RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

# COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

## MARIKANA

### **BEFORE TRIBUNAL**

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

## HELD ON

DAY 135 26 SEPTEMBER 2013

PAGES 14285 TO 14382



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1	Page 14285 [PROCEEDINGS ON 26 SEPTEMBER 2013]	1	Page 14287 had an opportunity to, well, reflect on what we covered
2	[09:09] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2	yesterday. Can you offer us any explanation for why these
3	Colonel, you're still under oath.	3	four different aspects of the SAPS case, the presentation,
4	DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o.	4	the narrative, Major-General Annandale's testimony, and
5	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson.	5	your own first two statements all seem to suggest that the
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON (CONTD.):	6	encirclement plan was abandoned on the Tuesday.
7	Thank you, Chairperson. Colonel, when we broke yesterday	7	COLONEL SCOTT: Thanks. I can try.
8	I'd been taking you through the evolution of the SAPS	8	Obviously it's all limited to memory recall as well, and
9	version on when the encirclement plan was abandoned and	9	I've also been pondering on it. At the time that the
10	replaced with the plan to disperse and disarm, and had been	10	operation was running, and as I was mentioning, there was a
11	showing that the version that was first advanced by SAPS in	11	lot of lack of sleep and so on –
12	the presentation, in the narrative, in your first two	12	CHAIRPERSON: Lot of?
13	statements, and in the evidence of Major-General Annandale,	13	COLONEL SCOTT: Lack of sleep and
14	was one which consistently suggested that the encirclement	14	fatigue, and what I've come to appreciate later when
15	plan had been abandoned on the Tuesday in favour of the	15	putting my consolidated statement together, which was the
16	plan to disperse and disarm. You'll recall that section of	16	first time I actually started scouring the documents to try
17	the cross-examination?	17	and get a more objective timeframe and see what I was
18	COLONEL SCOTT: I recall the section,	18	saying at the time, this is where I started picking up that
19	yes.	19	- and it was coming back to memory what was actually
20	CHAIRPERSON: Before you continue, Mr	20	happening with regard to my presentations, and I know it
21	Chaskalson, you remember you put to him what Major-General	21	may be a repetition, but just to go through it, on the
22	Annandale had said and you suggested that he read the	22	Tuesday I definitely presented the whole presentation to
23	passage in the transcript to get the context and so forth	23	the formalised JOCCOM that afternoon at about 2 o'clock.
24	overnight, and then give his answer. I don't know whether	24	The Wednesday morning I only gave the strategic area again
25	it's perhaps appropriate to deal with it first -	25	which dealt with the operational deployment side of phase
1	Page 14286	1	Page 14288
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair –	1	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal	2	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage
2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making?	2 3	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now
2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all,	2 3 4	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why
2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which	2 3 4 5	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update
2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred	2 3 4 5 6	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General	2 3 4 5 6 7	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale. COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or fast enough to get everything right by the time I needed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale. COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, and Chairperson, I don't think I can respond to his words. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or fast enough to get everything right by the time I needed to present, and already by the Wednesday on the coordinating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale. COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, and Chairperson, I don't think I can respond to his words. I don't know what his thought patterns were or what he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or fast enough to get everything right by the time I needed to present, and already by the Wednesday on the coordinating instructions, the times that I had ideally put out in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale. COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, and Chairperson, I don't think I can respond to his words. I don't know what his thought patterns were or what he was thinking at the time. Whether it was just a misspoken word	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or fast enough to get everything right by the time I needed to present, and already by the Wednesday on the coordinating instructions, the times that I had ideally put out in the coordinating instructions were also not being met because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale. COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, and Chairperson, I don't think I can respond to his words. I don't know what his thought patterns were or what he was thinking at the time. Whether it was just a misspoken word by him, I can't, I don't know. I haven't contacted him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or fast enough to get everything right by the time I needed to present, and already by the Wednesday on the coordinating instructions, the times that I had ideally put out in the coordinating instructions were also not being met because it would almost be on a stopwatch that you needed to be out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale. COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, and Chairperson, I don't think I can respond to his words. I don't know what his thought patterns were or what he was thinking at the time. Whether it was just a misspoken word by him, I can't, I don't know. I haven't contacted him either to find out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or fast enough to get everything right by the time I needed to present, and already by the Wednesday on the coordinating instructions, the times that I had ideally put out in the coordinating instructions were also not being met because it would almost be on a stopwatch that you needed to be out here by this time, you needed to be briefing members by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale. COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, and Chairperson, I don't think I can respond to his words. I don't know what his thought patterns were or what he was thinking at the time. Whether it was just a misspoken word by him, I can't, I don't know. I haven't contacted him either to find out. CHAIRPERSON: What he said was wrong?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or fast enough to get everything right by the time I needed to present, and already by the Wednesday on the coordinating instructions, the times that I had ideally put out in the coordinating instructions were also not being met because it would almost be on a stopwatch that you needed to be out here by this time, you needed to be briefing members by that time; we needed you at the front by this time, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to deal with the other point you're making? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at all, Chairperson. That was in fact the very next point to which I was going to turn. So Colonel, you'll recall I referred you to a passage from the testimony of Major-General Annandale and in fairness to you I said you can't really answer, you can't be expected to answer for Major-General Annandale, but if you want to look at it overnight and respond in the morning, you should feel free to do so. So this is your opportunity, but in fairness to you I do want to emphasise that from our perspective we don't see any obligation on your side to respond for Major-General Annandale. COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, and Chairperson, I don't think I can respond to his words. I don't know what his thought patterns were or what he was thinking at the time. Whether it was just a misspoken word by him, I can't, I don't know. I haven't contacted him either to find out. CHAIRPERSON: What he said was wrong? In my opinion, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1, and then obviously reflected there again to the other phases at the time by name. I may have at that stage already given more detail on phase 2, having more time now to have gone into detail on that, but by Thursday – and why I say this is in the mornings I couldn't actually update the numbers in some of the slides when it spoke to 56 POPs members need to go to this position and so on. I would be waiting for the roll-call to be done to see who was there to try and fill that in, and then most of that deployment positioning anyway was done by General Annandale, being the superior rank to be able to tell the superior officers okay, you will take command there, you will go to this position, etcetera. So I know that by the Thursday some of the numbers that I was trying to fill in were not always coinciding with what was being shown. I wasn't in time, or fast enough to get everything right by the time I needed to present, and already by the Wednesday on the coordinating instructions, the times that I had ideally put out in the coordinating instructions were also not being met because it would almost be on a stopwatch that you needed to be out here by this time, you needed to be briefing members by that time; we needed you at the front by this time, which is normally the way I would run an operation, and those

		I	
1	Page 14289	1	Page 14291
1	were going on longer than I'd expected them to, etcetera.	1	evening, was the tasking now to start with the briefing
2	So in upgrading these slides, by the Thursday I	2	note to the President, which was trying to get as much
3	got to the place where, and with the experience I was	3	information together as possible, because I know that I
4	having at that time as well as these slides were becoming	4	only sent that off officially at about 2 o'clock in the
5	extra information. Most of the people that had seen them	5	morning.
6	by then had seen them and it was just a repetition, and the	6	To get back to the National Commissioner's
7	emphasis was now more on the actual putting up the	7	briefing, I'm not sure, I think that happened at about 10,
8	deployment, still going over the mission, putting up the	8	11 o'clock in the day. I was also mistaken, and I think
9	deployment to show the commanders where they were expected	9	that my statement speaks to the President's briefing being
10	to be and what they were expected to do, explaining to them	10	on the 18th; it was also the 17th, the briefing of the
11	their roles. So by Thursday, the best explanation I've got	11	President. So it was almost two, three, four hours after
12	is that some of the slides are still from the Wednesday. I	12	the National Commissioner's briefing when the President
13	got to sitting in the meeting and changing some of the	13	came, gave a press briefing, and we briefed him after that
14	dates on them and trying to upgrade some of them, but in	14	again. In saying that, the briefing he received would be
15	being incomplete, I – and I speak under correction, I'm not	15	very similar to the one that was given to the media,
16	sure that I presented most of what I was even presenting on	16	because I can't recall upgrading the President's briefing
17	the Wednesday, and definitely not what I was presenting on	17	other than what was shown to the media that day.
18	the Tuesday.	18	Continuing to work on this presentation further,
19	Come the operational period in time, that evening	19	I think after the Commission was announced the decision was
20	after the operation had happened, to the best of my	20	taken that we would need to put together to assist the
21	recollection we knew that there was going to need to be a	21	Commission with something from the SAPS' side of a
22	media briefing by the National Commissioner, and of the	22	presentation to show what the SAPS, how they experienced
23	opinion as well that part of these briefings, and even	22	
23			it, and this is where the beginnings of, I think the first
	briefings to her and other senior officers, I would need to	24 25	version is actually even saved as "President Zuma," but if
25	give some reflection, whether it be a record of what was	25	you look at the date it's already on the Monday when I'm
	Page 14290		Page 14292
1	Page 14290 actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than	1	Page 14292 working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the
1 2		1 2	
	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than		working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the
2	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the	2	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them
2 3 4	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an	2 3	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the
2 3	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening.	2 3 4	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th
2 3 4 5 6	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that	2 3 4 5	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to
2 3 4 5 6 7	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of	2 3 4 5 6 7	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is the meeting of the 16th, it was still reflecting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about the presentation that you were presenting after that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is the meeting of the 16th, it was still reflecting encirclement and I was at that stage convinced by what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about the presentation that you were presenting after that? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the visual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is the meeting of the 16th, it was still reflecting encirclement and I was at that stage convinced by what I still had that it's, at that time of the morning was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about the presentation that you were presenting after that? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the visual presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is the meeting of the 16th, it was still reflecting encirclement and I was at that stage convinced by what I still had that it's, at that time of the morning was encirclement, and this continued pretty much until we got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about the presentation that you were presenting after that? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the visual presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is the meeting of the 16th, it was still reflecting encirclement and I was at that stage convinced by what I still had that it's, at that time of the morning was encirclement, and this continued pretty much until we got to Roots and everybody got to sitting down in their groups
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about the presentation that you were presenting after that? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the visual presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Just going back a bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is the meeting of the 16th, it was still reflecting encirclement and I was at that stage convinced by what I still had that it's, at that time of the morning was encirclement, and this continued pretty much until we got to Roots and everybody got to sitting down in their groups and talking, and something there, or someone or something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about the presentation that you were presenting after that? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the visual presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Just going back a bit though, I think what maybe interrupted my putting together	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is the meeting of the 16th, it was still reflecting encirclement and I was at that stage convinced by what I still had that it's, at that time of the morning was encirclement, and this continued pretty much until we got to Roots and everybody got to sitting down in their groups and talking, and something there, or someone or something there got to show me, and I say that because I can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	actually shown needed to be made more viewable or, than what was there, to try and fix it for the showing to the senior police management, and possibly in the briefings thereafter. So I started the Ops Platinum, which is an incomplete document, which is on the Thursday evening. The Friday morning the decision was taken that the presentation to the media would only comprise of photographs, and if there was any video material, which was only of the 13th at that time that we could get our hands on, and that's what went forward. The same presentation – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] she also of course made a statement herself, the National Commissioner, didn't she? On the Friday morning. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: The National Commissioner made a statement as well. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes – CHAIRPERSON: Are you talking about the presentation that you were presenting after that? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the visual presentation. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Just going back a bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	working on that, and I've taken parts of the plan from the Thursday when I was busy there and I started putting them into this presentation on that Monday now. At the same time I was also tasked to build the presentation which showed what we were doing post the 16th to maintain the law and order in the area, and that had to accompany the original presentation to the President, which we now give to an inter-ministerial committee, and I think that was possibly on the Monday, and we had to also brief, I think it was, the Provincial Portfolio Committee also was briefed, and so it went on. But for much of that week I was kept pretty busy and not able to reflect specifically on the events of the 16th, or specifically recalling JOCCOM meetings from the 6 o'clock in the morning, or the, as much of the wording and so on that I could remember from there. But eventually coming back to the plan and reading my own slides from where I had put in that this is the meeting of the 16th, it was still reflecting encirclement and I was at that stage convinced by what I still had that it's, at that time of the morning was encirclement, and this continued pretty much until we got to Roots and everybody got to sitting down in their groups and talking, and something there, or someone or something

Page 14293 would think it may have been the photographs or the Google printouts of the morning that I handed out which shows the prepositioning of the Nyalas, that it wasn't encirclement because there the prelude to a phase 3 was already structured in part of the planning of a phase 2, which meant that I was now off with encirclement when it had to have been a dispersion action already. I'd forgotten about the meeting on the Wednesday at this stage. That was only, as I say, triggered later this year when I was actually at the offices here and somebody mentioned the cattle, and that brought back a flood of memories, the cattle in the environment, which actually brought back the flood of memories, and I still for a moment thought that that was on, that could have been on the Thursday, but then it was again a little bit later clarified that but Brigadier Engelbrecht was also part of that and he wasn't there at that time, so it had to have been on the Wednesday, because there was that slight conflict for me about exactly where, due to a lack of memory, where to position these things, although now I could recall where, that that had taken place; it was just about where to sequence them. But the objective evidence to me was the handouts that I'd given out on the Thursday morning to the commanders showing phase 2 – 25 CHAIRPERSON: What time did you give them	Page 142951[09:46] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I2understand the power did blow just after we adjourned, but3it's been restored and so we can carry on with your4evidence. You were in the middle of an explanation about5the problems you had, as I understand it, in reconstructing6what had happened over the relevant period. You were7explaining the various things that you had to do in the8period immediately thereafter which also delayed the9process of reconstruction. Is that an accurate summary of10what you were telling us? Can you remember more or less11the point you had reached, is there something further you12wish to say in that regard?13COLONEL SCOTT: I've actually lost the14point where I was at. I know it was in the second week of15the Marikana –16CHAIRPERSON: It was actually the second17week, you explained how in the second week you said there18were various duties in relation to the preservation of law19and order at that time to which you had to give attention.20And so you were, after some delay really, finally able to21sit down to start reconstructing fully what had happened at22the relevant period. And then you also told us about how23you were involved in briefing the President and also the24inter-ministerial committee. Those were more or less the25points that you were making. Those who later read the
Page 14294         1       out?         2       COLONEL SCOTT: At half past 8 in the         3       morning, Chairperson, and I also, something that also comes         4       back to memory is that it's possible that both tactical         5       options were still on the cards, that we hadn't totally         6       discarded encirclement because encirclement could possibly         7       still be used as an early morning, but that dispersion was         8       definitely brought in for a larger grouping. So I don't         9       know if that may help explain certain issues, but I recall         10       in writing my first statement as well that I'd actually         11       mentioned in phase 3 we had two options, because when you         12       develop options, or tactical options in a plan, you develop         13       always more than one. You consider as many as you can, and         14       then choose the feasible one to continue with, and when I         15       initially wrote my statement I put two in, but then in         16       shortening the statement in a sense I took the opinion of         17       just writing what had actually happened. I didn't think         18       that the –         19       MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm really         20       sorry to interrup	Page 14296 1 transcript will see that there was probably something very 2 important you said just before the power failed which I've 3 forgotten, but you know. Anything you want to add, I think 4 the general thrust of what you were telling us is clear. 5 Then perhaps if you think of anything later that's relevant 6 you can add it. 7 COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was just 8 checking the – I think it's the finalised presentation at 9 the end of Roots which is Complete Marikana 2012-08-03 is 10 what stands as the heading although I think it is saved 11 only at the end of Roots. And I was just going through 12 that to have a look because certain points I raised 13 yesterday that the plan initially was spread out and then 14 brought back to a certain day. The parts of the plan that 15 were spread out were simply those Google Earth pictures. 16 They were spread out over the three days, but the part of 17 the plan that speaks to phase 1, 2 and 3 came along from 18 the beginning as a slide before days were even put out. 19 And that was one slide which eventually became expanded 20 into more when these pictures were all taken back to the 21 Tuesday. So there was no malicious intent to present that 22 and I see that in the document at the end of Roots it does 23 state there and I'll quote it off the document itself and 24 I've just opened this now in the break to have a look. 25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, possibly

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

1	Page 14297	1	Page 14299
1	if I can assist here, the document to which Colonel Scott's	1	may well be appropriate to return to it, if you wish, later
2	referring is going to be in exhibit. We've provisionally	2	in your evidence, maybe re-examination. But I think, if I
3	given it the number JJJ155, JJJ155. It's the PowerPoint –	3	speak for myself only, it might be appropriate for us to
4	CHAIRPERSON: Has it been put in yet?	4	move to other matters and then later on when all the
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: It has been put in. I	5	documents are in and the whole picture is before us perhaps
6	don't have a page reference for it at this stage. It will	6	we can come back to it if it's necessary. But perhaps you
7	be in one of the later files. I can get –	7	can just clarify what it is that you're referring to as
8	CHAIRPERSON: No, has it been referred to	8	opposed to the document Mr Chaskalson thinks you're
9	yet in the course of the evidence?	9	referring to, just to get that thinned down as it were now
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: It hasn't been	10	and then we'll move on. Do you agree with that?
11	referred to.	11	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes, Chairperson, it
12	CHAIRPERSON: So shall we, just for the	12	was just the stage 3 there, it reads, "Stage 3 Tactical
13	sake of good housekeeping, record it as exhibit now?	13	option Of encircle, dialogue to disarm or second sub-
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chairperson.	14	bullet, Disperse into smaller groups, encircle and disarm."
15	CHAIRPERSON: JJJ155 and how does one	15	It's reflecting both of the tactical options in that slide,
16	describe it?	16	Chair.
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: The PowerPoint	17	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, do you –
18	presentation entitled Complete Marikana –	18	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: May I just seek
19	CHAIRPERSON: Complete?	19	clarity about something please?
20	MR CHASKALSON SC: - Complete Marikana	20	In your statement and you testified to this as
21	2012-08-03.	21	well in 8.22 about a conversation you have with General
22	CHAIRPERSON: How can that be right?	22	Annandale. I just need to know exactly when this took
23	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that 08 is	23	place please. 8.22 of your statement, it's on page 63 of
24	supposed to be an 09.	24	HHH20. You say there when Major-General Annandale and I
25	CHAIRPERSON: It sounds like it, unless	25	started discussing phase 3 the angle of encircling the
	Daga 14200		Dogo 14200
1	Page 14298 it was a 10.	1	Page 14300 strikers while they were a large group and aware of police
1 2	it was a 10.	1 2	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police
	it was a 10.	-	9
2	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was –	2	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of
2 3	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was –	2 3	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place?
2 3 4	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you	2 3 4	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my
2 3 4 5	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to	2 3 4 5	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the
2 3 4 5 6	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you?	2 3 4 5 6	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning
2 3 4 5 6 7	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document	2 3 4 5 6 7	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely. My version of this file which is JJJ155 seems to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected encirclement on the 16th because of coming from the JOCCOM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely. My version of this file which is JJJ155 seems to be a version that was saved at a different time with different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected encirclement on the 16th because of coming from the JOCCOM of the 15th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely. My version of this file which is JJJ155 seems to be a version that was saved at a different time with different slide numbering, so -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected encirclement on the 16th because of coming from the JOCCOM of the 15th. CHAIRPERSON: I take it the two of you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely. My version of this file which is JJJ155 seems to be a version that was saved at a different time with different slide numbering, so - CHAIRPERSON: I wonder whether it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected encirclement on the 16th because of coming from the JOCCOM of the 15th. CHAIRPERSON: I take it the two of you, General Annandale and you, didn't have the power yourselves
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely. My version of this file which is JJJ155 seems to be a version that was saved at a different time with different slide numbering, so - CHAIRPERSON: I wonder whether it's necessary for us to go into this now. You know you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected encirclement on the 16th because of coming from the JOCCOM of the 15th. CHAIRPERSON: I take it the two of you, General Annandale and you, didn't have the power yourselves to change the plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely. My version of this file which is JJJ155 seems to be a version that was saved at a different time with different slide numbering, so - CHAIRPERSON: I wonder whether it's necessary for us to go into this now. You know you've given a long answer and I haven't stopped you because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected encirclement on the 16th because of coming from the JOCCOM of the 15th. CHAIRPERSON: I take it the two of you, General Annandale and you, didn't have the power yourselves to change the plan. COLONEL SCOTT: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely. My version of this file which is JJJ155 seems to be a version that was saved at a different time with different slide numbering, so - CHAIRPERSON: I wonder whether it's necessary for us to go into this now. You know you've given a long answer and I haven't stopped you because I understand it's important for you and probably ultimately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected encirclement on the 16th because of coming from the JOCCOM of the 15th. CHAIRPERSON: I take it the two of you, General Annandale and you, didn't have the power yourselves to change the plan. COLONEL SCOTT: No. CHAIRPERSON: Change could only be made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it was a 10. COLONEL SCOTT: No, no I think it must be 09-03, it should be because that was – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, obviously, yes you would have required crystal ball qualities in order to prepared the presentation of the 3rd of August wouldn't you? So that didn't happen. All right so that's the document you're referring to. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the document. Chairperson, there's a slide in there, slide 64 which says Operational Overview and that slide has stage 1, 2 and 3. That same slide comes from – not during the operation of Marikana but when the presentation started – MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'm sorry to interrupt again. I gave it the exhibit number prematurely. My version of this file which is JJJ155 seems to be a version that was saved at a different time with different slide numbering, so - CHAIRPERSON: I wonder whether it's necessary for us to go into this now. You know you've given a long answer and I haven't stopped you because I understand it's important for you and probably ultimately for us that the question of the accuracy of your memory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	strikers while they were a large group and aware of police presence was quickly discounted and you give a whole lot of reasons for that. When did that conversation take place? COLONEL SCOTT: Ma'am, to the best of my recollection it was on the Wednesday sometime after the JOCCOM and I tend to think it was still in the morning period of the Wednesday. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But regardless of that discussion the word "encirclement" is still carried over into other documents after that discussion. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I was trying to explain earlier was the JOCCOM of the 15th still had encirclement as the tactical option. Going to the 16th I was still trying to work on those slides. I don't know if I got to completing those slides, but it still reflected encirclement on the 16th because of coming from the JOCCOM of the 15th. CHAIRPERSON: I take it the two of you, General Annandale and you, didn't have the power yourselves to change the plan. COLONEL SCOTT: No. CHAIRPERSON: Change could only be made by the JOCCOM. Is that right?

	Page 14301		Page 14303
1	been on the 16th.	1	and then you set the points out in sub-paragraphs and then
2	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	2	the statement continues essentially elaborating on what
3	CHAIRPERSON: Theoretically, even if you	3	appears in the very sub-paragraph 8.22. So it does appear
4	had come up with a complete plan involved in the	4	as if you're only talking about a discussion with General
5	abandonment of the encirclement and replacement by	5	Annandale, as I read it. If I'm wrong you must correct me.
6	something else, even if you had done that on the Wednesday,	6	COLONEL SCOTT: Well I remember the
7	theoretically the JOCCOM might have said we don't agree.	7	discussion being predominantly between the two us,
8	We think that new plan of yours is inherently risky and we	8	Chairperson, but I know it was in the JOC which means – and
9	think that the encirclement one, if done the way we've seen	9	the JOC was never empty where it was only the two of us
	-		
10	it so far is less risky. So they might theoretically have	10	there, there would have been other permanent role players
11	done that, whether they would or not is pure speculation	11	sitting around the table. But I do recall it being an
12	but that's a possibility I take it. Is that right?	12	informal meeting, it wasn't something sat down and spoken
13	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct,	13	to. Brigadier Engelbrecht does refer to what seems to be a
14	Chairperson, I think that explains why we didn't go into	14	little bit more of a formalised meeting when we reviewed
15	the detail of the new proposed phase 3, but just the	15	the actual phase 3 where I gave a presentation on that.
16	strategic idea of an alternative option was considered. If	16	But he doesn't speak to the points afterwards which were
17	we couldn't encircle what would we do and then disperse	17	definitely – General Annandale and myself, I can only
18	them rather into smaller groups and then try to encircle	18	remember the two of us sort of brainstorming against each
19	smaller, more manageable groups for that matter. And that	19	other, coming up with why this thing couldn't work. I
20	strategy to the best of my recollection now is berthed on	20	don't remember any other inputs though, or from other
21	the Wednesday.	21	people.
22	CHAIRPERSON: Only in private discussion	22	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But there was
23	between you and General Annandale.	23	consensus reached between you and Major Annandale, Major-
24	COLONEL SCOTT: It was in the JOCCOM, but	24	General Annandale at that stage as to how you would
25	it wasn't a formalised meeting. I think –	25	progress with the matter the following day?
	Page 14302		Page 14304
1	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it?	1	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say
1 2		1 2	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that
	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it?		COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say
2	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the	2	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that
2 3	CHAIRPERSON:Decision was taken on it?COLONEL SCOTT:No.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:So in that samestatement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of thealternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups,	2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the	2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON:Decision was taken on it?COLONEL SCOTT:No.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:So in that samestatement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of thealternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups,	2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation	2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale?	2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:Decision was taken on it?COLONEL SCOTT:No.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:So in that samestatement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups,disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale?COLONEL SCOTT:I think it is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON:       Decision was taken on it?         COLONEL SCOTT:       No.         COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:       So in that same         statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the         alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups,         disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation         with Major-General Annandale?         COLONEL SCOTT:       I think it is,         Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON:Decision was taken on it?COLONEL SCOTT:No.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:So in that samestatement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale?COLONEL SCOTT:I think it is,COLONEL SCOTT:I think it is,Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:       Decision was taken on it?         COLONEL SCOTT:       No.         COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:       So in that same         statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the         alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups,         disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation         with Major-General Annandale?         COLONEL SCOTT:       I think it is,         Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I         would have been sparked into considering, the points being         highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:Decision was taken on it?COLONEL SCOTT:No.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:So in that samestatement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale?COLONEL SCOTT:I think it is,COLONEL SCOTT:I think it is,Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:Decision was taken on it?COLONEL SCOTT:No.COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:So in that samestatement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale?COLONEL SCOTT:I think it is,COLONEL SCOTT:I think it is,Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:       Decision was taken on it?         COLONEL SCOTT:       No.         COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:       So in that same         statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the         alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups,         disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation         with Major-General Annandale?         COLONEL SCOTT:       I think it is,         Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I         would have been sparked into considering, the points being         highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not         going to be feasible.       Obviously the strategising I would,         being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to         start coming up with something.       I don't remember if this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:       Decision was taken on it?         COLONEL SCOTT:       No.         COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:       So in that same         statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the         alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups,         disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation         with Major-General Annandale?         COLONEL SCOTT:       I think it is,         Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I         would have been sparked into considering, the points being         highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not         going to be feasible.       Obviously the strategising I would,         being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to         start coming up with something.       I don't remember if this         was expressly discussed with him or if it was something.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale? COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is, Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to start coming up with something. I don't remember if this was expressly discussed with him or if it was something that I sat back and did on my own first and then took to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going over the page.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale? COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is, Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to start coming up with something. I don't remember if this was expressly discussed with him or if it was something that I sat back and did on my own first and then took to him. But it was definitely, if I look at how we briefed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going over the page. COLONEL SCOTT: I see what I've said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale? COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is, Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to start coming up with something. I don't remember if this was expressly discussed with him or if it was something that I sat back and did on my own first and then took to him. But it was definitely, if I look at how we briefed the phase 2 for the Thursday morning, it was already then being discussed for that matter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going over the page. COLONEL SCOTT: I see what I've said there and this was again the best of my recollection, I don't think we would have left the point hanging. I think,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale? COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is, Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to start coming up with something. I don't remember if this was expressly discussed with him or if it was something that I sat back and did on my own first and then took to him. But it was definitely, if I look at how we briefed the phase 2 for the Thursday morning, it was already then being discussed for that matter. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going over the page. COLONEL SCOTT: I see what I've said there and this was again the best of my recollection, I don't think we would have left the point hanging. I think, while during those discussions we would have actually said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale? COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is, Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to start coming up with something. I don't remember if this was expressly discussed with him or if it was something that I sat back and did on my own first and then took to him. But it was definitely, if I look at how we briefed the phase 2 for the Thursday morning, it was already then being discussed for that matter. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: The section to which my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going over the page. COLONEL SCOTT: I see what I've said there and this was again the best of my recollection, I don't think we would have left the point hanging. I think, while during those discussions we would have actually said well what are the alternatives then. But what I do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale? COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is, Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to start coming up with something. I don't remember if this was expressly discussed with him or if it was something that I sat back and did on my own first and then took to him. But it was definitely, if I look at how we briefed the phase 2 for the Thursday morning, it was already then being discussed for that matter. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: The section to which my colleague has referred you in your statement HHH20 the Para	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going over the page. COLONEL SCOTT: I see what I've said there and this was again the best of my recollection, I don't think we would have left the point hanging. I think, while during those discussions we would have actually said well what are the alternatives then. But what I do pertinently recall is that there wasn't detail at all put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale? COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is, Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to start coming up with something. I don't remember if this was expressly discussed with him or if it was something that I sat back and did on my own first and then took to him. But it was definitely, if I look at how we briefed the phase 2 for the Thursday morning, it was already then being discussed for that matter. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: The section to which my colleague has referred you in your statement HHH20 the Para she's just referred you is introduced essentially by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going over the page. COLONEL SCOTT: I see what I've said there and this was again the best of my recollection, I don't think we would have left the point hanging. I think, while during those discussions we would have actually said well what are the alternatives then. But what I do pertinently recall is that there wasn't detail at all put to that new strategy. It remained basically a sentence of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: Decision was taken on it? COLONEL SCOTT: No. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So in that same statement at 8.24 at page 65 when you speak of the alternate suggestion of dispersal into smaller groups, disorganised groups, is that part of the same conversation with Major-General Annandale? COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is, Commissioner, I'm trying to remember but I know that I would have been sparked into considering, the points being highlighted that an encirclement of the larger group is not going to be feasible. Obviously the strategising I would, being there as a strategic planning officer, would need to start coming up with something. I don't remember if this was expressly discussed with him or if it was something that I sat back and did on my own first and then took to him. But it was definitely, if I look at how we briefed the phase 2 for the Thursday morning, it was already then being discussed for that matter. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: The section to which my colleague has referred you in your statement HHH20 the Para	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that I can say that the consensus was reached that an encircling of that larger crowd and this is why I alluded yesterday to the picture which showed the razor wire being positioned against the power station was - I think was still looked at to see whether that could be feasible. Did we have enough razor wire, but I really can't remember the rationale around that, but it was definitely then seen that encircling that larger group is not going to be feasible. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: You do speak to that in paragraph 8.26 on page 67, is that your recollection of how it was resolved at that meeting, was that during that discussion? COLONEL SCOTT: That's 8.27 or 8.26? COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 8.26 that's going over the page. COLONEL SCOTT: I see what I've said there and this was again the best of my recollection, I don't think we would have left the point hanging. I think, while during those discussions we would have actually said well what are the alternatives then. But what I do pertinently recall is that there wasn't detail at all put

RCHIVE

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

1 2 3	Page 14305 MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you carry on, Mr Chaskalson, one question I'd like to ask you before he	1 2 3	Page 14307 morning and abandoned later? And in that context you were, I think, explaining why some of the documents that you produced after the event suggested that the abandonment
4	proceeds. It follows on the question that my colleague,	4	took place later on the Thursday. My question was in fact
5	Advocate Hemraj, put to you. It's 8.23 of your statement,	5	a slightly question, my question related to the fact that
6	at the foot of page 64 where you make the point, I take it	6	as between us now it's common cause that the plan wasn't
7	you still adhere to it, that "for many good reasons	7	abandoned on the Tuesday, it wasn't abandoned on the
8	encirclement could only be feasible for an early morning	8	Wednesday morning either. And was at least on the table
9	deployment where a small number of less than 55 were on the	9	until the JOCCOM meeting at 6 o'clock on the Thursday. Is
10	koppie." So encirclement as planned was only a viable	10	that correct?
11	option early in the morning, first light basically,	11	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
12	possibly before sunrise.	12	MR CHASKALSON SC: And yet if we go to
13	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, that's	13	four different elements of the SAPS version that were
14	correct. As it was envisioned for the Tuesday morning	14	presented earlier, they all suggest that the plan was
15	initial –	15	abandoned on the Tuesday. And I was asking you to explain
16	CHAIRPERSON: I know, but you're now	16	why that would be the case. Why would four different
17	talking, as I understand it, in 8.23 about –	17	aspects of the SAPS version, the presentation, the
18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes as I mean, we	18	narrative, your first two statements, Major-General
19	couldn't do it Tuesday, I envisioned it possible for	19	Annandale's evidence, why would they all suggest that the
20	Wednesday –	20	plan was abandoned on the Tuesday when we know now that
21	CHAIRPERSON: You couldn't do it for	21	that's not the case? It was at best abandoned on the
22	Wednesday, subject to what you're now telling us, it could	22	morning of the 16th, at the Thursday 16th 6:00am JOC
23	hold over until Thursday, but according to what you say	23	meeting. So why the different version from SAPS to begin
24	there was no formal decision at the JOC to abandon that	24	with?
25	idea –	25	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that what you're
1	Page 14306	1	Page 14308
1	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	1	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale
2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning.	2	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to
2 3	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still	2 3	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply
2	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn't	2	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation.
2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning. Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it –	2 3 4	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time
2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn't	2 3 4 5	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning. Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it – COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn'tbelieve it -COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could be	2 3 4 5 6 7	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn'tbelieve it -COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning. Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it - COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - that the arms could be laid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to be proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn'tbelieve it -COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going tobe proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning. Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it - COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - that the arms could be laid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to be proceeded with it could only be done on Friday. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's right. Whether it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn'tbelieve it -COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going tobe proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:That's right. Whether itwas still feasible on Friday is a matter that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn'tbelieve it -COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going tobe proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:That's right. Whether itwas still feasible on Friday is a matter that youpresumably will be questioned about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning. Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it - COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - that the arms could be laid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to be proceeded with it could only be done on Friday. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's right. Whether it was still feasible on Friday is a matter that you presumably will be questioned about. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn'tbelieve it -COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going tobe proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:That's right. Whether itwas still feasible on Friday is a matter that youpresumably will be questioned about.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:But that's the position is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google pictures which were initially put onto each of the days,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn'tbelieve it -COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going tobe proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:That's right. Whether itwas still feasible on Friday is a matter that youpresumably will be questioned about.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:But that's the position isit not?MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Colonel, 45 minutesdown the line I have to say I think we've been a little at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google pictures which were initially put onto each of the days, the Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday were brought back to the Tuesday because it was felt that in the presentation and possibly speaking for the narrative too it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was stillthe possibility, although the intelligent people didn'tbelieve it -COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going tobe proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:That's right. Whether itwas still feasible on Friday is a matter that youpresumably will be questioned about.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:But that's the position isit not?MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Colonel, 45 minutesdown the line I have to say I think we've been a little atcross purposes, you and I because the exchanges have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google pictures which were initially put onto each of the days, the Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday were brought back to the Tuesday because it was felt that in the presentation and possibly speaking for the narrative too it would be difficult to have a plan which was segmented over the days.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning. Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it – COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - that the arms could be laid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to be proceeded with it could only be done on Friday. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's right. Whether it was still feasible on Friday is a matter that you presumably will be questioned about. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But that's the position is it not? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, 45 minutes down the line I have to say I think we've been a little at cross purposes, you and I because the exchanges have been very helpful but they've really gone to a question which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google pictures which were initially put onto each of the days, the Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday were brought back to the Tuesday because it was felt that in the presentation and possibly speaking for the narrative too it would be difficult to have a plan which was segmented over the days. And it wouldn't give the reader or the audience the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning. Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it – COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - that the arms could be laid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to be proceeded with it could only be done on Friday. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's right. Whether it was still feasible on Friday is a matter that you presumably will be questioned about. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But that's the position is it not? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, 45 minutes down the line I have to say I think we've been a little at cross purposes, you and I because the exchanges have been very helpful but they've really gone to a question which is a different question from the question I was interested in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google pictures which were initially put onto each of the days, the Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday were brought back to the Tuesday because it was felt that in the presentation and possibly speaking for the narrative too it would be difficult to have a plan which was segmented over the days. And it wouldn't give the reader or the audience the continuity of what the stages were having to deal with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it –COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to be proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:That's right. Whether itwas still feasible on Friday is a matter that you presumably will be questioned about.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:But that's the position isit not?MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Colonel, 45 minutesdown the line I have to say I think we've been a little at cross purposes, you and I because the exchanges have been very helpful but they've really gone to a question which is a different question from the question I was interested in.The exchanges that have taken place have gone to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google pictures which were initially put onto each of the days, the Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday were brought back to the Tuesday because it was felt that in the presentation and possibly speaking for the narrative too it would be difficult to have a plan which was segmented over the days. And it wouldn't give the reader or the audience the continuity of what the stages were having to deal with a lot of information between the deployment as such.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before Thursday morning. Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it – COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON: - that the arms could be laid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to be proceeded with it could only be done on Friday. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: That's right. Whether it was still feasible on Friday is a matter that you presumably will be questioned about. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But that's the position is it not? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, 45 minutes down the line I have to say I think we've been a little at cross purposes, you and I because the exchanges have been very helpful but they've really gone to a question which is a different question from the question I was interested in. The exchanges that have taken place have gone to the question of when the encirclement plan was abandoned. Was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google pictures which were initially put onto each of the days, the Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday were brought back to the Tuesday because it was felt that in the presentation and possibly speaking for the narrative too it would be difficult to have a plan which was segmented over the days. And it wouldn't give the reader or the audience the continuity of what the stages were having to deal with a lot of information between the deployment as such. CHAIRPERSON: In fact what is in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before Thursday morning.Thursday morning you had a problem because there was still the possibility, although the intelligent people didn't believe it –COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct.CHAIRPERSON:- that the arms could belaid down, put down. So if the encirclement was going to be proceeded with it could only be done on Friday.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:That's right. Whether itwas still feasible on Friday is a matter that you presumably will be questioned about.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:But that's the position isit not?MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Colonel, 45 minutesdown the line I have to say I think we've been a little at cross purposes, you and I because the exchanges have been very helpful but they've really gone to a question which is a different question from the question I was interested in.The exchanges that have taken place have gone to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying in abandoned, I can't speak for General Annandale and the comments that he made, but what we were trying to convey in the presentation and in my statements was simply that that was the stages as they were for the operation. We didn't see the importance or we didn't see at that time that it was important to mention something that we didn't do or continue with. That's the best I can answer to it, it was never looked at in the depth that you're looking at it now that it could be a controversial issue. So as I say, we tried to work on what we did do and what we did want to have in place on the Thursday for that matter. Although it's mentioned on the Tuesday and as I said yesterday and as I was explaining a moment ago, that the slides which deal with the planning, barring the Google pictures which were initially put onto each of the days, the Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday were brought back to the Tuesday because it was felt that in the presentation and possibly speaking for the narrative too it would be difficult to have a plan which was segmented over the days. And it wouldn't give the reader or the audience the continuity of what the stages were having to deal with a lot of information between the deployment as such.

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

		1	
	Page 14309		Page 14311
1	[10:05] COLONEL SCOTT: I understand that,	1	must have known that you were going to have to show to this
2	Chairperson, yes.	2	Commission that the plan that was ultimately implemented
3	CHAIRPERSON: So surely inaccuracy can't	3	was one that had been designed with all of the care
4	be preferred to something which the reader will have	4	required of a reasonable police force dealing with the
5	difficulty in following which will ultimately presumably	5	situation with which you were confronted at Marikana. Will
6	with guidance be able to follow. That must be so, surely,	6	you accept that?
7	or am I overstating it?	7	COLONEL SCOTT: I think that the view I
8	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, as I say,	8	had – and I can only speak for myself, not for others – was
9	there was no malicious intent to because –	9	that although the plan was created and there was the stages
10	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, 1'm not	10	that were there and the strategy to me was sound, the fact
11	suggesting malice.	11	that it never got to roll out the way it was envisioned to
12	COLONEL SCOTT: Ja.	12	roll out was to me, and unless it's proven otherwise still
13	CHAIRPERSON: I'm just saying effectively	13	my belief that there was an intention from those strikers
14	you want him to be saying, I don't think you thought it out	14	to confront the police with aggression. So there was
15	properly, but really an inaccurate statement you seem to be	15	never, and that was my point of view from what I'd seen and
16	suggesting is better than a statement which is more	16	from the evidence I was shown, and so as I say, there was
17	difficult to understand.	17	no, the planning as itself never got to roll out. I never
18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	18	had a reason to $-1$ believe that if the plan that its
19	CHAIRPERSON: You don't mean that, do	19	chance to have been effectively rolled out the way that it
20	you?	20	was envisioned to roll out, there would have possibly been
21	COLONEL SCOTT: No.	21	far fewer injuries for that matter, or incidents that would
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, in your	22	have occurred.
23	explanation you said something along the lines that it was	22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, that's
23	never anticipated that this would be controversial. I	23	a completely different chapter of this cross-examination,
24	think the word you used was 'controversial,' but I must put	24	which we're unlikely to reach before we break today, but we
25		25	which were unlikely to reach before we break today, but we
	Page 14310		Page 14312
1	Page 14310 to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be	1	Page 14312 will have to discuss that, but my present concern is
1 2	6	1 2	
	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS		will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have
2	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be	2	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this
2 3	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one	2 3	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into
2 3 4	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would	2 3 4	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately
2 3 4 5 6	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34	2 3 4 5	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much?
2 3 4 5 6 7	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together	2 3 4 5 6 7	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have done. So to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have done. So to try and make it look better than what we have is just not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have done. So to try and make it look better than what we have is just not what was there at the time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement, etcetera, over if this was the case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have done. So to try and make it look better than what we have is just not what was there at the time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you said a short
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement, etcetera, over if this was the case? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, no, I must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much?</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have done. So to try and make it look better than what we have is just not what was there at the time.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you said a short while earlier you can only speak for yourself, you can't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement, etcetera, over if this was the case? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, no, I must accept that you of all the people in SAPS have played open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have is just not what was there at the time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you said a short while earlier you can only speak for yourself, you can't speak for SAPS, but we can see what the SAPS position was,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement, etcetera, over if this was the case? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, no, I must accept that you of all the people in SAPS have played open cards with us and have given us access to contemporaneous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have is just not what was there at the time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you said a short while earlier you can only speak for yourself, you can't speak for SAPS, but we can see what the SAPS position was, broader than your own, from the opening statement, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement, etcetera, over if this was the case? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, no, I must accept that you of all the people in SAPS have played open cards with us and have given us access to contemporaneous documents that we hadn't received through the official SAPS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we done. So to try and make it look better than what we have is just not what was there at the time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you said a short while earlier you can only speak for yourself, you can't speak for SAPS, but we can see what the SAPS position was, broader than your own, from the opening statement, and paragraph 25 of the opening statement puts the SAPS case in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement, etcetera, over if this was the case? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, no, I must accept that you of all the people in SAPS have played open cards with us and have given us access to contemporaneous documents that we hadn't received through the official SAPS channels, and I must place on record our gratitude for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have done. So to try and make it look better than what we have is just not what was there at the time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you said a short while earlier you can only speak for yourself, you can't speak for SAPS, but we can see what the SAPS position was, broader than your own, from the opening statement, and paragraph 25 of the opening statement puts the SAPS case in these terms. "You will hear of the fluidity of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement, etcetera, over if this was the case? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, no, I must accept that you of all the people in SAPS have played open cards with us and have given us access to contemporaneous documents that we hadn't received through the official SAPS channels, and I must place on record our gratitude for that. But I've been dealing with the version that was put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much?</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have done. So to try and make it look better than what we have is just not what was there at the time.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you said a short while earlier you can only speak for yourself, you can't speak for SAPS, but we can see what the SAPS position was, broader than your own, from the opening statement, and paragraph 25 of the opening statement puts the SAPS case in these terms. "You will hear of the fluidity of the situation at Marikana on Thursday, 16 August 2012, a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	to you that SAPS must have anticipated that this would be an important issue before the Commission, because SAPS implemented a plan on the 16th. Things went horribly wrong, for whatever reason, and 34 people ended up dead. Now one of the things that SAPS must have known that they would have to explain at this Commission is that the death of 34 people was not caused by a plan that had been put together without sufficient care. That much you must have known. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you were the primary planner, so that must have weighed quite heavily with you in particular. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I didn't see it the way you're seeing it because if what you're saying, you know, why would I have given all my evidence, all my PowerPoint presentations which speak to encirclement, etcetera, over if this was the case? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, no, I must accept that you of all the people in SAPS have played open cards with us and have given us access to contemporaneous documents that we hadn't received through the official SAPS channels, and I must place on record our gratitude for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will have to discuss that, but my present concern is something slightly different. It's you and SAPS must have realised that you were going to have to show this Commission that you put all of the appropriate care into the plan, into designing the plan that was ultimately implemented. Would you accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, what I can accept is – and as I say, time was a limiting factor. So if one takes this and thrashes it out, as we've had a year to do now, it's not, or the saying goes 20/20 hindsight now. At the time, and what we knew at the time, the limited things we knew at the time, the limited time we had to prepare what we did, I feel we did as best as we could, and what we've put onto paper thereafter is only what we could have done and that's the reflection of what we have done. So to try and make it look better than what we have is just not what was there at the time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you said a short while earlier you can only speak for yourself, you can't speak for SAPS, but we can see what the SAPS position was, broader than your own, from the opening statement, and paragraph 25 of the opening statement puts the SAPS case in these terms. "You will hear of the fluidity of the

1         consequences resulted* - and this is the passage I would         1         morning.           2         complexites displate moticulous scenario planning by         3         MR CHASKALSON SC: And theres containly           4         operational centre to achieve the object of dispersing and         5         the formal DCCOM meeting of the datals of phase 3 in the           5         the version form an original eversion which we new know         4         and going to be any datalide debate within the JOCCOM.           6         disarming over 300 protesters. Max you akes said that         5         the formal DCCOM meeting of the datals of phase 3 in the           6         disarming over 300 protesters. Max you akes said that         5         the formal DCCOM meeting of the datals of phase 3 in the           7         disarming over 300 protestion which we now know         4         you asy to the POPs commanders dispersal which they immediately           8         disarming alternative implemented. From that version to the         10         the details to to isolate a           16         outprotestime. Which is the morning, seems to moquit         13         specific area or target, or to cordon of an area. So trin,           16         subject of meticulous sceause you make data in your         14         that the optical area or target, or to cordon of an area. So trin,           17         JOC. In fac. If wassrt there for two days. Lesto		Page 14313		Page 14315
3         apprint degenaries and other officers at the joint         3         MR CHASKALSON SC: And there's certainly in ond going to be any detailed debate within the's coccol.           4         operational centre to achieve the object of dispersing and disarming over 3 000 protestrom where work now its is the limiting factor, and that's why this shift in the is the limiting factor, and that's why this shift in the structure or wasn't correct, that the dispersal and the tormal occol meeting of the debate within they inmediately inplemented. From that version to the any automately implemented. From there like a plan that was the for two days. Let's look at a important shift because if it had been there for two days. Let's look at the function of an area. So th, any plant the dotal of phase 3 as to how the action any distance of the principles mentioned, if subject of meliculus scenario planning by generals in the 15 in debati and the debaties to adapt that when you do speak to a development that. The for two days. Let's look at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't any structures disease at the lock on whether structure is a statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action any distance of a strategy in two dub action that, but the first point at which detail a specific area or target. The subject of unciplement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action any distance of a strategy introving and a doption by the JOCCOM in the first point at which detail a strate and distance of a strate and that the days. Let's look at the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that a specific area and age, pragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and you describe the principles and that you describe a wasn't at wore on apoint discussed to the MAD VOCCOM is to the JOCCOM is at	1	consequences resulted" - and this is the passage I would	1	morning.
4       operational control cachieve the object of disporsing and disarring over 3 000 protesters." Now you also said that it in the thermal JOCCOM, meeting of the details of phase 3 in the time is the version from an original version which we now know was it we version roman original version which we now know was it we varsit or creater. That the disport of the principles mentioned, if you als also understand that sow of the principles mentioned, if you als also understand that sow the principles mentioned. If you als also understand that sow to the principles mentioned, if you als also understand that sow to the principles mentioned. If you als also understand that sow to be principles mentioned, if you als also understand that sow to the principles mentioned. If you als also understand that sow to be principles mentioned in the you depart which is it was only first adopted in an important shift backuss if it had been there for two the subject of meticulous sceament planning by generals in the 10 control of the actual default with these is a specific area or target, or to cordon off an area. So Im, 14 but its true what your existing, that it wasn't discussed it had been there for two days. Let's look at the observation planning by generals in the 10 control off principles meticulous sceament planning by generals in the 10 control off principles and the wasn't an answ to the Chalpreston you candidly said if there was a going to be a change of strategi I would be the JOCCOM.         1       that would have to adopt that formally, and in fact in your principles meticulous sceament was the JOC on Workensday. but it wasn't discussed.       a targe 80, paragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and any our discussion to consult with error was also distributed adopt with general state wasn't it was any open point discussion to consult with error wasn't was any open point discussion to consult with general strue wasn't was only an ou	2	emphasise - "despite meticulous scenario planning by	2	
5       disarming over 3 000 protesters." Now you also said that       5       the formail JOCCOM meeting of the details of phase 3 in the         6       the version from an original version which way twish with in       5       the formail JOCCOM meeting of the details of phase 3 in the         7       COLONEL SCOTT:       No. but I think one must         8       assumption from an original version which way twend with the dispersal which hey immediately         10       disarm plan had been put on the table on Tuesday and way         11       understand, that some of the principles mentioned, if         12       current version, which is it was only first adopted in         13       principle by the JOC at 6 in the morning, seems to me quite         14       an important shift because if it had been there for two         14       autiget of meliculous scenario planning by generals in the         16       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wash         17       OC O. In fact, it wasn't there for two days. Let's look at         18       autiget place wasn't directulous because you make clear in your         19       autiget place wasn't directulous because you make clear in your         10       wasn't meeting you were confirmed in fact in         14       that would have the adopt that formaly, adin I fact in         24       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes	3		3	MR CHASKALSON SC: And there's certainly
<ul> <li>time is the limiting factor, and that's why this shift in 7 the version from an original version which we now know wasn't two row sant correct. That the dispersal and disarm plan had peen put on the table on Tuesday and was a good put of the table on Tuesday and was the from Tuesday through Wednesday to Thursday what is a plan that been to the form traversion which is it was only first adopted in 3 principle by the JOC at 6 in the morning, seems to me quite an important shift because if it had been there for two fasy, it starts looking much more like a plan that was to the chaling much more like a plan that was the statement that the defail of phase 3 as to how the action of the run anything meticulous because you make clear in your statement that the defail of phase 3 as to how the action and explore and the stome of the fast good of the strategy it would there wasn't a strategy to flock out in defail. Is that a fair - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.</li> <li>that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of a more strategy in lobe and strategy it would the built strate fast fuel wasn't a strategy to flock out in defail. Is that a fair - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.</li> <li>that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of a more strategy it lock out in defail. Is that a fair - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.</li> <li>that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of more adopt to be achange of strategy it would the built strate fast for the strategy conton.</li> <li>the the wasn't a strategy to flock out in defail. Is that a fair - COLONEL SCOTT: Is and that that was in the spone to commanders to spone to adopt to be strategy conton.</li> <li>there wasn't as trategy to flock out in defail. Is that a fair - COLONEL SCOTT: Is the strategy the strategy it would the strategy of the strategy conton.</li> <li>the the Wash was only an outing the that that that fair - COLONEL SCOTT: Is the strategy the strategy it would the strategy it would the strategy of the strategy conton.</li> <li>there wasn't as offer the str</li></ul>	4		4	
7       the version from an original version which we now know       7       COLONEL SCOTT:       No, but I think one must also understand that some of the principles mentioned, if you say to the POPs commanders 'dispersal', there's a whole to the current version, which is it was only first adopted in an important shift because if I tab deem there for two days. Let shok at a an important shift because if I tab deem there for two days. Let shok at a subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the 'dual' I tab deem there for two days. Let shok at a originate when you do speak to be charger son undersong but in your statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action answer to the Chargerson you candidly said if there was a going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that a song of strategy it would be the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that a song of strategy it would be the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that a song of strategy it would be the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that a song of strategy it would be the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that a song of strategy it would be the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that a song of you constanding, the targer song the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that a song of the detail of the strategic option.         7       Page 14316         8       versite weart at strategy it outline distation to constant the song option detail. As I shat you worked the song the strategic option.       Page 14316         7       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         7       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that you describe an your strategic option.         7       It would have to adopt that formally adopted there your stranstage.       And that youre song yo	5		5	the formal JOCCOM meeting of the details of phase 3 in the
<ul> <li>a wasn't frue, or wasn't correct, that the dispersal and</li> <li>a sam plan had been put on the table on Tuesday and wast</li> <li>b there from Tuesday through Wednedsdy to Thursday when</li> <li>transple by the JOC at 61 the morning, scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>dusto and transple of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>dustors of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>dustors of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>dustors of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>dustors of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>dustors and the JOC on Wednesday. but that wasn't</li> <li>statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action</li> <li>statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action</li> <li>statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action</li> <li>ansynchic scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>duscussions at the JOC on Wednesday. but that wasn't</li> <li>statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action</li> <li>statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action</li> <li>ansynchic scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>ansynchic scenario planning by generals in the</li> <li>as wasn't stategy to Wednesday. but that wasn't</li> <li>statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action</li> <li>any orthe Chairperson you candidy said fit there wasn't astrategy to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM</li> <li>the twould have to adopt that formally and in advance of</li> <li>any formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formally adopted there</li> <li>custant astrategy to lesh out in detail. Is that a fair -</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: I would may that 1 think the</li></ul>	6		6	
<ul> <li>disarm plan had been put on the table on Tuesday and was 10 there from Tuesday through Wednesday to Thursday whent ti 10 host of prerequisites to a dispersal which they immediately immediately implemented. From that version to the 11 understand. If you table tabout a defense line of razor 12 wire, they understand. If you table tabout a defense line of razor 12 wire, they understand what that is too, to isolate a specific area or target, or to cordon off an area. So Im, 14 uses that shift because if it had been there for two days. Let's look at 10 understand what that is too, to use pass to 12 using the use of the table of pass 1 in the moring is generals in the 13 uses to the table of pass 2 as to how the action anything the uses you mak clear in your 2 statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action anything the uses you mak clear in your 2 would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para 2 s 26, and you're recorfined that tody, and in data in a fair – could take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para 2 so the chairperson you candidy said if there was 2 going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM to the the JOCCOM is at the 130 JOCCOM. Is that 23 or the table of your statement, and table of the strategy the would be the JOCCOM to the the JOCCOM to the table of the JOCCOM set the formally adopted there was a strategy to fisch out in datail. Is that a fair – could table and point by the JOCCOM to ta strate 12. The detail was you would not be strategy then, but it was not an open point discussion to consult with there was a going to be executed these 3. So at that stage how 7 pass 3 was going to be executed these 3. So at that stage how 7 pass 3 was going to be executed these 3. So at that stage how 7 pass 3 was going to be executed the as 3. So at that stage how 7 pass 3 was going to be executed these 3. So at that stage how 7 pass 3 was going to be executed these 3. So at that stage how 7 pass 3 was</li></ul>	7	-	7	
10         there from Tuesday through Wednesday to Thursday when tit         10         host of prerequisites to a dispersal which they immediately implemented. From that version to the raws only first adopted in a principle by the JOC at 6 in the morning, seems to me quite if an important shift because if it had been there for two days. Let's look at when you can dispersal when that is too, to isolate a specific area or target, or to cordon off an area. So I'm, but it's true what your saying, that it was not first adopted in formality and implemented. From that was the subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that was the discusses at the JOC on Wednesday, but that was the discusses at the JOC on Wednesday, but that was the attement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action would take place was not flaxessed. That's page 67 para answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM at formality, and in advance of any formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formality adopted three was 1 as trategy to fiesh out in detail. Is that a fair - COLONEL SCOTT: Lowuldnt say that 1 think the thought rows as also and your statement, and you say. When I was any the twas and y an outline of the strategic option, the was not any detail at al." Think your words         1         1         1         2         Page 14316           1         would take place commissioner hermality.         1         1         1         2         MR CHASKALSON SC: Mothed at the strategic option.           2         statement that the detail of phase 2 as to how the action adopt that formality, and in advance of any formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formality adopted	8	•	8	
11       was ultimately implemented. From that version to the         12       current version, which is it was only first adopted in         13       principle by the JOC at 6 in the morning, scenes to me quite         14       an important shift because if it had been there for two         15       days, it starts looking much more like a plan that was the         16       subject of metucluous scenario planning by generals in the         17       JOC. In fact, it wasn't there for two days. Let's look at         18       what your version says about it now. It originated in         19       discussions at the JOC on Weinhedsky, but that wasn't         20       ind table detail of phase 3 as to how the action         21       waver to the Chariperson you candidly said if there was         22       would take loace was not in detail. Is that a fair -         22       superior that formally, and in fact in         23       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into         24       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into         25       going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM         24       wavert a strategy to fisson to detail. Is that fair -         25       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         26       ony formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formally adopted there         36<	9			
12       current version, which is it was only first adopted in         13       principle by the JOC at 6 in the morning, seems to me quit         14       an important shift because if it had been there for two         15       days, it starts looking much more like a plan that was the         16       subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the         17       JOC. In fact, it wasn't there for two days. Let's look at         18       what your version says about it now. It originated in         19       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't         20       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action         21       would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para         22       sold, any formal adoption by the JOCCOM. you wouldn't go into         21       that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of         23       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM More monthy adopted there         24       wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair -         25       outine of inc detail. As 1 says that 1 think thet thought         26       wasn't it was an ogen point discussion to consult with         26       outine of inc detail. As 1 says that 1 think that the sinal         36       would take place theready then, but it         37       reconset forma were triggered already then,	10			
13       principle by the JOC at 6 in the morning, seems to me quite       13       specific area or target, or to cordon off an area. So I'm,         14       an important shift because if it had been there for two       14       but it's true what your's asying, that it wasn't discussed         15       days, it starts looking much more like a plan that was the       15       in detail and I'm just mentioning that when you do speak to         16       subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the       16       commanders, they have the techniques, the tactics, and the         17       JOC. In fact, it wasn't there for two days. Let's look at       what your version says about it now. It originated in         18       what your version says about it now. It originated in       16       commanders, they have the techniques, the tactics, and the         20       would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para       as.26, and you're reconfirmed that today, and in fact in       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was       24       COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.         21       stateget to lead that formally, and in advance of       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formally adopted there         4       wasn't atrategy to fiesh out in detail. Is that a fair -       COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that 1         24       collexes cord metaged at and Y mush you as asked by Major-General         36       propressets for me weret inggered already then,				-
14       an important shift because if it had been there for two         15       days, it starts looking much more like a plan that was the         16       subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the         17       JOC. In fact, it wasn't there for two days. Let's look at         18       what your version says about it now. It originated in         19       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't         19       anythig meticulous because you make clear in your         20       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action         21       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action         22       subject of metiges in the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that         23       8.26, and you've recoin it subject of metiges in the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that         24       ansy trons adoption by the JOCCOM you wouldn't go into         25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that you describe         24       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM you wouldn't go into         25       would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formally adopted there         36       wasn't it was an open point discussion to consult with       the previous page, on page 79, to contextualise it,         37       recesser for me were triggered already then, but it       shad to be debated. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
15       days, it starts looking much more like a plan that was the       15       in detail and I'm just mentioning that when you do speak to         16       subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the       16       commanders, they have the techniques, the tactics, and the         17       JOC. In fact, It wasn't there for two days. Let's look at       18       not necessary always then to flash out, or to thrash the         18       what your version says about It now. It originated in       not necessary always then to flash out, or to thrash the         19       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't       not necessary always then to flash out, or to thrash the         20       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action       would lake place was not discussed. That's page 67 para         23       8.26, and youve reconfirmed that today, and in fact in       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was         24       some of strategy it would be the JOCCOM       26       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that you describe         24       that would have to adopt that formally, adopted there wasn't as strategy to lesh out in detail. Is that a fair -       0       14       tage geo, page 79, to contextualise it,         26       wouldn't go into detail. As I say that 1 think the thought       roprocesses for me were triggered already then, but it       wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with       4       page 1314 <t< td=""><td>13</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	13			
16       subject of meticulous scenario planning by generals in the       17       JOC. In fact, it wasn't there for two days. Let's look at         17       JOC. In fact, it wasn't there for two days. Let's look at       16       commanders, they have the techniques, the tactics, and the         18       what your version says about it now. It originated in       16       commanders, they have the techniques, the tactics, and the         19       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't       astual detail with them.       20         20       would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para       8.26, and you've reconfirmed that today, and in fact in         23       8.26, and you've reconfirmed that today, and in fact in       20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that you describe         24       colonel to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM       20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that you describe         25       oging to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM       20       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that you describe         26       wasn't astrategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair -       1       at page 80, paragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and         27       colONEL SCOTT:       I would that say that 1 think the thought       7       pracestor mere triggered already then, but it         3       wasn't it was only an outline of the strategic option,       1       tar page 80, parag	14			
17       JOC. In fact, it wasn't there for two days. Let's look at         18       what your version says about it now. It originated in         19       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't         19       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't         21       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action         22       would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para         23       8.26, and you've reconfirmed that today, and in fact in         24       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was         25       going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM         2       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into         3       detail because until the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into         4       wasn't a strategy to liesh out in detail. Is that a far -         5       COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that I         6       wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thought         7       processer for me were triggered already then, but it         8       wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with         9       COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that I         10       MR CHASKALSON SC: I think your words         11       collower statement, and you say. Whe then get to the 6AM JOCCOM         12       there	15		15	
18       what your version says about it now. It originated in       18       not necessary always then to flash out, or to thrash the         19       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't       anything meticulous because you make clear in your         20       anything meticulous because you make clear in your         21       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action         22       would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para         23       8.26, and you've reconfirmed that today, and in fact in         24       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was         25       going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM         24       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into detail. As 1 say that 1 think the thought         1       that would have to adopt that formally adopted there         4       wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a far -         5       COLONEL SCOTT:         4       would't go into detail. As 1 say that 1 think the thought         7       processes for me were triggered already then, but it         8       wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with         9       there wasn't any detail at all." 1 think that was in         13       response to Commissioner Hemraj.         14       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes. </td <td>16</td> <td></td> <td>16</td> <td></td>	16		16	
19       discussions at the JOC on Wednesday, but that wasn't       19       actual detail with them.         20       anything meticulous because you make clear in your       21         21       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action       20       MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not going to take         21       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action       20       emerges in the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that         22       going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM       24       COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.         23       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into       at page 80, paragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and         24       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into       14       at page 80, paragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and         25       collonkel Scott: I'm to using the wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair -       5       COLONEL SCOTT: I'wouldn't say that I         4       wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair -       5       Annandale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how         7       processes for me were triggered already then, but it       8       had to be debated.       9       COLONEL SCOTT: The detail, yes.         10       MR CHASKALSON SC: So there wasn't any detail at all." I think that twas in       response to Commissioner Hermaj.       14	17	-	17	
20       anything meticulous because you make clear in your       20       MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not going to take         21       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action       20       MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not going to take         22       would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para       22       emerges in the JOCCOM is at the 1:30 JOCCOM. Is that         23       8.26, and you've reconfirmed that today, and in fact in       correct?       24       COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.         24       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was       25       MR CHASKALSON SC: And that you describe         Page 14314         1       that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of       1       at page 80, paragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and         2       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into       4       paragraph 12.3. "The question was asked by Major-General         3       detail because util the JOCCOM had formally adopted ther       4       paragraph 12.3. "The question was asked by Major-General         4       wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with       6       wewere going to execute phase 3." So at that stage how         7       processes for me were triggered already then, but it       8       had to be debated.         9       COLONEL SCOTT:       Ne detail.       stat gracy that as ano	18		18	
21       statement that the detail of phase 3 as to how the action       21       issue with you on that, but the first point at which detail         22       would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para       asswer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was         23       8,26, and you've reconfirmed that today, and in fact in       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was         24       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was       25       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         25       going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM       Yes.       26       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         26       morthal daption by the JOCCOM had formally, and in advance of       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formally adopted there       4       assmet a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair -       COLONEL SCOTT:       1 at page 80, paragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and       1         3       wouldn't go into detail. As I say that 1 think the thought       processes for me were triggered already then, but it       3       4       paragraph 12.3. "The question was asked by Major-General         4       wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with       6       were going to be execute phase 3." So at that stage how         7       phase 50 me were triggered already then, but if       8       had to be debated.         9       COLONEL SCOTT: <t< td=""><td>19</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	19			
<ul> <li>22 would take place was not discussed. That's page 67 para</li> <li>23 8.26, and you've reconfirmed that today, and in fact in</li> <li>24 answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was</li> <li>25 going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM</li> <li>26 Page 14314</li> <li>27 1 that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of</li> <li>27 any formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formally adopted there</li> <li>28 wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair -</li> <li>29 COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that 1</li> <li>20 there wasn't any detail. As I say that 1 think the thought</li> <li>20 others on.</li> <li>21 mere visus page, on page 79, to contextualise it,</li> <li>21 were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option,</li> <li>22 there wasn't any detail at lit." I think that was in</li> <li>21 response to Commissioner Hemra].</li> <li>22 correct?</li> <li>23 Annandale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how</li> <li>24 was only an outline of the strategic option,</li> <li>22 there wasn't any detail at lit." I think that was in</li> <li>23 response to Commissioner Hemra].</li> <li>24 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.</li> <li>25 MR CHASKALSON SC: So there wasn't any</li> <li>26 detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on</li> <li>27 oboy widsuss that at page 74, paragraph</li> <li>28 detail on the wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on</li> <li>29 Aof your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to</li> <li>20 show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing</li> <li>21 directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons</li> <li>23 and the topolation of thes 2 aranged on it. In explaining nase 2 I mentioned the</li> <li>23 oulline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a</li> </ul>				5 5
23       8.26, and you've reconfirmed that today, and in fact in       23       correct?         24       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was       25       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         25       mc CHASKALSON SC:       And that you describe         Page 14314         1       that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into         3       detail because until the JOCCOM had formally adopted there       the previous page, on page 79, to contextualise it,         4       wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair –       COLONEL SCOTT:       I wouldn't sgo into detail. As I say that 1 think the thought         6       wouldn't go into detail. As I say that 1 think the thought       processes for me were triggered already then, but it       manadale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how         6       were fit was on ope noint discussion to consult with       phase 3 was going to be executed was still something that         8       had to be debated.       9       COLONEL SCOTT:       The detail, yes.         10       MR CHASKALSON SC:       I think your words       II       III think that was in         13       response to Commissioner Hemraj.       III think that was in       III think that was in       IIII think that was in         14				
24       answer to the Chairperson you candidly said if there was       24       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that you describe         26       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into         3       detail because until the JOCCOM had formally adopted there         4       wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair -         5       COLONEL SCOTT:       I wouldn't so that stage that passage. Maybe it's better to start         3       that would have to adopt that formally adopted there       awasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair -         6       COLONEL SCOTT:       I wouldn't so that stage that passage. Maybe it's better to start         7       processes for me were triggered already then, but it       wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with         9       there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in       response to Commissioner Hemraj.         14       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         15       MR CHASKALSON SC:       So there wasn't any         16       detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on         17       the Thursday, and you usizes that at page 74, paragraph         18       9.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to         19       SOLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         <				-
25       going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM       25       MR CHASKALSON SC:       And that you describe         1       that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into 3       1       at page 80, paragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and 2       if I can just get that passage. Maybe it's better to start         3       detail because until the JOCCOM had formally adopted there 4       wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair – COLONEL SCOTT:       I wouldn't say that I         5       COLONEL SCOTT:       I wouldn't say that I       5       Annandale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how 6         6       wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thought 7       processes for me were triggered already then, but it 8       saragraph 12.3. "The question was asked by Major-General 5         10       MR CHASKALSON SC:       I think your words 10       1       clarity was going to be executed was still something that 8         11       there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in 12       response to Commissioner Hermaj.       10         13       response to Commissioner Hermaj.       11       clarity was sought on the actual application of the 12       strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not 13       12         14       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       14       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes. <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
Page 14314       Page 14314         1       that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into         2       any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into       if I can just get that passage. Maybe it's better to start         3       detail because until the JOCCOM had formally adopted there       if I can just get that passage. Maybe it's better to start         4       wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair –       colonnet. SCOTT:       I wouldn't say that I         5       COLONEL SCOTT:       I wouldn't say that I       han andale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how         6       wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thought       7       phase 3 was going to be executed was still something that         8       wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with       9       COLONEL SCOTT:       The detail, yes.         10       MR CHASKALSON SC:       I think your words       10       MR CHASKALSON SC:       "To my understanding,         11       there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in       12       strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not         12       there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in       13       been discussed at that point."         14       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       15       MR CHASKALSON				
1that would have to adopt that formally, and in advance of any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into1at page 80, paragraphs 12.4 and 12.5 of your statement, and2any formal adoption by the JOCCOM had formally adopted there 4 wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair – 52if I can just get that passage. Maybe it's better to start3the previous page, on page 79, to contextualise it, 4 paragraph 12.3. "The question was asked by Major-General5COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that I5Annandale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how 6 wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thought 766wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thought 77phase 3 was going to be execute phase 3." So at that stage how 77processes for me were triggered already then, but it 8 wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with 8 wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with 8 wasn't, it was only an outline of the strategic option, 118had to be debated.10MR CHASKALSON SC: I think your words 1010MR CHASKALSON SC: "To my understanding, 1111clarity was sought on the actual application of the 1212there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in response to Commissioner Hemraj.1314COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.1415MR CHASKALSON SC: So there wasn't any detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph the floor the commanders by showing the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking 2015	25	going to be a change of strategy it would be the JOCCOM	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: And that you describe
2any formal adoption by the JOCCOM, you wouldn't go into2if I can just get that passage. Maybe it's better to start3detail because until the JOCCOM had formally adopted there4wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair –5COLONEL SCOTT:I wouldn't say that I3the previous page, on page 79, to contextualise it,6wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thoughtprocesses for me were triggered already then, but it3Annandale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how7processes for me were triggered already then, but it8wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with6we were going to execute phase 3." So at that stage how9others on.9COLONEL SCOTT:The detail, yes.10MR CHASKALSON SC:I think that was in1clarity was sought on the actual application of the12there wasn't any detail at al." I think that was in12strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not13response to Commissioner Hemraj.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on189Act Statement, and you say, "When I was asked to19MR CHASKALSON SC:N the fueston and strategic quidelines and then speaking18COLONEL SCOTT:That's right.19MR CHASKALSON SC:1and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture10the mission and str		Page 14314		Page 14316
3detail because until the JOCCOM had formally adopted there3the previous page, on page 79, to contextualise it,4wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair –5COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that I5COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that I6wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thought77processes for me were triggered already then, but it8wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with69COLONEL SCOTT: The detail, yes.710MR CHASKALSON SC: I think your words1011were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option,1112there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in1213response to Commissioner Hemraj.1314COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.1415MR CHASKALSON SC: So there wasn't any1516detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing20the mission and strategic quidelines and then speaking21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons22arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a	1		1	
4wasn't a strategy to flesh out in detail. Is that a fair –5COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that I4paragraph 12.3. "The question was asked by Major-General5COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say that I think the thought7processes for me were triggered already then, but it5Annandale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how7processes for me were triggered already then, but it8wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with6we were going to execute phase 3." So at that stage how9others on.9COLONEL SCOTT: The detail, yes.10MR CHASKALSON SC: I think your words10MR CHASKALSON SC: "To my understanding,11were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option,11clarity was sought on the actual application of the12there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in12strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not13response to Commissioner Hemraj.14COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.1514COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC: So there wasn't any16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on18COLONEL SCOTT: That's right.189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to19MR CHASKALSON SC: "I asked if I may20strategic quidelines and then speaking20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons	2		2	
5COLONEL SCOTT:I wouldn't say that I5Annandale to the JOCCOM representatives at the outset how6wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thought6we were going to execute phase 3." So at that stage how7processes for me were triggered already then, but it7phase 3 was going to be executed was still something that8wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with9COLONEL SCOTT:The detail, yes.9Others on.9COLONEL SCOTT:The detail, yes.10MR CHASKALSON SC:I think that your words10MR CHASKALSON SC:"To my understanding,11were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option,11clarity was sought on the actual application of the12there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in12strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not13response to Commissioner Hemraj.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture17the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph17in the JOCCOM.I asked if I may19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing19MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speak	3		3	
6wouldn't go into detail. As I say that I think the thought processes for me were triggered already then, but it wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with others on.6we were going to execute phase 3." So at that stage how phase 3 was going to be executed was still something that had to be debated.9others on.9COLONEL SCOTT: MR CHASKALSON SC:The detail, yes.10MR CHASKALSON SC:I think your words10MR CHASKALSON SC: romy understanding,11were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option, response to Commissioner Hemraj.11clarity was sought on the actual application of the strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not been discussed at that point."13response to Commissioner Hemraj.14COLONEL SCOTT: response to Commissioner Hemraj.1514COLONEL SCOTT: response to Commissioner Hemraj.16other wasn't any response to the defail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph response to forther the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on	4		4	
7processes for me were triggered already then, but it7phase 3 was going to be executed was still something that8wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with8had to be debated.9others on.9COLONEL SCOTT:The detail, yes.10MR CHASKALSON SC:I think your words10MR CHASKALSON SC:"To my understanding,11were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option,11clarity was sought on the actual application of the12there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in12strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not13response to Commissioner Hemraj.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture17the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph18COLONEL SCOTT:That's right.19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing19MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons21to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23	5	5	5	-
8wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with others on.8had to be debated.9others on.9COLONEL SCOTT:The detail, yes.10MR CHASKALSON SC:I think your words10MR CHASKALSON SC:"To my understanding,"11were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option,11clarity was sought on the actual application of the12there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in12strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not13response to Commissioner Hemraj.13been discussed at that point."14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:15MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture17the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph17in the JOCCOM.189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to18COLONEL SCOTT:That's right.19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing19MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons21to brief the JOCCOM.I explained on the Google Earth23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23that morning for the ph	6		6	
9others on.9COLONEL SCOTT:The detail, yes.10MR CHASKALSON SC:I think your words10MR CHASKALSON SC:"To my understanding,11were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option,11clarity was sought on the actual application of the12there wasn't any detail at all."I think that was in12strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not13response to Commissioner Hemraj.13been discussed at that point."14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:15MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture17the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph17in the JOCCOM.189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to18COLONEL SCOTT:That's right.19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing19MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons21to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth22arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the22satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23t	7		7	
10MR CHASKALSON SC:I think your words10MR CHASKALSON SC:"To my understanding,11were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option,11clarity was sought on the actual application of the12there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in12strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not13response to Commissioner Hemraj.13been discussed at that point."14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture17the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph17in the JOCCOM.189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to18COLONEL SCOTT:That's right.19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing19MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons21to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth22arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the22satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the	8	wasn't, it was an open point discussion to consult with	8	had to be debated.
11were, "It was only an outline of the strategic option, there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in response to Commissioner Hemraj.11clarity was sought on the actual application of the strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not been discussed at that point."13response to Commissioner Hemraj.13been discussed at that point."14COLONEL SCOTT: MR CHASKALSON SC:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC: detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the arranged as phase 2 was in essence also a11clarity was sought on the actual application of the strategy with regards to the implementation, which I was given the floor at the morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the	9		9	5
12there wasn't any detail at all." I think that was in response to Commissioner Hemraj.12strategy with regards to the implementation, which had not been discussed at that point."13COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.Yes.13been discussed at that point."14COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC: MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph 9.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking 2018COLONEL SCOTT: MR CHASKALSON SC:That's right.19MR CHASKALSON SC: MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the 232023outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the	10	Ş	10	
13response to Commissioner Hemraj.13been discussed at that point."14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture17the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to18COLONEL SCOTT:That's right.19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing19MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons21to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth22arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the22satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the				
14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.15MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture17the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to18COLONEL SCOTT:That's right.19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing19MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons21to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth22arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the22satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the		-		
15MR CHASKALSON SC:So there wasn't any15MR CHASKALSON SC:So you had a dispersal16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture17the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to18COLONEL SCOTT:That's right.19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing19MR CHASKALSON SC:"I asked if I may20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking20suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons21to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth22arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the22satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the				
16detail on the Wednesday. We then get to the 6AM JOCCOM on the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph 9.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture in the JOCCOM.16and disarm strategy, but detail hadn't entered the picture in the JOCCOM.1617in the JOCCOM.18COLONEL SCOTT: MR CHASKALSON SC: suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders 2320the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the 232023that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the				
<ul> <li>17 the Thursday, and you discuss that at page 74, paragraph</li> <li>18 9.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to</li> <li>19 show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing</li> <li>20 the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking</li> <li>21 directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons</li> <li>22 arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the</li> <li>23 outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a</li> <li>17 in the JOCCOM.</li> <li>18 COLONEL SCOTT: That's right.</li> <li>19 MR CHASKALSON SC: "I asked if I may</li> <li>20 suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor</li> <li>21 to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth</li> <li>23 that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the</li> </ul>		5		
189.4 of your statement, and you say, "When I was asked to show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a18COLONEL SCOTT: MR CHASKALSON SC: suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders 23 that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the				
19show the deployment, I briefed the commanders by showing the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a19MR CHASKALSON SC: usgest a course of action, to which I was given the floor to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the				
20the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking 2120suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor 2121directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons 2220suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor 2122arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the 2320suggest a course of action, to which I was given the floor 2123to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth 22satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders 2323that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the	18			-
21directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons21to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth22arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the23satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders23outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the	4.2	show the deployment. I briefed the commanders by showing	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: "I asked if I may
22arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a22satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders 2323that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the			~~	
23 outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a23 that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the	20	the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking		
	<b>20</b> 21	the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons	21	to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth
24 prepositioning in case phase 3 was to be implemented." So   24 dispersion should take place, with the different units in	<b>20</b> 21 22	the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the	21 22	to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders
	20 21 22 23	the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a	21 22 23	to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the
25 again you're not providing any detail of phase 3 in the25 their different roles and areas of responsibility. On	20 21 22 23 24	the mission and strategic guidelines and then speaking directly to the Google Earth satellite photo with icons arranged on it. In explaining phase 2 I mentioned the outline of phase 3 as phase 2 was in essence also a prepositioning in case phase 3 was to be implemented." So	21 22 23 24	to brief the JOCCOM. I explained on the Google Earth satellite photo which I'd printed out for the commanders that morning for the phase 2 deployment where I felt the dispersion should take place, with the different units in

	Page 14317		Page 14319
1	conclusion, Major-General Annandale requested any further	1	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
2	inputs and whether the concept was acceptable." So even at	2	CHAIRPERSON: But there was no current
3	that stage the concept was up for debate.	3	POP member there to make inputs.
4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	4	COLONEL SCOTT: No.
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: "There were no	5	CHAIRPERSON: There was no current POP
6	objections to the operational concept." So the concept was	6	person there to raise an objection.
7	accepted. Were there any further inputs in relation to the	7	COLONEL SCOTT: No.
8	detail that you'd suggested?	8	CHAIRPERSON: Only one person who's an
9	COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I think maybe	9	ex-POP member. Is that right?
10	I'm, or we're misunderstanding the operational concept, but	10	COLONEL SCOTT: That's right.
11	the concept, there's the strategy; the strategy was to	11	CHAIRPERSON: I see.
12	disperse smaller groups, disarm, etcetera. The concept is	12	MR CHASKALSON SC: And the detail that
13	now speaking to the, how we're going to do it in broad	13	you're giving, well the detail that's given at the meeting
14	terms, in other words the direction to where we would want	14	seems to come primarily, if not exclusively, from you. Is
15	them to go, the stop and reorganise line, how the teams	15	there any detail that comes from anybody else at the
16	would be lined up and formed up. That's speaking to the	16	meeting?
17	concept here. So there was no objection to that concept,	17	COLONEL SCOTT: No.
18	which is, it's not down to the lower level detail. There	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you've already
19	was more detail given at the actual forward holding area 2	19	confirmed that you had no knowledge of Standing Order 262,
20	briefing, which was more at a tactical level than it was at	20	which was the SAPS standing order dealing with Public Order
21	this level.	21	Policing.
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, can we just go	22	COLONEL SCOTT: I had no knowledge of
23	to exhibit EE, which are the minutes of the 1:30 JOCCOM,	23	that specific standing order. As I say, I had successfully
24	and I'd like you to look at that attendance list. I don't	24	completed a course before that standing order was
25	see any POPs officers there.	25	implemented. I think it was implemented in about 2003/4.
	Page 14318		Page 14320
1	COLONEL SCOTT: No, they were deployed in	1	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt
2	COLONEL SCOTT: No, they were deployed in the field.	2	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based
	COLONEL SCOTT: No, they were deployed in		I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the
2	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30	2	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical
2 3	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement the	2 3	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the
2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers present	2 3 4	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say
2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement the	2 3 4 5	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no current	2 3 4 5 6	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: No, they were deployed in the field. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, so the first time that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30 meeting where the plan, where an order to implement the plan is given and where there are no POPs officers present to inform what the plan is going to look like.	2 3 4 5 6 7	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,his members are called around South Africa to help re-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,his members are called around South Africa to help re-establish order where there's been public violence and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT: No, they were deployed in the field. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, so the first time that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30 meeting where the plan, where an order to implement the plan is given and where there are no POPs officers present to inform what the plan is going to look like. COLONEL SCOTT: There are no current serving POPs officers there, but we have people like Brigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of his career in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm not mistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit for that matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called, his members are called around South Africa to help re- establish order where there's been public violence and unrest –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's contents, but simply the principles of policing and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,his members are called around South Africa to help re-establish order where there's been public violence andunrest –CHAIRPERSON:So you've got one ex-POP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's contents, but simply the principles of policing and the previous training I had ensure that those principles are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,his members are called around South Africa to help re-establish order where there's been public violence andunrest –CHAIRPERSON:So you've got one ex-POPmember there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's contents, but simply the principles of policing and the previous training I had ensure that those principles are still met.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firstime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,his members are called around South Africa to help re-establish order where there's been public violence andunrest -CHAIRPERSON:So you've got one ex-POPmember there.COLONEL SCOTT:That's to the only one to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's contents, but simply the principles of policing and the previous training I had ensure that those principles are still met. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will have that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,his members are called around South Africa to help re-establish order where there's been public violence andunrest -CHAIRPERSON:So you've got one ex-POPmember there.COLONEL SCOTT:That's to the only one tomy knowledge, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:What we see in 12.5 is, "Onconclusion, Major-General Annandale requested any further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's contents, but simply the principles of policing and the previous training I had ensure that those principles are still met. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will have that debate at a later stage when we come to look more closely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,his members are called around South Africa to help re-establish order where there's been public violence andunrest –CHAIRPERSON:So you've got one ex-POPmember there.COLONEL SCOTT:That's to the only one tomy knowledge, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:What we see in 12.5 is, "On	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's contents, but simply the principles of policing and the previous training I had ensure that those principles are still met. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will have that debate at a later stage when we come to look more closely at the plan itself. For now I want to look at how the plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT:No, they were deployed inthe field.MR CHASKALSON SC:Okay, so the firsttime that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30meeting where the plan, where an order to implement theplan is given and where there are no POPs officers presentto inform what the plan is going to look like.COLONEL SCOTT:There are no currentserving POPs officers there, but we have people likeBrigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of hiscareer in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm notmistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit forthat matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called,his members are called around South Africa to help re-establish order where there's been public violence andunrest -CHAIRPERSON:So you've got one ex-POPmember there.COLONEL SCOTT:That's to the only one tomy knowledge, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:What we see in 12.5 is, "Onconclusion, Major-General Annandale requested any further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's contents, but simply the principles of policing and the previous training I had ensure that those principles are still met. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will have that debate at a later stage when we come to look more closely at the plan itself. For now I want to look at how the plan was designed. The next stage in the process is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL SCOTT: No, they were deployed in the field. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, so the first time that any detail is given to the plan is at the 1:30 meeting where the plan, where an order to implement the plan is given and where there are no POPs officers present to inform what the plan is going to look like. COLONEL SCOTT: There are no current serving POPs officers there, but we have people like Brigadier Tsiloane, who was, I think spent much of his career in a Public Order Policing unit and if I'm not mistaken, was a commander I think at the Welkom unit for that matter as well, as being an NIU member he's called, his members are called around South Africa to help re- establish order where there's been public violence and unrest – CHAIRPERSON: So you've got one ex-POP member there. COLONEL SCOTT: That's to the only one to my knowledge, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: What we see in 12.5 is, "On conclusion, Major-General Annandale requested any further inputs and whether the concept was acceptable. There were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	I'd done my course in, somewhere around 2001/2, which dealt predominantly with the Public Order Policing arena, based on what happened at the Ellis Park tragedy. So the training was embedded through that, as well as the tactical options of POPs, what they stand for, because we had to plan those into scenarios during that course. So to say that I did, I had no knowledge of the specific, as you say, Standing Order 262, but what's embedded in 262 is not newly thought out. It comes with a long process. So it would be incorrect to simply just say that what's inside there, because one thing that struck me when we did have a meeting to look at Standing Order 262 and how it compared to how we planned, it very much, and if we do that you'll find very much of the principles in the plan are reflected in Standing Order 262, although I was not aware of 262's contents, but simply the principles of policing and the previous training I had ensure that those principles are still met. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will have that debate at a later stage when we come to look more closely at the plan itself. For now I want to look at how the plan was designed. The next stage in the process is the briefing to the commanders in the field. Major-General

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

1		1	
1	Page 14321 have to implement the plan.	1	Page 14323 many months had taken place on the Thursday, is that what
2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2	you said?" And then your response was "ja, it may have
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this is the very	3	been until we got to Roots or somewhere around there when
4	first briefing that they will receive on how this new phase	4	it was more put into context about what had happened." So
5	3 is going to be implemented.	5	your memory, well let me go back a step, the decision to
6	COLONEL SCOTT: It's the very first	6	move away from the encirclement plan, formally to abandon
7	detailed briefing where it's actually told to them the	7	the encirclement plan couldn't have taken place before
8	concept as I have mentioned earlier, the more detailed	8	those discussions about cattle and humanitarian risks and
9	version of what was meant earlier when said dispersion into	9	so on. Is that correct?
10	smaller groups.	10	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, what was said	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: So your memory about
12	earlier? What briefing without detail had they received at	12	an issue as important as when that plan was abandoned was,
13	6AM at the JOC?	13	at least until Roots, sufficiently cloudy that you thought
14	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I'm going to need	14	that that plan had been abandoned only after an informal
15	to speak now from deductive logic, but in explaining –	15	discussion in the JOC about cattle and humanitarian risks
16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'd ask you	16	after a JOCCOM meeting that had happened on the Thursday.
17	not to speak from deductive logic, and I'd ask you to speak	17	Is that correct?
18	about what you can remember that you actually said to	18	COLONEL SCOTT: No, I said that the – for
19	people at 6AM at the JOC, and if I might just explain why;	19	a long time looking back at my documents, from that time
20	you said to us yesterday, and I've looked at the	20	which shows encirclement still on the Thursday morning as
21	transcript, when we were discussing when the first plan was	21	part of the JOCCOM slides, it was only later in this year
22	abandoned, your words were, and that conversation about the	22	where something was triggered that actually got my memory
23	problems with encirclement, your words were -	23	but – and I'll come back to something in a moment – that
24	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps you'd give us the	24	actually got me to realise that these were the reasons that
25	reference to the transcript so that when we read it later,	25	we actually abandoned trying to encircle a larger group.
	Dove 14222		
			Page 14324
1	Page 14322 read this passage later, we'll understand what you're	1	Page 14324 Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not
1 2		1 2	5
	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're		Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not
2	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to.	2	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned,
2 3	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson,	2 3	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So
2 3 4	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't	2 3 4	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation
2 3 4 5	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday	2 3 4 5	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I
2 3 4 5 6	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later	2 3 4 5 6	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though
2 3 4 5 6 7	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos that I handed out on the 16th in the morning that the Nyalas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So something wasn't making sense to me, but there was always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos that I handed out on the 16th in the morning that the Nyalas were prepositioned for phase 3."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So something wasn't making sense to me, but there was always something niggling that something's out of place. And it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos that I handed out on the 16th in the morning that the Nyalas were prepositioned for phase 3." [10:25] And then later on at page 14251, lines 6 to 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So something wasn't making sense to me, but there was always something niggling that something's out of place. And it was put into place this year when I actually – the cattle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos that I handed out on the 16th in the morning that the Nyalas were prepositioned for phase 3." [10:25] And then later on at page 14251, lines 6 to 8 when you were talking – when I asked you – my question was,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So something wasn't making sense to me, but there was always something niggling that something's out of place. And it was put into place this year when I actually – the cattle issue with the rest of why we couldn't deploy the razor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos that I handed out on the 16th in the morning that the Nyalas were prepositioned for phase 3." [10:25] And then later on at page 14251, lines 6 to 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So something wasn't making sense to me, but there was always something niggling that something's out of place. And it was put into place this year when I actually – the cattle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos that I handed out on the 16th in the morning that the Nyalas were prepositioned for phase 3." [10:25] And then later on at page 14251, lines 6 to 8 when you were talking – when I asked you – my question was, "Colonel, if I heard you correctly you said that these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So something wasn't making sense to me, but there was always something niggling that something's out of place. And it was put into place this year when I actually – the cattle issue with the rest of why we couldn't deploy the razor wire, as well as the Brigadier Engelbrecht meeting, as well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos that I handed out on the 16th in the morning that the Nyalas were prepositioned for phase 3." [10:25] And then later on at page 14251, lines 6 to 8 when you were talking – when I asked you – my question was, "Colonel, if I heard you correctly you said that these discussions about the difficulties of the encirclement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So something wasn't making sense to me, but there was always something niggling that something's out of place. And it was put into place this year when I actually – the cattle issue with the rest of why we couldn't deploy the razor wire, as well as the Brigadier Engelbrecht meeting, as well as the Colonel Merafe meeting which I also placed on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	read this passage later, we'll understand what you're referring to. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, it's page 14246. 14246, line 7 to 12. I'm afraid we don't have an electronic version of it available yet. "Initially I thought that that happened on Thursday, and for many months in fact I thought that that was part of the Thursday discussion, and then it was only in this year around, later this year, a couple of months back that, or more recently, I realised it had to have been on the Wednesday." That was your first attempt to date that discussion about the shortcomings of the encirclement plan. Then lower down, from line 20, "As I say, I also recall that happening on a Thursday, and I for a long time thought that that was actually the Thursday discussion, but it didn't make sense to me because of the fact that when I looked at the photos that I handed out on the 16th in the morning that the Nyalas were prepositioned for phase 3." [10:25] And then later on at page 14251, lines 6 to 8 when you were talking – when I asked you – my question was, "Colonel, if I heard you correctly you said that these discussions about the difficulties of the encirclement tactical option, the cattle, the humanitarian risks, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Or when we looked at the idea of doing it, it was not feasible. I don't want to say encirclement was abandoned, it could have still been feasible for an early morning. So even after and with all the taskings I received, after the initial Marikana event, coming back to try to recollect, I was re-looking at my own slides and that was my foundation for what I'd thought had happened over that time. I mentioned this whole time period felt almost as though there was a day missing for me. As though it wasn't only three days there should have been more, but what brought me back to actually realising that it was on the Wednesday was only in this year. However, at Roots I can already see that there are documents there that I've created, where I've gone back to actually realising at Roots already that dispersion was on the table already from the early morning because of the photographs that were provided. So something wasn't making sense to me, but there was always something niggling that something's out of place. And it was put into place this year when I actually – the cattle issue with the rest of why we couldn't deploy the razor wire, as well as the Brigadier Engelbrecht meeting, as well as the Colonel Merafe meeting which I also placed on the Thursday for that matter initially.

Page 14325	Page 14327
1 ask you in answering to rely on what you actually remember 1 the phase 3 strategy had already been clarified?	
2 briefing people about because what the uncertainty around 2 understand discussions about it if we abandon t	
3 the date reveals is that if you start looking at documents 3 encirclement we have to have something in its p	place,
4 that have been created around the time later and then 4 etcetera, I can understand all that, but clarified	is a
5 inferring, you may end up remembering something which has 5 rather strong word isn't it?	
6 the wrong details in it. I mean you're saying to us that 6 COLONEL SCOTT: I think clarified	
7 for many months you remembered all of this happening on the 7 sense that an encirclement of a large group was	s not going
8 Thursday but you now think it happened on the Wednesday. 8 to work and that, as I say, then just as the strain	
9 COLONEL SCOTT: I think the short answer 9 we need to look at rather dispersing because di	
10is that I can't remember much of the 6 o'clock Thursday10not just the physical action, it starts with a verb	
11 morning briefing at all. I know that I did give a 11 action which means that many of these people	
12 briefing, I know that it would have been me that gave the 12 knowing that the police are going to carry some	-
13briefing of the photographs that you see that were given13So when the police generally in terms may spea	-
14 out to the – the handouts to the commanders. I know 14 speaking to another policeman that understand	•
15 inherently through my past and how I would have worked on a 15 you understand the whole flow of what's being	
16 briefing I would have always tried to start with a mission 16 the word. So in saying clarifying there he's clar	5 0
17 because it's something we try to emphasise, that's how I've 17 that an encirclement of a large group that size i	s not
18 been trained. So I would have given that and when speaking 18 going to work.	
19 to phase 2 I know I would have spoken to the purpose and 19 CHAIRPERSON: I'll tell you my pro	
<ul> <li>20 the reasoning of the razor wire. Why it was there and then</li> <li>21 I would have also alluded to the fact that it was there as</li> <li>21 encirclement of a large group had never been a</li> </ul>	•
21I would have also alluded to the fact that it was there as21encirclement of a large group had never been a22prepositioning for phase 3. And then, as I say, it's22Encirclement according to the passage we discu	-
22 prepositioning for phase 3. And then, as it say, it's 22 Encirclement according to the passage we discuse 23 deductive reasoning, but I would have said what phase 3 is. 23 had always involved encircling a small group, 50	
24 CHAIRPERSON: With no recollection of 24 first light, possibly even before sunrise.	0 01 1033,
25 this is it all reconstruction, is that right? 25 COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	
25   this is it all reconstruction, is that right?   25   COLONEL SCOTT:   That's correct.	
Page 14326	Page 14328
Page 14326 1 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 1 CHAIRPERSON: There was never	r, as I
Page 14326 1 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 1 CHAIRPERSON: There was never 2 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not putting anything to 2 understand, if I'm wrong you must please corrected	r, as I rect me, there
Page 14326 1 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 1 CHAIRPERSON: There was never 2 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not putting anything to 3 you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to 3 was never a plan to encircle a large group. In	r, as I rect me, there n fact one
Page 14326 1 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 1 CHAIRPERSON: There was never 2 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not putting anything to 3 you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to 4 identify it is reconstruction. 4 just has to think about it, it wouldn't have work	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would
Page 14326         1       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       1       CHAIRPERSON:       There was never         2       CHAIRPERSON:       I'm not putting anything to       2       understand, if I'm wrong you must please corr         3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to       3       was never a plan to encircle a large group.       Ir         4       identify it is reconstruction.       4       just has to think about it, it wouldn't have wor         5       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       5       it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on explanation.	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would
Page 14326         1       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       1       CHAIRPERSON:       There was never         2       CHAIRPERSON:       I'm not putting anything to       2       understand, if I'm wrong you must please corr         3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to       3       was never a plan to encircle a large group. In         4       identify it is reconstruction.       4       just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word         5       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       5       it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on event         6       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:       Colonel, I just to       6       COLONEL SCOTT:       No.	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it -
Page 14326         1       COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.         2       CHAIRPERSON: I'm not putting anything to         3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to         4       identify it is reconstruction.         5       COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.         6       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, I just to         7       clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I
Page 14326         1       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         2       CHAIRPERSON:       I'm not putting anything to         3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to         4       identify it is reconstruction.         5       COLONEL SCOTT:         6       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:         7       clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred         8       you to 12.3.         8       you to 12.3.         1       CHAIRPERSON:         1       CHAIRPERSON:         1       CHAIRPERSON:         1       CHAIRPERSON:         1       Understand, if I'm wrong you must please corr         3       was never a plan to encircle a large group. In         4       just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word         5       it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on event         6       COLONEL SCOTT:         7       CHAIRPERSON:         8       you to 12.3.         8       you to 12.3.         9       You to tall the tall t	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I
Page 14326         1       COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.         2       CHAIRPERSON: I'm not putting anything to         3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to         4       identify it is reconstruction.         5       COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.         6       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, I just to         7       clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred         8       you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the         9       phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help
Page 14326       CHAIRPERSON:       There was never         1       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       1       CHAIRPERSON:       There was never         2       CHAIRPERSON:       I'm not putting anything to       3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to       3       was never a plan to encircle a large group.       Ir         4       identify it is reconstruction.       3       just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word         5       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       5       it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on end         6       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:       Colonel, I just to       6       COLONEL SCOTT:       No.         7       clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred       8       don't understand what you're now telling us.         9       phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement       9       me to understand.       10         10       and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was       10       COLONEL SCOTT:       Chairperson, it	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was
Page 14326       1       CHAIRPERSON:       There was never         2       CHAIRPERSON:       I'm not putting anything to       1       Understand, if I'm wrong you must please corn         3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to       1       was never a plan to encircle a large group. In         4       identify it is reconstruction.       5       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         5       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.       5       it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on ex         6       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:       Colonel, I just to       7       CHAIRPERSON:       - a feasible plan         8       you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the       9       me to understand what you're now telling us.         9       phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement       9       me to understand.         10       and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was       10       COLONEL SCOTT:       Chairperson, it         11       clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?       11       looked at whether that encirclement could tak	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was re place with a
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to2understand, if I'm wrong you must please corr3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. Ir4identify it is reconstruction.4just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.56COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to67clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred78you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the89phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement910clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?1012COLONEL SCOTT:That's the meeting with12	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was te place with a ncircle
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to2understand, if I'm wrong you must please corright3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In4identify it is reconstruction.4just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.56COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to7clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred78you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the89phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement910colonel strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was1011clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?1112COLONEL SCOTT:That's the meeting with13General Annandale.13	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was the place with a incircle up and as I
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to2understand, if I'm wrong you must please corright3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In4identify it is reconstruction.3just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on ex6COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to6COLONEL SCOTT:No.7clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred7CHAIRPERSON:- a feasible plan8you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the8don't understand what you're now telling us.9phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement9me to understand.10and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was10COLONEL SCOTT:Chairperson, i11clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?11looked at whether that encirclement could tak12COLONEL SCOTT:That's the meeting with12large group. The same strategy of trying to e13General Annandale.13whether that was still feasible for a larger group	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was the place with a incircle up and as I irre moving to
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.1CHAIRPERSON: There was never2CHAIRPERSON: I'm not putting anything to2understand, if I'm wrong you must please corr3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In4identify it is reconstruction.3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In5COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.5it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on encircle6COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, I just to6COLONEL SCOTT: No.7clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred7CHAIRPERSON: - a feasible plant8you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the8don't understand what you're now telling us.9phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement9me to understand.10and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was10COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, it11looked at whether that encirclement could tak12large group. The same strategy of trying to e12COLONEL SCOTT: That's the meeting with13General Annandale.1414COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: The informal14say, it was determined not to be so. But befor	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was te place with a incircle up and as I re moving to trategy
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to2understand, if I'm wrong you must please corn3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. Ir4identify it is reconstruction.4just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on ex6COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to6COLONEL SCOTT:No.7clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred7CHAIRPERSON:- a feasible plan8you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the8don't understand what you're now telling us.9phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement9me to understand.10and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was10COLONEL SCOTT:Chairperson, it11clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?11looked at whether that encirclement could tak12COLONEL SCOTT:That's the meeting with12large group. The same strategy of trying to e13General Annandale.13whether that was still feasible for a larger group14COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The informal1415meeting?15a dispersion strategy we first took the initial strategy	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was the place with a incircle up and as I ire moving to trategy her there
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to2understand, if I'm wrong you must please cord3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In4identify it is reconstruction.4just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on ex6COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to6COLONEL SCOTT:No.7clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred7CHAIRPERSON:- a feasible plan8you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the8don't understand what you're now telling us.9phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement9me to understand.10and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was10COLONEL SCOTT:Chairperson, i11clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?11looked at whether that encirclement could tak12COLONEL SCOTT:That's the meeting with12large group. The same strategy of trying to e13General Annandale.13whether that was still feasible for a larger group14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16which was for a first light, had a look at whether	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was the place with a incircle up and as I ire moving to trategy her there it take and
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to2understand, if I'm wrong you must please corr3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In4identify it is reconstruction.4just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on ex6COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to6COLONEL SCOTT:No.7clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred7CHAIRPERSON:- a feasible plan8you to 12.3.In 12.2 of your statement you say that the8don't understand what you're now telling us.9phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement9me to understand.10and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was10COLONEL SCOTT:Chairperson, i11clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?11looked at whether that encirclement could tak12COLONEL SCOTT:The informal14say, it was determined not to be so. But befor13General Annandale.14say, it was determined not to be so. But befor14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16which was for a first light, had a look at whether15meeting?16COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16which was for a	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was the place with a incircle up and as I are moving to trategy her there it take and counter-
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to2understand, if I'm wrong you must please corr3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In4identify it is reconstruction.4just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5it? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on ex6COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to6COLONEL SCOTT:No.7clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred7CHAIRPERSON:- a feasible plan8you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the8don't understand what you're now telling us.9phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement9me to understand.10and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was10COLONEL SCOTT:Chairperson, it11clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?11looked at whether that encirclement could tak12COLONEL SCOTT:That's the meeting with12large group. The same strategy of trying to e13General Annandale.14Say, it was determined not to be so. But befor14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16whether that was still feasible for a larger group15meeting?16COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16whether that	r, as I rect me, there in fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was te place with a incircle up and as I ire moving to trategy her there it take and counter- t briefing
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to3understand, if I'm wrong you must please corr3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In4identify it is reconstruction.4just has to think about it, it wouldn't have word5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.56COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to67clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred7CHAIRPERSON:- a feasible plan8you to 12.3.In 12.2 of your statement you say that the8don't understand what you're now telling us.9phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement9me to understand.10and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was10COLONEL SCOTT:Chairperson, it11clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?11looked at whether that encirclement could tak12COLONEL SCOTT:That's the meeting with12large group. The same strategy of trying to e13General Annandale.13whether that was still feasible for a larger group14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16whether that was full feasible for a larger group15meeting?14colNMISSIONER HEMRAJ:And you don't know16COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16which was for a first light, had a look at whether17	r, as I rect me, there n fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was the place with a incircle up and as I ore moving to trategy her there it take and counter- t briefing the
Page 143261COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.1CHAIRPERSON:There was never2CHAIRPERSON:I'm not putting anything to3understand, if I'm wrong you must please corn3you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to3was never a plan to encircle a large group. In4identify it is reconstruction.3understand, if I'm wrong you must please corn5COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5if? Encirclement of a large group wasn't on encomposition6COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Colonel, I just to6COLONEL SCOTT:No.7clarify something, Mr Chaskalson - Mr Chaskalson referred7CHAIRPERSON:- a feasible plan8you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the8don't understand what you're now telling us.9phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement9me to understand.10and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was10COLONEL SCOTT:Chairperson, i11clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?11looked at whether that encirclement could tak12COLONEL SCOTT:Thai's the meeting with12large group. The same strategy of trying to e13General Annandale.13whether that was still feasible for a larger group14COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.16which was for a first light, had a look at whether15meeting?15a dispersion strategy we first took the initial si16COLONEL SCOTT:No,	r, as I rect me, there h fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was the place with a incircle up and as I ore moving to trategy her there it take and counter- t briefing the er group how
Page 14326         1       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         2       CHAIRPERSON:       I'm not putting anything to         3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to         4       identify it is reconstruction.         5       COLONEL SCOTT:         6       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:         7       clarify something, Mr Chaskalson - Mr Chaskalson referred         8       you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the         9       phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement         10       and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was         11       clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?         12       COLONEL SCOTT:         13       General Annandale.         14       COLONEL SCOTT:         15       meeting?         16       COLONEL SCOTT:         17       ColONEL SCOTT:         18       who else was there.         19       colONEL SCOTT:         116       colONEL SCOTT:         12       COLONEL SCOTT:         13       General Annandale.         14       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:         15       meeting?         16       COLONEL	r, as I rect me, there h fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was te place with a ncircle up and as I re moving to trategy her there it take and counter- t briefing the er group how ole and it
Page 14326         1       COLONEL SCOTT:       Yes.         2       CHAIRPERSON:       I'm not putting anything to         3       you, it may be accurate reconstruction but I just want to         4       identify it is reconstruction.         5       COLONEL SCOTT:         6       COLONEL SCOTT:         7       clarify something, Mr Chaskalson – Mr Chaskalson referred         8       you to 12.3. In 12.2 of your statement you say that the         9       phase 3 strategy to eliminate the concept of encirclement         10       and adopt the strategy, the hybrid plan to disperse was         11       clarified in the JOC. Now what are you referring to there?         12       COLONEL SCOTT:         13       General Annandale.         14       COLONEL SCOTT:         15       meeting?         16       COLONEL SCOTT:         17       COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:         18       Mohther that was still feasible for a larger group.         19       COLONEL SCOTT:         10       COLONEL SCOTT:         11       looked at whether that encirclement could tak         12       COLONEL SCOTT:         13       General Annandale.         14       COLONEL SCOT	r, as I rect me, there h fact one rked would ver, was it - ? So I Please help it was the place with a incircle up and as I are moving to trategy her there it take and counter- t briefing the er group how ole and it so what is

	Page 14329		Page 14331
1	can go back to the briefing that the POPs commanders	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: But Nkaneng is
2	receive in the field at 2:30, it's certainly the first	2	certainly a negative attraction point.
3	detailed briefing they're going to receive on how the new	3	COLONEL SCOTT: It is also for us, yes.
4	phase 3 is to be implemented. And what they'd know from	4	MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's a negative
5	the morning JOCCOM on your version is that the old	5	attraction point of some significance because quite apart
6	encirclement plan has been replaced and there's now a new	6	from the fact that you want to keep the strikers out of
7	plan that involves dispersal and disarming.	7	Nkaneng it's also where they're most likely to go to
8	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	8	because that's where a lot of them live.
9	MR CHASKALSON SC: What more would they	9	COLONEL SCOTT: Well you're calling the
10	have known from the morning JOCCOM meeting in terms of	10	strikers now as one group and –
11	detail?	11	CHAIRPERSON: - a lot of them.
12	COLONEL SCOTT: Well I think in your	12	COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, a lot of them. Well
13	words I don't want to put deductive reasoning in place so I	13	it was picked up that a lot of them had walked out, those
14	don't particularly recall the detail of what being said.	14	specifically that were not in the militant group for that
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when you see them	15	matter. I'm not sure where the militant group came from
16	at 1:30 you don't give them anything on paper, oh 2:30, I	16	but the coming and goings of a lot of people from Nkaneng
17	beg your pardon, thank you, Chairperson. You don't give	17	settlement yes walked from there to the koppie.
18	them anything on paper and the only paper that they were	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now on the morning
19	given in the morning were the gridded maps that were handed	19	that negative attraction point is covered quite nicely by
20	out to deal with phase 2.	20	the barbed wire line. If you look at that barbed wire
21	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	21	line it screens the entire access route to Nkaneng and it
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: But those gridded maps	22	is designed to funnel protesters to the west which is your
23	no longer describe the situation on the ground because the	23	positive attraction point.
24	movement of Nyala 6 has changed matters significantly.	24	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
25	COLONEL SCOTT: That's right.	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: If we can cut back to
1	Page 14330	1	Page 14332
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just	1	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides	2	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed.
2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the	2 3	<ul><li>181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed.</li><li>COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed</li></ul>
2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were	2 3 4	<ul> <li>181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed.</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed</li> <li>because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning.	2 3 4 5	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone.
2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed.</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed</li> <li>because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone.</li> <li>There's still a line of police officials as you can see</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed.</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone.</li> <li>There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to accept it baldly. First of all it has implications for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale behind that was is this is why we had a phase 6, so that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to accept it baldly. First of all it has implications for your positive and negative attraction points. If we go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale behind that was is this is why we had a phase 6, so that once what had been cleared around the koppie area it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to accept it baldly. First of all it has implications for your positive and negative attraction points. If we go back to slide 150, can we go back to slide 150? Now the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale behind that was is this is why we had a phase 6, so that once what had been cleared around the koppie area it was envisioned that after this, that evening, the public order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to accept it baldly. First of all it has implications for your positive and negative attraction points. If we go back to slide 150, can we go back to slide 150? Now the negative attraction point is Nkaneng which marked in red	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale behind that was is this is why we had a phase 6, so that once what had been cleared around the koppie area it was envisioned that after this, that evening, the public order policing and TRT and necessary groupings were going to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to accept it baldly. First of all it has implications for your positive and negative attraction points. If we go back to slide 150, can we go back to slide 150? Now the negative attraction point is Nkaneng which marked in red there as greater squatter camp is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale behind that was is this is why we had a phase 6, so that once what had been cleared around the koppie area it was envisioned that after this, that evening, the public order policing and TRT and necessary groupings were going to the hostels to go and do cordon and searches there to remove
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to accept it baldly. First of all it has implications for your positive and negative attraction points. If we go back to slide 150, can we go back to slide 150? Now the negative attraction point is Nkaneng which marked in red there as greater squatter camp is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: That is one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale behind that was is this is why we had a phase 6, so that once what had been cleared around the koppie area it was envisioned that after this, that evening, the public order policing and TRT and necessary groupings were going to the hostels to go and do cordon and searches there to remove the arms of those who had possibly walked away from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to accept it baldly. First of all it has implications for your positive and negative attraction points. If we go back to slide 150, can we go back to slide 150? Now the negative attraction point is Nkaneng which marked in red there as greater squatter camp is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: That is one of the lesser, the initial is the Wonderkop hostels, the NUM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale behind that was is this is why we had a phase 6, so that once what had been cleared around the koppie area it was envisioned that after this, that evening, the public order policing and TRT and necessary groupings were going to the hostels to go and do cordon and searches there to remove the arms of those who had possibly walked away from the koppie itself because of the verbal impending warning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: And can we just illustrate that for the Commissioners by calling up slides 149 and 50 of exhibit L? So that's what they got from the morning that was one and if we can go to 150. Those were the two maps that they had from the morning. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And what they now have to implement is what is described in slide 181, if we can go down to 181. And 181 describes what's going to have to be implemented but for present purposes what I'd focus on is it also shows the new configuration of the barbed wire Nyalas now that Nyala 6 has been moved to the East. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the movement of Nyala 6 has very serious implications for your plan would you accept? Well let me put them to you, you don't need to accept it baldly. First of all it has implications for your positive and negative attraction points. If we go back to slide 150, can we go back to slide 150? Now the negative attraction point is Nkaneng which marked in red there as greater squatter camp is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: That is one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	181, now if you look at where the barbed wire line is going to go, Nkaneng is being exposed. COLONEL SCOTT: It's being exposed because the razor wire line now is a protection wire for all assets inside what we call the police safe zone. There's still a line of police officials as you can see with the vehicles and the red and green depicting TRT and IUSDF. Remembering again that once that razor wire line has been deployed and the police move out to form up there's first going to be a verbal command given, or warning given of an impending tactical action of dispersion which means that whoever wanted to leave was going to leave. And those that wanted to leave were going to be permitted to leave. So they would have been able to walk either past or even through the police line for that matter, those that wanted to leave. And the rationale behind that was is this is why we had a phase 6, so that once what had been cleared around the koppie area it was envisioned that after this, that evening, the public order policing and TRT and necessary groupings were going to the hostels to go and do cordon and searches there to remove the arms of those who had possibly walked away from the

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	D		D
1	Page 14333 and search authorisation –	1	Page 14335 CHAIRPERSON: The plan seems to have been
2	COLONEL SCOTT: It was –	2	to block off that main route before Nyala 6 was moved.
3	CHAIRPERSON: - by the Provincial	3	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I think the
4	Commissioner?	4	initial – the concept behind the plan was, as I say, to
5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it was, Chairperson,	5	bring out, to give a warning, to allow those that want to
6	but it was a bit optimistic to – I think that would have	6	leave to move off and that simply to prevent violent or
7	taken a lot personnel to have to cover that, it would have	7	aggressive, emotional strikers from running into the
8	been a literal house to house and in my opinion been very	8	Nkaneng settlement. Thus the police's action to move
9	dangerous for that matter for the police members involved.	9	towards the south and sweep towards the west so we could
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: But you will agree	10	push anyone that was emotional, violent or wanting
11	that the new configuration of the barbed wire creates a new	11	confrontation away from areas where there would be innocent
12	dimension, that until you can get your police armoured	12	people.
13	vehicles out around the barbed wire into the position that	13	CHAIRPERSON: - I don't understand you to
14	we see at slide 181, Nkaneng is exposed.	14	be disagreeing with me, you're actually agreeing with me
15	COLONEL SCOTT: It is.	15	but giving the reasoning why.
16	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we go down to	16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
17	slide 193 which is just at the start of the operation, we	17	CHAIRPERSON: Ja.
18	see that you illustrate it's the start of the operation.	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: And we know that in
19	Nyala 1 has moved out, it's barbed wire Nyala 2, you see	19	due course when the militant protesters did decide to move
20	that some of the protesters are - if I can just identify -	20	the direction in which they moved was towards Nkaneng.
21	there's Nyala 1, I'm marking Nyala 1 for record purposes,	21	COLONEL SCOTT: Well that's debatable,
22	it's the Nyala just above the long red arrow with the	22	there's the direction they could have moved was towards the
23	dotted line behind it. You have marked on this slide	23	police line. So I'm not going to agree to the fact that
24	"protester organised group remain with others on the koppie	24	they were trying to go to Nkaneng.
25	while a number of the protesters are departing." And the	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well objectively the
	Page 14334		Page 14336
1	Page 14334 protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along	1	Page 14336 direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng.
1 2	•	1 2	5
	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer.		direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng.
2	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you	2	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east.
2 3	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the	2 3	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly
2 3 4	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that	2 3 4	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police.
2 3 4 5 6 7	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving.	2 3 4 5 6 7	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the razor wire deployed they can move to Nkaneng in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on the ground have describes a configuration that has changed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the razor wire deployed they can move to Nkaneng in this configuration because if you look at Nyala 6 over there –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on the ground have describes a configuration that has changed materially between 6 o'clock and 1:30. You'll accept that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the razor wire deployed they can move to Nkaneng in this configuration because if you look at Nyala 6 over there – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I don't dispute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on the ground have describes a configuration that has changed materially between 6 o'clock and 1:30. You'll accept that much?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the razor wire deployed they can move to Nkaneng in this configuration because if you look at Nyala 6 over there –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on the ground have describes a configuration that has changed materially between 6 o'clock and 1:30. You'll accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: The only vehicle that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the razor wire deployed they can move to Nkaneng in this configuration because if you look at Nyala 6 over there – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I don't dispute that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on the ground have describes a configuration that has changed materially between 6 o'clock and 1:30. You'll accept that much?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the razor wire deployed they can move to Nkaneng in this configuration because if you look at Nyala 6 over there – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I don't dispute that. MR CHASKALSON SC: - the razor wire is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on the ground have describes a configuration that has changed materially between 6 o'clock and 1:30. You'll accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: The only vehicle that's changed is Nyala 6. So if we look at what's displayed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer. COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the razor wire deployed they can move to Nkaneng in this configuration because if you look at Nyala 6 over there – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I don't dispute that. MR CHASKALSON SC: - the razor wire is going to run from 5 to 6 and the route the Nkaneng, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on the ground have describes a configuration that has changed materially between 6 o'clock and 1:30. You'll accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: The only vehicle that's changed is Nyala 6. So if we look at what's displayed in the slide now, you can see that the interpretation even of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	protesters who are departing are departing to Nkaneng along this line of the white arrow that I am flagging with my laser pointer.  COLONEL SCOTT: That is so. But if you look carefully there are other protesters walking along the road to the north as well. I'm just highlighting with that white arrow that there are some already leaving. MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you've highlighted with the white arrow is that there are protesters moving to Nkaneng and it's a feature of the new configuration that it's possible to move Nkaneng. COLONEL SCOTT: Well even if the old configuration was still in place they would still have been able to move to Nkaneng as long as the razor wire wasn't deployed. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well even with the razor wire deployed they can move to Nkaneng in this configuration because if you look at Nyala 6 over there – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I don't dispute that. MR CHASKALSON SC: - the razor wire is going to run from 5 to 6 and the route the Nkaneng, this main path which is picked up by the white arrow is in fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	direction in which they moved was the direction of Nkaneng. It was to the east. CHAIRPERSON: They weren't necessarily intending to go to Nkaneng. They may have been intending to implement threats that was apparently and allegedly being made earlier to attack the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well can we agree that they moved East? [10:45] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they moved east. MR CHASKALSON SC: And that the difference in the barbed wire configuration once Nyala 6 had been moved is that it was possible to move east without being screened out by the barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it would be. MR CHASKALSON SC: So to get back to where all of this started, the paper that the commanders on the ground have describes a configuration that has changed materially between 6 o'clock and 1:30. You'll accept that much? COLONEL SCOTT: The only vehicle that's changed is Nyala 6. So if we look at what's displayed in the slide now, you can see that the interpretation even of what I'd presented on the slide is slightly different to

	Page 14337		Page 14339
1	deployment, the only Nyala that has moved has been Nyala 6.	1	COLONEL SCOTT: It's right what you're
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: But that movement has	2	saying, but I have a problem with how you're putting it.
3	significant implications to the plan.	3	You're making it, or trying to make it sound as though
4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	4	there are people that are standing that can visually not
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now you don't brief	5	see, or I'm somewhere deep inside a Vito and maybe only
6	them with any new paper; you brief them by showing the plan	6	four or five can see the screen, which is not the case.
7	on your laptop in the back of a Mercedes Vito.	7	MR CHASKALSON SC: We may have to have an
8	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	8	inspection in loco with a Vito.
9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you just describe	9	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
10	the situation a bit more clearly to us? Where were you	10	MR CHASKALSON SC: But it does seem to me
11	sitting?	11	that the possibility of some of the 20 not seeing the
12	COLONEL SCOTT: I sat just inside the	12	screen seems to be quite a high possibility in those
13	seat, so that I was under the roof, so that there was a	13	circumstances, or not being able to make out what is being
14	little bit of darkness for the computer screen so that	14	depicted on the screen.
15	people could see it better, with the audience standing just	15	COLONEL SCOTT: No, I can't speak to
16	outside the Vito.	16	that. I can't agree with you on that either.
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: And does the Vito have	17	CHAIRPERSON: I take it your point would
18	a sliding door that opens on the side?	18	be that if someone couldn't see and wanted to know what was
19	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	19	being shown, he could have raised his hand and said please
20	MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're sitting	20	give me a chance to see it as well.
20	inside the Vito with the sliding door open on the side.	20	COLONEL SCOTT: This is –
22	The Vito is parked in the open air.	22	CHAIRPERSON: And that didn't happen, I
22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	22	take it. Is that right?
23 24		23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Yes, Chairperson.
24 25	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you've gone inside to get some shade so that your screen is visible.	24 25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now according to your
23	to get some shade so that your screen is visible.	25	Mik Chaskalson Sc. Now according to your
	Page 14338		Page 14340
1	Page 14338 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	1	Page 14340 statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes.
1 2		1 2	5
	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.		statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes.
2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting	2	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the
2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?	2 3	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15.
2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the people	2 3 4	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time
2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I	2 3 4 5	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside? COLONEL SCOTT: It's facing the people outside. It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I can actually clearly remember that the Vito was facing	2 3 4 5 6	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour.
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south ofthe Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south ofthe Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with mylaptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south ofthe Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with mylaptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen.MR CHASKALSON SC:How many people were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south ofthe Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with mylaptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen.MR CHASKALSON SC:How many people werereceiving this explanation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south ofthe Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with mylaptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen.MR CHASKALSON SC:How many people werereceiving this explanation?COLONEL SCOTT:I can't give the exact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south ofthe Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with mylaptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen.MR CHASKALSON SC:How many people werereceiving this explanation?COLONEL SCOTT:I can't give the exactamount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15.MR CHASKALSON SC:I just want to go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south ofthe Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with mylaptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen.MR CHASKALSON SC:How many people werereceiving this explanation?COLONEL SCOTT:I can't give the exactamount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:And are you sittingwith the laptop facing you or facing the people outside?COLONEL SCOTT:It's facing the peopleoutside.It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – Ican actually clearly remember that the Vito was facingtowards the east, the open door was towards the south, andthe people that were standing were standing to the south ofthe Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with mylaptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen.MR CHASKALSON SC:How many people werereceiving this explanation?COLONEL SCOTT:I can't give the exactamount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15.MR CHASKALSON SC:I just want to go toyour statement because I seem to remember a number of 20,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, half past was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside? COLONEL SCOTT: It's facing the people outside. It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I can actually clearly remember that the Vito was facing towards the east, the open door was towards the south, and the people that were standing were standing to the south of the Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with my laptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: How many people were receiving this explanation? COLONEL SCOTT: I can't give the exact amount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15. MR CHASKALSON SC: I just want to go to your statement because I seem to remember a number of 20, but –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, half past was when the operation had to begin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside? COLONEL SCOTT: It's facing the people outside. It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I can actually clearly remember that the Vito was facing towards the east, the open door was towards the south, and the people that were standing were standing to the south of the Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with my laptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: How many people were receiving this explanation? COLONEL SCOTT: I can't give the exact amount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15. MR CHASKALSON SC: I just want to go to your statement because I seem to remember a number of 20, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it does say there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, half past was when the operation had to begin. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside? COLONEL SCOTT: It's facing the people outside. It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I can actually clearly remember that the Vito was facing towards the east, the open door was towards the south, and the people that were standing were standing to the south of the Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with my laptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: How many people were receiving this explanation? COLONEL SCOTT: I can't give the exact amount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15. MR CHASKALSON SC: I just want to go to your statement because I seem to remember a number of 20, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it does say there were about 20 persons at the briefing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, half past was when the operation had to begin. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: So presumably the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside? COLONEL SCOTT: It's facing the people outside. It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I can actually clearly remember that the Vito was facing towards the east, the open door was towards the south, and the people that were standing were standing to the south of the Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with my laptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: How many people were receiving this explanation? COLONEL SCOTT: I can't give the exact amount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15. MR CHASKALSON SC: I just want to go to your statement because I seem to remember a number of 20, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it does say there were about 20 persons at the briefing. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you've got 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, half past was when the operation had to begin. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: So presumably the briefing would have to end some time before operation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside? COLONEL SCOTT: It's facing the people outside. It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I can actually clearly remember that the Vito was facing towards the east, the open door was towards the south, and the people that were standing were standing to the south of the Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with my laptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: How many people were receiving this explanation? COLONEL SCOTT: I can't give the exact amount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15. MR CHASKALSON SC: I just want to go to your statement because I seem to remember a number of 20, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it does say there were about 20 persons at the briefing. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you've got 20 people who are being briefed on the basis of an image on a laptop by you sitting inside a Mercedes Vito, and that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, half past was when the operation had to begin. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: So presumably the briefing would have to end some time before operation commenced because – COLONEL SCOTT: We're in hypothetical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside? COLONEL SCOTT: It's facing the people outside. It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I can actually clearly remember that the Vito was facing towards the east, the open door was towards the south, and the people that were standing were standing to the south of the Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with my laptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: How many people were receiving this explanation? COLONEL SCOTT: I can't give the exact amount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15. MR CHASKALSON SC: I just want to go to your statement because I seem to remember a number of 20, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it does say there were about 20 persons at the briefing. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you've got 20 people who are being briefed on the basis of an image on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, half past was when the operation had to begin. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: So presumably the briefing would have to end some time before operation commenced because –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And are you sitting with the laptop facing you or facing the people outside? COLONEL SCOTT: It's facing the people outside. It's, I'm sitting to the side of it with them – I can actually clearly remember that the Vito was facing towards the east, the open door was towards the south, and the people that were standing were standing to the south of the Vito, with me sitting just inside the Vito with my laptop and explaining to them on the laptop screen. MR CHASKALSON SC: How many people were receiving this explanation? COLONEL SCOTT: I can't give the exact amount, but there were a number of – probably 10, 15. MR CHASKALSON SC: I just want to go to your statement because I seem to remember a number of 20, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it does say there were about 20 persons at the briefing. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you've got 20 people who are being briefed on the basis of an image on a laptop by you sitting inside a Mercedes Vito, and that is the sum total of the visual aid to the presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement your briefing lasts approximately 45 minutes. You say it started at 2:30 sharp and you got back to the JOC at 15:15. COLONEL SCOTT: No, there's travel time involved on the return. So it was about at most half an hour. MR CHASKALSON SC: After that briefing, the commanders have to go and brief their troops. Do you know how much time was left for them to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I would have left at about 15:00, give or take a couple of minutes, so they would have gone back. That would have given them, counting travel time in, again about 20 minutes until the half past time that they would have had to brief their troops, about 20 minutes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, half past was when the operation had to begin. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: So presumably the briefing would have to end some time before operation commenced because – COLONEL SCOTT: We're in hypothetical areas now because I can't speak for the, how long those

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

		1	
1	Page 14341	1	Page 14343
1	didn't. Whether the briefings were even concluded by 15:30	1	remembering that speaking at the operational level, they
2	I can't say. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if we can	2	are going to execute it at the tactical level on ground.
3		3	So this is why when you talk to those commanders and you say we want you to deploy the razor wire and close it, as
4	then just recap the details, recap. We're talking about a	4 5	it was mentioned earlier at the 6 o'clock meeting that that
5	plan now, the details of which were designed on the day, the 16th.		-
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	6 7	would be something to form a barrier line between the police for that matter, the negative and positive, or
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: By yourself.	8	negative attraction points and to where the strikers were
0 9	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	0 9	seated, there's not much more in detail that you need to
9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: And from what we can	9 10	explain to them on that, other than what I've mentioned in
11	work out, without material input from anybody else. Is	11	my statement. When you say to the dispersion line that you
12	that correct?	12	need to come out and disperse in this direction, you don't
12	COLONEL SCOTT: Pretty much, yes.	13	explain dispersion to POPs, Public Order Policing members;
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: And in particular	14	they understand dispersion through their training. So it's
14	without input from commanders with crowd management	14	a matter of where do you want me and where do you, and how
16	expertise.	16	do you want me to disperse in what direction, and that's
17	COLONEL SCOTT: No, but they did have the	17	what actually occurs there. There were slight amendments
18	opportunity even whilst I was briefing them in forward	18	to that plan because what I did explain to them was start
19	holding area 1 to have given inputs for that matter.	19	with water cannons, where necessarily water cannons
20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's	20	wouldn't be the first way of starting a physical dispersion
20	interrogate that. The decision had already been taken to	20	- I'm talking outside of the actual pre-warning phase -
22	implement the plan at that stage.	22	because I was trying not to have police members close to
23	COLONEL SCOTT: To implement the, or	23	strikers. I was trying to keep a gap between them so that
24	dispersion with, as we know the strategy and the concept of	24	there would not be conflict for that matter. So those were
25	moving them off towards the west, smaller groups, encircle	25	the small changes made for instance in that force
	Page 14342		Page 14344
1	Page 14342 them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and	1	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the
1 2	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes.	1 2	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a
	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation		continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the
2	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30.	2	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions
2 3	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to	2 3	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had
2 3 4 5 6	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes.	2 3 4	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had
2 3 4 5	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this	2 3 4 5	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: What realistic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do want to put to you that the facts of what we now agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: What realistic opportunity was there for them within that context to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do want to put to you that the facts of what we now agree happened in relation to the design and detailed description
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: What realistic opportunity was there for them within that context to make any material changes to your details?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do want to put to you that the facts of what we now agree happened in relation to the design and detailed description of this plan, do present a picture that is quite a far cry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: What realistic opportunity was there for them within that context to make any material changes to your details? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, this is something,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do want to put to you that the facts of what we now agree happened in relation to the design and detailed description of this plan, do present a picture that is quite a far cry from the meticulous scenario planning that SAPS conveyed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: What realistic opportunity was there for them within that context to make any material changes to your details? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, this is something, they need to be questioned, or asked; if they at that stage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do want to put to you that the facts of what we now agree happened in relation to the design and detailed description of this plan, do present a picture that is quite a far cry from the meticulous scenario planning that SAPS conveyed to us in its opening statement. Meticulous scenario planning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: What realistic opportunity was there for them within that context to make any material changes to your details? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, this is something, they need to be questioned, or asked: if they at that stage felt that there was something untoward, they could have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do want to put to you that the facts of what we now agree happened in relation to the design and detailed description of this plan, do present a picture that is quite a far cry from the meticulous scenario planning that SAPS conveyed to us in its opening statement. Meticulous scenario planning that involved experienced generals and other senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: What realistic opportunity was there for them within that context to make any material changes to your details? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, this is something, they need to be questioned, or asked; if they at that stage felt that there was something untoward, they could have brought it up, and again with them being the operational	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do want to put to you that the facts of what we now agree happened in relation to the design and detailed description of this plan, do present a picture that is quite a far cry from the meticulous scenario planning that involved experienced generals and other senior officers at the JOC. What's your response to that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	them as smaller groups, isolate and arrest - disarm and arrest, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had an operation that was going to commence at 15:30. COLONEL SCOTT: It was supposed to commence at 15:30, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You arrived for this briefing at 14:30. COLONEL SCOTT: 14:30. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to explain the briefing to people. COLONEL SCOTT: I had to explain it to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: You had to leave them with enough time to get back to their troops to communicate it to their troops. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: What realistic opportunity was there for them within that context to make any material changes to your details? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, this is something, they need to be questioned, or asked: if they at that stage felt that there was something untoward, they could have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	continuum, which is not a major, if you consider that the water is simply water and used to disperse people. It's a non-lethal means. So that type of small tweaking was what was mentioned there, and then one or two small suggestions given to them. As I say, the second water cannon had arrived, which I initially wasn't aware of, and when it had arrived I suggested placing it in the police safe area to assist with the dispersion from that side and also helping to protect the razor wire line. So I was giving small suggestions on tactical advice, but again this is all subject to the operational commanders who, many of which were higher in rank than me and more experienced in the public order domain. So they would have heard the dispersion strategy and concept and then gone out to operationalise it themselves in a tactical arena of the environment they were working in. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I do want to put to you that the facts of what we now agree happened in relation to the design and detailed description of this plan, do present a picture that is quite a far cry from the meticulous scenario planning that SAPS conveyed to us in its opening statement. Meticulous scenario planning that involved experienced generals and other senior

		1	
	Page 14345		Page 14347
1	respond. I've given you my version of my experiences while	1	Before we proceed with the evidence, I want to say that we
2	I was there.	2	received a letter from the legal representatives of the
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, in relation to	3	South African Human Rights Commission who wanted a meeting
4	this particular plan, what meticulous scenario planning	4	with us to discuss certain rulings that I gave in the
5	took place involving generals and other senior officers at	5	course of the cross-examination, I think it was of Major-
6	the JOC?	6	General Mpembe, by counsel appearing for the Human Rights
7	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, the best I can	7	Commission. We indicated we didn't think it appropriate
8	explain it is that when I'm explaining to them strategies	8	for us to meet parties, discuss rulings outside the
9	and presenting possible solutions to them, they were	9	Commission. What we'll do is we would address the
10	sitting absorbing what I'm saying and if there are going to	10	questions raised in the letter in an open sitting of the
11	be inputs or if there are going to be any changes or,	11	Commission so that all the parties would know what the
12	that's where it would be done. So the fact of the matter	12	questions were that were raised and what our answers are in
13	was that the generals were involved in that sense. They	13	respect thereof.
14	were briefed, they were made aware, as were most of the	14	The first question related to the question of
15	commanders that were there, if not all the commanders at	15	whether there was an onus of proof to be satisfied by a
16	least in the morning JOCCOMS. So I can't speak beyond	16 17	cross-examiner in respect of questions that were asked, and
17 18	that. MR CHASKALSON SC: But in terms of the	17 18	the comment we make in respect of this query is the following. There's no onus of proof in the proceedings of
		10	the Commission. The Commission will evaluate all of the
19 20	detail of this plan, which emerged for the first time at	20	available evidence and come to a view as to the
20	13:30, the role of the generals was at best passive. It was listening to what you had to say and identifying	20	probabilities on the facts. It's permissible for a cross-
22	whether there were any flaws that they wanted to – flaws or	22	examiner to put a proposition to a witness on the basis of
	additions that they wanted to add and deciding that there	22	
23 24		23 24	a hypothesis as to what the facts before the Commission
24 25	weren't. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	24 25	will reveal. A cross-examiner is not required to prove the
20	COEONEL SCOTT. Pes.	20	correctness of that hypothesis before putting that
	Page 14346		Page 14348
1	Page 14346 MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of	1	Page 14348 proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by
1 2	6	1 2	5
	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of		proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the	2	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question
2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that	2 3	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a
2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that	2 3 4	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given
2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside	2 3 4 5	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by
2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case.	2 3 4 5 6	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from the department who we'll be meeting over the tea adjournment. So we may take a bit longer in coming back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a court, it will apply the usual test in assessing the evidence and in deciding what inferences may reasonably be drawn from the evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from the department who we'll be meeting over the tea adjournment. So we may take a bit longer in coming back than is usual the case. But we'll take the adjournment for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a court, it will apply the usual test in assessing the evidence and in deciding what inferences may reasonably be drawn from the evidence. The second topic raised in the letter from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from the department who we'll be meeting over the tea adjournment. So we may take a bit longer in coming back than is usual the case. But we'll take the adjournment for tea and we'll come back as soon as we can after quarter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a court, it will apply the usual test in assessing the evidence and in deciding what inferences may reasonably be drawn from the evidence. The second topic raised in the letter from the Human Rights Commission's lawyers related to cross-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from the department who we'll be meeting over the tea adjournment. So we may take a bit longer in coming back than is usual the case. But we'll take the adjournment for tea and we'll come back as soon as we can after quarter past 11.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a court, it will apply the usual test in assessing the evidence and in deciding what inferences may reasonably be drawn from the evidence. The second topic raised in the letter from the Human Rights Commission's lawyers related to cross- examination based on instructions from Mr White and certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from the department who we'll be meeting over the tea adjournment. So we may take a bit longer in coming back than is usual the case. But we'll take the adjournment for tea and we'll come back as soon as we can after quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a court, it will apply the usual test in assessing the evidence and in deciding what inferences may reasonably be drawn from the evidence. The second topic raised in the letter from the Human Rights Commission's lawyers related to cross- examination based on instructions from Mr White and certain practical problems which the representatives of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from the department who we'll be meeting over the tea adjournment. So we may take a bit longer in coming back than is usual the case. But we'll take the adjournment for tea and we'll come back as soon as we can after quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:35] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. As	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a court, it will apply the usual test in assessing the evidence and in deciding what inferences may reasonably be drawn from the evidence. The second topic raised in the letter from the Human Rights Commission's lawyers related to cross- examination based on instructions from Mr White and certain practical problems which the representatives of the Commission were experiencing in that regard, and our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from the department who we'll be meeting over the tea adjournment. So we may take a bit longer in coming back than is usual the case. But we'll take the adjournment for tea and we'll come back as soon as we can after quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:35] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. As I anticipated, we took some time with the meeting that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a court, it will apply the usual test in assessing the evidence and in deciding what inferences may reasonably be drawn from the evidence. The second topic raised in the letter from the Human Rights Commission's lawyers related to cross- examination based on instructions from Mr White and certain practical problems which the representatives of the Commission were experiencing in that regard, and our comment in regard to those questions is as follows, or that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR CHASKALSON SC: And this whole line of cross-examination started with the strange anomaly that the SAPS case as we first saw it suggested very strongly that the plan that was ultimately implemented was a plan that had really been on the table for more than two days inside the JOC, which wasn't the case. COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I've mentioned that it was never meant to be taken that way. It was just a, of that period, Operation Marikana over three days, this was the strategy that was used. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want to shift to a different issue now, but it may be a convenient time to take the – CHAIRPERSON: Convenient stage to take the tea adjournment, I think you're moving to another area, another aspect. We're expecting a visit from someone from the department who we'll be meeting over the tea adjournment. So we may take a bit longer in coming back than is usual the case. But we'll take the adjournment for tea and we'll come back as soon as we can after quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:35] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. As	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	proposition to the witness. The witness may respond by asserting that the hypothesis is false and the question therefore falls away, by answering the question on a provisional basis in the sense that the answer is given without admitting the correctness of the hypothesis, or by answering the question without reservation. When all of the evidence has been led, the Commission will determine on the balance of probabilities whether the hypothesis was correct. It also is the case that a witness may in certain circumstances decline to answer a hypothetical question, but then as I indicated to one of the witnesses who adopted that stance, the fact that the witness declined to answer the question may be taken into account in assessing the evidence on that point. While the Commission is not a court, it will apply the usual test in assessing the evidence and in deciding what inferences may reasonably be drawn from the evidence. The second topic raised in the letter from the Human Rights Commission's lawyers related to cross- examination based on instructions from Mr White and certain practical problems which the representatives of the Commission were experiencing in that regard, and our

		1	
1	Page 14349	1	Page 14351
1	functions; he or she gives evidence and he or she advises	1 2	DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o.
2	the cross-examiner in respect of evidence which has been	2	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, you're wanting to move on to another topic, I understand.
3 4	given or is to be given by other witnesses. Where it is put to a witness that another witness, including an expert	4	MR CHASKALSON SC: I do, Chair.
		4 5	
5	witness, will give certain evidence or has expressed	_	CHAIRPERSON: I may say that we have
6	certain opinions, a statement incorporating that version	6	agreed in advance to sit until 12:30 and I have to make
7	ought in ordinary circumstances to have been provided in	7	some short announcements in respect of future progress of
8	advance to the Commission and to the parties. Where a	8	the Commission, which will take me about five minutes, so
9	party has received advice from an expert witness who is yet	9	the cross-examination itself will end today at about 25
10	to give evidence, the party may in cross-examination put	10	past 12. I don't think it likely that it will be finished
11 12	propositions to a witness in accordance with the advice which has been received.	11 12	by then, so it will have to resume at a later date, but
		12	that's a matter I'll deal with when we get there. Mr Chaskalson.
13	It should, however, ordinarily not be identified		
14	as the opinion or advice of the expert who is yet to give	14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON (CONTD.):
15	evidence, unless it is contained in a statement by that	15	Thank you, Chairperson. Colonel, I'm going to move now to
16	witness which has been distributed. This will not prevent	16	the reasons for the abandonment of the encirclement plan,
17	the expert witness from in due course making a written statement and giving evidence which reflects the view which	17 10	and I want to take you through the different reasons that have been offered by SAPS at different stages. Some of
18	he or she has expressed to the party instructing him or	18	-
19		19	this is material we traversed already; we can skim through
20 21	her, subject to the Commission's general approach to the	20 21	it in cases where we have already addressed it. The first
	desirability of early distribution of statements by		reason for not proceeding with the encirclement plan was
22	witnesses.	22	the reason that is given in the presentation, exhibit L,
23	Specifically in relation to Mr White, the	23	slide 63, and was the reason that was offered in your
24 25	Commission recognises that Mr White has not yet submitted a final statement. The reason for that is that the South	24 25	second statement, GGG39, page 2, paragraph 3, where it was, it's the reason that the plan wasn't proceeded with on
25		23	is the reason that the pair wasn't proceeded with on
	Page 14350		Page 14352
1	Page 14350 African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by	1	Page 14352 Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented
1 2	5	1 2	5
	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by		Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented
2	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for	2	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday.
2 3	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's	2 3	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan
2 3 4	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A	2 3 4	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why
2 3 4 5	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available	2 3 4 5	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any
2 3 4 5 6	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to	2 3 4 5 6	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not
2 3 4 5 6 7	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus	2 3 4 5 6 7	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their various rights, amongst which is the right to remain silent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with protesters that's armed as they were, and with their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their various rights, amongst which is the right to remain silent and the right not to incriminate themselves. A cross-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with protesters that's armed as they were, and with their emotions running as high as they did at the time. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their various rights, amongst which is the right to remain silent and the right not to incriminate themselves. A cross- examiner cannot attach any weight to the fact that such	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with protesters that's armed as they were, and with their emotions running as high as they did at the time. I suggested to the National Commissioner that the police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their various rights, amongst which is the right to remain silent and the right not to incriminate themselves. A cross- examiner cannot attach any weight to the fact that such statement is silent about an issue as the maker of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with protesters that's armed as they were, and with their emotions running as high as they did at the time. I suggested to the National Commissioner that the police should initiate dialogue to defuse the situation by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their various rights, amongst which is the right to remain silent and the right not to incriminate themselves. A cross- examiner cannot attach any weight to the fact that such statement is silent about an issue as the maker of the statement might have done so in the exercise of one of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with protesters that's armed as they were, and with their emotions running as high as they did at the time. I suggested to the National Commissioner that the police should initiate dialogue to defuse the situation by introducing police negotiators who could provide the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their various rights, amongst which is the right to remain silent and the right not to incriminate themselves. A cross- examiner cannot attach any weight to the fact that such statement is silent about an issue as the maker of the statement might have done so in the exercise of one of his or her rights. Those are our comments in respect of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with protesters that's armed as they were, and with their emotions running as high as they did at the time. I suggested to the National Commissioner that the police should initiate dialogue to defuse the situation by introducing police negotiators who could provide the strikers with an alternative means to ending the standoff,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their various rights, amongst which is the right to remain silent and the right not to incriminate themselves. A cross- examiner cannot attach any weight to the fact that such statement is silent about an issue as the maker of the statement might have done so in the exercise of one of his or her rights. Those are our comments in respect of the questions that were raised. Colonel, you're still under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with protesters that's armed as they were, and with their emotions running as high as they did at the time. I suggested to the National Commissioner that the police should initiate dialogue to defuse the situation by introducing police negotiators who could provide the strikers with an alternative means to ending the standoff, other than having the police to employ a tactical option."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	African Human Rights Commission was awaiting a response by Mr De Rover to the questions raised by Mr White, asking for clarification of certain matters in Mr De Rover's statement. That response was received a few days ago. A final statement by Mr White is expected to be available shortly. That final statement will, however, be subject to the qualification that it is based on the statements thus far made available by the SAPS and the evidence which has thus far been given. Mr White will necessarily have to review his opinions in the light of any further statements made available by the SAPS and by further evidence. The last question in respect of which we consider it appropriate to give a response to the Human Rights Commission relates to the weight to be attached to warning statements. Warning statements are taken from suspects or accused persons when they are informed of a charge pending against them. At that stage they are informed of their various rights, amongst which is the right to remain silent and the right not to incriminate themselves. A cross- examiner cannot attach any weight to the fact that such statement is silent about an issue as the maker of the statement might have done so in the exercise of one of his or her rights. Those are our comments in respect of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Tuesday because not enough forces were there and oriented to be able to proceed on Tuesday. Now that of course just explains why the plan wasn't proceeded with on Tuesday. It doesn't explain why the plan wasn't proceeded with after Tuesday, and in any event, if we look at your current statement, it seems not to be a reason at all, because if we go to page 20, paragraph 6.10, where you discuss your meeting with the National Commissioner on Monday night, you say the following. "I suggested during the meeting that the emotions on both sides were high due to the loss of life and that should the police move in to disperse the group the next morning, that the intervention could possibly be interpreted by the media and the public as retaliation instead of enforcement of the law. I said this because I've considered there was bound to be conflict again should the police use force to defuse the situation with protesters that's armed as they were, and with their emotions running as high as they did at the time. I suggested to the National Commissioner that the police should initiate dialogue to defuse the situation by introducing police negotiators who could provide the strikers with an alternative means to ending the standoff,

	Page 14353		Page 14355
1	implement tactical option on Tuesday.	1	barbed wire from Lonmin.
2	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, of	2	COLONEL SCOTT: No, I didn't mention he'd
3	dispersal now, of confrontation.	3	obtained barbed wire –
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Would encirclement	4	CHAIRPERSON: You didn't say he obtained
5	still have remained a possibility on Tuesday morning?	5	them, but what you said was in relation to the question
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, when I'm writing	6	about how much barbed wire there was you talked about
7	this at that time I'm not considering any tactical option	7	Lonmin having purchased some barbed wire. That's what you
8	yet. I'm just of the opinion that any confrontation with	8	said yesterday.
9	the strikers is going to result in possible conflict again,	9	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
10	and that it's not in the interest of the police for that	10	MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm sorry for putting
11	matter to do that.	11	it incorrectly. Were you aware of when Lonmin purchased
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: So if there wasn't	12	this barbed wire?
13	going to be a tactical option on Tuesday, the	13	COLONEL SCOTT: It arrived, I think it
14	unavailability of forces to employ the encirclement	14	arrived, or somewhere in the process of the operation it
15	tactical option on Tuesday really doesn't explain why that	15	arrived.
16	encirclement option was taken off the table.	16	MR CHASKALSON SC: When you say in the
17	COLONEL SCOTT: I'm not clear on where	17	process of the operation –
18	you're going, but the encirclement option is only come up	18	COLONEL SCOTT: Before the end of the
19	with as an impromptu plan in the early hours of Tuesday	19	16th.
20	morning because of the smaller grouping and it's, and	20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Before the end of the
21	understanding that encirclement there is simply putting up	21	16th?
22	a barrier again around the few members that are left on the	22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
23	koppie, with the idea that once they're encircled they're	23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Before the start of
24	going to be spoken to, dialogue, and requested now to exit	24	the 16th?
25	through a specific point. There at this stage, and even at	25	COLONEL SCOTT: I would be inaccurate in
	Page 14354		Page 14356
1	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought	1	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that
2	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of	2	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but
	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the	2 3	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived.
2 3 4	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then.	2 3 4	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how
2 3 4 5	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any	2 3 4 5	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was?
2 3 4 5 6	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there	2 3 4 5 6	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it,
2 3 4 5 6 7	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday	2 3 4 5 6 7	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best of your knowledge. Eight would have been enough to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they do it on their own or were they asked to? Do you know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best of your knowledge. Eight would have been enough to encircle early in the morning, but not enough if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they do it on their own or were they asked to? Do you know anything about that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best of your knowledge. Eight would have been enough to encircle early in the morning, but not enough if encirclement was to take place after a substantial number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they do it on their own or were they asked to? Do you know anything about that? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I can't recall it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best of your knowledge. Eight would have been enough to encircle early in the morning, but not enough if encirclement was to take place after a substantial number of protesters had gathered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they do it on their own or were they asked to? Do you know anything about that? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I can't recall it specifically. I think Mr Sinclair at some stage was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best of your knowledge. Eight would have been enough to encircle early in the morning, but not enough if encirclement was to take place after a substantial number of protesters had gathered. COLONEL SCOTT: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they do it on their own or were they asked to? Do you know anything about that? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I can't recall it specifically. I think Mr Sinclair at some stage was in talks with, I'm not sure if I was in the JOC in hearing it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best of your knowledge. Eight would have been enough to encircle early in the morning, but not enough if encirclement was to take place after a substantial number of protesters had gathered. COLONEL SCOTT: Correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in relation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they do it on their own or were they asked to? Do you know anything about that? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I can't recall it specifically. I think Mr Sinclair at some stage was in talks with, I'm not sure if I was in the JOC in hearing it, but that their, they could make razor wire available if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best of your knowledge. Eight would have been enough to encircle early in the morning, but not enough if encirclement was to take place after a substantial number of protesters had gathered. COLONEL SCOTT: Correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in relation to this topic, or on this issue you mentioned in your evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they do it on their own or were they asked to? Do you know anything about that? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I can't recall it specifically. I think Mr Sinclair at some stage was in talks with, I'm not sure if I was in the JOC in hearing it, but that their, they could make razor wire available if the police needed it, but I'm not sure whether, what the reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	the stage of the morning of the Tuesday, in-depth thought has not been given yet to should they refuse to come out of the encirclement area on request on the dialogue, what the options would be then. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well at any rate, the explanation that troops hadn't been, there weren't enough troops who'd been oriented on Tuesday morning doesn't really account for Wednesday or Thursday. Will you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: That's right. It doesn't account for Wednesday or Thursday. MR CHASKALSON SC: The next reason was a reason that surfaced first in the narrative and was then picked up in your evidence. That relates to the availability of barbed wire, and that's a topic we traversed yesterday, and where we ended yesterday we'd agreed that there were eight trailers available to the best of your knowledge. Eight would have been enough to encircle early in the morning, but not enough if encirclement was to take place after a substantial number of protesters had gathered. COLONEL SCOTT: Correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in relation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	trying to pinpoint it, but somewhere in the course of that three days. I wouldn't presume it was the first day, but somewhere in the course of those days it arrived. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know how much barbed wire there was? COLONEL SCOTT: I know it was – I saw it, it was placed on the outside of the already wired off area where the helicopters were landing. They'd placed it, I think on the roadside, on the outer roadside. So it was lying in big heaps. I'm not sure what the exact distance of it would be if it was rolled out, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, possibly if I might show you a photograph that Colonel Vermaak took on the 16th, it's JJJ86. JJJ86, page 684 to 686, file 3.2. CHAIRPERSON: While the picture is being found, do you know the circumstances in which Lonmin acquired the barbed wire, why they acquired it? Did they do it on their own or were they asked to? Do you know anything about that? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I can't recall it specifically. I think Mr Sinclair at some stage was in talks with, I'm not sure if I was in the JOC in hearing it, but that their, they could make razor wire available if the

	Page 14357		Page 14359
1	the circle, you know, to operationalise that razor wire you	1	showed of Brigadier Calitz's briefing.
2	still need to put it on some form of a trailer, take it to	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I recall the
3	the area and have it deployed in the police's case rather	3	briefing. I wasn't aware that – well, I didn't know about
4	rapidly, which I'm not sure how that would have actually	4	koppie – ja, I heard him say koppie 3, I think was to be a
5	worked.	5	base camp or something, but I wasn't aware of channels and
6	CHAIRPERSON: Do you know whether Lonmin	6	things between –
7	used barbed wire normally, and if so, how they used it?	7	CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, it did appear from
8	COLONEL SCOTT: I know that around the	8	what he said the area he was going to encircle was
9	chopper field, I'm not sure if it's shown there, but there	9	substantially larger than the area which was the subject of
10	is Lonmin barbed wire that is cordoning off that chopper	10	your original encirclement plan. Is that correct? So
11	field, and I would think some of their more sensitive areas	11	therefore it would seem that more barbed wire would have
12	as well of where maybe the precious metals are smelted and	12	been available, by that stage anyway.
13	so on, that they would want to use it in areas like that to	13	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
14	prohibit unauthorised access, striking groups possibly from	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: But going back to the
15	trying to access to intimidate workers, that type of thing.	15	16th, we were agreed earlier that at that stage SAPS had
16	But I'm guessing at the moment.	16	enough barbed wire to run an encirclement plan if it was
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, that	17	going to be run early in the morning with a limited number
18	photograph is taken at 9:40, approximately 9:40 in the	18	of protesters at the koppie, not if it was going to be run
19	morning. Colonel Vermaak's camera clock was 9:40:32. I	19	later on in the day with a large number of protesters at
20	haven't done a conversion off that.	20	the koppie.
21	COLONEL SCOTT: The date, sorry?	21	COLONEL SCOTT: If my estimations were
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Of the 16th.	22	correct, they would have needed about 700 metres, which
23	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.	23	sort of relates to seven trailers. If there were seven
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: If we zoom in to the	24	available still on the morning of the 16th, yes.
25	next photograph, which is the, it's the same photograph	25	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, could I ask you a
1	Page 14358	1	Page 14360
1	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed	1	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed
2	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of	2	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see
2 3	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that	2 3	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there?
2 3 4	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom	2 3 4	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbedwire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we seethere?COLONEL SCOTT:I see one trailer there.
2 3 4 5	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if	2 3 4 5	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed         wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see         there?         COLONEL SCOTT:       I see one trailer there.         CHAIRPERSON:       You see one trailer, but
2 3 4 5 6	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has	2 3 4 5 6	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed         wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see         there?         COLONEL SCOTT:       I see one trailer there.         CHAIRPERSON:       You see one trailer, but         trailers' worth of barbed wire.
2 3 4 5 6 7	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled	2 3 4 5 6 7	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed         wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see         there?         COLONEL SCOTT:       I see one trailer there.         CHAIRPERSON:       You see one trailer, but         trailers' worth of barbed wire.         COLONEL SCOTT:       Oh ja, the –         CHAIRPERSON:       You see there are a number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would have theirs specifically custom-made or ordered to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take that further with you, Colonel. What we do know is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would have theirs specifically custom-made or ordered to be comfortable at roughly 100 metres, or maybe just before or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take that further with you, Colonel. What we do know is that when Brigadier Calitz on the 18th had the plan to encircle the koppie, by that stage he'd procured sufficient barbed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would have theirs specifically custom-made or ordered to be comfortable at roughly 100 metres, or maybe just before or after 100 metres, but I think a round figure of 100 is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take that further with you, Colonel. What we do know is that when Brigadier Calitz on the 18th had the plan to encircle the koppie, by that stage he'd procured sufficient barbed wire to encircle not only koppies 1 and 2, but also koppie	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would have theirs specifically custom-made or ordered to be comfortable at roughly 100 metres, or maybe just before or after 100 metres, but I think a round figure of 100 is probably accurate. But I don't know with the ones that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take that further with you, Colonel. What we do know is that when Brigadier Calitz on the 18th had the plan to encircle the koppie, by that stage he'd procured sufficient barbed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would have theirs specifically custom-made or ordered to be comfortable at roughly 100 metres, or maybe just before or after 100 metres, but I think a round figure of 100 is probably accurate. But I don't know with the ones that we see in those groupings, I'm not sure how they were ordered,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take that further with you, Colonel. What we do know is that when Brigadier Calitz on the 18th had the plan to encircle the koppie, by that stage he'd procured sufficient barbed wire to encircle not only koppies 1 and 2, but also koppie 3, and still to have some barbed wire left over for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would have theirs specifically custom-made or ordered to be comfortable at roughly 100 metres, or maybe just before or after 100 metres, but I think a round figure of 100 is probably accurate. But I don't know with the ones that we see in those groupings, I'm not sure how they were ordered, in what lengths.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take that further with you, Colonel. What we do know is that when Brigadier Calitz on the 18th had the plan to encircle the koppie, by that stage he'd procured sufficient barbed wire to encircle not only koppies 1 and 2, but also koppie 3, and still to have some barbed wire left over for creating channels between the two koppies. Do you recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would have theirs specifically custom-made or ordered to be comfortable at roughly 100 metres, or maybe just before or after 100 metres, but I think a round figure of 100 is probably accurate. But I don't know with the ones that we see in those groupings, I'm not sure how they were ordered, in what lengths. [11:54] MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, moving onto</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	zoomed in, we see the, what appear to be the six barbed wire trailers which were going to be rolled out as part of the phase 2 deployment on the 16th in the foreground of that zoomed in picture, and inside the square, where we'll zoom in a little bit further, is the excess barbed wire, and if we can move to the next, it seems that some of it has already been loaded onto a trailer which we've circled there. CHAIRPERSON: Do you agree with that, Colonel? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree there's a trailer standing there. Whether it's one the initial eight police trailers, I don't know. To say that there has been barbed wire loaded onto that, being a police trailer, I'm not sure. Or if they're standing in the same vicinity. MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take that further with you, Colonel. What we do know is that when Brigadier Calitz on the 18th had the plan to encircle the koppie, by that stage he'd procured sufficient barbed wire to encircle not only koppies 1 and 2, but also koppie 3, and still to have some barbed wire left over for creating channels between the two koppies. Do you recall that from the video?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>question? Looking at the picture we see piles of barbed wire. Now how many trailers' worth would you say do we see there? COLONEL SCOTT: I see one trailer there. CHAIRPERSON: You see one trailer, but trailers' worth of barbed wire. COLONEL SCOTT: Oh ja, the – CHAIRPERSON: You see there are a number of piles. Is each pile effectively what you put on one trailer, or would you put a couple of piles on a trailer? You understand what I'm trying to ask? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson. Well, there's a significant amount there. I don't know if each of those piles will actually equate to a 100-metre stretch if you, you know, pulled it out. I know the police would have theirs specifically custom-made or ordered to be comfortable at roughly 100 metres, or maybe just before or after 100 metres, but I think a round figure of 100 is probably accurate. But I don't know with the ones that we see in those groupings, I'm not sure how they were ordered, in what lengths. [11:54] MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, moving onto the other reasons that have been offered. In your</pre>

1	Page 14361 8.15 of your statement, that's the cattle risk and the risk	1	Page 14363 COLONEL SCOTT: Well this is why what
2	of a cattle stampede through the barbed wire. The second	2	you're saying now is the exact type of inputs we would have
3	was a concern that you raised at page 63 paragraph 8.22.1	3	been expecting had I briefed that plan on Tuesday morning
4	which is the risk that the mine workers could avoid the	4	at 6 o'clock. The what ifs, what if we go and that
5	problem by just walking away from the koppie and putting	5	happens, then the inputs start coming from commanders well
6	themselves outside the range of what you could encircle.	6	what do we do then. But in never getting to official brief
7	The third which you address at page 64, 8.22.3 is the	7	that at a JOCCOM other then after the JOCCOM on the
8	potential humanitarian problem that would be created if you	8	Wednesday where Brigadier Pretorius says in that smaller
	encircle people who sat it out, as it were and you then		
9		9	grouping where we had a look at a phase 3 –
10	faced a situation where you had people encircled overnight	10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Brigadier Engelbrecht.
11	in the cold. If we can take these one by one. The walking	11	COLONEL SCOTT: Brigadier Engelbrecht,
12	away problem I want to put to you that again is a problem	12	ja. So it never got to that level of dealing with the what
13	that arises only in the context of encirclement of a large	13	ifs.
14	crowd.	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we think now
15	COLONEL SCOTT: That was, it was only	15	about the what ifs the first what if may well be a reason
16	thrashed out there could it could happen even with a	16	not to try the plan during the middle of the day or at any
17	smaller crowd for that matter. But thus the first light	17	stage, whether on a large number of mine workers there.
18	and as I said the element of surprise issue. If we could	18	But for a group of 50 on the mountain it's not a
19	deploy an encirclement and from two different directions as	19	particularly problematic what if for SAPS, they can cope
20	quickly as we could at first light it was anticipated then	20	with that, would you accept that?
21	that we would catch them unawares, they would not have seen	21	COLONEL SCOTT: That is, in fact that
22	necessarily groupings come in to do that to them. But as	22	it's the culture of the police. Many a police officer
23	the days progressed and they started becoming aware of what	23	whether be in a police van or working in the public order
24	the police assets were and that obviously, one of the	24	you're taught to think on your feet in crisis management
25	things I'm also taught to do is to try to think like my	25	because that is in essence the job of the police member.
	Page 14362		Page 14364
1	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing	1	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid
2	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually	2	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what
	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in		You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually
2	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the	2	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to
2 3	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in	2 3	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually
2 3 4	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the	2 3 4	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to
2 3 4 5	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning,	2 3 4 5	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do
2 3 4 5 6	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the	2 3 4 5 6	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand.
2 3 4 5 6 7	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my	2 3 4 5 6 7	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything it's a benefit for the police because once you've a got a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything it's a benefit for the police because once you've a got a serious deployment of POPS members in Nyalas 50 individuals	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're not making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything it's a benefit for the police because once you've a got a serious deployment of POPS members in Nyalas 50 individuals running into the open area can be rounded up, encircled,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're not making that situation worse at that, all you're doing is your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything it's a benefit for the police because once you've a got a serious deployment of POPS members in Nyalas 50 individuals running into the open area can be rounded up, encircled, arrested very simply. So you can still achieve your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're not making that situation worse at that, all you're doing is your sustaining it. But, and you agree with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything it's a benefit for the police because once you've a got a serious deployment of POPS members in Nyalas 50 individuals running into the open area can be rounded up, encircled, arrested very simply. So you can still achieve your objective encircling the koppie with those who stayed behind in cutting off access to the koppie for those who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're not making that situation worse at that, all you're doing is your sustaining it. But, and you agree with that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: The second is that if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything it's a benefit for the police because once you've a got a serious deployment of POPS members in Nyalas 50 individuals running into the open area can be rounded up, encircled, arrested very simply. So you can still achieve your objective encircling the koppie with those who stayed behind in cutting off access to the koppie for those who haven't yet come. Anyone who wants to try and step outside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're not making that situation worse at that, all you're doing is your sustaining it. But, and you agree with that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: The second is that if it were to become a problem you would have plenty of time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything it's a benefit for the police because once you've a got a serious deployment of POPS members in Nyalas 50 individuals running into the open area can be rounded up, encircled, arrested very simply. So you can still achieve your objective encircling the koppie with those who stayed behind in cutting off access to the koppie for those who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're not making that situation worse at that, all you're doing is your sustaining it. But, and you agree with that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: The second is that if it were to become a problem you would have plenty of time through the day to deal with the problem, if it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	opponent, what he would be considering we would be doing and then counteract that again. So I would be continually also thinking what they would be thinking and if I was in their shoes how would I be anticipating the response of the police. So the encirclement plans started first morning, first light encirclement plans started losing favour the long the operation went on. With the strikers in my opinion being more vigilant to the police and that we would be considering some form of a tactical option they would more vigilant in us approaching them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's just postulate that the strikers see the police coming, the 50 on the mountain, at first light there are 50 on the mountain, they see the police coming and they try to get off the mountain so they won't be encircled. It seems to me that that's not a problem for the police. If anything it's a benefit for the police because once you've a got a serious deployment of POPS members in Nyalas 50 individuals running into the open area can be rounded up, encircled, arrested very simply. So you can still achieve your objective encircling the koppie with those who stayed behind in cutting off access to the koppie for those who haven't yet come. Anyone who wants to try and step outside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You arrive in situations which are ongoing, which are fluid and you've got to think on your feet to be able to do what you do. Now I'm not saying that that is what actually contributes to planning, when you've got the opportunity to plan it, to go through the what ifs you need to actually do that when the time is made available beforehand. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I want to go to your second concern which is the humanitarian concern and I want to put to you again that that may well be a concern if you have a large number of mine workers at the koppie but it's not a problematic concern if you're talking about a first light deployment with only 50 on the koppie and I want to say so for two reasons. I want to put two reasons in this regard to you. The first is almost by definition we're dealing with people who've already chosen to spend the night out in the cold. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're not making that situation worse at that, all you're doing is your sustaining it. But, and you agree with that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: The second is that if it were to become a problem you would have plenty of time

	Page 14365		Page 14367
1	sit it out, they're going to stay there the whole night,	1	see as important is that 6 o'clock JOCCOM meeting where on
2	we're not going to resolve the problem, you can send your	2	the SAPS version is at it stands, General Annandale
3	tactical forces in to affect the high risk tactical arrests	3	announced the abandonment of the encirclement plan and the
4	if you think that that risk is not, is outweighed by the	4	adoption of a new phase 3. At the same JOCCOM meeting a
5	humanitarian risk of forcing people to stay on the mountain	5	decision was taken to move to phase 2. Call that two
6	overnight.	6	steps.
7	COLONEL SCOTT: It's a possibility what	7	COLONEL SCOTT: If I can maybe just input
8	you're saying but I think we must just understand that the	8	something there. It must be remembered that the initial
9	points that you're raising now were raised when the	9	encirclement plan as such, the strategy thereof was never
10	encirclement plan was discussed to consider whether the	10	understood by the greater group of commanders. They would
11	larger group, whether it was still feasible for a larger	11	have only seen the word encirclement understanding that
12	group, it wasn't in the context of looking at the smaller	12	that would have been our tactical option. As I say there
13	group.	13	was never a full briefing given to them on that. So I'm
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: That's in fact where I	14	just trying to relate to General Annandale abandoning the
15	wanted to end up. Because it seems to me that all of the	15	encirclement plan. So for him speaking to the commanders
16	concerns that you're realising are really concerns which	16	that their opinion has been that the tactical option is
17	explain very reasonably why you couldn't run encirclement	17	going to be encirclement should we move there, it's likely
18	with a larger group.	18	that their opinion again is going to be that we're
19	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	19	encircling the larger group, not understanding that it was
20	MR CHASKALSON SC: But they don't explain	20	an initial plan drafted for a Tuesday morning, seen for a
21	why you couldn't run encirclement at first light?	21	Wednesday morning, evaluated during the day on the
22	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	22	Wednesday for a larger group. Seen that it's not going to
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now as the Chairperson	23	be feasible and thus turn over that paper. Because the
24	has put to you earlier encirclement couldn't have been run	24	understanding of the commanders that were in the JOCCOM
25	at first light on Thursday morning or it could have but it	25	having the plan not explained to them but only reading it
	Page 14366		Page 14368
1	Page 14366 wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday	1	Page 14368 and having me saying that the tactical option would be
1 2		1 2	5
	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday		and having me saying that the tactical option would be
2	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially	2	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion
2 3	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that	2 3	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group.
2 3 4	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS	2 3 4	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have
2 3 4 5	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course.	2 3 4 5	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that
2 3 4 5 6	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that
2 3 4 5 6 7	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that	2 3 4 5 6 7	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand the important sequence of events in this regard to be on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand the important sequence of events in this regard to be on the 16th and if we can start with the 6 o'clock JOCCOM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the encirclement. They only knew it was part of the strategy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand the important sequence of events in this regard to be on the 16th and if we can start with the 6 o'clock JOCCOM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the encirclement. They only knew it was part of the strategy. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct, there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand the important sequence of events in this regard to be on the 16th and if we can start with the 6 o'clock JOCCOM where, Colonel, what I will do I will set up what I see to be the important sequences in that process. Listen to me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the encirclement. They only knew it was part of the strategy. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct, there were operational strategy was explained, according to paragraph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand the important sequence of events in this regard to be on the 16th and if we can start with the 6 o'clock JOCCOM where, Colonel, what I will do I will set up what I see to be the important sequences in that process. Listen to me first time, I'll give you an opportunity to respond to each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the encirclement. They only knew it was part of the strategy. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct, there were operational strategy was explained, according to paragraph 7.25 page 50 you gave a briefing to the, what you described
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand the important sequence of events in this regard to be on the 16th and if we can start with the 6 o'clock JOCCOM where, Colonel, what I will do I will set up what I see to be the important sequences in that process. Listen to me first time, I'll give you an opportunity to respond to each one of them to say either you've got that step wrong, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the encirclement. They only knew it was part of the strategy. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct, there were operational strategy was explained, according to paragraph 7.25 page 50 you gave a briefing to the, what you described as the first briefing to the structure JOC which included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday</li> <li>morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially</li> <li>to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that</li> <li>was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS</li> <li>wanted to explore it or let it run its course.</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that</li> <li>possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised</li> <li>it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday?</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs</li> <li>the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday</li> <li>to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan</li> <li>and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a</li> <li>prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand</li> <li>the important sequence of events in this regard to be on</li> <li>the 16th and if we can start with the 6 o'clock JOCCOM</li> <li>where, Colonel, what I will do I will set up what I see to</li> <li>be the important sequences in that process. Listen to me</li> <li>first time, I'll give you an opportunity to respond to each</li> <li>one of them to say either you've got that step wrong, it</li> <li>wasn't like that or there's another step that's missing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the encirclement. They only knew it was part of the strategy. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct, there were operational strategy was explained, according to paragraph 7.25 page 50 you gave a briefing to the, what you described as the first briefing to the structure JOC which included the different police commanders was on Tuesday at about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS wanted to explore it or let it run its course. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday? COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand the important sequence of events in this regard to be on the 16th and if we can start with the 6 o'clock JOCCOM where, Colonel, what I will do I will set up what I see to be the important sequences in that process. Listen to me first time, I'll give you an opportunity to respond to each one of them to say either you've got that step wrong, it wasn't like that or there's another step that's missing that you should have mentioned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the encirclement. They only knew it was part of the strategy. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct, there were operational strategy was explained, according to paragraph 7.25 page 50 you gave a briefing to the, what you described as the first briefing to the structure JOC which included the different police commanders was on Tuesday at about 14h00. The commanders were called back from the sector
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>wasn't desirable to run it on first light on Thursday</li> <li>morning because Mr Mathunjwa had been sent away essentially</li> <li>to try to resolve the problem consensually and while that</li> <li>was realistic, all be it not a very likely possibility SAPS</li> <li>wanted to explore it or let it run its course.</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: And by the time that</li> <li>possibility turned out not to be one that would be realised</li> <li>it was to late to run encirclement on the Thursday?</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: But that still begs</li> <li>the question of why it wasn't possible to wait until Friday</li> <li>to move to the tactical phase with the encirclement plan</li> <li>and that's the topic to which I'd like to turn now and as a</li> <li>prelude to that topic I'd like to set out what I understand</li> <li>the important sequence of events in this regard to be on</li> <li>the 16th and if we can start with the 6 o'clock JOCCOM</li> <li>where, Colonel, what I will do I will set up what I see to</li> <li>be the important sequences in that process. Listen to me</li> <li>first time, I'll give you an opportunity to respond to each</li> <li>one of them to say either you've got that step wrong, it</li> <li>wasn't like that or there's another step that's missing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and having me saying that the tactical option would be encirclement and going on. There could be of the opinion that the encirclement meant the larger group. MR CHASKALSON SC: But they would have known the broad outlines of the encirclement plan from that Google Earth slide that was part of the presentations that had been shown previously. They may not have realised that it was designed for a small group early in the morning but the broad outline was the processing zone? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, the photo would have been there, the photo was never explained to them though. As I said it was an iconic photo just to show the operational overview and I would click on the Google Earth icon. So if they made their own deductions by looking at the photo I wouldn't know. But they were never explained in specific at all the envisioned concept for the encirclement. They only knew it was part of the strategy. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct, there were operational strategy was explained, according to paragraph 7.25 page 50 you gave a briefing to the, what you described as the first briefing to the structure JOC which included the different police commanders was on Tuesday at about

Г

	Page 14369		Page 14371
1	7.21.6.2 page 46 you explain what the operational strategy	1	arrests because you've got arrests for four and five.
2	for a phase 3 deployment was at that stage. Based on the	2	COLONEL SCOTT: That's, well ultimately
3	initial operational concepts i.e. the plan to encircle the	3	if there was going to be a tactical option –
4	koppie and then you enlarge on that in 7.21.6.3. So	4	CHAIRPERSON: No but you explain, you
5	apparently operational strategy which you explained but	5	explain we're going to do three.
6	according to what you say here in paragraph 7.25 that was	6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
7	conveyed to the commanders at 14h00 hours on Tuesday	7	CHAIRPERSON: You thought to.
8	afternoon, is that right?	8	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
9	COLONEL SCOTT: No, Chairperson.	9	CHAIRPERSON: You then say we're moving
10	CHAIRPERSON: Or am I missing something?	10	out of 4 and 5. 4 and 5 presuppose arrests.
11	COLONEL SCOTT: No, no. This is what my	11	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
12	envisioned, we're talking about 7.2.6.1 or 7.2.1.6 speaking	12	CHAIRPERSON: Alright so therefore
13	of the phase 3. That was my, how I had reasoned out the	13	they'll understand that 3 must include arrests.
14	strategy but the briefing of that I think I mentioned it	14	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
15	elsewhere in my statement, I'm not sure of the paragraph	15	CHAIRPERSON: Encirclement.
16	now, where I actually say it was carried over to them	16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
17	basically in the coordinating instruction slide where it	17	CHAIRPERSON: And then arrests.
18	just had the names in principle but I specifically	18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
19	concentrated on the phase 1 to go into the dialogue.	19	CHAIRPERSON: That at least they know.
20	CHAIRPERSON: You also say in paragraph	20	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes and as I say much of
21	7.27 on page 51 that you gave a full presentation in	21	that comes from the strategy of the early morning. But to
22	respect of phase 1 but you also gave, you also referred to	22	how much of that I actually discussed with them, I would be
23	the other five phases in lesser detail which implies that	23	using deductive reasoning if I had to go there. I can't
24	there was a certain degree of explanation, at least a	24	really remember. But I'm sure there must have been some of
25	sentence of two and all we need is a sentence or two to get	25	their statements of the members from those days that will
1	Page 14370	1	Page 14372
1	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I	1	be able to clear that up.
2	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it?	2	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back
2 3	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very	2 3	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major-
2 3 4	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well,	2 3 4	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer
2 3 4 5	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But –	2 3 4 5	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting
2 3 4 5 6	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well,	2 3 4 5 6	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say	2 3 4 5 6 7	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that	2 3 4 5 6	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of force, it will go to the tactical option which at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then of course the, that's actually the first reason for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of force, it will go to the tactical option which at this stage is an encirclement option on a specific order which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then of course the, that's actually the first reason for the phase 2. The second reason is the, is a pre-placement for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of force, it will go to the tactical option which at this stage is an encirclement option on a specific order which will be given moving onto the targeted arrests and then to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then of course the, that's actually the first reason for the phase 2. The second reason is the, is a pre-placement for possible move to a stage 3, a phase 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of force, it will go to the tactical option which at this stage is an encirclement option on a specific order which will be given moving onto the targeted arrests and then to a cordon and search strategy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then of course the, that's actually the first reason for the phase 2. The second reason is the, is a pre-placement for possible move to a stage 3, a phase 3. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay. But no decision
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of force, it will go to the tactical option which at this stage is an encirclement option on a specific order which will be given moving onto the targeted arrests and then to a cordon and search strategy. CHAIRPERSON: You see the cordon and, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then of course the, that's actually the first reason for the phase 2. The second reason is the, is a pre-placement for possible move to a stage 3, a phase 3. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay. But no decision was taken to implement phase 3 at the morning JOCCOM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of force, it will go to the tactical option which at this stage is an encirclement option on a specific order which will be given moving onto the targeted arrests and then to a cordon and search strategy. CHAIRPERSON: You see the cordon and, the targeted arrests of four and five I think are they?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then of course the, that's actually the first reason for the phase 2. The second reason is the, is a pre-placement for possible move to a stage 3, a phase 3. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay. But no decision was taken to implement phase 3 at the morning JOCCOM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it?     COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But -     CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say -     COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about -     CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence.     COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of force, it will go to the tactical option which at this stage is an encirclement option on a specific order which will be given moving onto the targeted arrests and then to a cordon and search strategy.     CHAIRPERSON: You see the cordon and, the targeted arrests of four and five I think are they?     COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be able to clear that up. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major- General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase. COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then of course the, that's actually the first reason for the phase 2. The second reason is the, is a pre-placement for possible move to a stage 3, a phase 3. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay. But no decision was taken to implement phase 3 at the morning JOCCOM meeting because in the absence of a material escalation in the threat there was no need to move from phase 2 to phase
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what you have in 7.21.6.3, isn't that right or am I misunderstanding it? COLONEL SCOTT: No, well, memory is very vague from that time, as I mentioned yesterday as well, Chairperson. But – CHAIRPERSON: I'm relying on what you say – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I understand that and I'm just thinking about – CHAIRPERSON: - still trying to understand your evidence. COLONEL SCOTT: If, to what degree but to the best of my knowledge I would have just referred to them as is this is the strategy and you know if you talk to it its again its we're going to do this, it will be a show of force, it will go to the tactical option which at this stage is an encirclement option on a specific order which will be given moving onto the targeted arrests and then to a cordon and search strategy. CHAIRPERSON: You see the cordon and, the targeted arrests of four and five I think are they? COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. CHAIRPERSON: So if you've already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>be able to clear that up.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, getting back to those sequences. You have the 6 am meeting with Major-General Annandale indicates that it's no longer encirclement its disperse and disarm. At the same meeting a decision is taken to move to stage, through to phase 2. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: And just to clarify, phase 2 is what we could call a holding phase, phase 3 is a tactical phase.</li> <li>COLONEL SCOTT: Phase 2 was a show of force to dissuade any aggressive action and, not just towards the police but for that matter to show the strong arm of the police is present and to dissuade any violent or destructive action from the part of the strikers and then of course the, that's actually the first reason for the phase 2. The second reason is the, is a pre-placement for possible move to a stage 3, a phase 3.</li> <li>MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay. But no decision was taken to implement phase 3 at the morning JOCCOM meeting because in the absence of a material escalation in the threat there was no need to move from phase 2 to phase 3.</li> </ul>

RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

		1	
1	Page 14373 move because remember the JOCCOM happened anyway before 9	1	Page 14375 COLONEL SCOTT: Well I can assume so
2	o'clock in the morning. So deciding on a phase 2 at that	2	because as I say I was told probably just before 1:30 come
3	time we're still uncertain as to what will happen at 9	3	and take your seats, we're going to now, we're moving to
4	o'clock. Although information is trickling in that people	4	the tactical option.
5	are arriving at the koppie with weapons, there's still a	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if there hadn't
6	hope that something is going to come of it. But there was	6	been a material escalation in the threat there wouldn't
7	no pertinent decision taken there, I think there was an	7	have been a move to the tactical option.
8	anticipation that possibly that day we could go through a	8	[12:14] COLONEL SCOTT: Well, you're asking for
9	tactical option but there was no decision taken at that	9	my opinion, because obviously I can't speak on behalf of
10	time to, that the one was going to be implemented.	10	the SAPS. I think these decisions are taken above my
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: But assuming the	11	level, but I was of the opinion again that if the strikers
12	version that's been put before this commission in exhibit L	12	were going to return to dialogue, continue negotiating with
13	and through the evidence of Major-General Annandale is that	13	their, laying down of their weapons, etcetera, that that
14	the key factor that ultimately determined the move to the	14	would still be the order of the day.
15	tactical phase was the escalation in the threat.	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: But again on the SAPS
16	COLONEL SCOTT: I believe so as well,	16	case there had been this escalation in the threat. The
17	yes.	17	decision was taken to move to phase 3 some time before 1:30
18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you understand at	18	and communicated at the 1:30 JOCCOM.
19	the morning JOCCOM that in the absence of an escalation of	19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
20	the threat there would be no move to phase 3?	20	MR CHASKALSON SC: And then phase 3 was
21	COLONEL SCOTT: I understood it to be	21	implemented some time after 3:30.
22	that way, yes.	22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Proceeding with the	23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in terms of that
24	sequence of events as I understand it, by midmorning it was	24	sequence, have I left anything out critically? Is there,
25	clear that Mr Mathunjwa was not going to be able to get the	25	does that describe how we got to phase 3 on the Thursday?
	Page 14374		Page 14376
1	mine workers to leave the koppie?	1	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling
2	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of	2	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak
2 3	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going	2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now –
2 3 4	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes.	2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so
2 3 4 5	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by	2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I
2 3 4 5 6	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning.	2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but
2 3 4 5 6 7	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12	2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he was out there and addressing the mine workers at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after that press conference. That's JJJ92. While we're waiting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he was out there and addressing the mine workers at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: But again setting out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after that press conference. That's JJJ92. While we're waiting for JJJ92 to be found, would you agree that unless the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he was out there and addressing the mine workers at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: But again setting out the sequences in the SAPS case as we understand it some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after that press conference. That's JJJ92. While we're waiting for JJJ92 to be found, would you agree that unless the miners left the koppie consensually, it wouldn't be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>mine workers to leave the koppie?     COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes.     MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning.     CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock.     MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie?     COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he was out there and addressing the mine workers at that time.     MR CHASKALSON SC: But again setting out the sequences in the SAPS case as we understand it some time prior to 1:30 it was reported to SAPS or it reached </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after that press conference. That's JJJ92. While we're waiting for JJJ92 to be found, would you agree that unless the miners left the koppie consensually, it wouldn't be possible to "end the matter today" without moving from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>mine workers to leave the koppie?     COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes.     MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning.     CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock.     MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie?     COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he was out there and addressing the mine workers at that time.     MR CHASKALSON SC: But again setting out the sequences in the SAPS case as we understand it some time prior to 1:30 it was reported to SAPS or it reached the JOC that there had been an escalation of the threat. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after that press conference. That's JJJ92. While we're waiting for JJJ92 to be found, would you agree that unless the miners left the koppie consensually, it wouldn't be possible to "end the matter today" without moving from phase 2 to phase 3?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>mine workers to leave the koppie?     COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes.     MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning.     CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock.     MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie?     COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he was out there and addressing the mine workers at that time.     MR CHASKALSON SC: But again setting out the sequences in the SAPS case as we understand it some time prior to 1:30 it was reported to SAPS or it reached the JOC that there had been an escalation of the threat.     COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after that press conference. That's JJJ92. While we're waiting for JJJ92 to be found, would you agree that unless the miners left the koppie consensually, it wouldn't be possible to "end the matter today" without moving from phase 2 to phase 3? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>mine workers to leave the koppie?     COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes.     MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning.     CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock.     MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie?     COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he was out there and addressing the mine workers at that time.     MR CHASKALSON SC: But again setting out the sequences in the SAPS case as we understand it some time prior to 1:30 it was reported to SAPS or it reached the JOC that there had been an escalation of the threat. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after that press conference. That's JJJ92. While we're waiting for JJJ92 to be found, would you agree that unless the miners left the koppie consensually, it wouldn't be possible to "end the matter today" without moving from phase 2 to phase 3? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So if what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: Well they hadn't as of yet but I wasn't out there to experience all that was going on but I understood that it wasn't happening, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me not say by midmorning. CHAIRPERSON: He only saw them after 12 o'clock. MR CHASKALSON SC: The midmorning was wrong. By 1 o'clock, by the time that Mr Mathunjwa left the koppie shortly after 1 SAPS was of the view that he wasn't going to be able to get the mine workers to leave the koppie? COLONEL SCOTT: I wasn't even aware at that stage that he was speaking to the mine workers. Back at the JOC, I don't know why but I was not aware that he was out there and addressing the mine workers at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: But again setting out the sequences in the SAPS case as we understand it some time prior to 1:30 it was reported to SAPS or it reached the JOC that there had been an escalation of the threat. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And some time prior to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: I have a strange feeling you're going to inform me, but as I say, if I speak pertinently to memory now – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I hate to be so predictable, Colonel, but I am going to inform you what I see as a crucial step that isn't part of the SAPS case but we see as being crucial, and that's the press conference of the Provincial Commissioner at 9:30 which we see as being a very important event on the day, and can we just play AAA13, which is a clip from that press conference? [VIDEO SHOWN] So that's what the Provincial Commissioner had told the world at 9:30, and in fact she went a little bit further. There is another clip which needs to be played into the record, which is a press conference that she gave to eNCA, an interview that she gave to eNCA straight after that press conference. That's JJJ92. While we're waiting for JJJ92 to be found, would you agree that unless the miners left the koppie consensually, it wouldn't be possible to "end the matter today" without moving from phase 2 to phase 3? COLONEL SCOTT: I agree, yes.

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

_			
	Page 14377		Page 14379
1	by the miners, there would have to have been an	1	you were conveying, and that you weren't aware of that at
2	implementation of phase 3 that day?	2	the time.
3	COLONEL SCOTT: From what she had said, I	3	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, listening to the
4	understand it that way, yes.	4	video material, it's, I think it's objective to say that
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we now play JJJ92,	5	they said, or that the Provincial Commissioner mentioned
6	which is an interview that she gave shortly after 9:30.	6	that if they don't leave on their own today, as you put it
7	[VIDEO SHOWN]	7	earlier, that the police would need to take steps to ensure
8	So Colonel, the Provincial Commissioner was very	8	that it came to an end.
9	clear at 9:30 that things were going to be "ended today,"	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: We had statements from
10	consensually or non-consensually.	10	the National Commissioner and the Provincial Commissioner
11	COLONEL SCOTT: I hear that, yes.	11	which made clear that the night before the Provincial
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now one of the things	12	Commissioner had informed the National Commissioner that if
13	that always struck us as –	13	SAPS could not persuade the strikers to lay down their
14	CHAIRPERSON: At what stage did you	14	weapons and leave the koppie by negotiation, she would
15	learn, if you ever did learn, in the course of that day	15	issue an instruction for the strikers to be dispersed.
16	that the Provincial Commissioner had made that	16	None of that was conveyed to you?
17	announcement?	17	COLONEL SCOTT: No.
18	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, even up	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, what's come
19	until the special JOCCOM I was not aware of that media	19	to our attention more recently is that the version
20	briefing that had taken place, and I was not aware that, in	20	described in the statements of the National Commissioner
21	this case if there was a pre-decided decision, was made	21	and the Provincial Commissioner – in fact it goes a little
22	either. I was of the opinion that a phase 3 was always on	22	bit further than that version; it wasn't just a
23	the cards due to the fact that my own opinion was again	23	conversation between the Provincial Commissioner and the
24	that the violence would possibly get worse because all	24	National Commissioner. There was a formal minuted
25	avenues of dialogue had been exhausted and there was	25	extraordinary session of the National Management Forum of
1 2 3	possibly no other way for the strikers to go other than what had happened at the Impala Platinum Mine. So, but that there was a pertinent decision made, I was not aware	1 2 3	SAPS on Wednesday night, attended by the National Commissioner, all provincial commissioners, deputy national commissioners, divisional commissioners, and there is a
4	of that.	4	minuted item - which we would hand in as JJJ177 and it's in
5	CHAIRPERSON: General Mpembe and General	5	file 4 now.
6	Annandale were present at the press conference and they	6	CHAIRPERSON: Page.
7	both testified to that effect.	7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Page 204. I wonder if
8	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	8	we –
9	CHAIRPERSON: Did they not report to	9	CHAIRPERSON: So how do I describe it?
10	you –	10	Minutes, National?
11	COLONEL SCOTT: No.	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: The National
12	CHAIRPERSON: - that that decision had	12	Management Forum of the SAPS, and this is an extract from
13	already been announced to the world at 9:30?	13	the minutes. It says "draft," but we're assured by SAPS
14	COLONEL SCOTT: No, they didn't,	14	that this is in fact the actual minute, or a correct
15	Chairperson, and why I can say with certainty is because if	15	minute, and it says, "Agenda item 7, extraordinary session.
16	I was told phase 3 is a definite going ahead and it's in so	16	Attendance, National Commissioner, all provincial
17	many hours time, I would have spent quite a bit of time in	17	commissioners, Divisional Commissioner Operational
18	actually looking at detail and reassessing the situation,	18	Services, Deputy National Commissioner Operational
19	asking for aerial photographs. As I say, when you've got	19	Services, and Acting Divisional Commissioner Crime
20	the time you use it, and when you don't have the time, you	20	Intelligence. The National Commissioner opened the meeting
21	make the best of this little time you have. So I wasn't	21	and requested the Provincial Commissioner North West,
22	aware, no.	22	Lieutenant-General Mbombo, to brief the attendees on issues
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, you spoke of	23	of the labour unrest in Lonmin Mine in Marikana, North
24	a - I forget the exact phrase that you used, but of a	24	West. After deliberations, the meeting endorsed the
	decision having been taken already, was the meaning that	25	proposal to disarm the protesting masses and further
	RCHIVE FOR LUSTICE	L	

	Page 14381
1	indicated that additional resources must be made available
2	upon needs identification by the Provincial Commissioner
3	North West." So it seems that the statement that the
4	Provincial Commissioner made to the world at 9:30 flowed
5	from a decision taken at a meeting of the highest
6	management structure of the SAPS. Were you aware of any of
7	this?
8	COLONEL SCOTT: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, when you
10	reach a suitable stage we have to stop, as you know.
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, I have
12	quite a long way to go, so I think at this point we would
13	do as well to stop now.
14	CHAIRPERSON: The Commission is now going
15	to adjourn until the 14th of October. We're taking the
16	recess now, a lot of work to be done during the recess,
17	reading and consideration of material, but we're adjourning
18	till the 14th of October at 9:30. We have already spoken,
19	the three of us, three Commissioners, have spoken to the
20	President personally and indicated that we need an
21	extension; we will not finish our work by the end of
22	October. He asked for an estimate, which we gave him,
23	which had been prepared by the evidence leaders, as to how
24	long we would need. We have not yet had communicated to us
25	to what date the further extension will be, but I am
- 1	Page 14382
1	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting
2	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced
2 3	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around
2 3 4	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now
2 3 4 5	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume
2 3 4 5 6	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The
2 3 4 5 6 7	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn. [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn. [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn. [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	authorised by the department to say that we will be getting an extension. The full details of it will be announced later. We hope to be able to make an announcement around about the 14th when we resume. So the Commission will now adjourn until 9:30 on the 14th of October, and we'll resume the proceedings then on that date at that time. The Commission will now adjourn. [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
A	adhere 14305:7	14286:15 14288:10	14344:15	awaiting 14350:1
AAA13 14376:10	adjourn 14294:23	14299:22,24	arises 14361:13	aware 14300:1
abandon 14305:24	14381:15 14382:5,7	14300:19 14301:23	<b>arm</b> 14372:14	14320:15 14344:6
14323:6 14327:2	adjourned 14295:2	14302:7,25 14303:5	armed 14352:18	14345:14 14355:11
abandoned 14285:9,15	14382:8	14303:17,23,24	armoured 14333:12	14359:3,5 14361:23
14287:6 14306:23	adjourning 14381:17	14308:1 14316:5	arms 14306:7 14332:22	14374:14,16
	adjournment 14346:15	14317:1 14318:22	arranged 14314:22	14377:19,20 14378:3
14307:1,7,7,15,20,21	14346:18,19	14320:24 14326:13	arrest 14342:1,2	14378:22 14379:1
14308:1 14321:22	ADJOURNS 14294:25	14367:2,14 14372:4	arrested 14362:20	14381:6
14323:12,14,25	14346:22	14373:13 14378:6	arrests 14365:3	1100110
14324:2	admitting 14348:5	Annandale's 14287:4	14370:18,21 14371:1	B
abandoning 14367:14	adopt 14314:1	Annandale's 14307:19	14371:1,10,13,17	back 14287:19
abandonment 14301:5	14326:10	announced 14291:19	<b>arrive</b> 14364:1	14290:23 14291:6
14307:3 14351:16	adopted 14313:12	14367:3 14378:13	arrived 14342:7	14292:16 14293:11
14367:3	14314:3 14348:12	14382:2	14344:6,7 14355:13	14293:12 14294:4
<b>able</b> 14288:11	adoption 14314:2		14355:14,15 14356:3	
14292:12 14295:20	14367:4	announcement 14377:17 14382:3		14296:14,20 14299:6
14309:6 14332:14			arriving 14373:5 arrow 14333:22	14302:16 14308:16
14334:14 14339:13	advance 14314:1	announcements		14322:9 14323:5,19
14352:2 14364:2	14349:8 14351:6	14351:7	14334:2,7,9,23	14323:23 14324:5,11
14372:1 14373:25	advanced 14285:11	anomaly 14346:2	asked 14314:18	14324:14 14329:1
14374:12 14382:3	advice 14344:10	answer 14285:24	14316:4,19 14322:20	14330:19,19
absence 14372:21	14349:9,11,14	14286:9,9 14298:21	14342:22 14347:16	14331:25 14336:15
14373:19	advises 14349:1	14308:7 14313:24	14356:18 14381:22	14337:7 14340:2,12
absent 14376:25	Advocate 14305:5	14325:9 14348:4,11	asking 14307:15	14342:15 14346:18
absorbing 14345:10	aerial 14378:19	14348:13	14350:2 14375:8	14346:20,25
accept 14310:9,20	<b>affect</b> 14365:3	answering 14324:25	14378:19	14359:14 14368:23
14311:6 14312:6,7	<b>afraid</b> 14322:4	14325:1 14348:3,6	aspect 14346:16	14372:2 14374:15
14330:16,17	Africa 14318:14	<b>answers</b> 14347:12	aspects 14287:3	balance 14348:8
14336:18 14354:9	African 14347:3	anticipated 14309:24	14307:17 14328:19	<b>baldly</b> 14330:17
14363:20	14350:1	14310:1 14346:24	asserting 14348:2	<b>ball</b> 14298:5
acceptable 14317:2	afternoon 14287:23	14361:20 14364:25	assessing 14348:14,16	<b>barbed</b> 14330:11
14318:23	14290:25 14306:24	anticipating 14362:4	assets 14332:5	14331:20,20 14332:1
accepted 14317:7	14369:8	anticipation 14373:8	14361:24	14333:11,13,19
access 14310:21	Agenda 14380:15	anybody 14319:15	assist 14291:20	14336:11,13
14331:21 14357:14	aggression 14311:14	14341:11	14297:1 14344:8	14354:15 14355:1,3,6
14357:15 14362:22	aggressive 14335:7	anyway 14288:10	<b>assume</b> 14375:1	14355:7,12 14356:5
	14372:12	14359:7,12 14373:1	assuming 14373:11	14356:17 14357:7,10
accompany 14292:7	ago 14308:13 14350:4	apart 14331:5	assured 14380:13	14358:1,5,13,19,21
account 14298:25	agree 14299:10	apparently 14336:5	attach 14350:20	14359:11,16 14360:1
14348:14 14354:8,11	14301:7 14333:10	14369:5	attached 14350:14	14360:6 14361:2
accuracy 14298:23	14335:23 14336:7	appear 14303:3	attack 14336:6	<b>barrier</b> 14343:6
accurate 14295:9	14339:16 14344:18	14358:1 14359:7	attempt 14322:11	14353:22
14326:3 14360:19	14358:9,11 14364:20	appearing 14347:6	attendance 14317:24	barring 14308:14
accused 14350:16	14376:18,22	appears 14303:3	14380:16	0
<b>achieve</b> 14313:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			base 14359:5
14362:20	agreed 14351:6	application 14316:11	attended 14380:1	based 14320:2
acquired 14356:17,17	14354:17 14359:15	apply 14348:16	attendees 14380:22	14348:21 14350:7
Acting 14380:19	agreeing 14335:14	appreciate 14287:14	attention 14295:19	14368:24 14369:2
action 14293:7	ahead 14378:16	approach 14349:20	14379:19	basically 14304:23
14313:21 14316:20	aid 14338:23	approaching 14362:10	attraction 14330:18,20	14305:11 14369:17
14327:10,11	<b>air</b> 14337:22	appropriate 14285:25	14331:2,5,19,23	<b>basis</b> 14338:21
14332:11 14335:8	allegedly 14336:5	14299:1,3 14312:4	14343:8	14347:22 14348:4
14372:12,15	<b>allow</b> 14335:5	14347:7 14350:13	audience 14308:20	becoming 14289:4
actual 14289:7	alluded 14304:3	approximately 14340:1	14337:15	14361:23
14303:15 14315:19	14325:21	14357:18	August 14298:6	beg 14329:17
14316:11 14317:19	Alright 14354:5	area 14287:24 14292:6	14312:24	beginning 14296:18
14343:21 14380:14	14371:12	14315:13,13	authorisation 14333:1	beginnings 14291:23
add 14296:3,6	alternate 14302:5	14317:19 14332:18	authorised 14382:1	begs 14366:11
14345:23	alternative 14301:16	14332:25 14341:19	availability 14354:15	behalf 14375:9
	14352:23	14344:7 14346:15	available 14322:5	belief 14311:13
additional 1/201.1		14354:3 14356:7	14347:20 14350:5,8	<b>believe</b> 14306:5
additional 14381:1	alternatives 14.504:21		-	
additions 14345:23	alternatives 14304:21 amendments 14343:17	14357:3 14359:8.9	14550:11 14554:17	14,51,1:10,14,57,510
additions 14345:23 address 14347:9	amendments 14343:17	14357:3 14359:8,9 14362:19	14350:11 14354:17 14356:23 14359:12	14311:18 14373:16 <b>benefit</b> 14362:17
additions 14345:23 address 14347:9 14361:7	<b>amendments</b> 14343:17 <b>amount</b> 14338:14	14362:19	14356:23 14359:12	benefit 14362:17
additions 14345:23 address 14347:9 14361:7 addressed 14351:20	<b>amendments</b> 14343:17 <b>amount</b> 14338:14 14360:13	14362:19 areas 14316:25	14356:23 14359:12 14359:24 14364:6	<b>benefit</b> 14362:17 <b>berthed</b> 14301:20
additions 14345:23 address 14347:9 14361:7 addressed 14351:20 14360:25	<b>amendments</b> 14343:17 <b>amount</b> 14338:14 14360:13 <b>angle</b> 14299:25	14362:19 <b>areas</b> 14316:25 14335:11 14340:23	14356:23 14359:12 14359:24 14364:6 14381:1	<b>benefit</b> 14362:17 <b>berthed</b> 14301:20 <b>best</b> 14289:11,20
additions 14345:23 address 14347:9 14361:7 addressed 14351:20	<b>amendments</b> 14343:17 <b>amount</b> 14338:14 14360:13	14362:19 areas 14316:25	14356:23 14359:12 14359:24 14364:6	<b>benefit</b> 14362:17 <b>berthed</b> 14301:20

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

				Page
14308:7 14312:13	<b>busy</b> 14292:2,12	14336:17,21	commanders 14289:9	<b>computer</b> 14337:14
14345:7,20 14354:17	C	changes 14342:20	14293:23 14314:19	concentrated 14369:19
14370:13 14378:21		14343:25 14345:11	14315:9,16 14316:22	<b>concept</b> 14317:2,3,6,6
<b>better</b> 14309:16	Calitz 14354:25	changing 14289:13	14320:23,25	14317:10,11,12,17,17
14312:16 14316:2	14358:18	channels 14310:23	14325:14 14329:1	14318:23,24 14321:8
14337:15	<b>Calitz's</b> 14359:1	14358:22 14359:5	14336:16 14340:8	14326:9 14328:21
beyond 14340:24	call 14332:5 14367:5	chapter 14311:24	14341:15 14343:3	14335:4 14341:24
14345:16	14372:9	charge 14350:16	14344:11 14345:15	14344:14 14368:16
<b>big</b> 14356:10 <b>bit</b> 14290:23 14293:15	<b>called</b> 14318:13,14	checking 14296:8 choose 14294:14	14345:15 14363:5	<b>concepts</b> 14369:3
14303:14 14333:6	14368:23 calling 14330:2		14367:10,15,24 14368:22,23 14369:7	<b>concern</b> 14312:1 14361:3 14364:8,8,9
14303:14 14555:0	14331:9	<b>chopper</b> 14357:9,10 <b>chosen</b> 14364:15	commence 14342:4,6	14364:11
14346:18 14358:5	camera 14357:19	circle 14357:1	commenced 14340:21	<b>concerns</b> 14365:16,16
14376:13 14378:17	camp 14330:21	circled 14358:7	commenced 14340.21 comment 14347:17	concluded 14341:1
14379:22	14359:5	circumstances	14348:24	conclusion 14317:1
block 14335:2	candidly 14313:24	14339:13 14348:11	comments 14308:2	14318:22
blow 14294:21 14295:2	cannon 14344:5	14349:7 14356:16	14350:23	<b>conference</b> 14376:7,10
blows 14294:24	cannons 14343:19,19	clarification 14350:3	commission 14285:2	14376:15,17 14378:6
Botes 14326:23	<b>can't</b> 14286:8,9,20	clarified 14293:15	14291:19,21	<b>configuration</b> 14330:11
bound 14352:16	14291:16 14292:24	14326:11 14327:1,4,6	14294:25,25 14295:1	14333:11 14334:11
brainstorming	14309:3 14312:19	clarify 14299:7	14310:2,6 14311:2	14334:13,18
14303:18	14338:13 14339:15	14326:7 14372:8	14312:4 14346:22,22	14336:11,17
break 14294:22	14339:16 14340:23	clarifying 14327:16,16	14346:23 14347:3,7,9	confirmed 14319:19
14296:24 14311:25	14341:2 14345:16	clarity 14299:19	14347:11,19,19,23	<b>conflict</b> 14293:18
brief 14292:9 14316:21	14356:20 14375:9	14316:11	14348:7,15,23	14343:24 14352:16
14320:24 14337:5,6	cards 14294:5	clear 14296:4 14313:20	14349:8,24 14350:1	14353:9
14340:8,14 14363:6	14310:21 14377:23	14352:25 14353:17	14350:14 14351:8	confront 14311:14
14380:22	care 14310:8 14311:3	14372:1 14373:25	14373:12 14381:14	confrontation
briefed 14291:13	14312:4	14377:9 14379:11	14382:4,7,8	14335:11 14353:3,8
14292:11 14302:17	career 14318:11	cleared 14332:18	Commissioner	confronted 14311:5
14314:19 14338:21	carefully 14334:5	clearly 14337:10	14289:22 14290:13	consensual 14376:25
14345:14 14363:3	carried 14300:9	14338:6	14290:15 14299:18	consensually 14366:3
briefing 14288:21	14369:16	click 14368:13	14300:8 14302:3,9,20	14376:19 14377:10
14289:22 14291:1,7,9	carry 14295:3 14305:2	<b>clip</b> 14376:10,14	14303:22 14304:10	consensus 14303:23
14291:10,12,13,14,16	14327:12 <b>case</b> 14287:3 14307:16	clock 14357:19	14304:15,25	14304:2
14295:23 14317:20 14320:23 14321:4,7	14307:21 14310:18	<b>close</b> 14343:4,22 <b>closely</b> 14320:20	14314:13 14326:6,14 14326:17 14333:4	consequences 14313:1 consider 14294:13
14321:12 14325:2,11	14312:22 14314:24	cloudy 14323:13	14352:9,20 14376:8	14344:1 14350:12
14325:12,13,16	14312.22 14314.24	coinciding 14288:15	14376:12,24 14377:8	14344.1 14350.12
14328:19 14329:1,3	14348:10 14357:3	cold 14361:11	14377:16 14379:5,10	consideration 14381:17
14338:19 14340:1,7	14374:19 14375:16	14364:16	14379:10,12,12,20,21	considered 14301:16
14340:20 14341:18	14376:6 14377:21	<b>colleague</b> 14302:22	14379:23,24 14380:2	14352:16
14342:8,11 14359:1,3	cases 14351:20	14305:4	14380:16,17,18,19,20	considering 14302:10
14367:13 14368:20	catch 14361:21	<b>come</b> 14287:14	14380:21 14381:2,4	14353:7 14362:1,9
14368:21 14369:14	cattle 14293:10,11	14289:19 14299:6	commissioners 14330:2	consistently 14285:14
14377:20	14322:23 14323:8,15	14301:4 14319:14	14380:2,3,3,17	consolidated 14287:15
briefings 14288:25	14324:19 14361:1,2	14320:20 14323:23	14381:19	consult 14314:8
14289:23,24 14290:3	cause 14307:6	14343:12 14346:20	<b>Commissioner's</b>	contacted 14286:20
14340:24 14341:1	caused 14310:7	14346:25 14347:20	14291:6,12	contained 14349:15
Brigadier 14293:15	caution 14324:25	14353:18 14354:2	Commission's	CONTD 14285:6
14303:13 14318:10	<b>centre</b> 14313:4	14361:22 14362:23	14348:20 14349:20	14351:14
14324:21 14326:20	certain 14294:9	14373:6 14375:2	<b>committee</b> 14292:8,10	contemporaneous
14326:20 14354:25	14296:12,14 14347:4	14379:18	14295:24	14310:21
14358:18 14359:1	14348:10,21 14349:5	comes 14294:3	<b>common</b> 14307:6	contents 14320:16
14363:8,10,11	14349:6 14350:3	14298:12 14319:15	communicate 14342:15	<b>context</b> 14285:23
bring 14335:5	14369:24	14320:9 14371:21	communicated	14307:1 14323:4
broad 14317:13	certainly 14315:3	comfortable 14360:17	14294:20 14375:18	14324:25 14342:19
14368:5,9 <b>broader</b> 14312:21	14329:2 14331:2	coming 14287:19	14381:24 compared 14320:12	14361:13 14365:12 contextualise 14316:3
broke 14285:7	certainty 14378:15 Chair 14286:1	14292:16 14300:16 14302:14 14303:19	compared 14320:12 complete 14296:9	continually 14362:2
brought 14293:11,12	14299:16 14351:4	14306:25 14324:5	14297:18,19,20	<b>continually</b> 14362:2 <b>continue</b> 14285:20
14294:8 14296:14	chance 14311:19	14300.23 14324.3	14297.18,19,20 14301:4	14294:14 14308:7
14308:16 14324:10	1433 <b>9:</b> 20	14362:12,14 14363:5	completed 14319:24	14294.14 14508.7 14375:12
		1100012,1117000.0		
		command 14288:12	completely 14311:24	continued 14292:21
14303.10 14324.10 14342:24 build 14292:4	<b>change</b> 14300:20,22 14313:25	<b>command</b> 14288:12 14332:10	<b>completely</b> 14311:24 <b>completing</b> 14300:15	continued 14292:21 continues 14303:2
14342:24	change 14300:20,22			

RealTime Transcriptions

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
continuity 14308:21	14361:8	14317:3 14320:20	designed 14311:3	14342:1 14372:5
continuum 14344:1	creates 14308:24	debated 14316:8	14320:22 14331:22	14380:25
contributes 14364:4	14333:11	<b>decide</b> 14335:19	14341:5 14368:8	disarming 14313:5
control 14312:25	creating 14358:22	deciding 14345:23	designing 14312:5	14329:7
controversial 14308:9	crime 14326:21,22	14348:17 14373:2	desirability 14349:21	discarded 14294:6
14309:24,25	14380:19	decision 14290:6	desirable 14366:1	discounted 14300:2
<b>convenient</b> 14346:13	crisis 14363:24	14291:19 14302:1	<b>despite</b> 14313:2	discuss 14312:1
14346:14	critically 14375:24	14305:24 14323:5	destructive 14372:15	14314:17 14347:4,8
conversation 14299:21	<b>cross</b> 14306:19	14341:21 14367:5	<b>detail</b> 14288:3,4	14352:8
14300:3 14302:6	14347:21 14348:20	14372:6,19 14373:7,9	14301:15 14304:22	<b>discussed</b> 14302:15,19
14321:22 14379:23	14350:19	14374:25 14375:17	14313:21 14314:3,4,6	14313:22 14315:14
conversion 14357:20	cross-examination	14377:21 14378:3,12	14314:12,16,25	14316:13 14327:22
<b>convey</b> 14308:3	14285:6,17 14311:24	14378:25 14381:5	14315:15,19,21	14365:10 14371:22
conveyed 14344:21	14346:2 14347:5	decisions 14375:10	14316:9,16 14317:8	discussing 14299:25
14369:7 14379:16	14349:10 14351:9,14	decline 14348:11	14317:18,19 14318:4	14321:21
conveying 14379:1	cross-examiner	declined 14348:13	14319:12,13,15	discussion 14300:9,10
<b>convinced</b> 14292:19	14347:16,24 14349:2	deductions 14368:14	14321:12 14329:11	14301:22 14302:24
coordinating 14288:17	<b>crowd</b> 14304:3	deductive 14321:15,17	14329:14 14343:9	14303:4,7 14304:13
14288:19 14369:17	14341:15 14361:14	14325:23 14329:13	14345:19 14369:23	14314:8 14322:8,11
<b>cope</b> 14363:19	14361:17	14371:23	14378:18	14322:15,25
cordon 14315:13	crucial 14376:6,7	<b>deep</b> 14339:5	detailed 14315:4	14323:15
14332:21,25	<b>cry</b> 14344:20	defensive 14315:11	14321:7,8 14329:3	discussions 14304:20
14370:19,20	crystal 14298:5	definite 14378:16	14344:19	14313:19 14322:22
cordoning 14357:10	culture 14363:22	definitely 14287:22	details 14315:5	14323:8 14327:2
correct 14300:24	current 14313:12	14289:17 14294:8	14325:6 14341:4,5	disorganised 14302:6
14301:2,13 14303:5	14318:8 14319:2,5	14302:17 14303:17	14342:20 14382:2	dispersal 14302:5
14305:14 14306:6	14352:6	14304:8	determine 14348:7	14313:8 14315:9,10
14307:10,11 14313:8	<b>custom-made</b> 14360:16	definition 14364:14	determined 14328:14	14316:15 14329:7
14315:2,23 14318:25	<b>cut</b> 14331:25	<b>defuse</b> 14352:17,21	14373:14	14353:3
14319:1 14323:9,10	cutting 14362:22	degree 14369:24	develop 14294:12,12	disperse 14285:10,16
14323:17 14327:25		14370:12	dialogue 14299:13	14299:14 14301:17
14328:2 14329:8,21	D	delay 14295:20	14352:21 14353:24	14317:12 14326:10
14330:6,21 14331:24	dangerous 14333:9	delayed 14295:8	14354:3 14369:19	14343:12,16 14344:2
14337:8,19 14341:12	darkness 14337:14	deliberations 14380:24	14375:12 14377:25	14352:12 14372:5
14342:17 14348:9	date 14291:25	departing 14333:25	<b>didn't</b> 14290:13	dispersed 14379:15
14353:2 14354:22	14322:11 14325:3	14334:1,1	14294:17 14310:14	dispersing 14313:4
14359:10,22	14351:11 14357:21	department 14346:17	14322:15 14339:22	14327:9,9
14365:19,22 14366:6	14381:25 14382:6	14382:1	14341:1 14347:7	dispersion 14293:7
14366:10 14368:18	dates 14289:14	departure 14376:25	14355:2,4 14359:3	14294:7 14316:24
14380:14	day 14291:8,17	depicted 14339:14	14378:14	14321:9 14324:15
correction 14289:15	14296:14 14303:25	depicting 14332:7	difference 14336:11	14327:13,14
correctly 14322:21	14324:9 14341:5	deploy 14324:20	different 14287:3	14328:15 14332:11
correctness 14347:25	14356:2 14359:19	14343:4 14361:19	14298:17,17	14341:24 14343:11
14348:5	14363:16 14364:24	deployed 14318:1	14306:21 14307:13	14343:13,14,20
<b>couldn't</b> 14288:5	14367:21 14373:8	14332:9 14334:15,17	14307:16,23	14344:8,14
14339:18 14365:17	14375:14 14376:9	14357:3	14311:24 14312:2	displayed 14336:21
14365:21,24	14377:2,15	deployment 14287:25	14316:24,25	<b>dispute</b> 14334:19
counsel 14347:6	days 14296:16,18	14288:9 14289:8,9	14336:23 14346:12	<b>dissuade</b> 14372:12,14
counter 14328:18	14308:15,19	14305:9 14308:22	14351:17,18	distance 14356:10
counteract 14362:2	14313:15,17	14314:19 14316:23	14361:19 14368:22	distributed 14349:16
counting 14340:12	14324:10 14346:5,9	14336:25 14337:1	difficult 14308:19	distribution 14349:21
couple 14322:9	14350:4 14356:2,3	14358:3 14362:18	14309:17	divisional 14380:3,17
14340:11 14360:10	14361:23 14371:25	14364:12 14369:2	difficulties 14322:22	14380:19
course 14290:12	<b>De</b> 14350:2,3	deployments 14336:24	difficulty 14309:5	document 14290:5
14297:9 14316:20	dead 14310:4	<b>depth</b> 14308:8	dimension 14333:12	14296:22,23 14297:1
14319:24 14320:1,6	deal 14285:25 14286:2	deputy 14380:2,18	direct 14330:24	14298:7,9 14299:8
14335:19 14347:5	14308:14,21	describe 14297:16	direction 14317:14	documents 14287:16
14349:17 14352:3	14329:20 14351:12	14315:25 14329:23	14335:20,22 14336:1	14299:5 14300:10
	14364:24	14337:9 14375:25	14336:1 14343:12,16	14307:2 14310:22,25
14356:1,3 14366:5			directions 14361:19	14323:19 14324:13
14356:1,3 14366:5 14372:16 14377:15	dealing 14310:24	14380:9		
14356:1,3 14366:5 14372:16 14377:15 court 14348:16	<b>dealing 14</b> 310:24 14311:4 14319:20	described 14330:8	directly 14314:21	14325:3
14356:1,3 14366:5 14372:16 14377:15 court 14348:16 cover 14333:7	dealing 14310:24 14311:4 14319:20 14363:12 14364:15	<b>described</b> 14330:8 14368:20 14379:20	<b>directly</b> 14314:21 <b>Dirk</b> 14326:23	14325:3 <b>doesn't</b> 14352:4
14356:1,3 14366:5 14372:16 14377:15 court 14348:16 cover 14333:7 covered 14287:1	dealing 14310:24 14311:4 14319:20 14363:12 14364:15 dealt 14287:25 14320:1	<b>described</b> 14330:8 14368:20 14379:20 <b>describes</b> 14330:9	directly 14314:21 Dirk 14326:23 disagreeing 14335:14	14325:3 <b>doesn't</b> 14352:4 14353:15 14354:8,10
14356:1,3 14366:5 14372:16 14377:15 court 14348:16 cover 14333:7 covered 14287:1 14331:19 14332:25	dealing 14310:24 14311:4 14319:20 14363:12 14364:15 dealt 14287:25 14320:1 death 14310:6	<b>described</b> 14330:8 14368:20 14379:20 <b>describes</b> 14330:9 14336:17	directly 14314:21 Dirk 14326:23 disagreeing 14335:14 disarm 14285:10,16	14325:3 doesn't 14352:4 14353:15 14354:8,10 doing 14292:5 14324:1
14356:1,3 14366:5 14372:16 14377:15 court 14348:16 cover 14333:7 covered 14287:1	dealing 14310:24 14311:4 14319:20 14363:12 14364:15 dealt 14287:25 14320:1	<b>described</b> 14330:8 14368:20 14379:20 <b>describes</b> 14330:9	directly 14314:21 Dirk 14326:23 disagreeing 14335:14	14325:3 <b>doesn't</b> 14352:4 14353:15 14354:8,10

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

				Page
<b>don't</b> 14285:24	employ 14352:24	14363:25	experiencing 14348:23	false 14348:2
14286:13,17,18,20	14353:14	essentially 14302:23	expert 14348:25	far 14301:10 14311:21
14294:8 14309:14,19	empty 14303:9	14303:2 14362:24	14349:4,9,14,17	14344:20 14350:8,9
14317:24 14322:4	eNCA 14376:16,16	14366:2	expertise 14341:16	fast 14288:16
14337:5 14343:12	encircle 14299:13,14	establish 14318:15	experts 14342:25	fatigue 14287:14
14351:10 14358:13	14301:17,18	estimate 14381:22	<b>explain</b> 14294:9	favour 14285:15
14358:16 14360:13	14323:25 14328:3,12	estimations 14359:21	14300:12 14307:15	14362:6
14378:20 14379:6	14341:25 14354:19	etcetera 14288:13	14310:6 14321:19	feasible 14294:14
door 14337:18,21	14358:18,20 14359:8	14289:1 14310:18	14342:10,12	14302:12 14304:6,9
14338:7	14361:6,9 14369:3	14317:12 14327:4	14343:10,13,18	14305:8 14306:12
dotted 14333:23	encircled 14353:23	14375:13	14345:8 14352:4	14324:2,3 14328:7,13
draft 14380:13	14361:10 14362:15	evaluate 14347:19	14353:15 14365:17	14328:22,23
drafted 14367:20 drawn 14348:18	14362:19 encirclement 14285:9	<b>evaluated</b> 14367:21 <b>evening</b> 14289:19	14365:20 14369:1 14371:4,5	14365:11 14367:23 <b>feature</b> 14334:10
due 14293:19 14335:19	14285:14 14287:6	14290:5 14291:1	explained 14295:17	feel 14286:11 14312:13
14349:17 14352:11	14292:19,21 14293:3	14290.3 14291.1	14316:21 14367:25	<b>feeling</b> 14376:1
14349.17 14332.11	14292:19,21 14295:5	event 14292:25	14368:11,15,19	<b>feet</b> 14363:24 14364:2
DUNCAN 14285:4	14300:9,13,16	14307:3 14324:5	14369:5 14370:24	<b>felt</b> 14308:17 14316:23
14351:1	14301:5,9 14302:11	14352:6 14376:9	explaining 14289:10	14324:8 14342:23
duties 14295:18	14305:8,10 14306:8	events 14292:13	14295:7 14307:2	fewer 14311:21
	14306:23 14310:17	14366:16 14373:24	14308:13 14314:22	field 14318:2 14320:23
Е	14321:23 14322:12	eventually 14292:16	14321:15 14338:10	14320:24 14329:2
earlier 14300:12	14322:22,25 14323:6	14296:19	14345:8	14357:9,11
14307:14 14312:19	14323:7,20 14324:2	everybody 14292:22	explains 14301:14	figure 14360:18
14321:8,9,12	14326:9 14327:3,7,17	evidence 14285:13	14352:3	file 14298:16 14356:14
14327:22 14336:6	14327:21,22 14328:5	14293:22 14295:4	explanation 14287:2	14380:5
14343:5 14359:15	14328:11,21 14329:6	14297:9 14299:2	14289:11 14295:4	files 14297:7
14365:24 14368:25	14351:16,21 14353:4	14307:19 14310:16	14309:23 14338:12	<b>fill</b> 14288:9,14
14379:7	14353:14,16,18,21	14311:16 14347:1,20	14354:6 14369:24	final 14349:25 14350:5
early 14290:25 14294:7	14354:3,20 14359:10	14348:7,15,17,18	explore 14366:5	14350:6
14305:8,11 14324:3	14359:16 14361:13	14349:1,2,5,10,15,18	exposed 14332:2,3	finalised 14296:8
14324:15 14349:21	14361:19 14362:5,6	14350:8,11 14354:14	14333:14	finally 14295:20
14353:19 14354:19	14365:10,17,21,24	14354:24 14370:11	expressed 14349:5,19	find 14286:21 14320:13
14359:17 14368:8	14366:9,13 14367:3,9	14373:13 14381:23	expressly 14302:15	<b>finish</b> 14381:21
14371:21	14367:11,15,17	evolution 14285:8	extension 14381:21,25	<b>finished</b> 14351:10
Earth 14296:15 14314:21 14316:21	14368:2,3,5,17 14370:17,24	exact 14338:13 14356:10 14363:2	14382:2 extra 14289:5	<b>first</b> 14285:11,12,25
14368:6,13	14370:17,24 14371:15 14372:5	14378:24	extra 14289:5 extract 14380:12	14287:5,16 14291:23 14294:10 14302:16
east 14330:12,25	encircling 14299:25	exactly 14293:18	extraordinary	14305:11 14307:18
14336:2,8,9,12	14304:2,9 14327:23	14299:22	14379:25 14380:15	14313:12 14315:21
14338:7	14362:21 14367:19	examination 14348:21	ex-POP 14318:17	14318:3 14321:4,6,21
<b>EE</b> 14317:23	ended 14310:4	examiner 14347:22	14319:9	14322:11 14327:24
effect 14378:7	14354:16 14377:9	14350:20		14328:15,16 14329:2
effectively 14309:13	endorsed 14380:24	excess 14358:5	F	14330:17 14332:10
14311:19 14360:9	enforcement 14352:15	exchanges 14306:19,22	faced 14361:10	14336:25 14343:20
eight 14354:17,18	Engelbrecht 14293:16	exclusively 14319:14	facing 14338:3,3,4,6	14345:19 14346:3
14358:12	14303:13 14324:21	<b>execute</b> 14316:6	fact 14286:5 14307:4,5	14347:14 14351:20
either 14286:21	14363:10,11	14343:2	14308:23 14311:10	14354:13 14356:2
14307:8 14332:15	enlarge 14369:4	executed 14316:7	14313:17,23 14322:7	14360:25,25
14339:16 14366:21	ensure 14320:17	exercise 14350:22	14322:16 14325:21	14361:17,20 14362:5
14377:22	14379:7	exhausted 14377:25	14328:3 14331:6	14362:6,13 14363:15
elaborating 14303:2	entered 14316:16	exhibit 14297:2,13	14334:23 14335:23	14364:12,14
electronic 14322:5	entire 14331:21	14298:15 14317:23	14345:12 14348:13	14365:21,25 14366:1
element 14361:18	entitled 14297:18	14330:3 14351:22	14350:20 14363:21	14366:20,25
elements 14307:13	environment 14293:12	14373:12	14365:14 14376:13	14368:21 14372:16
eliminate 14326:9	14344:16 envisioned 14305:14,19	exit 14353:24	14377:23 14379:21	<b>five</b> 14339:6 14351:8
Ellis 14320:3 embedded 14315:17		<b>expanded</b> 14296:19 <b>expected</b> 14286:9	14380:14 factor 14312:8 14313:6	14369:23 14370:21 14370:25 14371:1
14320:4,8	14311:11,20 14332:19 14368:16	14289:1,9,10 14350:5	14373:14	fix 14290:2
emerged 14345:19	14369:12	expecting 14346:16	facts 14344:18	flagging 14334:2
emerges 14315:22	equate 14360:14	14363:3	14347:21,23	flash 14315:18
emotional 14335:7,10	escalation 14372:21	experience 14289:3	failed 14296:2	flaws 14345:22,22
emotions 14352:11,19	14373:15,19	14374:3	fair 14314:4 14362:24	flesh 14314:4
emphasis 14289:7	14374:21,24 14375:6	experienced 14291:22	fairly 14298:25	flood 14293:11,12
emphasise 14286:13	14375:16	14313:3 14344:12,23	fairness 14286:8,12	floor 14316:20
The American State of the	essence 14314:23	experiences 14345:1	falls 14348:3	flow 14327:15
14313:2 14325:17	<b>CSSCHUC</b> 14514.25	experiences 14545.1	1ans 14540.5	110W 14527.15

RealTime Transcriptions

			1 5	
				Page
flowed 1/201.4	14202.25 14202.4 17	1/216.671/217.12	hand 14339:19 14380:4	
flowed 14381:4	14302:25 14303:4,17	14316:6,7 14317:13		14353:19 14369:7
<b>fluid</b> 14364:1	14303:24 14308:1	14318:7 14320:25	handed 14293:2	14378:17
fluidity 14312:23	14326:13 14347:6	14321:5,14 14327:7	14322:17 14329:19	house 14333:8,8
focus 14330:10	14349:20 14367:2,14	14327:12,18 14329:3	handouts 14293:22	housekeeping 14297:13
follow 14309:6	14372:4 14378:5,5	14330:9 14332:1,10	14325:14	Human 14347:3,6
following 14303:25	generally 14327:13	14332:12,13,20	hands 14290:9	14348:20 14350:1,13
14309:5 14347:18	generals 14313:3,16	14334:22 14335:23	hanging 14304:19	humanitarian
14352:10	14344:23 14345:5,13	14342:4 14343:2	happen 14298:7	14322:23 14323:8,15
follows 14305:4	14345:20	14345:10,11	14339:22 14361:16	14361:8 14364:8
		-		
14348:24,25	<b>GEORGE</b> 14285:4	14351:15 14353:9,13	14373:3	14365:5
foot 14305:6	14351:1	14353:18,24 14358:2	happened 14289:20	hybrid 14326:10
force 14311:4 14343:25	getting 14363:6	14359:8,14,17,18	14291:7 14294:17	hypothesis 14347:23,2
14352:17 14370:16	14372:2 14382:1	14364:25 14365:1,2	14295:6,21 14320:3	14348:2,5,8
14372:12	GGG39 14351:24	14367:17,18,22	14322:6 14323:4,16	hypothetical 14340:22
forces 14352:1	give 14285:24 14289:25	14368:2 14370:15	14324:7 14325:8	14348:11
14353:14 14365:3	14292:8 14293:25	14371:3,5 14373:6,10	14344:19 14373:1	1.0.0011
	14295:19 14300:2		14378:2	I
forcing 14365:5		14373:25 14374:3,12		
foreground 14358:3	14308:20 14321:24	14375:3,12 14376:2,5	happening 14287:20	icon 14368:14
forget 14378:24	14325:11 14329:16	14376:24 14377:9	14322:13 14325:7	iconic 14368:12
forgotten 14293:7	14329:17 14335:5	14378:16 14381:14	14374:4	icons 14314:21
14296:3	14338:13 14339:20	goings 14331:16	happens 14363:5	idea 14301:16
form 14332:9 14343:6	14340:11 14349:5,10	good 14297:13 14305:7	hate 14376:4	14305:25 14324:1
14357:2 14362:9	14349:14 14350:13	<b>Google</b> 14293:1	haven't 14286:20	14353:23
formal 14305:24	14366:20	14296:15 14308:14	14357:20 14362:23	ideally 14288:18
				e e
14314:2 14315:5	given 14288:3	14314:21 14316:21	heading 14296:10	identification 14381:2
14379:24	14291:15 14293:22	14368:6,13	heaps 14356:10	identified 14349:13
formalised 14287:23	14297:3 14298:21,25	gratitude 14310:23	hear 14312:23	identify 14326:4
14301:25 14303:14	14310:16,21	greater 14330:21	14368:24 14377:11	14333:20
formally 14314:1,3	14316:20 14317:19	14367:10	heard 14322:21	identifying 14345:21
14323:6	14318:4,6 14319:13	green 14332:7	14344:13 14359:4	ifs 14363:4,13,15
formed 14317:16	14325:13,18	gridded 14329:19,22	hearing 14356:22	14364:5
forth 14285:23	14329:19 14332:10	ground 14329:23	heavily 14310:12	<b>illustrate</b> 14330:2
	14332:11 14340:12			
Forum 14379:25		14336:17,24	held 14288:25	14333:18
14380:12	14341:19 14344:5	14342:25 14343:2	helicopters 14356:8	image 14338:21
forward 14290:10	14345:1 14348:4	group 14300:1	help 14294:9 14318:14	immediately 14295:8
14317:19 14341:18	14349:3,3 14350:9	14302:11 14304:9	14328:8	14315:10
found 14356:16	14351:22 14354:2	14323:25 14327:7,17	helpful 14306:20	Impala 14378:2
14376:18	14367:13 14370:18	14327:21,23 14328:3	helping 14344:8	impending 14332:11
foundation 14324:6	gives 14349:1	14328:5,12,13,21	Hemraj 14299:18	14332:23
four 14287:3 14291:11	giving 14319:13	14331:10,14,15	14300:8 14302:3,20	implement 14318:5
14307:13,16 14339:6	14335:15 14344:9	· · ·		
,		14333:24 14352:12	14303:22 14304:10	14321:1 14330:8
14370:21,24 14371:1	14349:18	14363:18 14365:11	14304:15,25 14305:5	14336:5 14341:22,23
free 14286:11	<b>go</b> 14287:21 14288:7,12	14365:12,13,18	14314:13 14326:6,14	14353:1 14372:20
Friday 14290:6,13	14298:20 14301:14	14367:10,19,22	14326:17	implementation
14306:9,12 14366:12	14307:12 14314:2,6	14368:3,8	he'd 14355:2 14358:19	14316:12 14377:2
Fritz 14326:20	14317:15,22	grouping 14294:8	<b>he's</b> 14318:13	implemented 14310:3
front 14288:22	14320:24 14323:5	14353:20 14363:9	<b>HHH20</b> 14299:24	14311:2 14312:6
full 14367:13 14369:21	14329:1 14330:4,9,18	groupings 14332:20	14302:22	14313:11 14314:24
14382:2	14330:19 14331:7	14360:20 14361:22	high 14339:12	
				14319:25,25 14321:5
fully 14295:21	14332:2,21 14333:16	groups 14292:22	14352:11,19 14365:3	14329:4 14330:10
functions 14349:1	14335:24 14336:4	14299:14 14301:18	higher 14344:12	14346:4 14373:10
<b>funnel</b> 14331:22	14338:15 14340:8	14301:19 14302:5,6	highest 14381:5	14375:21 14376:25
further 14291:18	14352:7 14363:4	14317:12 14321:10	highlighted 14302:11	implications 14330:15
14295:11 14317:1,7	14364:5,7 14369:19	14341:25 14342:1	14334:9	14330:17 14337:3
14318:22 14350:10	14370:16 14371:23	14357:14	highlighting 14334:6	implies 14369:23
14350:11 14358:5,17	14373:8 14378:1	guessing 14357:16	hindsight 14312:10	importance 14308:5
14376:14 14379:22	14373.8 14378.1	guidance 14309:6	hold 14305:23	
				important 14296:2
14380:25 14381:25	goes 14312:10	guidelines 14314:20	holding 14317:19	14298:22 14308:6
future 14351:7	14368:25 14379:21		14341:19 14372:9	14310:2 14313:14
	going 14286:6 14289:1	H	hope 14373:6 14382:3	14323:12 14366:16
G	14289:8,21 14290:23	hadn't 14294:5	horribly 14310:3	14366:19 14367:1
gap 14343:23	14296:11 14297:2	14310:22 14316:16	host 14315:10	14376:9
		14354:6 14374:2	hostels 14330:23	impression 14308:25
	1/200.12 1/200.19		LIUSICIS 140.00.20	mpression 14308:23
gathered 14354:21	14300:13 14302:12			
gathered 14354:21 general 14288:10	14304:9,15 14306:8	14375:5	14332:21	impromptu 14353:19
<b>gathered</b> 14354:21 <b>general</b> 14288:10 14296:4 14299:21	14304:9,15 14306: <b>8</b> 14311:1 14312:3	14375:5 <b>half</b> 14294:2 14340:5	14332:21 <b>hour</b> 14340:6	<b>impromptu</b> 14353:19 <b>inaccuracy</b> 14309:3
<b>gathered</b> 14354:21 <b>general</b> 14288:10	14304:9,15 14306:8 14311:1 14312:3 14313:25 14315:4,20	14375:5	14332:21	impromptu 14353:19

•				_
		1		Page
14309:15 14355:25	intelligent 14306:4	14366:15	14377:19	14361:13 14363:17
inaudible 14290:11	intending 14336:4,4	<b>I'll</b> 14351:12 14366:20	<b>JOCCOMS</b> 14345:16	14364:10
incidents 14311:21	intent 14296:21	<b>I'm</b> 14289:15 14291:7	joint 14313:3	larger 14294:8
include 14328:21	14309:9	14291:25 14294:19	<b>Joint</b> 1 1515.5	14302:11 14304:3,9
14371:13	intention 14311:13	14201:20 14204:10	K	14323:25 14328:13
included 14332:25	14352:25	14315:13,15,20	keep 14331:6 14343:23	14328:21 14359:9
14368:21 14370:25	interest 14353:10	14317:10 14318:11	keep 14331.0 14343.23 kept 14292:12	14365:11,11,18
including 14349:4	interested 14306:21	14321:14 14322:4	<b>key</b> 14373:14	14367:19,22 14368:3
incomplete 14289:15	interpretation	14338:5 14339:5	knew 14289:21	laser 14334:3
14290:5	14336:22	14340:24 14343:21	14312:11,12	lasts 14340:1
incorporating 14349:6	interpreted 14352:14	14344:25 14345:8,10	14368:17	late 14366:9
incorrect 14320:10	interrogate 14341:21	14346:25 14351:15	know 14285:24	law 14292:6 14295:18
incorrectly 14355:11	<b>interrupt</b> 14294:20	14353:6,7,8,17	14286:18,20	14352:15
incriminate 14350:19	14298:15	14355:10 14356:10	14287:20 14288:13	lawyers 14348:20
indicated 14347:7	interrupted 14290:24	14356:22,24 14357:4	14291:3 14294:9	lay 14379:13
14348:12 14381:1,20	intervention 14352:13	14357:9,16 14358:14	14295:14 14296:3	laying 14375:13
indicates 14372:4	interview 14376:16	14360:11,20	14298:20 14299:22	leaders 14381:23
individuals 14362:18	14377:6	14361:25 14364:3	14300:14 14302:9	<b>learn</b> 14377:15,15
inferences 14348:17	inter-ministerial	14367:13 14369:15	14303:8 14305:16	leave 14327:11
inferring 14325:5	14292:8 14295:24	14370:6,9	14307:20 14310:16	14332:12,13,13,14,1
<b>inform</b> 14318:7	intimidate 14357:15	<b>I've</b> 14287:9,14	14313:7 14325:11,12	14335:6 14342:14
14376:2,5	introduced 14302:23	14289:11 14292:1	14325:14,19	14374:1,12 14379:6
informal 14303:12	introducing 14352:22	14310:24 14321:20	14326:17,22 14329:4	14379:14
14323:14 14326:14	involved 14295:23	14343:10 14345:1	14335:18 14339:18	leaving 14334:7
14332:24	14301:4 14327:23	14346:7 14352:16	14340:9,25,25	led 14348:7
information 14289:5	14333:9 14340:5	<b>i.e</b> 14369:3	14341:24 14347:11	left 14304:19 14340:9
14291:3 14308:22	14344:23 14345:13	1007.5	14356:4,6,16,18	14340:10 14342:25
14373:4	involves 14329:7	J	14357:1,6,8 14358:13	14353:22 14358:21
informed 14350:16,17	involves 14325:5	<b>ja</b> 14290:20 14309:12	14358:17 14359:3	14374:10 14375:24
14379:12	<b>in-depth</b> 14354:1	14315:2 14323:2	14360:13,15,15,19	14376:19
inherently 14301:8	isn't 14370:1 14376:6			legal 14347:2
-		14331:12 14335:17	14368:15 14370:14	
14325:15	isolate 14315:12	14340:18 14359:4	14371:19 14374:16	lengths 14360:21
initial 14305:15	14342:1	14360:7 14363:12	14381:10	lesser 14330:23
14324:5 14328:15	issue 14308:9 14310:2	14368:10 14370:22	knowing 14327:12	14369:23
14330:23 14335:4	14315:21 14323:12	<b>JJJ155</b> 14297:3,3,15	knowledge 14318:20	letter 14347:2,10
14336:24 14358:12	14324:20 14346:12	14298:16	14319:19,22 14320:7	14348:19
14367:8,20 14369:3	14350:21 14354:24	<b>JJJ177</b> 14380:4	14354:18 14370:13	let's 14313:17
initially 14294:15	14361:18 14379:15	<b>JJJ86</b> 14356:14,14	<b>known</b> 14310:5,8	14341:20 14362:11
14296:13 14308:15	<b>issues</b> 14294:9	<b>JJJ92</b> 14376:17,18	14311:1 14329:10	level 14317:18,20,21
14322:5 14324:23	14326:22 14380:22	14377:5	14368:5	14343:1,2 14363:12
14344:6	<b>item</b> 14380:4,15	<b>job</b> 14363:25	koppie 14305:10	14375:11
<b>initiate</b> 14352:21	it's 14285:25 14287:8	<b>JOC</b> 14303:8,9	14331:17 14332:18	Lieutenant-General
injuries 14311:21	14291:25 14292:20	14305:24 14306:25	14332:23 14333:24	14380:22
<b>innocent</b> 14335:11	14294:4,20 14311:12	14306:25 14307:22	14353:23 14358:19	life 14352:11
input 14341:11,15	14312:2,10 14315:14	14313:13,17,19	14358:20 14359:4,4	light 14305:11
14367:7	14315:17 14316:2	14321:13,19	14359:18,20 14361:5	14327:24 14328:16
inputs 14303:20	14317:18 14321:6,7	14323:15 14326:11	14362:21,22	14350:10 14361:17
14317:2,7 14318:23	14322:4 14338:4,5	14326:21 14340:3	14364:10,12 14369:4	14361:20 14362:6,13
14319:3 14341:19	14339:1 14343:14	14344:24 14345:6	14373:5 14374:1,11	14364:12 14365:21
14345:11 14363:2,5	14344:2 14347:21	14346:6 14356:22	14374:13 14376:19	14365:25 14366:1
<b>inside</b> 14320:10	14351:25 14353:10	14368:21 14374:16	14376:25 14379:14	limited 14287:8
14332:5 14337:12,21	14353:20 14356:14	14374:21	koppies 14358:20,22	14312:11,12
14337:24 14338:9,22	14356:25 14357:9,25	<b>JOCCOM</b> 14287:23	<b>NOPPICS 17330.20,22</b>	14359:17
14337:24 14338:9,22	14358:12 14362:17	14288:25 14292:13		limiting 14312:8
14359:5 14546:5	14363:12 14362:17			14313:6
		14300:6,12,16,23	L 14330:3 14351:22	line 14306:18 14315:12
inspection 14339:8	14364:11 14365:7	14301:7,24 14307:9	14373:12	
instance 14328:17	14367:17,22 14372:4	14313:25 14314:2,3	labour 14380:23	14317:15 14322:4,13
14343:25	14378:16 14379:4,4	14314:16 14315:4,5	lack 14287:11,13	14331:20,21 14332:1
instructing 14349:19	14380:4	14315:22,22 14316:5	14293:19	14332:4,6,8,15
instruction 14338:25	<b>IUSDF</b> 14332:8	14316:17,21	laid 14306:8	14333:23 14334:2,24
14369:17 14379:15	I'd 14285:8 14289:1	14317:23 14323:16	landing 14356:8	14335:23 14343:6,11
7.11 / 192 / 11 / 1	14293:7,22 14294:10	14323:21 14329:5,10	laptop 14337:7 14338:3	14344:9 14346:1
instructions 14288:18				
7.11 1 192 19	14311:15 14316:22	14363:7,7 14366:17	14338:10,10,22	<b>lined</b> 14317:16
instructions 14288:18		14363:7,7 14366:17 14367:1,4,24	14338:10,10,22 large 14300:1 14327:7	lines 14309:23
instructions 14288:18 14288:19 14348:21	14311:15 14316:22			
instructions 14288:18 14288:19 14348:21 instructs 14320:24	14311:15 14316:22 14317:24 14320:1	14367:1,4,24	large 14300:1 14327:7	lines 14309:23

				Page
<b>Listen</b> 14366:19	14307:18 14316:4	14301:25 14303:12	14317:23 14340:1,11	<b>Mpembe</b> 14347:6
listening 14345:21	14317:1 14318:22	14303:14 14304:12	14340:13,15 14351:8	14378:5
14379:3	14320:23 14373:13	14307:9,23 14315:5	14380:10,13	
literal 14333:8	maker 14350:21	14318:5 14319:13,16	missing 14324:9	N
little 14293:15	making 14286:3	14320:11 14323:16	14366:22 14369:10	name 14288:2
14303:14 14306:18	14295:25 14324:17	14324:21,22	mission 14289:8	names 14369:18
14337:14 14358:5	14339:3 14349:17	14326:12,15	14314:20 14325:16	narrative 14285:12
14376:13 14378:21	14364:18	14329:10 14343:5	misspoken 14286:19	14287:4 14307:18
14379:21	malice 14309:11	14346:17,24 14347:3	mistaken 14291:8	14308:18 14354:13
<b>live</b> 14331:8	malicious 14296:21	14352:8,10 14367:1,4	14318:12	national 14289:22
loaded 14358:7,14	14309:9	14372:3,5,21,25	misunderstanding	14290:12,15 14291:6
loco 14339:8	manageable 14301:19	14380:20,24 14381:5	14317:10 14370:2	14291:12 14352:9,20
logic 14321:15,17	management 14290:3	meetings 14292:14	moment 14293:13	14379:10,12,20,24,25
long 14298:21,25	14341:15 14363:24	member 14318:13,18	14308:13 14323:23	14380:1,2,10,11,16
14320:9 14322:14	14379:25 14380:12	14319:3,9 14363:25	14357:16	14380:18,20
14323:19 14333:22	14381:6	members 14288:7,21 14318:14 14326:21	<b>Monday</b> 14291:25 14292:3,9 14352:9,25	necessarily 14336:3
14334:14 14340:23 14362:7 14381:12,24	maps 14329:19,22 14330:5		months 14322:7,9	14343:19 14350:9 14361:22
longer 14289:1	Marikana 14295:15	14333:9 14343:13,22 14353:22 14362:18	14323:1 14325:7	necessary 14298:20
14329:23 14346:18	14296:9 14297:18,20	14353.22 14502.18	morning 14286:11	14299:6 14315:18
14372:4	14298:13 14311:5	memories 14293:11,13	14287:24 14290:6,13	14332:20
Lonmin 14355:1,7,11	14312:24 14324:5	memory 14287:8,19	14291:5 14292:14,20	need 14288:7,25
14356:16 14357:6,10	14346:9 14380:23	14293:19 14294:4	14293:2,23 14294:3,7	14289:21,24
14380:23	marked 14330:20	14298:23 14323:5,11	14300:6 14302:18	14291:20 14294:21
look 14286:10	14333:23	14323:22 14370:3	14305:8,11,14	14299:22 14302:13
14291:25 14296:12	marking 14333:21	14376:3	14306:2,3,24 14307:1	14321:14 14327:9
14296:24 14302:17	masses 14380:25	mention 14308:6	14307:8,22 14313:13	14330:16 14342:22
14304:24 14312:16	material 14290:8	14355:2	14315:1,6 14316:23	14343:9,12 14357:2
14313:17 14317:24	14341:11 14342:20	mentioned 14293:10	14322:17 14323:20	14358:16 14364:5
14318:7 14320:12,20	14351:19 14372:21	14294:11 14308:12	14324:3,15 14325:11	14369:25 14372:22
14320:21 14327:9	14375:6 14379:4	14314:22 14315:8	14329:5,10,19	14372:25 14379:7
14328:16 14331:20	14381:17	14321:8 14324:8	14330:4,5 14331:18	14381:20,24
14332:1 14334:5,18	materially 14336:18	14343:5,10 14344:4	14345:16 14352:13	needed 14288:16,20,21
14336:21 14352:6	Mathunjwa 14366:2	14346:7,25 14354:24	14353:5,20 14354:1,8	14288:22 14290:1
14363:9	14373:25 14374:10	14366:23 14369:14	14354:19 14357:19	14356:24 14359:22
looked 14298:24	matter 14301:19	14370:4 14379:5	14359:17,24 14362:5	needs 14376:14
14304:5 14308:8	14302:19 14303:25	mentioning 14287:10	14363:3 14365:25	14381:2
14321:20 14322:16	14306:12 14308:11	14315:15 Marafa 14224:22	14366:2 14367:20,21	negative 14330:18,20
14324:1 14328:11 looking 14308:8	14311:21 14318:13 14324:23 14331:15	Merafe 14324:22 Mercedes 14337:7	14368:8 14371:21 14372:20 14373:2,19	14331:2,4,19 14343:7 14343:8
14313:15 14323:19	14324.25 14351.15	14338:22	mornings 14288:5	negotiating 14375:12
14325:3 14360:1	14341:19 14343:7,15	met 14288:19,24	<b>mountain</b> 14362:13,14	negotiating 14375.12 negotiation 14379:14
14365:12 14368:14	14343:24 14345:12	14320:18	14362:15 14363:18	negotiators 14352:22
14378:18	14351:12 14353:11	metals 14357:12	14365:5	never 14303:9 14308:8
losing 14362:6	14361:17 14372:13	meticulous 14313:2,16	<b>move</b> 14299:4,10	14309:24 14311:11
loss 14352:11	14376:20	14313:20 14344:21	14323:6 14326:22	14311:15,17,17
lost 14295:13	matters 14299:4	14344:22 14345:4	14332:9 14334:11,14	14327:21 14328:1,3
lot 14287:11,12	14329:24 14350:3	metres 14359:22	14334:17 14335:6,8	14346:8 14363:6,12
14300:2 14308:22	<b>Ma'am</b> 14300:4	14360:17,18	14335:19 14336:12	14367:9,13 14368:11
14331:8,11,12,13,16	<b>Mbombo</b> 14380:22	Microphone 14290:11	14351:3,15 14352:12	14368:15
14333:7 14381:16	mean 14305:18	<b>middle</b> 14295:4	14358:6 14366:13	new 14301:8,15
lower 14317:18	14308:24 14309:19	14363:16	14367:5,17 14372:6	14304:23 14321:4
14322:12	14325:6 14326:25	midmorning 14373:24	14372:18,22 14373:1	14329:3,6 14330:11
lying 14356:10	14356:25	14374:6,9	14373:14,20	14333:11,11
	meaning 14378:25	militant 14331:14,15	14374:25 14375:7,17	14334:10 14337:6
<u> </u>	means 14303:8	14335:19	moved 14330:12	14360:24 14367:4
magnitude 14288:24	14327:11 14332:12	mine 14361:4 14363:17	14333:19 14335:2,20	newly 14320:8
main 14334:23 14335:2	14344:3 14352:23	14364:10 14374:1,12	14335:22 14336:1,8,9	nicely 14331:19
maintain 14292:6	meant 14293:6 14321:9	14374:15,17 14378:2	14336:12 14337:1	niggling 14324:18
major 14303:23,23	14346:8 14368:3 media 14289:22	14380:23 miners 14376:19	<b>movement</b> 14329:24 14330:14 14337:2	night 14352:9,25
14344:1 14347:5 14372:3	14290:7 14291:15,17	14377:1	moving 14328:14	14364:16 14365:1 14379:11 14380:1
Major-General	14290:7 14291:13,17 14352:14 14377:19	<b>minute</b> 14380:14,15	14334:10 14341:25	NIU 14318:13
14285:13,21 14286:7	meet 14347:8	minute 14380.14,15 minuted 14379:24	14346:15 14360:22	Nkaneng 14330:20
14286:9,14 14287:4	meeting 14289:13	14380:4	14370:18 14371:9	14331:1,7,16,21
1				
14299:24 14302:7	14292:18 14293:8	minutes 14306:17	14375:3 14376:20	14332:2 14333:14

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

				Page 8
14334:1,10,11,14,17	officials 14332:6	14371:3 14373:9	parades 14288:25	14378:3
14334:22 14335:8,20	oh 14290:22 14329:16	14375:4,7	paragraph 14302:24	pertinently 14304:22
14335:24 14336:1,4	14360:7	options 14294:5,11,12	14304:11 14312:22	14376:3
non-consensually	okay 14288:12	14294:12 14299:15	14314:17 14316:4	phase 14287:25
14377:10	14290:22 14317:22	14320:5 14328:18	14351:24 14352:8	14288:3 14293:4,5,23
non-lethal 14344:3	14318:3 14357:23	14354:4	14360:25 14361:3	14294:11 14296:17
normally 14288:23	14366:24 14372:19	order 14292:6	14368:19,25 14369:6	14299:25 14301:15
14357:7	old 14329:5 14334:12	14295:19 14298:5	14369:15,20	14302:18 14303:15
<b>north</b> 14334:6	once 14332:8,18	14318:5,11,15	paragraphs 14316:1	14313:21 14314:22
14380:21,23 14381:3 note 14291:2	14336:11 14353:23 14362:17 14370:24	14319:19,20,20,23,24 14320:2,8,12,15	pardon 14329:17 Park 14320:3	14314:23,23,24,25 14315:5 14316:6,7,23
<b>NUM</b> 14330:23	ones 14360:19	14320.2,8,12,13	parked 14337:22	14313.5 14310.0,7,25
number 14297:3	ongoing 14364:1	14344:13 14363:23	part 14289:23 14293:5	14325:19,22,23
14298:15 14305:9	onus 14347:15,18	14370:17 14375:14	14293:16 14296:16	14326:9 14327:1
14333:25 14338:14	open 14310:20 14314:8	ordered 14360:16,20	14302:6 14322:7	14329:4,20 14332:17
14338:16 14354:20	14337:21,22 14338:7	ordinarily 14349:13	14323:21 14358:2	14343:21 14358:3
14359:17,19 14360:8	14347:10 14362:19	ordinary 14349:7	14368:6,17 14372:15	14363:9 14366:13
14363:17 14364:10	opened 14296:24	organised 14333:24	14376:6	14367:4,5 14369:2,13
numbering 14298:18	14380:20	oriented 14352:1	particular 14310:13	14369:19,22 14372:6
numbers 14288:6,14	opening 14312:21,22	14354:7	14341:14 14345:4	14372:9,9,9,10,11,17
Nyala 14329:24	14344:22	original 14292:7	particularly 14329:14	14372:18,20,22,22
14330:12,15	opens 14337:18	14313:7 14359:10	14363:19	14373:2,15,20
14333:19,19,21,21,22	operation 14287:10	originated 14313:18	parties 14347:8,11	14374:25 14375:17
14334:18 14335:2	14288:23 14289:20	ought 14349:7	14349:8	14375:20,25
14336:11,21 14337:1	14298:12 14308:4	outer 14356:9	parts 14292:1 14296:14	14376:21,21 14377:2
14337:1 Nasila a 14202.2	14333:17,18	outline 14314:11,23	party 14349:9,10,19	14377:22 14378:16
Nyalas 14293:3 14322:17 14330:12	14340:17,20 14342:3 14346:9 14355:14,17	14368:9 outlines 14368:5	passage 14285:23 14286:7 14313:1	<b>phases</b> 14288:2 14368:24 14369:23
14362:18	14362:7	outset 14316:5	14280.7 14313.1	<b>photo</b> 14314:21
14302.18	operational 14287:25	outside 14322:24	14310.2 14322.1	14316:22 14368:10
0	14289:19 14298:11	14334:24 14337:16	passive 14345:20	14368:11,12,15
oath 14285:3 14350:25	14313:4 14317:6,10	14338:3,5 14343:21	path 14334:23	photograph 14356:13
<b>object</b> 14313:4	14318:24 14336:24	14347:8 14356:7	patrols 14368:24	14357:18,25,25
objection 14317:17	14342:24 14343:1	14361:6 14362:23	patterns 14286:18	photographs 14290:8
14319:6	14344:11 14368:13	outweighed 14365:4	pending 14350:16	14293:1 14324:16
objections 14317:6	14368:19,24 14369:1	overnight 14285:24	people 14289:5	14325:13 14378:19
14318:24	14369:3,5 14380:17	14286:10 14361:10	14303:21 14306:4	<b>photos</b> 14322:16
<b>objective</b> 14287:17	14380:18	14365:6	14310:4,7,20 14318:9	phrase 14378:24
14293:22 14362:21	operationalise	overstating 14309:7	14320:25 14321:19	physical 14327:10
14379:4	14344:15 14357:1	overview 14298:11	14325:2 14327:11	14343:20
objectively 14335:25	opinion 14286:23	14368:13	14331:16 14335:12	picked 14331:13
<b>obligation</b> 14286:14	14289:23 14294:16	o'clock 14287:23 14291:4,8 14292:14	14337:15 14338:3,4,8	14334:23 14354:14
<b>obtained</b> 14354:25 14355:3,4	14333:8 14349:14 14353:8 14362:8	14291:4,8 14292:14 14336:18 14343:5	14338:11,21 14339:4 14342:11 14344:2	<b>picking</b> 14287:18 <b>picture</b> 14299:5
obviously 14287:8	14367:16,18 14368:2	o'clock 14307:9	14361:9,10 14364:15	14304:4 14316:16
14288:1 14298:4	14375:9,11 14377:22	14325:10 14363:4	14364:25 14365:5	14344:20 14356:15
14302:12 14361:24	14377:23	14366:17 14367:1	14373:4	14358:4 14360:1
14372:24 14375:9	opinions 14349:6	14373:2,4 14374:8,10	performs 14348:25	pictures 14296:15,20
occurred 14311:22	14350:10		peril 14362:24	14308:15
occurs 14343:17	opponent 14362:1	P	period 14289:19	<b>pile</b> 14360:9
October 14381:15,18	opportunity 14286:12	page 14297:6 14299:23	14295:6,8,22 14300:7	<b>piles</b> 14360:1,9,10,14
14381:22 14382:5	14287:1 14341:18	14302:4 14304:11,16	14324:8 14346:9	pinpoint 14356:1
offer 14287:2	14342:19 14364:4	14305:6 14313:22	permanent 14303:10	place 14289:3 14293:21
offered 14351:18,23	14366:20	14314:17 14316:1,3,3	14326:21	14299:23 14300:3
14360:23	opposed 14299:8	14322:4,19 14351:24	permanently 14326:23	14306:22 14307:4
officer 14302:13	<b>Ops</b> 14290:4 <b>optimistic</b> 14333:6	14352:7 14356:14	permissible 14347:21 permitted 14332:14	14308:11 14310:23 14313:22 14316:24
14363:22 officers 14288:11	option 14299:13	14360:25 14361:3,7 14368:20 14369:1,21	person 14319:6,8	14313:22 14316:24 14323:1,7 14324:18
14289:24 14313:3	14300:13 14301:16	14308.20 14309.1,21 14380:6,7	personally 14381:20	14324:19 14327:3
14317:25 14318:6,9	14304:24 14305:11	pages 14368:25	personnel 14326:21	14328:11,20
14344:24 14345:5	14314:11 14322:23	paper 14312:14	14333:7	14329:13 14334:13
offices 14293:10	14328:24,24	14329:16,18,18	persons 14338:19	14340:24 14345:5
14330:24	14352:24 14353:1,7	14336:16 14337:6	14350:16	14354:20 14377:20
official 14310:22	14353:13,15,16,18	14367:23	perspective 14286:13	placed 14324:22
14363:6	14362:9 14367:12,16	para 14302:22	persuade 14379:13	14356:7,8
officially 14291:4	14368:1 14370:16,17	14313:22	pertinent 14373:7	placing 14344:7
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
planned 14305:10	positioned 14304:4	14289:16 14307:14	14366:19	14364:9,13 14365:24
14320:13	<b>positioning</b> 14288:10	14336:23	processes 14314:7	14373:12 14379:6
planner 14310:12	positive 14330:18	presenting 14289:16,17	processing 14368:9	<b>puts</b> 14312:22
planning 14293:5	14331:23 14343:7	14290:19 14345:9	procured 14358:19	putting 14287:15
14302:13 14308:14	possibility 14301:12	preservation 14295:18	produced 14307:3	14289:7,8 14290:24
14311:17 14313:2,16	14306:4 14339:11,12	<b>President</b> 14291:2,11	productive 14328:19	14292:2 14326:2
14344:21,22 14345:4	14353:5 14365:7	14291:12,24 14292:7	progress 14303:25	14339:2 14347:25
14364:4	14366:4,8	14295:23 14381:20	14351:7	14353:21 14355:10
plans 14362:5,6	possible 14291:3	<b>President's</b> 14291:9,16	progressed 14361:23	14361:5
Platinum 14290:4	14294:4 14305:19	press 14291:13 14376:7	prohibit 14357:14	
14378:2	14334:11 14336:12	14376:10,15,17	proof 14347:15,18	Q
play 14376:9 14377:5	14345:9 14353:9	14378:6	properly 14309:15	qualification 14350:7
played 14310:20	14366:12 14372:18	presumably 14306:13	proposal 14380:25	qualities 14298:5
14376:14	14376:20	14309:5 14340:19	proposed 14301:15	quarter 14346:20
players 14303:10	possibly 14290:3	presume 14356:2	proposition 14347:22	query 14347:17
please 14299:19,23	14292:9 14294:6	presuppose 14371:10	14348:1	question 14298:23
14328:2,8 14339:19	14296:25 14305:12	<b>Pretorius</b> 14363:8	propositions 14349:11	14305:3,4 14306:20
plenty 14364:23	14308:18 14311:20	pretty 14292:12,21	protect 14344:9	14306:21,21,23
point 14286:3,5	14327:24 14332:22	14341:13	protection 14332:4	
14295:11,14		prevent 14335:6	protester 14333:24	14307:4,5,5 14316:4
	14352:13 14356:12	<b>-</b>	-	14322:20 14347:14
14304:19 14305:6	14357:14 14373:8	14349:16	protesters 14313:5	14347:14 14348:2,3,
14311:15 14314:8	14377:24 14378:1	previous 14316:3	14322:24 14331:22	14348:11,14,25
14315:21 14316:13	post 14292:5	14320:17	14333:20,25 14334:1	14350:12 14355:5
14330:20 14331:2,5	postulate 14362:12	previously 14368:7	14334:5,10 14335:19	14360:1 14366:12
14331:19,23	potential 14361:8	pre-decided 14377:21	14352:18 14354:21	questioned 14306:13
14339:17 14348:15	power 14294:21,24	pre-placement	14359:18,19	14342:22
14353:25 14381:12	14295:2 14296:2	14372:17	protesting 14380:25	questions 14347:10,12
pointer 14334:3	14300:19 14304:5	pre-warning 14343:21	prove 14347:24	14347:16 14348:24
points 14295:25	<b>PowerPoint</b> 14297:3,17	primarily 14319:14	proven 14311:12	14350:2,24
14296:12 14302:10	14310:17	primary 14310:12	provide 14352:22	quickly 14300:2
14302:25 14303:1,16	practical 14348:22	principle 14313:13	provided 14324:16	14361:20
14330:18 14343:8	precious 14357:12	14369:18	14349:7	<b>quite</b> 14310:12
14365:9	predictable 14376:5	principles 14315:8	providing 14314:25	14313:13 14331:5,19
police 14290:3 14300:1	predominantly	14320:14,16,17	provincial 14292:10	14339:12 14344:20
14311:4,14 14327:12	14303:7 14320:2	printed 14316:22	14333:3 14376:8,12	14378:17 14381:12
14327:13 14330:24	preferred 14309:4	printouts 14293:2	14376:24 14377:8,16	quote 14296:23
14332:5,6,9,15	prelude 14293:4	prior 14374:20,23	14379:5,10,11,21,23	400001 220020
14333:9,12 14335:23	14366:15	private 14301:22	14380:2,16,21	R
14336:6 14343:7,22	prematurely 14298:15	probabilities 14347:21	14381:2,4	raise 14319:6
14344:7 14352:12,17	prepare 14312:12	14348:8	provisional 14348:4	raised 14296:12
14352:20,22,24	prepared 14298:6	probably 14296:1	provisionally 14297:2	14302:25 14339:19
14353:10 14356:24	14381:23	14298:22 14338:14	<b>public</b> 14318:11,15	
		14298.22 14338.14	-	14347:10,12
14358:12,14	prepositioned		14319:20 14320:2	14348:19 14350:2,24
14360:15 14361:24	14322:18	problem 14306:3	14332:19 14343:13	14361:3 14365:9
14362:5,8,12,14,16	prepositioning 14293:3	14327:19 14339:2	14344:13 14352:14	raising 14365:9
14362:17 14363:22	14314:24 14325:22	14361:5,8,12,12	14363:23	range 14361:6
14363:22,23,25	prerequisites 14315:10	14362:16 14364:23	pulled 14360:15	rank 14288:11
14368:22 14372:13	presence 14300:2	14364:24 14365:2	purchased 14355:7,11	14344:12
14372:14 14379:7	present 14288:17	14366:3	<b>pure</b> 14301:11	rapidly 14357:4
policeman 14327:14	14296:21 14312:1	problematic 14363:19	purpose 14325:19	rate 14354:6
police's 14357:3	14318:6 14330:10	14364:11	purposes 14306:19	rationale 14304:7
police's 14335:8	14344:20 14372:14	problems 14295:5	14330:10 14333:21	14332:16
policing 14318:11	14378:6	14321:23 14348:22	<b>push</b> 14335:10	razor 14304:4,7
14319:21 14320:2,16	presentation 14285:12	procedures 14315:17	<b>put</b> 14285:21 14288:18	14315:11 14324:20
14332:20 14343:13	14287:3,22 14290:7	proceed 14347:1	14291:20 14292:17	14325:20 14332:4,8
pondering 14287:9	14290:10,19,21	14352:2	14294:15 14296:18	14334:14,17,21,24
<b>POP</b> 14319:3,5	14291:18,22 14292:3	proceeded 14306:9	14297:4,5 14304:22	14343:4 14344:9
POPs 14288:6 14315:9	14292:5,7 14296:8	14351:25 14352:4,5	14305:5 14306:8	14356:23 14357:1
14317:25 14318:6,9	14297:18 14298:6,13	proceeding 14351:21	14308:15 14309:25	reach 14311:25
14320:5 14329:1	14303:15 14307:17	14373:23	14310:7,24 14312:4	14381:10
14343:13 14362:18	14308:3,17,24	proceedings 14285:1	14312:14 14313:9	reached 14295:11
Portfolio 14292:10	14338:23 14351:22	14347:18 14382:6	14323:4 14324:19	14303:23 14304:2
	14369:21	proceeds 14305:4	14329:13 14330:16	14374:20
nosition 14/xx / 14		-		
position 14288:7,13	nresentations 1/1287.20	nrocess 14205.0	$14344\cdot1\times1/(3/(1\cdot))$	read 1/17x5-77
14293:19 14306:15	presentations 14287:20	process 14295:9 14320:9 22 14328:20	14344:18 14347:22 14349:4 10 14357:2	read 14285:22
	presentations 14287:20 14310:17 14368:6 presented 14287:22	process 14295:9 14320:9,22 14328:20 14355:14,17	14344:18 14347:22 14349:4,10 14357:2 14360:9,10 14361:12	read 14285:22 14295:25 14303:5 14321:25 14322:1

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
reader 14308:20	14325:25 14326:3,4	replacement 14301:5	<b>road</b> 14334:6	14368:1
14309:4	record 14289:25	report 14378:9	roadside 14356:9,9	says 14298:10
reading 14292:17	14297:13 14310:23	reported 14374:20	<b>role</b> 14303:10 14345:20	14313:18 14363:8
14367:25 14381:17	14333:21 14376:15	representatives	roles 14289:11	14380:13,15
reads 14299:12	red 14330:20 14332:7	14316:5 14347:2	14316:25	scenario 14313:2,16
realise 14323:24	14333:22	14348:22	roll 14311:11,12,17,20	14344:21,22 14345:4
realised 14312:3	refer 14303:13	request 14354:3	rolled 14311:19	scenarios 14320:6
14322:10 14366:8	reference 14297:6	requested 14317:1	14356:11 14358:2	scheduled 14340:25
14368:7	14321:25	14318:22 14353:24	roll-call 14288:8	Scott's 14297:1
realising 14324:11,14	referred 14286:6	14380:21	roof 14337:13	scouring 14287:16
14365:16	14297:8,11 14302:22	required 14298:5	Roots 14292:22	screen 14337:14,25
realistic 14342:18	14302:23 14326:7	14311:4 14347:24	14296:9,11,22	14338:10,25 14339:6
14366:4	14369:22 14370:13	reservation 14348:6	14323:3,13 14324:12	14339:12,14
really 14286:8	referring 14297:2	resolve 14365:2	14324:14	14356:25
14294:19 14295:20	14298:8 14299:7,9	14366:3	roughly 14360:17	screened 14336:13
14304:7 14306:20	14322:2 14326:11	resolved 14304:12	round 14356:25	screens 14331:21
14309:15 14346:5	reflect 14287:1	resources 14381:1	14360:18	search 14333:1
14353:15 14354:8	14292:12	respect 14347:13,16,17	rounded 14362:19	14370:19
14365:16 14371:24	reflected 14288:1	14349:2 14350:12,23	route 14331:21	searches 14332:21
reason 14310:4	14300:15 14320:14	14351:7 14369:22	14334:22 14335:2	seat 14337:13
14311:18 14349:25	reflecting 14292:18	respond 14286:11,14	<b>Rover</b> 14350:2	seated 14326:24
14351:21,22,23,25	14299:15	14286:17 14345:1	<b>Rover's</b> 14350:3	14343:9
14352:7 14354:12,13	reflection 14289:25	14348:1 14366:20	rulings 14347:4,8	seats 14375:3
14356:24 14363:15	14312:15	response 14314:13	<b>run</b> 14288:23 14334:22	second 14295:14,16,17
14372:16,17	reflects 14349:18	14323:2 14344:24	14359:16,17,18	14299:13 14344:5
reasonable 14311:4	<b>refuse</b> 14354:2	14350:1,4,13 14362:4	14365:17,21,24	14348:19 14351:24
reasonably 14348:17	regard 14287:20	responsibility 14316:25	14366:1,5,9	14361:2 14364:8,22
14365:17	14295:12 14348:23	rest 14324:20	<b>running</b> 14287:10	14372:17
reasoned 14369:13	14348:24 14364:14	restored 14295:3	14335:7 14352:19	section 14285:16,18
reasoning 14325:20,23	14366:16	result 14353:9	14362:19	14302:21
14329:13 14335:15	regardless 14300:8	14374:24		sector 14368:23
14371:23	regards 14316:12	resulted 14313:1	S	see 14286:13 14287:17
reasons 14300:3	relate 14367:14	resume 14351:11	safe 14332:5 14344:7	14288:8 14296:1,22
14305:7 14323:24	related 14307:5	14382:4,5	sake 14297:13	14304:6,17 14308:5,5
14351:16,17	14347:14 14348:20	resumes 14285:2	SAPS 14285:8,11	14310:14,25
14360:23,24	relates 14350:14	14294:25 14295:1	14287:3 14291:21,22	14312:20 14317:25
14364:13,13	14354:14 14359:23	14346:22,23	14307:13,17,23	14318:21 14319:11
reassessing 14378:18	relation 14295:18	retaliation 14352:14	14310:1,2,5,20,22,25	14324:12 14325:13
recall 14285:16,18	14317:7 14344:19	return 14294:24	14312:2,20,20,22	14329:15 14332:6
14286:6 14287:8	14345:3 14349:23	14299:1 14340:5	14319:20 14344:21	14333:14,18,19
14291:16 14293:20	14354:23 14355:5	14375:12	14346:3 14350:8,11	14334:25 14336:22
14294:9 14303:11	relevant 14295:6,22	reveal 14347:24	14351:18 14359:15	14336:25 14337:15
14304:22 14322:13	14296:5	reveals 14325:3	14363:19 14366:4	14339:5,6,18,20
14329:14 14356:20	rely 14325:1	review 14350:10	14367:2 14374:11,19	14358:1 14360:1,2,4
14358:22 14359:2	relying 14370:6	reviewed 14303:14	14374:20 14375:10	14360:5,8,20
recalling 14292:13	remain 14333:24	re-examination	14375:15 14376:6	14362:12,14
recap 14341:4,4	14350:18	14299:2	14379:13 14380:1,12	14366:18 14367:1
receive 14321:4	remained 14304:23	re-looking 14324:6	14380:13 14381:6	14370:20 14376:6,7,8
14329:2,3	14353:5	right 14288:16	sat 14302:16 14303:12	14379:18
received 14291:14	remember 14285:21	14297:22 14298:7	14337:12 14361:9	seeing 14310:15
14310:22 14321:12	14292:15 14295:10	14300:23 14301:12	satellite 14314:21	14339:11
14324:4 14347:2	14302:9,14 14303:6	14306:11 14316:18	14316:22	seek 14299:18
14349:9,12 14350:4	14303:18,20 14304:7	14319:9,10 14325:25	satisfied 14347:15	seen 14289:5,6 14301:9
receiving 14338:12	14321:18 14325:1,10	14329:25 14339:1,23	saved 14291:24	14304:8 14311:15
recess 14381:16,16	14338:6,16 14371:24	14350:18,19	14296:10 14298:17	14361:21 14367:11
recognises 14349:24	14373:1	14354:10 14369:8	saw 14346:3 14356:6	14367:20,22
recollect 14292:25	remembered 14325:7	14370:1	14374:7	segmented 14308:19
14324:5	14367:8	rights 14347:3,6	saying 14287:18	send 14365:2
recollection 14289:21	remembering 14325:5	14348:20 14350:1,13	14291:14 14308:1	senior 14289:24
14300:5 14301:20	14332:8 14343:1	14350:18,23	14309:13,14	14290:3 14344:23
( 3) Luca	remove 14332:21	risk 14322:24 14361:1	14310:15 14312:10	14345:5
14304:12.18		14361:1,4 14365:3,4	14315:14 14324:24	sense 14294:16
14304:12,18 14325:24	reorganise 14317-15		1 10 10 17 17047.47	
14325:24	reorganise 14317:15 repetition 14287:21		14325.6 14326.25	14322.15 14324.17
14325:24 reconfirmed 14313:23	repetition 14287:21	14365:5	14325:6 14326:25 14327:16 14339:2	14322:15 14324:17 14327:7 14345:13
14325:24 reconfirmed 14313:23 reconstructing 14295:5	<b>repetition</b> 14287:21 14289:6	14365:5 <b>risks</b> 14322:23 14323:8	14327:16 14339:2	14327:7 14345:13
14325:24 reconfirmed 14313:23	repetition 14287:21	14365:5		

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

## Pretoria

				Page 1
sent 14291:4 14366:2	Sinclair 14356:21	14299:3 14302:4	14367:2	14368:17,19,24
sentence 14304:23	sit 14295:21 14351:6	14303:16 14304:10	start 14291:1 14295:21	14369:1,5,14
14369:25,25	14365:1	14308:1 14310:17	14302:14 14316:2	14370:14,19
<b>SEPTEMBER</b> 14285:1	sitting 14289:13	14311:8 14312:19,20	14325:3,16 14333:17	14371:21
sequence 14293:21	14292:22 14303:11	14315:15 14321:15	14333:18 14343:18	stretch 14360:14
14366:16 14373:24	14337:11,20 14338:2	14321:17,17	14355:23 14363:5	strikers 14300:1
14375:24	14338:5,9,22	14327:13 14339:15	14366:17	14311:13 14331:6,10
sequences 14366:19	14345:10 14347:10	14340:23 14345:16	started 14287:16,18	14335:7 14343:8,23
14372:3 14374:19	situation 14311:5	14375:9 14376:2	14290:4 14292:2	14352:23 14353:9
serious 14330:15	14312:24,25 14329:23 14337:10	speaking 14308:18	14298:13 14299:25	14362:7,12 14372:15
14362:18 Services 14380:18,19	14329.23 14337.10	14314:20 14317:13 14317:16 14325:18	14336:16 14340:2 14346:2 14361:23	14375:11 14378:1 14379:13,15
serving 14318:9	14361:10 14364:19	14327:14 14343:1	14362:5,6	striking 14357:14
session 14379:25	14378:18	14367:15 14369:12	starting 14343:20	strong 14327:5
14380:15	situations 14364:1	14372:25 14374:15	starts 14313:15	14372:13
set 14303:1 14366:15	six 14358:1	speaks 14291:9	14327:10	strongly 14346:3
14366:18	size 14288:24 14327:17	14296:17	state 14296:23	struck 14320:11
setting 14374:18	skim 14351:19	special 14377:19	statement 14287:15	14377:13
settlement 14331:17	sleep 14287:11,13	specific 14292:25	14290:12,16 14291:9	structure 14368:21
14332:25 14335:8	slide 14296:18,19	14315:13 14319:23	14294:10,15,16	14381:6
seven 14359:23,23	14298:10,10,11,12,18	14320:7 14353:25	14299:20,23 14302:4	structured 14293:5
shade 14337:25	14299:15 14330:8,19	14368:16 14370:17	14302:22 14303:2	sub 14299:13
sharp 14340:2	14330:19 14333:14	specifically 14292:12	14305:5 14309:15,16	subject 14305:22
shift 14313:6,14	14333:17,23	14292:13,25	14312:21,22	14313:16 14344:11
14346:12	14336:22,23	14331:14 14349:23	14313:21 14314:18	14349:20 14350:6
<b>shoes</b> 14362:4	14351:23 14368:6	14356:21 14360:16	14316:1 14326:8	14359:9
<b>short</b> 14294:21	14369:17	14369:18	14338:16 14340:1	submitted 14349:24
14312:18 14325:9	slides 14288:6 14289:2	speculation 14301:11	14343:11 14344:22	substantial 14354:20
14351:7	14289:4,12 14292:17	<b>spend</b> 14364:15	14349:6,15,18,25	substantially 14359:9
shortcomings 14322:12	14300:14,15	spent 14318:10	14350:4,5,6,21,22	sub-paragraph 14303:3
<b>shortening</b> 14294:16 <b>shortly</b> 14350:6	14308:14 14323:21 14324:6 14330:2	14378:17 <b>spoke</b> 14288:6	14351:24 14352:6 14360:24 14361:1	sub-paragraphs
14374:11 14377:6	sliding 14337:18,21	14378:23	14369:15 14381:3	14303:1
show 14289:9 14291:22	slight 14293:18	<b>spoken</b> 14303:12	statements 14285:13	successfully 14319:23
14292:24 14311:1	14343:17	14325:19 14353:24	14287:5 14307:18	sufficient 14310:8
14312:3 14314:19	slightly 14307:5	14381:18,19	14308:3 14349:21	14328:17 14358:19
14356:13 14368:12	14312:2 14336:23	<b>spread</b> 14296:13,15,16	14350:7,10,15,15	sufficiently 14323:13
14370:15 14372:11	small 14305:9	square 14358:4	14371:25 14379:9,20	suggest 14287:5
14372:13	14327:23 14343:25	squatter 14330:21	station 14304:5	14307:14,19
showed 14292:5	14344:3,4,9 14368:8	stage 14288:2 14292:19	stay 14365:1,5	14316:20
14304:4 14359:1	smaller 14299:14	14293:8 14297:6	stayed 14362:21	suggested 14285:14,22
showing 14285:11	14301:18,19 14302:5	14298:11 14299:12	step 14322:24 14323:5	14307:3 14317:8
14290:2 14293:23	14317:12 14321:10	14299:12 14303:24	14362:23 14366:21	14344:7 14346:3
14314:19 14337:6	14341:25 14342:1	14316:6 14317:3	14366:22,25 14376:6	14352:10,20
shown 14288:15	14353:20 14361:17	14320:20,22	steps 14367:6 14379:7	suggesting 14309:11,16
14290:1 14291:17	14363:8 14365:12	14341:22 14342:22	stop 14317:15	suggestion 14302:5
14311:16 14339:19	smelted 14357:12	14346:14 14350:17	14381:10,13	suggestions 14344:4,10
14357:9 14368:7	solutions 14345:9	14353:25 14354:1,25	stopped 14298:21	suitable 14381:10
14376:11 14377:7	somebody 14293:10	14356:21 14358:19	stopwatch 14288:20	<b>sum</b> 14338:23
shows 14293:2	something's 14324:18	14359:12,15	straight 14376:16	summary 14295:9
14323:20 14330:11 side 14286:14 14287:25	soon 14346:20	14363:17 14364:25	strange 14346:2 14376:1	<b>sunrise</b> 14305:12 14327:24
side 14286:14 14287:25 14291:21 14337:18	sorry 14294:20 14298:14 14305:2	14369:2 14370:17 14372:6,18 14374:15	strategic 14287:24	superior 14288:11,11
14291:21 14337:18 14337:21 14338:5	14298:14 14305:2	14377:14 14381:10	14301:16 14302:13	supposed 14297:24
14337.21 14338.3	14322.3 14340.23	stages 14308:4,21	14301.10 14302.13	14342:5
sides 14352:11	14359:25	14311:9 14351:18	strategies 14345:8	sure 14289:16 14291:7
significance 14331:5	sort 14303:18 14359:23	stampede 14361:2	strategising 14302:12	14331:15 14340:24
significant 14337:3	sought 14316:11	stance 14348:13	strategy 14301:20	14344:25 14356:10
14360:13	sound 14311:10	stand 14320:5	14304:23 14311:10	14356:22,24 14357:4
significantly 14329:24	14339:3	standing 14319:19,20	14313:25 14314:4	14357:9 14358:15
	sounds 14297:25	14319:23,24 14320:8	14316:12,16	14360:20 14369:15
silent 14550:16,21			14317:11,11 14326:9	14371:24
silent 14350:18,21 similar 14291:15	south 14318:14	14320:12,15	14517.11,11 14520.9	113/1.21
7.71	<b>south</b> 14318:14 14335:9 14338:7,8	14320:12,15 14337:15 14338:8,8	14326:10 14327:1,8	surely 14309:3,6
similar 14291:15	14335:9 14338:7,8 14347:3 14349:25			
similar 14291:15 simply 14296:15	14335:9 14338:7,8 14347:3 14349:25 <b>sparked</b> 14302:10	14337:15 14338:8,8 14339:4 14358:12,15 standoff 14352:23	14326:10 14327:1,8	<b>surely</b> 14309:3,6 <b>surfaced</b> 14354:13 14360:24
similar 14291:15 simply 14296:15 14308:3 14320:10,16	14335:9 14338:7,8 14347:3 14349:25 <b>sparked</b> 14302:10 <b>speak</b> 14289:15	14337:15 14338:8,8 14339:4 14358:12,15	14326:10 14327:1,8 14328:12,15,15	<b>surely</b> 14309:3,6 <b>surfaced</b> 14354:13

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

#### Pretoria

				Page 1
suspects 14350:15	14363:24	14291:19,23 14292:8	14366:1,9 14375:25	true 14313:8 14315:14
sustaining 14364:20	tea 14346:15,17,20	14292:10 14293:1	till 14381:18	try 14287:7,16 14288:9
sweep 14335:9	teams 14317:15	14294:17 14296:3,5,8	time 14286:19 14287:9	14290:2 14301:18
<b>s.u.o</b> 14285:4 14351:1	techniques 14315:16	14296:10 14297:23	14287:16,18 14288:2	14312:16 14324:5
	tell 14288:11 14327:19	14298:2,25 14299:2	14288:3,15,16,21,22	14325:17 14328:20
T	telling 14295:10	14300:6 14301:8,9,14	14288:22 14289:4,19	14328:22 14361:25
table 14303:11	14296:4 14305:22	14301:25 14302:8	14290:9 14292:4,20	14362:14,23
14306:25 14307:8	14328:8	14304:1,5,19,19	14292:25 14293:17	14363:16 14366:3
14313:9 14324:15	tend 14300:6	14306:18 14307:2,25	14293:25 14295:19	trying 14288:14
14346:5 14353:16	terms 14312:23	14309:14,25 14311:7	14298:17 14308:5	14289:14 14291:2
tactical 14294:4,12	14317:14 14327:13	14314:6,10,12	14312:8,11,11,12,12	14300:11,14 14302:9
14299:12,15	14329:10 14345:18	14315:7 14317:9	14312:17 14313:6	14308:2 14323:25
14300:13 14317:20	14375:23	14318:10,12	14318:4 14322:14	14328:12 14335:24
14320:4 14322:23	<b>test</b> 14348:16	14319:25 14325:8,9	14323:19,19 14324:7	14339:3 14343:22,23
14332:11 14343:2	testified 14299:20	14326:19,23 14327:6	14324:8 14325:4	14356:1 14357:15
14344:10,15	14378:7	14328:4 14329:12	14326:24 14340:4,9	14360:11 14367:14
14352:24 14353:1,7	testimony 14286:7	14333:6 14335:3	14340:13,14,20	14370:10
14353:13,15 14362:9	14287:4	14346:15 14347:5,7	14342:15 14345:19	<b>Tsiloane</b> 14318:10
14365:3,3 14366:13	thank 14285:7	14351:10 14355:13	14346:13,24	14326:20
14367:12,16 14368:1	14286:16,24	14356:9,21,25	14352:19 14353:7	Tuesday 14285:15
14370:16 14371:3	14302:20 14304:25	14357:11 14359:4	14360:25 14364:6,23	14287:6,22 14289:18
14372:10 14373:9,15	14329:17 14351:15	14360:18 14361:25	14366:7,20 14370:4	14296:21 14305:14
14375:4,7	Thanks 14287:7	14363:14,24 14364:2	14372:24 14373:3,10	14305:19 14307:7,15
tactics 14315:16	that's 14290:10	14365:4,8 14369:14	14374:10,17,20,23	14307:20 14308:12
take 14288:12	14311:23 14312:15	14370:21 14373:7	14375:17,21	14308:16,17 14313:9
14294:21 14300:3,18	14313:6,22 14315:2	14375:10 14379:4	14378:17,17,20,20,21	14313:10 14352:1,2,4
14301:12 14305:6	14316:18 14317:16	14381:12	14379:2 14382:6	14352:5 14353:1,5,13
14313:22 14315:20	14318:19,24 14319:1	thinking 14286:19	<b>timeframe</b> 14287:17	14353:15,19 14354:1
14316:24 14318:24	14319:10,13	14362:3,3 14370:9	times 14288:18	14354:7 14363:3 14367:20 14368:22
14328:11,17,20	14336:20 14337:8,19 14342:17 14343:16	thinks 14299:8 thinned 14299:9	<b>today</b> 14311:25 14313:23 14351:9	14369:7
14339:17,23 14340:11 14346:13	14345:12 14351:12	third 14361:7	14376:20 14377:9	<b>turn</b> 14286:6 14366:14
14346:14,18,19	14343:12 14351:12 14352:18 14353:2	thought 14286:18	14379:6	14367:23
14351:8,17 14354:20	14354:10,15 14355:7	14293:13 14309:14	told 14295:22 14321:7	turned 14328:23
14357:2 14358:16	14361:1 14362:16	14293.13 14309.14 14314:6 14320:9	14375:2 14376:13	14366:8
14361:11 14375:3	14365:14,19,22	14322:6,7,14,25	14378:16	tweaking 14344:3
14379:7	14366:6,10,14,22	14323:13 14324:7	topic 14348:19 14351:3	two 14285:12 14287:5
taken 14290:6	14371:2 14372:16	14354:1 14371:7	14354:15,24	14291:11 14294:11
14291:20 14292:1	14373:12 14376:7,12	thrash 14315:18	14366:14,15	14294:15 14300:18
14293:20 14296:20	14376:17	thrashed 14361:16	total 14338:23	14303:7,9,18
14302:1 14306:22	theirs 14360:16	thrashes 14312:9	totally 14294:5	14307:18 14313:14
14323:1,7 14333:7	theoretically 14301:3,7	threat 14372:22	tragedy 14320:3	14313:17 14330:5
14341:21 14346:8	14301:10	14373:15,20	tragic 14312:25	14344:4 14346:5
14348:14 14350:15	thereof 14347:13	14374:21,24 14375:6	trailer 14357:2 14358:7	14348:25 14358:22
14353:16 14357:18	14367:9	14375:16	14358:11,14 14360:4	14361:19 14364:13
14367:5 14372:6,20	there's 14315:3,9	threats 14336:5	14360:5,10,10	14364:13 14367:5
14373:7,9 14374:25	14317:11 14318:15	three 14291:11	trailers 14354:17	14369:25,25
14375:10,17	14338:24,24 14340:4	14296:16 14324:10	14358:2,13 14359:23	type 14344:3 14357:15
14377:20 14378:25	14343:9 14347:18	14346:9 14356:2	14360:2,6	14363:2
14381:5	14358:11 14360:13	14360:24 14370:25	trained 14325:18	
takes 14312:9	14366:22 14373:5	14371:5 14381:19,19	training 14315:17	U
talk 14302:24 14315:11	They'd 14356:8	<b>thrust</b> 14296:4	14320:4,17 14343:14	ultimately 14298:22
14343:3 14370:14	they'll 14370:25	<b>Thursday</b> 14288:4,13	transcript 14285:23	14309:5 14311:2
talked 14355:6	14371:13	14289:2,11 14290:5	14296:1 14321:21,25	14312:5 14313:11
talking 14290:18	<b>they're</b> 14353:23,23	14290:25,25 14292:2	travel 14340:4,13	14346:4 14371:2
14292:23 14303:4	14358:15 14365:1	14293:14,23	traversed 14351:19	14373:14
14305:17 14322:20	<b>they'd</b> 14329:4	14302:18 14305:23	14354:16	unauthorised 14357:14
14341:4 14343:21	thing 14303:19	14306:2,3,24,25	trickling 14373:4	unavailability
	14320:11 14357:15	14307:4,9,22	tried 14308:10	14353:14
14364:11 14369:12	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14308:11,16	14325:16	<b>unawares</b> 14361:21
talks 14356:22	things 14293:19		4-1	
talks 14356:22 target 14315:13	14295:7 14298:24	14312:24 14313:10	triggered 14293:9	uncertain 14373:3
talks 14356:22 target 14315:13 targeted 14370:18,21	14295:7 14298:24 14310:3,5 14312:11	14312:24 14313:10 14314:17 14322:6,7	14314:7 14323:22	uncertainty 14325:2
talks 14356:22 target 14315:13 targeted 14370:18,21 tasked 14292:4	14295:7 14298:24 14310:3,5 14312:11 14359:6 14361:25	14312:24 14313:10 14314:17 14322:6,7 14322:14,15 14323:1	14314:7 14323:22 troops 14340:8,14	<b>uncertainty</b> 14325:2 <b>understand</b> 14295:2,5
talks 14356:22 target 14315:13 targeted 14370:18,21 tasked 14292:4 tasking 14291:1	14295:7 14298:24 14310:3,5 14312:11 14359:6 14361:25 14377:9,12	14312:24 14313:10 14314:17 14322:6,7 14322:14,15 14323:1 14323:16,20	14314:7 14323:22 <b>troops</b> 14340:8,14 14342:15,16 14354:6	<b>uncertainty</b> 14325:2 <b>understand</b> 14295:2,5 14298:22 14305:17
talks 14356:22 target 14315:13 targeted 14370:18,21 tasked 14292:4	14295:7 14298:24 14310:3,5 14312:11 14359:6 14361:25	14312:24 14313:10 14314:17 14322:6,7 14322:14,15 14323:1	14314:7 14323:22 troops 14340:8,14	<b>uncertainty</b> 14325:2 <b>understand</b> 14295:2,5

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1437:2.4,14.15.20         1437:2.14         Weinesday 14287:24         14336:11.13 1434:34         14336:11.13 1434:34           1437:221 4352:20         vibble 14337:25         14288:17 14289:12         14336:11.13 1434:34         14336:11.13 1434:34           1435:51 1436:11         triable 1437:25         1436:81:12,67:12         14356:11.13 1434:34         1435:11.25           1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         14357:11.13,77:14         14355:11.13,17:17         14355:12.13,17:17           1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24           1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24           1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24           1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24           1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24           1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24           1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24         1437:24 1437:24 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Dago 12</th>					Dago 12
1432:20         1433:51         1434:51         1434:51         1434:51         1434:51         1435:51         <					Page 13
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					14304:3 14308:13
1435:13       1436:5       14338:23       14305:6       14338:23       14305:6       14337:4       14376:4       14376:4       14376:4       14376:4       14376:4       14376:4       14376:4       14376:4       14376:4       14377:4       14377:4       14388:5,14,20,21       14377:4       14387:16       14377:4       14382:10       14387:16       14385:14,20,21       14385:14,20,21       14386:16       14385:1       14386:16       14386:16       14386:16       14386:16       14386:16       14386:16       14386:16       14386:16       14386:18 <td< td=""><td>14327:20 14328:2,8,9</td><td>visible 14337:25</td><td>14288:17 14289:12</td><td>14344:9 14354:15</td><td>14321:20 14354:16</td></td<>	14327:20 14328:2,8,9	visible 14337:25	14288:17 14289:12	14344:9 14354:15	14321:20 14354:16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	14335:13 14343:14	<b>visit</b> 14346:16	14289:17 14293:8,17	14355:1,3,6,7,12	14354:16,25 14355:8
$\begin{split} 14370.81.125 & issuelly 1.4339.4 \\ 14371.131.4373.18 & Vito 1.43377.16.17.21 \\ 14371.141.4373.77.16.17.21 \\ 14372.21 & 14338.6.0.9 \\ 1433.77.16.17.20 \\ 14372.21 & 14338.6.0.9 \\ 1433.72.21 & 14338.6.0.9 \\ 1433.72.21 & 14338.6.0.9 \\ 1432.25.81 & 1432.01 & 14356.71 \\ 1432.25.81 & 1432.01 & 14356.71 \\ 1432.25.81 & 1432.11 & 14356.71 \\ 1436.10 & 1435.71 \\ 14376.17 & vait 1.4366.12 \\ 14372.21 & 14374.4 \\ unit 4.138.11.12 \\ unit 4.1316.12 \\ unit 1.4316.12 \\ unit 1.4366.23 \\ unit 1.4366.13 \\ unit 1.4366.19 \\ unit 1.4366.11 \\ unit 1.4366.12 \\ unit 1.4366.1$	14351:3 14360:11	<b>visual</b> 14290:20	14300:5,7 14301:6,21	14356:5,17,23	14370:4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	14365:8 14366:15	14338:23	14305:20,22	14357:1,7,10 14358:2	<b>you'd</b> 14317:8
1437:24       1437:22       1433:29       1433:29       1433:29       1432:21       1433:21       1433:22       1433:21       1433:22	14370:8,11,25	visually 14339:4	14306:24 14307:8	14358:5,14,20,21	14321:24
1437:24       1437:22       1433:29       1433:29       1433:29       1432:21       1433:21       1433:22       1433:21       1433:22	14371:13 14373:18	Vito 14337:7,16,17,21	14308:16 14313:10	14359:11,16 14360:2	<b>you'll</b> 14285:16
			14313:19 14314:16	14360:6 14361:2	14286:6 14320:13
	14377:4	14338:22 14339:5,8	14322:10 14324:11	wired 14356:7	14336:18
	understanding		14325:8 14354:8,11	wish 14295:12 14299:1	<b>you're</b> 14285:3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	14316:10 14353:21	W	14363:8 14367:21,22	witness 14347:22	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	14367:11.19.24	wait 14366:12			
$\begin{split} & \mbox{IA37321} (14374:4) & \mbox{IA3721} (1437:6) & \mbox{IA3721} (1437:6) & \mbox{IA3721} (1437:6) & \mbox{IA38212} (1437:6) & \mbox{IA38212} (1437:6) & \mbox{IA38222} & \mbox{IA38223} & \mbox{IA3823} & \mbox{IA38223} & \mbox{IA38231} & \mbox{IA38243} & \mbox{IA38223} & \mbox{IA38231} & \mbox{IA38243} & \mbox{IA38231} & \mbox{IA38243} & \mbox{IA38223} & \mbox{IA38231} & \mbox{IA38243} & \mbox{IA38231} & \mbox{IA382443} & \mbox{IA382443} & \mbox{IA382443} & \mbox{IA382443} & \mbox{IA382443443} & \mbox{IA3824434431} & \mbox{IA3824434431} & \mbox{IA3824434431} & \mbox{IA3824434431} & \mbox{IA3824434431} & \mbox{IA3824434431} & IA3824434434443444443444443444434444434444434444$		waiting 14288:8			
unrest 14318:16 (1430:23         valking 14334:5 (1430:24         vent 14290:10 (1430:27)         14380:7 (1430:27)         14360:7 (1430:27)         14360:7	·		8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14380:23       14361:5,11       14292:11 14310:3       Wonderkop 14330:23       14365:9,16 14375:8         updrate 14288:5       14296:31 44308:11       14376:13       Wond 14286:19 14300:9       14376:2         upgradie 14289:1       14309:14 1432:17       Word 14286:19 14300:9       14376:2       you''re 14286:25         upgradie 14289:1       1430:14 1432:1       Word 14284:19       14331:23 14318:17       14331:23 14318:17         use 1435:2:17 14357:13       14336:13 14343:41.8       14341:25 14380:13       14361:14 1436:13       14361:14 1436:13         weet 1438:16       14344:18       14341:25 14380:14       1432:12:23       14377:12       14377:12         1438:16       14344:13       14341:25 14380:13       14377:12       14377:12       14377:12         1436:12 1436:17       wee'll 4324:23 14322:1       14308:10 14325:15       14377:12       14378:19         1435:13       14345:17 14357:13       14345:17 14357:13       14381:16       14377:12       14378:19       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       14383:16       1438					-
vipgrading 14289:14         14300:14 14317:14         vernen't 14288:24         14357:11         14312:1432:15           14291:16         14320:21 14324:2         14352:17         14378:10         14317:24         14378:21           14318:10         14338:15 14334:1,5         set 1433:22 14336:3         wording 14292:15         wording 14292:17         14378:20           14338:15 14334:1,5         set 1433:12 1438:1,7         1431:1431:22 1438:17         14378:19         14376:21 14371:14           14348:16         1434:11         14380:21 1438:17         14380:21 1438:17         14376:21 14371:14         14370:23 14371:14           14348:16         1434:61 14331:27         14380:21 1438:17         14370:23 14371:14         14370:23 14371:14           1436:17 14357:13         1436:17 14357:13         14380:21 1438:17         14370:23 14371:14         14370:23 14371:14           1436:17 19         14356:13         1436:17 19         14370:13 1438:16         14370:13         14370:13 1438:16           varius 1436:2.2         varius 1436:2.2         1436:17 19,20         1438:16         1438:17         1438:17           14333:13         14355:13         varius 1436:2.2         1436:12 1436:17         1438:16         1438:17         1438:17           14333:12         14355:1450:144         varius 1436:2.2					
$\begin{array}{                                    $					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					14378:19
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	14348:16				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
vm14363:23vmvm14332:12,13,1614347:9 14358:414381:16,2114358:4various 14295:7,1814332:13 1434:314345:214382:5vorked 14325:152000000000000000000000000000000000000	·				
various 14295:7,18         14339:18 14345:22         14382:5         workel 14325:15         zoomed 14358:1,4           14350:18         14345:23 14347:3         we're 14311:25         14328:4 14357:5         Zoomed 14358:1,4           vehicle 14336:20         14365:15 14366:5         14317:10,13         workers 14357:15         I4328:4 14357:5         Zoomed 14358:1,4           14333:13         14351:3         14340:22 14341:4         14364:10 14374:1,12         00 14313:5           14332:10,23         wanting 14352:11,23         14366:12 14370:15         working 14292:1         08 14297:23           Vermaak 14356:13         14335:51 14351:1,23         14364:10 14363:23         09:09 14258:2         09:09 14258:3           Vermaak 14356:13         14335:14381:15         14377:15         working 14292:1         09:46 14298:3           14298:14 14298:16         143318:17         working 14292:1         09:46 14298:3         09:46 14298:3           14298:14 14307:17,23         14315:14 14344:6         14358:7         working 14329:1         09:46 14298:1           14298:14 14398:15         14378:12         14376:13         09:46 14298:1         09:46 14298:1           14298:14 14298:10         weis 14312:7,1         working 14299:10         working 14298:10         14388:1           14311:12,18         1		,		-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					<b>Zuma</b> 14291:24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>vehicle</b> 14336:20	14365:15 14366:5		workers 14357:15	
verbal 14327:10         wants 14362:23         14365:2 14367:18         14374:15,17         08 14297:23           14332:10,23         warning 14332:11,23         14365:12 14370:15         working 14292:1         09 14297:24           Vermaak 14356:13         14335:5 14350:14,15         14375:3,3 14376:17         working 14292:1         09:09 14298:3           Vermaak's 14357:19         waan't 14288:15         14375:3,3 14376:17         workl 14376:13         09:09 14285:2           14291:24 14298:16         14313:17,19 14314:4         14380:13 14381:15         14377:24         09:06 14295:1           14291:24 14298:1         14316:14314:29,14         14377:24         14380:13 14381:4         09:06 14295:1           14291:24 14313:7,7         14316:6 14351:25         we't 14320:9,10         worth 14360:2,6         114288:1 14296:17           14311:12,18         14359:3,5 14378:21         14306:18         14375:6 14376:19         14338:12,12           14329:5 14351:1         14379:22         waan't 14301:25         14360:18         14376:19         14368:15           14379:22         14302:5,12         14362:12         14344:24         14308:20 14328:42         14368:15           14379:22         14302:5,14332:24         whits 14341:18         writing 14294:10,17         1:30 14315:22           14376:11	vehicles 14332:7	wanting 14335:10	14340:22 14341:4	14361:4 14363:17	
14332:10,23         warning 14332:11,23         14369:12 14370:15         working 14292:1         09 14297:24           Vermaak 14356:13         14335:5 14350:14,15         14371:59 14373:3         14344:16 14363:23         09:09 14298:3           version 14285:9,11         14293:3,16 14313:8,8         14380:13 14381:15         14378:13 14381:4         09:09 14285:2           14291:24 14298:16         14313:17,19 14314:4         14380:13 14381:15         14377:24         1           14291:24 14298:16         14315:14 14344:6         14388:17         worse 14364:19         1           14307:17,23         14315:14 14344:6         14358:7         worth 14360:2,6         114288:11 14296:17           14313:11,12,18         14352:4,5 14353:12         we're 14297:2 14301:9         143375:6 14376:19         14338:21,21           14329:5 14355:1         14379:22         what's 14300:18         14375:6 14376:19         14368:24 14369:19           14339:6 14367:2         wasn't 14301:25         14336:21 14344:24         14368:15         14374:21 14369:17           1439:22         1430:6,1         14336:21 14344:24         14368:24 14369:19         14369:21 14374:10           14374:21 14379:19         14336:21 14344:24         14368:21 14349:17         14368:24 14369:19         14368:24 14369:19           14374:20 14375:1	14333:13	14351:3	14346:16 14364:15	14364:10 14374:1,12	000 14313:5
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	verbal 14327:10	wants 14362:23	14365:2 14367:18	14374:15,17	<b>08</b> 14297:23
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	14332:10,23	warning 14332:11,23	14369:12 14370:15	working 14292:1	<b>09</b> 14297:24
version 14285:9,1114293:3,16 14313:8,814380:13 14381:1514378:13 14381:409:46 14295:114291:24 14298:1614313:17,19 14314:414380:12 9,14worse 14364:1914377:2414298:17 14307:1314314:8,12,15we've 14312:9,1414377:2411288:1 14296:1714310:24 14313:7,714346:6 14351:25we'l 14299:10worth 14360:2,6114288:1 14296:1714312:9 14322:514359:3,5 14378:2114306:1814375:6 14376:1914338:2014322:9 14322:514359:3,5 14378:2114306:1814375:6 14376:1914348:22 14369:1914329:10 14329:15 14345:114379:22wasn't 14301:2514336:21 14344:2414308:20 14328:4,2314368:24 14369:1914379:2214307:12 14304:2214336:21 14344:2414308:20 14328:4,2314369:22 14374:1014368:24 14369:1914379:2214307:67 14324:2114334:27,79.23writing 14294:10,17130 14315:2214374:1114379:2214306:1,12,2214348:21 14349:23writing 14294:10,17130 14315:2214358:1514366:1,12,2214348:21 14349:23writen 14349:1714317:23 14318:4vicenity 14358:1514366:1,12,2214348:21 1439:23writen 14349:1714329:16 14336:1814370:414374:31,20who't 14364:1514305:5,6914374:20,24 14350:2,5914326:21 14374:1014379:414334:11,19who't 14364:1514306:21 14374:1014331:2014325:5 14328:21014291:7 14298:114370:414311:19 14331:20wire 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:110:25 1	Vermaak 14356:13	14335:5 14350:14,15	14371:5,9 14373:3	14344:16 14363:23	<b>09-03</b> 14298:3
version 14285:9,1114293:3,16 14313:8,814380:13 14381:1514378:13 14381:409:46 14295:114291:24 14298:1614313:17,19 14314:414380:12 9,14worse 14364:1914377:2414298:17 14307:1314314:8,12,15we've 14312:9,1414377:2411288:1 14296:1714310:24 14313:7,714346:6 14351:25we'l 14299:10worth 14360:2,6114288:1 14296:1714312:9 14322:514359:3,5 14378:2114306:1814375:6 14376:1914338:2014322:9 14322:514359:3,5 14378:2114306:1814375:6 14376:1914348:22 14369:1914329:10 14329:15 14345:114379:22wasn't 14301:2514336:21 14344:2414308:20 14328:4,2314368:24 14369:1914379:2214307:12 14304:2214336:21 14344:2414308:20 14328:4,2314369:22 14374:1014368:24 14369:1914379:2214307:67 14324:2114334:27,79.23writing 14294:10,17130 14315:2214374:1114379:2214306:1,12,2214348:21 14349:23writing 14294:10,17130 14315:2214358:1514366:1,12,2214348:21 14349:23writen 14349:1714317:23 14318:4vicenity 14358:1514366:1,12,2214348:21 1439:23writen 14349:1714329:16 14336:1814370:414374:31,20who't 14364:1514305:5,6914374:20,24 14350:2,5914326:21 14374:1014379:414334:11,19who't 14364:1514306:21 14374:1014331:2014325:5 14328:21014291:7 14298:114370:414311:19 14331:20wire 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:110:25 1	Vermaak's 14357:19	wasn't 14288:15	14375:3,3 14376:17	world 14376:13	<b>09:09</b> 14285:2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		,			1
14310:24 14313:7,7 14316:6 14351:25 14313:11,12,1814346:6 14351:25 14352:4,5 14353:12 14322:5we'll 14299:10 14342:4,5 14353:12 14352:4,5 14353:12 14322:5we'll 14299:10 14342:4,5 14353:12 14306:18wouldn't 14314:2,5,6 14343:20 14356:2 14375:6 14376:1914298:11 14333:19 14333:21,2114321:9 14322:514352:4,5 14353:12 14329:5 14345:114352:4,5 14320:8,10 14336:21 14344:24wouldn't 14298:6 14308:20 14328:4,23 14308:20 14328:4,2314368:15 14368:1514368:24 14369:19 14368:1514379:1214307:6,7 14324:9,17 14307:6,7 14324:9,17what's 14344:24 14338:24,14352:614368:15 14374:1014368:15 14374:1014379:2214307:6,7 14324:9,17 14338:11 14332:24whilst 14341:18 writing 14294:10,17 14338:24 14353:6writing 14294:10,17 14338:24 14353:61:30 14315:22 14337:21viable 14305:1014328:5 14332:24 14358:15whilst 14341:18 14346:11,2,22writing 14294:10,17 14346:211:30 14315:22 14338:24 14353:6video 14290:814366:1,12,22 14347:20 14344:2,7,9,23who'a 14354:2,7,9,23 14303:5 14310:31:4374:20,24 14375:2 14333:19,1314376:11 14377.7 14376:11 14377.7 14376:11 14377.7 14376:11 14377.7wat 14343:19,19 14310:15 14311:11 14315:12 14324:21 14335:20 14328:17 14335:14,14who'a 14364:15 14366:21 14374:1014374:1014346:8 14373:22 14337:11 1431:20 14332:14,47wire 14294:15 14336:1410:45 14336:9 14322:89 14312:91 10:45 14336:9viewable 14290:114346:8 14373:22 14337:1414332:1,44 14332:1,4414322:8,9 14323:21 14332:1,44					
14313:11,12,1814352:4,5 14353:12we've 14297:2 14301:914343:20 14356:214333:21,2114321:9 14322:514359:3,5 14378:2114306:1814375:6 14376:1914341:19 14358:2014329:5 14345:114379:22what's 14320:8,10wouldn't 14298:614368:24 14369:1914349:6 14367:2wasn't 14301:2514336:21 14344:2414308:20 14328:4,2314368:22 14374:1014373:12 14379:1914307:6,7 14324:9,17whits 14341:18writing 14294:10,1714368:22 14374:1014379:2214307:6,7 14324:9,17whits 14341:18writing 14294:10,1714301:4315:22viable 14305:1014328:5 14332:24white 14334:2,7,9,2314338:24 14353:614317:23 14318:4vicinity 14358:1514334:14 14365:1214349:23written 14349:1714329:16 14336:18video 14290:814366:1,12,2214349:24 14350:2,59wrong 14286:2214374:20,24 14375:214358:23,2514374:3,4,12,14who'd 14354:714305:15 14310:314377:1,1814376:11 14377:7water 14343:19,19who's 14319:814325:6 14328:210 14291:7 14298:114376:12 14374:1414344:2,2.5who're 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510 0:25 14322:1914374:1014310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114325:29 14312:9100 14360:17,18,1814374:1214377:4 14378:114331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14323:21100 14360:17,18,18viewable 14290:114377:4 14378:114331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14323:21100 14360:17,18,18viewable 14290:114377:4 14378:11433	-				
14321:914359:3,514378:2114306:1814375:614376:1914341:1914358:2014329:514367:2wasn't14301:2514336:2114320:8,1014308:2014308:2014368:2414369:19143773:1214307:6,714304:2214379:1814308:2014368:1514369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214369:2214374:1014369:2214369:2214374:1014369:2214369:2214369:2214374:1014369:2214369:2214369:2214374:1014369:2214369:2214374:1014315:2214374:1114315:2214374:1114315:2214374:1114317:2314315:2214374:1114317:2314315:214377:2314315:214377:2314315:214377:2314315:214377:2314315:214377:2314377:214349:2414350:2,5914303:5114377:2014377:2014346:2114377:214377:2114311:714325:614377:1114325:614377:1214325:614328:214336:910014366:1714338:1414328:1614338:1414328:1614338:1414338:1414338:1414328:1614338:1414328:1614338:1414328:1614338:1414328:1614338:1414328:1614338:1414328:1614338:1414328:1614338:1414338:1414338:1414338:1414338:1414338:					
14329:5 14345:114379:22what's 14320:8,10wouldn't 14298:614368:24 14369:1914349:6 14367:214303:12 14304:2214336:21 14344:2414308:20 14328:4,2314369:22 14374:1014379:2214307:6,7 14324:9,17whilst 14341:18writing 14294:10,1714368:24 14369:2214379:2214307:6,7 14324:9,17whilst 14341:18writing 14294:10,1714368:24 14369:22vicinity 14358:1514332:24uhilst 14341:18writing 14294:10,1714368:24 14369:22vicinity 14358:1514307:6,7 14324:9,17whilst 14341:18writing 14294:10,1714376:12video 14290:814366:1,12,2214349:24 14350:2,5,9wrong 14286:2214374:20 14336:1814376:11 14377:7uater 14343:19,19who's 14319:8uators 14306:21 14374:1014376:21 14374:1014377:414344:2,2,5who've 14364:15wrote 14294:1510 14291:7 14298:114374:1014310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114336:21 14374:1014338:1414374:1114310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114325:6 14328:210 14291:7 14298:114374:1114311:19 14343:2014330:14331:2014330:14331:2014336:14376:2114374:1214331:20 14332:17,1414322:8,9 14323:21100 14360:17,18,1814377:24weapons 14373:514333:19 14334:1414324:12,19100-metre 14360:141111291:8 14346:2114334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22		,			
14349:6 14367:2 14373:12 14379:19wasn't 14301:2514336:21 14344:2414308:20 14328:4,2314369:22 14374:1014373:12 14379:1914303:12 14304:2214379:1814368:1514374:1114379:2214307:6,7 14324:9,17whilst 14341:18writing 14294:10,171:30 14315:22viable 14305:1014328:5 14332:24whilst 14341:18writing 14294:10,171:30 14315:22vicinity 14358:1514334:14 14365:1214348:21 14349:23writen 14349:1714329:16 14336:18video 14290:814366:1,12,2214349:24 14350:2,5,9wrong 14286:2214374:20,24 14375:214358:23,2514374:3,4,12,14who'd 14354:714303:5 14310:314375:17,1814376:11 14377:7water 14343:19,19who's 14319:814325:6 14328:210 14291:7 14298:114379:414344:2,2,5who're 14304:4,714366:21 14374:1014338:14view 14311:7,15way 14288:23 14301:9wire 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:114374:1114311:19 14343:2014325:20 14328:1714325:20 14328:1710:45 1436:9view 14311:514377:4 14378:114331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14322:2110:45 1436:9viglant 14362:8,1014377:4 14378:114331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14322:2110.14360:17,18,18violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:4291:8 14366:21violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22		-			
14373:12 14379:1914303:12 14304:2214379:1814368:1514374:1114379:2214307:67 14324:9,17whilst 14341:18writing 14294:10,171:30 14315:22viable 14305:1014328:5 14332:24while 14334:2,79,2314338:24 14353:614317:23 14318:4vicinity 14358:1514334:14 14365:1214348:21 14349:23writen 14349:1714329:16 14336:18video 14290:814366:1,12,2214349:24 14350:2,59wrong 14286:2214374:20,24 14375:214358:23,2514374:3,4,12,14who'd 14354:714303:5 14310:314375:17,1814376:11 14377:7water 14343:19,19who's 14319:814325:6 14328:210 14291:7 14298:114379:414344:2,2,5who've 14364:1514366:21 14374:1014338:14view 14311:7,15way 14288:23 14301:9wire 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:114374:1114310:15 14311:111435:20 14328:1710:25 14322:1910:45 14336:9view 14311:7,15way 14288:23 14301:9wire 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:114374:1014331:20 1432:1114325:20 14328:1710:25 14322:1910:45 1436:9viewable 14290:114346:8 14373:2214331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14323:21100 14360:17,18,18vigilant 14362:8,1014377:4 14378:114332:8 14333:11,1314324:12,1911 14291:8 14346:2114377:24weapons 14373:514333:19 14334:14yesterday 14285:711:35 14346:2314337:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22			,		
14379:2214307:6,7 14324:9,17whilst 14341:18writing 14294:10,171:30 14315:22viable 14305:1014328:5 14332:24while 14334:2,7,9,2314338:24 14353:614317:23 14318:4vicinity 14358:1514334:14 14365:1214348:21 14349:23written 14349:1714329:16 14336:18video 14290:814366:1,12,2214349:24 14350:2,5,9wrong 14286:2214374:20,24 14375:214358:23,2514374:3,4,12,14who'd 14354:714303:5 14310:314375:17,1814376:11 14377:7water 14343:19,19who's 14319:814325:6 14328:210 14291:7 14298:114379:414344:2,2,5who've 14364:1514366:21 14374:1014338:14view 14311:7,15way 14288:23 14301:9wire 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:114347:20 14349:1814310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114336:910:45 14336:914374:1114311:19 14343:2014325:20 14328:1714322:8,9 14323:2110:45 1436:9viewable 14290:114346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:20year 14293:9 14312:9100 14360:17,18,18vigilant 14362:8,1014377;4 14378:114332:8 14333:11,1314322:8,9 14323:21100-metre 14360:1414377:24weapons 14373:514333:19 14334:14yesterday 14285:711:35 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22					
viable 14305:1014328:5 14332:24white 14334:2,7,9,2314338:24 14353:614317:23 14318:4vicinity 14358:1514334:14 14365:1214348:21 14349:23written 14349:1714329:16 14336:18video 14290:814366:1,12,2214349:24 14350:2,5,9wrong 14286:2214374:20,24 14375:214358:23,2514374:3,4,12,14who'd 14354:714303:5 14310:314375:17,1814379:414344:2,2,5who's 14319:814325:6 14328:210 14291:7 14298:114347:20 14349:1814310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:1514366:21 14374:1014338:1414374:1114310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114325:20 14328:1710:05 14309:114374:1114346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:20year 14293:9 14312:9100 14360:17,18,18viewable 14290:114377:4 14378:114332:8 14333:11,1314322:8,9 14323:21100-metre 14360:14violence 14318:1514377:5 13 14379:1414333:19 14334:1414324:12,1911 14291:8 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22					
vicinity 14358:1514334:14 14365:1214348:21 14349:23written 14349:1714329:16 14336:18video 14290:814366:1,12,2214349:24 14350:2,5,9wrong 14286:2214374:20,24 14375:214358:23,2514374:3,4,12,14who'd 14354:7wrong 14286:2214374:20,24 14375:214376:11 14377:714344:2,2,5who's 14319:814325:6 14328:214375:17,1814379:414344:2,2,5who's 14319:814325:6 14328:210 14291:7 14298:114347:20 14349:1814310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114366:21 14374:1014338:1414374:1114310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114336:910:05 14309:114374:1114310:15 14311:1114325:20 14328:1710:05 14309:110:05 14309:114374:1114346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:20year 14293:9 14312:9100 14360:17,18,18viewable 14290:114377:4 14378:114332:8 14333:11,1314322:8,9 14323:21100 14360:17,18,18violence 14318:1514375:13 14379:1414333:19 14334:1414324:12,1911:14291:8 14346:2114377:24weapons 14373:514333:19 14334:1414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22					
video14290:814366:1,12,2214349:2414350:2,5,9wrong14286:2214374:20,2414375:214358:23,2514374:3,4,12,14who'd14354:714303:514310:314375:17,1814376:1114377:7water14343:19,19who's14319:814325:614328:214375:17,1814379:414344:2,2,5who've14364:1514366:2114374:1014338:14view14310:1514310:1514311:1114315:1214324:2114325:2014328:1714374:1114311:1914332:2014325:2014328:1710:2514336:9viewable14290:114346:814373:2214330:1114331:20year14322:8,914323:21vigilant14381:1214331:2014332:1,4,414322:8,914323:2110014360:17,18,18violence14377:24weapons14373:514333:1914334:17,21,2414287:214287:214287:214286:23violent14335:6,1014375:1314379:1414334:17,21,2414287:214287:211:5414360:22					
14358:23,2514374:3,4,12,14who'd 14354:714303:5 14310:314375:17,1814376:11 14377:7water 14343:19,19who's 14319:814303:5 14310:314375:17,1814379:414344:2,2,5who've 14364:1514366:21 14374:1014338:14view 14311:7,15way 14288:23 14301:9wire 14304:4,714366:21 14374:1014338:1414347:20 14349:1814310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114325:20 14328:1710:25 14322:1914374:1114311:19 14343:2014325:20 14328:1714325:20 14328:1710:45 14336:9viewable 14290:114346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:20year 14293:9 14312:9100 14360:17,18,18vigilant 14362:8,1014377:4 14378:114332:8 14333:11,1314324:12,19100 0-metre 14360:14violence 14318:1514375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:55 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22					
14376:11 14377:7 14379:4water 14343:19,19 14344:2,2,5who's 14319:8 who've 14364:15 wire 14304:4,714325:6 14328:2 14366:21 14374:10 wrote 14294:1510 14291:7 14298:1 14338:1414347:20 14349:18 14374:1114310:15 14311:11 14311:19 14343:2014325:20 14324:21 14325:20 14328:17 14325:20 14328:1714325:20 14329:15 14325:20 14328:1710 14291:7 14298:1 14315:12 14324:21 10:05 14309:1viewable 14290:1 viewable 14290:1 viewable 14290:1 14346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:20 14377:4 14378:1 					
14379:414344:2,2,5who've 14364:1514366:21 14374:1014338:14view 14311:7,15way 14288:23 14301:9wire 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:114347:20 14349:1814310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:21wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:114374:1114311:19 14343:2014325:20 14328:17wrote 14293:9 14312:910:45 14336:9viewable 14290:114346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:20year 14293:9 14312:9100 14360:17,18,18vigilant 14362:8,1014377:4 14378:114332:8 14333:11,1314322:8,9 14323:21100-metre 14360:14violence 14318:1514381:1214332:8 14333:11,1314324:12,1911 14291:8 14346:2114377:24weapons 14373:514333:19 14334:14yesterday 14285:711:35 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22					
view 14311:7,15way 14288:23 14301:9wire 14304:4,7wrote 14294:1510:05 14309:114347:20 14349:1814310:15 14311:1114315:12 14324:2114325:20 14328:1710:25 14322:1914374:1114311:19 14343:2014325:20 14328:1714325:20 14328:1710:45 14336:9viewable 14290:114346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:2014322:8,9 14323:21100 14360:17,18,18vigilant 14362:8,1014377:4 14378:114331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14323:21100-metre 14360:14violence 14318:1514381:1214332:8 14333:11,1314324:12,1911 14291:8 14346:2114377:24weapons 14373:514333:19 14334:14yesterday 14285:711:35 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22					
14347:20 14349:18 14374:1114310:15 14311:11 14311:19 14343:2014315:12 14324:21 14325:20 14328:17 14330:11 14331:2010:25 14322:19 10:45 14336:9viewable 14290:1 vigilant 14362:8,10 violence 14318:15 14377:2414377:4 14378:1 14375:13 14379:141431:20 14332:1,4,4 14331:20 14332:1,4,4 14331:20 14332:1,4,4 14331:20 14332:1,4,4Io:25 14322:19 10:45 14336:9violence 14318:15 14377:2414377:4 14378:1 14375:13 14379:1414331:20 14332:1,4,4 14331:20 14332:1,4,4 14331:20 14332:1,4,4Io:25 14322:19 100 14360:17,18,18 14322:8,9 14323:21 14322:8,9 14323:21violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,24Iu:25 14296:13					
14374:1114311:19 14343:2014325:20 14328:17Y10:45 14336:9viewable 14290:114346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:2014330:11 14331:2014322:8,9 14323:21100 14360:17,18,18vigilant 14362:8,1014377:4 14378:114331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14323:21100-metre 14360:14violence 14318:1514381:1214332:8 14333:11,1314324:12,1911 14291:8 14346:2114377:24weapons 14373:514333:19 14334:14yesterday 14285:711:35 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22				wrote 14294:15	
viewable 14290:114346:8 14373:2214330:11 14331:20year 14293:9 14312:9100 14360:17,18,18vigilant 14362:8,1014377:4 14378:114331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14323:21100 14360:17,18,18violence 14318:1514381:1214332:8 14333:11,1314324:12,1911 14291:8 14346:2114377:24weapons 14373:514337:9 14334:1414334:17,21,24yesterday 14285:711:35 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22	/ 31 1 1/36-				
vigilant 14362:8,1014377:4 14378:114331:20 14332:1,4,414322:8,9 14323:21100-metre 14360:14violence 14318:1514381:1214332:8 14333:11,1314324:12,1911 14291:8 14346:2114377:24weapons 14373:514337:19 14334:14yesterday 14285:711:35 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22					
violence14318:1514381:1214332:8 14333:11,1314324:12,1911 14291:8 14346:2114377:24weapons14373:514333:19 14334:14yesterday14285:711:35 14346:23violent14375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22	Di 11 District				
14377:24weapons 14373:514333:19 14334:14yesterday 14285:711:35 14346:23violent 14335:6,1014375:13 14379:1414334:17,21,2414287:2 14296:1311:54 14360:22				-	
violent         14335:6,10         14375:13         14379:14         14334:17,21,24         14287:2         14296:13         11:54         14360:22				-	
		Prove Ward and Art And			
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE			14334:17,21,24	14287:2 14296:13	<b>11:54</b> 14360:22
	ARCHIVE FO	RJUSTICE			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

				Page
<b>12</b> 14322:4 14351:10	<b>204</b> 14380:7	<b>64</b> 14298:10 14305:6		5
14374:7	<b>25</b> 14312:22 14351:9	14361:7		
<b>12.2</b> 14326:8	<b>26</b> 14285:1	<b>65</b> 14302:4		
<b>12.3</b> 14316:4 14326:8	<b>262</b> 14319:19 14320:8,8	<b>67</b> 14304:11 14313:22		
<b>12.4</b> 14316:1	14320:12,15	<b>684</b> 14356:14		
<b>12.5</b> 14316:1 14318:21	<b>262's</b> 14320:15	<b>686</b> 14356:14		
	<b>202 S</b> 14320.13	000 14350.14		
<b>12:14</b> 14375:8	3	7		
<b>12:30</b> 14351:6				
<b>13th</b> 14290:9	<b>3</b> 14293:4 14294:11	7 14322:4 14380:15		
<b>13:30</b> 14345:20	14296:17 14298:11	<b>7.2.1.6</b> 14369:12		
14h00 14368:23	14299:12,12,25	<b>7.2.6.1</b> 14369:12		
14369:7	14301:15 14303:15	<b>7.21.6.2</b> 14369:1		
<b>14th</b> 14381:15,18	14313:5,21 14314:23	<b>7.21.6.3</b> 14369:4		
14382:4,5	14314:24,25 14315:5	14370:1		
<b>14:30</b> 14342:8,9	14316:6,7 14321:5	<b>7.25</b> 14368:20 14369:6		
<b>14246</b> 14322:4,4	14322:18 14325:22	<b>7.27</b> 14369:21		
<b>14251</b> 14322:19	14325:23 14326:9	700 14359:22		
<b>149</b> 14330:3	14327:1 14329:4	<b>74</b> 14314:17		
<b>15</b> 14338:14	14351:24 14358:21	<b>79</b> 14316:3		
<b>15th</b> 14300:12,17	14359:4 14363:9			
<b>15:00</b> 14340:11	14367:4 14369:2,13	8		
<b>15:15</b> 14340:3	14371:13 14372:9,18	<b>8</b> 14294:2 14322:19		
<b>15:30</b> 14340:25	14371:13 14372:9,18	<b>8.15</b> 14361:1		
14341:1 14342:4,6 <b>150</b> 14220:4 10 10	14373:20 14374:25	<b>8.22</b> 14299:21,23		
<b>150</b> 14330:4,19,19	14375:17,20,25	14302:24 14303:3		
<b>16</b> 14312:24	14376:21 14377:2,22	<b>8.22.1</b> 14361:3		
<b>16th</b> 14292:5,13,18	14378:16	<b>8.22.3</b> 14361:7		
14300:13,16 14301:1	<b>3rd</b> 14298:6	<b>8.23</b> 14305:5,17		
14307:22,22 14310:3	<b>3.2</b> 14356:14	<b>8.24</b> 14302:4		
14322:17 14341:6	<b>3:30</b> 14375:21	<b>8.26</b> 14304:11,14,15		
14355:19,21,24	<b>34</b> 14310:4,6	14313:23		
14356:14 14357:22		<b>8.27</b> 14304:14		
14358:3 14359:15,24	4	<b>80</b> 14316:1		
14366:17	<b>4</b> 14371:10,10 14380:5			
<b>17th</b> 14291:10	<b>45</b> 14306:17 14340:1	9		
<b>18th</b> 14291:10	<b>46</b> 14369:1	<b>9</b> 14373:1,3		
14358:18	40 14309.1	<b>9.4</b> 14314:18		
	5			
<b>181</b> 14330:8,9,9		<b>9:30</b> 14376:8,13,24		
14332:1 14333:14	<b>5</b> 14334:22 14371:10,10	14377:6,9 14378:13		
<b>193</b> 14333:17	<b>50</b> 14327:23 14330:3	14381:4,18 14382:5		
	14362:12,13,18	<b>9:40</b> 14357:18,18		
2	14363:18 14364:12	<b>9:40:32</b> 14357:19		
<b>2</b> 14287:23 14288:3	14368:20			
14291:4 14293:5,24	<b>51</b> 14369:21			
14296:17 14298:11	<b>55</b> 14305:9			
14302:18 14314:22	<b>56</b> 14288:6			
14314:23 14316:23				
14317:19 14325:19	6			
14329:20 14333:19	<b>6</b> 14292:14 14307:9			
14351:24 14358:3,20	14313:13 14322:19			
14367:5 14372:6,9,11	14315:13 14322:19			
14372:17,22 14373:2	14323.10 14323.24			
14376:21	,			
<b>2:30</b> 14329:2,16	14332:17 14334:18			
-	14334:22 14335:2			
14340:2	14336:11,18,21			
<b>20</b> 14322:13 14338:16	14337:1 14343:5			
14338:19,20	14363:4 14366:17			
14339:11 14340:13	14367:1 14368:25			
14340:15 14352:7	14372:3			
20/20 14312:10	6AM 14314:16			
2001/2 14320:1	14321:13,19			
2003/4 14319:25	<b>6.10</b> 14352:8			
2012 14312:24	6:00am 14307:22			
<b>2012-08-03</b> 14296:9	<b>60</b> 14360:25			
14297:21	<b>63</b> 14299:23 14351:23			
a man I have a set by	14361:3			
2013 14285:1	1361.4			