

RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON
MR TOKOTA SC
MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 95 20 MAY 2013 PAGES 10059 TO 10151

HELD AT

CIVIC CENTRE, RUSTENBURG, NORTH WEST PROVINCE



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg
P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037
Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335
E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za
Web Address: <http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278>



Page 10059

1 [PROCEEDINGS ON 20 MAY 2013]
 2 [10:10] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
 3 Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed.
 4 CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, do you wish to
 6 proceed with your cross-examination?
 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS BARNES (CONTD.):
 8 Thank you, Chair. Good morning, General.
 9 MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: Good morning,
 10 Advocate.
 11 MS BARNES: General, when we left off on
 12 Friday you said that on the 16th of August 2012 Mr Mathunjwa
 13 had in fact been given a police escort to go to the koppie.
 14 Is that what you said?
 15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 16 Brigadier Tsiloane was besig om te reël vir sodanige
 17 begeleiding, maar mnr Mathunjwa het vertrek op sy eie.
 18 MS BARNES: What arrangement precisely
 19 was Brigadier Tsiloane making?
 20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 21 hy het gereël met Kaptein Seleke wat die bevelvoerder was
 22 van die lede van Mobiele Operasies om begeleiding te doen,
 23 en hy het ook gereël vir 'n Lonmin bussie wat beskikbaar
 24 was om dan die delegasie na die koppie toe te neem.
 25 MS BARNES: Was Mr Mathunjwa to your

Page 10060

1 knowledge informed that he should wait, that a police
 2 escort is being organised for him?
 3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 4 ja sover ek kan onthou het Generaal Mpembe vir hom gesê dat
 5 ons besig is om te reël.
 6 CHAIRPERSON: Forgive me, Ms Barnes,
 7 forgive my asking a question which shows my ignorance.
 8 Which of his two visits to the koppie on the 16th are you
 9 talking about, the first or the second, or does this apply
 10 to both, the question?
 11 MS BARNES: First visit, Chair. Because,
 12 Genera, Mr Mathunjwa gave detailed evidence on this aspect
 13 and he said in summary that he repeatedly asked first for a
 14 SAPS vehicle to take him to the koppie and this was not
 15 provided, and that thereafter he asked to at least be
 16 provided with a police escort to the koppie, and that was
 17 also not provided. That evidence was not challenged in
 18 this Commission.
 19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 20 daar was sodanige reëlings getref. Die voorvalleboek
 21 inskrywing wat ook gemaak is deur Brigadier Tsiloane verwys
 22 daarna dat dan die res van sy delegasie van AMCU het
 23 agtergebly en dat dan sodanige begeleiding aan hulle gegee
 24 was nadat hy reeds vertrek het.
 25 MS BARNES: Could you repeat the

Page 10061

1 translation, please? I didn't follow that at all.
 2 MR HANABE: The translation was that
 3 Brigadier Tsiloane had in his occurrence book made note of
 4 the fact that arrangements were made and that transport was
 5 provided, the escort that took the delegation, the AMCU
 6 delegation.
 7 MS BARNES: So are you saying that a
 8 police escort was in fact provided to the AMCU delegation?
 9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aldus die
 10 voorvalleboek inskrywing van Brigadier Tsiloane, maar
 11 uitgesluit mnr Mathunjwa.
 12 MS BARNES: Do you have any explanation
 13 for why Mr Mathunjwa's evidence on this aspect was not
 14 challenged in this Commission?
 15 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, that's not how
 16 you ask the question. The question you ask, did you hear
 17 the evidence being given, did you draw to your counsel's
 18 attention, and then you can go on from there. You just
 19 can't ask the question like that, like shooting into the
 20 bush.
 21 MS BARNES: As the Chair pleases. Sir,
 22 were you aware of Mr Mathunjwa's evidence on this aspect
 23 that he gave in this Commission?
 24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
 25 nee, nie in terme van sy getuienis nie. Ek dink hy het

Page 10062

1 vermelding gemaak in sy verklaring daarvan.
 2 MS BARNES: Did you see Mr Mathunjwa's
 3 statement?
 4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
 5 korrek, Voorsitter.
 6 MS BARNES: And you'll agree with me then
 7 that he deals with this aspect in detail in paragraph 69 to
 8 72 of his statement? Would you like to have a look at
 9 that? It's exhibit NN, I beg your pardon.
 10 CHAIRPERSON: For Nellie?
 11 MS BARNES: That's correct.
 12 CHAIRPERSON: Did you read that statement
 13 before Mr Mathunjwa came and give evidence? It was
 14 distributed beforehand.
 15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
 16 nee, ek het dit nou gelees met die beskikbaarstel van die
 17 bewysstukke pertinent toe Adv Barnes aangedui het dat dit
 18 een van die bewysstukke is waaruit hulle vrae gaan vra aan
 19 my.
 20 MS BARNES: General, what is peculiar is
 21 how on Wednesday, the 15th of August, when Mr Mathunjwa
 22 pleaded with SAPS to be allowed to address the strikers
 23 from outside the Nyala, he was not allowed to do so, and
 24 yet the following day on the 16th of August he was allowed
 25 to go to the koppie without a police escort, let alone a

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10063</p> <p>1 Nyala. Would you like to comment on that?</p> <p>2 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>3 ek het my getuienis verduidelik dat die 15de was 'n</p> <p>4 polisie-gereelde besoek in terme van die versoek van</p> <p>5 Generaal Mpembe. Voorsitter, en ook dat op die 16de het</p> <p>6 ons sodanige begeleiding gereël. Die delegasie het daar</p> <p>7 gestaan en wag sodat die reëling getref kan word vir die</p> <p>8 voertuie, en mnr Mathunjwa het op sy eie het hy vertrek.</p> <p>9 MS BARNES: And you conceded on Friday,</p> <p>10 General, that you knew Mr Mathunjwa was going to give bad</p> <p>11 news to the strikers and that you knew it was going to</p> <p>12 upset them. Was it not irresponsible for the police to let</p> <p>13 Mr Mathunjwa go to the koppie without a police escort in</p> <p>14 those circumstances?</p> <p>15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>16 ek het ook getuig dat mnr Mathunjwa het aangedui dat hy</p> <p>17 weet wat van hom as 'n leier verwag word, en dat hy die</p> <p>18 situasie sodanig sal hanteer, maar ek het ook gesê dat in</p> <p>19 retrospek is dit iets wat die polisie sou moes gedoen het</p> <p>20 om ekstra moeite te doen om weereens te vergader met</p> <p>21 Lonmin.</p> <p>22 MS BARNES: Perhaps you'll agree with me</p> <p>23 then in my next proposition, General, that what had in fact</p> <p>24 happened on the 16th was not that negotiations between the</p> <p>25 parties had deadlocked. All that had happened was that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10065</p> <p>1 Lonmin, whether it's true or not is another matter. That –</p> <p>2 MS BARNES: I accept that, Chair.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: That's not relevant for</p> <p>4 your question.</p> <p>5 MS BARNES: Indeed.</p> <p>6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>7 ek kan net sê ja dat ek nie vertrouwd was met die</p> <p>8 samesprekings tussen mnr Mathunjwa en Lonmin nie, maar die</p> <p>9 verbreking in die onderhandelinge was ook tussen die</p> <p>10 polisie-onderhandelaars en die vyf leierskap, of die vyf</p> <p>11 persone in terme van die klein groepie leiers. Daar was</p> <p>12 ook geen verdere – die poging van die onderhandelaars om</p> <p>13 verdere onderhandeling met hulle te bewerkstellig het ook</p> <p>14 nie geslaag nie.</p> <p>15 MS BARNES: Would you agree with me that</p> <p>16 there had been great hope the night before, on the evening</p> <p>17 of the 15th, that Mr Mathunjwa might be able to assist in</p> <p>18 bringing a negotiated solution to bear? Correct?</p> <p>19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Die hoop was</p> <p>20 op mnr Mathunjwa om die groepering te oortuig om hulle</p> <p>21 wapens neer te lê.</p> <p>22 MS BARNES: I put it to you, General, for</p> <p>23 your comment - because this is what we're going to argue –</p> <p>24 that the real reason that SAPS did not bother to contact</p> <p>25 Lonmin on the morning of the 16th, or bother to provide Mr</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10064</p> <p>1 Lonmin had reneged on its earlier commitment and in those</p> <p>2 circumstances the police could and should have taken steps</p> <p>3 to get the process back on track. You agree with me in</p> <p>4 relation to that proposition at least in retrospect?</p> <p>5 MR VAN AS: Sorry, Mr Commissioner, it's</p> <p>6 simply a version of Mr Mathunjwa that Lonmin reneged on the</p> <p>7 agreement. The question can't be phrased in such –</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, it's a</p> <p>9 controversial question as to whether – one of the matters</p> <p>10 on which we'll have to make a finding in the end, as to</p> <p>11 whether Lonmin did really renege on an undertaking, but the</p> <p>12 police of course, according to what we've heard, didn't go</p> <p>13 back to Lonmin and say this is what Mr Mathunjwa alleges,</p> <p>14 is it correct. So presumably your point is based upon what</p> <p>15 they, the information available to the police. So if you</p> <p>16 put the proposition in that way, I think you may find that</p> <p>17 there won't be an objection from the side of Lonmin.</p> <p>18 MS BARNES: Yes, Chair, I'm happy to put</p> <p>19 it on the basis that Mr Mathunjwa informed the police that</p> <p>20 Lonmin had reneged on their undertaking. The proposition</p> <p>21 really, General, is that there wasn't truly a deadlock on</p> <p>22 the morning of the 16th; there was information that Lonmin</p> <p>23 reneged and steps could have been taken to deal with that.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: It's information that Mr</p> <p>25 Mathunjwa gave. Whether Lonmin – yes, I see. Whether</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10066</p> <p>1 Mathunjwa with a police escort, was because SAPS was no</p> <p>2 longer interested in facilitating a negotiated solution,</p> <p>3 because SAPS had already at that stage decided to go</p> <p>4 tactical. Would you like to comment on that?</p> <p>5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>6 dit is nie waar nie. Die polisie-onderhandelaars het</p> <p>7 steeds probeer om verdere onderhandeling voort te sit. Die</p> <p>8 polisie het wel begeleiding gereël. Die bevestiging</p> <p>9 daarvan is dat die res van die AMCU delegasie agtergebly</p> <p>10 het; mnr Mathunjwa het op sy eie besluit om te onttrek.</p> <p>11 Daar is 'n voorvalleboek inskrywing tot die effek van die</p> <p>12 begeleiding, en dan Brigadier Tsiloane en ek is seker ook</p> <p>13 Kaptein Seleke sal sodanige reëlings kan bevestig.</p> <p>14 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, I take it you</p> <p>15 have that reference. It's note, entry number 1004 in</p> <p>16 exhibit FFF25, and it is said that the Lonmin branded Combi</p> <p>17 went, was driven to the koppie with other members of AMCU</p> <p>18 and Captain Seleke of Mobile Operations escorted them. Now</p> <p>19 do your clients deny that? Do the members, the other</p> <p>20 members of AMCU deny that they were driven to the koppie at</p> <p>21 round about 11:55 in the Lonmin branded Combi and that</p> <p>22 Captain Seleke of Mobile Operations escorted them? Because</p> <p>23 that's the basis, as I understand it, of this evidence.</p> <p>24 MS BARNES: Chair, I was really just</p> <p>25 cross-examining in relation to what had happened in</p>

Page 10067

1 relation to Mr Mathunjwa. Now General, you've conceded
 2 earlier in your evidence that the decision to go tactical
 3 had been taken before 1:30 on Thursday, the 16th of August.
 4 Is that correct?
 5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
 6 ja, dit was tydens die oordrag deur die oorhoofse
 7 bevelvoerder, Generaal Mpmembe, aan die Provinsiale
 8 Kommissaris, Generaal Mbombo.
 9 MS BARNES: You said that it was as a
 10 result of that decision being made, that is the decision to
 11 go into the tactical phase, that the JOCOM meeting was held
 12 at 1:30 on the 16th of August. Correct?
 13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
 14 korrek.
 15 MS BARNES: What time was the decision
 16 made? Was it before 1 o'clock? Was it before 12 o'clock?
 17 [10:30] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter ek
 18 kan nie presies die tyd onthou nie, maar dit was
 19 waarskynlik so in die omgewing van 13:15, daar rond gewees
 20 het.
 21 MS BARNES: You've testified that one of
 22 the reasons why it was considered necessary to go into the
 23 tactical phase on the 16th of August was because threats had
 24 been made to the police, is that correct?
 25 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Een van die

Page 10068

1 redes, dis korrek, Voorsitter.
 2 MS BARNES: Yes, one of the reasons. If
 3 you could go to exhibit L, the police presentation, to
 4 slide 192. This is a reference here to two threats that
 5 were allegedly made by Mr Noki against the police. You'll
 6 see that the first threat is recorded as having been made
 7 at 15 - well in fact you need to read the paragraph in that
 8 slide the other way around. The first threat is recorded
 9 as having been made at 13:40 and the second threat is
 10 recorded as having been made at 15:40. So those threats
 11 were made after the decision to go tactical had already
 12 been taken, is that correct?
 13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,
 14 maar daar was ook 'n dreigement gewees min of meer soos ek
 15 dit onthou, 11:20 van die oggend van die 16e.
 16 CHAIRPERSON: That's dealt with in the
 17 occurrence book, exhibit FFF25 as entry 998 or 11:20 which
 18 says, "Situation report, Papa1 reported that the group were
 19 moving towards the Nyala. The group leader asked the
 20 police officials to remove their wires and he said he's not
 21 going to ask them again as he's also aggressive." That's
 22 the note to which you are referring. It does appear as if
 23 according, if one reads the exhibit L, the slide in exhibit
 24 L to which you've referred, Ms Barnes, 192, together with
 25 this entry in the occurrence book there were basically

Page 10069

1 three threats. At 11:20 he asked them to remove the wires
 2 but he's not going to ask them again, in an aggressive tone
 3 apparently. Then at 13:40 he said, according to slide 192,
 4 he can see the SAPS are making ready for war and he said to
 5 Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh, "We must sign a paper so that
 6 the world can see how we kill one another today," and then
 7 it looks at, round about 15:40 after Brigadier Calitz gave
 8 Colonel Makhubela the instruction or the command to start
 9 with the uncoiling of the barbed wire, that Mr Noki came
 10 forward once the first Nyala started uncoiling the wire,
 11 came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said,
 12 "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all
 13 die today," and that he would not be returning again. So
 14 those were the three incidents to which you are referring.
 15 Is that correct?
 16 MS BARNES: Yes thank you, Chair, I was
 17 drawing attention specifically to the two threats mentioned
 18 in slide 192 and I just wanted to get the witness to
 19 confirm that these would have, would not have been taken
 20 into account in deciding that it was necessary to go into
 21 the tactical phase on the 16th of August. Is that correct?
 22 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,
 23 Voorsitter.
 24 MS BARNES: You could go still in exhibit
 25 L to slide 189. You'll see there's a reference in that

Page 10070

1 slide both to the mood of the strikers changing and also to
 2 the fact that the media were withdrawing in fear of their
 3 safety, and those events are recorded as having taken place
 4 at 25 to 4 on the 16th of August, correct?
 5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek,
 6 Voorsitter.
 7 MS BARNES: So those events would also
 8 not have been factors which you took into account in
 9 deciding that it was necessary to go tactical on the 16th,
 10 correct?
 11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 12 dis korrek, maar daar was ook 'n ander geval gewees wat die
 13 polisie video-operateurs gedreig was en dan ook op 10:40 op
 14 "slide" 156 wat daar verwysend is na die gemoed van die,
 15 van die stakers.
 16 MS BARNES: If you go, General, to slide
 17 170, you'll see that that deals with the withdrawal of the
 18 video operators and you'll see that that took place at 25
 19 past 1 on the 16th.
 20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
 21 korrek, Voorsitter.
 22 MS BARNES: So it seems that that also
 23 took place after your decision had already been made,
 24 correct?
 25 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is

Page 10071

1 korrek, Voorsitter.

2 MS BARNES: General, another reason you

3 gave for your decision to go tactical on the 16th of August

4 was that you said not even the president of AMCU could

5 persuade his members to lay down their arms, correct?

6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek,

7 Voorsitter.

8 MS BARNES: You were in that regard

9 operating on the assumption that the majority of the

10 strikers were AMCU members because that's what you had been

11 told by Lonmin. Is that correct?

12 MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: Apology,

13 Chairperson, could you just repeat the question?

14 MS BARNES: I said in holding that view

15 you were presumably operating on the assumption that the

16 majority of the strikers were AMCU members because that's

17 what you had been told by Lonmin. Would that be correct?

18 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,

19 ja maar ook in terme van die intelligensie verslae en dan

20 ook die feit dat alhoewel daar talle NUM lede teenwoordig

21 was, het ons dit verstaan dat die meeste van hulle in die

22 proses is om oor te gaan vanaf NUM na AMCU in terme van

23 lidmaatskap. Voorsitter, en dan die pertinent verwysing na

24 bewysstuk TT5 waar daar telkens verwysend is, selfs so

25 vroeg as Februarie dat AMCU vermoed was dat hulle pertinent

Page 10072

1 betrokke was. Maar pertinent dan ook die ontvangs wat die

2 onderskeie presidente gehad het op die 15de in terme van

3 dat die president van NUM verwerp was en dan AMCU verwelkom

4 was.

5 MS BARNES: Now General, if you would go,

6 still in exhibit L, to slide 161. You'll see that Mr

7 Mathunjwa's first address finished at 25 past 1, do you see

8 that?

9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is

10 korrek. Ekskuus, Voorsitter, ek's nie seker of sy

11 toespraak klaargemaak het nie, want die inskrywing meld

12 net, "The leadership of AMCU stated that they were going to

13 speak to the mine's management."

14 MS BARNES: What is stated there, at

15 least in the last line of that paragraph, is that the

16 leadership of AMCU left the scene, that is the scene of the

17 first address, at 25 past 1, they went, in order to go and

18 find the police in order to report back. Do you agree with

19 me?

20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter

21 ja, die laaste sin dui aan dat die leierskap van AMCU het

22 die toneel verlaat.

23 MS BARNES: So at that stage there had

24 certainly not been any feedback from AMCU to the police

25 about that first address, correct?

Page 10073

1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,

2 ek weet nie of daar enige so oordrag plaasgevind het nie.

3 CHAIRPERSON: You seem to have got a

4 report about what the AMCU people as they're described in

5 the occurrence book had said, because if you look at entry

6 10:10 which is at 13:25 in exhibit FFF25, it appears that

7 P1 gave a report as to what had happened and what AMCU had

8 said just before they left. Is that correct?

9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,

10 dis reg, daar is so 'n inskrywing en terugvoer.

11 CHAIRPERSON: AMCU hadn't reported back

12 to you, you already knew from P - whose P1 again?

13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Calitz.

14 CHAIRPERSON: Yes so you already knew

15 from Brigadier Calitz what had happened there.

16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,

17 ja die terugvoer wat hulle gegee het is in terme soos daar

18 verskillende sprekers was, ek dink daar was vyf of ses

19 sprekers gewees en dat die president was die laaste

20 spreker.

21 CHAIRPERSON: But it goes further than

22 that, because it says AMCU promised that they will be back

23 after half an hour with the mine management because the

24 group are not satisfied. So while, when you, at the moment

25 when you were told that AMCU were leaving and hoping to

Page 10074

1 come back in half an hour with the mine management, you

2 decided to implement the plan. I don't quite understand

3 that.

4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,

5 ek het die vraag gehoor dat daar, die samespreking, die

6 polisie, is nie met "mine management" nie.

7 CHAIRPERSON: It is so, but that's not

8 the point. The point is that Brigadier Calitz reported to

9 the JOC at 13:25 according to note 1010 what appears here,

10 and that indicated that the promise, the AMCU people

11 promised the people on the koppie that they were, would be

12 back within, after half an hour with the mine management.

13 That you people in the JOC knew at 13:25, the very moment

14 you decided to proceed with the plan, the tactical option.

15 Now I have difficulty understanding, that's why I put my

16 problem to you so that you can help me to understand it.

17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,

18 dis reg, ons was bewus daarvan.

19 MS BARNES: Isn't it correct, General,

20 that you had in fact made the decision to go tactical, well

21 you said at about quarter past 1. So by the time you

22 received this situation report you had already made the

23 decision to go tactical and you were going to go ahead with

24 that regardless. Isn't that correct?

25 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter

Page 10075

1 nee, ek het verduidelik dat die operasionele bevelvoerder
 2 die oomblik as so 'n plan tenuitvoer gebring word, dan word
 3 daardie oordrag gedoen aan die operasionele bevelvoerder,
 4 dat die oordeel van sodanige optrede word dan oorgelaat aan
 5 die operasionele bevelvoerder sou omstandighede sodanig
 6 verander dat dit nie tenuitvoer gebring moet word nie, en
 7 so was dit dan ook gewees dan om 15:30 toe die plan 'n
 8 aanvang moes nie wat dit nie begin het nie weens die
 9 teenwoordigheid steeds van Mnr Mathunjwa. So, so 'n plan
 10 kan op enige stadium nog gestop word in terme van terugvoer
 11 vanaf die operasionele bevelvoerder of die besluit van die
 12 operasionele bevelvoerder, gegewe die omstandighede wat
 13 afspeel voor sodanige persoon.

14 CHAIRPERSON: I think he said depending
 15 on the feedback that he receives from –

16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 17 en so oordrag kan van beide kante af wees, hetsy terugvoer
 18 vanaf die operasionele bevelvoerder of dan uiteraard uit
 19 die JOC, of van die oorhoofse bevelvoerder weer na die
 20 oorhoofse bevel –ag, na die operasionele bevelvoerder toe.

21 [10:49] MS BARNES: General, I put it to you that
 22 if you had truly believed that Mr Mathunjwa could persuade
 23 the strikers to lay down their arms and go back to work,
 24 you would not have made the decision to go tactical while
 25 he was still addressing them and before you had received a

Page 10076

1 report. Would you like to comment on that?

2 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 3 ons het geglo op die 15de, die veranderings op die 16de was
 4 sodanig dat – en mnr Mathunjwa se eie erkenning wat hy
 5 gemaak het aan Kolonel McIntosh, wat hy gesê het dat hy
 6 beheer verloor het oor sy mense.

7 MS BARNES: But, General, one of the
 8 reasons you gave for why the police had to adopt the
 9 tactical phase on the 16th of August was that not even Mr
 10 Mathunjwa could persuade his members to lay down their
 11 arms, but yet when you made that decision, you'd not yet
 12 given him an opportunity to do so, or received a report-
 13 back, isn't that correct?

14 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 15 nee, hy het al interaksie gehad met sy lede voor die
 16 besluit geneem was, so vroeg as net na 12:00, en verdere
 17 geleentheid was aan hom gegee en die plan kon op enige
 18 stadium sou daar 'n positiewe draai gewees het in terme van
 19 'n potensieel van neerlê van wapens kon dit nog steeds
 20 gestop word.

21 CHAIRPERSON: I just want to interrupt
 22 and go back for a moment. Did you say a decision was taken
 23 the previous night? Did I hear you correctly?

24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Nee,
 25 Voorsitter, ek sê die vorige aand, die 15de, was ons vas

Page 10077

1 oortuig dat mnr Mathunjwa die sodanige invloed het op sy
 2 lede dat hulle sou wapens neerlê.

3 CHAIRPERSON: But the transcript of what
 4 happened on the 15th when Mr Mathunjwa spoke to the police
 5 ends on a note almost of jubilation and congratulation.
 6 Are you saying that that congratulation was not sincere,
 7 that in fact you didn't believe him that he could do what
 8 he said he was going to try to do?

9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Nee,
 10 intendeel, Voorsitter, ons was vas oortuig – ek het gesê
 11 op die 16de het dit verander.

12 CHAIRPERSON: What decision was made on
 13 the 15th then?

14 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 15 ek kan nie – ek weet nie waarna u verwys nie –

16 CHAIRPERSON: I understood you a few
 17 minutes ago to say – I actually thought you said it and I
 18 wasn't sure, so I put to you again and said did I
 19 understand you correctly to say the decision was made on
 20 the 15th, and you said, yes, and I said why on the 15th, and
 21 you said, no, well, we didn't believe that Mathunjwa could
 22 do what he said he was going to do. That was your
 23 evidence, as I understand it, unless I misunderstood, in
 24 which case I apologise.

25 MS BARNES: I must say I have that

Page 10078

1 understanding as well. The decision was made on the 15th
 2 and it had to do with Mr Mathunjwa's own admission to
 3 McIntosh that he'd lost control –

4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Nee,
 5 Voorsitter, dit was op die 16de gewees. So tydens die
 6 voorligting wat Brigadier Calitz en al die bevelvoerders
 7 ontvang het in daardie tyd, op die 16de, en dit moes min of
 8 meer so 14:30 gewees het wat Mnr Mathunjwa met Kolonel
 9 McIntosh gepraat het.

10 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Major-General, I
 11 don't understand it now. I thought you said the 15th. I
 12 was surprised at that. That's why I specifically asked
 13 you, did I understand you correctly, and I repeated it, and
 14 I said the 15th, and you said, yes. Did you misunderstand
 15 me, perhaps?

16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 17 nee die enigste verwysing wat na die 15de gemaak het was
 18 die aand wat ons vas geglo het dat mnr Mathunjwa sodanige
 19 beheer het dat die lede dit sal neerlê, en op die 16de het
 20 dit verander en dan die bevestiging was ook gewees toe mnr
 21 Mathunjwa self aan Kolonel McIntosh die oordrag gemaak het.

22 MS BARNES: What time was this
 23 conversation between Mr Mathunjwa and Colonel McIntosh?

24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Kommissaris,
 25 dit was in die tyd gewees dat Luitenant-Kolonel Scott en

Page 10079

1 die bevelvoerders by "forward holding area" 1 besig met die
2 "briefing." So ek aanvaar dit moes min of meer so tussen
3 14:30 en selfs 15:00 gewees het.

4 MS BARNES: Were you present during this
5 conversation?

6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Nee, ek was
7 nie, Voorsitter.

8 CHAIRPERSON: - forward holding area 1,
9 as I understand it, the witness was in the JOC. Obviously
10 Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh must have reported it to him
11 later, I take it.

12 MS BARNES: I'm just trying to clarify
13 where he got the information from. Did you – who reported
14 this to you?

15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
16 sover ek kan onthou, was dit met die terugkeer van Pappa1
17 en Brigadier Calitz.

18 MS BARNES: I'm going to move on to
19 another topic now, and this relates to evidence that you've
20 given regarding the Provincial Commissioner's media
21 briefing on the 16th of August. That would have been early
22 in the morning at about 9:30 on the 16th of August. Now in
23 relation to that, you were asked – well, in fact, you gave
24 the following evidence. You said that during the
25 Provincial Commissioner's briefing she was asked by one of

Page 10080

1 the journalists whether any arrests had been made at
2 Marikana and she answered that, yes, six people had been
3 arrested, and you then said that those arrests – I'm not
4 sure whether this is your information or you were reporting
5 what the Provincial Commissioner had said, but the point is
6 that you stated that those arrests were not necessarily
7 linked to the murder of the police officers on the 13th of
8 August. Are you with me?

9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,
10 Voorsitter.

11 MS BARNES: So was that the information
12 that you had on the 16th of August, that the six arrests did
13 not necessarily relate to the murder of the two police
14 officers on the 13th?

15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
16 ja, soos ek dit onthou was daar 'n vraag gevra aan die
17 Provinsiale Kommissaris of daar al enige arrestasies
18 uitgevoer is ten opsigte van die moorde wat plaasgevind
19 het, en dat, soos ek dit verstaan het, was die ses
20 arrestasies nie noodwendig nie, dit kan beteken dit was nie
21 eers enigsins verbind met die polisie moorde nie, dit kan
22 net wees 'n kombinasie van enige van die nege moorde op
23 daardie stadium, of 10 moorde.

24 CHAIRPERSON: I think you mean eight,
25 because two of the 10 were – actually three of the strikers

Page 10081

1 were civilians – sorry, three of the 10 people who died
2 were civilians. Two of them appeared to have definitely
3 been strikers who were killed on the 13th, and then there
4 was the third civilian who was found stabbed in front of
5 one of the houses in the informal settlement on the same
6 day. So the ones that I think you could regard as murders,
7 that you're talking about, would be seven, I would think,
8 but it's just a detail, but 10 can't be the right number.
9 Am I correct?

10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
11 soos ek dit gehad het, is die arrestasies verbonde aan
12 enige van die sterftes, so enige van die 10 persone, hetsy
13 dit stakers, sekuriteitsbeamptes of gewone mynwerkers is.

14 MS BARNES: So you were not told,
15 General, that six people had been arrested for the murder
16 of two police officers on the 13th?

17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
18 nee, dis nie hoe ek dit onthou nie.

19 MS BARNES: I'd like to introduce a
20 docket as a new exhibit. It is in the – part of the SAPS
21 hard drive under dockets. It's docket 118 of –

22 CHAIRPERSON: It looks as if we can use
23 exhibit GGG38, which we used on Friday and then discarded.
24 Thank you. So these are – we've been given two documents.
25 The first is headed crime document, or is this all part of

Page 10082

1 the same –

2 MS BARNES: I believe it is all part of
3 the same docket. It's just been put together in two
4 bundles.

5 CHAIRPERSON: But the top bundle, as it
6 were, begins with a page headed, "South African Police
7 Service, Crime Docket." So that would be – and that's been
8 very thoughtfully paginated for us. So that's exhibit
9 GGG38. Ms Barnes, I assumed this was all one document, but
10 I notice, because Adv Hemraj has drawn my attention to it,
11 that the second batch of documents, which was separately
12 stapled, the pagination begins again at 1. So it does look
13 as if it's two documents, separately paginated, unless the
14 paginator made a mistake and just went over –

15 MS BARNES: Yes, I'm afraid, I had
16 intended for it to be paginated as one document, but I'm
17 afraid that wasn't done.

18 CHAIRPERSON: "The best laid plans o'
19 Mice an' Men, Gang aft agley." So what we will do is we
20 will call the whole document exhibit GGG38, and possibly
21 the kind person who paginated them incorrectly, can have
22 another go during the teatime at repaginating the documents
23 in the second bundle, stapled bundle. Is that a sensible
24 way to proceed?

25 MS BARNES: Yes, thank you, Chair.

Page 10083

1 CHAIRPERSON: Fine. Case dockets – I
 2 don't know – case docket CAS118/8/2012. Ja, that's all we
 3 need.
 4 MS BARNES: Now, you'll see, General,
 5 that this is in fact the docket which relates to the arrest
 6 of the six people that we've been referring to. You'll see
 7 on the very last page of the second bundle – so it's the
 8 very last page of the combined bundle that you have in
 9 front of you, there's a reference to accused number 1 and
 10 2. Do you see that?
 11 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt.
 12 That will be page 79 of the overall bundle.
 13 MS BARNES: Yes, that's correct.
 14 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek neem dit
 15 waar, Voorsitter.
 16 MS BARNES: And then on page 25, this
 17 will accord with your pagination, it's part of the first
 18 section, that deals with accused numbers 3 and 4. It sets
 19 out there their personal details.
 20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 21 ek sien dit is verwysend na een persoon.
 22 MS BARNES: On page 25 there's a
 23 reference to accused number 3, and then below that there is
 24 a reference to another person, as accused number 4,
 25 Mcingwa(?) and Zukululu, do you see that?

Page 10084

1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek was in die
 2 verkeerde bundel gewees, die tweede een gewees. Ek sien
 3 dis in eerste bundel, bladsy 25, verwysend na twee persone.
 4 MS BARNES: And then on page 9, there is
 5 the reference to accused numbers 5 and 6.
 6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk op
 7 twee persone, 5 en 6.
 8 MS BARNES: And if you go to page 19,
 9 you'll see that there's a statement from the investigating
 10 officer in the case, a Constable Mafora, and he says in the
 11 second paragraph, he says four suspects that are
 12 hospitalised, and he refers to four suspects, and he then
 13 says "linked to the strike and killing of police officers
 14 that took place at Lonmin Platinum Mine, Marikana." Do you
 15 see that?
 16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk dit
 17 op, Voorsitter.
 18 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Barnes, I'm sorry to
 19 have to say this again, but you know we did ask for
 20 documents that are going to be used in cross-examination to
 21 be made available to us beforehand. If we got them on
 22 Friday, we could have spent some of the weekend studying
 23 them, and then be on top of the documents, and it would
 24 save a lot of time. I understand things happen sometimes
 25 in practice, you can't always comply with these rulings,

Page 10085

1 but please endeavour in the future to do so, and that
 2 applies to everybody, not just to you.
 3 MS BARNES: Chair, but I must say, in our
 4 defence, we did circulate an index on Thursday last week,
 5 which did make reference to this document.
 6 CHAIRPERSON: Well, we didn't get it and
 7 we haven't got – we've made it practice not to look at the
 8 documents that have not been put before us. So we are
 9 inconvenienced, but never mind. I've said what I want –
 10 [11:09] MS BARNES: Chair, I will make sure it
 11 doesn't happen again.
 12 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you, and you can
 13 only promise on behalf of yourself but the others present
 14 must listen and silently assent to what you said.
 15 MS BARNES: General, if you could go then
 16 to page 7, this is an additional statement by Constable
 17 Mafora and he says the following, four lines into his
 18 statement he says, "Six suspects was identified to be
 19 present and commit murder of the two police officers during
 20 the strike or march. Out of six suspects four was
 21 hospitalised due to injuries they sustained and could not
 22 stand for trial or appear before the court as they are
 23 still ill." So what emerges from this docket is that all
 24 these six people were linked to the murder of the two
 25 police officers. They were in fact charged with murder and

Page 10086

1 robbery. Four of them were arrested in hospital, while
 2 they were in hospital on the 13th of August. One was
 3 arrested at home on the 13th of August, and one was arrested
 4 at his home on the 14th of August. That was early the
 5 following morning, on the Tuesday. Is it correct then that
 6 you did not have this information on the 14th, 15th, or 16th
 7 of August?
 8 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 9 ek het getuig dat die oordrag van die Provinsiale
 10 Kommissaris het ek nie noodwendig verstaan dat dit ses
 11 arrestasies is in die polisie nie. Ek kan net daarby hou.
 12 MS BARNES: General, you testified that
 13 you were in the JOC on the afternoon of the 16th of August
 14 from 3:30PM until 5PM and that you were there throughout,
 15 apart from when you stepped outside at various times within
 16 that period in order to take three phone calls. Is that
 17 correct?
 18 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
 19 nee, ek het nie gesê drie oproepe nie; ek het gesê ek het
 20 twee of drie keer het ek uitgegaan, so dit kon twee oproepe
 21 gewees het, dit kon drie gewees het.
 22 MS BARNES: So you're not sure whether it
 23 was two or three calls?
 24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
 25 nee, ek is nie.

Page 10087

1 MS BARNES: Who were those calls from?
 2 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek kan nie
 3 onthou nie, Voorsitter.
 4 MS BARNES: You testified that during the
 5 time that you were receiving reports from the chopper, this
 6 is during the afternoon of the 16th, that there were two
 7 women constables who were taking handwritten notes as the
 8 information came in. Is that correct?
 9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 10 verwysend na die twee radio-operateurs, ek dink hulle was
 11 beide konstabels.
 12 MS BARNES: Can you give us their names?
 13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
 14 nee, ek weet nie wat hulle name is nie.
 15 MS BARNES: And the notes that they kept,
 16 where would we find those notes at this stage?
 17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 18 ek weet nie. Ons sal by hulle moet verneem of hulle die
 19 notas beskikbaar het en of hulle dit oorgedra het in die VB
 20 en dit nie daarna gehou het nie. Ek weet glad nie.
 21 MS BARNES: You'll agree that those notes
 22 will assist us in order to have a more accurate record of
 23 what transpired? Is that correct?
 24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
 25 korrek, Voorsitter.

Page 10088

1 MS BARNES: Thank you, Chair, those are
 2 our questions -
 3 CHAIRPERSON: It is now quarter past 11.
 4 Is this perhaps a convenient stage for us to take the
 5 adjournment, or do you wish to ask a few more questions on
 6 this point before we adjourn?
 7 MS BARNES: No, Chair, we are done with
 8 our cross-examination.
 9 CHAIRPERSON: I see. Well that's a very
 10 convenient stage to take the adjournment then. Very well,
 11 we'll take the tea adjournment at this stage.
 12 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
 13 [11:45] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. I
 14 was going to ask if the pages had been repaginated of the
 15 second bundle, but I see they have. So I want to thank the
 16 persons responsible therefore. Mr Ntsebeza, welcome back.
 17 I understand you're going to, you're the next counsel to
 18 cross-examine, is that correct?
 19 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes it is, Mr Chairman.
 20 CHAIRPERSON: Let me just remind the
 21 Major-General, not that he needs reminding, but I'll still
 22 remind him, Generaal-Majoor u is nog steeds onder eed.
 23 CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e.
 24 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza?
 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC:

Page 10089

1 Thank you, Mr Chairman and members of the Commission.
 2 General, let me just start there so that I don't have to
 3 canvas that aspect when we are in full-blown cross-
 4 examination. You have just been reminded that you are
 5 under oath and I would take it that you understand that you
 6 should speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing else
 7 but the truth, so help you.
 8 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Goeiemiddag,
 9 Advokaat. Voorsitter, dit was nog altyd hoe ek dit
 10 verstaan het, dat ek die totale waarheid moet praat.
 11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. No, it's just that
 12 this is our first encounter and I've understood that you've
 13 said so and, General, you understand that if there was
 14 something you knew had happened and it was nonetheless not
 15 something that you are free to talk about, in this
 16 Commission, however, because of the nature of the work the
 17 Commission has to do you would not fail to disclose that to
 18 the Commission, simply because there had been an agreement
 19 between you as colleagues not to talk about it.
 20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 21 ek verstaan my verantwoordelikheid en dat as aspekte
 22 relevant tot die Kommissie moet sodanig voorgelê word.
 23 MR NTSEBEZA SC: You will do so, and I'm
 24 just asking, you will do so even if between the officers
 25 themselves there was a view that some things might

Page 10090

1 embarrass the SAPS if they were known?
 2 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I thought to
 3 restrain myself, but this question assumes a fact not in
 4 evidence. There's no suggestion that the officers sat
 5 somewhere and connive not to tell the truth or to suppress
 6 the truth. Unless if my learned colleague is going to be
 7 giving us an indication that he has such intention,
 8 instruction or facts.
 9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Maybe let me put it on a
 10 different basis, General. You probably do know by now that
 11 most of the video footage which the Commission has, has
 12 come from the media, and that the police have not provided
 13 video footage of the actual occurrence of the shooting,
 14 particularly on the 16th of August. You do know that?
 15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 16 ek is bewus daarvan dat die video materiaal wat beskikbaar
 17 gestel is deur die polisie, dat dit nie pertinent enige van
 18 die skietvoorvalle hetsy dit op toneel 1 of 2 sodanig wys
 19 nie.
 20 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now you will know, or
 21 you will have heard that it's one of the features that to
 22 previous witnesses from the SAPS I personally put as a
 23 remarkable feature, given the Standing Orders in POPS for
 24 the video covering of all events and incidents. Are you
 25 aware of that?

Page 10091

1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 daar is so 'n Staande Order en sodanige vereiste.
3 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And just so that -
4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ekskuus,
5 Voorsitter, Voorsitter, en ek het ook getuig dat histories
6 gebruik ons meestal slegs een kamera en die Kommissie net
7 bewus wees dat ons het nie geweet dat daar gaan 'n toneel 1
8 of 'n toneel 2 of enige sodanige skietery homself afspeel
9 nie. Voorsitter, en ons as 'n JOCOM het maatreëls
10 daargestel wat ons op die stadium onder die indruk was dat
11 dit voldoende is in terme van die vyf kameras waarna verwys
12 was. Vyf kameras, pertinent verwysend na die video
13 kameras, en dan het ek ook getuig die omstandighede rondom
14 pertinent die twee video-operateurs van OOP, en dan ook die
15 waterkanonne, hoekom daar nie sodanige beeldmateriaal
16 beskikbaar is nie.
17 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed you have. I'm
18 not seeking to explore that. I'm conscious, I think this
19 matter was canvassed with you by several counsel. But what
20 I put to some of the witnesses from SAPS, and I'd like to
21 put to you as well, is that - and without indicating or
22 volunteering to produce that evidence, because I don't have
23 that evidence, but I was putting it on this basis. The
24 remarkable absence of that material may well be 0 and I'll
25 come to your taking the oath to speak the truth - it may

Page 10092

1 well be that the video camera material was taken; it was
2 found to be against the interest of the police and
3 therefore was withheld. Now if that was so, given your
4 oath, would you be prepared in this forum to say you see,
5 yes we did have that material?
6 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair -
7 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya?
8 MR SEMENYA SC: There is again no factual
9 foundation for that and it is not cured by making it an
10 "if" question.
11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Is that an objection?
12 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, it is an objection
13 that it is an assumption resting on another one. There is
14 no factual foundation for it.
15 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm putting it as a
16 proposition to the witness because it is preceded by the
17 fact that every rule seems to have been broken insofar as
18 the taking of video footage is concerned. I'm aware of the
19 evidence that the witness has given with regard to cameras
20 that didn't work and all of that. But it is still
21 remarkable that all other material that we have is from a
22 source other than the SAPS, and I'm putting it as a basis
23 for argument and I would like his comments. If it was so
24 that there is material but that material was withheld,
25 would he be prepared to break rank and say I am going to

Page 10093

1 tell this Commission what I know, and in my submission, Mr
2 Chairman, members of the Commission, that's a fair question
3 to put.
4 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, I'd like to put
5 this to you, get the benefit of your reply. Generally
6 speaking when a cross-examiner puts something to a witness
7 he has direct evidence to support what he's putting. But I
8 don't understand the rules to go so far as to say that if
9 the fact the counsel wishes to put to the witness depends
10 upon circumstantial evidence, he can't put it to the
11 witness. It would depend obviously on the circumstances.
12 Now in this case, as I understand Mr Ntsebeza's argument,
13 what he's saying is, he didn't mention the case of Govern
14 and Skidmore but it was, I'm sure, present in his mind, as
15 it is I'm sure in yours, but the civil test for
16 circumstantial evidence would apply, the more plausible
17 inference from the facts. He said what the facts are and
18 he says he will argue at the end of the day that applying
19 the principals of circumstantial evidence to these facts
20 the more plausible inference is that there was a
21 suppression of material, and that fact, or that inference
22 that he seeks to draw he's putting to the witness for his
23 comment. How would you deal with that argument? I know
24 it's not quite what he said, but I thought that was
25 basically -

Page 10094

1 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm willing to adopt
2 that, Chair.
3 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry?
4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm prepared to adopt
5 that, Mr Chairman, as an indication of where I'm -
6 CHAIRPERSON: [Inaudible] do that, but
7 let's hear what Mr Semenya says.
8 MR SEMENYA SC: Well, Chair, even
9 circumstantial evidence must be consistent with the
10 accepted facts. If Mr Ntsebeza still accepts there is an
11 explanation, that explanation must be wrong for his
12 supposition to stand. We are being told that the reasons
13 the cameras, or the visuals were not taken of the actual
14 shooting was XYZ. If that evidence stands, it cannot be
15 consistent with the supposition now being placed by Mr
16 Ntsebeza.
17 CHAIRPERSON: It's premature to say
18 whether it stands, but one particular aspect of the
19 evidence which one can't say without more, but it stands,
20 and that is the evidence of the two videographers who were
21 on the koppie who were told that the, there's a threat to
22 kill them and they then left and went, according to their
23 statements they went back to the JOC. Now it's something
24 they're going to have to answer why they went back to the
25 JOC instead of just moving to a protected area and taking

Page 10095

1 videos from there. So obviously if their evidence that
 2 they went back to the JOC and they didn't go to protected
 3 area and take photographs, as one would have expected them
 4 to do, but if the evidence that they went back to the JOC
 5 is accepted then you're correct, but it's not possible for
 6 us to say at this stage whether that evidence will be
 7 accepted. So I put the point to you so that you can deal
 8 with it.

9 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, maybe the word
 10 "stand" is too strong, not that it is ultimately so found.
 11 What I'm meaning by my objection is we will lead that
 12 evidence and we will put the proposition at the level of
 13 saying that evidence will be led. Now it is either Mr
 14 Ntsebeza has information that that evidence is untrue and
 15 therefore he is able to then say I can put a hypothesis
 16 which controvert that fact. Our objection is firstly he
 17 says if there is a collusion happening, would you on the
 18 basis of that "if" break rank with it, and I'm saying the
 19 question of breaking rank can't arise if the fact that
 20 there was a collusion is not established, there's no
 21 intention to establish it, there's no basis even for
 22 offering it. That's the nature of the objection I'm
 23 raising, Chair.

24 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not prepared to allow
 25 the question insofar as it rests upon a suggestion of

Page 10096

1 collusion between the police officers concerned to suppress
 2 evidence, but on the narrower issue as to the Govern and
 3 Skidmore inference that one draws in relation to the
 4 videographers who instead of moving to a protected area to
 5 take photographs went off to the JOC and didn't take
 6 photographs or videos, I'm prepared to allow you to ask
 7 questions on that.

8 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thanks, Mr Chairman.
 9 General, then if there was - or put it this way; do you
 10 know Brigadier Mkhwanazi?

11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek doen,
 12 Voorsitter.

13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you are aware that
 14 he has given evidence here?

15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
 16 korrek, Voorsitter.

17 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now some of his evidence
 18 was that at a meeting in Potchefstroom he became aware that
 19 other than the video footage from the media, there was
 20 other video footage that has been taken by the police which
 21 was not shown. He was told by someone there that there was
 22 other video footage taken by the police. When we asked him
 23 whether he wondered, or he expressed a desire to see them,
 24 given his position in POP and given his understanding that
 25 video material from SAPS and POP would be material of

Page 10097

1 everything that happens at a scene, he said he did not seek
 2 to know what had happened to that video material. Do you
 3 understand? He did not seem to be able to tell the
 4 Commission why he did not - given who he is, he did not
 5 express an interest in seeing what he was being told is
 6 available SAPS video material.

7 [12:05] Now, if you were the one who knew of the
 8 existence of this video material, which Brigadier Mkhwanazi
 9 didn't express any interest in seeing, even though he was
 10 told it was there, would you be prepared to disclose it's
 11 presence?

12 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 13 daar was tydens die Potchefstroom vergadering was daar
 14 bespreking gewees rondom die beskikbaarheid van polisie
 15 videomateriaal, en ek weet nie of Brigadier Mkhwanazi na
 16 dieselfde videomateriaal verwys as wat ek van bewus is nie.

17 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that's
 18 correctly interpreted. Did you not say that you don't know
 19 whether Mkhwanazi looked at the same material that you saw,
 20 or did I misunderstand?

21 MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: - was aware of
 22 the same video material that I was aware of, which I will
 23 elaborate now too, Sir. Voorsitter, dit was pertinent die
 24 videomateriaal wat geneem was deur Luitenant-Kolonel Botha,
 25 wat hy getaak was om te neem en wat hy nie beskikbaar gehad

Page 10098

1 het tydens Potchefstroom se bespreking nie, en wat hy gesê
 2 het hy gaan nie beskikbaar stel aan ons nie, synde dat dit
 3 'n potensiele bewysstuk is vir die IPID ondersoek. Dit was
 4 aan hom oorgedra dat hy 'n verpligting het en dat sodanige
 5 videomateriaal moet beskikbaar gestel word vir die
 6 Kommissie, en dit was dan ook sodanig beskikbaar gestel, en
 7 ek weet nie of dit dan dieselfde is wat Brigadier Mkhwanazi
 8 na verwys het nie.

9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, the long and short
 10 of what I was trying to get to establish from you, and
 11 probably there is a basis for me to move on, is whether I
 12 can accept it from you that as you sit there, having sworn
 13 before God to speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
 14 else but the truth, you will not hesitate to tell this
 15 Commission anything on the basis only that it would not be
 16 favourable to SAPS.

17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 18 ek wil dit onomwonde stel dat ek nie bewus is van, dat dit
 19 nie onder my aandag gekom het, dat ek geen aanduiding het
 20 nie van enige ander videomateriaal wat beskikbaar is in die
 21 polisie se besit wat nie voorgelê is aan die Kommissie nie,
 22 en, Voorsitter, sou ek daarvan bewus gewees het, sou ek dit
 23 reeds al openbaar gemaak het.

24 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm pleased to hear
 25 that, General. General, I didn't even introduce myself

Page 10099

1 properly. I represent the families of most of the people
2 who were killed by the police on the 16th of August, and
3 those who were killed also on the 13th of August.
4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie,
5 Voorsitter, ek het so kennis geneem.
6 MR NTSEBEZA SC: You do accept that they
7 were killed by the police, just to make sure that –
8 CHAIRPERSON: He conceded that when he
9 was cross-examined by other counsel, but the isiXhosa
10 interpreter hasn't had a chance to interpret the reply
11 anyway, so you must allow him to interpret as well before
12 you proceed, but the witness has already said he accepts
13 that the people to whom you refer were killed by the
14 police.
15 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Chairman, I won't dwell
16 on, but stranger things have been - have happened –
17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ekskuus tog,
18 op die 13de, Voorsitter, daarso is 'n derde persoon wat
19 gekry was, sy liggaam, en sover ek weet is daar geen
20 polisie aandadigheid in terme van die sterfte nie.
21 CHAIRPERSON: You said that it's not
22 clear what happened. That person was stabbed, in any
23 event. What happened in respect of the third civilian
24 deceased on the 13th –
25 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,

Page 10100

1 Voorsitter.
2 CHAIRPERSON: But you have accepted
3 unequivocally that the two strikers who were killed on that
4 day, and the 34 who were killed on the 16th, were killed as
5 a result of police action, but there are other aspects that
6 you've mentioned.
7 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,
8 Voorsitter.
9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now you'll appreciate
10 that the families that I represent are not sophisticated
11 people. For instance, some of the questions that come to
12 us, frequently asked questions, are, why was it necessary
13 for the police to kill the mineworkers. Do you understand
14 that? I'm not asking you to respond, but I'm simply
15 indicating to you the questions that I will seek to explore
16 with you, because I've got to provide answers to those whom
17 I represent. For instance, that's one of the frequently
18 asked questions. Why was it necessary for the police to
19 kill the mineworkers?
20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
21 ek verstaan dit so.
22 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And some of the
23 questions that they want us to try and canvass is, for
24 instance, what danger did those mineworkers who got killed
25 present to the police. That's one of the questions. Do

Page 10101

1 you understand that?
2 CHAIRPERSON: Do you want to ask him
3 that?
4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, I'm simply putting
5 it to him to understand the context.
6 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, now when you've
7 finished explaining to him the heavy obligations that you
8 bear, then perhaps you can start asking him some questions.
9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, I will, Mr
10 Chairman, you can be sure of that. Now there is an area
11 about which you have been asked by other counsel and I
12 don't intend to be longer that I need to be, but it is an
13 area that was sparked by a comment that was made by a
14 counsel for SAPS and a response that you gave, and that is,
15 a reference to a so-called Scott plan and to which you
16 expressed pleasure that it had been put in the form of a
17 so-called Scott plan. Now I just want to ask if it is your
18 evidence that the plan was a so-called Scott plan?
19 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I can't follow the
20 question.
21 CHAIRPERSON: It seems that there was a
22 passage in the examination-in-chief – I don't remember it,
23 but he says there was – where you asked him a question and
24 you referred to "the so-called Scott plan." He wants to
25 know whether there was a so-called Scott plan. That seems

Page 10102

1 to be the question.
2 MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I want to know,
3 with respect, without interrupting you, sorry, Sir, is
4 whether, because he seemed to - in the manner in which he
5 answered, he seems to have accepted that it was a so-called
6 Scott's plan.
7 CHAIRPERSON: Can you perhaps give us the
8 reference in the transcript so that Mr Semenya can check
9 it?
10 MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair, I remember in
11 my examination-in-chief –
12 MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's at TH205.
13 MR SEMENYA SC: - I said to the witness,
14 "And what is about the so-called Scott's plan?" and he
15 said, "I'm glad you're asking me that question," and
16 answered that it was not a Scott's plan.
17 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, my learned friend
18 will remember, because he –
19 CHAIRPERSON: No, he says he remembers
20 it, but I was still asking the page.
21 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I gave the page number.
22 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I didn't write it
23 down. What's the page?
24 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, you were attending
25 to my learned friend.

Page 10103

1 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well, I can only
 2 listen – I'm challenged, I can only listen to one person at
 3 a time. What's the page?
 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's TH205.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: Give it again, TH2...?
 6 MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's transcript, H205.
 7 The witness went on to say "It was never Scott's plan; it
 8 was a police plan that was a collective input that we
 9 received from all our commanders that we had present in
 10 terms of having a permanent representative," etcetera,
 11 etcetera. Why do you, if I interpret whatever your
 12 responses have been, why do you insist that it was a so-
 13 called Scott's plan, it was not a plan that had been
 14 conceptualised, that had been conceived by Scott?
 15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 16 maar ek het pertinent gesê dit is nie 'n Scott plan nie,
 17 dit is 'n polisie plan.
 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I mean nobody is going
 19 to deny that at some stage the police might have applied
 20 their minds, but what I am seeking to clarify with you, is
 21 why you are insistent that it was not Scott's plan, it was
 22 just something that all the police officers had come around
 23 the table to do and produced?
 24 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, on our record, I
 25 think the page is 8228.

Page 10104

1 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, why do you call it
 2 the so-called Scott's plan?
 3 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, it is not the
 4 witness who's calling it a Scott's plan. Those are the –
 5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: He certainly concurs
 6 with whoever called –
 7 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semanya called it the
 8 so-called Scott plan in the question which elicited the
 9 answer which has been read. Mr Semanya, you -
 10 MR SEMENYA SC: And it was because in
 11 cross-examination it was persistently put to the witness
 12 the Scott's plan, the Scott's plan, the Scott's plan.
 13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I read from the
 14 transcript, Mr Chairman. Mr Semanya SC, "Now can we pause
 15 for a moment here and talk about what is happening in the
 16 JOC in relation to the plan – the operational plan - the
 17 so-called Scott's plan?" That's Mr –
 18 CHAIRPERSON: That's the point Mr Semanya
 19 makes. He says he called it the so-called Scott plan, and
 20 he's explained why he did so.
 21 MR NTSEBEZA SC: The response from this
 22 witness, "Chairperson, I'm glad that the advocate is
 23 referring to it as the so-called Scott's plan. I think I
 24 want to place it on record that it was never Lieutenant
 25 Scott's plan, that it was a police plan, that was a

Page 10105

1 collective input that we received from all of our," – so he
 2 confirms that the appellation so-called Scott's plan is the
 3 correct appellation.
 4 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, that flowed
 5 from cross-examination of earlier witnesses, I think in
 6 particular Brigadier Mkhwanazi, who was questioned about
 7 the plan, and you remember that one of the points of
 8 criticism of some of the experts is it was a mistake for a
 9 Public Order Policing operation to be the subject of a plan
 10 drawn by someone from the STF, and that was the basis of
 11 the criticism, and I think still is, from the experts. And
 12 certainly, as far as I can recall, when Brigadier Mkhwanazi
 13 was giving evidence, this was freely referred to by cross-
 14 examining counsel as being the Scott plan, and that's where
 15 Mr Semanya got this expression, the so-called Scott plan,
 16 and the witness then tried to explain why so-called was a
 17 useful word to use in front of the expression "Scott plan"
 18 for the reasons he gave.
 19 [12:24] MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, thank you
 20 very much. I want to canvas with the witness, because I
 21 want to make submissions later on that it's important that
 22 there shouldn't be any doubt as to who was the author of
 23 the plan and why it was significant and why the outcome
 24 would have been different had the author of the plan been
 25 somebody else. That's it.

Page 10106

1 CHAIRPERSON: You're perfectly at liberty
 2 to put questions along those lines to the witness. I was
 3 afraid that we were getting bogged down, the needle was
 4 sticking in the groove on the so-called Scott plan point
 5 and I was trying to enable you to move on to the points
 6 that obviously you're going to make.
 7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
 8 Now you'll correct me if I'm wrong; my own sense is that
 9 Scott in his statement identifies two situations and he
 10 speaks to those two situations. In the first one he talks
 11 really about what has come to be known as an operational
 12 strategy and a mission, and if we go to his statement and
 13 read paragraphs 8 and 9 of his statement -
 14 CHAIRPERSON: In fairness, you should
 15 give the witness the exhibit number so that he can find it
 16 in his file and then he can turn to paragraphs 8 and 9 and
 17 be able to converse with you along the lines you wish him
 18 to converse.
 19 MR NTSEBEZA SC: FFF18. If you'll bear
 20 with me, Mr Chairman. Have you got the passage? Do you
 21 see that, General?
 22 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 23 ek het net Luitenant-Kolonel Scott se addisionele
 24 verklaring; ek het nie sy oorspronklike verklaring nie.
 25 Maar ek het hom nou ontvang.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10107</p> <p>1 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now it seems to me if 2 you read paragraph 8, paragraphs 8 and 9, you do get the 3 sense that at that stage he talks about an operational 4 strategy and a mission, and he says why he was putting that 5 forward. Do you see that? Now for instance in paragraph 8 6 - before you answer, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, on the 7 tenth line from 8 he says the following, "I presented the 8 following strategy to the JOC senior management for 9 approval. SAPS will enter into dialogue with the 10 protesters, giving an opportunity for a non-tactical 11 resolution. SAPS will protect life and property, should 12 the need arise, by responding proportionally to such force; 13 and thirdly, SAPS will exercise caution to protect its 14 members without provoking aggression from the protestors." 15 Then in paragraph 9 he says, "I thereafter 16 proposed the SAPS mission which would provide a guideline 17 for the operational deployment to achieve the desired 18 outcome, namely a combined SAPS force will restore 19 stability to the Lonmin Mine area in Marikana from 14 20 August 2012 by deploying proactively with a visible show of 21 force, isolating the transgressing group and ensuring an 22 orderly processing of gatherers to extract weapons and 23 identify suspects involved in the unrest. The mission was 24 accepted and adopted by the SAPS senior management of the 25 JOC."</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10109</p> <p>1 gaan nie. Kolonel Scott was reeds op die Maandagaand, die 2 13de, was hy aangewys om die beplanning te koördineer, so 3 insette te versamel en te koördineer. 4 CHAIRPERSON: I think an important word 5 is not just information but inputs, the witness spoke about 6 inputs, inputs from other – 7 MR HANABE: Inputs yes, instead of 8 information. That all the inputs gathered, that he would 9 coordinate this. 10 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. 11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 12 en die strategie en die missie was dan alreeds in terme van 13 insette wat so vroeg ontvang is soos die Maandagaand, is 14 daaraan begin werk, en dit was na aanleiding van 'n gesprek 15 wat ons gehad het, Nasionale Kommissaris was teenwoordig, 16 die Provinsiale Kommissaris, die pertinente drie 17 vergaderings op die Maandagaand, en dan ook die nag se 18 werkery wat Luitenant-Kolonel Merafe betrokke was saam met 19 Luitenant-Kolonel Scott die nag van die 13de, die oggend 20 van die 14de. Voorsitter, maar die belangrikste is op 21 bladsy 10, en dit is die laaste sin, "The mission was 22 accepted and adopted by the SAPS senior management of the 23 JOC," en ek wil, ter illustrasie wil ek hierso meld, in 24 polisie beplanning is dit nie relevant wie die individuele 25 beplanner of beplanningsspan is nie, nie relevant in die</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10108</p> <p>1 Now before you answer, I want you to look at 2 paragraph 8 where he says, "I concluded an appreciation of 3 the environment incorporating the background information I 4 had been given during the course of the night, and 5 reflected the police's views on how to deal with the 6 situation, as was discussed that evening." Now what I want 7 to put to you is that to the extent that there was other 8 participation by police officers, it was to the extent of 9 the formulation of the operational strategy and mission as 10 is captured in paragraphs 8 and 9. Nothing more. 11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 12 Kolonel Scott het deurgaans verwys na homself in terme van 13 sy eie verklaring en hy het nie die royal "we" gebruik soos 14 wat ek verneem ek Adv Ntsebeza het na verwys in terme van 15 die kollektiewe verwysing na persone nie. 16 CHAIRPERSON: The royal "we" actually is 17 when someone is actually in the first person singular, when 18 he puts it "we," you know as the Queen does, that's why the 19 royal "we," we decide this, when the Queen says it, it 20 means I decide it. But your point is he didn't use the 21 first person plural, he used the first person singular. 22 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. 23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 24 en ek het reeds omvattend daarna verwys en pertinent in die 25 bewysstuk op bladsy 8228, en ek kan nie in detail daarin</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10110</p> <p>1 konteks dat op die einde van die dag word sodanige 2 beplanning, word dit goedgekeur, en die goedkeuring beteken 3 dat die JOCOM en dan pertinent die oorhoofse bevelvoerder 4 hulle self versoen met dit wat sodanig vermeld is in 5 sodanige beplanning of benadering. 6 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, General, I mean 7 that's a long answer, but I don't disagree with you in what 8 you are saying. I'm simply putting to you that what Scott 9 proposed at that stage and what inputs were given by SAPS 10 officers, spoke to operational strategy and the mission, 11 and that is distinct from the plan about which we are going 12 to have a conversation now. 13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 14 dis in lyn met die beplanning; die strategie en die missie 15 is in lyn met die beplanning. 16 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And what I will put to 17 you is that when we look at the evidence - whether it's in 18 the statements by Merafe and everybody else's statement, 19 and even General Mpembe - what the police participated in 20 by way of comments and input and whatever, was the broad 21 strategy and mission of how to deal with what had arisen, 22 and that is what Scott – Scott, incidentally, is the one 23 who had been formulating the operational strategy, but he 24 invited the input of all other police officers. That is 25 what you see in paragraphs 8 and 9 of his statement. I</p>

Page 10111

1 will put it to you that when it came to the plan he was the
 2 sole author of the plan, and there is no evidence
 3 throughout that in the conceptualisation of the plan he got
 4 input from anybody else.

5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is nie
 6 waar nie, Voorsitter.

7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I'll put it to you
 8 that the reluctance to admit that it was his plan is
 9 because he was not the person, professionally, who should
 10 have been drawing the plan to contain what was going on at
 11 the mountain, or in Marikana.

12 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, but the fair
 13 treatment of the evidence, again we refer to the statement
 14 of General Mzembe, paragraph 26, where it reads, "The
 15 commanders of the various SAPS units and disciplines
 16 participated in the development of this plan. After
 17 consulting everyone at JOCOM, I then approved both the
 18 operational strategy and the mission statement." So it is
 19 clear on that, that it is the commanders of the various
 20 SAPS units and the various disciplines who participated in
 21 the development of the plan.

22 CHAIRPERSON: The interesting point seems
 23 to be that General Mzembe in paragraph 27 says, "Based on
 24 the mission statement and the operational strategy, a six-
 25 stage plan was adopted, premised on the following

Page 10112

1 approach." So he seems to suggest that the mission
 2 statement and the strategy come first and then the plan is
 3 adopted based on that. The questioning, as I understand
 4 it, seems to suggest that things went the other way around.
 5 The plan came first, which is entirely Scott's work, and
 6 then the strategy and the mission statement came in respect
 7 of which the other officers participated. Mr Ntsebeza –

8 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, it was on a –

9 [12:44] CHAIRPERSON: What do you say about this
 10 passage? I understand what paragraph 26 says, but what do
 11 you say about the first sentence - in a sense you're
 12 putting what you say the evidence is - about the first
 13 sentence in para 27 where, "Based on the mission statement
 14 and the operational strategy, a six-stage plan was
 15 adopted." Now which comes first; the mission and the
 16 strategy go after the plan, or is it the other way around?

17 MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's what was just put
 18 to the witness –

19 CHAIRPERSON: So what exactly are you
 20 putting to the witness?

21 MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, I'm saying this
 22 - it's a very simple proposition. I'm saying, and I don't
 23 know whether the interjection was by way of an objection by
 24 my learned friend, or whether he's doing his re-examination
 25 now to clarify a point, because I don't know why he's

Page 10113

1 testifying about where what is. I would have expected that
 2 if I'm putting a wrong proposition to the witness, he would
 3 say that is not what is contained. It doesn't seem to be
 4 the basis. It seems to be that he's telling us what the
 5 testimony is, and I have dealt with Mzembe. I'll come to
 6 Mzembe. In fact, Mzembe says exactly what we are saying.
 7 I'm throwing two distinct situations here. Scott comes and
 8 conceptualise - he does all those things he says he does in
 9 paragraph 8. He comes up with an operational strategy –
 10 broad, it's a broad brush against the canvas - and then he
 11 comes with a mission. So where Mzembe talks about there
 12 having been a discussion about generals and what, he refers
 13 to exactly what is contained by Scott in his statement in
 14 paragraphs 8 and 9.

15 Now, I am putting to this witness that what – and
 16 I'll come to Mzembe, and it will be clear that we are
 17 talking about two distinct situations here. The one is
 18 where everybody is, throw your input, broad strategy brush
 19 against the canvas to see what your inputs are. For what?
 20 To achieve these objectives. SAPS will enter into
 21 dialogue. SAPS would protect lives. SAPS will exercise
 22 caution, and in paragraph 9, this will be the mission. We
 23 will patiently demonstrate that that is the fullest extent
 24 of participation by other officers. Anything else – that's
 25 why Mzembe, in spite of himself, ends up by saying, you

Page 10114

1 know, after we had made our input, we adopted the
 2 operational strategy and mission.

3 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair –

4 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, are you in
 5 effect relying on what appears at the top of page 4 of the
 6 statement by Lieutenant-Colonel Scott, FFF18, where he
 7 says, having set out –

8 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.

9 CHAIRPERSON: - the strategy and the
 10 mission, he then says, "I hereafter" –

11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed.

12 CHAIRPERSON: - "conceptualised three
 13 escalating stages of deployment in line with the police's
 14 strategy to reach the desired outcome," and then he
 15 explains how this was done through what he calls three
 16 escalating stages.

17 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.

18 CHAIRPERSON: So you say that's the plan?

19 MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's the plan.

20 CHAIRPERSON: And you say he says he
 21 conceptualised three escalating stages –

22 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.

23 CHAIRPERSON: - therefore it's his plan.

24 Is that your point, in a nutshell?

25 MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's going to be the

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10115</p> <p>1 basis, and we'll take it step by step just to show that</p> <p>2 it's not a question of semantics where one thinks one was</p> <p>3 using royal "we," when one was not using a royal "we."</p> <p>4 It's a question where even the other witnesses, or the</p> <p>5 other statements, Merafe and everybody else nowhere -</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: The witness has heard the</p> <p>7 discussion between us. I hope he now understands the point</p> <p>8 that you're making that I've been trying to understand,</p> <p>9 which I now think I understand, and perhaps he can give us</p> <p>10 the benefit of his comments on that exchange between us.</p> <p>11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed.</p> <p>12 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>13 nee, in terme van die verklaring van Scott het hy, deur die</p> <p>14 nag het hy informasie ingesamel en hy sê ook dan daar in</p> <p>15 paragraaf 8, "I started working on an operational strategy</p> <p>16 which would provide the operational concept," en dit is toe</p> <p>17 voorgelê aan die JOCOM vergadering op die 14de in die</p> <p>18 oggend. Dit was sodanig dan aanvaar deur die JOCOM, die</p> <p>19 oorhoofse bevelvoerder en die verteenwoordigers van die</p> <p>20 JOCOM, nadat daar insette gelewer was deur die</p> <p>21 verteenwoordigers van die betrokke JOCOM vergadering, dat</p> <p>22 die strategie en missie eerste gekom het en daarna,</p> <p>23 voortspruitend uit die JOCOM goedkeuring, het die plan toe</p> <p>24 begin ontvou.</p> <p>25 MR NTSEBEZA SC: General, you can be sure</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10117</p> <p>1 conceptualises, he identifies three stages of deployment in</p> <p>2 paragraph 10 thereof. Do you see that?</p> <p>3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek sien dit</p> <p>4 op bladsy 4 onder paragraaf 10, dis korrek.</p> <p>5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now you can see there</p> <p>6 it's a detailed plan, stage by stage. It's not just</p> <p>7 principles that speak to strategy. There now he gives, as</p> <p>8 Scott, a detailed indication of who must do what, why,</p> <p>9 where, when. Would you agree?</p> <p>10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>11 ja, hy sit dit uiteen; net so sit Generaal Mpembe dit</p> <p>12 uiteen.</p> <p>13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, we'll come to what</p> <p>14 General Mpembe says, but you know, we can't give words</p> <p>15 meanings that they do not have. When Scott says "I</p> <p>16 conceptualise," and doesn't say anywhere thereafter that</p> <p>17 Major-General Annandale said, no, you can't do this,</p> <p>18 General Mpembe critiqued this, nowhere. Throughout he</p> <p>19 conceptualises. He takes it to the JOC, the JOC approves,</p> <p>20 yes, we implement it. That is what is remarkable about the</p> <p>21 plan. You can put your inputs in the strategy, but in the</p> <p>22 plan no-one ever contributes anything.</p> <p>23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>24 ek het reeds getuig dat Luitenant-Kolonel Scott kan</p> <p>25 duidelikheid daarvoor gee hoekom hy dit is sy verklaring</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10116</p> <p>1 that we will patiently take you through the evidence to</p> <p>2 show that that plan didn't evolve on its own. It had an</p> <p>3 author who was informed by a particular mindset, and that</p> <p>4 is what we are going to be arguing to the Commission at the</p> <p>5 end of the day. You have just repeated what you have said.</p> <p>6 I don't disagree with you. On the 14th, you all assembled</p> <p>7 there. You talked about - and Scott says, you know, look,</p> <p>8 if we are going to contain a situation like this, this is</p> <p>9 what we must - I've got these three operational strategy</p> <p>10 plans, one, two, three; this is our mission. I agree with</p> <p>11 you, but then thereafter, as the person whom you had</p> <p>12 invited to come and deal with this situation, you had said,</p> <p>13 I want Scott, because of these qualities. You didn't say,</p> <p>14 who is going to do crowd control? Oh, Mkhwanazi, Mkhwanazi</p> <p>15 must be - you chose Scott, and he then says I then, after</p> <p>16 all that input about what the strategy is and what the</p> <p>17 mission is, I then went to conceptualise a plan. That is</p> <p>18 what we are going to investigate.</p> <p>19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>20 ek volstaan dat Luitenant-Kolonel Scott die koördineerder</p> <p>21 was, en hetsy dit Scott of Mkhwanazi was, die rol sou</p> <p>22 dieselfde gewees het, om insette te kry van al die ander</p> <p>23 rolspelers en dit sodanig te vervat in die betrokke plan.</p> <p>24 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And it is very</p> <p>25 interesting to see what he proposes. On page - but what he</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10118</p> <p>1 vermeld het of nie vermeld het nie. Hy kan ook meld watse</p> <p>2 insette hy in ag geneem het. Ek weet, en ek was</p> <p>3 teenwoordig toe dit voorgelê was by 'n JOCOM vergadering</p> <p>4 met sowat 30-plus bevelvoerders. Ek weet, en ek was</p> <p>5 teenwoordig toe hy met myself in gesprek getree het, ook</p> <p>6 met Generaal Mpembe in gesprek getree het, ook met Generaal</p> <p>7 Naidoo en Brigadier Calitz. Ek weet dat elkeen van die</p> <p>8 offisiere teenwoordig die geleentheid gehad het om hul</p> <p>9 insette te lewer, hetsy dit dan iets nuut is, nie saamstem</p> <p>10 nie of byvoeg, en ek weet dat as voorsitter, as jy 'n</p> <p>11 voorstel maak en niemand het enige objeksie teen dit nie,</p> <p>12 is dit hulle totale ondersteuning in terme van dit wat</p> <p>13 voorgedra word; en ek weet ook dat Luitenant-Kolonel</p> <p>14 Merafe, wie 'n baie ervare Openbare Orde Polisiëring</p> <p>15 beampte is, saam met Kolonel Scott gewerk het die nag van</p> <p>16 die 13de; en ek weet ook dat ons 'n verskeidenheid van</p> <p>17 senior offisiere teenwoordig gehad wat gesamentlik</p> <p>18 tientalle jare ervaring in Openbare Orde het, niemand</p> <p>19 minder as Brigadier Calitz homself, Kolonel Makhubela,</p> <p>20 Pitsi en Mere, en ander wat ek reeds genoem het.</p> <p>21 MR NTSEBEZA SC: After lunch, General,</p> <p>22 we'll go to General Mpembe's affidavit so that we can put</p> <p>23 this thing to rest about what he says. Subject to your</p> <p>24 permission, Mr Chairman, we'll ask to take the lunch break</p> <p>25 now.</p>

Page 10119

1 CHAIRPERSON: Very well. Thank you, Mr
 2 Ntsebeza. We'll take the lunch adjournment at this stage.
 3 We'll endeavour to reassemble just after 2 o'clock.
 4 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
 5 [14:06] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission continues,
 6 resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed.
 7 CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e.
 8 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, do you have
 9 any more questions for the witness?
 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC:
 11 Thank you, Mr Chairman. General, I don't know whether
 12 you've had time to look at Mpembe's affidavit, exhibit
 13 GGG13. Do you have it –
 14 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Ntsebeza, I
 15 think it's GGG12. That's the number I have on my exhibit.
 16 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Possibly. It is
 17 possible. I'm –
 18 CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm informed by both
 19 the Commissioners that it's GGG12. If you've got a
 20 document called GGG13 then we, there's something wrong.
 21 According to our information Brigadier Calitz's statement
 22 is GGG13.
 23 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. It looks like
 24 these are different index, because –
 25 MS PILLAY: Chair, it is that Brigadier

Page 10120

1 Calitz is GGG13 and Mpembe is GGG12.
 2 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Sorry, it's GGG12. Do
 3 you have that, General?
 4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek,
 5 Voorsitter, ek het die bewysstuk.
 6 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now in that statement,
 7 when you were being cross-examined by Adv Jele, your
 8 counsel drew the attention of the Commission to paragraph
 9 26 of the statement, but in order to put the context
 10 properly, I would like us to have regard to paragraph 25.
 11 Do you have paragraph 25?
 12 CHAIRPERSON: It appears to be on page 9
 13 of the statement.
 14 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed, Mr
 15 Chairman.
 16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg,
 17 Voorsitter. Ek het die paragraaf.
 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: So before you read
 19 paragraph 26, it seems to me to put it in its proper
 20 context, is to read 25 also. Do you see that, "That
 21 Lieutenant Scott proposed the following operation strategy,
 22 which was then adopted by JOCOM." Do you see that?
 23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk dit
 24 op, Voorsitter.
 25 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And then paragraph 26

Page 10121

1 follows to the effect that "The commanders of the various
 2 SAPS units and disciplines participated in the development
 3 of this plan," and obviously the plan referred to is this
 4 operational strategy. He then says, "I then approved both
 5 operational strategy and the mission statement," just like
 6 Colonel Scott said in his own affidavit in paragraphs 8 and
 7 9. You see that?
 8 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek sien dit,
 9 Voorsitter.
 10 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And when you have regard
 11 then to Scott's statement in paragraph 10 thereof, he then
 12 sets out what he says he conceptualised and we'll see in
 13 his additional affidavit how he builds up on the three
 14 stages, because he talks about six stages. But more to
 15 General Mpembe's statement, paragraph 26, then – I mean 27,
 16 says, "Based on the mission statement and the operational
 17 statement, a six-stage plan was adopted, in summary the six
 18 stages," and then he goes on. Do you see that?
 19 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek sien dit,
 20 Voorsitter.
 21 MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact we will submit
 22 that there is confirmation – maybe let me go back, Scott's
 23 statement – do you see page 4 of FFF18?
 24 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek sien
 25 bladsy 4, Voorsitter.

Page 10122

1 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now he goes through the
 2 various steps. In stage 1 in the affidavit, you see what
 3 he says there, the deployment and what have you, say, "SAPS
 4 to deploy a monitoring contingent." Do you see that?
 5 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek sien dit,
 6 Voorsitter.
 7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now keep your finger on
 8 that and go to exhibit L, and you'll see that in slide 71
 9 the operational strategy is being discussed. Do you see
 10 that?
 11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,
 12 Voorsitter.
 13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: But more importantly it
 14 is the plan that I want to draw your attention to. Slide
 15 72 and 73, if you look at page 4 of Scott's statement, and
 16 you look at slide 72 of exhibit L, you will find that there
 17 are no substantial differences, substantive differences at
 18 all. Page 4 says, "SAPS to deploy a monitoring contingent
 19 of POP members with a negotiating component to engage in
 20 dialogue." [Inaudible] says "SAPS said to deploy one
 21 [inaudible] monitoring contingent with a negotiating
 22 component to engage in dialogue." If you read any - maybe
 23 let me give you an opportunity to read both and draw our
 24 attention to any differences, if there are any. We will
 25 submit that there are no substantive differences at all.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10123</p> <p>1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</p> <p>2 die eerste "bullet" op "slide" 72 is basies die eerste sin</p> <p>3 op bladsy 4 van "stage" 1 en die eerste "bullet."</p> <p>4 Voorsitter, sonder om deur al die detail te gaan, die, in</p> <p>5 "slide" 72 word daar 'n korter weergawe verwys na wat in</p> <p>6 die eerste twee "bullets" van "stage" 1 op bladsy 4 van</p> <p>7 Luitenant-Kolonel Scott se verklaring is.</p> <p>8 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, you're not</p> <p>9 suggesting that that is a substantive difference? In fact</p> <p>10 I would understand you to be saying what you find in</p> <p>11 Scott's statement, describing of stage 1, is reflected in</p> <p>12 terms in slide 72 of exhibit L. Is that right?</p> <p>13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit wat op</p> <p>14 "slide" 72 is, is basies die gedeeltes onder "stage" 1, die</p> <p>15 eerste twee "bullets."</p> <p>16 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, if you look at</p> <p>17 slide 73 and compare slide 73 with the remainder of the</p> <p>18 bullets in paragraph 10 of Scott's statement, you see that</p> <p>19 third bullet on Scott's statement says "A reserve group</p> <p>20 comprising of" da-da-da-da, da-da-da-da, and it then goes</p> <p>21 on. Second bullet it describes SAPS helicopters.</p> <p>22 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, op</p> <p>23 bladsy 5 egter net na die twee "bullets" aan die bokant van</p> <p>24 die bladsy, die paragraaf wat begin met "These units," en</p> <p>25 wat dan eindig in hakies, "towards the west," is dan nie</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10125</p> <p>1 11 with what you see in slides 75 and 76. Take your time,</p> <p>2 General. I don't want to be unfair to you.</p> <p>3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is reg,</p> <p>4 Voorsitter.</p> <p>5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now stage 3, which you</p> <p>6 will find in paragraph 12, or from paragraph 12 of Scott's</p> <p>7 affidavit, very detailed as to what will have to happen in</p> <p>8 stage 3. He, if you compare that to L78 to L80, the slides</p> <p>9 78 to 80 of exhibit L, give yourself some time to reflect</p> <p>10 on that. It will be 78 to 80, in other words 78, 79, 80.</p> <p>11 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,</p> <p>12 Voorsitter. Daar is groot ooreenkomste.</p> <p>13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now because it will be</p> <p>14 our submission, and you may or may not comment on this,</p> <p>15 that firstly Scott says so, in so many words, that this is</p> <p>16 his plan, and when you read his statement together with</p> <p>17 exhibit L, our submission will be that it is very clear</p> <p>18 that he devised the plan. We will argue – before you</p> <p>19 respond – that there were no changes to that plan, so what</p> <p>20 was presented to this Commission is what is contained in</p> <p>21 this plan.</p> <p>22 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter</p> <p>23 nee, ek stem nie saam nie. Dit was, Kolonel Scott was</p> <p>24 aangestel om die koördinasie te doen van die insette, so hy</p> <p>25 het dit gekonsolideer. Met sy konsolidasie het hy dit</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10124</p> <p>1 noodwendig, blyk dit vir my op "slide" 73 gereflekteer nie.</p> <p>2 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Of course I'm not</p> <p>3 suggesting that, you know, it's a replica.</p> <p>4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Nee.</p> <p>5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I'm suggesting to</p> <p>6 you is that the presentation which was made to the</p> <p>7 Commission by way of exhibit L mirrors almost in identical</p> <p>8 terms the Scott statement, which in our submission will be</p> <p>9 that it is the plan.</p> <p>10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Maar</p> <p>11 Voorsitter, dan ook so bewysstuk GGG12 vanaf paragraaf 27</p> <p>12 op bladsy 10 en Generaal Mpembe se verklaring, maar dan</p> <p>13 nader aan die woorde van Luitenant-Kolonel Scott as dit in</p> <p>14 Generaal Mpembe s'n.</p> <p>15 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now stage 2 as described</p> <p>16 by Scott appears in paragraph 11. In his statement Scott</p> <p>17 says this would have to be what goes on into the plan.</p> <p>18 [14:26] Now if you look at the SAPS presentation to the</p> <p>19 Commission, and we are talking about slides 75 and 76,</p> <p>20 again we will make the same submission in that what is</p> <p>21 presented to us here when the slide exhibit L was tendered</p> <p>22 in evidence, those slides 75 and 76, a mirror substantively</p> <p>23 of the plan that Scott in his own words says he</p> <p>24 conceptualised. I'll give you an opportunity to look</p> <p>25 through paragraph 11 and compare what you see in paragraph</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10126</p> <p>1 uiteraard dan verwoord, en dit is soos dit in sy verklaring</p> <p>2 vermeld is. Voorsitter, daar was ander opsies oorweeg en</p> <p>3 dit is op die einde van die dag is dit bevind dat dit nie</p> <p>4 geïmplementeer gaan word nie. Ek stem nie saam met die</p> <p>5 argument van Adv Ntsebeza nie.</p> <p>6 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Of course you don't</p> <p>7 agree because it gainsays anything that you have said so</p> <p>8 far to the contra –</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, it's not</p> <p>10 necessary to make comments on the answers the witness has</p> <p>11 given. Please concentrate on asking questions.</p> <p>12 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. Now</p> <p>13 in paragraph 18 of his statement, do you see paragraph 18</p> <p>14 of his statement?</p> <p>15 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Paragraaf 18</p> <p>16 van my eie verklaring, GGG1, ek merk dit op, Voorsitter.</p> <p>17 MR NTSEBEZA SC: You see for instance in</p> <p>18 paragraph 18 he does say what was happening at 6 o'clock in</p> <p>19 the morning on that day, on the 15th of August.</p> <p>20 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ekskuus</p> <p>21 Voorsitter, ek het dit verkeerd gehad. Ek dog dis my</p> <p>22 verklaring –</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that dealt with in</p> <p>24 17? "17, on Thursday, 16 August at 6 o'clock I chaired the</p> <p>25 JOCOM," and he goes on about what happened.</p>

Page 10127

1 MR NTSEBEZA SC: No. It starts -
 2 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: I think perhaps you
 3 can tell us first of all which statement are we looking at,
 4 Mr Ntsebeza.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: Presumably it's GGG -
 6 MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's Scott's statement.
 7 CHAIRPERSON: Oh, Scott's statement
 8 [inaudible] don't deal with, you see, so I thought
 9 obviously he deals with things in his statement. So it's
 10 Scott's statement.
 11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, Scott's
 12 statement. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, members of the
 13 Commission. You see in Scott's statement in paragraph 18,
 14 he says though, you know, he confines himself to what
 15 happened on Tuesday where inputs were made, but he does
 16 mention in the context of what happened on Wednesday, he
 17 tells us everything, and there's no suggestion there that
 18 there was a review of what had been agreed or there were
 19 further inputs or there were any criticisms, or there were
 20 things that were taken out of that statement. Do you see
 21 that?
 22 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg,
 23 Voorsitter.
 24 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And he then goes on to a
 25 debriefing in the evening of the, on the 15th, and he also

Page 10128

1 mentions a JOCOM meeting during the evening of the 15th in
 2 paragraphs 20 to 21. Do you see that?
 3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
 4 nee, ek sien nie die verwysing in die paragrawe na die
 5 JOCOM vergadering nie.
 6 CHAIRPERSON: Which paragraph are you
 7 referring to, Mr Ntsebeza? If you're talking about the
 8 debriefing, you're referring to para 20, and then in the
 9 second line it says it was reported to JOC that the AMCU
 10 leadership had informed the police. Admittedly it doesn't
 11 say about a committee meeting, but it certainly refers to
 12 reports made to the JOC.
 13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and in 21 he refers
 14 to discussions that took place at the JOC. Do you see
 15 that?
 16 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek neem dit
 17 waar, dis reg, Voorsitter.
 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And the point - I think
 19 I've already indicated to you that the point here is that
 20 there is no suggestion that at those meetings there was a
 21 review of the plan as we now know it, or there was any
 22 addition or a qualification, subtraction, to the plan.
 23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 24 tydens die JOCOM vergaderings was die, elke dag was die
 25 plan weer deurgegaan in terme van 'n Google lewendige

Page 10129

1 toeligtig.
 2 CHAIRPERSON: I think the point Mr
 3 Ntsebeza is endeavouring to make is that the plan was
 4 unchanged, that there were no modifications or
 5 qualifications to the plan. The plan had been laid out on
 6 Tuesday, and on Wednesday morning it was still in place,
 7 and I think the point he's now making is that by Wednesday
 8 evening there was still no change. Is that your point, Mr
 9 Ntsebeza?
 10 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed, Mr
 11 Chairman.
 12 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
 13 nee, daar was veranderings gemaak. Byvoorbeeld ons het
 14 "forward holding area" 2 het ons by gebring op die
 15 Woensdag.
 16 MR NTSEBEZA SC: What I'm putting to you,
 17 General, is that Scott doesn't say so, and I will take to
 18 what the other people you said were planning this, were
 19 conceptualising this plan with Merafe and everybody else.
 20 What I'm putting to you now is that he doesn't say so here
 21 that we made some changes to my plan as a consequence of A,
 22 B, C, D. It doesn't.
 23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 24 ek het reeds daarna verwys en dit was nie Scott se plan
 25 nie, soos wat die Advokaat nou gemeld het, Voorsitter, en

Page 10130

1 dat elke persoon kan self getuig in terme van wat hy of sy
 2 besluit het om in hulle verklarings te meld en wat hulle
 3 uitgelaat het. Dit neem nie weg dat daar sodanige
 4 besprekings plaasgevind het nie.
 5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I hear you, General.
 6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is net
 7 nie sodanig verwoord nie, Voorsitter. Dit was die
 8 operasionalisering van 'n plan. Dit was nie 'n behoorlike
 9 plan soos in wat mens geskryf het in terme van 'n OCT
 10 formaat nie.
 11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes? No, I hear what
 12 you are saying, but I want to put it to you that our
 13 argument will be that when there was input that Scott got
 14 from SAPS officers of whatever rank, he stated so in so
 15 many words.
 16 [14:46] CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question for
 17 you to ask Lieutenant-Colonel Scott when he comes? I'm not
 18 sure the witness can deal with it. But may I interrupt you
 19 for a moment and ask this question; you said there was at
 20 least one change on the Wednesday and that was forward
 21 holding area 2 was added. Can you remember who suggested
 22 that?
 23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 24 nee, ek kan nie onthou nie maar dit was met die addisionele
 25 senior offisiere wat ons van Gauteng gehad het, spesifiek

Page 10131

1 Brigadier Whitman en daar was 'n Kolonel Smit en nog 'n
2 kolonel van Openbare Orde Eenhede en dit was met die
3 addisionele personeel wat daar toe besluit is dat ons moet
4 'n addisionele tweede area identifiseer.

5 CHAIRPERSON: I see from slide 115 it
6 looks as if an additional 36 members had arrived, were
7 deployed to the Marikana region, which presumably is what
8 necessitated that change. Were there any other changes
9 that you can recall?

10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
11 ja, op 'n stadium was dit oorweeg om filter lyne, om dit
12 daar te stel vanaf al die windrigtings. Voorsitter, dan
13 was daar ook die kwessie wat -

14 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, but that wasn't
15 done. That change may have been - that possible change may
16 have been discussed but it wasn't accepted. Is that
17 correct?

18 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
19 ja, dit was bespreek maar dit was nie prakties uitvoerbaar
20 nie, weens 'n verskeidenheid van redes wat ek reeds na
21 verwys het.

22 CHAIRPERSON: But the question I'm asking
23 you is were there any changes effected, apart from the
24 addition of the extra forward holding area for the reasons
25 you have explained, on the Wednesday?

Page 10132

1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 dan ook die aanvanklike "encirclement" was die bedoeling
3 gewees dat ons draad reg rondom die groot koppie gooi.
4 Voorsitter, en daar was daarna verwys as die "encirclement
5 group." Voorsitter, die verandering wat ons toe ingebring
6 het is dat die ses Njala sal aanvanklik, hulle sou op die
7 Woensdag net in die reserwe groep gebly het op "forward
8 holding area" 1, en die besluit was toe gewees dat dit sal
9 slegs 'n reguit lyn maak aanvanklik met die sesde Njala net
10 ietwat weswaarts en toe lateraan te verskuif na ooswaarts
11 in terme van 'n fisiese lyn tussen die stakers en die
12 polisie.

13 CHAIRPERSON: If Colonel Scott's
14 description of the plan as he conceptualised it in fact
15 incorporates the subsequent amendments that were effected,
16 as you have explained, because I see he seems to speak
17 already of forward holding areas 1 and 2. This is the last
18 bullet on page 5, foot of page 5. So it would seem from
19 what you say that we can't rely entirely on his statement
20 for a description of the plan as originally conceptualised
21 by him, but - and I couldn't find the reference to the 360-
22 degree encirclement, unless I read it badly, which was
23 discarded later for the reasons you gave. So that's a
24 useful warning light that shines in respect of the accuracy
25 in some of the things Lieutenant-Colonel Scott says, but

Page 10133

1 anyway, we won't go there now.

2 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we seem to read
3 paragraph 10, page 5, just below the two bullet points, he
4 then deals with the forward holding area 2.

5 CHAIRPERSON: Where is this? You say
6 page 5?

7 MR SEMENYA SC: Page 5 just below the two
8 bullet points that are at the top of the page.

9 CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

10 MR SEMENYA SC: Then in that paragraph he
11 deals in parenthesis -

12 CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see, yes. Yes, I'm
13 sorry, you are correct. Originally in the passage to which
14 I referred where he was, he seemed to be talking about the
15 original conception, but you are correct, the passage in
16 square brackets higher up indicates that this is something
17 he introduced on the Wednesday. Thank you for drawing that
18 to my attention. What we were busy with, was - we went on
19 a "dwaalspoor" through my fault - was the changes that we
20 introduced to the original plan, and one was the addition
21 of forward holding area 2 occasioned by the arrival of
22 reinforcements from Gauteng, and the second was the
23 abandonment of the 360-degree encirclement. Were there any
24 other changes?

25 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,

Page 10134

1 klein veranderings. NIU het toe later, aanvanklik was dit
2 STF of NIU sou uit die Oryx helikopter uit gefunksioneer
3 het. Dit was toe lateraan net NIU geword.

4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: General, I notice you
5 seem to be fairly conversant with English and you have been
6 indicating to the interpreter how he is not interpreting
7 what you are saying. Are you comfortable and fairly
8 conversant with English?

9 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
10 laat ek dit sommer nou vir die rekord stel, ek is nie 'n
11 kampvegter vir Afrikaans nie.

12 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, it will be better -

13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: You are not a what?

14 CHAIRPERSON: He is not a "kampvegter," a
15 campaigner I would think.

16 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Oh, okay.

17 CHAIRPERSON: Everyone has the fullest
18 right to use his own language. If I was being cross-
19 examined in Afrikaans, I would prefer to give my evidence
20 in English, even though I could understand the questions
21 being put. I would be more at ease; I would feel I am
22 better able to do justice to what I wanted to say. So I
23 have no problem with the Major-General using his first
24 language. He is prepared to answer questions put to him in
25 English, and where he feels that there is something wrong

Page 10135

1 with the interpretation he corrects it, but I haven't got a
 2 problem with that myself. I will tell you what I would do
 3 in similar circumstances and I would, I know what your
 4 reaction would be, Mr Ntsebeza, so I won't ask you.

5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I'm not
 6 accusing the General of anything and I'm not, I'm just
 7 observing that Mathunjwa, whose first language is isiZulu
 8 and who is not clearly conversant with the English
 9 language, in the interests of progress spoke the English as
 10 he understands it and as he can, and all of us are of the
 11 view that he was -

12 CHAIRPERSON: That was his choice, he was
 13 given the opportunity.

14 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed.

15 CHAIRPERSON: But when the witness says
 16 something which counsel later want to use against the
 17 witness, the witness can't then be heard to say well I'm
 18 sorry, I was speaking in my second language, please forgive
 19 me.

20 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, no.

21 CHAIRPERSON: So in fact there are both,
 22 this sword is "n tweesnydende swaard." It's got edges on
 23 both sides. So anyway, let's carry on. Perhaps we can
 24 carry on after tea with this interesting linguistic
 25 discussion, if that's convenient for you, Mr Ntsebeza?

Page 10136

1 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm quite comfortable
 2 with the General using Afrikaans.

3 CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Shall we take the
 4 tea adjournment then on that comfortable note?

5 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea
 7 adjournment.

8 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]

9 [15:23] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
 10 Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed.

11 CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e.

12 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, are you still
 13 on an existing point or are we moving on?

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NTSEBEZA SC (CONTD.):
 15 I will pursue that point. I want to be able to make
 16 submissions to you at the end of the day on the basis of
 17 evidence. Thanks, Mr Chairman. Now General, I have heard
 18 your evidence about what seems to be speaking to the
 19 implementation of the plan rather than to the
 20 conceptualisation thereof. I want to take you to paragraph
 21 29 of Scott's statement where he writes about a meeting
 22 that you chaired on the day of the killings, the 16th of
 23 August. He said that, "At about 13:30 I was called for
 24 special JOCOM meeting, which was chaired by Major-General
 25 Annandale. Most of the senior command representing their

Page 10137

1 fields were present, including the senior command of the
 2 operation. The operation was described as tense on the
 3 ground at Wonderkop, with the police media liaison officer,
 4 Captain Dennis Adriaio, reporting that the media who had at
 5 all times been amongst the protesters were moving behind
 6 police lines for protection as they now feared for their
 7 lives. The brief was that the police needed to move to
 8 implement stage 3 of the operational strategy." Then
 9 paragraph 30 he says, "I briefed the JOCOM on a strategy to
 10 employ the dispersion action with the aim of breaking the
 11 protesters up using crowd management dispersion techniques,
 12 water cannons, teargas, and if necessary, stun grenades and
 13 rubber bullets, displacing them from the koppie, then
 14 confronting smaller groups to disarm and arrest those with
 15 dangerous weapons. Should the protesters advance on the
 16 POP dispersion line and the members' lives become
 17 endangered, the members would tactically retreat to their
 18 Nyalas, leaving the TRT, NIU, and STF line behind them to
 19 confront the protesters." Then paragraph 32, let's read 31
 20 as well, "The second line of tactical force was armed with
 21 their standard issue weapons, with sharp-point ammunition.
 22 Two STF teams were to be placed in rows of Nyalas
 23 overlooking the protesters in order to provide feedback on
 24 protester activities, and if necessary engage any threat
 25 posed by protesters taking up higher ground and shooting at

Page 10138

1 police members from their advantage position. The proposal
 2 was accepted by the JOCOM and I was instructed by Major-
 3 General Annandale to brief the commanders. I made the
 4 arrangement over the radio to meet the commanders from the
 5 different units and deployment points. At forward holding
 6 area 1 Brigadier Pretorius, the JOC staff officer,
 7 accompanying me to forward holding area 1, arriving at
 8 14:30. I briefed the commanders and explained the stage 3
 9 deployment strategy with reference to [inaudible], the same
 10 one I used to describe the strategy to the JOCOM. The
 11 briefing was as follows," and then he gives a detail of the
 12 briefing. Do you see that?

13 What I want to put to you is the following, that
 14 from all of that what essentially comes out is that Scott
 15 briefed the JOCOM on stage 3, that it was accepted and
 16 approved, and there is nothing there where he even hints
 17 that he had to adapt the plan at that stage based on
 18 suggestions from members of JOCOM or anyone else. What's
 19 your comment on that?

20 GENERAAL-MAJoor ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 21 die plan was reeds ooreengekom. Dit was om net weer deur
 22 die pertinente detail te gaan en dan was daar addisionele
 23 lug, of 'n Google lugfoto wat dit net beter grafies
 24 aangedui het in terme van hoe die plan homself gaan uitrol.
 25 Daar was nie werklik verandering in terme van hoe die plan

Page 10139

1 bespreek was die oggend van die 16de tydens die JOCOM
 2 vergadering nie. Daar was net meer detail gegee in terme
 3 van die pertinente koppie wat TRT sal moet vee, en dan hoe
 4 Taakmag en NIU die groter koppie sal vee. Daar was
 5 bevestiging gegee dat die sesde Njala van posisie
 6 intussentyd verskuif het. Dit is basies net in verdere
 7 fases opgedeel en dan pertinent dat die draad wat nou
 8 geprepositioneer was, dat dit nou sal ontplooi word.

9 MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact I'm going to
 10 refer you – and I'm told it is not yet an exhibit, Mr
 11 Chairman, but there is an additional affidavit made by
 12 Scott in which he makes it very clear - or clearer, if he
 13 has not made it clear so far – that he drafted the plan,
 14 that it was his plan and all he did was to take it to your
 15 good selves for approval and implementation.

16 CHAIRPERSON: We'd been given copies of a
 17 document headed "Affidavit," which appears to be a second
 18 affidavit, or certainly a further affidavit, I'm not sure
 19 if it's second or subsequent, unsigned, but dated November.

20 MR NTSEBEZA SC: It is signed, Mr
 21 Chairman. Certainly the one I have, on the last page
 22 thereof, 19th of November 2012.

23 CHAIRPERSON: On my copy I haven't got
 24 that.

25 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I believe there's a

Page 10140

1 signed – I don't know what's going on. Maybe yours is not
 2 signed –

3 CHAIRPERSON: No well, I don't know,
 4 there's something strange happening here. My two
 5 colleagues have got a statement dated the 19th of November,
 6 signed by Lieutenant-Colonel Scott. I've got a, what might
 7 be interpreted as a concept, it's a statement in blank with
 8 slightly different pagination, the date not filled in.
 9 Anyway, so there are different copies of the same document
 10 floating around. I don't know why I'm a disadvantaged
 11 citizen and not given the one signed and dated.

12 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I've been given only the
 13 one –

14 CHAIRPERSON: I would have thought,
 15 regard being had to my position in the chair, I'm entitled
 16 to be treated at least on the same basis as everybody else.
 17 But I suppose one must accept these problems with
 18 equanimity. Right, I've now got a proper document. It's
 19 exhibit GGG39, I take it. It's its second statement, or
 20 shall I just call it "Further statement?" Further
 21 statement, Lieutenant-Colonel Scott, dated 19/11/2012. I
 22 don't know why we weren't given this previously, but I
 23 suppose I must be grateful we have it at least now.
 24 Exhibit GGG39. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza?

25 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.

Page 10141

1 What exhibit number has it been given?
 2 CHAIRPERSON: GGG39.
 3 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
 4 I thought the Chairman would use the fancier, call it
 5 triple G, 39.
 6 CHAIRPERSON: If you want me to say that
 7 I will.
 8 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now do you have the
 9 triple G, 39, or GGG39, General?
 10 MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: I have the
 11 document described in both ways, yes, Sir.
 12 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I would like to draw
 13 your attention to paragraph 4 thereof. He says there, "In
 14 my affidavit of 18 October 2012 I described a three-stage
 15 strategy of dealing with the problem at the koppie." We
 16 already have that. "My strategy in fact comprised six
 17 stages. The reason I did not mention stages 4 to 6 was
 18 that these stages were never implemented because
 19 developments that day, 16 August 2012, did not unfold as we
 20 had anticipated. The plan that I proposed to the JOC did
 21 not anticipate an armed attack on the police line by the
 22 protesters while the police were preparing for the tactical
 23 option. When that happened, some of the planned stages had
 24 to be adjusted (stage 4) or become temporarily unattainable
 25 for that day (stages 5 and 6). Those stages involved the

Page 10142

1 following actions. Finally, I want to add that in my 21
 2 years at the SAPS, 19 of which were in the STF, I'm not
 3 aware that SAPS has ever," as oppose, not never, "has ever
 4 been confronted with a situation of the kind with which
 5 members were confronted on the ground on 16 August 2012.
 6 The plan or strategy that I prepared and proposed for
 7 adoption by the JOCOM was the first of its kind. Neither
 8 crowd management strategies, for which Standing Order 262
 9 provides, nor the hostage management strategies were
 10 appropriate in isolation. I thus devised what I considered
 11 at the time to be an appropriate plan for an unprecedented
 12 situation, being one which had to be encompassed, the
 13 principle of Standing Order 262, but moving beyond the
 14 restriction of the Standing Order to effectively plan the
 15 disarming of the protesters while considering the
 16 protection of the police officers and the community in the
 17 area when dealing" – so, and then he ends off what I want
 18 to be bringing to your attention. In paragraph 7, the last
 19 sentence in paragraph 7 seems to – and I will submit that
 20 is so – again he says, "The only alternative in my
 21 respectful submission, considering the circumstances, was
 22 to employ defensive strategy to limit the opportunity for
 23 attacks on police members and other possible targets, such
 24 as the media, by restricting access by armed protesters to
 25 them."

Page 10143

1 So the point here I'm making, which is the point
 2 I've been making throughout, is that there doesn't seem to
 3 be any doubt – and so we will argue – that this is a plan
 4 which is conceptualised by this officer whom you had
 5 invited to come and plan for how to deal with the situation
 6 that had arisen, and unlike earlier on when you were
 7 discussion the overall operational strategy and mission,
 8 after that had been approved, there doesn't seem to be
 9 anywhere where that plan is not his and all he does is to
 10 submit to JOCOM the plan for implementation and/or
 11 approval.

12 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 13 my antwoord en kommentaar bly presies dieselfde as wat ek
 14 deurgaans na verwys het tydens my getuienislewering en ook
 15 in kruisonderoervraging.

16 [15:43] Voorsitter, in respek vir die tyd wat dit sal in
 17 beslag neem, gaan ek nie deur al die detail gaan nie want
 18 daar is verskeie verwysings in terme van Kolonel Scott se
 19 rol en die ander [onhoorbaar] se rol. Voorsitter, ek wil
 20 net twee verdere verwysings gee wat dit miskien sal
 21 duideliker stel. Selfs as 'n mnr White of 'n mnr Hendrickx
 22 of 'n mnr De Rover vir ons 'n beplanning aangestuur het en
 23 ons het dit aanvaar, dan is dit nie een van hulle
 24 beplannings nie, dan dit word die oorhoofse bevelvoerder en
 25 die JOCOM se beplanning, en as ek 'n brief skryf aan die

Page 10144

1 volgende gesag en ek vra my sekretaresse om die brief vir
 2 my te verwoord en ek plaas my handtekening daarop, dan is
 3 dit my brief, nie haar brief nie.

4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I put it to you,
 5 General, that you understand very well that is not what I'm
 6 suggesting to you. You testified that this was never
 7 Scott's plan. You testified that it was a police plan that
 8 was collective input that was received from all the
 9 commanders. You testified that during the JOCOM meetings
 10 you had most of the officers, most of the commanders
 11 present, and you testified that how the process worked is
 12 that Scott was responsible to coordinate the input. Now I
 13 am putting to you that with all due respect to your
 14 reference to a PA or a secretary, that Scott was not just a
 15 secretary. This was his - what do you call it? This was
 16 his plan. There's an English word I want to put, like you
 17 know, this was his baby. He was the architect.

18 CHAIRPERSON: Is "brainchild" perhaps the
 19 word?

20 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Brainchild, there you
 21 are. There you are, Mr Chairman. This was, in all
 22 seriousness, the plan that got to be accepted by JOCOM, was
 23 his brainchild. After that meeting on the Tuesday where a
 24 broad strategy and a mission had been dealt with and inputs
 25 were received, he then went on and conceptualised the plan,

Page 10145

1 brought it to you and that was the plan that you
 2 implemented. No change was made to it after that meeting
 3 on the Tuesday.

4 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
 5 ek volstaan met my antwoord, getuienis, en kommentaar.

6 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, indeed. Now let's
 7 look at the affidavits of the commanders whom you testified
 8 had made suggestions or had provided input into the plan,
 9 and Ms Jele may have canvassed this thing with you. Was it
 10 your testimony, if I follow the transcripts well, that
 11 Merafe, Colonel Merafe assisted Scott during the early
 12 morning of 14 August?

13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
 14 korrek, Voorsitter.

15 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And of course I should
 16 ask this from Scott, but you don't, you have no explanation
 17 as to why Scott himself does not make any specific mention
 18 of Merafe?

19 CHAIRPERSON: How can you expect him,
 20 this witness, to answer that question?

21 MR NTSEBEZA SC: He may know, Mr
 22 Chairman, but I want this, but I just put it to you that
 23 there is no specific mention of Merafe having helped Scott,
 24 given that this is what you had expected to happen.

25 MR SEMENYA SC: That is also not

Page 10146

1 completely correct, Chair, because the views of the police,
 2 says Colonel Scott, were considered.

3 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, could you repeat it?

4 MR SEMENYA SC: That the views of the
 5 police as far as Colonel Scott's statement goes, were
 6 considered. So to say it did not have that of Merafe is
 7 technically not correct.

8 CHAIRPERSON: It sounds like a good
 9 point, Mr Ntsebeza. What do you say about it?

10 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I didn't hear it, Mr
 11 Chairman.

12 CHAIRPERSON: Well, he repeated it twice,
 13 but you can say it again for the benefit of Mr Ntsebeza.

14 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, to say that the
 15 statement of Colonel Scott does not mention the input by
 16 Merafe is not correct, when the statement of Colonel Scott
 17 itself says the views of the police were considered.

18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see. Mr Chairman, the
 19 only thing I isolate, the only basis I'm isolating Colonel
 20 Merafe seems to be what comes out of the evidence where the
 21 witness mentions Merafe as having being one of the people
 22 who he had - I put the question just now whether it is so
 23 that in terms of his testimony Merafe had assisted
 24 Lieutenant-Colonel Scott during the early morning of the
 25 14th of August 2012, and the witness said yes. So it was

Page 10147

1 only on that basis because he doesn't, he seems to remember
 2 that Merafe is one of the people who helped Scott with the
 3 plan, and all I was putting to the witness is that there
 4 doesn't seem to be any mention anywhere in the statements
 5 available so far by Scott, that one of the people that he
 6 worked with was Mr Merafe.
 7 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, do you wish to
 8 reply?
 9 MR SEMENYA SC: That Colonel Merafe is a
 10 policeman, so if the views of the police are taken into
 11 account, how can my learned colleague conclude that those
 12 of Colonel Merafe were not?
 13 CHAIRPERSON: Which paragraph in
 14 particular are you referring to?
 15 MR SEMENYA SC: Paragraph 8.
 16 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Scott's statement in
 17 paragraph 8, in fact paragraph 8 -
 18 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, paragraph 8,
 19 line 9 he says, having described what he did, how he worked
 20 on the operational strategy, he says, "I concluded an
 21 appreciation of the environment" -
 22 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
 23 CHAIRPERSON: - "incorporating the
 24 background information I had been given during the course
 25 of the night, and reflected the police's views on how to

Page 10148

1 deal with the situation as was discussed that evening." So
 2 what he is saying is that he incorporated into the document
 3 he prepared, the views of the police which had been
 4 communicated to him and as had been discussed the evening
 5 before. So he does at least there refer to other policemen
 6 and views that had been communicated to him.
 7 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, the one
 8 point I'm making is a very narrow one as far as this is
 9 concerned.
 10 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, the other point -
 11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm simply saying this
 12 witness has accepted that in his testimony he, Lieutenant-
 13 Colonel Merafe worked with Scott. Now, and I prefaced my
 14 question by saying maybe it's a question that I must put to
 15 Scott when Scott testifies, or if he comes to testify.
 16 CHAIRPERSON: I understand he will, and
 17 if he is not called we will subpoena him.
 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, Mr Chairman. So
 19 all I was putting to the witness is that Scott doesn't
 20 mention having, maybe it is because it is included in that
 21 globular statement in paragraph 8 where he says the police,
 22 the police being everybody, including Merafe, but having
 23 been specifically mentioned, we will go to what Merafe
 24 himself says and see -
 25 CHAIRPERSON: No, Mr Ntsebeza, the

Page 10149

1 problem I have got is these are points that you can put to
 2 Lieutenant-Colonel Scott. You can also find out from
 3 Colonel Merafe what input, if any, he provided.
 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: But I don't know that this
 6 witness can be called upon to answer for deficiencies which
 7 you allege exist in Lieutenant-Colonel Scott's statement or
 8 even the fact that there, Merafe doesn't claim the credit
 9 for anything in his statement. Maybe he is more modest
 10 than Scott.
 11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, I seek to
 12 do no more. I merely canvass what I have canvassed this
 13 morning and afternoon, and simply to say the plan was
 14 conceptualised and is Scott's brainchild, and if it assists
 15 then other objective evidence in the form of statements by
 16 Merafe and all the other people whom the witness himself
 17 indicates were the people who had assisted Scott, on the
 18 face of it those statements do not show that to be so. I
 19 seek to do no more.
 20 CHAIRPERSON: No, these are points for
 21 argument. I understand the, because you have made it clear
 22 what it is. I understand the argument that you wish to
 23 present.
 24 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
 25 CHAIRPERSON: And you have extracted a

Page 10150

1 certain amount of material from this witness from which you
 2 are going to base your argument, but I don't know if you
 3 can take it any further at this stage.
 4 MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's okay, Mr
 5 Chairman.
 6 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not hampering you in
 7 your cross-examination, I'm just commenting that I wonder
 8 whether you have taken this point as far as is necessary in
 9 your case, and perhaps you should move onto another point,
 10 if you have one.
 11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Die agteros kom ook in
 12 die kraal.
 13 MR MAHLANGU: What that means is even the
 14 cow that is following right at the back eventually arrives
 15 at the kraal.
 16 CHAIRPERSON: The ox, the rear ox also
 17 gets home to the kraal eventually.
 18 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Chairman, I did indicate
 19 right from the start that some of the questions put to the
 20 General, I don't seek to traverse them more than it is
 21 necessary. It's just that certain aspects thereof I would
 22 like - but I accept what the Chairperson has indicated and
 23 all I was going to put to the General, and perhaps that
 24 would be something that we could do after the adjournment -
 25 CHAIRPERSON: Do you mean, by after the

1 adjournment do you mean here today after we have adjourned,
2 or tomorrow morning?

3 MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, tomorrow morning, Mr
4 Chairman.

5 CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

6 MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was going to go to a
7 new area of -

8 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, we'll move on to a new
9 area and we can do that more appropriately tomorrow
10 morning.

11 MR NTSEBEZA SC: As the Commission
12 pleases.

13 CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will now
14 adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning.

15 [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]

16 .
17 .
18 .
19 .
20 .
21 .
22 .
23 .
24 .
25 .



A				
aan 10060:23 10062:18 10067:7 10072:21 10075:3,4 10076:5,17 10078:21 10080:16 10081:11 10098:2,4 10098:21 10115:17 10123:23 10124:13 10143:25	addisionele 10106:23 10130:24 10131:3,4 10138:22 addition 10128:22 10131:24 10133:20 additional 10085:16 10121:13 10131:6 10139:11 address 10062:22 10072:7,17,25 addressing 10075:25 adjourn 10088:6 10151:14 adjourned 10151:1,15 adjournment 10088:5 10088:10,11 10119:2 10136:4,7 10150:24 10151:1 ADJOURNS 10088:12 10119:4 10136:8 adjusted 10141:24 admission 10078:2 admit 10111:8 Admittedly 10128:10 adopt 10076:8 10094:1 10094:4 adopted 10107:24 10109:22 10111:25 10112:3,15 10114:1 10120:22 10121:17 adoption 10142:7 Adriao 10137:4 Adv 10062:17 10082:10 10108:14 10120:7 10126:5 advance 10137:15 advantage 10138:1 advocate 10059:10 10104:22 Advokaat 10089:9 10129:25 af 10075:17 affidavit 10118:22 10119:12 10121:6,13 10122:2 10125:7 10139:11,17,18,18 10141:14 affidavits 10145:7 afraid 10082:15,17 10106:3 African 10082:6 Afrikaans 10134:11,19 10136:2 afspeel 10075:13 10091:8 aft 10082:19 afternoon 10086:13 10087:6 10149:13 ag 10075:20 10118:2 aggression 10107:14 aggressive 10068:21 10069:2 agley 10082:19 ago 10077:17 agree 10062:6 10063:22 10064:3	10065:15 10072:18 10087:21 10116:10 10117:9 10126:7 agreed 10127:18 agreement 10064:7 10089:18 agtergebly 10060:23 10066:9 agteros 10150:11 ahead 10074:23 aim 10137:10 al 10076:15 10078:6 10080:17 10098:23 10116:22 10123:4 10131:12 10143:17 Aldus 10061:9 alhoewel 10071:20 allege 10149:7 allegedly 10068:5 alleges 10064:13 allow 10095:24 10096:6 10099:11 allowed 10062:22,23,24 alreeds 10109:12 Alright 10101:6 10136:3 alternative 10142:20 altyd 10089:9 AMCU 10060:22 10061:5,8 10066:9,17 10066:20 10071:4,10 10071:16,22,25 10072:3,12,16,21,24 10073:4,7,11,22,25 10074:10 10128:9 amendments 10132:15 ammunition 10137:21 amount 10150:1 ander 10070:12 10098:20 10116:22 10118:20 10126:2 10143:19 and/or 10143:10 answer 10094:24 10104:9 10107:6 10108:1 10110:7 10134:24 10145:20 10149:6 answered 10080:2 10102:5,16 answers 10100:16 10126:10 anticipate 10141:21 anticipated 10141:20 antwoord 10143:13 10145:5 anybody 10111:4 anyway 10099:11 10133:1 10134:12 10135:23 10140:9 apart 10086:15 10131:23 apologise 10077:24 Apology 10071:12 apparently 10069:3 appear 10068:22	10085:22 appeared 10081:2 appears 10073:6 10074:9 10114:5 10120:12 10124:16 10139:17 appellation 10105:2,3 applied 10103:19 applies 10085:2 apply 10060:9 10093:16 applying 10093:18 appreciate 10100:9 appreciation 10108:2 10147:21 approach 10112:1 appropriate 10142:10 10142:11 appropriately 10151:9 approval 10107:9 10139:15 10143:11 approved 10111:17 10121:4 10138:16 10143:8 approves 10117:19 architect 10144:17 area 10079:1,8 10094:25 10095:3 10096:4 10101:10,13 10107:19 10129:14 10130:21 10131:4,24 10132:8 10133:4,21 10138:6,7 10142:17 10151:7,9 areas 10132:17 argue 10065:23 10093:18 10125:18 10143:3 arguing 10116:4 argument 10092:23 10093:12,23 10126:5 10130:13 10149:21 10149:22 10150:2 arisen 10110:21 10143:6 armed 10137:20 10141:21 10142:24 arms 10071:5 10075:23 10076:11 arrangement 10059:18 10138:4 arrangements 10061:4 arrest 10083:5 10137:14 arrestasies 10080:17 10080:20 10081:11 10086:11 arrested 10080:3 10081:15 10086:1,3,3 arrests 10080:1,3,6,12 arrival 10133:21 arrived 10131:6 arrives 10150:14 arriving 10138:7 asked 10060:13,15 10068:19 10069:1	10078:12 10079:23 10079:25 10096:22 10100:12,18 10101:11,23 asking 10060:7 10089:24 10100:14 10101:8 10102:15,20 10126:11 10131:22 aspect 10060:12 10061:13,22 10062:7 10089:3 10094:18 aspects 10100:5 10150:21 aspekte 10089:21 assembled 10116:6 assent 10085:14 assist 10065:17 10087:22 assisted 10145:11 10146:23 10149:17 assists 10149:14 assumed 10082:9 assumes 10090:3 assumption 10071:9,15 10092:13 attack 10141:21 attacks 10142:23 attending 10102:24 attention 10061:18 10069:17 10082:10 10120:8 10122:14,24 10133:18 10141:13 10142:18 August 10059:12 10062:21,24 10067:3 10067:12,23 10069:21 10070:4 10071:3 10076:9 10079:21,22 10080:8 10080:12 10086:2,3,4 10086:7,13 10090:14 10099:2,3 10107:20 10126:19,24 10136:23 10141:19 10142:5 10145:12 10146:25 author 10105:22,24 10111:2 10116:3 available 10064:15 10084:21 10097:6 10147:5 aware 10061:22 10090:25 10092:18 10096:13,18 10097:21,22 10142:3
				B
				B 10129:22 baby 10144:17 back 10064:3,13 10072:18 10073:11 10073:22 10074:1,12 10075:23 10076:13 10076:22 10088:16 10094:23,24 10095:2 10095:4 10121:22

10150:14 background 10108:3 10147:24 bad 10063:10 badly 10132:22 baie 10118:14 barbed 10069:9 base 10150:2 based 10064:14 10111:23 10112:3,13 10121:16 10138:17 basically 10068:25 10093:25 basies 10123:2,14 10139:6 basis 10064:19 10066:23 10090:10 10091:23 10092:22 10095:18,21 10098:11,15 10105:10 10113:4 10115:1 10136:16 10140:16 10146:19 10147:1 batch 10082:11 beampte 10118:15 bear 10065:18 10101:8 10106:19 bedoeling 10132:2 beeldmateriaal 10091:15 beg 10062:9 begeleiding 10059:17 10059:22 10060:23 10063:6 10066:8,12 begins 10082:6,12 behalf 10085:13 beheer 10076:6 10078:19 behoorlike 10130:8 beide 10075:17 10087:11 belangrikste 10109:20 believe 10077:7,21 10082:2 10139:25 believed 10075:22 benadering 10110:5 benefit 10093:5 10115:10 10146:13 beplanner 10109:25 beplanning 10109:2,24 10110:2,5,14,15 10143:22,25 beplannings 10143:24 beplanningsspan 10109:25 besig 10059:16 10060:5 10079:1 besit 10098:21 beskikbaar 10059:23 10087:19 10090:16 10091:16 10097:25 10098:2,5,6,20 beskikbaarheid 10097:14 beskikbaarstel	10062:16 beslag 10143:17 besluit 10066:10 10075:11 10076:16 10130:2 10131:3 10132:8 besoek 10063:4 bespreek 10131:19 10139:1 bespreking 10097:14 10098:1 besprekings 10130:4 best 10082:18 beteken 10080:20 10110:2 beter 10138:23 betrokke 10072:1 10109:18 10115:21 10116:23 better 10134:12,22 bevel 10075:20 bevelvoerder 10059:21 10067:7 10075:1,3,5 10075:11,12,18,19,20 10110:3 10115:19 10143:24 bevelvoerders 10078:6 10079:1 10118:4 bevestig 10066:13 bevestiging 10066:8 10078:20 10139:5 bevind 10126:3 bewerkstellig 10065:13 bewus 10074:18 10090:16 10091:7 10097:16 10098:18 10098:22 bewysstuk 10071:24 10098:3 10108:25 10120:5 10124:11 bewysstukke 10062:17 10062:18 beyond 10142:13 bladysy 10084:3 10108:25 10109:21 10117:4 10121:25 10123:3,6,23,24 10124:12 blank 10140:7 bly 10143:13 blyk 10124:1 bogged 10106:3 bokant 10123:23 book 10061:3 10068:17 10068:25 10073:5 Botha 10097:24 bother 10065:24,25 brackets 10133:16 brainchild 10144:18,20 10144:23 10149:14 branded 10066:16,21 break 10092:25 10095:18 10118:24 breaking 10095:19 10137:10 brief 10137:7 10138:3	10143:25 10144:1,3,3 briefed 10137:9 10138:8,15 briefing 10079:2,21,25 10138:11,12 Brigadier 10059:16,19 10060:21 10061:3,10 10066:12 10069:7 10073:15 10074:8 10078:6 10079:17 10096:10 10097:8,15 10098:7 10105:6,12 10118:7,19 10119:21 10119:25 10131:1 10138:6 bringing 10065:18 10142:18 broad 10110:20 10113:10,10,18 10144:24 broken 10092:17 brought 10145:1 brush 10113:10,18 builds 10121:13 bullet 10123:2,3,19,21 10132:18 10133:3,8 bullets 10123:6,15,18 10123:23 10137:13 bundel 10084:2,3 bundle 10082:5,23,23 10083:7,8,12 10088:15 bundles 10082:4 bush 10061:20 bussie 10059:23 busy 10133:18 byvoeg 10118:10 Byvoorbeeld 10129:13	canvassed 10091:19 10145:9 10149:12 can't 10061:19 10064:7 10081:8 10084:25 10093:10 10094:19 10095:19 10101:19 10117:14,17 10132:19 10135:17 Captain 10066:18,22 10137:4 captured 10108:10 carry 10135:23,24 case 10077:24 10083:1 10083:2 10084:10 10093:12,13 10150:9 CAS118/8/2012 10083:2 caution 10107:13 10113:22 certain 10150:1,21 certainly 10072:24 10104:5 10105:12 10128:11 10139:18 10139:21 chair 10059:8 10060:11 10061:21 10064:18 10065:2 10066:24 10069:16 10082:25 10085:3,10 10088:1,7 10090:2 10092:6 10094:2,8 10095:9,23 10101:19 10102:10 10103:24 10104:3 10111:12 10114:3 10119:25 10133:2 10140:15 10146:1,14 chaired 10126:24 10136:22,24 Chairman 10088:19 10089:1 10093:2 10094:5 10096:8 10099:15 10101:10 10104:14 10105:19 10106:7,20 10108:22 10118:24 10119:11 10120:15 10126:12 10129:11 10135:5 10136:17 10139:11 10139:21 10140:25 10141:3,4 10144:21 10145:22 10146:11 10146:18 10148:7,18 10149:11 10150:5,18 10151:4 challenged 10060:17 10061:14 10103:2 chance 10099:10 change 10129:8 10130:20 10131:8,15 10131:15 10145:2 changes 10125:19 10129:21 10131:8,23 10133:19,24 changing 10070:1 charged 10085:25 CHARL 10059:4	10088:23 10119:7 10136:11 check 10102:8 choice 10135:12 chopper 10087:5 chose 10116:15 circulate 10085:4 circumstances 10063:14 10064:2 10093:11 10135:3 10142:21 circumstantial 10093:10,16,19 10094:9 citizen 10140:11 civil 10093:15 civilian 10081:4 10099:23 civilians 10081:1,2 claim 10149:8 clarify 10079:12 10103:20 10112:25 clear 10099:22 10111:19 10113:16 10125:17 10139:12 10139:13 10149:21 clearer 10139:12 clearly 10135:8 clients 10066:19 colleague 10090:6 10147:11 colleagues 10089:19 10140:5 collective 10103:8 10105:1 10144:8 collusion 10095:17,20 10096:1 Colonel 10069:8 10078:23 10121:6 10132:13 10145:11 10146:2,5,15,16,19 10147:9,12 10148:13 10149:3 Combi 10066:16,21 combined 10083:8 10107:18 come 10074:1 10090:12 10091:25 10100:11 10103:22 10106:11 10112:2 10113:5,16 10116:12 10117:13 10143:5 comes 10112:15 10113:7,9,11 10130:17 10138:14 10146:20 10148:15 comfortable 10134:7 10136:1,4 command 10069:8 10136:25 10137:1 commanders 10103:9 10111:15,19 10121:1 10138:3,4,8 10144:9 10144:10 10145:7 comment 10063:1 10065:23 10066:4
C				
C 10129:22 Calitz 10069:7 10073:13,15 10074:8 10078:6 10079:17 10118:7,19 10120:1 Calitz's 10119:21 call 10082:20 10104:1 10140:20 10141:4 10144:15 called 10103:13 10104:6,7,19 10119:20 10136:23 10148:17 10149:6 calling 10104:4 calls 10086:16,23 10087:1 10114:15 camera 10092:1 cameras 10092:19 10094:13 campaigner 10134:15 cannons 10137:12 canvas 10089:3 10105:20 10113:10 10113:19 canvass 10100:23 10149:12				

<p>10076:1 10093:23 10101:13 10125:14 10138:19 commenting 10150:7 comments 10092:23 10110:20 10115:10 10126:10 Commission 10059:2 10060:18 10061:14 10061:23 10088:12 10088:12,13 10089:1 10089:16,17,18 10090:11 10093:1,2 10097:4 10098:15 10116:4 10119:4,4,5 10120:8 10124:7,19 10125:20 10127:13 10136:8,8,9 10151:11 10151:13,15 Commissioner 10064:5 10080:5 10119:14 10127:2 Commissioners 10119:19 Commissioner's 10079:20,25 commit 10085:19 commitment 10064:1 committee 10128:11 communicated 10148:4 10148:6 community 10142:16 compare 10123:17 10124:25 10125:8 completely 10146:1 comply 10084:25 component 10122:19 10122:22 comprised 10141:16 comprising 10123:20 conceded 10063:9 10067:1 10099:8 conceived 10103:14 concentrate 10126:11 concept 10115:16 10140:7 conception 10133:15 conceptualisation 10111:3 10136:20 conceptualise 10113:8 10116:17 10117:16 conceptualised 10103:14 10114:12 10114:21 10121:12 10124:24 10132:14 10132:20 10143:4 10144:25 10149:14 conceptualises 10117:1 10117:19 conceptualising 10129:19 concerned 10092:18 10096:1 10148:9 conclude 10147:11 concluded 10108:2 10147:20</p>	<p>concurr 10104:5 confines 10127:14 confirm 10069:19 confirmation 10121:22 confirms 10105:2 confront 10137:19 confronted 10142:4,5 confronting 10137:14 congratulation 10077:5 10077:6 connive 10090:5 conscious 10091:18 consequence 10129:21 considered 10067:22 10142:10 10146:2,6 10146:17 considering 10142:15 10142:21 consistent 10094:9,15 Constable 10084:10 10085:16 constables 10087:7 consulting 10111:17 contact 10065:24 contain 10111:10 10116:8 contained 10113:3,13 10125:20 CONTD 10059:7 10136:14 context 10101:5 10120:9,20 10127:16 contingent 10122:4,18 10122:21 continues 10119:5 contra 10126:8 contributes 10117:22 control 10078:3 10116:14 controversial 10064:9 controvert 10095:16 convenient 10088:4,10 10135:25 conversant 10134:5,8 10135:8 conversation 10078:23 10079:5 10110:12 converse 10106:17,18 coordinate 10109:9 10144:12 copies 10139:16 10140:9 copy 10139:23 correct 10062:11 10064:14 10065:18 10067:4,12,24 10068:12 10069:15 10069:21 10070:4,10 10070:24 10071:5,11 10071:17 10072:25 10073:8 10074:19,24 10076:13 10081:9 10083:13 10086:5,17 10087:8,23 10088:18 10095:5 10105:3 10106:8 10131:17</p>	<p>10133:13,15 10146:1 10146:7,16 correctly 10076:23 10077:19 10078:13 10097:18 corrects 10135:1 couldn't 10132:21 counsel 10088:17 10091:19 10093:9 10099:9 10101:11,14 10105:14 10120:8 10135:16 counsel's 10061:17 course 10064:12 10108:4 10124:2 10126:6 10145:15 10147:24 court 10085:22 covering 10090:24 cow 10150:14 credit 10149:8 crime 10081:25 10082:7 criticism 10105:8,11 criticisms 10127:19 critiqued 10117:18 cross 10089:3 10105:13 10134:18 cross-examination 10059:6,7 10084:20 10088:8,25 10104:11 10105:5 10119:10 10136:14 10150:7 cross-examine 10088:18 cross-examined 10099:9 10120:7 cross-examiner 10093:6 cross-examining 10066:25 crowd 10116:14 10137:11 10142:8 cured 10092:9</p> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <p>D 10129:22 daar 10060:20 10063:6 10065:11 10066:11 10067:19 10068:14 10070:12,14 10071:20,24 10073:2 10073:10,17,18 10074:5 10076:18 10080:16,17 10091:2 10091:7,15 10097:13 10097:13 10099:19 10115:14,20 10123:5 10125:12 10126:2 10129:13 10130:3 10131:1,3,12,13 10132:4 10138:22,25 10139:2,4 10143:18 daaraan 10109:14 daarby 10086:11 daardie 10075:3</p>	<p>10078:7 10080:23 daargestel 10091:10 daarin 10108:25 daarna 10060:22 10087:20 10108:24 10115:22 10129:24 10132:4 daaroor 10117:25 daarop 10144:2 daarso 10099:18 daarvan 10062:1 10066:9 10074:18 10090:16 10098:22 dag 10110:1 10126:3 10128:24 dan 10059:24 10060:22 10060:23 10066:12 10070:13 10071:19 10071:23 10072:1,3 10075:2,4,7,7,18 10078:20 10091:13 10091:14 10098:6,7 10109:12,17 10110:3 10115:14,18 10118:9 10123:25,25 10124:11,12 10126:1 10131:12 10132:2 10138:22 10139:3,7 10143:23,24 10144:2 danger 10100:24 dangerous 10137:15 Dankie 10099:4 dat 10060:4,22,23 10062:17 10063:3,5 10063:16,16,17,18 10065:7 10066:9 10071:20,21,25,25 10072:3,21 10073:19 10074:5 10075:1,4,6 10076:4,5 10077:1,2 10078:18,19,25 10080:19 10086:9,10 10089:10,21 10090:16,17 10091:5 10091:7,7,10 10098:2 10098:4,4,18,18,19 10110:1,3 10115:21 10116:20 10117:24 10118:7,10,13,16 10126:3 10130:1,3 10131:3 10132:3,6,8 10139:5,7,8 date 10140:8 dated 10139:19 10140:5,11,21 day 10062:24 10081:6 10093:18 10100:4 10116:5 10126:19 10136:16,22 10141:19,25 da-da-da-da 10123:20 10123:20 De 10143:22 deadlock 10064:21 deadlocked 10063:25 deal 10064:23</p>	<p>10093:23 10095:7 10108:5 10110:21 10116:12 10127:8 10130:18 10143:5 10148:1 dealing 10141:15 10142:17 deals 10062:7 10070:17 10083:18 10127:9 10133:4,11 dealt 10068:16 10113:5 10126:23 10144:24 debriefing 10127:25 10128:8 deceased 10099:24 decide 10108:19,20 decided 10066:3 10074:2,14 deciding 10069:20 10070:9 decision 10067:2,10,10 10067:15 10068:11 10070:23 10071:3 10074:20,23 10075:24 10076:11 10076:22 10077:12 10077:19 10078:1 defence 10085:4 defensive 10142:22 deficiencies 10149:6 definitely 10081:2 degree 10132:22 delegasie 10059:24 10060:22 10063:6 10066:9 delegation 10061:5,6,8 demonstrate 10113:23 Dennis 10137:4 deny 10066:19,20 10103:19 depend 10093:11 depending 10075:14 depends 10093:9 deploy 10122:4,18,20 deployed 10131:7 deploying 10107:20 deployment 10107:17 10114:13 10117:1 10122:3 10138:5,9 derde 10099:18 describe 10138:10 described 10073:4 10124:15 10137:2 10141:11,14 10147:19 describes 10123:21 describing 10123:11 description 10132:14 10132:20 desire 10096:23 desired 10107:17 10114:14 detail 10062:7 10081:8 10108:25 10123:4 10138:11,22 10139:2 10143:17</p>
--	--	---	--	--

<p>detailed 10060:12 10117:6,8 10125:7</p> <p>details 10083:19</p> <p>deur 10060:21 10067:6 10090:17 10097:24 10115:13,18,20 10123:4 10138:21 10143:17</p> <p>deurgaans 10108:12 10143:14</p> <p>deurgegaan 10128:25</p> <p>development 10111:16 10111:21 10121:2</p> <p>developments 10141:19</p> <p>devised 10125:18 10142:10</p> <p>dialogue 10107:9 10113:21 10122:20 10122:22</p> <p>didn't 10061:1 10064:12 10077:7,21 10085:6 10093:13 10097:9 10098:25 10102:22 10116:2,13 10146:10</p> <p>died 10081:1</p> <p>dieselfde 10097:16 10098:7 10116:22 10143:13</p> <p>difference 10123:9</p> <p>differences 10122:17 10122:17,24,25</p> <p>different 10090:10 10105:24 10119:24 10138:5 10140:8,9</p> <p>difficulty 10074:15</p> <p>dink 10061:25 10073:18 10087:10</p> <p>direct 10093:7</p> <p>dis 10068:1,13 10069:22 10070:12 10073:10 10074:18 10080:9 10081:18 10084:3 10099:25 10100:7 10110:14 10117:4 10120:16 10122:11 10123:22 10125:11 10126:21 10127:22 10128:17</p> <p>disadvantaged 10140:10</p> <p>disagree 10110:7 10116:6</p> <p>disarm 10137:14</p> <p>disarming 10142:15</p> <p>discarded 10081:23 10132:23</p> <p>disciplines 10111:15,20 10121:2</p> <p>disclose 10089:17 10097:10</p> <p>discussed 10108:6 10122:9 10131:16 10148:1,4</p> <p>discussion 10113:12</p>	<p>10115:7 10135:25 10143:7</p> <p>discussions 10128:14</p> <p>dispersion 10137:10,11 10137:16</p> <p>displacing 10137:13</p> <p>distinct 10110:11 10113:7,17</p> <p>distributed 10062:14</p> <p>docket 10081:20,21 10082:3,7 10083:2,5 10085:23</p> <p>dockets 10081:21 10083:1</p> <p>document 10081:25 10082:9,16,20 10085:5 10119:20 10139:17 10140:9,18 10141:11 10148:2</p> <p>documents 10081:24 10082:11,13,22 10084:20,23 10085:8</p> <p>doen 10059:22 10063:20 10096:11 10125:24</p> <p>doesn't 10085:11 10113:3 10117:16 10128:10 10129:17 10129:20,22 10143:2 10143:8 10147:1,4 10148:19 10149:8</p> <p>dog 10126:21</p> <p>doing 10112:24</p> <p>don't 10078:11 10083:2 10097:18 10101:12,22 10112:22,25 10116:6 10119:11 10125:2 10126:6 10127:8 10140:1,3,10,22</p> <p>doubt 10105:22 10143:3</p> <p>draad 10132:3 10139:7</p> <p>draai 10076:18</p> <p>drafted 10139:13</p> <p>draw 10061:17 10093:22 10122:14 10122:23 10141:12</p> <p>drawing 10069:17 10111:10 10133:17</p> <p>drawn 10082:10 10105:10</p> <p>draws 10096:3</p> <p>dreigement 10068:14</p> <p>drew 10120:8</p> <p>drie 10086:19,20,21 10109:16</p> <p>drive 10081:21</p> <p>driven 10066:17,20</p> <p>due 10085:21 10144:13</p> <p>dui 10072:21</p> <p>duideliker 10143:21</p> <p>duidelikheid 10117:25</p> <p>dwaalspoor 10133:19</p> <p>dwel 10099:15</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <p>earlier 10064:1 10067:2 10105:5 10143:6</p> <p>early 10079:21 10086:4 10145:11 10146:24</p> <p>ease 10134:21</p> <p>edges 10135:22</p> <p>eed 10059:3 10088:22 10119:6 10136:10</p> <p>een 10062:18 10067:25 10083:21 10084:2 10091:6 10143:23</p> <p>Eenhede 10131:2</p> <p>eers 10080:21</p> <p>eerste 10084:3 10115:22 10123:2,2,3 10123:6,15</p> <p>effect 10114:5 10121:1</p> <p>effected 10131:23 10132:15</p> <p>effectively 10142:14</p> <p>effek 10066:11</p> <p>egter 10123:23</p> <p>ei 10059:17 10063:8 10066:10 10076:4 10108:13 10126:16</p> <p>eight 10080:24</p> <p>einde 10110:1 10126:3</p> <p>eindig 10123:25</p> <p>either 10095:13</p> <p>Ekskuus 10072:10 10091:4 10099:17 10126:20</p> <p>ekstra 10063:20</p> <p>ek's 10072:10</p> <p>elaborate 10097:23</p> <p>elicited 10104:8</p> <p>elke 10128:24 10130:1</p> <p>elkeen 10118:7</p> <p>else's 10110:18</p> <p>embarrass 10090:1</p> <p>emerges 10085:23</p> <p>employ 10137:10 10142:22</p> <p>enable 10106:5</p> <p>encirclement 10132:2,4 10132:22 10133:23</p> <p>encompassed 10142:12</p> <p>encounter 10089:12</p> <p>endangered 10137:17</p> <p>endeavour 10085:1 10119:3</p> <p>endeavouring 10129:3</p> <p>ends 10077:5 10113:25 10142:17</p> <p>engage 10122:19,22 10137:24</p> <p>English 10134:5,8,20 10134:25 10135:8,9 10144:16</p> <p>enige 10073:2 10075:10 10076:17 10080:17 10080:22 10081:12 10081:12 10090:17</p>	<p>10091:8 10098:20 10118:11</p> <p>enigins 10080:21</p> <p>enigste 10078:17</p> <p>ensuring 10107:21</p> <p>enter 10107:9 10113:20</p> <p>entirely 10112:5 10132:19</p> <p>entitled 10140:15</p> <p>entry 10066:15 10068:17,25 10073:5</p> <p>environment 10108:3 10147:21</p> <p>equanimity 10140:18</p> <p>erkenning 10076:4</p> <p>ervare 10118:14</p> <p>ervaring 10118:18</p> <p>escalating 10114:13,16 10114:21</p> <p>escort 10059:13 10060:2,16 10061:5,8 10062:25 10063:13 10066:1</p> <p>escorted 10066:18,22</p> <p>essentially 10138:14</p> <p>establish 10095:21 10098:10</p> <p>established 10095:20</p> <p>etcetera 10103:10,11</p> <p>evening 10065:16 10108:6 10127:25 10128:1 10129:8 10148:1,4</p> <p>event 10099:23</p> <p>events 10070:3,7 10090:24</p> <p>eventually 10150:14,17</p> <p>everybody 10085:2 10110:18 10113:18 10115:5 10129:19 10140:16 10148:22</p> <p>evidence 10060:12,17 10061:13,17,22 10062:13 10066:23 10067:2 10077:23 10079:19,24 10090:4 10091:22,23 10092:19 10093:7,10 10093:16,19 10094:9 10094:14,19,20 10095:1,4,6,12,13,14 10096:2,14,17 10101:18 10105:13 10110:17 10111:2,13 10112:12 10116:1 10124:22 10134:19 10136:17,18 10146:20 10149:15</p> <p>evolve 10116:2</p> <p>exactly 10112:19 10113:6,13</p> <p>examination 10089:4</p> <p>examination-in-chief 10101:22 10102:11</p> <p>examined 10134:19</p> <p>examining 10105:14</p>	<p>exchange 10115:10</p> <p>exercise 10107:13 10113:21</p> <p>exhibit 10062:9 10066:16 10068:3,17 10068:23,23 10069:24 10072:6 10073:6 10081:20,23 10082:8,20 10106:15 10119:12,15 10122:8 10122:16 10123:12 10124:7,21 10125:9 10125:17 10139:10 10140:19,24 10141:1</p> <p>exist 10149:7</p> <p>existence 10097:8</p> <p>existing 10136:13</p> <p>expect 10145:19</p> <p>expected 10095:3 10113:1 10145:24</p> <p>experts 10105:8,11</p> <p>explain 10105:16</p> <p>explained 10104:20 10131:25 10132:16 10138:8</p> <p>explaining 10101:7</p> <p>explains 10114:15</p> <p>explanation 10061:12 10094:11,11 10145:16</p> <p>explore 10091:18 10100:15</p> <p>express 10097:5,9</p> <p>expressed 10096:23 10101:16</p> <p>expression 10105:15,17</p> <p>extent 10108:7,8 10113:23</p> <p>extra 10131:24</p> <p>extract 10107:22</p> <p>extracted 10149:25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <p>face 10149:18</p> <p>facilitating 10066:2</p> <p>fact 10059:13 10061:4 10061:8 10063:23 10068:7 10070:2 10074:20 10077:7 10079:23 10083:5 10085:25 10090:3 10092:17 10093:9,21 10095:16,19 10113:6 10121:21 10123:9 10132:14 10135:21 10139:9 10141:16 10147:17 10149:8</p> <p>factors 10070:8</p> <p>facts 10090:8 10093:17 10093:17,19 10094:10</p> <p>factual 10092:8,14</p> <p>fail 10089:17</p> <p>fair 10093:2 10111:12</p> <p>fairly 10134:5,7</p> <p>fairness 10106:14</p>
--	---	---	--	--

<p>families 10099:1 10100:10 fancier 10141:4 far 10093:8 10105:12 10126:8 10139:13 10146:5 10147:5 10148:8 10150:8 fases 10139:7 fault 10133:19 favourable 10098:16 fear 10070:2 feared 10137:6 feature 10090:23 features 10090:21 Februarie 10071:25 feedback 10072:24 10075:15 10137:23 feel 10134:21 feels 10134:25 feit 10071:20 FFF18 10106:19 10114:6 10121:23 FFF25 10066:16 10068:17 10073:6 fields 10137:1 file 10106:16 filled 10140:8 filter 10131:11 Finally 10142:1 find 10064:16 10072:18 10087:16 10106:15 10122:16 10123:10 10125:6 10132:21 10149:2 finding 10064:10 Fine 10083:1 finger 10122:7 finished 10072:7 10101:7 first 10060:9,11,13 10068:6,8 10069:10 10072:7,17,25 10081:25 10083:17 10089:12 10106:10 10108:17,21,21 10112:2,5,11,12,15 10127:3 10134:23 10135:7 10142:7 firstly 10095:16 10125:15 fisiese 10132:11 floating 10140:10 flowed 10105:4 follow 10061:1 10101:19 10145:10 following 10062:24 10079:24 10085:17 10086:5 10107:7,8 10111:25 10120:21 10138:13 10142:1 10150:14 follows 10121:1 10138:11 foot 10132:18 footage 10090:11,13 10092:18 10096:19</p>	<p>10096:20,22 force 10107:12,18,21 10137:20 forgive 10060:6,7 10135:18 form 10101:16 10149:15 formaat 10130:10 formulering 10110:23 formulation 10108:9 forum 10092:4 forward 10069:10 10079:1,8 10107:5 10129:14 10130:20 10131:24 10132:7,17 10133:4,21 10138:5,7 10095:10 foundation 10092:9,14 four 10084:11,12 10085:17,20 10086:1 free 10089:15 freely 10105:13 frequently 10100:12,17 Friday 10059:12 10063:9 10081:23 10084:22 friend 10102:17,25 10112:24 front 10069:11 10081:4 10083:9 10105:17 fullest 10113:23 10134:17 full-blown 10089:3 further 10073:21 10127:19 10139:18 10140:20,20 10150:3 future 10085:1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <p>G 10141:5,9 gaan 10062:18 10071:22 10091:7 10098:2 10109:1 10123:4 10126:4 10138:22,24 10143:17,17 gainsays 10126:7 Gang 10082:19 gathered 10109:8 gatherers 10107:22 Gauteng 10130:25 10133:22 gebly 10132:7 gebring 10075:2,6 10129:14 gebruik 10091:6 10108:13 gedeeltes 10123:14 gedoen 10063:19 10075:3 gedreig 10070:13 gee 10117:25 10143:20 geen 10065:12 10098:19 10099:19 gefunksioneer 10134:2</p>	<p>gegee 10060:23 10073:17 10076:17 10139:2,5 gegewe 10075:12 geglo 10076:3 10078:18 gehad 10072:2 10076:15 10081:11 10097:25 10109:15 10118:8,17 10126:21 10130:25 gehoor 10074:5 gehou 10087:20 gekrom 10098:19 10115:22 gekonsolideer 10125:25 gekry 10099:19 geleentheid 10076:17 10118:8 gelees 10062:16 gelewer 10115:20 gemaak 10060:21 10062:1 10076:5 10078:17,21 10098:23 10129:13 gemeld 10129:25 gemoed 10070:14 geneem 10076:16 10097:24 10099:5 10118:2 Genera 10060:12 Generaal 10060:4 10063:5 10067:7,8 10117:11 10118:6,6 10124:12,14 General 10059:8,11 10062:20 10063:10 10063:23 10064:21 10065:22 10067:1 10070:16 10071:2 10072:5 10074:19 10075:21 10076:7 10081:15 10083:4 10085:15 10086:12 10089:2,13 10090:10 10096:9 10098:25,25 10106:21 10110:6,19 10111:14,23 10115:25 10117:14 10117:18 10118:21 10118:22 10119:11 10120:3 10121:15 10125:2 10129:17 10130:5 10134:4 10135:6 10136:2,17 10138:3 10141:9 10144:5 10150:20,23 Generally 10093:5 generals 10113:12 genoem 10118:20 gepraat 10078:9 gepreposisioneer 10139:8 gereflekteer 10124:1 gereël 10059:21,23 10063:6 10066:8</p>	<p>gesag 10144:1 gesamentlik 10118:17 geskryf 10130:9 geslaag 10065:14 gesprek 10109:14 10118:5,6 gestaan 10063:7 gestel 10090:17 10098:5,6 gestop 10075:10 10076:20 gesê 10060:4 10063:18 10076:5 10077:10 10086:19,19 10098:1 10103:16 getaak 10097:25 getree 10118:5,6 getref 10060:20 10063:7 getting 10106:3 getuienis 10061:25 10063:3 10145:5 getuienislewering 10143:14 getuig 10063:16 10086:9 10091:5,13 10117:24 10130:1 geval 10070:12 gevra 10080:16 gewees 10067:19 10068:14 10070:12 10073:19 10075:7 10076:18 10078:5,8 10078:20,25 10079:3 10084:2,2 10086:21 10086:21 10097:14 10098:22 10116:22 10132:3,8 geweet 10091:7 gewerk 10118:15 gewone 10081:13 geword 10134:3 geïmplementeer 10126:4 GGG 10127:5 GGG1 10126:16 GGG12 10119:15,19 10120:1,2 10124:11 GGG13 10119:13,20 10119:22 10120:1 GGG38 10081:23 10082:9,20 GGG39 10140:19,24 10141:2,9 give 10062:13 10063:10 10087:12 10102:7 10103:5 10106:15 10115:9 10117:14 10122:23 10124:24 10125:9 10134:19 given 10059:13 10061:17 10076:12 10079:20 10081:24 10090:23 10092:3,19 10096:14,24,24 10097:4 10108:4</p>	<p>10110:9 10126:11 10135:13 10139:16 10140:11,12,22 10141:1 10145:24 10147:24 gives 10117:7 10138:11 giving 10090:7 10105:13 10107:10 glad 10087:20 10102:15 10104:22 globular 10148:21 go 10059:13 10061:18 10062:25 10063:13 10064:12 10066:3 10067:2,11,22 10068:3,11 10069:20 10069:24 10070:9,16 10071:3 10072:5,17 10074:20,23,23 10075:23,24 10076:22 10082:22 10084:8 10085:15 10093:8 10095:2 10106:12 10112:16 10118:22 10121:22 10122:8 10133:1 10148:23 10151:6 God 10098:13 goedgekeur 10110:2 goedkeuring 10110:2 10115:23 Goeiemiddag 10089:8 goes 10073:21 10121:18 10122:1 10123:20 10124:17 10126:25 10127:24 10146:5 going 10063:10,11 10065:23 10068:21 10069:2 10072:12 10074:23 10077:8,22 10079:18 10084:20 10088:14,17 10090:6 10092:25 10094:24 10103:18 10106:6 10110:11 10111:10 10114:25 10116:4,8 10116:14,18 10139:9 10140:1 10150:2,23 10151:6 good 10059:8,9 10139:15 10146:8 Google 10128:25 10138:23 gooi 10132:3 Govern 10093:13 10096:2 grafies 10138:23 grateful 10140:23 great 10065:16 grenades 10137:12 groep 10132:7 groepering 10065:20 groepie 10065:11 groot 10125:12 10132:3</p>
--	---	---	--	---

<p>groove 10106:4 groter 10139:4 ground 10137:3,25 10142:5 group 10068:18,19 10073:24 10107:21 10123:19 10132:5 groups 10137:14 guideline 10107:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <p>haar 10144:3 hadn't 10073:11 hakies 10123:25 half 10073:23 10074:1 10074:12 hampering 10150:6 HANABE 10061:2 10109:7 handtekening 10144:2 handwritten 10087:7 hanteer 10063:18 happen 10084:24 10085:11 10125:7 10145:24 happened 10063:24,25 10066:25 10073:7,15 10077:4 10089:14 10097:2 10099:16,22 10099:23 10126:25 10127:15,16 10141:23 happening 10095:17 10104:15 10126:18 10140:4 happens 10097:1 happy 10064:18 hard 10081:21 hasn't 10099:10 haven't 10085:7 10135:1 10139:23 headed 10081:25 10082:6 10139:17 hear 10061:16 10076:23 10094:7 10098:24 10130:5,11 10146:10 heard 10064:12 10090:21 10115:6 10135:17 10136:17 heavy 10101:7 held 10067:11 helicopters 10123:21 helikopter 10134:2 help 10074:16 10089:7 helped 10145:23 10147:2 Hemraj 10082:10 10119:14 10127:2 Hendrickx 10143:21 hesitate 10098:14 hetsy 10075:17 10081:12 10090:18 10116:21 10118:9 he'd 10078:3 he's 10068:20,21</p>	<p>10069:2 10093:7,13 10093:22 10104:20 10112:24,25 10113:4 10129:7 hierso 10109:23 higher 10133:16 10137:25 hints 10138:16 hippos 10069:12 histories 10091:5 hoe 10081:18 10089:9 10138:24,25 10139:3 hoekom 10091:15 10117:25 holding 10071:14 10079:1,8 10129:14 10130:21 10131:24 10132:8,17 10133:4 10133:21 10138:5,7 hom 10060:4 10063:17 10076:17 10098:4 10106:25 home 10086:3,4 10150:17 homself 10091:8 10108:12 10118:19 10138:24 hoop 10065:19 hope 10065:16 10115:7 hoping 10073:25 hospital 10086:1,2 hospitalised 10084:12 10085:21 hostage 10142:9 hou 10086:11 hour 10073:23 10074:1 10074:12 houses 10081:5 hul 10118:8 hulle 10060:23 10062:18 10065:13 10065:20 10071:21 10071:25 10073:17 10077:2 10087:10,14 10087:18,18,19 10110:4 10118:12 10130:2,2 10132:6 10143:23 hy 10059:21,23 10060:24 10061:25 10063:8,16,17 10076:4,5,5,15 10097:25,25 10098:1 10098:2,4 10108:13 10109:2 10115:13,14 10115:14 10117:11 10117:25 10118:1,2,5 10125:24,25 10130:1 hypothesis 10095:15 H205 10103:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>identical 10124:7 identified 10085:18 identifies 10106:9 10117:1</p>	<p>identifiseer 10131:4 identify 10107:23 iets 10063:19 10118:9 ietwat 10132:10 ignorance 10060:7 ill 10085:23 illustrasie 10109:23 implement 10074:2 10117:20 10137:8 implementation 10136:19 10139:15 10143:10 implemented 10141:18 10145:2 important 10105:21 10109:4 importantly 10122:13 inaudible 10094:6 10122:20,21 10127:8 10138:9 incidentally 10110:22 incidents 10069:14 10090:24 included 10148:20 including 10137:1 10148:22 inconvenienced 10085:9 incorporated 10148:2 incorporates 10132:15 incorporating 10108:3 10147:23 incorrectly 10082:21 index 10085:4 10119:24 indicate 10150:18 indicated 10074:10 10128:19 10150:22 indicates 10133:16 10149:17 indicating 10091:21 10100:15 10134:6 indication 10090:7 10094:5 10117:8 individuele 10109:24 indruk 10091:10 inference 10093:17,20 10093:21 10096:3 informal 10081:5 informasie 10115:14 information 10064:15 10064:22,24 10079:13 10080:4,11 10086:6 10087:8 10095:14 10108:3 10109:5,8 10119:21 10147:24 informed 10060:1 10064:19 10116:3 10119:18 10128:10 ingebring 10132:5 ingesamel 10115:14 injuries 10085:21 input 10103:8 10105:1 10110:20,24 10111:4 10113:18 10114:1</p>	<p>10116:16 10130:13 10144:8,12 10145:8 10146:15 10149:3 inputs 10109:5,6,6,7,8 10110:9 10113:19 10117:21 10127:15 10127:19 10144:24 insette 10109:3,13 10115:20 10116:22 10118:2,9 10125:24 insist 10103:12 insistent 10103:21 inskrywing 10060:21 10061:10 10066:11 10072:11 10073:10 insofar 10092:17 10095:25 instance 10100:11,17 10100:24 10107:5 10126:17 instructed 10138:2 instruction 10069:8 10090:8 intendeel 10077:10 intelligensie 10071:19 intend 10101:12 intended 10082:16 intention 10090:7 10095:21 interaksie 10076:15 interest 10092:2 10097:5,9 interested 10066:2 interesting 10111:22 10116:25 10135:24 interests 10135:9 interjection 10112:23 interpret 10099:10,11 10103:11 interpretation 10135:1 interpreted 10097:18 10140:7 interpreter 10099:10 10134:6 interpreting 10134:6 interrupt 10076:21 10083:11 10130:18 interrupting 10102:3 introduce 10081:19 10098:25 introduced 10133:17 10133:20 intussentyd 10139:6 investigate 10116:18 investigating 10084:9 invited 10110:24 10116:12 10143:5 invloed 10077:1 involved 10107:23 10141:25 IPID 10098:3 irresponsible 10063:12 isiXhosa 10099:9 isiZulu 10135:7 isn't 10074:19,24 10076:13 10126:23</p>	<p>10130:16 isolate 10146:19 isolating 10107:21 10146:19 isolation 10142:10 issue 10096:2 10137:21 it's 10062:9 10064:5,8 10064:24 10065:1 10066:15 10081:8,21 10082:3,13 10083:7 10083:17 10089:11 10090:21 10093:24 10094:17,23 10095:5 10097:10 10099:21 10102:12 10103:4,6 10105:21 10110:17 10112:22 10113:10 10114:23 10115:2,4 10117:6,6 10119:15 10119:19 10120:2 10124:3 10126:9 10127:5,6,9 10135:22 10139:19 10140:7,18 10140:19 I'd 10081:19 10091:20 10093:4 I'll 10088:21 10091:24 10111:7 10113:5,16 10124:24 I'm 10064:18 10078:10 10079:12,18 10080:3 10082:15,16 10083:11 10084:18 10089:23 10091:17 10091:18 10092:15 10092:18,22 10093:14,15 10094:1 10094:4,5 10095:11 10095:18,22,24 10096:6 10097:17 10098:24 10100:14 10100:14 10101:4 10102:15 10103:2 10104:22 10106:8 10110:8 10112:21,22 10113:2,7 10119:17 10119:18 10124:2,5 10127:12,12 10129:16,20 10130:17 10131:14 10131:22 10133:12 10135:5,6,6,17 10136:1 10139:9,10 10139:18 10140:10 10140:15 10142:2 10143:1 10150:6 I've 10085:9 10089:12 10100:16 10115:8 10116:9 10128:19 10140:6,12,18 10143:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <p>ja 10060:4 10065:7 10067:6 10071:19 10072:21 10073:17</p>
---	---	---	---	--

10080:16 10083:2 10117:11,13 10131:11,19 jare 10118:18 Jele 10120:7 10145:9 JOC 10074:9,13 10075:19 10079:9 10086:13 10094:23 10094:25 10095:2,4 10096:5 10104:16 10107:8,25 10109:23 10117:19,19 10128:9 10128:12,14 10138:6 10141:20 JOCOM 10067:11 10091:9 10110:3 10111:17 10115:17 10115:18,20,21,23 10118:3 10120:22 10126:25 10128:1,5 10128:24 10136:24 10137:9 10138:2,10 10138:15,18 10139:1 10142:7 10143:10,25 10144:9,22 journalists 10080:1 jubilation 10077:5 justice 10134:22 ky 10118:10	10097:7 know 10083:2 10084:19 10090:10 10090:14,20 10093:1 10093:23 10096:10 10097:2,18 10101:25 10102:2 10108:18 10112:23,25 10114:1 10116:7 10117:14 10119:11 10124:3 10127:14 10128:21 10135:3 10140:1,3,10 10140:22 10144:17 10145:21 10149:5 10150:2 knowledge 10060:1 known 10090:1 10106:11 kollektiewe 10108:15 kolonel 10076:5 10078:8,21 10108:12 10109:1 10118:15,19 10125:23 10131:1,2 10143:18 kom 10150:11 kombinasie 10080:22 komentaar 10143:13 10145:5 Kommissaris 10067:8 10078:24 10080:17 10086:10 10109:15 10109:16 Kommissie 10089:22 10091:6 10098:6,21 kon 10076:17,19 10086:20,21 konsolidasie 10125:25 konstabels 10087:11 konteks 10110:1 koppie 10059:13,24 10060:8,14,16 10062:25 10063:13 10066:17,20 10074:11 10094:21 10132:3 10137:13 10139:3,4 10141:15 korrek 10062:5 10067:14 10068:1,13 10069:22 10070:5,12 10070:21 10071:1,6 10072:10 10080:9 10087:25 10096:16 10099:25 10100:7 10117:4 10120:4 10122:11 10125:11 10145:14 korter 10123:5 koördinasie 10125:24 koördineer 10109:2,3 koördineerder 10116:20 kraal 10150:12,15,17 kruisondervra... 10143:15 kry 10116:22 kwessie 10131:13	L L 10068:3,23,24 10069:25 10072:6 10122:8,16 10123:12 10124:7,21 10125:9 10125:17 laaste 10072:21 10073:19 10109:21 laat 10134:10 laid 10082:18 10129:5 language 10134:18,24 10135:7,9,18 lateraan 10132:10 10134:3 lay 10071:5 10075:23 10076:10 lead 10095:11 leader 10068:19 leadership 10072:12,16 10128:10 learned 10090:6 10102:17,25 10112:24 10147:11 leave 10069:12 leaving 10073:25 10137:18 led 10095:13 lede 10059:22 10071:20 10076:15 10077:2 10078:19 left 10059:11 10072:16 10073:8 10094:22 leier 10063:17 leiers 10065:11 leierskap 10065:10 10072:21 let's 10094:7 10135:23 10137:19 10145:6 level 10095:12 lewendige 10128:25 lewer 10118:9 liaison 10137:3 liberty 10106:1 lidmaatskap 10071:23 Lieutenant 10104:24 10120:21 10148:12 Lieutenant-Colonel 10069:5 10079:10 10114:6 10130:17 10132:25 10140:6,21 10146:24 10149:2,7 life 10107:11 liggaam 10099:19 light 10132:24 limit 10142:22 line 10072:15 10107:7 10114:13 10128:9 10137:16,18,20 10141:21 10147:19 lines 10085:17 10106:2 10106:17 10137:6 linguistic 10135:24 linked 10080:7 10084:13 10085:24 listen 10085:14	10103:2,2 lives 10113:21 10137:7 10137:16 long 10098:9 10110:7 longer 10066:2 10101:12 Lonmin 10059:23 10063:21 10064:1,6 10064:11,13,17,20,22 10064:25 10065:1,8 10065:25 10066:16 10066:21 10071:11 10071:17 10084:14 10107:19 look 10062:8 10073:5 10082:12 10085:7 10108:1 10110:17 10116:7 10119:12 10122:15,16 10123:16 10124:18 10124:24 10145:7 looked 10097:19 looking 10127:3 looks 10069:7 10081:22 10119:23 10131:6 lost 10078:3 lot 10084:24 lug 10138:23 lugfoto 10138:23 Luitenant-Kolonel 10078:25 10097:24 10106:23 10109:18 10109:19 10116:20 10117:24 10118:13 10123:7 10124:13 lunch 10118:21,24 10119:2 lyn 10110:14,15 10132:9,11 lyne 10131:11 lê 10065:21 L78 10125:8 L80 10125:8	10059:9 10071:12 10078:10 10088:21 10097:21 10117:17 10134:23 10136:24 10141:10 Makhubela 10069:8 10118:19 making 10059:19 10069:4 10092:9 10115:8 10129:7 10143:1,2 10148:8 management 10072:13 10073:23 10074:1,6 10074:12 10107:8,24 10109:22 10137:11 10142:8,9 manner 10102:4 march 10085:20 Marikana 10080:2 10084:14 10107:19 10111:11 10131:7 materiaal 10090:16 material 10091:24 10092:1,5,21,24,24 10093:21 10096:25 10096:25 10097:2,6,8 10097:19,22 10150:1 Mathunjwa 10059:12 10059:17,25 10060:12 10061:11 10062:13,21 10063:8 10063:10,13,16 10064:6,13,19,25 10065:8,17,20 10066:1,10 10067:1 10075:9,22 10076:4 10076:10 10077:1,4 10077:21 10078:8,18 10078:21,23 10135:7 Mathunjwa's 10061:13 10061:22 10062:2 10072:7 10078:2 matter 10065:1 10091:19 matters 10064:9 Mbombo 10067:8 McIngwa 10083:25 McIntosh 10069:5 10076:5 10078:3,9,21 10078:23 10079:10 mean 10080:24 10103:18 10110:6 10121:15 10150:25 10151:1 meaning 10095:11 meanings 10117:15 means 10108:20 10150:13 media 10070:2 10079:20 10090:12 10096:19 10137:3,4 10142:24 meer 10068:14 10078:8 10079:2 10139:2 meestal 10091:6 meeste 10071:21
K kamera 10091:6 kameras 10091:11,12 10091:13 kampvegter 10134:11 10134:14 kan 10060:4 10063:7 10065:7 10066:13 10067:18 10075:10 10075:17 10077:15 10079:16 10080:20 10080:21 10086:11 10087:2 10108:25 10117:24 10118:1 10130:1,24 kante 10075:17 Kaptein 10059:21 10066:13 keep 10122:7 keer 10086:20 kennis 10099:5 kept 10087:15 kill 10069:6 10094:22 10100:13,19 killed 10081:3 10099:2 10099:3,7,13 10100:3 10100:4,4,24 killing 10084:13 killings 10136:22 kind 10082:21 10142:4 10142:7 klaargemaak 10072:11 klein 10065:11 10134:1 knew 10063:10,11 10073:12,14 10074:13 10089:14	K kamera 10091:6 kameras 10091:11,12 10091:13 kampvegter 10134:11 10134:14 kan 10060:4 10063:7 10065:7 10066:13 10067:18 10075:10 10075:17 10077:15 10079:16 10080:20 10080:21 10086:11 10087:2 10108:25 10117:24 10118:1 10130:1,24 kante 10075:17 Kaptein 10059:21 10066:13 keep 10122:7 keer 10086:20 kennis 10099:5 kept 10087:15 kill 10069:6 10094:22 10100:13,19 killed 10081:3 10099:2 10099:3,7,13 10100:3 10100:4,4,24 killing 10084:13 killings 10136:22 kind 10082:21 10142:4 10142:7 klaargemaak 10072:11 klein 10065:11 10134:1 knew 10063:10,11 10073:12,14 10074:13 10089:14	M maak 10118:11 10132:9 Maandagaand 10109:1 10109:13,17 maar 10059:17 10061:10 10063:18 10065:8 10067:18 10068:14 10070:12 10071:19 10072:1 10103:16 10106:25 10109:20 10124:10 10124:12 10130:24 10131:19 maatreëls 10091:9 Mafora 10084:10 10085:17 MAHLANGU 10150:13 Major 10138:2 majority 10071:9,16 Major-General		

<p>meet 10138:4 meeting 10067:11 10096:18 10128:1,11 10136:21,24 10144:23 10145:2 meetings 10128:20 10144:9 meld 10072:11 10109:23 10118:1 10130:2 members 10066:17,19 10066:20 10071:5,10 10071:16 10076:10 10089:1 10093:2 10107:14 10122:19 10127:12 10131:6 10137:16,17 10138:1 10138:18 10142:5,23 Men 10082:19 mens 10130:9 mense 10076:6 mention 10093:13 10127:16 10141:17 10145:17,23 10146:15 10147:4 10148:20 mentioned 10069:17 10100:6 10148:23 mentions 10128:1 10146:21 Merafe 10109:18 10110:18 10115:5 10118:14 10129:19 10145:11,11,18,23 10146:6,16,20,21,23 10147:2,6,9,12 10148:13,22,23 10149:3,8,16 Mere 10118:20 merely 10149:12 merk 10084:6,16 10120:23 10126:16 met 10059:21 10062:16 10063:20 10065:7,13 10074:6 10076:15 10078:8 10079:1,16 10080:21 10109:18 10110:4,14,15 10118:4,5,6,6,15 10123:24 10125:25 10126:4 10130:24 10131:2 10132:9 10145:5 Mice 10082:19 min 10068:14 10078:7 10079:2 mind 10085:9 10093:14 minder 10118:19 minds 10103:20 mindset 10116:3 mine 10073:23 10074:1 10074:6,12 10084:14 10107:19 mineworkers 10100:13 10100:19,24 mine's 10072:13</p>	<p>minutes 10077:17 mirror 10124:22 mirrors 10124:7 miskien 10143:20 missie 10109:12 10110:14 10115:22 mission 10106:12 10107:4,16,23 10108:9 10109:21 10110:10,21 10111:18,24 10112:1 10112:6,13,15 10113:11,22 10114:2 10114:10 10116:10 10116:17 10121:5,16 10143:7 10144:24 mistake 10082:14 10105:8 misunderstand 10078:14 10097:20 misunderstood 10077:23 Mkhwanazi 10096:10 10097:8,15,19 10098:7 10105:6,12 10116:14,14,21 mnr 10059:17 10061:11 10063:8,16 10065:8,20 10066:10 10075:9 10076:4 10077:1 10078:8,18 10078:20 10143:21 10143:21,22 Mobilele 10059:22 Mobile 10066:18,22 modest 10149:9 modifications 10129:4 moeite 10063:20 moes 10063:19 10075:8 10078:7 10079:2 moet 10075:6 10087:18 10089:10,22 10098:5 10131:3 10139:3 moment 10073:24 10074:13 10076:22 10104:15 10130:19 monitoring 10122:4,18 10122:21 mood 10070:1 moorde 10080:18,21,22 10080:23 morning 10059:8,9 10064:22 10065:25 10079:22 10086:5 10126:19 10129:6 10145:12 10146:24 10149:13 10151:2,3 10151:10,14 mountain 10111:11 move 10079:18 10098:11 10106:5 10137:7 10150:9 10151:8 moving 10068:19 10094:25 10096:4 10136:13 10137:5</p>	<p>10142:13 Mpembe 10060:4 10063:5 10067:7 10110:19 10111:14 10111:23 10113:5,6,6 10113:11,16,25 10117:11,14,18 10118:6 10120:1 10124:12,14 Mpembe's 10118:22 10119:12 10121:15 murder 10080:7,13 10081:15 10085:19 10085:24,25 murders 10081:6 mynwerkers 10081:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>n 10059:23 10063:3,17 10066:11 10068:14 10070:12 10073:10 10075:2,7,9 10076:18 10076:19 10080:16 10080:22 10091:2,7,8 10091:9 10098:3,4 10099:18 10103:16 10103:17 10109:14 10118:3,10,14,16 10123:5 10128:25 10130:8,8,9 10131:1 10131:1,4,11,20 10132:9,11 10134:10 10135:22 10138:23 10143:21,21,22,22,25 nadat 10060:24 10115:20 nader 10124:13 nag 10109:17,19 10115:14 10118:15 Naidoo 10118:7 name 10087:14 names 10087:12 narrow 10148:8 narrower 10096:2 Nasionale 10109:15 nature 10089:16 10095:22 necessarily 10080:6,13 necessary 10067:22 10069:20 10070:9 10100:12,18 10126:10 10137:12 10137:24 10150:8,21 necessitated 10131:8 nee 10061:25 10062:16 10075:1 10076:15,24 10077:9 10078:4,17 10079:6 10081:18 10086:19,25 10087:14 10115:13 10124:4 10125:23 10128:4 10129:13 10130:24 need 10068:7 10083:3 10101:12 10107:12 needed 10137:7</p>	<p>needle 10106:3 needs 10088:21 neem 10059:24 10083:14 10097:25 10128:16 10130:3 10143:17 neer 10065:21 neerlê 10076:19 10077:2 10078:19 nege 10080:22 negotiated 10065:18 10066:2 negotiating 10122:19 10122:21 negotiation 10069:11 negotiations 10063:24 Neither 10142:7 Nellie 10062:10 net 10065:7 10072:12 10076:16 10080:22 10086:11 10091:6 10106:23 10117:11 10123:23 10130:6 10132:7,9 10134:3 10138:21,23 10139:2 10139:6 10143:20 never 10085:9 10103:7 10104:24 10141:18 10142:3 10144:6 new 10081:20 10151:7 10151:8 news 10063:11 niemand 10118:11,18 night 10065:16 10076:23 10108:4 10147:25 NIU 10134:1,2,3 10137:18 10139:4 Njala 10132:6,9 10139:5 NN 10062:9 nog 10059:3 10075:10 10076:19 10088:22 10089:9 10119:6 10131:1 10136:10 Noki 10068:5 10069:9 non-tactical 10107:10 noodwendig 10080:20 10086:10 10124:1 notas 10087:19 note 10061:3 10066:15 10068:22 10074:9 10077:5 10136:4 notes 10087:7,15,16,21 notice 10082:10 10134:4 nou 10062:16 10106:25 10129:25 10134:10 10139:7,8 November 10139:19,22 10140:5 no-one 10117:22 Ntsebeza's 10093:12 NUM 10071:20,22 10072:3 number 10066:15</p>	<p>10081:8 10083:9,23 10083:24 10102:21 10106:15 10119:15 10141:1 numbers 10083:18 10084:5 nutshell 10114:24 nuut 10118:9 Nyala 10062:23 10063:1 10068:19 10069:10,11 Nyalas 10137:18,22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>o 10082:18 oath 10089:5 10091:25 10092:4 objection 10064:17 10092:11,12 10095:11,16,22 10112:23 objective 10149:15 objectives 10113:20 objeksie 10118:11 obligations 10101:7 observing 10135:7 obviously 10079:9 10093:11 10095:1 10106:6 10121:3 10127:9 occasioned 10133:21 occurrence 10061:3 10068:17,25 10073:5 10090:13 OCT 10130:9 October 10141:14 offering 10095:22 officer 10084:10 10137:3 10138:6 10143:4 officers 10080:7,14 10081:16 10084:13 10085:19,25 10089:24 10090:4 10096:1 10103:22 10108:8 10110:10,24 10112:7 10113:24 10130:14 10142:16 10144:10 officials 10068:20 offisiere 10118:8,17 10130:25 oggend 10068:15 10109:19 10115:18 10139:1 Oh 10116:14 10127:7 10133:12 10134:16 okay 10134:16 10150:4 10151:5 om 10059:16,22,24 10060:5 10063:20,20 10065:12,20,20 10066:7,10 10071:22 10075:7 10097:25 10109:2 10116:22 10118:8 10123:4</p>
--	--	---	--	--

10125:24 10130:2 10131:11,11 10138:21 10144:1 omgewing 10067:19 omstandighede 10075:5,12 10091:13 omvattend 10108:24 once 10069:10 onder 10059:3 10088:22 10091:10 10098:19 10117:4 10119:6 10123:14 10136:10 onderhandelaars 10065:12 onderhandeling 10065:13 10066:7 onderhandeling 10065:9 onderskeie 10072:2 ondersoek 10098:3 ondersteuning 10118:12 ones 10081:6 onhoorbaar 10143:19 onomwonde 10098:18 ons 10060:5 10063:6 10071:21 10074:18 10076:3,25 10077:10 10078:18 10087:18 10091:6,7,9,10 10098:2 10109:15 10118:16 10129:13 10129:14 10130:25 10131:3 10132:3,5 10143:22,23 onthou 10060:4 10067:18 10068:15 10079:16 10080:16 10081:18 10087:3 10130:24 ontplooi 10139:8 onttrek 10066:10 ontvang 10078:7 10106:25 10109:13 ontvangs 10072:1 ontvou 10115:24 ook 10059:23 10060:21 10063:5,16,18 10065:9,12,13 10066:12 10068:14 10070:12,13 10071:19,20 10072:1 10075:7 10078:20 10091:5,13,14 10098:6 10109:17 10115:14 10118:1,5,6 10118:13,16 10124:11 10131:13 10132:2 10143:14 10150:11 oomblik 10075:2 OOP 10091:14 oor 10071:22 10076:6 oordeel 10075:4 oordrag 10067:6	10073:2 10075:3,17 10078:21 10086:9 ooreengekom 10138:21 ooreenkomste 10125:12 oorgedra 10087:19 10098:4 oorgelaat 10075:4 oorhoofse 10067:6 10075:19,20 10110:3 10115:19 10143:24 oorspronklike 10106:24 oortuig 10065:20 10077:1,10 oorweeg 10126:2 10131:11 ooswaarts 10132:10 op 10059:17 10063:5,8 10065:20 10066:10 10070:13,13 10072:2 10075:10 10076:3,3 10076:17 10077:1,11 10078:5,7,19 10080:22 10084:6,17 10090:18 10091:10 10099:18 10108:25 10109:1,17,20 10110:1 10115:17 10117:4 10120:24 10123:2,3,6,13,22 10124:1,12 10126:3 10126:16 10129:14 10131:11 10132:6,7 openbaar 10098:23 Openbare 10118:14,18 10131:2 Operasies 10059:22 operasionalisering 10130:8 operasionele 10075:1,3 10075:5,11,12,18,20 operating 10071:9,15 operation 10105:9 10120:21 10137:2,2 operational 10104:16 10106:11 10107:3,17 10108:9 10110:10,23 10111:18,24 10112:14 10113:9 10114:2 10115:15,16 10116:9 10121:4,5,16 10122:9 10137:8 10143:7 10147:20 Operations 10066:18 10066:22 operators 10070:18 opgedeel 10139:7 opportunity 10076:12 10107:10 10122:23 10124:24 10135:13 10142:22 oppose 10142:3 oproepe 10086:19,20 opsies 10126:2 opsigte 10080:18	option 10074:14 10141:23 optrede 10075:4 Orde 10118:14,18 10131:2 order 10072:17,18 10086:16 10087:22 10091:2 10105:9 10120:9 10137:23 10142:8,13,14 orderly 10107:22 Orders 10090:23 organised 10060:2 original 10133:15,20 originally 10132:20 10133:13 Oryx 10134:2 outcome 10105:23 10107:18 10114:14 outside 10062:23 10086:15 overall 10083:12 10143:7 overlooking 10137:23 ox 10150:16,16 o'clock 10067:16,16 10119:3 10126:18,24	10125:6 10126:13,13 10126:18 10127:13 10128:6 10133:3,10 10136:20 10137:9,19 10141:13 10142:18 10142:19 10147:13 10147:15,17,17,18 10148:21 paragraphs 10106:13 10106:16 10107:2 10108:10 10110:25 10113:14 10121:6 10128:2 paragrafe 10128:4 pardon 10062:9 parenthesis 10133:11 part 10081:20,25 10082:2 10083:17 participated 10110:19 10111:16,20 10112:7 10121:2 participation 10108:8 10113:24 particular 10094:18 10105:6 10116:3 10147:14 particularly 10090:14 parties 10063:25 passage 10101:22 10106:20 10112:10 10133:13,15 patiently 10113:23 10116:1 pause 10104:14 peculiar 10062:20 people 10073:4 10074:10,11,13 10080:2 10081:1,15 10083:6 10085:24 10099:1,13 10100:11 10129:18 10146:21 10147:2,5 10149:16 10149:17 perfectly 10106:1 period 10086:16 permanent 10103:10 permission 10118:24 persistently 10104:11 person 10082:21 10083:24 10099:22 10103:2 10108:17,21 10108:21 10111:9 10116:11 personal 10083:19 personally 10090:22 persone 10065:11 10081:12 10084:3,7 10108:15 personeel 10131:3 persons 10088:16 persoon 10075:13 10083:21 10099:18 10130:1 persuade 10071:5 10075:22 10076:10 pertinent 10062:17	10071:23,25 10072:1 10090:17 10091:12 10091:14 10097:23 10103:16 10108:24 10110:3 10139:7 pertinente 10109:16 10138:22 10139:3 phase 10067:11,23 10069:21 10076:9 phone 10086:16 photographs 10095:3 10096:5,6 phrased 10064:7 PILLAY 10119:25 Pitsi 10118:20 plaas 10144:2 plaasgevind 10073:2 10080:18 10130:4 place 10069:12 10070:3 10070:18,23 10084:14 10104:24 10128:14 10129:6 placed 10094:15 10137:22 planned 10141:23 planning 10129:18 plans 10082:18 10116:10 Platinum 10084:14 plausible 10093:16,20 pleaded 10062:22 please 10061:1 10085:1 10126:11 10135:18 pleased 10098:24 pleases 10061:21 10151:12 pleasure 10101:16 plural 10108:21 poging 10065:12 point 10064:14 10074:8 10074:8 10080:5 10088:6 10095:7 10104:18 10106:4 10108:20 10111:22 10112:25 10114:24 10115:7 10128:18,19 10129:2,7,8 10136:13 10136:15 10143:1,1 10146:9 10148:8,10 10150:8,9 points 10105:7 10106:5 10133:3,8 10138:5 10149:1,20 police 10059:13 10060:1,16 10061:8 10062:25 10063:12 10063:13 10064:2,12 10064:15,19 10066:1 10067:24 10068:3,5 10068:20 10072:18 10072:24 10076:8 10077:4 10080:7,13 10081:16 10082:6 10084:13 10085:19 10085:25 10090:12 10092:2 10096:1,20
--	--	--	---	---

10096:22 10099:2,7 10099:14 10100:5,13 10100:18,25 10103:8 10103:19,22 10104:25 10108:8 10110:19,24 10128:10 10137:3,6,7 10138:1 10141:21,22 10142:16,23 10144:7 10146:1,5,17 10147:10 10148:3,21 10148:22 policeman 10147:10 policemen 10148:5 police's 10108:5 10114:13 10147:25 Policing 10105:9 polisie 10063:19 10066:8 10070:13 10074:6 10080:21 10086:11 10090:17 10097:14 10098:21 10099:20 10103:17 10109:24 10132:12 polisie-gereëde 10063:4 polisie-onderhandela... 10065:10 10066:6 Polisiëring 10118:14 POP 10096:24,25 10122:19 10137:16 POPS 10090:23 posed 10137:25 posisie 10139:5 positiewe 10076:18 position 10096:24 10138:1 10140:15 possible 10095:5 10119:17 10131:15 10142:23 possibly 10082:20 10119:16 Potchefstroom 10096:18 10097:13 10098:1 potensieel 10076:19 potensiële 10098:3 praat 10089:10 practice 10084:25 10085:7 prakties 10131:19 preceded 10092:16 precisely 10059:18 prefaced 10148:13 prefer 10134:19 premature 10094:17 premised 10111:25 prepared 10092:4,25 10094:4 10095:24 10096:6 10097:10 10134:24 10142:6 10148:3 preparing 10141:22 presence 10097:11 present 10079:4 10085:13,19	10093:14 10100:25 10103:9 10137:1 10144:11 10149:23 presentation 10068:3 10124:6,18 presented 10107:7 10124:21 10125:20 president 10071:4 10072:3 10073:19 presidente 10072:2 presies 10067:18 10143:13 presumably 10064:14 10071:15 10127:5 10131:7 Pretorius 10138:6 previous 10076:23 10090:22 previously 10140:22 principals 10093:19 principle 10142:13 principles 10117:7 proactively 10107:20 probably 10090:10 10098:11 probeer 10066:7 problem 10074:16 10134:23 10135:2 10141:15 10149:1 problems 10140:17 proceed 10059:6 10074:14 10082:24 10099:12 PROCEEDINGS 10059:1 process 10064:3 10144:11 processing 10107:22 produce 10091:22 produced 10103:23 professionally 10111:9 progress 10135:9 promise 10074:10 10085:13 promised 10073:22 10074:11 proper 10120:19 10140:18 properly 10099:1 10120:10 property 10107:11 proportionally 10107:12 proposal 10138:1 proposed 10107:16 10110:9 10120:21 10141:20 10142:6 proposes 10116:25 proposition 10063:23 10064:4,16,20 10092:16 10095:12 10112:22 10113:2 proses 10071:22 protect 10107:11,13 10113:21 protected 10094:25	10095:2 10096:4 protection 10137:6 10142:16 protester 10137:24 protesters 10107:10 10137:5,11,15,19,23 10137:25 10141:22 10142:15,24 protestors 10107:14 provide 10065:25 10100:16 10107:16 10115:16 10137:23 provided 10060:15,16 10060:17 10061:5,8 10090:12 10145:8 10149:3 provides 10142:9 Provincial 10079:20,25 10080:5 Provisiale 10067:7 10080:17 10086:9 10109:16 provoking 10107:14 Public 10105:9 pursue 10136:15 put 10064:16,18 10065:22 10074:15 10075:21 10077:18 10082:3 10085:8 10090:9,22 10091:20 10091:21 10093:3,4,9 10093:10 10095:7,12 10095:15 10096:9 10101:16 10104:11 10106:2 10108:7 10110:16 10111:1,7 10112:17 10117:21 10118:22 10120:9,19 10130:12 10134:21 10134:24 10138:13 10144:4,16 10145:22 10146:22 10148:14 10149:1 10150:19,23 puts 10093:6 10108:18 putting 10091:23 10092:15,22 10093:7 10093:22 10101:4 10107:4 10110:8 10112:12,20 10113:2 10113:15 10129:16 10129:20 10144:13 10147:3 10148:19 P1 10073:7,12	10095:19,25 10101:20,23 10102:1 10102:15 10104:8 10115:2,4 10130:16 10130:19 10131:22 10145:20 10146:22 10148:14,14 questioned 10105:6 questioning 10112:3 questions 10088:2,5 10096:7 10100:11,12 10100:15,18,23,25 10101:8 10106:2 10119:9 10126:11 10134:20,24 10150:19 quite 10074:2 10093:24 10136:1	10118:20 10129:24 10131:20 10138:21 refer 10099:13 10111:13 10139:10 10148:5 reference 10066:15 10068:4 10069:25 10083:9,23,24 10084:5 10085:5 10101:15 10102:8 10132:21 10138:9 10144:14 referred 10068:24 10101:24 10105:13 10121:3 10133:14 referring 10068:22 10069:14 10083:6 10104:23 10128:7,8 10147:14 refers 10084:12 10113:12 10128:11 10128:13 reflect 10125:9 reflected 10108:5 10123:11 10147:25 reg 10073:10 10074:18 10120:16 10123:22 10125:3 10127:22 10128:17 10132:3 regard 10071:8 10081:6 10092:19 10120:10 10121:10 10140:15 regarding 10079:20 regardless 10074:24 region 10131:7 reguit 10132:9 reinforcements 10133:22 rekord 10134:10 relate 10080:13 relates 10079:19 10083:5 relation 10064:4 10066:25 10067:1 10079:23 10096:3 10104:16 relevant 10065:3 10089:22 10109:24 10109:25 reluctance 10111:8 rely 10132:19 relying 10114:5 remainder 10123:17 remarkable 10090:23 10091:24 10092:21 10117:20 remember 10101:22 10102:10,18 10105:7 10130:21 10147:1 remembers 10102:19 remind 10088:20,22 reminded 10089:4 reminding 10088:21 remove 10068:20 10069:1
			R	
			radio 10138:4 radio-operateurs 10087:10 raising 10095:23 rank 10092:25 10095:18,19 10130:14 reach 10114:14 reaction 10135:4 read 10062:12 10068:7 10104:9,13 10106:13 10107:2 10120:18,20 10122:22,23 10125:16 10132:22 10133:2 10137:19 reads 10068:23 10111:14 ready 10069:4 real 10065:24 really 10064:11,21 10066:24 10106:11 rear 10150:16 reason 10065:24 10071:2 10141:17 reasons 10067:22 10068:2 10076:8 10094:12 10105:18 10131:24 10132:23 reassemble 10119:3 recall 10105:12 10131:9 received 10074:22 10075:25 10076:12 10103:9 10105:1 10144:8,25 receives 10075:15 receiving 10087:5 record 10087:22 10103:24 10104:24 recorded 10068:6,8,10 10070:3 redes 10068:1 10131:20 reeds 10060:24 10098:23 10108:24 10109:1 10117:24	
			Q	
		qualification 10128:22 qualifications 10129:5 qualities 10116:13 quarter 10074:21 10088:3 Queen 10108:18,19 question 10060:7,10 10061:16,16,19 10064:7,9 10065:4 10071:13 10090:3 10092:10 10093:2		

<p>renege 10064:11 reneged 10064:1,6,20 10064:23 repaginated 10088:14 repaginating 10082:22 repeat 10060:25 10071:13 10146:3 repeated 10078:13 10116:5 10146:12 repeatedly 10060:13 replica 10124:3 reply 10093:5 10099:10 10147:8 report 10068:18 10072:18 10073:4,7 10074:22 10076:1,12 reported 10068:18 10073:11 10074:8 10079:10,13 10128:9 reporting 10080:4 10137:4 reports 10087:5 10128:12 represent 10099:1 10100:10,17 representative 10103:10 representing 10136:25 res 10060:22 10066:9 reserve 10123:19 reserwe 10132:7 resolution 10107:11 respect 10099:23 10102:3 10112:6 10132:24 10144:13 respectful 10142:21 respek 10143:16 respond 10100:14 10125:19 responding 10107:12 response 10101:14 10104:21 responses 10103:12 responsible 10088:16 10144:12 rest 10118:23 resting 10092:13 restore 10107:18 restrain 10090:3 restricting 10142:24 restriction 10142:14 rests 10095:25 result 10067:10 10100:5 resumes 10059:2 10088:12,13 10119:4 10119:6 10136:8,9 retreat 10137:17 retrospect 10064:4 retrospek 10063:19 returning 10069:13 review 10127:18 reviewal 10128:21 reël 10059:16 10060:5 reëling 10063:7 reëlings 10060:20</p>	<p>10066:13 re-examination 10112:24 right 10081:8 10123:12 10134:18 10140:18 10150:14,19 robbery 10086:1 rol 10116:21 10143:19 10143:19 rolspelers 10116:23 rond 10067:19 rondom 10091:13 10097:14 10132:3 round 10066:21 10069:7 Rover 10143:22 rows 10137:22 royal 10108:13,16,19 10115:3,3 rubber 10137:13 rule 10092:17 rules 10093:8 rulings 10084:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>saam 10109:18 10118:15 10125:23 10126:4 saamstem 10118:9 safety 10070:3 sal 10063:18 10066:13 10078:19 10087:18 10132:6,8 10139:3,4 10139:8 10143:16,20 samespreking 10074:5 samesprekings 10065:8 SAPS 10060:14 10062:22 10065:24 10066:1,3 10069:4 10081:20 10090:1,22 10091:20 10092:22 10096:25 10097:6 10098:16 10101:14 10107:9,11,13,16,18 10107:24 10109:22 10110:9 10111:15,20 10113:20,21,21 10121:2 10122:3,18 10122:20 10123:21 10124:18 10130:14 10142:2,3 sat 10090:4 satisfied 10073:24 save 10084:24 saw 10097:19 saying 10061:7 10077:6 10093:13 10095:13 10095:18 10110:8 10112:21,22 10113:6 10113:25 10123:10 10130:12 10134:7 10148:2,11,14 says 10068:18 10073:22 10084:10 10084:11,13 10085:17,18</p>	<p>10093:18 10094:7 10095:17 10101:23 10102:19 10104:19 10107:4,7,15 10108:2 10108:19 10111:23 10112:10 10113:6,8 10114:7,10,20 10116:7,15 10117:14 10117:15 10118:23 10121:4,12,16 10122:3,18,20 10123:19 10124:17 10124:23 10125:15 10127:14 10128:9 10132:25 10135:15 10137:9 10141:13 10142:20 10146:2,17 10147:19,20 10148:21,24 scene 10072:16,16 10097:1 Scott 10078:25 10101:15,17,18,24,25 10103:14,16 10104:8 10104:19 10105:14 10105:15,17 10106:4 10106:9,23 10108:12 10109:1,19 10110:8 10110:22,22 10113:7 10113:13 10114:6 10115:13 10116:7,13 10116:15,20,21 10117:8,15,24 10118:15 10120:21 10121:6 10123:7 10124:8,13,16,16,23 10125:15,23 10129:17,24 10130:13,17 10132:25 10138:14 10139:12 10140:6,21 10143:18 10144:12 10144:14 10145:11 10145:16,17,23 10146:2,15,16,24 10147:2,5 10148:13 10148:15,15,19 10149:2,10,17 Scott's 10102:6,14,16 10103:7,13,21 10104:2,4,12,12,12 10104:17,23,25 10105:2 10112:5 10121:11,22 10122:15 10123:11 10123:18,19 10125:6 10127:6,7,10,11,13 10132:13 10136:21 10144:7 10146:5 10147:16 10149:7,14 se 10076:4 10098:1,21 10106:23 10109:17 10123:7 10124:12 10129:24 10143:18 10143:19,25 second 10060:9</p>	<p>10068:9 10082:11,23 10083:7 10084:11 10088:15 10123:21 10128:9 10133:22 10135:18 10137:20 10139:17,19 10140:19 secretary 10144:14,15 section 10083:18 see 10062:2 10064:25 10068:6 10069:4,6,25 10070:17,18 10072:6 10072:7 10083:4,6,10 10083:25 10084:9,15 10088:9,15 10092:4 10096:23 10106:21 10107:5 10110:25 10113:19 10116:25 10117:2,5 10120:20 10120:22 10121:7,12 10121:18,23 10122:2 10122:4,8,9 10123:18 10124:25 10125:1 10126:13,17 10127:8 10127:13,20 10128:2 10128:14 10131:5 10132:16 10133:12 10138:12 10146:18 10148:24 seeing 10097:5,9 seek 10097:1 10100:15 10149:11,19 10150:20 seeking 10091:18 10103:20 seeks 10093:22 seker 10066:12 10072:10 sekretaresse 10144:1 sekuriteitsbeampes 10081:13 Seleke 10059:21 10066:13,18,22 self 10078:21 10110:4 10130:1 selfs 10071:24 10079:3 10143:21 selves 10139:15 semantics 10115:2 Semenya 10090:2 10092:6,7,8,12 10093:4 10094:7,8 10095:9 10101:19 10102:8,10,13 10103:24 10104:3,7,9 10104:10,14,18 10105:15 10111:12 10114:3 10133:2,7,10 10145:25 10146:4,14 10147:7,9,15 senior 10107:8,24 10109:22 10118:17 10130:25 10136:25 10137:1 sense 10106:8 10107:3 10112:11</p>	<p>sensible 10082:23 sentence 10112:11,13 10142:19 separately 10082:11,13 seriously 10144:22 Service 10082:7 ses 10073:18 10080:19 10086:10 10132:6 sesde 10132:9 10139:5 set 10114:7 sets 10083:18 10121:12 settlement 10081:5 seven 10081:7 sharp-point 10137:21 shines 10132:24 shooting 10061:19 10090:13 10094:14 10137:25 short 10098:9 shouldn't 10105:22 show 10107:20 10115:1 10116:2 10149:18 shown 10096:21 shows 10060:7 side 10064:17 sides 10135:23 sien 10083:21 10084:2 10117:3 10121:8,19 10121:24 10122:5 10128:4 sign 10069:5 signed 10139:20 10140:1,2,6,11 significant 10105:23 silently 10085:14 similar 10135:3 simple 10112:22 simply 10064:6 10089:18 10100:14 10101:4 10110:8 10148:11 10149:13 sin 10072:21 10109:21 10123:2 sincere 10077:6 singular 10108:17,21 Sir 10061:21 10097:23 10102:3 10141:11 sit 10066:7 10098:12 10117:11,11 situasie 10063:18 situation 10068:18 10074:22 10108:6 10116:8,12 10142:4 10142:12 10143:5 10148:1 situations 10106:9,10 10113:7,17 six 10080:2,12 10081:15 10083:6 10085:18,20,24 10111:24 10121:14 10121:17 10141:16 six-stage 10112:14 10121:17 Skidmore 10093:14 10096:3</p>
---	--	---	---	---

<p>skietery 10091:8 skietvoorvalle 10090:18 skryf 10143:25 slegs 10091:6 10132:9 slide 10068:4,8,23 10069:3,18,25 10070:1,14,16 10072:6 10122:8,14 10122:16 10123:2,5 10123:12,14,17,17 10124:1,21 10131:5 slides 10124:19,22 10125:1,8 slightly 10140:8 smaller 10137:14 Smit 10131:1 sodanig 10063:18 10075:5 10076:4 10089:22 10090:18 10098:6 10110:4 10115:18 10116:23 10130:7 sodanige 10059:16 10060:20,23 10063:6 10066:13 10075:4,13 10077:1 10078:18 10091:2,8,15 10098:4 10110:1,5 10130:3 sodat 10063:7 sole 10111:2 solution 10065:18 10066:2 somebody 10105:25 sommer 10134:10 sonder 10123:4 soos 10068:14 10073:17 10080:16 10080:19 10081:11 10108:13 10109:13 10126:1 10129:25 10130:9 sophisticated 10100:10 sorry 10064:5 10078:10 10081:1 10083:11 10084:18 10094:3 10102:3,22 10120:2 10127:12,12 10131:14 10133:13 10135:18 10146:3 sou 10063:19 10075:5 10076:18 10077:2 10098:22,22 10116:21 10132:6 10134:2 sounds 10146:8 source 10092:22 South 10082:6 sover 10060:4 10079:16 10099:19 sowat 10118:4 so-called 10101:15,17 10101:18,24,25 10102:5,14 10104:2,8 10104:17,19,23 10105:2,15,16</p>	<p>10106:4 sparked 10101:13 speak 10072:13 10089:6 10091:25 10098:13 10117:7 10132:16 speaking 10093:6 10135:18 10136:18 speaks 10106:10 special 10136:24 specific 10145:17,23 specifically 10069:17 10078:12 10148:23 spent 10084:22 spesifiek 10130:25 spite 10113:25 spoke 10077:4 10109:5 10110:10 10135:9 spreker 10073:20 sprekers 10073:18,19 square 10133:16 Staande 10091:2 stabbed 10081:4 10099:22 stability 10107:19 stadium 10075:10 10076:18 10080:23 10091:10 10131:11 staff 10138:6 stage 10066:3 10072:23 10087:16 10088:4,10 10088:11 10095:6 10103:19 10107:3 10110:9 10111:25 10117:6,6 10119:2 10122:2 10123:3,6,11 10123:14 10124:15 10125:5,8 10137:8 10138:8,15,17 10141:24 10150:3 stages 10114:13,16,21 10117:1 10121:14,14 10121:18 10141:17 10141:17,18,23,25,25 stakers 10070:15 10081:13 10132:11 stand 10085:22 10094:12 10095:10 standard 10137:21 Standing 10090:23 10142:8,13,14 stands 10094:14,18,19 stapled 10082:12,23 start 10069:8 10089:2 10101:8 10150:19 started 10069:10 10115:15 starts 10127:1 stated 10072:12,14 10080:6 10130:14 statement 10062:3,8,12 10084:9 10085:16,18 10106:9,12,13 10110:18,25 10111:13,18,24 10112:2,6,13</p>	<p>10113:13 10114:6 10119:21 10120:6,9 10120:13 10121:5,11 10121:15,16,17,23 10122:15 10123:11 10123:18,19 10124:8 10124:16 10125:16 10126:13,14 10127:3 10127:6,7,9,10,12,13 10127:20 10132:19 10136:21 10140:5,7 10140:19,20,21 10146:5,15,16 10147:16 10148:21 10149:7,9 statements 10094:23 10110:18 10115:5 10147:4 10149:15,18 steeds 10059:3 10066:7 10075:9 10076:19 10088:22 10119:6 10136:10 stel 10098:2,18 10131:12 10134:10 10143:21 stem 10125:23 10126:4 step 10115:1,1 stepped 10086:15 steps 10064:2,23 10122:2 sterfte 10099:20 sterftes 10081:12 STF 10105:10 10134:2 10137:18,22 10142:2 sticking 10106:4 strange 10140:4 stranger 10099:16 strategie 10109:12 10110:14 10115:22 strategies 10142:8,9 strategy 10106:12 10107:4,8 10108:9 10110:10,21,23 10111:18,24 10112:2 10112:6,14,16 10113:9,18 10114:2,9 10114:14 10115:15 10116:9,16 10117:7 10117:21 10120:21 10121:4,5 10122:9 10137:8,9 10138:9,10 10141:15,16 10142:6 10142:22 10143:7 10144:24 10147:20 strike 10084:13 10085:20 strikers 10062:22 10063:11 10070:1 10071:10,16 10075:23 10080:25 10081:3 10100:3 strong 10095:10 studying 10084:22 stun 10137:12 subject 10105:9 10118:23</p>	<p>submission 10093:1 10124:8,20 10125:14 10125:17 10142:21 submissions 10105:21 10136:16 submit 10121:21 10122:25 10142:19 10143:10 subpoena 10148:17 subsequent 10132:15 10139:19 substantial 10122:17 substantive 10122:17 10122:25 10123:9 substantively 10124:22 subtraction 10128:22 suggest 10112:1,4 suggested 10130:21 suggesting 10123:9 10124:3,5 10144:6 suggestion 10090:4 10095:25 10127:17 10128:20 suggestions 10138:18 10145:8 summary 10060:13 10121:17 support 10093:7 suppose 10140:17,23 supposition 10094:12 10094:15 suppress 10090:5 10096:1 suppression 10093:21 sure 10077:18 10080:4 10085:10 10086:22 10093:14,15 10097:17 10099:7 10101:10 10115:25 10130:18 10139:18 surprised 10078:12 suspects 10084:11,12 10085:18,20 10107:23 sustained 10085:21 swaard 10135:22 sword 10135:22 sworn 10098:12 sy 10059:17 10060:22 10061:25 10062:1 10063:8 10066:10 10072:10 10076:6,15 10077:1 10099:19 10106:24 10108:13 10117:25 10125:25 10126:1 10130:1 synde 10098:2 sê 10065:7 10076:25 10115:14 s'n 10124:14 s.o.e 10059:4 10088:23 10119:7 10136:11</p>	<p>tactical 10066:4 10067:2,11,23 10068:11 10069:21 10070:9 10071:3 10074:14,20,23 10075:24 10076:9 10137:20 10141:22 tactically 10137:17 take 10060:14 10066:14 10079:11 10086:16 10088:4,10 10088:11 10089:5 10095:3 10096:5,5 10115:1 10116:1 10118:24 10119:2 10125:1 10129:17 10136:3,6,20 10139:14 10140:19 10150:3 taken 10064:2,23 10067:3 10068:12 10069:19 10070:3 10076:22 10092:1 10094:13 10096:20 10096:22 10127:20 10147:10 10150:8 takes 10117:19 talk 10089:15,19 10104:15 talked 10116:7 talking 10060:9 10081:7 10113:17 10124:19 10128:7 10133:14 talks 10106:10 10107:3 10113:11 10121:14 talle 10071:20 targets 10142:23 te 10059:16,22,24 10060:5 10063:20,20 10065:13,20,21 10066:7,10 10071:22 10097:25 10109:2,3,3 10116:22,23 10118:9 10123:4 10125:24 10130:2 10131:12 10132:10 10138:22 10144:2 tea 10088:11 10135:24 10136:4,6 teams 10137:22 teargas 10137:12 teatime 10082:22 technically 10146:7 techniques 10137:11 teen 10118:11 teenwoordig 10071:20 10109:15 10118:3,5,8 10118:17 teenwoordigheid 10075:9 telkens 10071:24 tell 10090:5 10093:1 10097:3 10098:14 10127:3 10135:2 telling 10113:4</p>
---	--	--	---	--

T

Taakmag 10139:4
table 10103:23

<p>tells 10127:17 temporarily 10141:24 ten 10080:18 tendered 10124:21 tense 10137:2 tenth 10107:7 tenuitvoer 10075:2,6 ter 10109:23 terme 10061:25 10063:4 10065:11 10071:19,22 10072:2 10073:17 10075:10 10076:18 10091:11 10099:20 10108:12 10108:14 10109:12 10115:13 10118:12 10128:25 10130:1,9 10132:11 10138:24 10138:25 10139:2 10143:18 terms 10103:10 10123:12 10124:8 10146:23 terugkeer 10079:16 terugvoer 10073:10,17 10075:10,17 test 10093:15 testified 10067:21 10086:12 10087:4 10144:6,7,9,11 10145:7 testifies 10148:15 testify 10148:15 testifying 10113:1 testimony 10113:5 10145:10 10146:23 10148:12 thank 10059:8 10069:16 10081:24 10082:25 10085:12 10088:1,15 10089:1 10105:19 10106:7 10108:22 10119:1,11 10133:17 10140:25 10141:3 Thanks 10096:8 10136:17 that's 10061:15 10062:11 10065:3 10066:23 10068:16 10068:21 10071:10 10071:16 10074:7,15 10078:12 10082:7,8 10083:2,13 10088:9 10093:2 10095:22 10097:17 10100:17 10100:25 10104:17 10104:18 10105:14 10105:25 10108:18 10110:7 10112:17 10113:24 10114:18 10114:19,25 10119:15 10132:23 10135:25 thereof 10117:2 10121:11 10136:20</p>	<p>10139:22 10141:13 10150:21 there's 10069:25 10083:9,22 10084:9 10090:4 10094:21 10095:20,21 10119:20 10127:17 10139:25 10140:4 they're 10073:4 10094:24 thing 10118:23 10145:9 10146:19 things 10084:24 10089:25 10099:16 10112:4 10113:8 10127:9,20 10132:25 think 10064:16 10075:14 10080:24 10081:6,7 10091:18 10103:25 10104:23 10105:5,11 10109:4 10115:9 10119:15 10127:2 10128:18 10129:2,7 10134:15 thinks 10115:2 third 10081:4 10099:23 10123:19 thirdly 10107:13 thought 10077:17 10078:11 10090:2 10093:24 10127:8 10140:14 10141:4 thoughtfully 10082:8 threat 10068:6,8,9 10094:21 10137:24 threats 10067:23 10068:4,10 10069:1 10069:17 three 10069:1,14 10080:25 10081:1 10086:16,23 10114:12,15,21 10116:9,10 10117:1 10121:13 three-stage 10141:14 throw 10113:18 throwing 10113:7 Thursday 10067:3 10085:4 10126:24 TH2 10103:5 TH205 10102:12 10103:4 tientalle 10118:18 time 10067:15 10074:21 10078:22 10084:24 10087:5 10103:3 10119:12 10125:1,9 10142:11 times 10086:15 10137:5 today 10069:6,13 10151:1 toe 10059:24 10062:17 10075:7,20 10078:20 10115:16,23 10118:3 10118:5 10131:3</p>	<p>10132:5,8,10 10134:1 10134:3 toelighting 10129:1 toespraak 10072:11 tog 10099:17 told 10071:11,17 10073:25 10081:14 10094:12,21 10096:21 10097:5,10 10139:10 tomorrow 10151:2,3,9 10151:14 tone 10069:2 toneel 10072:22 10090:18 10091:7,8 top 10082:5 10084:23 10114:5 10133:8 topic 10079:19 tot 10066:11 10089:22 totale 10089:10 10118:12 track 10064:3 transcript 10077:3 10102:8 10103:6 10104:14 transcripts 10145:10 transgressing 10107:21 translation 10061:1,2 transpired 10087:23 transport 10061:4 traverse 10150:20 treated 10140:16 treatment 10111:13 trial 10085:22 tried 10105:16 triple 10141:5,9 TRT 10137:18 10139:3 true 10065:1 truly 10064:21 10075:22 truth 10089:6,6,7 10090:5,6 10091:25 10098:13,13,14 try 10077:8 10100:23 trying 10079:12 10098:10 10106:5 10115:8 Tsiloane 10059:16,19 10060:21 10061:3,10 10066:12 TT5 10071:24 Tuesday 10086:5 10127:15 10129:6 10144:23 10145:3 turn 10106:16 tussen 10065:8,9 10079:2 10132:11 twee 10084:3,7 10086:20,20 10087:10 10091:14 10123:6,15,23 10143:20 tweede 10084:2 10131:4 tweesnydende 10135:22</p>	<p>twice 10146:12 two 10060:8 10068:4 10069:17 10080:13 10080:25 10081:2,16 10081:24 10082:3,13 10085:19,24 10086:23 10087:6 10094:20 10100:3 10106:9,10 10113:7 10113:17 10116:10 10133:3,7 10137:22 10140:4 tyd 10067:18 10078:7 10078:25 10143:16 tydens 10067:6 10078:5 10097:13 10098:1 10128:24 10139:1 10143:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>u 10059:3 10077:15 10088:22 10119:6 10136:10 uit 10075:18 10115:23 10134:2,2 uiteen 10117:11,12 uiteraard 10075:18 10126:1 uitgegaan 10086:20 uitgelaat 10130:3 uitgesluit 10061:11 uitvoer 10080:18 uitrol 10138:24 uitvoerbaar 10131:19 ultimately 10095:10 unattainable 10141:24 unchanged 10129:4 uncoiling 10069:9,10 understand 10066:23 10074:2,16 10077:19 10077:23 10078:11 10078:13 10079:9 10084:24 10088:17 10089:5,13 10093:8 10093:12 10097:3 10100:13 10101:1,5 10112:3,10 10115:8,9 10123:10 10134:20 10144:5 10148:16 10149:21,22 understanding 10074:15 10078:1 10096:24 understands 10115:7 10135:10 understood 10077:16 10089:12 undertaking 10064:11 10064:20 unequivocally 10100:3 unfair 10125:2 unfold 10141:19 units 10111:15,20 10121:2 10123:24 10138:5 unprecedented</p>	<p>10142:11 unrest 10107:23 unsigned 10139:19 untrue 10095:14 upset 10063:12 use 10081:22 10105:17 10108:20 10134:18 10135:16 10141:4 useful 10105:17 10132:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <hr/> <p>vanaf 10071:22 10075:11,18 10124:11 10131:12 various 10086:15 10111:15,19,20 10121:1 10122:2 vas 10076:25 10077:10 10078:18 VB 10087:19 vee 10139:3,4 vehicle 10060:14 verander 10075:6 10077:11 10078:20 verandering 10132:5 10138:25 verandering 10076:3 10129:13 10134:1 verantwoordelikheid 10089:21 verbind 10080:21 verbonde 10081:11 verbreking 10065:9 verdere 10065:12,13 10066:7 10076:16 10139:6 10143:20 verduidelik 10063:3 10075:1 vereiste 10091:2 vergader 10063:20 vergadering 10097:13 10115:17,21 10118:3 10128:5 10139:2 vergaderings 10109:17 10128:24 verkeerd 10126:21 verkeerde 10084:2 verklaring 10062:1 10106:24,24 10108:13 10115:13 10117:25 10123:7 10124:12 10126:1,16 10126:22 verklarings 10130:2 verlaat 10072:22 verloor 10076:6 vermeld 10110:4 10118:1,1 10126:2 vermelding 10062:1 vermoed 10071:25 verneem 10087:18 10108:14 verpligting 10098:4 versamel 10109:3 version 10064:6</p>
--	---	--	--	--

<p>verskeidenheid 10118:16 10131:20</p> <p>verskeie 10143:18</p> <p>verskillende 10073:18</p> <p>verskuif 10132:10 10139:6</p> <p>verslae 10071:19</p> <p>versoek 10063:4</p> <p>versoen 10110:4</p> <p>verstaan 10071:21 10080:19 10086:10 10089:10,21 10100:21</p> <p>verteenwoordigers 10115:19,21</p> <p>vertrek 10059:17 10060:24 10063:8</p> <p>vertroud 10065:7</p> <p>vervat 10116:23</p> <p>verwag 10063:17</p> <p>verwelkom 10072:3</p> <p>verwerp 10072:3</p> <p>verwoord 10126:1 10130:7 10144:2</p> <p>verwys 10060:21 10077:15 10091:11 10097:16 10098:8 10108:12,14,24 10123:5 10129:24 10131:21 10132:4 10143:14</p> <p>verwysend 10070:14 10071:24 10083:21 10084:3 10087:10 10091:12</p> <p>verwysing 10071:23 10078:17 10108:15 10128:4</p> <p>verwysings 10143:18 10143:20</p> <p>video 10070:18 10090:11,13,16,24 10091:12 10092:1,18 10096:19,20,22,25 10097:2,6,8,22</p> <p>videographers 10094:20 10096:4</p> <p>videomateriaal 10097:15,16,24 10098:5,20</p> <p>videos 10095:1 10096:6</p> <p>video-operateurs 10070:13 10091:14</p> <p>view 10071:14 10089:25 10135:11</p> <p>views 10108:5 10146:1 10146:4,17 10147:10 10147:25 10148:3,6</p> <p>vir 10059:16,23 10060:4 10063:7 10098:3,5 10124:1 10134:10,11 10143:16,22 10144:1</p> <p>visible 10107:20</p> <p>visit 10060:11</p> <p>visits 10060:8</p>	<p>visuels 10094:13</p> <p>voertuie 10063:8</p> <p>voldoende 10091:11</p> <p>volgende 10144:1</p> <p>volstaan 10116:20 10145:5</p> <p>volunteering 10091:22</p> <p>voor 10075:13 10076:15</p> <p>voorgedra 10118:13</p> <p>voorgelê 10089:22 10098:21 10115:17 10118:3</p> <p>voorligting 10078:6</p> <p>voorstel 10118:11</p> <p>voort 10066:7</p> <p>voortspruitend 10115:23</p> <p>voorvalleboek 10060:20 10061:10 10066:11</p> <p>vorige 10076:25</p> <p>vra 10062:18 10144:1</p> <p>vraag 10074:5 10080:16</p> <p>vrae 10062:18</p> <p>vroeg 10071:25 10076:16 10109:13</p> <p>vyf 10065:10,10 10073:18 10091:11 10091:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <hr/> <p>waar 10066:6 10071:24 10083:15 10111:6 10128:17</p> <p>waarheid 10089:10</p> <p>waarna 10077:15 10091:11</p> <p>waarskynlik 10067:19</p> <p>waaruit 10062:18</p> <p>wag 10063:7</p> <p>wait 10060:1</p> <p>want 10072:11 10076:21 10085:9 10088:15 10100:23 10101:2,17 10102:2 10104:24 10105:20 10105:21 10108:1,6 10116:13 10122:14 10125:2 10130:12 10135:16 10136:15 10136:20 10138:13 10141:6 10142:1,17 10143:17 10144:16 10145:22</p> <p>wanted 10069:18 10134:22</p> <p>wants 10101:24</p> <p>wapens 10065:21 10076:19 10077:2</p> <p>war 10069:4</p> <p>warning 10132:24</p> <p>wasn't 10064:21 10077:18 10082:17 10131:14,16</p>	<p>wat 10059:21,23 10060:21 10063:17 10063:19 10070:12 10070:14 10072:1 10073:17 10075:8,12 10076:4,5 10078:6,8 10078:17,18 10080:18 10087:14 10090:16 10091:10 10097:16,24,25,25 10098:1,7,20,21 10099:18 10108:14 10109:13,15,18 10110:4 10118:12,17 10118:20 10123:5,13 10123:24,25 10129:25 10130:1,2,9 10130:25 10131:3,13 10131:20 10132:5 10138:23 10139:3,7 10143:13,16,20</p> <p>water 10137:12</p> <p>waterkanonne 10091:15</p> <p>watse 10118:1</p> <p>way 10064:16 10068:8 10082:24 10096:9 10110:20 10112:4,16 10112:23 10124:7</p> <p>ways 10141:11</p> <p>weapons 10107:22 10137:15,21</p> <p>Wednesday 10062:21 10127:16 10129:6,7 10130:20 10131:25 10133:17</p> <p>week 10085:4</p> <p>weekend 10084:22</p> <p>weens 10075:8 10131:20</p> <p>weer 10075:19 10128:25 10138:21</p> <p>weereens 10063:20</p> <p>weergawe 10123:5</p> <p>wees 10075:17 10080:22 10091:7</p> <p>weet 10063:17 10073:2 10077:15 10087:14 10087:18,20 10097:15 10098:7 10099:19 10118:2,4,7 10118:10,13,16</p> <p>weg 10130:3</p> <p>wel 10066:8</p> <p>welcome 10088:16</p> <p>went 10066:17 10072:17 10082:14 10094:22,23,24 10095:2,4 10096:5 10103:7 10112:4 10116:17 10133:18 10144:25</p> <p>weren't 10140:22</p> <p>werk 10109:14</p> <p>werkery 10109:18</p> <p>werklik 10138:25</p>	<p>west 10123:25</p> <p>weswaarts 10132:10</p> <p>We'd 10139:16</p> <p>we'll 10064:10 10088:11 10115:1 10117:13 10118:22 10118:24 10119:2,3 10121:12 10151:8</p> <p>we're 10065:23</p> <p>we've 10064:12 10081:24 10083:6 10085:7</p> <p>what's 10102:23 10103:3 10138:18 10140:1</p> <p>White 10143:21</p> <p>Whitman 10131:1</p> <p>who's 10104:4</p> <p>wie 10109:24 10118:14</p> <p>wil 10098:18 10109:23 10109:23 10143:19</p> <p>willing 10094:1</p> <p>window 10069:11</p> <p>windrigtings 10131:12</p> <p>wire 10069:9,10</p> <p>wires 10068:20 10069:1</p> <p>wish 10059:5 10088:5 10106:17 10147:7 10149:22</p> <p>wishes 10093:9</p> <p>withdrawal 10070:17</p> <p>withdrawing 10070:2</p> <p>withheld 10092:3,24</p> <p>witness 10069:18 10079:9 10092:16,19 10093:6,9,11,22 10099:12 10102:13 10103:7 10104:4,11 10104:22 10105:16 10105:20 10106:2,15 10109:5 10112:18,20 10113:2,15 10115:6 10119:9 10126:10 10130:18 10135:15 10135:17,17 10145:20 10146:21 10146:25 10147:3 10148:12,19 10149:6 10149:16 10150:1</p> <p>witnesses 10090:22 10091:20 10105:5 10115:4</p> <p>Woensdag 10129:15 10132:7</p> <p>women 10087:7</p> <p>wonder 10150:7</p> <p>wondered 10096:23</p> <p>Wonderkop 10137:3</p> <p>won't 10064:17 10099:15 10133:1 10135:4</p> <p>woorde 10124:13</p> <p>word 10063:7,17 10075:2,2,4,6,10 10076:20 10089:22</p> <p>10095:9 10098:5 10105:17 10109:4 10110:1,2 10118:13 10123:5 10126:4 10139:8 10143:24 10144:16,19</p> <p>words 10117:14 10124:23 10125:10 10125:15 10130:15</p> <p>work 10075:23 10089:16 10092:20 10112:5</p> <p>worked 10144:11 10147:6,19 10148:13</p> <p>working 10115:15</p> <p>world 10069:6</p> <p>write 10102:22</p> <p>writes 10136:21</p> <p>wrong 10094:11 10106:8 10113:2 10119:20 10134:25</p> <p>wys 10090:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <hr/> <p>XYZ 10094:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <hr/> <p>years 10142:2</p> <p>you'd 10076:11</p> <p>you'll 10062:6 10063:22 10068:5 10069:25 10070:17 10070:18 10072:6 10083:4,6 10084:9 10087:21 10100:9 10106:8,19 10122:8</p> <p>you're 10081:7 10086:22 10088:17 10088:17 10095:5 10102:15 10106:1,6 10112:11 10115:8 10123:8 10128:7,8</p> <p>you've 10067:1,21 10068:24 10079:19 10089:12 10100:6 10101:6 10119:12,19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <hr/> <p>Zukululu 10083:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <hr/> <p>0 10091:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <hr/> <p>1 10067:16 10070:19 10072:7,17 10074:21 10079:1,8 10082:12 10083:9 10090:18 10091:7 10107:6 10122:10 10123:3,6,11 10123:14 10132:8,17 10138:6,7</p> <p>1:30 10067:3,12</p> <p>10 10080:23,25 10081:1 10081:8,12 10107:6 10109:21 10117:2,4</p>
--	--	---	---

<p>10121:11 10123:18 10124:12 10133:3 10:10 10059:2 10073:6 10:30 10067:17 10:40 10070:13 10:49 10075:21 1004 10066:15 1010 10074:9 11 10088:3 10124:16,25 10125:1 11:09 10085:10 11:20 10068:15,17 10069:1 11:45 10088:13 11:55 10066:21 115 10131:5 118 10081:21 12 10067:16 10125:6,6 12:00 10076:16 12:05 10097:7 12:24 10105:19 12:44 10112:9 13de 10099:18 10109:2 10109:19 10118:16 13th 10080:7,14 10081:3,16 10086:2,3 10099:3,24 13:15 10067:19 13:25 10073:6 10074:9 10074:13 13:30 10136:23 13:40 10068:9 10069:3 14 10107:19 10145:12 14de 10109:20 10115:17 14th 10086:4,6 10116:6 10146:25 14:06 10119:5 14:26 10124:18 14:30 10078:8 10079:3 10138:8 14:46 10130:16 15 10068:7 15de 10063:3 10072:2 10076:3,25 10078:17 15th 10062:21 10065:17 10077:4,13 10077:20,20 10078:1 10078:11,14 10086:6 10126:19 10127:25 10128:1 15:00 10079:3 15:23 10136:9 15:30 10075:7 15:40 10068:10 10069:7 15:43 10143:16 156 10070:14 16 10126:24 10141:19 10142:5 16de 10063:5 10076:3 10077:11 10078:5,7 10078:19 10139:1 16e 10068:15 16th 10059:12 10060:8 10062:24 10063:24</p>	<p>10064:22 10065:25 10067:3,12,23 10069:21 10070:4,9 10070:19 10071:3 10076:9 10079:21,22 10080:12 10086:6,13 10087:6 10090:14 10099:2 10100:4 10136:22 161 10072:6 17 10126:24,24 170 10070:17 18 10126:13,13,15,18 10127:13 10141:14 189 10069:25 19 10084:8 10142:2 19th 10139:22 10140:5 19/11/2012 10140:21 192 10068:4,24 10069:3 10069:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>2 10083:10 10090:18 10091:8 10107:6 10119:3 10124:15 10129:14 10130:21 10132:17 10133:4,21 20 10059:1 10128:2,8 2012 10059:12 10107:20 10139:22 10141:14,19 10142:5 10146:25 2013 10059:1 21 10128:2,13 10142:1 25 10070:4,18 10072:7 10072:17 10083:16 10083:22 10084:3 10120:10,11,20 26 10111:14 10112:10 10120:9,19,25 10121:15 262 10142:8,13 27 10111:23 10112:13 10121:15 10124:11 29 10136:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>3 10083:18,23 10107:6 10125:5,8 10137:8 10138:8,15 3:30PM 10086:14 30 10137:9 30-plus 10118:4 31 10137:19 32 10137:19 34 10100:4 36 10131:6 360 10132:21 360-degree 10133:23 39 10141:5,9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>4 10070:4 10083:18,24 10107:6 10114:5 10117:4 10121:23,25 10122:15,18 10123:3</p>	<p>10123:6 10141:13,17 10141:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>5 10084:5,7 10107:6 10123:23 10132:18 10132:18 10133:3,6,7 10141:25 5PM 10086:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <p>6 10084:5,7 10107:6 10126:18,24 10141:17,25 69 10062:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>7 10085:16 10107:6 10142:18,19 71 10122:8 72 10062:8 10122:15,16 10123:2,5,12,14 73 10122:15 10123:17 10123:17 10124:1 75 10124:19,22 10125:1 76 10124:19,22 10125:1 78 10125:9,10,10 79 10083:12 10125:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>8 10106:13,16 10107:2 10107:2,5,6,7 10108:2,10 10110:25 10113:9,14 10115:15 10121:6 10147:15,17 10147:17,18 10148:21 80 10125:9,10,10 8228 10103:25 10108:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>9 10084:4 10106:13,16 10107:2,6,15 10108:10 10110:25 10113:14,22 10120:12 10121:7 10147:19 9:30 10079:22 10151:14 998 10068:17</p>		
---	---	---	--	--