correctly pointed out, what has 3 happened now is that Mr Pretorius or let me just say SAPS

4 seemed, well, they've said in so many words they do not

understand the point that we are making regarding the

6 question of costs. Actually in 5.1 of the further replying

7 affidavit of Mr Pretorius he says, "I am therefore unable

8 to understand the basis and object of the opposition on the

grounds of unquantified and speculative additional costs."

10 So obviously if he can't understand it then he can't answer

11 it. And our submission, Chairperson, is that there is no

12 reason or reasonable ground for SAPS not to understand the

13 point we are making because, firstly, we made it here in

14 open Commission in the course of asking for the

15 postponement and the Chairperson went further to say, well,

on that ground, if that is what is missing then I will

grant the postponement and explained the connection between 17

the issue of costs and so on. So we fail to understand why

19 they fail to understand. And the point there is simple and

20 I'll relate it once again to the issue of causality. What

21 they are saying, as Ms Barnes has correctly - let's assume

22 they are saying that the extra costs of bringing Mr X here

23 is R1 000. If the extra costs of linking him in the way

24 they suggest is R2 000 or is more than R1 000, then that,

as the Chairperson has correctly pointed out, it becomes

Page 25881

CHAIRPERSON: 1 I was informed that a set

2 of the complete papers were going to be made for us, which

3 hasn't happened yet, so we will mark them in due course

4 following on the series of numbers we had before. We'll

5 wait for the complete structure to be made available. In

6 other words, before I give the ruling we'll have all the

7 housekeeping in order. Mr Mahlangu, what has happened is,

8 we are informed that there's a problem, that we've run out

9 of headsets because the demand has exceeded the supply and

so what was suggested, that Mr Mpofu assures us that he'll 10 be brief and to the point in his argument in reply and I'm 11

12 sure Mr Semenya will also, so the suggestion was we ask you

13 to come and help us and we return to the mode of

14 consecutive translation for the benefit of the extra people

15 who are here today, who have come specially to hear the

argument. 16

18

17 MR MPOFU: Okay. Thank you very much.

Chairperson, I just wanted to, really what I'm going to say

is that everything I'm going to say turns on one guestion

which I'm going to submit is probably the deciding issue in

21 this matter and that's the matter of causality which we

22 address. I'm not going to go back to - we addressed it and

cited the authorities and so on in relation thereto. And

24 again so that I don't regurgitate our argument of last week

25 I will confine that aspect to this particular point of

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 25883

neutralised. It cannot be causally connected to the relief 2 that they are seeking, that's all.

3 CHAIRPERSON: Even if it costs R1 000, on 4

your example.

5 MR MPOFU: Yes, exactly. Even if it's

exactly R1 000. 6

> CHAIRPERSON: It costs the same to have

8 the TV link -

7

9

11

14

17

MR MPOFU: la

10 CHAIRPERSON: - and all these other book

entries about warrant officers being transferred from one

12 position to another, if those all amount to 1 000 and the

13 TV link costs you 1 000 then the cost advantage disappears.

> MR MPOFU: Absolutely, that's right.

15 CHAIRPERSON: Unless we know the numbers

16 we're unable to assess the cost advantage, if there is one.

MR MPOFU: Absolutely. Thank you, Chair.

18 Chair, in the absence of those numbers it can never be

19 argued that the question of costs is causally connected to

20 the relief that they seek.

21 The second point, Chairperson, is a much more important point and that is a cost benefit analysis of a

23 broader type. In other words, let's look at the cost

24 benefit in terms of the principles that are applicable to

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

an application of this kind. And to simplify it,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

11

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

Page 25886

Page 25884

- Chairperson, let me put it like this. There are let's say
- 2 five groupings of people who would ordinarily be inside
- 3 this room when a witness gives evidence. Firstly, it's the
- 4 commissioners and they don't seek to exclude the
- 5 commissioners, fortunately. Secondly, it's the lawyers,
- let's say all of us on either side of the spectrum. 6

7 Then thirdly it's the parties or, as the

8 Chairperson said, the people who are directly affected.

- 9 Fourthly, it's the public and fifthly it's the media.
- Those are the people who are in this room right now as we 10 speak. Now, what SAPS is doing, wants to do is to excluded 12 or rather to retain here only group number 1, number 2 and

number 5, the commissioners, the lawyers and the media and

14 they seek to exclude the parties or the people who are

directly affected and the public.

Firstly, they seek to do this by the linguistic facility of lumping, as we said last week, lumping the two groups as if they are one, the parties and the public, which is wrong. And the cases explain the difference between those two parties - the public is here for a different purpose, as we debated last week, so that if somebody sees that this person is not telling the truth and so on and the general interest of the public, then they can be of assistance.

The parties are here for a completely different

exclude.

Using the principle that a chain is as strong as its weakest link, I will start with the group, one of the groups that they want to exclude is the widows of the slain miners. Now, the rhetorical question becomes obviously, what possible threat to Mr X's safety can those widows pose? What are they going to do to him? What are they going to do to him to such an extent that this Commission must deviate from the well-grounded principles that the parties must be present at all times, what has happened?

Then the next group, Chairperson, is the injured and arrested, or the survivors, as we call them and I will use just for convenience, the representatives of those people, the people that we know who have testified here -Mr Magidiwana, Mr Phatsha who is here and those types of people. What are they going to do to Mr X? Nothing. They themselves have put their version, most of which has been scathingly opposed to what Mr X says. [09:55] And unless, then SAPS would then prove, or rather - yes, discharge the onus to show that those people in that class of parties pose a threat to Mr X such that once again the Commission must deviate from the principle which has been followed since the 1st of October 2012, that those people have been present here, and which is as I say the broad cost, the cost to society that were not now the

Page 25885

- purpose, which is their own direct participation and their
- 2 general interest in what is stated there behind you,
- 3 Chairperson, truth, restoration and justice. And that is
- 4 why, for example, and I think this example was also made
- 5 either by Mr Ntsebeza or myself last week, that is why even
- in a normal criminal case you would have, in a normal in 6
 - camera application as it were, you would have I've never
- 8 heard of an in camera application where you exclude the
- 9 accused, for example, because the accused is there for a
- 10 different purpose than the public. That is because the
- 11 accused is not there as a member of the public, he is there
- 12 or she is there as a party.

Now this then poses again the questions of causality. For SAPS to succeed in relation to excluding the parties, that is the people who are directly affected, they would have to show and the onus is on them on this because once again the cases are very clear as to what the general rule is and the fact that it should only be departed from in exceptional circumstances. What they would have to show, Chairperson, in discharging that onus

- 21 is that the parties are going to endanger or rather the
- presence of the parties is going to endanger the safety, 22
- security or life of Mr X and it is our submission that they
- are unable to show anything of that sort. And without much
- elaboration let's look at the people that they want to

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 25887

- financial cost, because if we say we are going to bend the
- rules we are basically creating a particular cost. We are
- saying we know that normally we should allow everyone to be
- here, but we won't do that in this case because of this and
- that and that harm. So if there's no connection between
- 6 the two then there's no reason for us to incur that social
 - cost, as it were.

8 But finally, Chairperson, to make it, the absurdity of this application is made clear by the fact 10 that let's just assume for argument sake that some among 11 the survivors might harbour some ill feeling towards Mr X,

- 12 then SAPS has a duty to convince you that the presence of
- 13 even those people who might, the presence here in the
- 14 chamber of those people who might harbour those ill
- 15 feelings is directly connected to their application to have
- 16 Mr X testifying in the absence of those people, as it were,
- 17 and by that I simply mean the following; that we know - and
- 18 this is a matter we touched on again the last time - one
- 19 would have to, either have to ensure that these people by
- 20 their absence here will be prevented from knowing the
- 21 identity of Mr X. Again it's very clear that that standard
- 22 can never be met because, as I explained last week and as
- 23 conceded by SAPS themselves, as soon as I get the identity
- 24 and possibly photograph or whatever of Mr X I will, and I
 - actually have a duty to inform them of the identity of Mr

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 25888

1 X. I have to tell them his name. I have to show them what 2 he looks like and I must tell them where, you know, where,

which shaft he works in and all those kinds of things, what he was wearing on the day, and every time he says anything

here I'm going to tell them.

So the identity point can never be causally connected to the application that is being sought here, so that if anyone was harbouring any ill feelings towards him they will have the exact same ill feelings towards him and he will face the exact same risk that he would have faced from them, whether they are next-door or inside this room.

So the crucial point here, Chairperson, is I'm doing this almost on the basis of conceding some type of danger, but what I'm saying is that that danger will not be alleviated by the relief that is sought, and I'm just doing that to press the point. Remember our position is that there is no danger at all in the first place which has been demonstrated.

So once the – well, the other issue is this, Chairperson, and this is a point that I've been specifically instructed to raise, and I won't belabour it because I did raise it last time but I'm now raising it in the context of what I call the social cost benefit. And even that, as the Commission knows from the record, that the witnesses from the survivors, and even including those

Page 25890

Page 25891

- 1 followed by someone from this chamber could simply be
- 2 alleviated by the fact we know that there are police
- 3 premises just across the road; when he comes out of here he
- 4 could be taken to that place or a police station or
- 5 whatever and until such time that the danger of anyone
- 6 following him, or taken in a vehicle, unmarked vehicle.
- 7 There are all sorts of measures. I don't have to I'm
- 8 just making examples off the top of my head. The real
- 9 point is that those costs, he doesn't have to be taken from
- 10 wherever he might be which could be anywhere quite
- 11 frankly, it could be in another province or whatever -
- 12 every day to come here for the few weeks or whatever period
- 13 that he's testifying, surely there must be a duty upon SAPS
- 14 to mitigate, and the Chairperson correctly pointed out that
- 15 their case is not so much the ring of steel. Let's assume
- 16 then that the ring of steel around here can be easily
- 17 effected, then the only issue is this alleged danger of hot
- 18 pursuit. That surely can be mitigated very easily, and if
- 19 it can then we don't all have to suffer, including the
- 20 parties, and change the rules for something that can be
- 21 cured in a different way.

So all in all, Chairperson, what I'm saying is that there is, nothing has been put before you on the papers that even approximates the discharging of the burden

that SAPS has for the Commission to deviate and to

Page 25889

22

23

24

- people who were subpoenaed, Chairperson, you'll remember
- 2 that I opposed the application by Mr Madlanga to subpoena
- 3 some people on the basis that among other things it might
- 4 endanger their safety and so on, to the extent that the
- 5 Commission when we were still in Rustenburg agreed with my
- 6 subsequent request that even those postponements of the
- 7 subpoena appearances should be done in chambers with the
- 8 Commissioners rather than in the open chamber because there
- 9 were those concerns, and indeed one of those people
- 10 allegedly committed suicide. We don't know whether it was

11 connected or not.

testimony.

But the point I'm making, I mean even a witness like Colonel Vermaak is saying things about people and I'm sure he's worried about his safety. The point I'm making here, Chairperson, is that other witnesses have shown reticence and reluctance but in the interest of the broader performance of the public duty that the Commission is asked to do those people have had basically to take their chances and take whatever level of risk was associated, or was perceived to be associated with their giving their

Final point is that the SAPS has not discharged their onus to show that such costs, broadly and narrowly speaking, as may be incurred cannot be properly mitigated.

25 For example the question about Mr X being tailed, or

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

effectively truncate people's rights, which have been

- 2 articulated in the course of this application. So whether
- 3 one looks at it from the technical basis of the cost
- 4 benefit analysis regarding the actual money issues that
- 5 SAPS have raised, or the broad cost benefit analysis of the
- 6 infringement of the rights of the parties, the application
- 7 ought to fail, Chairperson. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Mpofu. Mr

9 Semenya.

8

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we have prepared

11 some written reply.

12 CHAIRPERSON: And copies for your learned

13 friends?

MR SEMENYA SC: I have. I have, Chair, and I have circulated those. In reply, Chair, we make the obvious submission that the Commission has heard legal argument, and quite an extensive one, on the principles of open justice, that is a way in accordance with which matters before courts and commissions are required to be conducted in an open and a democratic society.

Chair, we make the submission that the debate is unnecessary. The conduct of this Commission has been and will continue to be transparent and open, with witnesses giving their evidence in the open and also cross-examined in the open. Section 4 of the Commissions Act dictates

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6 7

8

Page 25892

that to be the case and in its opening it says all the 2 evidence and addresses heard by a commission shall be heard 3 in public.

The proper debate, Chair, is rather different one, and that is whether SAPS have established the requirements for the treatment of the evidence of Mr X to be handled differently. Thus the inquiry in these proceedings; has SAPS made out a case for the handling of the evidence of Mr X in camera. If the answer to that question is a yes then we've discharged our obligations in relation to this application.

CHAIRPERSON: It's not as simple as that because there's still the point relating to the difference between the public and the parties. Normally when an application is granted for a court or commission to sit in camera, certainly as far as the courts are concerned - the Commissions Act may be different, but certainly where an application is granted for a court to sit in camera the public are excluded, but not the parties. So that's a further point. I don't know if you're going to deal with that in your [inaudible]. You are asking not just that we sit in camera, which normally means exclude the public, which is what section 4 talks about, although it talks about classes of persons and so on. You're asking for certain other relief as well, which you may or may not be

Page 25894

Page 25895

us that any person whose presence at the hearing of such evidence or address is in your opinion, Chairperson, not necessary or desirable. That's what the law is. So the submission is that the inquiry therefore is whether the Chairperson has the discretion to exclude a class of persons at any place where the hearing of such evidence is tendered, whether you, Chairperson, you are of the opinion that such a class of person is to be excluded at the hearing of such evidence, and whether the exclusion in your opinion is necessary or desirable.

And so the Commissions Act then continues to tell

CHAIRPERSON: I've glanced through your 13 heads briefly and I may have missed something, but one of the points that was dealt with in argument by your learned friends was the question, which of course I am not competent to decide, and that is whether section 4 of the Commissions Act, which is a pre-Constitution act, is not overbroad and whether it's not to some extent unconstitutional insofar as it poses a test of desirability as opposed to necessity. Now you may say to me well that's, I mustn't concern myself with that because I haven't got the power to declare it unconstitutional. Of course what I do have is the power and indeed the duty to

Page 25893

entitled to but it's not as simple as you're putting it in

this paragraph of your heads. That's all I'm putting to 2

3 you.

> MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, the hurdle I have to survive is whether or not I can make a case out for the evidence of Mr X to be heard in camera. We will then later deal with what that camera entails, because if I can't go past base 1 -

9 CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand if you 10 don't -

11 MR SEMENYA SC: - I'm not going to base

12 2.

13

14

CHAIRPERSON: If you don't get the incamera ruling at all then the rest of the debate falls away. I understand that.

15 16 MR SEMENYA SC: And in our attempts to 17 jump the first hurdle we say as we read section 4 of the 18 Commissions Act it empowers you, Chair, to exclude from the place where such evidence is to be heard or such address to

be delivered any class of persons, and it says that; any

21 class of persons. It does not qualify what class that is,

22 and I'm going to make submissions later why it should in

these circumstances include the parties, which Mr Mpofu

24 says there are parties before this Commission, we'll

25 contend there are no parties before you.

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Constitution. Now it's been argued and I'm not sure that

the argument can stand up but it has been argued that,

[10:14] Through the prism, as it's been put, of the

3 using the prism of the Constitution, I can effectively

interpret it in the light of the Constitution.

4 exclude the word "desirable" and simply rely on necessity

and say desirable means necessary. Well, I'm not sure that

6 that argument is right but of course you do realise that if

7 I were to found the decision in your favour, if I were to

8 give one, solely on the basis of desirability, you might

9 encounter problems in another court - in a court, not

10 another court - in a court in which it would be held that

11 the court has the power to deal with the section more

12 robustly than I can and you might find that any victory you

gain here might prove to be illusory op die ou einde.

So I just put that, you don't appear to deal with it in your heads but it's something which I would be grateful to have assistance on because I take it your opponents might argue, somewhat boldly as they sometimes do, that it's not even desirable that this should happen but they found their argument on the basis of necessity and

20 they found it upon section 34 of the Constitution, the

21 cases which have held that that section applies to bodies

of this kind. So those points are not points that can be

23 just swept off the table and ignored in the hope that if I

24 make an order in your favour, somehow the next court, the

next matter that deals with - the court that deals with the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

1

Page 25896

matter will somehow be able to overcome them, even though I

- 2 haven't applied my mind to them. So obviously this is, to
- 3 some extent, a tentative provisional comment because we
- 4 don't know whether I'm going to find for you anyway on the
- 5 merits, but it's something obviously that we have to
- grapple with because it would be sensible, I imagine, to do 6
- 7 so. Anyway, I hope I haven't taken you out of the line but
- you don't appear to deal with those points in your written 8
- 9 heads. They may require further submissions, if you wish
- 10 to make points to me in that regard.

MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I also don't want to interrupt Mr Semenya, I promise it's the last time I say anything but I think in fairness to him so that he can deal with our actual submission, it is important to emphasise what I said last week which is that we, our contention and he can read that together with what I said this morning - is that the absence of the parties is neither necessary nor desirable, but we also say what the Chairperson is saying, that even if the Chairperson found that he couldn't go as far as to exclude the word "desirable," we say even

21 on a desirability test, yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON: I understand that. I 23 understand that but what I was really saying was that I can

see that if, we don't know what's going to happen, if I 25 were to say I can't say it's necessary but I do say it's

Page 25897

- desirable and that's all I have to look at because that's
- all section 4 of the Commissions Act says, that might be an 2
- 3 imprudent course to adopt, regard being had to other
- 4 arguments that have been advanced in relation to the fact
- 5 that this is a 1947 statute to be read. I think it's in
- terms of section 39 of the Constitution, through the prism 6
- 7 of the Constitution. And it may be, of course, that a
- 8 court would hold that commissions are different and either
- 9 that those that contend, those that said section 34 applies
- 10 to commissions are wrong or it may be that there's some
- 11 other basis for saying that commissions are different,
- 12 desirability is all that's necessary, but if I were to
- 13
- found the judgment on that then it would open up a whole -
- not judgment, I beg your pardon the ruling on that, it 14
- 15 would open up a whole vista of new points which I think I
- 16 should consider now before making up my mind finally on
- 17 what I should do. That's the point really, isn't it?
- 18 (Indistinct) of the arguments for the opponents of the
- 19 application has been founded substantially on the question
- of necessity, I do understand you to be saying and for the
- 21 various reasons you and Ms Barnes and Mr Ntsebeza I think
- before you have contended no, Mr Ntsebeza came but he 22
- didn't speak, he left it to Ms Barnes Mr Brickhill, Mr
- 24 Brickhill, for the reasons expressed and the values

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

25 referred to, you would contend it wouldn't be desirable

Page 25898

anyway. I understand that. I haven't - I won't misdirect

2 myself as far as that's concerned, whichever way I go.

3 MR SEMENYA SC: Well, Chair, in response

4 there are the following factors I can place before your

5 lordship, before the Chair. The first, as you correctly 6 say, Chair, you don't have the power sitting as you do to

7 determine the constitutionality or otherwise of any law.

8 CHAIRPERSON: I do have the power, I take

9 it, to interpret this within, using the Constitution as an 10 interpretive prism, a prism.

MR SEMENYA SC: And whatever interpretive tool you may use will never give you the power to excise words from a statute. The third reason, Chair, is that if the constitutionality or otherwise of section 4 was a matter that should restrain the powers of this Commission, the proper remedy is for the respondents to go to a court which has competent jurisdiction and to obtain an order there and we come and have this debate. That hasn't happened.

CHAIRPERSON: Wouldn't that be (indistinct) because the argument would be, I imagine, limited to the word "desirable," they would not contend that the section as a whole is unconstitutional. The most they would contend for is the test of desirability is no longer a constitutional one and the court before which they

Page 25899

- came, would say well, isn't this premature? How do we know
- what the Commission is going to do? The Commission may
- find it necessary in any event, in which case the point
- would fall away and courts, as I understand it, don't
- 5 decide constitutional issues unless they have to and if a
- point arises in a case which may never be decisive, the
 - court very often says, well, we won't reach the
- 8 constitutionality thereof, we won't reach the
- 9 constitutionality point unless we have to. So I think that
 - may be the answer to the point you've just put.

Mr Semenya, it's been pointed out to me that it's nearly time from a comfort break and, as you can hear from my voice, I'm in need of some medication. It may help you if we take the comfort break now and possibly (indistinct) assisting you in the matter. We'll take the comfort break now.

MR SEMENYA SC: We may, Chair. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS **COMMISSION RESUMES**] [10:54] CHAIRPERSON: - question just before we took the comfort break, which you might have appreciated the opportunity to consult with some of your colleagues who seemed to be wanting to consult with you. Are you ready,

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

23 are you in a position now to proceed with your argument?

24 MR SEMENYA SC: I am, Chair, thank you.

Chair, the last point on the questions I was seeking to

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

14

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Page 25902

Page 25900

answer is the Constitution itself. You have schedule 6 of

- 2 the Constitution which deals with transitional
- 3 arrangements. Item 2 in schedule 6 of the Constitution
- 4 deals with the continuation of existing law and there it
- 5 says, "All law that was in force when the Constitution took
- 6 effect continues in force subject to, (a), any amendment or
- 7 repeal; and (b), consistency with the Constitution," and
- the submission we're making is that consistency with the 8
- 9 Constitution is a power that resides with courts in the
- 10 determination of that element of the Constitution. So for
- the purposes of the determination of this application, 11

12 Chair, you have to accept that the law is what it is.

13 CHAIRPERSON: I accept that, but I also

though have to interpret the law - even though I'm a mere 15 commissioner, you know, I have to interpret the law, I

gather, through the prism of the Constitution in terms of,

16 17 I think it's section 39(2) of the Constitution. That's

18 correct, isn't it? I haven't got the power to strike down,

19 but I have got a power and a duty to interpret and post

20 '94, in this case '96, I have to interpret an old law

21 through the prism of the Constitution. That's correct -

22 MR SEMENYA SC: There's no doubt that -

23 CHAIRPERSON: That's correct, is it not? 24

MR SEMENYA SC:

25 have a supreme law. It is binding on all organs of State.

Page 25901

There's no doubt that we

You're a creature of statute. You are an exercise of

2 executive power under the Constitution, and you have to

exercise those powers consistent with the dictates of the Constitution. There is no debate about that part.

What I think the respondents are inviting you to do is to excise provisions in the statute, or language in a

statute or concept in a statute or words in a statute.

That can't be interpretation.

So in paragraph 4 of our written reply, Chair, we say we need to demonstrate to you, Chair, that you have the power to direct the evidence of Mr X to be in camera, and we have to demonstrate that it is desirable or necessary that his evidence, that is now Mr X, be given in camera, and then to implore you, Chair, that you exercise that

- 15 discretion you have in granting the application. We know
- 16 that to be correct because you're empowered, Chair, to
- 17 determine, or the Commission rather is empowered in terms
- 18 of regulation 19 to determine its own procedure, and in our
- 19 submission the question how the evidence of Mr X is to be
- tendered and the medium through which it is to be tendered
- 21 are matters of procedure, and which regulation says the
- 22 Commission has the power to determine, and to which we'll
- be inviting the Commission that his evidence be received
- 24 not only in camera, but through a video link.

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

In paragraph 6, Chair, we make the submission

that you are also bound by the provision of regulation 11

- 2 which says what ever it is that we do here must not
- 3 adversely affect pending proceedings in other courts, and
- 4 our submission is Mr X is that type of a witness who is
- 5 critical to the prosecutions of events that arise out of

6 Marikana, and the submission we make in that regard is that

7 were the safety of Mr X to be undermined or any member of 8 his family be put at risk, there is no doubt that that will

9 affect adversely the conduct of future hearings.

Now in answering the question whether it is necessary or desirable to have this evidence in camera and through the video link we deal with a whole number of issues, but it is important to see the circumference around which the camera evidence of Mr X is to be received and we say that, Chair, that Mr X will be giving his evidence viva voce, which evidence will be transmitted in real-time to persons who are interested to follow the evidence. So the persons who Mr Mpofu calls interested people, or who he otherwise calls parties, will be able to hear the evidence of Mr X viva voce and in real-time, and when Mr X testifies, as we make the submission, the legal representatives permitted to examine him or cross-examine him will do that also in real-time.

Members of the media will also be able to transmit the evidence of Mr X real-time, as it's always

Page 25903

been the case. The Commission and lawyers who will be listening to the evidence of Mr X will be able to observe his demeanour and the media will be able to report that evidence without any censorship, save for the requirement

that must conceal his identity.

But most importantly, as we will submit later, the underlying premise for all of this application is that you, Chair, and the Commission must ensure that justice happens, and as we make the submission it is going to be critically important that the evidence of Mr X helps the Commission establish the truth and the justice that must ensue out of these processes.

So more significant, Chair, we're making the submission under paragraph 8 that it is only the identity of Mr X that we seek to protect, and more importantly all those people who are related to him, and to help establish whether or not it is necessary or desirable that this evidence be tendered in the manner we request, we point to a whole number of factors, Chair, under paragraph 9.

In paragraph 9.1 we submit that it is of vital importance to recognise one telling distinction in the consideration of how the evidence of Mr X is to be received in contrast to most of the cases to which the Commission has been referred. Even the Leepile judgments are in our respectful submission to be distinguished principally by

6

7

8

10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Page 25904

one distinct fact we mention about Mr X.

Chair, we make the submission that Mr X is a protected person within the meaning of the Witness Protection Act. The director of the Witness Protection has made a decision to place Mr X under witness protection, and that decision was made having considered the nature and extent of the risk to the safety of the witness or the nature and the extent of the risk to a related person to that witness.

He or she, the director that is, considered any danger that the interests of the community might be affected if Mr X or anyone related to him is not placed under protection.

He or she also had to look into the nature of the proceedings in which Mr X will be required to give evidence, the importance, relevance, and nature of evidence that Mr X will be giving in those proceedings, the probability that the witness, that is Mr X or anyone related to him will be able to adjust to the protection, having regard to the personal characteristics – and we emphasise that – circumstances and family or other relationships of Mr X or persons related to him.

He or she, that is the Director of Witness

Protection, had to look at the cost likely to be involved in the protection of that witness or anyone related to that

Page 25906

the safety of those people related to him. So Brigadier van Zyl says to you, Chair, that he holds the opinion that Mr X to testify in an open forum will endanger his life and that of his family.

Now to help persuade you, Chair, why it is desirable and why it is that it is necessary that you exercise the discretion in the manner the application requests, we want to point now to the nature of the evidence and the importance and relevance of the evidence of Mr X, which are matters that according to the terms of reference the Commission has been enjoined to investigate.

11 12 MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I'm really sorry 13 to do this. This tendency of SAPS to want to 14 sensationalise this application, we have said many times that the question of relevance of Mr X's evidence is not something we contest. So to regurgitate his lies in public all the time is really something that we think is just abuse of this forum because last time it happened and now 19 it's being done again. It doesn't matter what Mr X says. 20 We have conceded that his evidence is relevant. So you 21 know, if once again the people who represent are going to 22 be slandered through, you know, something that's not 23 necessary for the purposes of this application, as happened 24 last week, then we'd object to that.

Page 25905

witness, had to look at the availability of any other means
of protecting the witness or one related to him, and other
relevant factors.

That having happened, Chair, we make the submission under paragraph 9.2 that the decision of the director to place Mr X under witness protection has not and could not be challenged in this forum and for that reason the submission is that the hearing of the evidence of Mr X cannot be received in a manner that undermines the objects of the Witness Protection Act.

That's the first aspect we wish to advance in relation to whether or not in the exercise of your discretion, Chair, you would find it desirable or necessary that his evidence be received in the manner the application requests.

There is a second reason. We have Brigadier van
Zyl who tells us that he's the coordinator of the task team
appointed to investigate cases relating to the events in
Marikana. He tells us that he knows the contents of the
dockets and based on the violence, says Brigadier van Zyl,
the killings, the intimidation of witnesses or people who
could have given valuable information, it is his belief,
that is the brigadier, that Mr X should not testify in an
open forum. He says that shouldn't happen for his own
safety and the submission we make is that it is also for

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Page 25907

Mr Semenya, what do you say

in reply -

1

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, really it's the 3 first time I hear relevant evidence constituting slander. 4 The two can't fit together. I have to persuade you, Chair, 5 in terms of the legislation that we have referred to, that 6 the evidence of Mr X is necessary or desirable as a basis

7 for which you would be exercising your discretion. We have8 no interest in sensation

9 CHAIRPERSON:

[11:14] CHAIRPERSON:

CHAIRPERSON: Say –

MR SEMENYA SC: – causing sensation in relation to the evidence and we seek to cause no distress and these are the arguments that we intend ultimately to make.

14 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, what do you say 15 in reply to that?

MR MPOFU: Well, first, Chairperson – firstly I'm sure it's not the first time that Mr Semenya hears that relevant evidence can be slander. In a defamation case the words that are slanderous are the relevant words, so they have to be repeated. The fact that they are slanderous, it doesn't make them less slanderous just because they are relevant.

Secondly, Chairperson, all I'm saying is that let's – we are saying that Mr X's evidence would be relevant to the Commission but what we are saying is

Page 25908 irrelevant to this application, is the repetition of Mr X's

2 allegations against the people because we have read the

3 evidence of Mr X and we have conceded that his evidence is

4 relevant. We are not opposing this application on the

5 basis - if Mr Semenya was saying he grabbed someone from

6 the taxi rank and said I want to have an in camera

7 application for that person, then we would be saying

8 firstly this person's evidence is irrelevant and also, and

9 so on, but here we are not saying that. We have conceded

10 that the evidence itself, the content of the evidence is

11 relevant.

12 CHAIRPERSON: Relevant for what, Mr

13 Mpofu?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

15

17

MR MPOFU: For the Commission. So what we are saying, it's irrelevant for this thing to be repeated again because once again it means regurgitation of allegations which we have already said are slanderous and are a pack of lies from an unreliable murderer.

CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu has objected to the repetition by Mr Semenya of certain aspects of the evidence that it is understood that Mr X may give in due course and he does so on the basis, he says that he and those who are associated with him in opposing this application have conceded that this evidence, if true something which they deny - will be relevant for the

Page 25910

CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, it sounds to me

as if you're delivering a concurring judgment -

MR SEMENYA SC: No -

4 CHAIRPERSON: - in respect of the ruling

I've just given.

6 MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair. With respect, 7 I would submit that the burden we carry is, as they say,

8 Commission hearings must be in public. That's the general

9 rule, so there must be compelling reasons why, if you were

10 to succeed, that the society that is listening to these

proceedings must understand what may have been the reasons 11

12 that bring you to the conclusion that you do, if we were to

be successful. and we say, Chair, and we open the 13

14 paragraphs we deal with on the basis that if Mr X is to be

believed - I'm not raising it higher than that -

CHAIRPERSON: Might I interrupt you at this point? This flows to some extent from a point that Mr

Mpofu made. Obviously the evidence, if it's given by Mr X,

19 will be very damaging to Mr Mpofu's clients and a number of

20 others. It will be evidence which will seriously affect

21 them from a reputational point of view apart from any

22 other, and a number of other points of view. So it's

23 important to stress that the evidence - you are arguing the

24 matter on the basis that if it is true and this Commission

must be aware of the fact, as I am, that this evidence may

Page 25909

Commission.

2 In my view there is no basis for refusing Mr 3 Semenya the right to refer to some of the evidence that Mr

4

X, he has understood Mr X will be giving, provided that

5 evidence is relevant to the question as to whether this

6 Commission should sit in camera. The relevancy of his

7 evidence for the work of the Commission is one thing, it's

8 relevance for the purposes of this application may well be

9 another. If the evidence is of such a nature as to

10 strengthen the case of the SAPS for a hearing in camera,

then in my opinion there's no reason why Mr Semenya 11

12 shouldn't be allowed to repeat it to (indistinct). I don't

13 think it appropriate that he should simply refer to

14 everything which he understands Mr X will give but I will

allow him to refer to those aspects of the evidence which

16 it is thought Mr X will give which is relevant to his

contention that on this part of the matter the Commission

should sit in camera. So I overrule the objection. 18

19 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, but also it is one thing that the respondents are conceding the relevance of

21 the evidence but what I think the world would want to know, 22 if you were to find for us, they would want to know why you

came to that conclusion as a Commission. It will not be

24 only to say to the rest of the country or the world that

25 you emphasise -

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

Page 25911 not be true. It may, as is suggested by Mr Mpofu, be, to

use his words, a pack of lies but we're considering, the

3 application being considered at this stage on the basis of

the assumption, which may turn out to be unwarranted at the

5 end, that the evidence is true. Certainly Mr X is going to

6 make very, very serious allegations about a number of

7 people including - and also about himself, of course - and

8 it may well be a matter of importance to assess how serious

9 these allegations are, both from the point of view of how

10 likely it is that that may provoke some kind of adverse

11 response from the people potentially affected but also from

12 the other point, the more important the evidence, the more

13 compelling the reason to allow it, provided the other facts

14 that are necessary to be established have been established.

15 I mean if he is simply going to give evidence that four

16 hearses were requested on the morning of the 16th, that

17 would be a different matter.

18 MR SEMENYA SC: Mr X, if we take what he 19 will tell us, it would inter alia be that he, together with 20 the late Mr Noki and 3 to 400 persons were acting in

21 concert, making it understandable that the police's attempt 22 to disperse them was thwarted by the mere say-so of Mr Noki

23 saying "We have done nothing wrong." And there are 3 to

24 400 people who knowingly are having dangerous weapons, the

guns and the pangas, the spears, the assegais, some of

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

16

25

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 25914

Page 25912

- those in full view of the police and in clear contravention
- 2 of the law and while there is an active attempt by a law
- 3 enforcement agency in the country to disarm them. He will
- 4 tell us that the group went and undergone muti rituals with
- the promise that that muti would make them invincible. And 5
- our submission, Chair, is that that may help us understand 6
- 7 or give explanations for something that is otherwise
- 8 inexplicable, why an armed group of people would approach a
- 9 police line, almost oblivious to the fact that their
- 10 movement could reasonably be interpreted as a threat or an

11 attack, given the antecedent incidents including fatalities

of members of the police. Mr X is believed would help us 12 13

understand why an unfurling of barbed wire or the discharge

14 of teargas would precipitate an attack on the police. 15 Chair, the Commission has heard evidence of

police witnesses who say this is inconsistent with police

17 doctrine. It doesn't happen in past experiences that

18 people respond in the manner that happened on the 16th, but

19 Mr X will tell us that the instruction of the inyanga was

- 20 that they must await the first act to be - of the police
- 21 and then the attack would bring no consequence to them, and
- 22 because Mr X would have told them those who perish before
- 23 you did so by reason of the fact that they did not follow
- 24 one or other of the instructions given to them.

It is not self-evident when you look at the video

they listen and hear and see what it is that he says about

- 2 them. And we submit in paragraph 11, "If the image of Mr X
- 3 were to be widely publicised, his family members and
- 4 persons related to him would be exposed to clear harm if
- 5 the death of so many others has resulted from their pure
- 6 association with the events in Marikana." It is no answer,

7 we submit Chair, as some parties would want to contend,

8 that the identity of Mr X is known already. He would be,

9 on his own version, an accomplice.

The purpose of the application, we make the submission, Chair, is not only to protect Mr X but it is also to protect those related to him and the submission is that if his image was to be known, if he's from the Eastern Cape then everybody in the Eastern Cape will know him but they will also know who are the relatives and that exposure to risk is, in our submission, not necessary or desirable.

And around Mr X there is an additional factor we wish to point to. Chair. This is a witness who must be capable of reintegration if his evidence is believed and he gets the 204 indemnity for his complicity in the events about which he testifies and implicates others. We should not make it difficult for that reintegration to happen, justice does not require it.

24 [11:33] In paragraph 12, Chair, we make the submission

that we have a Colonel Rungusamy who is telling us that he

Page 25913

- material why it is that people don't have their palms open
- even when giving directions. Mr X is going to explain that 2
- 3 to us and he will tell the Commission that if you take
- koppie 3 and why it is that immediately after scene 1 a
- 5 whole number of people went to koppie 3, because it's
- inexplicable, why choose that koppie? Mr X will tell us 6
- 7 that it was chosen as a strategic location for various
- 8 reasons. They knew the koppie would be secluded and
- 9 located way from the public for the performance of rituals.
- 10
- 11

12

13 14 precise location of where the group camped.

injured with an amputated toe does not run to any place other than koppie 3. There was an appreciation that access to the koppie using a motor vehicle was not easy because of the rugged terrain and that they knew that it would be easy to escape from koppie 3 if need be.

21 In 10.7, Chair, we make at least what we're saying is the fulcrum to the weight of this application. 22

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

4

He will tell us the trees and the boulders gave privacy and

that strangers would be seen approaching from far away because of the vantage position and the lighting, it would

be difficult for a stranger to specifically pinpoint the

And now we can understand even somebody who is

Mr X points fingers and he accuses some of the persons even 24 in this auditorium of serious crimes in Marikana and that 25 must point to the likely harm that he stands to suffer if

Page 25915

- is in the ORS Finance Department and in that capacity says
- that the cost SAPS would incur to post members at the
- 3 Commission amount to those figures that are in the
- 4 affidavit.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRPERSON: That's per month?

MR SEMENYA SC: Per month, Chair. The

7 respondents offer the opinion of Ms Keetse, and we say with

respect she cannot even claim to have personal knowledge of 8

9 facts that are competent and capable of refuting what

Colonel Rungusamy tells us.

CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, the point made by Ms Barnes was that in the absence of evidence as to what the video link would cost there's no comparison. You can't say we've got all these expenses incurred because we will have to post members at the Commission to protect Mr X, but we don't know on the other side what the costs of not posting those members, but allowing him to testify from a remote venue by video link would cost. So unless one can say that the cost of having him testify here with all these warrant-officers or sergeants in attendance substantially exceeds the cost of the video link, then this cost factor

is irrelevant. That's the contention she put up. What's 23 your answer to that? 24 MR SEMENYA SC: Well Chair, that is

correct. The affidavits don't make that point except to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Page 25916

say if we draw inspiration from how the provisions of

2 section 158(2) of the Criminal Procedure Act deals with

3 this aspect, cost is only one of the elements.

4 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand that. I

5 could understand that you argue that apart from the cost

implications you say you've made out a good case. Whether 6

7 that's so or not I'll have to consider, but the point that

8 Ms Barnes made was in assessing the cogency of the case you

9 make out I shouldn't pay attention to the cost factor for

the reasons she gave and it does sound from what you're 10

11 saying to me in response is you don't guarrel with Ms

12 Barnes on that.

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

MR SEMENYA SC: And in the reply, Chair, we just now want to deal with the tenure of the objection to the application that has been raised by the various parties. On behalf of the injured and arrested persons, families of the deceased, and AMCU, they broadly offer threefold bases, or grounds of objection or opposition.

Firstly as we make observation, whilst conceding that the Commission can order the evidence of Mr X to be heard in camera and to prohibit the disclosure of his identity, the argument is that you do not have the power at least to direct the manner in which that evidence would be given, i.e. through the video link. Well, we've already addressed this contention in our written submissions and we Page 25918

Page 25919

have looked at the dockets and I'm satisfied that if Mr X were to give evidence in an open forum he will be exposed and that of his relatives to harm. That evidence is not countered. It is not challenged at least by anybody who could profess to have an appreciation of matters of risk and safety and matters of criminal investigation.

CHAIRPERSON: Is there a legal basis upon which I can not exactly delegate the decision, but substantially defer to someone who gives me his opinion almost on an ipse dixit basis? What value can I attach to that?

MR SEMENYA SC: Perhaps not much weight if it is counterbalanced by some other more compelling evidence, but absent any countervailing evidence to that, Chair, and no basis to reject his ipse dixit, ipse dixit though given by somebody who tells a chair that I'm the brigadier in the police service, I'm investigating criminal matters in relation to these matters, I have looked at the contents of the docket and I hold the view the safety of this individual stands to be in peril in relation to him giving evidence, if it were manifestly unsound or manifestly implausible then you would have the power, Chair, to reject it.

Now the third argument which has been offered quite extensively as well is that, Chair, don't use the

Page 25917

say it is directly answered by the provisions of regulation 19 which gives you the power to determine the how.

The second ground is that we failed to make out a case, and I think it was even argued today that we just simply failed to make out that case.

CHAIRPERSON: In particular the point made was that there's a lack of a causal link between the giving of evidence by Mr X, or the non-giving of evidence by him because the order you seek was not made. The lack of a causal link was stressed very strongly by Mr Mpofu. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, that argument is

met by at least two distinct factors. As we make the submission Mr X is already a protected witness under the statute. So the debate whether or not giving evidence and his safety are causally related has been established at least under the statute and the decision stands. If we are

17 talking about an unprotected witness we would be having a

18 different debate. We would have had to convince you that

19 that relationship exists, but the statute which is

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

principally intended to protect people from harm by very

21 reason of them giving evidence in other proceedings is the

22 basis of that statute.

But we give a second reason and we say we have a 24 brigadier who says he's coordinating a task team which is 25 investigating the complaints around Marikana and he says I

discretion which you have under the law because using that discretion would be inconsistent with the common law. The submission we make is that that can't be correct. The common law recognises the giving of evidence in camera and so does international law.

The other argument which has been made why, Chair, you should exercise your discretion against the granting of the application is that the ruling would infringe the rights of victims of Marikana. To that the submission we make is that the force of the application is to protect perhaps one of the most sacrosanct rights in the Bills of Rights, which is the right to life of Mr X and those who are related to him. In balancing the two competing rights we would submit that the balance must tilt in favour of protecting the right to life.

The Legal Resources Centre on behalf of the Ledingoane family tell us, Chair, that they recognise that the Commission has the power to exclude other persons from the hearing or limit disclosure of information that may identify the witness. They say that that is only legally competent if a proper case for that relief is made out, and the opposition is that this is the type of ruling that is inconsistent with open justice.

Now again as we make the submission it's conflating two sides of the coin and the requirements of

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8 9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

8

q

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

Page 25920

open justice are not up for debate at least in this

- 2 application. It is the reserve of that coin which is
- 3 whether or not an in-camera hearing, or the requirement for
- 4 it has been made out in this application and we make the
- 5 submission that in-camera hearings are founded squarely in
- 6 our domestic law, as well as in international law.

The LRC on the other hand also concedes that the right to life, dignity and security of a person are constitutionally protected rights, but then question as they say it in quotes, we say there, "The likeliness of

10 harm to Mr X or his family has not been demonstrated," and

we meet that with the submissions we have made in relation to that point made by Mr Mpofu about causality.

But in paragraph 19 we say, Chair, most tellingly the LRC makes the concession that "We accept that there is a reasonable possibility that Mr X might be harmed as a result of his testimony." And this we submit it's a correctly made concession by a human rights conscious legal team that answers to the causality that Mr Mpofu was wanting to see.

And on the question of the identity of Mr X, says the LRC, that in any event he's an accomplice, his identity is already known, and as Mr Mpofu amplifies, he's going to be telling his clients, as he must, and the identity of Mr X therefore will find that type of exposure. But our

Page 25922

- [11:53] But ultimately the question is what is in the
- 2 interests of justice, we submit, and in our submission it
- 3 is in the interests of justice that Mr X give his evidence
- in camera and per video link without exposing him to likely
- harm in his duty to give evidence, but this is the greater
- 6 danger, Chair, which we postulate, if Mr X refuses to
- 7 testify in an open forum the consequence to him is no
- 8 higher than just to be held in contempt, he's imprisoned
- 9 for it given - but that outcome, in our respectful
- 10 submission, would undermine the very interests of justice
- 11 this Commission has been established to discover. We pitch
- 12 it a little higher and say it may even derail the

13 Commission in coming to the truth behind the tragedy that 14 happened in Marikana. No less it will adversely affect

15 pending criminal prosecutions inconsistent with the

16 regulation 11 we referred to earlier.

Finally, on behalf of the SAPS we say we have made out a proper case for the Chair to exercise a discretion to grant the application, having come to the opinion that it was necessary and desirable that his evidence be given in the manner contemplated in the draft relief and the Commission, sitting as three commissioners exercising the powers in regulation 19, may direct that that evidence be received by way of a video link.

> CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what do you say

> > Page 25923

Page 25921

- submission is that that argument misses the point, Chair,
- with respect. The relationship of Mr X and his family is a 2
- 3 matter which is not of wide public knowledge, neither is -
- 4 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I think you mean 5 identity -

- 6 MR SEMENYA SC: Identity, yes Chair.
 - CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

MR SEMENYA SC: The people in the village where he lives and those who may be exposed to possible harm to his members and family will not know Mr X and his identity if it is protected, and by extension the safety of those related to him, and as we reiterate the point that

13 his reintegration must be made possible as opposed to difficult. 14

In paragraph 21 we dealt with the question of costs and I've made the concession I have made in that regard, Chair, and by way of conclusion we say that the evidence leaders in principle at least support the application, meaning that they are satisfied that the requirements for in-camera hearing have been met. They share the view with us that the evidence of Mr X is

22 critical in answering some of the questions raised in the terms of reference, so that, Chair, the ultimate submission

24 we make by way of conclusion is to say that that case seems

25 to be borne out in the papers.

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

to the suggestions that Ms Pillay made in her argument that

the relief you seek should be qualified or supplemented in

certain respects which she sets out in her heads? Do you

4 concede that the suggestions she makes are of a nature that

5 could be incorporated in the order that I make in the event

6 of my deciding to make an order in your favour?

7 MR SEMENYA SC: We align ourselves with

8 the draft relief order, Chair.

9 CHAIRPERSON: Very important arguments 10 have been put before me with regard to this application.

11 Weighty considerations have to be [indistinct] and weighed

12 up and I'm not in a position to make my ruling now. I

13 would like to give my ruling as soon as I can. There's a

14 lot of material that I have to work through and points that

15 I have to weight up and all I can say is that I will give

16 the ruling on the application as soon as I'm able to do so.

17 We will now take the tea adjournment after which we will

18 continue with the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak.

19 Counsel is going to be cross-examining him after the

adjournment because we have representatives now of AMCU,

the families, injured and arrested persons, the Human

22 Rights Commission.

23 MS LE ROUX: Chair, I believe the Human

24 Rights Commission will commence.

25 CHAIRPERSON: May I enquire, have the

```
Page 25924
                                                                                                                         Page 25926
    parties are going to cross-examine agreed among themselves
                                                                       going to be done we are just given forward warning, a
    as to the order which they would prefer? So you're first,
                                                                   2
2
                                                                       couple of days.
                                                                   3
3
    Ms Le Roux, who is going to be next?
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                   No, of course.
4
           MS LEWIS:
                             Chair, the families will
                                                                   4
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Because of the interests -
5
                                                                   5
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                   Of course. When I'm in a
    cross-examine next.
6
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 Ms Lewis, will you be
                                                                   6
                                                                       position to give the ruling I will indicate at least a day
7
                                                                   7
                                                                       beforehand when I will give it.
    cross-examining next?
8
                                                                   8
                                                                              MR MPOFU:
                                                                                                Thanks, Chairperson.
           MS LEWIS:
                             No, Chair, Mr Ntsebeza will.
9
                                                                   9
                                                                              SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK:
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 That's if he is here.
                                                                                                                   (bevestig
10
           MS LEWIS:
                             He will be here, Chair.
                                                                   10
                                                                       verder)
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                   11
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                   Now, Ms Le Roux, you gave
11
                                 After counsel for the
    families?
                                                                   12
                                                                       us some exhibits or some future exhibits, I think one can
12
13
           MR GOTZ:
                            Chair, I'll be cross-examining
                                                                       call them, in chambers. Do you want to do the housekeeping
14
    Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak on behalf of AMCU and then
                                                                       now or shall we wait for Ms Pillay to come and help us with
                                                                       the relevant indications? Are you going to start with
15
    finally -
                                                                       these exhibits immediately?
16
           MR MPOFU:
                              Then it's between SAPS and -
                                                                   17
                                                                              MS LE ROUX:
17
           MR GOTZ:
                            - Mr Mpofu.
                                                                                                 No, Chair, I'm not but there
18
           MR MPOFU:
                              I know.
                                                                   18
                                                                       are only two of them so I'm in your hands as to whether
19
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 Sorry, I thought I'd
                                                                       you'd like to mark them now or when we get to them in the
20
    indicated I should allow the SAPS to cross-examine him last
                                                                   20
                                                                       course of the cross-examination.
21
                                                                   21
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                   Let's mark them now so we
22
           MR MPOFU:
                                                                   22
                                                                       don't interrupt the flow of your cross-examination. Let's
                              Yes.
23
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                   23
                                                                       have a look and see where we were. The last exhibit
                                 - because there may well be
                                                                   24
                                                                       appeared to be 00019, so shall we make yours - which one do
24
    material arising from the cross-examination of the parties
25
    who indicated already they will cross-examine as well as Mr
                                                                       you want first?
                                                      Page 25925
                                                                                                                         Page 25927
    Mpofu on behalf of the injured and arrested persons, which
1
                                                                    1
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    Chair, if we could mark the
2
    the South African Police Service might wish to interrogate.
                                                                    2
                                                                        CALS analysis document as -
3
    So you, Mr Mpofu, will be next after Mr Gotz.
                                                                    3
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      00020?
4
           MR MPOFU:
                             Yes, Chairperson, subject -
                                                                   4
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    00020.
5
    well, yes, we accept that, Chair, your ruling Chairperson,
                                                                   5
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      Let me get that down in my
    simply to place on record that we will reserve our right,
                                                                        book. Exhibit, it's an analysis of exhibit Z2 and Z3. And
6
                                                                   6
7
    depending on the SAPS cross-examination, to reapply should
                                                                   7
                                                                        then we've got another one that you gave us, OOO -
                                                                   8
8
    the need arise.
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    Yes, Chairperson, and then -
9
                                                                   9
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      - 21, that'll be. What's
                                Well, you have that right
10
    to reapply, you don't have to reserve the right. Obviously
                                                                   10
                                                                       that?
    if something arises from the cross-examination it would
11
                                                                   11
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    It's a presentation obtained
12
    only be appropriate for me to allow some of the other
                                                                   12
                                                                        from the SAPS hard drive, I propose we can entitle it the
13
    parties who have already cross-examined to cross-examine
                                                                   13
                                                                        Brügge and Thomas presentation. I'm not sure on
14
                                                                   14
    further. I would obviously consider such application. The
                                                                        pronunciation.
15
    right exists, it doesn't have to be reserved. We will now
                                                                   15
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      So what do I call this
    take the tea adjournment.
16
                                                                   16
                                                                        exhibit?
17
           MR MPOFU:
                             Thank you, Chairperson.
                                                                   17
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    Chair, we could call it the
           [COMMISSION ADJOURNS
18
                                         COMMISSION RESUMES]
                                                                   18
                                                                        May 2011 Brügge and Thomas presentation.
    [12:20] CHAIRPERSON:
                                   The Commission resumes.
                                                                   19
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      May 2011. Is that how you
    Luitenant-kolonel, ek moet u nog daaraan herinner dat u
                                                                        pronounce Brügge? I see it's got an umlaut on the "u" I
                                                                   20
21
    gebonde is deur die plegtige bevestiging.
                                                                   21
                                                                        don't know what that -
22
           KOLONEL VERMAAK:
                                      Dis korrek, Voorsitter.
                                                                   22
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    Chair, any assistance you
           CHAIRPERSON:
                              Ms Le Roux?
23
                                                                   23
                                                                        can provide, I'll accept. I don't know. Chair, the
           MR MPOFU:
                             Chairperson, just a quick one.
                                                                   24
                                                                        printout you have has today's date because that's how the
   I was asked to just make a request that when the ruling is
                                                                       PowerPoint prints but on the SAPS hard drive we can
   ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE
```

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

Page 25928 Page 25930 identify that it was made in May 2011. the Commission a falsehood? So the first I asked you was 2 CHAIRPERSON: whether you'd been asked to omit any information you have, Alright. 3 MS LE ROUX: That's the beginning date now I'm asking you whether you've been ask to lie to the 4 CHAIRPERSON: I just want to mark it May Commission in any -5 2011 because do we - I don't think we have a German speaker 5 KOLONEL VERMAAK: Nee, geen stadium was in the house. Brügge and Thomas presentation, May 2011, 6 ek aangesê om voor die Kommissie te jok nie. 6 7 that's OOO21. Very well, please proceed with your cross-7 MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Lieutenant-8 examination. 8 Colonel. Moving on to the next topic of my cross-9 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX: examination which relates to radio communication in you, Chair. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, good afternoon. particular. As the Human Rights Commission understands the 10 10 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** 11 Good afternoon, Ma'am. 11 SAPS case before this Commission and your role and 12 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, the 12 responsibility in that, it appears to us that SAPS are 13 South African Human Rights Commission has been granted 13 submitting that scene 2 only took place because the overall 14 leave to cross-examine you on five topics. I'd like to 14 commander, the operational commander and the JOC were 15 start with the first of those which relates to the unaware of scene 2 - sorry, scene 1 - and on that account circumstances in which you are appearing before us at the these commanders were unaware of scene 1 because you failed 16 Commission, unrepresented by the SAPS legal team. 17 17 to inform them of what had happened there. And then of 18 CHAIRPERSON: No. no course if that is correct, you would bear overwhelming 19 MS LE ROUX: I'd like to ask - no, I'm 19 responsibility for what took place at scene 2 and as I 20 not asking, Chair, I'm observing the ruling, I'm just 20 understand your evidence you've accepted that it as part of 21 orientating in my application what I'm dealing with. 21 the plan as briefed to you, that your role would be to 22 CHAIRPERSON: I thought I refused the 22 inform the JOC and the operational commander of what was 23 first one. 23 happening on the ground. 24 24 MS LE ROUX: Yes, but that's not the KOLONEL VERMAAK: Dis korrek. 25 25 MS LE ROUX: question I'm about to ask. So to orientate you in what Page 25929 Page 25931

CHAIRPERSON: 1 Alright, go on, okay. 2 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, on day 3 206 of the Commission's proceedings you described a phone 4 call that you received from Brigadier Calitz during his 5 cross-examination on the 9th of January. We don't need to 6 go there but for the record, it appears at page 25447 of 7 the transcript and during your evidence on that phone call 8 it appeared to us that you suggested that you were placed 9 under some pressure to mislead the Commission. I'd just like to clarify that evidence because I'm not sure if we 10 understood that correctly. So let me ask you plainly, have 11 12 you been put under any pressure at any stage not to tell 13 the Commission the truth by omitting information from your 14 statements or evidence before this Commission? 15 KOLONEL VERMAAK: Voorsitter, nee, ek dink dit was, daardie stelling was verkeerd gewees. Dit 16 17 het maar net daarop gegaan dat brigadier Calitz vir my gesê 18 het dat indien ek dalk mediaberigte of iets lees waarin my 19 naam uitkom en wat dit sou lyk dat asof hy die skuld en verantwoordelikheid van koppie 3 na my toe sal afskuif, dit 21 nie sy bedoeling was nie en dan die opmerking gemaak wat ek 22 en hy van die begin af gesê het, is dat ek en hy net by die waarheid sal bly en niks anders as by die waarheid nie. MS LE ROUX: And just to close the loop, have you at any stage been put under any pressure to tell

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

I'm dealing with, you're comfortable with that? 2 KOLONEL VERMAAK: Dis korrek. 3 MS LE ROUX: Could I then ask you to turn to exhibit JJJ178, which is the statement of Gary White, 5 the Human Rights Commission's expert, and specifically to 6 page 108 of that statement? Page 108. 7 **KOLONEL VERMAAK:** Ek het hom, dankie. 8 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, you've 9 had the opportunity to review the entire statement of Mr 10 White as well as all of its annexures, correct? 11 KOLONEL VERMAAK: Ek het hom deurgegaan, 12 dis korrek. 13 MS LE ROUX: Could I ask you to 14 concentrate on paragraph 7.4.5 which appears on page 108? 15 Here Mr White notes that "There were problems communicating on a single channel, which does not excuse a failure to 16 17 report on progress of the operation to the JOC. Paragraph 18 5.2.9 of the POP policy document on crime management states 19 in terms, 'feedback concerning the operation must be 20 continually conveyed to the operational centre.' On that basis, there is no reason why those in the JOC should not be fully informed of what is happening on the ground. 23 Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak reported by radio the presence 24 of two bodies at the back of the second koppie, apparently as soon as he saw them. Immediately after scene 1 he

10

13

21

25

1

Page 25934

Page 25932

- photographed the approximately 20 bodies lying by the
- 2 kraal. It is inconceivable that he did not report by radio
- 3 the fact that a number of people had been shot, their
- 4 bodies were down and medical assistance was required. If
- 5 he did not, that failure is grossly negligent, given that
- he was the eyes of the operation from the air and he was 6
- 7 one of the few people with ongoing access to the radio."
- 8 There is a footnote which I'll deal with next in due
- 9 course, but paragraph 7.4.5 sets out Mr White's criticism
- of you that if you did not convey the seriousness of the 10
- 11 situation to the JOC shortly after the shooting at scene 1,
- then that would have been grossly negligent on your part. 12
- 13 Of course Mr White in the footnote then refers us back to
- 14 page 99 of his statement, if I could ask you to go there
- 15 and to paragraph 7.3.6 in particular because here Mr White
- sets out the following. He states, "I'm not in a position 16
- to challenge Major-General Mpembe's evidence but it is 17
- 18 deeply concerning that as overall commander he was unaware
- 19 of the shooting at scene 1, given that there appears to
- 20 have been significant radio traffic about the shooting."
- 21 He then lists five examples of that radio traffic.
- 22 "Captain Loest gave a reporting of shootings to the JOC and
- 23 called for medical assistance at scene 1 immediately
- 24 thereafter. Major-General Naidoo heard a report of
- 25 shootings and a call for medical assistance and that is

Page 25933

- what prompted the movement of vehicles from forward holding
- 2 area 1 around 3 [indistinct]. After the shootings
- 3 Brigadier Fritz heard over the radio that people were shot,
- 4 first aid personnel were requested to attend to the injured
- 5 people and the police were trying to determine how many
- were shot." Then over the page, "Lieutenant Tsiloane gave 6
- a report on the number of fatally wounded and dead to 7
- Brigadier Fritz in chopper 2." And then "Warrant Officer 8
- 9 Masinya heard the shooting incident through the radio in
- 10 the JOC." So it appears that a number of members in their
- 11 various statements suggest that you had been reasonably
- 12 clear about the seriousness of the situation because they'd
- 13 heard radio communication to that effect. Now, of course
- the difficulty is that we don't have a recording of the 14
- 15 audio in the eight minutes and 30 seconds from the time of
- the shooting until the Protea Coin helicopter starts 16
- 17 recording and that, for the record, is at 4:40 on the

RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

- 18 Protea Coin chopper recording which correlates to 16:02:20
- 19 eTV time. So am I correct that you don't know of any other
- objective evidence that records radio communication in that
- 21 eight and a half minutes? We simply don't have that before
- 22 the Commission.
 - KOLONEL VERMAAK:

Dit is korrek,

Voorsitter.

23

1

MS LE ROUX:

And the radio communication

that we have recorded after that, so on the Protea Coin

- chopper and the Captain Ryland videos, it comes and goes.
- So we can hear that radios are being used but we can't hear
- what's being said on the radio, you accept that?

KOLONEL VERMAAK: Dis korrek. Wat ek wel

6 opgemerk het, op stadiums as u baie noukeurig na die video-

7 opname kyk sou u gesien het dat die hand, op 'n stadium as

8 iemand praat, nader gebring word aan die mikrofoon van die

9 videokamera, dan word hy weer weggeneem, dan hoor jy

gesprek in die agtergrond en dan sal jy die radio weer sien wat weer vorentoe kom, wat spesifieke persone se gesprekke 11

12 opneem, Voorsitter.

> CHAIRPERSON: While we're talking about noise in the background, I hear in the background singing

14 by some of the people who were here earlier and I'm

informed they want to present a document to me. So I'm

17 going to take the adjournment at this stage. I'd be

grateful if Mr Mpofu would accompany me outside to receive

19 the document, whatever it is that I'm going to be given.

20 We'll now adjourn for a few minutes.

> [COMMISSION ADJOURNS **COMMISSION RESUMES**]

22 [12:50] COLONEL VERMAAK: Mr Chair.

23 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux?

24 MS LE ROUX: Thank you, Chair.

> SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: (bevestig

> > Page 25935

verder)

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.):

Lieutenant-Colonel, where we finished off before the

adjournment was your agreement that obviously the recording

5 of radio communication that is before this Commission is

6 incomplete in that it doesn't have the eight and a half

7 minutes from the time of the shooting until the Protea Coin

8 chopper video starts but what I'd like to understand is

your radio communication in that period of time, so it's

10 from 15:53:50 which is the start of the shooting at scene 1

11 and 16:02:20 which is when the Protea Coin chopper starts.

12 So could I ask you to turn to exhibit FFF25 which is the

13 occurrence book and if we could go to page 25 of that, to

14 entry 1018. Chair, I'm just going to pause until everyone

15 has managed to resume their seats. Chair, are we going to

16 do simultaneous translation in the room? Are we okay?

17 CHAIRPERSON: You know, it undermines the

18 whole principle of simultaneous translation to speed things

up but look, we've got 10 minutes before lunch time. I

think let's have simultaneous trans - let's have

21 consecutive translation until 1 o'clock and after that I'm

22 afraid if there isn't enough, if there aren't enough

- 23 headphones and there's not enough room in the room, people
- 24 will have to accept that but let's for the next 10 minutes
- anyway before lunch proceed on a consecutive translation

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions

19

21

23

22 report?

don't have radio communication recorded but what I'd like

convey that there were 18 bodies down as it's recorded in

entry 1018 in the occurrence book? Did you make that

24 made that report to the JOC and also to Brigadier Calitz.

COLONEL VERMAAK:

MS LE ROUX:

to understand is, was it your radio communication? Did you

Yes, Chairperson, I

And Lieutenant-Colonel, is

Page 25936 Page 25938 basis. Where's Mr Mahlangu? Is he here? that two separate reports or it's one report on the radio 2 MR MPOFU: He is outside. Chairperson, 2 that would have been communicated in that way to both yes, after lunch we will make arrangements that if there 3 3 Brigadier Calitz and the JOC? are extra people they can go to the overflow room. 4 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** No, that will be one 5 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Mpofu. I 5 report made. don't know whether everyone will necessarily stay here this 6 MS LE ROUX: Major-General, I don't want 6 afternoon. I think they've come for something else but 7 7 to go to the exhibit unless I need to but in Major-General while they're here they'd obviously like to hear things up 8 Naidoo's statement which, for the record, is exhibit JJJ108 8 9 9 until the lunch adjournment and they have the right to do at paragraph 60 of that he records a request for medical 10 so. Has someone gone to fetch Mr Mahlangu? 10 assistance, that medical assistance was required. He heard MR MPOFU: 11 Chairperson, maybe I should 11 that over the radio. Did you make that report that medical just steal a minute to say that while I was outside I 12 12 assistance was required? addressed the people and maybe it's my duty to convey their 13 13 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I heard over the appreciation of the manner in which the Chairperson and the 14 14 radio that somebody, I couldn't say exactly who it was, was 15 Commission handled their grievances. Thank you. 15 asking for medical support. Then I repeat it again and 16 CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps we should just put asked for the people on the ground need medical support. 16 on record that after we adjourned we went outside 17 17 MS LE ROUX: And then, Lieutenant-18 accompanied by Mr Mpofu and Mr Mahlangu and the other 18 Colonel, Brigadier Fritz in his statement which is JJJ72 19 commissioners and various other people and counsel, and the 19 paragraph 6.2, again we don't need to go there, he reports 20 people present, Mr Magidiwana actually handed me a 20 hearing on the radio that people had been shot. Did you 21 memorandum which had been prepared, which I read out and 21 make a report that people had been shot or did he hear that 22 22 from somebody else? had translated to them so that everybody would know what 23 the memorandum contained. Before that they sang songs and 23 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** No, my report was that 24 - but when they'd finished singing, Mr Magidiwana made a 24 there were bodies down. At that stage they didn't know 25 short speech and handed me the memorandum and I undertook, what the reason was for the down on the ground. Page 25937 Page 25939 But did you hear a report on 1 I received the memorandum and I undertook to consider it 1 MS LE ROUX: when I weigh up the evidence, the arguments that have been the radio that people had been shot -2 3 put before me in this in camera application and to give it 3 COLONEL VERMAAK: No. 4 due consideration before coming to whatever conclusion I 4 MS LE ROUX: I understand you didn't make 5 come to. 5 it, did you hear it? 6 The memorandum I think we'll hand in as an 6 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I didn't hear it. 7 exhibit. We'll call it PPP and I've described it as 7 MS LE ROUX: But from your perspective in this eight minutes and 30 seconds that we don't have "Memorandum handed to Chairman on 1/4/2014." And those, if 8 8 9 9 there's anyone here who wants a copy of the memorandum, I'm recorded, did you convey to the JOC, to the operational 10 sure copies can be made. It's presently in the custody of 10 commander and to the overall commander that people might be 11 the chief evidence leader Mr Budlender and he'll please 11 dead or seriously injured? COLONEL VERMAAK: 12 mark it PPP so we'll know what it is. I fear you've only 12 I couldn't see from the 13 got five minutes left, Ms Le Roux, but use them as 13 air what exactly was the reason that they are lying down 14 profitably as you can. 14 because I think the way that their bodies was lying, I 15 MS LE ROUX: 15 assumed that some of the people might be injured seriously Thank you, Chair. Lieutenant-Colonel, in entry 1018, that is the occurrence but I couldn't see it if they were shot because why, you 16 16 17 book entry that correlates to the time period where we 17 can't hear it in the helicopter. I can just mention when,

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119 RealTime Transcriptions Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

after I'd take photos with the Blackberry I used a Pentax

could see there was some blood on the ground.

MS LE ROUX:

injured or possibly dead?

COLONEL VERMAAK:

also to take photos and then when I zoomed into the scene I

observe the blood on the ground, what was it about the way

the bodies were lying that made you think people were

Other than being able to

Some of them were lying

Page 25942

```
Page 25940
    on top of each other and other people were lying away from
                                                                        from your recollection, because as we've managed to piece
    the main group and in an unnatural position. Normally,
2
3
    Chair, normally when you arrest someone on the ground he
4
    will put his hands on the back of his head and straighten
5
    his legs to the back, so the way that they were lying is
    not the same.
                                                                    6
6
7
           MS LE ROUX:
                               Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak.
                                                                    7
    last question before we break for the lunch adjournment,
8
9
    you're clear that you conveyed on the radio to the JOC and
10
    the commanders the seriousness of what you saw at scene 1,
    that 18 bodies were down, people were injured seriously?
11
                                                                   11
                                                                   12
12
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                      The first time I
                                                                               KOLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                   13
13
    reported to the JOC 18 bodies down, then we go through with
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                   14
    a circle and then I started counting again and, if I
    remember, the last figure that I gave through to them was
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
    25 bodies down.
16
17
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 Alright, at this point
                                                                   17
18
    we'll take the lunch adjournment. We will resume at about
19
    quarter to two.
                                                                   19
20
           [COMMISSION ADJOURNS
                                         COMMISSION RESUMES1
                                                                   20
21
    [14:05] CHAIRPERSON:
                                   The Commission resumes.
                                                                   21
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
22
    I'm afraid we had some more housekeeping to attend to in
                                                                   22
23
    chambers during the lunch hour, so we didn't come back at
                                                                   23
                                                                   24
24
    the time we said we would. Luitenant-kolonel, ek moet u
25
    nog daaraan herinner dat u nog steeds gebonde is deur die
                                                       Page 25941
    plegtige bevestiging wat u gemaak het.
1
2
           KOLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       Dis korrek, Voorsitter.
```

things together the order goes as follows. So we start with entry 1017 where Brigadier Calitz reports the attack on, an attack on TRT members. As we understand it, you then report the people are moving around and some are down. Calitz then, and this isn't recorded here, he then makes his radio enquiry about where the TRT are. You respond with your report of 18 bodies down and then somebody, after 18 bodies down, it's reported somebody - we're not sure who - requests medical assistance and you relay that communication. Is that the order as you recall it? Dis korrek. And the medical request that you relayed, do you know who that came from? No, I'm not sure who was asking for it on the ground but after I have relayed that request somebody on the radio said the medics don't want to come in if it is not secure. Then I said to them there are enough policemen on the ground to give enough coverage for them where they are needed. Okay. Was your impression though that the person on the radio who requested medical assistance first and then you relayed that request -COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Sorry, can you just clarify for us please, Colonel, the request that came for

3 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux? 4 SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: (bevestig 5 verder) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.): 6 7 Thank you, Chair. Lieutenant-Colonel, let me just take a 8 step back because we've had a number of interruptions to 9 the progress of the cross-examination. So I'm correct, am 10 I not, that we do not have an audio recording for the eight 11 and a half minutes from the time of the shooting until 12 16:02:20 when Protea Coin starts, is that correct? 13 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 14 MS LE ROUX: But then even after 16:02:20 15 we don't have a full record of communication because of the 16 difficulties you've identified where the radio comes close 17 to the microphone and leaves, so the Commission does not 18 have a complete record, correct? 19 COLONEL VERMAAK: I agree. 20 MS LE ROUX: What I'd like us to 21 concentrate on, we were looking in the occurrence book at entry 1018 and I would just like to make sure, because this does fall within the period where we don't have recorded, a

24 complete recording, and so your recollection will be of

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

25 much assistance to the Commission. Can you confirm for me

Page 25943 medical assistance, you said that was someone from the ground or did I mishear that? COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, that 4 was from the ground and then I relayed the message to the 5 JOC. 6 MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel, a 7 follow-up to that, when you say on the ground do you mean 8 at scene 1? 9 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, 10 Chairperson. 11 MS LE ROUX: Then Lieutenant-Colonel, 12 before the lunch adjournment we were dealing with the 13 following. So you communicated 18 bodies down -14 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 15 MS LE ROUX: And obviously we must understand that evidence in light of your prior testimony 17 that when you mean bodies, you mean bodies as opposed to 18 suspects. So you mean people who are injured, possibly 19 fatally, correct? 20 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** I couldn't say how 21 fatal but yes, for sure it seems to me that they might be 22 injured seriously. 23 MS LE ROUX: So when you use the word 24 "bodies" that's what you mean to communicate?

That's correct, Chair.

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

COLONEL VERMAAK:

25

Page 25947

```
Page 25944
           MS LE ROUX:
                               And then you revised your 18
 1
    to 25 bodies, is that your next radio communication that
2
3
    you make?
4
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       That's correct.
5
           MS LF ROUX:
                               And you relay the need for
    medical assistance.
6
7
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       That is correct.
8
           MS LE ROUX:
                               Now arguably that
9
    combination where you report 18 bodies, revise it upwards
    to 25 and relay the medical assistance request, coupled
10
    with the other communications I've already taken you to
11
12
    where people hear a report of people being shot on the
13
    radio, that may well convey the seriousness of what
14
    happened at scene 1 but did you ever communicate on the
    radio about the other observations you made? So you
15
    identified that you observed blood on the ground, the
16
17
    bodies lying on top of each other and otherwise in
18
    unnatural positions not consistent with the position you'd
19
    assume during arrest, did you convey any of those other
20
    observations to indicate how serious the events at scene 1
21
    were?
22
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       At scene 1 I couldn't
23
    express my view more than I have done it. Then I just
24
    report to the JOC of the people who was running away from
25
    the scene in different directions and afterwards where they
```

Page 25946 that he used any time his radio but you must remember, as I explained to the Commission, we do have the police radio in the helicopter as well as the aviation radio. So there might be some circumstances that you can miss one of the 5 calls. 6 MS LE ROUX: And then lastly you would 7 have seen what's been marked as exhibit KKK43, which is a 8 collection of images of SAPS members using or appearing to use the radio at scene 1. Do you recall any other reports 10 on the radio from members at scene 1 other than those we've 11 already discussed, in this eight and a half minute period? 12 COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't hear anybody 13 on the ground speak, most of the time it was me giving 14 feedback to the JOC and also to Brigadier Calitz but there were some times when it was quiet on the radio, that nobody 16 was talking on it. 17 So Lieutenant-Colonel, other MS LE ROUX: 18 than the one report requesting medical attention that you 19 said was a member at the scene, you don't recall anyone 20 else on the radio who was at scene 1? 21 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. 22 MS LE ROUX: I'd now like to turn to how 23 you used your Blackberry to take photographs during the course of the day on the 16th and obviously we've been

Page 25945 Did you receive any response

back to you from the JOC or any of the particular commanders in response to those communications? No. I did not receive 6 7 And in that eight and a half 8 minutes that we don't have recorded we, from the statement of Lieutenant Tsiloane - we don't need to go there, it's exhibit HHH45 paragraph 8 for the record - he reports that he counted up the number of people who were dead and 11 reported that statistic, he says to chopper 2. Did you 12 13 14

14 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** No, that's negative. 15 MS LE ROUX: And then the statement of Captain Loest which is exhibit HHH 44 paragraph 8, for the 17 record, he similarly reported radio, hearing radio

18 communication about - apologies. So from Captain Loest's

19 statement we see that he informed the JOC of the event and

we know from exhibit KKK4 he makes a phone call to

21 Brigadier Pretorius. Did you hear him make any radio

transmission in that eight and a half minute period? We know that he phoned Brigadier Pretorius, did you hear him

24 use his radio at all to report to the JOC?

gathered again at koppie 2.

MS LE ROUX:

MS LE ROUX:

any feedback.

hear that report?

COLONEL VERMAAK:

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

COLONEL VERMAAK: No, 1 can't remember ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

series and if we - what we've been able to do is correlate

these to various entries in the occurrence book during the

provided with those. For the record, they're the JJJ11

course of the 16th of August and it appears as if you would

send a cellular picture that you took on your Blackberry in

support or sort of at the same time as you would make a

communication over the radio which was recorded. Is that -

COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.

MS LE ROUX: - what you were doing. So as we, just to take a few examples of that, if I could ask you to turn in the occurrence book to page 22, if we start at entry 974. Entry 974, the first thing I'd like clarity on is, you were chopper 1 but in the occurrence book sometimes your communications are recorded as chopper 2. Do you have any idea why that -

COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I think it was a mistake from the people who was working in the JOC because why, it was Pappa1, Pappa2, chopper 1, chopper 2, but most of the time it was only chopper 1 that was giving feedback. We were all the time in the air and as I explained, we did not utilise the Squirrel for all the time due to the fact that he has limited hours on that stage.

MS LE ROUX: Right. So if we start in the occurrence book, entry 974, that's recorded at 9:15 in the morning of the 16th. It sets out a situation report and says "Chopper 2" - but that should obviously be chopper 1 -

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Page 25948
                                                                                                                             Page 25950
     "reported that people moving towards the koppie, most are
                                                                          situation report is, "C2 reported NIU, task team and TRT
2
    not carrying their weapons." And then if we could switch
                                                                          are at their post, orderly. Chopper 2 report to the group
3
     to your Blackberry photograph which is marked JJJ11 and
                                                                          in front of the koppie, they in possession of their
4
    then the particular photograph, if we could go there, is
                                                                          traditional weapons." And if we then look in your JJJ
5
    number 01488. 01488, yes. Now I should just note for the
                                                                      5
                                                                          series 11, 1501, you seem to have been able to zoom in as
    record, this records that it's created at 9:14AM. Using
                                                                      6
                                                                          much as you could on your Blackberry to that group that we
6
7
                                                                      7
                                                                          see, so that's the small group that you were referring to
    the evidence leaders' conversion table we know that that's
                                                                      8
                                                                          in your radio communication, is that correct?
8
    about three and a half minutes fast so it's more likely to
9
    have been taken at around 9:10 that morning and this shows
                                                                      9
                                                                                 COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                            That is correct.
10
    people arriving at the koppie and if we go to the next two
                                                                     10
                                                                                MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                     Right, and then if we just
11
     photographs, 1489 and 1490, those show the same thing. And
                                                                     11
                                                                          do a last one, entry 994 in the occurrence book at 10:57 we
     those, 1490, the last of those is taken at 9:18 eTV time.
                                                                          get a sitrep, "Chopper 2 reported plus-minus 80 people
12
                                                                     12
13
     So the photo you send seems to correlate with the situation
                                                                          moving from the village towards the entrance of the hostel
14
     report recorded in the occurrence book, correct?
                                                                     14
                                                                          as group of women gathered again now are almost 100 of
15
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       That is correct, Chair.
                                                                     15
                                                                          them." If we go to your JJJ11 series, 1502 and 1503, these
           MS LE ROUX:
                                Right. And then if we look
                                                                          are both time-stamped 11:01, we see the women gathering and
16
    at - we can do three entries in the occurrence book
                                                                     17
17
                                                                          moving from the village.
18
    together, so entry 979 is at 9:52AM. The sitrep there is
                                                                     18
                                                                                 COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                            That is correct.
19
     "Chopper 2 reported women gathered with placards from the
                                                                     19
                                                                                 MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                     Right. So obviously we
20
     eastern side. About 20 women marching." Entry 981 is
                                                                     20
                                                                          can't link every Blackberry image that you sent through to
    10:03 where the sitrep is, "Chopper 2 reporting that the
                                                                     21
                                                                          Brigadier Pretorius to an occurrence book entry but in
21
                                                                          general, that's what you were try8ing to do. You would
22
    group of women goes house to house at the eastern village
                                                                     22
23
    near the koppies." And then entry 984, 10:15 "Chopper 2
                                                                     23
                                                                          send Blackberry imagery that supported your radio
24
                                                                     24
                                                                          communication, is that -
    reports women are moving house to house and 60 in number
                                                                     25
25
                                                                                 COLONEL VERMAAK:
    and group of males plus-minus 50 met at the northern side
                                                                                                            That is correct.
                                                        Page 25949
                                                                                                                             Page 25951
    of the koppie." If we then go to your photograph series
                                                                                 MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                      Right. And you only sent
    JJJ11 from 01492, 01492 and if we go through those until
                                                                          the photographs to Brigadier Pretorius, correct, you didn't
2
3
    01496, if we could just display those in sequence, 1492 to
                                                                          distribute them beyond her? You only sent it to her?
    1496, these are taken over the period of time 9:56 to
                                                                      4
                                                                                 COLONEL VERMAAK:
4
                                                                                                             That is correct.
5
    10:09AM and they appear to show the group of women that you
                                                                      5
                                                                                 MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                      Right. And do you know
    are describing in your situation report. Is that correct?
                                                                          during the course of that day, did you know whether she was
6
                                                                      7
```

7 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. 8 MS LF ROUX: Right, so your communicating 9 orally to the JOC about the women that you're observing and 10 you're sending the photographic evidence of that. 11 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 12 MS LE ROUX: Right. Then if we look at -13 we've gone through those - if we then look at occurrence 14 book entry 990, there you return in your flight to 15 observing the koppie and you report, "Still people coming from the eastern side in huge numbers towards the koppie." 16 17 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: 18 And if we then go back to your photographs JJJ11, 01498 and 99, those are both taken around 10:43. If we go to JJJ11 1498 and 1499 and then -21 so we see the movement of people congregating to the koppie and 1499 depicts the same scene. COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. 23 MS LE ROUX: Right and if I just do two

more, if we look at entry 991 in the occurrence book, the

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

showing them to anybody in the JOC? 8 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** No, I'm not aware of 9 it. 10 MS LE ROUX: Okay. If we then look at your photograph JJJ11.1518, 1518, this is taken at 11 12 15:56:29. Did you send that image in suppo9rt of your 13 radio communication about 18 bodies down? 14 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** That is positive, 15 Chairperson. 16 MS LE ROUX: Now, General Annandale 17 testified that Brigadier Pretorius may have received it but didn't bring it to his attention. Does that surprise you? 18 19 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** Well, I cannot comment on what happened in the JOC. As far as my responsibility or the initiatives that I take, was to take photos, send it 22 through with a sitrep. 23 MS LE ROUX: Right. And have you ever 24 discussed with Brigadier Pretorius to this day whether she showed anyone in the JOC the photographs?

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

```
Page 25952
                                                                                                                       Page 25954
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                      No. I haven't discussed
                                                                             CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                  1
                                                                                                   Is it correct to say, you
    it with her.
2
                                                                      were - they used the phrase eye in the sky, you were the
3
           MS LE ROUX:
                               Has anyone who was present
                                                                  3
                                                                      eye in the sky not just for the operational commander,
4
    in the JOC mentioned to you that they saw your photographs
                                                                  4
                                                                      Brigadier Calitz, but also particularly I would imagine for
5
    during the course of the day?
                                                                      the people in the JOC, is that correct?
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                  6
                                                                             COLONEL VERMAAK:
6
                                      No, nobody.
                                                                                                        That's correct, Chair,
7
                               From your experience of that
                                                                  7
           MS LE ROUX:
                                                                      and also for everybody who is participating in the
8
    day, do you believe that no-one but Brigadier Pretorius saw
                                                                  8
                                                                      operation. If they feel they have a need to send the
9
    your photographs?
                                                                  9
                                                                      chopper somewhere, they can discus or convey that message
10
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                  10
                                                                      to us but through the JOC.
                                 Is that an appropriate
    question to ask the witness? It's for us to believe what
                                                                  11
                                                                             COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:
                                                                                                             Colonel, in the
11
    evidence on - surely. I can understand if he's in a
                                                                  12
                                                                      light of your previous evidence that the sending of the
12
13
    particular position to express an opinion which we aren't
                                                                      photographs was your initiative, had you, prior to going up
14
    in a position to do, the question is in order but you can't
                                                                      into the air before the incident, told Brigadier Pretorius
15
    ask one witness do you believe another one. Maybe one can
                                                                  15
                                                                      that you would be sending these photographs to her on the
    approach the matter slightly differently. What was the
16
                                                                  16
                                                                      message system?
                                                                  17
17
    purpose of your sending these photographs to the JOC, just
                                                                             COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                        Chair, on the previous
18
    to be seen by Brigadier Pretorius?
                                                                      day I already started sending pictures to her Blackberry,
19
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                      Chairperson, no. The
                                                                  19
                                                                      due that we realised that that ability is not there of lack
20
    whole idea was that they in the JOC have that footage
                                                                  20
                                                                      of cameras, the FLIR systems on the helicopters. So I
21
    immediately available and if need, to make decisions they
                                                                  21
                                                                      decided to make use of the Blackberry to send that photos
22
    can have the photos and on the photos what they saw in
                                                                  22
                                                                      to her in the JOC.
23
    front of them, they can make decisions on that.
                                                                  23
                                                                             COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:
                                                                                                             And was there any
24
    [14:25] CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                  24
                                                                      indication from Brigadier Pretorius the previous day that
                                    I take it from the very
25
    fact that the people in the JOC are some distance - I think
                                                                      she had received these photographs that you'd sent?
                                                      Page 25953
                                                                                                                       Page 25955
1
    we were told it's about two kilometres away from the scene
                                                                  1
                                                                             COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                         That's correct, ma'am.
                                                                   2
                                                                             COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:
                                                                                                              When were you told
2
    of action, it was very important that they should receive
3
    up to the minute information about what was going on so
                                                                   3
                                                                      that?
                                                                             COLONEL VERMAAK:
4
    they could give the necessary instructions -
                                                                  4
                                                                                                         During the day when I
5
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       That is -
                                                                       send a BBM photo to her I will usually ask if they received
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  - based upon their
                                                                  6
                                                                       the photo and then they confirm yes, they've got the photo.
6
7
                                                                  7
                                                                             COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:
                                                                                                              That's on the 15th?
    understanding of what was happening is that right?
                                                                                                         On the 15th and, so far
8
                                                                  8
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       That is correct, Chair.
                                                                             COLONEL VERMAAK:
9
                                                                  9
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  So you didn't send
                                                                       possible, on the 16th as well.
                                                                  10
                                                                             COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:
                                                                                                              And the
10
    photographs then to be seen only by one person, is that
                                                                  11
                                                                      confirmation of receipt, how would you have received that
11
    correct??
12
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       No, the photos that I
                                                                  12
                                                                       from Brigadier Pretorius?
                                                                  13
13
    sent there was, everybody was on the scene that's seeing
                                                                             COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                         No, the people in the
    the same images.
                                                                  14
                                                                      JOC who are operating the radio, they then confirm. I'm
14
15
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                  15
                                                                       speaking to them and I ask them they must confirm with
                                  Ja. They weren't for the
    private information of Brigadier Pretorius, but for the
16
                                                                  16
                                                                       Brigadier Pretorius if she did receive the photo and then
17
    general information of the people in the JOC so that they
                                                                  17
                                                                       they came back to me and said Brigadier Pretorius
18
    could react to them appropriately, is that correct?
                                                                  18
                                                                      confirmed.
19
                                                                  19
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       That's correct.
                                                                             COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:
                                                                                                              Thank you.
                                                                  20
20
           MS LE ROUX:
                               And Lieutenant-Colonel,
                                                                             MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                 Lieutenant-Colonel, there
    other than the photographs that you sent through in real-
21
                                                                      are two other radio transmissions that made explicit
    time, do you know if the JOC had any other visual aids?
22
                                                                      reference to bodies or deaths at scene 1. The first is at
         COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                    I know about the CCTV
                                                                  23
                                                                       16:09:30 eTV time, you report to the JOC the two bodies at
23
24 cameras of the mine but I also know that they are quite a
                                                                       the back of the second koppie, you recall that
   distance from the scene where it happened.
                                                                      communication?
  ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE
```

Page 25958

Page 25956 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** occurrence book, Van Heerden records that as six arrests 1 That's correct. are made. Same content, slightly different wording and 2 MS LE ROUX: And that communication we 3 can hear clearly. Did you get any enquiry back from then when Van Heerden records "30 people lying down, some 4 anybody as to what you meant by two bodies? Did anyone dead, some injured," the occurrence book says "30 5 follow up with you about what you'd reported? 5 people/bodies lying down." Do you agree with our **COLONEL VERMAAK:** 6 No, nobody followed it 6 interpretation that when the occurrence book notes "bodies" 7 up. There were policemen close to it so I did not further 7 as opposed to people, it means to indicate injury or 8 talk on the radio at that stage. 8 possibly death? 9 9 MS LE ROUX: Then Lieutenant-Colonel, **COLONEL VERMAAK:** Yes, it might be. 10 there's a second transmission I'd like to spend some time 10 MS LE ROUX: Now, when Brigadier Calitz came to testify he testified that he was unaware of any exploring with you and that is the one recorded in Captain 11 11 Van Heerden's note and she records that at some point 12 deaths at scene 1 or scene 2 until he came to body C, which 12 13 between 16:11:18 and 16:14:09 the transmission recorded 30 13 was at approximately 16:24 and he says that it was only 14 people lying down, some dead, some injured. If we could 14 when he spoke to the JOC by telephone at 16:47 that he was display that, it's KKK42, the annotated Van Heerden notes made aware of the other 31 confirmed deaths at scene 1 or where the Human Rights Commission has attempted to put time scene 2. So he says he was only aware of body C until 16 stamps to the entries made by Captain Van Heerden and if we 17 16:47 when we phoned the JOC. Now from your recollection 18 could just go down a little bit because the entries that of the radio communication in that 54 minute period from 19 start 16:11:18 and run to 16:14:09, the third of those says 19 15:53 when scene 1 happens until 16:47 when he speaks to 20 "30 people lying down, some dead, some injured." If I can 20 the JOC, do you consider it possible that an operational 21 just note for the record that of course we lose the radio 21 commander would have been unaware of scene 1, scene 2 and 22 the fact that we had seriously injured and possibly dead 22 on the Protea Coin chopper transcript at 16:12:25 and then 23 we can pick it up again on Ryland at 16:13:42. So we know 23 strikers? 24 24 that the 30 people lying down, some dead, some injured COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, according 25 radio communication is between those two times so we've to me I gave that information through to the JOC and I also Page 25957

Page 25959 called Pappa1 when I called the JOC to convey the message through after bodies that was lying down. From my reports I believe he could say that he was not aware of any persons dead but I don't know if the operation or the section 5 commanders on the ground maybe could have contacted him on 6 cell phone to report the situation and what is going on, on 7 the specific scenes. 8 MS LE ROUX: **But Lieutenant-Colonel** Vermaak, from what you remember of the radio communication 10 on that day and what we know from the statements of other members that I'm taking you to where they hear people 11 12 being, you know, the reports of a shooting, need for medical assistance and then the various accounts that refer 14 to bodies, do you accept, do you think it's possible that 15 an operational commander could have been unaware if he had been in the proximity of a radio in that 54 minute period? 16 17 COLONEL VERMAAK: If he was close to the 18 radio I believe he should have heard it, especially when we 19 - there was asked for medical assistance, then you can think for yourself something had happened there and if the 21 police ask for medical assistance, we didn't say - we only 22 say bodies down, so it means it could be policemen, it could be protesters, it could be anybody. So if he was 24 close to the radio I believe that he could have heard it.

1 narrowed it a little bit further than what we have on 2 Captain Van Heerden's notes. Do you recall hearing that 3 radio transmission, "30 people lying down, some dead, some 4 injured?" 5 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chairperson, no, I did not recall. 6 7 MS LE ROUX: Is it possible that that would have been made by telephone to the JOC rather than on 8 9 the radio? 10 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** I cannot comment on 11 that. 12 MS LE ROUX: Did you hear anybody else on 13 the radio making any sort of enquiry or expressing surprise or mentioning the 30 bodies, 30 people lying down, some 14 15 dead, some injured, do you remember the 30 people being discussed or on the radio. 16 17 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** The only conversation 18 regarding the people lying on the ground was my own where I, at two stages, report to the JOC and to Brigadier Calitz 20 Right, and then of course 21 MS LE ROUX: what's interesting is if we look at how that was recorded in the occurrence book, this is in entry 1018 if we can go

24 back to the occurrence book, here we - in entry 1018, so if

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

we start where we have six people arrested recorded in the

25

And Lieutenant-Colonel

MS LE ROUX:

```
Page 25960
                                                                                                                          Page 25962
     Vermaak, it's Brigadier Calitz who reports an attack on the
                                                                        he was talking about rubber would have to be false. So if
                                                                    2
                                                                        we can go to 7:10 -
 2
     TRT, so would you accept that that, coupled with the
                                                                    3
 3
     medical assistance request, would make an operational
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       I'm sorry, I don't - let's
 4
     commander question what had happened and think that
                                                                    4
                                                                        listen. I'm not sure I understand that last point you made
 5
     something serious had happened?
                                                                    5
                                                                        but let's listen first and then we can debate it.
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                    6
                                                                                [VIDEO IS SHOWN]
 6
                                       Ja, I agree with you.
 7
                                                                    7
            MS LE ROUX:
                                Okay. Could I ask you then
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    - the video. Chair, I'm not
                                                                        sure if you'd like to hear it again.
 8
     to turn up what's been marked exhibit KKK41? This is a
                                                                    9
 9
     chronology that the Human Rights Commission presented to
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       Can we play it again,
10
     Brigadier Calitz which lists the points at which Brigadier
                                                                    10
                                                                        please?
11
     Calitz may have been able to gain knowledge of the deaths
                                                                    11
                                                                                [VIDEO IS SHOWN]
                                                                    12
12
     and it goes through the radio communications. There are
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    If we can pause it. So
13
    just certain entries of these, on this chronology that I'd
                                                                    13
                                                                        again, Chair -
14
     like your assistance to clarify further for the Commission.
                                                                    14
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       I'm sorry, I know I'm being
     The first of those is if we look at the entry 16:04:40 on
                                                                    15
                                                                        singularly obtuse and I apologise to all concerned. Can we
15
                                                                        listen to it again? What I thought I heard was "lethal"
16
     the second page. No, there we go, 16:04:40. We had noted
                                                                    16
17
     it as, "Brigadier Calitz says over the radio, 'no need for
                                                                    17
                                                                        the first time and "need for" the second. I thought he
18
     firearms now unless, unless the targets engage you," and
                                                                    18
                                                                        said "no lethal firearms" and then he said "no need to
19
     your evidence was, am I correct, that Brigadier Calitz
                                                                    19
                                                                        shoot unless," et cetera. So I think that's what it is but
20
     didn't say "no need for firearms," that you heard him say
                                                                    20
                                                                        can we listen to it again just to make absolutely sure
21
     "no lethal firearms."
                                                                    21
                                                                        that's right.
22
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                    22
                                       That is correct.
                                                                                [VIDEO IS SHOWN]
            MS LE ROUX:
23
                                That's your recollection.
                                                                   23
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    Chair, so the submission -
24
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       That's correct.
                                                                    24
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       - the first one is "no
25
            MS LE ROUX:
                                And we've listened to it
                                                                    25
                                                                        lethal" and then "no need to shoot." So need for and need
                                                       Page 25961
                                                                                                                          Page 25963
                                                                        to comes into it, but that's the second part. The first
     again and, Chair, I'm in your hands if you would like to
 1
                                                                        part is "no lethal" I think -
 2
     hear the recording again. We have played it several -
 3
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   I would actually because
                                                                    3
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                  Yes, Chair, and it's that
 4
     I'm not quite sure what a non-lethal firearm is, so the
                                                                        first part where Brigadier Calitz said he was speaking only
 5
     firearm I would imagine would be lethal but Mr Chaskalson
                                                                        about rubber but of course if he's saying "no lethal," then
                                                                    6
                                                                        that evidence doesn't make a lot of sense. It seems s if
 6
     is going to correct me -
 7
                                                                    7
                                                                        he was talking about sharp pointed ammunition, live
            MR CHASKALSON SC:
                                         No, no, Chairperson,
                                                                    8
 8
     in the context of Brigadier Calitz's evidence he
                                                                        firearms but this is a matter for argument -
 9
                                                                    9
                                                                                                    I don't understand that.
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
     specifically said that he was speaking about rubber bullets
                                                                    10
                                                                        If you've got a firearm and you're using it to shoot
10
     in relation to this particular communication so that would
                                                                    11
                                                                        rubber, that then is not a lethal firearm, whereas if
11
     be a non-lethal -
12
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   You would fire rubber
                                                                    12
                                                                        you've also got your pistol at your side and you've got a
13
     bullets from a firearm.
                                                                    13
                                                                        choice between using your shotgun to fire rubber or your
14
            MR CHASKALSON SC:
                                         Indeed, Chairperson.
                                                                    14
                                                                        pistol to fire live ammunition, then it would make perfect
15
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   Anyway let's listen to it
                                                                        sense. So when he says "no lethal firearms" doesn't he
                                                                    16
                                                                        mean no firearms with live ammunition but it could include
16
     though, just to satisfy ourselves.
17
            MS LE ROUX:
                                So if we call up the Protea
                                                                    17
                                                                        that you can use firearms with rubber bullets? So I don't
18
     Coin chopper video CC22 at 7:10, in that recording. And
                                                                    18
                                                                        see the, sorry, I don't see the problem. And then he goes
19
     Chair, Mr Chaskalson has made precisely the point, so
                                                                    19
                                                                        on to say, "No need to shoot," et cetera unless the targets
     Brigadier Calitz said he was talking about rubber bullets
                                                                    20
                                                                        engage you.
21
     and therefore he meant no need for firearms now and he
                                                                   21
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                  Chair, the -
22 meant no need for rubber, but in light of Lieutenant-
                                                                    22
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    Sorry, Ms Le Roux, before
23 Colonel Vermaak's testimony that it's actually no lethal
                                                                    23
                                                                        you carry on, Mr Semenya, Adv Hemraj reminds me that at one
24 firearms now, which does seem to accord with how we hear
                                                                    24
                                                                        stage you gave an undertaking to try to get some people
25 the transcript, the recording now, then the evidence that
                                                                        with special equipment to clear up some of these points
   ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE
```

11

12

13

14

15

16

R5 actually.

that, isn't that so?

COLONEL VERMAAK:

COLONEL VERMAAK:

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

CHAIRPERSON:

Page 25966

Page 25967

```
Page 25964
    where the audio soundtrack of the videos is not clear. Can
                                                                        you invited to the debriefing, the briefing at 2:30.
                                                                     2
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
2
    you report any progress on that now?
                                                                                                           That's correct.
                                                                     3
3
           MR SEMENYA SC:
                                    I'll follow up that,
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      So you still thought that
4
    Chair, with the JOC.
                                                                         the plan from the early morning -
                                Chair, of course it's a
                                                                     5
5
           MS LE ROUX:
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                           That is correct.
                                                                     6
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
    matter for submissions in due course but Brigadier Calitz
                                                                                                      But, and you thought it was
6
                                                                     7
7
    was testifying that he had no idea that lethal firearms,
                                                                        a dispersal but surely if there was, if they were already
                                                                     8
8
    lethal ammunition had been used and therefore he was
                                                                        busy with the dispersal wouldn't you have expected there to
                                                                     9
9
    talking only about don't use rubber. Clearly if he's
                                                                        have been a warning beforehand? You see the evidence is
10
    saying no need for lethal firearms now unless engaged, that
                                                                    10
                                                                        that - I know this relates to the 1:30 meeting but I would
    would discredit, in our view, that submission but it is for
                                                                    11
11
                                                                         suspect it's a matter of police routine in this kind of
                                                                    12
12
    argument -
                                                                         operation - the evidence was, what was supposed to happen,
                                                                    13
13
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  That's a matter for
                                                                        what Brigadier Calitz was going to do apparently was he was
14
    argument. I have indicated to you that I have some sales
                                                                        going to, at the appropriate time, give the strikers a
    resistance to that argument but I may be wrong and you may
                                                                        warning, look, we want you to disperse and it was going to
15
                                                                        be done in the vernacular and then a few minutes later he
16
    be able to persuade me later.
17
                                                                    17
                                                                        was going to repeat it and only after that were they going
           MS LE ROUX:
                                Right, but Lieutenant-
18
    Colonel Vermaak, in light of the radio communication that
                                                                    18
                                                                        to proceed with the dispersal operation. Is that -
    you had heard up to that point, did you have the impression
                                                                    19
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
19
                                                                                                           That's an ideal
20
    that live ammunition had been used at scene 1?
                                                                    20
                                                                        situation, Chair.
21
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                    21
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      Sorry, that's a?
                                       Chairperson, no. I
22
    didn't thought of live ammunition. Still in my mind was
                                                                    22
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                           Ideal situation.
23
    the dispersion actions as the planning was in the morning
                                                                    23
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      Ideal situation, yes. Now
24
                                                                    24
    and we only concentrated on the people moving on the
                                                                        you weren't aware, as I understand you, of any warning
25
    ground, reported to the operational commander and to the
                                                                        being given to these people beforehand. I know you were,
                                                       Page 25965
    JOC, so I didn't even think about the possibility of live
                                                                        to some extent, at a disadvantage because they hadn't taken
    ammunition.
2
3
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  I must say I 14:25/19-
                                                                     3
4
    11(indistinct) that answer. Perhaps I should put it to you
                                                                     4
5
    now while it's clear in my mind. I've got two problems.
    The first is if the strikers were moving to attack the TRT,
                                                                     6
6
7
                                                                     7
    we debated that last week the TRT people didn't have rubber
                                                                        and so on?
                                                                    8
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
8
    bullets. If you attack a TRT man he can only defend
9
    himself with live ammunition, isn't that so? Only with an
```

the trouble to tell you what the plan was but there wasn't any suggestion surely that they'd already reached the stage of dispersion without any warning - in fact there should have been two warnings - isn't that what you expected would have happened, two warnings, an interval between the two In normal situations. Chair, there would have been warnings but after that we 10 saw, if I can call the clash on the first scene, and the line-up of the Nyalas and the follow-up of the other people 11 12 on the ground, according to me they were, it was an ongoing 13 operation now with the dispersion. That is why I said I 14 didn't predict that at the third koppie that they were 15 using live ammunition. For me it was a dispersion action, 16 dispersion action normally is with the POP members with the 17 necessary teargas and pyrotechnical equipment. 18 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, if I could just follow up on the Chair's response to that where you didn't think it was live ammunition but I'm not 21 sure how to square that with your earlier evidence that you 22 saw blood on the ground, people lying on top of each other 23 and otherwise in unnatural positions where they appeared 24 injured rather than awaiting arrest. Did that not make you

with an R5 there's a fair probability that there'll be a

dead body or a seriously injured body on the ground after

That's correct.

And if he defends himself

That's correct.

think that live ammunition had been used?

Page 25968 Page 25970 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** No. I explained there 1 that correction but you gave evidence that "Okay live fire, 2 2 was actually two scenes, the one where we saw that that live" was Brigadier Calitz, correct? 3 happened there and then the follow-up with the Nyalas who 3 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. 4 was in line like a dispersion action and we also see people 4 MS LE ROUX: And then if we move on to 5 5 the entry at 16:27:40 coming from the barbed wire side on the ground. That made 6 CHAIRPERSON: It should be on the next me believe that there's a continuing of a dispersion action 6 7 7 and we saw the people running away, we give feedback to the page, is it? 8 MS LE ROUX: Yes. Chair, I think we're 8 JOC and to Brigadier Calitz, so it didn't cross my mind just facilitating the access of the revised version. 9 that there would have been live ammunition being used when 9 10 there's already been members put on ground. According to 10 CHAIRPERSON: I see Mr Fischer has gone the original plan those members should have swept koppie 1 11 11 to assist. 47? 12 and 2 after dispersion action. 12 MS LE ROUX: 16:27:40. 13 MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel, I just 13 CHAIRPERSON: 16:27:40, that's the one at 14 want to make sure we're not missing each other in my 14 the foot of the page. 15 question. I understand you're speaking about different 15 MS LE ROUX: Yes, SAPS member stages in the operation but at the very beginning, the 16 16 CHAIRPERSON: "Let them go through, the scene 1 shooting that you observed the aftermath, did you 17 17 area is secure." 18 think that scene that you observed there was the result of 18 MS LE ROUX: "Let them go through, the 19 live ammunition or could be produced by rubber? 19 area is secure" and we submit that that is Brigadier 20 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** After I saw the bodies 20 Calitz's voice. He couldn't confirm one way or the other lying on the ground I realised it was not only teargas and 21 21 but he claims not to have had a radio at the time of the 22 stun grenades being used, for the way the bodies was lying 22 transmission and you testified that this was Brigadier 23 23 on the ground. So that was a different situation from the Calitz. Given his evidence that he didn't have a radio at 24 24 second one where the people were running into the veld. the time, how confident are you that in your recollection, 25 They're not at that stage on the one side a group anymore, 25 that this was Brigadier Calitz? Page 25969 Page 25971 We can listen to the although at the back of koppie 2 there were a few hundred 1 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** 1 2 2 that was gathering there again and we gave that sitrep to transcript again -3 Brigadier Calitz and the JOC and we saw the Nyalas is lined 3 CHAIRPERSON: Let's listen to it again. 4 up so it is clear to me that a follow-up operation is 4 MS LE ROUX: It's at 30:10 on the Protea 5 continuing of the dispersion and encirclement. Coin chopper video CC22 30:10. Again we're listening for MS LE ROUX: 6 "Let them go through, this area is secure." 6 Right, but keeping you 7 7 [VIDEO IS SHOWN] focused on the bodies that you saw at the kraal, at scene 8 8 1, did you think that live ammunition must have been used **COLONEL VERMAAK:** Can you play it again 9 9 to produce what you observed just at that part of the for me, please? 10 operation? MS LE ROUX: If we could play it again? 10 11 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** 11 [VIDEO IS SHOWN] I believe and I 12 considered the fact when you saw the blood there, lying 12 MS LE ROUX: Thank you. Lieutenantthere, that live ammunition must have been used. 13 13 Colonel, are you confident that's Brigadier Calitz? 14 MS LE ROUX: 14 COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I'm positive of Lieutenant-Colonel, if we 15 then move on in the Brigadier Calitz chronology to the 15 that. entry at 16:12:12 where we note there, "SAPS member says 16 16 MS LE ROUX: Okay. Can you help us 17 over the radio, 'okay live fire, live'." Yes, Chair, this 17 understand the context then of what he's saying? When he 18 obviously - sorry, this was the subject of revision, you says "Let them go through, the area is secure," we 19 will recall. It seems that our colleague in the corner interpret that to mean a reference to the medics being doesn't have the up-to-date version because the entry then 20 allowed to come through because they'd indicated a concern 21 added, remember, was that, "SAPS member says over the 21 about security. Is that the context? 22 radio, 'okay live fire, live'." The Human Rights 22 COLONEL VERMAAK: Well, I cannot say Commission says this is the voice of Brigadier Calitz, 23 precisely where he was at that stage. To speculate for me 24 Brigadier Calitz does not recall saying those words and 24 now what did he mean with that instruction, I don't think 25 cannot confirm that this is his voice. We'll just make it is fair.

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 25972 Page 25974 MS LE ROUX: heard somehow about what had happened at Marikana and they 1 Then if we can move on to proceeded there as quickly as they could, but I don't think 2 the entry at 16:32, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak says on the that General Mawela has made a statement, has he? I 3 radio, "Okay, I saw the 105 with the generals also arrive, 4 they are turning above us." Now you've confirmed this was haven't seen one. Anyway, even if he has, I take it he 5 you and that General Mawela and an SANDF General were hasn't dealt with this point so - but I'm sure the SAPS 6 legal team can get that information for us. Is that so, Mr inside the chopper. Did you expect the generals to come to 6 7 7 Marikana that afternoon? Semenya? 8 COLONEL VERMAAK: 8 MR SEMENYA SC: Correct, Chair. We received a message 9 9 through the aviation radio from the pilot of the chopper of CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux, I'm reminded where the general in, was. He informed us that the Divcom it's nearly 3 o'clock so when we reach a suitable stage - I 10 arrived there with him, that they're flying over the area 11 don't want to suggest you stop now but, for tea, but when 11 12 you reach a suitable stage in your cross-examination would 12 and then they're going to land. That is that stage where 13 13 we also sent a Squirrel to the LZ where they pick up you let me know? 14 MS LE ROUX: 14 General Mawela, the Provincial Commissioner and the General Certainly, Chair. I'm just 15 of the SANDF. If he was in that chopper of General Mawela 15 moving to different points where Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak I cannot say for sure, but I know they were picked up at can assist us in identifying speakers. I can keep doing a 16 the LZ and taken over the area again and they land at 17 few of those or if you'd like to break now? 17 18 koppie 3. We also land with the R44 that evening. 18 CHAIRPERSON: Let's do a couple more, 19 MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel 19 seeing as you're doing so well, and then we'll take the tea 20 Vermaak, just so I'm clear, the first time you hear that 20 adjournment. 21 any generals are coming is when you're in the air and their 21 MS LE ROUX: Okay. Lieutenant-Colonel sort of air traffic controller is getting hold of you and 22 Vermaak, on the Protea Coin video at 14:42, this is the 22 23 saying there's a chopper coming. You didn't expect them to 23 entry at 16:12:12 in the Calitz chronology -24 24 come that afternoon. CHAIRPERSON: Haven't we had this one, Ms 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: Le Roux? I think we had this one. I think we'd better No, we didn't expect Page 25973 Page 25975 take the tea adjournment. Ms Le Roux, I think we've had 1 the people, the divisional commissioner, at that stage. 2 MS LE ROUX: 2 this one. So as far as you're aware 3 the generals came in response to what happened at Marikana? 3 MS LE ROUX: Yes 4 They weren't pre-planned to come in any event. 4 CHAIRPERSON: I think we'd better take 5 COLONEL VERMAAK: That will be difficult 5 the tea adjournment. 6 MS LE ROUX: Sure. Thank you, Chair. to answer 6 7 MS LE ROUX: 7 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES1 But as the air wing commander you weren't informed that there would be generals The Commission resumes. 8 [15:24] CHAIRPERSON: 9 arriving in the afternoon until you got that communication. Luitenant-kolonel, u is nog gebonde deur u plegtige 10 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** 10 bevestiging. Ms Le Roux, have you got all your ducks in a No, not prior to their 11 arrival. 11 row now? Would you like to carry on?

12 MS LE ROUX: Would you normally have been 13 made aware if there was a flight expected like that? 14 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** Normal circumstances, Captain - ag, Colonel Douw du Plessis who is co-ordinating 15 this flight, he would have sent an SMS to me that we can 16 17 expect a chopper from National more or less at what time 18 and that day we did not receive such an SMS. 19 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Le Roux, do we have to speculate on this? I'm sure if we ask Mr Semenya nicely 21 he'll find out from Lieutenant-General Mawela what he was 22 doing and how it was that he came there because it does

sound from what the witness tell us, it wasn't a

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

24 prearranged visit. So the probability is he was somewhere

25 around in the helicopter with a defence force general, they

12 MS LE ROUX: I have indeed, Chair, thank 13 you. Chair, I also thought the easiest way for us to do 14 this would be to mark another exhibit, which is a set of interrogatories that we provided to Lieutenant-Colonel 16 Vermaak. I mentioned this to the commissioners in chambers 17 that we've asked him to identify certain speakers in radio 18 communication and he's been able, I think, to do that. So 19 we should be able to now move through those. The easiest way to do that is probably by going to those 21 interrogatories. 22 CHAIRPERSON: Well, wouldn't it be easier 23 if you just read them out into the record because otherwise, you know, when we read the record later we'll 24 then have to look for the exhibits where you set out the

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

	Page 25074		Daga 25070
1	Page 25976 interrogatories. So if you read them out they'll all be	1	Page 25978 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that's negative. I
2	here, won't they and –	2	haven't got an idea.
3	MS LE ROUX: That's fine, Chair, I can do	3	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
4	it, I can –	4	if we then move to Ryland 25 in exhibit I1, there at 40
5	CHAIRPERSON: If you want to put them in	5	seconds into that recording we hear a male voice say,
6	as exhibits so we can follow as you put them to the	6	"Water cannon, stop spraying, stop spraying, stop
7	witness, is that how you want to do it? Okay.	7	spraying." Then we hear Brigadier Calitz say, "Okay water
8	MS LE ROUX: I think it would assist.	8	cannon, stop spraying, members will be engaged on foot" and
9	CHAIRPERSON: You're in charge of your	9	we've asked you to identify the first speaker, "Water
10	cross-examination.	10	cannon, stop spraying, stop spraying." So it's Ryland 25,
11	MS LE ROUX: I think it would assist.	11	exhibit I1 and it's at 40 to 46 seconds in that recording.
12	CHAIRPERSON: You do it the way you want	12	Again Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, we'd like your help
13	to.	13	identifying the first speaker, "Water cannon, stop
14	MS LE ROUX: So Chair, I believe that	14	spraying, stop spraying, stop spraying."
15	would be OOO22, interrogatories for Lieutenant-Colonel	15	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]
16	Vermaak from the SAHRC.	16	COLONEL VERMAAK: Just play again for me,
17	SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: (bevestig	17	please?
18	verder)	18	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS LE ROUX (CONTD.):	19	COLONEL VERMAAK: Phew, I'm not for sure.
20	Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, am I correct that you've had an	20	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
21	opportunity to listen to the relevant bits of the Protea	21	I understand you may not be certain, do you have anyone in
22	Coin chopper and Captain Ryland's videos that we identified	22	mind that it might be? I understand you're not certain.
23	for you in this?	23	MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair, that can't be
24	COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	24	a question.
25	MS LE ROUX: So if we start with the	25	CHAIRPERSON: That kind of speculative
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 25977		Page 25979
1	Page 25977 first –	1	Page 25979 answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection.
1 2		1 2	<u> </u>
	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us?		answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection.
2	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the	2	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can
2	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us?	2	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the
2 3 4	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're –	2 3 4	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection.
2 3 4 5	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us	2 3 4 5	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
2 3 4 5 6	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on.	2 3 4 5 6	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo?
2 3 4 5 6 7	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out	2 3 4 5 6 7	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to exhibit CC22 and it's at 13:20, we hear a male voice say "Shoot again target." [VIDEO IS SHOWN]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice. MS LE ROUX: Then in the same video if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to exhibit CC22 and it's at 13:20, we hear a male voice say "Shoot again target." [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice. MS LE ROUX: Then in the same video if we go to two minutes and two — CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you move on, it sounded to me like the voice of an African gentleman. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to exhibit CC22 and it's at 13:20, we hear a male voice say "Shoot again target." [VIDEO IS SHOWN]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice. MS LE ROUX: Then in the same video if we go to two minutes and two — CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you move on, it sounded to me like the voice of an African gentleman. I know it's too — you know, from the accent. I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're — CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to exhibit CC22 and it's at 13:20, we hear a male voice say "Shoot again target." [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you identify the speaker? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice. MS LE ROUX: Then in the same video if we go to two minutes and two — CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you move on, it sounded to me like the voice of an African gentleman. I know it's too — you know, from the accent. I was wondering, if that's correct obviously it must have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're — CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to exhibit CC22 and it's at 13:20, we hear a male voice say "Shoot again target." [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you identify the speaker? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, it's Brigadier Calitz's voice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice. MS LE ROUX: Then in the same video if we go to two minutes and two — CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you move on, it sounded to me like the voice of an African gentleman. I know it's too — you know, from the accent. I was wondering, if that's correct obviously it must have been someone in a position of some sort of commander position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to exhibit CC22 and it's at 13:20, we hear a male voice say "Shoot again target." [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you identify the speaker? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, it's Brigadier Calitz's voice. MS LE ROUX: Do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice. MS LE ROUX: Then in the same video if we go to two minutes and two – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you move on, it sounded to me like the voice of an African gentleman. I know it's too – you know, from the accent. I was wondering, if that's correct obviously it must have been someone in a position of some sort of commander position. Do you know who, if there was an African senior officer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to exhibit CC22 and it's at 13:20, we hear a male voice say "Shoot again target." [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you identify the speaker? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, it's Brigadier Calitz's voice. MS LE ROUX: Do you have any understanding of the context of Brigadier Calitz saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice. MS LE ROUX: Then in the same video if we go to two minutes and two – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you move on, it sounded to me like the voice of an African gentleman. I know it's too – you know, from the accent. I was wondering, if that's correct obviously it must have been someone in a position of some sort of commander position. Do you know who, if there was an African senior officer present on that scene who would have given that command? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	first – CHAIRPERSON: Have you got copies of the exhibit for us? MS LE ROUX: Chair, we're – CHAIRPERSON: Or give them to us tomorrow, carry on. MS LE ROUX: We'll print those out overnight, apologies, Chair. The first of these where we're trying to identify the voices that are not either Brigadier Calitz or Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, the first is at 13:20 on the Protea Coin chopper video, exhibit CC22. We hear a male voice say, "Shoot again target." Could you identify who that was, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak? COLONEL VERMAAK: If you can play it? MS LE ROUX: If we could go then to exhibit CC22 and it's at 13:20, we hear a male voice say "Shoot again target." [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you identify the speaker? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, it's Brigadier Calitz's voice. MS LE ROUX: Do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	answer won't help us, so I uphold Mr Semenya's objection. Sorry, I didn't give you a chance to reply but you can reply and I'll still disallow, I'll still uphold the objection. MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that General Naidoo? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot confirm. MS LE ROUX: If we could then in the same video go to one minute 15, we hear a male voice say, "Stop shooting, cease fire," if you could help us identify that speaker at one minute 15. [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: If we could pause? COLONEL VERMAAK: I cannot identify that voice. MS LE ROUX: Then in the same video if we go to two minutes and two – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you move on, it sounded to me like the voice of an African gentleman. I know it's too – you know, from the accent. I was wondering, if that's correct obviously it must have been someone in a position of some sort of commander position. Do you know who, if there was an African senior officer

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

	Daga 25000		Paga 25002		
1	Page 25980 speculation, but I've tried to make it more focused than Ms	1	Page 25982 trying to leave now." Then somebody else says, "Pappa1		
2	Le Roux's one. If you can't help us, you can't help us.	2	this is Whisky1." If you could help us identify both		
3	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, no Chairperson.	3	speakers, the person saying "People were shot over,		
4	MS LE ROUX: In the same video if we can	4	Brigadier Calitz, is the area secure" and the person		
5	now go to the 02:02 mark, two minutes and two seconds and	5	identifying themselves as Whisky1. And Lieutenant-Colone		
6	if we could play this for a little while. We hear the	6	you'll hear yourself referring, that you're going to fly in		
7	speaker that we'd like your help identifying saying, "They	7	the direction of the koppie in the middle of that, of the		
8	are coming, they want to surrender but they never throw	8	two unknown speakers that we're trying to identify.		
9	their pangas and spears, they want to come out, they want	9	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]		
10	to come out," that's the person we want your help	10	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,		
11	identifying and then shortly after this, you say	11	can you identify either of the two speakers on either side		
12	"Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what all	12	of your communication?		
		13	•		
13	these guys do." So I think we play from two minutes		•		
14	because there's a context follow-up question as well, so if	14	was me and the other one was Lieutenant-Colonel Stapelberg		
15	we could just play through two minutes two seconds.	15	of the mounted unit.		
16	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]	16	CHAIRPERSON: Which was which? Who was –		
17	MS LE ROUX: If we can just keep playing?	17	COLONEL VERMAAK: The first one was –		
18	[VIDEO IS SHOWN]	18	CHAIRPERSON: What did you say and what		
19	MS LE ROUX: Stop there. So the first	19	did Lieutenant-Colonel Stapelberg say?		
20	question, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, can you identify the	20	COLONEL VERMAAK: The first one was		
21	speaker saying "They are coming, they want to surrender,	21	Stapelberg and the next one was me.		
22	they never throw their pangas and spears, they want to come	22	CHAIRPERSON: Ty.		
23	out," can you identify that speaker?	23	MS LE ROUX: And then who is Whisky1?		
24	COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot.	24	COLONEL VERMAAK: Stapelberg.		
	MS LE ROUX: And then it's your voice	25	MS LE ROUX: Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,		
25					
25	Dags 25001		Page 25002		
25	Page 25981 saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know	1	Page 25983 am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational		
	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know	1 2	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational		
1	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing		am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to		
1 2 3	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz?	2	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because		
1 2 3 4	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive.	2 3 4	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only		
1 2 3 4 5	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters	2 3 4 5	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can		
1 2 3 4 5 6	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the	2 3 4 5 6	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct?		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place	2 3 4 5 6 7	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe.		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded?		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender? You weren't referencing that at all?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can we listen to that		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender? You weren't referencing that at all? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can we listen to that part a moment?		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender? You weren't referencing that at all? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at that conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can we listen to that part a moment? MS LE ROUX: If we could play the clip		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender? You weren't referencing that at all? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at that conversation. MS LE ROUX: Then in, if we can go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can we listen to that part a moment? MS LE ROUX: If we could play the clip again?		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender? You weren't referencing that at all? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at that conversation. MS LE ROUX: Then in, if we can go to exhibit 13 which is Ryland 27? Now this is a very short	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can we listen to that part a moment? MS LE ROUX: If we could play the clip again? [VIDEO IS SHOWN]		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender? You weren't referencing that at all? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at that conversation. MS LE ROUX: Then in, if we can go to exhibit 13 which is Ryland 27? Now this is a very short clip. It commences at 16:35:38. Lieutenant-Colonel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can we listen to that part a moment? MS LE ROUX: If we could play the clip again? [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: You can pause.		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender? You weren't referencing that at all? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at that conversation. MS LE ROUX: Then in, if we can go to exhibit 13 which is Ryland 27? Now this is a very short clip. It commences at 16:35:38. Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, we asked you in your interrogatories that we hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can we listen to that part a moment? MS LE ROUX: If we could play the clip again? [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: You can pause. COLONEL VERMAAK: That is definitely		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	saying, "Brigadier, it seems to me that person don't know what these, all these guys do." Are you addressing Brigadier Calitz? COLONEL VERMAAK: Ja, that's positive. At that stage they have asked us to bring in the Canters and I was really struggling to get the attention of the drivers of the Canters and direct them to the correct place where the people were arrested in the middle of koppie 3. MS LE ROUX: So when you're saying, "It seems to me the person don't know what these, all these guys do," are you referring to the Canter drivers? COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, to be absolutely clear, you weren't responding in any way or commenting on the communication of the unknown speaker saying the people want to come out and surrender? You weren't referencing that at all? COLONEL VERMAAK: No, not at that conversation. MS LE ROUX: Then in, if we can go to exhibit 13 which is Ryland 27? Now this is a very short clip. It commences at 16:35:38. Lieutenant-Colonel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	am I correct that Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander is the member on the ground with the authority to say yes, the area is secure, you can move in or not because the enquiry seems to be directed at him. He's the only person who could say yes, the area is secure, medics can come in or people can leave now, is that correct? COLONEL VERMAAK: It all depends on which side of the scene he was. I believe he'll have to trust his commanders at the other side as well to give him feedback regarding the safeness on their side but yes, at the end of the day he is the final person who will give that command that the area is safe. MS LE ROUX: And Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, on this recording we hear Brigadier Calitz being asked, "Is that area secure?" Do you recall if he responded? COLONEL VERMAAK: Can we listen to that part a moment? MS LE ROUX: If we could play the clip again? [VIDEO IS SHOWN] MS LE ROUX: You can pause.		

```
Page 25984
                                                                                                                          Page 25986
     close circle just to make sure what we can see from the
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                           That was General
                                                                    1
     air, what we can assist them with on the ground.
                                                                    2
                                                                        Naidoo.
 2
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
 3
            MS LE ROUX:
                                And again do you recall
                                                                    3
                                                                                                    And then when Lieutenant-
 4
     Brigadier Calitz responding to Lieutenant-Colonel
                                                                    4
                                                                        Colonel Stapelberg says "Where the first shooting took
 5
     Stapelberg's enquiry, "Is that area secure?"
                                                                        place," was that the first time that the first shooting was
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                        mentioned on the radio as far as you can recall, or had it
 6
                                        No, I cannot say for
                                                                    6
 7
                                                                    7
     sure.
                                                                        been discussed before that?
 8
            MS LE ROUX:
                                                                    8
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                Then lastly, if we go to
                                                                                                           No, that is the first
                                                                    9
 9
     exhibit 14 which is Ryland 28, this is a clip that
                                                                        time, except15:24/18-23 for me that was reporting it.
     commences at 16:37:14. This is also, just for cross-
                                                                   10
                                                                                                      Sorry, when they talked
10
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
     referencing purposes, the final entry in KKK41, the
                                                                   11
                                                                        about the first shooting, were they talking about scene 1
11
12
     Brigadier Calitz chronology. It's radio communication
                                                                   12
                                                                        or the first shooting at scene 2?
13
     between yourself and Lieutenant-Colonel Stapelberg where
                                                                   13
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                           No, it is scene 1,
14
     Lieutenant-Colonel Stapelberg says chopper 2, you then say
                                                                   14
                                                                        Chairperson.
     "Okay, now a little bit more quiet, tell me where do you
                                                                   15
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    Then Lieutenant-Colonel
15
     want to go, General Naidoo" and then there's a response,
16
                                                                        Vermaak, in your interrogatories we then asked you to look
17
     Lieutenant-Colonel Stapelberg "Ja, that's positive, where
                                                                   17
                                                                        at the Jo'burg water cannon video exhibit CC21 and see if
18
     the first shooting took place." And then you responding,
                                                                   18
                                                                        you could identify two older white men that we see walk off
                                                                        in the direction of body C. Have you had an opportunity to
19
     "Okay, this is where we are circling now, where we are
                                                                   19
20
     circling now, that is where they are. Are you with the
                                                                   20
                                                                        look at that clip?
21
                                                                   21
     horses? Okay, I've got your visual, go straight, go just
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                           No.
                                                                   22
22
     straight with the horses." Can you just confirm that
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    Okay, if we could then pull
23
                                                                   23
     that's only you and Lieutenant-Colonel Stapelberg if we
                                                                        that up first. CC21, the Jo'burg water cannon video, in
24
     just listen to the clip?
                                                                   24
                                                                        the video time code - if we can start at 25:51. The time
25
            [VIDEO IS SHOWN]
                                                                   25
                                                                        reflected on the screen is 15:56:26, that's eTV time
                                                       Page 25985
                                                                                                                          Page 25987
            MS LE ROUX:
                                                                        16:26:03. Again Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, I'm hoping you
 1
                               And if we cannot have the
    image on the screen anymore, just some follow-up questions
 2
                                                                        can identify the two older white men that we see walk from
 3
     on that recording, Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, is that only
                                                                        right to left of the screen, if you could identify those
 4
     you and Lieutenant-Colonel Stapelberg?
                                                                        two - so again in the video time code from 25:50 until 26.
 5
                                                                    5
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       That is correct, Chair.
                                                                        [15:43] MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                     We need to play it from
            MS LE ROUX:
                               And then if I could just
                                                                        25:50 to 26. CC21. Chair, we seem to be having some
 6
                                                                    6
 7
     ask, you say "Now a little bit more quiet," what did you
                                                                    7
                                                                        technical difficulties activating the relevant file. We
                                                                    8
                                                                        can pick this up on Thursday, we can come back to it if
8
     mean by that?
 9
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                    9
                                                                        we're having some trouble getting the file to play. So
                                       At one stage the radio
    was very busy and it is a problem to direct a person if you
                                                                   10
                                                                        Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, returning then to the theme of
10
     only want to go to a specific place while the radio is so
                                                                   11
                                                                        radio communications, we understand the evidence of other
11
12
     busy. So after everything was quieter it was possible for
                                                                   12
                                                                        members that there were difficulties communicating on the
13
     me to talk to him and direct him to a person he was looking
                                                                   13
                                                                        single radio channel during the operation and on the two
14
    for.
                                                                   14
                                                                        video sources that we have, the Protea Coin chopper and the
15
                                                                   15
                                                                        Ryland series, we've been able to identify about six other
            MS LE ROUX:
                               And you say to Lieutenant-
    Colonel Stapelberg, "Where do you want to go to, General
                                                                   16
                                                                        voices other than yourself and Brigadier Calitz, in the
17
     Naidoo." Had he, had Lieutenant-Colonel Stapelberg
                                                                   17
                                                                        period from 4 o'clock until 20 past 4 eTV time. And have
     already said he wanted to General Naidoo? Why was General
18
                                                                   18
                                                                        you been able to listen to all of those clips, the full
19
     Naidoo mentioned as a destination?
                                                                   19
                                                                        Protea Coin chopper -
20
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       At one stage we heard
                                                                   20
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                          Yes, I went through the
21
     that General Naidoo was on the ground and he was at the
                                                                   21
                                                                        whole -
```

23

24

through it.

22 same side as where Colonel Stapelberg was, so I take it

24 there.

MS LE ROUX:

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

that he wanted to go to the senior member on the scene

And that was General Naidoo?

Okay, and the Ryland clips

Yes, yes, I did go

MS LE ROUX:

as well? The Captain Ryland clips -

COLONEL VERMAAK:

```
Page 25988
                                                                                                                         Page 25990
           MS LE ROUX:
                               - as well. And as I've
                                                                       conversations.
 1
    said, we've been able to identify six voices other than
                                                                    2
                                                                              MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                  And do you know whether that
 2
 3
     yourself and Brigadier Calitz. Can you, have you been able
                                                                        channel was recorded at all on the day?
 4
     to identify more than that or is that -
                                                                              COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                         So far I didn't know.
                                                                    5
 5
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                              MS LE ROUX:
                                      No, that's only - the
                                                                                                  And other than the Jo'burg
    main voices is myself, Brigadier Calitz and Colonel
                                                                    6
                                                                       channel, do you know of any other channels used on the day?
 6
 7
     Stapelberg.
                                                                    7
                                                                              COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                         No, so far as my
8
           MS LE ROUX:
                              Now, given how your
                                                                    8
                                                                       knowledge, only that one operational channel that we was
 9
                                                                    9
    interaction on the radio and we've been able to identify
                                                                       working on.
10
     six other people, in your view would it have been
                                                                   10
                                                                              MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                  Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak,
     impossible for the JOC or for Major-General Mpembe to also
11
                                                                   11
                                                                       if I can now move to my next issue in cross-examination
     get on the radio and make a transmission?
12
                                                                   12
                                                                       which relates to the statement of Gary White and some of
13
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                   13
                                      No, definitely not.
                                                                       the conclusions he draws. You've testified already that
                              And do you have any
14
           MS LE ROUX:
                                                                   14
                                                                       you've had an opportunity to read through that statement
15
     explanation for why the JOC or Major-General Mpembe aren't
                                                                        and its annexures and - you've been able to do that, right?
     accessing the radio?
                                                                        You've read the entire statement as well as its annexures?
16
17
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                      No, I haven't got an
                                                                   17
                                                                              COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                         That's correct.
18
    answer for you on that.
                                                                   18
                                                                              MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                  Could I then ask you just to
19
           MS LE ROUX:
                               Did you ever ask any of the
                                                                   19
                                                                       turn to the conclusion section which is at page 125 of that
20
    members of the JOC or Major-General Mpembe specifically why
                                                                   20
                                                                        document, this is JJJ178? Do you have that, Lieutenant-
21
     they weren't using the radio?
                                                                   21
                                                                        Colonel?
22
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                      No, I didn't.
                                                                   22
                                                                              COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                         I have it.
23
           MS LE ROUX:
                              Then we've also had a number
                                                                   23
                                                                              MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                  And this is paragraph 10.1.1
24
    of SAPS witnesses testifying that the radio was silent for
                                                                   24
                                                                       and I'm just going to give you an opportunity with respect
25
                                                                       to certain of the conclusions that are set out in this
    a period of about four minutes and we've listened to all
                                                       Page 25989
                                                                                                                         Page 25991
                                                                        paragraph, to ask you whether you agree or disagree with
     the audio that we have available to us and we can't find a
                                                                    1
 1
     four minute period of silence because even in the inaudible
                                                                    2
 2
                                                                        the criticism and if you disagree, why you disagree. If we
 3
     sections you can hear the radio bleeping and obviously
                                                                    3
                                                                        could start with sub-paragraph (a) which states, "The
 4
     being used. You can't discern the voices, what's being
                                                                    4
                                                                        policy framework for public order policing in South Africa
 5
     said, but you can hear the radio is in use. Do you recall
                                                                    5
                                                                        is, on the whole, adequate and is unlikely to have
     a four minute period of radio silence?
                                                                    6
                                                                        contributed to the failure of the operation at Marikana.
 6
 7
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                    7
                                       No, not at all.
                                                                        Instead it was the implementation of or failure to
                                                                    8
 8
           MS LE ROUX:
                                And then when Colonel Scott
                                                                        implement that policy which can be blamed for the large
 9
                                                                    9
     gave his evidence it became clear that the STF had their
                                                                        number of deaths caused by the police." Do you agree or
10
     own radio system on the 16th of August and the STF
                                                                   10
                                                                        disagree with that criticism?
11
     statements that we put to Colonel Scott seem to confirm
                                                                   11
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                            I do agree that the
12
     that. Could you hear the STF's radio system?
                                                                   12
                                                                        policy framework for the public order policing in South
13
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       Chairperson, I wasn't
                                                                   13
                                                                        Africa is adequate.
     aware at any stage during the operations that the STF was
                                                                   14
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    And do you also agree with
14
15
     working on their own channel.
                                                                   15
                                                                        the conclusion in the second sentence that it was the
16
           MS LE ROUX:
                                And sitting here today, do
                                                                   16
                                                                        implementation or the failure to implement the policy at
17
     you know if anyone other than the STF was able to hear that
                                                                   17
                                                                        Marikana that led to the large number of deaths?
18
     channel?
                                                                   18
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                            I will not say it's to
19
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       No, negative.
                                                                   19
                                                                        the failure specifically. At some stages public order
           MS LE ROUX:
20
                               Do you know whether any of
                                                                        police policies were adhered to but on later stages it was
                                                                   20
     the other units had their own radio system?
21
                                                                   21
                                                                        not adhered to.
22
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       Afterwards we heard
                                                                   22
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                    If I could ask you to then
                                                                        move on to the conclusion in paragraph B(1), namely that
    that some of Johannesburg do have their own hand radios
                                                                   23
24 there where they also communicate directly with each other
                                                                   24
                                                                        "In terms of planning the operation, the intelligence was
   but we in the North-West are not able to pick up that
                                                                        inadequate and occasionally inaccurate." Do you agree or
```

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

```
Page 25992
                                                                                                                          Page 25994
     disagree with that?
                                                                        briefing given to the members as stipulated in the standing
 1
2
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                    2
                                                                        orders.
                                        I do agree with that.
3
            MS LE ROUX:
                                With respect to B(4), "The
                                                                    3
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                   And with respect to your own
4
    officer chosen to write the plan was not a public order
                                                                    4
                                                                        experience, you weren't briefed at all on the final plan
5
    commander." Do you agree that that is a criticism?
                                                                    5
                                                                        that was implemented.
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                    6
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
6
                                        Yes.
                                                                                                          Just repeat your
7
                                                                    7
            MS LE ROUX:
                                And you agree with that
                                                                        question, please?
    criticism?
                                                                    8
8
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                   With respect to your own
9
                                                                    9
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                        I do agree with that.
                                                                        briefing, you got no briefing on the final plan.
10
            MS LE ROUX:
                                                                   10
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                          That's correct, only
                                The next one, sub-paragraph
                                                                        the briefing of the morning JOC. The one in the afternoon
    B(4), that "There was no comprehensive written operational
11
                                                                   11
     plan for stage 3 as required by standing order 262." Do
12
                                                                   12
                                                                        we didn't have any briefing on.
                                                                   13
13
    you accept that criticism?
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                   Now the criticism set out in
14
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                        I do accept that.
                                                                   14
                                                                        sub-paragraph (d) we'll come back to because some of these
15
            MS LE ROUX:
                                And the next one, paragraph
                                                                        relate to your role personally, so we'll come back to those
    B(6), "The dynamic plan for the stage 3 disperse, encircle
16
                                                                   16
                                                                        on Thursday. If I could then ask you to comment with
17
    and disarm operation as described to the JOCCOM by
                                                                   17
                                                                        respect to sub-paragraph (e), do you agree that overall the
18
    Lieutenant-Colonel Scott contained fundamental tactical
                                                                   18
                                                                        police service has not demonstrated a willingness to take
                                                                   19
19
    errors which significantly increased the risk of violent
                                                                        responsibility for the events at Marikana?
                                                                                                          I cannot totally agree
20
    confrontation and increased the likelihood of the use of
                                                                   20
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
21
                                                                   21
                                                                        with that. There were circumstances where some of the
    live ammunition." Do you agree with that?
22
                                                                   22
            COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                        officers, the seniors who were here did take some
                                        That is correct.
23
                                                                   23
                                                                        responsibility for specific things, so I cannot say they at
            MS LE ROUX:
                                Then the next conclusion
                                                                   24
                                                                        all don't want to take any responsibility.
24
    B(7), "There was no adequate challenge process for senior
                                                                   25
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
25
    officers to question and amend the plan or at least there
                                                                                                   And we can, we'll return to
                                                                                                                          Page 25995
                                                       Page 25993
    was no adequate challenge made to the plan." Do you agree
                                                                        that on Thursday as well. Breaking down the conclusions in
1
    with that?
                                                                        sub-paragraph (e), do you also agree with the criticism
2
3
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       I do agree.
                                                                    3
                                                                        that there was inadequate record-keeping?
4
           MS LE ROUX:
                                And if you could then turn
                                                                    4
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                          That is positive.
                                                                    5
5
    over to B(9), that there was no written contingency plan,
                                                                               MS LE ROUX:
                                                                                                  And then lastly, do you also
    do you agree with that criticism?
                                                                        agree that the police have not acted in a spirit of full
6
                                                                    6
7
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                    7
                                                                        and frank disclosure?
                                       I do agree with it.
                                                                    8
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
8
           MS LE ROUX:
                                And then lastly with respect
                                                                                                          No, I cannot agree on
                                                                    9
9
    to planning, the conclusion that "The plan to engage,
                                                                        that.
10
    disarm and arrest the crowd in circumstances where conflict
                                                                   10
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     Well, let's just take that
11
    was likely, was contrary to SAPS standing orders regarding
                                                                   11
                                                                        one up. You've told us that on the 13th you were in the
12
    avoiding the use of force at all costs."
                                                                   12
                                                                        helicopter above the field where the strikers were
                                                                   13
13
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                       If you look at it in
                                                                        proceeding in the direction of the koppie followed by a
14
    the light of the warnings that myself and Captain Isaacs,
                                                                   14
                                                                        number of members of the police service and there were also
15
    Colonel Isaacs give to them, I do agree with it.
                                                                   15
                                                                        Nyalas and so on, on the scene. And you've told us that
           MS LE ROUX:
16
                                Then the next conclusion set
                                                                   16
                                                                        you did not see anybody moving, deviating from the path and
                                                                        moving towards the informal settlement -
17
    to in sub-paragraph (b) stating that in terms of - sorry,
                                                                   17
                                                                   18
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
18
    (c) - "In terms of the briefing provided to individual
                                                                                                          That's correct, Chair.
19
    units, there is evidence of clearly inadequate briefing."
                                                                   19
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     You in fact said that you
    Now obviously you've read the entire statement where that
                                                                        couldn't understand why teargas and stun grenades were
21
    is developed, do you agree that there was inadequate
                                                                   21
                                                                        used. Do you remember that evidence?
                                                                   22
22
    briefina?
                                                                               COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                                                          That's correct, Chair.
                                     I cannot personally
           COLONEL VERMAAK:
                                                                   23
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
23
                                                                                                     Now, I take it you know
24 comment on the briefing that was given to the members
                                                                   24
                                                                        that the case that was presented by the SAPS, inter alia in
25 itself. My only concern is that there was no written
                                                                        exhibit L, is that the, some of the strikers moved in the
```

Page 25996 Page 25998 direction of the township, of the informal settlement and CHAIRPERSON: I see, thank you. It's 4 1 o'clock, Ms Le roux. Would it be convenient for you if we 2 that that was the reason why teargas was used. Now in your 3 statement you actually said that, you mentioned the teargas 3 take the adjournment now until Thursday morning 9 o'clock? 4 and you said that it was done to prevent people from going 4 MS LE ROUX: Yes, Chair. 5 to the township and that you said you were told later, that 5 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, we can't sit here wasn't your own knowledge, but the important point is you 6 tomorrow because the Council who very kindly made these 6 7 7 know, because that's what you told us, that there was no premises available to us, require the chamber for their own deviation towards the informal settlement. 8 purposes tomorrow. So Thursday morning 9 o'clock, 8 9 9 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** Commission adjourns. That is correct, Chair. 10 10 [COMMISSION ADJOURNED] CHAIRPERSON: So - but the case of the 11 11 police was that that was why the teargas was fired. So 12 would that be, that part of the police case, could that be 12 13 described as being characterised by full and frank 13 14 disclosure? 14 15 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** 15 Chair, according to me 16 what we saw from the air is that the people were walking in 16 17 a line, they were peacefully, from the air as we can 17 18 observe from the air. They were peacefully and the moment 18 19 that the teargas and the stun grenade went off you can 19 20 immediately saw the change of the mood of the people. So 20 21 they turn around and run into the policemen and that is 21 22 22 where the attacks occur. 23 MR SEMENYA SC: 23 Chair, for the record, we 24 24 would remember the statement of Mr X is that they did 25 deviate. The intention was to go and attack the men in the 25 Page 25997 1 2 CHAIRPERSON: The statement of whom? 3 MR SEMENYA SC: The statement of Mr X. 4 CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I know about the 5 statement of Mr X but I'm not interested at the moment in what Mr X said, I'm interested in what this witness says, 6 7 the witness's own observation and whether what he observed 8 himself is in accordance with the police, the police case. 9 Mr X will give his evidence and he will be cross-examined and a decision may have to be taken later in the light of 10 that but it's this witness's opinion that I'm interested 11 12 in. So whether, as far as his knowledge is concerned, that 13 part of the police case can be described as characterised 14 by a spirit of full and frank disclosure. What is your 15 answer? 16 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** That's correct, sir. 17 CHAIRPERSON: - what's correct? It is 18 characterised by full and frank disclosure or it isn't? 19 **COLONEL VERMAAK:** Yes, I agree. 20 CHAIRPERSON: You still haven't told me 21 what you agree with. Do you agree it's part of a full and frank disclosure or you agree it isn't? 22 COLONEL VERMAAK: 23 I agree it is -24 CHAIRPERSON: It is? COLONEL VERMAAK: - Chairperson.

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

A	ad 25873:5	25979:23	analysis 25882:1	25921:19 25922:19
aan 25934:8	add 25865:4 25880:22	afskuif 25929:20	25883:22 25891:4,5	25923:10,16
aangesê 25930:6	added 25969:21	aftermath 25968:17	25927:2,6	25925:14 25928:21
ability 25954:19	additional 25866:9,21	afternoon 25928:10,11	anders 25929:23	25937:3
able 25867:9 25871:6	25869:7,9 25870:11	25936:7 25972:7,24	Annandale 25951:16	applications 25864:4
25896:1 25902:19,24	25871:13,16 25872:1	25973:9 25994:11	annexures 25931:10	25864:12,16 25865:7
25903:2,3 25904:19	25872:7,13 25873:3	ag 25973:15	25990:15,16	25865:10
25923:16 25939:21	25873:13 25874:5	agency 25912:3	annotated 25956:15	applied 25896:2
25947:1 25950:5	25875:4,20 25876:8	ago 25979:25	answer 25876:21	applies 25895:21
25960:11 25964:16	25877:4 25880:19,22	agree 25941:19	25882:10 25892:9	25897:9
25975:18,19	25882:9 25914:17	25958:5 25960:6	25899:10 25900:1	appointed 25905:18
25987:15,18 25988:2	address 25865:2,3	25991:1,9,11,14,25	25914:6 25915:23	appreciated 25899:20
25988:3,9 25989:17	25870:24 25879:3	25992:2,5,7,9,21	25965:4 25973:6	appreciation 25913:17
25989:25 25990:15	25881:22 25893:19	25993:1,3,6,7,15,21	25979:1 25988:18	25918:5 25936:14
absence 25877:14	25894:3	25994:17,20 25995:2	25997:15	approach 25912:8
25883:18 25887:16	addressed 25881:22	25995:6,8 25997:19	answered 25917:1	25952:16
25887:20 25896:17	25916:25 25936:13	25997:21,21,22,23	answering 25902:10	approaching 25913:11
25915:12	addresses 25892:2	agreed 25864:15	25921:22	appropriate 25909:13
absent 25918:14	addressing 25880:19	25865:22 25889:5	answers 25920:19	25925:12 25952:10
absolutely 25875:2	25981:2	25924:1	antecedent 25912:11	25966:14
25876:15 25883:14	adequate 25991:5,13	agreement 25935:4	anybody 25918:4	appropriately
25883:17 25962:20	25992:24 25993:1	agtergrond 25934:10	25946:12 25951:7	25953:18
25981:14	adhered 25991:20,21	aid 25933:4	25956:4 25957:12	approximately 25932:
absurdity 25887:9	adjourn 25934:20	aids 25953:22	25959:23 25995:16	25958:13
abuse 25868:10	adjourned 25936:17	air 25932:6 25939:13	anymore 25968:25	approximates
25906:18	25998:10	25947:19 25954:14	25985:2	25890:24
accent 25979:20	adjournment 25923:17	25972:21,22 25973:7	anyway 25868:11	APRIL 25864:1
accept 25865:13	25923:20 25925:16	25984:2 25996:16,17	25896:4,7 25898:1	area 25933:2 25970:17
25900:12,13	25934:17 25935:4	25996:18	25935:25 25961:15	25970:19 25971:6,18
25920:15 25925:5	25936:9 25940:8,18	alia 25911:19 25995:24	25974:4	25972:11,17
25927:23 25934:4	25943:12 25974:20	align 25923:7	apart 25910:21	25981:25 25982:4
25935:24 25959:14	25975:1,5 25998:3	allegation 25873:2	25916:5	25983:3,5,12,15,24
25960:2 25992:13,14	adjourns 25899:18	25876:4	apologies 25945:18	25983:25 25984:5
accepted 25930:20	25925:18 25934:21	allegations 25868:15	25977:8	aren't 25935:22
access 25867:6	25940:20 25975:7	25872:18 25908:2,17	apologise 25962:15	25952:13 25988:15
25913:17 25932:7	25998:9	25911:6,9	apparently 25879:3	arguably 25944:8
25970:9	adjust 25904:19	allege 25871:5	25931:24 25966:13	argue 25895:17
accessing 25988:16	adopt 25897:3	alleged 25890:17	appear 25866:11	25916:5
accompanied 25936:18	Adv 25963:23	allegedly 25889:10	25895:14 25896:8	argued 25883:19
accompany 25934:18	advance 25905:11	alleviated 25888:15	25949:5	25895:1,2 25917:4
accomplice 25914:9	advanced 25897:4	25890:2	appearances 25889:7	arguing 25910:23
25920:22	advantage 25883:13,16	allow 25887:3	appeared 25926:24	argument 25865:1
accord 25961:24	adverse 25911:10	25909:15 25911:13	25929:8 25967:23	25869:13 25878:4
account 25930:15	adversely 25902:3,9	25924:20 25925:12	appearing 25928:16	25879:18 25881:11
accounts 25959:13	25922:14	allowed 25909:12	25946:8	25881:16,24
accurate 25868:24	af 25929:22	25971:20	appears 25873:15,21	25887:10 25891:17
accused 25873:9	affect 25902:3,9 25910:20 25922:14	allowing 25915:17	25929:6 25930:12 25931:14 25932:19	25894:14 25895:2,6
25885:9,9,11	affidavit 25864:24	Alright 25928:2 25929:1 25940:17	25933:10 25947:3	25895:19 25898:21 25899:23 25916:22
accuses 25913:23	25866:20,22,24	25965:22	applicable 25883:24	25917:11 25918:24
act 25871:22 25891:25	25870:10,17	AMCU 25866:12	applicant 25874:21	25917:11 23918:24
25892:17 25893:18	25870:10,17	25916:17 25923:20	application 25864:6,7	25923:1 25963:8
25894:1,17,17	25873:23 25874:8,18	25924:14	25864:11,19,21	25964:12,14,15
25897:2 25904:4	25874:24 25875:4,15	amend 25864:5	25867:1,3 25868:25	arguments 25866:24
25905:10 25912:20	25877:2 25878:12	25992:25	25883:25 25885:7,8	25897:4,18 25907:12
25916:2	25882:7 25915:4	amendment 25900:6	25887:9,15 25888:7	25923:9 25937:2
acted 25995:6	affidavits 25864:23	ammunition 25963:7	25889:2 25891:2,6	arises 25899:6
acting 25911:20	25866:9,21 25871:13	25963:14,16 25964:8	25892:11,15,18	25925:11
action 25953:2	25871:16 25872:1,7	25964:20,22 25965:2	25897:19 25900:11	arising 25924:24
25967:15,16 25968:4	25873:3,13 25880:23	25965:9 25967:15,20	25901:15 25903:7	armed 25912:8
25968:6,12 actions 25964:23		25967:25 25968:9,19	25905:14 25906:7,14	arrangements 25900:3
F 19-5 V / /1-76-1 II EL	afraid 25935:22	25969:8,13 25992:21	25906:23 25908:1,4,7	25936:3
activating 25987:7 active 25912:2	25940:22	amount 25883:12	25908:24 25909:8	arrest 25940:3
actual 25891:4	Africa 2 5991:4,13	25915:3	25911:3 25913:22	25944:19 25967:24
2589 6 :14	African 25925:2	amplifies 25920:23	25914:10 25916:15	25993:10
23030.14	25928:13 25979:19	amputated 25913:16	25919:8,10 25920:2,4	arrested 25866:12
ABCULVE ED	D INSTICE	l -	·	

25889:18

Page 2

25886:12 25916:16	attempt 25911:21
25923:21 25925:1	25912:2
25957:25 25981:8	attempted 25956:16
arrests 25958:1	attempts 25893:16
arrival 25973:11	attend 25933:4
arrive 25972:3	25940:22
arrived 25972:11	attendance 25915:20
arrives 25869:22	attention 25872:23
arriving 25948:10	25873:18 25916:9
25973:9	25946:18 25951:18
articulated 25891:2	25981:6
ascertain 25869:17,23	attorney 25864:11
asked 25889:17	audio 25874:21
25925:25 25930:1,2	25933:15 25941:10
25938:16 25959:19	25964:1 25989:1
25975:17 25978:9	auditorium 25913:24
25981:5,23 25983:15	August 25866:13,14
25986:16	25947:3 25989:10
asking 25869:5	authorities 25881:23
C	
25882:14 25892:21	authority 25983:2
25892:24 25928:20	availability 25905:1
25930:3 25938:15	available 25881:5
25942:16	25952:21 25989:1
asof 25929:19	25998:7
aspect 25881:25	averments 25870:14
25905:11 25916:3	aviation 25946:3
aspects 25908:20	25972:9
25909:15	avoiding 25993:12
assassinate 25869:19	await 25912:20
assassinated 25870:2	awaiting 25967:24
assegais 25911:25	aware 25910:25
assert 25876:25	25951:8 25958:15,16
assertions 25878:16	25959:3 25966:24
assess 25875:8	25973:2,13 25989:14
25883:16 25911:8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
assessing 25916:8	В
assist 25878:17	b 25900:7 25993:17
25970:11 25974:16	back 25881:22
25976:8,11 25984:2	25931:24 25932:13
assistance 25884:24	25940:4,5,23 25941:8
25895:16 25927:22	25945:3 25949:18
25932:4,23,25	25955:17,24 25956:3
25938:10,10,12	25957:24 25969:1
25941:25 25942:10	25987:8 25994:14,15
25942:23 25943:1	background 25934:14
25944:6,10 25959:13	25934:14
25959:19,21 25960:3	baie 25934:6
25960:14	bait 25880:17
assisting 25899:15	balance 25919:14
associated 25889:19,20	balancing 25919:13
25908:23	barbed 25912:13
association 25914:6	25968:5
assume 25882:21	Barnes 25865:4
25887:10 25890:15	25866:6,7,17
25944:19	25867:13,23 25868:1
	25868:14,23 25870:9
assumed 25939:15	25072.17 25076.2 15
assuming 25877:3	25873:17 25876:3,15
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4	25877:18 25878:2,5,8
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4 assures 25881:10	25877:18 25878:2,5,8 25878:19 25882:2,21
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4	25877:18 25878:2,5,8
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4 assures 25881:10	25877:18 25878:2,5,8 25878:19 25882:2,21 25897:21,23
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4 assures 25881:10 astronomical 25876:18 attach 25918:10	25877:18 25878:2,5,8 25878:19 25882:2,21 25897:21,23 25915:12 25916:8,12
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4 assures 25881:10 astronomical 25876:18 attach 25918:10 attack 25912:11,14,21	25877:18 25878:2,5,8 25878:19 25882:2,21 25897:21,23 25915:12 25916:8,12 base 25893:8,11
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4 assures 25881:10 astronomical 25876:18 attach 25918:10 attack 25912:11,14,21 25942:3,4 25960:1	25877:18 25878:2,5,8 25878:19 25882:2,21 25897:21,23 25915:12 25916:8,12 base 25893:8,11 based 25905:20
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4 assures 25881:10 astronomical 25876:18 attach 25918:10 attack 25912:11,14,21 25942:3,4 25960:1 25965:6,8 25996:25	25877:18 25878:2,5,8 25878:19 25882:2,21 25897:21,23 25915:12 25916:8,12 base 25893:8,11 based 25905:20 25953:6
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4 assures 25881:10 astronomical 25876:18 attach 25918:10 attack 25912:11,14,21 25942:3,4 25960:1 25965:6,8 25996:25 attacking 25965:19	25877:18 25878:2,5,8 25878:19 25882:2,21 25897:21,23 25915:12 25916:8,12 base 25893:8,11 based 25905:20 25953:6 bases 25916:18
assuming 25877:3 assumption 25911:4 assures 25881:10 astronomical 25876:18 attach 25918:10 attack 25912:11,14,21 25942:3,4 25960:1 25965:6,8 25996:25	25877:18 25878:2,5,8 25878:19 25882:2,21 25897:21,23 25915:12 25916:8,12 base 25893:8,11 based 25905:20 25953:6

```
basis 25869:3 25871:9
  25875:12.18 25876:9
  25878:13 25882:8
  25888:13 25889:3
  25891:3 25895:8,19
  25897:11 25907:6
  25908:5,22 25909:2
  25910:14,24 25911:3
  25917:22 25918:7,10
  25918:15 25931:21
  25936:1
BBM 25955:5
bear 25930:18
bearing 25872:1
bedoeling 25929:21
beg 25897:14
beginning 25928:3
  25968:16
behalf 25865:2
  25866:10 25916:16
  25919:16 25922:17
  25924:14 25925:1
behave 25868:8
belabour 25888:21
belatedly 25864:8
  25866:10
belief 25905:22
believe 25866:22
  25923:23 25952:8,11
  25952:15 25959:3,18
  25959:24 25968:6
  25969:11 25976:14
  25983:8
believed 25910:15
  25912:12 25914:19
bend 25887:1
benefit 25881:14
  25882:1 25883:22,24
  25888:23 25891:4,5
best 25877:2
better 25974:25
  25975:4
bevestig 25926:9
  25934:25 25941:4
  25976:17
bevestiging 25925:21
  25941:1 25975:10
beyond 25951:3
bill 25873:24
Bills 25919:12
binding 25900:25
bit 25865:5 25956:18
  25957:1 25984:15
  25985:7
bits 25976:21
Blackberry 25939:18
  25946:23 25947:4
  25948:3 25950:6,20
  25950:23 25954:18
  25954:21
blamed 25991:8
bleeping 25989:3
blood 25939:20,22
  25944:16 25967:22
  25969:12
```

bodies 25895:21 25931:24 25932:1,4 25937:20 25938:24 25939:14,23 25940:11,13,16 25942:8,9 25943:13 25943:17,17,24 25944:2,9,17 25951:13 25955:22 25955:23 25956:4 25957:14 25958:6 25959:2,14,22 25968:20,22 25969:7 **body** 25958:12,16 25965:14,14 25986:19 boldly 25895:17 book 25877:23 25883:10 25927:6 25935:13 25937:17 25937:21 25941:21 25947:2,10,12,23 25948:14,17 25949:14,25 25950:11,21 25957:23,24 25958:1 25958:4,6 bookkeeping 25876:10 25876:12 borne 25921:25 **boulders** 25913:10 **bound** 25902:1 Brügge 25927:13,18,20 25928:6 break 25879:7,7 25899:12,14,15,20 25940:8 25974:17 Breaking 25995:1 Brickhill 25897:23,24 **brief** 25880:13 25881:11 **briefed** 25930:21 25994:4 **briefing** 25966:1 25993:18.19.22.24 25994:1,9,9,11,12 briefly 25894:13 **brigadier** 25905:16,20 25905:23 25906:1 25917:24 25918:17 25929:4.17 25933:3.8 25937:24 25938:3.18 25942:3 25945:21.23 25946:14 25950:21 25951:2,17,24 25952:8,18 25953:16 25954:4,14,24 25955:12,16,17 25957:19 25958:10 25960:1,10,10,17,19 25961:8,20 25963:4 25964:6 25966:13 25968:8 25969:3,15 25969:23,24 25970:2

bluntly 25870:2

blv 25929:23

25970:19.22.25 25971:13 25977:10 25977:22.24 25978:7 25980:12 25981:1,3 25981:25 25982:4 25983:1,14 25984:4 25984:12 25987:16 25988:3,6 bring 25910:12 25912:21 25951:18 25981:5 bringing 25882:22 brings 25867:19 broad 25865:5 25878:22 25886:25 25891:5 **broader** 25883:23 25889:16 broadly 25873:10 25889:23 25916:17 **brought** 25864:8,9 25868:25 **Budlender** 25865:21 25937:11 **building** 25869:15 **bullets** 25961:9,13,20 25963:17 25965:8 burden 25890:24 25910:7 busy 25966:8 25985:10 25985:12 **B(1)** 25991:23 **B(4)** 25992:3,11 **B(6)** 25992:16 B(7) 25992:24 B(9) 25993:5

c 25958:12,16 25986:19 25993:18 cable 25874:19 Calitz 25929:4,17 25937:24 25938:3 25942:3,6 25946:14 25954:4 25957:19 25958:10 25960:1,10 25960:11.17.19 25961:20 25963:4 25964:6 25966:13 25968:8 25969:3,15 25969:23,24 25970:2 25970:23,25 25971:13 25974:23 25977:10,24 25978:7 25981:3,25 25982:4 25983:1,14 25984:4 25984:12 25987:16 25988:3,6 Calitz's 25961:8 25970:20 25977:22 call 25882:1 25886:12 25888:23 25926:13 25927:15,17 25929:4 25929:7 25932:25 25937:7 25945:20 25961:17 25967:10

				1 age
called 25932:23	CCTV 25953:23	25987:19	25881:15 25890:12	25931:15 25949:8
25959:1,1	CC21 25986:17,23	chosen 25913:7	25898:18 25922:19	25987:12
calls 25902:18,19	25987:6	25992:4	25926:14 25936:7	communication
25946:5	CC22 25961:18	chronology 25960:9,13	25937:5 25940:23	25930:9 25933:13,20
CALS 25927:2	25971:5 25977:11,16	25969:15 25974:23	25942:18 25971:20	25933:25 25935:5,9
camera 25864:22	cease 25979:10	25984:12	25972:6,24 25973:4	25937:18,19
25885:7,8 25892:9,16	cell 25959:6	circle 25940:14	25980:9,10,22	25941:15 25942:11
25892:18,22 25893:6	cellular 25947:4	25984:1	25981:16 25983:6	25944:2 25945:18
25893:7,14 25901:11	censorship 25903:4	circling 25984:19,20	25987:8 25994:14,15	25947:6 25950:8,24
25901:13,24	centre 25866:19	circulated 25891:15	comes 25876:18	25951:13 25955:25
25902:11,14 25908:6	25919:16 25931:20	circumference	25877:7 25890:3	25956:2,25 25958:18
25909:6,10,18	certain 25864:22	25902:13	25934:2 25941:16	25959:9 25961:10
25916:21 25919:4	25892:25 25908:20	circumstances 25875:8	25963:1	25964:18 25973:9
25922:4 25937:3	25923:3 25960:13	25877:8 25885:19	comfort 25899:12,14	25975:18 25981:15
cameras 25874:10	25975:17 25978:21	25893:23 25904:21	25899:15,20	25982:12 25984:12
25875:4 25953:24	25978:22 25990:25	25928:16 25946:4	comfortable 25931:1	communications
25954:20	certainly 25871:3	25973:14 25993:10	coming 25922:13	25944:11 25945:4
camped 25913:14	25877:11 25892:16	25994:21	25937:4 25949:15	25947:13 25960:12
cannon 25978:6,8,10	25892:17 25911:5	cited 25881:23	25968:5 25972:21,23	25987:11
25978:13 25986:17	25974:14	civilians 25866:15	25980:8,21	community 25904:11
25986:23 Canter 25981:11	cetera 25962:19 25963:19	claim 25875:9 25915:8	command 25979:24 25983:12	comparative 25877:14
Canters 25981:5,7		claims 25970:21 clarify 25929:10	commander 25930:14	comparison 25915:13
can't 25893:7 25901:8	chain 25886:2 Chairman 25937:8	25942:25 25960:14	25930:14,22	compelling 25864:18 25910:9 25911:13
25915:13 25919:3	Chair's 25967:19	clarity 25947:11	25930:14,22	25910.9 25911.13
25978:23	challenge 25932:17	clash 25967:10	25939:10 25954:3	competent 25894:16
capable 25880:17	25992:24 25993:1	class 25886:21	25958:21 25959:15	25898:17 25915:9
25914:19 25915:9	challenged 25905:7	25893:20,21,21	25960:4 25964:25	25919:21
capacity 25915:1	25918:4	25894:6,9	25973:8 25979:22	competing 25919:14
Cape 25914:14,14	chamber 25864:3	classes 25892:24	25983:2 25992:5	complain 25874:1
Captain 25932:22	25869:15 25887:14	clear 25864:11	commanders 25930:16	complaint 25873:22
25934:2 25945:16,18	25889:8 25890:1	25872:19 25874:11	25940:10 25945:4	25874:2
25956:11,17 25957:2	25998:7	25874:21 25885:17	25959:5 25983:9	complaints 25917:25
25973:15 25976:22	chambers 25864:4	25887:9,21 25912:1	commence 25923:24	complete 25881:2,5
25987:23 25993:14	25889:7 25926:13	25914:4 25933:12	commences 25981:22	25941:18,24
carry 25910:7	25940:23 25975:16	25940:9 25963:25	25984:10	completely 25884:25
25963:23 25975:11	chance 25979:2	25964:1 25965:5	comment 25865:6	complicity 25914:20
25977:6	chances 25889:18	25969:4 25972:20	25896:3 25951:19	complied 25864:14
carrying 25948:2	change 25890:20	25981:14 25989:9	25957:10 25993:24	comprehensive
case 25869:4,6,6,18	25996:20	clearly 25868:25	25994:16	25992:11
25870:2,4,5,15,19	channel 25931:16	25875:5 25956:3	commenting 25981:15	compromise 25865:13
25871:4 25878:11	25987:13 25989:15	25964:9 25993:19	commissioner	conceal 25903:5
25885:6 25887:4	25989:18 25990:3,6,8	clients 25910:19	25900:15 25942:24	concede 25875:3
25890:15 25892:1,8	channels 25990:6	25920:24	25954:11,23 25955:2	25923:4
25893:5 25899:3,6	characterised 25996:13	clip 25981:22 25983:19	25955:7,10,19	conceded 25887:23
25900:20 25903:1 25907:19 25909:10	25997:13,18 characteristics	25984:9,24 25986:20 clips 25987:18,22,23	25972:14 25973:1 commissioners 25884:4	25906:20 25908:3,9 25908:24
25916:6,8 25917:4,5	25904:20	close 25929:24	25884:5,13 25889:8	concedes 25920:7
25919:21 25921:24	charge 25976:9	25941:16 25956:7	25922:22 25936:19	conceding 25888:13
25922:18 25930:11	Chaskalson 25961:5,7	25959:17,24 25984:1	25975:16	25909:20 25916:19
25995:24 25996:10	25961:14,19	code 25986:24 25987:4	commissions 25871:22	concentrate 25931:14
25996:12 25997:8,13	chief 25937:11	cogency 25916:8	25891:19,25	25941:21
cases 25865:18	choice 25963:13	coin 25919:25 25920:2	25892:17 25893:18	concentrated 25964:24
25884:19 25885:17	choose 25913:6	25933:16,18 25934:1	25894:1,17 25897:2,8	concept 25901:7
25895:21 25903:23	chopper 25933:8,18	25935:7,11 25941:12	25897:10,11	concern 25894:21
25905:18	25934:2 25935:8,11	25956:22 25961:18	Commission's	25971:20 25993:25
causal 25917:7,10	25945:12 25947:12	25971:5 25974:22	25872:22 25929:3	concerned 25868:8
causality 25881:21	25947:13,17,17,18,25	25976:22 25977:11	25931:5	25876:11 25892:16
25882:20 25885:14	25947:25 25948:19	25987:14,19	committed 25889:10	25898:2 25962:15
25920:13,19	25948:21,23 25950:2	colleague 25969:19	common 25867:8,14	25997:12
causally 25883:1,19	23730.12 23734.7	colleagues 25866:5	25919:2,4	concerning 25931:19
25888:6 25917:15	25956:22 25961:18	25899:21	communicate 25943:24	25932:18
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	2 4 1 1 4 4 1 1 4			
cause 25907:11	25971:5 25972:6,9,15	collection 25946:8	25944:14 25989:24	concerns 25889:9
caused 25876:1	25971:5 25972:6,9,15 25972:23 25973:17	combination 25944:9	communicated 25938:2	concert 25911:21
caused 25876:1 25991:9	25971:5 25972:6,9,15 25972:23 25973:17 25976:22 25977:11	combination 25944:9 come 25879:7 25880:4	communicated 25938:2 25943:13	concert 25911:21 concession 25920:15,18
caused 25876:1	25971:5 25972:6,9,15 25972:23 25973:17 25976:22 25977:11 25984:14 25987:14	combination 25944:9	communicated 25938:2	

- 1		
	conclusion 25909:23	25871:11 25878:15
	25910:12 25921:17	25898:25 25899:5
	25921:24 25937:4	constitutionality
	25990:19 25991:15	25898:7,14 25899:8,9
	25991:23 25992:23	constitutionally
	25993:9,16	25920:9
	conclusions 25990:13	consult 25899:21,22
	25990:25 25995:1	contacted 25959:5
	concurring 25910:2	contained 25936:23
	condonation 25864:18	25992:18
	conduct 25891:22	CONTD 25935:2
	25902:9	25941:6 25976:19
	conducted 25891:20	contemplated 25922:21
	confident 25970:24	contempt 25922:8
	25971:13	contempt 25922.8 contend 25893:25
	confine 25881:25	25897:9,25 25898:22
	confirm 25872:9	25898:24 25914:7
	25941:25 25955:6,14	contended 25897:22
	25955:15 25969:25	content 25908:10
	25970:20 25979:7	25958:2
	25984:22 25989:11	contention 25896:15
	confirmation 25955:11	25909:17 25915:22
	confirmed 25955:18	25916:25
	25958:15 25972:4	contents 25871:16
	conflating 25919:25	25872:4,7,9 25905:19
	conflict 25993:10	25918:19
	confrontation	contest 25906:16
	25992:20	context 25888:23
	congregating 25949:21	25961:8 25971:17,21
	connected 25883:1,19	25977:24 25980:14
	25887:15 25888:7	contingency 25993:5
	25889:11	continually 25931:20
	connection 25874:20	continuation 25900:4
	25882:17 25887:5	continue 25891:23
	conscious 25920:18	25923:18
	consecutive 25879:15	continues 25894:1
	25881:14 25935:21	25900:6
	25935:25	continuing 25968:6
	consecutively 25880:5	25969:5
	consequence 25912:21	continuous 25875:12
	25922:7	contrary 25993:11
	consider 25864:4	contrast 25903:23
	25865:8,14 25897:16	contravention 25912:1
	25916:7 25925:14	contributed 25991:6
	25937:1 25958:20	controller 25972:22
	consideration 25877:17	convenience 25871:18
	25878:4 25903:22	25872:3 25886:13
	25937:4	convenient 25998:2
	considerations 25866:2	conversation 25957:17
	25923:11	25981:19
	considered 25904:6,10	conversations 25990:1
	25911:3 25969:12	conversion 25948:7
	considering 25911:2	convey 25932:10
	consistency 25900:7,8	25936:13 25937:20
	consistent 25874:11	25939:9 25944:13,19
	25901:3 25944:18	25954:9 25959:1
	consistently 25871:22	conveyed 25931:20
	constituting 25907:3	25940:9
	Constituting 25907:3	convince 25887:12
	25871:23 25894:24	
		25917:18
	25895:1,3,20 25897:6	coordinating 25917:24
	25897:7 25898:9	coordinator 25905:17
	25900:1,2,3,5,7,9,10	copies 25891:12
	25900:16,17,21	25937:10 25977:2
	25901:2,4	copy 25937:9
	constitutional	corner 25969:19

corrected 25870:3
correction 25970:1
correctly 25870:8
25882:2,21,25
25890:14 25898:5 25920:18 25929:11
correlate 25947:1
25948:13
correlates 25933:18
25937:17
cost 25871:18 25872:3
25872:14,16 25873:20 25874:3,4,5
25875:7,9,11,16,17
25875:20 25876:13
25876:19 25877:4,5,6
25877:7,9,16,25
25878:3 25882:1
25883:13,16,22,23
25886:25,25 25887:1 25887:2,7 25888:23
25891:3,5 25904:24
25915:2,13,18,19,21
25915:21 25916:3,5,9
costs 25870:24 25871:1
25871:5,7 25873:4,15
25876:17 25877:22 25877:24 25882:1,6,9
25882:18,22,23
25883:3,7,13,19
25889:23 25890:9
25915:16 25921:16
25993:12
couldn't 25896:19
25938:14 25939:12 25939:16 25943:20
25944:22 25970:20
25995:20
Council 25998:6
counsel 25864:25
25923:19 25924:11
25936:19 counted 25945:11
counterbalanced
25918:13
countered 25918:4
countervailing
25918:14 counting 25940:14
country 25909:24
25912:3
couple 25926:2
25974:18
coupled 25944:10
25960:2 course 25867:17
25870:12 25871:3,23
25881:3 25882:14
25891:2 25894:15,23
25895:6 25897:3,7
25908:22 25911:7
25926:3,5,20 25930:18 25932:9,13
25930:18 25932:9,13 25933:13 25946:24
25947:3 25951:6
25952:5 25956:21

```
25957:21 25963:5
  25964:5.6
court 25892:15.18
  25895:9,9,10,10,11
  25895:24,25 25897:8
  25898:16,25 25899:7
courts 25867:7
  25891:19 25892:16
  25899:4 25900:9
  25902:3
coverage 25942:20
covered 25865:19,20
co-ordinating 25973:15
created 25948:6
creating 25887:2
creature 25901:1
credit 25876:12
crime 25931:18
crimes 25913:24
criminal 25885:6
  25916:2 25918:6,17
  25922:15
critical 25875:10
  25902:5 25921:22
critically 25903:10
criticism 25932:9
  25991:2,10 25992:5,8
  25992:13 25993:6
  25994:13 25995:2
cross 25867:9,15
  25928:7 25930:8
  25968:8 25984:10
cross-examination
  25865:7 25924:24
  25925:7,11 25926:20
  25926:22 25928:9
  25929:5 25935:2
  25941:6,9 25974:12
  25976:10,19
  25990:11
cross-examine 25864:6
  25864:10,13,17
  25902:22 25924:1,5
  25924:20,25
  25925:13 25928:14
cross-examined
  25891:24 25925:13
  25997:9
cross-examiner
  25874:12
cross-examining
  25923:19 25924:7,13
crowd 25993:10
crucial 25888:12
crystal 25874:20
cured 25890:21
currently 25875:1
custody 25937:10
C2 25950:1
          D
d 25994:14
daaraan 25925:20
  25940:25
```

daardie 25929:16

daarop 25929:17

```
daily 25875:18
dalk 25929:18
damaging 25910:19
dan 25929:21 25934:9
  25934:9,10
danger 25869:16,18
  25888:14,14,17
  25890:5,17 25904:11
  25922:6
dangerous 25911:24
dankie 25931:7
dat 25925:20 25929:17
  25929:18,19,22
  25934:7 25940:25
date 25865:11,13,23
  25927:24 25928:3
dates 25865:15
day 25865:16 25879:19
  25879:25 25888:4
  25890:12 25926:6
  25929:2 25946:24
  25951:6,24 25952:5,8
  25954:18,24 25955:4
  25959:10 25973:18
  25983:11 25990:3,6
days 25926:2
dead 25933:7 25939:11
  25939:24 25945:11
  25956:14,20,24
  25957:3,15 25958:4
  25958:22 25959:4
  25965:14
deal 25871:12,17,18
  25872:25 25873:11
  25875:14 25892:20
  25893:7 25895:11,14
  25896:8,13 25902:12
  25910:14 25916:14
  25932:8
dealing 25928:21
  25931:1 25943:12
deals 25895:25,25
  25900:2,4 25916:2
dealt 25866:21
  25894:14 25921:15
  25974:5
death 25914:5 25958:8
deaths 25955:22
  25958:12,15
  25960:11 25991:9,17
debate 25891:21
  25892:4 25893:14
  25898:18 25901:4
  25917:14,18 25920:1
  25962:5
debated 25884:21
  25965:7
debit 25876:12
debriefing 25966:1
deceased 25916:17
decide 25894:16
  25899:5
decided 25954:21
deciding 25881:20
  25923:6
decision 25895:7
```

defer 25918:9 25953:9 25959:21 25996:14 25997:14 25893:13 25895:14 einde 25895:13 definitely 25865:15 25960:20 25964:22 25997:18,22 25896:4,8,11,24 either 25874:19 25983:23 25988:13 25965:1,7,18 discover 25922:11 25898:6 25899:4 25884:6 25885:5 delays 25865:10 25967:14,20 25968:8 discredit 25964:11 25909:12 25913:1 25887:19 25897:8					i age
devisions 25995;21;23 decisions 25995;16 decisions 25995;16 decisions 25995;16 decisions 25995;16 decisions 25995;16 decisions 25899;15 decisions 25899;15 decisions 25899;15 decisions 25899;15 decisions 25899;15 decisions 25995;19 definitely 25865;15 defamidion 25906;19 defamidion 25906;10 decision 25908;10		deviate 25886:9,22	disarm 25912:3	domestic 25920:6	25933:13
decisive 25899.6 decisive 25899.2 decisive 25899.2 decisive 25899.2 decisive 25899.2 decisive 25896.1 decisive 2589.2 decisive 2596.1 decisive 2589.2 decisive 2596.1 decisive 25	25917:16 25918:8		25992:17 25993:10		
declave 258994.22 declave 258994.22 declave 25898.42 defamation 25907.19 deface 259932.5 defamation 25907.19 deface 259932.5 defamation 25907.19 deface 259932.5 defamation 25907.19 deface 25993.5 defamation 25907.19 deface 25993.5 defamation 25907.19 deface 25993.5 defamation 25907.19 deface 25993.8 defamation 25907.19 deface 25993.8 defamation 25907.19 deface 25993.8 deface 259		deviating 25995:16		25868:9 25870:6,10	,
declared 25894:22 defende 25952:18 deford 25965:18 deforde 25957:25 deford 25965:19 deforde 25957:25 deford 25965:19 deforde 25965:12 deforde 25965:10 deforde	decisions 25952:21,23				25869:8,10 25870:13
defunation 55907-19 deface 25973:25 deford 25965:10 deford 25965:10 defore 25918:29 25987:23 25988:24 defore 25918:9 25988:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:13 25986:12 25988:18 25988:12 25888:18 25986:12 25988:18 25986:18 25988:18 259		devices 25879:3,5		25872:11,12,14	
defuneta 25907.19 defore 2597.25 defored 25968.12 defored 25968.12 defored 25968.12 defored 25968.12 defored 25968.12 defored 25968.13 defored 25968.15 defored 25968.10 defored 25968.10 defored 25988.13 defored			discharged 25889:22	25873:25 25875:6	effectively 25891:1
25897:22 5998:24 25897:23 25998:24 25996:12 25966:29598:23 25956:12 25966:29598:23 25956:17.18 25966:17.25998:18 25967:14.20 25968:8 25967:14.20 25968:8 25967:14.20 25968:8 25967:14.20 25968:8 25967:14.20 25968:8 25967:14.20 25968:8 25967:14.20 25968:8 25967:14.20 25968:8 25968:10 25968:22 259910:2 25988:12 259910:2 25988:12 259910:2 25988:12 259910:2 25988:12 259910:2 25998:12 259910:12 25998:12 259910:12 25998:12 259910:12 25998:13 25996:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:14 25998:13 25998:15 25998:1	deeply 25932:18	25901:3	25892:10	25876:4,7,23,23	25895:3
25998-2594-12 25998-2594-1	defamation 25907:19				
defende 25986:12 definitely 25865:15 259873:259588.13 defays 25865:16 25986:10,20 25986:12,20 25986:12,20 25986:12,20 25986:10,20 25988:2 25996:12,20 25988:2 25996:12,20 25988:2 25996:12,20 25988:2 25996:12,20 25988:2 25996:12,20 25988:2 25996:12,20 25988:19 democratic 25891:20 demonstrate 25901:10 25994:12 25996:12,20 25988:18 25992:11 25994:18 25992:19 25982:13 25992:19 25982:14 25982:13 25982:14 25982:13 25982:14 25982:13 25982:14 25982:14 25982:13 25982:14 25982:13 25982:14 25982:14 25982:15 25982:	defence 25973:25				
25901-12 2598-13 25990-14 25990-15 25990-14 25990-15 25990-14 25990-15 25990-14 25990-15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	
definitely 25865:15 25983:23 25965:17,18 25996:12,125985:8 delayse 25910:2 demand 25881:9 demeanour 25991:20 demonstrate 25991:10 25990:12 25988:22 25990:4 25990:12 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:13 25990:14 25990:13 25990:14 25990:13 25990:14 25990:13 25990:14 25990:13 25990:14 25990:13 25990:14 25990:13 25990:15 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:16 25990:13 25990:15 25990:13 25990:15 25990:13 25990:15 25990:13 25990:15 25900:13 25990:15 25900:10			25919:19 25995:7		25945:7,22 25946:11
25983:23 25988:13 25967:14, 20 25968:8 2612 25985:10 25996:12 25990:4 25998:22 25990:4 25998:22 25990:4 25998:12 25998:10 25991:12 25991:10 25991:12 25991:10 25991:12 25991:10 25991:12 25991:12 25992:12 25990:12 25992:12 25990:25 25979:10 25990:12 25992:14 25992:12 25992:14 25992:15 25992:14 25992:15 25992:14 25992:15 25992:14 25992:15 25992:14 25992:15 25992:15 25992:14 25992:15 25992:15 25992:14 25992:15 25992:15 25992:15 25992:17 25992:15 25992:17 25992:15 25992:17 25992:15 25992:17 25992:15 25992:18 25992:25 25992:2					
delagste 25918.8 delivered 25883-20 demorated 25881-9 democratic 25991-20 demonard 25881-9 democrate 25891-20 demorate 2	•		•		
delegate 25918.2 25970:23 25972-22 25970-2 259015:16.25 259015:16 259015:16.25 25901			discover 25922:11	25898:6 25899:4	25884:6 25885:5
delivering 25893:20 demeanour 259010:2 demaond 25881-9 demeanour 25903:3 demeanour 25903:3 demeanour 25903:3 democratic 25901:10 25994:12 democratic 25901:10 25903:2 25903-6 25903-6 25903-7 25907:12 25903-6		25967:14,20 25968:8	discredit 25964:11		25887:19 25897:8
deilvering 25910;2 demand 25881:9 demoartate 25901:10 demoartate 25901:10 demoartate 25901:10 25993:18 25994:12 demostrated 25984:12 25994:19 25994				•	25977:9 25982:11,11
demandur 25881:9 democratic 25901:20 demonstrate 25901:10 259936 259934:6,7.8 25994:12 259936 259934:8,10,10 259936 259934:9,12,2,2,2,23 demostrate 25901:10 259936 259934:10 259936 259934:10 259936 259934:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 259936 259936 259936 259936 259936:10 259936 2					
demoratre 25901:10 demoratre 25901:10 25901:12 25901:12 25901:12 25901:12 25901:12 25901:12 25901:12 25901:12 25901:12 25901:13 25901:12 25901:15 2	_				
democratic 25891:20 demonstrated 25901:10 25901:12 demonstrated 25901:10 25901:12 25902:25903:659034-6,78 25903:25903-65903-65903-6 25903:12 25888:18 25920:11 25994:18 25920:11 25994:18 25920:11 25994:18 25920:11 25994:18 25920:11 25994:18 25920:11 25998:19 25982:17 25888:19 25920:12 25888:19 25920:12 25888:19 25920:12 25988:10 25890:21 departed 25885:19 25908:25 25968:15,23 25988:7 25999:17 25996:13 25997:13 25996:13 25997:13 25996:13 25997:13 25996:13 25997:13 25996:13 25997:13 25996:13 25997:13 25996:13 25997:13 25996:13 25997:13 25996:13 25997:14 25996:13 25997:15 25996:13 25997:15 25996:13 25997:15 25996:13 25997:15 25996:13 25997:15 25996:13 25997:15 25996:13 25997:15 25996:13 25997:15 25996:14 2599					25930:6 25931:7,11
demonstrate 25901:10 259936:25994:6,7,8 discussed 25865:21 25997:18 25938:6,19 25993:8,10 25994:19 2595:21 25994:17 2595:21 25993:8,10 25994:17 2595:21 25993:8,2594:15:20 25993:18 25988:8 25993:18 25989:8,25991:22 25993:18 25989:32 25993:18 25989:38.6,19 25993:18 25989:38.6,19 25993:18 25989:38 2594:17 25959:4 25993:18 25959:10 25993:8 2594:17 25959:4 25990:19 259599:1 25990:19 25999:1 25990:19 25999:1 25				25929:5 25933:14,19	
2590:12 demonstrated 25940:25 2596:1 25951:24 25940:25 2590:2 25940:1 25940:25 25960:3 25960:1 25951:3 2590:25 25873:2 25908:25 25973:2 25983:19 25982:1 25960					
demonstrated 2588-18					
25878:12 25888:18 difference 25884:19 25990:25908:25 25982:252888:19 25892:14 25908:25 25982:15 25988:12 25988:10 25892:17 25988:10 25892:17 25998:12 25988:19 25985:1 25998:12 25988:10 25898:10 25898:10 25898:10 25898:10 25898:10 25898:10 25898:10 25898:10 25898:10 25898:10 25998:11 25918:17 25998:11 25918:17 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:1.0 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:12 25988:10 25998:13 25998:12 25998:12 25988:10 25998:13 25998:12 25998:12 25988:10 25998:13 25998:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2590:11 25994:18 25892:13 dispersa 1259667.8,18 25962:3 25963:9,17 25978:12 25978:15 25885:10 25890:21 25895:14 25995:15 25895:15 25887:12 25985:12 25895:14 25966:15 25992:16 25967:4,1315,16 25996:15 25996:15 25967:4,1315,16 25996:15 25968:15,23 25978:15 25978:15 25978:15 25978:15 25978:15 25978:15 25978:15 25978:15 25978:15 25987:12 25985:12 25978:15 25987:12 25985:12 25987:12 25985:12 25987:12 25985:13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.12 25988.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25918.13 25988.13 25998.1				,	
deny 2587:25 25873:2					_
2598:25 25885:10 25890:21 25996:15 25992:16 25997:24 25974:2,11 25991:16 25996:423 25998:7 25997:15 25998:7 25997:15					
departed 25885:19 25892:4,17 25897:8 25997:11 dispersion 25964:23 25967:4,13,15,16 25997:4,13,15,16 25997:12 25990:12 25990:22,24 25900:12 cmpowered 25901:16 25990:22,24 25900:22,24 25900:22,24 25900:22,24 25900:22,24 25900:23,25876:12 dispersion 25964:23 25968:13,10 25968:4,6,12 25969:4 25990:12 2590:12 2590:13 25997:15 display 25949:3 25956:15 disregarded 25872:4 distance 25952:25 display 25949:3 25973:15 disregarded 25872:4 distance 25952:25 distinct 25904:1 25991:13 25997:13 describing 25949:6 describing 259949:6 describing 259949:6 describing 25990:11 25900:11 25900:11 25900:11 25900:11 25900:11 25900:11 25900:11 25900:11 25900:10 25902:10 destribing 25900:10 distress 25900:10 25900:10 determination 25985:19 determination 25900:10.11 determine 25865:23 destribution 25993:10 distress 25990:10 25993:10 25990:10 25993:10 25990:10 2599	•		_		
Department 25915:1 depending 25925:7 depends 25878:10 259817:1 depicts 25949:22 deployed 25875:21,22 25876:1 described 25929:3 25997:12 25996:13 25997:1 25996:13 25997:1 26escribing 25999:6 desirabile 25894:21 described 25929:2 desirabile 25894:21 25997:12 25988:24 desirabile 25894:411 25898:8 28896:21 25897:12 25898:24 desirabile 25894:411 25898:8 22898:22 25991:12 25991:12 25897:25 25898:22 desirabile 25898:24 desirabile 25894:411 25897:25 25898:22 desirabile 25894:411 25897:25 25898:22 desirabile 25894:411 25897:25 25898:22 desirabile 25894:411 25897:12 25991:17 25897:25 25898:22 desirabile 25894:411 25897:12 25991:12 25997:12 25992:13 25997:12 25998:12 25997:12 25998:12 25997:12 25998:12 25997:12 25998:12 25997:12 25998:12 25997:12 25998:12 25997:12 25988:22 desirabile 25894:41 25997:12 25998:13 directed 25983:4 directed 25983:1 25906:6 25907:6 determination determinat					
depending 25925-7 depending 25925-7 depending 25925-7 depends 25879:10 25998:12 25968:15,23 25998:12 25968:15,23 25998:12 25968:15,23 depicted 259949:22 deployed 25875:21 differently 25892:7 deployed 25875:21 2592:12 described 25992:17 25996:13 25997:13 describing 25949:6 desirability 25894:19 25898:21 25895:25 25898:21 25895:25 25991:10 25895:15 differently 25992:16 difficulties 25941:16 25985:19 desirability 25894:19 25995:13 25991:10 25992:16 distinct 25992:16 distinct 25992:16 distinct 25992:16 distinct 25992:16 distinct 25992:16 distinct 25993:14 digrate 25992:19 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:15 distrest 25993:12 25895:4,518 25993:25 25993:25 25993:14 25993:14 distinct 25993:13 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:13 distinct 25993:13 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:13 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:13 distinct 25993:13 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:13 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:14 distinct 25993:14 d		The state of the s			
depends 25879:10 25958:2 52968:15,23 25968:15,23 display 25949:3 25956:15 2596:15 2596:15 2597:15 differently 25892:7 2595:16 differently 25892:1 2 2597:12 2597:12 described 25929:13 2597:12 2597:7 2599:17 25997:13 25987:12 25937:7 2599:16 display 25949:6 desirability 25894:19 25985:24 dissince 2590:11 25898:24 dissince 2590:16 display 2599:16 display 2599:16 display 2599:16 display 2599:16 display 2599:16 display 2599:16 display 2599:17 2599:17:1 25898:24 display 2590:18 2599:18 2590:18 2590:11 2590:18 2590:19 2590:19 2590:19 2590:19 2590:19 2590:19 2590:10 2590:11 2590:11 2590:19 2590:19 2590:10					
25983:7				-	
depicts 25949:22 deployed 25875:21,22 deployed 25875:21,22 deployed 25875:21 differently 25892:7 25952:16 difficult 25913:13 25914:12 2592:12 disfinition 25903:25 disfined 2592:3 25914:12 25973:5 difficulties 25941:16 25923:14 dismont 25904:1 25977:12 25977:12 disfinition 25903:21 dismont 25903:21 dismont 25903:25 dismont					
deployed 25875:21,22 2592:12 difficult 25913:13 25916:12 distance 25952:25 distance 25952:25 draw 25872:22 25873:18 25916:1 decirelement 25969:5 encircle 25992:16 encirclement 25969:5 encircle 25992:16 encirclement 25969:5 described 25992:3 25973:5 described 25999:13 25997:12 25998:7.12 describing 25949:6 desirability 25894:19 25987:7.12 distinguished 25903:25 distress 25907:11 distinguished 25903:25 distress 25907:11 distribute 25951:3 dink 25929:16 direct 25885:1 distribute 25993:25 divers 25981:71 ducks 25975:10 duc 25865:11 25881:3 dit 25918:10 25993:28 25933:23 encourages 25868:7 encourages 25868:7 encourages 25868:7 25897:12 25898:24 desirable 25894:4,11 25901:11 25916:23 25985:4,5,18 25992:23 25981:7 25902:12 25902:11 25902:11 25902:11 25902:11 25902:11 25902:13 25906:6 25907:6 25918:19 25906:6 25907:6 25918:19 25906:6 25907:6 25918:19 25995:13 25906:6 25907:6 25918:19 25988:19 25988:12 25988:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25887:15 25936:13 25905:6 dis 25925:22 25930:24 director 25904:4,10,23 25900:10,11 determine 25865:23 directy 25844:25 directy 25844:25 directy 25844:25 directy 25844:25 25918:19 25908:6 dis 25925:22 25930:24 disadvantage 25967:1 disagree 25991:1,2,2 25940:25 25975:9 disadvantage 25967:1 disagree 25991:1,2,2 25994:25 25993:20 disalow 25979:3 disalow 25979:3 disalow 25979:3 disalow 25979:3 disappears 25883:13 disalow 25993:21 disal					
25876:1 derail 25922:12 described 25929:3 25937:7 25992:17 25996:13 25997:13 describing 25949:6 describing 25949:6 desirability 25898:19 25895:8 25896:21 25897:12 25988:24 desirabile 25898:4,111 25897:25 25898:24 desirabile 25899:3 difficulty 25920:8 distinction 25903:21 disting 25908:3 difficulty 25933:14 distinguished 25903:25 distinction 25903:21 distinguished 25903:25 distinction 25903:21 distinguished 25903:25 distinction 25903:21 distinguished 2599575:10 ducks 25975:10 ducks 25907:10 25889:4 25906:3 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25938:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:10 25988:10,13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21 25908:10 25988:10,13 25908:21 25908:13 25908:21					
derail 25922:12 25914:22 25921:14 distinct 25904:1 draws 25990:13 encounter 25895:9 describle 25929:3 25973:5 25917:12 difficulties 25941:16 distinction 25903:21 drive 25927:12,25 drive 25927:12,25 encounter 25895:9 encounter 25866:23 encounter 25895:9 encounter 25895:9 encounter 25895:9 encounter 25895:9 encounter 25895:9 encounter 25895:9 encounter 25896:12 ditret 25885:12 ditret 258903:12 distribute 25991:3 ditret 25903:12 ditret 258907:11 ducks 25975:10 ducks 25975:10 ducks 25975:10 ducks 25975:10 ducks 25975:10 ducks 25975:10 25998:12 25993:22 encounter 25865:23 encounter 25					
described 25929:3 25937:7 25992:17 difficulties 25941:16 25917:12 distinction 25903:21 distinction 25903:25 distinction 25903:25 divivers 25927:12,25 denourages 25868:7 encourages 25868:7 endanger 25885:21,22 22589:68.7 endanger 25885:21,22 endanger 25885:21,22 25889:4 25906:3 distribute 25991:1 ducks 25975:10 ducks 25975:10 endeavour 25865:22 endeavour 25805:22 endeavour 25865:22 endeavour 25865:23 endeavour 25865:23 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
25937:7 25992:17 25996:13 25997:13 describing 2594:16 desirability 2593:14 difficulty 2593:14 distribute 25951:3 distribute 25951:4 distribute 25951:3 distribute 25951:3 distribute 25951:1 distribute 25951:3 distribute 25951:1 distribute 25951:3 distribute 25951:1 distribute 259					
25996:13 25997:13 describing 25949:6 difficulty 25933:14 distribute 25903:25 distress 25907:11 distribute 25951:3 dixes 25907:12 25898:24 dink 25929:16 dive 2585:1 25885:1 25987:12 25898:24 desirable 25894:4,11 25901:11 25916:23 25895:45,18 25896:18,20 25897:1 25988:19 25985:10,13 25897:25 25898:22 directed 25983:4 directed 25988:19 25901:12 25901:13 25906:13 25906:13 25906:1 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 directions 25913:2 25984:15 258874:2 25944:25 destination 25985:19 director 25904:4,10,23 25900:10,11 259					
describing 25949:6 difficulty 2593:14 disfress 25907:11 ducks 25975:10 ducks 25975:10 endeavour 25865:22 enforcement 25912:3 25895:8 25896:21 25995:8 25896:21 dimk 25929:16 distribute 25951:3 dite 25985:11 25881:3 25908:21 25932:8 enforcement 25912:3 desirable 25894:4,11 25901:11 25916:23 Divcom 25972:10 25954:19 25964:6 25933:23 25935:4 25985:4 25993:22 25981:7 25895:4,5,18 25995:10,13 25985:10,13 25985:10,13 division 25876:12 25983:24 duty 25887:12,25 25983:24 duty 25887:12,25 25987:12 2590:11 2590:11 2590:19 25978:8 enjoined 25906:11 2590:12 25902:11 25906:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:0 direction 2598:7 docket 25918:19 25922:5 25936:13 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 25878:10 enquire 25992:16 25877:9 25878:10 enquire 25992:16 25877:9 25878:10 enquire 25905:13 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 25878:10 25877:9 2596:13 25908:12 25938:12 25993:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· ·</td> <td></td>				· ·	
desirability 25894:19 25895:8 25896:21 disk 25929:16 disk 25929:16 disk 25929:16,16,19,20 distribute 25951:3 dit 25929:16,16,19,20 due 25865:11 25881:3 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25932:8 25908:21 25993:8 25996:4,5,18 enforcement 25912:3 engage 25960:18 25963:20 25993:9 25895:4,5,18 25896:18,20 25897:1 25896:18,20 25897:21 25890:112 25902:11 25890:12 25900:12 25901:12 25902:13 25906:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 despite 25874:23 destination 25985:19 detail 25873:12 25885:15 25887:15 25900:10,11 determination 25800:10,11 determination 25800:10,11 determine 25865:23 25988:7 25901:17,18 25900:10,11 determine 25865:23 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25933:5 deur 25993:21 disalow 25979:3 deurgegaan 2593:21 disalow 25979:3 deurgegaan 2593:21 disalow 25979:3 developed 25993:21 disalow 25979:3 disappears 25883:3 distribute 25951:3 dit 25929:16,16,19,20 25933:23 25933:23 25993:24 division 25876:12 25887:12 25887:12 25983:24 division 25876:12 25887:12 25988:13 division 25876:12 25887:12 25988:13 division 25876:12 25887:12 25887:12 25887:12 25988:13 division 25876:12 25887:12 25889:13 division 25876:12 25887:12 25889:13 division 25876:12 25889:17 25899:13 25898:13 25989:13 25989:12 25898:13 division 25876:12 25889:17 25899:13 25989:12 25899:13 25989:12 25993:13 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 25992:16 25992:16 25993:15 25992:16 25993:15 25992:16 25993:15 25992:16 25993:15 25986:19 25903:10 25986:19 25903:10 25986:19 25903:10 25986:19 25903:10 25986:19 25903:10 25986:19 25903:10 25986:19 25903:10 25986:19 25903:10 25989:10 25903:10 25986:19 25903:10 25992:16 25993:10 25992:16 25993:10 25992:16 25993:10 25992:16 25993:10 25992:16 25993:10 25992:16 25993:10 25992:16 25993:10 25983:2 25936:13 25966:2 earlier 25865:6 ensue 25903:10 entite 25903:10 entriec 25900:18 25963:20 25963:10 25983:20 25963:10 25983:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
25895:8 25896:21					
25897:12 25898:24 desirable 25894:4,11 25901:11 25916:23 25908:0 25972:10 division 25876:12 25985:4,5,18 25985:4,5,18 25985:10,13 25985:19,12 25902:11 25902:11 25902:11 25902:13 25906:6 25907:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 destination 25985:19 detail 25873:12 25874:8 25875:2 determination 25985:19 determine 25865:23 discottory 25903:14 determine 25865:23 discottory 25903:15 determine 25865:23 discottory 25903:15 determine 25903:15 determine 25903:15 determine 25903:15 determine 25903:15 determine 25903:16 discottory 25903:16 discottory 25903:16 discottory 25903:16 discottory 25903:16 discottory 25903:19 discottory 25903:10 discottory 25903:19 discottory 25903:20 discottory 25903:2	•	e v			
desirable 25894:4,11 25901:11 25916:23 Divcom 25972:10 25954:19 2596:6 2593:24 2598:29 2598:22 2598:10,13 2598:10,13 2598:10,13 2598:10,13 2598:12,25 duty 25887:12,25 duty 25890:13 duty 25887:12,25 duty 25890:13 duty 25990:19 duty 25992:16 duty 25992:16 duty 25992:16 duty 25992:16 duty 25992:16 duty 25992:16 duty 25993:19 duty 25993:19 duty 25993:19					0 0
25895:4,5,18 25896:18,20 25897:1 25897:25 25898:22 25901:12 25902:11 25903:17 25905:13 25906:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 despite 25874:23 destination 25985:19 detail 25873:12 25881:12 25985:12 25881:15 25884:8,15 25884:8 25875:2 25881:2 25917:1 25989:24 director 25904:4,10,23 25900:10,11 determine 25865:23 25898:7 25901:17,18 25901:22 25917:2 25933:5 deur 25925:21 disagree 25991:1,2,2 25990:10 25993:21 disagree 25991:1,2,2 25990:10 25993:21 disagree 25991:1,2,2 25990:10 25993:21 disagree 25991:1,2,2 developed 25993:21 disagree 25991:10 25992:1 disagree 25993:21 di					
25986:18,20 25897:1 25897:25 25898:22 25901:12 25902:11 25903:17 25905:13 25906:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 despite 25874:23 destination 25985:19 detail 25873:12 25985:15 25887:15 25917:1 25989:24 director 25904:4,10,23 25900:10,11 determine 25865:23 25986:7 25901:17,18 25903:17 25905:11 deturnine 2593:5 detail 25925:21 25933:5 deurgegaan 25931:11 developed 25993:21 disallow 25973: disallow 25973:3 disallow 259					0 0
directed 25983:4 directed 25983:4 directed 25983:4 direction 25982:7 25903:17 25905:13 25986:19 25995:13 25986:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 destination 25985:19 destination 25985:19 determination 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:20 25918:25900:10,11 25900:20 25918:25900:10,11 25900:20 25918:25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:20 25918:25900:10,11 25900:20 25918:25900:10,11 25900:10					
direction 25982:7 25990:13 25996:13 25996:14 25996:15 25996:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 25914:16 25922:20 25914:16 25922:20 25944:25 25885:15 25887:15 25885:15 25887:15 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25905:6 25915:2 25991:10 25992:1 25991:2 25991:12 25993:5 25991:12 25993:5 25915:2 25915:1 25983:5 25915:2 25915:1 25983:5 25915:1 25940:25 25975:9 25913:18 25940:25 25993:21 25991:10 25992:1 25983:13 25990:10 25900:6 25913:11 25990:20 25913:18 25940:25 25993:21 25991:10 25992:1 25983:13 25991:10 25992:1 25983:13 25991:10 25992:1 25983:13 25991:10 25993:21 25983:13 25974:19 25900:6 25900:6 25913:18,19 25900:6 25925:25 25936:13 25975:9 25915:15 25915:15 25936:3 25900:19 25925:2 25936:13 25994:17 25995:2 25994:17 25995:2 25994:17 25995:2 25994:17 25995:2 25983:4 25984:5 25900:10,11 25905:6 25900:10,11 25905:6 25900:10,11 25905:6 25900:10,11 25905:6 25905:6 25900:10,11 25900:10,11 25905:6 25900:10,1					
25903:17 25905:13 25906:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 despite 25874:23 destination 25985:19 detail 25873:12 25885:15 25887:15 25917:1 25989:24 director 25904:4,10,23 25900:10,11 determine 25865:23 25901:17,18 25905:6 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25898:7 25901:17,18 25901:22 25917:2 25918:19 docket 25918:19 dockets 25905:20 25918:1 document 25927:2 25931:18 25934:16 25934:19 25990:20 doesn't 25867:21 25876:13 25890:9 25906:19 25907:21 25886:19 25908:10 25918:1 document 25927:2 25934:19 25990:20 director 25904:4,10,23 25905:6 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25905:6 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:10 docket 25918:19 docket 25918:19 docket 25905:20 25918:1 document 25927:2 25934:19 25995:2 earlier 25865:6 25922:16 25934:15 2596:3 25957:13 25983:4 25983: 25903:12 25905:6 dosn't 25867:21 25887:19 25903:8 earlier 25975:21 earlier 25865:6 ensue 25903:12 early 25966:4 earlier 25975:22 easiest 25975:13,19 easier 25975:22 easiest 25975:13,19 easier 25975:22 easiest 25975:13,19 easier 25975:13 easier 25975:13 easier 25975:13 easier 25975:13 easier 25975:13					· ·
25906:6 25907:6 25914:16 25922:20 directions 25913:2 25944:25 doctrine 25912:17 doctrine 25912:17 document 25927:2 earlier 25865:3 25905:6 25905:6 25906:19 25905:6 25905:6 25906:19 25907:21 25988:7 25901:17,18 2593:5 disadvantage 25967:1 25940:25 25975:9 deurgegaan 25931:11 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 dockets 25905:20 25917:10 25992:10 25907:21 disappears 25883:13 dockets 25905:20 25918:1 25931:1 25931:1 dockets 25905:20 25918:1 dockets 25905:20 25918:1 25918:1 25931:1 25931:1 25931:1 25931:1 25931:1 25931:1 25931:2 25931:1					
25914:16 25922:20 directions 25913:2 25918:1 doctrine 25912:17 doctrine 25912:17 document 25927:2 earlier 25865:6 25994:17 25995:2 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25873:12 25917:1 25989:24 director 25904:4,10,23 25900:10,11 25905:6 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25931:2,12 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:2 25941:1 disadvantage 25967:1 disagree 25991:10,25992:1 disagree 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 disappears 25883:13 disappears 25883:13 25912:17 25900:6 early 25900:6 early 25900:6 early 25900:6 early 25900:13 early 25940:25 25975:9 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 early 25900:6 early 25900:6 early 25900:13 early 25940:25 25975:9 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 early 25900:6 early 2590:13 earlier 25931:14 earlier 25931:14 earlier 25931:14 earlier 25931:14 earlier 25931:14 earlier 25900:6 earlier 2590:13 earlier 2590:13 earlier 2590:13 earlier 2590:13 earlier 2590:13 earlier 25965:3 25957:13 earlier 25965:6 earlier 25965:6 earlier 25965:6 earlier 25903:12 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25903:12 earlier 25865:6 earlier 25903:12 earlier 25966:4 earlier 25906:4 earlier 25906:4 earlier 25903:8 earlier 25966:4 earlier 25975:22 earlier 25966:4 early 25975:13,19 early 25903:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10 25993:10					
despite 25874:23 25944:25 doctrine 25912:17 E 25956:3 25957:13 destination 25985:19 directly 25884:8,15 document 25927:2 e 25994:17 25995:2 25983:4 25984:5 detail 25873:12 25885:15 25887:15 25931:18 25934:16 earlier 25865:6 ensue 25903:12 25874:8 25875:2 25917:1 25989:24 doesn't 25867:21 25967:21 early 25966:4 ensure 25873:6 determination 25905:6 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25906:19 25907:21 early 25966:4 entail 25872:17 25898:7 25901:17,18 25931:2,12 25934:5 25912:17 25925:15 easier 25975:22 easier 25975:22 easily 25890:16,18 easily 25890:16,18 eastern 25914:13,14 eastern 25914:13,14 25990:16 25993:20 deur 25925:21 disagree 25991:1,2,2 25988:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 easy 25913:18,19 entitle 25893:1 deurgegaan 25931:11 disallow 25979:3 25973:22 25974:16 easy 25913:18,19 entrile 25876:12 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entrile 25975:13				dynamic 25992:16	
destination 25985:19 directly 25884:8,15 document 25927:2 e 25994:17 25995:2 25983:4 25984:5 detail 25873:12 25885:15 25887:15 25987:18 25934:16 25990:20 earlier 25865:6 ensure 25903:12 determination discorder 25904:4,10,23 25905:6 doesn't 25867:21 25967:21 25887:19 25903:8 determine 25865:23 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25906:19 25907:21 25906:19 25907:21 easier 25975:22 entail 25872:17 25901:22 25917:2 2591:2 25942:12 25935:6 25963:6,15 25935:6 25963:6,15 25990:16,18 25990:16 25993:20 deur 25925:21 disagree 25991:10,25992:1 disagree 25991:10,25992:1 2588:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 25949:16 25990:16 25993:20 deurgegaan 25931:11 disallow 25979:3 25973:22 25974:16 25973:22 25974:16 25991:10 25993:1 25973:22 25974:16 2690:6 25991:10 25990:1 2590:13 2590:16 25993:1 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 2590:6 2590:6 2590:6 2590:6 2590:6 2590:13 2590:13 2590:13 2590:13 2590:13 25					
detail 25873:12 25885:15 25887:15 25931:18 25934:16 25934:16 25934:16 25922:16 25934:15 ensue 25903:12 ensure 25873:6 ensure 25873:6 ensure 25873:6 ensure 25873:6 25903:12 ensure 25873:6 ensure 25873:6 2587:19 25903:12 ensure 25873:6 2587:19 25903:8 ensure 25873:6 25887:19 25903:8 ensure 25875:21 ensure 25875:22 ensure 25875:22 ensure 25875:21 ensure 25875:22 ensure 25875:22 <th< td=""><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	_				
25874:8 25875:2 25917:1 25989:24 25934:19 25990:20 25922:16 25934:15 ensure 25873:6 determination 25900:10,11 25905:6 25876:13 25890:9 25907:21 25887:19 25903:8 determine 25865:23 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25906:19 25907:21 easier 25975:22 entail 25872:17 25898:7 25901:17,18 25931:2,12 25934:5 25912:17 25925:15 25935:6 25963:6,15 easiet 25975:13,19 25893:7 25933:5 disadvantage 25967:1 disagree 25991:1,2,2 doing 25884:11 25948:20,22 entitle 25927:12 25940:25 25975:9 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 easy 25913:18,19 deurgegaan 25931:11 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entrice 25873:6					
determination director 25904:4,10,23 doesn't 25867:21 25967:21 2587:19 25903:8 25900:10,11 25905:6 25876:13 25890:9 early 25966:4 entail 25872:17 determine 25865:23 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25906:19 25907:21 easier 25975:22 entails 25875:2 25898:7 25901:17,18 25931:2,12 25934:5 25912:17 25925:15 easiet 25975:13,19 25893:7 25933:5 disadvantage 25967:1 disagree 25991:1,2,2 doing 25884:11 25948:20,22 entitle 25993:20 deur 25925:21 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 easy 25913:18,19 entitled 25893:1 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entrice 25876:12					
25900:10,11 25905:6 25876:13 25890:9 early 25966:4 entail 25872:17 determine 25865:23 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25906:19 25907:21 easier 25975:22 entails 25872:17 25898:7 25901:17,18 25931:2,12 25934:5 25912:17 25925:15 easiest 25975:13,19 25893:7 25901:22 25917:2 25941:2 25942:12 25935:6 25963:6,15 easily 25890:16,18 entire 25931:9 25933:5 disadvantage 25967:1 doing 25884:11 25948:20,22 entitle 25997:12 25940:25 25975:9 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 easy 25913:18,19 deurgegaan 25931:11 disallow 25979:3 25973:22 25974:16 easy 25913:18,19 entraile 25872:17 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entrile 25872:17					
determine 25865:23 dis 25925:22 25930:24 25906:19 25907:21 easier 25975:22 entails 25875:2 25898:7 25901:17,18 25931:2,12 25934:2 25912:17 25925:15 easiet 25975:13,19 easily 25893:7 25933:5 disadvantage 25967:1 disagree 25991:1,2,2 doing 25884:11 25948:20,22 entitle 25990:16 25993:20 deur 25940:25 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 easy 25913:18,19 entitled 25893:1 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entries 25876:12					
25898:7 25901:17,18 25931:2,12 25934:5 25912:17 25925:15 easiest 25975:13,19 25893:7 25901:22 25917:2 25941:2 25942:12 25935:6 25963:6,15 easily 25890:16,18 entire 25931:9 25933:5 disadvantage 25967:1 disagree 25991:1,2,2 doing 25884:11 25948:20,22 entitle 25927:12 25940:25 25975:9 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 easy 25913:18,19 deurgegaan 25931:11 disallow 25979:3 25973:22 25974:16 easy 25913:18,19 entrance 25950:13 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entries 25876:12	*			•	
25901:22 25917:2 25941:2 25942:12 25935:6 25963:6,15 easily 25890:16,18 entire 25931:9 25933:5 disadvantage 25967:1 25969:20 doing 25884:11 25948:20,22 entitle 25927:12 25940:25 25975:9 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 easy 25913:18,19 deurgegaan 25931:11 disallow 25979:3 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entrice 25931:9					
25933:5 disadvantage 25967:1 25969:20 eastern 25914:13,14 25990:16 25993:20 deur 25925:21 disagree 25991:1,2,2 doing 25884:11 25948:20,22 entitle 25927:12 25940:25 25975:9 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 easy 25913:18,19 deurgegaan 25931:11 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entrice 25876:12	/ 35 1 1/35				
deur 25925:21 disagree 25991:1,2,2 doing 25884:11 25948:20,22 entitle 25927:12 25940:25 25975:9 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 entitle 25927:12 deurgegaan 25931:11 disallow 25979:3 25973:22 25974:16 easy 25913:18,19 entrance 25950:13 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entries 25876:12			-		
25940:25 25975:9 25991:10 25992:1 25888:13,15 25947:8 25949:16 entitled 25893:1 deurgegaan 25931:11 disallow 25979:3 25973:22 25974:16 easy 25913:18,19 entrance 25950:13 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entries 25876:12	In II Desire				
deurgegaan 25931:11 disallow 25979:3 25973:22 25974:16 easy 25913:18,19 entrance 25950:13 developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entries 25876:12				*	
developed 25993:21 disappears 25883:13 25974:19 effect 25900:6 entries 25876:12					
		Phone Manufacture Inter-Street			
A K C N I Y E P O K J U S I I C E			25974:19	effect 25900:6	entries 258/0:12
	ARCHIVE FO	K JUSTICE	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

				Page
25877:23 25883:11	25901:6	25952:13	25921:2,10	25961:24 25962:18
			*	
25947:2 25948:17	exclude 25884:4,14	expressed 25897:24	far 25865:15 25876:10	25963:8,15,16,17
25956:17,18	25885:8 25886:1,4	expressing 25957:13	25877:7 25892:16	25964:7,10
25960:13	25892:22 25893:18	extension 25921:11	25896:20 25898:2	fired 25996:11
entry 25876:10	25894:6 25895:4	extensive 25891:17	25913:11 25951:20	first 25867:18
25935:14 25937:16	25896:20 25919:18	extensively 25918:25	25955:8 25973:2	25871:15 25873:13
25937:17,21	excluded 25877:16	extent 25867:4	25986:6 25990:4,7	25875:16 25888:17
25941:22 25942:3	25878:4 25884:11	25868:20 25869:1	25997:12	25893:17 25898:5
25947:11,11,23	25892:19 25894:9	25886:8 25889:4	fast 25948:8	25905:11 25907:3,16
25948:18,20,23	excluding 25885:14	25894:18 25896:3	fatal 25943:21	25907:17 25912:20
25949:14,25	exclusion 25894:10	25904:7,8 25910:17	fatalities 25912:11	25924:2 25926:25
25950:11,21	excuse 25864:14	25967:1		25928:15,23 25930:1
*			fatally 25933:7 25943:19	·
25957:23,24	25931:16	extra 25875:25		25933:4 25940:12
25960:15 25969:16	executive 25901:2	25876:13 25877:22	favour 25895:7,24	25942:23 25947:11
25969:20 25970:5	exercise 25901:1,3,14	25879:1,14 25881:14	25919:15 25923:6	25955:22 25960:15
25972:2 25974:23	25905:12 25906:7	25882:22,23 25936:4	fear 25937:12	25962:5,17,24
25984:11	25919:7 25922:18	eye 25954:2,3	feedback 25931:19	25963:1,4 25965:6,23
enumerated 25869:2	exercising 25907:7	eyes 25932:6	25945:6 25946:14	25967:10 25972:20
equipment 25963:25	25922:23		25947:18 25968:7	25977:1,8,10 25978:9
25967:17	exhibit 25926:23	F	25983:10	25978:13 25980:19
errors 25992:19	25927:6,6,16 25931:4	face 25888:10	feel 25954:8	25982:17,20
escape 25913:20	25935:12 25937:7	faced 25888:10	feeling 25887:11	25984:18 25986:4,5,5
especially 25959:18	25938:7,8 25945:10	facilitating 25970:9	feelings 25887:15	25986:8,11,12,23
essentially 25871:14	25945:16,20 25946:7	facility 25884:17	25888:8,9	firstly 25882:13
25875:17 25876:10	25960:8 25975:14	fact 25865:11 25870:15	fetch 25936:10	
25876:14	25977:3,11,16	25871:5 25872:8,9	FFF25 25935:12	25884:3,16 25907:17 25908:8 25916:19
establish 25903:11,16	25978:4,11 25981:21	25873:5,19 25876:1	fibre 25874:19	Fischer 25970:10
established 25877:9,11	25984:9 25986:17	25876:11 25885:18	field 25995:12	fit 25907:4
25892:5 25911:14,14	25995:25	25887:9 25890:2	fifthly 25884:9	five 25866:16 25884:2
25917:15 25922:11	exhibits 25880:24,25	25897:4 25904:1	figure 25876:24	25928:14 25932:21
et 25962:19 25963:19	25926:12,12,16	25907:20 25910:25	25940:15	25937:13
eTV 25933:19	25975:25 25976:6	25912:9,23 25932:3	figures 25875:14	flight 25949:14
25948:12 25955:23	exist 25867:13	25947:20 25952:25	25877:14 25915:3	25973:13,16
25986:25 25987:17	existing 25900:4	25958:22 25965:18	file 25987:7,9	FLIR 25954:20
evening 25972:18	exists 25917:19	25967:4 25969:12	filed 25864:23,24	flow 25926:22
event 25864:15	25925:15	25983:25 25995:19	25865:20 25866:9,20	flown 25983:24
25899:3 25920:22	expect 25972:6,23,25	factor 25877:16,25	25866:21,22,22	flows 25910:17
25923:5 25945:19	25973:17	25914:17 25915:21	25871:13	fly 25982:6 25983:25
25973:4	expected 25966:8	25916:9	fill 25876:1	flying 25972:11
events 25902:5	25967:5 25973:13	factors 25865:23	final 25868:1 25889:22	focused 25969:7
25905:18 25914:6,20	expense 25877:3	25898:4 25903:19	25983:11 25984:11	25980:1
25944:20 25994:19	expenses 25876:25	25905:3 25917:12	25994:4,9	follow 25869:22
	25877:20,21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
everybody 25914:14	*	facts 25871:25 25873:3	finalise 25866:2	25902:17 25912:23
25936:22 25953:13	25915:14	25873:12 25878:16	finally 25878:8 25887:8	25956:5 25964:3
25954:7	expensive 25877:15	25911:13 25915:9	25897:16 25922:17	25967:19 25976:6
evidence-in-chief	experience 25865:9,9	fail 25882:18,19	25924:15	followed 25886:23
25865:12,16,19	25952:7 25994:4	25891:7	Finance 25915:1	25890:1 25956:6
exact 25888:9,10	experiences 25912:17	failed 25872:24	financial 25887:1	25995:13
exactly 25875:7	expert 25931:5	25917:3,5 25930:16	find 25879:4,8	following 25881:4
25883:5,6 25918:8	explain 25870:10,14	failure 25873:2,13	25895:12 25896:4	25887:17 25890:6
25938:14 25939:13	25877:2 25884:19	25931:16 25932:5	25899:3 25905:13	25898:4 25932:16
examination 25867:10	25913:2	25991:6,7,16,19	25909:22 25920:25	25943:13
25867:16 25928:8	explained 25876:21,22	fair 25867:8,14	25973:21 25989:1	follows 25942:2
25930:9	25882:17 25887:22	25965:13 25971:25	finds 25870:23	follow-up 25943:7
examine 25902:22	25946:2 25947:19	fairness 25896:13	fine 25976:3	25967:11 25968:3
example 25874:14	25968:1	fall 25899:4 25941:23	fingers 25913:23	25969:4 25980:14
25883:4 25885:4,4,9	explanation 25988:15	falls 25893:14	finished 25865:12,16	25985:2
25889:25	explanations 25912:7	false 25962:1	25935:3 25936:24	foot 25873:24 25970:14
	explicit 25955:21	falsehood 25930:1		25978:8
examples 25890:8			fire 25961:12 25963:13	
25932:21 25947:9	exploring 25956:11	families 25866:12,14	25963:14 25969:17	footage 25952:20
exceeded 25881:9	exposed 25914:4	25916:17 25923:21	25969:22 25970:1	footnote 25932:8,13
exceeds 25915:21	25918:2 25921:9	25924:4,12	25979:10	force 25900:5,6
exceptional 25885:19	exposing 25922:4	family 25866:18	firearm 25961:4,5,13	25919:10 25973:25
except15:24/18-23	exposure 25914:15	25902:8 25904:21	25963:10,11	25993:12
25986:9	25920:25	25906:4 25914:3	firearms 25960:18,20	form 25868:17
excise 25898:12	express 25944:23	25919:17 25920:11	25960:21 25961:21	fortunately 25884:5
_ ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			l .

				Page
forum 25905:7,24	25973:25 25974:3	goes 25868:9 25870:22	25913:14 25940:2	25899:12 25902:19
25906:3,18 25918:2	25979:6 25984:16	25934:2 25942:2	25948:22,25 25949:5	25907:3 25914:1
25922:7	25985:16,18,18,21,25	25948:22 25960:12	25950:2,6,7,14	25934:3,3,14 25936:8
forward 25926:1	25986:1	25963:18	25968:25	25938:21 25939:1,5,6
25933:1	generals 25972:3,6,21	going 25865:2,4	groupings 25884:2	25939:17 25944:12
found 25895:7,19,20	25973:3,8	25867:2 25868:9	groups 25884:18	25945:13,21,23
25896:19 25897:13	gentleman 25979:19	25869:1,20 25879:11	25886:4	25946:12 25956:3
founded 25897:19	German 25928:5	25879:13,17 25880:3	guaranteed 25867:7	25957:12 25959:11
25920:5	gesien 25934:7	25880:9 25881:2,18	guns 25911:25	25961:2,24 25962:8
founding 25870:17	gesprek 25934:10	25881:19,20,22	guys 25980:13 25981:2	25965:17,20
25878:12	gesprekke 25934:11	25885:21,22 25886:7	25981:11	25972:20 25977:12
four 25866:11	gesê 25929:17,22	25886:8,16 25887:1		25977:16 25978:5,7
25871:14 25911:15	getting 25972:22	25888:5 25892:20	Н	25979:9 25980:6
25988:25 25989:2,6	25987:9	25893:11,22 25896:4	hadn't 25965:24,25	25981:23 25982:6
fourth 25875:13	gewees 25929:16	25896:24 25899:2	25967:1	25983:14 25989:3,5
Fourthly 25884:9	give 25869:20,21	25903:9 25906:21	half 25933:21 25935:6	25989:12,17
framework 25991:4,12	25874:7 25881:6	25911:5,15 25913:2	25941:11 25945:7,22	heard 25885:8
frank 25995:7	25895:8 25898:12	25920:23 25923:19	25946:11 25948:8	25891:16 25892:2,2
25996:13 25997:14	25904:15 25908:21	25924:1,3 25926:1,15	hand 25920:7 25934:7	25893:6,19 25912:15
25997:18,22 frankly 25890:11	25909:14,16 25911:15 25912:7	25934:17,19 25935:14,15 25953:3	25937:6 25989:23 handed 25936:20,25	25916:21 25932:24 25933:3,9,13
Friday 25864:9	25917:13 25912:7	25955:14,15 25955:5 25954:13 25959:6	25937:8	25933:3,9,13 25938:10,13
friends 25891:13	25917.25 25918.2 25922:3,5 25923:13	25961:6 25966:13,14	handled 25892:7	25959:18,24
25894:15	25923:15 25926:6,7	25966:15,17,17	25936:15	25960:20 25962:16
Fritz 25933:3,8	25937:3 25942:19	25972:12 25975:20	handling 25892:8	25964:19 25965:18
25938:18	25953:4 25966:14	25982:6 25990:24	hands 25879:23	25965:19 25974:1
front 25950:3 25952:23	25968:7 25977:5	25996:4	25926:18 25940:4	25985:20 25989:22
fulcrum 25913:22	25979:2 25983:9,11	good 25869:16 25916:6	25961:1	hearing 25873:8
full 25867:9,15 25912:1	25990:24 25993:15	25928:10,11	happen 25895:18	25894:2,7,10 25905:8
25941:15 25987:18	25997:9	Gotz 25924:13,17	25896:24 25905:24	25909:10 25919:19
25995:6 25996:13	given 25901:13	25925:3	25912:17 25914:22	25920:3 25921:20
25997:14,18,21	25905:22 25910:5,18	grabbed 25908:5	25966:12	25938:20 25945:17
fully 25931:22	25912:11,24	grant 25878:14	happened 25881:3,7	25957:2
fundamental 25992:18	25916:24 25918:16	25882:17 25922:19	25882:3 25886:10	hearings 25868:10
further 25864:24	25922:9,21 25926:1	granted 25864:7,10	25898:19 25905:4	25902:9 25910:8
25869:13,16	25932:5,19 25934:19	25892:15,18	25906:18,23	25920:5
25880:23 25882:6,15	25966:25 25970:23	25928:13	25912:18 25922:14	hears 25907:18
25892:20 25896:9	25979:24 25988:8	granting 25901:15	25930:17 25944:14	hearses 25911:16
25925:14 25956:7	25993:24 25994:1	25919:8	25951:20 25953:25	Heerden 25956:15,17
25957:1 25960:14	gives 25884:3 25917:2	grapple 25896:6	25959:20 25960:4,5	25958:1,3
future 25864:12,16	25918:9	grateful 25895:16	25967:6 25968:3	Heerden's 25956:12
25902:9 25926:12	giving 25889:20	25934:18	25973:3 25974:1	25957:2
G	25891:24 25902:15	greater 25867:4	happening 25930:23	held 25895:10,21 25922:8
gain 25895:13	25904:17 25909:4 25913:2 25917:8,14	25869:1 25922:5 grenade 25996:19	25931:22 25953:7 happens 25903:9	25922:8 helicopter 25933:16
25960:11	25913:2 25917:8,14 25917:21 25918:21	grenade 25996:19 grenades 25968:22	25958:19	25939:17 25946:3
gamut 25878:15	25917:21 25918:21 25919:4 25946:13	25995:20	harbour 25887:11,14	25973:25 25995:12
ganut 23876:13 gap 25876:1	25947:18	grievances 25936:15	harbouring 25888:8	helicopters 25954:20
Gary 25931:4 25990:12	glanced 25894:12	grossly 25932:5,12	hard 25927:12,25	help 25881:13
gather 25900:16	go 25869:13 25874:8	ground 25882:12,16	harm 25887:5	25899:13 25903:16
gathered 25945:1	25881:22 25893:7	25917:3 25930:23	25913:25 25914:4	25906:5 25912:6,12
25948:19 25950:14	25896:20 25898:2,16	25931:22 25938:16	25917:20 25918:3	25926:14 25971:16
gathering 25950:16	25929:1,6 25932:14	25938:25 25939:20	25920:11 25921:10	25978:12 25979:1,10
25969:2	25935:13 25936:4	25939:22 25940:3	25922:5	25980:2,2,7,10
gebonde 25925:21	25938:7,19 25940:13	25942:16,19 25943:2	harmed 25920:16	25982:2
25940:25 25975:9	25945:9 25948:4,10	25943:4,7 25944:16	hasn't 25878:9 25881:3	helps 25903:10
gebring 25934:8	25949:1,2,18,20	25946:13 25957:18	25898:18 25974:5	Hemraj 25942:24
geen 25930:5	25950:15 25956:18	25959:5 25964:25	haven't 25866:1	25954:11,23 25955:2
gegaan 25929:17	25957:23 25960:16	25965:14 25967:12	25894:22 25900:18	25955:7,10,19
gemaak 25929:21	25962:2 25970:16,18	25967:22 25968:5,10	head 25890:8 25940:4	25963:23
25941:1	237/1.0,10 237/7.13	25968:21,23 25983:2	headphones 25935:23	herinner 25925:20
general 25884:23	25979:9,17 25980:5	25984:2 25985:21	heads 25893:2	25940:25
25885:2,18 25910:8	25981:20 25984:8,16	grounds 25882:9	25894:13 25895:15	het 25929:17,18,22
25950:22 25951:16	25984:21,21	25916:18	25896:9 25923:3	25931:7,11 25934:6,7
25953:17 25972:5,5	25985:11,16,23	group 25884:12	headsets 25881:9	25941:1
25972:10,14,14,15	25987:24 25996:25	25886:3,11 25912:4,8	hear 25881:15	he'll 25881:10
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	1	I	1

25937:11 25973:21
25983:8
he's 25889:14 25890:13
25905:17 25914:13
25917:24 25920:22
25920:23 25922:8
25952:12 25963:5
25964:9 25971:17
25975:18 25983:4
ННН 25945:16
HHH45 25945:10
higher 25910:15
25922:8,12
high-speed 25874:19
hire 25876:8
hold 25897:8 25918:19
25972:22
holding 25933:1
holds 25906:2
hom 25931:7,11
hoor 25934:9
hope 25895:23 25896:7 hoping 25987:1
horses 25984:21,22
hostel 25950:13
hot 25890:17
hour 25940:23
hours 25947:21
house 25928:6
25948:22,22,24,24
housekeeping 25878:25
25881:7 25926:13
25940:22
huge 25949:16
human 25864:5
25920:18 25923:21
25923:23 25928:13
25930:10 25931:5
25956:16 25960:9
25969:22
hundred 25969:1
hurdle 25893:4,17
hy 25929:19,22,22
25934:9
I
idea 25879:19
25947:14 25952:20
25964:7 25978:2
ideal 25966:19,22,23
idem 25873:6

idem 25873:6 **identified** 25941:16 25944:16 25976:22 **identify** 25919:20 25928:1 25975:17 25977:9,13,20 25978:9 25979:10,14 25980:20,23 25982:2 25982:8,11 25986:18 25987:2,3,15 25988:2 25988:4,9 identifying 25974:16 25978:13 25980:7,11 25982:5 identity 25887:21,23,25 25888:6 25903:5,14

25921:5,6,11 iemand 25934:8
iets 25929:18
ignored 25895:23
ill 25887:11,14 25888:8
25888:9
illusory 25895:13
image 25914:2,13
25950:20 25951:12
25985:2
imagery 25950:23
images 25874:21
25946:8 25953:14
imagine 25896:6
25898:21 25954:4
25961:5
immediately 25913:4
25926:16 25931:25
25932:23 25952:21
25996:20
implausible 25918:22
implement 25991:8,16
implementation
25991:7,16
implemented 25994:5
implicate 25868:16
implicates 25914:21
implications 25916:6
implore 25901:14
importance 25903:21
25904:16 25906:9
25911:8
important 25880:6
25883:22 25896:14
25902:13 25903:10
25902:13 25903:10 25910:23 25911:12
25883:22 25896:14 25902:13 25903:10 25910:23 25911:12
25923:9 25953:2
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 inadequate 25991:25
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 inadequate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 inadequate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 inadequate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 inadequate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 inadequate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25999:2
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 2580:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 incidents 25912:11
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 incidents 25912:11 include 25893:23
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 incidents 25912:11 include 25893:23 25963:16
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 incidents 25912:11 include 25893:23 25963:16 including 25878:15
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 incidents 25912:11 include 25893:23 25963:16
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 incidents 25912:11 include 25893:23 25963:16 including 25878:15 25888:25 25890:19
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 include 25893:23 25963:16 including 25878:15 25888:25 25890:19 25911:7 25912:11
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 incidents 25912:11 include 25893:23 25963:16 including 25878:15 25888:25 25890:19 25911:7 25912:11 incomplete 25935:6
25923:9 25953:2 25996:6 importantly 25875:3 25903:6,15 impossible 25872:12 25875:8 25988:11 impression 25942:21 25964:19 imprisoned 25922:8 imprudent 25897:3 inaccurate 25991:25 25993:19,21 25995:3 inaudible 25867:11 25869:12 25873:16 25880:12 25892:21 25989:2 incident 25933:9 25954:14 include 25893:23 25963:16 including 25878:15 25888:25 25890:19 25911:7 25912:11

25914:8 25916:22

25920:21.22.24

25919:2,23 25922:15
incorporated 25923:5
increased 25992:19,20
incur 25876:20
25877:1 25887:6
25915:2
incurred 25889:24
25915:14
indemnity 25914:20
indicate 25926:6
25944:20 25958:7
indicated 25866:8
25924:20,25
25964:14 25971:20
indicating 25877:14
indication 25954:24
indications 25926:15
indien 25929:18
indistinct 25897:18
25898:21 25899:14
25909:12 25923:11
25933:2
individual 25918:20
25993:18
ineffective 25872:13
inexplicable 25912:8
25913:6
inform 25887:25
25930:17,22
informal 25995:17
25996:1,8
information 25874:3,7
25874:24 25875:10
25905:22 25919:19 25929:13 25930:2
25953:3,16,17
25958:25 25974:6
informed 25881:1,8
25931:22 25934:16
25945:19 25972:10
25973:8
infringe 25867:4
25869:1 25873:7
25878:15 25919:9
infringement 25869:4
25891:6
infringing 25871:9,10
initiative 25954:13
initiatives 25951:21
injured 25866:11
25886:11 25913:16
25916:16 25923:21
25925:1 25933:4
25939:11,15,24
25940:11 25943:18 25943:22 25956:14
25943:22 25956:14
25956:20,24 25957:4
25957:15 25958:4,22
25965:14 25967:24
injury 25958:7
inquiry 25872:2
25892:7 25894:5
inside 25884:2
25888:11 25972:6
insofar 25894:19
inspiration 25916:1

```
instructed 25888:21
instruction 25912:19
  25971:24
instructions 25912:24
  25953:4
intelligence 25991:24
intend 25880:21
  25907:12
intended 25917:20
intention 25996:25
inter 25911:19
  25995:24
interaction 25988:9
interest 25879:1
  25880:9 25884:23
  25885:2 25889:16
  25907:8
interested 25902:17,18
  25997:5,6,11
interesting 25957:22
interests 25904:11
  25922:2,3,10 25926:4
international 25919:5
  25920:6
interpret 25894:24
  25898:9 25900:14,15
  25900:19,20
  25971:19
interpretation 25879:5
  25901:8 25958:6
\textbf{interpreted}\ 25871:22
  25912:10
interpreter 25880:18
interpretive 25898:10
  25898:11
interrogate 25925:2
interrogatories
  25975:15,21 25976:1
  25976:15 25981:23
  25986:16
interrupt 25896:12
  25910:16 25926:22
interruptions 25941:8
interval 25967:6
intimidation 25905:21
investigate 25905:18
  25906:11
investigating 25917:25
  25918:17
investigation 25918:6
invincible 25912:5
invited 25965:25
  25966:1
inviting 25901:5,23
involved 25872:16
  25873:5 25904:24
inyanga 25912:19
in-camera 25920:3,5
  25921:20
ipse 25918:10,15,15
irrelevant 25871:17
  25872:4 25908:1,8,15
  25915:22
Isaacs 25993:14,15
isn't 25865:19 25870:4
```

issue 25876:17.20.22 25878:25 25879:1 25880:20 25881:20 25882:18,20 25888:19 25890:17 25990:11 issues 25891:4 25899:5 25902:13 Item 25900:3 it's 25877:2 I'd 25866:24 I'll 25866:5,8 25916:7 25979:3 **I'm** 25867:2 25868:11 25870:3,7 25888:5,12 25888:14,15,22 25889:12,13,14 25890:7,22 25893:2 25893:11,22 25900:14 25906:12 25918:1,16,17 **'ve** 25864:10 25869:2 25870:7 25888:20 25894:12 25921:16 **i.e** 25916:24 **I1** 25978:4,11 **I3** 25981:21 I4 25984:9

Ja 25883:9 25953:15 25960:6 25981:4 25984:17 25997:4 January 25929:5 JJJ 25950:4 JJJ108 25938:8 JJJ11 25946:25 25948:3 25949:2,19 25949:20 25950:15 **JJJ11.1518** 25951:11 JJJ178 25931:4 25990:20 **JJJ72** 25938:18 **JOC** 25930:14,22 25931:17,21 25932:11,22 25933:10 25937:24 25938:3 25939:9 25940:9.13 25943:5 25944:24 25945:3,19 25945:24 25946:14 25947:16 25949:9 25951:7,20,25 25952:4,17,20,25 25953:17,22 25954:5 25954:10,22 25955:14.23 25957:8 25957:19 25958:14 25958:17,20,25 25959:1 25964:4 25965:1 25968:8 25969:3 25988:11,15 25988:20 25994:11 **JOCCOM** 25965:25 25992:17

25900:18

JOHANNES 25926:9

Ist April 2014	M
25934:25 25941:4	25953:23,24
25976:17	25956:23 25959:4,10
Johannesburg	25959:12 25962:14
25989:23	25966:10,25
jok 25930:6	25972:16 25974:13
joking 25880:1	25975:24 25979:20
Jo'burg 25986:17,23	25979:20,23,25
25990:5	25980:12 25981:1,10
judgment 25897:13,14	25989:17,20 25990:2
25910:2	25990:4,6 25995:23
judgments 25903:24	25996:7 25997:4
jump 25893:17	knowing 25887:20
jurisdiction 25898:17	knowingly 25911:24
justice 25867:6,19,19	knowledge 25915:8
25871:19,25 25873:8	25921:3 25960:11
25885:3 25891:18	25990:8 25996:6
25903:8,11 25914:23	25997:12
25919:23 25920:1	known 25869:24
25922:2,3,10	25914:8,13 25920:23
justifiable 25871:9	knows 25888:24
justified 25877:4	
U	25905:19 KOLONEL 25025:22
justify 25864:18	KOLONEL 25925:22
25869:4	25929:15 25930:5,24
jy 25934:9,10	25931:2,7,11
K	25933:23 25934:5
	25941:2 25942:12
keep 25974:16	kom 25934:11
25980:17	Kommissie 25930:6
keeping 25969:6	koppie 25913:4,5,6,8
Keetse 25915:7	25913:17,18,20
kept 25869:23	25929:20 25931:24
killed 25866:13,14,15	25945:1 25948:1,10
25866:16	25949:1,15,16,21
killings 25905:21	25950:3 25955:24
kilometres 25953:1	25967:14 25968:11
kind 25865:23	25969:1 25972:18
25883:25 25895:22	25981:8 25982:7
25911:10 25966:11	25995:13
25978:25	koppies 25948:23
kindly 25998:6	korrek 25925:22
kinds 25888:3	25930:24 25931:2,12
KKK4 25945:20	25933:23 25934:5
KKK41 25960:8	25941:2 25942:12
25984:11	kraal 25932:2 25969:7
KKK42 25956:15	kyk 25934:7
KKK43 25946:7	
knew 25913:8,19	L
know 25865:8	L 25995:25
25876:23,24	lack 25917:7,9
25877:22,24 25880:9	25954:19
25883:15 25886:14	laid 25864:15
25887:3,17 25888:2	land 25972:12,17,18
-,	
25889:10 25890:2	language 25901:6
25889:10 25890:2 25892:20 25896:4,24	language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:3

kom 25934:11
Kommissie 25930:6
koppie 25913:4,5,6,8
25913:17,18,20
25929:20 25931:24
25945:1 25948:1,10
25949:1,15,16,21
25950:3 25955:24
25967:14 25968:11
25969:1 25972:18
25981:8 25982:7
25995:13
koppies 25948:23
korrek 25925:22
25930:24 25931:2,12
25933:23 25934:5
25941:2 25942:12
kraal 25932:2 25969:7
kyk 25934:7
L
L 25995:25
lack 25917:7,9
1ack 23711.1,7
25954:19
25954:19 laid 25864:15
25954:19
25954:19 laid 25864:15
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16 25911:20 latest 25873:21
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16 25911:20 latest 25873:21 25874:24 25875:3
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16 25911:20 latest 25873:21 25874:24 25875:3 law 25867:8,14
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16 25911:20 latest 25873:21 25874:24 25875:3 law 25867:8,14 25878:18 25894:4
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16 25911:20 latest 25873:21 25874:24 25875:3 law 25867:8,14 25878:18 25894:4 25898:7 25900:4,5,12
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16 25911:20 latest 25873:21 25874:24 25875:3 law 25867:8,14 25878:18 25894:4 25898:7 25900:4,5,12 25900:14,15,20,25
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16 25911:20 latest 25873:21 25874:24 25875:3 law 25867:8,14 25878:18 25894:4 25898:7 25900:4,5,12
25954:19 laid 25864:15 land 25972:12,17,18 language 25901:6 large 25868:16 25991:8 25991:17 lastly 25946:6 25984:8 25993:8 25995:5 late 25864:18 25871:16 25911:20 latest 25873:21 25874:24 25875:3 law 25867:8,14 25878:18 25894:4 25898:7 25900:4,5,12 25900:14,15,20,25

```
25919:4.5 25920:6.6
lawvers 25884:5.13
  25903:1
leader 25937:11
leaders 25874:12
  25921:18 25948:7
learned 25891:12
  25894:14
leave 25864:9,13,17
  25928:14 25982:1
  25983:6
leaves 25869:22
  25941:17
led 25991:17
Ledingoane 25866:18
  25919:17
Leepile 25903:24
lees 25929:18
left 25897:23 25937:13
  25987:3
legal 25866:19
  25891:16 25902:21
  25918:7 25919:16
  25920:18 25928:17
  25974:6
legally 25919:20
legislation 25907:5
legs 25940:5
lesser 25867:4 25869:1
lest 25877:24
lethal 25960:21
  25961:5,23 25962:16
  25962:18,25 25963:2
  25963:5,11,15
  25964:7,8,10
let's 25880:5 25882:21
  25883:23 25884:1,6
  25885:25 25887:10
  25890:15 25907:24
  25926:21,22
  25935:20,20,24
  25961:15 25962:3,5
  25971:3 25974:18
  25995:10
level 25889:19
Lewis 25924:4,6,8,10
lie 25930:3
lies 25906:16 25908:18
  25911:2
Lieutenant 25930:7
  25933:6 25938:17
  25945:9 25961:22
```

25964:17 25971:12 25985:15 25986:3 25990:20 Lieutenant-Colonel 25864:6,10 25923:18 25924:14 25928:10 25928:12 25929:2 25931:8,23 25935:3 25937:16,25 25940:7 25941:7 25943:6,11 25946:17 25953:20 25955:20 25956:9 25959:8,25 25967:18 25968:13 25969:14

25972:2.19 25974:15 25974:21 25975:15 25976:15.20 25977:10,13,20 25978:3,12,20 25979:5 25980:20 25981:13,22 25982:5 25982:10,14,19,25 25983:13 25984:4,13 25984:14,17,23 25985:3,4,17 25986:15 25987:1,10 25990:10 25992:18 Lieutenant-General 25973:21 life 25885:23 25906:3 25919:12,15 25920:8 light 25894:24 25943:16 25954:12 25961:22 25964:18 25993:14 25997:10 lighting 25913:12 likelihood 25992:20 **likeliness** 25920:10 limit 25871:24 25919:19 limited 25871:20 25898:22 25947:21 limits 25864:14 line 25896:7 25912:9 25968:4 25996:17 **lined** 25969:3 line-up 25967:11 **linguistic** 25884:16 link 25871:2,8 25873:15,20,25 25874:3,11 25875:1,5 25875:11 25877:6,7 25877:10,21 25883:8 25883:13 25886:3 25901:24 25902:12 25915:13,18,21 25916:24 25917:7,10 25922:4,24 25950:20 linked 25874:19 linking 25882:23 listen 25914:1 25961:15 25962:4,5 25962:16,20 25971:1 LZ 25972:13,17 25971:3 25976:21 25983:17 25984:24 25987:18 listened 25960:25 25988:25

listening 25903:2

25910:10 25971:5

lists 25932:21 25960:10

little 25865:5 25922:12

25956:18 25957:1

25980:6 25984:15

live 25879:5 25963:7,14

25963:16 25964:20

25964:22 25965:1,9

25968:9,19 25969:8

25967:15,20,25

25985:7

25969:13.17.17.22.22 25970:1.2 25992:21 lives 25921:9 located 25913:9 location 25913:7,14 Loest 25932:22 25945:16 Loest's 25945:18 logistical 25870:18,21 long 25879:10,14 longer 25898:25 look 25883:23 25885:25 25897:1 25904:14,24 25905:1 25912:25 25926:23 25935:19 25948:16 25949:12,13,25 25950:4 25951:10 25957:22 25960:15 25966:15 25975:25 25986:16,20 25993:13 looked 25918:1,18 looking 25941:21 25985:13 looks 25888:2 25891:3 loop 25929:24 **lordship** 25898:5 lose 25956:21 lot 25879:2 25923:14 25963:6 LRC 25920:7,15,22 **Luitenant-kolonel** 25925:20 25940:24 25975:9 lumping 25884:17,17 lunch 25935:19,25 25936:3,9 25940:8,18 25940:23 25943:12 lying 25932:1 25939:13 25939:14,23,25 25940:1,5 25944:17 25956:14,20,24 25957:3,14,18 25958:3,5 25959:2 25967:22 25968:21 25968:22 25969:12 lvk 25929:19

M maar 25929:17 Madlanga 25889:2 Magidiwana 25886:15 25936:20,24 Mahlangu 25879:7 25880:4 25881:7 25936:1,10,18 main 25865:3 25940:2 25988:6 **Major-General** 25932:17,24 25938:6 25938:7 25988:11,15 25988:20 making 25866:8

25876:14 25880:22

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

25899:1 25900:15

25901:15 25906:21

25906:22 25909:21

25909:22 25914:14

25914:15 25915:16

25921:10 25924:18

25933:19 25935:17

25938:24 25942:14

25936:6,22 25937:12

25945:20,23 25948:7

25951:5,6 25953:22

25927:21,23

				Page 1
25882:5,13 25889:12	25895:5 25905:1	25968:8 25978:22	25878:21,24	25960:20 25961:21
25889:14 25890:8	25908:16 25958:7	minded 25869:19	25879:12,16,21,25	25961:22 25962:17
25897:16 25900:8	25959:22	mine 25953:24	25880:7,11,15	25962:18,25,25,25
25903:13 25911:21	meant 25956:4	miners 25886:5	25881:10,17 25883:5	25963:19 25964:10
25957:13	25961:21,22	minute 25936:12	25883:9,14,17	25987:5
male 25977:12,16	measures 25890:7	25945:22 25946:11	25891:8 25893:23	needed 25942:20
25978:5 25979:9	media 25884:9,13	25953:3 25958:18	25896:11 25902:18	needs 25875:6
25981:24	25902:24 25903:3	25959:16 25979:9,11	25906:12 25907:14	negative 25945:14
males 25948:25	mediaberigte 25929:18	25979:25 25989:2,6	25907:16 25908:13	25978:1 25989:19
man 25965:8	medical 25932:4,23,25	minutes 25933:15,21	25908:14,19	negligent 25932:5,12
managed 25935:15	25938:9,10,11,15,16	25934:20 25935:7,19	25910:18 25911:1	neither 25896:17
25942:1	25942:10,13,22	25935:24 25937:13	25917:10 25920:13	25921:3
management 25931:18	25943:1 25944:6,10	25939:8 25941:11	25920:19,23	net 25929:17,22
manifestly 25918:21,22 manner 25903:18	25946:18 25959:13 25959:19,21 25960:3	25945:8 25948:8 25966:16 25979:17	25924:16,17,18,22 25925:1,3,4,17,24	neutralised 25883:1 never 25883:18
25905:9,14 25906:7	medication 25899:13	25980:5,13,15	25925:1,5,4,17,24 25926:4,8 25934:18	25885:7 25887:22
25912:18 25916:23	medics 25942:17	25988:25	25936:2,5,11,18	25888:6 25898:12
25922:21 25936:14	25971:19 25983:5	misdirect 25898:1	Mpofu's 25910:19	25899:6 25980:8,22
marching 25948:20	medium 25901:20	mishear 25943:2	murderer 25908:18	new 25873:3 25897:15
Marikana 25902:6	meet 25920:12	mislead 25929:9	mustn't 25894:21	next-door 25888:11
25905:19 25913:24	meeting 25965:25	missed 25894:13	muti 25912:4,5	nicely 25973:20
25914:6 25917:25	25966:10	misses 25921:1		nie 25929:21,21,23
25919:9 25922:14	member 25885:11	missing 25882:16	N	25930:6
25972:7 25973:3	25902:7 25946:19	25968:14	n 25934:7	niks 25929:23
25974:1 25991:6,17	25969:16,21	mistake 25947:16	naam 25929:19	NIU 25950:1
25994:19	25970:15 25983:2	misunderstood	nader 25934:8	NNN 25880:25
mark 25881:3	25985:23	25870:7 25873:22	Naidoo 25932:24	nog 25925:20 25940:25
25926:19,21 25927:1	members 25902:24	mitigate 25890:14	25979:6 25984:16	25940:25 25975:9
25928:4 25937:12	25912:12 25914:3	mitigated 25889:24	25985:17,18,19,21,25	noise 25934:14
25975:14 25980:5	25915:2,15,17	25890:18	25986:2	Noki 25911:20,22
marked 25880:23,24	25921:10 25933:10	mode 25881:13	Naidoo's 25938:8	non-giving 25917:8
25946:7 25948:3 25960:8	25942:4 25946:8,10 25959:11 25967:16	moet 25925:20 25940:24	name 25888:1 narrowed 25957:1	non-lethal 25961:4,11 normal 25885:6,6
Masinya 25933:9	25968:10,11 25978:8	moment 25983:18	narrowly 25889:23	25967:8 25973:14
material 25874:13	25987:12 25988:20	25996:18 25997:5	National 25973:17	normally 25868:6
25913:1 25923:14	25993:24 25994:1	money 25891:4	nature 25868:15	25876:5 25887:3
25924:24	25995:14	month 25876:18	25904:6,8,14,16	25892:14,22 25940:2
matter 25865:21	memorandum	25915:5,6	25906:8 25909:9	25940:3 25967:16
25869:17 25880:6	25936:21,23,25	mood 25996:20	25923:4	25973:12
25881:21,21	25937:1,6,8,9	morning 25864:3,20	near 25948:23	northern 25948:25
25887:18 25895:25	men 25986:18 25987:2	25866:23 25896:16	nearly 25899:12	North-West 25989:25
25896:1 25898:15	25996:25	25911:16 25947:24	25974:10	note 25948:5 25956:12
25899:15 25906:19	mention 25904:1	25948:9 25964:23	necessarily 25936:6	25956:21 25969:16
25909:17 25910:24	25939:17	25966:4 25994:11	necessary 25871:25	noted 25960:16
25911:8,17 25921:3	mentioned 25865:24	25998:3,8	25894:4,11 25895:5	notes 25931:15
25952:16 25963:8	25952:4 25975:16 25985:19 25986:6	motor 25913:18 mounted 25982:15	25896:17,25 25897:12 25899:3	25956:15 25957:2 25958:6
25964:6,13 25966:11 matters 25871:17	25996:3	move 25969:15	25901:12 25902:11	noukeurig 25934:6
25872:2 25891:19	mentioning 25957:14	25970:4 25972:1	25901:12 25902:11 25903:17 25905:13	no-one 25952:8
25901:21 25906:10	mere 25900:14	25975:19 25978:4	25906:6,23 25907:6	Ntsebeza 25885:5
25918:5,6,18,18	25911:22	25979:18 25983:3	25911:14 25914:16	25897:21,22 25924:8
Mawela 25972:5,14,15	merits 25896:5	25990:11 25991:23	25922:20 25953:4	number 25868:16
25973:21 25974:3	message 25943:4	moved 25995:25	25967:17	25884:12,12,13
ma'am 25928:11	25954:9,16 25959:1	movement 25912:10	necessity 25871:19	25902:12 25903:19
25955:1	25972:8	25933:1 25949:21	25872:2 25894:20	25910:19,22 25911:6
mean 25869:17	met 25887:22 25917:12	moving 25930:8	25895:4,19 25897:20	25913:5 25932:3
25876:13 25877:1	25921:20 25948:25	25942:5 25948:1,24	nee 25929:15 25930:5	25933:7,10 25941:8
25887:17 25889:12	microphone 25867:11	25950:13,17	need 25874:2,4,11,13	25945:11 25948:5,24
25911:15 25921:4	25869:12 25873:16	25964:24 25965:6	25874:14,15,16	25988:23 25991:9,17
25943:7,17,17,18,24	25880:12 25941:17	25974:15 25995:16	25880:23 25899:13	25995:14
25963:16 25971:19	microwave 25874:19	25995:17 Mnombo 25088:11 15	25901:10 25913:20	numbers 25881:4
25971:24 25985:8	middle 25981:8 25982:7	Mpembe 25988:11,15 25988:20	25925:8 25929:5 25938:7 16 19	25883:15,18 25949:16
meaning 25904:3 25921:19	25982:7 mikrofoon 25934:8	25988:20 Mpembe's 25932:17	25938:7,16,19 25944:5 25945:9	Nyalas 25967:11
means 25869:10	mind 25896:2 25897:16	Mpofu 25865:3,25	25952:21 25954:8	25968:3 25969:3
25873:6 25892:22	25964:22 25965:5	25866:3,8 25878:20	25952:21 25954.8	25995:15
A R C H I V E E O	R	25 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 7 6 . 20	20,00,.12 20,00.17	20,70,10
_				

				Page 1
0	25978:7 25984:15,19	25894:20 25921:13	Pappa2 25947:17	25935:9 25937:17
	25984:21 25986:22	25943:17 25958:7	paragraph 25870:16	25941:23 25945:22
object 25882:8	25987:22	opposing 25864:23,25	25872:21,24 25873:1	25946:11 25949:4
25906:24 objected 25908:19	old 25900:20	25865:2 25873:1	25874:17 25878:11	25958:18 25959:16
objection 25909:18	older 25986:18 25987:2	25874:8,18 25908:4	25893:2 25901:9,25	25987:17 25988:25
25916:14,18 25979:1	om 25930:6	25908:23	25903:14,19,20	25989:2,6
25979:4	omit 25930:2	opposition 25882:8	25905:5 25914:2,24	perish 25912:22
objective 25933:20	omitting 25929:13	25916:18 25919:22	25920:14 25921:15	permitted 25902:22
objects 25905:9	once 25865:13	oppositions 25866:25	25931:14,17 25932:9	person 25884:22
obligation 25880:13	25869:24 25882:20	optic 25874:19	25932:15 25938:9,19	25894:2,9 25904:3,8
obligations 25892:10	25885:17 25886:21	orally 25949:9	25945:10,16	25908:7 25920:8
oblivious 25912:9	25888:19 25906:21	order 25873:20	25990:23 25991:1,23	25942:22 25953:10
observation 25916:19	25908:16	25878:14 25881:7	25992:15	25980:10,12 25981:1
25997:7	ongoing 25932:7	25895:24 25898:17	paragraphs 25910:14	25981:10 25982:3,4
observations 25944:15	25967:12	25916:20 25917:9	pardon 25897:14	25983:5,11 25985:10
25944:20	onus 25885:16,20	25923:5,6,8 25924:2	part 25864:7 25868:17	25985:13
observe 25868:6	25886:20 25889:23	25942:2,11 25952:14	25873:14 25901:4	personal 25904:20
25869:21 25903:2	OOO 25927:7	25991:4,12,19	25909:17 25930:20	25915:8
25939:22 25996:18	OOO19 25926:24	25992:4,12	25932:12 25963:1,2,4	personally 25993:23
observed 25944:16	OOO20 25927:3,4	orderly 25950:2	25969:9 25983:18	25994:15
25968:17,18 25969:9	OOO21 25928:7	orders 25993:11	25996:12 25997:13	persone 25934:11
25997:7	OOO22 25976:15	25994:2	25997:21	personnel 25933:4
observing 25928:20	op 25895:13 25934:6,7	ordinarily 25884:2	participate 25868:3,5,6	persons 25866:12,13
25949:9,15	open 25867:6,19,19	organs 25900:25	25868:11,13	25868:22 25875:21
obtain 25898:17	25871:19,24 25873:8	orientate 25930:25	participating 25954:7	25892:24 25893:20
obtained 25927:11	25882:14 25889:8	orientating 25928:21	participation 25885:1	25893:21 25894:7
obtuse 25962:15	25891:18,20,23,24,25	original 25968:11	particular 25880:17	25902:17,18
obvious 25891:16	25897:13,15	ORS 25915:1	25881:25 25887:2	25904:22 25911:20
obviously 25866:5	25905:24 25906:3	ou 25895:13	25917:6 25930:10	25913:23 25914:4
25874:4 25879:1	25910:13 25913:1	ought 25891:7	25932:15 25945:3	25916:16 25919:18
25882:10 25886:5	25918:2 25919:23 25920:1 25922:7	outcome 25922:9 outside 25934:18	25948:4 25952:13 25961:10	25923:21 25925:1 25959:3
25896:2,5 25910:18	opening 25892:1	25936:2,12,17	particularly 25872:23	person's 25908:8
25925:10,14 25935:4	operate 25875:12	overall 25876:13	25954:4	perspective 25939:7
25936:8 25943:15	operating 25955:14	25930:13 25932:18	parties 25864:23,25	persuade 25906:5
25946:24 25947:25	operating 25933:14 operation 25931:17,19	25939:10 25994:17	25865:2 25866:11,25	25907:4 25964:16
25950:19 25969:18	25932:6 25954:8	overbroad 25894:18	25868:2,5,12,18	Phatsha 25886:15
25979:21 25989:3	25959:4 25966:12,18	overcome 25896:1	25872:9 25873:23	Phew 25978:19
25993:20	25967:13 25968:16	overflow 25936:4	25884:7,14,18,20,25	phone 25929:3,7
occasionally 25991:25 occur 25996:22	25969:4,10 25987:13	overlap 25867:23	25885:15,21,22	25945:20 25959:6
occurrence 25935:13	25991:6,24 25992:17	overlook 25867:21	25886:10,21	phoned 25945:23
25937:16,21	operational 25930:14	overnight 25977:8	25890:20 25891:6	25958:17
25941:21 25947:2,10	25930:22 25931:20	overrule 25909:18	25892:14,19	photo 25948:13
25947:12,23	25939:9 25954:3	overwhelming	25893:23,24,25	25955:5,6,6,16
25948:14,17	25958:20 25959:15	25930:18	25896:17 25902:19	photograph 25887:24
25949:13,25	25960:3 25964:25	o'clock 25935:21	25914:7 25916:16	25948:3,4 25949:1
25950:11,21	25983:1 25990:8	25974:10 25987:17	25924:1,24 25925:13	25951:11
25957:23,24 25958:1	25992:11	25998:2,3,8	party 25885:12	photographed 25932:1
25958:4,6	operations 25989:14		path 25995:16	photographic 25949:10
October 25886:23	opgemerk 25934:6	P	pause 25935:14	photographs 25946:23
offends 25979:25	opinion 25894:3,8,11	pack 25908:18 25911:2	25962:12 25977:19	25948:11 25949:19
offer 25915:7 25916:17	25906:2 25909:11	page 25872:21	25979:13 25983:22	25951:2,25 25952:4,9
offered 25918:24	25915:7 25918:9	25874:17 25929:6	pay 25916:9	25952:17 25953:10
officer 25933:8	25922:20 25952:13	25931:6,6,14	peacefully 25996:17,18	25953:21 25954:13
25979:23 25992:4	25997:11	25932:14 25933:6	pending 25902:3	25954:15,25
officers 25877:23	opmerking 25929:21	25935:13 25947:10	25922:15 Pantay 25020:18	photos 25939:18,19
25883:11 25992:25	opname 25934:7	25960:16 25970:7,14	Pentax 25939:18	25951:21 25952:22
25994:22	opneem 25934:12	25990:19	people's 25865:9 25891:1	25952:22 25953:12 25954:21
okay 25867:25	opponents 25895:17 25897:18	palms 25913:1	people/bodies 25958:5	25954:21 phrase 25954:2
25881:17 25929:1	opportunity 25866:2	pangas 25911:25 25980:9,22	perceived 25889:20	pick 25956:23
25935:16 25942:21	25899:21 25931:9	papers 25870:13,22	perfect 25963:14	25972:13 25987:8
25951:10 25960:7	25976:21 25986:19	25872:19 25881:2	performance 25889:17	25989:25
25969:17,22 25970:1	25990:14,24	25890:24 25921:25	25913:9	picked 25972:16
25971:16 25972:3	opposed 25875:22	Pappa1 25947:17	peril 25918:20	picture 25947:4
25974:21 25976:7	25886:18 25889:2	25959:1 25982:1	period 25890:12	pictures 25954:18
ARCHIVE FO				

	1		1	rage
piece 25875:10 25942:1	25956:12 25961:19	25882:15,17	25886:2,22 25921:18	25880:19 25927:12
Pillay 25880:18,21	25962:4 25964:19	postponements	25935:18	prosecutions 25902:5
25923:1 25926:14	25974:5 25996:6	25889:6	principles 25867:5	25922:15
pilot 25972:9	pointed 25882:2,25	postulate 25922:6	25869:2 25871:19	Protea 25933:16,18
pinpoint 25913:13	25890:14 25899:11	potentially 25868:16	25883:24 25886:9	25934:1 25935:7,11
pistol 25963:12,14	25963:7	25868:22 25911:11	25891:17	25941:12 25956:22
pitch 25922:11	points 25875:15	power 25871:24	print 25977:7	25941:12 25930:22 25961:17 25971:4
placards 25948:19	25894:14 25895:22	25894:22,23	print 25977.7 printout 25927:24	
place 25870:16 25875:1		*		25974:22 25976:21 25977:11 25987:14
1 -	25895:22 25896:8,10	25895:11 25898:6,8 25898:12 25900:9,18	prints 25927:25	
25888:17 25890:4	25897:15 25910:22	,	prior 25943:16	25987:19
25893:19 25894:7	25913:23 25923:14	25900:19 25901:2,11	25954:13 25973:10	protect 25872:13
25898:4 25904:5	25960:10 25963:25	25901:22 25916:22	prism 25894:25	25875:19,22
25905:6 25913:16	25974:15	25917:2 25918:22	25895:3 25897:6	25903:15 25914:11
25925:6 25930:13,19	police 25864:12,16,25	25919:18	25898:10,10	25914:12 25915:15
25981:7 25984:18	25866:21 25876:10	PowerPoint 25927:25	25900:16,21	25917:20 25919:11
25985:11 25986:5	25890:2,4 25912:1,9	powers 25898:15	privacy 25913:10	protected 25904:3
placed 25904:12	25912:12,14,16,16,20	25901:3 25922:23	private 25953:16	25917:13 25920:9
25929:8	25918:17 25925:2	PPP 25937:7,12	probability 25904:18	25921:11
plainly 25929:11	25933:5 25946:2	praat 25934:8	25965:13 25973:24	protecting 25869:11
plan 25872:17,17	25959:21 25966:11	prearranged 25973:24	probably 25867:18,20	25905:2 25919:15
25873:4 25930:21	25991:9,20 25994:18	precipitate 25912:14	25881:20 25975:20	protection 25869:24,25
25966:4 25967:2	25995:6,14 25996:11	precise 25913:14	problem 25881:8	25872:16 25873:7
25968:11 25992:4,12	25996:12 25997:8,8	precisely 25961:19	25963:18 25965:23	25876:5,7 25904:4,4
25992:16,25 25993:1	25997:13	25971:23	25985:10	25904:5,13,19,24,25
25993:5,9 25994:4,9	policemen 25942:19	predict 25967:14	problems 25895:9	25905:6,10
planning 25964:23	25956:7 25959:22	prefer 25924:2	25931:15 25965:5	protects 25876:5
25991:24 25993:9	25996:21	premature 25899:1	procedure 25867:8,14	protesters 25959:23
play 25962:9 25971:8	police's 25911:21	premise 25903:7	25901:18,21 25916:2	prove 25886:19
25971:10 25977:14	policies 25991:20	premises 25890:3	proceed 25899:23	25895:13
25978:16 25980:6,13	policing 25991:4,12	25998:7	25928:7 25935:25	proved 25878:16
25980:15 25983:19	policy 25931:18	prepared 25891:10	25966:18	provide 25866:25
25987:5,9	25991:4,8,12,16	25936:21	proceeded 25974:2	25873:14,19 25879:6
played 25961:2	POP 25931:18	presence 25868:7	proceeding 25864:21	25927:23
playing 25980:17	25967:16	25870:18 25885:22	25995:13	provided 25872:20,20
pleadings 25872:22	pose 25886:7,21	25887:12,13 25894:2	proceedings 25864:1	25873:12 25909:4
please 25928:7	poses 25885:13	25931:23	25868:3 25892:8	25911:13 25946:25
25937:11 25942:25	25894:19	present 25886:10,24	25902:3 25904:15,17	25975:15 25993:18
25962:10 25971:9	position 25872:9	25934:16 25936:20	25910:11 25917:21	providing 25869:9
25978:17 25994:7	25883:12 25888:16	25952:3 25979:24	25929:3	25874:5
plegtige 25925:21	25899:23 25913:12	presentation 25927:11	process 25992:24	province 25890:11
25941:1 25975:9	25923:12 25926:6	25927:13,18 25928:6	processes 25903:12	Provincial 25972:14
Plessis 25973:15	25932:16 25940:2	presented 25960:9	produce 25969:9	provision 25869:7
plus-minus 25948:25	25944:18 25952:13	25995:24	produced 25968:19	25870:10 25871:1
25950:12	25952:14 25979:22	presently 25937:10	profess 25918:5	25902:1
point 25866:6 25867:18	25979:22	press 25888:16	profitably 25937:14	provisional 25896:3
25868:2 25869:16	positions 25944:18	pressure 25929:9,12,25	programme 25869:24	provisions 25901:6
25872:24 25873:17	25967:23	Pretorius 25882:3,7	25869:25 25876:5	25916:1 25917:1
25874:17 25875:17	positive 25951:14	25945:21,23	progress 25931:17	provoke 25911:10
25875:20 25876:14	25971:14 25981:4	25950:21 25951:2,17	25941:9 25964:2	provimity 25959:16
25876:16 25878:3	25984:17 25995:4	25950:21 25951:2,17 25951:24 25952:8,18	prohibit 25916:21	public 25867:14
25880:14 25881:11	possession 25950:3	25953:16 25954:14	prohibitive 25870:20	25868:3,4,5,11,13,21
25881:25 25882:5,13		25953:16 25954:14 25955:12	25871:4,5 25872:14	25873:8,9 25884:9,15
1	possibility 25920:16 25965:1		25871:4,5 25872:14 25877:11	
25882:19 25883:21		25955:16,17		25884:18,20,23
25883:22 25888:6,12	possible 25869:21	prevent 25996:4	promise 25896:12	25885:10,11
25888:16,20	25870:1 25874:20	prevented 25887:20	25912:5	25889:17 25892:3,14
25889:12,14,22	25886:6 25921:9,13	previous 25864:5	promised 25874:22	25892:19,22
25890:9 25892:13,20	25955:9 25957:7	25880:24 25954:12	prompted 25933:1	25906:16 25910:8
25897:17 25899:3,6,9	25958:20 25959:14	25954:17,24	pronounce 25927:20	25913:9 25921:3
25899:10,25	25985:12	previously 25871:21	pronunciation	25991:4,12,19
25903:18 25906:8	possibly 25887:24	pre-Constitution	25927:14	25992:4
25910:17,17,21	25899:14 25939:24	25894:17	proper 25892:4	publicised 25914:3
25911:9,12 25913:25	25943:18 25958:8,22	pre-planned 25973:4	25898:16 25919:21	pull 25986:22
25914:18 25915:11	post 25900:19 25915:2	principally 25903:25	25922:18	pure 25914:5
25915:25 25916:7	25915:15 25950:2	25917:20	properly 25868:8	purpose 25869:25
25917:6 25920:13	posting 25915:17	principle 25867:6	25889:24	25884:21 25885:1,10
25921:1,12 25940:17	postponement	25871:24 25873:7	propose 25879:24	25914:10 25952:17
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

_				Page 1
purposes 25900:11	react 25953:18	25945:10,17	related 25903:16	25891:11,15 25901:9
25906:23 25909:8	read 25893:17	25946:25 25948:6	25904:8,12,19,22,25	25907:1,15 25916:13
25984:11 25998:8	25896:16 25897:5	25956:21 25975:23	25905:2 25906:1	25979:2,3
pursuit 25890:18	25908:2 25936:21	25975:24 25996:23	25914:4,12 25917:15	replying 25864:24
put 25868:12 25870:1	25975:23,24 25976:1	recorded 25934:1	25919:13 25921:12	25873:21,23
25884:1 25886:17	25990:14,16	25937:18,20 25939:9	relates 25928:15	25874:24 25875:3
25890:23 25894:25	25993:20	25941:23 25942:6	25930:9 25966:10	25882:6
25895:14 25899:10	ready 25899:22	25945:8 25947:6,13	25990:12	report 25903:3
25902:8 25915:22	real 25890:8 25953:21	25947:23 25948:14	relating 25892:13	25931:17 25932:2,24
25923:10 25929:12	realise 25895:6	25956:11,13	25905:18	25933:7 25937:22,24
25929:25 25936:16	realised 25954:19	25957:22,25 25990:3	relation 25881:23	25938:1,5,11,21,23
25937:3 25940:4	25968:21	recording 25933:14,17	25885:14 25892:11	25939:1 25942:5,8
25956:16 25965:4	really 25868:21,22	25933:18 25935:4	25897:4 25905:12	25944:9,12,24
25968:10 25976:5,6	25869:5 25870:16	25941:10,24 25961:2	25907:11 25918:18	25945:13,24
25989:11	25873:14,25 25874:2	25961:18,25 25978:5	25918:20 25920:12	25946:18 25947:24
puts 25880:13	25875:15 25876:21	25978:11 25983:14	25961:10	25948:14 25949:6,15
putting 25868:24	25881:18 25896:23	25985:3	relationship 25917:19	25950:1,2 25955:23
25893:1,2	25897:17 25906:12	records 25933:20	25921:2	25957:19 25959:6
pyrotechnical	25906:17 25907:2	25938:9 25948:6	relationships 25904:22	25964:2
25967:17	25981:6	25956:12 25958:1,3	relative 25871:1,2,3,7	reported 25931:23
0	real-time 25902:16,20	record-keeping	25877:10,10	25940:13 25942:9
	25902:23,25	25995:3	relatives 25914:15	25945:12,17 25948:1
qualified 25923:2	reapply 25925:7,10	refer 25872:22 25909:3	25918:3	25948:19 25950:1,12
qualify 25893:21	reason 25882:12	25909:13,15	relay 25942:10 25944:5	25956:5 25964:25
quarrel 25916:11	25887:6 25898:13	25959:13 reference 25906:11	25944:10	reporting 25932:22 25948:21 25986:9
quarter 25940:19	25905:7,16 25909:11 25911:13 25912:23	25921:23 25955:22	relayed 25942:14,16,23 25943:4	reports 25938:1,19
question 25870:24 25881:19 25882:6	25917:21,23	25971:19	relevance 25904:16	25942:3 25945:10
25883:19 25886:5	25931:21 25938:25	references 25874:14	25906:9,15 25909:8	25942.3 25943.10 25946:9 25948:24
25889:25 25892:10	25931:21 25936:25 25939:13 25996:2	referencing 25981:17	25900:9,13 23909.8	25959:2,12 25960:1
25894:15 25897:19	reasonable 25882:12	25984:11	relevancy 25909:6	represent 25872:10
25899:19 25901:19	25920:16	referred 25897:25	relevant 25872:8	25873:24 25879:2
25902:10 25906:15	reasonably 25912:10	25903:24 25907:5	25877:25 25905:3	25906:21
25909:5 25920:9,21	25933:11	25922:16	25906:20 25907:3,18	representatives
25921:15 25922:1	reasons 25864:18	referring 25950:7	25907:20,22,25	25886:13 25902:22
25928:25 25940:8	25865:10 25897:21	25981:11 25982:6	25908:4,11,12,25	25923:20
25952:11,14 25960:4	25897:24 25910:9,11	refers 25932:13	25909:5,16 25926:15	represented 25866:19
25968:15 25978:24	25913:8 25916:10	reflected 25986:25	25976:21 25987:7	reputational 25910:21
25980:14,20	recall 25942:11	refused 25928:22	relief 25864:22	request 25889:6
25992:25 25994:7	25946:9,19 25955:24	refuses 25922:6	25878:14 25883:1,20	25903:18 25925:25
questions 25885:13	25957:2,6 25969:19	refusing 25909:2	25888:15 25892:25	25938:9 25942:13,17
25899:25 25921:22	25969:24 25983:15	refuting 25915:9	25919:21 25922:22	25942:23,25
25985:2	25984:3 25986:6	regale 25879:18	25923:2,8	25944:10 25960:3
quick 25925:24	25989:5	regard 25865:23	reluctance 25889:16	requested 25911:16
quickly 25974:2	receipt 25955:11	25868:8,19 25872:18	rely 25895:4	25933:4 25942:22
quiet 25946:15	receive 25864:21	25873:22 25874:25	remark 25865:6	requesting 25946:18
25984:15 25985:7	25934:18 25945:2,5	25896:10 25897:3	remedy 25898:16	requests 25905:15
quieter 25985:12	25953:2 25955:16	25902:6 25904:20	remember 25888:16	25906:8 25942:10
quite 25890:10	25973:18	25921:17 25923:10	25889:1 25940:15	require 25872:16
25891:17 25918:25	received 25901:23	regarding 25873:4	25945:25 25946:1	25896:9 25914:23
25953:24 25961:4	25902:14 25903:22	25882:5 25891:4	25957:15 25959:9	25998:7
quotes 25920:10	25905:9,14 25922:24	25957:18 25983:10	25969:21 25995:21	required 25870:6
	25929:4 25937:1	25993:11	25996:24	25874:9,10 25875:5
<u>R</u>	25951:17 25954:25	regards 25866:5	reminded 25974:9	25875:19 25891:19
radios 25934:3	25955:5,11 25965:24	regulation 25901:18,21	reminds 25963:23	25904:15 25932:4
25989:23	25972:8	25902:1 25917:1	remote 25915:18	25938:10,12
raise 25888:21,22	recognise 25903:21	25922:16,23	repeal 25900:7	25992:12
raised 25891:5	25919:17	regurgitate 25881:24	repeat 25909:12	requirement 25903:4
25916:15 25921:22	recognises 25919:4	25906:16	25938:15 25966:17	25920:3
raising 25888:22	recollection 25941:24	regurgitation 25908:16	25994:6	requirements 25870:18
25910:15	25942:1 25958:17	reintegration 25914:19	repeated 25907:20	25870:21 25892:6
Rangasamy's 25877:1	25960:23 25970:24	25914:22 25921:13	25908:16	25919:25 25921:20
rank 25908:6	record 25888:24	reiterate 25921:12	repetition 25908:1,20 reply 25870:20	reserve 25920:2
reach 25899:7,8	2592 5 :6 25929:6 25933:17 25936:17	reject 25918:15,23 relate 25866:9	reply 25870:20 25872:25 25876:4,20	25925:6,10 reserved 25925:15
25974:10,12 reached 25967:3	25938:8 25941:15,18	25882:20 25994:15	25876:23 25881:11	resides 25900:9
reached 25907:5	23938:8 23941:13,18	23002.20 23 334. 13	25010.25 25001.11	1 csides 23700.7

				Page
resistance 25964:15	25957:21 25962:21		25916:11 25963:5	seats 25935:15
		S		
Resources 25866:19	25964:17 25969:6	s 25963:6	25964:10 25969:24	secluded 25913:8
25919:16	25987:3 25990:15	sacrosanct 25919:11	25971:17 25972:23	second 25872:6
respect 25870:7	rights 25864:5 25867:5	safe 25983:12	25977:24 25980:7,21	25883:21 25905:16
25875:8 25910:4,6	25867:5,13 25868:1,2	safeness 25983:10	25981:1,9,16,24	25917:3,23 25931:24
25915:8 25921:2	25869:2 25871:9,10	safety 25885:22	25982:3	25955:24 25956:10
25990:24 25992:3	25871:19 25873:9	25886:6 25889:4,14	says 25882:7 25886:18	25960:16 25962:17
25993:8 25994:3,8,17	25878:15 25891:1,6	25902:7 25904:7	25888:4 25892:1	25963:1 25965:23
respectful 25903:25	25919:9,11,12,14		25893:20,24 25897:2	25968:24 25991:15
25922:9	25920:9,18 25923:22	25905:25 25906:1	25899:7 25900:5	Secondly 25884:5
respects 25923:3	25923:24 25928:13	25917:15 25918:6,19	25901:21 25902:2	25907:23
respond 25880:17	25930:10 25931:5	25921:11	25905:20,24 25906:2	seconds 25933:15
25912:18 25942:7	25956:16 25960:9	SAHRC 25976:16	25905:20,24 23900:2	25939:8 25978:5,11
		sake 25887:10		· ·
responded 25983:16	25969:22	sal 25929:20,23	25914:1 25915:1	25980:5,15
respondents 25898:16	ring 25869:14 25870:5	25934:10	25917:24,25	secret 25868:10,10
25901:5 25909:20	25890:15,16	salary 25875:17	25920:21 25945:12	section 25867:7
25915:7	risk 25888:10 25889:19	sales 25964:14	25947:25 25956:19	25871:21 25891:25
responding 25981:14	25902:8 25904:7,8	SALMON 25926:9	25958:4,13,16	25892:23 25893:17
25984:4,18	25914:16 25918:5	25934:25 25941:4	25960:17 25963:15	25894:16 25895:11
response 25871:13,14	25992:19	25976:17	25969:16,21,23	25895:20,21 25897:2
25898:3 25911:11	rituals 25912:4	SANDF 25972:5,15	25971:18 25972:2	25897:6,9 25898:14
25916:11 25945:2,4	25913:9		25982:1 25984:14	25898:23 25900:17
25967:19 25973:3	road 25890:3	sang 25936:23	25986:4 25997:6	25916:2 25959:4
25984:16	robustly 25895:12	SAPS 25864:9	say-so 25911:22	25990:19
responsibility 25930:12	role 25930:11,21	25866:10,25 25867:4	SC 25891:10,14	sections 25989:3
25930:19 25951:20	25994:15	25869:1,3,4,6,8	25893:4,11,16	secure 25870:18
		25870:15,25		
25994:19,23,24	room 25884:3,10	25871:14 25872:24	25898:3,11 25899:17	25942:18 25970:17
rest 25879:18 25893:14	25888:11 25935:16	25873:12,14,19	25899:24 25900:22	25970:19 25971:6,18
25909:24	25935:23,23 25936:4	25874:6,25 25875:3,9	25900:24 25907:2,10	25981:25 25982:4
restoration 25885:3	routine 25966:11	25875:19,21	25909:19 25910:3,6	25983:3,5,15,25
restrain 25898:15	Roux's 25980:2	25876:13 25877:8	25911:18 25915:6,24	25984:5
restrictive 25873:6	row 25975:11	25878:10 25882:3,12	25916:13 25917:11	security 25869:7,9
result 25920:17	rubber 25961:9,12,20	25884:11 25885:14	25918:12 25921:6,8	25870:11 25872:13
25968:18	25961:22 25962:1	25886:19 25887:12	25923:7 25961:7,14	25872:19 25874:5
resulted 25914:5	25963:5,11,13,17	25887:23 25889:22	25964:3 25974:8	25885:23 25920:8
resume 25935:15	25964:9 25965:7	25890:13,25 25891:5	25978:23 25996:23	25971:21
25940:18	25968:19		25997:3	see 25864:12 25896:24
resumes 25864:2	rugged 25913:19	25892:5,8 25906:13	scathingly 25886:18	25902:13 25914:1
25899:18 25925:18	rule 25885:18 25910:9	25909:10 25915:2	scene 25867:1 25913:4	25920:20 25926:23
25925:19 25934:21	rules 25887:2 25890:20	25922:17 25924:16	25930:13,15,15,16,19	25927:20 25939:12
25940:20,21 25975:7	ruling 25881:6	25924:20 25925:7	25931:25 25932:11	25939:16,20
25975:8	25893:14 25897:14	25927:12,25	25931:23 23932:11	25945:19 25949:21
		25928:17 25930:11		
retain 25884:12	25910:4 25919:8,22	25930:12 25946:8	25935:10 25939:19	25950:7,16 25963:18
reticence 25889:16	25923:12,13,16	25969:16,21	25940:10 25943:8	25963:18 25966:9
return 25881:13	25925:5,25 25926:6	25970:15 25974:5	25944:14,20,22,25	25968:4 25970:10
25949:14 25994:25	25928:20	25988:24 25993:11	25946:9,10,19,20	25984:1 25986:17,18
returning 25987:10	run 25881:8 25913:16	25995:24	25949:22 25953:1,13	25987:2 25995:16
review 25931:9	25956:19 25996:21	satisfied 25918:1	25953:25 25955:22	25998:1
revise 25944:9	Rungusamy 25875:15	25921:19	25958:12,12,15,16,19	seeing 25953:13
revised 25944:1	25875:16 25914:25	satisfy 25961:16	25958:21,21	25974:19
25970:9	25915:10	save 25903:4	25964:20 25967:10	seek 25869:4 25873:20
revision 25969:18	running 25944:24	saw 25931:25 25940:10	25968:17,18 25969:7	25878:14 25883:20
rhetorical 25886:5	25968:7,24	25952:4,8,22	25979:24 25983:8	25884:4,14,16
right 25867:6,8,19	Rustenburg 25889:5		25985:23 25986:11	25903:15 25907:11
25868:12 25871:11	Ryland 25934:2	25967:10,22 25968:2	25986:12,13	25917:9 25923:2
25873:8 25876:2	25956:23 25978:4,10	25968:7,20 25969:3,7	25995:15	seeking 25883:2
25878:16 25880:9	25981:21 25984:9	25969:12 25972:3	scenes 25959:7 25968:2	25899:25
		25996:16,20		seen 25913:11 25946:7
25883:14 25884:10	25987:15,22,23 Pyland's 25076:22	saying 25882:21,22	schedule 25900:1,3	
25895:6 25909:3	Ryland's 25976:22	25887:3 25888:14	Scott 25989:8,11	25952:18 25953:10
25919:12,15 25920:8	R1 25882:23,24	25889:13 25890:22	25992:18	25974:4
25925:6,9,10,15	25883:3,6	25896:19,23	screen 25985:2	sees 25884:22
25936:9 25947:22	R2 25882:24	25897:11,20	25986:25 25987:3	self-evident 25912:25
25948:16 25949:8,12	R243 25876:18,24	25907:23,24,25	screens 25874:10	Semenya 25881:12
25949:24 25950:10	R44 25972:18	25908:5,7,9,15	25875:4	25891:9,10,14
25950:19 25951:1,5	R5 25965:10,13	25911:23 25913:22	se 25934:11	25893:4,11,16
25951:23 25953:7	- 13m		seamlessly 25874:16	25896:12 25898:3,11
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

				Page 1
25899:11,17,24	25990:25 25993:16	simply 25868:14,19	25966:21 25969:18	stage 25870:20 25911:3
25900:22,24	25994:13	25870:9,17,21,22	25979:2,18 25986:10	25929:12,25
			· ·	
25906:25 25907:2,10	sets 25875:16 25880:23	25871:8,15 25872:4	25993:17	25934:17 25938:24
25907:17 25908:5,20	25923:3 25932:9,16	25872:10 25874:7,25	sort 25875:1 25885:24	25947:21 25956:8
25909:3,11,19	25947:24	25875:22 25877:1	25947:5 25957:13	25963:24 25967:3
25910:1,3,6 25911:18	setting 25873:15	25878:10 25887:17	25972:22 25979:22	25968:25 25971:23
25915:6,11,24	settlement 25995:17	25890:1 25895:4	sorts 25890:7	25972:12 25973:1
25916:13 25917:11	25996:1,8	25909:13 25911:15	sou 25929:19 25934:7	25974:10,12 25981:5
25918:12 25921:6,8	shaft 25888:3	25917:5 25925:6	sought 25875:5	25985:9,20 25989:14
25922:25 25923:7	share 25921:21	25933:21	25888:7,15	25992:12,16
25963:23 25964:3	sharp 25963:7	simultaneous 25879:20	sound 25916:10	stages 25957:19
25973:20 25974:7,8	shoot 25962:19,25	25935:16,18,20	25973:23	25968:16 25991:19
25978:23 25996:23	25963:10,19	singing 25934:14	sounded 25979:19	25991:20
25997:3	25977:12,17,25	25936:24	sounds 25910:1	stake 25871:10,11
Semenya's 25979:1	shooting 25932:11,19	single 25931:16	25981:24	stamps 25956:17
send 25947:4 25948:13	25932:20 25933:9,16	25987:13	soundtrack 25964:1	stand 25895:2
25950:23 25951:12	25935:7,10 25941:11	singularly 25962:15	sources 25987:14	standard 25887:21
25951:21 25953:9	25959:12 25968:17	sir 25997:16	South 25925:2	standing 25992:12
25954:8,21 25955:5	25979:10 25984:18	sit 25892:15,18,22	25928:13 25991:4,12	25993:11 25994:1
sending 25949:10	25986:4,5,11,12	25909:6,18 25998:5	speak 25872:2	stands 25913:25
8		T	25884:11 25897:23	25917:16 25918:20
25952:17 25954:12	shootings 25932:22,25	sitrep 25948:18,21		
25954:15,18	25933:2	25950:12 25951:22	25946:13	Stapelberg 25982:14
senior 25979:23	short 25936:25	25969:2	speaker 25928:5	25982:19,21,24
25985:23 25992:24	25981:21	sitting 25898:6	25977:20 25978:9,13	25983:24 25984:13
seniors 25994:22	shortly 25932:11	25922:22 25989:16	25979:11 25980:7,21	25984:14,17,23
sensation 25907:8,10	25980:11	situation 25932:11	25980:23 25981:16	25985:4,16,17,22
sensationalise	shot 25932:3 25933:3,6	25933:12 25947:24	25981:24	25986:4 25988:7
25906:14	25938:20,21 25939:2	25948:13 25949:6	speakers 25974:16	Stapelberg's 25984:5
sense 25963:6,15	25939:16 25944:12	25950:1 25959:6	25975:17 25982:3,8	start 25878:25 25886:3
sensible 25867:20	25981:25 25982:3	25966:20,22,23	25982:11	25926:15 25928:15
25896:6	shotgun 25963:13	25968:23	speaking 25889:24	25935:10 25942:2
sent 25950:20 25951:1	shouldn't 25905:24	situations 25967:8	25955:15 25961:9	25947:10,22
25951:3 25953:13,21	25909:12 25916:9	six 25957:25 25958:1	25963:4 25968:15	25956:19 25957:25
25954:25 25972:13	show 25871:6 25885:16	25987:15 25988:2,10	speaks 25958:19	25976:25 25986:24
25973:16	25885:20,24	skuld 25929:19	spears 25911:25	25991:3
sentence 25991:15	25886:20 25888:1	sky 25954:2,3	25980:9,22	started 25940:14
separate 25938:1	25889:23 25948:11	slain 25886:4	special 25963:25	25954:18
separately 25867:21	25949:5	slander 25907:3,18	specially 25881:15	starts 25933:16
sequence 25949:3	showed 25951:25	slander 25906:22	specific 25959:7	25935:8,11 25941:12
sergeants 25875:18,25	showing 25951:7	slanderous 25907:19	25985:11 25994:23	State 25900:25
	O			
25915:20	shown 25870:25	25907:21,21	specifically 25888:21	stated 25870:15,16
series 25874:10	25874:13,15 25878:9	25908:17	25913:13 25931:5	25885:2
25880:25 25881:4	25889:15 25962:6,11	slightly 25879:21,25	25961:9 25988:20	statement 25865:20
25947:1 25949:1	25962:22 25971:7,11	25952:16 25958:2	25991:19	25931:4,6,9 25932:14
25950:5,15 25987:15	25977:18 25978:15	small 25950:7	spectrum 25884:6	25938:8,18 25945:8
serious 25911:6,8	25978:18 25979:12	SMS 25973:16,18	speculate 25971:23	25945:15,19
25913:24 25944:20	25980:16,18 25982:9	social 25887:6	25973:20	25965:19 25974:3
25960:5	25983:21 25984:25	25888:23	speculation 25980:1	25990:12,14,16
seriously 25910:20	shows 25948:9	society 25886:25	speculative 25882:9	25993:20 25996:3,24
25939:11,15	side 25884:6 25915:16	25891:20 25910:10	25978:25	25997:2,3,5
25940:11 25943:22	25948:20,25	solely 25895:8	speech 25936:25	statements 25929:14
25958:22 25965:14	25948:20,23	somebody 25875:22	speed 25935:18	25933:11 25959:10
			_	
seriousness 25932:10	25968:5,25 25982:11	25884:22 25913:15	spend 25956:10	25989:11
25933:12 25940:10	25983:8,9,10	25918:16 25938:14	spesifieke 25934:11	states 25931:18
25944:13	25985:22	25938:22 25942:8,9	spirit 25995:6	25932:16 25991:3
service 25876:11	sides 25919:25	25942:17 25982:1	25997:14	stating 25993:17
25918:17 25925:2	sien 25934:10	somewhat 25895:17	spoke 25958:14	station 25890:4
25994:18 25995:14	significant 25903:13	songs 25936:23	spraying 25978:6,6,7,8	statistic 25945:12
set 25865:11,13,14,15	25932:20	soon 25887:23	25978:10,10,14,14,14	statute 25897:5
25867:1,20 25868:1	significantly 25992:19	25923:13,16	square 25967:21	25898:13 25901:1,6,7
25871:25 25872:17	silence 25989:2,6	25931:25	squarely 25920:5	25901:7,7 25917:14
25873:20 25874:4,23	silent 25988:24	sorry 25906:12	Squirrel 25947:20	25917:16,19,22
In 11 Desire	The second second second		_	
25875:11,14	similarly 25945:17	25921:4 25924:19	25972:13	stay 25936:6
25876:17 25877:5	simple 25882:19	25930:15 25942:24	stadium 25930:5	steal 25936:12
25878:11 25881:1	25892:12 25893:1	25962:3,14 25963:18	25934:7	steeds 25940:25
25975:14,25		. 05060 00 05065 10		-4
	simplify 25883:25	25963:22 25965:18	stadiums 25934:6	steel 25869:15 25870:5
ARCHIVE FO	simplify 25883:25	25963:22 25965:18	stadiums 25934:6	steel 25869:15 25870:5

258901.5.16 step 25941.8 strip 2599.0.10.4.17 STF > 2599.0.10.4.18 Stop 2597.6.1.0.13 Submitting 2586.6.10 Submitt					Page 1
Stelling 2599:16 Step 2594:18 STP 25989:10,19 STP 25989:10,19 Step 2594:18 STP 25989:10,10 Stop 25974:12 Step 2594:18 Stop 25974:12 Step 2594:18 Stop 25974:19 Stop 25	25890:15.16	submitting 25865:10	switch 25948:2	25888:1.2.5 25894:1	25915:5.22 25916:7
step 2594.18 STF 25999.10,14.17 STF's 25999.10,14.17 STP's 25999.10,14.17 STF's 25999.10,14.17 STP's 25999.10,14.1					
\$\frac{\frac		subpoena 25889:2,7			
\$\frac{\text{StP}\text{25998}\text{1}}{\text{stop}\text{25998}\text{1}}{\text{stop}\text{25998}\text{1}}{\text{25998}\text{1}}{\text{25998}\text{25998}\text{1}}{\text{25998}\text{2599988}\text{2599998}\text{2599988}\text{2599998}\text{2599988}\text{2599998}\text{2599988}\text{2599998}\text{2599988}\text{2599998}\text{2599988}\text{259999898}\text{25999989898}\text{259999898}\text{25999989898999898989998989999898}\text{2599999898}\text{259999898}\					
stipulated 25994.1 substantially 25870.5 systems 25954.20 25978.14,125978.6 25978.22 2598.12 259878.14,14 25979.9 25980.19 25980.19 25980.19 2599.12 2599.12 2599.12 2599.13 2599.12 2599.12 2599.13 2599.12 2599.13 2599.13 2599.14 2599.13 2599.12 2599.13 2599.14 2599.12 2599.13 2599.14 2599.13 2599.12 2599.13 2599.14 2599.12 2599.13 2599.12 2599.13 2599.13 2599.12 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.12 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.14 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599.13 2599				25929:25 25967:2	25947:23 25948:7
Stop 25974:11 25978.6 259971:19 25918.2 259918.2 259918.3 259921.0 259918.2 259918.	stipulated 25994:1			25973:23 25984:15	25950:7,22 25953:13
28981-14 25997-9 2598-17 25998-12 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-18 25998-19 25998-18 25998	stop 25974:11 25978:6	25897:19 25915:20		telling 25884:22	25955:7 25960:23
25981:4.14 25999:9 25998:14 25999:2 25998:17 25995:2 25998:18 25999:18 25998:18 25999:19 25998:18 25999:19 25998:18 25999:19 25998:18 25999:19 25998:18 25999:19 25998:18 25998:19 25998:18 25998:19 25998:18 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:19 25998:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:19 25998:19 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:19 25998:19 25988:29 25998:29 25988:19 25998:19 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:19 25998:19 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:19 25998:19 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25998:19 25988:29 25998:29 25988:29 25998:29 25998:19 2599	25978:6,6,8,10,10,13	25918:9	T	25903:21 25914:25	25962:19,21 25963:1
25991.12 25991.13	25978:14,14 25979:9	sub-paragraph	table 25895:23 25948:7	25920:24	25964:13 25966:19
straight 25984:21,25994:04 stranger 25913:13 strategic 25913:15 strategic 25913:15 strategic 25913:15 strategic 25913:15 strategic 25910:37 stressed 25909:10 stress 25910:23 stressed 25908:13 25995:12 25913:15 stressed 25908:13 25995:12 25913:15 stressed 25908:13 25995:12 25908:13 25995:12 25988:12 25995:12 25988:12 25995:12 25988:12 25995:12 25988:12 25995:12 25988:12 25995:12 25988:12 25995:12 25988:12 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 259	25980:19	25991:3 25992:10	tactical 25992:18	tellingly 25920:14	25966:21 25970:13
straighten 2594044 stranger 25913:13 stranger 25913:13 stranger 25913:7 streegide 25909:10 strees 25910:7 streegide 25909:10 strees 25910:023 stress 2590:023 2595:122566:14 strike 25900:18 string 2586:2 string 2586:2 string 2586:2 strong 2586:1 structure 2581:5 strong 2586:2 strong 2586:2 strong 2586:2 strong 2586:2 strong 2586:1 strong 2586:1 stron				*	
			•		
strategies 2913.17 successful 25910-13 successful 25910-13 25895-16 25898.8 25901:12,02 25986-25 25988.5 25901:20,20 25986-25 25988.5 25901:20,20 25986-25 25988.5 25901:20,20 25986-25 25988.5 25901:20,20 25986-25 25988.5 25901:20,20 25986-25 25988.5 25901:20,20 25986-25 25988.5 25901:20,20 25986-25 25988.5 4mmer 2587:10 temative 2588:15 temative 2588:12 25993:11 25993:12 25					
strategic 25913.7 strongthen 25909:10 stress 25910:23 sugest 25880-4 25903:11 sugest 25880-5 strong 2586-6 25966:12 sugest 25881:0 suitable 25974:10 stricture 25881:5 strong 25886-7 strong 25886-7 strong 25886-7 supplement 25865:1 submitted 25903:18 supplement 25865:1 25905-8 supplement 25865:1 25905-8 25906-6 25906-12 supplement 25865:1 25905-8 25906-12 supplement 25865:1 25905-8 25906-12 supplement 25903-8 supplemen					•
				-	
stress 25910:23			•		
	_				
strikes 25900.18 25904.11 suggested 25881.10 25934.11 25932.12 25897.6 25900.16 25897.6 25900.16 325897.6 25900.16 325897.6 25900.16 325897.6 25900.16 325897.6 25900.16 325897.1 25906.13 4there* 1.25965.13 4there* 1.25965.13 4there* 2.8566.13 4there* 2.8566.13 4there* 2.8566.13 4there* 2.8566.13 25895.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25897.12 25899.12.12 25899.12.12 25899.12.12 25899.12.12 25899.12.12 25899.13.13 25899.12.12 25899.12.					
25941.1 2594.2 2596.1					
25965:6 25966:14 suggestion 2599:8 suggestion 25923:1,4 string 25867:5 strongly 25917:10 structure 25881:2 25967:3 suggestions 25923:1,4 suggestion 25923:1,4 suggestion 25923:1,4 suggestion 25905:10,2 2595:1,4 25985:22 25994:4,10 2595:10,2 25995:10,2 25					
25995:1225 strong 2586:2 stron					
string 25867:5 suggestions 25993:1,4 25995:10,23 25993:1,71,8 25993:19 25981:6 sturcyling 25981:6 25995:10,23 25995:10,2					
strong 25886-2 suicide 2589:14 25995:10.23 25998:3 test 25867:9,1 24 25900:24 25995:10.23 25996:0 25896:22 25995:20 25996:19 supplementary 25879:6 25896:29 25890:6 25955:4 25995:0 25891:15 25867:29 25891:16 25887:10 25900:28 25995:10 25890:6 25995:10 25900:6 25955:4 25996:19 25864:22 supplementary 25891:18 25988:15 25886:23 25891:16 25885:23 25891:16 25885:23 25891:16 25885:11 25885:11 25885:11 25895:12 25995:10 25900:29 25906:12 25900:29 25906:19 25906:29 25906:19 25906:19 25906:19 25906:19 25906:19 25906:29 25906:19 2590					
strongly 25917:10 stricuter 25881:5 struggling 25981:6 summary 25879:6 stune 25968:22 summary 25879:6 supplement 25865:12 25896:20 25996:19 25995:20 25996:19 25956:22 supplement 25865:5 25868:20 25871:15 25886:23 25871:13 25887:2,03 25887:13 25887:2,03 25881:10 25995:11 25995:11 25995:11 25995:11 25995:12 25995:12 25996:12 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25995:12 25996:13 25996:12 25996:13 25996:12 25996:13 2599		suggestions 25923:1,4	•		· ·
strung5196.25981:6 stum 25968:22 supplement 25865:1 25986:620.22 25890:69.25896:7 25994:11 25995:20.25996:19 25996:11 25996:19 25996:19 25996:19 25996:11 25996:19 25996:19 25996:11 25996:19 25996:11 25996:19 25996:19 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:12 25996:11 25996:1					25900:24 25909:11
stun 25968:22 25996:19 Supplement 25865:1 25996:6.2 25995:20 25996:18 25996:18 25996:18 25996:18 25996:19 25996:18 25996:19 25996:18 25996:19	_ ,	suitable 25974:10,12	•	25894:19 25896:21	25915:13 25917:7
25995:20 25996:19 25964:22 25944:11 25948:9,12 25951:17 25958:11 25965:13 25968:6,10 25972:23 25980:14 25970:22 25990:13 25970:22 25990:13 25970:22 25990:14 25970:22 25980:14 25970:22 25980:14 25970:22 25980:14 25970:22 25980:14 25970:22 25980:14 25970:22 25980:14 25970:22 25980:14 25970:22 25980:14 25980:14 25980:15 25980:14 25980:15 25980:14 25980:15 25980:14 25980:15 25980:14 25980:15 25980:14 25980:15 25980:14 25980:15 25980:14 25980:15 25980:15 25980:14 25980:15 2598	struggling 25981:6	summary 25879:6	25876:20,22 25890:4	25898:24	25923:13 25935:23
Subject 25900.6 25954:25969:18 supplemented 2592.2 supplemented 2590.2 supplemented 25891.2 supplemented 2589.2					
25955:4 2596:18 supplemented 25923:2 supple 25881:9 25967:1 25972:17 25914:21 25948:15 25978:10 25950:23 25967:3 25978:10 25950:23 25967:3 25968:13 25967:3 25968:14 25968:14 25900:8 25968:14 25900:8 25968:14 25900:8 25968:14 25900:8 25968:14 25900:8 25968:14 25900:8 25968:14 25900:25,8 25 25912:6 25898:14 25898:15 25998:14 25900:25,8 25 25912:6 25988:14 25900:8 25902:21 25948:14 25902:14 25900:8 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25948:14 25902:12 25968:14 25903:14 25908:14					*
Submit sion 25865:5 25986:20 25871:15 25987:25 25987:10 25997:10 25997:10 25997:13 25878:1 25882:11 25885:23 25891:16 259947:5 supported 25950:23 supported 25950:24 25961:29 25962:1 25961:20 25962:1 25988:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:24 25998:25 25991:11 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25991:12 25968:14 25998:					
25868:20 25871:15 25986:12 25986:15 52988:15, 16 25947:5 25986:12 25986:12 25986:12 25986:12 25986:12 25986:14 25900:28 25966:14 25900:28 25966:14 25900:28 25901:19.25 25902:4 25902:6, 21 25903:9 25903:14.25 25904:2 25905:5, 8.25 25912:6 25889:14 25890:15 25889:14 25890:15 25995:11 25880:16 25891:1 25880:16 25881:12 25996:12 25996:12 25905:5, 8.25 25912:6 25889:14 25895:15 25996:11 25996:21 25996:12 25905:5, 8.25 25912:6 25996:12 25905:5, 8.25 25912:6 25996:12 25905:5, 8.25 25912:6 25996:12 25996:12 25996:21 25996:12 25996:21 25996:11 25996:12 25996:11 25996:12 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:11 25996:					
25872:6,23 25877:13					
25878:1 25882:11 25986:12 25966:12 25934:13 25946:16 25934:13 25946:16 25901:19_25 25902:4 25902:45 25903:14_25 25902:4 25903:14_25 25904:2 25803:14_25 25904:2 25803:14_25 25904:2 25803:14_25 25904:2 25905:5,8_25 25912:6 25889:14_25891:1 25803:14_25 25905:5,8_25 25912:6 25912:4 25907:17_25927:1 25905:5,8_25 25912:6 25912:4 25907:17_25927:1 25905:5,8_25 25912:6 25912:4 25907:17_25927:1 25905:5,8_25 25912:6 25912:4 25907:17_25927:1 25908:8 25907:17_25927:1 25908:8 25908:8 25907:17_25927:1 25908:1					•
25885:23 25891:16 25906:12 25906:12 25906:12 25906:12 25906:12 25906:12 25906:12 25906:13	· ·				
25891:21 25894:5 25896:14 25900:8 25901:19,25 25902:4 25902:6,21 25903:9 25903:14,25 25904:2 25905:5,8,25 25912:6 25904:11,12,16,24 25917:13 25919:3,10 25919:24 25920:5 25941:22 25942:9,15 25992:10 2596:23 25964:10 25962:10 25963:7 25964:9 25985:14 25905:5,8,25 25912:6 25889:14 25895:1,5 25917:13 25919:3,10 25919:24 25920:5 25941:22 25942:9,15 25912:10 25962:12 25920:10 25962:12 25880:14 25895:1,5 25917:13 25919:3,10 25919:24 25920:5 25941:22 25942:9,15 25911:13 25962:23 25963:19 25963:19 25880:12 25889:23 25966:18 25986:11 25986:11 25986:10 25910:24 25920:5 25943:12 25942:9,15 25917:24 25950:18 25963:19 25988:24 25907:17 25927:13 25986:11 25907:17 25927:13 25918:10 25919:24 25920:5 25941:22 25942:9,15 25941:22 25942:9,15 25941:22 25942:9,15 25941:22 25942:9,15 25963:19 25966:18 25906:19 25906:18 25906					
25896:14 25900:8 25901:19,25 25902:4 25906:25 25900:25 25963:7 25964:9 25988:24 25946:2 529565:19 25903:14,25 25904:2 25986:11 25880:16 25881:12 25880:16 25881:12 25880:16 25881:12 25880:16 25881:12 25905:5,8,25 25912:6 25907:17 25927:13 25919:3,10 25919:24 25920:5 25941:22 25942:9,15 25941:22 25942:9,15 25941:22 25942:9,15 25942:10 25962:4,8,20 25962:4,8,20 25963:19 25966:10 25963:19 2596			_		
25901:19,25 25902:4 25902:6,21 25903:9 25903:14,25 25904:2 25905:5,8,25 25912:6 25889:14 25895:1,5 25917:13 25919:3,10 25912:4 25902:5 2592:10 25962:23 25962:4,8,20 25966:10 25867:1 25978:12 25978:10 25878:13,14 25978:19 25984:1,7 25878:13,14 25978:19 25986:7 25880:2,4,19,22 25980:3,13 25980:3,13 25980:3,13 25980:3,13 25980:1,13 25980:1,25 25902:12 25916:25 25902:12 25966:7 25916:25 25902:12 25981:16 25978:19 25986:12 25966:7 25917:24 25905:17 25918:19 25986:14 25917:24 25905:17 25918:19 25986:18 25928:19 25986:23 25936:19 25965:19 25968:11 25988:21 25966:3 25988:21 25966:19 25908:12 25966:13 25988:11 2588:25 25988:25 25977:11 25988:25 2598:20 25988:25 25972:10 25988:25 25988:21 25998:11 25888:25 25988:25 25988:23 25988:25 25988:23 25988:11 25888:25 25988:12 25990:13 25990:17 25938:10 25988:14 25990:18 2599					
25902:6,21 25903:9 sure 25868:11 25986:11 258903:14,25 25904:2 25905:5,8,25 25912:6 25980:14,25 25902:6 25908:914 25895:1,5 25901:11,12,16,24 25907:17 25927:13 25907:13 25919:3,10 25929:10 25937:10 25991:24 25902:5 25941:12,23 25902:1 25941:12 25942:15 25962:14,23 25902:2 25941:22 25942:9,15 25911:4,23 25902:2 25962:4,8,20 25962:4,8,20 25966:11 25966:12 25966:14 25966:11 25966:14 25966:14 25966:14 25972:16 25930:6 25972:16 25973:20 25962:4,8,20 25966:11 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25972:15 25912:14 25973:15 25912:14 25973:16 2					
25903:14,25 25904:2 25880:16 25881:12 25880:16 25881:12 25905:5,8,25 25912:6 25907:17 25927:13 25907:17 25927:13 25914:11,12,16,24 25917:13 25919:3,10 25929:10 25937:10 25919:24 25920:5 25941:22 25942:9,15 25922:10 25962:23 25964:11 25967:21 25968:14 25967:3 25974:11,19 25975:1 25934:24 25936:8 25964:6 25957:18 25964:6 25957:18 25964:6 25957:18 25968:21 25968:21 25968:21 25968:21 25968:21 25968:21 25968:21 25967:13 25968:14 25967:17 25988:12 25967:17 25988:12 25967:13 25968:14 25967:17 25968:11 25967:17 25968:11 25967:13 25968:14 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:12 25967:17 25968:11 25967:17 25968:11 25967:17 25968:11 25967:17 25968:11 25967:17 25968:11 25967:18 25967:17 25968:11 25967:17 25968:11 25967:17 25968:11 25967:15 25966:11 25968:14 25968:14 25968:14 25966:24 25966:14 25968:14 25966:24 25966:14 25966:14 25966:24 25966:14 25966:24 25966:14 25966:24 25966:14 25966:24 25966:14 25966:24 25966:24 25966:24 25966:14 25966:24 259	The state of the s	•			
25905:5,8,25 25912:6 25889:14 25895:1,5 25907:12,17,25 target 25977:12,17,25 target 25977:12,17,25 target 2596:18 25917:13 25919:3,10 25929:10 25937:10 25963:19 25963:19 25866:3,7,18 25936:7 25955:6 25943:21 25961:4 25922:10 25962:23 25962:48,20 25962:11 25963:14 25967:12 25968:14 25997:16 25973:20 25866:10 25867:1 25972:16 25973:20 25972:16 25973:20 25972:16 25973:20 25972:16 25973:20 25986:11 25978:19 25984:1,7 25917:24 25920:19 25988:21 25966:7 25918:22 25896:9 25957:18 25936:7 25955:18 25936:25 25920:12 25964:6 25957:13 25968:16 25977:6 25974:6 25974:6 25974:6 25974:6 25975:13 25988:25 25920:12 25968:61 25977:8 25988:25 25920:12 25968:61 25978:9 25988:25 25912:14 25903:6, 20 25910:7 25887:12 25968:12 25903:6, 20 25910:7 25887:12 25968:11 25889:25 25903:6, 20 25910:7 25887:12 25968:11 258895:23 25906:24 25902:12 25903:6, 20 25910:7 25887:12 25968:11 25887:2 25903:6, 20 25910:7 25887:12 25968:11 25889:2 25900:17 25922:2 25903:6, 20 25910:7 25887:12 25968:11 25887:1 25889:2 25900:17 25922:2 25903:6, 20 25910:7 25887:12 25968:11 258895:23 25900:17, 25928:19 25930:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:19 25930:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:19 25930:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:19 25930:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:19 25930:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:19 25930:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25966:11 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:1 25900:23 25900:17, 25928:	7			-	
25914:11,12,16,24 25907:17 25927:13 25913:10 25	The state of the s	25889:14 25895:1,5			
25919:24 25920:5 25941:22 25942:9,15 25941:22 25942:9,15 25921:1,23 25922:2 25943:21 25961:4 25917:24 25950:1 25880:11 25881:17 25909:20 25947:11 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:13 25948:13 25948:13 25948:13 25948:14 25948:15 25948:15 25948:15 25948:15 25948:11 25948:11 25948:14 2				thank 25865:25	-
25921:1,23 25922:2 25943:21 25961:4 25917:24 25950:1 25880:11 25881:17 25909:20 25947:11 25922:10 25962:23 25962:4,8,20 25964:11 25962:4,8,20 25967:21 25968:14 te 25930:6 25883:17 25891:7,8 25948:11 25948:12 25948:13 25948:12 25948:12 25948:13 25948:14 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:12 25948:13 25948:14 25948:13 25948:14 25948:13 25948:11 25948:13 25948:14 25948:13 25948:14 25948:14 25948:11 25948:11 25948:11 25948:13 25948:14 25948:14 25948:13 25948:14 25948:14 25948:13 25948:14 25948:14 25948:13 25948:14 2594		25929:10 25937:10	O		
25922:10 25962:23 25962:4,8,20 25967:21 25968:14 25967:21 25968:14 25967:21 25968:14 25972:16 25973:20 25974:5 25975:6 25974:5 25975:6 25974:11,19 25975:1 25934:24 25936:5,15 25935:18 25936:8 25975:61 25993:22 25896:9 25962:12 25964:6 25923:17 25968:14 25967:3 25974:6 25974:6 25974:6 25974:6 25973:20 25873:12 25872:3,19 25974:6 25974:6 25974:6 25974:6 25974:6 25975:12 25968:17 25975:12 25968:12 25975:18 25974:6 25975:19 25975:19 25975:13 25975:19 25975:19 25975:13 25975:19 25975:19 25975:13 25975:19 25975:19 25975:13 25975:19 25975:19 25975:13 25975:61 25996:17 25968:21 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 259875:20 25996:17 25995:20 25996:13 25996:11,19 25975:81 259914:2,7 25919:14 25920:17 25922: 259875:19 25975:8 259875:19 25975:19 259875:19 25975:11 259875:11 259975:11 259975:11 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:11 259975:12 259975:12 259975:12 259975:14 2	25919:24 25920:5	25941:22 25942:9,15	task 25905:17	25878:18,19,24	thing 25908:15 25909:7
25964:11	· ·				
submissions 25866:9 25972:16 25973:20 tea 25923:17 25925:16 25928:9 25930:7 25888:3 25889:3,13 25866:10 25867:1 25974:5 25975:6 25974:5 25975:6 25974:11,19 25975:1 25934:24 25936:5,15 25935:18 25936:8 25871:13,14 25978:19 25981:19 25984:1,7 25975:5 25937:15 25941:7 25942:2 25994:23 25880:2,4,19,22 25989:22 25896:9 25966:7 25917:24 25920:19 25975:6,12 25998:1 25975:6,12 25998:1 25964:6 25957:13 25974:6 25974:6 25975:6,12 25998:1 25885:4 25896:3 25871:8 25870:25 25981:18 25977:6 25967:17 25968:21 2586:11 25886:12 25887:15,5,21 25873:5 25877:8 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 25986:11,19 25888:12 25876:25 25909:13,21 25917:4 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25935:10 25900:17 2592:2 25887:12 25985:23 25985:14 25990:23 25900:17,21 25990:11,19 25889:2 25900:17,21 25939:14,23 25995:14,20 25960:4 25900:19 25900				-	
25866:10 25867:1 25974:5 25975:6 25974:11,19 25975:1 25934:24 25936:5,15 25935:18 25936:8 25871:13,14 25978:19 25984:1,7 25975:5 25937:15 25941:7 25942:2 25994:23 25880:2,4,19,22 25952:12 25966:7 25917:24 25920:19 25975:6,12 25998:1 25870:6 25878:23 25916:25 25920:12 25967:3 25928:17 25950:1 25975:6,12 25998:1 25885:4 25896:13 25964:6 25957:13 25974:6 25974:6 25975:6 25989:25900:17 25871:8 25870:25 25981:16 25981:16 25967:17 25968:21 25886:12,23 25870:2 25899:9 25900:17 25873:5 25877:8 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 25875:20 25876:25 25909:13,21 25917:4 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:1 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25883:2,14 25892:19 2592:14 25926:12 2593:14,23 25970:19 2590:17 2592:2 25943:18 25978:1 25898:2 25990:17,21 2599:11,12,17 2599:14,23 25970:19 25988:14 25968:11 25988:2 25990:17,21 25999:14,23 25999:14,23					_
25871:13,14 25978:19 25984:1,7 25975:5 25937:15 25941:7 25942:2 25994:23 25873:11 25878:22 surely 25890:13,18 25975:5 25955:19 25971:12 think 25865:8,12 25880:2,4,19,22 25952:12 25966:7 25917:24 25920:19 25975:6,12 25998:1 25870:6 25878:23 25916:25 25920:12 surprise 25951:18 25974:6 that'll 25927:9 25885:4 25896:13 25871:8 25870:25 surrender 25980:8,21 25967:17 25968:21 25868:12,23 25870:2 25899:9 25900:17 25873:5 25877:8 survive 25893:5 25996:11,19 25878:10 25881:21 25921:4 25926:12 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25939:14,23 25920:17 25922:2 25966:11 technical 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25990:23 25900:17,21 25990:23 25900:17,21 25990:19 25980:11 25996:23 25997:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25914:2,7 25919:14 25989:22 25995:14 25995:22					
25873:11 25878:22 surely 25890:13,18 team 25905:17 25955:19 25971:12 think 25865:8,12 25880:2,4,19,22 25952:12 25966:7 25917:24 25920:19 25975:6,12 25998:1 25870:6 25878:23 25916:25 25920:12 25967:3 25928:17 25950:1 Thanks 25926:8 25885:4 25896:13 25964:6 25957:13 surrender 25980:8,21 25967:17 25968:21 25866:17 25899:9 25900:17 25871:8 25872:3,19 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 25875:20 25876:25 25875:20 25876:25 25901:5 25906:17 25878:9 25881:20 25887:11 25888:25 2596:11,19 25883:2,14 25892:19 25928:5 25935:20 2590:17 25922:2 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 2593:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25900:17,21 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25975:6 25879:23 25900:23 25910:8 25995:14,20 25960:4 25970:19 25968:11 25968:11 25975:6 25879:23 25900:23 25910:8 25995:14,20 25960:4			•	-	
25880:2,4,19,22 25952:12 25966:7 25917:24 25920:19 25975:6,12 25998:1 25870:6 25878:23 25893:22 25896:9 25967:3 25928:17 25950:1 Thanks 25926:8 25885:4 25896:13 25916:25 25920:12 surprise 25951:18 25974:6 that'll 25927:9 25897:5,15,21 25964:6 25957:13 teargas 25912:14 that's 25866:17 25899:9 25900:17 submit 25870:25 surrender 25980:8,21 25967:17 25968:21 25868:12,23 25870:2 25901:5 25906:17 25871:8 25872:3,19 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 25875:20 25876:25 25909:13,21 25917:4 25878:9 25881:20 25878:10 25886:12 2596:11,19 25883:2,14 25892:19 25928:5 25935:20 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25937:6 25920:17 25922:2 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25898:2 25900:17,21 25939:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2	*				
25893:22 25896:9 25967:3 25928:17 25950:1 Thanks 25926:8 25885:4 25896:13 25916:25 25920:12 surprise 25951:18 25974:6 that'll 25927:9 25897:5,15,21 25964:6 25957:13 teargas 25912:14 that's 25866:17 25899:9 25900:17 submit 25870:25 surrender 25980:8,21 25967:17 25968:21 25868:12,23 25870:2 25901:5 25906:17 25873:5 25877:8 survive 25893:5 25996:11,19 25878:10 25881:20 25928:5 25935:20 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25937:6 25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 25968:11 25979:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2					
25916:25 25920:12 surprise 25951:18 25974:6 that'll 25927:9 25897:5,15,21 25964:6 25957:13 teargas 25912:14 25868:12,23 25870:2 25899:9 25900:17 submit 25870:25 surrender 25980:8,21 25967:17 25968:21 25868:12,23 25870:2 25901:5 25906:17 25873:5 25877:8 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 25875:20 25876:25 25909:13,21 25917:4 25878:9 25881:20 survive 25893:5 25996:11,19 25883:2,14 25892:19 25928:5 25935:20 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25937:6 25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2				•	
25964:6 25957:13 teargas 25912:14 that's 25866:17 25899:9 25900:17 submit 25870:25 surrender 25980:8,21 25967:17 25968:21 25868:12,23 25870:2 25901:5 25906:17 25871:8 25872:3,19 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 25875:20 25876:25 25909:13,21 25917:4 25878:9 25878:9 25881:20 survive 25893:5 25996:11,19 25883:2,14 25892:19 25928:5 25935:20 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25937:6 25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2					
submit 25870:25 surrender 25980:8,21 25967:17 25968:21 25868:12,23 25870:2 25901:5 25906:17 25871:8 25872:3,19 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 25875:20 25876:25 25909:13,21 25917:4 25873:5 25877:8 survive 25893:5 25996:11,19 25878:10 25881:21 25921:4 25926:12 25878:9 25881:20 survivors 25886:12 technical 25891:3 25883:2,14 25892:19 25928:5 25935:20 25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25900:17 25922:2 suspects 25943:18 telephone 25957:8 25898:2 25900:17,21 25959:14,20 25960:4 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2		_			
25871:8 25872:3,19 25981:16 25995:20 25996:2,3 25875:20 25876:25 25909:13,21 25917:4 25873:5 25877:8 survive 25893:5 25996:11,19 25878:10 25881:21 25921:4 25926:12 25878:9 25881:20 survivors 25886:12 technical 25891:3 25883:2,14 25892:19 25928:5 25935:20 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25937:6 25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2					
25873:5 25877:8 survive 25893:5 25996:11,19 25878:10 25881:21 25921:4 25926:12 25878:9 25881:20 survivors 25886:12 technical 25891:3 25883:2,14 25892:19 25928:5 25935:20 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25937:6 25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2					
25878:9 25881:20 survivors 25886:12 technical 25891:3 25883:2,14 25892:19 25928:5 25935:20 25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25937:6 25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25900:17,21 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2					•
25903:6,20 25910:7 25887:11 25888:25 25987:7 25893:2 25894:4,21 25936:7 25937:6 25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25920:17 25922:2 suspects 25943:18 telephone 25957:8 25898:2 25900:17,21 25947:15 25952:25 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2	/ / 31 1 1/2		l ·		
25914:2,7 25919:14 suspect 25966:11 technically 25874:20 25897:1,1,12,17 25939:14,23 25920:17 25922:2 suspects 25943:18 telephone 25957:8 25898:2 25900:17,21 25947:15 25952:25 25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2	1 121	La proper / January		•	
25970:19 swept 25895:23 25958:14 25900:23 25905:11 25959:14,20 25960:4 25968:11 25968:11 25968:12 2596	In I Desire	7 -1			
submitted 25871:21 25968:11 tell 25875:6 25879:23 25906:22 25910:8 25962:19 25963:2			_	25898:2 25900:17,21	25947:15 25952:25
	The state of the s	Process of the Contract of the			
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE			tell 25875:6 25879:23	25906:22 25910:8	25962:19 25963:2
	ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	l		

25965:1 25967:20,25
25968:18 25969:8
25970:8 25971:24
25974:2,25,25
25975:1,4,18 25976:8
25976:11 25980:13
third 25867:12
25873:17 25898:13
25918:24 25956:19
25967:14
thirdly 25884:7
Thomas 25927:13,18
25928:6
thought 25869:20
25909:16 25924:19
25928:22 25962:16
25962:17 25964:22
25965:24 25966:3,6
25975:13
thoughts 25866:4
threat 25886:6,21
25912:10
three 25866:12,15
25922:22 25948:8,17
threefold 25916:18
throw 25980:8,22
Thursday 25987:8
25994:16 25995:1
25998:3,8
thwarted 25911:22
tilt 25919:14
time 25864:14,17
25879:8,14 25887:18
25888:4,22 25890:5
25896:12 25899:12
25906:17,18 25907:3
25907:17 25933:15
25933:19 25935:7,9
25935:19 25937:17
25940:12,24
25941:11 25946:1,13
25947:5,18,19,20
25948:12 25949:4
25953:22 25955:23
25956:10,16
25962:17 25966:14 25970:21,24
25972:20 25973:17
25986:5,9,24,24,25
25987:4,17
timeously 25864:13
times 25886:10
25906:14 25946:15
25956:25
time-stamped
25950:16
today 25872:10
25873:24 25881:15
25917:4 25989:16
today's 25927:24
today 5 25721.21
toe 25913:16 25929:20
toe 25913:16 25929:20 told 25874:25 25912:22
toe 25913:16 25929:20 told 25874:25 25912:22 25953:1 25954:14
toe 25913:16 25929:20 told 25874:25 25912:22 25953:1 25954:14 25955:2 25995:11,15
toe 25913:16 25929:20 told 25874:25 25912:22 25953:1 25954:14 25955:2 25995:11,15 25996:5,7 25997:20
toe 25913:16 25929:20 told 25874:25 25912:22 25953:1 25954:14 25955:2 25995:11,15
toe 25913:16 25929:20 told 25874:25 25912:22 25953:1 25954:14 25955:2 25995:11,15 25996:5,7 25997:20

Mai
25998:6,8
tool 25898:12
top 25890:8 25940:1
25944:17 25967:22 topic 25930:8
topics 25928:14
total 25876:18,25
totally 25994:20
touched 25887:18
township 25996:1,5 traditional 25950:4
traffic 25932:20,21
25972:22
tragedy 25922:13
trans 25935:20
transcript 25874:14 25929:7 25956:22
25961:25 25971:2
transferred 25877:23
25883:11
transitional 25900:2 translated 25936:22
translation 25879:15
25879:20 25881:14
25935:16,18,21,25
transmission 25945:22
25956:10,13 25957:3 25970:22 25988:12
transmissions
25955:21
transmit 25902:25
transmitted 25874:22
25902:16 transparent 25891:23
transporting 25870:12
travel 25876:17,19,25
25877:3,7
travelling 25877:20,22 treatment 25892:6
trees 25913:10
tremendous 25877:20
trials 25867:14
25868:10
tried 25980:1 trouble 25967:2
25987:9
TRT 25942:4,7
25950:1 25960:2
25965:6,7,8,19
true 25908:24 25910:24 25911:1,5
truncate 25891:1
trust 25983:8
truth 25884:22 25885:3
25903:11 25922:13 25929:13
try 25963:24
trying 25869:17
25933:5 25977:9
25982:1,8
try8ing 25 950:22 Tsiloane 25933:6
25945:9
Tuesday 25866:23
turn 25911:4 25931:3

25935:12 25946:22

25947:10 25960:8 25990:19 25993:4 25996:21 turning 25972:4 turns 25881:19 TV 25883:8,13 two 25864:3 25873:11 25874:18 25875:15 25880:23 25884:17 25884:20 25887:6 25907:4 25917:12 25919:13,25 25926:18 25931:24 25938:1 25940:19 25948:10 25949:24 25953:1 25955:21,23 25956:4,25 25957:19 25965:5 25967:5,6,6 25968:2 25979:17,17 25980:5,5,13,15,15 25982:8,11 25986:18 25987:2,4,13 Ty 25982:22 type 25883:23 25888:13 25902:4 25919:22 25920:25 U

types 25886:15 u 25925:20,20 25927:20 25934:6,7 25940:24,25 25941:1 25975:9,9 uitkom 25929:19 ultimate 25921:23 **ultimately 25907:12** 25922:1 umlaut 25927:20 unable 25882:7 25883:16 25885:24 unaware 25930:15,16 25932:18 25958:11 25958:21 25959:15 unconstitutional 25894:19,22 25898:23 undergone 25912:4 underlying 25903:7 **undermine** 25922:10 undermined 25870:1 25902:7 undermines 25905:9 25935:17

undermines 25905:9 25935:17 understand 25864:25 25868:5 25870:3 25878:7 25880:10 25882:5,8,10,12,18 25882:19 25893:9,15 25896:22,23 25897:20 25898:1 25899:4 25910:11 25912:6,13 25913:15 25916:4,5 25930:20 25935:8 25937:19 25939:4 25942:4

25962:4 25963:9 25966:24 25968:15 25971:17 25978:21 25978:22 25987:11 25995:20 understandable 25911:21 understanding 25870:8 25953:7 25977:24 understands 25909:14 25930:10 understood 25869:13 25908:21 25909:4 25929:11 undertaking 25963:24 **undertook** 25936:25 25937:1 **unfurling** 25912:13 unit 25982:15 units 25989:21 25993:19 unknown 25981:15 25982:8 **unmarked** 25890:6 **unnatural** 25940:2 25944:18 25967:23 unnecessary 25891:22 **unprotected** 25917:17 unquantified 25882:9 **unreliable** 25908:18 unrepresented 25928:17 unsound 25918:21 unwarranted 25911:4 update 25965:24 uphold 25979:1,3 upwards 25944:9 up-to-date 25969:20 use 25872:13 25878:9 25886:13 25898:12 25911:2 25918:25 25937:13 25943:23 25945:24 25946:9 25954:21 25963:17 25964:9 25989:5 25992:20 25993:12 uses 25878:11 usual 25880:15

V
valuable 25905:22
value 25918:10
values 25897:24
van 25905:16,20
25906:2 25929:20,22
25934:8 25956:12,15
25956:17 25957:2
25958:1,3
vantage 25913:12
various 25897:21
25913:7 25916:15
25933:11 25936:19

25947:2 25959:13

vehicle 25890:6,6

usually 25955:5

utilise 25947:20

25913:18 vehicles 25933:1 veld 25968:24 venue 25915:18 venues 25874:18 verantwoordelikheid 25929:20 verder 25926:10 25935:1 25941:5 25976:18 verkeerd 25929:16 Vermaak's 25961:23 **vernacular** 25966:16 version 25886:17 25914:9 25969:20 25970:9 victim 25868:2,12 victims 25873:9 25919:9 victory 25895:12 video 25871:1,8 25873:15,20,25 25874:3,13,21 25875:1,5,11 25877:6 25877:6,10,21 25901:24 25902:12 25912:25 25915:13 25915:18,21 25916:24 25922:4,24 25934:6 25935:8 25961:18 25962:6,7 25962:11,22 25971:5 25971:7,11 25974:22 25977:11,18 25978:15,18 25979:9 25979:12,16 25980:4 25980:16,18 25982:9 25983:21 25984:25 25986:17,23,24 25987:4,14 videokamera 25934:9 videos 25934:2 25964:1 25976:22 view 25909:2 25910:21 25910:22 25911:9 25912:1 25918:19 25921:21 25944:23 25964:11 25988:10 village 25921:8 25948:22 25950:13 25950:17 violence 25905:20 violent 25992:19 vir 25929:17 virtually 25874:24 visit 25973:24 vista 25897:15 visual 25953:22 25984:21 vital 25903:20 viva 25902:15,20 **voce** 25902:16,20 voice 25899:13 25969:23,25

25943:16 25952:12

25970:20 25977:12

25977:16,22 25978:5

25884:12 25893:8

25979:9,15,19	25976:12 25981:15
25980:25	weakest 25886:3
voices 25977:9	weapons 25911:24
25987:16 25988:2,6	25948:2 25950:4
25989:4	wearing 25888:4
voor 25930:6	week 25866:22,23
Voorsitter 25925:22	25881:24 25884:17
25929:15 25933:24	25884:21 25885:5
25934:12 25941:2 vorentoe 25934:11	25887:22 25896:15 25906:24 25965:7
Vorentoe 25954:11	weeks 25890:12
	weeks 25090.12 weer 25934:9,10,11
waarheid 25929:23,23	weggeneem 25934:9
waarin 25929:18	weigh 25937:2
wait 25881:5 25926:14	weighed 25923:11
waiting 25880:18	weight 25913:22
walk 25986:18 25987:2	25918:12 25923:15
walking 25996:16	Weighty 25923:11
want 25885:25 25886:4	wel 25934:5
25896:11 25906:8,13	well-grounded 25886:9
25908:6 25909:21,22 25914:7 25916:14	went 25882:15 25912:4 25913:5 25936:17
25926:13,25 25928:4	25987:20 25996:19
25934:16 25938:6	weren't 25953:15
25942:18 25966:15	25966:24 25973:4,8
25968:14 25974:11	25981:14,17
25976:5,7,12 25980:8	25988:21 25994:4
25980:9,9,10,21,22	we'd 25873:18,18
25981:16 25984:16	25906:24 25974:25
25985:11,16	25975:4 25978:12
25994:24 wanted 25878:20	25980:7 we'll 25881:4,6
25881:18 25985:18	25893:24 25899:15
25985:23	25901:22 25934:20
wanting 25899:22	25937:6,7,12
25920:20	25940:18 25969:25
wants 25884:11	25974:19 25975:24
25937:9	25977:7 25994:14,15
warning 25926:1	25994:25
25966:9,15,24	we're 25869:17
25967:4 warnings 25967:5,6,9	25873:5 25874:25 25880:18 25883:16
25993:14	25900:8 25903:13
warrant 25877:23	25911:2 25913:21
25883:11 25933:8	25934:13 25942:9
warrant-officers	25968:14 25970:8
25875:17,25	25971:5 25977:4,9
25915:20	25981:25 25982:8
wasn't 25967:2	25987:9
25973:23 25989:13	we've 25871:21
25996:6 wat 25929:19,21	25876:20,22 25880:24 25881:8
25934:5,11,11	25892:10 25915:14
25941:1	25916:24 25927:7
water 25978:6,7,9,13	25935:19 25941:8
25986:17,23	25942:1 25946:10,24
way 25868:12,24	25947:1 25949:13
25869:22 25872:8,25	25956:25 25960:25
25878:23 25882:23	25975:1,17 25978:9
25890:21 25891:18	25987:15 25988:2,9
25898:2 25913:9 25921:17,24	25988:23,25 what's 25880:9
25921:17,24 25922:24 25938:2	25896:24 25915:22
25939:14,22 25940:5	25927:9 25934:4
25968:22 25970:20	25946:7 25957:22
25075 12 20	25060.9 25090.4

25997:17 wounded 25933:7 whereabouts 25869:24 Where's 25936:1 whichever 25898:2 whilst 25916:19 Whisky1 25982:2,5,23 white 25931:4,10,15 25932:13,15 25986:18 25987:2 25990:12 White's 25932:9 who's 25865:1 25866:19 wide 25921:3 widely 25914:3 widows 25886:4,6 willingness 25994:18 wing 25973:7 wire 25912:13 25968:5 wish 25865:1 25896:9 25905:11 25914:18 25925:2 witness 25865:12 25869:23,25 25874:12,13,15 25876:5,6 25884:3 25889:12 25902:4 25904:3,4,5,7,9,18,23 25904:25 25905:1,2,6 25905:10 25914:18 25917:13,17 25919:20 25952:11 25952:15 25973:23 25976:7 25997:6 witnesses 25867:15 25888:25 25889:15 25891:23 25905:21 25912:16 25988:24 witness's 25865:19 25997:7,11 women 25948:19,20,22 25948:24 25949:5,9 25950:14,16 **wondering** 25979:21 won't 25875:24 25887:4 25888:21 word 25878:10.10 25895:4 25896:20 25898:22 25934:8,9 25943:23 wording 25867:12 25958:2 words 25869:8 25881:6 25882:4 25883:23 25898:13 25901:7 25907:19,20 25911:2 25969:24 work 25909:7 25923:14 working 25947:16 25989:15 25990:9 works 25888:3 world 25909:21,24 worried 25889:14 wouldn't 25878:17 25897:25 25898:20 25966:8 25975:22

write 25992:4 25913:4 25930:15.16 written 25891:11 25931:25 25932:11 25896:8 25901:9 25932:19,23 25933:2 25916:25 25992:11 25935:10,21 25993:5,25 25940:10 25943:8 wrong 25870:3 25944:14,20,22 25884:19 25897:10 25946:9,10,20 25911:23 25964:15 25947:12,17,18,25 25955:22 25958:12 X 25958:15,19,21 X's 25870:18 25886:6 25964:20 25968:11 25906:15 25907:24 25968:17 25969:8 25908:1 25986:11,13 1st 25886:23 Y **1/4/2014** 25937:8 you'd 25930:2 **1:30** 25965:25 25944:18 25954:25 25966:10 25956:5 **10** 25935:19,24 you'll 25889:1 25982:6 **10.1.1** 25990:23 you're 25876:14 **10.7** 25913:21 **10:03** 25948:21 25879:11,13,17 10:09AM 25949:5 25880:16 25892:20 **10:14** 25894:25 25892:24 25893:1 **10:15** 25948:23 25901:1,16 25910:2 **10:43** 25949:20 25916:10 25924:2 **10:54** 25899:19 25931:1 25940:9 10:57 25950:11 25949:9,10 25963:10 **100** 25950:14 25968:15 25972:21 **1017** 25942:3 25973:2 25974:19 **1018** 25935:14 25976:9 25978:22 25981:9 25982:6 25937:16,21 you've 25990:14 25941:22 25957:23 you've 25865:24 25957:24 25899:10 25916:6 **105** 25972:3 108 25931:6,6,14 25930:3,20 25931:8 11 25902:1 25914:2 25937:12 25941:16 25922:16 25950:5 25963:10,12,12 25972:4 25976:20 11(indistinct) 25965:4 11:01 25950:16 25990:13,15,16 25993:20 25995:11 11:14 25906:25 25995:15 11:33 25914:24 **11:53** 25922:1 ${\bf Z}$ 12 25914:24 zoom 25950:5 12:20 25925:19 zoomed 25939:19 12:50 25934:22 **Zyl** 25905:17,20 **125** 25990:19 25906:2 13th 25866:13,16,16 **Z2** 25927:6 25995:11 **Z3** 25927:6 **13:20** 25977:11,16 14:05 25940:21 0 14:25 25952:24 **14:25/19** 25965:3 000 25876:18.24 **14:42** 25974:22 25882:23,24,24 14:45 25965:22 25883:3,6,12,13 01488 25948:5,5 **1489** 25948:11 01492 25949:2,2 **1490** 25948:11,12 **01496** 25949:3 **1492** 25949:3 01498 25949:19 **1496** 25949:4 **02:02** 25980:5 **1498** 25949:20 **09:15** 25864:2 **1499** 25949:20,22 **09:35** 25876:9 **15** 25979:9,11 **09:55** 25886:19 15th 25955:7,8 **15:24** 25975:8 1 **15:43** 25987:5 **15:53** 25958:19 **1** 25864:1 25883:12,13

25975:13,20

25960:8 25989:4

			 Page 19
15:53:50 25935:10	25:51 25986:24	9.2 25905:5	
15:56:26 25986:25	25447 25929:6	9:10 25948:9	
15:56:29 25951:12	26 25987:4,6	9:14AM 25948:6	
1501 25950:5	262 25992:12	9:15 25947:23	
1502 25950:15	27 25981:21	9:18 25948:12	
1503 25950:15	28 25984:9	9:52AM 25948:18	
1518 25951:11		9:56 25949:4	
158(2) 25916:2	3	94 25900:20	
16th 25866:14	3 25911:20,23 25913:4	96 25900:20	
25911:16 25912:18	25913:5,17,20	974 25947:11,11,23	
25946:24 25947:3,24	25929:20 25933:2	979 25948:18	
25955:9 25989:10	25972:18 25974:10	981 25948:20	
16:02:20 25933:18	25981:8 25992:12,16	984 25948:23	
25935:11 25941:12	30 25933:15 25939:8	99 25932:14 25949:19	
25941:14	25956:13,20,24	990 25949:14	
16:04:40 25960:15,16	25957:3,14,14,15	991 25949:25	
16:09:30 25955:23	25958:3,4	994 25950:11	
16:11:18 25956:13,19	30:10 25971:4,5		
16:12:12 25969:16	31 25958:15		
25974:23 16:12:25 25956:22	34 25866:13 25867:7 25895:20 25897:9		
16:13:42 25956:23	39 25897:6		
16:14:09 25956:13.19	39 23897.0 39(2) 25900:17		
16:24 25958:13	59(a) 25700.17		
16:26:03 25987:1	4		
16:27:40 25970:5,12,13	4 25871:21 25891:25		
16:32 25972:2	25892:23 25893:17		
16:35:38 25981:22	25894:16 25897:2		
16:37:14 25984:10	25898:14 25901:9		
16:47 25958:14,17,19	25987:17,17 25998:1		
18 25937:20 25940:11	4:40 25933:17		
25940:13 25942:8,9	40 25978:4,11		
25943:13 25944:1,9	400 25911:20,24		
25951:13	42 25873:1		
19 25901:18 25917:2	44 25945:16		
25920:14 25922:23	46 25978:11		
1947 25897:5	47 25970:11		
2	5		
	5 25884:13		
2 25884:12 25893:12 25900:3 25930:13,15	5.1 25882:6		
25930:19 25933:8	5.2.9 25931:18		
25945:1,12 25947:13	50 25948:25		
25947:17,25	54 25958:18 25959:16		
25948:19,21,23			
25950:2,12 25958:12	6		
25958:16,21	6 25900:1,3 25901:25		
25968:12 25969:1	6.2 25938:19		
25984:14 25986:12	60 25938:9 25948:24		
2:30 25966:1			
20 25872:21,24 25932:1	7		
25948:20 25987:17	7 25872:21		
2011 25927:18,19	7.3.6 25932:15		
25928:1,5,6	7.4.5 25931:14 25932:9		
2012 25866:13,14	7:10 25961:18 25962:2		
25886:23			
2014 25864:1	8 25074 17 25002 14		
204 25914:20 206 25929:3	8 25874:17 25903:14		
21 25921:15 25927:9	25945:10,16		
21 25921:15 25927:9 22 25947:10	80 259 5 0:12		
24 25874:17	9		
25 25935:13 25940:16	9 25870:16 25878:12		
25944:2,10 25978:4	25903:19 25998:3,8		
25978:10	9th 25929:5		
25:50 25987:4,6	9.1 25929.3		
ARCHIVE FO	R IIISTICE		