RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 131 10 SEPTEMBER 2013

PAGES 13858 TO 14048



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: <u>realtime@pixie.co.za</u> Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Page 13858		Page 13860
1	[PROCEEDINGS ON 10 SEPTEMBER 2013]	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON (CONTD.):
2	[09:16] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2	We're still working on the hard drive, Chairperson. It
3	We've received some correspondence from the Human Rights	3	does contain several thousand documents. But Colonel,
4	Commission dealing with certain matters, particularly in	4	before we move off from your homework, I just skimmed this
5	relation to information outstanding from the police. I've	5	document and I'm grateful to you for having produced it.
6	spoken to the attorney who represents the police and asked	6	It seems to me that there may have been three omissions on
7	him to attend to the matter, and he says it has been; I'm	7	it, which we can quickly cure now. If you go to slide 98
8	not sure whether it is entirely so, but he did say he	8	on the second page of the document, the source there I
9	thought it appropriate that there should be a meeting	9	would – because it's a Warrant-Officer Nong(?) video, would
10	between his side, as it were, and the representatives of	10	be SAPS, would it not?
11	the Human Rights Commission. I'm pleased to see Mr	11	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you went too fast
12	Fischer, barrister-at-law, with us today. May I suggest	12	for me. Which number is this?
13	that during the tea adjournment or during the lunchtime he	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 98.
14	and Mr Pretorius could get together and see whether a way	14	CHAIRPERSON: What must we write in that?
15	could be found to deal with at least some of the problems	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: I would just ask the
16	that have been raised. Did I barrister-at-law? Mr	16	Colonel to confirm that on slide 98 against 052.MTS the
17	Fischer, counsel, sorry.	17	source would have been SAPS.
18	MR FISCHER: Thank you, Chair. Ms Hardy,	18	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
19	instructing attorney, will be here at lunchtime, so perhaps	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: And then on slide 192
20	she can join in that meeting.	20	Mr Green.jpg, I'll need guidance from the Colonel, my
21	CHAIRPERSON: No, I think – look, it's	21	instinct would be that the source would be media, but the
22	important that we get as much cooperation from the various	22	Colonel may be able to confirm that.
23	parties as we can because, and that the genuine concerns	23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I was also under
24	that have been raised from various sides are addressed. So	24	that impression that it was media.
25	perhaps a meeting over the lunch hour would help to achieve	25	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, 192?
1	Page 13859	1	Page 13861 MR CHASKAI SON SC: 192
1	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192.
2	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see.
2 3	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath.	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is –
2 3 4	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o.	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS.
2 3 4 5	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson.	2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is
2 3 4 5 6	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we	2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for
2 3 4 5 6 7	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC:192.CHAIRPERSON:I see.MR CHASKALSON SC:And 201 is –COLONEL SCOTT:SAPS.MR CHASKALSON SC:- is SAPS, isphotographs taken by SAPS.And that seems to account foreverything.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemrajasked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that? CHAIRPERSON: Well, once he comes back in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: No objection, Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that? CHAIRPERSON: Well, once he comes back in the room, let him come back to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: No objection, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The exhibit is so marked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that? CHAIRPERSON: Well, once he comes back in the room, let him come back to me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: No objection, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The exhibit is so marked. Thank you, Colonel. I'm sorry, I always feel sorry for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that? CHAIRPERSON: Well, once he comes back in the room, let him come back to me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if we can then pick up from where we were in yesterday's testimony,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: No objection, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The exhibit is so marked. Thank you, Colonel. I'm sorry, I always feel sorry for witnesses when they're given homework assignments to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that? CHAIRPERSON: Well, once he comes back in the room, let him come back to me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if we can then pick up from where we were in yesterday's testimony, and just to recap, you were shown footage of the missing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: No objection, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The exhibit is so marked. Thank you, Colonel. I'm sorry, I always feel sorry for witnesses when they're given homework assignments to do overnight, but you accepted the assignment very gracefully	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that? CHAIRPERSON: Well, once he comes back in the room, let him come back to me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if we can then pick up from where we were in yesterday's testimony, and just to recap, you were shown footage of the missing Nel video 229 and you'd agreed that it showed footage of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: No objection, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The exhibit is so marked. Thank you, Colonel. I'm sorry, I always feel sorry for witnesses when they're given homework assignments to do overnight, but you accepted the assignment very gracefully and we're very grateful to you for what you've done. There	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that? CHAIRPERSON: Well, once he comes back in the room, let him come back to me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if we can then pick up from where we were in yesterday's testimony, and just to recap, you were shown footage of the missing Nel video 229 and you'd agreed that it showed footage of Sergeant Venter throwing a stun grenade from the helicopter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that result, and possibly will be helpful also if one or other of the evidence leaders were present as well. Thank you. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we asked Colonel Scott yesterday to produce a supplemented version of exhibit JJJ63, which would identify the source of the various photographs and videos, and we're grateful to the Colonel for having done so. The document has just been handed up to the Commissioners. I wonder if we can mark it exhibit JJJ63A? CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63 – MR CHASKALSON SC: Capital A. CHAIRPERSON: JJJ63A. Do you have any objection to that, Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: No objection, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: The exhibit is so marked. Thank you, Colonel. I'm sorry, I always feel sorry for witnesses when they're given homework assignments to do overnight, but you accepted the assignment very gracefully and we're very grateful to you for what you've done. There was a counter-assignment voluntarily undertaken by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: 192. CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 201 is – COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS. MR CHASKALSON SC: - is SAPS, is photographs taken by SAPS. And that seems to account for everything. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, Adv Hemraj asked me a question which I don't know the answer, but I'm sure you do. Which file must this exhibit JJJ63A be inserted in once it's been duly punched? I supposed it's to go after 63. JJJ63 is in which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, the man who can give me an answer to that I'm afraid has just left the room, but can we come back to you on that? CHAIRPERSON: Well, once he comes back in the room, let him come back to me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if we can then pick up from where we were in yesterday's testimony, and just to recap, you were shown footage of the missing Nel video 229 and you'd agreed that it showed footage of Sergeant Venter throwing a stun grenade from the helicopter that was commanded by Brigadier Fritz in a position which

1	Page 13862	1	Page 13864
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: And I'd read to you	1	statement from Sergeant Venter in due course. For present
2	Sergeant Venter's explanation in her pocketbook for her use	2	purposes I would merely point out to the Commission that it
3	of stun grenades, and just to remind you, the entry is at	3	would be highly unlikely that she was referring to anything
4	in her pocketbook, which is exhibit JJJ55, and I'll	4	that happened at scene 1 because she only got into the –
5	read it again. "Tydens lug steun sien Sersant Venter hoe	5	CHAIRPERSON: Ja well, that's an argument
6	stakers op die SAPD lede afstorm met pangas, knopkieries.	6	to – I suppose theoretically it's possible, but let's hear
7	Het ook gesien dat sommige lede van die stakers handwapens	7	what she has to say. If necessary, she can be cross-
8	het. Verleen hulp aan SAPD, gooi drie 'stun grenades' om	8	examined.
9	stakers met pangas en knopkieries uitmekaar te jaag om te	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the second missing
10	verhoed dat SAPD lede verder aangeval word, asook om te	10	video from Captain Nel's series was video 230. We don't
11	help dat SAP lede persone met wapens kan arresteer." And	11	need to play it, unless you'd like to play it, but if I can
12	you had conceded that you were unaware of any incidents one	12	just show you a screenshot from .24 seconds into that video
13	kilometre to the west of koppie 3 where members of SAPS had	13	- it will be JJJ71, and the screenshot is at 24 seconds of
14	been charged at by a group of strikers armed with pangas,	14	the video. We've printed out a copy for the Commissioners;
15	knobkieries, or handguns.	15	it's at page 183 of file 1. 183 of file 1.
16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I wasn't aware of	16	CHAIRPERSON: Has the witness got a copy,
17	anything that happened that far out.	17	or must I lend him my file 1?
18	CHAIRPERSON: That of course was hearsay,	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: It is on the screen
19	but as I understood your evidence, you had made it your	19	behind you, Chairperson.
20	business to endeavour to obtain information, as much	20	COLONEL SCOTT: I'm happy with that,
21	information as you could –	21	Chair.
22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	22	CHAIRPERSON: I understand you haven't
23	CHAIRPERSON: - about what happened	23	been favoured with a set of files because you were given
24	there. So if that had happened, one would have expected	24	the references, of course, but if you ever feel the need to
25	that incident to have been reported to you. Is that	25	look at the file, just let me know and I'll lend you mine.
	Dogo 13043		Dogo 130/F
1	Page 13863 correct?	1	Page 13865 COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.
1 2	correct?	1	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.
1 2 3	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the	1 2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you
2	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a
2 3	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think	2 3	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?
2 3 4	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a	2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?COLONEL SCOTT:Warrant-Officer, Sergeant
2 3 4 5	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again	2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?
2 3 4 5 6	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to	2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?COLONEL SCOTT:Warrant-Officer, SergeantVenter, yes. I agree.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thank you. I'd now
2 3 4 5 6 7	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again	2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?COLONEL SCOTT:Warrant-Officer, SergeantVenter, yes. I agree.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thank you. I'd nowlike to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?COLONEL SCOTT:Warrant-Officer, SergeantVenter, yes. I agree.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thank you. I'd nowlike to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha inrelation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?COLONEL SCOTT:Warrant-Officer, SergeantVenter, yes. I agree.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thank you. I'd nowlike to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha inrelation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turnto page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?COLONEL SCOTT:Warrant-Officer, SergeantVenter, yes. I agree.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thank you. I'd nowlike to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha inrelation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turnto page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 ofthe transcript can be put up on the screen so that you cansee it in front of you? I must apologise for this;apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of anything that happened that far from scene 2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?COLONEL SCOTT:Warrant-Officer, SergeantVenter, yes. I agree.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thank you. I'd nowlike to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha inrelation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turnto page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 ofthe transcript can be put up on the screen so that you cansee it in front of you? I must apologise for this;apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence ofColonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. Chairperson, in thedefence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's apocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I thinkit would be more accurate should she deliver a statement onthe issue, because a pocketbook is something once againdone in hindsight where she would simply be trying tocapture the events of the day, as a police officer would,and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think astatement from her side would provide. Because I think,with all respect, she is referring to incidents thathappened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, youknow, that distance from the – because I'm not aware ofanything that happened that far from scene 2.CHAIRPERSON:To be fair, if something of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:Okay.MR CHASKALSON SC:And Colonel, would youagree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be astun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter?COLONEL SCOTT:Warrant-Officer, SergeantVenter, yes. I agree.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thank you. I'd nowlike to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha inrelation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turnto page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 ofthe transcript can be put up on the screen so that you cansee it in front of you? I must apologise for this;apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence ofColonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like thehardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of anything that happened that far from scene 2. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, if something of that kind had happened –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this; apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of Colonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the hardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At 427 Colonel Botha was being questioned by Mr Mpofu, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of anything that happened that far from scene 2. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, if something of that kind had happened – COLONEL SCOTT: I would know about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this; apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of Colonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the hardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At 427 Colonel Botha was being questioned by Mr Mpofu, and from line 10 the testimony proceeds as follows. Mr Mpofu
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. Chairperson, in thedefence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's apocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I thinkit would be more accurate should she deliver a statement onthe issue, because a pocketbook is something once againdone in hindsight where she would simply be trying tocapture the events of the day, as a police officer would,and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think astatement from her side would provide. Because I think,with all respect, she is referring to incidents thathappened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, youknow, that distance from the – because I'm not aware ofanything that happened that far from scene 2.CHAIRPERSON:To be fair, if something ofthat kind had happened –COLONEL SCOTT:I would know about it.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it one would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this; apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of Colonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the hardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At 427 Colonel Botha was being questioned by Mr Mpofu, and from line 10 the testimony proceeds as follows. Mr Mpofu
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of anything that happened that far from scene 2. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, if something of that kind had happened – COLONEL SCOTT: I would know about it. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it one would have expected that to have been reported to you when you sought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this; apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of Colonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the hardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At 427 Colonel Botha was being questioned by Mr Mpofu, and from line 10 the testimony proceeds as follows. Mr Mpofu says, "Your evidence earlier was that part of the activities of the people in your helicopter was to throw a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of anything that happened that far from scene 2. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, if something of that kind had happened – COLONEL SCOTT: I would know about it. CHAIRPERSON: -I take it one would have expected that to have been reported to you when you sought to obtain as much information as you could about what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this; apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of Colonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the hardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At 427 Colonel Botha was being questioned by Mr Mpofu, and from line 10 the testimony proceeds as follows. Mr Mpofu says, "Your evidence earlier was that part of the activities of the people in your helicopter was to throw a stun grenade, two stun grenades, if I am correct. Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of anything that happened that far from scene 2. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, if something of that kind had happened – COLONEL SCOTT: I would know about it. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it one would have expected that to have been reported to you when you sought to obtain as much information as you could about what happened. Is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this; apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of Colonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the hardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At 427 Colonel Botha was being questioned by Mr Mpofu, and from line 10 the testimony proceeds as follows. Mr Mpofu says, "Your evidence earlier was that part of the activities of the people in your helicopter was to throw a stun grenade, two stun grenades, if I am correct. Is that right?" Lieutenant-Colonel Botha, "That is correct, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of anything that happened that far from scene 2. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, if something of that kind had happened – COLONEL SCOTT: I would know about it. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it one would have expected that to have been reported to you when you sought to obtain as much information as you could about what happened. Is that right? COLONEL SCOTT: Exactly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this; apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of Colonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the hardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At 427 Colonel Botha was being questioned by Mr Mpofu, and from line 10 the testimony proceeds as follows. Mr Mpofu says, "Your evidence earlier was that part of the activities of the people in your helicopter was to throw a stun grenade, two stun grenades, if I am correct. Is that right?" Lieutenant-Colonel Botha, "That is correct, Mr Chair." Mr Mpofu, "At whom or at what? What was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Chairperson, in the defence of Warrant-Officer Venter as well, it's a pocketbook entry which is made after the fact and I think it would be more accurate should she deliver a statement on the issue, because a pocketbook is something once again done in hindsight where she would simply be trying to capture the events of the day, as a police officer would, and not necessarily in the accuracy that I think a statement from her side would provide. Because I think, with all respect, she is referring to incidents that happened possibly at scene 1 or scene 2 and not where, you know, that distance from the – because I'm not aware of anything that happened that far from scene 2. CHAIRPERSON: To be fair, if something of that kind had happened – COLONEL SCOTT: I would know about it. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it one would have expected that to have been reported to you when you sought to obtain as much information as you could about what happened. Is that right? COLONEL SCOTT: Exactly. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a very fair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, would you agree that what we see in this screenshot appears to be a stun grenade in the right hand of Sergeant Venter? COLONEL SCOTT: Warrant-Officer, Sergeant Venter, yes. I agree. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you. I'd now like to refer you to the evidence of Colonel Botha in relation to the stun grenades, and for that we need to turn to page 427 of the transcript, and I wonder if page 427 of the transcript can be put up on the screen so that you can see it in front of you? I must apologise for this; apparently it cannot be put up. Can I read the evidence of Colonel Botha at page 427, and if you would like the hardcopy, we'll get a hardcopy made available for you. At 427 Colonel Botha was being questioned by Mr Mpofu, and from line 10 the testimony proceeds as follows. Mr Mpofu says, "Your evidence earlier was that part of the activities of the people in your helicopter was to throw a stun grenade, two stun grenades, if I am correct. Is that right?" Lieutenant-Colonel Botha, "Sir, it was an

1	Page 13866 emphasise, "Sir, it was an operation proceeding taken by	1	Page 13868 Prigadior Eritz did not provide any explanation for the
2	Brigadier Fritz. He just gave the instruction."	2	Brigadier Fritz did not provide any explanation for the throwing of the stun grenades. He only asserted that he
3	Then later in the evidence of Colonel Botha he	3	hadn't given an order for them to be thrown; he had
4	was questioned by Mr Burger for Lonmin –	4	permitted them to be thrown. So in advance of, well, in
4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Page?	4 5	the expectation of the testimony of Brigadier Fritz before
6	MR CHASKALSON SC: 579 at the foot of the		
7		6	this Commission, the evidence leaders sent Brigadier Fritz
8	page, line 24 to over the page 580, and Mr Burger asked him, "Who gave the order for stun grenades to be fired?"		a list of questions which we wanted him to address in his
8 9	and the answer at the top of page 580, Lieutenant-Colonel	8	supplementary statement, and one of those concerned the
		9	purpose Brigadier Fritz sought to achieve in authorising
10 11	Botha, "Brigadier Fritz," and then later on at page 586 Mr Burger continued, "On the third possible function, namely	10 11	the throwing of the stun grenades, and then about a week
			ago we received Brigadier Fritz's supplementary statement, which is dated 4 August 2013 - I suspect it should be 4
12	that of crowd control, we do not have enough facts yet to	12	- ·
13	make a submission, so may I ask you why was it necessary to	13	September 2013 because, but nothing turns on that – and
14	fire stun grenades at the crowd when it was done?" and the	14	we'd like to introduce that statement as exhibit JJJ72, and it is at more $\Omega(4 \text{ of file } 2.2 \text{ ot})$
15	answer of Lieutenant-Colonel Botha was, "Sir, that's an	15	it is at page 864 of file 3.2 at –
16	operational call that was made by Brigadier Fritz. I don't	16	CHAIRPERSON: File?
17 18	know. You'll have to ask him." So the repeated evidence of Colonel Botha was that the instruction to throw stun	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Of file 3.2, and if we can call up JJJ72, and if we can go to paragraph 7. It's
10		18 19	
20	grenades came from your commanding officer in the STF, Brigadier Fritz.		again paragraph 7 in which the issue of the stun grenades
20	COLONEL SCOTT: I hear so, yes.	20 21	is addressed, and there Brigadier Fritz says the following under the heading 'Stun Grenades,' "I did not know at the
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you're happy to	22	time what the name of the person was who threw the stun
22	accept that on the reading of the transcript that I've	22	grenades from the chopper. I now know that it was the air
23	given to you? You don't need to read the transcript	23	law enforcement officer, Sergeant Venter. I did not
24	yourself?	24	instruct that stun grenades be thrown from the chopper; I
25	Joursen:	20	instruct that start grendles be thrown non-the chopper, i
	Page 13867		Page 13869
1	Page 13867 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes.	1	Page 13869 permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used
1 2		1 2	6
	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes.		permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used
2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha	2	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the
2 3	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for	2 3	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order
2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend forSAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of	2 3 4	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of
2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend forSAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues ofColonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the	2 3 4 5	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's	2 3 4 5 6	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades	2 3 4 5 6 7	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend forSAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues ofColonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of therecord, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha'sevidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenadescame from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend forSAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues ofColonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of therecord, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha'sevidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenadescame from Brigadier Fritz.You're happy to accept that?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thereafter BrigadierFritz furnished his first statement.That's exhibit GGG8,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend forSAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues ofColonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of therecord, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha'sevidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenadescame from Brigadier Fritz.You're happy to accept that?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thereafter Brigadier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend forSAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues ofColonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of therecord, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha'sevidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenadescame from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thereafter BrigadierFritz furnished his first statement.That's exhibit GGG8,which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder ifwe can call that up.And if we can go down to paragraph 7,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend forSAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues ofColonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of therecord, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha'sevidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenadescame from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thereafter BrigadierFritz furnished his first statement.That's exhibit GGG8,which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder ifwe can call that up.And if we can go down to paragraph 7,which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now when Colonel Bothatestified on the 30th of October, my learned friend forSAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues ofColonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of therecord, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha'sevidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenadescame from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Thereafter BrigadierFritz furnished his first statement.That's exhibit GGG8,which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder ifwe can call that up.And if we can go down to paragraph 7,which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "Duringthe flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres from another crowd. The chopper was between 20 and 30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having blankets around them, in order for the police to identify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres from another crowd. The chopper was between 20 and 30 metres from the ground. She had not been instructed to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having blankets around them, in order for the police to identify who among the crowds had firearms."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres from another crowd. The chopper was between 20 and 30 metres from the ground. She had not been instructed to do so. She had sought permission to do so from me, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having blankets around them, in order for the police to identify who among the crowds had firearms." So if I can give you what I understand Brigadier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres from another crowd. The chopper was between 20 and 30 metres from the ground. She had not been instructed to do so. She had sought permission to do so from me, and I allowed her. The stun grenade incidents were more than a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having blankets around them, in order for the police to identify who among the crowds had firearms." So if I can give you what I understand Brigadier Fritz to be saying, he says first of all that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres from another crowd. The chopper was between 20 and 30 metres from the ground. She had not been instructed to do so. She had sought permission to do so from me, and I allowed her. The stun grenade incidents were more than a kilometre away from the koppie to the west thereof in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having blankets around them, in order for the police to identify who among the crowds had firearms." So if I can give you what I understand Brigadier Fritz to be saying, he says first of all that he effectively deferred to Sergeant Venter on the wisdom of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres from another crowd. The chopper was between 20 and 30 metres from the ground. She had not been instructed to do so. She had sought permission to do so from me, and I allowed her. The stun grenade incidents were more than a kilometre away from the koppie to the west thereof in the direction of the Karee Mine."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having blankets around them, in order for the police to identify who among the crowds had firearms." So if I can give you what I understand Brigadier Fritz to be saying, he says first of all that he effectively deferred to Sergeant Venter on the wisdom of throwing the stun grenades. You accept that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres from another crowd. The chopper was between 20 and 30 metres from the ground. She had not been instructed to do so. She had sought permission to do so from me, and I allowed her. The stun grenade incidents were more than a kilometre away from the koppie to the west thereof in the direction of the Karee Mine." Now for reasons which weren't altogether clear to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having blankets around them, in order for the police to identify who among the crowds had firearms." So if I can give you what I understand Brigadier Fritz to be saying, he says first of all that he effectively deferred to Sergeant Venter on the wisdom of throwing the stun grenades. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when Colonel Botha testified on the 30th of October, my learned friend for SAPS, Mr Semenya SC, did seek to correct some issues of Colonel Botha's testimony at pages 572 to 574 of the record, but he didn't place in issue Colonel Botha's evidence that the instruction to throw the stun grenades came from Brigadier Fritz. You're happy to accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thereafter Brigadier Fritz furnished his first statement. That's exhibit GGG8, which on its face was signed on 5 November, and I wonder if we can call that up. And if we can go down to paragraph 7, which is the relevant paragraph of the statement, "During the flight the ALEO then threw a stun grenade close to the running crowd, about 30 metres away from them. She then moments later threw another stun grenade some 30 metres from another crowd. The chopper was between 20 and 30 metres from the ground. She had not been instructed to do so. She had sought permission to do so from me, and I allowed her. The stun grenade incidents were more than a kilometre away from the koppie to the west thereof in the direction of the Karee Mine."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	permitted it when the ALEO told me that her unit had used this method of dispersal of crowds very successfully in the past. Since I am not a crowd management or public order person, I was in no position to disagree with her. None of the other three people in the chopper disagreed with her on this issue. The ALEO said that the purpose of throwing the stun grenade was to disperse the crowds. The size of the groups where the stun grenades were thrown was about 30 people. When two stun grenades were thrown, the chopper flew at a height of about 20 to 30 metres above the ground. As regards the precise position where the stun grenade was thrown, I shall provide a map and indicate the approximate vicinity at which it was thrown. I shall not be able to give the precise place, but it was at an open space next to a footpath approximately one kilometre from the koppies. The purpose for permitting the throwing of the stun grenades was to disperse the crowds of who were having blankets around them, in order for the police to identify who among the crowds had firearms." So if I can give you what I understand Brigadier Fritz to be saying, he says first of all that he effectively deferred to Sergeant Venter on the wisdom of throwing the stun grenades. You accept that?

		Γ	
1	Page 13870 gives for allowing the stun grenades to be thrown is not	1	Page 13872 you if you would like me to, or are you willing to accept
2	wholly consistent with the reason that Sergeant Venter	2	it on my say so?
3	recorded in her pocketbook as her reason for throwing them.	3	COLONEL SCOTT: Are these the two stun
4	Sergeant Venter spoke about wanting to support SAPS members	4	grenades that we watched yesterday?
5	in the field by dispersing armed strikers who'd been	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well there are two –
6	charging at the SAPS with pangas and knobkieries, and thus	6	maybe we should go to two minutes, 15 seconds of the video
7	preventing further attacks on SAPS and helping SAPS to	7	229 which was CC –
8	arrest the armed strikers. Brigadier Fritz on the other	8	COLONEL SCOTT: If it's the video we
9	hand says nothing about strikers attacking the police; he	9	watched yesterday I'm -
10	says the purpose was to disperse crowds who had blankets	10	MR CHASKALSON SC: It is, I'm not sure, I
11	around them so that the police could identify who among the	11	think I stopped the display of the video before we reached
12	crowd had firearms. Would you accept that?	12	that point because I only took it – I was wanting to save
13	[09:36] COLONEL SCOTT: Again I think it's	13	time, I took it to the first stun grenade. There's a
14	necessary to raise that it's a pocketbook entry. It's not	14	second stun grenade that gets thrown later and I don't want
15	a statement from Sergeant Venter, and that I don't think	15	you to think that what you saw yesterday is what I'm
16	she would be giving in that confined space of a pocket book	16	describing now. So let's roll it to 2:15 of video 229.
17	the full story, I think she's reflecting more onto the	17	Sorry, can you just confirm the point in the video at which
18	event of Marikana when she's speaking about what she is	18	we are – I'll stop at this point. We should be watching
19	alluding to.	19	CC32. We've stopped at 2 minutes 15 and Sergeant Venter is
20	MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, well look we	20	out of the – has opened the door of the helicopter again.
21	will in due course have to take this up with Brigadier	21	If we just roll.
22	Fritz and Sergeant Venter but for present purposes I'd want	22	[VIDEO SHOWN]
23	to ask you that in terms of the deployments that you had	23	MR CHASKALSON SC: And would you accept
24	arranged in terms of your plan for the day, while scene 2	24	that what we appear to have seen there was Sergeant Venter
25	was taking place what SAPS members would have been in a	25	throwing a stun grenade?
1	Page 13871 position where they would have been able to identify	1	Page 13873 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
1	position where they would have been able to identify	1 2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene	2	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now, if we go back to
2 3	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What		COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15
2 3 4	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to –	2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of
2 3 4 5	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight,	2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after
2 3 4	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was	2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this
2 3 4 5 6 7	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re-	2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene
2 3 4 5 6	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what	2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230 and what occurred to us was that at that stage Captain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, while that's happening, I don't know if the witness has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230 and what occurred to us was that at that stage Captain Kidd's team and the reserves from forward holding area 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, while that's happening, I don't know if the witness has read Brigadier Fritz's before but I just want to enquire,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230 and what occurred to us was that at that stage Captain Kidd's team and the reserves from forward holding area 2 under his command had long since left forward holding area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, while that's happening, I don't know if the witness has read Brigadier Fritz's before but I just want to enquire, paragraph 6.4 and 6.5, is that perhaps not germane to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and 1 think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230 and what occurred to us was that at that stage Captain Kidd's team and the reserves from forward holding area 2 under his command had long since left forward holding area 2 and were at this stage already at the koppie. If I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, while that's happening, I don't know if the witness has read Brigadier Fritz's before but I just want to enquire, paragraph 6.4 and 6.5, is that perhaps not germane to the discussion and might not the witness be referred to that as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230 and what occurred to us was that at that stage Captain Kidd's team and the reserves from forward holding area 2 under his command had long since left forward holding area 2 and were at this stage already at the koppie. If I can explain that timing to you, if we go to Captain Nel's video	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, while that's happening, I don't know if the witness has read Brigadier Fritz's before but I just want to enquire, paragraph 6.4 and 6.5, is that perhaps not germane to the discussion and might not the witness be referred to that as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230 and what occurred to us was that at that stage Captain Kidd's team and the reserves from forward holding area 2 under his command had long since left forward holding area 2 and were at this stage already at the koppie. If I can explain that timing to you, if we go to Captain Nel's video 229 at two minutes 15 seconds to two minutes, 25 seconds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, while that's happening, I don't know if the witness has read Brigadier Fritz's before but I just want to enquire, paragraph 6.4 and 6.5, is that perhaps not germane to the discussion and might not the witness be referred to that as well? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, do you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230 and what occurred to us was that at that stage Captain Kidd's team and the reserves from forward holding area 2 under his command had long since left forward holding area 2 and were at this stage already at the koppie. If I can explain that timing to you, if we go to Captain Nel's video 229 at two minutes 15 seconds to two minutes, 25 seconds into that video we see sergeant Venter edging out of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, while that's happening, I don't know if the witness has read Brigadier Fritz's before but I just want to enquire, paragraph 6.4 and 6.5, is that perhaps not germane to the discussion and might not the witness be referred to that as well? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, do you have the supplementary statement of Brigadier Fritz to hand?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	position where they would have been able to identify firearms under blankets on strikers fleeing the scene approximately a kilometre to the west of koppie 3? What SAPS members would have been in a position to – COLONEL SCOTT: Well in hindsight, knowing how the SAPS members moved, not that scene 2 was part of the planning process, that stopped at the re- organise line for decisions to be made and thereafter what to do. But from my hindsight on knowing who moved where the people fleeing towards the west, the police members on ground in that direction were the forward holding area 2 members being under Captain Kidd's command. And that was a grouping of public order policemen, TRT members and I think there was the odd dog handler who did not have their dogs with them at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's what we thought too but then we looked at the times of videos 229 and 230 and what occurred to us was that at that stage Captain Kidd's team and the reserves from forward holding area 2 under his command had long since left forward holding area 2 and were at this stage already at the koppie. If I can explain that timing to you, if we go to Captain Nel's video 229 at two minutes 15 seconds to two minutes, 25 seconds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, if we go back to our reconciliation of times, we see that the point at 2:15 of Captain Nel's video 229 translates to an Etv time of 16:08:40, 16:08:40, now that is more than a minute after what has become something of a signature shot in this Commission which is the blue dye, white water shot at scene 2 that was taken by Colonel Vermaak in his Pentax in file JJJ10 457. So can we call up JJJ10 4547? And if we can call up 4547? Do we have the photograph file 4547 accessible to call up? We seem only to have the screenshot, I wonder if I can ask Mr Wesley just to make a copy, quickly to make a copy of that onto a memory stick because he'll have it on his hard drive under the 16th of August directory? And to copy it over, it will take only a minute but it is quite an important file to show. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, while that's happening, I don't know if the witness has read Brigadier Fritz's before but I just want to enquire, paragraph 6.4 and 6.5, is that perhaps not germane to the discussion and might not the witness be referred to that as well? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, do you have

	Page 13874		Page 13876
1	happy if you read it.	1	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I assisted
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think you should	2	him with that map, it's actually on my computer. He
3	have it in front of you because the Commissioner's	3	actually showed me where and I just put two icons on Google
4	concerned about a particular passage and I will want to,	4	Earth for him and then –
5	depending on your response, I may want to take it further	5	CHAIRPERSON: Well if you can give us
6	with you.	6	that information now then that might shorten proceedings a
7	CHAIRPERSON: While he's looking may I	7	bit. You say you've got it on your computer, have you got
8	ask was the witness given notice of the fact that he would	8	your computer with you?
9	be questioned on this supplementary statement of Brigadier	9	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
10	Fritz?	10	CHAIRPERSON: Can you print it out? Or
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, Chairperson, it	11	perhaps – I'll tell you what to do, would it be convenient
12	was quite short notice because the supplementary statement	12	for us to revert to the map part after tea so the Colonel
13	only came in a few days ago but he was given notice.	13	has an opportunity during tea to print that thing? Or is
14	CHAIRPERSON: He was given notice –	14	there something that could be done while you're still
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, Commissioner	15	giving evidence, if you can find it on your computer and –
16	Hemraj was referring to paragraph 6.4 where Brigadier Fritz	16	COLONEL SCOTT: I think it was sent to
17	states "we then flew back to Wonderkop and turned left just	17	Brigadier Pretorius's computer for printing purposes, so if
18	north to the scene and flew back towards to the Karee Mine.	18	he can be contacted.
19	From there we flew to the power station and around the	19	CHAIRPERSON: Oh I see, well let's ask
20	scene back to the north-western side of the scene focusing	20	the SAPS representative, Mr Semenya can you or your
21	on the people running to the Karee Mine's area. We then	21	attorney help us with this map at the moment? It may
22	hovered close to some of the members at holding area 2	22	shorten the proceedings a bit if we have the precise
23	indicating to them not to run to the koppie area but more	23	position.
24	to the north to prevent the armed strikers to go to the	24	MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, we will –
25	Karee Mine. For a while we focused on the area north-west	25	CHAIRPERSON: Alternatively it can be
	Dago 12075		Dego 12077
1	Page 13875 of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the	1	Page 13877 obtained I take it reasonably quickly?
1	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the		obtained I take it reasonably quickly?
	6	1 2 3	obtained I take it reasonably quickly?
2	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west –	2	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair.
2 3	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when."	2 3	obtained I take it reasonably quickly?MR SEMENYA SC:We certainly will, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:It is required, thank you.
2 3 4	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter	2 3 4	obtained I take it reasonably quickly?MR SEMENYA SC:We certainly will, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:It is required, thank you.MR CHASKALSON SC:To come back to this
2 3 4 5	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg	2 3 4 5	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west
2 3 4 5 6	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would	2 3 4 5 6	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 –
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on	2 3 4 5 6 7	obtained I take it reasonably quickly?MR SEMENYA SC:We certainly will, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:It is required, thank you.MR CHASKALSON SC:To come back to thisphotograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-westof Koppie 2 –CHAIRPERSON:I think you should give us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun grenade was thrown. Has he provided that map? Because if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop there and zoom in a bit further if possible. Now Colonel,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun grenade was thrown. Has he provided that map? Because if he provided the map then a lot of the points that are now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop there and zoom in a bit further if possible. Now Colonel, would you agree that the men that we see there, entering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun grenade was thrown. Has he provided that map? Because if he provided the map then a lot of the points that are now being debated may well either fall away or they could be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop there and zoom in a bit further if possible. Now Colonel, would you agree that the men that we see there, entering the dam and moving up to the – alongside the western side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun grenade was thrown. Has he provided that map? Because if he provided the map then a lot of the points that are now being debated may well either fall away or they could be dealt with, with more precision.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop there and zoom in a bit further if possible. Now Colonel, would you agree that the men that we see there, entering the dam and moving up to the – alongside the western side of the dam are Captain Kidd's TRT line or Captain Kidd's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun grenade was thrown. Has he provided that map? Because if he provided the map then a lot of the points that are now being debated may well either fall away or they could be dealt with, with more precision. MR CHASKALSON SC: We haven't received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop there and zoom in a bit further if possible. Now Colonel, would you agree that the men that we see there, entering the dam and moving up to the – alongside the western side of the dam are Captain Kidd's TRT line or Captain Kidd's reserve forces from forward holding area 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun grenade was thrown. Has he provided that map? Because if he provided the map then a lot of the points that are now being debated may well either fall away or they could be dealt with, with more precision. MR CHASKALSON SC: We haven't received that map but we can locate the position of the helicopter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop there and zoom in a bit further if possible. Now Colonel, would you agree that the men that we see there, entering the dam and moving up to the – alongside the western side of the dam are Captain Kidd's TRT line or Captain Kidd's reserve forces from forward holding area 2. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun grenade was thrown. Has he provided that map? Because if he provided the map then a lot of the points that are now being debated may well either fall away or they could be dealt with, with more precision. MR CHASKALSON SC: We haven't received that map but we can locate the position of the helicopter in rough terms from the visuals that we see coming out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop there and zoom in a bit further if possible. Now Colonel, would you agree that the men that we see there, entering the dam and moving up to the – alongside the western side of the dam are Captain Kidd's TRT line or Captain Kidd's reserve forces from forward holding area 2. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the time of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	of the scene, that is where ALEO Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenades." The area north-west – CHAIRPERSON: That is "when." MR CHASKALSON SC: That is when – I beg your pardon, Chairperson, "that is when Sergeant Venter threw the stun grenade." Is there anything that you would want to say in response to my earlier question to you on the basis of 6.4? COLONEL SCOTT: If you can refresh my memory on the question on 6.4? MR CHASKALSON SC: It is a while. Let's look at the 4547 – CHAIRPERSON: Before you carry on can I ask a question? In paragraph 7.4 he says he'll provide a map indicating the approximate vicinity in which the stun grenade was thrown. Has he provided that map? Because if he provided the map then a lot of the points that are now being debated may well either fall away or they could be dealt with, with more precision. MR CHASKALSON SC: We haven't received that map but we can locate the position of the helicopter in rough terms from the visuals that we see coming out of the helicopter's window as we did with Colonel Scott	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	obtained I take it reasonably quickly? MR SEMENYA SC: We certainly will, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It is required, thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: To come back to this photograph, if we zoom in on the dry dam to the south-west of Koppie 2 – CHAIRPERSON: I think you should give us the reference to what we're now seeing so that when we read the record later and when historians and others in the future read it they'll know what exactly is happening. MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, it's JJJ10.4547 and I'd asked Mr Wesley to assist our video operator to zoom into the dry dam but actually to zoom the picture in so that we can see what the picture shows when we zoom it in. And move a little bit to the right and stop there and zoom in a bit further if possible. Now Colonel, would you agree that the men that we see there, entering the dam and moving up to the – alongside the western side of the dam are Captain Kidd's TRT line or Captain Kidd's reserve forces from forward holding area 2. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the time of this photograph in ETV time is 16:10:30, 16:10:30. I beg your

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

1	Page 13878	1	Page 13880
1	COLONEL SCOTT: I accept that. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we go back to	1 2	reasoning with you on what you're showing but just a couple of factors. Approximately two minutes after the photo
3	the screenshot of Sergeant Venter holding a stun grenade at	2	we're seeing the stun grenades are thrown and I know that
4	24 seconds of video 2:30 that would translate into an ETV	4	there is other photographs which show a lot of strikers
5	time of 16:10:30, that's what 16:10:30 is .	5	just to the north of koppie 2 which evidently Brigadier
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.	6	Calitz and his teams went to intercept, as well as TRT
7	MR CHASKALSON SC: So if we can recap	7	members which are shown in that photograph with their
8	everything now. 229 and 230 show footage from the chopper	8	berets on which assisting with that arresting and
9	of Brigadier Fritz of Sergeant Venter holding and throwing	9	intercepting to the north. Now, for protesters to be at
10	stun grenades. Colonel Botha says Brigadier Fritz	10	least one kilometre from scene 2, if they ran it they could
11	instructed her to throw stun grenades but Brigadier Fritz	11	have run it between four to six minutes. If they walked it
12	he didn't. Brigadier Fritz he deferred to Sergeant	12	they would have walked it in anything from eight to twelve
13	Venter's reasons for throwing stun grenades but the reason	13	minutes. So the fact that the TRT members are at scene 2,
14	he gives don't match the reasons she records in her pocket	14	sweeping through, preventing anybody coming through with
15	book. You're nodding your head through each one of these	15	firearms or weapons for that matter means that they would
16	propositions I'm putting to you. Can I take it that -	16	need to have been there 10 minutes earlier to have
17	CHAIRPERSON: Colonel Botha gives a	17	prevented the initial groups that had walked away which
18	different account. He says he doesn't know what the reason	18	Brigadier Fritz then could be referring to which are at
19	was, it was an operational order given by Brigadier Fritz.	19	least a kilometre now already from scene 2.
20	Brigadier Fritz says that he got the reason from Sergeant	20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but at the point
21	Venter. All the people in the helicopter heard it, no one	21	at which the stun grenades were thrown which is –
22	disagreed with her and therefore he gave her authority	22	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after this
23	which means that Lieutenant-Colonel Botha would have heard	23	photograph.
24	what Sergeant Venter had said, if that was true and known	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Two minutes after this
25	what the reason was and wouldn't have said it was	25	photo. Approximately, where was Colonel Kidd's team?
	D 10070		
	Page 13879		Page 13881
1	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a	1	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after –
2	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two.	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's –
2 3	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair,	2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was,
2 3 4	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put	2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was, I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying
2 3 4 5	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency?	2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was, I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think
2 3 4 5 6	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to	2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was, I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the
2 3 4 5 6 7	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the	2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was, I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was, I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers. MR CHASKALSON SC: It was roughly at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was, I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers. MR CHASKALSON SC: It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after –MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's –COLONEL SCOTT:Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lyingdown if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I thinkthey'd had their first encounter by that time with thestrikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at thetime of their first encounter of the strikers and theywould have been taking cover around the rocks that we see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was, I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers. MR CHASKALSON SC: It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:COLONEL SCOTT:Captain Kidd's – Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:COLONEL SCOTT:Captain Kidd's – Colonel SCOTT:I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Yes. Would that be correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's – Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:So they would that be correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:COLONEL SCOTT:Captain Kidd's – Colonel SCOTT:I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Yes. Would that be correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after –MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's –COLONEL SCOTT:Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lyingdown if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I thinkthey'd had their first encounter by that time with thestrikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at thetime of their first encounter of the strikers and theywould have been taking cover around the rocks that we seehere.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:Would that be correct?So they wouldn't have been in a position to interceptpeople running way one kilometre to the West, would they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to Brigadier Fritz's version he says that the purpose was to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:COLONEL SCOTT:Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT:I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Would that be correct?So they wouldn't have been in a position to intercept people running way one kilometre to the West, would they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to Brigadier Fritz's version he says that the purpose was to disperse crowds who had blankets around them so that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's – Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Vould that be correct?So they wouldn't have been in a position to intercept people running way one kilometre to the West, would they?[9:56COLONEL SCOTT:No, they wouldn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to Brigadier Fritz's version he says that the purpose was to disperse crowds who had blankets around them so that the police could identify who among the crowd had the firearms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's – Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Would that be correct?So they wouldn't have been in a position to intercept people running way one kilometre to the West, would they?[9:56COLONEL SCOTT:No, they wouldn't have been, but it's not to say that west he only group moving to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to Brigadier Fritz's version he says that the purpose was to disperse crowds who had blankets around them so that the police could identify who among the crowd had the firearms. But when these stun grenades were being thrown the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's – Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT:I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Would that be correct?So they wouldn't have been in a position to intercept people running way one kilometre to the West, would they?[9:56COLONEL SCOTT:No, they wouldn't have been, but it's not to say that was the only group moving to the west. Again I'm not playing the advocate for Brigadier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to Brigadier Fritz's version he says that the purpose was to disperse crowds who had blankets around them so that the police could identify who among the crowd had the firearms. But when these stun grenades were being thrown the police unit that might otherwise have been able to intercept these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's – Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Would that be correct?So they wouldn't have been in a position to intercept people running way one kilometre to the West, would they?[9:56COLONEL SCOTT:No, they wouldn't have been, but it's not to say that was the only group moving to the west. Again I'm not playing the advocate for Brigadier Fritz in the chopper, but if you consider, I don't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to Brigadier Fritz's version he says that the purpose was to disperse crowds who had blankets around them so that the police could identify who among the crowd had the firearms. But when these stun grenades were being thrown the police unit that might otherwise have been able to intercept these people had already moved up to koppie 2 and was no longer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's – ColoNEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Would that be correct?So they wouldn't have been in a position to intercept people running way one kilometre to the West, would they?[9:56COLONEL SCOTT:No, they wouldn't have been, but it's not to say that was the only group moving to the west. Again I'm not playing the advocate for Brigadier Fritz in the chopper, but if you consider, I don't think there was only one group moving; there were probably more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to Brigadier Fritz's version he says that the purpose was to disperse crowds who had blankets around them so that the police could identify who among the crowd had the firearms. But when these stun grenades were being thrown the police unit that might otherwise have been able to intercept these people had already moved up to koppie 2 and was no longer at forward holding area 2, sorry to scene 2, koppie 3. So were there any policemen to the west who would have been able to intercept that crowd at that point?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT:Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC:Captain Kidd's – Colonel SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:Captain Kidd's team was,I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Would that be correct?So they wouldn't have been in a position to intercept people running way one kilometre to the West, would they?[9:56COLONEL SCOTT:No, they wouldn't have been, but it's not to say that was the only group moving to the west. Again I'm not playing the advocate for BrigadierFritz in the chopper, but if you consider, I don't think there was only one group moving; there were probably more than one because Brigadier Calitz's group even intercepted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	operational order given by Brigadier Fritz. So there's a third version which is different from the other two. MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you, Chair, Colonel Scott, would you accept what the Chair has just put to me as a further inconsistency? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and maybe just to add that my logic would tell me that whoever brought the stun grenades with had an intention. You don't take a stun grenade in a helicopter. If it was Brigadier Fritz that had brought the stun grenades he would have given them to someone to throw, maybe sitting in the middle seat. If it was somebody that brought the stun grenades they had the pre-intention of doing what they were going to do. That could prove Brigadier Fritz's version as being correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we get to Brigadier Fritz's version he says that the purpose was to disperse crowds who had blankets around them so that the police could identify who among the crowd had the firearms. But when these stun grenades were being thrown the police unit that might otherwise have been able to intercept these people had already moved up to koppie 2 and was no longer at forward holding area 2, sorry to scene 2, koppie 3. So were there any policemen to the west who would have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Two minutes after – MR CHASKALSON SC: Captain Kidd's – COLONEL SCOTT: Captain Kidd's team was, I'm presuming that they would have been at that stage lying down if I can remember the sequence of photographs, I think they'd had their first encounter by that time with the strikers. MR CHASKALSON SC: It was roughly at the time of their first encounter of the strikers and they would have been taking cover around the rocks that we see here. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would that be correct? So they wouldn't have been in a position to intercept people running way one kilometre to the West, would they? [9:56 COLONEL SCOTT: No, they wouldn't have been, but it's not to say that was the only group moving to the west. Again I'm not playing the advocate for Brigadier Fritz in the chopper, but if you consider, I don't think there was only one group moving; there were probably more than one because Brigadier Calitz's group even intercepted people at this time, which means there was a flow of people

Pretoria

	Page 13882		Page 13884
1	that I, or the issue that I'm putting to you is that there	1	confirm that this would have been essentially the function
2	wasn't a police unit in a position to intercept people who	2	to be performed by Brigadier Fritz after you had moved to
3	were already one kilometre to the west.	3	phase 3, stage 3?
4	COLONEL SCOTT: No.	4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, what he was – what
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you –	5	was expected of him with his chopper was to look at the
6	CHAIRPERSON: I take it you mean yes, you	6	tactical forces and their part of the operation, as well as
7		7	anything wayward, because there was an Oryx helicopter with
	agree with the proposition? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I agree within; no,		
8	3	8	a team of tactical forces that could be deployed to wayward
9	there wasn't a unit that far out, yes.	9	incidents should they be required. So he had a dual
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: If we can now move to	10	purpose; amongst others also with his role of conveying
11	exhibit L, slide 146. Now this is a slide in which you set	11	information if he could, or raising whatever issues he
12	out the functions that were to be performed by Brigadier	12	thought necessary, but what he was expected to do was look
13	Fritz in terms of your plan. Is that correct?	13	at the tactical forces and what they were doing, and to, as
14	COLONEL SCOTT: The slides that you're	14	I say, look at whatever was happening away from the main
15	showing now, which depict the tables, were –	15	POPs dispersion area, if there were any incidents occurring
16	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, but if you start	16	wayward that he would need to deal with, with the backup
17	at slide 135, you explain that these tables were compiled	17	force coming via Oryx helicopter, if necessary.
18	afterwards.	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm interested in the
19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	19	aerial command function because it seems to me that once
20	CHAIRPERSON: But you explain why that	20	you move from stage 2 to stage 3, aerial command of the
21	was, but you then set out what the briefing was and what	21	tactical forces becomes even more important. Would you
22	these various –	22	accept that?
23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	23	COLONEL SCOTT: It would, but it's not
24	CHAIRPERSON: - groups were supposed to	24	taking away the function of POPs with the ground commander,
25	be doing.	25	with an operational commander on the ground with his
25	be doing.	25	with an operational commander on the ground with his
	Page 13883		Page 13885
1	Page 13883 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	1	Page 13885 forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any
1	-	1	
	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.		forces, and I know that - I don't want to pre-empt any
2	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabular	2	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just
2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively	2 3	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3,
2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting –	2 3 4	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what the	2 3 4 5 6 7	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter weregiven –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter weregiven –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before they set out. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter weregiven –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter weregiven –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.that correct?cOLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter weregiven –COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before they set out. Isthat correct?COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter weregiven –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before they set out. Isthat correct?OLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this isreflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter weregiven –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before they set out. Isthat correct?COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this isreflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was notmuch different, but there are going to be issues mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before they set out. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this is reflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not much different, but there are going to be issues mentioned there under 'comments' for instance which the deploying of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And you set out in tabularform for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the onewhich you compiled later, admittedly, but effectivelyreflecting –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, yes.CHAIRPERSON:- I take it, what thebriefing was that the people in that helicopter weregiven –COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:- before they set out. Isthat correct?COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,COLONEL SCOTT:It's correct,Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this isreflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was notmuch different, but there are going to be issues mentionedthere under 'comments' for instance which the deploying ofthe forces from immediate reaction area for instance is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the aerial command
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before they set out. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this is reflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not much different, but there are going to be issues mentioned there under 'comments' for instance which the deploying of the forces from immediate reaction area for instance is not part of phase 3, or stage 3. Those forces were already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the aerial command of the tactical forces becomes particularly important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before they set out. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this is reflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not much different, but there are going to be issues mentioned there under 'comments' for instance which the deploying of the forces from immediate reaction area for instance is not part of phase 3, or stage 3. Those forces were already deployed. So just in case discrepancies like that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the aerial command
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before they set out. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this is reflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not much different, but there are going to be issues mentioned there under 'comments' for instance which the deploying of the forces from immediate reaction area for instance is not part of phase 3, or stage 3. Those forces were already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the aerial command of the tactical forces becomes particularly important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before they set out. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this is reflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not much different, but there are going to be issues mentioned there under 'comments' for instance which the deploying of the forces from immediate reaction area for instance is not part of phase 3, or stage 3. Those forces were already deployed. So just in case discrepancies like that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'II – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the aerial command of the tactical forces becomes particularly important. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, you can't defer the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before they set out. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this is reflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not much different, but there are going to be issues mentioned there under 'comments' for instance which the deploying of the forces from immediate reaction area for instance is not part of phase 3, or stage 3. Those forces were already deployed. So just in case discrepancies like that would come up, but the, what he was to do remains pretty much the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the aerial command of the tactical forces becomes particularly important. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, you can't defer the fact that the groups on the ground have their own team
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before they set out. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this is reflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not much different, but there are going to be issues mentioned there under 'comments' for instance which the deploying of the forces from immediate reaction area for instance is not part of phase 3, or stage 3. Those forces were already deployed. So just in case discrepancies like that would come up, but the, what he was to do remains pretty much the same. But this briefing that you're seeing here was the 6	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the aerial command of the tactical forces becomes particularly important. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, you can't defer the fact that the groups on the ground have their own team leaders and ground command with them as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And you set out in tabular form for us to make it easier to follow, and 146 is the one which you compiled later, admittedly, but effectively reflecting – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. CHAIRPERSON: - I take it, what the briefing was that the people in that helicopter were given – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - before they set out. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's correct, Chairperson. I just wanted to point out that this is reflecting stage 2. The difference for stage 3 was not much different, but there are going to be issues mentioned there under 'comments' for instance which the deploying of the forces from immediate reaction area for instance is not part of phase 3, or stage 3. Those forces were already deployed. So just in case discrepancies like that would come up, but the, what he was to do remains pretty much the same. But this briefing that you're seeing here was the 6 o'clock the morning, the deployment for a stage 2 briefing,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	forces, and I know that – I don't want to pre-empt any questions, so I'll – MR CHASKALSON SC: But if we can just explore this a little further. Once you move to phase 3, to stage 3 – excuse my interchange of phase and stage, at this stage I'm just referring to stage 3, so if I say phase, it's stage. I'll try not to. Once the operation has moved to stage 3, you have specific functions assigned to the tactical forces to deal with high-risk arrests. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And those functions may have to be executed in terrain that is difficult. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And terrain that is not easy to observe in its totality from the ground. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I would believe so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the aerial command of the tactical forces becomes particularly important. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, you can't defer the fact that the groups on the ground have their own team leaders and ground command with them as well. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the command of the

R C H I V E

	Page 13886		Page 13888
1	least in tandem with the aerial command STF heli – well,	1	yes.
2	the aerial command post in the helicopter. Is that not	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if we can cut back
3	correct?	3	then to the photograph of JJJ10, 4547, and maybe if at this
4	COLONEL SCOTT: Again from the air	4	point we can zoom back so that we can see the full
5	Brigadier Fritz would not be giving tactical command to	5	photograph and the full scene that it depicts, would you
6	ground forces. He may, the idea was that he would be the	6	agree that at this point when the operation has moved to
7	eye in the sky in a sense, and from, as being the eye in	7	koppie 3 there are no POPs members outside of their Nyalas?
8	the sky and due to his rank designation, being the overall	8	COLONEL SCOTT: No. I'd agree with you;
9	commander of those forces, if he needed to make a	9	no, there are none that I can see outside their Nyalas,
10	contingency call on their behalf then he would be able to	10	yes.
11	do so. If he needed to pull TRT away from koppie 2 because	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: And in terms of
12	he saw that the STF and NIU were encountering major	12	previous slide that we saw, the bullet point on slide 146,
13	trouble, he would be able to do and make that executive	13	which we don't need to call up again, at this stage the
14	decision based on those tactical forces.	14	tactical forces from the immediate reaction areas have been
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: And that I presume is	15	deployed?
16	what you're trying to capture in that first bullet point,	16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, they were called in
17	direct the counteraction should the tactical forces from	17	already prior to the then stage 3 beginning.
18	immediate reaction areas be deployed as part of the	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, and we have a
19 20	solution to an action by protesters, considering the POPs	19	situation here where what is going to unfold is that mineworkers are going to entrench themselves into koppie 3
20 21	members have relinquished control and withdrawn due to threats surpassing POPs' capability.	20 21	and there's going to be a need to get them out of there.
22	COLONEL SCOTT: And that's what it's	21	Is that not correct?
23	saying there, and that's applicable for a phase 2 and a	22	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, that's – yes, I
24	phase 2, if this was necessary, meant that the police were	24	hear what you say. I don't –
25	under attack and that they would have needed to have closed	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you accept that
			····· ·····
1	Page 13887	1	Page 13889
1	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were	1	it's a broadly accurate description –
2	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have	2	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have	2 3	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to
2 3 4	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum	2 3 4	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold?
2 3 4 5	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed	2 3 4 5	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them.	2 3 4 5 6	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it
2 3 4 5 6 7	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the
2 3 4 5 6	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to	2 3 4 5 6	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, the Thursday morning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an ideal commander should have run it at that time. So it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, the Thursday morning deployment. MR CHASKALSON SC: But a fortiori, once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an ideal commander should have run it at that time. So it's difficult for me to do that, to judge my peers, knowing what I know now, compared to what they knew at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, the Thursday morning deployment. MR CHASKALSON SC: But a fortiori, once we have shifted over to phase 3 and once the tactical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an ideal commander should have run it at that time. So it's difficult for me to do that, to judge my peers, knowing what I know now, compared to what they knew at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, the Thursday morning deployment. MR CHASKALSON SC: But a fortiori, once we have shifted over to phase 3 and once the tactical forces have been deployed in phase 3, that command, aerial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an ideal commander should have run it at that time. So it's difficult for me to do that, to judge my peers, knowing what I know now, compared to what they knew at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, that's getting to the point I want to make, which is to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, the Thursday morning deployment. MR CHASKALSON SC: But a fortiori, once we have shifted over to phase 3 and once the tactical forces have been deployed in phase 3, that command, aerial command function that you have in the first bullet point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an ideal commander should have run it at that time. So it's difficult for me to do that, to judge my peers, knowing what I know now, compared to what they knew at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, that's getting to the point I want to make, which is to be able to have this aerial picture and to be able to exercise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, the Thursday morning deployment. MR CHASKALSON SC: But a fortiori, once we have shifted over to phase 3 and once the tactical forces have been deployed in phase 3, that command, aerial command function that you have in the first bullet point would become an important function to be exercised by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an ideal commander should have run it at that time. So it's difficult for me to do that, to judge my peers, knowing what I know now, compared to what they knew at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, that's getting to the point I want to make, which is to be able to have this aerial picture and to be able to exercise command with the aid of this aerial picture, one really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, the Thursday morning deployment. MR CHASKALSON SC: But a fortiori, once we have shifted over to phase 3 and once the tactical forces have been deployed in phase 3, that command, aerial command function that you have in the first bullet point would become an important function to be exercised by Brigadier Fritz. Is that not correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an ideal commander should have run it at that time. So it's difficult for me to do that, to judge my peers, knowing what I know now, compared to what they knew at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, that's getting to the point I want to make, which is to be able to have this aerial picture and to be able to exercise command with the aid of this aerial picture, one really needs one's aerial command post to be in a position close
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	off their razor wire, and if the tactical forces were requested to intervene, it means that the POPs have withdrawn into their Nyalas in this instance, or have withdrawn because their capability, their force continuum was not sufficient to deal with the threat that was posed to them. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, you're confusing me with phase and stage. Are you referring to stage 2, or phase 2 of stage 3? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking to the phases now. As I say, only after 13:30, or after I briefed them at 14:30 did we get to stages. So I'm trying to stick to phases because it's all pre-14:30 time. MR CHASKALSON SC: Then I must apologise to you. So you're describing phase 2 of the operation, the plan for phase 2 of the operation? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, the Thursday morning deployment. MR CHASKALSON SC: But a fortiori, once we have shifted over to phase 3 and once the tactical forces have been deployed in phase 3, that command, aerial command function that you have in the first bullet point would become an important function to be exercised by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's a broadly accurate description – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - of what is going to unfold? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And in that context it would be important to have tactical forces to execute the high-risk arrests that may need to be executed? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, again I don't want to – we're going to move in the direction that I give my opinions on what happened there and again I want to just state for the record, it's unfair of me to sit with the hindsight that I have and to make these calls on commanders that were on ground with the limited knowledge they had, the limited visibility they had, and we're looking at an aerial picture, to give the ideal situation, or how an ideal commander should have run it at that time. So it's difficult for me to do that, to judge my peers, knowing what I know now, compared to what they knew at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, that's getting to the point I want to make, which is to be able to have this aerial picture and to be able to exercise command with the aid of this aerial picture, one really

	Page 13890		Page 13892
1	forces. Would you accept that's correct?	1	really calls for an explanation from the SAPS?
2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I accept that, and	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, if I think, like
3	again it's hearsay, but what I have heard spoken from	3	the attorneys on the evidence leaders or the opposing
4	Brigadier Fritz is that he instructed his helicopter to fly	4	attorneys, then obviously the others don't show anything
5	a lot outside of the area - I know that they did come in,	5	that you would consider to be controversial. Obviously
6	and again I'm just reflecting his words - because of the	6	you're bringing up the point that those two videos with the
7	fear of the power lines and I think there were up to four	7	throwing of stun grenades are controversial and he would
8	helicopters in the air, all around scene 1 and scene 2,	8	need to give explanation to that to satisfy you.
9	thus telling his helicopter to start moving more towards	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, that's broadly
10	the outer areas.	10	what our view is as well. We've been through all of these
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: These are all issues,	11	videos of Captain Nel and apart from videos 229 and 230 we
12	the details of which we will canvass with Brigadier Fritz,	12	can't see any content in these videos that cries out for an
13	but for present purposes where this all started was with	13	explanation from SAPS. So the only material in the Nel
14	two videos which weren't disclosed to the evidence leaders.	14	videos that from our perspective calls for an explanation,
15	You'll recall that.	15	calls for an explanation from your commanding officer, and
16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	16	just happens to appear in two videos that weren't disclosed
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: And will you accept	17	to us in the first instance. Will you accept that?
18	that the exchanges that we've just had reflect that what we	18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
19	see in Nel videos 229 and 230, and in particular the	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: So if we can just go
20	throwing of stun grenades in an area where it seems there	20	back to the sequence in which these videos came to you and
21	were no policemen to hand to intercept the strikers, which	21	to us, you say you received an initially incomplete
22	is a fact which is odd to square with, is difficult to	22	sequence from Captain Nel.
23	square with the explanation put forward by Brigadier Fritz,	23	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
24	and secondly the fact that while those stun grenades were	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you didn't notice
25	being thrown one kilometre west of koppie 3, a scene was	25	at the time that it was incomplete, even though it jumped
	-	┝──	D
1	Page 13891		Page 13893 from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files?
1	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would	1	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files?
2	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for	2	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No.
	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz.		from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from
2 3 4	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I	2 3 4	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this
2 3 4 5	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view –	2 3 4 5	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete
2 3 4	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for	2 3 4	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks
2 3 4 5 6 7	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz	2 3 4 5 6	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on
2 3 4 5 6	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts?	2 3 4 5 6 7	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical explanation, but I don't want to put it out now. I mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the other video material that we've seen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical explanation, but I don't want to put it out now. I mean it's him that needs to explain the issues, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the other video material that we've seen. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical explanation, but I don't want to put it out now. I mean it's him that needs to explain the issues, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you this;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the other video material that we've seen. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you've just agreed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical explanation, but I don't want to put it out now. I mean it's him that needs to explain the issues, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you this; have you been through all of the Nel videos?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the other video material that we've seen. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you've just agreed with me that if one looks at the whole set of Captain Nel's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical explanation, but I don't want to put it out now. I mean it's him that needs to explain the issues, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you this; have you been through all of the Nel videos? COLONEL SCOTT: I – yes, I would say yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the other video material that we've seen. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you've just agreed with me that if one looks at the whole set of Captain Nel's videos, apart from these two missing videos there are no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical explanation, but I don't want to put it out now. I mean it's him that needs to explain the issues, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you this; have you been through all of the Nel videos? COLONEL SCOTT: I – yes, I would say yes. I can't remember them all pertinently, but I would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the other video material that we've seen. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you've just agreed with me that if one looks at the whole set of Captain Nel's videos, apart from these two missing videos there are no videos that have content that calls out for an explanation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical explanation, but I don't want to put it out now. I mean it's him that needs to explain the issues, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you this; have you been through all of the Nel videos? COLONEL SCOTT: I – yes, I would say yes. I can't remember them all pertinently, but I would have gone through them to see what they contained.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the other video material that we've seen. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you've just agreed with me that if one looks at the whole set of Captain Nel's videos, apart from these two missing videos there are no videos that have content that calls out for an explanation from SAPS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	unfolding at koppie 3 where the aerial command post would have been greatly appreciated. Those two factors call for something of an explanation from Brigadier Fritz. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I don't know if I must comment on my point of view – MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm not asking for your explanation, but would you accept that Brigadier Fritz has to provide an explanation for those two facts? COLONEL SCOTT: He would need to, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it's at least potentially awkward for him to have to deal with those two facts. He may have an explanation; he may not. We don't know at this stage. We'll have to wait and see, but it is at least potentially awkward. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I have a logical explanation, but I don't want to put it out now. I mean it's him that needs to explain the issues, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you this; have you been through all of the Nel videos? COLONEL SCOTT: I – yes, I would say yes. I can't remember them all pertinently, but I would have gone through them to see what they contained. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, other than the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from 228 to 231 in terms of numerical files? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And we've seen from the affidavit of Captain Nel that when he gave you this footage, he must have known about the need for complete numerical sequences and files because he'd several weeks earlier been questioned by Brigadier Engelbrecht on precisely that issue. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've seen that in his statement, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you agreed with the Chairperson yesterday that the missing footage in 229 contains material that's relevant material for this Commission, and indeed in response to a question from the Chairperson is considerably more relevant than much of the other video material that we've seen. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you've just agreed with me that if one looks at the whole set of Captain Nel's videos, apart from these two missing videos there are no videos that have content that calls out for an explanation from SAPS. COLONEL SCOTT: From your perspective,

	Page 13894		Page 13896
1	these missing files from Captain Nel and you saw the	1	removed.
2	relevant footage that they disclosed, you told the	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: At what point did it
3	Chairperson yesterday that you didn't ask Captain Nel for	3	occur to you that these videos may have been deleted?
4	an explanation as to why he had withheld them from you in	4	COLONEL SCOTT: When you sent it through
5	the first place.	5	to us.
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Not that I can recall,	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: When we sent what
7	because he wasn't the only person that was called in.	7	through to you?
8	There was a group of three, four, five that were called in,	8	COLONEL SCOTT: The fact that there were
9	all to deliver their footage. On, I believe it's within	9	videos missing from – again this was one person of about
10	two days or even on the same day of each other.	10	four to five different people that you'd actually sent
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but at a certain	11	through to us and said that there are files missing, and
12	point you saw, you considered the footage which had been	12	people that are bringing their stuff in, I'm aware that
13	brought in and you saw that there was now relevant material	13	some of them had private photographs on their cameras,
14	that had been brought in that was previously not brought	14	etcetera.
15	in, and you didn't see fit to raise with Captain Nel why he	15	[10:15] So my assumption is that it was just simply
16	hadn't brought it in, in the first place?	16	deleted, or things were deleted. I didn't take the time to
17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I know it was in Rustenburg in the office there. As I recall, he came in	17	scrutinise what Captain Nel, or what his reasons were, but
10	with Warrant-Officer Barnard. He downloaded his videos,	18 19	when he brought his footage in and it was shown to us, so I
20	Warrant-Officer Barnard downloaded his photos. I did	20	obviously knew then that it, either he had saved a copy elsewhere, but it was not part of what he had given me on
20	observe the videos. I did see that they had what was stun	20 21	an earlier occasion.
22	grenades in them and so on. Initially I was under the	21	CHAIRPERSON: I don't quite understand.
23	impression that they'd just been deleted. I can't recall	22	I can understand from what you told us yesterday that you
23	though that he, I did ask him for an explanation. I	24	thought stuff had been not given to you. Whether it was
25	thought that these were part of the discretionary issues	25	deleted or just not given to you is irrelevant for the
		20	
1	Page 13895		Page 13897
1	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to	1	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either
2	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves.	2	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or
2 3	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I	2 3	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the
2 3 4	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You	2 3 4	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I
2 3 4 5	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted.	2 3 4 5	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three
2 3 4 5 6	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that?	2 3 4 5 6	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the
2 3 4 5 6 7	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the	2 3 4 5 6 7	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when	2 3 4 5 6	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to
2 3 4 5 6 7	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it was material that might have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasm't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought that they had been deleted after we asked for them, not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your request, and withheld material from you which potentially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought that they had been deleted after we asked for them, not before.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your request, and withheld material from you which potentially could put you in an embarrassing position, and criticism
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought that they had been deleted after we asked for them, not before. CHAIRPERSON: I think that question is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your request, and withheld material from you which potentially could put you in an embarrassing position, and criticism could be directed against you which you didn't deserve. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought that they had been deleted after we asked for them, not before. CHAIRPERSON: I think that question is ambiguous.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your request, and withheld material from you which potentially could put you in an embarrassing position, and criticism could be directed against you which you didn't deserve. So I would have expected you frankly to have been irritated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought that they had been deleted after we asked for them, not before. CHAIRPERSON: I think that question is ambiguous. MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I think – were you going to complain about the ambiguity of the question too, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your request, and withheld material from you which potentially could put you in an embarrassing position, and criticism could be directed against you which you didn't deserve. So I would have expected you frankly to have been irritated. Were you? COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, to be honest, I –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought that they had been deleted after we asked for them, not before. CHAIRPERSON: I think that question is ambiguous. MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I think – were you going to complain about the ambiguity of the question too, Mr Semenya? I think you've got to rephrase it because it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your request, and withheld material from you which potentially could put you in an embarrassing position, and criticism could be directed against you which you didn't deserve. So I would have expected you frankly to have been irritated. Were you? COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, to be honest, I – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought that they had been deleted after we asked for them, not before. CHAIRPERSON: I think that question is ambiguous. MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I think mere you going to complain about the ambiguity of the question too, Mr Semenya? I think you've got to rephrase it because it's not clear what exactly you mean by "after you'd been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your request, and withheld material from you which potentially could put you in an embarrassing position, and criticism could be directed against you which you didn't deserve. So I would have expected you frankly to have been irritated. Were you? COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, to be honest, I – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] honest all the time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that I mentioned in my statement that people thought to withhold themselves. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry Colonel, can I just take you back to something that you just said. You said initially you thought they had just been deleted. What did you mean by that? COLONEL SCOTT: When you, when the evidence leaders brought it up that they were missing, when Captain Nel brought them in and gave them to us, obviously then I could see and I was made aware then that the sequence wasn't complete from what you guys had requested as well. And I've watched them and I can understand that possibly they were withheld because somebody thought that they were going to be detrimental to the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you say you thought that they had been deleted after we asked for them, not before. CHAIRPERSON: I think that question is ambiguous. MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I think – were you going to complain about the ambiguity of the question too, Mr Semenya? I think you've got to rephrase it because it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	purposes of what I'm asking you. They did that either because it was personal material that wasn't relevant, or because it was something that had happened that took the case no further; it was irrelevant in that sense, or I think you said it wasn't clear. Those are the three factors that operated in your mind, I think. Now when the evidence leaders now called for this material and it was brought in and you saw it, it must have been apparent to you, (1), it wasn't private material; (2), it wasn't material that was irrelevant in the sense that it took the investigation no further; and it wasn't unclear. In fact I think you've now said it was material that might have been regarded as prejudicial to the police. So I must confess I would have expected you to have been irritated that he treated you in that fashion and not complied with your request, and withheld material from you which potentially could put you in an embarrassing position, and criticism could be directed against you which you didn't deserve. So I would have expected you frankly to have been irritated. Were you? COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, to be honest, I – CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]

		1	
	Page 13898		Page 13900
1	of seeing the member's possible loyalty to the police in	1	protecting the police, which is one thing, but the police
2	that sense. I didn't question particularly why. The fact	2	operator through individuals, and the individual in charge
3	that he had brought the videos in, they were there now, he	3	of that helicopter was Brigadier Fritz. You'll accept
4	gave the explanation of what was on them. So I didn't ask	4	that?
5	him for an explanation of why not before, and the	5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
6	explanation to me was maybe - as I say I'm assuming now,	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: So there's a separate
7	but that he was simply trying to protect the police in that	7	issue of a misguided wish to protect Brigadier Fritz from
8	matter.	8	something prejudicial, is there not?
9	CHAIRPERSON: So did you think that he	9	MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair, that's
10	was being guilty of what one could describe as mistaken	10	objectionable.
11	loyalty to the police?	11	CHAIRPERSON: Commissioner Hemraj points
12	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, that also calls	12	out that you haven't laid the factual foundation for that
13	for speculation, with respect. The witness said –	13	question.
14	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, with respect,	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: The factual foundation
15	I'm asking him what he thought at the time. I'm not asking	15	for a –
16	him to speculate now. That's a matter for us to decide.	16	CHAIRPERSON: He says what passed in his
17	I'm asking him what he thought at the time. I don't think	17	mind was this was mistaken loyalty to the police. Your
18	there's anything wrong with that.	18	point is that the police service operates through
19	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I was pointing to	19	individuals and the individuals who would have been
20	the following; once a witness says 'I don't know why they	20	protected if that was the case, would have been the
21	were deleted,' asking for possible explanations is asking	21	individuals in the helicopter, or the person in charge of
22	for conjecture. Secondly he says 'I did not ask them	22	the helicopter. I take it that's basically –
23	specifically,' so he cannot even have say-so of those who	23	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is my point,
24 25	deleted it, and that is the basis of my objection. CHAIRPERSON: That wasn't the basis of my	24 25	indeed, and if we're looking at a mistaken, at the
25	CHAIRFERSON. Hat wasn't the basis of my	20	possibility of a mistaken desire to protect not just the
	Page 13899		Page 13901
1	question. The question was what this witness thought at	1	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier
1 2	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had	1 2	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier
	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't		SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more
2	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will	2	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any
2 3	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand	2 3	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage?
2 3 4	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not	2 3 4	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this
2 3 4 5	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The	2 3 4 5 6 7	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the	2 3 4 5 6	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and 230 from you and, if so, why, and his evidence will have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for it. CHAIRPERSON: Of course certain questions</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and 230 from you and, if so, why, and his evidence will have to be heard by the Commission. But I do have to put it to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for it. CHAIRPERSON: Of course certain questions may flow from that, but whether it's prejudicial or not is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and 230 from you and, if so, why, and his evidence will have to be heard by the Commission. But I do have to put it to you now that the position of the evidence leaders is that if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for it. CHAIRPERSON: Of course certain questions may flow from that, but whether it's prejudicial or not is a matter you can argue at the end of the day. You've put</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and 230 from you and, if so, why, and his evidence will have to be heard by the Commission. But I do have to put it to you now that the position of the evidence leaders is that if Captain Nel does not corroborate your testimony in respect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for it. CHAIRPERSON: Of course certain questions may flow from that, but whether it's prejudicial or not is a matter you can argue at the end of the day. You've put it on record now. You've flagged the point, as it were, so</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and 230 from you and, if so, why, and his evidence will have to be heard by the Commission. But I do have to put it to you now that the position of the evidence leaders is that if Captain Nel does not corroborate your testimony in respect to how these videos came initially to be withheld from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for it. CHAIRPERSON: Of course certain questions may flow from that, but whether it's prejudicial or not is a matter you can argue at the end of the day. You've put it on record now. You've flagged the point, as it were, so it won't be overlooked.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and 230 from you and, if so, why, and his evidence will have to be heard by the Commission. But I do have to put it to you now that the position of the evidence leaders is that if Captain Nel does not corroborate your testimony in respect to how these videos came initially to be withheld from the Commission, the evidence leaders will have to submit that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for it. CHAIRPERSON: Of course certain questions may flow from that, but whether it's prejudicial or not is a matter you can argue at the end of the day. You've put it on record now. You've flagged the point, as it were, so it won't be overlooked. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if I can pick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and 230 from you and, if so, why, and his evidence will have to be heard by the Commission. But I do have to put it to you now that the position of the evidence leaders is that if Captain Nel does not corroborate your testimony in respect to how these videos came initially to be withheld from the Commission, the evidence leaders will have to submit that your testimony in this regard should be rejected by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>question. The question was what this witness thought at the time when these videos were brought to him and had previously not been given, and he then saw it. So I don't think there's any substance in your objection, so I will repeat my question. It's really, it's what – I understand the point, Mr Semenya is quite right that it's not appropriate for me to ask you what you think now. The question is - that's for us to decide at the end of the day. The question is what you thought at the time when he came, brought these videos which had been asked for, you saw what they were, and what's your answer to that? COLONEL SCOTT: Those were my thoughts. I assumed that he was trying to protect the police from something that may be derogatory or, toward them. MR SEMENYA SC: Even that, for the record, Chair, that answer is prejudicial and has no foundation, guessing what might have been the reason for it. CHAIRPERSON: Of course certain questions may flow from that, but whether it's prejudicial or not is a matter you can argue at the end of the day. You've put it on record now. You've flagged the point, as it were, so it won't be overlooked.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SAPS, but the commander of that helicopter, Brigadier Fritz, given the respective relationships with Brigadier Fritz of yourself and Captain Nel, who would have been more likely to want to protect Brigadier Fritz from any embarrassment that flows from this footage? MR SEMENYA SC: You see, Chair, this cannot be correct. CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a question for argument. I don't think it's a question you can ask him, frankly. It's – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do – CHAIRPERSON: You know, the inference can be drawn, but – MR CHASKALSON SC: I do want to give this witness an opportunity, because let me put it this way, Colonel; in due course we'll have to hear from Captain Nel about whether he says he initially withheld videos 229 and 230 from you and, if so, why, and his evidence will have to be heard by the Commission. But I do have to put it to you now that the position of the evidence leaders is that if Captain Nel does not corroborate your testimony in respect to how these videos came initially to be withheld from the Commission, the evidence leaders will have to submit that

1 2	Page 13902 CHAIRPERSON: No, but just, that's a hypothetical situation. I can understand what you're	1 2	Page 13904 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson, file 1, page 189, are actually photographs. Might there be
3	saying, that if Captain Nel comes and tells a different	3	another file for that?
4	story, the criticism may then rebound from him onto this	4	MR CHASKALSON SC: It must be file 3. I
5	witness, but it's very hypothetical at the moment. I would	5	apologise. In the meantime can I ask that JJJ36 be called
6	have thought that if that's what Captain Nel says, because	6	up onto the screen?
7	he may not, if Captain Nel comes and takes it on the chin	7	CHAIRPERSON: It is page 189 of file 3.1.
8	and confirms what this witness says, well then that's where	8	Have you got it in front of you, Colonel?
9	the matter will end. If of course he tells a different	9	COLONEL SCOTT: I have, Chairperson.
10	tale, then it may be necessary for the Colonel to get an	10	MR CHASKALSON SC: I wonder if we could
11	opportunity to answer criticism that may arise, but I don't	11	try to get it up on the screen? But if we can start on the
12	think it's necessary for him to answer criticism in	12	second page of the email, which in fact reflects what the
13	anticipando, as it were, when the criticism may never	13	request of the evidence leaders was in relation to the
14	eventuate.	14	videos, and there are photographs sort of on the first
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't need to take	15	three lines of that page, and then come the video requests,
16	it further, Chairperson.	16	full set of Captain Nel's videos, those have been dealt
17	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Is there perhaps a	17	with. Full set of POPs videos M2-series, 13 to 16 August;
18	statement from Captain Nel with an explanation as to why	18	full set of POPs picture series 13 to 16 August. These,
19	the videos weren't provided, Mr Chaskalson?	19	Colonel, you'll recall, turned out to be copies of the
20	MR CHASKALSON SC: There isn't as yet.	20	deleted files that we discussed yesterday. You say you've
21	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Has it been asked	21	never viewed them. For present purposes we're not going to
22	for?	22	take that further until we've looked at the hard drive that
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: It hasn't been asked	23	you gave us yesterday.
24	for. We will ask for it.	24	The fourth set is a full set of POPs numbered
25	CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]	25	MTS-series 13 to 16 August, and the fourth set is cell
1	Page 13903 it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness	1	Page 13905
1	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness	1	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been
2	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I	2	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the
2 3	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a	2 3	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8
2 3 4	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you	2 3 4	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7
2 3 4 5	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an	2 3 4 5	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant-
2 3 4 5 6	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness	2 3 4	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself,
2 3 4 5	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be	2 3 4 5 6	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we
2 3 4 5 6 7	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled.	2 3 4 5 6 7	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of November, JJJ36, which sets out the queries of the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number. JJJ what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of November, JJJ36, which sets out the queries of the evidence leaders and the response of the SAPS team. It's page 189	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number. JJJ what? MR CHASKALSON SC: JJJ21.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of November, JJJ36, which sets out the queries of the evidence leaders and the response of the SAPS team. It's page 189 of the Commissioners' bundle, and it's JJJ36.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number. JJJ what? MR CHASKALSON SC: JJJ21. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of November, JJJ36, which sets out the queries of the evidence leaders and the response of the SAPS team. It's page 189 of the Commissioners' bundle, and it's JJJ36. CHAIRPERSON: Just remind me the file?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number. JJJ what? MR CHASKALSON SC: JJJ21. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Pages 105 to 107.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of November, JJJ36, which sets out the queries of the evidence leaders and the response of the SAPS team. It's page 189 of the Commissioners' bundle, and it's JJJ36. CHAIRPERSON: Just remind me the file? File 1, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number. JJJ what? MR CHASKALSON SC: JJJ21. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Pages 105 to 107. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Of which file?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of November, JJJ36, which sets out the queries of the evidence leaders and the response of the SAPS team. It's page 189 of the Commissioners' bundle, and it's JJJ36. CHAIRPERSON: Just remind me the file? File 1, is it? MR CHASKALSON SC: It's file 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number. JJJ what? MR CHASKALSON SC: JJJ21. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Pages 105 to 107. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Of which file? CHAIRPERSON: Which file?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of November, JJJ36, which sets out the queries of the evidence leaders and the response of the SAPS team. It's page 189 of the Commissioners' bundle, and it's JJJ36. CHAIRPERSON: Just remind me the file? File 1, is it? MR CHASKALSON SC: It's file 1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number. JJJ what? MR CHASKALSON SC: JJJ21. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Pages 105 to 107. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Of which file? CHAIRPERSON: Which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: File 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it seems to me this witness is likely to be at the witness table, I would think probably till the end of the week. I think that's a realistic assumption. If you were to send a query today, you should get the answer by tomorrow and you can then deal with it, if it's an answer which calls for an answer from this witness, before he even leaves the witness table, in which case it won't be necessary for him to be recalled. MR CHASKALSON SC: We will pursue that line, Chairperson. COLONEL SCOTT: That's a – MR CHASKALSON SC: If I can then move away from the Captain Nel videos and on to some other videos, and possibly the best starting point for this part of the cross-examination is that email of the 8th of November, JJJ36, which sets out the queries of the evidence leaders and the response of the SAPS team. It's page 189 of the Commissioners' bundle, and it's JJJ36. CHAIRPERSON: Just remind me the file? File 1, is it? MR CHASKALSON SC: It's file 1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, if I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	phone video of Captain Mahlatsi – it should have been Mhlatsi – 350 to 365, and the response that we see on the first page of the email from the SAPS attorneys on 8 November says, "We refer to the meeting that was held on 7 November at 2 between Brigadier Pretorius, Lieutenant- Colonel Scott, Colonel Fikter, Adv Wesley, and yourself, per video phone," we've dealt with the video phone and we don't need to go there. Let's start with the POPs videos, which are the last item on that list. "Full set of POPs videos M2-series and MTS-series were loaded down on computer of Adv Wesley." Now can I ask that we call up first the M2-series that was given to Adv Wesley on the 7th of November, that's JJJ21. JJJ21, and screenshots are on pages 105 to 107. CHAIRPERSON: I didn't get the number. JJJ what? MR CHASKALSON SC: JJJ21. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MR CHASKALSON SC: Pages 105 to 107. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Of which file? CHAIRPERSON: Which file? MR CHASKALSON SC: File 1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

1	Page 13906	1	Page 13908
1	directory properties, so it will be JJJ21.1 to JJJ21.3.	1	350 was missing. So if you go back to that email JJJ36,
2	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Page 105 and 106	2	you'll see that the request that was made there was for
3	are directory entries of file 1.	3	Captain Mhlatsi videos 350 to 365, and the existence of 350
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: What we have up then	4	was something to which we'd been alerted by you. It didn't
5	is 107, so 105 would be the directory entries of file	5	emerge ex facie the incomplete set.
6	properties, view of that directory; this would be the	6	COLONEL SCOTT: If I can just maybe also
7	thumbnails. Colonel, will you confirm that those are the	7	place for the record, this request, if one would – is sent
8	files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7th of	8	through a nodal point to the police. It's not to say that
9	August as the POPs videos M2-series?	9	because it deals with video and photo footage and that
10	COLONEL SCOTT: I'll have to rely on your	10	which is missing, that it gets directed directly to me.
11	accuracy. I mean we've handed over many times to yourself.	11	Most of these requests initially tried to get dealt with by
12	To say it's those exact ones, but I'll rely on your	12	Brigadier Pretorius, and at that time Colonel Visser who
13	accuracy to say so, yes.	13	was sitting there. I'd already way back at Roots handed
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, we do say so,	14	over the duties of being the nodal point with all these
15	but there's nothing in those files that you say no, no,	15	videos and photos, etcetera. There were odd occasions when
16	we'd never given you these as the M2-series.	16	they were still brought to me, but immediately I would
17	COLONEL SCOTT: You're correct, yes.	17	download them to look at them and give them over to the
18	MR CHASKALSON SC: And then if we can go	18	SAPS master hard drive. But specifically if there are
19	to the MTS-series, which will be JJJ20 and which are at	19	things mentioned in the email, it's not an email I for
20	pages 108 to 110.	20	instance typed. So to reflect it towards myself that,
21	CHAIRPERSON: What series is that?	21	because I'm trying as hard as I can to recall things that
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: The MTS-series, MTS-	22	have been said, but in the email itself it's - I didn't
23	series, and again, Colonel, would you confirm that in	23	type that mail. Somebody else obviously wrote that there,
24	respect of the MTS, that these are the files that you	24	because I'm struggling to recall the 350 and missing
25	handed to the evidence leaders on the 7th of November as the	25	videos, as you're putting them there. I was not involved
	Dere 13007		
	Page 13907		Page 13909
1	outstanding files from the MTS-series?	1	Page 13909 in getting the statements from these members that are also
1 2	5	1 2	5
	outstanding files from the MTS-series?		in getting the statements from these members that are also
2	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all	2	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails.
2 3	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the –	2 3	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly
2 3 4	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of	2 3 4	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if
2 3 4 5	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series.	2 3 4 5	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but –
2 3 4 5 6	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your	2 3 4 5 6	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos from Captain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos from Captain Mhlatsi as the original incomplete set of videos that was furnished to the evidence leaders?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just struggling to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos that was furnished to the evidence leaders? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just struggling to recall that, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos from Captain Mhlatsi as the original incomplete set of videos that was furnished to the evidence leaders? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if one looks at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just struggling to recall that, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos from Captain Mhlatsi as the original incomplete set of videos that was furnished to the evidence leaders? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if one looks at that sequence, we immediately picked up that 353 was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just struggling to recall that, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? COLONEL SCOTT: I'm struggling to recall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos from Captain Mhlatsi as the original incomplete set of videos that was furnished to the evidence leaders? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if one looks at that sequence, we immediately picked up that 353 was missing from the sequence. 351, 352, 353 isn't there, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just struggling to recall that, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? COLONEL SCOTT: I'm struggling to recall that, if it was a conversation or, I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos from Captain Mhlatsi as the original incomplete set of videos that was furnished to the evidence leaders? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if one looks at that sequence, we immediately picked up that 353 was missing from the sequence. 351, 352, 353 isn't there, and then it goes 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, all the way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just struggling to recall that, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? COLONEL SCOTT: I'm struggling to recall that, if it was a conversation or, I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well maybe we can go a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos from Captain Mhlatsi as the original incomplete set of videos that was furnished to the evidence leaders? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if one looks at that sequence, we immediately picked up that 353 was missing from the sequence. 351, 352, 353 isn't there, and then it goes 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, all the way through to 365. So we had asked for a full set, but you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just struggling to recall that, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? COLONEL SCOTT: I'm struggling to recall that, if it was a conversation or, I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well maybe we can go a little further because it-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	outstanding files from the MTS-series? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you referring to all of them being outstanding, or is it just to complete the – MR CHASKALSON SC: Or a complete set of the MTS-series. COLONEL SCOTT: I, again I'll take your word for it is what we handed over to you, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if they can be JJJ20. Then the last item that we haven't addressed on that email is Captain Mhlatsi's cell phone videos, and this is a bit more complicated because they came in, in dribs and drabs. So if I can put up on the screen the original set of videos that was provided to the evidence leaders in relation to the cell phone footage from Captain Mhlatsi, that will be JJJ25.1, JJJ25.1 at page 104 of that file, and Colonel, do you recognise that set of videos from Captain Mhlatsi as the original incomplete set of videos that was furnished to the evidence leaders? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now if one looks at that sequence, we immediately picked up that 353 was missing from the sequence. 351, 352, 353 isn't there, and then it goes 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, all the way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in getting the statements from these members that are also attached to these emails. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, it's slightly embarrassing for me to concede this, to admit this, but if you roll down, I was the one who actually wrote that, but – COLONEL SCOTT: Oh, I see. MR CHASKALSON SC: My name appears at the bottom, but - the 330 to 365, but – [10:35] CHAIRPERSON: The point he's making is that if you look at that, the exhibits to which we referred one sees that 353 is missing but he says that the reason he asked, he didn't just ask for 353 or the series from 351 to 365 but the series from 350 to 365 because you actually said to him – there's actually another missing as well, not only 53 but also 50. So he's as it were complimenting you on drawing his attention to a missing thing that he didn't know about. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just struggling to recall that, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? COLONEL SCOTT: I'm struggling to recall that, if it was a conversation or, I don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well maybe we can go a

		-	
1	Page 13910	1	Page 13912
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: Nothing is going to	1	off the iPhone of Captain Mohlatsi. I take it wasn't you.
2	turn on this, I merely wanted to point out – we didn't	2	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it wasn't no.
3	divine that 350 was missing, we were generously informed of	3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Who would have been
4	this fact by SAPS. So if we go forward and maybe if we go	4	responsible for that? Who would have obtained that video
5	back up to the email, to the first page of that email which	5	which was initially difficult to download and then able to
6	describes the second sequence of this chain. So there the	6	be downloaded?
7	SAPS legal team says in respect of Captain Mohlatsi "all	7	COLONEL SCOTT: I think – well I would
8	footage were provided to Advocate Wesley, the only one	8	assume it would be between Colonel Visser and Brigadier
9	outstanding was video clip number 50." That should be 350	9	Pretorius but more Colonel Visser who was working with the
10	would you accept?	10	hard drive at this time.
11	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you know who
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: "Which was taken on	12	was dealing with Captain Mohlatsi on behalf of SAPS in
13	cell phone and could not open. Arrangements were made that	13	relation to these requests that we kept bombarding you
14	the member would be called on 8 November 2012 to download	14	with?
15	the image again directly on the computer of Advocate	15	COLONEL SCOTT: Again I can speculate, it
16	Chaskalson." And in fact what we received on the 7th of	16	would have been going through Brigadier Pretorius. There
17	November was even more than we'd asked for because if I can	17	may have been call up instructions sent out to have him
18	take you to JJJ25.2 and 25.3, we got not only 353 but we	18	come in with his phone. I do recall at one stage that he
19	also got 348 and 349. So can we go to JJJ25.2 and 25.3?	19	was trying to send it either via mail or something to that
20	CHAIRPERSON: Of page?	20	effect. I know he tried to send it to Captain Ryland, I'm
21	MR CHASKALSON SC: And that is page 102	21	not sure that worked either. So he had to, as far as I
22	to 103.	22	know, come in to actually bring his phone in but it wasn't
23	CHAIRPERSON: Of file 1?	23	my dealing as I say. It would have been channelled through
24 25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Of file 1. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	24	the structure which we have running which would have come
25	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	25	in through Brigadier Pretorius and the necessary
	Page 13911		Page 13913
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: The thumbnails and	1	arrangements made that way.
2	directories.	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, in due course,
3	CHAIRPERSON: Yes.	3	have to take this further with Brigadier Pretorius but I
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: So SAPS obligingly	4	wonder if the SAPS legal team can possibly find out who it
5	gave us not only the 353 that we had asked for and the	5	was who received the video -
6	explanation that 350 would be forthcoming in due course but	6	CHAIRPERSON: - nods his head, it will be
7	also 348 and 349 about which we were at that stage	7	done.
8	ignorant. Do you recall that?	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Thank you,
9	COLONEL SCOTT: Not personally, no. As I	9	Chairperson. Now if we can move to the properties view of
10	say I wasn't working specifically with this.	10	this directory which is the previous page. So that is the
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: But all of this	11	properties view of the directory as it was complete by the
			8th of November and what immediately struck us when we
12	happened at a meeting at which you were present and there's	12	5
13	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what	13	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't - it
13 14	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that	13 14	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original
13 14 15	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting.	13 14 15	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which
13 14 15 16	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	13 14 15 16	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken.
13 14 15 16 17	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all	13 14 15 16 17	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either
13 14 15 16 17 18	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all that was missing was 350. The email records that there was	 13 14 15 16 17 18 	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either on the 17th of October or in respect of the four files -
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all that was missing was 350. The email records that there was some difficulty getting 350 off the phone but by 8 November	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either on the 17th of October or in respect of the four files - three files that were provided at the meeting of the 7th,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all that was missing was 350. The email records that there was some difficulty getting 350 off the phone but by 8 November SAPS apparently were able to get 350 off the phone and they	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either on the 17th of October or in respect of the four files - three files that were provided at the meeting of the 7th, those dates were the 6th of November and the one that came
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all that was missing was 350. The email records that there was some difficulty getting 350 off the phone but by 8 November SAPS apparently were able to get 350 off the phone and they gave us a copy of 350 on a memory stick. So we then had a	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either on the 17th of October or in respect of the four files - three files that were provided at the meeting of the 7th, those dates were the 6th of November and the one that came on the 8th which is 350 has the date of the 8th. So these
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all that was missing was 350. The email records that there was some difficulty getting 350 off the phone but by 8 November SAPS apparently were able to get 350 off the phone and they gave us a copy of 350 on a memory stick. So we then had a full set that ran from 348 to 365 and that appears – well	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either on the 17th of October or in respect of the four files - three files that were provided at the meeting of the 7th, those dates were the 6th of November and the one that came on the 8th which is 350 has the date of the 8th. So these weren't original dates and times, so the utility of these
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all that was missing was 350. The email records that there was some difficulty getting 350 off the phone but by 8 November SAPS apparently were able to get 350 off the phone and they gave us a copy of 350 on a memory stick. So we then had a full set that ran from 348 to 365 and that appears – well that can be JJJ25.4 and 25.5 at pages 100 to 101. And that	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either on the 17th of October or in respect of the four files - three files that were provided at the meeting of the 7th, those dates were the 6th of November and the one that came on the 8th which is 350 has the date of the 8th. So these weren't original dates and times, so the utility of these files was reduced for the evidence leaders. And we then
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all that was missing was 350. The email records that there was some difficulty getting 350 off the phone but by 8 November SAPS apparently were able to get 350 off the phone and they gave us a copy of 350 on a memory stick. So we then had a full set that ran from 348 to 365 and that appears – well that can be JJJ25.4 and 25.5 at pages 100 to 101. And that then was what appeared to be the full set. Now can I ask	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either on the 17th of October or in respect of the four files - three files that were provided at the meeting of the 7th, those dates were the 6th of November and the one that came on the 8th which is 350 has the date of the 8th. So these weren't original dates and times, so the utility of these files was reduced for the evidence leaders. And we then asked Captain Mohlatsi to come in with his cell phone
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	nothing that I'm putting to you that is at odds with what you recall at that meeting. I wasn't present at that meeting. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So at that stage all that was missing was 350. The email records that there was some difficulty getting 350 off the phone but by 8 November SAPS apparently were able to get 350 off the phone and they gave us a copy of 350 on a memory stick. So we then had a full set that ran from 348 to 365 and that appears – well that can be JJJ25.4 and 25.5 at pages 100 to 101. And that	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	looked at these properties is that SAPS hadn't – it appeared that SAPS hadn't been able to get the original metadata, if I can call it that, off the files which describes the original dates of when the shots were taken. So if one looks down that date column the dates are either on the 17th of October or in respect of the four files - three files that were provided at the meeting of the 7th, those dates were the 6th of November and the one that came on the 8th which is 350 has the date of the 8th. So these weren't original dates and times, so the utility of these files was reduced for the evidence leaders. And we then

	Page 13914		Page 13916
1	original times off his cell phone. Now, I've actually got	1	relevant material for this Commission?
2	ahead of myself because what I really wanted to do was just	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
3	describe the process. So let's go back to the POP's files	3	MR CHASSKALSON SC: You see our concern
4	because Sergeant Mohlatsi is a different story later on and	4	in this regard is that these videos were not included on
5	I would like to know where that file 350 came from before	5	the SAPS that was made available to the parties, none of
6	we embark on that line of cross-examination. If we go to	6	them. So can I give you a screenshot of the hard drive in
7	the POP's files the evidence leaders have a number of	7	the form that it was given to us and the parties when we
8	queries and concerns about the POP's videos that SAPS has	8	received it? And that will be JJJ23.1 at page 181 to 182.
9	made available to us and to the parties and the first one	9	CHAIRPERSON: [Inaudible, microphone not
10	concerns what was put on the SAPS hard drive that was given	10	on].
11	to us and what wasn't. So if I can ask that JJJ17.1 be	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: 181 to 182.
12	called up, that is a screenshot of the 40 series that we	12	CHAIRPERSON: File 1?
13	discussed yesterday that you confirmed that you downloaded.	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: File 1. Can we call
14	It's page 121, that you confirmed that you downloaded from	14	up JJJ23.1? Now this is a screenshot that we'd taken from
15	the POPs operators on the 17th of August. JJJ17.1 and maybe	15	the SAPS hard drive in the form that it was given to the
16	if we can go to 17.3 which is the thumbnail view which is	16	evidence leaders by SAPS and we have captured all of the
17	up on screen, that's at page 123 of file 1. Now I'm going	17	POPs MTS files that were given to us relating to the 16th in
18	to confine these questions to the files in the 40 series	18	this screenshot. 40 series wasn't there. Do you accept
19	which are in the top row of this picture of thumbnails.	19	that?
20	Are you familiar with any of the videos that you see on	20	COLONEL SCOTT: Besides 44.
21	those thumbnails from 42 MTS to 48 MTS?	21	MR CHASKALSON SC: 44 turned out to be
22	COLONEL SCOTT: I think 47 looks like Mr	22	not to be part of the 40 series. 44 turned out to be a
23	Mathunjwa if I'm not mistaken.	23	file that dealt with Mr Noki on the 14th.
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, if I can	24	COLONEL SCOTT: Well as you're showing me
25	interject there, you'll find that that's the source file	25	on screen it appears not to be there, yes.
	Dago 12015		Dogo 12017
1	Page 13915 for your slide 162 of your presentation.	1	Page 13917 CHAIRPERSON: The guestion accurately
1 2	for your slide 162 of your presentation.	1 2	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately
	for your slide 162 of your presentation.		5
2	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may	2	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not
2 3	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included.
2 3 4	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer.	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect
2 3 4 5	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series
2 3 4 5 6	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is
2 3 4 5 6 7	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation?	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at the koppie around about you know sometime between midday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the passage of the POP's files from the POP's video operators
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at the koppie around about you know sometime between midday and 1:30. We can get an exact time later. Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the passage of the POP's files from the POP's video operators all the way through to the parties at this Commission. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at the koppie around about you know sometime between midday and 1:30. We can get an exact time later. Do you recognise 46? It's not in your presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the passage of the POP's files from the POP's video operators all the way through to the parties at this Commission. You testified yesterday that you downloaded the 40 series onto
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at the koppie around about you know sometime between midday and 1:30. We can get an exact time later. Do you recognise 46? It's not in your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but I would imagine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the passage of the POP's files from the POP's video operators all the way through to the parties at this Commission. You testified yesterday that you downloaded the 40 series onto your laptop on the 17th and that would have included – and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at the koppie around about you know sometime between midday and 1:30. We can get an exact time later. Do you recognise 46? It's not in your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but I would imagine that's Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the passage of the POP's files from the POP's video operators all the way through to the parties at this Commission. You testified yesterday that you downloaded the 40 series onto your laptop on the 17th and that would have included – and that did, you testified included all of the 40 series files
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at the koppie around about you know sometime between midday and 1:30. We can get an exact time later. Do you recognise 46? It's not in your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but I would imagine that's Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's footage of Mr Mathunjwa shortly after his arrival at the koppie on the 16th. Would you agree with me that the videos that we see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the passage of the POP's files from the POP's video operators all the way through to the parties at this Commission. You testified yesterday that you downloaded the 40 series onto your laptop on the 17th and that would have included – and that did, you testified included all of the 40 series files taken on the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at the koppie around about you know sometime between midday and 1:30. We can get an exact time later. Do you recognise 46? It's not in your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but I would imagine that's Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's footage of Mr Mathunjwa shortly after his arrival at the koppie on the 16th. Would you agree with me that the videos that we see from 42 to 48 contain material, if one looks at the whole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the passage of the POP's files from the POP's video operators all the way through to the parties at this Commission. You testified yesterday that you downloaded the 40 series onto your laptop on the 17th and that would have included – and that did, you testified included all of the 40 series files taken on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: And I'm speaking from recollecting as best I can from my memory, alright? MR CHASKALSON SC: But yesterday we went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for your slide 162 of your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I think he may be speaking to the SAPS there where he's requesting loudhailer. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's absolutely correct, yes. Do you recognise 42 because that's also in your presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: It's small but – MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can just put to you that that's the source file for your slide 157 of the presentation, the behaviour of the crowd at 10:45. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: And 48 is also a source file for your presentation. That is the very lengthy address by the AMCU organisers at the meeting at the koppie around about you know sometime between midday and 1:30. We can get an exact time later. Do you recognise 46? It's not in your presentation. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but I would imagine that's Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, that's footage of Mr Mathunjwa shortly after his arrival at the koppie on the 16th. Would you agree with me that the videos that we see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: The question accurately formulated is that the 40 series except for 44 was not included. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, with respect not, Mr Chairperson, that 44 is not part of the 40 series that we have described as the 40 series. The 40 series is the series of videos that were taken on one of the POP's cameras on the 16th. This is another file called 44 MTS. Possibly if we can go back to see what – CHAIRPERSON: Probably nothing turns on it. If something does Mr Semenya will deal with it in re- examination. Let's not spend time on it unless it's important. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's not. Let's just go back to your testimony yesterday dealing with the passage of the POP's files from the POP's video operators all the way through to the parties at this Commission. You testified yesterday that you downloaded the 40 series onto your laptop on the 17th and that would have included – and that did, you testified included all of the 40 series files taken on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: And I'm speaking from recollecting as best I can from my memory, alright?

		I	
1	Page 13918 between the two cards and you will recall that there were	1	Page 13920 fact go to slide 157 we'll see, and we don't need to have
2	two different cards from which you downloaded.	2	it up on screen if it's going to take time, but you'll see
3	COLONEL SCOTT: I can recall there were	3	that the heading that you gave to slide 157 was The Crowd's
4	two cards. Specifically at what time is again open to	4	Behaviour at 10:45 which matches the time on the file. So
5	objective evidence because if I can be shown by properties	5	these are video files that were taken by the POP's
6	that's acceptable but to recall from my memory back to	6	operators or one of the POP's operators on the morning of
7	times when we've gone through what we've gone through, we	7	the 16th at the koppie between 10:45 and the last one is
8	weren't sleeping as much as we should have and to recall	8	12:18, 048 if we're talking about the MTS series. Now I
9	exactly when and what they gave me is difficult. So to go	9	would have imagined that those are par excellence the files
10	back to computer evidence to show objectively what was	10	that you would have wanted to see the next morning when the
11	given to me at that time I think would be relevant and	11	POPs – or the next afternoon I think it was when the POP's
12	accurate for that matter.	12	video operators came to you to download their files.
13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, we have	13	COLONEL SCOTT: Well I wanted to see ja,
14	a couple of difficulties with that. I mean the only we can	14	for the purposes of building the NASCOM's presentation at
15	go back to that is if you give us your laptop and we have	15	that time it was what was relevant to that presentation but
16	the laptop forensically examined to determine where or when	16	whatever they would give obviously I took.
17	the 40 series first made its way onto your laptop. If	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: But we went through
18	you're willing to do that we would welcome that	18	the exercise yesterday with you and you confirmed that had
19	opportunity.	19	downloaded two separate cards and do you reconfirm that?
20	COLONEL SCOTT: Because I've done that	20	COLONEL SCOTT: From what I'm recalling,
21	last night, I have gone into a file called OPS Platinum and	21	yes but this is why I'm asking to maybe consider objective
22	the modified - for instance 11:01:01 which I'm sure the	22	evidence from my hard drive, from my computer that can
23	camera's timing must have been out -	23	verify that accurately because I'm speaking from memory.
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if you're	24	And it's over a year ago, at times we were tired and to
25	looking at a file that says 11:0:01 then you're looking at	25	recall that accurately, I may be incorrect by I may be
			5. 5 5 5
	Page 13919		Page 13921
1	Page 13919 files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on	1	Page 13921 correct.
1 2		1 2	5
	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on		correct.
2	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the	2	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt
2 3	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the	2 3	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five
2 3 4	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the	2 3 4	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five
2 3 4 5	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at	2 3 4 5	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the
2 3 4 5 6	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're	2 3 4 5 6	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you
2 3 4 5 6 7	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if	2 3 4 5 6 7	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on 122 but what's up on the screen is not the file properties.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: Absolutely, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on 122 but what's up on the screen is not the file properties. Ah yes, now we're all on the same page. So, Colonel, these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: Absolutely, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on 122 but what's up on the screen is not the file properties. Ah yes, now we're all on the same page. So, Colonel, these are the file properties of 40 series and they start on 042	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: Absolutely, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment until quarter past 11.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on 122 but what's up on the screen is not the file properties. Ah yes, now we're all on the same page. So, Colonel, these are the file properties of 40 series and they start on 042 with a file that has the time 10:45 16 September 2012 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: Absolutely, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment until quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on 122 but what's up on the screen is not the file properties. Ah yes, now we're all on the same page. So, Colonel, these are the file properties of 40 series and they start on 042 with a file that has the time 10:45 16 September 2012 and you'll recall yesterday that we discussed the incorrect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: Absolutely, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment until quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:24] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on 122 but what's up on the screen is not the file properties. Ah yes, now we're all on the same page. So, Colonel, these are the file properties of 40 series and they start on 042 with a file that has the time 10:45 16 September 2012 and you'll recall yesterday that we discussed the incorrect September on all of these files and we agreed that it was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: Absolutely, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment until quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:24] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. We took a bit longer in coming back because we saw that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on 122 but what's up on the screen is not the file properties. Ah yes, now we're all on the same page. So, Colonel, these are the file properties of 40 series and they start on 042 with a file that has the time 10:45 16 September 2012 and you'll recall yesterday that we discussed the incorrect September on all of these files and we agreed that it was a mistaken month, it should be August. And I put to you that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: Absolutely, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment until quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:24] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	files that were taken by Warrant Officer Nong probably on the 14th, maybe on the 15th which was before he reset the time of the camera. But all of the files that were in the 40 series – if we can go back because we can show you the properties of the 40 series, if we can go back to JJJ17 at page 121. Can we call that up on the screen, JJJ17? We're having difficulties getting JJJ17 up on the screen. And if we can go to page 122 of the the Commissioner's bundle which deals with the 40 series – CHAIRPERSON: If you haven't got it I'll lend you my page. That's 122. MR CHASKALSON SC: This will be JJJ17.2 but we don't want to see the thumbnails, we want to see the file properties. CHAIRPERSON: The file properties appear to be on 122, is that correct? MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct, on 122 but what's up on the screen is not the file properties. Ah yes, now we're all on the same page. So, Colonel, these are the file properties of 40 series and they start on 042 with a file that has the time 10:45 16 September 2012 and you'll recall yesterday that we discussed the incorrect September on all of these files and we agreed that it was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct. CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, sorry to interrupt you. I was proposing to take the tea adjournment in five minutes but if it would help so that we don't spend five minutes on speculative cross-examination I can take the adjournment now, you can look at your computer, see if you can find the objective evidence. I take it, if necessary, you can show it to Mr Chaskalson and when we resume at say, quarter past 11, unless you need more time in which case you can tell me, then we will hopefully know whether the objective data to which you refer is available and you then will be able to give us evidence that you are happier with, than evidence based on reconstruction than memory. Would that be acceptable? [10:55] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: Absolutely, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment until quarter past 11. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:24] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. We took a bit longer in coming back because we saw that the

	Page 13922		Page 13924
1	sorted out, is that correct? Mr Chaskalson?	1	Page 13924 Thereafter as you said, sorry Colonel you're nodding. I
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, we	2	think for the record you must actually speak.
3	don't have a short term solution because from our	3	COLONEL SCOTT: I'm just acknowledging
4	perspective the only way that we will be able to show	4	what you're saying, yes.
4 5	forensically whether those particular files were downloaded	4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but if you can do
	-	5 6	it out loud.
6	onto Colonel Scott's laptop is to have Colonel Scott's	0 7	
7	laptop hard drive forensically examined and that is a very		
8	lengthy process, there's also sensitive documents on the	8	say yes because the transcribers won't see you. So they
9	laptop so it will have to take place either in the presence	9	won't be able to put on the transcript brackets witness
10	of Colonel Scott or under some other type of controlled	10	nods close brackets.
11	circumstances.	11	COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, it may be
12	CHAIRPERSON: I take it that matter's	12	just a habit that's taught in negotiations, it's positive
13	been addressed, we don't have to get resolution on the	13	communication. You're listening when you nod.
14	matter now. You can continue with your cross-examination	14	CHAIRPERSON: The answer that normally is
15	can you?	15	given by witnesses who communicate that is I hear you.
16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes we can continue	16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I hope the
17	with the cross-examination.	17	negotiations succeed and we don't have to move to the
18	CHAIRPERSON: But before you do so, let	18	tactical phase. So we're dealing with the second
19	me remind the witness. You're still under oath, Colonel.	19	possibility which is that the POPS video operator be it
20	Yes, Mr Chaskalson.	20	Warrant Officer Masinya or Warrant Officer Ndlovu who took
21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, we're	21	that footage, downloaded it onto your laptop on the 17th or
22	going to have to come back to this particular chain of	22	indeed possibly a bit later, you copied it over onto the
23	evidence as it were, at a later stage but let me put to you	23	SAPS hard drive that you had in your custody up until the
24	what I see to be the possible breaks in the chain. The	24	week commencing the 27th you, you're with me thus far?
25	first possible break in the chain would be if the POPS	25	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
		1	
	Page 13923		Page 13925
1	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're -
1 2	5	1 2	
	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40		MR CHASKALSON SC: You're -
2	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you,
2 3 4	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain.	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had
2 3 4 5	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so.	2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your
2 3 4 5 6	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would	2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop.
2 3 4 5 6 7	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second scenarios, that's also a possibility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break and two we would have to establish how that break was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second scenarios, that's also a possibility. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now for that to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break and two we would have to establish how that break was subsequently cured by SAPS so that they got custody of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second scenarios, that's also a possibility. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now for that to have happened it would have had to be an of two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break and two we would have to establish how that break was subsequently cured by SAPS so that they got custody of these videos and then there would be the third question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second scenarios, that's also a possibility. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now for that to have happened it would have had to have been deleted one of two things would have had to have happened. Either it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break and two we would have to establish how that break was subsequently cured by SAPS so that they got custody of these videos and then there would be the third question which is why those videos were not put onto the hard drive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second scenarios, that's also a possibility. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now for that to have happened it would have had to have been deleted one of two things would have had to have happened. Either it would have had to have been deleted off your external hard drive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break and two we would have to establish how that break was subsequently cured by SAPS so that they got custody of these videos and then there would be the third question which is why those videos were not put onto the hard drive that was made available to the evidence leaders and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second scenarios, that's also a possibility. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now for that to have happened it would have had to have been deleted one of two things would have had to have happened. Either it would have had to have been deleted off your external hard drive before the transfer took place. Are you aware of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break and two we would have to establish how that break was subsequently cured by SAPS so that they got custody of these videos and then there would be the third question which is why those videos were not put onto the hard drive that was made available to the evidence leaders and the parties. That's the first possibility. The second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second scenarios, that's also a possibility. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now for that to have happened it would have had to have been deleted one of two things would have had to have been deleted one of two things would have had to have happened. Either it would have had to have been deleted off your external hard drive before the transfer took place. Are you aware of any deletions that you made of video material –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break and two we would have to establish how that break was subsequently cured by SAPS so that they got custody of these videos and then there would be the third question which is why those videos were not put onto the hard drive that was made available to the evidence leaders and the parties. That's the first possibility. The second possibility is that they were downloaded onto your laptop,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second scenarios, that's also a possibility. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now for that to have happened it would have had to have been deleted one of two things would have had to have happened. Either it would have had to have been deleted off your external hard drive before the transfer took place. Are you aware of any deletions that you made of video material – COLONEL SCOTT: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	operator who downloaded, who took the footage in the 40 series didn't in fact come to you to download it as he was supposed to be on the 17th, that's a possible break in the chain. COLONEL SCOTT: That's so. MR CHASKALSON SC: In that event it would never have got onto your laptop hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Laptop, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But at some stage thereafter it did come into the custody of the SAPS because videos from that series were then incorporated in the presentation? COLONEL SCOTT: That is so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, so if that were the break in the chain we would have to explain, well one we would have to establish that that was the break and two we would have to establish how that break was subsequently cured by SAPS so that they got custody of these videos and then there would be the third question which is why those videos were not put onto the hard drive that was made available to the evidence leaders and the parties. That's the first possibility. The second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: You're - COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You then deleted you, at some stage in this process you deleted what you had copied over because you wanted to free up space on your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the file may have been lost somewhere between your hard drive and the Brigadier Pretorius hard drive that became the SAPS archive sometime in the week of the 27th of August. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja that – MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the second possibility. COLONEL SCOTT: That's the second possibility. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now for that to have happened it would have had to have been deleted one of two things would have had to have been deleted one of two have had to have been deleted off your external hard drive before the transfer took place. Are you aware of any deletions that you made of video material –

	Page 13926		Page 13928
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: So we can discount	1	everything that was on the hard drive when it was handed
2	that.	2	over to the evidence leaders but plainly we didn't because
3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	3	we didn't get the 40 series which SAPS had and was using
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: The other possibility	4	to, as source material for its presentation.
5	is that you didn't copy everything over onto Brigadier	5	COLONEL SCOTT: I hear what you're saying
6	Pretorius's hard drive, is that a possibility?	6	and look I'm - not to try and find explanations for that
7	COLONEL SCOTT: Well looking at the range	7	but it's possible that SAPS got that but Colonel Victor
8	of possibilities, it is a possibility, yes, but highly	8	Visser would have to testify to that. That they also got
9		9	it from the section 205, from the Lonmin footage, probably
	unlikely.		
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well how did you do	10	the same place you got it from the Lonmin footage coming
11	the copying of your external Scott hard drive to the	11	in.
12	Brigadier Pretorius hard drive?	12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but a whole lot
13	COLONEL SCOTT: You know you're asking me	13	of other material that was obtained through the Lonmin 205
14	to recall back then but I'm assuming it would have been the	14	subpoena was put onto the SAPS hard drive that was given to
15	same way I would do it now, and that would simply be by	15	us. So all of those CCTV cameras for instance on seven
16	taking everything I have and dragging it over.	16	different days and many different cameras we did receive.
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it would be	17	COLONEL SCOTT: I know that I've got
18	unlikely that you would have missed files in that process?	18	certain Lonmin CCTV cameras that were given to me while I
19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	19	was still at Marikana. So there were certain of that
20	CHAIRPERSON: He says highly unlikely.	20	footage which is outside of a 205.
21	COLONEL SCOTT: Highly unlikely, yes.	21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, well,
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Highly unlikely. So	22	Colonel, we will pursue this further and if needs be we can
23	the third possibility, am I on three, the third possibility	23	make the arrangements for forensic examination of various
24	would be it made its way onto the fourth, Brigadier	24	hard drives at a later stage. I want to move to a related
25	Pretorius's hard drive but was subsequently removed from	25	topic and I want to take you back to November last year
<u> </u>			
	Page 13927		Page 13929
1	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of	1	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may
2	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the	2	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a
2 3	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to	2 3	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides
2 3 4	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive.	2 3 4	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to
2 3 4 5	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a	2 3	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to
2 3 4 5 6	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though.	2 3 4	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can
2 3 4 5	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a	2 3 4 5	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to
2 3 4 5 6	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know	2 3 4 5 6	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same
2 3 4 5 6 7	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS	2 3 4 5 6 7	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over was handed, all of it was handed over.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide, it's now called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over was handed, all of it was handed over. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide, it's now called up. If one looks at slides 118, sorry 116 to 118 on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over was handed, all of it was handed over. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, I didn't follow that answer. To your knowledge?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide, it's now called up. If one looks at slides 118, sorry 116 to 118 on the screen, one will see the thumbnails of the videos that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over was handed, all of it was handed over. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, I didn't follow that answer. To your knowledge? COLONEL SCOTT: The best of my knowledge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide, it's now called up. If one looks at slides 118, sorry 116 to 118 on the screen, one will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear in slides 163 to 165 and if one looks at 120 to 122
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over was handed, all of it was handed over. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, I didn't follow that answer. To your knowledge? COLONEL SCOTT: The best of my knowledge what was on the SAPS hard drive at the time of giving it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide, it's now called up. If one looks at slides 118, sorry 116 to 118 on the screen, one will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear in slides 163 to 165 and if one looks at 120 to 122 we will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over was handed, all of it was handed over. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, I didn't follow that answer. To your knowledge? COLONEL SCOTT: The best of my knowledge what was on the SAPS hard drive at the time of giving it over to the evidence leaders is what was given over,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide, it's now called up. If one looks at slides 118, sorry 116 to 118 on the screen, one will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear in slides 163 to 165 and if one looks at 120 to 122 we will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear on slides 167 to 169. Are you satisfied with that assessment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over was handed, all of it was handed over. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, I didn't follow that answer. To your knowledge? COLONEL SCOTT: The best of my knowledge what was on the SAPS hard drive at the time of giving it over to the evidence leaders is what was given over, nothing was held back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide, it's now called up. If one looks at slides 118, sorry 116 to 118 on the screen, one will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear in slides 163 to 165 and if one looks at 120 to 122 we will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear on slides 167 to 169. Are you satisfied with that assessment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that hard drive or least removed from the selection of files on that hard drive that were copied over to the evidence leaders and the parties. Now you can't speak to that because you weren't in custody of that hard drive. COLONEL SCOTT: I can confirm it's a possibility though. MR CHASKALSON SC: You can confirm it's a possibility. Whichever the possibilities it is we know that footage which was taken by SAPS, which was in SAPS possession which continued to be used by SAPS and regarded by SAPS as being relevant, wasn't made available to the evidence leaders and the parties, you'll accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'll accept that, to the best of my knowledge what was available on the SAPS hard drive at that time when Colonel Victor handed it over was handed, all of it was handed over. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, I didn't follow that answer. To your knowledge? COLONEL SCOTT: The best of my knowledge what was on the SAPS hard drive at the time of giving it over to the evidence leaders is what was given over, nothing was held back. MR CHASKALSON SC: So we ought –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	when you presented exhibit L to the commission and you may recall that shortly after your presentation we raised a concern with you about a misleading time sequence in slides 163 to 169 of exhibit L and I wonder if we can get 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 169 called up. Colonel, you'll recall that slides 163 to 168 are the speeches of the six protestors and if we can link them to the POPS videos they are in fact videos 116 to 118 in the 100 MTS series and 120 to 122 in the same series. So if we go back to the thumbnail of the 100 MTS series which would be JJJ17 if I recall correctly. If we can go to JJJ17. Can we call up JJJ17? Well its page 123 of the file 1 that the commissioners have but if we can just look at – COLONEL SCOTT: I can see it on the screen, Sir. MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide, it's now called up. If one looks at slides 118, sorry 116 to 118 on the screen, one will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear in slides 163 to 165 and if one looks at 120 to 122 we will see the thumbnails of the videos that appear on slides 167 to 169. Are you satisfied with that assessment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And for the record,

	Page 13930		Page 13932
1	lengthy speech of the AMCU organisers and Mr Mathunjwa	1	interpretation by Ms Mahlangu of what was said. It's an
2	which is exhibit CC7 for which the source file is video 48	2	exhibit, it was handed in as far as I remember.
3	on the MTS series up in front of you. Will you accept	3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, my
4	that?	4	concern is that it may be that that particular translation
5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	5	starts only where Mr Mathunjwa's speech begins in the
6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now it's been a long	6	middle of this video.
7	time since we saw these videos in the commission and it may	7	CHAIRPERSON: Well –
8	be, I'd like to refresh everybody's memory of the sequence	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: But if it starts at
9	as it was presented by yourself in commission, by playing	9	the beginning what it will say is that has to be respected.
10	first slide 168 and then the ten seconds, the first ten	10	Now exhibit L suggests that the AMCU organisers and Mr
11	seconds of slide 169. So can we do that now. Slide 168	11	Mathunjwa followed immediately on from protestor 6, will
12	and the first ten seconds of 169 and if I might ask, we	12	you accept that?
13	don't have volume, I wonder if we do have volume, if we	13	COLONEL SCOTT: Its, it is shown that way
14	could get volume and start again.	14	in exhibit L. It's not maliciously shown that way.
15	CHAIRPERSON: We have subtitles	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Will it -
16	apparently.	16	CHAIRPERSON: Concession I suppose that
17	[VIDEO SHOWN]	17	exhibit L creates, doesn't it, you've got the murderous
18	MR CHASKALSON SC: And just the first ten	18	speech and then you've got the AMCU organisers speaking
19	seconds of this video. This video is so – is the hyper	19	then I think someone else and then Mr Mathunjwa. That's
20	linked video because it was so big and we seem to have	20	the sequence in which these videos are shown in exhibit L.
21	difficulties playing it. It may not be necessary to play	21	COLONEL SCOTT: It is so, Chairperson,
22	it because I can make my point without playing it. Can we	22	but with respect this is the first time I've even been made
23	just abandon video 169. Colonel, would it be fair to say	23	aware that this is a, I've never viewed it this way.
24	that the, that what we saw in 168 was what I would describe	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, can I
25	as a short murderous speech by protestor 6 indicating that	25	take you back to what you told the commission when you were
	Page 13931		Page 13933
1	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to	1	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the
1 2	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off.	1 2	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read
	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an		actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following.
2	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes.	2 3 4	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the
2 3	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able	2 3	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group
2 3 4	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first	2 3 4	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your
2 3 4 5	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking	2 3 4 5	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a transcript of this, Mr Chaskalson, can't we go there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a transcript of this, Mr Chaskalson, can't we go there rather?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: But let's start at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a transcript of this, Mr Chaskalson, can't we go there rather? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, take it from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: But let's start at the end point. You accept that that sequence that is suggested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a transcript of this, Mr Chaskalson, can't we go there rather? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, take it from me that the first transcribed portion that we see in 169 is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: But let's start at the end point. You accept that that sequence that is suggested by exhibit L and that you stated to the commission is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a transcript of this, Mr Chaskalson, can't we go there rather? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, take it from me that the first transcribed portion that we see in 169 is that that has to be respected. We will find, I'll find my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: But let's start at the end point. You accept that that sequence that is suggested by exhibit L and that you stated to the commission is an incorrect sequence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a transcript of this, Mr Chaskalson, can't we go there rather? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, take it from me that the first transcribed portion that we see in 169 is that that has to be respected. We will find, I'll find my copy of the video in the adjournment and if needs be we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: But let's start at the end point. You accept that that sequence that is suggested by exhibit L and that you stated to the commission is an incorrect sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, just, the thumbnails
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a transcript of this, Mr Chaskalson, can't we go there rather? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, take it from me that the first transcribed portion that we see in 169 is that that has to be respected. We will find, I'll find my copy of the video in the adjournment and if needs be we can play it after lunch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: But let's start at the end point. You accept that that sequence that is suggested by exhibit L and that you stated to the commission is an incorrect sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, just, the thumbnails are all of the same camera. It wasn't two different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he wants policemen who do not want, who do not believe to kill, to be killed, to be finished off. COLONEL SCOTT: I think that's, that's an interpretation yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we were able to be play 169 what we would see is that the very first transcribed portion of the AMCU organiser who is speaking in 169 says that has to be respected, do you recall that? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well then we may have to play 169 and find – COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word for it, but I don't recall it no. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it is what it says. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Don't we have a transcript of this, Mr Chaskalson, can't we go there rather? MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, take it from me that the first transcribed portion that we see in 169 is that that has to be respected. We will find, I'll find my copy of the video in the adjournment and if needs be we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually presenting these videos. That you'll find in the transcript of 9 November, page 1253 and if I might read what you said to the Commissioners, you said the following. "When the AMCU president went forward members of the protestor group were first allowed to address the group themselves," and what you'll see is blue stripes in your presentation but those will actually come across as subtitles as we had it interpreted and placed onto the video clip. So you informed the commission that the protestors speeches preceded the AMCU speeches. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I know where you're going, in the sense that the objective evidence in the details of the videos will show otherwise but that's really how I understood it at that time. MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due course. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: But let's start at the end point. You accept that that sequence that is suggested by exhibit L and that you stated to the commission is an incorrect sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, just, the thumbnails

-			
	Page 13934		Page 13936
1	there are two different cameras. If we can go back to	1	organiser started his speech in exhibit CC7, which is
2	JJJ17. One is from the 40 series and one is from the 100	2	48MTS?
3	series, well not one is 100 series, several are from the	3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
4	100-series. So if we can go back, so the speech of Mr	4	MR CHASKALSON SC: And so not asking for
5	Mathunjwa is the last file in the 40-series, that's 48MTS.	5	explanations at this stage, just looking to establish the
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.	6	facts, would you accept then that the sequencing of exhibit
7	MR CHASKALSON SC: The speeches of the	7	L in this respect first of all was objectively wrong?
8	protesters are 116 to 118MTS and 120 to 122MTS.	8	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
9	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, and I can	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: And second of all I
10	only explain that possibly is why being two different	10	want to put it to you that it was highly prejudicial to
11	series, that they weren't in sequence in that case there,	11	AMCU. Would you accept that?
12	and at the moment they're in name sequence and not time	12	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, if you're asking my
13	sequence, but I will take your word for it that it's	13	opinion on whether it was highly prejudicial to AMCU, as I
14	possible that it is in a different time sequence.	14	say, I wasn't even aware of, that it ran from that guy to
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's look at	15	what somebody would interpret to just needs to be respected
16	the time properties, because we can put that to bed with	16	or something. That never crossed my line of thought.
17	the next page of this exhibit, JJJ17, I think it's 17.2.	17	MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm asking not about
18	COLONEL SCOTT: I think the point I	18	your intentions, not about your subjective opinion in
19	wanted to make was if it was of the same camera, I would	19	putting it together that way. I'm asking you about
20	have been more aware that it was running before the	20	objectively, would you accept that objectively it is highly
21	protesters. Being from a separate camera, it's not that	21	prejudicial, that sequence was highly prejudicial to AMCU?
22	evident to me. It's -	22	COLONEL SCOTT: Objectively, I haven't
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: We'll get there in due	23	got an option but to say yes.
24	course, but let's take it one step at a time. If we, let's	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Right, now one of the
25	first clarify what the true facts are. The true facts are	25	things that struck me as odd in relation to your mis-
	Page 13935		Page 13937
1	that the time of the AMCU speeches, as appears from O48MTS,	1	sequencing of these slides in exhibit L is that one of the
2	was 12:18 on the 16th. Do you accept that?	2	hallmarks of exhibit L is an attempt to be precise in
3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	3	relation to times.
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we go to the	4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes –
5	next page, which shows the 100MTS-series, can we scroll	-	MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you accept
6	······ [9-; ······ ···························	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you accept
-	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if	5 6	that's correct?
7			
7 8	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if	6	that's correct?
	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If	6 7	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we
8	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech	6 7 8	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes.
8 9	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct?	6 7 8 9	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides
8 9 10	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	6 7 8 9 10	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles.
8 9 10 11	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who	6 7 8 9 10 11	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that?
8 9 10 11 12	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the
8 9 10 11 12 13	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the reconciliation table of times, JJJ37, that these two	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think it was slide 157 for which the source file was 42 of the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the reconciliation table of times, JJJ37, that these two cameras are only 38 seconds apart, so it's not as though	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think it was slide 157 for which the source file was 42 of the MTS-series, that's a video file which is headed "The
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the reconciliation table of times, JJJ37, that these two cameras are only 38 seconds apart, so it's not as though one of them has time that's radically out and the other one	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think it was slide 157 for which the source file was 42 of the MTS-series, that's a video file which is headed "The crowd's behaviour at 10:45."
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the reconciliation table of times, JJJ37, that these two cameras are only 38 seconds apart, so it's not as though one of them has time that's radically out and the other one isn't. So these times are broadly equivalent with one	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think it was slide 157 for which the source file was 42 of the MTS-series, that's a video file which is headed "The crowd's behaviour at 10:45." COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And when we go to the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the reconciliation table of times, JJJ37, that these two cameras are only 38 seconds apart, so it's not as though one of them has time that's radically out and the other one isn't. So these times are broadly equivalent with one another. They are in fact 38 seconds apart. You accept	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think it was slide 157 for which the source file was 42 of the MTS-series, that's a video file which is headed "The crowd's behaviour at 10:45." COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the reconciliation table of times, JJJ37, that these two cameras are only 38 seconds apart, so it's not as though one of them has time that's radically out and the other one isn't. So these times are broadly equivalent with one another. They are in fact 38 seconds apart. You accept that?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think it was slide 157 for which the source file was 42 of the MTS-series, that's a video file which is headed "The crowd's behaviour at 10:45." COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And when we go to the properties of the source file, which is 42MTS, we see it is indeed a file taken at 10:45. Now when you were putting
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the reconciliation table of times, JJJ37, that these two cameras are only 38 seconds apart, so it's not as though one of them has time that's radically out and the other one isn't. So these times are broadly equivalent with one another. They are in fact 38 seconds apart. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think it was slide 157 for which the source file was 42 of the MTS-series, that's a video file which is headed "The crowd's behaviour at 10:45." COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And when we go to the properties of the source file, which is 42MTS, we see it is indeed a file taken at 10:45. Now when you were putting together exhibit L, you were aware of the time properties
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	down a page of the properties to the 100MTS at 17.3. So if we – 17.1, okay, we've got the right page up on screen. If we look at protester 1, that's 116MTS, and that speech starts at 12:56. You'll accept that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And protester 6, who gave the short murderous speech, that's 122MTS, and that speech started at 10 past 1. COLONEL SCOTT: I see so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we know from the reconciliation table of times, JJJ37, that these two cameras are only 38 seconds apart, so it's not as though one of them has time that's radically out and the other one isn't. So these times are broadly equivalent with one another. They are in fact 38 seconds apart. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So then would you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's correct? COLONEL SCOTT: We tried as best as we could, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And most of the slides with photographs in the slides had times in their titles. Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: And that's the point, the photographs do, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it's not just videos. If we go to, and we've already been there, I think it was slide 157 for which the source file was 42 of the MTS-series, that's a video file which is headed "The crowd's behaviour at 10:45." COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And when we go to the properties of the source file, which is 42MTS, we see it is indeed a file taken at 10:45. Now when you were putting

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

		I	
	Page 13938		Page 13940
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: And in your statement	1	files in the 100-series, that sequence would have been
2	at paragraph 102, paragraph 32.4, you say that in the	2	clear to you. Take 103 and 104MTS, if we can call up 103
3	division of labour between yourself and Colonel Fischer in	3	and 104MTS. They're 17.103 and 17.104 in the JJJ-series.
4	relation to the presentation, you were specifically	4	What these videos in the 100-series will show is footage
5	responsible for sequencing in the film and photographic	5	taken during the first AMCU organiser's speech, and in
6	evidence.	6	particular footage of the notorious "Kill the NUM" song
7	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	7	that we also hear on 048MTS. Possibly if we can play 103?
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: You recall that? And	8	Before we do so, possibly before we play it, will – oh, if
9	you reconfirm that?	9	we can play it? But we do need sound.
10	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	10	[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now I want to put to	11	Sorry, we seem – are we still in the same video,
12	you that it's not possible to sequence photographic and	12	or are we jumping ahead here? Sorry, my mistake; this is
13	video files without looking at the file properties. Would	13	the same video.
14	you accept that?	14	[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]
15	COLONEL SCOTT: I accept that, and maybe	15	Maybe if we can stop at this point? Do you
16	I'm just stumped at the fact, because there was no intent	16	recall the "We will kill NUM" song?
17	to do this or there was no – I know that also those files -	17	COLONEL SCOTT: Somewhere I've – yes,
18	and I'm not, I'm just wondering whether that could have had	18	somebody's testified or spoken about that those were the
19	an impact on it - once they were sent for translation were	19	words being used, yes.
20	returned to us, and whether that wasn't the sequencing on	20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you'll find them
21	the file we received them in translation. I'm not sure.	21	transcribed in the file of 048MTS, which made its way into
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, can you just	22	exhibit 169. The –
23	clarify that? Just repeat that answer – or let me tell you	23	CHAIRPERSON: You mean slide 169?
24	what I understood you to say. You sent, those files you	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169.
25	sent for translation and you think that you may have been	25	CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit or slide 169?
1	Page 13939 confused because when you got them back from the	1	Page 13941 MR CHASKAI SON SC: Slide 169 the NUM
1	confused because when you got them back from the	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM
2	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence?	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip
2 3	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this;	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket –
2 3 4	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM
2 3 4 5	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm	2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers.
2 3 4 5 6	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the	2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it
2 3 4 5 6 7	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will see what the file properties are and what the times are,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? COLONEL SCOTT: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will see what the file properties are and what the times are, whichever cameras they're on. COLONEL SCOTT: Presumably so, yes. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You'll find that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will see what the file properties are and what the times are, whichever cameras they're on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You'll find that he does appear as an AMCU speaker in slide 169, file 48.MTS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will see what the file properties are and what the times are, whichever cameras they're on. COLONEL SCOTT: Presumably so, yes. But like I'm saying, if I had checked and made myself aware of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You'll find that he does appear as an AMCU speaker in slide 169, file 48.MTS. Let's go to 104.MTS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will see what the file properties are and what the times are, whichever cameras they're on. COLONEL SCOTT: Presumably so, yes. But like I'm saying, if I had checked and made myself aware of those file properties, I would surely have sequenced them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You'll find that he does appear as an AMCU speaker in slide 169, file 48.MTS. Let's go to 104.MTS. [VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will see what the file properties are and what the times are, whichever cameras they're on. COLONEL SCOTT: Presumably so, yes. But like I'm saying, if I had checked and made myself aware of those file properties, I would surely have sequenced them the other way. Again it's very possibly an oversight on my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You'll find that he does appear as an AMCU speaker in slide 169, file 48.MTS. Let's go to 104.MTS. [VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED] You can just stop at that point. Can we stop the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will see what the file properties are and what the times are, whichever cameras they're on. COLONEL SCOTT: Presumably so, yes. But like I'm saying, if I had checked and made myself aware of those file properties, I would surely have sequenced them the other way. Again it's very possibly an oversight on my part.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? You'll find that he does appear as an AMCU speakers! You'll find that he does appear as an AMCU speaker in slide 169, file 48.MTS. Let's go to 104.MTS. [VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED] You can just stop at that point. Can we stop the You can just stop at that point. Can we stop the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	confused because when you got them back from the translators they may have been in that sequence? COLONEL SCOTT: If I can establish this; I concede that they are in the wrong sequence. What I'm saying is that it was not maliciously done by me, and I'm trying to provide a plausible answer as to why the sequencing is in that way. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, you earlier suggested that a plausible answer may be that they weren't part of the same sequence. COLONEL SCOTT: The same camera. MR CHASKALSON SC: The same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Different cameras. But presumably if you're checking file properties you will see what the file properties are and what the times are, whichever cameras they're on. COLONEL SCOTT: Presumably so, yes. But like I'm saying, if I had checked and made myself aware of those file properties. I would surely have sequenced them the other way. Again it's very possibly an oversight on my part. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it seems to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Slide 169, the NUM organisers and Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Did you see the clip in the middle of that video of a man in a black jacket – CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, you said the NUM organisers. I think you meant the AMCU organisers. MR CHASKALSON SC: I beg your pardon, it was the AMCU organisers. Did you see the man in the black jacket in the middle of that clip? COLONEL SCOTT: Are you talking about where it broke for a moment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, where it broke for a moment. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you recognise him as one of the AMCU speakers? COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You'll find that he does appear as an AMCU speaker in slide 169, file 48.MTS. Let's go to 104.MTS. [VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED] You can just stop at that point. Can we stop the video? Did you see the man in the black jacket again? COLONEL SCOTT: I think you need to pre-

	Page 13942		Page 13944
1	black jacket.	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: We must respect that.
2	COLONEL SCOTT: I'll take your word that	2	COLONEL SCOTT: We must respect that, but
3	he was there.	3	if maybe one can go to a version of the presentation which
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Let's look at a more,	4	had the slides inserted before the interpretation was even
5	possibly a more obvious clip, which is 108.MTS.	5	there, which would have been done then by myself, I would
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Looking for the man in	6	have even known what was being said in those slides at that
7	the black jacket?	7	time until the interpretations were actually provided.
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, now we're looking	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: But how would you have
9	for Mr Mathunjwa. It's a very short clip. It's one	9	sequenced them?
10	second, two seconds, but the identity of the speaker is	10	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, at that time that
11	unmistakable. 108.MTS, CC8. You can just stop; we don't	11	was to me one meeting that was taking place at the sort of
12	need to play it. Do you recognise that man?	12	12 o'clock to 1 o'clock meeting time.
13	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: But that isn't what
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Who is he?	14	you told the Commission. You specifically told the
15	COLONEL SCOTT: That's, if I'm not	15	Commission that when the AMCU president went forward,
16	mistaken, Mr Mathunjwa.	16	members of the protester group were first allowed to
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Right, now that is Mr	17	address the group themselves.
18	Mathunjwa giving his speech at the koppie on the 16th.	18	COLONEL SCOTT: That's my understanding
19	The –	19	at that time when I presented this, and as I say, I don't
20	CHAIRPERSON: I take it, he's giving his	20	change from that now; that is what I understood at that
21	first speech?	21	time when I presented that and when I made the
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: His speech at	22	presentation, for that matter.
23	lunchtime. If we go to the file properties of 108.MTS,	23	MR CHASKALSON SC: But you accept now
24	which would be 17.2, and we can see there that that clip	24	that's incorrect?
25	from Mr Mathunjwa's speech took place at 12:27, 108.MTS.	25	COLONEL SCOTT: I do accept that's it
	Page 13943		Page 13945
1	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes.	1	incorrect now, yes.
2	COLONEL SCOTT:I see that, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So it's not an issue	2	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you
2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one	2 3	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and
2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT:I see that, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So it's not an issueof two separate sources of footage; in fact on the onesource of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech	2 3 4	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission?
2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes	2 3 4 5	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept	2 3 4 5 6	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:I see that, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So it's not an issueof two separate sources of footage; in fact on the onesource of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speechat 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutesbefore protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You acceptthat?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So I've taken you to103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there wasanother way that you could have seen that the sequencing inexhibit L was incorrect.COLONEL SCOTT:Considering I was lookingat the properties of the time sequencing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:I see that, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So it's not an issueof two separate sources of footage; in fact on the onesource of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speechat 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutesbefore protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You acceptthat?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So I've taken you to103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there wasanother way that you could have seen that the sequencing inexhibit L was incorrect.COLONEL SCOTT:Considering I was lookingat the properties of the time sequencing.MR CHASKALSON SC:But we earlier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT:I see that, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So it's not an issueof two separate sources of footage; in fact on the onesource of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speechat 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutesbefore protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You acceptthat?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So I've taken you to103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there wasanother way that you could have seen that the sequencing inexhibit L was incorrect.COLONEL SCOTT:Considering I was lookingat the properties of the time sequencing.MR CHASKALSON SC:But we earlierdiscussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT:I see that, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So it's not an issueof two separate sources of footage; in fact on the onesource of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speechat 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutesbefore protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You acceptthat?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So I've taken you to103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there wasanother way that you could have seen that the sequencing inexhibit L was incorrect.COLONEL SCOTT:Considering I was lookingat the properties of the time sequencing.MR CHASKALSON SC:But we earlierdiscussed how one does time sequencing and you concededthat without looking at the properties one cannot do time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking at the properties of the time sequencing. MR CHASKALSON SC: But we earlier discussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded that without looking at the properties one cannot do time sequencing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that time. The sequencing specifically of who spoke where, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking at the properties of the time sequencing. MR CHASKALSON SC: But we earlier discussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded that without looking at the properties one cannot do time sequencing. COLONEL SCOTT: We spoke about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that time. The sequencing specifically of who spoke where, I can't recall that that was important to me. It was simply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking at the properties of the time sequencing. MR CHASKALSON SC: But we earlier discussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded that without looking at the properties one cannot do time sequencing. COLONEL SCOTT: We spoke about the earlier where it showed the crowd behaviour at 10:45, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that time. The sequencing specifically of who spoke where, I can't recall that that was important to me. It was simply a fact of this was a gathering which Mr Mathunjwa had come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking at the properties of the time sequencing. MR CHASKALSON SC: But we earlier discussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded that without looking at the properties one cannot do time sequencing. COLONEL SCOTT: We spoke about the earlier where it showed the crowd behaviour at 10:45, and I say that that is according to the time of the video. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that time. The sequencing specifically of who spoke where, I can't recall that that was important to me. It was simply a fact of this was a gathering which Mr Mathunjwa had come to; certain speakers were allowed to speak. He also gave a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking at the properties of the time sequencing. MR CHASKALSON SC: But we earlier discussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded that without looking at the properties one cannot do time sequencing. COLONEL SCOTT: We spoke about the earlier where it showed the crowd behaviour at 10:45, and I say that that is according to the time of the video. I'm not saying that I specifically looked at the times of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that time. The sequencing specifically of who spoke where, I can't recall that that was important to me. It was simply a fact of this was a gathering which Mr Mathunjwa had come to; certain speakers were allowed to speak. He also gave a speech and it was placed there. I can't recall that I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking at the properties of the time sequencing. MR CHASKALSON SC: But we earlier discussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded that without looking at the properties one cannot do time sequencing. COLONEL SCOTT: We spoke about the earlier where it showed the crowd behaviour at 10:45, and I say that that is according to the time of the video. I'm not saying that I specifically looked at the times of these videos, because what you're referring to, or what you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that time. The sequencing specifically of who spoke where, I can't recall that that was important to me. It was simply a fact of this was a gathering which Mr Mathunjwa had come to; certain speakers were allowed to speak. He also gave a speech and it was placed there. I can't recall that I was concentrating over that, those specific areas there on who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking at the properties of the time sequencing. MR CHASKALSON SC: But we earlier discussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded that without looking at the properties one cannot do time sequencing. COLONEL SCOTT: We spoke about the earlier where it showed the crowd behaviour at 10:45, and I say that that is according to the time of the video. I'm not saying that I specifically looked at the times of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that time. The sequencing specifically of who spoke where, I can't recall that that was important to me. It was simply a fact of this was a gathering which Mr Mathunjwa had come to; certain speakers were allowed to speak. He also gave a speech and it was placed there. I can't recall that I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So it's not an issue of two separate sources of footage; in fact on the one source of footage we can see Mr Mathunjwa making a speech at 12:27, which is approximately more than 40 minutes before protester 6's speech at 122MTS at 1:10. You accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So I've taken you to 103MTS, 104MTS, and 108MTS, to suggest that there was another way that you could have seen that the sequencing in exhibit L was incorrect. COLONEL SCOTT: Considering I was looking at the properties of the time sequencing. MR CHASKALSON SC: But we earlier discussed how one does time sequencing and you conceded that without looking at the properties one cannot do time sequencing. COLONEL SCOTT: We spoke about the earlier where it showed the crowd behaviour at 10:45, and I say that that is according to the time of the video. I'm not saying that I specifically looked at the times of these videos, because what you're referring to, or what you're saying which is going to prejudice the AMCU side, is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Incorrect now, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On what basis had you reached that conclusion when you made the presentation and made the statement to the Commission? COLONEL SCOTT: Now that I think I've gone through some of the footage which you go through in the evidence leaders' package, as well as what you say now, I can see that that's, the sequencing is not right. CHAIRPERSON: The question is your understanding, you said you understood something different, and that's why it appears, that's why you told the Commission what you did, the passage that was read. The question is what was your understanding based on? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: It simply was, it was to try and reflect the meeting that took place there at that time. The sequencing specifically of who spoke where, I can't recall that that was important to me. It was simply a fact of this was a gathering which Mr Mathunjwa had come to; certain speakers were allowed to speak. He also gave a speech and it was placed there. I can't recall that I was concentrating over that, those specific areas there on who would be saying what, when, in what sequence. And as I say

	Page 13946		Page 13948
1	something the police put together to assist the Commission	1	video the other POPs operator was taking little clips,
2	to better understand from the police's point of view what	2	either of the speakers or of the crowd.
3	happened. There are going to be inaccuracies in our	3	COLONEL SCOTT: But the Mathunjwa one,
4	presentation. We didn't have the liberty of speaking to	4	which is in exhibit L is which one, is?
5	people outside of the police for that matter, maybe other	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Is 048 MTS.
6	than watching certain Lonmin footage and on so on, but as I	6	COLONEL SCOTT: 048, but as I say that
7	say, it was never designed as a document - it was tried to	7	can be, the only explanation I have is that they were in
8	be as accurate as possible, but it was not designed to be	7 8	two separate, you know not seeing in the time sequencing
	scrutinised in the sense that it had to be 100% because it	0 9	because of the different cameras.
9 10			
10	was going to be evidence. It was simply a presentation on	10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but what I'm
11	our part, and that's why some of the videos that you'll	11	putting to you in response to that is that even if one just
12	find in exhibit L are actually composites of certain, are	12	looks at the single camera of the 100 series one will see a
13	put together just to show, to give a background as to what	13	clip of Mr Mathunjwa speaking before one gets to protestors
14	was going on at the time.	14	1 to 6.
15	[12:03] So as I say the accuracy of timings and so on we	15	COLONEL SCOTT: But is that –
16	did as best as we could. I concede there are oversights in	16	MR CHASKALSON SC: That's 108 MTS.
17	it. We didn't think it that important that it would matter	17	COLONEL SCOTT: Is that in exhibit L?
18	as much as it seems to be now.	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it's not in
19	CHAIRPERSON: You did the best that you	19	exhibit L.
20	could, wouldn't you have looked at the properties?	20	COLONEL SCOTT: So it's a different
21	COLONEL SCOTT: Well as I say,	21	camera.
22	Chairperson, it's, this obviously was an oversight because	22	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it's the same
23	I didn't think that was important there. I was, the	23	camera –
24	properties I truly was concentrating on was those of	24	COLONEL SCOTT: But it's a different
25	photographs to try and sequence photographs, to put them	25	camera from -
20	photographs to try and sequence photographs, to part them	20	
	Page 13947		Page 13949
1	Page 13947 into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at,	1	Page 13949 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip.
1 2	•	1 2	<u> </u>
	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at,		MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip.
2	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall,	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip.
2 3	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162
2 3 4	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera.
2 3 4 5	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at.	2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying
2 3 4 5 6 7	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and 1 think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and 1 think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa speaking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is 108.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa speaking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is 108.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa speaking. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but the one in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is 108. COLONEL SCOTT: But I'm asking you is Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa speaking. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but the one in the actual presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is 108. COLONEL SCOTT: But I'm asking you is Mr Mathunjwa's 108 is that the file in exhibit L?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa speaking. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but the one in the actual presentation. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, the one in,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is 108. COLONEL SCOTT: But I'm asking you is Mr Mathunjwa's 108 is that the file in exhibit L? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it's not the file
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa speaking. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but the one in the actual presentation. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, the one in, remember, Colonel, there were two POPs video operators	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is 108. COLONEL SCOTT: But I'm asking you is Mr Mathunjwa's 108 is that the file in exhibit L? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it's not the file in exhibit L.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa speaking. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but the one in the actual presentation. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, the one in, remember, Colonel, there were two POPs video operators present at the koppie. So what happened was one of the POPs video operators took the 048 MTS which is the 30 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is 108. COLONEL SCOTT: But I'm asking you is Mr Mathunjwa's 108 is that the file in exhibit L? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it's not the file in exhibit L. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I'm saying the file in exhibit L, the movie in exhibit L is from a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	into orders and so on. I'm not saying I didn't look at, obviously properties of videos. But I'm trying to recall, I even think that video of that crowd behaviour as I say was put in at a later stage and there are different versions of this presentation that one can go back to, to actually look at. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I put to you that even if you hadn't looked at properties, if you had looked at slides 103 MTS 104 MTS and 108 MTS in particular, the one of Mr Mathunjwa you would have realised they came before 122 MTS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but just to put me in the picture, the Mathunjwa slide talking, is that a composition of two videos put together or is it a single – MR CHASKALSON SC: No 108 MTS is a very brief, I mean it's a couple of seconds of Mr Mathunjwa speaking. COLONEL SCOTT: No, but the one in the actual presentation. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, the one in, remember, Colonel, there were two POPs video operators present at the koppie. So what happened was one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's a different clip. COLONEL SCOTT: Exhibit L's clip. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well it's not a different camera from the clips of exhibit L on slides 162 to 168. It's the same camera. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but what I'm saying it's not the clip that we used in exhibit L, it's not from the same camera as the strikers that were speaking. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it is from, it is from the camera as the strikers who were speaking, protestor 1, protestor 2, protestor 3, protestor 4, protestor 5, protestor 6. We've been through this before, you'll recall that 116 to 118 are protestors 1 to 3 and I think it's 120 to 122 of protestors 4 to 6. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Mr Mathunjwa is 108. COLONEL SCOTT: But I'm asking you is Mr Mathunjwa's 108 is that the file in exhibit L? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, it's not the file in exhibit L. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I'm saying the

	D		D 19950
1 COL	Page 13950 ONEL SCOTT: Yes, and I can only give	1	Page 13952 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
	ossibility why they were not sequenced in the	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when I questioned
3 correct ord		3	you yesterday in relation to these videos, you accept that
4 MR	CHASKALSON SC: But my response to	4	this footage of the 16th was what was downloaded onto your
5 that is two	old. The first is if you look at the file	5	hard drive on the 17th?
	whether they've from one camera or two	6	COLONEL SCOTT: I can recall that the
7 properties	t becomes - cameras it becomes irrelevant	7	POPs downloaded videos onto my computer, the POP members.
8 because th	e times speak for themselves, will you accept	8	To recall exactly what it was is difficult through memory.
9 that?		9	I know that I've worked on many a POPs videos over the time
10 COL	ONEL SCOTT: They do, but that's	10	leading up till the exhibit L was presented but this is the
11 considering	that I was looking at that at the time. As I	11	objective evidence taken from the hard drive of what they
12 say, I don't	know where or what we're trying to, where	12	actually did give me at that time.
13 we're trying	g to move towards but I concede that the time	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you're saying
14 sequencing	is wrong. It was not done maliciously and the	14	today is that there is a possibility that in fact none of
15 only oversi	ght I can think is because it was from a	15	the POPs video footage of the 16th was downloaded onto your
16 separate ca	mera that we utilised to put it there.	16	hard drive on the 17th or 18th.
17 MR	CHASKALSON SC: Well let's look at	17	COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm not sure, we can
18 that separa	te camera for a moment. The 100 MTS series. I	18	check these if you would like.
19 understood	you earlier now to have some doubts as to	19	CHAIRPERSON: You mean check them against
	not you downloaded the 40 MTS series on the 17th,	20	your computer? Perhaps you can do that –
	ely downloaded something from POPs operators on	21	COLONEL SCOTT: These are –
-	ou'll accept that?	22	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps you can do that in
	ONEL SCOTT: 17th, 18th around that.	23	the lunch hour.
	CHASKALSON SC: 17th, 18th but onto	24	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Commissioner.
25 your hard o	Irive –	25	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. The point is as I
	Page 13951		Page 13953
1 COL	ONEL SCOTT: Yes.	1	understand it and I may have it wrong that's why I'm
2 MR	CHASKALSON SC: You're now expressing	2	putting it to you so that I can be correct if I'm wrong.
	ts as to whether the 40 MTS series was part of		
		3	There were two POPs video operators and they took obviously
-	ownloaded?	3 4	separate videos. The question is whether the miners
5 COL	ONEL SCOTT: Yes.	_	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after
5 COL 6 MR	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts	4	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point?
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10	ONEL SCOTT: Yes.	4 5 6 7	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th?	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th,	4 5 6 7 8	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem –
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which	4 5 7 8 9	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL 10 starts at 00	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you	4 5 7 8 9 10	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score.
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL 10 starts at 00 11 now, 067, r	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL 10 starts at 00 11 now, 067, r 12 within the f	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL 10 starts at 00 11 now, 067, r 12 within the f 13 MR	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech.
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL 10 starts at 00 11 now, 067, r 12 within the f 13 MR 14 it's possible	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL 10 starts at 00 11 now, 067, r 12 within the f 13 MR 14 it's possible 15 also not do	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts D MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL 10 starts at 00 11 now, 067, r 12 within the f 13 MR 14 it's possible 15 also not do 16 COL	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is
5 COL 6 MR 7 that the 10 8 or 18th? 9 COL 10 starts at 00 11 now, 067, r 12 within the f 13 MR 14 it's possible 15 also not do 16 COL 17 have, I dor	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that t that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I 't know if file names would be changed as they	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is that correct, with some photographs as it were of the
5COL6MR7that the 108or 18th?9COL10starts at 0011now, 067, r12within the f13MR14it's possible15also not do16COL17have, I dor18are downlo	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts D MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I 't know if file names would be changed as they aded onto other devices or from other devices.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is that correct, with some photographs as it were of the protestors, the miners speech, is that right?
5COL6MR7that the 108or 18th?9COL10starts at 0011now, 067, n12within the f13MR14it's possible15also not do16COL17have, I dor18are downlo19But I have	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that t that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I 't know if file names would be changed as they	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is that correct, with some photographs as it were of the protestors, the miners speech, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, the
5COL6MR7that the 108or 18th?9COL10starts at 0011now, 067, r12within the f13MR14it's possible15also not do16COL17have, I dor18are downlo19But I have20067 MTS.	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I 't know if file names would be changed as they aded onto other devices or from other devices. from 001 MTS to as I say 066 MTS and this one's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is that correct, with some photographs as it were of the protestors, the miners speech, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, the long video of Mr Mathunjwa in fact starts with AMCU
5COL6MR7that the 108or 18th?9COL10starts at 0011now, 067, r12within the f13MR14it's possible15also not do16COL17have, I dor18are downlo19But I have20067 MTS.21MR	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I 't know if file names would be changed as they aded onto other devices or from other devices. from 001 MTS to as I say 066 MTS and this one's CHASKALSON SC: Now, Colonel, we've	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is that correct, with some photographs as it were of the protestors, the miners speech, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, the long video of Mr Mathunjwa in fact starts with AMCU organisers speaking at 48 and runs through to the end of Mr
5COL6MR7that the 108or 18th?9COL10starts at 0011now, 067, n12within the f13MR14it's possible15also not do16COL17have, I don18are downlo19But I have20067 MTS.21MR22looked at th	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I 't know if file names would be changed as they aded onto other devices or from other devices. from 001 MTS to as I say 066 MTS and this one's CHASKALSON SC: Now, Colonel, we've he 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is that correct, with some photographs as it were of the protestors, the miners speech, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, the long video of Mr Mathunjwa in fact starts with AMCU organisers speaking at 48 and runs through to the end of Mr Mathunjwa. That video focuses almost exclusively on the
5COL6MR7that the 108or 18th?9COL10starts at 0011now, 067, r12within the f13MR14it's possible15also not do16COL17have, I dor18are downlo19But I have20067 MTS.21MR22looked at th23we've satistic	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts 0 MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I 't know if file names would be changed as they aded onto other devices or from other devices. from 001 MTS to as I say 066 MTS and this one's CHASKALSON SC: Now, Colonel, we've	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is that correct, with some photographs as it were of the protestors, the miners speech, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, the long video of Mr Mathunjwa in fact starts with AMCU organisers speaking at 48 and runs through to the end of Mr
5COL6MR7that the 108or 18th?9COL10starts at 0011now, 067, n12within the f13MR14it's possible15also not do16COL17have, I dor18are downlo19But I have20067 MTS.21MR22looked at th23we've satist24comprise e	ONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHASKALSON SC: Do you have any doubts D MTS series was downloaded on the 17th and 18th, ONEL SCOTT: I have a version which 1 MTS and it runs through to, I'll tell you no, no that's, 066 MTS, that was given to me irst two days of the incident. CHASKALSON SC: So you're saying that that the POPs operators 100 MTS series was wnloaded onto your computer? ONEL SCOTT: It's not the file names I 't know if file names would be changed as they aded onto other devices or from other devices. from 001 MTS to as I say 066 MTS and this one's CHASKALSON SC: Now, Colonel, we've he 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series and Ted ourselves that between the two of them they	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	separate videos. The question is whether the miners speeches, including the murderous one were before or after Mr Mathunjwa's speech. Is that correct, is that the point? MR CHASKALSON SC: We seem to be on, to be ad idem – CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: On that score. CHAIRPERSON: Its now conceded that the murderous speech was after , something like 50 minutes or so after the Mathunjwa speech. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Now the video on which Mathunjwa's speech was recorded goes on to deal with, is that correct, with some photographs as it were of the protestors, the miners speech, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, the long video of Mr Mathunjwa in fact starts with AMCU organisers speaking at 48 and runs through to the end of Mr Mathunjwa. That video focuses almost exclusively on the speakers.

	Page 13954		Page 13956
1	MR CHASKALSON SC: That video comes to an	1	Chairperson.
2	end, we can look at its properties it starts at 12:18 and	2	CHAIRPERSON: The question is how obvious
3	it ends, it runs for 30 minutes and 36 seconds. So it will	3	or unobvious that was at the time, is that correct?
4	end at 12:48:36.	4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, Chairperson.
5	CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's call that	5	CHAIRPERSON: Okay, you've heard my
6	video A.	6	exchange with the evidence, with Mr Chaskalson.
7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed.	7	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Sir.
8	CHAIRPERSON: Now video B was taken by	8	CHAIRPERSON: That's the point you've got
9	the other video operator?	9	to meet, isn't it.
10	MR CHASKALSON SC: But it wasn't video B,	10	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
11	it was videos B, 107 to 122 as it were.	11	CHAIRPERSON: If you looked at camera B
12	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. But with one	12	it would have been apparent to you without looking at
13	camera.	13	properties or anything of that kind, it would have been
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: With one camera.	14	apparent to you that the AMCU speeches were before the
15	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now that video	15	miners murderous speech.
16	operator when did his video start or his videos start, when	16	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, these –
17	do his videos start?	17	CHAIRPERSON: Is that right?
18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if we look at 102	18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Where I can recall
		19	
19 20	it starts at 12:20.		these videos for the first time being shown to me or seeing
20	CHAIRPERSON: So it starts in other words	20	them, I mean there, I could possibly have seen them before
21	with the AMCU speeches?	21	this time. But they didn't mean much to me, I didn't know
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, before Mr	22	what was being said. They were translated to us, I think
23	Mathunjwa.	23	it was in early October, somewhere around that time and the
24	CHAIRPERSON: And it runs through, with	24	translations that were done were done by the video
25	interruptions I take it, it runs through to the miners	25	operators of the police, that actually took them. I think
	Page 13955		Page 13957
1	Page 13955 murderous speech?	1	Page 13957 one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those
1 2		1 2	0
	murderous speech?		one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those
2	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr	2	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I
2 3	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr Chairperson.	2 3	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't
2 3 4	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So if you look at that	2 3 4	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those
2 3 4 5	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So if you look at that video or that series of videos from that one camera if we,	2 3 4 5	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but
2 3 4 5 6	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So if you look at that video or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about	2 3 4 5 6	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of
2 3 4 5 6 7	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So if you look at that video or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about camera A and camera B. If you look at camera B it appears	2 3 4 5 6 7	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So if you look at that video or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about camera A and camera B. If you look at camera B it appears that the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So if you look at that video or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about camera A and camera B. If you look at camera B it appears that the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous speech.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.So if you look at thatCHAIRPERSON:So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we,instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderousspeech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.So if you look at thatCHAIRPERSON:So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we,instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderousspeech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So all you'll have to do is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous speech.Indeed, Chairperson.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	murderous speech? MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Mr Chairperson. So if you look at that CHAIRPERSON: So if you look at that video or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about camera A and camera B. camera A and camera B. If you look at camera B it appears that the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous speech. MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: So all you'll have to do is to look at camera B to see the correct sequence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.So if you look at thatVideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous speech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence.MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderousspeech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence.MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,Chairperson.Chairperson.Chairperson.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indet is correct,MR CHASKALSON SC:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter. CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand how the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderousspeech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence.MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,Chairperson.Chairperson.Chairperson.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indet is correct,MR CHASKALSON SC:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	murderous speech?Indeed, MrMR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderousspeech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence.MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,Chairperson.That is correct.Chairperson.Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.ChAIRPERSON:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:Now that sequence is notthe sequence which we see or exhibit L, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter. CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand how the translation's relevant. If we're simply trying to get the right sequence, with or without translation, if you look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about camera A and camera B. If you look at camera B it appears that the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous speech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson. So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,Chairperson.Chairperson. Chairperson.Chairperson.Is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct. Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct. That is correct. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct. That is correct. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct. That is correct. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct. That is correct.MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct. That is correct.MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter. CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand how the translation's relevant. If we're simply trying to get the right sequence, with or without translation, if you look the videos taken on camera B it's quite clear what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	murderous speech?Indeed, MrMR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderousspeech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence.MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,Chairperson.That is correct.Chairperson.Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.ChAIRPERSON:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:Now that sequence is notthe sequence which we see or exhibit L, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter. CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand how the translation's relevant. If we're simply trying to get the right sequence, with or without translation, if you look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about camera A and camera B. If you look at camera B it appears that the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous speech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson. So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct. CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Now that sequence is notthe sequence which we see on exhibit L, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct, That is correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So therefore its clear,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter. CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand how the translation's relevant. If we're simply trying to get the right sequence, with or without translation, if you look the videos taken on camera B it's quite clear what the correct sequence is, isn't that so? COLONEL SCOTT: But I didn't watch them,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about camera A and camera B. If you look at camera B it appears that the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous speech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct, That is correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct, That is correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Now that sequence is not the sequence which we see on exhibit L, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct, That is correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So therefore its clear, simply by looking at the sequence of the videos on camera B	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter. CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand how the translation's relevant. If we're simply trying to get the right sequence, with or without translation, if you look the videos taken on camera B it's quite clear what the correct sequence is, isn't that so? COLONEL SCOTT: But I didn't watch them, Chairperson, for that matter. I would be looking for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we,instead of talking of video A and video B we talk aboutcamera A and camera B.If you look at camera B it appearsthat the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderousspeech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So all you'll have to do isto look at camera B to see the correct sequence.MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,Chairperson.Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct.CHAIRPERSON:Now that sequence is notthe sequence which we see on exhibit L, is that right?MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct,Chairperson.Chairperson.Chairperson.So therefore its clear,Simply by looking at the sequence of the videos on camera Bthat those who prepared this section of exhibit L got it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter. CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand how the translation's relevant. If we're simply trying to get the right sequence, with or without translation, if you look the videos taken on camera B it's quite clear what the correct sequence is, isn't that so? COLONEL SCOTT: But I didn't watch them, Chairperson, for that matter. I would be looking for something different when I was watching videos. Something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	murderous speech?MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, MrChairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So if you look at thatvideo or that series of videos from that one camera if we, instead of talking of video A and video B we talk about camera A and camera B. If you look at camera B it appears that the AMCU speeches were before the miners murderous speech.MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:Indeed, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct, That is correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct, That is correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Now that sequence is not the sequence which we see on exhibit L, is that right? MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:That is correct, That is correct, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:So therefore its clear, simply by looking at the sequence of the videos on camera B	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one of them understood Fanagalo to some degree and those were the ones that he had actually pointed out. So I didn't chose to even look at the rest, I couldn't understand what they were saying in the first place. Those that he had chosen a decision was taken, not by myself, but to have them translated thus for the commission. None of the images that had been utilised there I could understand, but what I did do was take them, if I can recall correctly and place them so long into the presentation in their places before the actual translated versions came back. So I was not fully aware of exactly what was being said there because even the translator was, as it was sort of going, trying to keep up and sort of say and I don't even think his translation was as, as accurate as what we're seeing there for that matter. CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand how the translation's relevant. If we're simply trying to get the right sequence, with or without translation, if you look the videos taken on camera B it's quite clear what the correct sequence is, isn't that so? COLONEL SCOTT: But I didn't watch them, Chairperson, for that matter. I would be looking for

	Page 13958		Page 13960
1	the translations were –	1	Mathunjwa at the koppie on the morning of the 16th or around
2	CHAIRPERSON: I understand that but the	2	noon time on the 16th was a very, very important event would
3	sequencing was important. Your job was sequencing amongst	3	you not agree?
4	other things wasn't it?	4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it was.	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: And I think that's
6	CHAIRPERSON: So if you had used, without	6	possibly why it was placed in there.
7	even looking at properties, you had simply looked at one	7	COLONEL SCOTT: Ja.
8	video taken on one camera, the sequencing would have looked	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: If there was an
9	after itself.	9	attempt to represent that which was relevant then that was
10	COLONEL SCOTT: Well like I say I didn't	10	one of the most relevant events of the day. But I want to
11	understand what was being said –	11	go back to something that the Chairperson put to you. The
12	CHAIRPERSON: Do you have to?	12	Chairperson put to you that just looking at the one camera,
13	COLONEL SCOTT: No, but I didn't look, I	13	at the 100 series it would have been clear that the AMCU
14	didn't look at all the videos. I watched those which were	14	and Mr Mathunjwa's videos came before the protestors video,
15	shown to me during that translation time.	15	the protestors speech and would you accept that?
16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, just to	16	COLONEL SCOTT: I would accept that if,
17	follow up from that exchange with the Chairperson. You say	17	as I say if I had watched all the videos personally keeping
18	you didn't understand what was being said on these videos.	18	that in mind, that that is what we were going to do. But
19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, at the time. But	19	as I say, Chairperson, I, if I can maybe try and make it
20	they were given in their, the language that they were	20	clearer. Those videos that are in the presentation were
21	spoken in, yes.	21	chosen by the POPs video operators because they understood
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: How could you select a	22	what they were saying. Those were presented. I had never
23	video for inclusion in exhibit L if you didn't understand	23	taken the time to go through all of that because what they
24	what was being said on it?	24	were saying I could not, and there was no relevance to me
25	COLONEL SCOTT: They were the videos that	25	with regard to the visuals I was seeing to need to be in
	Page 13959		Page 13961
1	the police video operators themselves came to explain to us	1	the presentation. It was only once the translation was
2	at a meeting to say these videos, this is what these		
	at a meeting to say mese videos, this is what mese	2	made to us that those were determined to be in there. I
3	strikers are saying and because of that those were the	2 3	made to us that those were determined to be in there. I don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I
3 4		_	
	strikers are saying and because of that those were the	3	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I
4	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the	3 4	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put
4 5	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go	3 4 5	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to
4 5 6	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only	3 4 5 6	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that
4 5 6 7	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there.	3 4 5 6 7	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence.
4 5 6 7 8	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide	3 4 5 6 7 8	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when
4 5 6 7 8 9	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were responsible for the building of it. The inputs were given	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing is that they to were not put onto the version of the SAPS
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were responsible for the building of it. The inputs were given by people, certain slides are included at the discretion of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing is that they to were not put onto the version of the SAPS hard drive that was circulated to the parties and the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were responsible for the building of it. The inputs were given by people, certain slides are included at the discretion of others and I can't truly, I don't place blame but I recall	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing is that they to were not put onto the version of the SAPS hard drive that was circulated to the parties and the evidence leaders. Can I ask you to go back to exhibit JJJ
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were responsible for the building of it. The inputs were given by people, certain slides are included at the discretion of others and I can't truly, I don't place blame but I recall that meeting in Rustenburg where those specific videos of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing is that they to were not put onto the version of the SAPS hard drive that was circulated to the parties and the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were responsible for the building of it. The inputs were given by people, certain slides are included at the discretion of others and I can't truly, I don't place blame but I recall that meeting in Rustenburg where those specific videos of the protestors speaking were shown to us and explained and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing is that they to were not put onto the version of the SAPS hard drive that was circulated to the parties and the evidence leaders. Can I ask you to go back to exhibit JJJ 17 and the thumbnails this time. Its 17.3. Let's look at what was culled from the 100 series for circulation to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were responsible for the building of it. The inputs were given by people, certain slides are included at the discretion of others and I can't truly, I don't place blame but I recall that meeting in Rustenburg where those specific videos of the protestors speaking were shown to us and explained and thus they were placed in. The one with Mr Mathunjwa	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing is that they to were not put onto the version of the SAPS hard drive that was circulated to the parties and the evidence leaders. Can I ask you to go back to exhibit JJJ 17 and the thumbnails this time. Its 17.3. Let's look at what was culled from the 100 series for circulation to the evidence leaders, it goes 102, no, no sorry, I've sent you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were responsible for the building of it. The inputs were given by people, certain slides are included at the discretion of others and I can't truly, I don't place blame but I recall that meeting in Rustenburg where those specific videos of the protestors speaking were shown to us and explained and thus they were placed in. The one with Mr Mathunjwa addressing I'm not sure why that was placed in.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing is that they to were not put onto the version of the SAPS hard drive that was circulated to the parties and the evidence leaders. Can I ask you to go back to exhibit JJJ 17 and the thumbnails this time. Its 17.3. Let's look at what was culled from the 100 series for circulation to the evidence leaders, it goes 102, no, no sorry, I've sent you back to the wrong exhibit. This is what we got from
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	strikers are saying and because of that those were the videos that were selected to then go forward to the presentation. They didn't show the rest of, they didn't go through all of them. Those video operators showed us only those that they said were relevant there. CHAIRPERSON: Did they translate slide 169, the video which we see on slide 169? COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the Mathunjwa one, no they didn't. I'm not sure why or how that came to be put in. But I think it was deemed necessary, as I say, Chairperson, this wasn't an exclusive Visser Scott presentation. We would build the presentation and present it and it would go through inputs from senior members of the police etcetera, approvals etcetera before it would go forward. So it's not all just a Scott Visser, we were responsible for the building of it. The inputs were given by people, certain slides are included at the discretion of others and I can't truly, I don't place blame but I recall that meeting in Rustenburg where those specific videos of the protestors speaking were shown to us and explained and thus they were placed in. The one with Mr Mathunjwa	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't know recall how the Mathunjwa video got there. I know it was a decision taken that it was needed to be put in and again I reiterate there was no malicious intent to place it beyond the strikers or before them, I concede that that is out of sequence. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, when the Chairperson said to you it would have been obvious by looking at the 100 series, the one camera what was the sequence was the three specific files to which I referred you in that regard which would make the sequence clear were 103 MTS, 104 MTS and 108 MTS, you'll recall? COLONEL SCOTT: I recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now there's a very funny thing about those three files which are the only three files which make the sequence clear. The funny thing is that they to were not put onto the version of the SAPS hard drive that was circulated to the parties and the evidence leaders. Can I ask you to go back to exhibit JJJ 17 and the thumbnails this time. Its 17.3. Let's look at what was culled from the 100 series for circulation to the evidence leaders, it goes 102, no, no sorry, I've sent you

1 2	Page 13962 COLONEL SCOTT: Is this not what the SAPS provided what you have on screen now?	1	Page 13964 thumbnails or whether those files change names when they get transferred or resaved, I'm unsure but again I can only
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no this is what	3	say to what we had in our possession when we got to work.
4	came from Lonmin. You see if one looks at the top it says	4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Colonel, I want
5	Lonmin hard drive original. Let's go back to what SAPS	5	to take us back a step because what you're putting to us is
6	gave which is exhibit JJJ23.	6	quite a startling proposition. Major-General Annandale
7	CHAIRPERSON: That can be shown to us.	7	issued an instruction to everybody on the evening of the
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Can we see JJJ23? So	8	16th as I understand it, that all video footage of the event
9	let's look at what came from the 100 MTS series to the	9	had to be brought to you as a nodal point. Is that
10	parties. Well there's these strange 44 and 80 MTS videos	10	correct?
11	that we can't really explain. Then it starts at 102. Then	11	COLONEL SCOTT: This is correct and in
12	I said 103 and 104 would have told the sequence but they	12	the context of helping to build a presentation for the
13	weren't given to us.	13	National Commissioner the next day.
14	[12:23] It then jumps to 105, 6 and 7, none of which	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but you and
15	shows the sequence. 108 would have been Mr Mathunjwa but	15	Major-General Annandale then repeated that instruction
16	it too was left out. I don't 109, I can't recall what 109	16	several times over the next few days after that
17	says but then it picks up 110, 111, 112, 113, 114 and 116,	17	presentation had been presented.
18	117, 118, 120, 121, 122, 123. So the three files that would have enabled us as evidence leaders to identify the	18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct. So
19 20	sequence without recourse to file properties were not put	20	you were the nodal point where video footage of the 16th had
20	on the SAPS hard drive that was given to us.	20	to be brought.
22	COLONEL SCOTT: Just recall the numbers	22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
23	again, the numerical sequence of those three.	23	MR CHASKALSON SC: And in particular of
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: 103, 104 and 108.	24	the 16th because that was the event.
25	CHAIRPERSON: If you look at what's on	25	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
	-		
1	Page 13963	-	Page 13965
1	the screen you'll see those are missing.	1	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting
2	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video
2 3	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you.
2 3 4	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that
2 3 4 5	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given.	2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next
2 3 4	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after
2 3 4 5 6	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had	2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next
2 3 4 5 6 7	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive and we don't see a complete 100 MTS series on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards would have recorded everything that the POP's video
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive and we don't see a complete 100 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive that somehow the POP's video footage of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards would have recorded everything that the POP's video operators took. That is how camera cards operate. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive and we don't see a complete 100 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive that somehow the POP's video footage of the 16th didn't make it over either to your laptop or to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards would have recorded everything that the POP's video operators took. That is how camera cards operate. Do you accept that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive and we don't see a complete 100 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive that somehow the POP's video footage of the 16th didn't make it over either to your laptop or to your hard drive or to the SAPS hard drive?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards would have recorded everything that the POP's video operators took. That is how camera cards operate. Do you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive and we don't see a complete 100 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive that somehow the POP's video footage of the 16th didn't make it over either to your laptop or to your hard drive or to the SAPS hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Well what I can tell you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards would have recorded everything that the POP's video operators took. That is how camera cards operate. Do you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the only way you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive and we don't see a complete 100 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive that somehow the POP's video footage of the 16th didn't make it over either to your laptop or to your hard drive or to the SAPS hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Well what I can tell you that directly after the photograph you're seeing there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards would have recorded everything that the POP's video operators took. That is how camera cards operate. Do you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the only way you could have got a directory that looked like that from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive and we don't see a complete 100 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive that somehow the POP's video footage of the 16th didn't make it over either to your laptop or to your hard drive or to the SAPS hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Well what I can tell you that directly after the photograph you're seeing there is 044 or the thumbnail 044 MTS that is in line with the ones	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards would have recorded everything that the POP's video operators took. That is how camera cards operate. Do you accept that? MR CHASKALSON SC: So the only way you could have got a directory that looked like that from the camera cards of the POP's video operators is if somebody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the screen you'll see those are missing. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And the one we saw previously was obtained from Lonmin where the whole sequence was given. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, we worked with what we were given, that's all I can say. What we had is what we used and if they're not there it's very possible that they weren't given to us. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well let's interrogate that proposition. I put to you before that the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series comprise the total sum of POP's video footage taken on the 16th and you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now are you suggesting to us that if we don't see the 40 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive and we don't see a complete 100 MTS series on the SAPS hard drive that somehow the POP's video footage of the 16th didn't make it over either to your laptop or to your hard drive or to the SAPS hard drive? COLONEL SCOTT: Well what I can tell you that directly after the photograph you're seeing there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, you're suggesting to us that it is possible that the total POP's video footage of the 16th wasn't brought to you. COLONEL SCOTT: Well I'm suggesting that what I have here is what was brought to me within the next two days. There could have been more delivered to me after those two days when I had the external hard drive because the printouts I have here is what I transferred from my computer to that external hard drive. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I want to take a step back. You testified yesterday that the way the POP's video footage was brought to you was on camera cards. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can recall, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the camera cards would have recorded everything that the POP's video operators took. That is how camera cards operate. Do you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the only way you could have got a directory that looked like that from the

	Page 13966		Page 13968
1	that?	1	their SD cards that I downloaded it. But beyond that I
2	COLONEL SCOTT: If there are files	2	can't speak too much, that's why I'm asking for objective
3	missing then, yes I accept that.	3	evidence to be viewed, so that we can get to the bottom of
4	CHAIRPERSON: There appears to have been,	4	what exactly I had and what I'm being accused of having
5	if one looks at it.	5	that I never had.
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I see that,	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: We're not making
7	Chairperson.	7	accusations at this stage, Colonel Scott, and in terms of
8	CHAIRPERSON: And then what was	8	objective evidence of what you had we know that there are
9	eventually handed over after the request of November which	9	very limited ways of establishing that now because on your
10	is at page 189 of file 3.1 and then the meeting of the 7th	10	own version and I don't want to cast any doubts as to that
11	of November. What was then handed over?	11	version. You perfectly, reasonably after you transferred
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson,	12	the original archive that was on your hard drive, your
13	we'll get to that in some detail a bit later but it's -	13	internal laptop hard drive onto the external hard drive,
14	Colonel, would you accept that if one goes to the various	14	you perfectly, reasonably wiped out those directories on
15	iterations of the SAPS hard drive today one will find a	15	your laptop to make up space. That is a perfectly
16	full set of the 40 MTS series and a full set of the 100 MTS	16	reasonable course of action because the archive was not
17	series? First of all on that external hard drive that you	17	external but what that means is that we cannot now go to
18	gave us yesterday and secondly on the one that we copied	18	your laptop to see what was on and what wasn't on because
19	from you in June, or in July which was the Brigadier	19	what was on has now been removed. Do you accept that?
20	Pretorius –	20	COLONEL SCOTT: I accept that I did
21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes.	21	remove them and when I placed them to clear up space but as
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: So the full set is now	22	we know there are ways and means that one can go into a
23	on the SAPS hard drive?	23	laptop.
24	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well we may have to
25	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it got there	25	ask our forensic expert to look at the hard drive of your
	Page 13967		Page 13969
1	Page 13967 sometime between the 16th of August last year and now.	1	Page 13969 laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely
1 2		1 2	5
	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now.		laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely
2	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of
2 3	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your	2 3	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that.
2 3 4	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop.	2 3 4	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve
2 3 4 5	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were	2 3 4 5	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage.	2 3 4 5 6	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and to work this out. We had the Thursday itself which before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there are two questions that occur to me. One is why and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and to work this out. We had the Thursday itself which before it preceded a couple of days where it was hard work, long	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there are two questions that occur to me. One is why and the other one is who. I'm not so interested actually in who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and to work this out. We had the Thursday itself which before it preceded a couple of days where it was hard work, long hours, trying to recall now on the Friday morning or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there are two questions that occur to me. One is why and the other one is who. I'm not so interested actually in who for the moment because as far as I can see it could only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and to work this out. We had the Thursday itself which before it preceded a couple of days where it was hard work, long hours, trying to recall now on the Friday morning or whether it was the Saturday morning and I know that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there are two questions that occur to me. One is why and the other one is who. I'm not so interested actually in who for the moment because as far as I can see it could only have been someone in the police. So responsibility lies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and to work this out. We had the Thursday itself which before it preceded a couple of days where it was hard work, long hours, trying to recall now on the Friday morning or whether it was the Saturday morning and I know that the POP's guys were with me at some stage then and I downloaded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there are two questions that occur to me. One is why and the other one is who. I'm not so interested actually in who for the moment because as far as I can see it could only have been someone in the police. So responsibility lies somewhere in the police but I'm interested in why. What
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and to work this out. We had the Thursday itself which before it preceded a couple of days where it was hard work, long hours, trying to recall now on the Friday morning or whether it was the Saturday morning and I know that the POP's guys were with me at some stage then and I downloaded footage from them which is evident from what I have here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there are two questions that occur to me. One is why and the other one is who. I'm not so interested actually in who for the moment because as far as I can see it could only have been someone in the police. So responsibility lies somewhere in the police but I'm interested in why. What possible motive could the person or persons have had.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and to work this out. We had the Thursday itself which before it preceded a couple of days where it was hard work, long hours, trying to recall now on the Friday morning or whether it was the Saturday morning and I know that the POP's guys were with me at some stage then and I downloaded footage from them which is evident from what I have here. I'm also assuming that it was from SD card because that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there are two questions that occur to me. One is why and the other one is who. I'm not so interested actually in who for the moment because as far as I can see it could only have been someone in the police. So responsibility lies somewhere in the police but I'm interested in why. What possible motive could the person or persons have had. Perhaps I shouldn't ask you that, I mean that's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sometime between the 16th of August last year and now. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And POP's video operators came to you with their cards on the 17th or the 18th and downloaded what was on the cards onto your computers, onto your laptop. COLONEL SCOTT: At some stage they were at me yes and I downloaded some footage. MR CHASKALSON SC: And maybe we need to get a bit more detail about that process. I presume they'd have come to you, one video operator comes to you with one video card and says this is my footage and hands you the card. COLONEL SCOTT: You see I'm working, as I've said in my statement, to the best of my recollection. This is not a matter that we've had time to go and sit and to work this out. We had the Thursday itself which before it preceded a couple of days where it was hard work, long hours, trying to recall now on the Friday morning or whether it was the Saturday morning and I know that the POP's guys were with me at some stage then and I downloaded footage from them which is evident from what I have here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	laptop but it is a lengthy process and one that is unlikely to be capable of being completed in the next couple of days. COLONEL SCOTT: If that's going to solve where we're at then we need to do that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I thank you for the tender, we – CHAIRPERSON: Okay well I take it we'll have to take that up but it's going to inconvenience you a bit because I think you're going to have to be there while it's being done because it's private material and so on, confidential material but it won't be the concern of the forensic expert as I understand that. But what's puzzling me is that somebody, let's use a neutral word, omitted 103 and 104 and 108 and 109 from the sequence. Now either you know, Mr Chaskalson put it to you, the possibilities, there are two questions that occur to me. One is why and the other one is who. I'm not so interested actually in who for the moment because as far as I can see it could only have been someone in the police. So responsibility lies somewhere in the police but I'm interested in why. What possible motive could the person or persons have had.

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

	Page 13970		Page 13972
1	will be clarified.	1	here, with as much information. And as I said when I was
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well in fairness to	2	asked to make a plan for each day and I made it very aware
3	Colonel Scott I want to run with that point a little	3	that the plans that I was in December reverse engineering
4	because – I don't need to put to you, you have accepted	4	were not the plans from the time but were simply there to
5	that the object of the incorrect sequencing of slides 162	5	help to assist the Commission to try to understand better
6	to 169 and in particular 169 at the end rather than the	6	what was said.
7	beginning is a sequence which is calculated to prejudice –	7	MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, this
8	which is not calculated in a deliberate sense –	8	slide you're saying is a reverse engineered slide from
9	CHAIRPERSON: It's calculated in an	9	around December which was designed to enable the Commission
10	objective sense –	10	better to understand what was said at the JOC as opposed to
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, calculated in an	11	what was physically shown on a PowerPoint presentation at
12	objective sense –	12	the JOC.
13	CHAIRPERSON: It's like to –	13	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
14	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's likely to cause	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it would then I
15	prejudice to AMCU, you concede that. I don't want to	15	suppose reflect two different things. The one is your
16	impute motive or anything like that but I do want you to	16	recollection in December of what was said in the JOC. Well
17	address this which is I'd like you to go to exhibit SS3 and	17	let's just put it that it reflects your recollection in
18	page 24 of SS3.	18	December of what was said in the JOC.
19	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, SS3 page?	19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, it's possibly got
20	MR CHASKALSON SC: 24, Chair. SS3 is I	20	hindsight to it as well having worked on the presentation
21	think the first generation of reverse engineered plans.	21	and knowing what I knew but I was informed over time whilst
22	COLONEL SCOTT: Can I just clarify it's	22	at the operation, specifically by Mr Sinclair of the
23	page 24?	23	background of the violence that had been going on. And the
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: It's the 24th page in	24	Monday the 13th, I'm seeing also possibly where you're going
25	the bundle of SS, it's that slide which I'm interested in	25	with AMCU and SAPS clash, AMCU and Security clash.
	Page 13971		Page 13973
1	Page 13971 which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival	1	Page 13973 MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there,
1 2	Page 13971 which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide	1 2	
	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival		MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there,
2	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd
2 3	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you?	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think
2 3 4	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do.	2 3 4	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared
2 3 4 5	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise	2 3 4 5	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously –
2 3 4 5 6	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted?	2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was shown in the presentation to the audience, the commanders.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that status as given for present purposes and I want to ask you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was shown in the presentation to the audience, the commanders. It's a slide that was I think taken out of the presentation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that status as given for present purposes and I want to ask you to turn also to page 27 which is four slides down and if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was shown in the presentation to the audience, the commanders. It's a slide that was I think taken out of the presentation for the purposes of the Commission to be placed into this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that status as given for present purposes and I want to ask you to turn also to page 27 which is four slides down and if you can read that slide as well. And then we'll come back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was shown in the presentation to the audience, the commanders. It's a slide that was I think taken out of the presentation for the purposes of the Commission to be placed into this. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, can I understand you correctly? Are you saying that this is not part of a contemporaneous document, that this slide was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that status as given for present purposes and I want to ask you to turn also to page 27 which is four slides down and if you can read that slide as well. And then we'll come back to discussing the content of the two. COLONEL SCOTT: I see it, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So in slide 24 you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was shown in the presentation to the audience, the commanders. It's a slide that was I think taken out of the presentation for the purposes of the Commission to be placed into this. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, can I understand you correctly? Are you saying that this is not part of a contemporaneous document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that status as given for present purposes and I want to ask you to turn also to page 27 which is four slides down and if you can read that slide as well. And then we'll come back to discussing the content of the two. COLONEL SCOTT: I see it, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So in slide 24 you describe the background as relating to rival mine-workers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was shown in the presentation to the audience, the commanders. It's a slide that was I think taken out of the presentation for the purposes of the Commission to be placed into this. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, can I understand you correctly? Are you saying that this is not part of a contemporaneous document? COLONEL SCOTT: When I deal with a plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that status as given for present purposes and I want to ask you to turn also to page 27 which is four slides down and if you can read that slide as well. And then we'll come back to discussing the content of the two. COLONEL SCOTT: I see it, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So in slide 24 you describe the background as relating to rival mine-workers union NUM and AMCU and a recent aggressive history and you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was shown in the presentation to the audience, the commanders. It's a slide that was I think taken out of the presentation for the purposes of the Commission to be placed into this. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, can I understand you correctly? Are you saying that this is not part of a contemporaneous document, that this slide was not in any contemporaneous document? COLONEL SCOTT: When I deal with a plan in there is a situation there would be background but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that status as given for present purposes and I want to ask you to turn also to page 27 which is four slides down and if you can read that slide as well. And then we'll come back to discussing the content of the two. COLONEL SCOTT: I see it, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So in slide 24 you describe the background as relating to rival mine-workers union NUM and AMCU and a recent aggressive history and you deal with the clash between unions, as you put it on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	which is up on the screen, the background slide. Rival mine-worker unions. And, Colonel, do you have that slide in front of you? COLONEL SCOTT: I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recognise as a document which you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I think even come from exhibit L. MR CHASKALSON SC: It's - a reproduction of it may appear in exhibit L but this particular slide was given to us as the SAPS plan, SAPS power point presentation of the plan on the 14th of August if I recall correctly. But do you recall putting a slide of this nature into one of your presentations at the time? COLONEL SCOTT: It's not a slide that was shown in the presentation to the audience, the commanders. It's a slide that was I think taken out of the presentation for the purposes of the Commission to be placed into this. MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, can I understand you correctly? Are you saying that this is not part of a contemporaneous document? COLONEL SCOTT: When I deal with a plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: We will get there, Colonel Scott, but maybe we should wait until we do. I'd first like to clarify this status of this slide but I think we have. You say it wasn't a slide that you prepared contemporaneously – COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: And it was reverse engineered in December to reflect what you recall as having been said in the JOC, possibly with the benefit of hindsight in also preparing a presentation. Is that correct? COLONEL SCOTT: It's to the best of my recollection, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may, at a later stage, come back to the status but let's just take that status as given for present purposes and I want to ask you to turn also to page 27 which is four slides down and if you can read that slide as well. And then we'll come back to discussing the content of the two. COLONEL SCOTT: I see it, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So in slide 24 you describe the background as relating to rival mine-workers union NUM and AMCU and a recent aggressive history and you

Pretoria

 Page 1397 Security clash, two socurity officials killed. Modal 13th August AMCU and SAPS clash, two police officials dead, on artitually wounded, threa AMCU dada, flave wounded. And thern moving onto 27 AMCU possibly feeling defant, possibly defamit, teeling the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with Killing of polic officials and scieng polic rardical sam weapons. With doctor providing mult to AMCU group crasting midded of invintibility. Well. when I read the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed the advant the mome says in 17 the mine management informed the management information the management inf	 Sculty diab, two security officials elificials deal, one officials and y control of Markana she received a Hicking from people of ritually wounded, three AMCU dead, five wounded. And the moving onto 27 AMCU possibly fociling deflant, possibly of deflant, frequence and also from Lommin. And in paragraph 17 of her officials and security officials and sec			1	
2 August AMCU and SAPS clash, two police officials dead, one 2 arrived at Markana the received a briefing from people 3 critically wounded, three AMCU dead, five wounded, Amd 3 concerned and also from Lommin. And in paragraph 17 of her 4 them moving onto 27 AMCU possibly feeling definat, possibly 4 statement, page 5 where sites dating with the events of 5 definat, testing the clash with SAPS resulted in their 5 the Clash. Sha Says In 17 the mine management informed 6 the clash. Sha Says In 17 the mine management informed the Clash. Sha Says In 17 the role cashes had already clashes between 1 inforence and think remembering that is thes slited in the clash. Sha Says In 17 the mine management informadion 1 inforence and think remembering that is these slited in which SAPS originally recorked from Lommin categorised the 1 inforence and think remembering that is these slited in which SAPS originally received from Lommin categorised the 1 inforence and think remembering that is sub these slited in which SAPS originally received from Lommin categorised the 1 inforence and think remembering that is these slites information that they had received. Allhough 1 inthoregoring. coutone proporing. i	 2 arrived at Markans she received a briefing from poople 2 arrived at Markans she received a briefing from poople 2 arrived at Markans she received a briefing from poople 2 arrived at Markans she received at briefing from poople 2 arrived at Markans she received at the momenagement informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the mine management informed 2 the clash she says in 17 the points 2 the clash she says in 17 the points 2 the clash she says in 17 the points 2 the clash she says in 17 the points 2 the clash she says in 17 the points 2 the clash she says in 17 the points 2 the clash she says in 17 the points 2 the clash she says in 17 the says in 18 the mine management informed 2 the this says and and says in the clash she says 3 the probasition in the says in 18 the mine management informed 3 the probasition in the says in 18 the says i	1	5	1	0
3 critically wounded, three AMCU dead, five wounded. And then moving onto 27 AMCU possibly focling diffant, possibly diffing fields with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and seizing police radios and weapons. With SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and seizing police radios and weapons. With Cactor providing mult to AMCU group creating minds of invincibility. Well, when I read these slides what It suggests to me is that you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair inference to be drawn? 5 the amagement further ware ongoing clashes between the members of the two labour unions, AMCU and NUM and or management further ware disclapation that the clash. She as you the members of the two labour unions, AMCU and NUM and or management further ware being disclapation that the clash. She as you the members of the two labour unions, AMCU and NUM and or management further ware being disclapation that here had ready claimed to the likes of adjinally received from Lommin categorised the is group of strikers as being AMCU people and that appears to had hubiny addressing the strikers and doing his part with the mutt it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be is based on the information the they had received. Although is ducated that they had received. Although to understanding at the time in August. 10 MRC CHASKALSON SC: During question was, with at put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having in ducated states, you accept that? Yeag 13977 COLONEL SCOTT: Ves. Page 13975 CHAIRPERSON: Would that be fair? 2 colonel SCOTT: What your saying is my colonel state was nore an AMCU arguing as no that even to the AAPC in protesters, you accept that? Page 13977 CHAIRPERSON: Well weas and the diswas on that even you ducatstandin	3 concord and also from Lamnin. And in paragraph 17 of her then moving onto 27 AMCU possibly faciling deflant, possibly deflant, feasibly facility facility facility facility distinguish develows MACU and the sinkers. Is that a fair inference to be drawn? 1 1 inference and 1 link in remembering that 1 set these sides hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say inference and Jassibly again with very isolated in the statement and possibly again with very isolated in mo-grouping. 1 1 1 inference and 1 link in remembering that 1 set these sides individual directions and bing addrix sit most actions and bing part with the them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a inco-grouping. 1 1 1 1 1 interence set to you shat you didn't distinguish between AMCU is correct - ing ong to put to you is that you didn't distinguish between AMCU is correct - ing ong to put to you is that you didn't distinguish between AMCU is correct - ing ong to put to you is that you didn't distinguish between AMCU is correct - ing and the proteins, you accepted that? COLONEL SCOTT: Ves. 1 1 2 contexters, you accepted that? COLONEL SCOTT: Ves. 2 1 1 3 contexters, you accepted thatit you is dividual you is that you	2		2	-
4 then moving onto 27 AMCU possibly feeling deflant, possibly 4 statement, page 5 where she's dealing with the events of 5 deflant, feeling the clash with SAPS resulted in their 5 the SAPS delegation that there were management informed 7 radios and weapors. With doctor providing mult to AMCU the SAPS delegation that there were management informed 8 these sides what it suggests to me is that you didn't the SAPS delegation that there were management informed 10 thistiguits between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair inference and 1 think remembering that 1 set these sildes 11 inference and 1 think remembering that 1 set these sildes the set on the information that they was consistently hold by SAPS 16 to solvous to everybody but it soemed to me with Mr the set on the information that they hold mult appears to variable was consistently hold by SAPS 18 them that I was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a non-group of strikers as being AMCU people measurement on solvous the was consistently hold by SAPS 21 moth CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, that 1 up to you, it was obvious, 1 don't want to say the this ward is you used, 1 this keened and people was and in diversing and the strikers, and doing his part with 1 22 coloNet SCOTT: Yes. 23 coloNet SCOTT:	4 then moving onto 27 AMCU possibly feeling defant, possibly 4 statement, page 5 where the's dealing with the events of 5 defant, feeling the clash with SAPS roculed in their 5 defant, feeling the clash with SAPS roculed in their 7 radios and weapons. With doctor providing mult to AMCU 6 the clash. She says in 17 the mine management informed 7 ardios and weapons. With doctor providing mult to AMCU the clash. She Says in 17 the mine management informed 9 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fait the movement of the two labour unloss. AMCU and NUM and 10 distinguish between AMCU the interact and is a set and interact the providing mult to AMCU 11 inference and I think remember and possibly again with very looted the weapone that is semed to me with Mine 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say the weapone the word is you used. 16 non-grouping. the transmitter weap of set on the word is you used. 16 non-grouping. the clashed violent you, that you ddr't distinguish between AMCU 17 Mathurykw addressing the strikers and proposition the clashed violent you, that you ddr't distinguish between AMCU 18 opon-grouping. town the	3		3	
5 defiant, feeling the clash with SAPS resulted in their 5 the 13th. She went to Markana after the killing of people, 6 victory with killing of police officials and seizing police 7 the clash. She says in 17 "the mine management informed 8 group creating mindset of invincibility. Well, when I read the sAPS delegation that there were ongoing clash-base between 9 these slides what it suggests to me is that you didn't official set information the sAPS delegation that there were ongoing clash-base between 11 inference to be drawn? think is a fair ifficiance and I think remembering that I set these slides 12 column youn, It was obvious. I don't want to say ifficiance and I think remembering that I set these slides 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated ifficiance and I think remembering that I set these slides 16 its obvious to everybody but it socmed to me with Mr ifficiance and I think remembering that I would be an 17 thathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with ifficiance and I think remembering that I would be an 18 non-grouping. Ifficiance and I think remembering that I would be there and you were reverse engineering whatever the word is you used, 19 non-grouping. Ifficiance anon a MACU anot NUP and the SAPS,	5 the claim, fealing the clash with SAPS resulted in their 5 the claim. See any in 17 "the mine management informed 6 victory with killing of police officials and seizing police 5 the clash. She says in 17 "the mine management informed 7 the says diactor providing multi to MACL 6 the clash. She says in 17 "the mine management informed 10 dissinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair inference to be drawn? 1 11 inference to be drawn? 1 which SAPS obliginally received from Lomma categorised the 12 COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is a fair 1 inderence and I think remembering that 1 so these slides 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 1 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 15 based on the information that they had received. MRCHASKLSON SC: But my question was. 16 the solated and the protesters, you accepted that? 1 12.32.3 (COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chaiperson, I think 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 2 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chaiperson, I think 23 ColCONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chaiperson, I think 2		5	4	
6 victory with killing of police officials and sel/ing police 6 the clash. She says in 17 "the mine management informed 7 radios and weapons. With doctor providing mult to ANCU 7 the SAPS delegation that there were onging clashes between 9 group creating micks of informability. Well, when 1 read the SAPS delegation that there were onging clashes between 10 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair the sense strikers as leng AUCU people and that appers to 11 up in December and possibly again with very isolated the information that they had neady claimod 15 hinkinght on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say the information that they had neady. 16 thick same and holds in more an AMCU grouping than it would be a in forence were any point in the obs and in adjust. 16 non-grouping. more an AMCU grouping than it would be a in forence were any point in thick as and any adjust and in adjust. 17 that protesters, you accept do that? to screate you were any adjust and the screen any set and possibly 20 and the protesters, you accept that? to screate you were any position in the south and you dion't distinguish between AMCU 18 the mote streate you were any adjust in the youthe screate you wereany points and mounts that I was </td <td>6 victory with killing of police officials and scizing police 6 the clash. She says in 17 "the mine management informed 7 aradis and weapons. With doctor providing mult to ANCU 7 https://doctor.providing mult to ANCU 9 rese slides what it suggests to me is that you didn't 1 the sAPS delegation that there were orging clashes between 11 inference to be drawn? 1 the sampless of the two balous of the clash. She says in 17 "the mine management informed 12 COLONEL SCOTT: I think this a fair 10 the information that they had received. Although 13 inference and possibly again with very isolated 14 the were orgeneing whatever the word is you used. 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 the wee here the view which was considently held by SAPS 15 hindsight on my own, it was obdous, i don't want to say 15 based on the information that they had received. Although 16 what jur to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 16 12 what pure you didn't distinguish between AMCU 17 the clash. NR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 14 15 18 hone prot</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td>	6 victory with killing of police officials and scizing police 6 the clash. She says in 17 "the mine management informed 7 aradis and weapons. With doctor providing mult to ANCU 7 https://doctor.providing mult to ANCU 9 rese slides what it suggests to me is that you didn't 1 the sAPS delegation that there were orging clashes between 11 inference to be drawn? 1 the sampless of the two balous of the clash. She says in 17 "the mine management informed 12 COLONEL SCOTT: I think this a fair 10 the information that they had received. Although 13 inference and possibly again with very isolated 14 the were orgeneing whatever the word is you used. 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 the wee here the view which was considently held by SAPS 15 hindsight on my own, it was obdous, i don't want to say 15 based on the information that they had received. Although 16 what jur to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 16 12 what pure you didn't distinguish between AMCU 17 the clash. NR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 14 15 18 hone prot			5	
7 radios and weapons. Witch doctor providing mult to AMCU 7 the SAPS delegation that there were ongoing clashes between 8 group creating mindset of invincibility. Well, when i read 6 10 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair 1 inference to be drawn? 11 inference and I think remembering that I set thess alides 1 1 inference and I think remembering that I set thess alides 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 1 the information that they bad received. Although 16 it's obvious to everybody but it scenced to me with Mr 1 this document in December you were, as I see it. correctly 16 it's obvious to everybody but it scenced to me with Mr 1 this document in December you were, as I see it. correctly 17 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 2 CHASKALSON SC: But my question was. 21 what I put to you, is that you viewed AMCU as having 1 1:s correct - 2 22 onlow protesters, you accepted that? 2 COLONEL SCOTT: What I put to you is that you wiewed AMCU as having 23 vould you accept this? 1 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes 24	7 radios and weapons. Witch doctor providing mult to AMCU 7 the SAPS delegation that there were nonjoing clashes between a three witch work the via babour unions, AMCU and NUM and management further stated that clashes had alrady claimed management further stated fast clashes had alrady claimed management further stated form Lommin categorise the electers." So it seems quite clear that the information in the two which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistently held by SAPS in the viaw which was consistent was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a non-grouping. 1 Math Liyus addressing the service and Libits was more an AMCU and MACU and NUM and management along solution. 2 Math Liyus addressing the service and YB was been allowed and Libits was more an AMCU and the SAPS. 1 What Libits add allowing the wave allowed and Libits was more and MCU and the security and the SAPS. 2 COLONEL SCOTT: The secord proposition in the sec		-		
8 group creating mindset of invincibility. Well, when I read 9 these sides what I suggests to me is that you din't 10 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair 1 inference to be drawn? 11 inference and I think remembering that I set these sides 1 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 1 based on the information that they had received. Although 16 it's obvious to everydody but I seemed to me with Mr 1 based on the information that they had received. Although 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 17 this document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a 18 reflecting your understanding at the time in August. 19 non-grouping. 11 they accepted that? 11 12.2 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 14 12 COLONEL SCOTT: Was howing is my 25 regol to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having Page 13977 CALONEL SCOTT: Was howing is my 3 collowed scopt that? 11 CHARPERSON: Well we start for	8 group creating mindset of invincibility. Well, when I read 8 the members of the two labour unions, AMCU and NUM and 9 these sildes what it suggests to me is that you idion't 1 inference to be drawn? 11 inference to be drawn? 1 inference to be drawn? 1 12 COLONEL SCOTT: 1 think it is a fair 1 1 13 inference and D think remembering that I set these sides 1 1 1 14 up in Decomber and possibly again with very isolated 1 haw been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, 1 don't want to say 16 you understanding at the time in August. 19 non-grouping. 11 the protesters, you accepted that? 11 it is obvious to everybody but it scened to me with Mr 20 what I put to you, the you didn't distinguish between AMCU 11 it is document in December you wrere, sail and the through 21 what I put to you, that you question was, COLONEL SCOTT: 12 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think 22 would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td>			_	
9 These slides what it suggests to me is that you didn't 9 management further stated that clashes had already claimed 10 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fait inference and I think remembering that I set these slides 10 the lives of nine people as we were birdled by the police 12 COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is a fait 10 the lives of nine people as we were birdled by the police 13 inference and I think remembering that I set these slides 10 the lives of nine people as we were birdled by SAPS 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 10 to submit the vas consistently held by SAPS 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 10 you were reverse engineering whatever the word is you used. 17 Mathunyloa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 10 the advestore sup on advestore the word is you used. 18 them retarts, you accepted that? 20 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chaiperson, I think 21 what I put to you, that you didn' distinguish between AMCU 21 CHAIRERESON: Would that be fair? 22 and the protestras, you accepted that? Yes, Chaiperson, I think 21 <t< td=""><td>9 these slides what it suggests to me is that you didn't 9 management further stated that clashes had already claimed 10 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair 10 the lives of nine people as we were briefed by the police 12 COLOMEL SCOTT: I think it is a fair 11 the lives of nine people as were briefed by the police 13 inference and I think remembering that I set these slides 13 group of strikers as being AMCU people and that appears to 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 15 hindsight on my own, It was obvious, I don't want to say 16 you ever cervers engineering whatever the word is you used, and that people and that appears to 16 mon-grouping. 12 12 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chaipperson, I think 21 mAR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 24 Understanding. I don't want to space ho behaff of others. 22 and the protesters, you accept that? 2 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, S 23 colonell south were dwere dwere the were analyop on behaff of others. 2 2 12 12 12<</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	9 these slides what it suggests to me is that you didn't 9 management further stated that clashes had already claimed 10 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair 10 the lives of nine people as we were briefed by the police 12 COLOMEL SCOTT: I think it is a fair 11 the lives of nine people as were briefed by the police 13 inference and I think remembering that I set these slides 13 group of strikers as being AMCU people and that appears to 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 15 hindsight on my own, It was obvious, I don't want to say 16 you ever cervers engineering whatever the word is you used, and that people and that appears to 16 mon-grouping. 12 12 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chaipperson, I think 21 mAR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 24 Understanding. I don't want to space ho behaff of others. 22 and the protesters, you accept that? 2 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, S 23 colonell south were dwere dwere the were analyop on behaff of others. 2 2 12 12 12<				
10 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair 11 inference to be drawn? 12 CLONEL SCOTT: I think it is a fair 13 inference and I think remembering that I set these sildes 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 15 bindsight yreacived from Lommin categorised the 16 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 16 twas to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing ihs part with 18 non-grouping. 20 mort CHASKALSON SC: 21 what 1 put o you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 23 COLONEL SCOTT: 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: 25 rego 13975 1 CHARPERSON: 26 wold you accept that? 27 clonent L Scottr: 28 wold you accept that? 29 colonel Scottr: Yes. 20 wold you accept that? 21 colonel	10 distinguish between AMCU and the strikers. Is that a fair 11 inference to be drawn? 12 COLONEL SCOTT: 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 15 indirence and I think remembering that I set these sides 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 18 the with a was more an ANCU grouping than I would be and the strikers. You accepted that? 20 mor-grouping. 21 collople Scottr: Yes, Chairperson, I think 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? Yes, Chairperson, I think 23 collople Scottr: Yes, Chairperson, I think 24 there ver fraver points that made myself and possibly Yes, Chairperson, I think 25 would you accepted that? Soluters would would that be fair? 26 collople Scottr: Wes and for others. 27 collople Scottr: Wes and for others. 28 would you accepted that? Soluters would would would that be fair? 29 collople Scottr: Yes				
11 inference to be drawn? 11 etcetra." So it seems quite clear that the information 12 COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is a fair 11 etcetra." So it seems quite clear that the information 12 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 11 etcetra." So it seems quite clear that the information 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 which SAPS originally received much that appears to 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, i don't want to say 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 16 It's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 16 It's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 16 It's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 this document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 17 mon-grouping. 11 It's obvious that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 21 what I put to you, that you cacept that? 11 It's obvious to seenty own appears to that? 22 onlow that you that you viewed AMCU as having 20 It's obvious to seentrybox wit's obvious that you viewed AMCU as paring the	11 Inference to be drawn? 12 COLONEL SCOTT: It hink it is a fair 13 inference and I think remembering that I set these sildes 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 16 it's obvious to everybod but it secred to me with M' 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 18 mon-grouping. 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was. 11 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 21 21 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 mort protesters, you accepted that? 25 would you accept that? 26 would you accept that? 3 coLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 ther. A this time it's what's written 4 6 picking up of information ands on that even though some ofto protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 7 coLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4				-
12 COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is a fair 13 inference and I think remembering that I set these sildes 14 inference and I think remembering that I set these sildes 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 16 it's obvious to everybody but it scemed to me with Mr 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with Mr 18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a 19 non-grouping. 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 21 what I put to you, that you dight dhat? 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 26 void you accept that? 24 27 void you accept that? 15 28 woid you accept that? 15 29 vold you accept that? 24 20 colonel scourts, was aspened today as such because 24 30 colonel scourts, was aspered today as such because 24<	12 COLONEL SCOTT: I think it is a fair 13 inference and I think remembering that I set these sides 14 up in Docember and possible gain with very isolated 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 Mathunybu addressing the strikers and doing his part with 18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a 19 non-grouping. 21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 23 COLONEL SCOTT: 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: 25 The going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 26 there, and the protesters, supered to still be VIM 27 valued you accept that? 28 would you accept that? 29 up on portesters happened to still be VIM members that was 20 that and even through the small amounts that I was 29 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 3 colonel SCOTT: 4 COLONEL S		-		
13 inference and I think remembering that I set these sildes 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 16 tirs obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 18 non-grouping. 19 non-grouping. 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was. 21 what I put to you, that you dirdt distinguish between ANCC 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 23 CCLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 26 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 2 would you accept that? 3 COLONEL SCOTT: I's what your viewed AMCU as having 3 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 4 there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members tha twas <t< td=""><td>13 Inference and I think remembering that I set these sildes 13 group of strikes as being AMCU people and that appears to 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 15 bindsight on my own, it was obvious. I don't want to say 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 16 you were reverse engineering whatever the word is you used. 17 Mathunywa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 17 this document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 16 non-grouping. 17 (12:43) COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 18 reflecting your understanding. I don't want to spak on behalf of others. 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 others helicve that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 11 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS. 20 understanding. I don't want to spak on behalf of others. 2 void you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 3 ool you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 4 there were many pointis that made mysel were set</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>	13 Inference and I think remembering that I set these sildes 13 group of strikes as being AMCU people and that appears to 14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 15 bindsight on my own, it was obvious. I don't want to say 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 16 you were reverse engineering whatever the word is you used. 17 Mathunywa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 17 this document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 16 non-grouping. 17 (12:43) COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 18 reflecting your understanding. I don't want to spak on behalf of others. 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 others helicve that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 11 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS. 20 understanding. I don't want to spak on behalf of others. 2 void you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 3 ool you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 4 there were many pointis that made mysel were set				-
14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 15 based on the information that they had received. Although 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 16 you were reverse engineering whatever the word is you used. 17 mon-grouping. 17 this document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a 16 you were reverse engineering whatever the word is you used. 21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 16 12:43] COLONEL SCOTT: West your's waying is my 23 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 COLONEL SCOTT: What your's saying is my 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 14 there exert many points that made myself and possibly 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 2 that they are originant and possibly 26 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 2 that this nere affecting yound, w	14 up in December and possibly again with very isolated 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, it don't want to say 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 14 have been the view which was consistently held by SAPS 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 16 you were reverse engineering whatever the word is you used. 19 non-grouping. 17 this document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 20 and the protesters, you accepted that? 20 its concent - 21 what I put to you, that you clearl distinguish between AMCU 21 CHAINPERSON: Would that be fair? 22 and the protesters, you accept that? 22 COLONEL SCOTT: Was and inderstanding. I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 would you accept that? 23 understanding. I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 25 rege 13975 Page 13975 13 COLONEL SCOTT: Well we start for the faat. 2 would you accept that? 3 Lonmin. 10 CHAIRPERSON: Wel				
15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 16 It's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 18 them that it was more an ANCU grouping than it would be 19 non-grouping. 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between ANCU 20 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 22 26 coLONEL SCOTT: Yes, 27 colonelt Scottr: I's what's written 3 coLONEL SCOTT: I's what's written 4 there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived 2 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 2 7 there netsetse were looking to more over to the AMCU 3 6 picking up of information and so on that even t	15 hindsight on my own, it was obvious, I don't want to say 16 lifs obvious to everybody buil it seemed to me with Mr 17 hindsight on my own, it was more an AMCU grouping than It would be a the mt tat it was more an AMCU grouping than It would be a the mt tat it was more an AMCU grouping than It would be a the mt tat it was more an AMCU grouping than It would be a the mt tat it was more an AMCU grouping. 15 based on the information that they had received. Although 10 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 20 12 Its correct - 21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protesters, you accept that? 12 CALONEL SCOTT: Yes. 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 24 would you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 1 2 would you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 1 3 colonni. 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 1 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceive		0		
16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 16 it's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be an 20 non-grouping. 21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 23 COLONEL SCOTT: 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 26 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS. 2 would you accept that? 3 COLONEL SCOTT: 4 there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 Union which I believe has happened to still be NUM members that was 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 9 Was also told that 1 was to look at wort to say the organisation. So it was simply neto looking 16	16 It's obvious to everybody but it seemed to me with Mr 17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would ba 19 non-grouping. 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 17 tashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 26 COLONEL SCOTT: I's what's written 4 there. At this time if's what I perceived and I perceived 3 COLONEL SCOTT: I's what's written 4 there. At this time if's what I perceived and I perceived 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 of the protesters happened tos sill be NUM members that was 9 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 10 MCU HASKALSON SC: Mut Hind proposition 11 MR CHASKALSON SC				
17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 17 this document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a 17 this document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 19 non-grouping. 18 reflecting your understanding at the time in August. 19 non-grouping. 19 (12:43] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 10 Its correct 21 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think 10 Its correct 22 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, What I put to you, that you viewed AMCU as having 20 Understanding, I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 16 Euthere were many points that made myself and possibly 20 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 22 ChAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 17 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 17 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 that this time it's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	17 Mathunjwa addressing the strikers and doing his part with 17 Ithis document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a 17 Ithis document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 19 non-grouping. 17 Ithis document in December you were, as I see it, correctly 19 non-grouping. 12 12 CLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think 20 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 COLONEL SCOTT: Would that be fair? 21 maderstanding. I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 15 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 26 Weat H it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 24 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 2 that this is the information the police were given by 2 25 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 picking up oinformation and so on that even though some 7 GULONEL SCOTT: Yes. 3 colonneit. but is become the dowinant urion at Lommin. 16 If rom Lommin, is that - 8 CO				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be a non-grouping. 18 reflecting your understanding at the time in August. 19 non-grouping. 18 reflecting your understanding at the time in August. 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, and the protesters, you accepted that? 19 [12:43] COLONEL SCOTT: Wes, Coloned State of the protesters, you accepted that? 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 20 Understanding. I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 19 10 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 20 others believe that I was more an AMCU affiliated group. 25 would you accept that? 21 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 22 2 would you accept that? 21 that this is the information the police were given by 3 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 4 picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 5 CHAIRPERSON: It ake it you also got that 6 information, whether directly from Lonnin or from those who got information mand soon that then though some	18 them that it was more an AMCU grouping than it would be all non-grouping. 18 reflecting your understanding at the time in August. 19 non-grouping. 12:43] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was. 18 reflecting your understanding at the time in August. 21 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was. 18 reflecting your understanding. I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 COLONEL SCOTT: What you're saying is my 23 COLONEL SCOTT: The second proposition 10 I dut there were many points that made myself and possibily 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fat 25 other on through the small amounts that 1 was 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 3 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 9 uad NCU has become the dominant union at Lommin. 4 COLONEL SCOTT: I this what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 1 official but the accurate again being objective that they 5 that – and even through the small amounts that 1 was 6 nold which 1 believe has happened today as such because 6 pic				
19 non-grouping. 19 [12:43] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, What I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 21 and the protesters, you accepted that? COLONEL SCOTT: What I put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. What I put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition If migging to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having Page 13975 1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 3 COLONEL SCOTT: I's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 4 there. At this time if's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 information and so on that even though some 7 got it from Lonmin, is that - 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 got it from Lonmin, is that - <td>19 non-grouping. 19 [12:43] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 10 Its correct - 21 what I put to you, that you cacepted that? 20 COLONEL SCOTT: Wes, 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Wat CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 21 COLONEL SCOTT: What you're saying is my 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 26 Lashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 20 but three: were many points that made myself and possibly 27 colonet SCOTT: II's what's written Page 13977 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 28 colonet SCOTT: II's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 3 COLONEL SCOTT: II's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 4 therer. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take I you also got that 6 information, whether directly from Lonnin or from those who 7 9 undivid h believe has happened to still be NUM members that was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I was also told that I's more a faceless, heyre</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	19 non-grouping. 19 [12:43] COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, Chairperson, I think 20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 10 Its correct - 21 what I put to you, that you cacepted that? 20 COLONEL SCOTT: Wes, 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. Wat CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 21 COLONEL SCOTT: What you're saying is my 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 26 Lashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 20 but three: were many points that made myself and possibly 27 colonet SCOTT: II's what's written Page 13977 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 28 colonet SCOTT: II's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 3 COLONEL SCOTT: II's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 4 therer. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take I you also got that 6 information, whether directly from Lonnin or from those who 7 9 undivid h believe has happened to still be NUM members that was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I was also told that I's more a faceless, heyre				
20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 20 Its correct – 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? COLONEL SCOTT: What I put to you, is that you viewed AMCU as having 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. COLONEL SCOTT: Wat I put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition Eut there were many points that made myself and possibly 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having Page 13977 26 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, Eut there were many points that made myself and possibly 3 COLONEL SCOTT: I's what's written Page 13977 4 there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 that – and even through the small amounts that I was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take i you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to day as such because 7 got it from Lonmin or from those who 7 bitk secome the dominant union at Lommin. 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Countel scoutt' some afac	20 MR CHASKALSON SC: But my question was, 1 20 its correct – 21 what I put to you, that you dicht distinguish between AMCU 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 COLONEL SCOTT: Was you're saying is my 20 22 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 understanding, I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 26 Understanding, I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 25 readed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 26 Would you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 that – and even through the small amounts that 1 was 3 orly on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 3 1 CCIONEL SCOTT: Yes. 3 orly on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 3 1 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 4 orly on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 3 1 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 3 orly on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 3 information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who 3 1 Othant want to say the 3				
21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 21 CHAIRPERSON: Would that be fair? 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 COLONEL SCOTT: What you're saying is my 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 23 understanding. I dor't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 Understanding. I dor't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 25 would you accept that? 2 that here. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 4 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 got that here it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 5 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 9 was also told that it's more a faceless, theyre not t	21 what I put to you, that you didn't distinguish between AMCU 21 CHAIRPERSON: Would that be fair? 22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 COLONEL SCOTT: What you're saying is my 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 23 understanding. I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition Page 13975 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 25 rim going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having Page 13975 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 4 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 7 got it from Lonmin, is that - 9 Union which I believe has happened today as such because 10 MRCHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 14 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 15 it from Lonmin, ket again being objective that they 12 12 <				
22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 22 COLONEL SCOTT: What you're saying is my 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 23 understanding. I don't want to speak on behalf of others. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 25 others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. Page 13975 1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, Would you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 1 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 4 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who 7 got it from Lonmin, is that - 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third propositi	22 and the protesters, you accepted that? 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 1 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 22 COLONEL SCOTT: What you're saying is my 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having Page 13975 Page 13975 1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, Would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: We was more an AMCU affiliated group. 2 would you accept that? 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived that – and even through the small amounts that 1 was COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 that – and even through the small amounts that 1 was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU GOLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 9 Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Coulonet scottris commissioner, at first 1 9 was also told that 1's more a faceless, theyre not to 10 AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 1 official but the accurate again being objective that they 12				
23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 26 Page 13975 1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 2 would you accept that? 3 COLONEL SCOTT: 4 there. At this time it's what's written 4 there. At this time it's what i perceived and I perceived 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 9 understanding. I don't want to spack on behalf of others. 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 15 killed." 16 COLONEL SCOTT:	 23 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 26 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 27 I clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 28 would you accept that? 29 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 9 Union which I believe has happened today as such because 10 AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 mine security officials on the 111th. You can go back to 14 COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was 15 killed." 16 COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was 18 name because in general they are protesting for some reason 19 and under some organisation. So It was simply not looking 20 at the AMCU organisation. So It was simply not looking 21 at the AMCU organisation. So It was simply not looking 22 become affiliated on AMCU or planning to 23 become affiliated on AMCU or planning to 24 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you 25 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, that was my 				
24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 26 Dege 13975 Charles believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. Page 13977 1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, Velia we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 got it from Lonmin, is that – 9 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first 1 9 Was also told that it's more a faceless, theyre not to 10 sure but 1 think that was more the, I don't want to say the 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 10 sure but 1 think that was more the, I don't want to say the 12	24 MR CHASKALSON SC: The second proposition 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 26 Dega 13975 The second proposition 24 But there were many points that made myself and possibly 27 I m going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 28 OCLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 1 CALAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 29 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 6 Icommin. 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 3 COLONEL scott: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 information and so on that even though some 7 got it from Lommin, is that - 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 10 sua also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 10 sua also told that it'				5 5 5 5
25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 28 Page 13975 Clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, Page 13975 1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I 9 Union which I believe has happened today as such because 9 was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to 10 Sure bul I think that was more the, I don't want to say the 1 official but the accurate again being objective that they 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 1 official but the accurate again being objective that they 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security	25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 25 I'm going to put to you is that you viewed AMCU as having 25 others believe that it was more an AMCU affiliated group. 2 valid you accept that? 1 CALAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 4 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 Othe protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 9 union which I believe has happened today as such because 9 was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to 10 AMCU has become the dominant union at Lommin. 1 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 10 such ad AMCU members. But 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 11 official but the accurate again being objective that they 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 the feeling was and in discursing la				-
Page 13975 Page 13975 1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 2 that this is the information the police were given by 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 chain - and even through the small amounts that I was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I 9 Was become the dominant union at Lommin. 10 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 10 sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 11 official but the accurate again being objective that they 15 killed." 14 perconnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the 15 killed." 14	Page 13975 Page 13975 1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 1 that his is the information the police were given by 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 4 there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived 5 5 that – and even through the small amounts that I was 6 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 8 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 9 9 union which I believe has happened today as such because 10 10 AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 10 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 15 killed." 16 COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was 17				
1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 2 that this is the information the police were given by 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 3 Lonmin. 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 6 information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who 7 got It from Lonmin, is that - 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I 9 Union which I believe has happened today as such because 9 was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to 10 MRC HASKALSON SC: My third proposition 10 sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 11 official but the accurate again being objective that they 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 the feeling was and in discussing later with security	1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 2 that this is the information the police were given by 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 3 Lommin. 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 that – and even through the small amounts that 1 was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 got it from Lonmin, is that – 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first 1 9 Union which 1 believe has happened today as such because 7 got it from Lonmin, is that – 10 AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 10 sucreto that it's more a faceless, theyre not to 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 10 sucreta sagain being objective that they 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 12 couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But 13 inding it was to look at giving	25	I m going to put to you is that you viewed AMCO as having	25	others believe that it was more an AMCO attiliated group.
1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 2 that this is the information the police were given by 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 3 Lonmin. 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 that - and even through the small amounts that I was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 6 information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who 7 got It from Lonmin, is that - 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I 9 Union which I believe has happened today as such because 9 was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to 10 MRC HASKALSON SC: My third proposition 10 sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 11 official but the accurate again being objective that they 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 the feeling was and in discussing later with security	1 clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, 1 CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact 2 would you accept that? 2 that this is the information the police were given by 3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 3 Lommin. 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 4 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 5 that – and even through the small amounts that 1 was 5 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 got it from Lonmin, is that – 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first 1 9 Union which 1 believe has happened today as such because 7 got it from Lonmin, is that – 10 AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 10 sucreto that it's more a faceless, theyre not to 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 10 sucreta sagain being objective that they 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 12 couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But 13 inding it was to look at giving				
2would you accept that?2that this is the information the police were given by3COLONEL SCOTT:It's what's written14there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived4COLONEL SCOTT:5that - and even through the small amounts that I was6information and so on that even though some6picking up of information and so on that even though some7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU8COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because9was also told that it's more a faceless, theyre not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two10file aut the accurate again being objective that they12is that You folcials on the 11th. You can go back to11official but the accurate again being objective that they13mine security officials on the group of protesters a11file alu was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was18residing at that hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the<	2would you accept that?2that this is the information the police were given by3COLONEL SCOTT:It's what's written3Lonmin.4there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived4COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5that – and even through the small amounts that I was5CHAIRPERSON:I take it you also got that6picking up of information and so on that even though some6information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was8COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU8COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the15killed."15initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of		Dago 12075		Page 12077
3COLONEL SCOTT:It's what's written3Lonmin.4there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived4COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5that - and even through the small amounts that I was6information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who6picking up of information and so on that even though some7got it from Lonmin, is that -8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU8COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because9was also told that it's more a faceless, theyre not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I dont want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the15killed."16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason1819and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking1920at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and2021implic	3 COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written 3 Lonmin. 4 there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived 5 Character (1998) 5 that – and even through the small amounts that 1 was 6 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 6 CHAIRPERSON: 1 take it you also got that 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 7 got it from Lonmin, is that – 8 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first 1 9 was also told that it's more a faceless, theyre not to 10 sure but 1 think that was more the, 1 don't want to say the 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 11 official but the accurate again being objective that they 13 the feeling was and in discussing later with security 14 personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the 15 killed." 16 get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU 14 personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the 15 15 initial plan was that, and this is where we got tok	1		1	
4there. At this time it's what 1 perceived and 1 perceived4COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5that - and even through the small amounts that 1 was5CHAIRPERSON:1 take it you also got that6picking up of information and so on that even though some6information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was6information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to9Union which I believe has happened today as such because9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the15killed."15initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was1617aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a1718name	4there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived4COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.5that - and even through the small amounts that I was5CHAIRPERSON:I take it you also got that6picking up of information and so on that even though some6information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was7got it from Lonmin, is that -8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU8COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition1112is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the15killed."16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was1817aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a1818name because in general they are protesting for some reason1819at the AMCU organisation. So it was simply not looking1920opinion were mainy affiliate to AMCU.2121		clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS,		CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact
5that – and even through the small amounts that I was5CHAIRPERSON:I take it you also got that6picking up of information and so on that even though some6information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU9Union which I believe has happened today as such because8COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the15killed."16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was1617aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a1818name because in general they are protesting for some reason1919and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking1920at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and1921implicating Mr Mathunjwa,	5that – and even through the small amounts that I was5CHAIRPERSON:I take it you also got that6picking up of information and so on that even though some6information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was7got it from Lonmin, is that –8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU8COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hoffice saround the21 <td>2</td> <td>clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that?</td> <td>2</td> <td>CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by</td>	2	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that?	2	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by
 6 picking up of information and so on that even though some 7 of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 9 Union which I believe has happened today as such because 10 AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 16 COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was 17 aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a 18 name because in general they are protesting for some reason 19 and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking 20 opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to 6 information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who 9 guit from Lonmin, is that - 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I 9 was also told that it's more a faceless, theyre not to 10 sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the 11 official but the accurate again being objective that they 12 couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But 13 the feeling was and in discussing later with security 14 personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the 15 initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of 16 COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was 18 name because in general they are protesting for some reason 19 and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking 20 at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and 21 implicating Mr Mathuniwa, it was simply the members in my 22 opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to 	6picking up of information and so on that even though some 76information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that –7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 98COLONEL SCOTT: 9Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because 10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 118COLONEL SCOTT: 9Commissioner, at first I11MR CHASKALSON SC: 11My third proposition 1210sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the 1112is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to 131113mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to 1412couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But 1314page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the 1516COLONEL SCOTT: 1I think where this was 161617aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a 181718name because in general they are protesting for some reason 191819and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and 191921inplicating Mr Mathuniwa, it was simply the members in my 22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to 232324CHAIRPERSON:	2 3	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin.
7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 87got it from Lonmin, is that -8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 99COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because 10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a18residing at that hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason19Wonderkop dominated hostel and that the NUM hostel was a19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and19Wonderkop dominated hostel and that the NUM offices around the22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22approaching that NUM office.	7of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was 87got it from Lonmin, is that -8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 99got it from Lonmin, is that -9Union which I believe has happened today as such because 109was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they11MR CHASKALSON SC: 11My third proposition mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to killed."10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed."14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a an ane because in general they are protesting for some reason at the AMCU organisation. So it was simply not looking opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.2123CHAIRPERSON: CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you understanding?2324CHAIRPERSON: CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you understanding?2425COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
 8 only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU 9 Union which I believe has happened today as such because 10 AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 15 killed." 16 COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was 18 name because in general they are protesting for some reason 19 and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking 20 at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and 21 implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my 22 opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to 8 COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I 9 was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to 10 sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the 11 official but the accurate again being objective that they 12 couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But 13 the feeling was and in discussing later with security 14 personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the 15 initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of 16 get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU 17 dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was 18 residing at that hostel and that the NUM offices around the 20 corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was 21 possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were 22 approaching that NUM office. 	8only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU8COLONEL SCOTT:Commissioner, at first I9Union which I believe has happened today as such because9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the15killed."16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was1616COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a18residing at that hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the20at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and20corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was21implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my22possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were22opin	2 3 4 5	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that
9Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC: is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed."10couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT: aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my 2210Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office.	9Union which I believe has happened today as such because MRCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.9was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU and security clash, two security officials11official but the accurate again being objective that they14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM offices around the20oplinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22Oplinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to23become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who
10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a18name because in general they are protesting for some reason19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel and that the NUM hostel was a20implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22approaching that NUM office.	10AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.10sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the11MR CHASKALSON SC:My third proposition11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two11official but the accurate again being objective that they12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two11official but the accurate again being objective that they13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was my25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that –
11MR CHASKALSON SC: is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed."11official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my according the difficult of AMCU or planning to11official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a 1910COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and 211922opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to21possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were 2222opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22approaching that NUM office.	11MR CHASKALSON SC: is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed."11official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But 1314page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed."13the feeling was and in discussing later with security16COLONEL SCOTT: aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my copinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.11official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security17dominated nost opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.13the feeling that the Karee hostel was my24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you 2424CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your 2425for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I
 12 is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two 13 mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to 14 page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials 15 killed." 16 COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and 21 implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my 22 opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to 12 couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But 13 the feeling was and in discussing later with security 14 personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of 16 get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU 17 dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was 18 residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a 19 Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the 20 opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to 	12is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two12couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the15killed."15initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the20opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22approaching that NUM office.23become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24CUONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to
 mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to the feeling was and in discussing later with security the feeling was and in discussing later with security the feeling was and in discussing later with security the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office. 	13mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials13the feeling was and in discussing later with security14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials13the feeling was and in discussing later with security15killed."14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking 2016get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a 191920at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON: CHAIRPERSON:1424CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you 2524COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, that was my24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the
14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed."14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my 2214personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU 1718name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU 1718adming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my 2219Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM office.21opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22approaching that NUM office.	14page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials14personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the15killed."15initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the20at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and20corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was21implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my21possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24cULAIRPERSON:Yes, that was my25for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they
15killed."15initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and most of the militant grouping was19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the20at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and20corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was21implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my21possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22approaching that NUM office.	15killed."15initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the20at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and20corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was21implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my20opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to23become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24understanding?25for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But
16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the20at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and20corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was21implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my21possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22approaching that NUM office.	16COLONEL SCOTT:I think where this was16get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the20at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and20corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was21implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my21possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were23become affiliated to AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24understanding?25for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security
17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my 2217dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a 1917dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a 1920at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to1721opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to2122opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22	17aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.17dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office.22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the
18name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a 1918residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a 1919Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the 20 corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was 2122opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to21possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office.	18name because in general they are protesting for some reason18residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the20at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the21implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my20corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to21possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were23become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24understanding?25for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of
19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office.	19and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.19Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office.23become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU
20 at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my 22 opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to20 corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was 21 possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were 22 approaching that NUM office.	20at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.20corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was21opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.21possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office.23CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24understanding?25for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was
21 implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my 22 opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to21 possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office.	 implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, that was my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a
22 opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to 22 approaching that NUM office.	22opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to22approaching that NUM office.23become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24understanding?25for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the
	23become affiliated with AMCU.23CHAIRPERSON:The point is that was your24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24understanding?25for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was
	24CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry to interrupt you24understanding?25for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement25COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were
24 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you 24 understanding?	25 for the moment, but I see from FFF2 which is the statement 25 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, that was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office.
		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office. CHAIRPERSON: The point is that was your
	ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	clashed violently with both mine security and the SAPS, would you accept that? COLONEL SCOTT: It's what's written there. At this time it's what I perceived and I perceived that – and even through the small amounts that I was picking up of information and so on that even though some of the protesters happened to still be NUM members that was only on paper as they were looking to move over to the AMCU Union which I believe has happened today as such because AMCU has become the dominant union at Lonmin. MR CHASKALSON SC: My third proposition is that you held AMCU responsible for the deaths of the two mine security officials on the 11th. You can go back to page 24 "AMCU and security clash, two security officials killed." COLONEL SCOTT: I think where this was aiming it was to look at giving the group of protesters a name because in general they are protesting for some reason and under some organisation. So it was simply not looking at the AMCU organisation as the greater organisation and implicating Mr Mathunjwa, it was simply the members in my opinion were mainly affiliated to AMCU or planning to become affiliated with AMCU. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: Well we start for the fact that this is the information the police were given by Lonmin. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I take it you also got that information, whether directly from Lonmin or from those who got it from Lonmin, is that – COLONEL SCOTT: Commissioner, at first I was also told that it's more a faceless, they're not to sure but I think that was more the, I don't want to say the official but the accurate again being objective that they couldn't exactly say that these were all AMCU members. But the feeling was and in discussing later with security personnel of Lonmin when I was starting to put together the initial plan was that, and this is where we got to kind of get the feeling that the Karee hostel was more an AMCU dominated hostel and most of the militant grouping was residing at that hostel and that the NUM hostel was a Wonderkop dominated hostel with the NUM offices around the corner. Thus the attempted approach of what we deemed was possibly more an AMCU grouping of people that were approaching that NUM office. CHAIRPERSON: The point is that was your understanding?

	Page 13978		Page 13980
1	understanding.	1	NUM which was rejected and there was AMCU which was being
2	CHAIRPERSON: And it was, I would presume	2	accepted with the leadership being able to speak openly
3	primarily based, well not primarily is the wrong word, but	3	with the people at the koppie. Thus the people at the
4	based, originally at least, on information received from	4	koppie being possibly not affiliated on paper but
5	Lonmin which is the information which the National	5	definitely affiliated in heart towards looking at AMCU as
6	Commissioner had also received.	6	being their saviour in this situation.
7	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	7	MR CHASKALSON SC: But it goes a little
8	CHAIRPERSON: And of course the view was	8	bit further then that because the slides that we're looking
9	to some extent strengthened by the way the two presidents	9	at suggests that you regarded AMCU as being responsible for
10	were received?	10	the death of two mine security officials on the 11th and
11	COLONEL SCOTT: Exactly, yes.	11	also most importantly for the death of two police officials
12	CHAIRPERSON: Yes. There was of course	12	on the 13th and the critical wounding of a third. I mean if
13	also a suggestion at one point that half the people on the,	13	we got the slide on page 27 AMCU possibly defined feeding
14	I'm not quite sure the correct percentage but a substantial	14	the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing
15	number of people on the koppie were according to the books	15	of police officials.
16	of Lonmin were NUM members and there were deductions being	16	COLONEL SCOTT: As I can say if we go
17	made in their salaries paid to NUM.	17	back to the actual plans, the true documents that were
18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	18	created before this, I'm not even sure it says AMCU.
19	CHAIRPERSON: Another substantial number,	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well maybe we should,
20	probably at that stage largely for Karee people were AMCU.	20	Colonel, because we don't have the plans on the 14th but
21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	21	what we do have is we have an attempt at reverse
22	CHAIRPERSON: And then there was a	22	engineering immediately after the tragedy on the 16th which
23	substantial number also of people who didn't have a union	23	is a file called OPS Platinum PPTX and you refer to it at a
24	affiliation.	24	time in your statement, it's the file which contains a
25	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	25	whole of operation Rhino slides. I wonder if we can go to
	Dago 13070		Dogo 12001
1	Page 13979 CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your	1	Page 13981 that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2.
1	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your	1 2	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2.
	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here,		that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana
2	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your	2	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth,	2 3	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction.	2 3 4	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON:Ja. But certainly yourunderstanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:And then that belief if one	2 3 4 5 6	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted?
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is	2 3 4 5 6 7	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON:Ja. But certainly yourunderstanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right.COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct, MrChairperson.MR CHASKALSON SC:So, Colonel, to recap	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON:Ja. But certainly yourunderstanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right.COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct, MrChairperson.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:Ja. But certainly yourunderstanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. CHAIRPERSON:And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right.COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct, MrCOLONEL SCOTT:That's correct, MrChairperson.So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:Ja. But certainly yourunderstanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right.COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct, MrChairperson.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson. MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson. MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors. COLONEL SCOTT: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Of when you prepared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:Ja. But certainly yourunderstanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right.COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct, MrChairperson.MR CHASKALSON SC:So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors.COLONEL SCOTT:No.MR CHASKALSON SC:You viewed AMCU as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Of when you prepared it. So that was prepared in the immediate aftermath of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson. MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors. COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You viewed AMCU as having clashed with both mine security and the SAPS?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Of when you prepared it. So that was prepared in the immediate aftermath of the events of the 16th, 6:13pm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson. MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors. COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You viewed AMCU as having clashed with both mine security and the SAPS? COLONEL SCOTT: I was aware that, and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Of when you prepared it. So that was prepared in the immediate aftermath of the events of the 16th, 6:13pm. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson. MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors. COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You viewed AMCU as having clashed with both mine security and the SAPS? COLONEL SCOTT: I was aware that, and I'm not saying this because the Chairperson mentioned it, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Of when you prepared it. So that was prepared in the immediate aftermath of the events of the 16th, 6:13pm. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson. MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors. COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You viewed AMCU as having clashed with both mine security and the SAPS? COLONEL SCOTT: I was aware that, and I'm not saying this because the Chairperson mentioned it, but I was aware that possibly not everybody there would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Of when you prepared it. So that was prepared in the immediate aftermath of the events of the 16th, 6:13pm. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And would have reflected your contemporaneous thinking?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson. MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors. COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You viewed AMCU as having clashed with both mine security and the SAPS? COLONEL SCOTT: I was aware that, and I'm not saying this because the Chairperson mentioned it, but I was aware that possibly not everybody there would be affiliated to AMCU but I believed that the thrust of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Of when you prepared it. So that was prepared in the immediate aftermath of the events of the 16th, 6:13pm. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And would have reflected your contemporaneous thinking? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Ja. But certainly your understanding initially was it was a clash, as stated here, between the two unions. The people who attacked the NUM office on the 12th whether there was a firing and so forth, they were seen as being AMCU faction. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: And then that belief if one can call it that continued through the days thereafter, is that right. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Mr Chairperson. MR CHASKALSON SC: So, Colonel, to recap at the time and with hindsight in December when you reverse engineered these slides you say you didn't distinguish between AMCU and the protestors. COLONEL SCOTT: No. MR CHASKALSON SC: You viewed AMCU as having clashed with both mine security and the SAPS? COLONEL SCOTT: I was aware that, and I'm not saying this because the Chairperson mentioned it, but I was aware that possibly not everybody there would be affiliated to AMCU but I believed that the thrust of that movement and the beefs that they had, driving for more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that presentation now. It's JJJ 50. Its file 1 page 2. The front page says operation Platinum Lonmin Mine Marikana 13 August 2012, it will be JJJ50, five zero and if we can now call that file up, JJJ50 and while we're waiting for that file to come up, Colonel, first of all do you recognise this document as a document that you yourself drafted? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And the properties of the file reflect that it was last saved at 6:13pm. on the 16th of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you confirm that as a correct reflection – COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Of when you prepared it. So that was prepared in the immediate aftermath of the events of the 16th, 6:13pm. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And would have reflected your contemporaneous thinking? COLONEL SCOTT: At that time yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: If we can then go to

	Page 13982		Page 13984
1	mine workers –	1	had reason to feel animosity towards AMCU, would you accept
2	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry slide 3 that we've	2	that?
3	got says scope.	3	MR SEMENYA SC: Well that's an argument
4	COLONEL SCOTT: Slide 4.	4	we will challenge it, Chair.
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Its page 3 on slide,	5	COLONEL SCOTT: With respect,
6	sorry –	6	Chairperson, professional police members and specifically
7	CHAIRPERSON: Slide 4, sorry page 4 is	7	those of us that were not involved on the 13th it was a
8	what we're now seeing and there I see that before we go	8	professional duty still to be carried out. There was no,
9	further, I've spoken about the clash between the people who	9	well thoughts or intentions of, if that's where you're
10	were thought to be AMCU at the NUM offices being on the	10	going. of possible retaliation or to blemish the name of
11	12th, I was wrong, it was of course the 11th. But what I	11	AMCU.
12	note here you say unions clash (two dead) now that was the,	12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I do want to put
13	was the story that was put out by the strikers. Now, it	13	to you what our concern is. Our concern is there are
14	noe turns out that two people weren't killed, two were	14	slides which suggest that SAPS don't distinguish, didn't at
15	wounded and taken to hospital, is that right?	15	the time distinguish between AMCU and protestors and you've
16	COLONEL SCOTT: That's right,	16	confirmed in your testimony today that we're not just
17	Commissioner.	17	talking about at the time, we're also talking about
18	CHAIRPERSON: So but does this reflect	18	December last year when you were doing the reverse
19	then the understanding of the police at the time this plan	19	engineering process. The slides suggest also that SAPS
20	was drawn up?	20	held AMCU responsible for the deaths of two police
21	COLONEL SCOTT: I'm cautious to say the	21	officers. It says AMCU possibly defiant, feeling the clash
22	police, that would put everybody in that bracket,	22	with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police
23	Commissioner, but it was definitely my understanding and	23	officials.
24	it's even possible that I was presenting these in the days	24	CHAIRPERSON: It's fair to say that SAPS
25	before, with the same understanding but what it came down	25	regarded AMCU as responsible, isn't it fairer to say that
	Page 13983		Page 13985
1	Page 13983 to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at	1	Page 13985 the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have
1 2		1 2	-
	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at	_	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have
2	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that	2	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it
2	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the	2 3	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that.
2 3 4	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue,	2 3 4	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I
2 3 4 5	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday	2 3 4 5	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the
2 3 4 5 6	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with	2 3 4 5 6	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group
2 3 4 5 6 7	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting, "AMCU possibly defiant feeding the clash with SAPS resulted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated members.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting, "AMCU possibly defiant feeding the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated members. CHAIRPERSON: But the point that the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting, "AMCU possibly defiant feeding the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and seizing police radios and weapons."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated members. CHAIRPERSON: But the point that the time the document was drafted or rather at the time when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting, "AMCU possibly defiant feeding the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and seizing police radios and weapons." Now, I do want now to go where you've known where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated members. CHAIRPERSON: But the point that the time the document was drafted or rather at the time when the thought processes set out in the document later existed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting, "AMCU possibly defiant feeding the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and seizing police radios and weapons." Now, I do want now to go where you've known where all of this is going all along because it is a concern that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated members. CHAIRPERSON: But the point that the time the document was drafted or rather at the time when the thought processes set out in the document later existed. It was believed rightly or wrongly, reasonably or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting, "AMCU possibly defiant feeding the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and seizing police radios and weapons." Now, I do want now to go where you've known where all of this is going all along because it is a concern that I have. Which is that we have a very curious coincidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated members. CHAIRPERSON: But the point that the time the document was drafted or rather at the time when the thought processes set out in the document later existed. It was believed rightly or wrongly, reasonably or unreasonably that the violent faction if one can call it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting, "AMCU possibly defiant feeding the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and seizing police radios and weapons." Now, I do want now to go where you've known where all of this is going all along because it is a concern that I have. Which is that we have a very curious coincidence here. We have a series of contemporaneous slides which on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated members. CHAIRPERSON: But the point that the time the document was drafted or rather at the time when the thought processes set out in the document later existed. It was believed rightly or wrongly, reasonably or unreasonably that the violent faction if one can call it that was correctly described as being an AMCU faction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to was there was a group and there was, that was sitting at the koppie and my understanding definitely was that that group was more affiliated towards or leaning towards the AMCU side. We were led to believe it was a union issue, there was obviously finance involved which we knew it was a labour dispute with Lonmin but obviously there was union issues with the issues that happened on the Saturday between the NUM office and so and so. AT the time and with the understanding I had would have put it in the bracket that this is the rival union AMCU and NUM that are at loggerhead to some degree. MR CHASKALSON SC: To begin with I just wanted to show you that both of these slides were prepared in the document you prepared on the 16th. If you can then go to slide 7 which is page 8 of that document and there we see the same slide that we refer to on page 27 starting, "AMCU possibly defiant feeding the clash with SAPS resulted in their victory with killing of police officials and seizing police radios and weapons." Now, I do want now to go where you've known where all of this is going all along because it is a concern that I have. Which is that we have a very curious coincidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the drafter of this slide held that view, it may well have been a view shared by his colleagues but you can't put it higher than that. MR CHASKALSON SC: I take the point. I possibly put it too – COLONEL SCOTT: Sir, Commissioner, I think it would be more accurate I'm quite aware of how the police officials died, I'm quite aware of there was a group of strikers. That group had to be given a name of sort. Its, there was no intent to go out to blemish an AMCU name or something, but they had to, and to us they seemed to be more the AMCU union affiliated members that were participating in this for the obvious reasons that we've said. So it's not that there was any intention to go out after an AMCU name, maybe the wording should have been saying the AMCU affiliated or thought to be AMCU affiliated members. CHAIRPERSON: But the point that the time the document was drafted or rather at the time when the thought processes set out in the document later existed. It was believed rightly or wrongly, reasonably or unreasonably that the violent faction if one can call it

1	Page 13986	1	Page 13988
1	Chair, AMCU is a juristic person, it can't kill anybody	1	examination can be substantially reduced but, and then also
2	except vicariously. So that word is used for sake of label	2	of course explanation can then subsequently be obtained
3	then anything. CHAIRPERSON: I use the word an AMCU	3	from Brigadier Pretorius and Colonel Visser about these
4		4	matters. But if some kind of an agreement can be reached
5	faction indicating, a faction of people belonging to AMCU	5	on that it will I think save a lot of time. So at some
6	but in any rate the question is not whether that was so,	6	stage during lunch time I'm proposing to adjourn now till
7	not whether, even the belief that it was so was reasonable,	7	quarter to 2, we would be grateful if we could see Mr
8	the question is whether that was the belief and the witness	8	Semenya, yes I suggest we should we should do it now before
9	has conceded that that was so. Mr Chaskalson, you reach a	9	we have lunch, have a meeting then in chambers with Mr
10	suitable stage for that adjournment would you let me know.	10	Semenya and Mr Chaskalson to see whether this can't be
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm right at the end	11	sorted out. We may well save a lot of valuable time if we
12	of the end of the section of the cross-examination, Chair.	12	do that. We will now adjourn till quarter to 2.
13	That's the one side of the coincidence. The other side of	13	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
14	the coincidence is there is this frankly inexplicable miss	14	[13:53] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
15	sequencing of slides in exhibit L which objectively	15	Colonel, you're still under oath.
16	prejudices AMCU. So I just want to put that problem to	16	DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o.
17	you, because it was a problem we have and if there's	17	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson.
18	anything further you have in response to that then now is	18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON (CONTD.):
19	the time give us the explanation.	19	Colonel, I'm moving now to a different set of videos.
20	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, so that we are	20	These are the POPs MTS-series that we've already touched on
21	not, Chair, so that we're not at cross purposes with the	21	in exhibit JJJ20.
22	evidence leaders, perhaps they may articulate in what way	22	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, you have your
23	was AMCU prejudiced by incorrect sequencing, I can't follow	23	light on; you want to say something? No.
24	that.	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: And I wonder if we can
25	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well if I can set it	25	go back to JJJ20, to have it on screen so Colonel Scott can
	Page 13987		Dorra 12000
			Pade 13989
1	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission,	1	Page 13989 see it.
1 2		1 2	_
	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission,		see it.
2	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the	2	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or
2 3	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the	2 3	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley?
2 3 4	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the	2 3 4	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's
2 3 4 5	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is	2 3 4 5	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the
2 3 4 5 6	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland	2 3 4 5 6	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that
2 3 4 5 6 7	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and	2 3 4 5 6 7	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr Semenya and Mr Chaskalson come and see us during the lunch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr Semenya and Mr Chaskalson come and see us during the lunch adjournment. We would like to explore with them whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS put together this collection of files for the evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr Semenya and Mr Chaskalson come and see us during the lunch adjournment. We would like to explore with them whether the laptop of the witness cannot be, imaged, I think is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS put together this collection of files for the evidence leaders in relation to the MTS-series that the evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr Semenya and Mr Chaskalson come and see us during the lunch adjournment. We would like to explore with them whether the laptop of the witness cannot be, imaged, I think is the correct word, and that various other matters can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS put together this collection of files for the evidence leaders in relation to the MTS-series that the evidence leaders sought? COLONEL SCOTT: I can, know that I have dealt with files obviously that look like this. Who put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr Semenya and Mr Chaskalson come and see us during the lunch adjournment. We would like to explore with them whether the laptop of the witness cannot be, imaged, I think is the correct word, and that various other matters can be discussed in that regard. We would hope that the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS put together this collection of files for the evidence leaders in relation to the MTS-series that the evidence leaders sought? COLONEL SCOTT: I can, know that I have dealt with files obviously that look like this. Who put them together for you, I can only speculate. If they were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr Semenya and Mr Chaskalson come and see us during the lunch adjournment. We would like to explore with them whether the laptop of the witness cannot be, imaged, I think is the correct word, and that various other matters can be discussed in that regard. We would hope that the end of the process would be an agreed statement of incorrect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS put together this collection of files for the evidence leaders in relation to the MTS-series that the evidence leaders sought? COLONEL SCOTT: I can, know that I have dealt with files obviously that look like this. Who put them together for you, I can only speculate. If they were handed over to you it would have been through Colonel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr Semenya and Mr Chaskalson come and see us during the lunch adjournment. We would like to explore with them whether the laptop of the witness cannot be, imaged, I think is the correct word, and that various other matters can be discussed in that regard. We would hope that the end of the process would be an agreed statement of incorrect slides and time sequences in exhibit L, which can then be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS put together this collection of files for the evidence leaders in relation to the MTS-series that the evidence leaders sought? COLONEL SCOTT: I can, know that I have dealt with files obviously that look like this. Who put them together for you, I can only speculate. If they were handed over to you it would have been through Colonel Fikter, who was working with the police hard drive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	out. The incorrect sequencing is, in our submission, calculated to cause prejudice to AMCU because what the exhibit shows and what was again reinforced in the presentation of the exhibit before the commission, what the exhibit suggests is that we had protestor 6 giving what is frankly a murderous speech, talking about killing homeland policeman and finishing them off at the koppie and immediately thereafter an AMCU person stood up and the first words of his speech were that has to be respected. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need to debate the matter now. Is there anything further you want to say or point you want to make before we take the adjournment? MR CHASKALSON SC: Not at this stage, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. I'd like to suggest that the counsel for the police, referring to Mr Semenya and Mr Chaskalson come and see us during the lunch adjournment. We would like to explore with them whether the laptop of the witness cannot be, imaged, I think is the correct word, and that various other matters can be discussed in that regard. We would hope that the end of the process would be an agreed statement of incorrect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see it. CHAIRPERSON: Can't they find it, or what's the problem? Mr Wesley? MR CHASKALSON SC: Here we go. It's files numbered from 0 to 41MTS, which were given to the evidence leaders as the set of POPs MTS-series files that had been requested. Now Colonel, you may or may not recall this, but in advance of this request the evidence leaders had already communicated to SAPS that from the Lonmin hard drive they had received 48MTS – sorry, 42MTS to 48MTS, and so didn't need those duplicated. I don't know if you recall that. COLONEL SCOTT: No, not particularly, no. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may explain why the sequence stops at 41, because the evidence leaders already had 42 to 48 from Lonmin. Are you aware of who from SAPS put together this collection of files for the evidence leaders in relation to the MTS-series that the evidence leaders sought? COLONEL SCOTT: I can, know that I have dealt with files obviously that look like this. Who put them together for you, I can only speculate. If they were handed over to you it would have been through Colonel

1	Page 13990 Colonel Fikter?	1	Page 13992 would you have satisfied yourself that this was a full set,
2		2	whether you prepared it or Colonel Visser prepared it,
2	COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, Victor Visser, sorry. MR CHASKALSON SC: And Colonel, I just	2	before it was handed over to the evidence leaders?
4	want to clarify, you didn't put them together? You don't	4	COLONEL SCOTT: We're really, I'm talking
5	recall putting them together onto a memory stick or an	5	now from, as I, I don't even really recall handing this
6	external hard drive for copying over to the evidence	6	over, but what I can say is that every time I have been
7	leaders?	7	requested, and even on times when I wasn't requested, where
8	COLONEL SCOTT: I could have, but I don't	8	I have sat with the evidence leaders with our legal
9	recall it, as you say, no.	9	representation there, I've always made my computer open and
10	CHAIRPERSON: What date were they	10	available. So whatever I've given has always been
11	received by the evidence leaders?	11	everything I have, so if I did give that to you, it would
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: 7 November. It's in,	12	have been what I had as a full set at the time, yes.
13	their receipt is reflected in the email of 8 November,	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if it was
14	which is JJJ36.	14	something that Colonel Visser had assembled, would you have
15	COLONEL SCOTT: What I do recall,	15	checked to see that it was complete before it reached us?
16	Chairperson, there, while I was presenting evidence - or	16	COLONEL SCOTT: No, I wouldn't have
17	not evidence, but exhibit L, it was during one of the lunch	17	checked.
18	breaks that myself and Colonel Visser went to the evidence	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: You were the expert on
19	leaders' chambers in Rustenburg, and why I recall that is	19	videos from SAPS. I mean you were the primary –
20	the two SAPS POPs members, the video operators were there	20	COLONEL SCOTT: I was the person who was
21	as well, and I know that with my computer we were in there	21	asked –
22	and we downloaded something to the evidence leaders, and it	22	MR CHASKALSON SC: - reference point for
23	could be this. I'm not sure if it was, if this is what	23	videos for SAPS.
24	we're speaking about. But I know that we downloaded	24	COLONEL SCOTT: - to put the videos and
25	certain footage there to you guys that you mentioned that	25	photos to represent as best possible the police's case in
	Page 13991		Page 13993
1	you didn't have.	1	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort
2	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to	2	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it
2 3	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present	2 3	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit
2 3 4	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But –	2 3 4	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check
2 3 4 5	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but	2 3 4 5	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I
2 3 4 5 6	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were	2 3 4 5 6	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose.
2 3 4 5 6 7	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with	2 3 4 5 6 7	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th of November, as is reflected in that email.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence, and in this case what you want to put into exhibits, it had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th of November, as is reflected in that email. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence, and in this case what you want to put into exhibits, it had to come through the police's nodal point, which was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th of November, as is reflected in that email. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: You say that you may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence, and in this case what you want to put into exhibits, it had to come through the police's nodal point, which was the police hard drive, and not from me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th of November, as is reflected in that email. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: You say that you may have put together this collection of files, it may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence, and in this case what you want to put into exhibits, it had to come through the police's nodal point, which was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th of November, as is reflected in that email. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: You say that you may have put together this collection of files, it may have been Colonel Visser, you can't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence, and in this case what you want to put into exhibits, it had to come through the police's nodal point, which was the police hard drive, and not from me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th of November, as is reflected in that email. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: You say that you may have put together this collection of files, it may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence, and in this case what you want to put into exhibits, it had to come through the police's nodal point, which was the police hard drive, and not from me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, so if Colonel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th of November, as is reflected in that email. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: You say that you may have put together this collection of files, it may have been Colonel Visser, you can't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence, and in this case what you want to put into exhibits, it had to come through the police's nodal point, which was the police hard drive, and not from me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, so if Colonel Visser had given us an incomplete set, you wouldn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you didn't have. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, I may have to come back to that when I speak to people who were present there. I wasn't. But – COLONEL SCOTT: I think you – sorry, but just for that, just for the accuracy, I think you were because I know the POPs members were still consulting with you at that, I'm not talking about the meeting when you were on your phone. I'm aware of that meeting as well. This was a separate occasion. This was an unplanned, or an uncalled, per email, uncalled meeting, and I recall that too because when I walked out I walked into the Commissioner outside who was on his way to - and we were rushing to try and get out computer back in place in time. MR CHASKALSON SC: There appear to have been, I mean there must have been several meetings. The one at which this set was given, was the meeting on the 7th of November, as is reflected in that email. COLONEL SCOTT: Okay. MR CHASKALSON SC: You say that you may have put together this collection of files, it may have been Colonel Visser, you can't recall. COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	exhibit L, in the presentation. So I would simply sort through to see what was, I felt - and thereafter present it to get approval on - what was applicable to go into exhibit L to best present the police's case. I didn't go to check the numerical sequences and that all was there, and that I admit to you. That was not my aim, it was not my purpose. I wasn't working, as I would say to you, with the nodal point police hard drive, which has obviously got the evidence on it. I was working on exhibit L, and what I worked with obviously is what I had. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, that's in relation to exhibit L. This was in relation to something slightly different, which was a specific request from the evidence leaders to SAPS in relation to videos. COLONEL SCOTT: That's why I understand that, and this coming after the time when I was no longer the nodal point, so if it was dealing with the evidence, and in this case what you want to put into exhibits, it had to come through the police's nodal point, which was the police hard drive, and not from me. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, so if Colonel Visser had given us an incomplete set, you wouldn't have picked that up?

	Page 13994		Page 13996
1	in a bit more detail. On its face it looked like a	1	Scott? You can stop it. You can stop the file. Do you
2	complete sequential set up to the 42MTS that we already	2	recall that it is the source file for slide 157 that we
3	had. We had 42 to 48. So you see it runs from 0 all the	3	referred to earlier?
4	way through to 41, there are no breaks in it.	4	COLONEL SCOTT: Is that the crowd
5	COLONEL SCOTT: I see that, yes.	5	behaviour?
6	MR CHASKALSON SC: But when we received	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Crowd behaviour at
7	it and looked at it a bit more closely, there were a number	7	10:45.
8	of concerns that we had. The first was that the file	8	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
9	names, although they look the same, were actually a little	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you'll recall that
10	bit different, because this directory has a triple zero in	10	when we looked at that source file earlier, we saw that in
11	front of each file name. So if you, quadruple zero when	11	the original MTS-series it was 042MTS.
12	you're talking about single digits, so 1 is 00001.MTS, but	12	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay, I'll take your word
13	if one goes back to the 40MTS-series that we regard as the	13	on that. I didn't make a mental point of it, but yes.
14	authentic 40MTS-series, there's only one zero in front of	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: That will be evident
15	each file number. So what is 00001.MTS in this series, if	15	from JJJ17, but you can take my assurance for it. And when
16	it were to be numbered consistently with the 40MTS-series,	16	we went through this directory in more detail, we found a
17	would really be 001MTS, or if we go to a double-digit	17	series of other examples like this, where files that were
18	number, 00020MTS in this series, to be consistent with the	18	now numbered below 42 were in fact copies of files that had
19	file numbering that would have come out of the camera that	19	appeared in the original MTS-series with numbers above 42,
20	produced the 40MTS-series, would actually have been 020MTS-	20	or in some cases above 100. For example, the file that we
21	series. Maybe, do you understand the point that I'm making	21	see in this directory, 000006MTS, which will be JJJ75, if
22	in this regard, or would you like me to show you the	22	we can play JJJ75, or merely just the front, if we can just
23	thumbnails of the MTS-series?	23	stop it there, because that should be sufficient for
24	COLONEL SCOTT: No, no, I'm looking at my	24	recognition purposes. Do you recall seeing that file
25	own thumbnails of what was originally downloaded to me, and	25	earlier today?
	Page 13995		Page 13997
1	Page 13995 I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but	1	Page 13997 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
1 2	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030.	1 2	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:That's the video of Mr
	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of		COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal
2 3	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits.	2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct?
2 3 4	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in	2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put
2 3 4 5 6	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering.	2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put this difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn't itself save a file twice under the same name. Are you aware of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put this difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn't itself save a file twice under the same name. Are you aware of that? COLONEL SCOTT: I would think so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:That's the video of MrMathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formalexhibit pack. Is that not correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So again I want to putthis difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn'titself save a file twice under the same name. Are youaware of that?COLONEL SCOTT:I would think so, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So we need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:That's the video of MrMathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formalexhibit pack. Is that not correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So again I want to putthis difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn'titself save a file twice under the same name. Are youaware of that?COLONEL SCOTT:I would think so, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So we need tounderstand how the same files came to be saved under two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:That's the video of MrMathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formalexhibit pack.Is that not correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So again I want to putthis difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn'titself save a file twice under the same name.Are youaware of that?I would think so, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So we need tounderstand how the same files came to be saved under twodifferent names in this case.We have the original set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:That's the video of MrMathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formalexhibit pack. Is that not correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So again I want to putthis difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn'titself save a file twice under the same name. Are youaware of that?COLONEL SCOTT:I would think so, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So we need tounderstand how the same files came to be saved under twodifferent names in this case. We have the original setwhere files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS, up to and including 41MTS, which would then pick up with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:That's the video of MrMathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formalexhibit pack. Is that not correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So again I want to putthis difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn'titself save a file twice under the same name. Are youaware of that?COLONEL SCOTT:I would think so, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So we need tounderstand how the same files came to be saved under twodifferent names in this case. We have the original setwhere files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:That's the video of MrMathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formalexhibit pack. Is that not correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So again I want to putthis difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn'titself save a file twice under the same name. Are youaware of that?COLONEL SCOTT:I would think so, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So we need tounderstand how the same files came to be saved under twodifferent names in this case. We have the original setwhere files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package,well, part of a directory running from 0 to 41.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS, up to and including 41MTS, which would then pick up with our 42 to 48MTS, because it already included, or it included some of the files that already existed in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put this difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn't itself save a file twice under the same name. Are you aware of that? COLONEL SCOTT: I would think so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So we need to understand how the same files came to be saved under two different names in this case. We have the original set where files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the 100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package, well, part of a directory running from 0 to 41. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we've seen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS, up to and including 41MTS, which would then pick up with our 42 to 48MTS, because it already included, or it included some of the files that already existed in the original 40MTS-series and the 100MTS-series, which came	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put this difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn't itself save a file twice under the same name. Are you aware of that? COLONEL SCOTT: I would think so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So we need to understand how the same files came to be saved under two different names in this case. We have the original set where files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the 100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package, well, part of a directory running from 0 to 41. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we've seen that, have we? The slide we're looking at the moment, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS, up to and including 41MTS, which would then pick up with our 42 to 48MTS, because it already included, or it included some of the files that already existed in the original 40MTS-series and the 100MTS-series, which came after 41. So if I can illustrate that to you; let's look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:That's the video of MrMathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formalexhibit pack. Is that not correct?COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So again I want to putthis difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn'titself save a file twice under the same name. Are youaware of that?COLONEL SCOTT:I would think so, yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:So we need tounderstand how the same files came to be saved under twodifferent names in this case. We have the original setwhere files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package,well, part of a directory running from 0 to 41.CHAIRPERSON:I don't think we've seenthat, have we? The slide we're looking at the moment, orclip we're looking at the moment, you tell us is also in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS, up to and including 41MTS, which would then pick up with our 42 to 48MTS, because it already included, or it included some of the files that already existed in the original 40MTS-series and the 100MTS-series, which came after 41. So if I can illustrate that to you; let's look at the file there, 20MTS. If we can just play 20MTS, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put this difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn't itself save a file twice under the same name. Are you aware of that? COLONEL SCOTT: I would think so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So we need to understand how the same files came to be saved under two different names in this case. We have the original set where files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the 100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package, well, part of a directory running from 0 to 41. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we've seen that, have we? The slide we're looking at the moment, or clip we're looking at the moment, you tell us is also in the series up to 41, but I don't think we've seen that yet,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS, up to and including 41MTS, which would then pick up with our 42 to 48MTS, because it already included, or it included some of the files that already existed in the original 40MTS-series and the 100MTS-series, which came after 41. So if I can illustrate that to you; let's look at the file there, 20MTS. If we can just play 20MTS, which will be JJJ73. The video 00020MTS is going to be JJJ73,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put this difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn't itself save a file twice under the same name. Are you aware of that? COLONEL SCOTT: I would think so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So we need to understand how the same files came to be saved under two different names in this case. We have the original set where files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the 100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package, well, part of a directory running from 0 to 41. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we've seen that, have we? The slide we're looking at the moment, or clip we're looking at the moment, you tell us is also in the series up to 41, but I don't think we've seen that yet, have we?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS, up to and including 41MTS, which would then pick up with our 42 to 48MTS, because it already included, or it included some of the files that already existed in the original 40MTS-series and the 100MTS-series, which came after 41. So if I can illustrate that to you; let's look at the file there, 20MTS. If we can just play 20MTS, which will be JJJ73. The video 00020MTS is going to be JJJ73, and if we can play it, possibly with sound.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put this difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn't itself save a file twice under the same name. Are you aware of that? COLONEL SCOTT: I would think so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So we need to understand how the same files came to be saved under two different names in this case. We have the original set where files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the 100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package, well, part of a directory running from 0 to 41. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we've seen that, have we? The slide we're looking at the moment, or clip we're looking at the moment, you tell us is also in the series up to 41, but I don't think we've seen that yet, have we? MR CHASKALSON SC: This is the file from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I also have it as those below 10 had a double zero, but anything over 10 had a 029, 030. MR CHASKALSON SC: So the numbering of the files in the original set was a three-digit numbering with zeroes to fill up missing digits. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: But the numbering in this set of files was actually a five-digit numbering. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can you provide any explanation as to how that might have taken place? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I really don't know. MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't either. We have another concern, which is that when we looked closer, this wasn't a proper set of sequential files up to 41MTS, up to and including 41MTS, which would then pick up with our 42 to 48MTS, because it already included, or it included some of the files that already existed in the original 40MTS-series and the 100MTS-series, which came after 41. So if I can illustrate that to you; let's look at the file there, 20MTS. If we can just play 20MTS, which will be JJJ73. The video 00020MTS is going to be JJJ73,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: That's the video of Mr Mathunjwa taken from the second POPs operator, which was 108MTS when we looked at it earlier, and CC8 in the formal exhibit pack. Is that not correct? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So again I want to put this difficulty to you that we have; a camera doesn't itself save a file twice under the same name. Are you aware of that? COLONEL SCOTT: I would think so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: So we need to understand how the same files came to be saved under two different names in this case. We have the original set where files were saved either in the 40MTS-series or in the 100MTS-series, and now they resurface as part of a package, well, part of a directory running from 0 to 41. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we've seen that, have we? The slide we're looking at the moment, or clip we're looking at the moment, you tell us is also in the series up to 41, but I don't think we've seen that yet, have we?

	Page 13998		Page 14000
1	I understand. The one we saw this morning was the same	1	CHAIRPERSON: Now you see the problem.
2	clip, but it was from a different series with a different	2	Are you able to throw light upon how it may have come
3	number?	3	about?
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: Under the name 108.	4	COLONEL SCOTT: No.
5	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I had thought we	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe you may be
6	established during lunch that what may be of keener	6	when I take you to our line of reasoning further. We tried
7	interest to the Commission is why objective evidence was	7	to reverse engineer this set from what we find on what is
8	altered, if it was, that we would obtain from the evidence	8	certainly on the Pretorius hard drive, and to the best of
9	leaders those discrepancies that are calling for an answer,	9	our knowledge also on the Scott hard drive. I'll come back
10	because this witness is not going to be able to tell us why	10	to you with specific details in relation to the Scott hard
11	those discrepancies exist. We might have to go and get	11	drive, and let me -
12	those witnesses who are able to speak to that point.	12	COLONEL SCOTT: If we can just clarify
13	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, isn't Mr	13	that though, is the, is it not the duplicate on the Scott
14	Semenya right; isn't this one of the matters that can be	14	hard drive of the Pretorius hard drive that I have a date
14	dealt with in what we discussed during the lunch hour,	14	and other time?
16	would effectively, we hope, be an agreed statement of	16	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it may well be.
17		17	-
	discrepancies and so forth which call for explanation?	17	I mean I can speak to the origin of files on the Scott hard
18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, my understanding	10	drive, but you can.
19	of the lunchtime agreement was really it related to exhibit		COLONEL SCOTT: That's what I was giving
20	L, but I'm happy to extend it to video evidence more	20	evidence to yesterday, is that after I'd given everything
21	generally, but there is evidence that is within this	21	over, I would sporadically when I had time go back to the
22	witness's knowledge that may go to explaining at least some	22	police's master and try to update what I had. So I can't
23	of the discrepancies.	23	speak to the specifics of that; it's been a while back
24	CHAIRPERSON: So the first point is that	24	since I last did that, but –
25	the agreement at lunchtime, which relates primarily to L,	25	[14:13] MR CHASKALSON SC: Let me take you to the
	D 40000		
	Pade 13999		Page 14001
1	Page 13999 will be extended generally to the video material. I think	1	Page 14001 directories in question and you can tell us whether you're
1 2		1 2	5
	will be extended generally to the video material. I think		directories in question and you can tell us whether you're
2	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you	2	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will
2 3	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this	2 3	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory/videos/16CI and I wonder
2 3 4	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate	2 3 4	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder
2 3 4 5	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own	2 3 4 5	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be
2 3 4 5 6	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge.	2 3 4 5 6	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there?
2 3 4 5 6 7	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate	2 3 4 5 6 7	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that to be called up as exhibit JJJ76. That's 180 of file 1,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have any POPS videos where there are only three videos in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that to be called up as exhibit JJJ76. That's 180 of file 1, and we ordered this directory by date and if one runs down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have any POPS videos where there are only three videos in the sequence that I have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that to be called up as exhibit JJJ76. That's 180 of file 1, and we ordered this directory by date and if one runs down the sequence, one sees immediately that the camera, or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have any POPS videos where there are only three videos in the sequence that I have. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may have to come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that to be called up as exhibit JJJ76. That's 180 of file 1, and we ordered this directory by date and if one runs down the sequence, one sees immediately that the camera, or the video clips in the sequence are not chronologically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have any POPS videos where there are only three videos in the sequence that I have. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may have to come back to that but for present purposes I want to take you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that to be called up as exhibit JJJ76. That's 180 of file 1, and we ordered this directory by date and if one runs down the sequence, one sees immediately that the camera, or the video clips in the sequence are not chronologically ordered. So 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and then we skip past 20 to 21 and then back to 20, and then forward to 24 and 25,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory\videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have any POPS videos where there are only three videos in the sequence that I have. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may have to come back to that but for present purposes I want to take you back to when I showed you the directory of 41 files that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that to be called up as exhibit JJJ76. That's 180 of file 1, and we ordered this directory by date and if one runs down the sequence, one sees immediately that the camera, or the video clips in the sequence are not chronologically ordered. So 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and then we skip past 20 to 21 and then back to 20, and then forward to 24 and 25, and back to 22 and 23, and then it resumes chronological	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory/videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have any POPS videos where there are only three videos in the sequence that I have. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may have to come back to that but for present purposes I want to take you back to when I showed you the directory of 41 files that was given to us and we identified a series of files that were out of sequence when we put them in chronological
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that to be called up as exhibit JJJ76. That's 180 of file 1, and we ordered this directory by date and if one runs down the sequence, one sees immediately that the camera, or the video clips in the sequence are not chronologically ordered. So 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and then we skip past 20 to 21 and then back to 20, and then forward to 24 and 25, and back to 22 and 23, and then it resumes chronological order again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory/videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have any POPS videos where there are only three videos in the sequence that I have. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may have to come back to that but for present purposes I want to take you back to when I showed you the directory of 41 files that was given to us and we identified a series of files that were out of sequence when we put them in chronological order. That was JJJ76, can we go back to JJJ76, and if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will be extended generally to the video material. I think that's what Mr Semenya said – he nods his head – and you agree with that. Now the question is whether this particular piece of evidence is one where you anticipate that the witness may be able to give an answer of his own knowledge. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, I do anticipate that the witness may be able to help us at least in part understanding these discrepancies. But in order to do this, I have to run through a little bit more laborious cross-examination, and let me try and speed it up. So our fourth concern was that the sequence looked artificial because it didn't run chronologically, and to illustrate that we printed out a view of the directory which is ordered by date and not by name, and if I can ask for that to be called up as exhibit JJJ76. That's 180 of file 1, and we ordered this directory by date and if one runs down the sequence, one sees immediately that the camera, or the video clips in the sequence are not chronologically ordered. So 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and then we skip past 20 to 21 and then back to 20, and then forward to 24 and 25, and back to 22 and 23, and then it resumes chronological order again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	directories in question and you can tell us whether you're familiar with them. There is a directory which we will call JJJ77, which is a directory/videos\16CI and I wonder if we can call that up, it is at page 178 to 179? I wonder if we can just hand you a printed copy which may be quicker. We called them up now, you see three files there? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I do. MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we can go to their properties we'll see that they're all saved on the 22nd of August 2012. COLONEL SCOTT: I see that. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now did you recall when I showed you the directory, or firstly, does this directory mean anything to you? COLONEL SCOTT: No, I don't think I have any POPS videos where there are only three videos in the sequence that I have. MR CHASKALSON SC: We may have to come back to that but for present purposes I want to take you back to when I showed you the directory of 41 files that was given to us and we identified a series of files that were out of sequence when we put them in chronological

1	Page 14002	1	Page 14004
1	of August. The second one out of chronological sequence is	1	what you are saying but these were taken by Crime
2	24 and it too was saved on the 22nd of August and the third	2	Intelligence for those specific means.
3	was 25 and it too was saved on the 22nd of August. The	3	CHAIRPERSON: If prima facie, if there is
4	three files that are out of chronological sequence in fact	4	some connection with Crime Intelligence, so that's all it
5	in that directory are the only three files in that	5	occurs to be.
6	directory, everything else is in chronological sequence.	6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON: Also all saved at 8:30am	7	CHAIRPERSON: I don't know whether the
8	apparently?	8	individual files are the kinds of files that they would
9	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, Chairperson.	9	have wanted which would show clear pictures of people who
10	Colonel, would you agree that that would reflect that this	10	were, it was considered it might be appropriate to rest but
11	is not when the files were taken, when the actual videos	11	I don't know whether –
12	were taken but rather when they were saved under a new	12	COLONEL SCOTT: It is possible that their
13	name?	13	own video operator took the camera from one of the POPS
14	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, obviously it can't	14	video operators to try and get some footage of sort. Again
15	be when they were taken, so it must be when they were	15	I'm speculating but –
16	saved, yes.	16	CHAIRPERSON: Who would be the person who
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now perhaps we can now	17	would know about that crime, would it be Brigadier
18	go to a directory which I think you will recognise.	18	Engelbrecht or Colonel Isaacs?
19	CHAIRPERSON: Before you move on, what we	19	COLONEL SCOTT: We'll have to enquire,
20	saw a moment ago had the letters CI on it. Now I would	20	Chairperson.
21	have thought, you can see the previous one that we saw, the	21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, maybe we should
22	three videos, we saw immediately prior seeing this one, can	22	go back and look at the thumbnails because at least one of
23	we see that again, please?	23	these files he will recognise. Can we go to the
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Very well.	24	thumbnails, that File 25 MTS we've seen previously, have we
25	CHAIRPERSON: You see the letters CI, do	25	not?
1	Page 14003	1	Page 14005
1	they mean anything?	1	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa
2	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence.	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?
2 3	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it	2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, let's
2 3 4	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more	2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, let's go to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.
2 3 4 5	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks	2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, let's go to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted	2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, let's go to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What's that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got	2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.CHAIRPERSON:Well, it doesn't look as if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.CHAIRPERSON:Well, it doesn't look as ifeither 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.CHAIRPERSON:Well, it doesn't look as ifeither 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could beconsidered for arrest.It might be different in the case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, let's go to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What's that? COLONEL SCOTT: That was the crowd behaviour. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, and 24 MTS, is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the one we've discussed previously as well? COLONEL SCOTT: Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle I think at the front, at the protestors. CHAIRPERSON: Well, it doesn't look as if either 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could be considered for arrest. It might be different in the case of 20.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving their files daily but from what I understood from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.CHAIRPERSON:Well, it doesn't look as ifeither 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could beconsidered for arrest. It might be different in the caseof 20.COLONEL SCOTT:Well, considering too,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving their files daily but from what I understood from instruction on what was supposed to be going on anyway is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.CHAIRPERSON:Well, it doesn't look as ifeither 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could beconsidered for arrest. It might be different in the caseof 20.COLONEL SCOTT:Well, considering too,Chairperson, that if it was taken by Crime IntelligenceState State S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving their files daily but from what I understood from instruction on what was supposed to be going on anyway is that the Intelligence Services were seeking the material in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.CHAIRPERSON:Well, it doesn't look as ifeither 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could beconsidered for arrest. It might be different in the caseof 20.COLONEL SCOTT:Well, considering too,Chairperson, that if it was taken by Crime Intelligencepersonnel, they probably couldn't go up to the protestors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving their files daily but from what I understood from instruction on what was supposed to be going on anyway is that the Intelligence Services were seeking the material in order to start the process of identifying individuals and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.CHAIRPERSON:Well, it doesn't look as ifeither 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could beconsidered for arrest. It might be different in the caseof 20.COLONEL SCOTT:Well, considering too,Chairperson, that if it was taken by Crime Intelligencepersonnel, they probably couldn't go up to the protestors.I know that the two that I am aware that were there wereSeconsidered for arrest.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving their files daily but from what I understood from instruction on what was supposed to be going on anyway is that the Intelligence Services were seeking the material in order to start the process of identifying individuals and to try and see where suspects were, etcetera, as it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwaat the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz?MR CHASKALSON SC:That's correct, let'sgo to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:What's that?COLONEL SCOTT:That was the crowdbehaviour.MR CHASKALSON SC:MR CHASKALSON SC:Alright, and 24 MTS,is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the onewe've discussed previously as well?COLONEL SCOTT:Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle Ithink at the front, at the protestors.CHAIRPERSON:Well, it doesn't look as ifeither 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could beconsidered for arrest.It might be different in the caseof 20.COLONEL SCOTT:Well, considering too,Chairperson, that if it was taken by Crime Intelligencepersonnel, they probably couldn't go up to the protestors.I know that the two that I am aware that were there wereboth white males and possibly didn't want to put themselves
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving their files daily but from what I understood from instruction on what was supposed to be going on anyway is that the Intelligence Services were seeking the material in order to start the process of identifying individuals and to try and see where suspects were, etcetera, as it was going to eventually lead to phase 5 or stage 5 amongst	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, let's go to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What's that? COLONEL SCOTT: That was the crowd behaviour. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, and 24 MTS, is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the one we've discussed previously as well? COLONEL SCOTT: Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle I think at the front, at the protestors. CHAIRPERSON: Well, it doesn't look as if either 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could be considered for arrest. It might be different in the case of 20. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, considering too, Chairperson, that if it was taken by Crime Intelligence personnel, they probably couldn't go up to the protestors. I know that the two that I am aware that were there were both white males and possibly didn't want to put themselves in a position of being identified.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving their files daily but from what I understood from instruction on what was supposed to be going on anyway is that the Intelligence Services were seeking the material in order to start the process of identifying individuals and to try and see where suspects were, etcetera, as it was going to eventually lead to phase 5 or stage 5 amongst others with the interviewing of arrestees' information, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, let's go to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What's that? COLONEL SCOTT: That was the crowd behaviour. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, and 24 MTS, is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the one we've discussed previously as well? COLONEL SCOTT: Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle I think at the front, at the protestors. CHAIRPERSON: Well, it doesn't look as if either 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could be considered for arrest. It might be different in the case of 20. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, considering too, Chairperson, that if it was taken by Crime Intelligence personnel, they probably couldn't go up to the protestors. I know that the two that I am aware that were there were both white males and possibly didn't want to put themselves in a position of being identified. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, if we can go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	they mean anything? COLONEL SCOTT: It is Crime Intelligence. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, we thought so. So it looks prima facie, but it is a guess, it may cause more trouble than it is worth to raise the point, but it looks as if Criminal Intelligence or Crime Intelligence wanted those three files for some reason and presumably they got them or saved them at 08:30 on the 22nd, and then, but I don't understand why they also saved this at the same time on the same date on the other document we saw a moment ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, this is one of the reasons I remember, I recall the meeting with Advocate Chaskalson in the Chambers while I was presenting because the POPS video operators were there and when I walked in they almost immediately accused me and said, we gave our files daily to Colonel Scott and I thought, that's not so, but I think they were mistaken. They were giving their files daily but from what I understood from instruction on what was supposed to be going on anyway is that the Intelligence Services were seeking the material in order to start the process of identifying individuals and to try and see where suspects were, etcetera, as it was going to eventually lead to phase 5 or stage 5 amongst	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, is that Mr Mathunjwa at the Nyala speaking to Brigadier Calitz? MR CHASKALSON SC: That's correct, let's go to 20 MTS, we've discussed that a few moments ago. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: What's that? COLONEL SCOTT: That was the crowd behaviour. MR CHASKALSON SC: Alright, and 24 MTS, is that image big enough for you to identify, it is the one we've discussed previously as well? COLONEL SCOTT: Mr Mathunjwa's vehicle I think at the front, at the protestors. CHAIRPERSON: Well, it doesn't look as if either 24 or 25 would help to identify people who could be considered for arrest. It might be different in the case of 20. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, considering too, Chairperson, that if it was taken by Crime Intelligence personnel, they probably couldn't go up to the protestors. I know that the two that I am aware that were there were both white males and possibly didn't want to put themselves in a position of being identified.

1	Page 14006 three of these files appeared in the original 40 MTS series	1	Page 14008 with this directory?
2	that were taken by the undercover POPS operators. We did	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
3	in fact traverse it earlier today when we were talking	3	MR CHASKALSON SC: And do you recall when
4	about the relevance of the 40 MGS series, that wasn't	4	you first downloaded materials into this directory?
5	included on the SAPS hard drive, do you recall that?	5	COLONEL SCOTT: No, not specifically, I
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	6	would have to rely on objective evidence to be accurate on
7	MR CHASKALSON SC: So concerned about	7	that.
8	Crime Intelligence taking videos from a distance wouldn't	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: And what do you mean
9	have entered into this and, well, you accept that?	9	by objective evidence?
10	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, as I say I don't	10	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, if we can get, I
11	know who the authors are and again one would need to speak	11	think it is called "The image of my computer," or hard
12	to the different parties involved who handled these	12	drives that can show.
13	cameras, to establish why, what they did with their footage	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: But you said earlier
14	afterwards.	14	that you thought that this was either footage from POPS
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: But, Colonel, I	15	operators that you downloaded onto your computer or
16	thought we had gone past this point to a certain degree.	16	footages from one of the Lonmin cameras that you downloaded
17	We accepted that the 40 MTS series from which the original	17	onto your computer.
18	sources of these files are drawn, was footage taken by a	18	COLONEL SCOTT: There are different, it
19	POPS operator on the 16th. We'd agreed that the only	19	seems there are different versions of POPS footage. I mean
20	footage taken by POPS operators on the 16th was the footage	20	you're bouncing between the different thumbnails on all of
21	in the 40 MTS series and the 100 MTS series. Do you still,	21	these and to commit to knowing something I have what I have
22	do you want to retreat from that agreement?	22	downloaded from myself here that I had at the time, I think
23	COLONEL SCOTT: No, no, I'm just saying	23	at least two days after the incident. I would have had
24	that, I mean the option is open whether the POPS man is	24	this at some stage, it would have either been given to me
25	standing right next to this gentleman, but I don't want to	25	by the POPS members or if it was obtained through Colonel
		1	
	Page 14007		Page 14009
1	Page 14007 get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation.	1	Page 14009 Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section
1 2	5	1 2	
	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation.		Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section
2	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I	2	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way –
2 3	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes.	2 3	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor,
2 3 4	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look	2 3 4	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser?
2 3 4 5	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be	2 3 4 5	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm
2 3 4 5 6	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457	2 3 4 5 6	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I
2 3 4 5 6 7	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken. MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to you I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the properties or the first half of the properties because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken. MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to you I think we should actually call that that directory up for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the properties or the first half of the properties because there are 47 files in this directory. I wonder if we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken. MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to you I think we should actually call that that directory up for you, it is JJJ78, pages 172 to 174 of the Commission's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the properties or the first half of the properties because there are 47 files in this directory. I wonder if we can go back to the thumbnails.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken. MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to you I think we should actually call that that directory up for you, it is JJJ78, pages 172 to 174 of the Commission's bundle, and you'll see that this too is a directory that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the properties or the first half of the properties because there are 47 files in this directory. I wonder if we can go back to the thumbnails. COLONEL SCOTT: I do stand to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken. MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to you I think we should actually call that that directory up for you, it is JJJ78, pages 172 to 174 of the Commission's bundle, and you'll see that this too is a directory that has files running from 0 to 41 and has five digits in each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the properties or the first half of the properties because there are 47 files in this directory. I wonder if we can go back to the thumbnails. COLONEL SCOTT: I do stand to be corrected because I'm pretty sure it was me who created the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken. MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to you I think we should actually call that that directory up for you, it is JJJ78, pages 172 to 174 of the Commission's bundle, and you'll see that this too is a directory that has files running from 0 to 41 and has five digits in each file name.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the properties or the first half of the properties because there are 47 files in this directory. I wonder if we can go back to the thumbnails. COLONEL SCOTT: I do stand to be corrected because I'm pretty sure it was me who created the 457 POP camera number, I think so, and I almost recall that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken. MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to you I think we should actually call that that directory up for you, it is JJJ78, pages 172 to 174 of the Commission's bundle, and you'll see that this too is a directory that has files running from 0 to 41 and has five digits in each file name. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the properties or the first half of the properties because there are 47 files in this directory. I wonder if we can go back to the thumbnails. COLONEL SCOTT: I do stand to be corrected because I'm pretty sure it was me who created the 457 POP camera number, I think so, and I almost recall that I would have done that at the time that they were given to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	get into an argument about that, I think it is speculation. Those members themselves can actually attest to it and I accepted this as being POPS video footage, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. Well, let's look at another directory with which I think you should be familiar. It is a directory called videos\16 camera 457 POP, does that ring a bell to you, camera 457 POP? COLONEL SCOTT: It does, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And can you explain to the Commission what you understand that directory to be? COLONEL SCOTT: As best as I can recall, at some stage I downloaded, now it is either the Lonmin video cameras that are named specifically after the camera numbers or it was the POPS, the video cameras I received from POPS where I gave the files the actual names, I think of the camera possibly, the serial number on the camera if I'm not mistaken. MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to you I think we should actually call that that directory up for you, it is JJJ78, pages 172 to 174 of the Commission's bundle, and you'll see that this too is a directory that has files running from 0 to 41 and has five digits in each file name.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Victor receiving the footage through the Lonmin Section 205, I may have received it that way – CHAIRPERSON: It is not Colonel Victor, do you mean Colonel Visser? COLONEL SCOTT: Ag, Colonel Visser, I'm sorry, I'm calling him on his first name, Colonel Visser, I may have received it that way, but I have definitely viewed these and had them in my possession at some stage. MR CHASKALSON SC: So you have definitely had them in your possession, you have definitely viewed them, you cannot tell us with certainty at which point they were downloaded? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's move to a third directory which I'm hoping will be of a similar nature and that's a directory called camera 407 POP in \videos\16, it is JJJ79, pages 175 and 177. Those are the properties or the first half of the properties because there are 47 files in this directory. I wonder if we can go back to the thumbnails. COLONEL SCOTT: I do stand to be corrected because I'm pretty sure it was me who created the 457 POP camera number, I think so, and I almost recall that

		1	
1	Page 14010 MR CHASKALSON SC: It would be camera 457	1	Page 14012 COLONEL SCOTT: She is the custodian –
2	POP?	2	CHAIRPERSON: She is the custodian, ja.
3	COLONEL SCOTT: Both of those numbers –	3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: And 407 POP?	4	MR CHASKALSON SC: So we'll call that the
5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, but –	5	SAPS master hard drive. In that directory on the SAPS
6	MR CHASKALSON SC: And when you say, when		master hard drive you will find the three out of sequence
7	they were given to me, are you referring to the memory	7	files, we've already traversed that, can we go to the next
8	cards inside the cameras that were 457 and 407?	8	page? Now we're back, now we're showing the 47 files that
9		9	are on the camera 457 POPS directory which is in this
	5		-
10	don't know of any other way I would have downloaded that	10	instance taken from the SAPS master hard drive but which
11	video other than from a memory card.	11	you have confirmed is a directory with which you're
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: I don't want you to be	12	familiar and you've said it is one which you recall as
13	under any misapprehensions here because what's up on the	13	probably having been downloaded from the video operators.
14	screen is still camera 457 POP and we want 407 POP, just so	14	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
15	that you can clarify that.	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: We've ringed the first
16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	16	21 files in that directory circling file number 20, because
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: This is 407 POP, I beg	17	that's one of the out of sequence files on the other, so
18	your pardon, I've been taking you, what I said in relation	18	files 1 to 19 and 21 of the set that was given to us came
19	to 457 was first in relation to 407, 407 is the 41	19	from this directory. If we can go to the next slide, then
20	thumbnail file. Can we see 457 now which is JJJ78? JJJ78,	20	we've looked at camera 407 POPS directory, again we've
21	- sorry, JJJ79, I beg your pardon, and the thumbnails on	21	ringed 24 and 25 because those were the out of sequence
22	JJJ79. Colonel, I must apologise but you're familiar with,	22	ones on the directory that was given to us, but the balance
23	there are 47 thumbnails on 407, we can give you a hardcopy	23	running up to 41, - 22, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29, all the way
24 25	if you would like to look at it. Would you like to look at	24 25	through to 41 came from this directory. So it looks like
20	the hardcopy?	20	someone assembled a set from 1 to 41 by taking pieces from
	Page 14011		Page 14013
1	Page 14011 COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine.	1	Page 14013 one directory, from another directory and then from a third
1 2		1 2	5
	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at		one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see?
2	COLONEL SCOTT:No, it is fine.MR CHASKALSON SC:Well, thank you for	2	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what
2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at	2 3	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see?
2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three	2 3 4	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of
2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which	2 3 4 5	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse	2 3 4 5 6	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which	2 3 4 5 6 7	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive was the Scott hard drive, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive was the Scott hard drive, this is the Pretorius hard drive and if I can ask that reference	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and that may be of relevance to the Commission and may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive was the Scott hard drive, this is the Pretorius hard drive and if I can ask that reference to be corrected. Those –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and that may be of relevance to the Commission and may be something that someone with misguided loyalty to SAPS may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive was the Scott hard drive, this is the Pretorius hard drive and if I can ask that reference to be corrected. Those – COLONEL SCOTT: Sorry, if I can also just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and that may be of relevance to the Commission and may be something that someone with misguided loyalty to SAPS may not have wanted the Commission to see?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive was the Scott hard drive, this is the Pretorius hard drive and if I can ask that reference to be corrected. Those – COLONEL SCOTT: Sorry, if I can also just ask, it is actually the SAPS master hard drive, I think she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and that may be of relevance to the Commission and may be something that someone with misguided loyalty to SAPS may not have wanted the Commission to see? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, all I can say is in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive was the Scott hard drive, this is the Pretorius hard drive and if I can ask that reference to be corrected. Those – COLONEL SCOTT: Sorry, if I can also just ask, it is actually the SAPS master hard drive, I think she is actually sweating on the other side, she is only the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and that may be of relevance to the Commission and may be something that someone with misguided loyalty to SAPS may not have wanted the Commission to see? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, all I can say is in the building of the presentation, police presentation, my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive was the Scott hard drive, this is the Pretorius hard drive and if I can ask that reference to be corrected. Those – COLONEL SCOTT: Sorry, if I can also just ask, it is actually the SAPS master hard drive, I think she is actually sweating on the other side, she is only the nodal point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and that may be of relevance to the Commission and may be something that someone with misguided loyalty to SAPS may not have wanted the Commission to see? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, all I can say is in the building of the presentation, police presentation, my concentration was up till the 16th of August. I didn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive and if I can ask that reference to be corrected. Those – COLONEL SCOTT: Sorry, if I can also just ask, it is actually the SAPS master hard drive, I think she is actually sweating on the other side, she is only the nodal point. MR CHASKALSON SC: Okay, -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	one directory, from another directory and then from a third directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and that may be of relevance to the Commission and may be something that someone with misguided loyalty to SAPS may not have wanted the Commission to see? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, all I can say is in the building of the presentation, police presentation, my concentration was up till the 16th of August. I didn't have interest in any videos or photographs for that matter that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: No, it is fine. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, thank you for that, I mean if there is a need to look at the hardcopy at any stage please ask for it. Now we looked at those three directories and we reverse engineered the set that was given to us, so the starting point of the reverse engineering and maybe we should hand up a document which illustrates it visually which is easier to, for explanatory purposes. Can we put up JJJ80 which will be pages 80 to 84, and if we can go to the next page where it starts? Now the first slide that you see is the selection of files that was given to us, the 41 files that were given to us and we've ringed the ones that are out of date sequence. Okay, if we can go to the next slide? Those three that are out of date sequence and it shouldn't say Colonel Scott hard drive because the slide was made at the time when we called it the Pretorius hard drive was the Scott hard drive, this is the Pretorius hard drive and if I can ask that reference to be corrected. Those – COLONEL SCOTT: Sorry, if I can also just ask, it is actually the SAPS master hard drive, I think she is actually sweating on the other side, she is only the nodal point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	directory, would that be a fair inference to draw from what we see? COLONEL SCOTT: Through the line of evidence you're leading it would be fair to assume that, yes. [14:33] MR CHASKALSON SC: Now when we saw that, we immediately started to be a bit suspicious because it looked like what we got was a reverse engineered set, and fortunately we've got the original Lonmin MTS-series as a control, so we weren't worried that there were missing POPs 40 or 100MTS files dealing with the 16th of August, which I must confess would otherwise have been a suspicion that we may have entertained. But the POPs video operators also filmed after the 16th of August. Are you aware of anything that the POPs video operators may have filmed after the 16th of August that hasn't been shown to the Commission, and that may be of relevance to the Commission and may be something that someone with misguided loyalty to SAPS may not have wanted the Commission to see? COLONEL SCOTT: Well, all I can say is in the building of the presentation, police presentation, my concentration was up till the 16th of August. I didn't have

		-	
1	Page 14014 didn't take the time to go through any of this.	1	Page 14016 get to understand, because I did confer with Colonel Visser
2	CHAIRPERSON: Do you know what's been	2	now in the break and he says that he received the full SAPS
3	shown to the Commission, or do you merely know what's been	3	POPs videos from the evidence leaders, who had received it
4	provided to the Commission? Because I don't think I've	4	from Lonmin, that he didn't even have the full set. So,
5	seen you here all the time.	5	and this was somewhere in November, and the question I was
6	COLONEL SCOTT: No, I –	6	just posing is I've always been of the opinion that SAPS
7	CHAIRPERSON: In fact most of the time I	7	had those cameras in their possession from the time that
	haven't seen you. So you might know what's been provided		they were taken on, I think the 14th, till now, but
8		8	-
9	to us, but I don't think you know what's been shown to us,	9	obviously that can't be the case if Lonmin has a full set
10	but the real point of the question I suspect is what's been	10	of the videos as well.
11	provided to us.	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, where this
12	MR CHASKALSON SC: Indeed, Chairperson.	12	line of cross-examination started was with a set of files
13	The question should go to what's been provided, not what's	13	that were provided to us by SAPS on the 7th of November.
14	been shown.	14	Are you or Colonel Visser suggesting that we gave SAPS
15	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I've always been of	15	files that we had obtained from Lonmin some time between
16	the opinion that everything that we've had, has been	16	the 1st and the 7th of November, and then when we asked for
17	provided to you, and I know that at times where gaps have	17	the missing files, SAPS gave back to us something that we
18	been identified, where we've even had to call people back	18	had already obtained from Lonmin?
19	in, members of the police, to come and fill in those gaps;	19	COLONEL SCOTT: No, no, I'm just, I'm
20	even those gaps were filled and provided or else statements	20	reflecting what he said, that it's after we'd gone through
21	given, and I think the majority of that was done in	21	the process of giving you everything we had, he'd still had
22	November. So I've always stood of the opinion that	22	a shortage of files, which he then managed to download from
23	whatever was outstanding, was provided to the full degree.	23	the evidence leaders.
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: When we looked harder,	24	MR CHASKALSON SC: I see. So his set has
25	we looked at the bottom half of this diagram and we saw a	25	not been complete -
	Page 14015		Page 14017
1	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those	1	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
1 2	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established,	1 2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always
	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those		COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was
2 3	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence	2 3	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was incomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced the
2 3 4	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so	2 3 4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was
2 3 4 5	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was	2 3 4 5	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was incomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced the
2 3 4 5 6	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and	2 3 4 5 6	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was incomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced the directory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7th
2 3 4 5 6 7	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as	2 3 4 5 6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was incomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced the directory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7th of November.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was incomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced the directory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7th of November. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm losing you, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was incomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced the directory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7th of November. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm losing you, I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted –COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted –COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted –COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the series
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted –COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to the police, because obviously they couldn't have been if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted -COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to the police, because obviously they couldn't have been if Lonmin also has a full copy of some sorts of whatever was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted -COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.COLONEL SCOTT:Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to the police, because obviously they couldn't have been if Lonmin also has a full copy of some sorts of whatever was on the cameras and the cards.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted -COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:Correct.MR CHASKALSON SC:Mathematics of the series of files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.COLONEL SCOTT:MR CHASKALSON SC:Now SAPS must have had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to the police, because obviously they couldn't have been if Lonmin also has a full copy of some sorts of whatever was on the cameras and the cards. MR CHASKALSON SC: It should be JJJ19, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted -COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.COLONEL SCOTT:MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.COLONEL SCOTT:MR CHASKALSON SC:Now SAPS must have hadthose files in their possession prior to the 7th of November
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to the police, because obviously they couldn't have been if Lonmin also has a full copy of some sorts of whatever was on the cameras and the cards. MR CHASKALSON SC: It should be JJJ19, I apologise, not JJJ18. I hope we don't have to double the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted -COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.COLONEL SCOTT:MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.COLONEL SCOTT:MR CHASKALSON SC:Now SAPS must have hadthose files in their possession prior to the 7th of Novemberto give them to the evidence leaders on that day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to the police, because obviously they couldn't have been if Lonmin also has a full copy of some sorts of whatever was on the cameras and the cards. MR CHASKALSON SC: It should be JJJ19, I apologise, not JJJ18. I hope we don't have to double the delay. JJJ18 is of the 17th. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you hear the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was incomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced the directory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7th of November. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm losing you, I'm sorry. MR CHASKALSON SC: It was a fairly convoluted – COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: - question, but where this line of cross-examination started was with the series of files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7th of November by SAPS. COLONEL SCOTT: Correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now SAPS must have had those files in their possession prior to the 7th of November to give them to the evidence leaders on that day. COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS being Colonel Visser and the nodal point, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to the police, because obviously they couldn't have been if Lonmin also has a full copy of some sorts of whatever was on the cameras and the cards. MR CHASKALSON SC: It should be JJJ19, I apologise, not JJJ18. I hope we don't have to double the delay. JJJ18 is of the 17th. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you hear the question the witness asked though? He says – well, repeat your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.MR CHASKALSON SC:- was not alwayscomplete. But presumably the files with which we areconcerned now fall outside the class of files which wasincomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced thedirectory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November.COLONEL SCOTT:I'm losing you, I'msorry.MR CHASKALSON SC:It was a fairlyconvoluted -COLONEL SCOTT:Ja.MR CHASKALSON SC:- question, but wherethis line of cross-examination started was with the seriesof files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7thof November by SAPS.COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:Correct.MR CHASKALSON SC:Now SAPS must have hadthose files in their possession prior to the 7th of Novemberto give them to the evidence leaders on that day.COLONEL SCOTT:SAPS being Colonel Visserand the nodal point, yes.Kell, let's stick with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	whole lot of files from the 18th of August. All of those files from 22MTS onwards we inspected and we established, with the exception of the, possibly of the out-of-sequence ringed files, were from the 18th and 19th of August, and so we then went to look on the Lonmin hard drive of what was there on the 18th of August on the Lonmin hard drive, and you recall that I took you to those files yesterday as JJJ18, so can we call up JJJ18? CHAIRPERSON: It's a long time at coming, but presumably it's on the way. COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe it's just the thought that, I hear, Chairperson, where what's been said many a time, but a question I have to ask you, if I may, whether these cameras and cards and so on have been in the police's possession from the time that they were given to the police, because obviously they couldn't have been if Lonmin also has a full copy of some sorts of whatever was on the cameras and the cards. MR CHASKALSON SC: It should be JJJ19, I apologise, not JJJ18. I hope we don't have to double the delay. JJJ18 is of the 17th. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you hear the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: - was not always complete. But presumably the files with which we are concerned now fall outside the class of files which was incomplete when he or somebody else from SAPS produced the directory that was given to the evidence leaders on the 7th of November. COLONEL SCOTT: I'm losing you, I'm sorry. MR CHASKALSON SC: It was a fairly convoluted – COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: - question, but where this line of cross-examination started was with the series of files that were given to the evidence leaders on the 7th of November by SAPS. COLONEL SCOTT: Correct. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now SAPS must have had those files in their possession prior to the 7th of November to give them to the evidence leaders on that day. COLONEL SCOTT: SAPS being Colonel Visser and the nodal point, yes.

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1	Page 14018 to –	1	Page 14020 COLONEL SCOTT: In the blue clothing?
2	COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm just, I'm	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: In the blue clothing,
3	clarifying because Colonel Visser, as myself, only had what	3	yes.
4	we were given. If there were still files in the possession	4	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, if I look at the
5	of the video operators, obviously that was not something we	5	next movie, or video piece, that would be Brigadier Calitz.
6	were aware of or knew.	6	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, it is in fact
7	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but a set of	7	Brigadier Calitz. Do you recognise the venue?
8	files was given to us. Those were clearly in your	8	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, if they're on
9	possession.	9	parade, it would need to be at the rear staging area around
10	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	10	the JOC.
11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now we compared the	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, it is in fact,
12	bottom half of that set with what we see in the original	12	and if we go to the properties, you can accept it from me
13	40MTS-series as it runs through on to the 18th of August,	13	that 21 is a video that ran for 13 minutes 54 seconds and
14	where it picks up at 49MTS. You'll recall that the last	14	ended at 10:14 on the 18th of August. Now at paragraph 99
15	video made on the 16th was 48MTS?	15	of your statement – sorry, page 99, paragraph 28, you
16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	16	mention the visit of Julius Malema on the 18th of August.
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: And what we noticed	17	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct.
18	was that there were only three files in the batch that you	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: And it seems almost
19	gave us, or three files in this, shall I call it the	19	that you mention it only to say that you had nothing to do
20	original 40MTS-series for the 18th of August, that were not	20	with SAPS deployment for the day because you were busy
21	included in the bottom half of the batch that you gave us	21	preparing a presentation for the President. Do you recall
22	on the 7th of November, and the three were 49MTS, 52MTS, and	22	that?
23	53MTS. We then went to look on the SAPS hard drive and we	23	COLONEL SCOTT: If this is the Friday the
24	could find none of these files either, and 49MTS	24	17th, then it would be, yes. I was –
25	particularly interested us because if we go back to the	25	CHAIRPERSON: Isn't it the 18th, which is
	Page 14019		Page 14021
1	camera 407 directory, JJJ79, or even if we go to it as	1	the Saturday?
2	exhibited in JJJ80 – let's look at it in JJJ80, which will	2	COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, or the planning for
3	be easier. Can we have JJJ80? So there's the 407	3	this, for the Julius Malema deployment, I wouldn't have had
4	directory as exhibited on JJJ80, where the yellow line	4	anything to do with the planning, no.
5	demarcates what was given to us, and anything outside the	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say that in
6	yellow line wasn't given to us, and we see that 21 is just	6	paragraph 28. In fact your only mention of the Julius
7	autoide the line of what was given to us, and 21 turns out		paragraphize. In fact you only mention of the sullas
	outside the line of what was given to us, and 21 turns out	7	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the
8	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on	7 8	
8 9	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of		Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja.
	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to	8	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you
9 10 11	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were.	8 9	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that
9 10 11 12	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the	8 9 10 11 12	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had
9 10 11 12 13	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson?	8 9 10 11 12 13	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit?
9 10 11 12 13 14	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to
9 10 11 12 13	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit number, but we mentioned it in Commission yesterday.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that because I had to do with the planning after that event
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit number, but we mentioned it in Commission yesterday. GGG30. Can I ask you to look at the thumbnail of 21, and	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that because I had to do with the planning after that event again of maintaining the order in the Marikana area, as
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit number, but we mentioned it in Commission yesterday. GGG30. Can I ask you to look at the thumbnail of 21, and maybe if we can zoom in on it, because it may help you to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that because I had to do with the planning after that event again of maintaining the order in the Marikana area, as well as assisting with the plan which built in the memorial
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit number, but we mentioned it in Commission yesterday. GGG30. Can I ask you to look at the thumbnail of 21, and maybe if we can zoom in on it, because it may help you to recognise that video. Can we just try to zoom this picture	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that because I had to do with the planning after that event again of maintaining the order in the Marikana area, as well as assisting with the plan which built in the memorial service which was to come as well. So this was the only
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit number, but we mentioned it in Commission yesterday. GGG30. Can I ask you to look at the thumbnail of 21, and maybe if we can zoom in on it, because it may help you to recognise that video. Can we just try to zoom this picture so that that thumbnail of 21, which is on the left-hand	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that because I had to do with the planning after that event again of maintaining the order in the Marikana area, as well as assisting with the plan which built in the memorial service which was to come as well. So this was the only event that I didn't in fact have an input into.
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit number, but we mentioned it in Commission yesterday. GGG30. Can I ask you to look at the thumbnail of 21, and maybe if we can zoom in on it, because it may help you to recognise that video. Can we just try to zoom this picture so that that thumbnail of 21, which is on the left-hand corner of the yellow line, becomes more visible? Is that	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that because I had to do with the planning after that event again of maintaining the order in the Marikana area, as well as assisting with the plan which built in the memorial service which was to come as well. So this was the only event that I didn't in fact have an input into. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say you didn't
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit number, but we mentioned it in Commission yesterday. GGG30. Can I ask you to look at the thumbnail of 21, and maybe if we can zoom in on it, because it may help you to recognise that video. Can we just try to zoom this picture so that that thumbnail of 21, which is on the left-hand corner of the yellow line, becomes more visible? Is that big enough for you to recognise – it's getting bigger – the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that because I had to do with the planning after that event again of maintaining the order in the Marikana area, as well as assisting with the plan which built in the memorial service which was to come as well. So this was the only event that I didn't in fact have an input into. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say you didn't have an input into the planning. Were you present at any
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	to be file 49 of the original 40MTS-series that we found on the Lonmin hard drive. So one of the three files, one of the only three files that wasn't given to us turns out to be one that just missed the cut, as it were. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: 21 and 22 are the same briefing, are they, Mr Chaskalson? MR CHASKALSON SC: They are in fact at the same briefing, but they record different aspects of the same briefing. 22, Commissioner Hemraj, you'll recall is a file that has been exhibited already. I forget its exhibit number, but we mentioned it in Commission yesterday. GGG30. Can I ask you to look at the thumbnail of 21, and maybe if we can zoom in on it, because it may help you to recognise that video. Can we just try to zoom this picture so that that thumbnail of 21, which is on the left-hand corner of the yellow line, becomes more visible? Is that	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Malema visit is to say you had nothing to do with the planning for it. COLONEL SCOTT: Ja. MR CHASKALSON SC: Can I ask you why you thought it was important to address in your statement that Mr Malema had come on the 18th, only to say that you had nothing to do with the planning for this visit? COLONEL SCOTT: I think firstly just to give a breakdown of where I was on the days after the incident, to show my activities, but in stating, I specifically had nothing to do with the planning of that because I had to do with the planning after that event again of maintaining the order in the Marikana area, as well as assisting with the plan which built in the memorial service which was to come as well. So this was the only event that I didn't in fact have an input into. MR CHASKALSON SC: And you say you didn't

1	Page 14022		Page 14024
1	parade on the 18th of August?	1	viewed it myself that I'm aware of.
2	COLONEL SCOTT: I don't think so.	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: By whom have you heard
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: What we see in that	3	of it spoken?
4	video 21, which is also 49MTS, is Brigadier Calitz	4	COLONEL SCOTT: By Brigadier Calitz.
5	addressing the SAPS members on parade. Do you recall	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: By Brigadier Calitz
6	seeing a video of that nature?	6	himself. So he's spoken to you about the video of his
7	COLONEL SCOTT: No.	7	briefing on the 18th?
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Maybe we should play	8	COLONEL SCOTT: I wouldn't say directly
9	it for you and just ask you thereafter to reflect on	9	to me, but in conversation where I've been around
10	whether you had seen it before. Can I ask that the video,	10	informally, let's put it that way.
11	which is 49MTS, be played, and it be given the exhibit	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: And what did he say
12	number JJJ81? Video 49MTS, taken on 18 August 2012 at	12	about, first of all about the briefing?
13	10:14 with duration 13:54.	13	COLONEL SCOTT: No, he didn't go into
14	CHAIRPERSON: 13:54.	14	detail. He just mentioned that there was a parade where he
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: And for this video it	15	had told the members that they'd done nothing wrong.
16	is quite important that we hear the sound because it's the	16	MR CHASKALSON SC: And what did he say
17	sound that's noteworthy, not the images.	17	about the video?
18	[VIDEO SHOWN]	18	COLONEL SCOTT: Nothing that I can
19	[14:52] COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Chaskalson,	19	recall, no.
20	you've provided us with a transcript of this in your file	20	MR CHASKALSON SC: But he did convey to
21	1, 74 to 77.	21	you that there was a video of this parade?
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Commissioner, that is	22	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, I've been aware that
23	not an agreed transcript. We will circulate that	23	there have been parades that have been shown and amongst –
24	transcript. I think we do need an agreed transcript but I	24	I don't know if this has been shown, but I know that, I
25	can give that SAPS at this point and if they have problems	25	think there was something about the National Commissioner's
	Page 14023		Page 14025
1	with it they will come back to us.	1	parade as well at some stage. As I say, these are just
2	CHAIRPERSON: Is that the end of the	2	things that you pick up when you're sitting around the
3	video?		things that you pick up when you're sitting around the
4		3	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through
4	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the	3 4	
4 5		_	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through
	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the	4	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock.	4 5	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade.
5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you	4 5 6	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes.
5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after	4 5 6 7	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent
5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if	4 5 6 7 8	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago?
5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within	4 5 6 7 8 9	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want.	4 5 6 7 8 9	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I –	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS] COMMISSION RESUMES]	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to my questioning in relation to camera 407 was that you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Colonel, you're still under oath	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to my questioning in relation to camera 407 was that you thought that you had probably downloaded the files in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to my questioning in relation to camera 407 was that you thought that you had probably downloaded the files in camera 407 from the cards of the video operators, if I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to my questioning in relation to camera 407 was that you thought that you had probably downloaded the files in camera 407 from the cards of the video operators, if I recall correctly.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON (CONTD.):	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to my questioning in relation to camera 407 was that you thought that you had probably downloaded the files in camera 407 from the cards of the video operators, if I recall correctly. COLONEL SCOTT: What I recall is creating
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON (CONTD.): Colonel, you've now seen and heard that video. Does that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to my questioning in relation to camera 407 was that you thought that you had probably downloaded the files in camera 407 from the cards of the video operators, if I recall correctly. COLONEL SCOTT: What I recall is creating something that had a name to it that was a reference to a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON (CONTD.): Colonel, you've now seen and heard that video. Does that help you to remember whether or not you'd seen it	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to my questioning in relation to camera 407 was that you thought that you had probably downloaded the files in camera 407 from the cards of the video operators, if I recall correctly. COLONEL SCOTT: What I recall is creating something that had a name to it that was a reference to a serial number, and I'm not sure if that would be the Lonmin
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR CHASKALSON SC: That is the end of the video, Mr Chairperson. I see that its now 3 o'clock. CHAIRPERSON: Yes I was going to ask you whether you want any questions about it before or after tea. I would prefer after tea but if it's convenient, if you prefer to do it before tea I will obviously fall within with what you want. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: We'll now take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:25 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Colonel, you're still under oath. DUNCAN GEORGE SCOTT: s.u.o. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON (CONTD.): Colonel, you've now seen and heard that video. Does that help you to remember whether or not you'd seen it previously?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	police office, but as I say, I'm aware that, through Brigadier Calitz in informal chats, has mentioned that there was a video of him speaking on parade. MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: A relatively recent conversation, or was that some months ago? COLONEL SCOTT: No, Commissioner, I think it's some months, well, some time ago. MR CHASKALSON SC: Now Colonel, earlier in this cross-examination I took you to that directory camera 407 POP. Do you recall that directory? COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And your response to my questioning in relation to camera 407 was that you thought that you had probably downloaded the files in camera 407 from the cards of the video operators, if I recall correctly. COLONEL SCOTT: What I recall is creating something that had a name to it that was a reference to a serial number, and I'm not sure if that would be the Lonmin cameras or if it would be the POPs ones. That's what I can

1	Page 14026 MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, on the	1	Page 14028 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2	SAPS master hard drive and on the Scott hard drive, to the	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you do recall
3	best of our knowledge there are only two directories which	3	doing that.
4	have directory names which reflect what may be serial	4	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
5	numbers of cameras. It's the 407 POP directory and the 457	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you spoke of three
6	POP directory. Are you aware of any other directories of	6	Lonmin cameras.
7	that nature?	7	COLONEL SCOTT: That's what I discovered
8	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, when I was looking	8	last night, yes.
9	last night on my original footage I'd seen that there were	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: And I just want to
10	three cameras from Lonmin, which was I think those that I	10	clarify; we're aware only of two Lonmin handheld cameras.
11	was referring to earlier in the day which were difficult	11	Are you aware of a third?
12	files, difficult to open, the video footage files of those,	12	COLONEL SCOTT: No, I'm talking about the
13	and I see those are also referenced by, it seems the number	13	CCTV.
14	of the camera.	14	MR CHASKALSON SC: I see. Well, there
15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, we might be	15	are way more than three CCTV Lonmin cameras.
16	at cross purposes here. Are you referring in this case to	16	COLONEL SCOTT: That's what was given to
17	close circuit –	17	me, yes.
18	COLONEL SCOTT: TV, yes.	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: So you're only
19	MR CHASKALSON SC: - TV footage?	19	familiar with two Lonmin handheld cameras.
20	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	20	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
21	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now that footage is of	21	MR CHASKALSON SC: And to the best of
22	a different order to the footage that one gets inside a	22	your recollection, those are the two handheld cameras that
23	handheld video camera. Is that not so?	23	were used by the various POPs video operatives during the
24	COLONEL SCOTT: I know it's on my hard	24	course of the operation?
25	drive. I can't remember how I downloaded it. I could be	25	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct. I'm
1 2	Page 14027 mistaking that for that matter for something different, how it was downloaded to me.	1 2	Page 14029 aware of the, of a police video camera. This was made, I was aware of that recently, within the last two, three
3	MR CHASKALSON SC: But footage of that	3	weeks, that was used, I think on the 13th, possibly on the
4	nature cannot be downloaded on a little SD-card. Are you	4	Friday the 10th and on the 13th.
5	aware of that?	5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Are you talking then
6	COLONEL SCOTT: I'm aware now, yes.	6	of the handheld analogue camera –
7	MR CHASKALSON SC: And when I asked you	7	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
8	earlier in relation to the 407 POP directory and the 457	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: - that was used by the
9	POP directory, your recollection was, or you inferred that	9	POPs operatives before Lonmin gave them –
10	you would have downloaded the contents of those directories	10	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
11	from a camera or an SD-card in a camera.	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: - the digital cameras?
12	COLONEL SCOTT: From what I can	12	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
13	recollect, yes. As I say, I'm speaking like this, and I	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: And I think you'd
14	don't mean to be vague. It's just at the time people are	14	probably also be aware, if you, or you would probably also
15	bringing you memory sticks, they're bringing you possibly	15	recall that there were crime intelligence operatives like
16	CDs, they're bringing you SD-cards. I think some of the	16	Captain Nel for instance who were -
17	video - not the videos, the still cameras themselves have	17	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
18	got SD-cards as well, so I could be mixing up who's got	18	MR CHASKALSON SC: - producing videos and
19	what. This is why I'm saying I'm not trying to be vague,	19	I think there was Colonel Botha as well, but for the
20	but to put an exact, that it was definitely a POPs camera	20	purposes of this questioning I want us to confine ourselves
21	or it was definitely a still camera from Mere(?), or - it	21	to handheld cameras that were made available to POPs
00	would be difficult now to recall that.	22	operatives by Lonmin.
22		22	COLONEL SCOTT: Okay.
22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, you do	23	okuj.
23	say in your statement that you downloaded files from POPs	23 24	MR CHASKALSON SC: And you're aware only
23 24			5

1	Page 14030 COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	1	Page 14032 COLONEL SCOTT: I can recall working on
2	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now you state in your	2	the media briefing, which ultimately became the President's
3	statement that you downloaded material from the POPs	3	briefing as well, and I know in that we had photograph – if
4	operatives onto your notebook in fact, is what it says in	4	I'm not mistaken, we had photograph footage, which was
5	your statement, in the immediate aftermath of the events.	5	provided by SAPS, but we had to eventually, I don't even
6	COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, but as I'm saying in	6	think there was video footage other than that which spoke
7	the conclusion of the statement, this is to the best of my	7	to General Mpembe's incident on Thursday – sorry, on the
8	recollection. I'm trying, yes.	8	Monday, the 13th, and only after that did we start
9	MR CHASKALSON SC: I accept that. We're	9	sporadically getting police video footage in which we could
10	talking now about events that took place over a year ago.	10	start looking at, and seeing how to place it.
11	You see, we've been through the directories both of the	11	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, let's go to page
12	SAPS master hard drive and of the Scott hard drive, and in	12	128 of your statement, paragraph 45.3. "The first video
13	both of those directories there are directories called	13	footage to be brought to me was on Friday, 17th August, from
14	\16th\Camera407POP, and \16th\Camera457POP. You're familiar	14	police POP video operators. I downloaded from both their
15	with those directories?	14	cameras' memory cards onto my computer that Friday
16	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	16	afternoon." Now that's said without any apparent
17	MR CHASKALSON SC: And to the best of	17	qualification in 45.3.
18	your recall, the contents of those directories are files	18	COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, and as I'm saying, I
19	which you downloaded from POPs operatives. Is that not so?	19	had something here to show what seems to be, have been
20	COLONEL SCOTT: I don't want to commit to	20	given to me. I can recall POPs video operators bringing me
21	it, but to the best of my recall, yes.	20	their footage. I can't say that it was definitely 407,
22	MR CHASKALSON SC: Who else would have	22	457, but from the files I have that I've made thumbnail
23	been able to download files into those directories, and in	23	printout, it's definitely an MTS001 to 066 that I have from
24	particular the directory on the Scott hard drive?	24	that time, and I've mentioned that I'm trying to rely on
25	COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I think we need to	25	the objective evidence which is coming from details like
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 14031		Page 14033
1	go back into perspective. As I've said, the Scott hard	1	this from properties of files to better accurately portray
2	drive is simply a copy, a shadow copy again of the police	2	what was given to me.
3	hard drive. So to be referring to it as standing alone	3	CHAIRPERSON: You've got a document in
4	before the police hard drive, it's been upgraded and	4	your hand. What is it? Or two documents in your hand.
5	updated of what was on the police, and I'm sure with expert	5	What are thou?
			What are they?
6	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware	6	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was
7	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we	6 7	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my
7 8	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm	6 7 8	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was
7 8 9	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the	6 7 8 9	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo,
7 8 9 10	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided	6 7 8 9 10	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word
7 8 9 10 11	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the	6 7 8 9 10 11	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was
7 8 9 10 11 12	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, I have a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original stuff that I got in the first couple of days. I'm of that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, I have a difficulty with that answer because what it implies is that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original stuff that I got in the first couple of days. I'm of that opinion because the dates there are reflecting, the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, I have a difficulty with that answer because what it implies is that the POPs video footage that was taken on the 16th was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original stuff that I got in the first couple of days. I'm of that opinion because the dates there are reflecting, the modified date being the time that the video or the photo
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, I have a difficulty with that answer because what it implies is that the POPs video footage that was taken on the 16th was provided to you as the police nodal point - or maybe not	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original stuff that I got in the first couple of days. I'm of that opinion because the dates there are reflecting, the modified date being the time that the video or the photo was taken, and then I think the detail, or the other date
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, I have a difficulty with that answer because what it implies is that the POPs video footage that was taken on the 16th was provided to you as the police nodal point - or maybe not even to you, to the police nodal point, whether it was you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original stuff that I got in the first couple of days. I'm of that opinion because the dates there are reflecting, the modified date being the time that the video or the photo was taken, and then I think the detail, or the other date is reflecting to when it was saved, and those dates are the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, I have a difficulty with that answer because what it implies is that the POPs video footage that was taken on the 16th was provided to you as the police nodal point - or maybe not even to you, to the police nodal point, whether it was you or Brigadier Pretorius or Colonel Visser - long after the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original stuff that I got in the first couple of days. I'm of that opinion because the dates there are reflecting, the modified date being the time that the video or the photo was taken, and then I think the detail, or the other date is reflecting to when it was saved, and those dates are the 18th, the 20th, those timeframes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, I have a difficulty with that answer because what it implies is that the POPs video footage that was taken on the 16th was provided to you as the police nodal point - or maybe not even to you, to the police nodal point, whether it was you or Brigadier Pretorius or Colonel Visser - long after the 16th, because you wouldn't have been working on the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original stuff that I got in the first couple of days. I'm of that opinion because the dates there are reflecting, the modified date being the time that the video or the photo was taken, and then I think the detail, or the other date is reflecting to when it was saved, and those dates are the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IT evidence these things will come to light. But I'm aware that POP footage – and I've just been recollecting while we were speaking earlier about the sequence of videos, and I'm pretty sure that the videos that are dealing with the strikers that were speaking to the crowd were only provided to us by those POPs video members when they came to the consultation, when they interpreted it to us, because I don't recall having those before then. So in other words what I'm saying is that there were certain POPs video footage given, but there seems to have come through in batches, if one would, to the nodal point of the police. MR CHASKALSON SC: But Colonel, I have a difficulty with that answer because what it implies is that the POPs video footage that was taken on the 16th was provided to you as the police nodal point - or maybe not even to you, to the police nodal point, whether it was you or Brigadier Pretorius or Colonel Visser - long after the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COLONEL SCOTT: Chairperson, I was requested to make a directory printout or something of my computer's hard drive. I did that. In doing that I was also, saw that – well, not saw, but there's, I got a photo, a file there called "Foto's," in Afrikaans the word "foto's," so it wouldn't have been made by me, but it was obviously downloaded onto my computer. But I have a private hard drive as well, which is not official, it's not State, where I've backed up my own stuff onto, and I went to that and I found a file called "Operation Platinum" and inside that file it speaks to a lot of the very original stuff that I got in the first couple of days. I'm of that opinion because the dates there are reflecting, the modified date being the time that the video or the photo was taken, and then I think the detail, or the other date is reflecting to when it was saved, and those dates are the 18th, the 20th, those timeframes. CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off,

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Pretoria

1	Page 14034	1	Page 14036
1	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I'm getting into	1	time that I had to go to brief the President, and that was
2	trouble. My microphone wasn't on. Those document to which	2	the only time that I was back at the actual Lonmin JOC, and
3	you are referring are the two documents you have in your	3	assuming that they gave me their footage on the 17th – and I
4	hand. Is that correct?	4	think somewhere I may have referenced it in another
5	COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct,	5	presentation, being the reason why I'm saying I may have
6	Chairperson, and –	6	had it by then, and obviously what I've got here is showing
7	CHAIRPERSON: Well, let me ask this; Mr	7	that I did have something by the 18th.
8	Chaskalson, do you want them to be handed in as exhibits?	8	MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, Colonel, but my
9	MR CHASKALSON SC: I'm happy for them to	9	concern is that you were looking at that stage, I would
10	be handed in as exhibits. I haven't yet seen them or	10	imagine, for footage of the 16th.
11	studied them, because – but if the Colonel wants to speak	11	COLONEL SCOTT: I was waiting for any
12	to them, it would make sense for them to be handed in as	12	footage that was to be brought to me.
13	exhibits.	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: But footage of the 16th
14	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes. Well, do you	14	would be footage which would be of particular interest to
15	want him to hand them in now, or perhaps copies could be made. I presume he needs to keep his set because he may	15 16	you. COLONEL SCOTT: Marikana was an extended
16	use it further in his evidence. Is that right? So perhaps		
17 18	you could arrange overnight to have copies made and first	17 18	event. Obviously the 16th was important, but as were the days prior to that.
19	business tomorrow morning we can hand them in, and in the	19	MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, if the POPs
20	meanwhile Ms Pillay will work out what the exhibit number	20	video operators had downloaded material from their cards at
20	should be.	20	any time after the 16th, it would have had to have included
22	COLONEL SCOTT: Thank you, Chairperson,	22	their footage of the 16th. You'll accept that, will you
23	and I'll be making all of this available after 4 to the IT	23	not?
24	expert that's coming in. Yes, but I –	24	COLONEL SCOTT: That would be so, yes.
25	CHAIRPERSON: You can understand my job	25	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if the POPs video
_			
	Page 14035		Page 14037
1	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked.	1	Page 14037 operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after
1 2	5	1 2	5
	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked.		operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after
2	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to	2	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming
2 3	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can	2 3	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and
2 3 4	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators	2 3 4 5 6	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos
2 3 4 5 6 7	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood, Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time, which would help me to know what was given to me obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. We assumed so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time, which would help me to know what was given to me obviously before I'd put it into the presentation, namely to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. We assumed so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, would you not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time, which would help me to know what was given to me obviously before I'd put it into the presentation, namely to the media, to the President, and to the inter-ministerial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. We assumed so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, would you not have wanted to get from those POPs video operatives what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time, which would help me to know what was given to me obviously before I'd put it into the presentation, namely to the media, to the President, and to the inter-ministerial committee thereafter. But I do know as well that, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. We assumed so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, would you not have wanted to get from those POPs video operatives what they had taken on the 16th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time, which would help me to know what was given to me obviously before I'd put it into the presentation, namely to the media, to the President, and to the inter-ministerial committee thereafter. But I do know as well that, I'm assuming that on that Friday on that afternoon, because it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. We assumed so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, would you not have wanted to get from those POPs video operatives what they had taken on the 16th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time, which would help me to know what was given to me obviously before I'd put it into the presentation, namely to the media, to the President, and to the inter-ministerial committee thereafter. But I do know as well that, I'm assuming that on that Friday on that afternoon, because it would have been the only time I had because that morning I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. We assumed so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, would you not have wanted to get from those POPs video operatives what they had taken on the 16th? COLONEL SCOTT: I'm relying on the commanders who were sitting in the JOCCOM with us, who get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time, which would help me to know what was given to me obviously before I'd put it into the presentation, namely to the media, to the President, and to the inter-ministerial committee thereafter. But I do know as well that, I'm assuming that on that Friday on that afternoon, because it would have been the only time I had because that morning I was working extensively on the National Commissioner's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. We assumed so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, would you not have wanted to get from those POPs video operatives what they had taken on the 16th? COLONEL SCOTT: I'm relying on the commanders who were sitting in the JOCCOM with us, who get the instruction to go to their members, to inform their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	is to make sure that all the exhibits are properly marked. COLONEL SCOTT: Understood. Understood, Chair. MR CHASKALSON SC: Colonel, I'll need to see what files are and aren't on that list and how one can identify them, but you speak of POPs police video operators downloading from their cards on the 17th of August. That's after the shootings. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And presumably it would have been very important for you to obtain footage of the 16th because that was the primary purpose of your being designated as the nodal point. COLONEL SCOTT: Much of what I did when I tried to reconstruct the statement to say who gave me what when, was by either trying to go back to the presentations to see on the presentations date what I had at that time, which would help me to know what was given to me obviously before I'd put it into the presentation, namely to the media, to the President, and to the inter-ministerial committee thereafter. But I do know as well that, I'm assuming that on that Friday on that afternoon, because it would have been the only time I had because that morning I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	operatives had not downloaded from their cards to you after the 16th, would that not have been an issue that would have caused you to take some action? COLONEL SCOTT: Remember, you're assuming that I knew what it looked like on the 16th at the scene and what was going on, on ground. What I was given were videos that I still had to work through. So I didn't know at that time – we started seeing through the media footage what actually had occurred, and obviously later finding out that our own members were not even there, videos would have looked like videos because it would have been videos of the crowd. MR CHASKALSON SC: No, no, my question is slightly different. You knew that you had POPs video operatives in position, did you not? COLONEL SCOTT: On the 16th? MR CHASKALSON SC: On the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. We assumed so, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, would you not have wanted to get from those POPs video operatives what they had taken on the 16th? COLONEL SCOTT: I'm relying on the commanders who were sitting in the JOCCOM with us, who get

	Page 14038		Page 14040
1	my place to leave the JOC and start running around to find	1	possibility that I did download those videos beforehand,
2	members. And they were, they started bringing in on memory	2	possibly even looking at them but not being able to
3	sticks, as I mentioned. I was at a nodal point, waiting	3	understand anything, simply looking at the crowd to see
4	for it, not going out to find it.	4	what was necessary to be put in and then moving on because
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: But what you are	5	I saw no, well, relevance in them at that stage for me to
6	suggesting to us is that it's possible that for more than a	6	continue dealing with them, and I'm speculating now but I'm
7	week after the 16th, well over a week after the 16th, no	7	trying to put myself back in a position of what I would
8	video footage of the 16th taken by POPs operatives was	8	have been thinking at the time.
9	brought to the SAPS nodal point?	9	MR CHASKALSON SC: And if we move away
10	COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, as I say I can't – at	10	from the videos taken by the POPS operatives on the 16th to
11	some stage we did become aware that they were not there	11	the POPS operatives on the 18th we see a similar picture.
12	that afternoon, so I never followed up on why, where. I	12	These videos appear both on the SAPS master hard drive and
13	just received what I'd received, and what I'd received from	13	on the Scott hard drive in a range of different
14	POPs is what's reflected here.	14	directories, in particular this video with which we are
15	[15:45	15	concerned. The video of Brigadier Calitz' briefing on the
16	So I didn't follow up to see what was the footage	16	18th is in several different places, both on your hard drive
17	that I was supposed to have had from them.	17	and on the SAPS hard drive, in one of those places is a
18	MR CHASKALSON SC: So you took notes,	18	directory on both of the hard drives called
19	what you're saying is, you took notes to ensure that you	19	\video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory.
20	had from POPS the video footage that they had taken on the	20	COLONEL SCOTT: I hear you but I don't
21 22	16th?	21	recall every watching that video until now in the
22	COLONEL SCOTT: No, and I think one must	22 23	Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Well, you would have
23 24	remember as well that I wasn't sitting and looking at properties of documents at that time either, I was as best	23 24	CHAIRPERSON: Well, you would have remembered it if you'd seen it before?
24 25	as possible trying to sequence what people were giving me	24 25	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
25	as possible if ying to sequence what people were giving me	25	COLONEL SCOTT. Tes.
	Page 14039		Page 14041
1	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get	1	Page 14041 MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory
1 2	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is	1 2	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just
	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get		MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry,
2	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also	2	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several
2 3	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into.	2 3	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen
2 3 4	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created	2 3 4 5 6	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today?
2 3 4 5 6 7	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?"	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: There is summary material
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: There is summary material at the end, but the narrative, the slides that go with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR CHASKALSON SC:So it is a directorythat is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not justonce, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry,it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in severalplaces but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seenbefore today?COLONEL SCOTT:I think I've said before,my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated,I think from the 10th to the 16th.CHAIRPERSON:Exhibit L effectively endson the 16th.COLONEL SCOTT:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:There is summary materialat the end, but the narrative, the slides that go with thenarrative terminates on the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: There is summary material at the end, but the narrative, the slides that go with the narrative terminates on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory. Can you explain how they would have got there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR CHASKALSON SC:So it is a directorythat is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today?COLONEL SCOTT:I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON:COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. CHAIRPERSON:COLONEL SCOTT:Yes. There is summary material at the end, but the narrative, the slides that go with the narrative terminates on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT:COLONEL SCOTT:That's correct, That's correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory. Can you explain how they would have got there if not through you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory. Can you explain how they would have got there if not through you? COLONEL SCOTT: They probably would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory. Can you explain how they would have got there if not through you? COLONEL SCOTT: They probably would have come through me, as I'm saying. There were certain photos	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory. Can you explain how they would have got there if not through you? COLONEL SCOTT: They probably would have come through me, as I'm saying. There were certain photos and videos that I would take more notice of, but we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: There is summary material at the end, but the narrative, the slides that go with the narrative terminates on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Chairperson, and this is why I say I had no reason to go plundering through all the footage thereafter because there is footage, as I mentioned before, that we didn't even get to see from the open source media because it was just too much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory. Can you explain how they would have got there if not through you? COLONEL SCOTT: They probably would have come through me, as I'm saying. There were certain photos and videos that I would take more notice of, but we were concentrating a lot also on media footage because those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: There is summary material at the end, but the narrative, the slides that go with the narrative terminates on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Chairperson, and this is why I say I had no reason to go plundering through all the footage thereafter because there is footage, as I mentioned before, that we didn't even get to see from the open source media because it was just too much. MR CHASKALSON SC: But, Colonel, you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory. Can you explain how they would have got there if not through you? COLONEL SCOTT: They probably would have come through me, as I'm saying. There were certain photos and videos that I would take more notice of, but we were concentrating a lot also on media footage because those were there, those were applicable to the event on the 16th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: There is summary material at the end, but the narrative, the slides that go with the narrative terminates on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Chairperson, and this is why I say I had no reason to go plundering through all the footage thereafter because there is footage, as I mentioned before, that we didn't even get to see from the open source media because it was just too much. MR CHASKALSON SC: But, Colonel, you have said now that you created a directory called "post event."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in putting, and it shows in the hard drive that you'll get the thumbnails of, that I created the odd day, and it is just something I must point out, I see I did create a file called, I think it is "post event," where I was also putting footage into. MR CHASKALSON SC: I see, so you created the file, the director "post event?" COLONEL SCOTT: Ja, well, it is on that file that we mentioned, yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Colonel, when we go to the SAPS hard drive, when we go to the Scott hard drive we see the POPS operative's videos in a range of different places and we see the particular, well, we see the particular videos with which we have been concerned with in three or four different directories, including in this case the directory video's\16th\camera 407 POPS directory. Can you explain how they would have got there if not through you? COLONEL SCOTT: They probably would have come through me, as I'm saying. There were certain photos and videos that I would take more notice of, but we were concentrating a lot also on media footage because those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR CHASKALSON SC: So it is a directory that is on the Scott hard drive in several places, not just once, but it is not a directory that you've ever, - sorry, it is a video that is on the Scott's hard drive in several places but it is not a video that you say you'd ever seen before today? COLONEL SCOTT: I think I've said before, my concentration was on Exhibit L, Exhibit L concentrated, I think from the 10th to the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit L effectively ends on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: There is summary material at the end, but the narrative, the slides that go with the narrative terminates on the 16th. COLONEL SCOTT: That's correct, Chairperson, and this is why I say I had no reason to go plundering through all the footage thereafter because there is footage, as I mentioned before, that we didn't even get to see from the open source media because it was just too much. MR CHASKALSON SC: But, Colonel, you have said now that you created a directory called "post event."

	Page 14042		Page 14044
1	Post Event directory that was given to the evidence leaders	1	page 172 of our File 1, is that a list of the files, post
2	on the SAPS hard drive, if I can just take you to that	2	event files?
3	exhibit in a moment, we referred to it briefly yesterday.	3	MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, no, page
4	It is JJJ24, I wonder if we can call that up?	4	172 is in fact a list of the files in the camera 457
5	CHAIRPERSON: And that's page?	5	directory, that's at the -
6	MR CHASKALSON SC: 171.	6	CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see.
7	CHAIRPERSON: Of File 1?	7	MR CHASKALSON SC: To identify the
8	MR CHASKALSON SC: I think it is File 1,	8	directory on all of these printouts one looks right up at
9	Chairperson. Can we call up the Post Event directory?	9	the top of the page, the Post Event files, the thumbnails
10	Well, let me put to you my concern about the Post Event	10	of all of the Post Event files are at page 171.
11	directory. The Post Event directory contains a series of	11	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but that's going to be
12	files taken from after the event. If we look at them, they	12	my next question. If 171 is accurate we see 00022 followed
13	appear to be slightly different formats of the original	13	by 0035, followed by 0036, 00040, 00041, 00045 and 00046,
14	POPS files, because these are saved as files with the	14	what's going on there?
15	suffix IVI and the original POPS files were saved with the	15	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, it is another
16	suffix MTS.	16	incomplete directory that was provided on the SAPS hard
17	COLONEL SCOTT: Maybe I can just give	17	drive that was given to the parties and the evidence
18	clarity, I see it is here, but my Post Event file, the	18	leaders. This is the Post Event directory that was given
19	properties on that, the date modified is 2013/02/27. This	19	to the parties and the evidence leaders. Of significance
20	is a file obviously created in 2013/02/27 which means it	20	for present purposes is whoever put this directory together
21	was possibly created by somebody else, or myself at a later	21	thought that Brigadier Calitz' briefing that followed the
22	stage.	22	video that we described was sufficiently important to
23	MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Colonel, we may	23	circulate to the parties, but the video which we have just
24	be speaking in cross purposes now, I understood you to be	24	seen was not sufficiently important to circulate to the
25	giving me the properties of the directory on your, - well,	25	parties.
	Page 14043		Page 14045
1	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or	1	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there
2	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can	2	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was
2 3	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me?	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the
2 3 4	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties.
2 3 4 5	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master,	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you
2 3 4 5 6	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very
2 3 4 5 6 7	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:That's one inference, thereis another inference that it was thought that it wassufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to theparties.MR CHASKALSON SC:Well, Chairperson, yousaid it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a verypossible inference and indeed a more likely inference todraw, would you accept that's a more likely inference todraw?COLONEL SCOTT:I can only give you my –CHAIRPERSON:I'm sure you're embarrassedby having to answer this.Well, I could only give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:That's one inference, thereis another inference that it was thought that it wassufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to theparties.MR CHASKALSON SC:Well, Chairperson, yousaid it, I didn't.It does seem to me that that is a verypossible inference and indeed a more likely inference todraw, would you accept that's a more likely inference todraw?COLONEL SCOTT:I can only give you my –CHAIRPERSON:I'm sure you're embarrassedby having to answer this.COLONEL SCOTT:Well, I could only giveyou my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard drives as we will in respect of the Scott notebook, would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best left to him to speak to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard drives as we will in respect of the Scott notebook, would you be happy for us to do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best left to him to speak to that. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard drives as we will in respect of the Scott notebook, would you be happy for us to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best left to him to speak to that. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard drives as we will in respect of the Scott notebook, would you be happy for us to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Absolutely. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may involve your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best left to him to speak to that. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard drives as we will in respect of the Scott notebook, would you be happy for us to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Absolutely. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may involve your staying a little bit longer because –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best left to him to speak to that. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And – MR SEMENYA SC: And for the record, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard drives as we will in respect of the Scott notebook, would you be happy for us to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Absolutely. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may involve your staying a little bit longer because – COLONEL SCOTT: That's fine –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best left to him to speak to that. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And – MR SEMENYA SC: And for the record, the inferences that are capable to be drawn in law have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard drives as we will in respect of the Scott notebook, would you be happy for us to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Absolutely. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may involve your staying a little bit longer because – COLONEL SCOTT: That's fine – MR CHASKALSON SC: - there is going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best left to him to speak to that. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And – MR SEMENYA SC: And for the record, the inferences that are capable to be drawn in law have a formula to respect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is that on the Scott hard drive, on the Scott notebook or possibly on the Scott private hard drive? Maybe you can enlighten me? COLONEL SCOTT: This is on my Scott private hard drive, it is Marikana, it is Marikana Master, so this is not in the Operation Platinum file, that's a standalone file which deals with the two, three days after the events. On that Scott private hard drive there is a Marikana Master which is also reflecting files which I've drawn over from the police hard drive and it is in that one where there is a "post event." I stand mistaken, I thought I created it in my actual, call it the two day after OPS Platinum file. MR CHASKALSON SC: Oh, I see, I think we're probably going to have to go through the same Forensic exercise in respect of those Scott private hard drives as we will in respect of the Scott notebook, would you be happy for us to do that? COLONEL SCOTT: Absolutely. MR CHASKALSON SC: It may involve your staying a little bit longer because – COLONEL SCOTT: That's fine –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: That's one inference, there is another inference that it was thought that it was sufficiently embarrassing not to circulate it to the parties. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, you said it, I didn't. It does seem to me that that is a very possible inference and indeed a more likely inference to draw, would you accept that's a more likely inference to draw? COLONEL SCOTT: I can only give you my – CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure you're embarrassed by having to answer this. COLONEL SCOTT: Well, I could only give you my opinion and I hear where he is coming from, I know what he is saying but if you take in depth the explanation to try to justify what he is getting to, I think it is best left to him to speak to that. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. COLONEL SCOTT: Yes. MR CHASKALSON SC: And – MR SEMENYA SC: And for the record, the inferences that are capable to be drawn in law have a

	Page 14046		Page 14048
1	the record, inferences capable in law to be drawn have to	1	that question, there are really two questions that we need
2	abide with a particular formula, that's not just to be	2	answered. The first is who put together the selection of
3	drawn randomly.	3	files in the Post Event directory and the second is, who
4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's correct.	4	put together the selection of files that was given to the
5	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, this is probably	5	evidence leaders on the 7th of November as the MTS series,
6	not a debate that we should have through Colonel Scott, it	6	because that's a selection –
7	wouldn't be fair to him but what I do want to ask in this	7	
	regard is really with whom should we be having this debate		•
8		8	to them overnight and it may take them some time to find
9	other, apart from Brigadier Calitz because you've testified	9	the answer but I'm sure as soon as they have it they'll
10	to us that you never seen this video before. You've	10	send it to you. They have been very cooperative and acted
11	testified, sorry, can you confirm that?	11	very properly up to now and there is no reason to think
12	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes, yes.	12	that they would cease behaving in that fashion.
13	MR CHASKALSON SC: You've testified to us	13	MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Chairperson, we
14	that the, - well, let me clarify this. You've seen the	14	will address that enquiry to SAPS overnight and I think on
15	selection of the videos in the Post Event directory that	15	that note it may be a convenient time to adjourn.
16	was handed to the evidence leaders.	16	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, perhaps, it is
17	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	17	suggested to me that I should say, to modify what I said
18	MR CHASKALSON SC: Is that a selection	18	earlier, it might have been considered to be potentially
19	with which you had anything to do with or to do?	19	embarrassing, it is inappropriate to put any stronger than
20	COLONEL SCOTT: No.	20	that at this stage. We will adjourn until tomorrow morning
21	MR CHASKALSON SC: No, so somebody else	21	at nine o'clock.
22	put together that selection?	22	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
23	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes.	23	
24	MR CHASKALSON SC: Now the question which	24	
25	we need to answer is, who is that person?	25	
	Page 14047		
1	COLONEL SCOTT: I can't tell you, I don't		
2	know, but what I'm trying to speculate is also, if the two		
3	warrant officers admitted to downloading their video		
4	evidence daily and which they initially said it was to me,		
5	is it not possible that that was to Crime Intelligence		
6	daily? You mentioned yourself that an SD card falls out		
7	quite rapidly and it is possible that once they're		
8	downloaded it they formatted the card so that they would		
9	have space for the following days' video taking and it is		
10	possible that these videos found their way back into the		
11	JOC even so through the POPS members themselves or for that		
12	matter the Crime Intelligence members, being brought back		
13	to us. Maybe that's why there is even a Crime Intelligence		
14	file that's –		
15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, in all possibility		
16	because I would have thought the simple way of dealing with		
17	it is for the query to be addressed to the SAPS team and		
18	ask –		
19	COLONEL SCOTT: Yes –		
20	CHAIRPERSON: They have been very helpful		
21	in giving information up to now, there is no reason to		
22	think the cooperativeness will cease at this point. I'm		
23	and the seeperation of the source of the point. The		
	sure a question addressed to them will elicit a helpful		
24	sure a question addressed to them will elicit a helpful answer.		
24 25	sure a question addressed to them will elicit a helpful answer. MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, we will address		

RCHIVE

Pretoria

				Paç
A	achieve 13858:25	Ag 14009:5	anybody 13880:14	13910:13 13913:1
aan 13862:8	13868:9	aggressive 13973:23	13986:1	13928:23
aangeval 13862:10	acknowledging	ago 13868:11 13874:13	anyway 14003:19	arrest 13870:8
abandon 13930:23	13924:3	13920:24 14002:20	apart 13892:11	14003:25 14005:16
abide 14046:2	acted 14048:10	14003:10 14005:4	13893:20 13935:17	arresteer 13862:11
able 13860:22	action 13886:19	14025:8,10 14030:10	13935:20 14046:9	arrestees 14003:24
13869:13 13871:1	13968:16 14037:3	agree 13865:3,6	apologise 13865:12	arresting 13880:8
13879:20,24	activities 13865:19	13877:17 13882:7,8	13887:14 13904:5	arrests 13885:9,24
13879.20,24	14021:16	13888:6,8 13893:24	14010:22 14015:20	13889:8
	actual 13947:19	13915:23 13960:3	apparent 13897:8	arrival 13915:22
13889:22,22,25	13957:10 13980:17	13999:3 14002:10	13956:12,14	13981:25
13911:20 13912:5	14002:11 14007:15	agreed 13861:21	14032:16	arrived 13976:2
13913:14,25	14036:2 14043:12	13893:11,18	apparently 13865:13	articulate 13986:22
13921:12 13922:4				
13924:9 13931:5	ad 13953:8	13919:23 13987:23	13911:20 13930:16	artificial 13999:12
13980:2 13998:10,12	add 13879:7	13998:16 14006:19	14002:8	asked 13858:6 13859:
13999:5,8 14000:2	address 13868:7	14022:23,24	appear 13872:24	13861:9 13866:7
14030:23 14040:2	13915:15 13933:5	agreement 13988:4	13892:16 13919:15	13877:12 13895:16
absolutely 13915:5	13944:17 13959:25	13998:19,25	13929:19,20	13899:10 13902:21
13921:18 14043:19	13970:17 14021:11	14006:22	13941:18 13971:10	13902:23 13907:24
accept 13866:23	14047:25 14048:14	Ah 13919:19	13991:15 14040:12	13909:12 13910:17
13867:8 13869:23	addressed 13858:24	ahead 13914:2	14042:13	13911:5 13913:24
13870:12 13872:1,23	13868:20 13907:9	13940:12	appeared 13911:24	13972:2 13992:21
	13922:13 14047:17	aid 13889:23	13913:14 13996:19	14015:23 14016:16
13878:1 13879:4	14047:23	aim 13993:6	14006:1	14027:7
13884:22 13888:25	addressing 13959:24	aiming 13975:17	appears 13865:3	asking 13891:6
13890:1,2,17 13891:7		0		
13892:17 13900:3	13974:17 14022:5	air 13868:23 13886:4	13909:7 13911:22	13897:1 13898:15,1
13910:10 13916:18	adjourn 13988:6,12	13890:8	13916:25 13935:1	13898:17,21,21
13927:12,13 13930:3	14048:15,20	ALEO 13867:15	13945:11 13955:7	13920:21 13926:13
13932:12 13933:19	ADJOURNED	13869:1,6 13875:1	13966:4 13976:13	13936:4,12,17,19
13935:2,9,20,24	14048:22	alerted 13895:25	applicable 13886:23	13949:18 13968:2
13936:6,11,20	adjournment 13858:13	13908:4	13957:24 13993:3	asook 13862:10
13937:5,11 13938:14	13921:3,6,20	allowed 13867:21	14039:23,25	aspects 14019:15
13938:15 13943:6	13931:22 13986:10	13933:5 13944:16	appreciated 13891:2	assembled 13992:14
	13987:13,19	13945:21	approach 13977:20	14012:25
13944:23,25	14023:13	allowing 13870:1	approaching 13977:22	asserted 13868:2
13947:11 13950:8,22	ADJOURNS 13921:21	alluding 13870:19	appropriate 13858:9	assessment 13929:21
13951:25 13952:3	13988:13 14023:14	alongside 13877:18	13899:7 14004:10	assigned 13885:8
13960:15,16		0		0
13963:13 13965:19	admit 13909:4 13993:6	alright 13917:23	approval 13993:3	assignment 13859:21
13965:25 13966:3,14	admitted 14047:3	13928:21 13954:12	approvals 13959:16	assignments 13859:20
13968:19,20 13975:2	admittedly 13883:4	13954:15 13987:16	approximate 13869:12	assist 13877:12
13984:1 14006:9	Adv 13861:8 13905:6	14005:9	13875:15	13946:1 13972:5
14020:12 14030:9	13905:11,12	altered 13998:8	approximately	assisted 13876:1
14036:22 14045:8	advance 13868:4	Alternatively 13876:25	13861:24 13869:15	assisting 13880:8
acceptable 13918:6	13989:8	altogether 13867:24	13871:3 13880:2,25	14021:20
13921:14	advocate 13881:19	ambiguity 13895:22,25	13943:5	assume 13912:8
	13910:8,15 14003:13	ambiguous 13895:19	archive 13925:10	14013:5
accepted 13859:21	aerial 13884:19,20	amounts 13975:5	13968:12,16	assumed 13899:13
13970:4 13974:22	13885:18 13886:1,2	analogue 14029:6	area 13871:11,19,20	14037:18
13980:2 14006:17	13887:21 13889:16	animosity 13983:25	13874:21,22,23,25	assuming 13898:6
14007:3		13984:1	13874.21,22,25,25	13926:14 13967:23
accessible 13873:11	13889:22,23,24			
account 13861:6	13891:1	Annandale 13964:6,15	13879:22 13883:18	14010:9 14035:22
13878:18	affidavit 13893:4	answer 13861:9,14	13884:15 13890:5,20	14036:3 14037:4
accuracy 13863:9	affiliated 13975:22,23	13863:24 13866:9,15	14020:9 14021:19	assumption 13896:15
13906:11,13	13976:25 13979:22	13899:11,16	areas 13886:18	13903:3 13967:25
13946:15 13991:6	13980:4,5 13983:3	13902:11,12 13903:4	13888:14 13890:10	assurance 13996:15
accurate 13863:5	13985:12,16,16	13903:5,6 13924:14	13945:23	attached 13909:2
13889:1 13918:12	affiliation 13978:24	13927:18 13938:23	aren't 14035:5	attack 13886:25
13946:8 13957:14	afraid 13861:14	13939:6,9 13969:24	argue 13899:21	attacked 13979:3
	13969:25	13998:9 13999:5	argument 13864:5	attacking 13870:9
13977:11 13985:7	Afrikaans 14033:10	14031:18 14045:12	13901:9 13984:3	attacks 13870:7
14008:6 14044:12	afstorm 13862:6	14046:25 14047:24	14007:1	attempt 13937:2
accurately 13917:1	aftermath 13981:17			-
13920:23,25 14033:1		14048:9	armed 13862:14	13960:9 13980:21
accusations 13968:7	14030:5	answered 14048:2	13870:5,8 13874:24	attempted 13977:20
accused 13968:4	afternoon 13920:11	anticipando 13902:13	arrange 14034:18	attend 13858:7
14003:15	14032:16 14035:22	anticipate 13999:4,7	arranged 13870:24	attention 13909:16
1.000110	14038:12	anxious 14017:25	arrangements	attest 14007:2

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
attorney 13858:6,19	13957:10 13960:11	13992:25 13993:4	bringing 13892:6	13998:9 14009:6
13876:21	13961:20,24 13962:5	14000:8 14007:11	13896:12 14027:15	calls 13889:13 13892:1
attorneys 13892:3,4	13964:5 13965:11	14026:3 14028:21	14027:15,16	13892:14,15
13905:3	13973:15,18	14030:7,17,21	14032:20 14038:2	13893:21 13898:12
audience 13971:16	13975:13 13980:17	14038:24 14045:16	broadly 13889:1	13903:5
August 13868:12	13988:25 13991:3,14	better 13946:2 13972:5	13892:9 13935:19	camera 13919:3
13873:15 13904:17	13994:13 13999:21	13972:10 14033:1	broke 13941:10,11	13933:23 13934:19
13904:18,25 13906:9	13999:22 14000:9,21	beyond 13961:6	brought 13879:7,10,12	13934:21 13939:11
13914:15 13919:24	14000:23 14001:19	13968:1	13894:13,14,14,16	13939:12 13948:12
13925:11 13967:1	14001:20,23	big 13930:20 14005:10	13895:8,9 13896:18	13948:21,23,25
13971:12 13973:25	14004:22 14005:25	14019:24	13897:8 13898:3	13949:4,5,8,10,24
13973:25 13974:2	14009:20 14012:8	bigger 13939:24	13899:2,10 13908:16	13950:6,16,18
13976:18 13981:3,11	14014:18 14016:17	14019:24	13964:9,21 13965:3,5	13954:13,14 13955:5
14001:10 14002:1,2,3	14018:25 14023:1	bit 13876:7,22	13965:12 14032:13	13955:7,7,7,12,22
14013:12,15,17,23	14031:1 14035:16	13877:15,16	14036:12 14038:9	13956:11 13957:19
14015:1,4,6 14018:13	14036:2 14040:7	13907:11 13921:23	14047:12 build 13959:14	13958:8 13960:12
14018:20 14020:14 14020:16 14021:25	14047:10,12 backed 14033:14	13924:22 13966:13 13967:10 13969:10	13964:12	13961:10 13965:12
	background 13946:13	13980:8 13994:1,7,10	building 13920:14	13965:16,18,23 13994:19 13997:8
14022:1,12 14031:25 14032:13 14035:7	13971:1,24,25	13990.8 13994.1,7,10	13959:18 14013:22	13999:18 14004:13
authentic 13994:14	13971:1,24,25	14043:21	built 14021:20	14007:6,7,13,16,16
authorising 13868:9	13972.25 13973.22	black 13941:3,7,22	bullet 13886:16	14007.0,7,15,10,10
authority 13878:22	backup 13884:16	13942:1,7	13887:22 13888:12	14010:14 14012:9,20
authors 14006:11	badly 13955:24	blame 13959:20	bundle 13903:18	14010:14 14012:9,20
available 13865:15	balance 14012:22	blankets 13869:18	13919:8 13929:25	14025:18 14026:14
13914:9 13916:5	Barnard 13894:19,20	13870:10 13871:2	13970:25 14007:21	14026:23 14027:11
13921:11 13923:21	barrister-at-law	13879:17	Burger 13866:4,7,11	14027:11,20,21
13927:11,14	13858:12,16	blemish 13984:10	business 13862:20	14029:1,6 14044:4
13992:10 14029:21	based 13886:14	13985:10	14034:19	cameras 13896:13
14034:23	13921:13 13945:13	blue 13873:7 13933:6	busy 14020:20	13917:8 13928:15,16
aware 13862:16	13976:15 13978:3,4	14020:1,2		13928:18 13933:24
13863:13 13895:10	basically 13900:22	bombarding 13912:13	C	13934:1 13935:17
13896:12 13925:21	basis 13875:8 13898:24	book 13870:16	calculated 13970:7,8,9	13939:14,17 13948:9
13932:23 13934:20	13898:25 13945:2	13878:15	13970:11 13987:2	13950:7 13967:24
13936:14 13937:23	batch 14018:18,21	books 13978:15	Calitz 13880:6 14005:2	14006:13 14007:13
13939:19 13957:11	batches 14031:16	Botha 13865:8,14,16	14020:5,7 14022:4	14007:14 14008:16
13972:2 13979:19,21	bed 13934:16	13865:21,23 13866:3	14024:4,5 14025:4	14010:8 14015:14,18
13985:7,8 13989:16	beefs 13979:23	13866:10,15,18	14040:15 14044:21	14016:7 14025:23,25
13991:9 13997:10	beg 13875:4 13877:23	13867:2 13878:10,17	14046:9	14026:5,10 14027:17
14005:21 14013:15	13941:6 14010:17,21	13878:23 14029:19	Calitz's 13881:22	14028:6,10,15,19,22
14018:6 14024:1,22	beginning 13888:17	Botha's 13867:5,6	call 13866:16 13867:13	14029:11,21,25
14025:3 14026:6	13932:9 13970:7	bottom 13909:8	13868:18 13873:9,10	14032:15
14027:5,6 14028:10	begins 13932:5	13968:3 14014:25	13873:11 13886:10	camera's 13918:23
14028:11 14029:1,2	behalf 13886:10	14018:12,21	13888:13 13891:2	canvass 13890:12
14029:14,24 14031:6	13912:12 13976:23	bouncing 14008:20	13905:11 13912:17	can't 13885:20
14038:11	behaving 14048:12	bracket 13982:22	13913:15 13916:13	13891:21 13892:12
awkward 13891:11,14	behaviour 13915:11	13983:9	13919:6 13929:11	13894:23 13927:3
B	13920:4 13937:18	brackets 13924:9,10	13940:2 13954:5	13945:19,22 13986:1
B 13954:8,10,11	13943:20 13947:3	break 13922:25	13979:8 13981:4	13986:23 13989:2
	13996:5,6 14005:8 belief 13979:7 13986:7	13923:3,15,16,17 14016:2 14035:25	13985:22 13998:17	13991:22 14000:22 14002:14 14016:9
13955:6,7,7,12,22 13956:11 13957:19	13986:8	breakdown 14021:15	14001:3,4 14007:19 14012:4 14014:18	14002:14 14010:9
back 13861:15,16,17	believe 13885:16	breaks 13922:24	14012:4 14014:18	14020.23 14032.21 14038:10 14047:1
13873:2 13874:17,18	13894:9 13931:1	13990:18 13994:4	14013.8 14018.19	capability 13886:21
13874:20 13877:4	13975:9 13976:25	brief 13947:16 14036:1	called 13888:16	13887:4
13878:2 13888:2,4	13983:4	briefed 13887:11	13894:7,8 13897:7	capable 13969:2
13892:20 13895:4	believed 13979:22	13976:10	13904:5 13910:14	14045:22 14046:1
13908:1,13 13910:5	13985:21	briefing 13882:21	13914:12 13917:8	Capital 13859:14
13914:3 13917:9,15	bell 14007:7	13883:8,22,23	13918:21 13929:5,16	Captain 13864:10
13918:6,10,15	belonging 13986:5	13976:2 14019:13,15	13980:23 13999:16	13871:12,18,22
13919:4,5 13921:23	benefit 13973:9	14019:16 14024:7,12	14001:6 14007:6	13873:4 13877:19,19
13922:22 13926:14	berets 13880:8	14032:2,3 14040:15	14008:11 14009:16	13881:2,3 13891:25
13927:22 13928:25	best 13903:14 13917:23	14044:21	14011:16 14030:13	13892:11,22 13893:4
13929:9 13932:25	13927:14,19 13937:7	briefly 14042:3	14033:10,15 14039:4	13893:19 13894:1,3
13933:25 13934:1,4	13946:16,19	bring 13912:22	14040:18 14041:23	13894:15 13895:9
10000 1 100 15 5	120 (7 15 12072 12	14027-25	12022.25	12006.17 12001.2 16
13939:1 13947:5	13967:15 13973:12	14037:25	calling 13923:25	13896:17 13901:3,16

				Page
13901:21 13902:3,6,7	13865:22 13877:2	class 14017:4	13971:16 14037:23	complicated 13907:11
13902:18 13903:13	13879:3,4 13898:12	clear 13867:24	commanding 13866:19	complied 13897:15
13904:16 13905:1	13898:19 13899:16	13895:24 13897:5	13892:15	complimenting
13907:10,14,16	13900:9 13901:6	13940:2 13955:21	commencing 13924:24	13909:15
13908:3 13910:7	13921:18 13970:20	13957:19 13960:13	comment 13891:5	composites 13946:12
13912:1,12,20	13984:4 13986:1,12	13961:12,17	comments 13883:17	composition 13947:14
13913:24 14029:16	13986:20,21 13998:5	13968:21 13976:11	commission 13858:2,4	comprise 13951:24
capture 13863:8	14035:3 14045:25	14004:9	13858:11 13864:2	13963:12
13886:16	challenge 13984:4	clearer 13960:20	13868:6 13873:7	computer 13876:2,7,8
captured 13916:16	chambers 13988:9	clearly 14018:8	13893:14 13901:19	13876:15,17
card 13967:12,13,23	13990:19 14003:13	clip 13910:9 13933:9	13901:23,25 13916:1	13905:11 13910:15
14010:11 14047:6,8 cards 13918:1,2,4	change 13944:20 13964:1	13941:2,8 13942:5,9 13942:24 13948:13	13917:17 13921:21 13921:21,22 13929:1	13918:10 13920:22 13921:6 13951:15
13920:19 13965:12	changed 13951:17	13942.24 13948.13	13921.21,22 13929.1	13952:7,20 13965:9
13965:16,18,23,24,25	channelled 13912:23	13949.1,2,7 13997.20	13933:9,20 13944:14	13990:21 13991:14
13967:4,5 13968:1	charge 13900:2,21	clips 13948:1 13949:4	13944:15 13945:4,12	13992:9 14008:11,15
14010:8 14015:14,18	charged 13862:14	13999:19	13946:1 13957:6	14008:17 14032:15
14025:18 14032:15	charging 13870:6	close 13867:15	13971:18 13972:5,9	14033:12
14035:7 14036:20	CHASSKALSON	13874:22 13889:24	13987:4 13988:13,13	computers 13967:6
14037:1	13916:3	13924:10 14009:25	13988:14 13998:7	computer's 14033:8
carried 13984:8	chats 14025:4	14026:17	14007:10 14013:17	concede 13909:4
carry 13875:13	check 13952:18,19	closed 13886:25	14013:18,20 14014:3	13939:4 13946:16
case 13883:20 13897:4	13993:4	closely 13994:7	14014:4 14019:18	13950:13 13961:6
13897:25 13900:20	checked 13939:19	closer 13995:14	14023:14,14,16	13970:15
13903:7 13921:9	13992:15,17	clothing 14020:1,2	14040:22 14048:22	conceded 13862:12
13934:11 13992:25	checking 13939:15	coincidence 13983:22	Commissioner	13943:16 13953:11
13993:4,18 13997:14	chin 13902:7	13986:13,14	13873:17 13874:15	13986:9
14005:16 14016:9	chopper 13867:18	colleagues 13985:2	13897:21 13900:11	concentrated 14041:8
14026:16 14039:16	13868:23,25 13869:5	collection 13989:17	13902:17,21 13904:1	concentrating
cases 13996:20	13869:9 13878:8	13991:21	13905:20 13906:2	13945:23 13946:24
cast 13968:10	13881:20 13884:5	column 13913:17	13924:11 13931:16	14039:22
categorised 13976:12	chose 13957:3	come 13861:15,17	13952:24 13964:13	concentration
cause 13970:14 13987:2,25 14003:4	chosen 13957:5 13960:21	13877:4 13883:21 13890:5 13904:15	13976:1 13977:8 13978:6 13982:17,23	14013:23 14041:8 concern 13916:3
caused 14037:3	chronological 13999:22	13890:5 13904:15	13978:6 13982:17,25	13929:3 13932:4
cautious 13982:21	14001:22,24 14002:1	13912:18,22,24	14019:12,16	13969:12 13983:21
CC 13872:7	14001:22,24 14002:1	13923:2,10 13933:7	14022:19,22 14025:9	13984:13,13
CCTV 13928:15,18	chronologically	13945:20 13953:24	commissioners	13995:14 13999:12
14028:13,15	13999:13,19	13967:11 13971:7	13859:11 13864:14	14036:9 14042:10
CC13 13929:24	CI 14002:20,25	13973:15,18 13981:5	13903:18 13929:12	concerned 13868:8
CC18 13929:24	circling 14012:16	13987:18 13991:3	13933:3	13874:4 13976:3
13935:24	circuit 14026:17	13993:19 13994:19	Commissioner's	14006:7 14017:4
CC32 13872:19	circulate 14022:23	14000:2,9 14001:18	14024:25 14035:24	14039:14 14040:15
CC7 13930:2 13936:1	14044:23,24 14045:3	14014:19 14021:12	Commissioner's	concerns 13858:23
CC8 13942:11 13997:4	circulated 13961:19	14021:21 14023:1	13874:3 13919:8	13914:8,10 13994:8
CDs 14027:16	circulation 13961:22	14031:6,15 14039:20	Commission's	Concession 13932:16
cease 14047:22	circumstances	comes 13861:16	14007:20	conclusion 13945:3
14048:12	13922:11	13902:3,7 13954:1	commit 14008:21	14030:7
cell 13904:25 13907:10	claimed 13976:9	13967:11	14025:24 14030:20	confer 14016:1
13907:14 13910:13	clarified 13970:1	coming 13875:22	committee 14035:21	confess 13897:13
13913:24 13914:1 certain 13858:4	clarify 13934:25 13938:23 13970:22	13880:14 13884:17 13921:23 13928:10	common 13987:25 communicate 13924:15	14013:13 confidential 13969:12
13894:11 13899:19	13973:3 13990:4	13921:25 13928:10	communicated 13924:13	confine 13914:18
13928:18,19	14000:12 14010:15	14032:25 14034:24	communication	14029:20
13945:21 13946:6,12	14028:10 14046:14	14032.23 14034.24	13924:13	confined 13870:16
13959:19 13965:25	clarifying 14018:3	command 13871:12,20	compared 13889:19	confirm 13860:16,22
13990:25 14006:16	clarity 14042:18	13884:19,20	14018:11	13872:17 13884:1
14031:14 14039:20	clash 13972:25,25	13885:18,22,23	compiled 13882:17	13906:7,23 13927:5,7
certainly 13877:2	13973:24 13974:1,2,5	13886:1,2,5 13887:21	13883:4	13981:13 14046:11
13979:1 14000:8	13975:14 13976:6	13887:22 13889:23	complain 13895:22	confirmed 13914:13,14
certainty 14009:11	13979:2 13980:14	13889:24 13891:1	complete 13893:5	13920:18 13984:16
chain 13910:6	13982:9,12 13983:17	commanded 13861:23	13895:11 13907:3,4	14012:11
13922:22,24,25	13984:21	commander 13884:24	13913:11 13963:17	confirms 13902:8
13923:4,15	clashed 13975:1	13884:25 13886:9	13992:15 13994:2	confused 13939:1
Chair 13858:18	13979:18	13889:17 13901:1	14016:25 14017:3	confusing 13887:8
13859:17 13864:21	clashes 13976:7,9	commanders 13889:13	completed 13969:2	conjecture 13898:22
ARCHIVE EO	RIUSTICE		1	1

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
connection 14004:4	copy 13864:14,16	cover 13881:10	14033:20 14035:17	definitely 13950:21
consciously 13965:24	13873:13,13,15	create 14039:3	14042:19	13980:5 13982:23
consider 13881:20	13896:19 13911:21	created 13980:18	dated 13868:12	13983:2 14009:7,9,10
13892:5 13920:21	13926:5 13931:22	14009:22 14039:2,6	dates 13913:16,17,20	14027:20,21
considerably 13893:15	14001:5 14015:17	14041:23 14042:20	13913:22 14033:18	14032:21,23
considered 13894:12	14031:2,2	14042:21 14043:12	14033:21	degree 13957:1
14004:10 14005:16	copying 13926:11	creates 13932:17	day 13863:8 13870:24	13983:11 14006:16
14048:18	13990:6	creating 13974:8	13894:10 13899:9,21	14014:23
considering 13886:19	corner 13977:20	14025:20	13960:10 13964:13	delay 14015:21
13943:13 13950:11	14019:23	cries 13892:12	13972:2 14017:20	delegation 13976:7
14005:18	correct 13860:18	crime 14003:2,6	14020:20 14026:11	deleted 13894:23
consistent 13870:2	13863:1 13865:20,21	14004:1,4,17	14039:2 14043:12	13895:5,16 13896:3
13994:18	13867:4 13877:21	14005:19 14006:8	days 13874:13	13896:16,16,25
consistently 13976:14	13879:14 13881:13	14029:15 14047:5,12	13894:10 13928:16	13898:21,24
13994:16	13882:13 13883:12	14047:13	13951:12 13964:16	13904:20 13925:3,4
consultation 14031:12	13883:13 13886:3	Criminal 14003:6	13965:6,7 13967:18	13925:18,20
consulting 13991:7	13887:24 13888:22	critical 13980:12	13969:3 13979:8	deletions 13925:22
contacted 13876:18				
	13890:1 13892:23	critically 13974:3	13982:24 14008:23	deliberate 13970:8
contain 13860:3	13901:7 13906:17	criticism 13897:17	14021:15 14033:17	deliver 13863:5
13915:24	13915:6 13919:16,17	13902:4,11,12,13	14036:18 14043:7	13894:9
contained 13891:22	13921:1 13922:1	cross 13864:7 13986:21	14047:9	delivered 13965:6
contains 13893:13	13923:14 13935:9	13987:25 14026:16	dead 13974:2,3	demarcates 14019:5
13980:24 14042:11	13937:6 13950:3	14042:24	13982:12	depending 13874:5
CONTD 13860:1	13951:25 13953:2,6	crossed 13936:16	deal 13858:15	depict 13882:15
13988:18 14023:20	13953:17 13955:12	cross-examination	13884:16 13885:9	depicts 13888:5
contemporaneous	13955:13,16,19,25	13860:1 13903:15	13887:5 13891:11	deployed 13883:20
13971:21,22	13956:3 13957:20	13914:6 13921:5	13903:5 13917:11	13884:8 13886:18
13981:21 13983:23	13964:10,11,19	13922:14,17	13953:16 13971:23	13887:21 13888:15
contemporaneously	13965:13 13972:13	13986:12 13988:18	13973:24	deploying 13883:17
13973:5	13973:11 13976:20	13999:11 14016:12	dealing 13858:4	deployment 13883:23
content 13891:25	13978:14 13979:10	14017:14 14023:20	13912:12,23	13887:18 14020:20
13892:12 13893:21	13981:14 13987:21	14025:12	13917:15 13924:18	14021:3
13973:19	13997:5 14005:3	crowd 13866:12,14	13976:4 13993:17	deployments 13870:23
contents 14027:10	14017:17 14020:17	13867:16,18 13869:3	14013:12 14031:9	depth 14045:15
14030:18	14028:25 14034:4,5	13870:12 13879:18	14040:6 14047:16	derogatory 13899:14
context 13889:6	14041:16 14046:4	13879:24 13915:11	deals 13908:9 13919:9	describe 13898:10
13964:12	corrected 14009:22	13943:20 13947:3	14043:7	13914:3 13930:24
contingency 13886:10	14011:19	13948:2 13996:4,6	dealt 13875:19	13973:22
continue 13922:14,16	correctly 13929:10	14005:7 14031:10	13904:16 13905:7	described 13917:6
14040:6	13957:8 13971:12,20	14037:12 14040:3	13908:11 13916:23	13985:23 14044:22
continued 13866:11	13976:17 13985:23	crowds 13869:2,7,17	13989:21 13998:15	describes 13910:6
13927:10 13979:8	14025:19	13869:19 13870:10	death 13980:10,11	13913:16
continuum 13887:4	correspondence	13879:17	deaths 13975:12	describing 13872:16
control 13866:12	13858:3	crowd's 13937:18	13984:20	13887:15
13886:20 14013:11	corroborate 13901:21	Crowd's 13920:3	debate 13987:11	description 13889:1
controlled 13922:10	couldn't 13957:3	culled 13961:22	14046:6,8	deserve 13897:18
controversial 13892:5	13977:12 14005:20	cure 13860:7	debated 13875:18	designated 14035:13
13892:7	14015:16	cured 13923:18	December 13972:3,9	designation 13886:8
convenient 13876:11	counsel 13858:17	curious 13983:22	13972:16,18 13973:8	designed 13946:7,8
14023:8 14048:15	13987:17	custodian 14011:25	13974:14 13976:17	13972:9
conversation 13909:22	counteraction	14012:1,2	13979:13 13984:18	desire 13900:25
13921:24 14024:9	13886:17	custody 13923:10,18	decide 13898:16	detail 13917:25
14025:8	counter-assignment	13924:23 13927:4	13899:8	13966:13 13967:10
convey 14024:20	13859:23	cut 13888:2 14019:11	decision 13886:14	13994:1 13996:16
conveying 13884:10	couple 13880:1		13957:5 13961:4	14024:14 14033:20
convoluted 14017:11	13918:14 13947:16	D	decisions 13871:8	details 13890:12
cooperation 13858:22	13967:18 13969:2	daily 14003:16,18	deductions 13978:16	13933:13 14000:10
cooperative 14048:10	14033:17	14047:4,6	deemed 13959:12	14032:25
cooperativeness	course 13862:18	dam 13877:5,13,18,19	13977:20	determine 13918:16
14047:22	13864:1,24 13870:21	dat 13862:7,10,11	defence 13863:3	determined 13961:2
copied 13923:24	13899:19 13901:16	data 13921:11	defer 13885:20	detrimental 13895:14
13924:22 13925:5	13902:9 13911:6	date 13913:17,21	deferred 13869:22	devices 13951:18,18
			13878:12	diagram 14014:25
13927:2 13966.18	39 3:2 3933.16	1,7990:10,1,1999.11		
13927:2 13966:18 conies 13904·19	13913:2 13933:16 13934·24 13968·16	13990:10 13999:15 13999:17 14000:14		0
copies 13904:19	13934:24 13968:16	13999:17 14000:14	defiant 13974:4,5	didn't 13867:6
				0

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
13898:2,4 13905:15	13965:22 13994:10	14033:3 14034:2	14026:25 14027:2,4	13912:19,21
13908:4,22 13927:18	13996:16,21	documents 13860:3	14027:10,24 14030:3	13913:17 13922:9
13946:4 13989:11	13997:17 13999:14	13922:8 13980:17	14030:19 14032:14	13925:19 13948:2
13990:4 13991:1	13999:17 14001:2,13	14033:4 14034:3	14033:12 14036:20	13963:19 13969:15
13993:4 13996:13	14001:14,20 14002:5	14038:24	14037:1 14047:8	13995:13 13997:15
13999:13 14005:22	14002:6,18 14007:5,6	doesn't 13997:8	downloading 14035:7	14005:15 14007:12
14013:23 14014:1	14007:10,19,21	14005:14	14047:3	14008:14,24
14016:4 14021:22,23	14008:1,4 14009:15	dog 13871:14	drabs 13907:12	14018:24 14035:16
14024:13 14037:7	14009:16,19 14012:5	dogs 13871:14	drafted 13971:6	14038:24
14038:16 14041:19	14012:9,11,16,19,20	doing 13879:13	13981:7 13985:19	elicit 14047:23
14045:6,24	14012:22,24 14013:1	13882:25 13884:13	drafter 13985:1	email 13903:15
die 13862:6,7	14013:1,2 14017:6	13974:17 13984:18	dragging 13926:16	13904:12 13905:3
died 13985:8	14019:1,4 14025:12	14028:3 14033:8	draw 14013:2 14045:8	13907:10 13908:1,19
difference 13883:15	14025:13 14026:4,5,6	dominant 13975:10	14045:9	13908:19,22 13910:5
different 13878:18	14027:8,9 14030:24	dominated 13977:17	drawing 13909:16	13910:5 13911:18
13879:2 13883:16	14033:7 14039:16,17	13977:19	drawn 13901:13	13990:13 13991:11
13896:10 13902:3,9	14040:18,19 14041:1	don't 13861:9	13974:11 13982:20	13991:18
13914:4 13918:2	14041:3,23 14042:1,9	13864:10 13866:16	14006:18 14043:10	emails 13909:2
13928:16,16	14042:11,11,25	13866:24 13870:15	14045:22 14046:1,3	embark 13914:6
13933:23 13934:1,10	14044:5,8,16,18,20	13881:20 13885:1	dribs 13907:11	embarrassed 14045:11
13934:14 13939:14	14046:15 14048:3	13888:13,24 13889:9	drie 13862:8	embarrassing
13945:10 13947:4	directory\videos\16CI	13891:4,12,16	drives 13928:24	13897:17 13909:4
13948:9,20,24	14001:3	13892:4 13896:22	14008:12 14040:18	14045:3 14048:19
13949:1,4,24	disagree 13869:4	13898:17,20 13899:3	14043:17	embarrassment
13957:23 13972:15	disagreed 13869:5	13901:9 13902:11,15	driving 13979:23	13901:5
13988:19 13993:13	13878:22	13905:8 13942:11	dry 13877:5,13	emerge 13908:5
13994:10 13997:14	disclosed 13890:14	13944:19 13989:11	dual 13884:9	emphasise 13866:1
13998:2,2 14005:16	13892:16 13894:2	13990:4,8 13991:23	due 13864:1 13870:21	en 13862:9
14006:12 14008:18	discount 13926:1	13992:5 13995:12,13	13886:8,20 13901:16	enable 13972:9
14008:19,20	discovered 14028:7	13997:18,21	13911:6 13913:2	enabled 13962:19
14019:15 14026:22	discrepancies 13883:20	14001:15 14003:9	13933:15 13934:23	encounter 13881:6,9
14027:1 14037:14	13998:9,11,17,23	14004:7,11 14006:10	duly 13861:11	encountering 13886:12
14039:13,15	13999:9	14006:25 14010:10	DUNCAN 13859:4	endeavour 13862:20
14040:13,16	discretion 13959:19	14010:12 14014:4,9	13988:16 14023:18	ended 14020:14
14042:13	discretionary 13894:25	14015:20,24 14022:2	duplicate 14000:13	ends 13954:3 14041:10
difficult 13885:12	discussed 13904:20	14023:24 14024:24	duplicated 13989:11	enforcement 13868:24
13889:18 13890:22	13914:13 13919:22	14025:24 14027:14	duration 14022:13	Engelbrecht 13893:7
13912:5 13918:9	13921:25 13943:16	14030:20 14031:13	duties 13908:14	14004:18
13952:8 14026:11,12	13987:22 13998:15	14032:5 14040:20	duty 13984:8	engineer 14000:7
14027:22	14005:4,11	14047:1	dye 13873:7	engineered 13970:21
difficulties 13918:14	discussing 13891:24	door 13872:20		13972:8 13973:8
13919:7 13930:21	13973:19 13977:13	double 13995:1	E	13979:14 14011:5
difficulty 13911:19	discussion 13873:21	14015:20 14043:24	earlier 13865:18	14013:9
13997:8 14031:18	dispersal 13869:2	double-digit 13994:17	13875:7 13880:16	engineering 13972:3
digital 14029:11	disperse 13869:7,17	doubts 13950:19	13893:7 13896:21	13976:16 13980:22
digits 13994:12	13870:10 13879:17	13951:3,6 13968:10	13939:8 13943:15,20	13984:19 14011:7
13995:5 14007:22	dispersing 13870:5	download 13908:17	13950:19 13996:3,10	enlighten 14043:3
direct 13886:17	dispersion 13884:15	13910:14 13912:5	13996:25 13997:4	enquire 13873:19
13889:25	display 13872:11	13920:12 13923:2	14006:3 14008:13	14004:19
directed 13897:18	dispute 13983:6	14016:22 14030:23	14025:11 14026:11	enquiry 14048:14
13908:10	distance 13863:13	14040:1	14027:8 14031:8	ensure 14038:19
direction 13867:23	14006:8	downloaded 13894:19	14048:18	entered 14006:9
13871:11 13889:10	distinguish 13974:10	13894:20 13912:6	early 13956:23	entering 13877:17
directly 13908:10	13974:21 13979:14	13914:13,14	Earth 13876:4	entertained 14013:14
13910:15 13963:22	13984:14,15	13917:18 13918:2	easier 13883:3 14011:8	entirely 13858:8
13977:6 14024:8	distinguished 13917:25	13920:19 13922:5	14019:3	entrench 13888:20
director 14039:7	divine 13910:3	13923:1,23 13924:21	easy 13885:15	entries 13906:3,5
directories 13911:2	division 13938:3	13950:20,21 13951:4	edging 13871:24	entry 13862:3 13863:4
13968:14 14001:1	doctor 13974:7	13951:7,15,18	effect 13912:20	13870:14
	document 13859:10	13952:4,7,15 13967:5	effecting 13885:24	equivalent 13935:19
14011:5 14026:3,6		120(7.0.01.120(0.1	effectively 13869:22	essentially 13884:1
14011:5 14026:3,6 14027:10 14030:11	13860:5,8 13946:7	13967:8,21 13968:1		
14011:5 14026:3,6 14027:10 14030:11 14030:13,13,15,18,23	13860:5,8 13946:7 13971:6,21,22	13990:22,24	13883:4 13998:16	establish 13923:16,17
14011:5 14026:3,6 14027:10 14030:11 14030:13,13,15,18,23 14039:15 14040:14	13860:5,8 13946:7 13971:6,21,22 13976:17 13981:6,6	13990:22,24 13994:25 14007:12	13883:4 13998:16 14041:10	13936:5 13939:3
14011:5 14026:3,6 14027:10 14030:11 14030:13,13,15,18,23 14039:15 14040:14 directory 13873:15	13860:5,8 13946:7 13971:6,21,22 13976:17 13981:6,6 13983:14,15	13990:22,24 13994:25 14007:12 14008:4,15,16,22	13883:4 13998:16 14041:10 eight 13880:12	13936:5 13939:3 14006:13
14011:5 14026:3,6 14027:10 14030:11 14030:13,13,15,18,23 14039:15 14040:14 directory 13873:15 13906:1,3,5,6	13860:5,8 13946:7 13971:6,21,22 13976:17 13981:6,6 13983:14,15 13985:19,20	13990:22,24 13994:25 14007:12 14008:4,15,16,22 14009:12 14010:10	13883:4 13998:16 14041:10 eight 13880:12 either 13875:18	13936:5 13939:3 14006:13 established 13998:6
14011:5 14026:3,6 14027:10 14030:11 14030:13,13,15,18,23 14039:15 14040:14 directory 13873:15	13860:5,8 13946:7 13971:6,21,22 13976:17 13981:6,6 13983:14,15	13990:22,24 13994:25 14007:12 14008:4,15,16,22	13883:4 13998:16 14041:10 eight 13880:12	13936:5 13939:3 14006:13

				Page
establishing 13968:9	13929:24,25 13930:2	extent 13978:9	13983:17	fit 13894:15
estimated 13875:25	13932:2,10,14,17,20	external 13923:24	feel 13859:19 13864:24	five 13894:8 13896:10
etcetera 13896:14	13933:20 13934:17	13925:20 13926:11	13983:24 13984:1	13921:3,4 13974:3
13908:15 13959:16	13935:24 13936:1,6	13965:7,9 13966:17	feeling 13974:4,5	13981:3 14007:22
13959:16 13976:11	13937:1,2,23	13968:13,17 13990:6	13977:13,16	five-digit 13995:8
14003:22	13940:22,25	eye 13886:7,7	13984:21	flagged 13899:22
Etv 13873:4 13877:23	13943:12 13945:25	• · · ·	felt 13993:2	fleeing 13871:2,10
13878:4	13946:12 13948:4,17	F	FFF2 13975:25	flew 13869:10
evening 13964:7	13948:19 13949:2,4,7	face 13867:12 13983:24	field 13870:5	13874:17,18,19
event 13870:18	13949:19,21,23,23	13983:25 13994:1	Fikter 13905:6	flight 13867:15
13923:6 13960:2	13952:10 13955:18	faceless 13977:9	13989:24 13990:1	flow 13881:23
13964:8,24 14021:18	13955:23 13958:23	facie 13908:5 14003:4	fill 13995:5 14014:19	13899:20
14021:22 14036:17	13961:20,24 13962:6	14004:3	filled 13971:25	flows 13901:5
14039:4,7,23,24	13970:17 13971:8,10	fact 13863:4 13874:8	14014:20	fly 13890:4
14041:23 14042:1,9	13986:15 13987:3,4,5	13880:13 13885:21	film 13938:5	focused 13874:25
14042:10,11,12,18	13987:24 13988:21	13890:22,24 13896:8	filmed 14013:15,16	focuses 13953:22
14043:11 14044:2,9	13990:17 13993:1,3,9	13897:11 13898:2	finance 13983:5	focusing 13874:20
14044:10,18	13993:12 13997:5	13904:12 13910:4,16	find 13876:15 13913:4	follow 13883:3
14046:15 14048:3	13998:19 13999:16	13917:25 13920:1	13914:25 13921:7	13927:18 13958:17
events 13863:8	14019:17 14022:11	13923:2 13929:7	13928:6 13931:11,21	13986:23 14038:16
13960:10 13976:4	14034:20 14041:8,8	13935:20 13938:16	13931:21 13933:1	followed 13932:11
13981:18 14030:5,10	14041:10 14042:3	13943:3,25 13945:20	13940:20 13941:17	14038:12 14044:12
14043:8	exhibited 14019:2,4,17	13952:14 13953:20	13946:12 13966:15	14044:13,21
eventually 13966:9	exhibits 13909:10	13977:1 13996:18	13989:2 14000:7	following 13868:20
14003:23 14032:5	13993:18 14034:8,10	14002:4 14005:25	14005:25 14012:6	13898:20 13933:3
eventuate 13902:14	14034:13 14035:1	14006:3 14014:7	14018:24 14038:1,4	14047:9
everybody 13964:7	exist 13998:11	14019:14 14020:6,11	14048:8	follows 13865:17
13974:16 13979:21	existed 13985:20	14021:6,22 14030:4	finding 14037:9	foot 13866:6
13982:22	13995:18	14044:4	fine 14011:1 14043:22	footages 14008:16
everybody's 13930:8	existence 13908:3	faction 13979:5	finished 13931:2	footpath 13869:15
evident 13934:22	expectation 13868:5	13985:22,23 13986:5	finishing 13987:7	force 13884:17 13887:4
13967:22 13996:14	expected 13862:24	13986:5	fire 13866:14	forces 13877:20
evidently 13880:5	13863:19 13884:5,12	factors 13880:2	firearms 13869:19	13883:18,19 13884:6
ex 13908:5	13897:14,19	13891:2 13897:6	13870:12 13871:2	13884:8,13,21
exact 13906:12	expert 13968:25	facts 13866:12 13891:8	13879:18 13880:15	13885:1,9,19,24
13915:17 14027:20	13969:13 13992:18	13891:12 13934:25	fired 13866:8	13886:6,9,14,17
exactly 13863:22	14031:5 14034:24	13934:25 13936:6	firing 13979:4	13887:1,21 13888:14
13877:10 13895:24	explain 13871:22	factual 13900:12,14	first 13867:11	13889:7 13890:1
13918:9 13952:8	13882:17,20	fair 13863:15,23	13869:21 13872:13	forensic 13928:23
13957:11 13968:4	13891:17 13923:15	13930:23 13974:10	13881:6,9 13886:16	13968:25 13969:13
13977:12 13978:11	13934:10 13959:1	13974:12 13976:21	13887:22 13892:17	14043:16
examination 13917:12	13962:11 13989:14	13984:24 14013:2,5	13894:5,16 13904:14	forensically 13918:16
13928:23 13988:1	14007:9 14039:17	14046:7	13905:3,12 13910:5	13922:5,7
examined 13864:8	explained 13907:25	fairer 13984:25	13914:9 13918:17	forget 14019:17
13918:16 13922:7	13959:22	fairly 14017:10	13922:25 13923:22	form 13883:3 13915:25
example 13996:20	explaining 13998:22	fairness 13970:2	13930:10,10,12,18	13916:7,15
examples 13996:17	explanation 13862:2	14007:18	13931:6,20 13932:22	formal 13997:4
excellence 13920:9	13868:1 13890:23	fall 13875:18 14017:4	13933:5 13934:25	formats 14042:13
exception 14015:3	13891:3,7,8,12,16	14023:9	13935:25 13936:7	formatted 14047:8
exchange 13956:6	13892:1,8,13,14,15	falls 14047:6	13940:5 13942:21	formula 14045:23
13958:17	13893:21 13894:4,24 13898:4,5,6 13902:18	familiar 13914:20	13944:16 13950:5	14046:2 formulated 13017:2
exchanges 13890:18 exclusive 13959:13		13995:25 14001:2	13951:12 13956:19 13957:4 13966:17	formulated 13917:2 forth 13979:4 13998:17
exclusive 13959:13 exclusively 13953:22	13911:6 13948:7 13986:19 13988:2	14007:6,25 14010:22 14012:12 14028:19	13957:4 13966:17 13970:21 13973:3	forthcoming 13911:6
exclusively 13955:22 excuse 13885:5	13986:19 13988:2	14012:12 14028:19 14030:14	13970:21 13973:3	fortiori 13887:19
execute 13889:7	14045:15	Fanagalo 13957:1	13987:9 13994:8	fortunately 14013:10
executed 13885:12	explanations 13898:21	far 13862:17 13863:14	13998:24 14001:25	forward 13871:11,19
13889:8	13928:6 13936:5	13882:9 13912:21	14008:4 14009:6,18	13871:20 13877:20
executive 13886:13	explanatory 14011:8	13924:24 13932:2	14010:19 14011:11	13879:22 13890:23
	explore 13885:4	13924.24 13932.2	14010:19 14011:11	13910:4 13933:4
exercise 13889.22		fashion 13897:15	14012:13 14024:12 14032:12 14033:17	13944:15 13959:4,17
exercise 13889:22 13920:18 14043:16	13987.19		1 1002112 1 1000111	10/11/10 10/0/.7,1/
13920:18 14043:16	13987:19 expressing 13951:2			13999.21
13920:18 14043:16 exercised 13887:23	expressing 13951:2	14048:12	14034:18 14048:2	13999:21 foto's 14033:10.11
13920:18 14043:16 exercised 13887:23 exhibit 13859:8,12,18	expressing 13951:2 extend 13998:20	14048:12 fast 13860:11	14034:18 14048:2 firstly 14001:13	foto's 14033:10,11
13920:18 14043:16 exercised 13887:23 exhibit 13859:8,12,18 13861:10 13862:4	expressing 13951:2 extend 13998:20 extended 13999:1	14048:12 fast 13860:11 favoured 13864:23	14034:18 14048:2 firstly 14001:13 14021:14	foto's 14033:10,11 found 13858:15
13920:18 14043:16 exercised 13887:23 exhibit 13859:8,12,18	expressing 13951:2 extend 13998:20	14048:12 fast 13860:11	14034:18 14048:2 firstly 14001:13	foto's 14033:10,11

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

				Page 7
foundation 13899:17	13987:11 14000:6	13969:4,9,10	13894:16 13947:8	13890:3 13901:19
13900:12,14	14005:25 14034:17	13972:23,24	half 13978:13 14009:18	13956:5 14015:24
four 13880:11 13890:7	future 13877:10	13974:25 13979:24	14014:25 14018:12	14023:21,25 14024:2
13894:8 13896:10		13983:21 13984:10	14018:21	hearsay 13862:18
13913:18 13973:17	G	13995:22 13998:10	hallmarks 13937:2	13890:3
14039:15	gaps 14014:17,19,20	14003:19,23 14023:6	hand 13865:4 13870:9	heart 13980:5
fourth 13904:24,25	gathering 13945:20	14037:6 14038:4	13873:24 13890:21	height 13869:10
13926:24 13999:12	general 13975:18	14043:15,23	14001:5 14011:7	held 13905:4 13927:22
frankly 13897:19	14032:7	14044:11,14	14033:4,4 14034:4,15	13975:12 13976:14
13901:10 13986:14	generally 13998:21	Google 13876:3	14034:19	13984:20 13985:1
13987:6	13999:1	gooi 13862:8	handed 13859:11	heli 13886:1
free 13925:5	generation 13970:21	gracefully 13859:21	13906:11,25 13907:7	helicopter 13861:22
Friday 13967:19	generously 13910:3	grateful 13859:9,22	13908:13 13927:15	13865:19 13871:25
14020:23 14029:4	gentleman 14006:25	13860:5 13988:7	13927:16,16 13928:1	13872:20 13875:21
14032:13,15	genuine 13858:23	greater 13975:20	13932:2 13966:9,11	13878:21 13879:9
14035:22	GEORGE 13859:4	greatly 13891:2	13989:23 13992:3	13883:8 13884:7,17
friend 13867:3	13988:16 14023:18	Green.jpg 13860:20	14034:8,10,12	13886:2 13890:4,9
Fritz 13861:23	germane 13873:20	grenade 13861:22	14046:16	13900:3,21,22
13865:24 13866:2,10	gesien 13862:7	13865:4,20 13867:15	handguns 13862:15	13901:1
13866:16,20 13867:8	getting 13889:21	13867:17,21 13869:7	handheld 14026:23	helicopters 13890:8
13867:11 13868:1,5,6	13909:1 13911:19,25	13869:11 13871:25	14028:10,19,22	helicopter's 13875:23
13868:9,20 13869:21	13919:7 14017:25	13872:13,14,25	14029:6,21	help 13858:25
13870:8,22 13873:24	14019:24 14032:9	13875:6,16 13878:3	handing 13992:5	13862:11 13876:21
13874:10,16 13878:9	14034:1 14045:16	13879:9	handled 14006:12	13921:4 13972:5
13878:10,11,12,19,20	GGG30 14019:19	grenades 13862:3,8	handler 13871:14	13999:8 14005:15
13879:1,9 13880:18	GGG8 13867:11	13865:9,20 13866:8	hands 13967:12	14019:20 14023:22
13881:20 13882:13	give 13861:14 13869:14	13866:14,19 13867:7	handwapens 13862:7	14035:18
13884:2 13886:5	13869:20 13876:5	13868:2,10,19,21,23	happened 13862:17,23	helpful 13859:1
13887:24 13890:4,12	13877:7 13889:10,16	13868:25 13869:8,9	13862:24 13863:12	14047:20,23
13890:23 13891:3,7	13892:8 13901:14	13869:17,23 13870:1	13863:14,16,21	helping 13870:7
13900:3,7 13901:2,3	13908:17 13916:6	13872:4 13875:2	13864:4 13889:11	13964:12
13901:4	13918:15 13920:16	13878:10,11,13	13897:3 13911:12	Hemraj 13861:8
Fritz's 13868:11	13921:12 13946:13	13879:8,10,12,19	13925:18,19 13946:3	13873:17 13874:16
Fritz's 13873:19	13950:1 13952:12	13880:3,21 13890:20	13947:22 13975:7,9	13900:11 13902:17
13879:14,16	13983:24 13986:19	13890:24 13892:7	13983:7	13902:21 13904:1
front 13865:12 13874:3	13992:11 13999:5	13894:22	happening 13873:18	13905:20 13906:2
13903:24 13904:8	14010:23 14017:20	ground 13867:19	13877:10 13884:14	13931:16 14019:12
13930:3 13971:3	14021:15 14022:25	13869:10 13871:11	happens 13892:16	14019:16 14022:19
13981:2 13994:11,14	14042:17 14045:10	13884:24,25	happier 13921:12	het 13862:7,8
13996:22 14005:13	14045:13	13885:15,21,22	happy 13864:20	he'd 13893:6 14016:21
full 13870:17 13888:4,5	gives 13870:1 13878:14	13886:6 13889:14	13866:22 13867:8	he's 13909:9 13942:20
13904:16,17,18,24	13878:17	14037:6	13874:1 13921:16	14024:6
13905:9 13907:24	giving 13870:16	group 13862:14	13998:20 14034:9	he'll 13873:14
13911:22,24	13876:15 13886:5	13881:18,21,22	14043:18	13875:14
13966:16,16,22	13927:20 13942:18	13894:8 13933:5,5	hardcopy 13865:15,15	higher 13985:3
13991:25 13992:1,12	13942:20 13975:17	13944:16,17 13974:8	14010:23,25 14011:3	highly 13864:3 13926:8
14014:23 14015:17	13987:5 14000:19	13975:17 13976:13	harder 14014:24	13926:20,21,22
14016:2,4,9	14003:17 14016:21	13976:25 13983:1,3	Hardy 13858:18	13936:10,13,20,21
fully 13957:11	14038:25 14042:25	13985:8,9	hasn't 13902:23	high-risk 13885:9,24
function 13866:11	14047:21	grouping 13871:13	14013:17	13889:8
13884:1,19,24	goes 13907:23	13974:18 13977:17	haven't 13864:22	hindsight 13863:7
13885:25 13887:22	13953:16 13961:23	13977:21	13900:12 13907:9	13871:5,9 13889:13
13887:23	13966:14 13980:7	groups 13869:8	13936:22 14014:8	13972:20 13973:10
functions 13882:12	13994:13	13880:17 13882:24	14034:10	13974:15 13979:13
13885:8,11	going 13879:13	13885:21	head 13878:15 13913:6	historians 13877:9
funny 13961:16,17	13883:16 13885:25	guess 14003:4	13999:2	history 13973:23
furnished 13867:11	13885:25 13888:19	guessing 13899:17	headed 13937:17	hoe 13862:5
13907:18	13888:20,21 13889:3	guidance 13860:20	heading 13868:21	holding 13871:11,19,20
further 13870:7	13889:10 13895:14	guilty 13898:10	13920:3	13874:22 13877:20
13874:5 13877:16	13895:21 13904:21	guy 13936:14	hear 13864:6 13866:21	13878:3,9 13879:22
13879:5 13885:4	13910:1 13912:16	guys 13895:11	13888:24 13901:16	homeland 13987:6
13897:4,11 13902:16	13914:17 13920:2	13967:21 13990:25	13924:15 13928:5	homework 13859:20
13904:22 13909:24	13922:22 13933:12		13940:7 14015:12,22	13860:4
13913:3 13928:22	13941:24 13943:24	<u> </u>	14022:16 14040:20	honest 13897:22,24
13976:9 13980:8	13946:3,10,14	habit 13924:12	14045:14,24	hope 13859:24
13982:9 13986:18	13957:12 13960:18	hadn't 13868:3	heard 13878:21,23	13924:16 13987:22
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Pretoria

		Γ		Page
13998:16 14015:20	importantly 13980:11	13977:15	inter-ministerial	13925:12 13949:6
hopefully 13921:10	impression 13860:24	initially 13892:21	14035:20	13952:25 13960:7
hoping 14009:15	13894:23	13894:22 13895:5	introduce 13868:14	13979:1 13990:2
hospital 13982:15	impute 13970:16	13901:17,22	investigation 13897:11	14003:3 14012:2
hostel 13977:16,17,18	inaccuracies 13946:3	13908:11 13912:5	invincibility 13974:8	14017:12 14021:2,9
13977:18,19	inappropriate	13957:25 13979:2	involve 14043:20	14030:6 14032:18
hour 13858:25	14048:19	14047:4	involved 13908:25	14038:10 14039:8
13952:23 13998:15	inaudible 13897:23	input 14021:22,24	13983:5 13984:7	jaag 13862:9
hours 13967:19	13902:25 13916:9	inputs 13959:15,18	14006:12	jacket 13941:3,8,22
hovered 13874:22	14033:24	inserted 13861:11	iPhone 13912:1	13942:1,7
hulp 13862:8	incident 13862:25	13944:4	irrelevant 13896:25	JJJ 13905:16 13961:20
Human 13858:3,11	13951:12 14008:23	inside 14010:8	13897:4,10 13950:7	13981:1
hyper 13930:19	14021:16 14032:7	14026:22 14033:16	irritated 13897:14,19	JJJ-series 13940:3
hypothetical 13902:2,5	incidents 13862:12	inspected 14015:2	Isaacs 14004:18	JJJ10 13873:9,9
	13863:11 13867:21	instance 13883:17,18	isn't 13902:20	13888:3
I	13884:9,15	13887:3 13892:17	13907:22 13935:19	JJJ10.4547 13877:12
icons 13876:3	included 13916:4	13908:20 13918:22	13944:13 13956:9	JJJ17 13919:5,6,7
idea 13886:6	13917:3,19,20	13928:15 14012:10	13957:20 13984:25	13929:10,11,11
ideal 13889:16,17	13957:25 13959:19	14029:16	13998:13,14	13934:2,17 13996:15
idem 13953:8	13995:17,18 14006:5	instinct 13860:21	14020:25	JJJ17.1 13914:11,15
identified 13875:24	14018:21 14036:21	instruct 13868:25	isolated 13974:14	JJJ17.2 13919:12
14001:21 14005:23	including 13953:5	instructed 13867:19	issue 13863:6 13867:6	JJJ18 14015:8,8,20,21
14014:18	13995:16 14039:15	13878:11 13890:4	13868:19 13869:6	JJJ19 14015:19
identify 13859:8	inclusion 13958:23	instructing 13858:19	13882:1 13893:8	JJJ20 13906:19
13869:18 13870:11	incomplete 13892:21	instruction 13865:25	13899:25,25 13900:7	13907:9 13988:21,25
13871:1 13879:18	13892:25 13907:17	13866:2,18 13867:7	13943:2 13983:4	JJJ21 13905:13,13,17
13962:19 14001:24	13908:5 13993:22	13964:7,15 14003:19	14037:2	13905:24
14005:10,15 14035:6	14017:5 14044:16	14037:24	issued 13964:7	JJJ21.1 13906:1
14044:7	inconsistency 13879:5	instructions 13912:17	issues 13867:4	JJJ21.3 13906:1
identifying 14003:21	inconvenience 13969:9	intelligence 14003:2,6	13883:16 13884:11	JJJ23 13962:6,8
identity 13942:10	incorporated 13923:11	14003:6,20 14004:2,4	13890:11 13891:17	JJJ23.1 13916:8,14
ignorant 13911:8	incorrect 13919:22	14005:19 14006:8	13894:25 13983:7,7	JJJ24 14042:4
illustrate 13995:20	13920:25 13933:21	14029:15 14047:5,12	item 13905:9 13907:9	JJJ25.1 13907:15,15
13999:13	13943:12 13944:24	14047:13	iterations 13966:15	JJJ25.2 13910:18,19
illustrates 14011:8	13945:1 13970:5	intent 13938:16	IVI 14042:15	JJJ25.4 13911:23
image 13910:15	13986:23 13987:1,23	13961:5 13985:10	I'd 13862:1 13865:7	JJJ36 13903:16,18
14005:10 14008:11	indicate 13869:12	intention 13879:8	13888:8 13908:13	13904:5 13908:1
imaged 13987:20	indicating 13874:23	13985:14	13930:8 13987:16	13990:14
images 13957:7	13875:15 13930:25	intentions 13936:18	14000:20 14026:9	JJJ37 13935:16
14022:17	13986:5	13984:9	14035:19 14038:13	JJJ50 13981:3,4
imagine 13915:19	individual 13900:2	intercept 13879:20,24	14038:13	JJJ55 13862:4
14036:10	13965:25 14004:8	13880:6 13881:14	I'll 13860:20 13862:4	JJJ63 13859:8,13
imagined 13920:9	individuals 13900:2,19	13882:2 13890:21	13864:25 13885:2,7	13861:12
imaging 14043:24	13900:19,21	intercepted 13881:22	13906:10,12 13907:6	JJJ63A 13859:12,15
immediate 13883:18	14003:21	intercepting 13880:9	13927:13 13931:12	13861:10
13886:18 13888:14	inexplicable 13986:14	interchange 13885:5	13931:21 13942:2	JJJ71 13864:13
13981:17 14030:5	inference 13901:12	interest 13998:7	13951:10 13996:12	JJJ72 13868:14,18
immediately 13907:21	13974:11,13 14013:2	14013:24 14036:14	14000:9 14034:23	JJJ73 13995:22,22
13908:16 13913:12	14045:1,2,7,7,8	interested 13884:18	14035:4	JJJ75 13996:21,22
13932:11 13980:22	inferences 14045:22	13969:18,21	I've 13858:5 13866:23	JJJ76 13999:16
13987:8 13999:18	14046:1	13970:25 14018:25	13893:9 13895:12	14001:23,23
14002:22 14003:15	inferred 14027:9	interject 13914:25	13928:17 13932:22	JJJ77 14001:3
14013:8	inform 14037:24	internal 13968:13	13932:23 13940:17	JJJ78 14007:20
impact 13938:19	informal 14025:4	interpret 13936:15	13943:9 13945:5	14010:20,20
implicating 13975:21	informally 14024:10	interpretation 13931:4	13952:9 13961:23	JJJ79 14009:17
implies 14031:18	information 13858:5	13932:1 13944:4	13982:9 13992:9,10	14010:21,22 14019:1
important 13858:22	13862:20,21	interpretations	14010:18 14014:4,15	JJJ80 14011:9 14019:2
13873:16 13884:21	13863:20 13876:6	13944:7	14014:22 14016:6	14019:2,3,4
13885:19 13887:23	13884:11 13972:1	interpreted 13933:8	14023:25,25 14024:9	JJJ81 14022:12
13889:7 13917:13	13975:6 13976:11,15	14031:12	14024:22 14031:1,7	job 13958:3 14034:25
13945:19 13946:17	13977:2,6 13978:4,5	interrogate 13963:10	14032:22,24	JOC 13972:10,12,16,18
13946:23 13958:3	14003:24 14047:21	interrupt 13921:2	14033:14,25 14036:6	13973:9 14020:10
Di 11 Detter		12075.24	14041:7 14043:9	14036:2 14038:1
13960:2 14021:11	informed 13910:3	13975:24	11011.7 11015.9	11050.2 11050.1
Di 11 Detter	13933:9 13972:21	interruptions 13954:25		14047:11
13960:2 14021:11 14022:16 14035:11 14036:17 14044:22	13933:9 13972:21 13976:6	interruptions 13954:25 intervene 13887:2	J	14047:11 JOCCOM 14037:23
13960:2 14021:11 14022:16 14035:11	13933:9 13972:21	interruptions 13954:25		14047:11

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
judge 13889:18	13956:21 13961:3,4	13968:18,23 13969:1	Lieutenant 13905:5	13958:13,14
Julius 14020:16	13950.21 13901.3,4	13908.18,23 13909.1	Lieutenant-Colonel	13958.15,14 13961:21 13962:9,25
	13969:16 13986:10		13865:21,23 13866:9	-
14021:3,6		largely 13978:20 law 13868:24 14045:22		13968:25 13975:17
July 13966:19	13989:11,20		13866:15 13878:23	13989:21 13993:25
jumped 13892:25	13990:21,24 13991:7	14046:1	light 13988:23 14000:2	13994:9 13995:20,25
jumping 13940:12	13995:12 14004:7,11	lead 14003:23	14031:6	14003:25 14004:22
jumps 13962:14	14004:17 14005:21	leaders 13859:2,24	limited 13889:14,15	14005:14 14007:4
June 13966:19	14006:11 14010:10	13867:25 13868:6	13968:9	14010:24,24 14011:3
juristic 13986:1	14014:2,3,8,9,17	13885:22 13890:14	line 13865:17 13866:7	14015:5 14018:23
justify 14045:16	14024:24,24	13892:3 13895:8	13871:8 13877:19	14019:2,19 14020:4
	14026:24 14032:3	13897:7 13901:20,23	13903:10 13914:6	14042:12
K	14035:18,21 14037:7	13903:17 13904:13	13936:16 13963:23	looked 13871:17
kan 13862:11	14045:14 14047:2	13906:8,25 13907:13	14000:6 14013:4	13904:22 13913:13
Karee 13867:23	knowing 13871:6,9	13907:18 13913:23	14016:12 14017:14	13939:25 13943:22
13874:18,21,25	13889:18 13972:21	13914:7 13916:16	14019:4,6,7,23	13946:20 13947:8,9
13977:16 13978:20	14008:21	13923:21 13927:3,12	lines 13890:7 13904:15	13951:22 13956:11
keener 13998:6	knowledge 13889:14	13927:21 13928:2	link 13929:7	13958:7,8 13965:22
keep 13957:13	13927:14,18,19,24	13945:7 13961:20,23	linked 13930:20	13994:1,7 13995:14
14034:16	13998:22 13999:6	13962:19 13986:22	list 13868:7 13905:9	13996:10 13997:4
keeping 13960:17	14000:9 14026:3	13989:6,8,15,18,19	14035:5 14044:1,4	13999:12 14011:4
kept 13912:13	known 13878:24	13990:7,11,19,22	listening 13924:13	14012:20 14013:9
Kidd's 13871:12,19	13893:5 13944:6	13991:25 13992:3,8	little 13877:15 13885:4	14014:24,25 14037:5
13877:19,19	13983:20	13993:14 13998:9	13909:24 13948:1	14037:11
13880:25 13881:2,3	koppie 13861:24	14016:3,23 14017:6	13970:3 13980:7	looking 13874:7
kill 13931:2 13940:6,16	13862:13 13867:22	14017:15,20 14042:1	13994:9 13999:10	13889:15 13900:24
13986:1	13871:3,21 13874:23	14044:18,19	14027:4 14043:21	13918:25,25 13926:7
killed 13931:2 13974:1	13875:24,25 13877:6	14046:16 14048:5	lives 13976:10	13936:5 13938:13
13975:15 13982:14	13879:21,22 13880:5	leadership 13980:2	loaded 13905:10	13941:24 13942:6,8
killing 13974:6 13976:5	13886:11 13888:7,20	leading 13952:10	locate 13875:21	13943:13,17
13980:14 13983:18	13889:25 13890:25	14013:5	loggerhead 13983:11	13950:11 13955:22
13984:22 13987:6	13891:1 13915:16,22	leaning 13983:3	logic 13879:7	13956:12 13957:22
kilometre 13861:24	13920:7 13942:18	learned 13867:3	logical 13891:15	13958:7 13960:12
13862:13 13867:22	13947:22 13960:1	leave 14038:1	long 13871:20 13930:6	13961:10 13975:8,19
13869:15 13871:3	13978:15 13980:3,4	leaves 13903:6	13947:25 13953:20	13980:5,8 13991:25
13875:25 13880:10	13983:2 13987:7	led 13983:4	13947.25 13955.20	13994:24 13997:19
13880:19 13881:15	koppies 13869:15	lede 13862:6,7,10,11	14015:9 14031:22	13997:20 14026:8
13882:3 13890:25		left 13861:14 13871:20	longer 13879:21	14032:10 14036:9
kind 13863:16	L	13874:17 13962:16	13921:23 13993:16	14038:23 14040:2,3
13956:13 13977:15	L 13882:11 13929:1,4	14045:17	14043:21	looks 13893:19
13988:4	13929:25 13932:10	left-hand 14019:22,25	Lonmin 13866:4	13907:20 13913:17
kinds 14004:8	13932:14,17,20	legal 13910:7 13913:4	13928:9,10,13,18	13914:22 13915:24
knew 13889:19	10000 00 1000 6 7	12002.0		13914.22 13913.24
12206.10 12072.21	13933:20 13936:7	13992:8	13946:6 13961:25	13929:17,19
13896:19 13972:21	13937:1,2,23	13992:8 lend 13864:17,25	13946:6 13961:25 13962:4,5 13963:4	
13896:19 13972:21 13983:5 14018:6	13937:1,2,23			13929:17,19
		lend 13864:17,25 13919:11	13962:4,5 13963:4	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4
13983:5 14018:6	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17	lend 13864:17,25	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2 look 13858:21	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2 look 13858:21 13864:25 13870:20	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2 look 13858:21 13864:25 13870:20 13875:12 13884:5,12	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17 13909:22 13912:11	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6 laid 13900:12	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10 14032:11	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2 look 13858:21 13864:25 13870:20 13875:12 13884:5,12 13884:14 13908:17	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25 13931:23 13952:23
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6 laid 13900:12 language 13958:20	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2 look 13858:21 13864:25 13870:20 13875:12 13884:5,12	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25 13931:23 13952:23 13987:18 13988:6,9
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17 13909:22 13912:11	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6 laid 13900:12	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10 14032:11	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2 look 13858:21 13864:25 13870:20 13875:12 13884:5,12 13884:14 13908:17	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25 13931:23 13952:23 13987:18 13988:6,9
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17 13909:22 13912:11 13912:20,22 13914:5	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6 laid 13900:12 language 13958:20	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10 14032:11 let's 13872:16 13875:11	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2 look 13858:21 13864:25 13870:20 13875:12 13884:5,12 13884:14 13908:17 13909:10 13921:6	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25 13931:23 13952:23 13987:18 13988:6,9 13990:17 13998:6,15
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17 13909:22 13912:11 13912:20,22 13914:5 13915:16 13921:10 13926:13 13927:8	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6 laid 13900:12 language 13958:20 laptop 13917:19 13918:15,16,17	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10 14032:11 let's 13872:16 13875:11 13876:19 13914:3 13917:12,14	13962:4,5 13963:4 13975:10 13976:3,12 13977:3,6,7,14 13978:5,16 13981:2 13983:6 13989:9,16 14007:12 14008:16 14009:1 14013:10 14015:5,6,17 14016:4 14016:9,15,18 14019:9 14025:22 14026:10 14028:6,10 14028:15,19 14029:9 14029:22 14036:2 look 13858:21 13864:25 13870:20 13875:12 13884:5,12 13884:14 13908:17 13909:10 13921:6 13928:6 13929:13 13934:15 13935:8	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25 13931:23 13952:23 13987:18 13988:6,9 13990:17 13998:6,15 lunchtime 13858:13,19
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17 13909:22 13912:11 13912:20,22 13914:5 13915:16 13921:10 13926:13 13927:8 13928:17 13933:11	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6 laid 13900:12 language 13958:20 laptop 13917:19 13918:15,16,17 13922:6,7,9 13923:7	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10 14032:11 let's 13872:16 13875:11 13876:19 13914:3 13917:12,14 13963:10 13969:14	$\begin{array}{c} 13962:4,5\ 13963:4\\ 13975:10\ 13976:3,12\\ 13977:3,6,7,14\\ 13978:5,16\ 13981:2\\ 13983:6\ 13989:9,16\\ 14007:12\ 14008:16\\ 14009:1\ 14013:10\\ 14015:5,6,17\ 14016:4\\ 14016:9,15,18\\ 14019:9\ 14025:22\\ 14026:10\ 14028:6,10\\ 14028:15,19\ 14029:9\\ 14029:22\ 14036:2\\ \textbf{look}\ 13858:21\\ 13864:25\ 13870:20\\ 13875:12\ 13884:5,12\\ 13884:14\ 13908:17\\ 13909:10\ 13921:6\\ 13928:6\ 13929:13\\ 13934:15\ 13935:8\\ 13942:4\ 13947:1,6\\ \end{array}$	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25 13931:23 13952:23 13987:18 13988:6,9 13990:17 13998:6,15 lunchtime 13858:13,19 13942:23 13998:19
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17 13909:22 13912:11 13912:20,22 13914:5 13915:16 13921:10 13926:13 13927:8 13928:17 13933:11 13935:15 13938:17	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6 laid 13900:12 language 13958:20 laptop 13917:19 13918:15,16,17 13922:6,7,9 13923:7 13923:8,23 13924:21	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10 14032:11 let's 13872:16 13875:11 13876:19 13914:3 13917:12,14 13963:10 13969:14 13972:17 13973:15	$\begin{array}{c} 13962:4,5\ 13963:4\\ 13975:10\ 13976:3,12\\ 13977:3,6,7,14\\ 13978:5,16\ 13981:2\\ 13983:6\ 13989:9,16\\ 14007:12\ 14008:16\\ 14009:1\ 14013:10\\ 14015:5,6,17\ 14016:4\\ 14016:9,15,18\\ 14019:9\ 14025:22\\ 14026:10\ 14028:6,10\\ 14028:15,19\ 14029:2\\ 14029:22\ 14036:2\\ \textbf{look}\ 13858:21\\ 13864:25\ 13870:20\\ 13875:12\ 13884:5,12\\ 13884:14\ 13908:17\\ 13909:10\ 13921:6\\ 13928:6\ 13929:13\\ 13934:15\ 13935:8\\ 13942:4\ 13947:1,6\\ 13950:5,17\ 13954:2\\ \end{array}$	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25 13931:23 13952:23 13987:18 13988:6,9 13990:17 13998:6,15 lunchtime 13858:13,19 13942:23 13998:19 13998:25
13983:5 14018:6 14037:5,14 knobkieries 13862:15 13870:6 knopkieries 13862:6,9 know 13861:9 13863:13,17 13864:25 13866:17 13868:21,23 13873:18 13877:10 13878:18 13880:3 13885:1 13889:19 13890:5 13891:4,13 13894:17 13898:20 13901:12 13909:17 13909:22 13912:11 13912:20,22 13914:5 13915:16 13921:10 13926:13 13927:8 13928:17 13933:11	13937:1,2,23 13943:12 13945:25 13946:12 13948:4,17 13948:19 13949:4,7 13949:19,21,23,23 13952:10 13955:18 13955:23 13958:23 13971:8,10 13986:15 13987:24 13990:17 13993:1,4,9,12 13998:20,25 14041:8 14041:8,10 label 13986:2 laborious 13999:10 labour 13938:3 13976:8 13983:6 laid 13900:12 language 13958:20 laptop 13917:19 13918:15,16,17 13922:6,7,9 13923:7	lend 13864:17,25 13919:11 lengthy 13915:15 13922:8 13930:1 13969:1 letters 14002:20,25 let's 13864:6 13905:8 13933:18 13934:15 13934:24,24 13941:19 13942:4 13950:17 13954:5 13961:21 13962:5,9 13993:25 13995:20 14005:3 14007:4 14009:14 14017:23 14019:2 14024:10 14032:11 let's 13872:16 13875:11 13876:19 13914:3 13917:12,14 13963:10 13969:14	$\begin{array}{c} 13962:4,5\ 13963:4\\ 13975:10\ 13976:3,12\\ 13977:3,6,7,14\\ 13978:5,16\ 13981:2\\ 13983:6\ 13989:9,16\\ 14007:12\ 14008:16\\ 14009:1\ 14013:10\\ 14015:5,6,17\ 14016:4\\ 14016:9,15,18\\ 14019:9\ 14025:22\\ 14026:10\ 14028:6,10\\ 14028:15,19\ 14029:9\\ 14029:22\ 14036:2\\ \textbf{look}\ 13858:21\\ 13864:25\ 13870:20\\ 13875:12\ 13884:5,12\\ 13884:14\ 13908:17\\ 13909:10\ 13921:6\\ 13928:6\ 13929:13\\ 13934:15\ 13935:8\\ 13942:4\ 13947:1,6\\ \end{array}$	13929:17,19 13948:12 13962:4 13966:5 14003:4,5 14012:24 14044:8 losing 14017:8 lost 13925:9 lot 13875:17 13880:4 13890:5 13928:12 13941:24 13983:24 13988:5,11 14015:1 14033:16 14039:22 loud 13924:6 loudhailer 13915:4 loyalty 13898:1,11 13900:17 14013:19 lug 13862:5 lunch 13858:25 13931:23 13952:23 13987:18 13988:6,9 13990:17 13998:6,15 lunchtime 13858:13,19 13942:23 13998:19

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 10
M	13961:3 13962:15	13990:20 13991:7	minutes 13871:23,23	13903:12 13913:9
Mahlangu 13932:1	13974:17 13975:21	14007:2 14008:25	13872:6,19 13880:2	13924:17 13928:24
Mahlatsi 13905:1	13997:3 14005:1	14014:19 14022:5	13880:11,13,16,22,24	13950:13 13975:8
mail 13908:23	Mathunjwa's 13932:5	14024:15 14031:11	13881:1 13921:4,5	14002:19 14009:14
13912:19	13941:2 13942:25	14037:10,24,25	13935:25 13943:5	14040:9
main 13884:14	13949:19 13953:6,16	14038:2 14047:11,12	13953:12 13954:3	moved 13871:6,9
maintaining 14021:19	13960:14 14005:12	member's 13898:1	14020:13	13879:21 13884:2
major 13886:12	Mathunjwa's 13915:20	memorial 14021:20	mis 13936:25	13885:8 13888:6
majority 14014:21	matter 13858:7	memory 13873:13	misapprehensions	movement 13979:23
Major-General	13880:15 13898:8,16	13875:10 13911:21	14010:13	movie 13949:23
13964:6,15	13899:21 13902:9	13917:23 13918:6	misguided 13899:25	14020:5
making 13909:9	13918:12 13922:14	13920:23 13921:13	13900:7 14013:19	moving 13877:18
13943:4 13968:6	13944:22 13946:5,17	13930:8 13952:8	misleading 13929:3	13881:18,21,24
13994:21 14034:23	13957:15,22	13990:5 14010:7,11	missed 13926:18	13890:9 13974:4
Malema 14020:16	13967:16 13987:11	14027:15 14032:15	14019:11	13988:19 14040:4
14021:3,7,12	14013:24 14027:1	14038:2	missing 13861:20	Mpembe's 14032:7
males 14005:22	14047:12	men 13877:17	13864:9 13893:12,20	Mpofu 13865:16,17,22
malicious 13961:5	matters 13858:4	mental 13996:13	13894:1 13895:8	MTS 13906:22,24
maliciously 13932:14	13987:21 13988:4	mention 14020:16,19	13896:9,11 13907:22	13914:21,21
13939:5 13950:14	13998:14	14021:6	13907:25 13908:1,10	13916:17 13917:8
man 13861:13 13941:3	matter's 13922:12	mentioned 13883:16	13908:24 13909:11	13920:8 13929:8,9
13941:7,22 13942:6	mean 13882:6	13895:1 13908:19	13909:14,16 13910:3	13930:3 13947:9,9,9
13942:12 14006:24	13891:16 13895:6,24	13979:20 13990:25	13911:18 13963:1	13947:11,15,23
14019:25	13906:11 13918:14	14019:18 14024:14	13966:3 13995:5	13948:5,16 13950:18
managed 14016:22	13940:23 13947:16	14025:4 14032:24	14013:11 14016:17	13950:20 13951:3,7
management 13869:3	13952:19 13956:20	14038:3 14039:9	mistake 13940:12	13951:10,11,14,19,19
13976:6,9	13956:21 13969:23	14041:19 14047:6	mistaken 13898:10	13951:20,22,22
map 13869:12	13980:12 13991:16	Mere 14027:21	13900:17,24,25	13961:13,13,13
13875:15,16,17,21	13992:19 14000:17	merely 13864:2	13914:23 13919:24	13962:9,10 13963:11
13876:2,12,21	14001:14 14003:1	13910:2 13996:22	13942:16 14003:17	13963:12,16,17,23,24
Marikana 13870:18	14006:24 14008:8,19	14014:3	14007:17 14032:4	13963:25 13966:16
13928:19 13976:2,5	14009:4 14010:9	met 13862:6,9,11	14043:11	13966:16 13991:25
13981:2 14021:19	14011:3 14027:14	metadata 13913:15	mistaking 14027:1	14001:25 14004:24
14036:16 14043:5,5,9	means 13878:23	method 13869:2	mixing 14027:18	14005:4,9 14006:1,17
mark 13859:12	13880:15 13881:23	metres 13867:16,17,19	modified 13918:22	14006:21,21
marked 13859:18	13887:2 13924:7	13869:10	14033:19 14042:19	14042:16 14048:5
14035:1	13968:17,22 14004:2	MGS 14006:4	modify 14048:17	MTS-series 13904:25
Masinya 13924:20	14042:20	Mhlatsi 13905:2	Mohlatsi 13910:7	13905:10 13906:19
master 13908:18	meant 13886:24	13907:14,17 13908:3	13912:1,12 13913:24	13906:22 13907:1,5
14000:22 14011:21	13941:5	Mhlatsi's 13907:10	13914:4	13937:17 13988:20
14012:5,6,10 14026:2	media 13860:21,24	microphone 13897:23	moment 13876:21	13989:6,18 13994:23
14030:12 14040:12	14032:2 14035:20,25	13902:25 13916:9	13877:24 13902:5	13996:11,19
14043:5,9	14037:8 14039:22,24	14033:23 14034:2	13934:12 13941:10	14013:10
match 13878:14	14041:20	midday 13915:16	13941:12 13950:18	MTS001 14032:23
matches 13920:4	meet 13956:9	middle 13879:11	13969:19 13975:25	murderous 13930:25
material 13892:13	meeting 13858:9,20,25	13932:6 13941:3,8	13997:19,20	13932:17 13935:12
13893:13,13,16	13905:4 13911:12,14	militant 13977:17	14002:20 14003:10	13953:5,12 13955:1,8 13956:15 13987:6
13894:13 13897:2,7,9	13911:15 13913:19 13915:15 13944:11	mind 13897:6 13900:17	14042:3 moments 13867:17	
13897:10,12,16	13915:15 13944:11 13944:12 13945:17	13960:18 mindset 13974:8	moments 13867:17 14005:4	muti 13974:7 M2-series 13904:17
13915:24 13916:1	13944:12 13945:17	mindset 13974:8 mine 13864:25	Monday 13972:24	13905:10,12 13906:9
13925:22 13928:4,13	13959:2,21 13966:10	13867:23 13874:18	13974:1 14032:8	13905:10,12 13906:9 13906:16
13969:11,12 13999:1	13991:17 14003:12	13874:25 13975:1,13	money 13979:24	13900.10
14003:20 14030:3	meetings 13991:16	13976:6 13979:18	month 13919:24	N
14036:20 14041:13	member 13910:14	13980:10 13981:2	months 14025:8,10	nails 13905:25
materials 14008:4	members 13862:13	13980.10 13981.2	morning 13883:23	name 13868:22
Mathunjwa 13914:23	13870:4,25 13871:4,6	miners 13953:4,18	13887:17 13920:6,10	13909:7 13934:12
13915:22 13930:1	13871:10,12,13	13954:25 13955:8	13960:1 13967:19,20	13909:7 13934:12
13932:11,19 13934:5	13874:22 13880:7,13	13956:15	13998:1 14034:19	13985:9,10,15
13942:9,16,18	13886:20 13888:7	mineworkers 13888:20	14035:23 14048:20	13994:11 13997:9
13943:4 13945:20	13909:1 13933:4	Mine's 13874:21	motive 13969:22	13998:4 13999:15
13947:10,13,16,24	13944:16 13952:7	mine-worker 13971:2	13970:16	14002:13 14007:23
13948:3,13 13949:16	13959:15 13975:7,21	mine-workers	move 13860:4	14002:13 14007:23
13953:13,20,22	13976:8 13977:12	13973:22	13877:15,25	named 14007:13
12054.02 12050.10				
13954:23 13959:10				names 13951:16.17
13954:23 13959:10 13959:23 13960:1	13978:16 13984:6 13985:12,17	minute 13873:5,16 13947:24	13882:10 13884:20 13885:4 13889:10	names 13951:16,17 13964:1 13994:9

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
13997:14 14007:15	14028:8	14026:13 14034:20	occurring 13884:15	13885:7 13887:15,16
14026:4	nine 13976:10	numbered 13904:24	occurs 14004:5	13888:6 13972:22
narrative 14041:14,15	14048:21	13989:5 13994:16	October 13867:3	13980:25 13981:2
NASCOM's 13920:14	NIU 13886:12	13996:18	13913:18 13956:23	14021:25 14028:24
National 13964:13	nod 13924:7,13	numbering 13994:19	odd 13871:14 13890:22	14033:15 14043:6
13976:1 13978:5	nodal 13908:8,14	13995:3,4,7,8	13908:15 13936:25	operational 13866:16
14024:25 14035:24	13964:9,20 13993:7	numbers 13962:22	14039:2	13878:19 13879:1
nature 13971:13	13993:17,19	13996:19 14007:14	odds 13911:13	13884:25
14009:16 14022:6	14011:23 14017:22	14010:3 14026:5	office 13894:18	operatives 14028:23
14026:7 14027:4	14031:16,20,21	numerical 13893:1,6	13977:22 13979:4	14029:9,15,22
Ndlovu 13924:20	14035:13 14038:3,9	13962:23 13993:5	13983:8 14025:3	14030:4,19 14037:1
necessarily 13863:9	nodding 13878:15	Nyala 14005:2	officer 13863:8	14037:15,20 14038:8
necessary 13864:7	13924:1	Nyalas 13887:3	13866:19 13868:24	14037:13,20 14038:8
13866:13 13870:14	nods 13913:6 13924:10			
		13888:7,9	13892:15 13919:1	operative's 14039:12
13884:12,17	13999:2	0	13924:20,20	operator 13877:13
13886:24 13902:10	noe 13982:14		officers 13984:21	13900:2 13923:1
13902:12 13903:7	Noki 13916:23	oath 13859:3 13922:19	14047:3	13924:19 13947:25
13912:25 13921:7	Nong 13860:9 13919:1	13988:15 14023:17	offices 13977:19	13948:1 13954:9,16
13930:21 13959:12	non-grouping	object 13970:5	13982:10	13967:11 13997:3
14040:4	13974:19	objection 13859:16,17	official 13977:11	14004:13 14006:19
need 13860:20	noon 13960:2	13898:24 13899:4	14033:13	operators 13914:15
13864:11,24 13865:9	normally 13924:14	objectionable 13900:10	officials 13974:1,2,6	13917:16 13920:6,6
13866:24 13880:16	north 13874:18,24	objective 13918:5	13975:13,14	13920:12 13947:21
13884:16 13888:13	13880:5,9	13920:21 13921:7,11	13980:10,11,15	13947:23 13950:21
13888:21 13889:8	north-west 13874:25	13933:12 13952:11	13983:18 13984:23	13951:14,24 13953:3
13891:9 13892:8	13875:2	13968:2,8 13970:10	13985:8	13956:25 13959:1,6
13893:5 13902:15	north-western	13970:12 13977:11	oh 13876:19 13909:6	13960:21 13965:18
13905:8 13920:1	13874:20	13998:7 14008:6,9	13940:8 14043:14	13965:23 13967:4
13921:9 13940:9	note 13982:12	14032:25	14044:6	13990:20 14003:14
13941:23 13942:12	14048:15	objectively 13918:10	okay 13865:1 13878:6	14004:14 14006:2,20
13960:25 13967:9	notebook 14030:4	13936:7,20,20,22	13915:12 13933:17	14004:14 14000:2,20
13969:5 13970:4	14043:1,17	13930.7,20,20,22	13934:6 13935:7	14013:14,16 14018:5
13987:10 13989:11	notes 14038:18,19	obligingly 13911:4	13954:5 13956:5	14013:14,10 14018:5
	noteworthy 14022:17			
13997:12 14006:11		observe 13885:15	13969:8 13991:19 13993:21 13996:12	14032:14,20 14035:6
14011:3 14013:25	notice 13874:8,12,13	13894:21		14036:20
14020:9 14022:24	13874:14 13892:24	obtain 13862:20	14011:13,24	opinion 13936:13,18
14030:25 14035:4	14039:21	13863:20 13998:8	14029:23 14045:18	13975:22 14014:16
14046:25 14048:1	noticed 14018:17	14035:11	om 13862:8,9,10	14014:22 14016:6
needed 13886:9,11,25	notorious 13940:6	obtained 13877:1	omissions 13860:6	14033:18 14045:14
13961:4	November 13867:12,25	13912:4 13928:13	omitted 13969:14	opinions 13889:11
needs 13889:24	13903:16 13905:4,5	13963:4 13988:2	once 13861:11,16	opportunity 13876:13
13891:17 13928:22	13905:13 13906:25	14008:25 14016:15	13863:6 13884:19	13901:15 13902:11
13931:22 13936:15	13910:14,17	14016:18	13885:4,7 13887:19	13918:19
14034:16	13911:19 13913:12	obvious 13942:5	13887:20 13898:20	opposed 13972:10
negotiations 13924:12	13913:20 13928:25	13956:2 13961:9	13938:19 13961:1	opposing 13892:3
13924:17	13933:2 13966:9,11	13974:15,16	14041:3 14047:7	OPS 13918:21
Nel 13861:21 13890:19	13990:12,13	13985:13	ones 13906:12 13957:2	13980:23 14043:12
13891:19,25	13991:18 14014:22	obviously 13892:4,5	13963:23 14011:13	option 13936:23
13892:11,13,22	14016:5,13,16	13895:9 13896:19	14012:22 14025:23	13979:25 14006:24
13893:4 13894:1,3,15	14017:7,16,19	13908:23 13920:16	one's 13889:24	order 13866:8 13868:3
13895:9 13896:17	14018:22 14048:5	13946:22 13947:2	13951:19	13869:3,18 13871:13
13901:3,16,21	NUM 13940:6,16	13953:3 13979:24	ongoing 13976:7	13878:19 13879:1
13902:3,6,7,18	13941:1,4 13973:23	13983:5,6 13989:21	onwards 14015:2	13950:3 13999:9,23
13903:13 14029:16	13975:7 13976:8	13993:8,10 14002:14	ook 13862:7	14001:23 14003:21
Nel's 13864:10	13977:18,19,22	14015:16 14016:9	op 13862:6	14001.23 14003.21 14021:19 14026:22
13893:19 13904:16	13978:16,17 13979:3	14018:5 14023:9	open 13869:14	ordered 13999:15,17
Nel's 13871:22 13873:4	13980:1 13982:10	14033:12 14035:18	13910:13 13918:4	13999:20
neutral 13969:14	13983:8,10	14036:6,17 14037:9	13992:9 14006:24	orders 13947:1
never 13902:13	number 13860:12	14042:20	14026:12 14041:20	organisation 13975:19
13904:21 13906:16	13905:15 13910:9	occasion 13896:21	opened 13872:20	13975:20,20
13923:7 13932:23	13914:7 13978:15,19	13991:10	openly 13980:2	organise 13871:8
13936:16 13946:7	13978:23 13994:7,15	occasions 13908:15	operate 13965:18	organiser 13931:7
13960:22 13968:5	13994:18 13998:3	occur 13896:3	operated 13897:6	13936:1
14038:12 14046:10	14007:16 14009:23	13969:17	operates 13900:18	organisers 13915:15
new 14002:12	14012:16 14019:18	occurred 13871:18	operation 13865:24	13930:1 13932:10,18
night 13918:21 14026:9	14022:12 14025:22	14037:9	13866:1 13884:6	13941:2,5,5,7

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 12
13953:21	14042:5 14044:1,3,9	13883:8 13895:1	13946:25 13953:17	13930:9,21,22
organiser's 13940:5	14044:10	13896:10,12	14013:24	please 14002:23
origin 14000:17	pages 13867:5	13941:24 13946:5	photos 13894:20	14011:4
original 13907:12,17	13905:14,19,25	13959:19 13976:2,5	13908:15 13992:25	pleased 13858:11
13913:14,16,22	13906:20 13911:23	13976:10,13	14039:20	plundering 14041:18
13914:1 13962:5	14007:20 14009:17	13977:21 13978:13	physically 13972:11	pocket 13870:16
13968:12 13995:4,19	14011:9	13978:15,20,23	pick 13861:19	13878:14
13996:11,19	paid 13978:17	13979:3 13980:3,3	13899:24 13995:16	pocketbook 13862:2,4
13997:14 14006:1,17	pangas 13862:6,9,14	13982:9,14 13986:5	14025:2	13863:4,6 13870:3,14
14013:10 14018:12	13870:6	13991:3 14003:25	picked 13907:21	point 13864:2 13872:12
14018:20 14019:8	paper 13975:8 13980:4	14004:9 14005:15	13993:23	13872:17,18 13873:3
14026:9 14033:16	par 13920:9	14014:18 14027:14	picking 13975:6	13879:24 13880:20
14042:13,15	parade 14020:9	14038:25	picks 13962:17	13883:14 13886:16
originally 13976:12	14022:1,5 14024:14	perceived 13975:4,4	14018:14	13887:22 13888:4,6
13978:4 13994:25	14024:21 14025:1,5	percentage 13978:14	picture 13877:14,14	13888:12 13889:21
Oryx 13884:7,17	parades 14024:23	perfectly 13968:11,14	13889:16,22,23	13891:5 13892:6
ought 13927:23	paragraph 13867:13	13968:15	13904:18 13914:19	13894:12 13896:2
outer 13890:10	13867:14 13868:18	performed 13882:12	13947:13 14019:21	13899:6,22 13900:18
outside 13888:7,9	13868:19 13873:20	13884:2 13885:25	14040:11	13900:23 13903:14
13890:5 13928:20	13874:16 13875:14	permission 13867:20	pictures 14004:9	13908:8,14 13909:9
13946:5 13991:13	13938:2,2 13976:3	permitted 13868:4	piece 13999:4 14020:5	13910:2 13930:22
14017:4 14019:5,7	14020:14,15 14021:6 14032:12	13869:1 permitting 13869:16	pieces 14012:25 Pillay 14034:20	13933:19 13934:18 13937:12 13940:15
outstanding 13858:5 13907:1,3 13910:9	pardon 13875:5	person 13868:22	place 13867:6 13869:14	13937:12 13940:15 13941:21 13946:2
14014:23	13877:24 13941:6	13869:4 13894:7	13870:25 13894:5,16	13941.21 13940.2
out-of-sequence	14010:18,21	13896:9 13900:21	13908:7 13922:9	13956:8 13964:9,20
14015:3	part 13865:18 13871:7	13969:22 13986:1	13925:21 13928:10	13970:3 13971:11
overall 13886:8	13876:12 13883:19	13987:8 13992:20	13942:25 13944:11	13977:23 13978:13
overlooked 13899:23	13884:6 13886:18	14004:16 14046:25	13945:17 13957:4,9	13985:4,18 13987:12
overnight 13859:21	13894:25 13896:20	personal 13897:2	13959:20 13961:6	13992:22 13993:8,17
14034:18 14048:8,14	13903:14 13916:22	personally 13911:9	13991:14 13995:11	13993:19 13994:21
oversight 13939:21,24	13917:5 13939:10,22	13960:17	14013:25 14030:10	13996:13 13998:12
13939:24 13946:22	13946:11 13951:3	persone 13862:11	14032:10 14038:1	13998:24 14003:5
13950:15	13971:21 13974:17	personnel 13977:14	placed 13933:8	14006:16 14009:11
oversights 13946:16	13997:16,17 13999:8	14005:20	13945:22 13959:23	14011:6,23 14014:10
o'clock 13883:23	participating 13985:13	persons 13969:22	13959:24 13960:6	14017:22 14022:25
13944:12,12	particular 13874:4	perspective 13892:14	13968:21 13971:18	14031:16,20,21
14048:21	13890:19 13922:5,22	13893:23 13922:4	places 13957:10	14035:13 14038:3,9
o'clock 14023:5	13932:4 13940:6	14031:1	14039:13 14040:16	14039:3 14047:22
O48MTS 13935:1	13947:9 13964:23	pertinently 13891:21	14040:17 14041:2,5	pointed 13957:2
Р	13970:6 13971:10	phase 13883:19,24	plainly 13928:2	pointing 13898:19
	13999:4 14030:24	13884:3 13885:4,5,7	plan 13870:24	points 13875:17
pack 13997:5	14036:14 14039:13	13886:23,24 13887:8	13882:13 13887:16	13900:11 13976:24
package 13945:7 13997:16	14039:14 14040:14 14046:2	13887:9,15,16,20,21 13924:18 14003:23	13971:11,12,23 13972:2 13977:15	police 13858:5,6 13863:8 13869:18
page 13860:8 13864:15	particularly 13858:4	phases 13887:11,13	13972.2 13977.13	
13865:10,10,14	13885:19 13898:2	phases 13887.11,15 phone 13905:1,7,7	planning 13871:7	13870:9,11 13871:10 13879:18,19 13882:2
13866:5,7,7,9,10	13989:13 14018:25	13907:10,14	13975:22 14021:2,4,8	13886:24 13895:14
13868:15 13903:17	parties 13858:23	13910:13 13911:19	14021:13,17,18,24	13897:13 13898:1,7
13903:24,25 13904:2	13914:9 13916:5,7	13911:20 13912:18	plans 13970:21	13898:11 13899:13
13904:7,12,15	13917:17 13923:22	13912:22 13913:24	13972:3,4 13980:17	13900:1,1,17,18
13905:3,25 13906:2	13927:3,12 13961:19	13914:1 13991:9	13980:20	13908:8 13945:25
13907:15 13910:5,20	13962:10 14006:12	photo 13880:2,25	Platinum 13918:21	13946:1,5 13956:25
13910:21 13913:10	14044:17,19,23,25	13908:9 13937:24	13980:23 13981:2	13959:1,16 13969:20
13914:14,17 13916:8	14045:4	14033:9,19	14033:15 14043:6,13	13969:21 13974:2,6,6
13919:6,8,11,19	passage 13865:25	photograph 13873:10	plausible 13939:6,9	13976:10 13977:2
13929:11 13933:2	13874:4 13917:16	13877:5,23 13880:7	play 13864:11,11	13980:11,15
13934:17 13935:5,6,7	13945:12	13880:23 13888:3,5	13930:21 13931:6,11	13982:19,22
13966:10 13970:18	passed 13900:16	13963:22 14032:3,4	13931:23 13940:7,8,9	13983:18,19 13984:6
13970:19,23,24	peers 13889:18	photographic 13938:5	13942:12 13995:21	13984:20,22 13985:8
13973:17 13975:14	Pentax 13873:8	13938:12	13995:23 13996:22	13987:17 13989:24
13976:4 13980:13	people 13865:19	photographs 13859:9	14022:8	13993:8,20 14013:22
13981:1,2 13982:5,7	13869:5,9 13871:10	13861:6 13880:4	played 13940:10,14	14014:19 14015:16
13983:15,16 14001:4	13874:21 13878:21 13879:21 13881:15	13881:5 13896:13	13941:20 13995:24 14022:11	14021:25 14025:3
14011:10 14012:8 14020:15 14032:11	13879:21 13881:15	13904:2,14 13937:10 13937:13 13946:25	playing 13881:19	14029:1 14031:2,4,5 14031:16,20,21
14020:15 14052:11	13001.23,23 13002.2	15751.15 15740.25	praying 15001.17	17031.10,20,21
		•	•	•

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 13
14032:9,14 14035:6	13972:19,24 13973:9	13971:11,16,17	14044:8	protect 13898:7
14043:10	13974:4,4,14	13972:11,20	prior 13888:17	13899:13 13900:7,25
policeman 13987:7	13976:24 13977:21	13973:10 13981:1	14002:22 14017:19	13901:4
policemen 13871:13	13979:21 13980:4,13	13987:4 13993:1	14036:18	protected 13900:20
13879:23 13890:21	13983:17 13984:21	14013:22,22	private 13896:13	protecting 13900:1
13931:1	13985:5 13995:23	14020:21 14031:24	13897:9 13969:11	protester 13935:8,11
police's 13946:2	14005:22 14007:16	14035:19 14036:5	14033:13 14043:2,5,8	13935:24 13943:6
13992:25 13993:4,19	14015:3 14027:15	presentations 13971:14	14043:16	13944:16
14000:22 14015:15	14029:3 14040:2	14035:16,17	probably 13881:21	protesters 13880:9
POP 13952:7 14007:7,7	14042:21 14043:2	presented 13929:1	13903:2 13917:10	13886:19 13934:8,21
14009:16,23 14010:2	post 13886:2 13889:24	13930:9 13944:19,21	13919:1 13928:9	13974:22 13975:7,17
14010:4,14,14,17	13891:1 14039:4,7	13952:10 13960:22	13978:20 14005:20	protesting 13975:18
14025:13 14026:5,6	14041:23 14042:1,9	13964:17	14012:13 14025:17	protestor 13930:25
14027:8,9 14031:7	14042:10,11,18	presenting 13933:1 13982:24 13990:16	14029:14,14	13932:11 13933:5
14032:14 POP's 13914:3,7,8	14043:11 14044:1,9 14044:10,18	14003:13	14039:19 14043:15 14046:5	13949:11,11,11,11,12 13949:12 13987:5
13917:7,16,16	14046:15 14048:3	president 13933:4	problem 13921:25	protestors 13929:6
13920:5,6,11	potentially 13891:11	13944:15 14020:21	13986:16,17 13989:3	13933:10 13948:13
13963:13,18 13965:2	13891:14 13897:16	14035:20 14036:1	14000:1	13949:13,14
13965:12,17,23	14048:18	presidents 13978:9	problems 13858:15	13953:18 13959:22
13967:3,21	power 13874:19	President's 14032:2	14022:25	13960:14,15
portion 13931:7,20	13890:7 13971:11	presumably 13939:15	proceeding 13865:24	13979:15 13984:15
portray 14033:1	PowerPoint 13972:11	13939:18 14003:7	13866:1	14005:13,20
posed 13887:5	PPTX 13980:23	14015:10 14017:3	proceedings 13858:1	prove 13879:14
posing 14016:6	pre 13941:23	14035:10	13876:6,22	provide 13863:10
position 13861:23	preceded 13933:10	presume 13886:15	proceeds 13865:17	13868:1 13869:12
13869:4,11 13871:1,4	13967:18	13967:10 13978:2	process 13871:7	13875:14 13891:8
13875:21 13876:23	precise 13869:11,14	14034:16	13914:3 13922:8	13939:6 13995:10
13881:14 13882:2	13876:22 13937:2	presuming 13881:4	13925:4 13926:18	provided 13875:16,17
13889:24 13897:17	precisely 13893:8	Pretorius 13858:14	13967:10 13969:1	13902:19 13907:13
13901:20 14005:23	precision 13875:19	13905:5 13908:12	13984:19 13987:23	13910:8 13913:19
14037:15 14040:7	prefer 14023:8,9	13912:9,16,25	14003:21 14016:21	13944:7 13962:2
positive 13924:12	prejudice 13943:24	13913:3 13925:10	processes 13985:20	14014:4,8,11,13,17
possession 13927:10	13970:7,15 13987:2	13926:12 13966:20	produce 13859:7	14014:20,23
13964:3 14009:8,10	prejudiced 13986:23	13988:3 14000:8,14	produced 13860:5	14016:13 14022:20
14015:15 14016:7	prejudices 13986:16	14011:17,18	13994:20 14017:5	14031:10,20 14032:5
14017:19 14018:4,9	prejudicial 13897:13	14017:25 14031:22	producing 14029:18	14044:16
possibilities 13926:8 13927:8 13969:16	13899:16,20 13900:8 13936:10,13,21,21	Pretorius's 13926:6,25 Pretorius's 13876:17	professional 13984:6,8 promptly 13859:24	providing 13974:7 public 13869:3
possibility 13900:25	prepared 13955:23	pretty 13883:21	proper 13995:15	13871:13
13923:22,23	13973:4 13981:16,17	14009:22 14031:9	properly 14035:1	pull 13886:11
13924:19 13925:14	13983:13,14 13992:2	prevent 13874:24	14048:11	punched 13861:11
13925:16 13926:4,6,8	13903:13,14 13992:2	prevented 13880:17	properties 13906:1,6	purpose 13868:9
13926:23,23 13927:6	preparing 13973:10	preventing 13870:7	13913:9,11,13	13869:6,16 13870:10
13927:8 13950:2	14020:21	13880:14	13918:5 13919:5,14	13879:16 13884:10
13952:14 14040:1	presence 13922:9	previous 13888:12	13919:15,18,20	13993:6 14035:12
14047:15	present 13859:2	13913:10 14002:21	13934:16 13935:6	purposes 13864:2
possible 13864:6	13864:1 13870:22	previously 13894:14	13937:21,23	13870:22 13876:17
13866:11 13877:16	13890:13 13904:21	13899:3 13963:4	13938:13 13939:15	13890:13 13897:1
13898:1,21 13922:24	13911:12,14	14004:24 14005:11	13939:16,20	13904:21 13920:14
13922:25 13923:3	13947:22 13959:14	14023:23,25	13942:23 13943:14	13971:18 13973:16
13928:7 13934:14	13973:16 13991:3	pre-empt 13885:1	13943:17 13946:20	13986:21 13996:24
13938:12 13946:8	13993:2,4 14001:19	pre-intention 13879:13	13946:24 13947:2,8	14001:19 14011:9
13951:14 13963:8	14021:24 14044:20	pre-14:30 13887:13	13950:6,7 13954:2	14026:16 14029:20
13965:2 13969:22	presentation 13915:1,7	prima 14003:4 14004:3	13956:13 13958:7	14042:24 14044:20
13982:24 13984:10	13915:11,14,18	primarily 13978:3,3	13962:20 13981:9	pursue 13903:9
13992:25 14004:12	13920:14,15	13998:25	14001:9 14009:18,18	13928:22
14038:6,25 14045:7	13923:12 13928:4	primary 13992:19	14020:12 14033:1	put 13865:11,13
14047:5,7,10	13929:2 13933:7	14035:12	14038:24 14042:19	13876:3 13879:4
possibly 13859:1	13938:4 13944:3,22	print 13876:10,13	14042:25	13890:23 13891:16
13863:12 13895:13	13945:3,25 13946:4	printed 13864:14	proposing 13921:3	13897:17 13899:21
13903:14 13913:4	13946:10 13947:5,19	13999:14 14001:5	13988:6	13901:15,19
13917:9 13924:22	13957:9,24 13959:5	printing 13876:17	proposition 13882:7 13963:11 13964:6	13907:12 13914:10 13015:0 13010:24
13934:10 13939:21 13940:7,8 13942:5	1395 9 :14,14 13960:20 13961:1	printout 14032:23 14033:7	13963:11 13964:6 13974:24 13975:11	13915:9 13919:24 13922:23 13923:20
13940:7,8 13942:3	13964:12,17	printouts 13965:8	propositions 13878:16	13922:25 13925:20
15750.20 15700.0	15707.12,17	Printouis 13903.0	Propositions 130/0.10	13727.7 13720.14

				Page 14
13934:16 13936:10	13914:18 13969:17	13968:11,14	13921:11 13980:23	relevance 13960:24
13938:11 13939:25	14023:7 14048:1	13985:21	13983:16	14006:4 14013:18
13946:1,13,25	quicker 14001:6	reasoning 13880:1	reference 13877:8	14040:5
13947:4,7,12,14	quickly 13860:7	14000:6	13992:22 14011:18	relevant 13867:14
13950:16 13959:12	13873:13 13877:1	reasons 13867:24	14025:21	13893:13,15 13894:2
13960:11,12 13961:4	quite 13873:16	13878:13,14	referenced 14026:13	13894:13 13897:2
13961:18 13962:20	13874:12 13896:22	13896:17 13985:13	14036:4	13916:1 13918:11
13963:11,25	13899:6 13957:19	14003:12	references 13864:24	13920:15 13927:11
13969:16 13970:4	13964:6 13976:11	rebound 13902:4	referred 13873:21	13929:24 13957:17
13972:17 13973:24	13978:14 13985:7,8	recalled 13903:8	13909:10 13961:11	13959:7 13960:9,10
13974:21,25	14022:16 14047:7	recalling 13920:20	13996:3 14042:3	relinquished 13886:20
13977:14 13982:13		recap 13861:20	referring 13863:11	rely 13906:10,12
13982:22 13983:9	R	13878:7 13979:12	13864:3 13874:16	14008:6 14032:24
13984:12 13985:2,5	radically 13935:18	receipt 13990:13	13880:18 13885:6	relying 14037:22
13986:16 13987:25	radios 13974:7	receive 13928:16	13887:8 13907:2	remains 13883:21
13989:17,21 13990:4	13983:19	received 13858:3	13943:23 13987:17	remember 13881:5
13991:21 13992:24	raise 13870:14	13868:11 13875:20	14010:7 14017:25	13891:21 13932:2
13993:18 13997:7	13894:15 14003:5	13892:21 13893:25	14026:11,16 14031:3	13947:21 13971:25
14001:22 14005:22	raised 13858:16,24	13910:16 13913:5	14034:3	14003:12 14023:22
14011:9 14024:10	13929:2	13916:8 13927:25	reflect 13890:18	14026:25 14037:4
14027:20 14035:19	raising 13884:11	13938:21 13976:2,12	13908:20 13945:17	14038:23
14040:4,7 14042:10	ran 13880:10 13911:22	13976:15 13978:4,6	13972:15 13973:8	remembered 14040:24
14044:20 14046:22	13936:14 13963:24	13978:10 13989:10	13981:10 13982:18	remembering 13974:13
14048:2,4,19	14020:13	13990:11 13994:6	13983:25 14002:10	remind 13862:3
putting 13878:16	randomly 14046:3	14007:14 14009:2,7	14022:9 14026:4	13903:19 13922:19
13882:1 13908:25	range 13926:7	14016:2,3 14038:13	reflected 13981:21	remove 13968:21
13911:13 13936:19	14039:12 14040:13	14038:13,13	13990:13 13991:18	removed 13896:1
13937:22 13948:11	rank 13886:8	receiving 14009:1	14038:14	13926:25 13927:1
13953:2 13964:5 13971:13 13990:5	rapidly 14047:7	recognise 13907:16	reflecting 13870:17	13965:24 13968:19
14039:1,5	rate 13986:6 razor 13887:1	13915:6,18 13941:14 13942:12 13971:5	13883:5,15 13890:6 13976:18 14016:20	repeat 13899:5 13938:23 14015:23
puzzling 13969:13,25	reach 13986:9	13981:6 14002:18	14033:18,21 14043:9	repeated 13866:17
puzzing 13909.13,23	reached 13872:11	14004:23 14019:21	reflection 13981:14	13964:15
Q	13945:3 13988:4	14019:24 14020:7	reflects 13904:12	rephrase 13895:23,25
quadruple 13994:11	13992:15	recognition 13996:24	13972:17	reported 13862:25
qualification 14032:17	reaction 13883:18	recollect 14027:13	refresh 13875:9	13863:19
quarter 13921:9,20	13886:18 13888:14	recollecting 13917:23	13930:8	represent 13960:9
13988:7,12	read 13862:1,5	14031:7	regard 13901:24	13992:25
queries 13903:16	13865:13 13866:24	recollection 13967:15	13916:4 13960:25	representation 13992:9
13914:8	13873:19 13874:1	13972:16,17	13961:12 13987:22	representative
query 13903:4	13877:8,10 13933:2	13973:13 14027:9	13994:13,22 14046:8	13876:20
14047:17	13945:12 13973:18	14028:22 14030:8	regarded 13897:13	representatives
question 13861:9	13974:8	reconciliation 13873:3	13927:10 13980:9	13858:10
13875:7,10,14	reading 13866:23	13935:16	13984:25	represents 13858:6
13881:25 13893:14	real 14014:10	reconfirm 13920:19	regards 13869:11	reproduction 13971:9
13895:18,22,25	realised 13947:10	13938:9	reinforced 13987:3	request 13897:16
13898:2 13899:1,1,5	realistic 13903:3	reconstruct 14035:15	reiterate 13961:5	13904:13 13908:2,7
13899:8,9 13900:13 13001:8 0 13017:1	really 13889:23	reconstruction 13921:13	rejected 13901:24 13980:1	13966:9 13989:8 13993:13
13901:8,9 13917:1 13923:19 13945:9,13	13892:1 13899:5 13914:2 13933:13	record 13867:6	related 13928:24	requested 13887:2
13923:19 13943:9,13 13953:4 13956:2	13962:11 13992:4,5	13877:9 13889:12	13998:19	13895:11 13989:7
13953.4 13950.2	13902.11 13992.4,5	13899:16,22 13908:7	relates 13998:25	13992:7,7 14033:7
13999:3 14001:1	13994.17 13995.12	13924:2 13929:23	relating 13916:17	requesting 13915:3
14014:10,13	14048:1	13985:25 14019:15	13973:22	requests 13904:15
14015:13,22,24	rear 14020:9	14045:21 14046:1	relation 13858:5	13908:11 13912:13
14016:5 14017:13	reason 13869:25	recorded 13870:3	13865:9 13885:24	required 13877:3
14037:13 14043:25	13870:2,3 13878:13	13953:16 13965:17	13904:13 13907:14	13884:9 14043:24
14044:12 14046:24	13878:18,20,25	RECORDING	13912:13 13936:25	resaved 13964:2
14047:23 14048:1,7	13899:17 13909:11	13940:10,14	13937:3 13938:4	reserve 13877:20
questioned 13865:16	13975:18 13983:24	13941:20 13995:24	13952:3 13989:18	reserves 13871:19
13866:4 13874:9	13984:1 14003:7	records 13878:14	13993:12,12,14	reset 13919:2
13893:7 13952:2	14036:5 14041:17	13911:18	14000:10 14010:18	residing 13977:18
questioning 14025:16	14047:21 14048:11	recourse 13962:20	14010:19 14025:16	resolution 13922:13
14029:20	reasonable 13968:16	reduced 13913:23	14027:8	respect 13863:11
questions 13868:7	13986:7	13988:1	relationships 13901:2	13898:13,14
13885:2 13899:19	reasonably 13877:1	refer 13865:8 13905:4	relatively 14025:7	13901:21 13906:24
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE		1	

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page 1
13910:7 13913:18	roll 13872:16,21	13971:20 13972:8	13925:15 13936:9	13897:10 13898:2
13917:4 13932:22	13909:5	13976:22 13979:20	13942:10 13974:24	13899:25 13933:12
13936:7 13944:1,2	room 13861:15,17	13985:16 14004:1	13997:3 14002:1	13946:9 13970:8,10
13984:5 14043:16,17	Roots 13908:13	14006:23 14025:24	14048:3	13970:12 14034:12
14045:23	14031:24	14027:19 14030:6	secondly 13890:24	sensitive 13922:8
respected 13931:8,21	rough 13875:22	14031:14 14032:18	13898:22 13966:18	sent 13868:6 13876:16
13932:9 13936:15	roughly 13881:8	14036:5 14038:19	seconds 13864:12,13	13896:4,6,10 13908:7
13987:9	row 13914:19	14039:20 14045:15	13871:23,23 13872:6	13912:17 13938:19
respective 13901:2	run 13874:23 13880:11	14045:25	13878:4 13930:10,11	13938:24,25
respond 13943:25	13889:17 13970:3	says 13858:7 13865:18	13930:12,19	13961:23
responded 13859:24	13999:10,13	13868:20 13869:21	13935:17,20	separate 13900:6
response 13874:5	running 13867:16	13870:9,10 13875:14	13942:10 13947:16	13920:19 13934:21
13875:7 13893:14	13874:21 13881:15	13878:10,18,20	13954:3 14020:13	13943:3 13948:8
13903:17 13905:2	13912:24 13934:20	13879:16 13898:20	section 13928:9	13950:16,18 13953:4
13948:11 13950:4	13997:17 14007:22	13898:22 13900:16	13955:23 13986:12	13991:10
13986:18 14025:15	14012:23 14038:1	13901:17 13902:6,8	14009:1	September 13858:1
responsibility 13969:20	runs 13951:10	13905:4 13909:11	security 13972:25	13868:13 13919:21
responsible 13911:25	13953:21 13954:3,24	13910:7 13918:25	13974:1,1 13975:1,13	13919:23
13912:4 13938:5	13954:25 13994:3	13926:20 13931:8,15	13975:14,14	sequence 13881:5
13959:18 13975:12 13980:9 13984:20,25	13999:17 14018:13 rushing 13991:14	13962:4,17 13967:12 13976:1,6 13980:18	13977:13 13979:18 13980:10	13892:20,22 13895:11 13907:21
rest 13957:3 13959:5	Rustenburg 13894:18	13976:1,6 13980:18	seeing 13877:8 13880:3	13895:11 13907:21 13907:21
14004:10	13959:21 13990:19	13981:2 13982:3	13883:22 13898:1	13907:22 13910:0
result 13859:1	Ryland 13912:20	14016:2 14030:4	13948:8 13956:19	13932:20 13933:19
resulted 13974:5	Ayranu 13712.20	say-so 13898:23	13948.8 13930.19	13933:21 13934:11
13980:14 13983:17	S	scenarios 13925:16	13963:22 13972:24	13934:12,13,14
13984:22	sake 13986:2	scene 13863:12,12,14	13982:8 13996:24	13936:21 13938:12
resume 13921:8	salaries 13978:17	13864:4 13870:24	14002:22 14022:6	13939:2,4,10 13940:1
resumes 13858:2	SAP 13862:11	13871:2,6 13873:7	14023:24 14032:10	13945:24 13946:25
13921:21,22	SAPD 13862:6,8,10	13874:18,20,20	14037:8	13955:12,17,18,22
13988:13,14	sat 13992:8	13875:1 13879:22	seek 13867:4	13957:18,20 13961:7
13999:22 14023:14	satisfied 13929:21	13880:10,13,19	seeking 14003:20	13961:11,12,17
14023:16	13951:23 13992:1	13888:5 13890:8,8,25	seen 13872:24 13893:3	13962:12,15,20,23
resurface 13997:16	satisfy 13892:8	14037:5	13893:9,16 13943:11	13963:5 13969:15
resurfaced 13981:25	Saturday 13967:20	scope 13982:3	13956:20 13979:5	13970:7 13989:15
retaliation 13984:10	13973:25 13983:7	score 13953:10	13997:18,21	13999:12,18,19
retreat 14006:22	14021:1	Scott's 13922:6,6	14004:24 14014:5,8	14001:17,22,24
returned 13938:20	save 13872:12 13988:5	14041:4	14022:10 14023:21	14002:1,4,6 14011:13
reverse 13970:21	13988:11 13997:9	screen 13864:18	14023:22 14026:9	14011:15 14012:6,17
13972:3,8 13973:7	saved 13896:19	13865:11 13904:6,11	14034:10 14040:24	14012:21 14031:8
13976:16 13979:13	13981:10 13997:13	13907:12 13914:17	14041:5 14044:24	14038:25
13980:21 13984:18	13997:15 14001:9,25	13916:25 13919:6,7	14046:10,14	sequenced 13939:20
14000:7 14011:5,6	14002:2,3,7,12,16	13919:18 13920:2	sees 13909:11 13999:18	13944:9 13950:2
14013:9	14003:8,9 14033:21	13929:15,18	seizing 13974:6 13983:19	sequences 13893:6 13987:24 13993:5
revert 13876:12 Rhino 13980:25	14042:14,15 saviour 13980:6	13933:24 13935:7 13962:2 13963:1	select 13958:22	13987:24 13993:5 sequencing 13936:6
right 13863:21 13865:4	saw 13872:15 13886:12	13902.2 13905.1	selected 13959:4	13937:1 13938:5,20
13865:21 13877:15	13888:12 13894:1,12	14010:14	selection 13927:1	13939:7 13938:5,20
13899:6 13935:7	13894:13 13897:8	screenshot 13864:12,13	14011:11 14046:15	13943:16,18 13945:8
13936:24 13942:17	13899:3,11 13921:23	13865:3 13873:12	14011.11 14040.13	13945:18 13948:8
13930.24 13942.17	13930:7,24 13963:3	13878:3 13914:12	14048:4,6	13950:14 13958:3,3,8
13955:15,18	13979:25 13996:10	13916:6,14,18	Semenya 13859:16,17	13950:14 13958:3,3,8
13956:17 13957:18	13997:25 13998:1	screenshots 13905:13	13867:4 13876:20,24	13987:1
13979:9 13982:15,16	14002:20,21,22	scroll 13935:5	13877:2 13895:20,23	sequential 13994:2
13986:11 13998:14	14003:10 14013:7	scrutinise 13896:17	13898:12,19 13899:6	13995:15
14006:25 14034:17	14014:25 14033:9,9	scrutinised 13946:9	13899:15 13900:9	sergeant 13861:22
14044:8	14040:5	SD 13967:23 13968:1	13901:6 13917:11	13862:2 13864:1
rightly 13985:21	saying 13869:21	14047:6	13984:3 13985:25	13865:4,5 13868:24
Rights 13858:3,11	13886:23 13902:3	SD-card 14027:4,11	13986:20 13987:18	13869:22 13870:2,4
ring 14007:7	13924:4 13928:5	SD-cards 14027:16,18	13988:8,10,22	13870:15,22
ringed 14011:13	13939:5,19 13943:22	seat 13879:11	13998:5,14 13999:2	13871:24 13872:19
14012:15,21 14015:4	13943:24 13945:24	second 13860:8	14045:21,25	13872:24 13875:1,5
rival 13971:1 13973:22	13947:1 13949:6,22	13864:9 13872:14	send 13903:3 13912:19	13878:3,9,12,20,24
13983:10	13951:13 13952:13	13903:24 13904:12	13912:20 14048:7,10	13914:4
rocks 13881:10	13957:4 13959:3	13910:6 13923:22	senior 13959:15	serial 14007:16
role 13884:10	13960:22,24	13924:18 13925:13	sense 13886:7 13897:4	14025:22 14026:4
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	1	I	1

series 13864:10 show 13864:12 situation 13888:19 13940:11,12 13941:4 13918:4 13938:4 13904:18 13906:21 13871:25 13873:16 13887:81 13902:2 13961:23 13970:19 13943:22 13944:1 13906:23 13909:12 13878:81 13880:4 13971:24 13980:6 13971:19 13975:24 13945:18 13972:2 13914:18 13916:18 13919:41 3921:8 six 13880:11 13929:6 13982:26,7 13989:10 13985:61 4007:12 13917:17,67,18,20 13944:1 13945:13 skimmed 13860:4 14009:61 40010:21 specifics 14000:23 13917:16,7,18,20 13944:1 3943:13 skip 13880:1 13999.20 14011:20 14017:9 specifics 14000:23 13917:16,7,18,20 13944:21 2402!16 skip 13886:7,8 14003:1 14021:17 specifics 14000:23 13922:8,10 13930:3 14003:12 1402:16 sike 13860:7,13,16,19 14042:23 14045:24 14044:14 139350:20 13951:3,7 13943:20 13959:6 13929:16 13929:11 13945:11 31943:20 13929:12 13955:5 13960:13 13843:21 13915:1 13971:61 13940:23 sortal 13922:1 13935:11 3940:23 13945:11,22,12,16,17 showing 13880:1 13929:22:13	2
13904:18 13906:2113871:25 13873:1613889:16 13902:213961:23 13970:1913943:22 13944:113906:23 13909:1213878:8 13880:413971:24 13980:613971:24 13980:613971:24 13980:1013945:18 13972:2413914:18 13916:1813919:4 13921:8size 13860:113980:11 13929:613982:2,6,7 13989:1013945:16 14007:1313917:6,7,18,2013942:4 13933:13skimmed 13860:414009:6 14010:21specifies 14000:2313917:6,7,18,2013949:22 14004:9sleeping 13918:814002:15 14032:713912:15 13989:1013912:02 13920:813994:22 14004:9sleeping 13918:814032:1714042:1213923:2,11 13928:314003:12 14021:16slide 13860:7,13,16,1914042:14 14041:314047:213934:2,2,3,3,11showed 13861:2113888:12,12 13915:1sort 13904:14 13944:1114040:613934:2,2,2214001:13,2013929:1613929:1613993:1 14004:14speculation 13898:113955:13960:13showing 13880:113902:1,3 13929:1613993:1 14004:14speculation 13898:113955:13960:13showing 13880:113929:25 13930:10sorted 13922:1speculation 13898:113955:13961:10,22 13962:913894:12 13940:23sorted 13922:1613932:5,18 139413955:13961:2713930:17 13931:2513971:17,21 13972:813989:1913942:22,25 139413966:16,17showin 13861:2013947:13 13971:17,21 13972:813989:1913942:22,25 139413994:18,2113896:18 13918:513971:17,21 13972:813989:1913943:26,17 13995:2513996:17	2
13906:23 13909:1213878:8 13880:413971:24 13980:613971:19 13975:2413945:18 13972:213909:13 13914:1213892:4 13918:10six 13880:11 13929:613982:2,6,7 13989:1013984:6 14007:1313916:22 13917:2,5,613922:4 13933:13skimmed 13860:414009:6 14010:21specifics 14000:2313917:6,7,18,2013940:4 13946:13skip 13999:2014011:20 14017:9specifics 14000:2313919:20 13920:813994:22 14004:9skeping 13918:814034:1 14041:314047:213923:2,11 13928:314008:12 14021:16slide 13860:7,13,16,1914042:23 14045:24speculating 14004:113924:2,3,3,11showd 1386:2113882:11,11,1714046:1114047:213950:20 13951:3,713943:20 13959:613920:13 13929:16sort 13904:14 13944:11speculation 13898:113951:14,22,2214001:13,2013920:13 13929:1613957:12,13 13985:914007:113956:20 13951:3,713943:20 13959:613920:13 13929:1613993:114004:14speculation 13898:113966:16,17showing 13880:113930:11,1113988:1113932:5,18 13934:2413966:16,17showing 1380:713959:8,9 13970:25sort 14015:1713935:8,12,13,2413984:18,2113867:813971:17,210,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13943:2613994:18,2113867:17 13997:2113935:17 13972:813959:23 1400:2113943:6 13945:2513995:19 13994:1513871:12,20,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2513951:1,21396:7413985:14,12113866:18 13877:1413977:21 13986:15 <td>2</td>	2
13909:1313914:1213892:413918:10six13880:111392:613982:2,6,713982:2,6,713982:1013914:1813916:1813912:413921:8size13860:413900:213990:214008:514000:2313917:6,7,18,2013940:413940:13skip13999:2014011:2014011:20specifics14000:2313918:1713919:4,5,913959:513959:513994:214004:9sleeping13918:814020:1514022:1513921:1513982:1613922:8,9,1013902:314008:1214021:16slde13882:1,1,11,1714042:2314042:12speculating14047:213942:2,3,11showed13861:21sla88:12,1213919:2513957:12,1313957:12,1313955:913920:1,313922:1613993:1,14004:14speculating14002:1513951:14,22,2214001:13,2013920:2513930:11,1113988:1113932:5,1813930:1,2513930:1,2513930:1,2513930:1,2513930:1,2113935:8,12,13,2413966:12,12,16,1714012:814036:613940:24,2513941:1813940:913942:22,2513941:1813942:22,2513941:1813942:22,2513941:1813942:22,2513942:1813942:22,2513942:1813942:22,2513942:1813942:22,2513942:1813942:22,2513942:1813942:22,2513942:1913942:22,2513942:1813942:22,2513942:1813942:22,2513942:1813942:22,2513942:1913942:22,2513942:18 <td>2</td>	2
13916:22 13917:2,5,613922:4 13933:13skimmed 13860:414009:6 14010:21specifics 14000:2313917:6,7,18,2013940:4 13946:13skip 13999:2014011:20 14017:9specifics 14000:2313919:20 13920:813994:2 14004:9skip 13886:7,814020:15 14032:714032:1713923:2,11 13928:314008:12 14021:16slide 13860:7,13,16,1914042:23 14045:2414044:1313929:8,910 13930:314032:1913882:11,11,1714046:1114040:613934:2,3,3,11showed 13861:2113888:12,12 13915:1sort 13904:14 13944:1113998:1113948:12 13950:1813876:3 13933:2413915:10 13919:25sort 13904:14 13944:1114040:613951:14,22,2214001:13,2013920:1,3 13929:1613993:1 14004:14speculative 13921:513955:5 13960:13showing 13880:113930:1,1113988:1113935:8,12,13,2413966:16,17showin 3861:2013940:24,25 13941:113988:1913935:8,12,13,2413966:16,17showin 13861:2013941:2 13940:2513941:2 13942:1813942:22,25 139413996:17 13997:2113870:17 13931:2513971:17,21 1372:813995:23 14002:1613955:3,1942:1942:1813998:21 4001:1213932:1,14,2013972:18 13973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,91395:113998:21 4001:1213932:17 13931:2513971:17,21 13973:3,4,1814022:1613955:1,91395:113998:21 4001:2113932:17 13931:2513971:17,21 13973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,91395:113998:21 4001:2113952:21 1396:7713982:4,57 13983:1513914:25	
13917:6,7,18,2013940:4 13946:13skip 13999:2014011:20 14017:9speculate 13898:1613918:17 13919:4,5,913959:5 13983:13sky 13886:7,814020:15 14032:713912:15 13989:213912:21 13928:314008:12 14021:16side 13860:7,13,16,1914042:31 14041:314047:213929:8,9,10 13930:314032:1913882:11,11,1714042:21 41444:1114040:613934:2,3,3,11showed 13861:2113888:12,12 13915:1sort 13904:14 13944:1114040:613934:2,3,3,1113876:3 13933:2413915:10 13919:2513993:114004:14speculation 13898:113951:14,22,2214001:13,2013929:25 13930:10sorted 13922:1speculative 13921:513955:5 13960:13showing 1380:113930:11,1113988:1113932:5,18 1393413966:16,1714012:8 14036:613940:24,25 13941:1sortel 13922:1sortel 14015:1713966:16,17showin 13861:2013941:18 13947:1313867:20 13868:913941:2 13942:1813998:21 4001:2113930:17 13918:513971:17,21 1397:813995:3 14004:913942:22,25 139413996:17 13997:2113930:17 1391:2513972:18 13973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,9 13956:113998:21 4001:2113952:19 13957:113972:24 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13973:1413972:24 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614006:1,4,17,21,2113952:21 13962:713982:45 77 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 139314002:12114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613	
13918:1713919:4,5.913959:513983:13sky13886:7,814020:1514032:713912:1513989:213919:20139920:813994:2214004:9sleeping13918:814034:114047:214047:213923:2,1113928:314008:1214021:16slide13860:7,13,161914042:2314047:2speculating14047:213923:2,8,91013930:314032:1913882:11,11,1714042:3114042:2314042:2314042:213934:2,3,3,11showed13861:2113888:12,1213915:1013919:2513957:12,1313985:913950:2013951:3,713943:2013920:1,313929:1613993:114004:14speculation13882:1313955:513960:13showing13880:113930:1,11113988:1113932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1813932:1,2413932:1,1113932:5,1813932:5,1813942:2213932:5,1813942:2213932:5,1813942:2213942:1,21,32413966:16,1713932:1,1,2013941:1613942:2213943:613942:2213942:2213942:1,21,32413966:1713932:1,1342013947:1313867:2013943:613942:2213942:22,2513941:213943:613945:2213943:613945:2213955:1,913955:1,913955:1,913955:1,913955:1,913955:1,913955:1,913955:1,913	
13919:2013994:2214004:9sleeping13918:814034:114041:314047:213923:2,1113908:1214008:1214021:16slide13860:7,13,16,1914042:2314045:24speculating1404:1113929:8,9,1013930:314032:1913882:11,11,1714046:1114040:613904:1413944:11speculation13888:113948:1213950:2013951:3,713943:2013959:613920:1,313929:16sort13933:114004:14speculation13921:513955:13960:13showing13880:113929:2513930:10sorted13922:1speculative13921:513955:513960:16,17showing13880:113937:1613940:23sorted13922:113936:113936:113966:16,17shown13861:2013941:1813947:1313867:2013941:1213942:22,213941:213942:22,213941:213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213941:1213940:2513941:1213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213942:22,213941:1213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:22,213942:213942:213942:2<	
13923:2,11 13928:314008:12 14021:16slide 13860:7,13,16,1914042:23 14045:24speculating 14004:113929:8,9,10 13930:314032:1913882:11,11,1714046:1114040:613934:2,3,3,11showed 13861:2113888:12,12 13915:1sort 13904:14 13944:11speculation 13898:113934:2,3,3,1113945:23 13933:2413957:12,13 13925:1613957:12,13 13985:9speculation 13898:113955:20 13951:3,713943:20 13959:613920:1,3 13929:1613997:12,13 13985:9speculative 13921:513955:5 13960:13showing 13880:113930:11,1113988:1113932:5,18 1393413961:10,22 13962:913882:15 13916:2413930:12,42,5 13941:1sorts 14015:1713935:8,12,13,2413966:16,17shown 13861:2013941:18 13947:1313867:20 13868:913936:11 13940:513994:18,2113872:22 13880:713959:8,9 13970:2513989:1913942:22,25 139413994:17 13997:1113930:17 13931:2513971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2213996:17 13997:2113930:17 13931:2513971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2214006:1,4,17,2,12113956:19 13958:1513971:1,2,10,13,15source 13859:813960:15 13987:614017:14 14042:1113959:22 13962:713981:24,25 13982:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 139314006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13952:113983:16 13982:1513914:25 13915:1013933:11 13953:514017:14 14042:1113959:22 13962:713983:16 13982:1513914:25 13983:1513915:14 13919:2513933:11 395355<	5
13929:8,9,1013930:314032:1913882:11,11,1714046:1114040:613934:2,3,3,11showed13861:2113888:12,1213915:1013919:2513904:1413944:1113948:1213950:1813876:313933:2413915:1013919:2513957:12,1313985:914007:113955:2013951:3,713943:2013995:613920:1,313920:1,313992:1613993:1114004:14speculative13921:513955:513960:13showing13880:113930:11,1113988:11139330:1,2213941:213932:5,1813934:213936:113941:213955:	5
13934:2,3,3,11showed 13861:2113888:12,12 13915:1sort 13904:14 13944:11speculation 13898:113948:12 13950:1813876:3 13933:2413915:10 13919:2513957:12,13 13985:913957:12,13 13985:913950:20 13951:3,713943:20 13959:613920:1,3 13929:1613933:1 14004:14speculative 13921:513955:5 13960:13showing 13880:113930:11,1113988:1113932:5,18 13934:113963:12,12,16,1714012:8 14036:613940:24,25 13941:1sorts 14015:1713935:8,12,13,2413963:12,12,16,1714012:8 14036:613940:24,25 13941:1sought 13863:1913936:1 13940:513966:16,17shown 13861:2013941:18 13947:1313867:20 13868:913941:2 13942:1813994:18,2113872:22 13880:713959:8,9 13970:2513989:1913942:22,25 139413994:17 13997:2113932:13,14,2013971:1,2,10,13,15sourd 13940:913943:6 13945:2214006:1,4,17,2,12113956:19 13958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614006:1,4,17,2,12113956:19 13958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614017:14 14042:1113959:22 1362:713981:42 13982:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 139314028:513971:16 13972:1113982:45,77 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 139314021:2114014:14 14022:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13953:514021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:1413862:21 13882:1113891:25 13935:5 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
13948:12 13950:1813876:3 13933:2413915:10 13919:2513957:12,13 13985:914007:113950:20 13951:3,713943:20 13959:613920:1,3 13929:1613993:1 14004:14speculative 13921:513951:14,22,2214001:13,2013929:25 13930:10sorted 13922:1speculative 13921:513955:5 13960:13showing 13880:113930:1,11113988:1113932:5,18 1393413961:10,22 13962:913882:15 13916:2413937:16 13940:23sorts 14015:1713935:8,12,13,2413966:16,17shown 13861:2013941:18 13947:1313867:20 13868:913941:2 13942:1813994:18,2113872:22 13880:713959:8,9 13970:2513989:1913942:22,25 139413994:18,2113896:18 13918:513971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2213996:17 13997:2113930:17 13931:2513971:17,21 13972:813995:23 14022:1613953:6,12,13,16,14006:1,4,17,21,2113952:19 3958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614007:14 14042:1113952:2 13962:713981:24,25 13982:213860:8,17,21speeches 13929:614048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 139314021:2114014:14 1402:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213955:1 13953:513900:1814014:14 1402:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13953:514021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:1413862:21 13882:21113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:	
13950:2013943:2013943:2013959:613920:1,313929:1613993:114004:14speculative13921:513951:14,22,2214001:13,2013929:2513930:11,1113988:1113932:5,1813932:5,1913932:5,1813932:5,1813932:5,1913932:5,12313936:113932:5,12313936:113940:513940:513941:213942:2,25 <td< td=""><td>3</td></td<>	3
13951:14,22,2214001:13,2013929:25 13930:10sorted 13922:1speech 13930:1,2513955:5 13960:13showing 13880:113930:11,1113988:1113932:5,18 1393413961:10,22 13962:913882:15 13916:2413937:16 13940:23sorts 14015:1713935:8,12,13,2413963:12,12,16,1714012:8 14036:613940:24,25 13941:1sought 13863:1913936:1 13940:513983:23 13994:1513872:22 13880:713941:18 13947:1313867:20 13868:913941:2 13942:1813996:17 13997:2113896:18 13918:513971:12,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2213996:17 13997:2113932:13,14,2013972:8 13973:3,4,1814002:1713955:1,9 13956:114006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 139314017:14 14042:1113959:22 13962:713983:16 13985:113914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 139314014:14 14022:1814014:14 14022:1813996:21 3997:1913928:4 13930:213954:1 13955:814012:1214024:23,2414011:1,1,1,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:1413862:2113882:1113962:15 13987:313929:3,5,17,1,9,2114006:18speed 13999:1113882:21 13882:2113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3speed 13991:1213893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spoke 13870:4	
13955:5 13960:13 13961:10,22 13962:9showing 13880:1 13882:15 13916:2413930:11,11 13937:16 13940:23 13963:12,12,16,1713932:5,18 13934 13935:8,12,13,2413963:12,12,16,17 13966:16,1714012:8 14036:6 shown 13861:2013940:24,25 13941:1 13941:18 13947:1313986:19 13867:20 13868:913932:1,340.5 13940:24,25 13941:113993:23 13994:15 13994:18,2113872:22 13880:7 13996:17 13997:2113930:17 13931:25 13930:17 13931:2513971:1,2,10,13,15 13971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:9 13942:22,25 1394:13998:21 4001:21 14006:1,4,17,21,2113932:13,14,20 13956:19 13958:1513972:8 13973:3,4,18 13972:8 13973:3,4,1814022:17 13995:23 14022:1613955:1,9 13956:1 13955:1,9 13956:114006:1,4,17,21,21 14048:513956:19 13952:7 13951:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:15 13981:24,25 13982:213860:8,17,21 13982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:10 13914:25 13915:1013900:18 14014:14 14022:18 14021:2114014:14 14022:18 14024:23,2413962:1 3997:19 13982:4,5,7 13983:1513915:14 13919.25 13915:1013935:1 13953:5 13915:1013864:23 13882:11 13882:21 13883:2,11 13891:25 13935:513916:21 3997:19 13922:3,5,17,19,2113926:14 14006:18 13992:1,1925413996:14 13995:1,1925413864:23 13882:11 13883:2,11 13893:19 13904:1613921:4 13921:413921:413864:23 13882:11 13893:19 13904:1613927:1,9,10 13944:413921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113929:3,5,17,19,2114006:18 13921:4	
13961:10,22 13962:913882:15 13916:2413937:16 13940:23sorts 14015:1713935:8,12,13,2413963:12,12,16,1714012:8 14036:613940:24,25 13941:1sought 13863:1913936:1 13940:513966:16,17shown 13861:2013941:18 13947:1313867:20 13868:913941:2 13942:1813983:23 13994:1513872:22 13880:713959:8,9 13970:2513989:1913942:22,25 1394213994:18,2113896:18 13918:513971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2213996:17 13997:2113930:17 13931:2513971:17,21 13972:813995:23 14022:1613953:6,12,13,16,13998:2 14001:2113932:13,14,2013972:8 13973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,9 13956:114006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 139314048:514013:17 14014:3,913983:16 13985:113915:14 13919:2513935:1 13935:514012:12114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:1414021:2113891:25 13935:51396:21 3997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13953:513864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:513929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113927:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5speke 13870:4	
13963:12,12,16,1714012:8 14036:613940:24,25 13941:1sought 13863:1913936:1 13940:513966:16,17shown 13861:2013941:18 13947:1313867:20 13868:913941:2 13942:1813983:23 13994:1513872:22 13880:713959:8,9 13970:2513989:1913942:22,25 139413994:18,2113896:18 13918:513971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2213996:17 13997:2113930:17 13931:2513971:17,21 13972:813995:23 14022:1613953:6,12,13,16,13998:2 14001:2113932:13,14,2013972:8 13973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,9 13956:114006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614017:14 14042:1113959:22 13962:713981:24,25 13982:213860:8,17,21speeches 13929:614048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 1393Sersant 13862:514013:17 14014:3,913983:16 13985:113915:14 13919:2513935:1 13953:5service 13900:1814014:14 14022:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13955:814021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:14Services 14003:20shows 13877:1414012:1913996:2,10 14041:20speed 13999:11set 13864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3speed 13999:1113893:19 13904:1614039:113929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 1	4
13966:16,17shown 13861:2013941:18 13947:1313867:20 13868:913941:2 13942:1813983:23 13994:1513872:22 13880:713959:8,9 13970:2513989:1913942:22,25 139413994:18,2113896:18 13918:513971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2213996:17 13997:2113930:17 13931:2513971:17,21 13972:813995:23 14022:1613953:6,12,13,16,14006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:6,12,13,16,14017:14 14042:1113959:22 13962:713981:24,25 13982:213860:8,17,21speeches 13929:614048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 1393Sersant 13862:514013:17 14014:3,913983:16 13985:113915:14 13919:2513954:21 13953:5service 13900:1814014:14 14022:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13955:814021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:14services 14003:20shows 13877:1414012:1913996:2,10 14041:20speed 13999:11set 13864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3spend 13917:1213893:19 13904:1614039:113929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spoke 13870:4	
13983:23 13994:1513872:22 13880:713959:8,9 13970:2513989:1913942:22,25 139413994:18,2113896:18 13918:513971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2213996:17 13997:2113930:17 13931:2513971:17,21 13972:813995:23 14022:1613953:6,12,13,16,14006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13958:1513972:8 13973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,9 13956:114017:14 14042:1113959:22 13962:713981:24,25 13982:213860:8,17,21speeches 13929:614048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 1393Sersant 13862:514013:17 14014:3,913996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13955:814021:2114024:23,2414011:11,1,4,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:1414021:2113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3speed 13999:11set 13864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3speed 13917:1213893:19 13904:1614039:113927:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spek 13870:4	21
13994:18,2113896:18 13918:513971:1,2,10,13,15sound 13940:913943:6 13945:2213996:17 13997:2113930:17 13931:2513971:1,2,10,13,1513995:23 14022:1613953:6,12,13,16,13998:2 14001:2113932:13,14,2013972:8 13973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,9 13956:114006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614017:14 14042:1113959:22 13962:713981:24,25 13982:213860:8,17,21speeches 13929:614048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 1393Sersant 13862:514013:17 14014:3,913996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213955:1 13953:5service 13900:1814014:14 14022:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13955:814021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:14services 14003:20shows 13877:1414012:19sources 13943:313956:1413882:21 13883:2,1113962:15 13987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:18speed 13999:1113893:19 13904:1614039:113927:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spoke 13870:4	
13996:1713930:1713931:2513971:17,2113972:813995:2314022:1613953:6,12,13,16,13998:214001:2113932:13,14,2013972:813973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,913955:1,913956:114006:1,4,17,21,2113956:1913958:1513973:2113980:1313973:2113980:1314017:1414042:1113959:2213962:713981:24,2513982:213860:8,17,2113960:1513995:2514048:513971:1613972:1113982:4,5,713983:1513914:2513915:1013933:10,101393Sersant13860:514013:1714014:3,913983:1613995:213915:1413919:2513935:113935:113935:114021:2114024:23,2414011:11,1,4,1613937:16,2113943:413956:1413956:14Services14003:20shows13877:1414012:1913996:2,1014041:20speed13999:11set13864:2313882:1113962:1513927:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:1913904:1614039:113937:1,9,1013944:4south-west13971:12).4
13998:2 14001:2113932:13,14,2013972:8 13973:3,4,1814022:1713955:1,9 13956:114006:1,4,17,21,2113956:19 13958:1513973:21 13980:13source 13859:813960:15 13987:614017:14 14042:1113959:22 13962:713981:24,25 13982:213860:8,17,21speeches 13929:614048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 1393Sersant 13862:514013:17 14014:3,913983:16 13985:113915:14 13919:2513933:10,10 1393service 13900:1814014:14 14022:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13953:514021:2114024:23,2414011:11,1,4,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:14set 13864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3speed 13999:1113882:21 13883:2,1113962:15 13987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spoke 13870:4	18
14006:1,4,17,21,2113956:1913958:1513973:2113980:13source13859:813960:1513987:614017:1414042:1113959:2213962:713981:24,2513982:213860:8,17,21speeches13929:614048:513971:1613972:1113982:4,5,713983:1513914:2513915:1413933:10,101393Sersant13862:514013:1714014:3,913983:1613985:113915:1413919:2513935:113955:513955:813956:1413956:1413956:1413956:1413956:14139999:1113928:4139999:1113929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413921:413921:413893:1913904:1614039:113937:1,9,1013944:413977:5spoke13870:4	
14017:1414042:1113959:2213962:713981:24,2513982:213860:8,17,21speeches13929:614048:513971:1613972:1113982:4,5,713983:1513914:2513915:1013933:10,101393Sersant13862:514013:1714014:3,913983:1613985:113915:1413919:2513935:113935:113935:1service13900:1814014:1414022:1813996:213997:1913928:413930:213954:2113955:814021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,2113943:413956:14Services14003:20shows13877:1414012:1913996:2,1014041:20set13864:2313882:1113891:2513935:5slides13882:14speed13999:1113882:2113883:2,1113962:1513987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:1913904:1614039:113937:1,9,1013944:4south-west13877:5spoke	
14048:513971:16 13972:1113982:4,5,7 13983:1513914:25 13915:1013933:10,10 13934Sersant 13862:514013:17 14014:3,913983:16 13985:113915:14 13919:2513933:11 3953:5service 13900:1814014:14 14022:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13955:814021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:14Services 14003:20shows 13877:1414012:1913996:2,10 14041:20speed 13999:11set 13864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3speed 13917:1213882:21 13883:2,1113962:15 13987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spoke 13870:4	<i></i>
Sersant 13862:514013:17 14014:3,913983:16 13985:113915:14 13919:2513935:1 13953:5service 13900:1814014:14 14022:1813996:2 13997:1913928:4 13930:213954:21 13955:814021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,21 13943:413956:14Services 14003:20shows 13877:1414012:1913996:2,10 14041:20speed 13999:11set 13864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3speed 13999:1113882:21 13883:2,1113962:15 13987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spoke 13870:4	1:7
service13900:1814014:1414022:1813996:213997:1913928:413930:213954:2113955:814021:2114024:23,2414011:11,14,1613937:16,2113943:413956:14Services14003:20shows13877:1414012:1913996:2,1014041:20set13864:2313882:1113891:2513935:5slides13882:14sources13943:3speed13882:2113883:2,1113962:1513987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:1913904:1614039:113937:1,9,1013944:4south-west13877:5spoke	
Services 14003:20shows 13877:1414012:1913996:2,10 14041:20speed 13999:11set 13864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3speed 13917:1213882:21 13883:2,1113962:15 13987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5speke 13870:4	
set 13864:23 13882:1113891:25 13935:5slides 13882:14sources 13943:3spend 13917:1213882:21 13883:2,1113962:15 13987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spoke 13870:4	
13882:21 13883:2,1113962:15 13987:313929:3,5,17,19,2114006:1813921:413893:19 13904:1614039:113937:1,9,10 13944:4south-west 13877:5spoke 13870:4	
13893:19 13904:16 14039:1 13937:1,9,10 13944:4 south-west 13877:5 spoke 13870:4	
13904:17,18,24,24,25 side 13858:10 13863:10 13944:6 13947:9 space 13869:14 13943:19 13945:15	3
13905:9 13907:4,13 13874:20 13877:18 13949:4 13959:19 13870:16 13925:5 14028:5	
13907:16,17,24 13943:24 13979:25 13970:5 13973:17 13968:15,21 14047:9 spoken 13858:6	
13908:5 13911:22,24 13983:4 13986:13,13 13974:9,13 13979:14 speak 13924:2 13927:3 13890:3 13940:18	
13915:25 13966:16 14011:22 14019:25 13980:8,25 13981:24 13945:21 13950:8 13958:21 13982:9 13966:16,22 sides 13858:24 13983:13,23 13968:2 13976:23 14023:25	6
13900.10,22 Suces 13838.24 13983.13,25 13908.213970.25 14023.2514024.5 13974:13 13985:20 sien 13862:5 13984:14,19 13980:2 13991:3 sporadically 14000:	
13974.13 13985.20 Sten 13602.5 13984.14,19 13986.2 13991.5 sporatically 14000. 13986:25 13988:19 signature 13873:6 13986:15 13987:24 13998:12 14000:17 14032:9	21
13980:25 13980:19 signature 13873.0 13980:15 13987.24 13998:12 14000:17 14052.9 13989:6 13991:17,25 signed 13867:12 14041:14 14000:23 14006:11 square 13890:22,23	
13992:1,12 13993:22 significance 14041:14 14000:25 14000:11 square 13090:22,25 13992:1,12 13993:22 significance 14044:19 slightly 13909:3 14034:11 14035:6 SS 13970:25	
13993:25 13994:2 similar 14009:15 13993:13 14037:14 14045:17 SSS3 13981:25	
13995:4,8,15 14040:11 14042:13 speaker 13941:18,25 SS3 13970:17,18,19,	20
13997:14 14000:7 simple 14047:16 small 13915:8 13975:5 13942:10 stage 13871:18,21	
14011:5 14012:18,25 simply 13863:7 solution 13886:19 speakers 13941:15 13881:4 13883:15	15
14013:9 14016:4,9,12 13896:15 13898:7 13922:3 13945:21 13947:24 13883:19,23 1388	
14016:24 14018:7,12 13926:15 13945:16 solve 13969:4 13948:2 13953:23 13884:20,20 1388	
14034:1613945:19,25somebody 13879:12speaking 13870:1813885:5,6,6,7,8	
sets 13903:16 13946:10 13955:22 13895:13 13908:23 13879:25 13915:3 13887:8,9,9 13888	
seven 13928:15 13957:17 13958:7 13936:15 13965:23 13917:22 13920:23 13888:17 13891:11	
shadow 14031:2 13972:4 13975:19,21 13969:14 14017:5 13931:7 13932:18 13911:7,17 13912	18
shared 13985:2 13993:1 14031:2 14042:21 14046:21 13946:4 13947:17 13922:23 13923:9 abite 12040 12 12040 12 12040 12 12040 12 12040 14040	
shifted 13887:20 14040:3 somebody's 13940:18 13948:13 13949:8,10 13925:4 13928:24 shartings 14025:8 Singlain 12072:22 sampling 12862:7 12052:21 12050:22 120265 12047:4	
shootings 14035:8Sinclair 13972:22sommige 13862:713953:21 13959:2213936:5 13947:4short 13874:12 13922:3single 13947:14song 13940:6,1613990:24 14005:213967:7,21 13968	7
short 13874:12 13922:3 single 13947:14 song 13940:6,16 13990:24 14005:2 13967:7,21 13968 13930:25 13935:12 13948:12 13994:12 soon 14048:9 14025:5 14027:13 13969:25 13967:7,21 13968:13973:13	
13930:25 13948:12 13994:12 Soon 14048:9 14025:3 14027:15 13909:25 13975:15 13942:9 14035:25 Sir 13865:23 13866:1 sorry 13858:17 14031:8,10 14042:24 13978:20 13986:10	
shortage 14016:22 13866:15 13929:15 13859:19,19 speaks 14033:16 13987:14 13988:6	
shorten 13876:6,22 13956:7 13985:6 13860:11,25 specific 13885:8 14033:10 13961:14 13988:0	
shortly 13915:22 sit 13889:12 13967:16 13872:17 13879:22 13945:23 13959:21 14008:24 14009:8	-
13929:2 sitting 13879:11 13895:3 13897:25 13961:11 13993:13 14011:4 14017:24	
shot 13873:6,7 13908:13 13983:1 13909:20 13921:2 14000:10 14004:2 14021:25 14025:1	
shots 13913:16 14025:2 14037:23 13924:1 13927:17 specifically 13898:23 14036:9 14038:11	
shouldn't 14011:15 14038:23 13929:17 13938:22 13908:18 13911:10 14040:5 14042:22	
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

·				Daga 1
				Page 1
14048:20	14014:22	suffix 14042:15,16	13895:4 13896:16	tell 13876:11 13879:7
stages 13887:12	stop 13872:18	suggest 13858:12	13900:22 13902:15	13921:10 13938:23
staging 14020:9	13877:15 13940:15	13943:10 13984:14	13904:22 13907:6	13951:10 13963:21
stakers 13862:6,7,9	13941:21,21	13984:19 13987:17	13910:18 13912:1	13997:20 13998:10
stand 14009:21	13942:11 13996:1,1	13988:8	13913:3 13920:2	14001:1 14009:11
14043:11	13996:23	suggested 13933:19	13921:3,5,7,19,25	14047:1
standalone 14043:7	stopped 13871:7	13939:9 14048:17	13922:9,12 13928:25	telling 13890:9
standing 14006:25	13872:11,19	suggesting 13963:15	13931:12,19	tells 13902:3,9
14031:3	stops 13989:15	13965:1,4 14016:14	13932:25 13934:13	ten 13930:10,10,12,18
start 13882:16 13890:9	story 13870:17 13902:4	14038:6	13934:24 13940:2	tender 13969:7
13903:24 13904:11	13914:4 13982:13	suggestion 13978:13	13942:2,20 13954:25	term 13922:3
13905:8 13919:20	strange 13962:10	suggests 13932:10	13957:8 13964:5	terminates 14041:15
13930:14 13933:18	strengthened 13978:9 strikers 13862:14	13974:9 13980:9	13965:11 13969:8,9	terms 13870:23,24
13954:16,16,17		13987:5	13973:15 13977:5	13875:22 13882:13
13977:1 14003:21 14032:8,10 14038:1	13870:5,8,9 13871:2 13874:24 13880:4	suitable 13986:10 sum 13963:12	13985:4 13987:12 13996:12,15 14000:6	13888:11 13893:1 13968:7
started 13890:13	13881:7,9 13890:21	summary 14041:13	14000:25 14001:19	terrain 13885:12,14
13935:13 13936:1	13949:8,10 13959:3	Sunday 13973:25	14000.23 14001.13	testified 13867:3
13963:24 14013:8	13949.8,10 13939.3	supplementary	14014.1 14023.12	13917:18,20
14016:12 14017:14	13976:13 13982:13	13868:8,11 13873:24	14037.5 14039.21	13940:18 13965:11
14010.12 14017.14	13985:9 14031:10	13874:9,12	14042.2 14045.15	14046:9,11,13
starting 13903:14	stripes 13933:6	supplemented 13859:7	taken 13861:6	testify 13928:8
13977:14 13981:24	stronger 14048:19	support 13870:4	13865:24 13866:1	testimony 13861:19
13983:16 14011:6	struck 13913:12	suppose 13864:6	13873:8 13910:12	13865:17 13867:5
startling 13964:6	13936:25	13932:16 13972:15	13913:16 13916:12	13868:5 13901:21,24
starts 13932:5,8	structure 13912:24	supposed 13861:11	13917:7,21 13919:1	13917:15 13984:16
13935:9 13951:10	struggling 13908:24	13882:24 13923:3	13920:5 13927:9	thank 13858:18
13953:20 13954:2,19	13909:18,21	14003:19 14038:17	13937:22 13940:5	13859:2,19 13863:24
13954:20 13962:11	studied 14034:11	sure 13858:8 13861:10	13943:9 13952:11	13865:7 13877:3
14011:10	stuff 13896:12,24	13872:10 13912:21	13954:8 13957:5,19	13879:3 13882:5
state 13889:12 14030:2	14033:14,17	13918:22 13938:21	13958:8 13960:23	13903:22 13905:18
14033:14	stumped 13938:16	13952:17 13959:11	13961:4 13963:13	13905:23 13910:25
stated 13933:20	stun 13861:22 13862:3	13959:24 13977:10	13971:17 13982:15	13913:8 13969:6
13976:9 13979:2	13862:8 13865:4,9,20	13978:14 13980:18	13995:11 13997:3	14011:2 14034:22
statement 13863:5,10	13865:20 13866:8,14	13990:23 14009:22	14002:11,12,15	theoretically 13864:6
13864:1 13867:11,14	13866:18 13867:7,15	14025:22 14031:5,9	14004:1 14005:19	thereof 13867:22
13867:25 13868:8,11	13867:17,21 13868:2	14035:1 14045:11	14006:2,18,20	there's 13888:21
13868:14 13870:15	13868:10,19,21,22,25	14047:23 14048:9	14012:10 14016:8	13898:18 13899:4,25
13873:24 13874:9,12	13869:7,8,9,11,16,23	surely 13939:20	14022:12 14031:19	13900:6 13906:15
13893:10 13895:1	13870:1 13871:25	surpassing 13886:21	14033:20 14037:21	13922:8 13939:24
13902:18 13938:1	13872:3,13,14,25	suspect 13868:12	14038:8,20 14040:10	13961:15 13962:10
13945:4 13967:15	13875:2,6,15 13878:3	14014:10	14042:12	13986:17 13994:14
13975:25 13976:4	13878:10,11,13	suspects 14003:22	takes 13902:7	14019:3 14033:9
13980:24 13987:23	13879:8,8,10,12,19	suspicion 14013:13	tale 13902:10	they'd 13894:23
13998:16 14020:15	13880:3,21 13890:20	suspicious 14013:8	talk 13955:6	14024:15
14021:11 14027:24	13890:24 13892:7	sweating 14011:22	talking 13887:10	they'll 14048:9
14030:3,5,7 14032:12	13894:21	sweeping 13880:14	13920:8 13941:9	they're 13859:20
14035:15,25	subjective 13936:18	s.u.o 13859:4 13988:16	13947:13 13955:6	13934:12 13939:17
statements 13909:1	submission 13866:13	14023:18	13984:17,17 13987:6	13940:3 13977:9
14014:20 states 13874:17	13987:1		13991:8 13992:4	14001:9 14020:8
states 13874:17	submit 13901:23 subpoena 13928:14	table 13903:2,7	13994:12 14006:3	14027:15,16 14047:7
stating 14021:16 station 13874:19	subsequently 13923:18	13935:16	14028:12 14029:5 14030:10	they've 13859:24 13950:6
status 13973:3,15,16	13926:25 13988:2	tables 13882:15,17	tandem 13886:1	they'd 13881:6
staying 14043:21	substance 13899:4	tabular 13883:2	target 13865:23	13967:10
step 13934:24 13964:5	substantial 13978:14	tactical 13884:6,8,13	taught 13924:12	thing 13876:13 13900:1
13965:11 14005:25	13978:19,23	13884:21 13885:9,19	te 13862:9,9,10	13909:16 13961:16
steun 13862:5	substantially 13988:1	13885:24 13886:5,14	tea 13858:13 13876:12	13961:17
STF 13866:19 13886:1	substitles 13930:15	13886:17 13887:1,20	13876:13 13921:3,19	things 13896:16
13886:12	13933:8	13888:14 13889:7,25	14023:8,8,9,12	13908:19,21
stick 13873:13	succeed 13924:17	13924:18	team 13871:19	13925:19 13936:25
13887:12 13911:21	successfully 13869:2	take 13863:18	13880:25 13881:3	13958:4 13972:15
La La Derree			13884:8 13885:21	14025:2 14031:6
13990:5 14017:23	sufficient 13887:5	138/0:21 138/3:15	13004.0 1300.3.21	17023.2 $170.01.0$
13990:5 14017:23 sticks 14027:15	sufficient 13887:5 13996:23	13870:21 13873:15 13874:5 13877:1	13903:17 13910:7	
13990:5 14017:23 sticks 14027:15 14038:3		13870:21 13873:15 13874:5 13877:1 13878:16 13879:8		thinking 13981:21 14040:8
sticks 14027:15	13996:23	13874:5 13877:1	13903:17 13910:7	thinking 13981:21

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
13923:19 13926:23	13929:20 13933:22	13958:15 13961:1	undercover 14006:2	Venter 13861:22
13926:23 13975:11	13933:24 13961:21	translations 13956:24	understand 13864:22	13862:5 13863:3
13979:25 13980:12	13964:1 13994:23,25	13958:1	13869:20 13895:12	13864:1 13865:4,6
14002:2 14009:15	14004:22,24	translation's 13957:17	13896:22,23 13899:5	13868:24 13869:22
14013:1 14028:11	14008:20 14009:20	translator 13957:12	13902:2 13946:2	13870:2,4,15,22
thought 13858:9	14010:21,23 14039:2	translators 13939:2	13953:1 13957:4,7,16	13871:24 13872:19
13871:16 13884:12	14044:9	traverse 14006:3	13958:2,11,18,23	13872:24 13875:1,5
13894:25 13895:1,5	Thursday 13887:17	traversed 14012:7	13964:8 13969:13	13878:3,9,21,24
13895:13,15	13967:17 14032:7	treated 13897:15	13971:20 13972:5,10	Venter's 13862:2
13896:24 13898:15	till 13903:2 13952:10	tried 13908:11	13993:15 13994:21	Venter's 13878:13
13898:17 13899:1,9	13988:6,12 14013:23	13912:20 13937:7	13997:13 13998:1	venue 14020:7
13902:6 13913:25	14016:8	13946:7 14000:6	14003:9 14007:10	verder 13862:10
13936:16 13982:10	timeframes 14033:22	14035:15	14016:1 14034:25	verhoed 13862:10
13985:16,20 13998:5	times 13871:17	triple 13994:10	14040:3	verify 13920:23
14002:21 14003:3,16	13873:3 13906:11	trouble 13886:13	understanding	Verleen 13862:8
14006:16 14008:14	13913:22 13914:1	14003:5 14034:2	13944:18 13945:10	Vermaak 13873:8
14015:12 14021:11	13918:7 13920:24	TRT 13871:13	13945:13 13976:18	version 13859:8
14025:17 14043:11	13935:16,19 13937:3	13877:19 13880:6,13	13976:23 13977:24	13879:2,14,16
14044:21 14045:2	13937:10 13939:16	13886:11	13978:1 13979:2	13944:3 13951:9
14047:16	13943:22 13950:8	true 13878:24	13982:19,23,25	13961:18 13968:10
thoughts 13899:12	13964:16 13992:7	13934:25,25	13983:2,9 13998:18	13968:11
13984:9	14014:17	13980:17	13999:9	versions 13947:5
thousand 13860:3	timing 13871:22	truly 13946:24	understood 13862:19	13957:10 14008:19
threat 13887:5	13918:23	13959:20	13933:14 13938:24	vicariously 13986:2
threats 13886:21	timings 13946:15	try 13885:7 13904:11	13944:20 13945:10	vicinity 13869:13
three 13860:6 13869:5	tired 13920:24	13928:6 13945:17	13950:19 13957:1	13875:15
13894:8 13897:5	titles 13937:10	13946:25 13960:19	13960:21 14003:18	Victor 13927:15
13904:15 13913:19	today 13858:12	13972:5 13991:14	14035:2,2 14042:24	13928:7 13990:2
13926:23 13961:11	13903:4 13952:14	13999:11 14000:22	undertaken 13859:23	14009:1,3
13961:16,17	13966:15 13975:9	14003:22 14004:14	unfair 13889:12	victory 13974:6
13962:18,23 13974:3	13984:16 13996:25	14019:21 14045:16	unfold 13888:19	13980:14 13983:18
14001:6,16 14002:4,5	14006:3 14041:6	trying 13863:7	13889:4	13984:22
14001:0,10 14002:4,5	14043:24	13886:16 13887:12	unfolding 13891:1	videos\16 14007:6
14002.22 14003.7	told 13869:1 13894:2	13898:7 13899:13	union 13973:23	14009:17
14012:6 14018:18,19	13896:23 13932:25	13908:21 13912:19	13975:9,10 13978:23	video's\16th\camera
14012:0 14018:18,19	13944:14,14	13939:6 13947:2	13973.9,10 13978.23	14039:16 14040:19
14018.22 14019.9,10	13945:11 13962:12	13959:013947.2	13985:12	view 13891:5 13892:10
14020:10 14028.5,15	13945.11 13962.12	13950.12,13	unions 13971:2	13906:6 13913:9.11
14029.2 14039.13	tomorrow 13903:4	13967:19 14015:25	13973:24 13976:8	,
three-digit 13995:4	14034:19 14048:20	14027:19 14013.23	13979:3 13982:12	13914:16 13946:2 13976:14 13978:8
threw 13867:15,17	top 13866:9 13914:19	14032:24 14035:16	unit 13869:1 13879:20	13985:1,2 13999:14
	-			
13868:22 13875:1,6	13962:4 14044:9	14038:25 14040:7	13882:2,9 unmistakable 13942:11	viewed 13904:21
throw 13865:19	topic 13928:25	14047:2		13932:23 13968:3
13866:18 13867:7	total 13963:12 13965:2	turn 13865:9 13910:2	unobvious 13956:3	13974:25 13979:17
13871:25 13878:11	totality 13885:15	13973:17	unplanned 13991:10	14009:7,10 14024:1
13879:11 14000:2	touched 13988:20	turned 13874:17	unreasonably 13985:22	violence 13972:23
throwing 13861:22	tragedy 13980:22	13904:19 13916:21	unsure 13964:2	violent 13985:22
13868:2,10 13869:6	transcribed 13931:7,20	13916:22	update 14000:22	violently 13975:1
13869:16,23 13870:3	13940:21	turns 13868:13	updated 14031:5	visibility 13889:15
13872:25 13878:9,13	transcribers 13924:8	13917:10 13982:14	upgraded 14031:4	visible 14019:23
13890:20 13892:7	transcript 13865:10,11	14019:7,10	use 13862:2 13969:14	visit 14020:16 14021:7
thrown 13868:3,4,25	13866:23,24 13924:9	TV 14026:18,19	13986:4 14034:17	14021:13
13869:8,9,12,13	13931:17 13933:2	twelve 13880:12	utilised 13950:16	Visser 13908:12
13870:1 13872:14	14022:20,23,24,24	twice 13997:9	13957:7	13912:8,9 13928:8
13875:16 13879:19	transcripts 13931:25	twofold 13950:5	utility 13913:22	13959:13,17 13988:3
13880:3,21 13890:25	transfer 13925:21	Tydens 13862:5		13989:25 13990:2,18
thrust 13979:22	transferred 13964:2	type 13908:23	<u> </u>	13991:22 13992:2,14
thumb 13905:25	13965:8 13968:11	13922:10	vague 14027:14,19	13993:22 14009:4,5,6
thumbnail 13914:16	translate 13878:4	typed 13908:20	valuable 13988:11	14016:1,14 14017:21
13929:9 13963:23	13959:8		van 13862:7	14017:24 14018:3
14010:20 14019:19	translated 13956:22	U	various 13858:22,24	14031:22
14019:22,25	13957:6,10	uitmekaar 13862:9	13859:9 13882:22	visually 14011:8
14032:22	translates 13873:4	ultimately 14032:2	13928:23 13966:14	visuals 13875:22
thumbnails 13906:7	translation 13932:4	unaware 13862:12	13987:21 14028:23	13960:25
13911:1 13914:19,21	13938:19,21,25	uncalled 13991:11,11	vehicle 13915:20	volume 13930:13,13,14
13919:13 13929:18	13957:14,18	unclear 13897:11	14005:12	voluntarily 13859:23
ARCHIVE FO				-

RealTime Transcriptions

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

				Page
W	13959:13 13965:3	14001:9 14004:19	witness 13864:16	13955:24 13961:24
wait 13891:13 13973:2	13968:18 13973:4	14012:4 14023:12	13873:18,21 13874:8	13978:3 13982:11
waiting 13981:4	watch 13957:21	we're 13859:9,22	13898:13,20 13899:1	14024:15
14036:11 14038:3	watched 13872:4,9	13860:2 13889:10,15	13901:15 13902:5,8	wrongly 13985:21
	13895:12 13958:14	13900:24 13904:21	13903:1,1,6,6	wrote 13908:23
walked 13880:11,12,17	13960:17	13922:21 13924:18	13921:24 13922:19	13909:5
13991:12,12	watching 13872:18	13941:24 13942:8	13924:9 13986:8	10,0,10
14003:15	13946:6 13957:23	13950:12,13	13987:20.25	Y
want 13870:22	14040:21	13957:14,17 13980:8	13998:10 13999:5,8	year 13920:24
13872:14 13873:19	water 13873:7	13981:4 13982:8	14015:23	13928:25 13967:1
13874:4,5 13875:7	water 13875.7 way 13858:14 13881:15	13984:16,17	witnesses 13859:20	13928.23 13907.1
13885:1 13889:9,11				
13889:21 13891:16	13901:15 13907:23	13986:21 13990:24	13924:15 13998:12	yellow 14019:4,6,23
13901:4,14 13919:13	13908:13 13913:1	13992:4 13997:19,20	witness's 13998:22	yesterday 13859:7
13919:13 13928:24	13917:17 13918:17	14012:8,8 14017:25	wonder 13859:11	13872:4,9,15
13928:25 13931:1	13922:4 13926:15,24	14028:10 14030:9	13865:10 13867:12	13875:24 13893:12
13936:10 13938:11	13932:13,14,23	14043:15	13873:12 13904:10	13894:3 13896:23
13960:10 13964:4	13936:19 13939:7,21	we've 13858:3	13913:4 13929:4	13904:20,23
13965:10 13968:10	13939:25 13940:21	13864:14 13890:18	13930:13 13980:25	13914:13 13917:15
13970:3,15,16	13943:11 13965:11	13891:24 13892:10	13988:24 14001:3,4	13917:18,24
13973:16 13974:15	13965:21 13967:25	13893:3,16 13904:22	14009:19 14042:4	13919:22 13920:18
13976:23 13977:10	13978:9 13986:22	13905:7 13906:11	wondering 13938:18	13923:25 13952:3
13983:20 13984:12	13991:13 13994:4	13935:7 13937:15	Wonderkop 13874:17	13965:11 13966:18
13986:16 13987:11	14009:2,7 14010:10	13949:12 13951:21	13977:19	14000:20 14015:7
13987:12 13988:23	14012:23 14015:10	13951:23 13982:2	won't 13899:23	14019:18 14042:3
13990:4 13993:18	14024:10 14028:15	13985:13 13988:20	13903:7	yesterday's 13861:19
13997:7 14001:19	14047:10,16	13997:18,21	word 13862:10 13907:7	you'd 13861:21
14005:22 14006:22	ways 13968:9,22	14004:24 14005:4,11	13931:12 13934:13	13864:11 13895:24
14005.22 14000.22 14000.22	wayward 13884:7,8,16	14011:13 14012:7,15	13942:2 13969:14	13896:10 13939:25
	weapons 13880:15	14012:20,20	13976:16 13978:3	14023:22 14029:13
14010:14 14023:7,10	13974:7 13983:19	14013:10 14014:16	13986:2,4 13987:21	14040:24 14041:5
14025:24 14028:9	week 13868:10 13903:2	14014:18 14030:11	13996:12 14033:10	you'll 13866:17
14029:20 14030:20	13924:24 13925:11	we'll 13920:1 13966:13	wording 13985:15	13890:15 13900:3
14034:8,15 14046:7	14038:7,7	13969:8 13973:18	words 13890:6	13904:19 13908:2
wanted 13868:7	weeks 13893:6 14029:3	we're 13877:8 13880:3	13940:19 13943:25	13904.19 13908.2
13883:14 13910:2	welcome 13918:18		13940.19 13943.23	
13914:2 13920:10,13	went 13860:11 13880:6	13919:6,19 13920:8 13968:6 13969:5	14031:13	13933:1,6,25 13935:9 13940:20 13941:17
13925:5 13934:19		we've 13872:19	work 13964:3 13967:17	13940:20 13941:17
13983:13 14003:6	13917:24 13920:17			
14004:9 14013:20	13933:4 13944:15	13918:7,7 13967:16	13967:18,24,25	13950:22 13955:11
14037:20	13976:5 13990:18	what's 13899:11	14034:20 14037:7	13961:13 13996:9
wanting 13870:4	13996:16 14015:5	13989:3 14005:6	worked 13912:21	14007:21 14018:14
13872:12	14018:23 14033:14	14010:13 14014:2,3,8	13952:9 13963:6	14019:16 14036:22
wants 13931:1	weren't 13867:24	14014:9,10,13,13	13972:20 13993:10	14039:1
14034:11	13890:14 13892:16	14015:12 14038:14	workers 13982:1	you're 13859:3
wapens 13862:11	13902:19 13927:4	14044:14	working 13860:2	13866:22 13867:8
warn 13941:24	13934:11 13939:9	whichever 13927:8	13911:10 13912:9	13882:14 13883:22
warrant 13919:1	13957:25 13962:13	13939:17	13967:14 13989:24	13886:16 13887:7,15
13924:20,20 14047:3	13982:14 14013:11	whilst 13972:21	13993:7,9 14031:23	13892:6 13902:2
Warrant-Officer	weren't 13913:22	white 13873:7	14032:1 14035:24	13906:17 13908:25
13860:9 13863:3	13918:8 13963:9	14005:22	worried 14013:11	13922:19 13924:1,4
13865:5 13894:19,20	Wesley 13873:12	wholly 13870:2	worth 14003:5	13924:13,24 13928:5
wasn't 13862:16	13877:12 13905:6,11	who'd 13870:5	wouldn't 13881:17	13933:11 13936:12
13882:2,9 13894:7	13905:12 13910:8	who's 14027:18	13946:20 13992:16	13939:15 13943:23
	13989:3	willing 13872:1	13993:22 14006:8	13943:23 13951:2,13
13895:11 13897:2,5,9	west 13861:24	13918:18	14021:3 14024:8	13952:13 13976:22
13897:9,11 13898:25	13862:13 13867:22	window 13875:23	14031:23 14033:11	13984:9 13988:15
13936:14 13938:20	13871:3,10 13875:25	wiped 13968:14	14046:7	13994:12 14001:1
13991:4 13992:7	13879:23 13881:15	wire 13887:1	wouldn't 13878:25	14007:25 14008:20
13993:7 13995:15	13881:19,24 13882:3	wisdom 13869:22	13881:14	
14006:4 14019:6,10				14010:22 14012:11
14034:2 14037:25	13890:25	wish 13900:7	wounded 13974:3,3	14013:5 14023:17
14038:23	western 13877:18	Witch 13974:7	13982:15	14025:2 14028:18
wasn't 13911:10,14	we'd 13868:14	withdrawn 13886:20	wounding 13980:12	14029:24 14030:14
13912:1,2,22	13906:16 13908:4	13887:3,4	write 13860:14	14037:4 14038:19
13914:11 13916:18	14006:19 14016:20	withheld 13894:4	written 13975:3	14045:11
13927:11 13933:23	we'll 13865:15	13895:13 13897:16	wrong 13898:18	you've 13859:22
13954:10 13958:4	13891:13 13901:16	13901:17,22	13936:7 13939:4	13893:18 13895:23
	13933:15 13934:23	withhold 13895:2	13950:14 13953:1,2	13897:12 13899:21
ARCHIVE EO	8 LUSTICE			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

13932:17,18 13956:1 161 1394:36 13956:1398:10 156 1391:92 13961:21 13956:1398:20 150 1394:35 171 1402:61 402:15 171 1402:61 404:12 14023:21 1401:3 1380:16 1395:17 11:01 13918:25 154 51 4038:15 172 14007:20 1404 14043:31 4041:3 13980:16 1395:13 11:24 13921:22 13996:12 175 14009:17 176 41007:20 1404 10:14 14020:14 113956:21 7 15395:12 178 14007:20 178 14007:20 178 14007:20 2croot 3395:15 10:15 13896:15 113 1396:217 153915:21 1392:07.7 188 1399:20 1402 178 14001:4 189 1390:21 1390:27.7 18915:23 13916:11 18915:20 1402 13957:18 1395:1 18 1399:20 1402 18915:21 1392:04.7 18915:23 13916:11 18915:22 1392:04.7 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 18915:23 13916:11 14018:13.0 14018:13.0 14018:14.62 14018:14.62 14018:14.62 14018:14.62 14018:14.62 14018:14.62 14018:14.62					Page 2
13956:8 13983:20 1:10 13982:11 15:25 14023:15 171 14042:6 14044:12 14022:20 14023:21 10 13858:1 13865:17 11:04:01 13918:25 15:35 14038:15 14044:12 14046:9.01,31.41 13805:16 13935:13 11:24 13921:22 15:35 13937:16 174 14007:20 1404 13994:11,01,31.41 13905:22 11:360:217 16 13904:17,18,25 177 14009:17 13994:11,01:41 1390:21:13 1402:51:400:14 111 1396:217 1391:22:13916:17 1391:92:20:17 1391:52:13916:17 181 3999:20:1402 14019:20,21 13957:13:1300:9 11:41:3902:17 1391:52:13916:31 14001:41 1395:75:1403:11 1395:75:1403:11 1395:75:1403:11 1395:75:1403:11 1395:75:1403:11 1395:75:1403:11 1395:75:1403:12 1396:17:14:1390:22:1390:11 1395:17:14:1399:20:1401:14 1395:11:14:14:1399:11 1395:11:14:1392:1390:11 1395:11:14:14:1399:11 1395:11:14:14:1399:11 1395:11:14:14:1399:11 1395:11:14:1399:11:14:1393:11 1395:11:1395:11:14:14:1399:11	399:22 13904:20	14044:1 11th	13973:25	13999:20	17.3 13914:16 13935:6
13984:15 14012:12 1:30 13915:17 11:001 13918:22 15:45 14038:15 1404:12 14033:3 14041:3 13880:16 13935:13 11:24 13921:22 13920:1,3 13937:16 172 14007:20 140 1201404:9,10,13,14 13980:16 13935:13 11:24 13921:22 13906:17 13909:17,18,25 177 14009:17 2 101 1402:44 1404:19 101 3906:20 1396:217 161 3904:17,18,25 178 14001:4 12095:15 10:35 1390:11 111 3962:17 13915:23 1390:167 181 3999:20 1402:2 2croes 1399:55 10:35 1390:19 141 3962:17 13917:8,21 1390:17 13949:13 1396:17 13951:25 1392:4,15 13997:1,82 11 3900:27 14019:20,1 13947:21 3920:4,7 13949:13 1396:17 13963:19 13964:8,20 14001:4,12,25 14 14019:20,1 13934:23 13928:1 1100 1391:22 13928:4 13935:12 13928:4,12 13967:14 1406:19 13947:13 1396:17 13946:24 1396:32 14002:14,162.25 10 1399:51 1399:12 13934:23 13928:4,12 13948:12 13948:12 1396:17 13983:14 14006:19 180 13999:16 11399:12:1399:21 13951:13 1392:14 13934:23 13935:1392 13949:13 1396:11	932:17,18 13956:5	st 14016:16 13	975:13 13980:10	15th 13919:2	13961:21
1402:20 14032:20 14033:1 10 1388:11 1386:16 1393:13 1391:22 1391:20 1392:01:13 1390:20 1392:01:13 1390:20 1392:01:13 1390:21 1392:01:13 1393:13 1393:13 1393:13 1393:13 1393:13 1393:13 1393:14 177 1400:17 1391:21 1392:01:13 178 1400:14 1394:11 1396:12 1396:21:17 1391:22 1392:01:13 178 1400:14 1394:11 1396:15 113 1396:21:17 1391:22 1392:02:14 1395:02:139:02:14 1401:20:21 1392:11 10:45 1390:11 116 1392:13:1392:02:14 1395:12:1392:02:13 1395:12:1392:02:1392:01 1395:12:1392:02:13 1395:12:1392:02:13 1395:12:1392:02:13 1395:12:1392:02:1392:01 1395:12:1392:02:13 1395:12:1392:02:13 1395:12:1392:02:13 1395:12:1392:02:13 1395:12:1392:02:13 1400:14:16:25 1395:12:1392:02:13 1400:14:16:25 1402:14:16:25 1402:14:16:25 1402:14:16:25 1402:14:16:25 1402:14:16:25 1402:14:16:25 1402:14:16:25	956:8 13983:20	:10 13943:6 13	982:11	15:25 14023:15	171 14042:6 14044:10
1403:3: 14041:3 13880:16 13935:12 11:24 13921:22 1390:121 390:12 177 14007:20 2 10th 14029:4 14041:9 110 1390:21 7 16 13904:17,18,25 177 14009:17 13994:11,14 13995:1 10:14 14020:14 111 1396:217 13919:21 1399:20 178 14001:4 2croes 13995:5 10:15 13896:15 113 1396:217 13917:82 11390:17 13915:23 13916:17 181 1399:20;402: 14012:0.21 13919:21 1390:47 13917:82 11390:17 13917:82 11390:17 13915:17,81 1395: 14019:0.21 13937:82 11390:17 13949:13 1396:17 1395:17,81 1395: 13960:12 1396:7:1 1396:13 14018:13,20 14019:0.21 13937:82 1390:67 171 1396:18 13960:12 1396:7:1 1396:22 14021:14,22 51 400:14 1001391:123 13929:89 13949:13 1396:218 13960:12 1396:7:1 4040:1 14018:13,20 100157 1399:12:1 113 1396:12,17 13964:24 1396:32 14021:41,22 51 400:14,162,52 1399:17 14007:20 13949:13 1396:18 1396:17 1398:14,18 1398:14,118 14018:12,25 1400:14,162,122 00001MTS 1399:413 1396:16,12 395:51 399:51 11394:14 1397:25 1399:24 140013:12,15,16,2	984:15 14012:12	:30 13915:17 11:0	:01 13918:25	15:45 14038:15	14044:12
14046:9.10,13,14 13995:1.2 11:43 1393:2.5 13996:2.1 15 1400:1.7 Z 10:14 1402:1.4 111 13962:1.7 16 13904:17,18,2.5 177 14009:1.7 13994:1.1 13995:1.2 10:15 13896:1.5 113 13962:1.7 13915:2.3 13916:1.1 18 13999:2.0 100:1.4 2coom 13877:16 13888:4 10:15 13896:1.5 113 13962:1.7 13915:2.3 13916:1.7 18 1399:2.0 1402 14019:2.0.2.1 13919:2.1 1392.0.7 13949:1.3 13962:1.7 13935:2 1392:1.8 13965:1.2 13963:1.3 1400:1.4 16,2.5 1019:2.0.2.1 1393:3.1 3394:2.3 13948:1.1 13949:1.3 13962:1.8 13966:1.2 13963:1.3 1400:1.4 16,2.5 13997:1.7 14007:2.2 100:35 113929:8.9 118 13929:8.17,1.7 139662:1.8 139667:1 13960:2.2 14021:1.2.2.5 14062 13999:1.5 13934:2.3 13948:1.3 13962:18 13967:1 13980:2.2 14002:1.4 16,2.5 1400:6.21 1400:19 180 13999:16 13997:1.7 14007:2.2 13934:2.3 13948:1.3 13962:18 13986:1.1 18 139667:1 13980:2.2 14006:7.1 440619 00000LMTS 13994:12 139561:0.2 13962:1 139671:1 3980:2.2 14006:2.0 14006:2.0 14006:2.0 180 13996	022:20 14023:21	0 13858:1 13865:17 11:0	1:01 13918:22	157 13915:10 13919:25	172 14007:20 14044:1,4
14046:9.10,13,14 13995:1.2 11:43 1393:2.5 13996:2.1 15 1400:1.7 Z 10:14 1402:1.4 111 13962:1.7 16 13904:17,18,2.5 177 14009:1.7 13994:1.1 13995:1.2 10:15 13896:1.5 113 13962:1.7 13915:2.3 13916:1.1 18 13999:2.0 100:1.4 2coom 13877:16 13888:4 10:15 13896:1.5 113 13962:1.7 13915:2.3 13916:1.7 18 1399:2.0 1402 14019:2.0.2.1 13919:2.1 1392.0.7 13949:1.3 13962:1.7 13935:2 1392:1.8 13965:1.2 13963:1.3 1400:1.4 16,2.5 1019:2.0.2.1 1393:3.1 3394:2.3 13948:1.1 13949:1.3 13962:1.8 13966:1.2 13963:1.3 1400:1.4 16,2.5 13997:1.7 14007:2.2 100:35 113929:8.9 118 13929:8.17,1.7 139662:1.8 139667:1 13960:2.2 14021:1.2.2.5 14062 13999:1.5 13934:2.3 13948:1.3 13962:18 13967:1 13980:2.2 14002:1.4 16,2.5 1400:6.21 1400:19 180 13999:16 13997:1.7 14007:2.2 13934:2.3 13948:1.3 13962:18 13986:1.1 18 139667:1 13980:2.2 14006:7.1 440619 00000LMTS 13994:12 139561:0.2 13962:1 139671:1 3980:2.2 14006:2.0 14006:2.0 14006:2.0 180 13996					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$,				
zero 13994:10 14022:13 112 13962:17 16th 13873:14 179 14001:4 13994:11,41 13995:1 10:35 13896:15 113 13962:17 13917:82.1 13920:47 13917:82.1 13920:47 13917:82.1 13920:47 13917:82.1 13920:47 13917:82.1 13920:47 139517.8 13952 139517.8 13952 139517.8 13952 13957:5 13943:1 139517.8 13952 13957.5 140151 13949:13 13962:17 139517.8 13952 13957.5 140151 14018:13.20 14020:14,16.25 0 13989:5 13994:3 100 13911:23 13929:8,0 13949:13 13962:18 139661:1 13986:3 14021:14,16.25 14022:14,16.25 13999:15 100 13911:23 13948:12 123948:13 13954:8 139661:1 13986:18 13966:16 14006:19 180 13999:16 00000CMTS 13996:21 13950:18 13951:7,14 12 13948:12 13983:14 14006:19 180 13999:16 180 13999:16 00002 14044:13 13966:16 1396:20 12:18 13920:8 13935:2 14032:1401:12 1403:12 15.15 181 13916.8,11 13995:12 13966:16 13996:20 12:18 13920:8 13935:2 14038:12,14 138 180 1399:12 13916.8,11 13995:12 1	Z				
13994:11,14 13995:1 10:15 13896:15 113 13962:17 13917:8,21 13967:1 13967:1 13917:8,22 14018:13,20 0 13985:15 13927:8,17,17 13962:17 13967:1 13967:1 13907:1 13927:22 14021:12,22 14021:12,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14021:14,22 14036:7 14021:14,22 14036:7 14021:14,22 14036:7 14021:14,22 14036:7 140411:15 14036:7 140411:15 14036:7 181 13996:16 13996:16 13996:16 13996:16 13996:16 13996:12 13986:15,11 <	13981.3 13994.10				
zeroes 13995:5 10:35 13909:9 114 13962:17 13917:5,13,13,15 18th 1395:23,24 13877:6,13,13,15 10:45 13915:11 116 13929:7,17 13934:8 13935:2 13942:18 13957:7,8 13952 14019:20,21 13937:18,22 116 13929:7,17 13934:8 13957:1,2 13962:13 13967:5 14015:1 0 13949:5 13994:3 100 13996:7 107 3394:12 13967:1,2 13962:18 13963:19 13964:8,20 14021:1,2 25 140 0.0000LMTS 13996:21 13950:18 13951:7,4 121 3394:12 13934:23 13944:12 13963:12,14 4036:2 14021:1,2 25 140 0.000LMTS 13994:12 13950:11 33951:7,4 121 31944:12 121 31944:12 13983:11,18 14036:7 144045:1 13994:15 13961:10,22 13960:13 121 131392:18 14031:12,1,5,1,6,23 189 13999:16 13995:22 13966:16 1396:20 121 13 1396:15 14013:12,1,6,1,6,23 189 1396:1 13995:22 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13954:19 140372:2,16,17,21 13966:10 00040 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:23 13962:14 14038:17,8,21 191 14015.4 001 13997:24 139051:1 13962:					
zoom 13877:5,13,15,15 10:45 13915:11 116 116 1392:7,71 13935:2 13942:13 13915:12 13951:25 13952:4,15 13967:5 14015:3 14019:20,21 13937:16 13937:16 13936:12 13962:17 13951:25 13952:4,15 13967:5 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 13960:1,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14021:1,2,2 14036:0,1400:1,2 13960:1,1 14016:1,1 14016:1,1 14016:1,1 14016:1,1 14016:1,1 14016:1,2 13961:1,1 14016:1,2 13961:1,1 14016:1,2,2 13961:1,1 14016:1,2 13961:1,1 14016:1,2 13961:1,1 14016:1,2 14036:1,2,1 14036:1,2,1 14036:1,2,1 14036:1,2,1 14036:1,2,1 14036:1,2,1 14036:1,2,1 14036:1,2,1 14036:1,2,1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
13877:16 13888:4 13919:21 13920:4.7 13949:13 1396:2:17 13951:25 13952:4.15 13967:5 14015:1 14019:20.21 13937:18,22 116MTS 13953:8 13963:12 13963:13 14020:14,16,25 0 13949:23 13943:23 13943:12 13949:13 13962:18 13963:12 14020:14,16,25 13997:17 14007:22 13943:12 13949:13 13962:18 13963:12 14020:14,16,25 13997:17 14007:22 13950:18 13951:21 13949:13 13963:12 14020:14,16,25 14021:12,25 14040:1 00000LMTS 13995:12 13963:12,17 12394:12 13949:13 13963:12 14031:12,15,16,23 181 13916:8,11 13995:22 13966:16 13963:20 1218 13920:8 13935:1 14018:15 14031:12 183 13864:15,15 13995:22 13966:16 13960:20 1218 13920:8 13935:2 14031:12 183 13864:15,15 13995:10 13945:14 13905:15 12013920:80 13935:2				·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14019:20.21 13937:18.22 16MTTS 13935:8 13960:1,2 13963:13 14018:13.20 0 13943:20 13996:7 10:55 13921:15 116 MTTS 13993:8 13966:1,2 13963:13 14018:12.25 140 0 13989:5 13994:3 100 13911:23 13929:8,9 13949:13 13962:18 13967:1 13980:22 14021:12.25 140 000006MTS 13994:12 13951:22 13960:13 13951:7,14 12 13944:12 13981:11,18 14006:20 14006:25 181 13926:8,11 00020MTS 13994:12 13966:16 13996:20 13966:16 13996:20 12:18 13920:8 13935:2 14018:12,15,16,23 13864:15,15 00021 4044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:27 13942:25 14033:23 14035:12 19 13903:17 1390 00045 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:27 13942:25 14033:7,2,5,16,17,21 19 13999:20 14012 00045 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:27 13942:25 14033:7,3,2,1 19th 14015:4 00045 14044:13 100MTS 13995:19 13949:14 13946:9 12:43 13976:19 14033:7,4 011 1397:16 100W+13945:9 12:48:36 13954:4 16th(Camera470PCOP 13871:22 13873:19 13963:11 13962:11<					
- 13943:20 13996:7 117 13962:18 13963:19 13964:8.20 14020:14,16,25 14 0 10989:5 13994:3 100 13911:23 13929:8,17,17 13967:1 13980:22 13967:1 13980:22 14021:7 14003:2 00000LMTS 13996:11 13950:12 13951:7,14 13951:1 13931:2 13981:1 13981:1 180 13999:16 00000LMTS 13994:12 13961:10.22 13960:29 13981:1 13981:1 180 13999:16 139994:15 13963:12 13961:10.22 13962:9 13982:11 14006:21 14006:21 181 13916:8,11 13995:22 13966:16 13996:20 13985:6 12:18 13920:8 13935:2 14031:19 183 13864:15,15 00040 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13954:19 14036:10,13,17,21,22 13966:10 00041 14044:13 100MTS-series 12:27 13942:25 14039:23,24 1991999:20 14012 00461 14044:13 100MSTS-series 12:27 13942:25 14030:14 13861:1 0035 14044:13 101 13911:23 13949:14 13962:18 14030:14 13861:1 0035 14044:13 101 13911:23 13949:14 13952:14 14030:14 13871:5,11,19 031 390		,			
0 10:55 13921:15 118 13929:8,17,17 13964:24 13965:3 14021:12,25 1403:23 013989:5 13994:12 13934:2,3 13948:12 13949:13 13962:18 13967:1 13980:22 14024:7 14033:2 000006MTS 13996:21 13951:12 13951:7,14 1213942:12 13983:14 14066:19 180 13999:16 00001.MTS 13994:12 13951:22 13960:13 13961:16 13920:8 13982:11 14013:12,15,16,23 182 13916.8,11 00020 VMTS 13994:18 13966:16 13996:20 12:18 13920:8 13935:2 14031:23 14035:12 182 13916.8,11 00021 4044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13954:19 14038:7,78,21 191 3999:20 14012 00041 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:21 31952:14 14038:7,78,21 191 13915:4 00045 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:23 13962:14 14038:7,78,21 191 14015:4 00045 14044:13 100MTS 13934:4 12:23 13962:14 14038:7,78,21 191 14015:4 001 13997:10 100% 13994:9 12:48:35 13954:4 14040:10 14041:9,11 13861:1 0013 1391:021 13946:12 13943:5 140430:14 13871:6,11,19.2 0035 140444:13<	19.20,21	,		·	
0 13989:5 13994:3 13997:17 14007:22 100 13911:23 13929:8,9 13934:23 13948:12 13949:13 13962:18 13967:1 13980:22 14024:7 14033.2 00000MTS 13996:21 13950:18 13951:7,14 12 13944:12 13983:14 14006:19 180 13999:16 00000MTS 13994:12 13950:12 13960:13 13951:22 13960:13 121 13973:25 13979:4 14003:20 14009:25 181 13916:8,11 13994:15 13961:10.22 13962:9 13946:15 14013:12,15,16,23 182 13916:8,11 13995:22 13966:16 13996:20 13954:2 14036:10,13,17,21,22 189 13993:17 1390 00004 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13962:14 14038:7,78,21 191 140154 00045 14044:13 100MTS-series 12:27 13942:25 14039:13,77,8,21 191 14054 001 13951:10,19 100% 13946:9 12:48:36 13954:4 14030:14 13866:19 01MTS 13994:17 100% 13946:9 12:48:36 13954:4 160h/Camera457POP 13864:12,13877:25 01MTS 13994:17 100% 13961:21 13962:18 13962:18 13661:1 13962:12 01 1391:23 103 13910:21 13938:2 13949:14 13962:18 160h/Camera457POP 1	0				
13997:17 14007:22 13934:2,3 13948:12 118MTS 13934:8 13981:11,18 14036:7 14040:1 00000MTS 13996:21 13950:18 13951:7,14 12 13944:12 13983:14 14006:19 180 13999:16 13994:15 13961:10,22 13962:9 13982:11 14006:20 1409:25 181 13916:8,11 13995:22 13966:16 13996:20 1208 13935:2 14031:12,15,16,23 182 13916:8,11 00022 14044:12 14006:21 13954:2 14031:12,14037:25,16,17,21 189 13963:10,192 00041 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13954:19 14037:25,16,17,21 199 13990:17 1396 00045 14044:13 100MTS-series 12:27 13942:25 14038:7,78,21 19h 14015:4 00046 13997:24 13997:16 12:48:36 13954:4 16h(Camerad07POP) 13866:1:1 011 3991:10,19 100*series 13934:4 120 13929:8,19 13934:8 16h(Camerad57POP) 13871:6,11,19.2 13965:21 101 13911:23 13940:14 13914:14 13919:6 16:07:24 13877:24,24 13872:12 13877:24 0035 140444:13 102 13919:2,11 13949:14 13954:11 13877:25 13886:15,01,3,1' 123 1399:1:1,13949:4 13866:1:1					
000006MTS 13996:21 13950:18 13951:7,14 12 13944:12 13983:14 14006:19 180 13999:16 00001.MTS 13994:12 13951:22 13960:13 13951:22 13960:13 12th 13973:25 13979:4 14006:20 14009:25 181 13916:8,11 13995:22 13966:16 13996:20 13982:11 14013:12,15,16,23 182 13916:8,11 00020 MTS 13994:12 14006:21 13966:15 14018:15 14031:19 183 13864:15,15 00022 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13954:19 14037:2,5,16,17,21 19 13999:20 14012 00041 14044:13 100MTS series 12:27 13942:25 14039:23,24 192 13860:19,25 00045 14044:13 100MTS series 12:24 31395:4 14039:23,24 192 13860:19,25 00045 14044:13 100% 13945:9 12:48:36 13935:9 14040:10 14041:9,11 13861:1 001MTS 13994:17 13940:1,4 120 13929:8,19 13934:4 120 13929:8,19 13934:4 123862:18 1366:12 0113911:23 101 13911:23 13949:14 13962:18 14030:14 13872:19 13873:5 036 14044:13 102 13910:21 13938:2 13949:14 13992:18 14303:14 13872:2,19 13873:5 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
00001.MTS 13994:12 13951:22 13960:13 12th 13973:25 13979:4 14006:20 14009:25 181 13916:8,11 13994:15 13961:10,22 13962:9 13982:11 14013:12,15,16,23 182 13916:8,11 13995:22 13966:16 13996:20 12:18 13920:8 13935:2 14018:15 14031:19 183 13864:15,15 00022 14044:12 14006:21 13954:2 14036:10,13,17,21,22 13966:10 00041 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13954:19 14037:25,16,17,21 19 13999:20 14012 00045 14044:13 100MTS-series 12:27 13942:25 14039:7,8,21 191 1491:54 00045 14044:13 100MTS-series 12:43 13976:19 14041:10 14041:9,11 13861:1 00045 14044:13 100-series 13934:4 12:56 13935:9 14040:10 14041:9,11 13861:1 0001 13951:10,19 100*series 13934:4 12:01 13924:18 16th/Camera457POP 13871:61,11,92 035 14044:13 101 13911:23 13942:18 16th/Camera457POP 13871:21 1391:21 1391:21 1391:21 1391:21 1392:18 036 14044:13 101 1391:22 13942:18 16th/Camera457POP 13871:22,128 0303595:2		/			
13994:15 13961:10,22 13962:9 13982:11 14013:12,15,16,23 182 13916:8,11 00020MTS 13994:18 13963:12,17 12:03 13946:15 14018:15 14031:19 183 13864:15,15 13995:22 14006:21 12:18 13920:8 13935:2 14036:10,13,17,21,22 13966:10 00041 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13954:19 14037:2,5,16,17,21 19 1399:20 14012 00044 14044:13 100MTS series 12:27 13942:25 14038:7,7,8,21 1941 14015:4 00045 14044:13 100WTS series 12:27 13942:25 14039:23,24 192 13860:10,25 00045 13097:24 13997:16 12:43 13976:19 14041:15 192 13860:17,25 001 13951:10,19 100% 13946:9 12:43 13976:19 14040:10 14041:9,11 13871:6,11,19.2 0035 14044:13 101 13911:23 13949:14 13919:6 164h/Camera407POP 2 2 0035 14044:13 101 13911:23 13949:14 13919:6 164h/Camera457POP 13871:6,11,19.2 033 13995:2 103 13910:22 13940:2,1 139292:8,19 13934:8 166:07:24 13877:24,24 13871:2,22 023 13995:2 103 13910:22		,			
00020MTS 13994:1813963:12,1712:03 13946:1514018:15 14031:19183 13864:15,1513995:2213966:16 13996:2012:18 13920:8 13935:214036:12.314035:12189 13903:17 139000022 14044:1214006:2113954:214037:2,5,16,17,2119 13999:20 1401200041 14044:13100MTS 13935:612:20 13954:1914037:2,5,16,17,2119 13999:20 1401200045 14044:13100MTS-series12:27 13942:2514038:7,7,8,2119th 14015:40006 13997:2413995:1913943:514040:10 14041:9,1113861:10006 13997:2413997:1612:43 13976:1914041:15121860:19,250035 14044:13100-series 13934:412:56 13954:416th/Camera407POP213963:24100-series 13934:412:139192:8,19 1394:816th/Camera457POP20035 14044:13101 13911:2313949:14 13962:1814030:1413872:19 13873:0036 14044:13102 13910:21 13938:2121 13914:14 13919:616:07:24 13877:24,2413879:21,22,22029 13995:213962:11122 13919:8,11,16,1816:03:0 13877:23,2313885:15,23,24042 13919:2013940:71 31947:913949:14 13954:1113877:24 13875:513886:23,24 138044 1363:23,231396:13 1396:1213962:18162 13915:1 1394:413887:5,2,24 13887:5,5044 1396:13 1396:121396:18165 1329:1913887:5,2,16,13044 1396:1313907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 1329:1913887:5,16,13044 1396:1413907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11					
13995:22 13966:16 13996:20 12:18 13920:8 13935:2 14031:23 14035:12 189 13903:17 1390 00022 14044:12 14006:21 13954:2 14036:10.13,17,21.22 13966:10 13903:17 1390 00040 14044:13 100MTS 13935:6 12:20 13954:19 14037:2,5,16,17,21 19 19399:20 10012 00045 14044:13 100MTS-series 12:27 13942:25 14039:23,24 192 13860:19,25 00046 13997:24 13997:16 12:43 13976:19 14041:15 13861:1 13871:21 13863:12,12,13 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 13871:2,11 <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		,			
00022 14044:1214006:2113954:214036:10,13,17,21,2213966:1000040 14044:13100MTS 13935:612:20 13954:1914037:2,5,16,17,2119 3999:20 1401200041 14044:1314013:1212:23 13962:1414038:7,7,8,2119th 14038:7,78,2100045 14044:1313995:5 13995:1913943:514038:7,78,2119th 14038:7,78,210006 13997:2413997:1612:43 13976:1914040:10 14041:9,1113861:1001 13951:10,19100% rsi946:912:48:36 13954:416th/Camera407POP213963:24100-series 13934:4120 13929:8,19 13934:816th/Camera457POP13877:2,14 1380035 14044:13101 13911:2313949:14 13962:1814030:14213872:19 13873:0036 14044:13102 13910:21 13938:2121 13914:14 13919:616th/Camera457POP13877:25020MTS 13994:2013954:18 13961:2313962:1816:08:40 13873:5,513886:5,10,13,19030 13995:213940:71 13947:913949:14 13954:1113877:24 13877:24,2313888:15,23,24042 13919:2013940:71 13947:913949:14 13954:1113877:24 13878:5,513886:23,12,14 138044 13903:1213940:71 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913898:315,23,24042 13919:2013940:71 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913886:23,24 138044 13905:1113961:13 13962:1213962:1213962:18167 13929:1413886:23,24 138044 13905:1613962:24 13969:151253 13933:2168 13929:61 13930:102:15 13872:16 138044 13		,			
00040 14044:13100MTS 13935:612:20 13954:1914037:2,5,16,17,2119 13999:20 1401200041 14044:1314013:1212:23 13962:1414038:7,7,8,2119th 14015:400045 14044:1313935:5 13995:1913942:2514039:23,24192 13860:19,250006 13997:2413997:1612:43 13976:1914040:10 14041:9,1114041:15001 13951:10,19100% 13946:912:48:36 13954:414040:10 14041:9,1114041:1513963:24100-series 13934:412:56 13935:914030:142 13863:12,14 138001MTS 13994:1713940:1,4120 13929:8,19 13934:816th/Camera457POP13871:6,11,19,20035 14044:13101 13911:2313949:14 13962:1816:07:24 13877:24,2413872:19 13873:0036 14044:13102 13910:21 13938:213962:1813877:2513879:21,22,22029 13995:213954:18 13961:2313962:1816:08:40 13873:5,513880:5,10,13,14030 13995:2103 13910:22 13940:2,213949:14 13954:1113877:24 13878:5,513880:5,10,13,14041 13963:23,2313962:1413947:1113877:24 13878:5,513880:5,10,13,14044 13963:23,2313962:24 13969:14122MTS 13943:6163 13929:4,4,5,1913880:8,12,2,24 1386044 13963:23,2313943:1013931:12,24 13943:6163 13929:4,4,5,1913880:8,18877:513948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913980:5 13949:1043 1392:41 13944:72113961:13 13962:1213962:18167 13929:2113981:1 13984:16044 13963:23,23					
0004114044:1314013:1212:2313962:1414038:7,7,8,2119th 14015:40004514044:1313935:513995:1913943:514039:23,2419213860:19,25000613997:2413997:1612:4313943:514040:1014041:9,1113861:100613997:24100% 13946:912:48:3613954:416th/Camera407POP13863:12,1413861:113963:24100%-series13934:412:5613935:914030:1413877:2413877:24,1413877:24,14003514044:1310113911:2313949:1413992:1814030:1413877:24,2413877:24,2413877:24,2413877:24,13877:24,2413877:22,2202013995:213962:1113929:8,1913947:1116:10:3013877:23,2313880:5,10,13,1903013995:210313940:2,113949:1413954:1113877:2413877:24,2313880:5,10,13,1904213992:013940:713940:2,113949:1413954:1113877:24,13878:5,513880:5,10,13,1904413905:1213962:1213949:1413954:1113877:24,13878:5,513880:5,10,43860441390:2413947:913943:816513929:4,4,5,1913886:3,24,41380441390:7,5113962:1213933:216513929:4,4,5,1913886:3,24,41380441390:7,613962:1213962:1816513929:1913905:513949:10441390:7,513949:1513962:121396					
0004514044:13100MTS-series12:2713942:2514039:23,2419213860:19,250004613997:2413935:513935:513943:513943:514040:1014041:9,1113861:100113951:10,19100% 13946:912:48:3613935:914040:1014041:9,1113861:113963:24100-series13940:1,412013929:8,1913934:816th\Camera407POP213863:12,1413871:6,11,19,2003514044:1310113911:2313949:1413929:8,1913934:816th\Camera457POP13877:24,2413872:1913872:1913872:19003614044:1310213910:2113938:212113914:1413919:616:07:2413877:24,2413879:21,22,2202913995:213962:1113962:1813877:2513880:5,10,13,1903013995:210313910:2213940:7,2313886:15,23,2413877:2413886:5,10,13,1904113961:1313962:1213949:1413954:1113877:2413886:23,2413886:23,2413886:23,240431390:2813947:2313961:1313962:1213943:816313929:4,5,1913886:23,2413897:504413963:23,2313961:1313962:1213942:1213933:216816313929:4,5,1913886:23,2413897:504313920:1313940:7,2113961:1313962:1213942:1213930:11,2413980:813897:513887:9,15,160431					
0004614044:1313935:513995:1913943:514040:1014041:9,1113861:1000613997:2413997:1612:4313976:1914040:1014041:1512001100%13946:912:48:3613954:416th\Camera407POP14030:14213963:24100%13940:1,412:613929:8,1913934:816th\Camera457POP13871:6,11,19,2003514044:1310113911:2313949:1413962:1816:07:2413877:24,2413877:21913873:219003614044:1310213910:2113938:212113914:1413919:616:07:2413877:24,2413877:21913879:21,22,2202913995:213962:1113961:2313962:2413997:1613929:8,1913947:1116:08:4013877:23,2313883:5,0,13,1103013995:213940:7,13947:913949:1413954:1113877:2413877:23,2313883:5,2,3,2404413963:23,2313962:1213962:1213962:1816213970:513886:23,2413887:9,15,1604813920:8,13947:2313961:1313962:1213962:1213962:18166713929:4,4,5,1913887:9,15,1604813940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1213962:1816713929:1113887:9,15,1605113940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1816713929:1213981:113988:7052.MTS13860:1613962:2413969:15125313					
000613997:2413997:1612:4313976:1914041:1500113951:10,19100% 13946:912:48:3613954:412:5513954:414030:14213963:24100-series13934:412:5513935:914030:142213863:12,14138001 MTS13994:1713940:1,4120139298,1913934:816th\Camera457POP13877:24,2413877:2113877:2113877:2113877:2113877:2113877:2113877:22,22003514044:1310213910:2213940:2213962:1816:07:2413877:2513880:5,10,13,19020MTS13995:213962:1112213919:8,11,16,1816:03:4013877:25,513880:5,10,13,1903013995:210313910:2213940:2,213929:8,1913947:1116:10:3013877:23,2313883:15,23,2404213996:1113962:1213949:1413947:1113877:2413886:23,2413886:23,2413886:23,2404313920:813947:23103MTS13943:1013935:12,2413943:816313929:4,45,1913880:813887:9,15,1604813920:813940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1816316313929:4,45,1913880:813887:9,15,1604813920:813940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1816316313929:4,45,1913887:213887:213988:704413960:1613962:2413969:1513962:1213962:18 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td>				·	
00113951:10,19100% 13946:912:48:36 13954:416th\Camera407POP213963:24100-series 13934:412:56 13935:914030:14213863:12,14138001MTS13994:1713940:1,412013929:8,1913934:816th\Camera457POP13871:6,11,19,2003514044:1310113911:2313949:1413949:1413996:1814030:1413872:1913872:19020MTS13994:2013954:1813961:2313962:1813962:1816:08:4013877:2513879:21,22,2202913995:213962:1113929:8,1913947:1116:10:3013877:23,2313883:15,23,2404113963:23,2313962:1213940:713940:713949:1413954:1816213915:113888:12,2,2404413963:23,2313962:2413962:1213942:1816213915:113886:23,2413886:23,2413887:9,15,1604813920:813947:2313961:1313962:1213942:1413933:216513929:4,4,5,1913880:813897:913948:5,610413907:1513943:1013933:216813929:613930:102:1513872:1613804813961:1313962:1213962:1213962:1816713929:2113987:813997:513887:1613897:9,15,1604813940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1213962:1213962:1816713929:1913987:13998:1313987:16139399:102:1513877:					13861:1
13963:14100 horseries 13934:4121 horseries 13934:4121 horseries 13934:414030:1414030:1413963:1413940:1,413940:1,4120 13929:8,19 13934:816th\Camera457POP13871:6,11,19,20035 14044:13101 13911:2313949:14 13962:1816th\Camera457POP13877:24,2413872:19 13873:0036 14044:13102 13910:21 13938:2121 13914:14 13919:616:07:24 13877:24,2413877:22,22029 13995:213962:11122 13919:8,11,16,1816:08:40 13873:5,513880:5,10,1,19030 13995:213940:7 13947:913949:14 13954:1113877:24 13877:23,2313880:5,10,1,19042 13919:2013940:7 13947:913949:14 13954:1113877:24 13878:5,513884:20 13886:044 13963:23,2313962:1213962:1213962:1213949:14 1394:413877:513884:20 13886:044 13963:23,23103 HS 13943:1013935:12,24 13943:6163 13929:4,4,5,1913887:9,15,1613887:9,15,16048 TS 13940:7,2113961:13 13962:1213962:18167 13929:1913980:8 13897:913948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913980:8 13897:913948:5,6104 13907:25 13962:1213962:1213962:18167 13929:2113981:1 13988:7052.MTS 13860:1613940:2,3128 14032:1213930:11,24 13949:52:30 13878:42:30 13878:4053.0 14003:8104MTS 13941:1913981:3 13904:7,18,25169 13929:4,5,21,2520 13867:18 13869067 13951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 1397:24 13974:113930					
001MTS 13994:1713940:1,4120 13929:8,19 13934:816th\Camera457POP13871:6,11,19,20035 14044:13101 13911:2313949:14 13962:1814030:1413872:19 13873:0036 14044:13102 13910:21 13938:2121 13914:14 13919:616:07:24 13877:24,2413874:22 13877:020MTS 13994:2013954:18 13961:2313962:1813877:2513877:24,24030 13995:213962:11122 13919:8,11,16,1816:08:40 13873:5,513880:5,10,13,19030 13995:2103 13910:22 13940:2,213929:8,19 13947:1116:10:30 13877:23,2313883:15,23,24042 13919:2013940:7 13947:913949:14 13954:1113877:24 13878:5,513884:20 13886:042MTS 13996:1113961:13 13962:1213962:18162 13915:1 13949:413886:23,24 138044 13963:23,2313962:24 13969:1413934:813970:513887:9,15,16048 13920:8 13947:23103MTS 13943:1013951:1,20,2113961:13 13962:1213962:1213948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913980:8 13897:913948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913980:8 13897:913961:13 13962:1213962:1213962:1213930:11,24 13949:513981:1 13988:713948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913981:1 13988:713948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1013981:1 13988:713963:24 14032:2313961:13 13962:1213930:11,24 13949:520 13867:18 13869 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>	-			-	
003514044:1310113911:2313949:1413962:1814030:1413872:1913873:373003614044:1310213910:2113938:212113914:1413919:616:07:2413877:24,2413874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213877:24,2413874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213874:2213877:24,2413877:24,2413877:24,2313879:21,22,2213880:5,10,13,1903013995:210313910:2213940:2,213940:2,213949:1413947:1116:10:3013877:23,2313880:5,10,13,1904213996:1113961:1313962:1213949:1413949:1413954:1113877:2413877:2413886:23,2413886:23,2404413963:23,2313962:2413962:1213943:1013943:1013935:12,2413943:813970:513887:9,15,1604813920:8113940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1213962:1213962:1213962:1213962:1213962:1213914:1713929:1116513929:4,4,5,1913980:8113980:8113980:51139					
003614044:1310213910:2113938:212113914:1413919:616:07:2413877:24,2413874:2213877:22,2202913995:213962:1113962:1813962:1813877:2513880:5,10,13,1903013995:210313910:2213940:2,213929:8,1913947:1116:03013877:23,2313883:15,23,2404213919:2013940:713947:913949:1413954:1113877:2413877:2413886:5,513886:2,3,2404213996:1113961:1313962:1213962:1816213915:113949:413886:23,2413886:23,2413886:23,2413887:9,15,1604813920:813940:7,23103MTS13943:1013935:12,2413943:616313929:4,4,5,1913890:813897:913948:5,610413907:1513947:912313914:1713929:1116513929:4,4,5,1913980:813897:904813940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1816713929:2113981:113986:7052.MTS13860:1613962:2413969:15125313933:216813929:613930:102:1513877:1613877:2406613951:11,19104MTS13940:2,3128140032:1213930:11,2413930:11,242:3013877:2413877:2413877:2413877:2413877:2413877:2413877:2413877:2413877:2413877:2513887:9,15,1613877:2513887:9,15,1613877:2513887:			,	-	
020MTS 13994:2013954:18 13961:2313962:1813877:2513879:21,22,22029 13995:213962:11122 13919:8,11,16,1816:08:40 13873:5,513880:5,10,13,19030 13995:2103 13910:22 13940:2,213929:8,19 13947:1116:10:30 13877:23,2313883:15,23,24042 13919:2013940:7 13947:913949:14 13954:1113877:24 13878:5,513884:20 13886:042MTS 13996:1113961:13 13962:1213962:18162 13915:1 13949:413886:23,24 138044 13963:23,2313962:24 13969:14122MTS 13934:813970:513887:9,15,16048 13920:8 13947:23103MTS 13943:1013935:12,24 13943:6163 13929:4,4,5,1913890:8 13897:913948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913905:5 13949:19048MTS 13940:7,2113961:13 13962:1213962:18167 13929:2113981:1 13986:79052.MTS 13860:1613962:24 13969:151253 13933:2168 13929:6 13930:102:15 13872:16 138066 13951:11,19104MTS 13940:2,3128 14032:1213930:11,24 13949:52:30 13878:413963:24 14032:23105 13905:14,1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913976:5 13980:1213940:2,23,24,2514001:25 14005:09:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:2,23,24,2514012:16					
029 13995:213962:11122 13919:8,11,16,1816:08:40 13873:5,513880:5,10,13,19030 13995:2103 13910:22 13940:2,213929:8,19 13947:1116:08:40 13873:5,513880:5,10,13,19042 13919:2013940:7 13947:913949:14 13954:1113972:1816:10:30 13877:23,2313884:20 13886:044 13963:23,2313962:24 13969:1413962:1213962:18162 13915:1 13949:413886:23,24 138048 13920:8 13947:2313962:24 13969:14122MTS 13934:8163 13929:4,4,5,1913880:8 13897:913948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913905:5 13949:1048MTS 13940:7,2113961:13 13962:1213962:18167 13929:2113981:1 13988:7052.MTS 13860:16104 MTS 13940:2,31253 13933:2168 13929:6 13930:102:15 13872:16 138066 13951:11,19104MTS 13940:2,3128 14032:1213930:11,24 13949:52:30 13878:413963:24 14032:23105 13905:14,1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,21067 13951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913981:3 14020:1313931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:509:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16					
03013995:210313910:2213940:2,213929:8,1913947:1116:10:3013877:23,2313883:15,23,2404213910:2013940:713947:913949:1413954:1113877:2413877:2413884:2013884:20042MTS13996:1113961:1313962:1213962:1816213915:113949:413886:23,2413887:9,15,1604813920:813947:23103MTS13943:1013935:12,2413943:616313929:4,4,5,1913890:813897:913948:5,610413907:1513947:912313914:1713929:1116516313929:4,4,5,1913890:813897:9048MTS13940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1213962:1816716313929:1913905:513949:11048MTS13940:7,2113961:1313962:1213962:1816713929:2113981:113988:7052.MTS13860:1613962:2413969:15125313933:216816713929:2113981:113988:7052.MTS13860:1613962:2413940:2,312814032:1213930:11,2413930:102:1513872:1613806613951:11,19104MTS13941:1913981:314020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2113999:20,2108:3014003:810513905:14,1913976:513980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:1609:1613858:213906:2,513962:1413976:513980:12<					
042 13919:2013940:7 13947:913949:14 13954:1113877:24 13878:5,513884:20 13886:042MTS 13996:1113961:13 13962:1213962:18162 13915:1 13949:413886:23,24 138044 13963:23,2313962:24 13969:14122MTS 13934:813970:513887:9,15,16048 13920:8 13947:23103MTS 13943:1013935:12,24 13943:6163 13929:4,4,5,1913890:8 13897:913948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913905:5 13949:1048MTS 13940:7,2113961:13 13962:1213962:1213962:18167 13929:2113981:1 13988:7052.MTS 13860:1613962:24 13969:151253 13933:2168 13929:6 13930:102:15 13872:16 138066 13951:11,19104MTS 13940:2,3128 14032:1213930:11,24 13949:52:30 13878:413963:24 14032:2313943:1013 13904:17,18,25169 13929:4,5,21,2520 13867:18 13869067 13951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:109:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
042MTS 13996:1113961:13 13962:1213961:13 13962:1213962:18162 13915:1 13949:413886:23,24 138044 13963:23,2313962:24 13969:1413962:1213962:1413935:12,24 13943:6163 13929:4,4,5,1913890:8 13897:9048 13920:8 13947:23103MTS 13943:1013943:1013935:12,24 13943:6163 13929:4,4,5,1913890:8 13897:913948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:913961:13 13962:1213962:1213914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913905:5 13949:1048MTS 13940:7,2113961:13 13962:1213962:1213962:18167 13929:2113981:1 13988:7052.MTS 13860:1613962:24 13969:151253 13933:2168 13929:6 13930:102:15 13872:16 138066 13951:11,19104MTS 13940:2,313 13904:17,18,25169 13929:4,5,21,2520 13867:18 1386913963:24 14032:23105 13905:14,1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,19134th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:509:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16					
044 13963:23,2313962:24 13969:14122MTS 13934:813970:513887:9,15,16048 13920:8 13947:23103MTS 13943:1013935:12,24 13943:6163 13929:4,4,5,1913890:8 13897:913948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:913961:13 13962:1213962:1413962:18165 13929:1913905:5 13949:1048MTS 13940:7,2113961:13 13962:1213962:24 13969:1513962:24 13969:15123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:2113905:5 13949:1052.MTS 13860:1613962:24 13969:1513962:24 13969:151253 13933:2168 13929:6 13930:102:15 13872:16 138066 13951:11,19104MTS 13940:2,313943:1013 13904:17,18,25169 13929:4,5,21,2520 13867:18 13869067 13951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:109:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16					
048 13920:8 13947:23 13948:5,6103MTS 13943:10 104 13907:15 13947:913935:12,24 13943:6 123 13914:17 13929:11163 13929:4,4,5,19 165 13929:1913890:8 13897:9 13905:5 13949:19048MTS 13940:7,21 052.MTS 13860:1613961:13 13962:12 					13886:23,24 13887:9
13948:5,6104 13907:15 13947:9123 13914:17 13929:11165 13929:1913905:5 13949:1048MTS 13940:7,2113961:13 13962:1213961:13 13962:1213962:2413962:2413962:24052.MTS 13860:1613962:24 13969:1513962:24 13969:151253 13933:2168 13929:6 13930:102:15 13872:16 138066 13951:11,19104MTS 13940:2,313943:1013 13904:17,18,25169 13929:4,5,21,2520 13867:18 1386913951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:09:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16	,				
048MTS 13940:7,2113961:13 13962:1213962:18167 13929:2113981:1 13988:7052.MTS 13860:1613962:24 13969:151253 13933:2168 13929:6 13930:102:15 13872:16 138066 13951:11,19104MTS 13940:2,3128 14032:1213930:11,24 13949:52:30 13878:413963:24 14032:2313943:1013 13904:17,18,25169 13929:4,5,21,2520 13867:18 13869067 13951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:109:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16			-		
052.MTS 13860:1613962:24 13969:151253 13933:2168 13929:6 13930:102:15 13872:16 138066 13951:11,19104MTS 13940:2,3128 14032:1213930:11,24 13949:52:30 13878:413963:24 14032:2313943:1013 13904:17,18,25169 13929:4,5,21,2520 13867:18 13869067 13951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:509:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16					13905:5 13949:11
066 13951:11,19 13963:24 14032:23104MTS 13940:2,3 13943:10128 14032:1213930:11,24 13949:5 169 13929:4,5,21,252:30 13878:4 20 13867:18 13869067 13951:11,20 08:30 14003:8104.MTS 13941:19 105 13905:14,1913981:3 14020:13 13981:3 14020:13169 13929:4,5,21,25 13981:3 14020:1320 13867:18 13869 13930:11,12,2308:30 14003:8 09:16 13858:2105 13905:14,19 13906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514001:25 14005:1 13940:22,23,24,25					13981:1 13988:7,12
13963:24 14032:2313943:1013 13904:17,18,25169 13929:4,5,21,2520 13867:18 13869067 13951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:09:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16					2:15 13872:16 13873:3
067 13951:11,20104.MTS 13941:1913981:3 14020:1313930:11,12,2313999:20,2108:30 14003:8105 13905:14,1913th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005:09:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16					
08:30 14003:8 105 13905:14,19 13th 13972:24 13974:113931:6,8,11,2014001:25 14005: 09:16 13858:213906:2,5 13962:1413976:5 13980:1213940:22,23,24,2514012:16					20 13867:18 13869:10
09:16 13858:2 13906:2,5 13962:14 13976:5 13980:12 13940:22,23,24,25 14012:16					
		,			14001:25 14005:4,17
09:36 13870:13 106 13906:2 13984 :7 14029:3,4 13941 :1,18 13959:9,9 20MTS 13995:21,3					
	13870:13				20MTS 13995:21,21
107 13905:14,19 14032:8 13970:6,6 20th 14033:22				·	20th 14033:22
<u>1</u> 13906:5 13954:11 13:30 13887:11 17 13961:21 13976:3,6 201 13861:3					
1 13863:12 13864:4,15 108 13906:20 13947:9 13:53 13988:14 13999:20 2012 13867:25					
	64:15,17 13890:8		· · ·		13910:14 13919:21
	397:9 13903:20,21			13914:15 13917:19	13981:3,11 14001:10
13904:2 13905:22 13961:13 13962:15 14th 13916:23 13919:2 13923:3 13924:21 14022:12	04:2 13905:22	13961:13 13962:15 14th	13916:23 13919:2	13923:3 13924:21	
13906:3 13910:23,24 13962:24 13969:15 13971:12 13980:20 13950:20,22,23,24 2013 13858:1 1386	06:3 13910:23,24	13962:24 13969:15 13	971:12 13980:20	13950:20,22,23,24	2013 13858:1 13868:12
13914:17 13916:12 13998:4 14016:8 13951:7 13952:5,16 13868:13	014:17 13916:12			13951:7 13952:5,16	
13916:13 13929:12 108MTS 13943:10 14:13 14000:25 13967:4 14015:21 2013/02/27 14042:	16:13 13929:12	08MTS 13943:10 14:1	3 14000:25	13967:4 14015:21	2013/02/27 14042:19
13935:8,13 13944:12 13997:4 14:30 13887:12 14020:24 14032:13 14042:20	/ 31 / 25-				
					205 13928:9,13,20
13949:13 13981:1 13942:23,25 14:52 14022:19 17.1 13935:7 14009:2	A II I Price				
					21 13999:21 14012:16
					14012:18 14019:6,7
14022:21 14042:7,8 11 13921:9,20 15 13871:23 13872:6,19 17.2 13934:17 13942:24 14019:12,19,22	N				
	/				

RealTime Transcriptions

1402013 349 19010-19 141 19012.23 14038-23 14038-23 21209922 19022.24 15003.324 159012.25 157 157 157 14022.21 74 14022.21 22017 16016.2 15911.19.20.21.25 157 157 157 14022.23 174 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.21 74 14022.41 150 1					Page 21
22 13999-22 1401223 140012-1, 6 220/TS 140152 350 13905:21 3909:13 13915:21 13935:24 13909:13 13955:21 13935:24 13909:11 13925:21 13935:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:21 13975:2	14020.13 14022.4	349 13910-19 13911-7	44 13916·20 21 22	14048.5	
1401912.16 1908.524 13090:13 45.1 4032:12.17 74 14022:21 22015 14001:10.25 139111:19.20.21.25 13873 138972.21 13873 13997.23 13873 13997.23 13977.23 13873 13997.23 13997.23 13997.23 13997.23 13997.21 13998.21 13999.21 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>· · ·</td><td></td><td></td></td<>			· · ·		
22MT Sta015:2 13010:3:2 13010:3:2 1307:5:5:5					
22nd 1000:10.25 13911:192.02.125 13875:12 13888.3 228 1380:12 351 13907:22 13907:23 13907:23 13907:23 238 733 13878.8 13907:22 14001:41,02.0 13911:10:10383:15 138734 13878.8 13907:23 1401:41,02.0 13911:10:10383:15 138734 13878.8 13907:23 1401:24,102.0 13915:12 13990:13 13890:11 351 13907:23 461 13915:18 13900:13 13903:15 13931:12 13915:12 1400:23 14002:9 1000:16 13903:15 13931:12 1390:12 355 13907:23 13915:42 1399:12 13903:15 13903:15 13903:15 1390:12 351 13907:23 13915:42 1399:12 13903:15 13903:15 13902:11 1390:12 350 13907:23 13915:42 1399:12 13903:15 13903:15 14001:10 864 1386:15 13915:12 13907:24 1400:19 350 13907:23 13989:16 13993:10 91 3933:2 9					
14002.2, 314003.8 13913.21, 13914.5 457 1387.39 14007.67, 3 3 229 1389.1 351 13907.22 13007.21 14002.31 41001.8, 18 13911.19 1398.315 1387.02 1387.02 13897.19 1397.22 13097.22 14012.91 4002.5 13900.13 13890.19 13991.24 13910.10 18, 13 911.21 13903.15 13900.13 13912.12 13890.19 1390.24 351 13907.23 351 13907.23 461 13915.18 33000.17 23 13999.21 4012.23 356 13907.23 350 13907.23 13902.51 2002.10 1011.9 341 1396.12 23 1396.12 1387.12 350 13907.23 13902.52 13905.21 13902.51 2035.21 9 23 13890.19 13801.22 350 13907.23 13902.51 2035.21 9 13932.51 2989.10.10 23 13897.13 13907.23 381 1395.17.20 481 13914.11 13914.50 9 13932.52 1399.20.10 23 13807.13 13907.21 381 13935.17.20 481 1391.11 1399.45 1394.25 1399.20.10 23 13807.13 1390.21 13991.41 1392.42 13997.61 14994.23 9 1402.24 1.11 1399.11 1399.24 23 13807.13 13992.21 1398.11 13990.24 1398.11 13990.24 1398.11				77 14022:21	
228 1380:1 351 13907:22 13907:22 13007:23 13907:22 13007:23 13911:19	22nd 14001:10,25	13911:19,20,21,25			
229 1380:121 1387:121 352 13907:22 140102:41,19,20 13911:19 13983:15 1387:23 1387:27,16 353 13907:23,23 140102:41,1920 14012:41,1920 1390:17 355 13907:23 354 13907:23 14012:41,1920:13 13913:12,13913:12 1390:17 355 13907:23 356 13907:23 14010:23,1402:5 81 1394:11 13915:13 81 1394:11 13915:13 320 13864:10 1387:17 358 13907:23 3591:3907:23 13915:24,25 13953:21 1390:12 13915:13 1392:11 13915:13 320 13864:10 1387:17 358 13907:23 3591:3907:23 1399:16 13994:2 1399:16 13994:2 1399:16 13994:2 13807:24 1390:18,10 1389:17 361 13954:3 1399:16 13994:2 1399:16 13994:5 1399:16 13994:5 13878:4 1390:18,10 1399:13 1391:22 13949:14 1394:42 1399:16 13994:5 1393:5:1 1399:16 91 1400:23,1402 1397:14 1398:21 140:64:23 400:194:17,199:18 1399:11 1	14002:2,3 14003:8	13913:21 13914:5	457 13873:9 14007:6,7	8	
229 1380:121 1387:127 352 13907:22 14010214(19.20) 13911:19 13983:15 1387123 1387:27,16 353 13907:23 14010214(19.20) 140123 140225 800:19 1305:12 13915:12 13900:17 355 13907:23 351 13907:23 351 13907:23 351 13907:23 353 13907:23 130124 130123:12 13915:12 130123:12 13915:12 130123:12 13915:12 130123:12 13915:12 130123:12 13915:12 130123:12 13915:12 13022:11 10411:9 84 13041:13 101:10 323 13901:12 3 3391207:13 30123:13 13907:23 33913:13 13907:23 13992:12 13915:24:25 13932:1 139935:13 13937:21 13995:16 13934:5 13995:16 13934:5 13995:16 13934:5 313801:18 13919:20 13931:12 31386:27 381 33935:17 20 441386:15 91 1403:12 <t< td=""><td>228 13893:1</td><td>351 13907:22 13909:12</td><td>14009:23 14010:1,8</td><td>8 13905:3 13910:14</td><td></td></t<>	228 13893:1	351 13907:22 13909:12	14009:23 14010:1,8	8 13905:3 13910:14	
13871/23 13872/10 353 13907:21,22,25 14012/9 14026/5 13990:13 13873/4 13878/8 13907:11 13901:11 13911:15 13911:15 13802/11 1393:12 355 13907:23 13911:42 13911:15 13911:42 13911:42 23.1390:25 358 13907:23 357 13907:23 13911:42 13911:4					
138734 13878.8 13900:11.12 14027.8 14032.2 8th 13903.13 13913.12 13800:19 13801:24 3501:18 13907.23 1407.8 14032.2 8th 13903.13 13913.12 13901:27 355 13907.23 45 13914.22 1301.22 8th 13903.13 13913.12 23 1390:25 357 13907.23 356 13907.23 45 13914.22 1301.22 8th 13903.13 1392.12 230 138641.0 13871.17 359 13907.23 358 13907.23 13915.24 25 13953.21 364 13964.5 13901.24 13907.23 359 13907.23 13995.17 20 13995.16 13994.5 9 13933.2 13975.41 13806.7 38 13905.17 20 13995.17 13914.5 9 13933.5 9 13933.2 13975.41 13806.7 38 13935.17 20 48MTS 13934.5 9 14020.14.15 9 14020.14.15 21 13897.14 13806.7 13914.52 1395.21 13997.15 13911.22 13975.6 1398.12 13997.15 13911.2 14002.21 14095.15 13911.17 13919.45,9 13997.15 13911.2 13997.15 13911.2 13997.15 13911.2 13997.15 13911.2 13975.6 13981.17 13919.45 13997.15 13911.2 13997.15 13911.2 13997.15 13911.2 13997.15 13911.2 13997.15 13911.2 13990.16 13991.12 13911.13911.139130.16 13931.13 1395.13 1393.11 13931.13931.1393.11 139			, ,		
13800:19 13801:24 13910:18 1391:25 14044.4 13913:21,21 13802:11 355 13907:23 45 13914:21 13013:21,21 2313902:22 355 13907:23 45 13914:21 13013:21,21 2313902:25 357 13907:23 13914:21 13914:22 13014:22 13014:22 23013864:10 13807:13 359 13907:23 13949:25 13949:25 13949:25 13901:24 13809:19 13906:31 13995:17 13995:17 13995:17 13995:17 2313893:1 13909:13 13995:17 13995:17 13995:17 1396:18 1396:18 2313893:1 13999:21 13915:18,21 13995:17 13995:17 13995:17 1397:24 401399:21 13915:18,21 1397:54 13995:17 14002:24 13915:18 13995:17 1397:64 13995:19 14002:23 1394:14 1391:22 1398:16 1397:64 1398:21 1399:21 1398:16 1397:64 1397:55 1398:21 1399:192 1398:16 1397:12 1398:16 1398:21 1399:1399:139 1399:139 1398:16 1399:139 24 14012:21 1398:14					
13802:11 324 13907:23 46 13901:73 83 8:30m 14002:7 23 13901:25 357 13907:23 46 13915:24 13990:22 14010:23 14010:24 1402:24					
1300:1:7 355 1300:23 47 13014:22 1400:919 80 1396:210 14011:9 231 1396:1:25 357 1390:23 130123 14012:8 80 1396:210 14011:9 230 1396:1:25 357 1390:23 13912:124 1390:13 80 1396:210 14011:9 1380:1:12 359 1390:23 13913:24 25 1395:21 9 1390:1:24 1380:1:1 1390:31 3091:22 1399:31 3091:22 1399:31 3091:22 13975:14 1390:1:1 1399:31 3191:22 1399:51 71 4018:15 98 1386:16 13975:14 1390:1:2 1396:13 1391:22 1396:13 1391:22 1396:14 1394:14 13975:14 1390:1:2 1394:9:14 1392:4.77 4 1400:8:14 22.24 1400:2:2 14005:15 1394:9:14 1398:2.16 91 4000:14.15 91 4000:14.15 1400:2:3 14004:24 1391:75 24.25 1397:51 1398:16 1399:13 25 1391:1:3 1399:13 22 199:25 1398:13 1398:16 1399:13 1399:13 1397:13 1397:24:25 1399:13:13 1397:24:25 1399:13:13 1397:24:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 1399:13:13 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>				-	
23 (1399):22 (140):223 356 (1390):23 140(0:23 (140):128) 84 (140):110 33 (1396):123 358 (1390):23 1390:21 (1395):123 36 (1396):23 1399:25 (1395):21 33 (1391):23 36 (1396):23 399:21 (1393):123 36 (1396):23 1399:25 (1395):23 9 1393:23 9 9 1393:23 9 9 1393:23 9 9 1393:23 9 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 1400:23 139:23 1400:23 139:23 139:23 1400:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 140:23 139:23 140:23 140:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23 139:23					
23.1 1306/125 357 1307/23 48 1304/21 1301/51/33 864 1368/61/5 230 1386 1309/124 1390/13 1395/12/4 1396/12<				80 13962:10 14011:9	
23.2 13961:25 358 13907:23 13915:24,25 13930:2 23.0 13864:10 13871:17 36 13954:3 13989:21 13923:2 956 13881:16 13809:12 1389:211 30 1395:31 1390:23 13992:13 1393:2 956 13881:16 13909:13 1390:13 13909:31 1391:22 13989:10 109:8 956 13881:16 13970:21 13970:24 41 3368:12,12 1399:21 13995:17 14018:15 91 4019:8 13970:23 13999:21 13916:12,12 1394:14 13986:7:12,25 13949:12 91 4019:8 14002:24 1002:24 14034:23 4 41 3868:12,02 109:15 13910:9 1402:24,11,12 14002:31 4004:24 13916:18,22 1397:2 13975:64 14003:23,23 13975:64 14003:23,23 1399:15 1391:9 14002:13 1404:24 13915:86,122 1397:2 13975:64 14003:23,23 1399:15 1390:15 55 25,13910:18,19 1399:52 1393:21 1398:16 5388:16 5388:16 5388:16 1398:14 1498:12 13916:18,22 1396:15 56 1390:15 55 1390:15 55 25,13910:18,19 1392:53 1393:42 1398:54 1399:15 56 1396:16 1492:13 14012:23 14012:24 14013:12 57 1386:79	23 13999:22 14012:23	356 13907:23	14010:23 14012:8	84 14011:10	
230 13864:10 13871:17 359 13907:23 13949:25 13953:21 9 13878:18 13890:19 365 13905:2 13907:24 1398715 1394:5 9 9 13901:18 13906:13 13908:1 13908:1 13996:2 13987:10 98 1386:07.13.16 99 13878:14 13970:24 13999:11 13911:22 48.MTS 13941:18 49MTS 14018:15 9 914020:14.15 13970:24 13970:21 13949:14 13982:47.77 4 49MTS 14018:14,22.24 49MTS 14018:14,22.24 14002:21 4005:915 13949:14 13982:47.77 5 5 13916:18.22 13916:18.22 14002:31 4004:24 13916:18.22 13917:2 13976:14 4003:32.32.3 5 13909:15 1399:11 25 13910:18.19 13916:18.22 1397:72 13978:14 188:23 1398:14 1399:316 1399:14 13900:13 13983:16 1394:13 14366:16 54 14020:13 531390:12 1398:14 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:14 1394:13 1394:13 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1494:19	23.1 13961:25	357 13907:23	48 13914:21 13915:13	864 13868:15	
230 13864:10 13871:17 359 13907:23 13949:25 13953:21 9 13878:18 13890:19 365 13905:2 13907:24 1398715 1394:5 9 9 13901:18 13906:13 13908:1 13908:1 13996:2 13987:10 98 1386:07.13.16 99 13878:14 13970:24 13999:11 13911:22 48.MTS 13941:18 49MTS 14018:15 9 914020:14.15 13970:24 13970:21 13949:14 13982:47.77 4 49MTS 14018:14,22.24 49MTS 14018:14,22.24 14002:21 4005:915 13949:14 13982:47.77 5 5 13916:18.22 13916:18.22 14002:31 4004:24 13916:18.22 13917:2 13976:14 4003:32.32.3 5 13909:15 1399:11 25 13910:18.19 13916:18.22 1397:72 13978:14 188:23 1398:14 1399:316 1399:14 13900:13 13983:16 1394:13 14366:16 54 14020:13 531390:12 1398:14 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:13 1394:14 1394:13 1394:13 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1494:19	23.2 13961:25	358 13907:23	13915:24.25 13930:2		
13878:8 13890:19 36 13954:3 1399:16 13994:3 9 13933:2 13891:21 3892:11 3006:13 1309:8,13 13905:17 1391:1391:22 956 13881:16 231 13893:1 13905:13 1391:12 13955:17 1301:15 99 14020:14,15 41 1386:12,13 1386:7 13935:17,20 49 14019:8 99 14020:14,15 13970:21 30970:24 41 13868:12,12 13949:11 14022:4,11,12 14023:4,1404:2,2,24 14002:21 4004:24 13916:18,22 13917:2 13975:6,14 13909:15 13910:9 13975:6,14 13949:14 1391:2,82 14002:31 4004:24 13917:5,6,15 20 51 3909:15 13010:9 13935:25 1395:12 13916:4 1403:2,32 14002:13 4004:24 13917:5,6,15 20 51 3909:15 13010:9 13935:13 21 3935:12 1399:13 21 3935:12 1390:13 13983:16 13965:16 13966:16 53 13909:15 13010:9 13935:25 1395:12 13925:11 4012:23 14005:14 /17:19:12 53 1390:25 53 1390:25 13925:11 4001:24 14005:14 /10:19:13 13935:14 13935:12 13935:14 13935:11 13925:11 4001:24 14005:14 /10:19:13 1394:14 13949:12 13936:16 12 13925:11 4001:24 14002:13 /14001:13 1394:14 13949:12 13935:24 1394:26 1394:26 13887:24 138827:24 14001:19 /10:13<				9	
13801:24 13802:11 365 13905:21 3907:24 48MTS 13934:5 956 13881:16 13901:18 13908:13 13908:13 13908:21 3986:21 3988:10.16 98 1386:07.13.16 231 13803:11 13909:21 13935:17.20 48.MTS 13941:18 99 14020:14.15 13975:41 13990:21 13949:14 13982:47.77 40 13914:12.18 49 MTS 14018:14.22.24 91 4020:14.15 24 13864:10:221 14034:23 5 5 13807:12.25 13949:12 5 5 25 13871:23 13906:15.21 13917:42 13916:18.22 13917:2 13976:41 4003:32.23 5 5 25 13910:123 13916:18.22 13917:2 13976:41 4003:32.23 13981:1 5 5 25 13910:123 13928:31 1392:24 13982:55 13930:12 13981:1 13981:1 13981:1 13900:13 13983:16 13963:16 13966:16 54 14020:13 13986:7.9 531380:15 13924:13 14987:5 579 13866:7.9 21 4012:23 140012:24 14002:15 13994:13 14807:5 579 13866:7.9 1394:14 1394:14 1394:14 1394:12 1380:124 1380:213 14002:14 1019:8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6				0 13033·2	
13001:18 13006:3: 13900:8;13 13936:2: 13988:10,10 99 13860:7;13;16 241 13864:12;13:1386:7 381 13905:11 20113995:17 14018:12 99 14020:14;15 99 14020:14;15 13876:4: 13970:24 41 1386:12;12:1394:11 49 14019:8 99 14020:14;15 99 14020:14;15 14002:2: 140005:9,15 140384:23 49 14019:8 99 14020:14;12 14022:4;11,12 14002:2: 14004:24 13916:18;22: 13976:2 13967:64 14003:23,23 13946:15 14002:3;13949:12 14002:3: 14004:24 139175:56,61:80.20 13936:25 13953:12 13976:41 4003:23,23 13946:15 14002:3: 14004:24 13919:20 13923:1 13981:1 13981:1 13981:1 13981:1 13905:13 13983:16 13936:13 1396:16 54 14020:13 54 14020:13 54 14020:13 140012:23 14006:14,17,12 574 13867:5 50 13866:79 586 13866:10 14012:23 140018:13,20 14019:8 13999:15 13984:14 13994:12 13935:11 1394:14 1394:14 13861:24 13862:13 14002:14,019:13,3 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 13999:15 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td>					
231 138093:1 13090:13 13090:17 14018:15 99 14020:14,15 241 13867:12,13 131935:17,20 4 49MTS 14018:14,22,24 13975:14 13999:21 4 40MTS 14018:14,22,24 13970:22 14035:23 5 5 5 5 240h 1396:12,123 401394:12,18 5 5 5 5 25 13975:14 1399:21 13976:44 1403:23,23 5 6					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				99 14020:14,15	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	38 13935:17,20			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	13878:4 13970:18,20				
	13970:23 13973:21	4	49MTS 14018:14,22,24		
	13975:14 13999:21	4 13868:12,12 13949:11			
		-			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			5		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		13918:17 13919:4,5,9			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	25.3 13910:18,19	13919:20 13923:1	13981:1		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	25.5 13911:23	13928:3 13934:2	52MTS 14018:22		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	13925:11 14031:24	40MTS-series	579 13866:6		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	28 14012:23 14020:15	13994:13,14,16,20	580 13866:7,9		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	14021:6				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			l		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-	6		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$, ,			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14032:21 14039:16	6th 13913:20		
13888:20 13890:25 13995:20 13997:17 13875:8,10 13891:1 13904:4 13997:21,24 6.5 13873:20 13949:11,13 14001:20 14007:22 6:13pm 13981:10,18 13981:24 13982:2,5 14010:19 14011:12 63 13861:12 14023:5 14012:23,24,25 7 3.1 13904:7 13966:10 41MTS 13989:5 7 3.2 13868:15,17 13995:15,16 7 13867:13 13868:18,19 30 13867:16,17,18 42 13914:21 13915:6,24 13905:4 13962:14 13895:4:3 13995:17 13996:18 13905:12 13906:8 30th 13867:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:2 13996:19 13913:19 13966:10 330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:21 13991:17 14016:13 348 13910:19 13911:7 13989:10 13994:2 14016:16 14017:6,15 13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22	13885:6,8 13887:9,20	14040:19	6's 13935:24 13943:6		
13888:20 13890:25 13995:20 13997:17 13875:8,10 13891:1 13904:4 13997:21,24 6.5 13873:20 13949:11,13 14001:20 14007:22 6:13pm 13981:10,18 13981:24 13982:2,5 14010:19 14011:12 63 13861:12 14023:5 14012:23,24,25 7 3.1 13904:7 13966:10 41MTS 13989:5 7 3.2 13868:15,17 13995:15,16 7 13867:13 13868:18,19 30 13867:16,17,18 42 13914:21 13915:6,24 13905:4 13962:14 13895:4:3 13995:17 13996:18 13905:12 13906:8 30th 13867:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:2 13996:19 13913:19 13966:10 330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:21 13991:17 14016:13 348 13910:19 13911:7 13989:10 13994:2 14016:16 14017:6,15 13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22	13887:21 13888:7,17	41 13989:15 13994:4	6.4 13873:20 13874:16		
13891:1 13997:21,24 6.5 13873:20 13949:11,13 14001:20 14007:22 6:13pm 13981:10,18 13981:24 13982:2,5 14010:19 14011:12 63 13861:12 14023:5 14012:23,24,25 14012:23,24,25 7 7 7 3.1 13904:7 13966:10 41MTS 13998:5 7 3.2 13868:15,17 13995:15,16 7 138667:13 13868:18,19 30 13867:16,17,18 42 13915:25 13937:16 13983:15 13990:12 13954:3 13989:16 13994:3 7th 13906:25 13910:12 30 13867:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:2 13996:19 13913:19 13966:10 330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:21 13991:17 14016:16 348 13910:19 13994:2 14016:16 14017:6,15 14017:19 13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22					
13949:11,13 14001:20 14007:22 6:13pm 13981:10,18 13981:24 13982:2,5 14010:19 14011:12 63 13861:12 14023:5 14012:23,24,25 63 13861:12 3.1 13904:7 13966:10 11MTS 13989:5 7 3.2 13868:15,17 13995:15,16 7 13867:13 13868:18,19 30 13867:16,17,18 42 13914:21 13915:6,24 13905:4 13962:14 13869:8,10 13947:23 13915:25 13937:16 13983:15 13990:12 13954:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 30t 13867:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:2 13996:19 13913:19 13966:10 30t 13909:8 42MTS 13937:21 13991:17 14016:13 348 13910:19 13911:7 13989:10 13994:2 14016:16 14017:6,15 13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22			-		
13981:24 13982:2,5 14010:19 14011:12 63 13861:12 14023:5 14012:23,24,25 14012:23,24,25 5 5 5 3.1 13904:7 13966:10 13989:5 7 7 7 3.2 13868:15,17 13995:15,16 7 7 13867:13 13868:18,19 30 13867:16,17,18 42 13915:25 13937:16 13905:4 13905:4 13905:12 13954:3 13989:16 13994:3 7 13905:12 13906:12 13906:8 30th 13867:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 13913:19 13906:25 13910:16 32.4 13909:8 42MTS 13937:21 13991:17 13991:17 13989:10 13991:17 14016:16 14017:6,15 13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22 14016:16 14017:19 14018:22					
14023:5 14012:23,24,25 3.1 13904:7 13966:10 41MTS 13989:5 7 3.2 13868:15,17 13995:15,16 7 13867:13 13868:18,19 30 13867:16,17,18 42 13914:21 13915:6,24 13905:4 13962:14 13869:8,10 13947:23 13915:25 13937:16 13905:12 13906:8 30th 13867:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:2 13996:19 13913:19 13966:10 330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:21 13991:17 14016:13 348 13910:19 13911:7 13989:10 13994:2 14016:16 14017:6,15 13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22					
3.1 13904:7 13966:10 41MTS 13989:5 7 3.2 13868:15,17 13995:15,16 7 13867:13 13868:18,19 30 13867:16,17,18 42 13915:25 13915:6,24 13905:4 13962:14 13869:8,10 13947:23 13915:25 13937:16 13905:4 13905:21 13905:12 30th 13867:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:2 13996:19 13913:19 13966:10 13913:19 13966:10 30 13909:8 42MTS 13997:21 13991:17 14016:16 14017:6,15 13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22 14017:6,15			US 15001:12		
3.2 13868:15,17 13995:15,16 7 13867:13 13868:18,19 30 13867:16,17,18 42 13914:21 13915:6,24 13905:4 13962:14 13869:8,10 13947:23 13915:25 13937:16 13983:15 13990:12 13954:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 30 13867:3 13995:17 13996:18 13906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:2 13996:19 13913:19 13966:10 330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:21 13991:17 14016:13 348 13910:19 13911:7 13989:10 13994:2 14016:16 14017:6,15 13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22					
30 13867:16,17,18 42 13914:21 13915:6,2413905:4 13962:1413869:8,10 13947:2313915:25 13937:1613983:15 13990:1213954:313989:16 13994:3 7th 13905:12 13906:8 30th 13867:313995:17 13996:1813906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:213996:1913913:19 13966:10 330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:2113991:17 14016:13 348 13910:19 13911:713989:10 13994:214016:16 14017:6,1513911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,1614017:19 14018:22					
13869:8,1013947:2313915:2513937:1613983:1513990:1213954:313989:1613994:3 7th 13905:1213906:8 30th 13867:313995:1713996:1813906:2513910:16 32.4 13938:213996:1913913:1913966:10 330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:2113991:1714016:16 348 13910:1913911:713989:1013994:214016:1614017:6,1513911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,1614017:1914018:2214016:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
13954:313989:16 13994:37th 13905:12 13906:830th 13867:313995:17 13996:1813906:25 13910:1632.4 13938:213996:1913913:19 13966:10330 13909:842MTS 13937:2113991:17 14016:13348 13910:19 13911:713989:10 13994:214016:16 14017:6,1513911:22427 13865:10,10,14,1614017:19 14018:22	30 13867:16,17,18	42 13914:21 13915:6,24	13905:4 13962:14		
13954:313989:16 13994:37th 13905:12 13906:830th 13867:313995:17 13996:1813906:25 13910:1632.4 13938:213996:1913913:19 13966:10330 13909:842MTS 13937:2113991:17 14016:13348 13910:19 13911:713989:10 13994:214016:16 14017:6,1513911:22427 13865:10,10,14,1614017:19 14018:22	13869:8,10 13947:23				
30th 13867:313995:17 13996:1813906:25 13910:16 32.4 13938:213996:1913913:19 13966:10 330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:2113991:17 14016:13 348 13910:19 13911:713989:10 13994:214016:16 14017:6,1513911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,1614017:19 14018:22	/ 31 1 195-				
32.4 13938:213996:1913913:19 13966:10330 13909:842MTS 13937:2113991:17 14016:13348 13910:19 13911:713989:10 13994:214016:16 14017:6,1513911:22427 13865:10,10,14,1614017:19 14018:22					
330 13909:8 42MTS 13937:2113991:17 14016:13 348 13910:19 13911:713989:10 13994:214016:16 14017:6,1513911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,1614017:19 14018:22		2			
348 13910:19 13911:713989:10 13994:214016:16 14017:6,1513911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,1614017:19 14018:22					
13911:22 427 13865:10,10,14,16 14017:19 14018:22					
	The many for a second with the	Park I wanted the first			
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE			14017:19 14018:22		
	ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE			I