RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

# COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

## MARIKANA

## **BEFORE TRIBUNAL**

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

## HELD ON

DAY 91 14 MAY 2013

PAGES 9643 TO 9774

## HELD AT

CIVIC CENTRE, RUSTENBURG, NORTH WEST PROVINCE



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: <u>realtime@pixie.co.za</u> Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

		1	
1	Page 9643	1	Page 9645
1	[PROCEEDINGS ON 14 MAY 2013]	1	Voorsitter, as ek net kan aanhaal? "Use of the following
2	[09:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2	are prohibited or restricted during crowd management
3	Generaal-majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed.	3	operations", en dan onder B, "Fire-arms and sharp
4	CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e.	4	ammunition, including birdshot (fine lead pellets) and
5	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu. MR MPOFU: Thank vou, Chairperson, Good	5	buckshot (small lead pellets) are prohibited." En dan sub
6		6	6, "The approved action rubber rounds may only be used as
7	morning, General.	7	offensive measures to disperse a crowd in extreme
8	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Good morning.	8	circumstances if less forceful methods have proved to be
9	MR MPOFU: I'd like us just to tidy up a	9	ineffective."
10	few of the points that we covered yesterday, before	10	MR MPOFU: Yes.
11	starting on a new topic. There was the debate that you	11	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, sorry, what
12	witnessed between myself and the Chairperson regarding the	12	documents are you quoting now?
13	definition of offensive measures. Now I don't want to	13	MR MPOFU: 262, I think.
14	criticise you later for something that you did not say. So	14	CHAIRPERSON: The wording differs from
15	I want to get it very clearly. Are you telling this	15	262. It's essentially the same meaning, content, but it
16	Commission that the firing of live ammunition does not,	16	sounds like an expansion of some kind. I just want to know
17	despite those definitions and so on, does not fall under	17	what it is.
18	the rubric of offensive measures, as a positive statement. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	18	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19	dis die konsep nasionale instruksies, getitel, "Public
20 21	dit is korrek, dit is nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls	20 21	order police, crowd regulation and management during public
	nie. MR MPOFU: So in respect of the	21	gatherings -
22 23	MR MPOFU: So in respect of the definition which I've also found somewhere else, which	22	CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit number, has it got an exhibit number? Not an exhibit?
23 24	includes the use of fire-arms as offensive measures, your	23 24	MR MPOFU: Oh, I see –
24	testimony is that it's the – once that draft is adopted, it	24 25	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I didn't think it was
23	testimony is that it's the – once that draft is adopted, it	25	
	Page 9644		Page 9646
1	Page 9644 will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will	1	Page 9646 an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr
1 2	•	1 2	-
	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will		an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the
2	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens	2	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier?
2 3	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	2 3	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the
2 3 4	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek	2 3 4	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept.
2 3 4 5	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die	2 3 4 5	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I
2 3 4 5 6	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees	2 3 4 5 6	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept.
2 3 4 5 6 7	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die	2 3 4 5 6 7	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen. MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen. MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen. MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will</li> <li>be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</li> <li>nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens</li> <li>nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die</li> <li>dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek</li> <li>is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die</li> <li>pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees</li> <li>haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook</li> <li>'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in</li> <li>agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of</li> <li>offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,</li> <li>dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuknommer toegeken is nie,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans? MR MAHLANGU: It is English.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuknommer toegeken is nie,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans? MR MAHLANGU: It is English. MR MPOFU: It's called National
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuknommer toegeken is nie, Voorsitter. Maar die dokument is "National instruction" en dan nog nie 'n nommer nie, of 2012.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans? MR MPOFU: It's called National Instructions 2012.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuknommer toegeken is nie, Voorsitter. Maar die dokument is "National instruction" en dan nog nie 'n nommer nie, of 2012.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: It's GGG21.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans? MR MAHLANGU: It is English. MR MPOFU: It's called National Instructions 2012. MR MAHLANGU: National Instructions 2012.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuknommer toegeken is nie, Voorsitter. Maar die dokument is "National instruction" en dan nog nie 'n nommer nie, of 2012.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: It's GGG21. GeNERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans? MR MPOFU: It's called National Instructions 2012. MR MAHLANGU: Is that the one, General,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuknommer toegeken is nie, Voorsitter. Maar die dokument is "National instruction" en dan nog nie 'n nommer nie, of 2012.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: It's GGG21.</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie, dankie, Voorsitter. Voorsitter, dan gemerk deur die – op</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans? MR MPOFU: It's called National Instructions 2012. MR MAHLANGU: Is that the one, General, National Instructions of 2012, public order police crowd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuknommer toegeken is nie, Voorsitter. Maar die dokument is "National instruction" en dan nog nie 'n nommer nie, of 2012.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: It's GGG21.</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie, dankie, Voorsitter. Voorsitter, dan gemerk deur die – op die bladsy bo, 000565 of dan die bladsy aan die voetnota is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans? MR MPOFU: It's called National Instructions 2012. MR MAHLANGU: It is English. MR MPOFU: Is that the one, General, National Instructions of 2012, public order police crowd regulation and management during public gatherings and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<ul> <li>will be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms will be regarded as offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, selfs as die konsep goedgekeur word, sal vuurwapens nie deel van offensiewe maatreëls wees nie. As ek die dokument kan kry, kan ons dit verder lees in konteks en ek is seker daar is 'n pertinente uitsluiting daar. Die pertinente vuurwapen wat daar na verwys sal wees, sal wees haelgeweer met gebruik van rubber, want haelgeweer is ook 'n vuurwapen.</li> <li>MR MPOFU: Okay. So at least we are in agreement that the use of rubber bullets is part of offensive measures?</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek, ja, rubber is deel van offensief. As ek kan verwys na bewysstuk GGG21? O, ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk is. Ek sien dis gekrap hier bo-op GGG21, maar ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuknommer toegeken is nie, Voorsitter. Maar die dokument is "National instruction" en dan nog nie 'n nommer nie, of 2012.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: It's GGG21.</li> <li>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie, dankie, Voorsitter. Voorsitter, dan gemerk deur die – op</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an exhibit. Do you want to have it made an exhibit, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson. Is that the draft from which I quoted earlier? CHAIRPERSON: This is the draft, do you see, it says it's a draft concept. MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the one that I quoted the definition of offensive measures – CHAIRPERSON: National instruction on what, on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I'll just give you the actual heading. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I can see, it sounds like draft national instruction on crowd management? MR MPOFU: Yes, I did say it yesterday on the record for Mr Mahlanga. CHAIRPERSON: Is it English or Afrikaans? MR MPOFU: It's called National Instructions 2012. MR MAHLANGU: Is that the one, General, National Instructions of 2012, public order police crowd

	Page 9647		Page 9649
1	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is	1	MR MPOFU: Yes.
2	korrek, Voorsitter.	2	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Onder andere,
3	MR MPOFU: And while you are there –	3	Voorsitter. Donderbuise kan ook deel van dit wees, CS-gas.
4	CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I just want to	4	CHAIRPERSON: So teargas as well?
5	understand what's going on. This document headed National	5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis alles
6	Instructions – National Instructions hash -	6	pirotegniese goed.
7	MR MPOFU: Yes.	7	CHAIRPERSON: And then just for clarity,
8	CHAIRPERSON: - of 2012. I think the	8	paragraph 14, 14(5) says the following - "Use the following
9	hash indicates it's a draft.	9	while prohibited or restricted during crowd management
10	MR MPOFU: yes.	10	operations.". Now there's something similar in the wording
11	CHAIRPERSON: Because they don't know	11	of –
12	what the number is going to be.	12	MR MPOFU: Word for word.
13	MR MPOFU: Ja.	13	CHAIRPERSON: Of Standing Order 262, but
14	CHAIRPERSON: Public order police crowd	14	I think you'll find the wording is expanded here, but it's
15	regulation management during public gatherings and	15	essentially the same meaning.
16	demonstrations and it is, as the witness said, has 21	16	MR MPOFU: Yes, so it's essentially the
17	pages. It also says, Issued by Consolidation Notice hash,	17	same, Chairperson. And that is maybe my point, that the
18	2012. So this is a draft?	18	only thing, according to your evidence is that this would
19	MR MPOFU: Correct.	19	be the first time ever that the use of fire-arms is
20	CHAIRPERSON: It hasn't been approved	20	regarded as offensive measures and – one. Two, that do you
21	yet?	21	agree or don't you agree that just as pyrotechnics include
22	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,	22	stun grenades onder andere, fire-arms would also include a
23	Voorsitter.	23	shotgun for rubber bullets, but it also includes live
24 25	CHAIRPERSON: So if you want it as an	24 25	
25	exhibit, then we'll make it an exhibit.	25	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
	Page 9648		Page 9650
1	MR MPOFU: We do.	1	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens
2	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next	2	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit.
	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is?		nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that
2 3 4	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22?	2 3 4	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun
2 3	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22.	2 3 4 5	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas?
2 3 4 5 6	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright.	2 3 4 5 6	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MR MPOFU: GGG22.	2 3 4 5 6 7	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?Is it 22?MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MR MPOFU: GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: This is your copy, is it, Majoor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MR MPOFU: GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: This is your copy, is it, Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MR MPOFU: GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: This is your copy, is it, Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek, Voorsitter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?Is it 22?MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?Is it 22?MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind mywriting on it, exhibit GGG22.We'll give it back to you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?Is it 22?MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind mywriting on it, exhibit GGG22.We'll give it back to youand then copies will be made for all those who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind mywriting on it, exhibit GGG22.We'll give it back to youand then copies will be made for all those who areinterested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind mywriting on it, exhibit GGG22. We'll give it back to youand then copies will be made for all those who areinterested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it'sexhibit GG22. Alright. So I think that now clarifies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind mywriting on it, exhibit GGG22.We'll give it back to youand then copies will be made for all those who areinterested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it'sexhibit GGG22.Alright.Kotter.So I think that now clarifiesthat. Now you say that that document has a section dealing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: And the warning should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind mywriting on it, exhibit GGG22.We'll give it back to youand then copies will be made for all those who areinterested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it'sexhibit GGG22.Alright. So I think that now clarifiesthat. Now you say that that document has a section dealingwith offensive –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: And the warning should be given before offensive measures used. Now was a warning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind mywriting on it, exhibit GGG22.We'll give it back to youand then copies will be made for all those who areinterested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it'sexhibit GG22.Alright.Korrek that now clarifiesKhat. Now you say that that document has a section dealingwith offensive –MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Yes, in the definition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: And the warning should be given before offensive measures used. Now was a warning given before the, as far as you know? I know you weren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU:We do.CHAIRPERSON:Alright. So the nextnumber then is?MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Is it 22?MS PILLAY:22, Chair, GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:Alright.MR MPOFU:GGG22.CHAIRPERSON:This is your copy, is it,Majoor?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Korrek,Korrek,Voorsitter.So you won't mind mywriting on it, exhibit GGG22.We'll give it back to youand then copies will be made for all those who areinterested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it'sexhibit GG22.Alright.Korrek that. Now you say that that document has a section dealingwith offensive –MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Yes, in the definitionsection, which is section 2N for Nellie.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: And the warning should be given before offensive measures used. Now was a warning given before the, as far as you know? I know you weren't on the scene, but -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MR MPOFU: GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: This is your copy, is it, Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: So you won't mind my writing on it, exhibit GGG22. We'll give it back to you and then copies will be made for all those who are interested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it's exhibit GG22. Alright. So I think that now clarifies that. Now you say that that document has a section dealing with offensive – MR MPOFU: Yes, in the definition section, which is section 2N for Nellie. CHAIRPERSON: The paragraph is paragraph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: And the warning should be given before offensive measures used. Now was a warning given before the, as far as you know? I know you weren't on the scene, but - GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MR MPOFU: GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: This is your copy, is it, Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: So you won't mind my writing on it, exhibit GGG22. We'll give it back to you and then copies will be made for all those who are interested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it's exhibit GG22. Alright. So I think that now clarifies that. Now you say that that document has a section dealing with offensive – MR MPOFU: Yes, in the definition section, which is section 2N for Nellie. CHAIRPERSON: The paragraph is paragraph 2 - 2N, N for Nellie?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: And the warning should be given before offensive measures used. Now was a warning given before the, as far as you know? I know you weren't on the scene, but - GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, soos ek verstaan, as gevolg van die aanval, was daar nie 'n
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MR MPOFU: GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: This is your copy, is it, Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: So you won't mind my writing on it, exhibit GGG22. We'll give it back to you and then copies will be made for all those who are interested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it's exhibit GGG22. Alright. So I think that now clarifies that. Now you say that that document has a section dealing with offensive – MR MPOFU: Yes, in the definition section, which is section 2N for Nellie. CHAIRPERSON: The paragraph is paragraph 2 - 2N, N for Nellie? MR MPOFU: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: And the warning should be given before offensive measures used. Now was a warning given before the, as far as you know? I know you weren't on the scene, but - GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, soos ek verstaan, as gevolg van die aanval, was daar nie 'n geleentheid nie. 'n Terme van die plan sou daarna die
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: We do. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. So the next number then is? MR MPOFU: Is it 22? MS PILLAY: 22, Chair, GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: Alright. MR MPOFU: GGG22. CHAIRPERSON: This is your copy, is it, Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: So you won't mind my writing on it, exhibit GGG22. We'll give it back to you and then copies will be made for all those who are interested in having full sets of the exhibits. So it's exhibit GG22. Alright. So I think that now clarifies that. Now you say that that document has a section dealing with offensive – MR MPOFU: Yes, in the definition section, which is section 2N for Nellie. CHAIRPERSON: The paragraph is paragraph 2 - 2N, N for Nellie?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nee, dit sal nie die eerste keer wees nie, want vuurwapens wat skerppunt ammunisie afvuur, is steeds uitgesluit. CHAIRPERSON: I read that, I read that subparagraph. And of course, at Marikana on the 16th, stun grenades were used, were they not? And teargas? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Skok granate was gebruik en CS, dis reg, ja. Ook waterkannonne, dis korrek. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het nie gehoor nie, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: Was a warning given that those are offensive measures, you would accept in terms of the definition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, Voorsitter. CHAIRPERSON: And the warning should be given before offensive measures used. Now was a warning given before the, as far as you know? I know you weren't on the scene, but - GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, soos ek verstaan, as gevolg van die aanval, was daar nie 'n

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

	Page 9651		Page 9653
1	CHAIRPERSON: So what you're saying is,	1	had been enforced at the time and we were applying it to
2	strictly speaking a warning should have been given, but it	2	see whether it had been complied with, I would let you
3	wasn't, because there wasn't an opportunity to do so. So	3	proceed. But I don't know that our time is being gainfully
4	that's your case, is that correct?	4	used in examining the meaning of a draft instruction.
5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg,	5	Basically what you're trying to establish is the word
6	Voorsitter. Voorsitter, as ek net kan verder gaan met	6	"offensive measures" as used in the standing order,
7	paragraaf N op GGG22 onder die definisies. Die "responding	7	includes the use of – as the definition, includes the use
8	with fire-arms" moet saam gelees word met paragraaf	8	of sharp ammunition.
9	14(5)(b). En dit is 'n konsep dokument en om alle	9	MR MPOFU: Yes.
10	onduidelikheid uit die weg te ruim, sal hierdie pertinente	10	CHAIRPERSON: I think, if I may say so,
11	definisie dan ook noodwendig moet in die definisie verander	11	whether the argument is correct or not, it can be
12	word om dan uitsluiting te gee aan skerppunt-ammunisie.	12	determined later. But I don't know if there is any further
13	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, is that your	13	evidence that you need put before us in order to be able to
14	reason for saying that it doesn't apply? Of course that	14	mount an argument one way or the other, as to what the
15	would be true if 14(5) posited a total prohibition of life	15	words, expression means in Standing Order 262.
16	ammunition, it does not. Can you see that paragraph 5	16	MR MPOFU: Well, we don't know –
17	says, "The use of the following are prohibited or	17	CHAIRPERSON: I would be inclined to
18	restricted."	18	suggest you to move on to another point.
19	CHAIRPERSON: - go on, Mr Mpofu. You'll	19	MR MPOFU: Ja, Chairperson, please,
20	see that if you – you're reading from sub para 5.	20	before I do that, let me just say. The witness has said
21	MR MPOFU: Yes.	21	that the use of rubber bullets as they are commonly
22	CHAIRPERSON: "The use of the following	22	referred to, is part of offensive measures. That much was
23	are prohibited or restricted during crowd management	23	established. Now with your permission, I'd like to ask
24	operations."	24	him, whether do you agree that in policing there is no
25	MR MPOFU: Yes.	25	distinction that is drawn between rubber bullets and live
	Page 9652		Page 9654
1	Page 9652 CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited	1	Page 9654 ammunition?
1 2	0	1	
	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited		ammunition?
2	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and	2	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders."	2 3	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is	2 3 4	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie.
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant	2 3 4 5	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well –
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions.	2 3 4 5 6	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is,
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:"Pepper spray is prohibitedunless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited andteargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders."So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray isrestricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevantcommand is issued through instructions.MR MPOFU:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:But fire-arms and sharpammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibitedand there is no provision which provides for its use inexceptional circumstances.MR MPOFU:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:So the opening words, "Theuse of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:"Pepper spray is prohibitedunless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited andteargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders."So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray isrestricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevantcommand is issued through instructions.MR MPOFU:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:But fire-arms and sharpammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibitedand there is no provision which provides for its use inexceptional circumstances.MR MPOFU:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:So the opening words, "Theuse of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomesclear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The use of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes clear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B and C. A and C are effectively examples of restriction and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike onderskeid is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The use of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes clear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B and C. A and C are effectively examples of restriction and B appears to be a case of an absolute -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike onderskeid is. MR MPOFU: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The use of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes clear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B and C. A and C are effectively examples of restriction and B appears to be a case of an absolute - MR MPOFU: It's fine, but – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to unduly stop	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike onderskeid is. MR MPOFU: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, sorry to interrupt, but it's not as simple as that, because there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The use of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes clear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B and C. A and C are effectively examples of restriction and B appears to be a case of an absolute - MR MPOFU: It's fine, but – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to unduly stop you, Mr –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike onderskeid is. MR MPOFU: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, sorry to interrupt, but it's not as simple as that, because there was what we call a gloss later on the Standing Order, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The use of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes clear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B and C. A and C are effectively examples of restriction and B appears to be a case of an absolute - MR MPOFU: It's fine, but – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to unduly stop you, Mr – MR MPOFU: No, no –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike onderskeid is. MR MPOFU: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, sorry to interrupt, but it's not as simple as that, because there was what we call a gloss later on the Standing Order, which is the instruction issued by the National Commissioner in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The use of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes clear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B and C. A and C are effectively examples of restriction and B appears to be a case of an absolute - MR MPOFU: It's fine, but – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to unduly stop you, Mr – MR MPOFU: No, no – CHAIRPERSON: We're now debating the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike onderskeid is. MR MPOFU: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, sorry to interrupt, but it's not as simple as that, because there was what we call a gloss later on the Standing Order, which is the instruction issued by the National Commissioner in July 2012. And my understanding was that that was for more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The use of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes clear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B and C. A and C are effectively examples of restriction and B appears to be a case of an absolute - MR MPOFU: It's fine, but – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to unduly stop you, Mr – MR MPOFU: No, no – CHAIRPERSON: We're now debating the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike onderskeid is. MR MPOFU: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, sorry to interrupt, but it's not as simple as that, because there was what we call a gloss later on the Standing Order, which is the instruction issued by the National Commissioner in July 2012. And my understanding was that that was for more restrictive, the case of rubber bullets and balls and so on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: "Pepper spray is prohibited unless fire-arms and sharp ammunition are prohibited and teargas may be used only by POP - or operation commanders." So in other words, tear gas is restricted, pepper spray is restricted, because it's prohibited unless the relevant command is issued through instructions. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: But fire-arms and sharp ammunition, including birdshot and buckshot are prohibited and there is no provision which provides for its use in exceptional circumstances. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: So the opening words, "The use of the following are prohibited or restricted", becomes clear when one has regard to the three subparagraphs A, B and C. A and C are effectively examples of restriction and B appears to be a case of an absolute - MR MPOFU: It's fine, but – CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to unduly stop you, Mr – MR MPOFU: No, no – CHAIRPERSON: We're now debating the meaning of a draft instruction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek verstaan nie die vraag in algemene terme van policing nie. Ek is jammer, ek verstaan dit regtig nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well – CHAIRPERSON: I think what it means is, sharp ammunition is generally forbidden and rubber bullets are equally forbidden. We understand that. Neither of the – I mean that's the point he's making, from a policing point of view? Is that right, Mr Mpofu? MR MPOFU: Yes, whether as a policing matter there is a distinction, a distinction like this between rubber and sharp ammunition? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek kan net verwys na Staanorde 262 wat daar 'n duidelike onderskeid is. MR MPOFU: Okay. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, sorry to interrupt, but it's not as simple as that, because there was what we call a gloss later on the Standing Order, which is the instruction issued by the National Commissioner in July 2012. And my understanding was that that was for more

FOR JUSTICE

	Page 9655		Page 9657
1	been experienced, the people who previously injured by	1	MR MAHLANGU: Can you please refer us to
2	rubber bullets, so hence the need to move forward and hence	2	the transcript?
3	the issue of that instruction by the National Commissioner,	3	MR MPOFU: Okay. I can do so. If you go
4	isn't it so?	4	to 8434, examination by Mr Semenya SC continues. "General,
5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	5	the use of force by POP members, is there anything between
6	maar die gebruik van rubber was nog altyd 'n laaste uitweg.	6	the use of rubber and the use of sharp ammunition in
7	Dit was net weereens bevestig deur die Nasionale	7	policing? Voorsitter, as ek kyk na die kontinuum van
8	Kommissaris.	8	geweld, dan sal ons merk dat dit die heel laaste faset is."
9	[10:00] MR MPOFU: General, you know, I've not	9	Okay. Then Chairperson, "Are you finished with Mr White's
10	done this to you before, but this time I would really like	10	report", and so on. Then Mr Semenya says, "Yes, Chair, the
11	a yes or no answer. You can elaborate as much as you like	11	conclusion then Mr White says that obviously beyond POP and
12	after that. I'm asking you a simple question. In policing	12	the next thing that happened is sharp ammunition. The
13	matters regarding POP and crowd management and so on, is	13	point I'm trying to make, is there anything in policing,
14	there anything, any distinction between the use of rubber	14	not in self-defence, in policing that exists as a policing
15	bullets and live ammunition in the context of the use of	15	measure between rubber and sharp ammunition?" Generaal-
16	force, yes or no –	16	majoor Annandale, "Voorsitter, in polisiëring weet ek nie,
17	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, can I	17	maar in die Suid-Afrikaanse Polisiediens, polisiëring
18	just understand that question? Do you mean anything of the	18	konteks, nee." And that was, I can't remember, it was on
19	Standing Order, anything of the legislation or anything in	19	the 24th of April and today your answer is, 'ja'.
20	the actual usage on the field?	20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
21	MR MPOFU: I don't know. Just in	21	die vrae was verskillend gewees. Die ander een was of daar
22	policing, intelligent practice –	22	'n stap tussen die gebruik van rubber en vuurwapens is in
23	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Mpofu, I	23	polisiëring en ek het gesê daar is niks tussen die twee
24	understand you mean the current police practice?	24	nie. Nou het ek die onderskeid verduidelik. So daar is
25	MR MPOFU: Yes.	25	nie 'n – dis verskillende vrae.
1	Page 9656	1	Page 9658
1	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as	1	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read
2	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the	2	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner?	2 3	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route.
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution –	2 3 4	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or	2 3 4 5	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity	2 3 4 5 6	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare. MR MPOFU: I think that calls for cake,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:In the light of 262 and as- instruction and the subsequent instruction of theNational Commissioner?MR MPOFU:Yes, and the Constitution –CHAIRPERSON:The answer is either yes orno, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunityto amplify as to why he says what he says.GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil.Vuurwapens, daarword pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels vanselfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom,terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase interme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare.MR MPOFU:I think that calls for cake,Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON:In the light of 262 and as- instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner?MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON:The answer is either yes orno, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says.GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil.Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare. MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:I think that calls for cake, Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Notionally he is still in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:In the light of 262 and as- instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner?MR MPOFU:Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON:The answer is either yes orno, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says.GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil.Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare. MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:I think that calls for cake,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:Notionally he is still in the chair, I'm just going to fetch a file.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack was mounted by anybody, then that line was formed for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON:In the light of 262 and as- instruction and the subsequent instruction of theNational Commissioner?MR MPOFU:Yes, and the Constitution –CHAIRPERSON:The answer is either yes orno, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunityto amplify as to why he says what he says.GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil.Vuurwapens, daarword pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels vanselfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom,terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase interme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare.MR MPOFU:I think that calls for cake,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Notionally he is still inthe chair, I'm just going to fetch a file.MR MPOFU:Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack was mounted by anybody, then that line was formed for something else other than self-defence, because you can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON:In the light of 262 and as- instruction and the subsequent instruction of theNational Commissioner?MR MPOFU:Yes, and the Constitution –CHAIRPERSON:The answer is either yes orno, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunityto amplify as to why he says what he says.GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil.Vuurwapens, daarword pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels vanselfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom,terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare.MR MPOFU:I think that calls for cake,Chairperson.CHAIRPERSON:Notionally he is still inthe chair, I'm just going to fetch a file.MR MPOFU:Thank you.CHAIRPERSON:You've got the witness's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack was mounted by anybody, then that line was formed for something else other than self-defence, because you can't have self-defence if there is no attack?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare. MR MPOFU: I think that calls for cake, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Notionally he is still in the chair, I'm just going to fetch a file. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: You've got the witness's answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack was mounted by anybody, then that line was formed for something else other than self-defence, because you can't have self-defence if there is no attack? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek weet nie,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare. MR MPOFU: I think that calls for cake, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Notionally he is still in the chair, I'm just going to fetch a file. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: You've got the witness's answer. MR MPOFU: Yes, I did, thank you, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack was mounted by anybody, then that line was formed for something else other than self-defence, because you can't have self-defence if there is no attack? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek weet nie, daar is nie 'n vraag gevra nie, Voorsitter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare. MR MPOFU: I think that calls for cake, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Notionally he is still in the chair, I'm just going to fetch a file. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: You've got the witness's answer. MR MPOFU: Yes, I did, thank you, Mr General. Well, I put it to you that you are busy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack was mounted by anybody, then that line was formed for something else other than self-defence, because you can't have self-defence if there is no attack? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek weet nie, daar is nie 'n vraag gevra nie, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Well, this is the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare. MR MPOFU: I think that calls for cake, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Notionally he is still in the chair, I'm just going to fetch a file. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: You've got the witness's answer. MR MPOFU: Yes, I did, thank you, Mr General. Well, I put it to you that you are busy contradicting yourself now. When you were asked that exact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack was mounted by anybody, then that line was formed for something else other than self-defence, because you can't have self-defence if there is no attack? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek weet nie, daar is nie 'n vraag gevra nie, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Well, this is the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: In the light of 262 and as - instruction and the subsequent instruction of the National Commissioner? MR MPOFU: Yes, and the Constitution – CHAIRPERSON: The answer is either yes or no, but obviously the witness will then have an opportunity to amplify as to why he says what he says. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die antwoord is ja, daar is 'n verskil. Vuurwapens, daar word pertinent verwys na die gemeenregtelike beginsels van selfverdediging, noodweer en die beskerming van eiendom, terwyl rubber as 'n laaste uitweg is, 'n laaste fase in terme van die uiteendryf van 'n skare. MR MPOFU: I think that calls for cake, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Notionally he is still in the chair, I'm just going to fetch a file. MR MPOFU: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON: You've got the witness's answer. MR MPOFU: Yes, I did, thank you, Mr General. Well, I put it to you that you are busy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: No, I'm sorry, actually I read the question almost from Mr Semenya's question, so that you don't have that escape route. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie saam nie. MR MPOFU: Okay. Now we move on. That's fine, we leave it for argument. The next issue is – okay, well, as u sat we will leave it for argument. I honestly don't believe we are debating whether the use of live ammunition is offensive or not. But be that as it may, we'll move to the – the next important issue that you and I discussed yesterday was the issue of barbed wire. Would you agree with the following statement, that if it's established that that line of semi-automatic rifle wielding policemen that was formed in front of the gap that which you and I discussed yesterday, was formed before any attack was mounted by anybody, then that line was formed for something else other than self-defence, because you can't have self-defence if there is no attack? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek weet nie, daar is nie 'n vraag gevra nie, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Well, this is the question.

1	Page 9659	1	Page 9661
1	any sort was mounted, then it was formed in front of that	1	CHAIRPERSON: There's substance in that
2	gap for a purpose other than self-defence, whatever the	2	point. The suggestion is not that you should be stopped
3 4	purpose was, because there was no attack at that stage. You can't have self-defence if there is no attack?	3 4	from asking the question, but that you'd rather ask someone
	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: So dis ten		who would be able to give a more definitive answer than
5		5	this witness who has to rely partly on hearsay and partly
6	tyde van die klein groepie nog steeds gegroepeer sonder dat	6 7	on material before us in the form of photographs and videos and so on.
7	hulle, hulle eerste beweging gedoen het op die polisie lyn? MR MPOFU: No, I don't care where they		
8		8	MR SEMENYA SC: Ja.
9	were. All I'm saying is that they were not attacking	9	CHAIRPERSON: You know, regard be had to
10	anybody at that stage, at the stage when that line was	10	the need to use the time gainfully.
11	formed, as depicted in the picture that you pointed us to	11	MR MPOFU: Ja.
12	at 10:52, where the "basic line" is formed and there are no	12	CHAIRPERSON: I don't propose stopping
13	protestors in sight in that picture at least?	13	you from asking the question, but I suggest that keep the
14	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	14	question for someone who can give us, in all deference to
15	soos ek dit het, het die TRT beweeg van hulle agterste	15	the witness, a better answer than this witness can.
16	posisie, sowat 100 meter agter die voorste twee linies van	16	MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, that is a
17 10	gepantserde voertuie en dat hulle beweging was as gevolg van die eerste beweging van die groep op die polisie lyn by	17 18	sensible suggestion, but the stick coming from Mr Semenya, the only reason I'm raising this is because he asked this
18		18	
19 20	Nyala 4. So of hulle aanvanklik beweeg het om in	20	witness about those things in chief.
20	ondersteuning te wees van die lede voor of as 'n afskrikmiddel in terme van addisionele mags vertoon, dit	20	MR SEMENYA SC: Okay, fine, I'll – CHAIRPERSON: Don't pick unnecessary
22	weet ek nie.	22	fights, please.
22	MR MPOFU: Yes, so your answer is yes	22	MR MPOFU: Well, if it wasn't raised in
23	then, because whatever it is, show of force, what have you,	23	chief I wouldn't be cross-examining it. So he should have
25	all I'm saying is that if you like at slide 210, the last	25	taken his own advice. Okay. Now can we move to the issue
20	an rin saying is that if you like at side 210, the last	20	taken his own davide. Okay. New can we move to the issue
	Page 9660		Page 9662
1	Page 9660 picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in	1	Page 9662 of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where
1 2	0	1 2	5
	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in	_	of - I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where
2	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can	2	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you
2 3	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple	2 3	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me?
2 3 4	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line,	2 3 4	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have	2 3 4 5	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm
2 3 4 5 6	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there,	2 3 4 5 6	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is
2 3 4 5 6 7	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police	2 3 4 5 6 7	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that – okay,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that – okay, let's take one step back. Would you agree that the basic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non- participants through the implementation of defensive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that – okay, let's take one step back. Would you agree that the basic line or the calls for basic line were made before an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non- participants through the implementation of defensive measures."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that – okay, let's take one step back. Would you agree that the basic line or the calls for basic line were made before an "attack" on the police version, was launched?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non- participants through the implementation of defensive measures." CHAIRPERSON: Forgive me, Mr Mpofu, I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that the basic line or the calls for basic line were made before an "attack" on the police version, was launched?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non- participants through the implementation of defensive measures." CHAIRPERSON: Forgive me, Mr Mpofu, I was trying to find my copy. What page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that the basic line or the calls for basic line were made before an "attack" on the police version, was launched? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, can we have this conversation with witnesses who were on the police line?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non- participants through the implementation of defensive measures." CHAIRPERSON: Forgive me, Mr Mpofu, I was trying to find my copy. What page? MR MPOFU: It's page 9, typed page 9,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that he basic line or the calls for basic line were made before an "attack" on the police version, was launched? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, can we have this conversation with witnesses who were on the police line? They will tell us more accurately at what point they formed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non- participants through the implementation of defensive measures." CHAIRPERSON: Forgive me, Mr Mpofu, I was trying to find my copy. What page? MR MPOFU: It's page 9, typed page 9, 5.2.3, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that the basic line or the calls for basic line were made before an "attack" on the police version, was launched? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, can we have this conversation with witnesses who were on the police line? They will tell us more accurately at what point they formed it, why they formed it and Mr Mpofu can have a meaningful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non- participants through the implementation of defensive measures." CHAIRPERSON: Forgive me, Mr Mpofu, I was trying to find my copy. What page? MR MPOFU: It's page 9, typed page 9, 5.2.3, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: 5.?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	picture, whether the line formed facing the gap and in which there are no protestors in sight, even though you can see the kraal, 210, the last one. I'm asking you a simple question, would you agree that the formation of that line, with those people holding semi-automatic rifles, must have been for a purpose other than self-defence, because there, there was clearly nobody in sight and even on the police version, no attack had yet been mounted? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, slide 210 – MR MPOFU: 210, sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: The last one is after. You can even see the bodies are lying on the ground there. CHAIRPERSON: - the time is obscured by the 210, but it looks as if it's 15:51. MR MPOFU: Yes, no, Chair, okay, let us assume Mr Semenya is right. It doesn't matter. The point I'm making is simply that, would you agree that he basic line or the calls for basic line were made before an "attack" on the police version, was launched? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, can we have this conversation with witnesses who were on the police line? They will tell us more accurately at what point they formed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of – I won't ask you about the specifics of who stood where at whatever time, but what I do want to establish with you is, if the Chair will bear with me? CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR MPOFU: I've got the document I'm looking for, but I have another version which is underlined. I'm looking for that. CHAIRPERSON: If you can't find it, perhaps those assisting you can find it and you can move on to another point in the meanwhile. MR MPOFU: Ja. Then I'll find it here. It's just going to be more difficult. In terms of – thank you, Chairperson. In terms of FFF1, I'm just going go read a lot of passages and then I'll ask you the question. In terms of FFF1, 5.2.3 thereof, it says, "Should negotiation fail, the next step would be to contain the situation", underline this, "to protect critical point and non- participants through the implementation of defensive measures." CHAIRPERSON: Forgive me, Mr Mpofu, I was trying to find my copy. What page? MR MPOFU: It's page 9, typed page 9, 5.2.3, Chairperson.

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

1401	Markana com	113510	Nustenburg
	Page 9663		Page 9665
1	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	1	magsvertoon. Dit is ook 'n aanvaarbare praktyk in terme
2	MR MPOFU: I've just read the first part	2	van om reserwe magte vorentoe te beweeg om 'n magsvertoon
3	of 5.2.3. So defensive measures, the defensive measures in	3	om dan aksies, aggressiewe aksies te ontmoedig.
4	the Marikana context means barbed wire, among under things,	4	MR MPOFU: Yes, I accept that – oh sorry.
5	correct?	5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Magsvertoon
6	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Onder andere,	6	is uiters belangrik en dit het al oor baie jare het dit
7	dis korrek.	7	baie goeie gevolge gehad, Voorsitter. En dis maar 'n
8	MR MPOFU: And then on the next page, on	8	kombinasie van hulpbronne wat insluit menslike hulpbronne,
9	the next page it says, "In these types of operations it is	9	maar dan ook die voertuie, water-kannonne ensovoorts.
10	important to maintain a distance between the demonstrators	10	MR MPOFU: General, I'm afraid you have
11	and SAPS or POP personnel by means of non-violent methods."	11	not answered my question. My question is a comparative one
12	In other words, I'm going to argue that that means that if	12	and please listen to it carefully. Even accepting what you
13	one wanted to protect the so-called enclosed area, it would	13	are saying, let's accept that the show of force method was
14	be important to do that by means of non-violent method as a	14	meant to discourage any attack or breach, all I'm asking
15	priority. Would you agree?	15	you is this, and as background you and I agreed yesterday
16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis dan juis	16	that there was at least 200 metres of wire available. So
17	die afstand wat ons gehad het, die 80 meter plus dan die	17	there was the means and there was the opportunity. Given
18	draad.	18	that background, would it comparatively not have been
19	MR MPOFU: Yes. And then it then says -	19	preferable to achieve that very goal of discouraging an
20	CHAIRPERSON: I don't think the answer is	20	attack to employ the non-violent defensive that was
21	interpreted in isiXhosa yet.	21	prescribed in what I read to you, rather than to achieve
22	MR MPOFU: Oh yes, sorry, Chairperson.	22	that object with a line of gun wielding policemen with
23	MR HANABE: I have interpreted,	23	semi-automatic rifles?
24	Commissioner.	24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
25	MR MPOFU: And before I move on, that	25	maar beide die ontplooiing was nie gewelddadig, beide die
-			
1	Page 9664	1	Page 9666
1	requirement is also mimicked or carried through in	1	draad as 'n defensiewe maatreël, asook die ontplooiing van
2	Regulation 262 and I'm now going to read you, put your	2	hulpbronne. Soos ek praat van die een en die ander
3	finger on that one, we're going to come back to it. I'm	3	gesamentlik en beide is nie-gewelddadig. Veral magsvertoon
4	reading 11.2 of Regulation 262 –	4	is nie-gewelddadig.
5	CHAIRPERSON: Standing Order.	5	MR MPOFU: Yes, but I'm talking about the
6	MR MPOFU: Standing Order, sorry,	6	potential of the two measures. The one measure at worst
7	Standing Order 262, thank you, Chairperson. And that one	7	would have resulted in a few people rupturing their skins
8	says, "If negotiation fail," which on your version has	8	against barbed wire if they were stupid enough to go
9	happened, "and life or property is in danger", which also -	9	against it. The other measure resulted in 34 deaths – at
10	has happened, "the following procedure must be followed."	10	least 16, let's leave it to the gap, 16 and it could have
11	And then for number 1 it is, "Put defensive measures in place as a priority." Is that the same sentiment of if	11	resulted in hundreds and hundreds, if not thousands of other deaths. Don't you think that is a crucial
12		12	
13 14	there is a danger to life or property, the priority should	13	distinction between the potential between those two
14	be non-violent/defensive measures being put in place?	14	measures, if they were put - against each other?
15 16	[10:19] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Presies wat	15 16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
16 17	dit sê, Voorsitter, om defensiewe maatreëls in plek te sit. MR MPOFU: And therefore, if that is	16 17	ek het getuig dat wat ons nou weet in nabetragting, sou ek die totale area heeltemal afgekordon het met slegs 'n
		17	
18 10	true, then would you agree with the statement which I put	18 19	ingang wat beheer is. Controlled entrance. CHAIRPERSON: Limited entrance that was
19 20	to you yesterday, just before we stopped, namely that the		
20	enclosed area should be preferably have been protected by	20	controlled, I think.
21	the employment of barbed wire/defensive measures, rather	21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
22	than gun wielding, a gun wielding line of people with semi- automatic rifles?	22	maar hoe ons dit beplan het, was ons vas oortuig dat die
23		23 24	ontplooiing van die draad plus die magsvertoon sou
24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die lede wat daar ontplooi was, is deel van die	24 25	voldoende gewees het om enige sodanige aanval te ontmoedig.
25	die lede wat daar ontploor was, is deel van die	25	Die bedoeling was ook om al ses die draadkarre te ontplooi,
A	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	I	

	Page 9667		Page 9669
1	maar die omstandighede het dit nie toegelaat nie en	1	to the 80 metre distance between the strikers and the row
2	gevolglik was daar net vier ontplooi.	2	of Nyalas. Do you see that's depicted by the yellow line?
3	MR MPOFU: No General, you can't be	3	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk die
4	serious. The – you and I established yesterday, we're not	4	geel lyn op en die 80 meter, dis korrek, Voorsitter.
5	talking about the whole area. We're talking about the	5	MR MPOFU: And then the other yellow line
6	small area of 25 metres, we established that the barbed	6	further down is the 50 metre yellow line. The question I
7	wire was available. So I don't know what you mean that	7	want to ask you is, would you agree that what we've
8	they could not deploy it. I mean surely they could have?	8	previously referred to as the horseshoe arrangement formed
9	CHAIRPERSON: But he said there wasn't	9	by those Nyala, defined the demarcated area, the protected
10	time.	10	area?
11	MR MPOFU: Well, exactly. We also	11	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
12	established that there was – there was at least more than –	12	die beskermde gebied word aangedui deur die ses Nyalas en
13	there was more than two minutes and it does not take –	13	dan die potensiële area wat die sesde Nyala nog addisioneel
14	well, I don't know how long it takes, but surely it can't	14	sou dek in terme van sy ontplooiing. En dit is nie -
15	take more than two minutes to deploy a small gap of 25	15	MR MPOFU: So your earlier evidence –
16	metres. So that we established yesterday, that there was	16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: - L-formaat –
17	the means and the opportunity and in any event, the issue	17	MR MPOFU: Sorry, Chair. Yes, in other
18	is not a question of hindsight. It's actually the	18 19	words, your earlier evidence that it was defined by the 80
19 20	opposite. It's a question of foresight, because the people who drafted these things, were – it was an exercise in	20	metre gap, so to speak, is – should be – you are amending that now?
20	foresight and when they said, when life is endangered and	20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
22	all those things, put defensive measures in place as a	22	ek het verwys na die 80 meter tussen die lede en die
23	priority, in the future, not looking back on. Would you	23	polisie lyn. As die lyn vyf meter agter daai lyn is, dit
24	agree with that?	24	is – in die beplanning het ons gesê, sowat 80 meter.
25	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	25	Niemand het dit gaan uitmeet om dan presies te sê dit moet
	Page 9668		Page 9670
1	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie,	1	die lyn wees nie.
2	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis	2	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave
2 3	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver	2 3	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the
2 3 4	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons	2 3 4	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it
2 3 4 5	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin	2 3 4 5	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50
2 3 4 5 6	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die	2 3 4 5 6	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself	2 3 4 5 6 7	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl daar nie 'n pertinente dreigement is nie en 'n ander ding,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Maybe it would be easier if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl daar nie 'n pertinente dreigement is nie en 'n ander ding, maar 'n ander ding as daar 'n pertinente bedreiging is. En	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Maybe it would be easier if we use them as a reference. Would you agree that those people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl daar nie 'n pertinente dreigement is nie en 'n ander ding, maar 'n ander ding as daar 'n pertinente bedreiging is. En ek weet nie Brigadier Calitz of enige – of Kolonel Mokubela	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Maybe it would be easier if we use them as a reference. Would you agree that those people who were walking there, had not reached the police line or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl daar nie 'n pertinente dreigement is nie en 'n ander ding, maar 'n ander ding as daar 'n pertinente bedreiging is. En ek weet nie Brigadier Calitz of enige – of Kolonel Mokubela of enige van die betrokke bevelvoerders kan getuig of dit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Maybe it would be easier if we use them as a reference. Would you agree that those people who were walking there, had not reached the police line or are you saying they had?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl daar nie 'n pertinente dreigement is nie en 'n ander ding, maar 'n ander ding as daar 'n pertinente bedreiging is. En ek weet nie Brigadier Calitz of enige – of Kolonel Mokubela of enige van die betrokke bevelvoerders kan getuig of dit so was dat draadkar 4 reeds afgehaak was, sodat hy kan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Maybe it would be easier if we use them as a reference. Would you agree that those people who were walking there, had not reached the police line or are you saying they had? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl daar nie 'n pertinente dreigement is nie en 'n ander ding, maar 'n ander ding as daar 'n pertinente bedreiging is. En ek weet nie Brigadier Calitz of enige – of Kolonel Mokubela of enige van die betrokke bevelvoerders kan getuig of dit so was dat draadkar 4 reeds afgehaak was, sodat hy kan begin ontplool, maar draadkar 5 en 6 was nog nie gewees nie. Ek weet werklik nie wat die pertinente rede was hoekom hulle nie kon verder kom met die ontplooiing nie.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Maybe it would be easier if we use them as a reference. Would you agree that those people who were walking there, had not reached the police line or are you saying they had? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, op hierdie stadium was die lyn nog nie ontplooi nie, maar in terme van die posisieonering van die Nyalas, is hulle buitekant die laaste Nyala.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl daar nie 'n pertinente dreigement is nie en 'n ander ding, maar 'n ander ding as daar 'n pertinente bedreiging is. En ek weet nie Brigadier Calitz of enige – of Kolonel Mokubela of enige van die betrokke bevelvoerders kan getuig of dit so was dat draadkar 4 reeds afgehaak was, sodat hy kan begin ontplooi, maar draadkar 5 en 6 was nog nie gewees nie. Ek weet werklik nie wat die pertinente rede was hoekom hulle nie kon verder kom met die ontplooiing nie. MR MPOFU: Okay General, then we'll ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Maybe it would be easier if we use them as a reference. Would you agree that those people who were walking there, had not reached the police line or are you saying they had? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, op hierdie stadium was die lyn nog nie ontplooi nie, maar in terme van die posisieonering van die Nyalas, is hulle buitekant die laaste Nyala. MR MPOFU: I see. So this demarcated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ek is seker dat die twee Nyalas wat nie ontplooi het nie, sal die betrokke bevelvoerders kan aandui hoekom nie. Dis maar net om die praktiese ontplooiing van draadkarre so ver ek bewus is daarvan, vir u te verduidelik. Voorsitter, ons ry nie in die Nyala en dan druk ons 'n knoppie en dan begin hierdie draad ontplooi nie. Daar is lede wat buite die voertuig moet staan met dik handskoene wat hulleself beskerm teen die lemmetjiesdraad. Daar is drie rolle van hierdie draad, twee onder en een bo-op dit. So aanvanklik moet die draad, moet dit afgehaak word en dan moet daai voertuig beweeg en dan is dit – in praktyk haak dit baie keer vas. Dan moet dit eers losgehaak word en dit gaan van – dit is – dit is gidsrelings kan ek nou maar sê, wat hierdie draad op hang, so dit haak vas as dit ontplooi word. Voorsitter, dis een ding om dit te ontplooi terwyl daar nie 'n pertinente dreigement is nie en 'n ander ding, maar 'n ander ding as daar 'n pertinente bedreiging is. En ek weet nie Brigadier Calitz of enige – of Kolonel Mokubela of enige van die betrokke bevelvoerders kan getuig of dit so was dat draadkar 4 reeds afgehaak was, sodat hy kan begin ontplool, maar draadkar 5 en 6 was nog nie gewees nie. Ek weet werklik nie wat die pertinente rede was hoekom hulle nie kon verder kom met die ontplooiing nie.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	die lyn wees nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, well, that I will leave for argument, but that's what defines the enclosure on the western side. On the northern side you would agree that it was - that horseshoe arrangement was defined by the 50 metre line, yellow line, correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, nee, Nyala 6 sou nog sowat 'n 80 meter of miskien 'n 100 meter in terme van die volle strekking van die draad, sou nog in 'n oostelike rigting beweeg het. MR MPOFU: Well, okay, so on that version then, you see that there are people in slide 191 who are walking on the part that goes in front of the kraal? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk persone op, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: Maybe it would be easier if we use them as a reference. Would you agree that those people who were walking there, had not reached the police line or are you saying they had? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, op hierdie stadium was die lyn nog nie ontplooi nie, maar in terme van die posisieonering van die Nyalas, is hulle buitekant die laaste Nyala.

1       required in the regulations. It was not a static defined       1       counsel referred?         2       police line. It was wherever you determined it to be?       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter,         3       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter,       4       ke het die woord gebruik, insluitend, potensieel insluitend,         4       nee, die enigste mobieliteit sal wees terwyl die Nyalas       5       beweeg om die statiese lyn daar te stel.       5       CHAIRPERSON:       Including, yes.         6       MR MPOFU:       General, please, let's try and       7       since the morning. The question is, those people who wei         7       help each other. When those people were walking in front       ret, de traal, you are saying that at this stage they were       9       not breaching the police line. Thot or words the police         10       line at that stage did not include that path in front of       the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,       12       MR MPOFU:       So the people who were at the         12       because we can assume that they walked, knowing that       they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50       14       korrek, Voorsitter.         15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter.       15       MR MPOFU:       So the people who were at the         16       soos ek s die statiese versperring was nog nie ontploo	1required in the regulations. It was not a static defined1counsel referred?2police line. It was wherever you determined it to be?2GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Page 9673
2       police line. It was wherever you determined it to be?       3       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,         3       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,       a       ek het die woord gebruik, instuitend, potensieel instuitend         4       nee, die enigste mobieliteit sal wees terwyl die Nyalas       beweeg om die statiese lyn daar te stel.       5         5       beweeg om die statiese lyn daar te stel.       5       CHAIRPERSON: Including, yes.         6       MR MPOFU: General, please, let's try and       6       MR MPOFU: And the police were there         9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police       9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police         10       line at that stage did not include that path in front of       1       tkerrek, yoorsitter.         12       because we can assume that they walked, knowing that       13       koprie, at least those were interested in going to Nkaneng         13       they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50       MR MPOFU: So the people who were at the         14       adt boes word act metrested in going to Nkaneng       16         15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,       15         16       set ket gesé die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna       17       because they had just doen so to constitute breaching the police. line,         16	2 police line. It was wherever you determined it to be? 2 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	
3       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter,         4       nee, die enigste mobieliteit sal wees terwyl die Nyalas       5         5       beweeg om die statiese lyn daar te stel.       6         6       MR MPOFU:       General, please, let's try and       6         7       help each other. When those people were walking in front of       6       MR MPOFU:       And the police line, those people who were         9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police       9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police         10       line at that stage did not include that path in front of       1       the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,         12       because we can assume that they walked, knowing that       11       korrek, Voorsitter.         15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter,         16       soos ek se die tastese versperring was nog nie ontplooi.         17       nie. En ek het gesè die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna         18       verwys word, is buitte die laaste Nyala wie beoogo om die         19       verdere versperring to ontplooi.         11       korpei, at laast those waarna in everalgemeniseer dat almal op die kopple die         19       verdere versperring to ontplooi.         17       general, otany, tas free place where <td></td> <td></td>		
4       nee, die enigste mobieliteit sal wees terwyl die Nyalas         5       beweeg om die statiese lyn daar te stel.         6       MR MPOFU:       General, please, let's try and         7       help each other. When those people were walking in front         8       of the kraal, you are saying that at this stage they were       in the reaching the police line? In other words the police         9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police       in the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,         10       line at that stage did not include that path in front of       the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,         13       they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50         14       debestoke were interested in going to Nkaneng         15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter,         16       soos ek sé die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi.       16         17       nie. En ek het gesé die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna       17         18       verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die       18         19       verdere versperring te ontplooi.       19         22       when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly       23         23       speaking within the police line. It was free place where       24       people could move and indee	3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 3 ek het die woord gebruik, insluitend, potensieel	Voorsitter,
5       beweeg om die statiese lyn daar te stel.       5       CHAIRPERSON:       Including, yes.         6       MR MPOFU:       General, please, let's try and       6       MR MPOFU:       And the police were there         7       help each other.       When those people were walking in front       7       Since the morning.       The question is, those people who were         8       of the kraal, you are saying that at this stage they were       9       not breaching the police line?       10       Ine at that stage did not include that path in front of       10       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Dit is knorek, Voorsitter.         12       because we can assume that they walked, knowing that       11       korrek, Voorsitter.       12       MR MPOFU:       So the people who were at the         13       they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50       14       and those who had come through that path, knew for sure         16       soos ek sé die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi       16       that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on         17       verdere versperring te ontplooi.       10       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter         18       vervys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die       14       hours?       15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter         19       G		insluitend
6MR MPOFU:General, please, let's try and help each other. When those people were walking in front of the kraal, you are saying that at this stage they were 9 not breaching the police line? In other words the police 10 line at that stage did not include that path in front of 11 the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that, 12 because we can assume that they walked, knowing that 13 they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50 14 metre gap. Now you can answer.6MR MPOFU: so the police line. Would you agree with me? 10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:11 Would you agree with me? 10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:11 It korrek, Voorsitter.16soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi 17 nie. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna t verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die 19 verdere versperring te ontplooi.11 It hat path does not constitute - or rather, walking on 16 that path does not constitute breaching the police line, 17 because they had just done so in the past six to eight hours?10Inc. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna t verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die 19 verdere versperring te ontplooi.1010Inc. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna t verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die 19 place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:40 22 when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly 23 speaking within the police line. It was free place where 24 geopele could move and indeed moved in and out of the 25 koppie?1011RMPOFU:General, okay, I take one stepPage 9672 2 9 people who had walked there in the morning, but be that 3 gewees het. <t< td=""><td>4 nee, die enigste mobieliteit sal wees terwyl die Nyalas 4 die betrokke pad.</td><td></td></t<>	4 nee, die enigste mobieliteit sal wees terwyl die Nyalas 4 die betrokke pad.	
7       help each other. When those people were walking in front       7       since the morning. The question is, those people who were         8       of the kraal, you are saying that at this stage they were       9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police         10       line at that stage did not include that path in front of       the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,       10       CENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is         12       because we can assume that they walked, knowing that       11       korrek, Voorsitter.       12       MR MPOFU: So the people who were at the         13       they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50       14       korrek, Voorsitter.       12       MR MPOFU: So the people who were at the         14       metre gap. Now you can answer.       15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,       16       that that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on         16       soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi       16       that that path does not constitute breaching the police line,       17       because they had just done so in the past six to eight         17       place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:40       19       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter       12         12       betrokke pad gebruik het nie. Soos sekerlik die enkele       2       persone wie die pad gebruik het nie. Soos sekerlik die enkele<	5 beweeg om die statiese lyn daar te stel. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Including, yes.	
8       of the kraal, you are saying that at this stage they were       9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police         9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police       9       not breaching the police line. Would you agree with me?         10       line at that stage did not include that path in front of       the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,       10       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is         12       because we can assume that they walked, knowing that       11       they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50         14       metre gap. Now you can answer.       12       MR MPOFU: So the people who were at the         15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,       10       that that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on         16       soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi       10       that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on         17       nie. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna       18       hours?       19       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter         16       in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:40       20       when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly       23       speaking within the police line. It was free place where         24       people could move and indeed moved in and out of the       24       de noordekant af, dat hulle ook so	6 MR MPOFU: General, please, let's try and 6 MR MPOFU: And the police were the	nere
9       not breaching the police line? In other words the police       9       not breaching the police line. Would you agree with me?         10       line at that stage did not include that path in front of       1       the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,         12       because we can assume that they walked, knowing that       1       the kraal? Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,         13       they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50       14       MR MPOFU: So the people who were at the         14       metre gap. Now you can answer.       12       MR MPOFU: So the people who were at the         15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,       16       soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi         16       soos ek sê die statiese versperring te ontplooi.       16       that that path does not constitute - or rather, walking on         16       verdree versperring te ontplooi.       10       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter         10       verdree versperring te ontplooi.       17       because they had just done so in the past six to eight         17       because they had started dispersing, was not strictly       23       speaking within the police line. It was free place where         24       people could move and indeed moved in and out of the       24       die noordekant af, dat hulle ook sodanig bewus was, is         25<	7 help each other. When those people were walking in front 7 since the morning. The question is, those peop	e who were
10line at that stage did not include that path in front of 1110GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit is11the kraal?Sorry, before you answer, and I say that, 12because we can assume that they walked, knowing that 1311korrek, Voorsitter.12because we can assume that they walked, knowing that 13they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50 1412MR MPOFU:So the people who were at the 1315GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter, 15that that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on 16that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on 1616soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi 17nie. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna 18verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die 19verdere versperring te ontplooi.10GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter, 1016that path does not constitute breaching the police line, 1719Verdere versperring te ontplooi.19GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter20[10:39]MR MPOFU:So the – in other words, the 2410bortske pad gebruik het nie. Soos sekerlik die enkele 2223speaking within the police line. It was free place where 2423gaan sê dat mense wat van die westekant af gekom het o 2424die noordekant af, dat hulle ook sodanig bewus was, is 25sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.25genees het.1MR MPOFU:Yes, that's exactly why, 226GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Alles buite <br< td=""><td>8 of the kraal, you are saying that at this stage they were 8 walking up and down that path in front of the k</td><td>aal, were</td></br<>	8 of the kraal, you are saying that at this stage they were 8 walking up and down that path in front of the k	aal, were
11the kraal?Sorry, before you answer, and I say that,12because we can assume that they walked, knowing that13they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 5014metre gap. Now you can answer.15GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:16soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi17nie. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna18verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die19verdere versperring te ontplooi.20[10:39] MR MPOFU:20So the - in other words, the21place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:4022when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly23speaking within the police line. It was free place where24genoele could move and indeed moved in and out of the25koppie?26MR MPOFU:27GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:28Ale betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied3gewees het.4MR MPOFU:2General, okay, I take one step4MR MPOFU:3general, okay, I take one step	9 not breaching the police line? In other words the police 9 not breaching the police line. Would you agree	with me?
12because we can assume that they walked, knowing that they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 5012MR MPOFU: So the people who were at the koppie, at least those were interested in going to Nkaneng and those who had come through that path, knew for sure that that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on that that path does not constitute breaching the police line, that that path does not constitute breaching the police line, that that path does not constitute breaching the police line, that that path does not constitute breaching the police line, that that path does not constitute breaching the police line, that that path does not constitute breaching the police line, that that path does not constitute breaching the police line, that path does not constitute bre		Dit is
13       they're not walking into the horseshoe, but within that 50       13       koppie, at least those were interested in going to Nkaneng         14       metre gap. Now you can answer.       13       koppie, at least those were interested in going to Nkaneng         15       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter,       14       and those who had come through that path, knew for sure         16       soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi       16       that that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on         17       nie. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna       18       verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die         19       verdere versperring te ontplooi.       19       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter         20       [10:39]       MR MPOFU:       So the – in other words, the       19       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter         21       place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:40       22       when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly       23       speaking within the police line. It was free place where       24       people could move and indeed moved in and out of the       25       sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.       24       die noordekant af, dat hulle ook sodanig bewus was, is       25       sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.         22       die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kord		
14metre gap. Now you can answer.14and those who had come through that path, knew for sure15GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,16soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi1617nie. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna1818verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die1919verdere versperring te ontplooi.1920[10:39] MR MPOFU:So the – in other words, the21place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:402222when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly2323speaking within the police line. It was free place where2424people could move and indeed moved in and out of the2525koppie?261GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:2Page 96721GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:2gewees het.3gewees het.4MR MPOFU:4MR MPOFU:4MR MPOFU:5General, I did not generalise.4MR MPOFU:6General, I did not generalise.7MR MPOFU:8people who had walked there in the morning, but be that4MR MPOFU:6General, I did not generalise.7General, I did not generalise.8people who had walked there in the morning, but be that4MR MPOFU:9General, I did not generalise.9		
15GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter, nie.15that that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on that path does not constitute – or rather, walking on that path does not constitute breaching the police line, that path does not constitute breaching the police line, the police line, 		0
16soos ek sê die statiese versperring was nog nie ontplooi16that path does not constitute breaching the police line,17nie. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna16that path does not constitute breaching the police line,18verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die17because they had just done so in the past six to eight19verdere versperring te ontplooi.16that path does not constitute breaching the police line,20[10:39] MR MPOFU:So the – in other words, the19GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter21place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:4022when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly23speaking within the police line. It was free place where24people could move and indeed moved in and out of the23gaan sê dat mense wat van die westekant af gekom het o24people could move and indeed moved in and out of the25koppie?25sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.21GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Alles buite1MR MPOFU:Yes, that's exactly why,22General, I did not generalise. That's why I said, those3people who had walked there in the morning, but be tha3gewees het.4MR MPOFU:General, okay, I take one step4it may. The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,		
17nie. En ek het gesê die mense op daai voet paadjie waarna18verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die19verdere versperring te ontplooi.20[10:39] MR MPOFU: So the – in other words, the21place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:4022when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly23speaking within the police line. It was free place where24people could move and indeed moved in and out of the25koppie?1GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Alles buite2die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied3gewees het.4MR MPOFU: General, okay, I take one step		-
18       verwys word, is buite die laaste Nyala wie beoog om die       18       hours?         19       verdere versperring te ontplooi.       19       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter         20       [10:39]       MR MPOFU:       So the – in other words, the       19       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Voorsitter         21       place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:40       22       when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly       23       speaking within the police line. It was free place where       24       people could move and indeed moved in and out of the       23       gaan sê dat mense wat van die westekant af gekom het o       24       die noordekant af, dat hulle ook sodanig bewus was, is       25       sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.         1       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Alles buite       1       MR MPOFU:       Yes, that's exactly why,       2       General, I did not generalise. That's why I said, those       3       people who had walked there in the morning, but be tha         4       MR MPOFU:       General, okay, I take one step       4       it may. The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,		
19verdere versperring te ontplooi.19GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter20[10:39] MR MPOFU:So the – in other words, the20ons kan nie veralgemeniseer dat almal op die koppie die21place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:4020betrokke pad gebruik het nie.Soos sekerlik die enkele22when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly21betrokke pad gebruik het, kon bewus wees, maar of23speaking within the police line. It was free place where24people could move and indeed moved in and out of the2425koppie?Page 9672241GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Alles buite12die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied3gewees het.3gewees het.4MR MPOFU:General, okay, I take one step44MR MPOFU:General, okay, I take one step4it may. The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,		eight
20[10:39] MR MPOFU:So the – in other words, the place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:40 2220ons kan nie veralgemeniseer dat almal op die koppie die betrokke pad gebruik het nie. Soos sekerlik die enkele 2221place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:40 2320ons kan nie veralgemeniseer dat almal op die koppie die betrokke pad gebruik het nie. Soos sekerlik die enkele 2423speaking within the police line. It was free place where 2423gaan sê dat mense wat van die westekant af gekom het of 2425koppie?2426Despie could move and indeed moved in and out of the koppie?25271GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: 2428Alles buite 25129MR MPOFU: 2620Yes, that's exactly why, 2621General, okay, I take one step22Yes, the word dispute – or rather, 423MR MPOFU: 2724General, okay, I take one step25yeople who had walked there in the morning, but be that 426it may. The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,		
21place in front of the kraal, that road or path, as at 15:4021betrokke pad gebruik het nie. Soos sekerlik die enkele22when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly23speaking within the police line. It was free place where2424people could move and indeed moved in and out of the25koppie?2325koppie?Page 967211GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Alles buite242die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied3gewees het.3gewees het.4MR MPOFU:General, okay, I take one step44MR MPOFU:General, okay, I take one step4		
<ul> <li>22 when the people had started dispersing, was not strictly</li> <li>23 speaking within the police line. It was free place where</li> <li>24 people could move and indeed moved in and out of the</li> <li>25 koppie?</li> <li>26 Page 9672</li> <li>27 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Alles buite</li> <li>28 die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied</li> <li>3 gewees het.</li> <li>4 MR MPOFU: General, okay, I take one step</li> <li>22 persone wie die pad gebruik het, kon bewus wees, maar of</li> <li>23 gaan sê dat mense wat van die westekant af gekom het of</li> <li>24 die noordekant af, dat hulle ook sodanig bewus was, is</li> <li>25 sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>23 speaking within the police line. It was free place where</li> <li>24 people could move and indeed moved in and out of the</li> <li>25 koppie?</li> <li>26 Page 9672</li> <li>27 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Alles buite</li> <li>28 die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied</li> <li>3 gewees het.</li> <li>4 MR MPOFU: General, okay, I take one step</li> <li>23 gaan sê dat mense wat van die westekant af gekom het of</li> <li>23 gaan sê dat mense wat van die westekant af gekom het of</li> <li>24 die noordekant af, dat hulle ook sodanig bewus was, is</li> <li>25 sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.</li> </ul>		
24       people could move and indeed moved in and out of the koppie?       24       die noordekant af, dat hulle ook sodanig bewus was, is sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.         25       koppie?       24       die noordekant af, dat hulle ook sodanig bewus was, is sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.         26       Page 9672       1       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Alles buite       24       MR MPOFU: Yes, that's exactly why,         2       die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied       3       gewees het.       3       geople who had walked there in the morning, but be that         4       MR MPOFU: General, okay, I take one step       4       it may. The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,		
25       koppie?       25       sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.         25       sekerlik 'n bietjie aanname.       Page 9672         1       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Alles buite         2       die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied       1       MR MPOFU:       Yes, that's exactly why,         2       General, I did not generalise.       That's why I said, those         3       gewees het.       3       people who had walked there in the morning, but be that         4       MR MPOFU:       General, okay, I take one step       4       it may.       The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,		
Page 9672       Page 9672       Page 9672         1       GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:       Alles buite       1       MR MPOFU:       Yes, that's exactly why,         2       die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied       2       General, I did not generalise.       That's why I said, those         3       gewees het.       3       people who had walked there in the morning, but be that         4       MR MPOFU:       General, okay, I take one step       4       it may.       The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,		Wd5, 15
1GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Alles buite1MR MPOFU:Yes, that's exactly why,2die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied2General, I did not generalise.That's why I said, those3gewees het.3people who had walked there in the morning, but be that4MR MPOFU:General, okay, I take one step4it may.		
2die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied2General, I did not generalise. That's why I said, those3gewees het.3people who had walked there in the morning, but be that4MR MPOFU:General, okay, I take one step4it may.The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,	Page 9672	Page 9674
3 gewees het.3 people who had walked there in the morning, but be that4 MR MPOFU:General, okay, I take one step4 it may. The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,	1 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Alles buite 1 MR MPOFU: Yes, that's exactly w	hy,
4 MR MPOFU: General, okay, I take one step 4 it may. The evidence – you cannot dispute – or rather,	2 die betrokke voertuie sou buite die polisie-kordon gebied 2 General, I did not generalise. That's why I sai	d, those
5 hack Were you since you were in the IOC and not on the 5 you're not in a position to dispute the ovidence of Mr		
	5 back. Were you, since you were in the JOC and not on the 5 you're not in a position to dispute the evidence	
6 scene you might have not been aware of this. Were you 6 Magidiwana that after Mr Mathunjwa's departure and aft		
7 aware that for the day, since about 6 o'clock, up to that 7 the deployment of the first or first two Nyalas with barbe		
8 time, people to come and go into the Koppie were using that 8 wire, Mr Noki said words to the following effect. "Let us		
9 path in front of the – mainly using that path in front of 9 leave this place. Let us walk to the residential area.		
10 the kraal? 10 Let us not run, because we have done nothing wrong."		•
		Ek was nie
12       ek was bewus dat persone uit alle windrigtings die koppie       12       teenwoordig nie. Ek aanvaar dis getuienis voor hierdie         12       benader bet. Se dit kan insluit die betrakke ned en       12       Kammissie		r nierdie
13       benader het. So dit kan insluit die betrokke pad en       13       Kommissie.         14       MD MDOELL       Voo well, that evidence op it.		noo oo !!
14     Verskeie ander paaie.       15     MB MDOFU:       16     NB MDOFU:       17     NB MDOFU:		
15       MR MPOFU:       Did those all directions       15       happens, was not disputed by the police in cross-         16       include people welking on the path in front of the krael?       16       examination       So it stands that Mr Neki said, "Let us well		
16include people walking on the path in front of the kraal?16examination. So it stands that Mr Noki said, "Let us wall17CHAIRPERSON:He said, yes.17let us not run, let us leave this place. We have done		
5 5	5 5	d bocause
19CHAIRPERSON:He said it in Afrikaans.19So assuming that that is what happened, because20MR MPOFU:No, well, he was disputing the20we don't have any evidence to the contrary, this is why the	5	
21 statement I was making that they mainly come from there and 21 issue of the path, the path that you and I have been		•
DO TO CALL		
h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h	DO BO BALL	
	22 the – 22 discussing is so critical, General, to the determ	
	22the -22discussing is so critical, General, to the determ23CHAIRPERSON:Let's get going. I'm23the issues in this case. Because the evidence	
25 all directions, including walking on the path to which 25 better word, with what Mr Noki had said, they chose to,	22the -22discussing is so critical, General, to the determ23CHAIRPERSON:Let's get going. I'm23the issues in this case. Because the evidence24correct in saying that you did say that people came from24Magidiwana once again is that in complying, for	or lack of a

	-	r	
	Page 9675		Page 9677
1	like everybody else, like all these people in 191 and so	1	Voorsitter, as ons kyk na 193 en ons kyk na waar die
2	on, to use that path in front of the kraal to comply with	2	groepie is, omkring met rooi, en ons kyk na die wit pyl en
3	Mr Noki's command or request.	3	sy punt, dit klink vir my min of meer wat adv Mpofu na
4	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dan is my	4	verwys, waar hulle, dan sal u merk dat daar 'n pertinente
5	interpretasie van die fotos dan heeltemal verkeerd, want as	5	pad is aan die regterkant van die groepie, soos wat ons
6	dit so was, dan wonder ek hoekom het hulle dan beweeg na	6	daarna kyk. En die pad lei na Nyala nommer 5. U sal sien
7	Nyala 4 se posisie toe, waar daar geen sodanige voetpad of	7	Nyala nommer 5 staan basies op die betrokke pad. So my eie
8	pad is nie. En hoekom het hulle dan nie direk noord beweeg	8	interpretasie, die kortste roete tussen waar die groepie
9	op die pad wat daar aangedui word en dan gedraai in 'n	9	gekonsentreer is en om dan te kom by daai klein kraal area
10	rigting oos om dan hierdie betrokke voetpad wat u na	10	by die punt van die wit pyl, sou dan eenvoudig wees om op
11	verwys, te volg nie? So my eie interpretasie is dan, dis	11	die pad te beweeg, om te beweeg om Nyala 5 en dan aan te
12	vir my baie vreemd as dit dan die pad was wat almal gebruik	12	gaan in die noordekant van Nyala 6 en dan deur daai gaping
13	het, wat almal van bewus was, dat hulle dan nie pertinent	13	te beweeg. U sal merk dat Nyala 4 is dan so ietwat in 'n
14	die pad dan gevat het om dan na Nkaneng te gaan nie.	14	verkeerde rigting om dan nou weer weg te draai in daai
15	MR MPOFU: Well, that can't be so,	15	rigting. So dit maak nie vir my sin dat dit die kortste
16	General. If you look at picture 194, which shows the	16	pad is nie.
17	direction of the crowd, according to the police, according	17	MR MPOFU: General, maybe you're right
18	to the police that orange line depicts the direction of the	18	we're speaking verby mekaar. Are you actually disputing,
19	crowd and that accords with the version of Mr Magidiwana,	19	are you at picture 194? If you're not, just go there.
20	that they walked there in order to pass through that gap	20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
21	between the kraal and the road or – and those Nyalas. It	21	nee, 194 verstaan ek die – waar die geel pyl is, dit is wat
22	is true as you say that Nyala 4 then closed that gap and	22	hulle posisie was, vorige posisie en dat hulle toe om
23	that's exactly why they then wanted to access the same	23	beweeg het na daai posisie toe. So dit wys net weereens
24	road, because they know the area, by going around the	24	dit was nie 'n direkte beweging vanaf die gebied wat hulle
25	kraal, which they did.	25	aanvanklik was, die einde van die oranje pyl nie.
20		20	
	Page 9676		Page 9678
1	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	1	MR MPOFU: Okay.
2	maar dis juis my punt. Hulle het dan juis nie op die pad	2	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, the fence
3	gebly wat hulle dan gebruik het om na die Koppie toe te	3	you're referring to is the fence around the informal
4	gaan nie. Ek weet nie of hulle wou kortpad vat na die pad	4	settlement?
5	toe. Voorsitter, en as ons na slide 193 kyk, dan sal u	5	MR MPOFU: Yes, it was that, but I see
6	sien waar die groepie omsingel is of omkring is met 'n rooi	6	that is –
7	kring, dan aan hulle regterkant, soos ons na die foto kyk,	7	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: But there is no
8	is daar dan 'n meer natuurlike pad wat sal basies net so by	8	sense in the road that the General is referring to, no
9	die Nyala, wat Nyala nommer 5 is, sou kon verby beweeg het.		
10		9	sense there.
	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that	9 10	sense there. MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then
11			
	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that	10	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then
11	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed	10 11	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to
11 12	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my	10 11 12	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the
11 12 13	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before	10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow.
11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the	10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow. You see that point?
11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that	10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow. You see that point? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow. You see that point? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Yes. MR MPOFU: Would you agree that the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer route, because from where they are at the point of the	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU:Ja, there might not be. ThenI'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant towhat I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at thepoint, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow.You see that point?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Yes.MR MPOFU:Would you agree that theshortest and straightest way to move from that sharp point
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer route, because from where they are at the point of the orange line, the shortest way to access the road, is a	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow. You see that point? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Yes. MR MPOFU: Would you agree that the shortest and straightest way to move from that sharp point to the part that it is in front of the kraal, is a matter
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer route, because from where they are at the point of the orange line, the shortest way to access the road, is a matter of a few metres, but they deviated from that shorter route, because Nyala 4 closed that road and took a longer	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU:Ja, there might not be. ThenI'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant towhat I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at thepoint, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow.You see that point?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Yes.MR MPOFU:Would you agree that theshortest and straightest way to move from that sharp pointto the part that it is in front of the kraal, is a matterof a few metres that is defined by that smaller road,leading to the bigger one, where these people are
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer route, because from where they are at the point of the orange line, the shortest way to access the road, is a matter of a few metres, but they deviated from that shorter route, because Nyala 4 closed that road and took a longer turn around the kraal to access the road. That's the	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow. You see that point? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Yes. MR MPOFU: Would you agree that the shortest and straightest way to move from that sharp point to the part that it is in front of the kraal, is a matter of a few metres that is defined by that smaller road, leading to the bigger one, where these people are apparently walking. In other words, if you continued on a
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer route, because from where they are at the point of the orange line, the shortest way to access the road, is a matter of a few metres, but they deviated from that shorter route, because Nyala 4 closed that road and took a longer turn around the kraal to access the road. That's the evidence.	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU:Ja, there might not be. ThenI'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant towhat I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at thepoint, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow.You see that point?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Yes.MR MPOFU:Would you agree that theshortest and straightest way to move from that sharp pointto the part that it is in front of the kraal, is a matterof a few metres that is defined by that smaller road,leading to the bigger one, where these people areapparently walking. In other words, if you continued on astraight line from the sharp point, that's the shortest
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer route, because from where they are at the point of the orange line, the shortest way to access the road, is a matter of a few metres, but they deviated from that shorter route, because Nyala 4 closed that road and took a longer turn around the kraal to access the road. That's the evidence. <b>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:</b> Voorsitter,	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow. You see that point? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Yes. MR MPOFU: Would you agree that the shortest and straightest way to move from that sharp point to the part that it is in front of the kraal, is a matter of a few metres that is defined by that smaller road, leading to the bigger one, where these people are apparently walking. In other words, if you continued on a straight line from the sharp point, that's the shortest possible route. Let's say you were going to that kraal,
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer route, because from where they are at the point of the orange line, the shortest way to access the road, is a matter of a few metres, but they deviated from that shorter route, because Nyala 4 closed that road and took a longer turn around the kraal to access the road. That's the evidence. <u>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:</u> Voorsitter, ek en advokaat Mpofu praat verby mekaar. Ek praat - daar	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow. You see that point? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Yes. MR MPOFU: Would you agree that the shortest and straightest way to move from that sharp point to the part that it is in front of the kraal, is a matter of a few metres that is defined by that smaller road, leading to the bigger one, where these people are apparently walking. In other words, if you continued on a straight line from the sharp point, that's the shortest possible route. Let's say you were going to that kraal, let's say, for argument's sake, the entrance of the kraal
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Yes, the evidence is that that part of the – and once again, this is not disputed evidence. That part is blocked by a fence which, if my memory serves me well, runs for about 260 metres before you'd be able to access the township. But that's not the issue. The issue is, it is not – it can't be correct that they took a shorter route. Actually they took a longer route, because from where they are at the point of the orange line, the shortest way to access the road, is a matter of a few metres, but they deviated from that shorter route, because Nyala 4 closed that road and took a longer turn around the kraal to access the road. That's the evidence. <b>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:</b> Voorsitter,	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Ja, there might not be. Then I'm not referring to that at all, then it is irrelevant to what I'm asking him. Can you go to 194. Look at the point, the sharp point of the arrow, of the orange arrow. You see that point? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Yes. MR MPOFU: Would you agree that the shortest and straightest way to move from that sharp point to the part that it is in front of the kraal, is a matter of a few metres that is defined by that smaller road, leading to the bigger one, where these people are apparently walking. In other words, if you continued on a straight line from the sharp point, that's the shortest possible route. Let's say you were going to that kraal,

		1	
	Page 9679		Page 9681
1	going there, you're going to fetch your cow, the shortest	1	don't succeed, can I just ask him the question again.
2	possible route would have been to continue along the sharp	2	General, if you were – you, General, standing at the sharp
3	point and get into the entrance, yes, no?	3	point of the orange line, and you owned a cow and you
4	[10:59] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	4	wanted to get into the kraal, would you agree that the
5	ek kan nie ja of nee antwoord nie. Ons moet dit sien in	5	shortest way to take from that point, would be to continue
6	terme van waar die aanvanklike beweging plaasgevind het.	6	straight and enter where the X is, yes or no?
7	Ons kan dit nie ignoreer en net fokus op die posisie nou op	7	MR SEMENYA SC: Mr Chairman,
8	slide 194 nie. Voorsitter, en as ons -	8	Commissioners, just to understand the question, I have
9	MR MPOFU: No, but you don't answer the	9	problems with the colours, because I'm listening to the
10	question, please.	10	question, I understand my learned friend to be referring to
11	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek is besig	11	what I see as yellow instead of orange. And then below it,
12	om die vraag te probeer antwoord. Voorsitter, as ons 193,	12	there is a curving horseshoe like darker shade of what may
13	die posisie van die groep oorplaas op 194, dan sal u merk	13	be a yellow, I don't know. And then there's what I see as
14	dat daarso is 'n klein koppie en dan is daar so 'n kruispad	14	an orange, also curving like a horseshoe and the sharp
15	en as ons dan gaan kyk waar hulle was, dit is basies by die	15	point or the end of the orange is where the shooting took
16	begin van die geel pyl. Voorsitter, in die konteks dan,	16	place.
17	sou die direkte beweging met die pad wat ek vroeër aangedui	17	MR MPOFU: Okay.
18	het, die kortste pad gewees het na die bestemming wat mnr	18	CHAIRPERSON: The way it works is, the
19	Mpofu na verwys. Maar ons weet dat daar eers 'n beweging	19	arrow which is at the top of the three arrows, the straight
20	was in die rigting soos die geel pyl aandui en die volgende	20	arrow, which is apparently the first attempt to breach the
21	beweging was dan soos min of meer aangedui deur die boog	21	line, that is yellow. Then there's an arch or a bow or a
22	van die oranje pyl. So om net eenvoudig te antwoord, ja,	22	curved arrow. That's what's called orange. And then the
23	vanaf die punt van die oranje boog pyl na die kruis by die	23	final one, which goes around the kraal, is called the red
24	kraal is die kortste pad, sal dit nie in die korrekte	24	one.
25	konteks plaas nie.	25	MR MPOFU: Thank you.
	Page 9680		Page 9682
1	Page 9680 MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take	1	Page 9682 CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we
1 2	5	1 2	-
	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take		CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we
2	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll	2	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of
2 3	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time.	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop,
2 3 4	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what
2 3 4 5	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that –	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about.
2 3 4 5 6	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading –	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU:Okay, well, I'm going to takeit that you don't want to answer the question, or I'llrepeat it for the last time.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don'tthink you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that –MR MPOFU:Well, I will.CHAIRPERSON:At the end, that he isevading –MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Well, first I've got to takeit and then I'll argue.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:What?MR MPOFU:I'll do both.CHAIRPERSON:Sorry?MR MPOFU:I'm saying, I'll do both.I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both. I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll argue it as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU:Okay, well, I'm going to takeit that you don't want to answer the question, or I'llrepeat it for the last time.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don'tthink you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that –MR MPOFU:Well, I will.CHAIRPERSON:At the end, that he isevading –MR MPOFU:Well, first I've got to takeit and then I'll argue.CHAIRPERSON:What?MR MPOFU:I'll do both.CHAIRPERSON:Sorry?MR MPOFU:I'm saying, I'll do both.I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'llargue it as well.You can make whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU:Okay, well, I'm going to takeit that you don't want to answer the question, or I'llrepeat it for the last time.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don'tthink you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that –MR MPOFU:Well, I will.CHAIRPERSON:At the end, that he isevading –MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Well, first I've got to takeit and then I'll argue.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:What?MR MPOFU:I'll do both.CHAIRPERSON:Sorry?MR MPOFU:I'm saying, I'll do both.I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'llargue it as well.You can make whateverassumptions you like.You can make whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have explained to you. But it's also important for another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both. I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll argue it as well. CHAIRPERSON: You can make whatever assumptions you like. MR MPOFU: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have explained to you. But it's also important for another crucial reason in this Commission. Mr Magidiwana testified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both. I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll argue it as well. CHAIRPERSON: You can make whatever assumptions you like. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: At the end of the day you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have explained to you. But it's also important for another crucial reason in this Commission. Mr Magidiwana testified that – or let me take one step back. Our version is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both. I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll argue it as well. CHAIRPERSON: You can make whatever assumptions you like. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: At the end of the day you must argue that we should make the same assumption.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have explained to you. But it's also important for another crucial reason in this Commission. Mr Magidiwana testified that – or let me take one step back. Our version is that there was no attack. That's one of the reasons that we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both. I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll argue it as well. CHAIRPERSON: You can make whatever assumptions you like. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: At the end of the day you must argue that we should make the same assumption. MR MPOFU: I will, ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have explained to you. But it's also important for another crucial reason in this Commission. Mr Magidiwana testified that – or let me take one step back. Our version is that there was no attack. That's one of the reasons that we are going to say self-defence was not an issue, because as you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both. I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll argue it as well. CHAIRPERSON: You can make whatever assumptions you like. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: At the end of the day you must argue that we should make the same assumption. MR MPOFU: I will, ja. CHAIRPERSON: You may succeed, you may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have explained to you. But it's also important for another crucial reason in this Commission. Mr Magidiwana testified that – or let me take one step back. Our version is that there was no attack. That's one of the reasons that we are going to say self-defence was not an issue, because as you and I have agreed earlier, for self-defence there must be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both. I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll argue it as well. CHAIRPERSON: You can make whatever assumptions you like. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: At the end of the day you must argue that we should make the same assumption. MR MPOFU: I will, ja. CHAIRPERSON: You may succeed, you may not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have explained to you. But it's also important for another crucial reason in this Commission. Mr Magidiwana testified that – or let me take one step back. Our version is that there was no attack. That's one of the reasons that we are going to say self-defence was not an issue, because as you and I have agreed earlier, for self-defence there must be an attack. So our version is that there was no attack. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR MPOFU: Okay, well, I'm going to take it that you don't want to answer the question, or I'll repeat it for the last time. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, Mr Mpofu, I don't think you can put it like that. You can argue maybe that – MR MPOFU: Well, I will. CHAIRPERSON: At the end, that he is evading – MR MPOFU: Well, first I've got to take it and then I'll argue. CHAIRPERSON: What? MR MPOFU: I'll do both. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? MR MPOFU: I'm saying, I'll do both. I'll assume that he does not want to answer it and I'll argue it as well. CHAIRPERSON: You can make whatever assumptions you like. MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: At the end of the day you must argue that we should make the same assumption. MR MPOFU: I will, ja. CHAIRPERSON: You may succeed, you may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: Those are the colours as we understand them. I understand that the – if one had one of those colour coded things that you get from the paint shop, you might have slightly different colours, but that's what we're talking about. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons alles ignoreer voordat hierdie groep op die betrokke einde van die oranje boog en by die punt gekom het, dan is dit verseker van die punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad. Ek bedoel, dit is net eenvoudig logies dat van daai punt na die kraal toe die kortste pad is. MR MPOFU: Thank you. Chairperson, just one quick aspect before we take the tea. I'm moving to something else now, General. The – well, something else, but it's related. Remember, I said to you that the path, the reason why I'm spending so much time is that the issue of the path is important for the reasons that I have explained to you. But it's also important for another crucial reason in this Commission. Mr Magidiwana testified that – or let me take one step back. Our version is that there was no attack. That's one of the reasons that we are going to say self-defence was not an issue, because as you and I have agreed earlier, for self-defence there must be

1401			
	Page 9683		Page 9685
1	no attack. Do you understand that? Now, in justifying	1	got an answer, have you? You better repeat your question.
2	that conclusion, Mr Magidiwana testified as follows. He	2	MR MPOFU: Yes.
3	said, "you know, I would understand if the police had shot	3	CHAIRPERSON: And let's get the answer
4	us not before we reached the path." And by that he meant	4	and then we'll take the tea adjournment.
5	this, he said that these two versions. We say we were	5	MR MPOFU: Thank you, thank you,
6	going to Nkaneng. The police say we were attacking them.	6	Chairperson. If you assume that the evidence of Mr
7	And he says the only way he would have determined that, is	7	Magidiwana that they were shot before they reached the
8	what happened when you reached the road, because if you	8	path, hence what he said about it is either undisputed, or
9	reached the road, if you are attacking, you're going to	9	if it's disputed that it will be accepted. He didn't know,
10	cross the road, obviously, because the police are there.	10	he must assume.
11	Whereas if you're going to Nkaneng, when you reach the	11	CHAIRPERSON: You can ask the witness to
12	road, you're going to turn left? That's – I am	12	make that assumption. On the assumption that we find at
13	paraphrasing what Mr Magidiwana was saying, when he said, I	13	the end of the inquiry that what the witness had said, was
14	would understand if the police had shot us, if we had	14	correct, that they were shot before they got to the path,
15	crossed the road, then obviously they say, well, these	15	then you're going to be asked a question by Mr Mpofu.
16	people are not going to Nkaneng. They're coming to us.	16	MR MPOFU: Then would you or rather, the
17	That's another crucial importance of the path. That's why	17	statement that – or let me put it this way. Would you
18	we are discussing the path. Now and I will accept that you	18	agree that until they had reached the path, it cannot be
19	were not there, but will you accept as well that it is	19	said that they were going to Nkaneng as they claim, or
20	common cause that these people were shot before the path,	20	rather, that they were accessing the path for the purpose
21	before they reached the path or just before. In fact, some	21	of going to Nkaneng, rather than crossing it and attacking
22	of them fell just before that. There are pictures and	22	anybody?
23	videos to show that.	23	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ekskuus,
24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	24	Voorsitter, ek sal moet vra dat advokaat Mpofu dit herhaal.
25	ek weet nie waar die persone, die sterftes plaasgevind het	25	Die gedeelte wat ek moet -
1	Page 9684 in verhouding met die betrokke pad nie. Ek kan net kyk op	1	Page 9686 MR MPOFU: Okay. No, thank you, I
2	210 en dan sien ek net daar, dit lyk of daar liggame lê op	2	understand, there was an interruption. I'm saying, as the
3	'n groterige pad.	3	Chair started the question, if you assume that the evidence
4	MR MPOFU: Yes, no, I accept that, but	4	of Mr Magidiwana, that they were shot before they reached
5	you can accept that Mr Magidiwana's undisputed evidence is	5	that path, will be accepted, either because it's undisputed
6	that they were shot. That's why he made this long speech	6	or another reason, then would you agree that their
7	that I've just made to you, is that they were shot before	7	evidence, his further evidence, Mr Magidiwana, that they
8	they reached the path.	8	were accessing the path for the purposes of reaching it and
9	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter –	9	turning left to Nkaneng, and not for the purposes of
10	MR SEMENYA SC: That version was	10	crossing it and attacking anybody, is – makes sense in the
11	disputed, Chair.	11	light of everyone having used that very same path?
12	CHAIRPERSON: What?	12 13	CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to stop you
13 14	MR SEMENYA SC: That version was put in dispute, Chairman.	13 14	unduly, but isn't this a matter for argument. You're really putting one of your arguments that you'll put to us
14	MR MPOFU: Oh, Mr Ngalwana, I hope he is	14	at the end of the Commission, to the witness and asking him
16	here, certainly did not. I don't know who else then did	15	to comment. Well -
17	put it in dispute.	17	MR MPOFU: - cross-examination, Chair.
18	CHAIRPERSON: - speak for themselves if	18	CHAIRPERSON: Well, it's sometimes done
19	it was disputed.	19	in cross-examination, but also the question is whether
20	MR MPOFU: Yes -	20	you're prejudiced by not allowing you to put the question
21	CHAIRPERSON: We don't have to debate	21	to the witness, but allowing you to argue the point before
22	that now.	22	the Commission at the end. If this witness has been on the
23	MR MPOFU: Ja.	23	scene at the time, it would be more, but you know, he's
24	CHAIRPERSON: What did you want to ask	24	almost in the position we are in, called upon to make what
25	him before tea? Have you asked your question, you haven't	25	amounts to a judgment call on photographs and material,
	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	1	

		I	
	Page 9687		Page 9689
1	information given to him by other people. So aren't you	1	of the Commission might well be hampered -
2	really asking him to –	2	MR MPOFU: Yes.
3	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I thought cross-	3	CHAIRPERSON: - by what's happening.
4	examination was about putting your version and –	4	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Well
5	CHAIRPERSON: And you're asking him to -	5	effectively what's happening now is we are told that as we
6	MR MPOFU: Maybe I'm wrong. Okay.	6	speak here there are about 10 000 people gathered at the
7	CHAIRPERSON: You will have the	7	koppie, that tensions are quite high. People did not go to
8	opportunity to put your case to witnesses who were directly	8	work this morning, and the indirect effect on the
9	on the scene. I don't think I'm prejudicing you in any way	9	Commission is that we are advised by the people who usually
10	by suggesting that you move on, after tea, to the next	10	come here by using the bus that given the heightened
11	point.	11	tensions from the weekend and the killings and what's
12	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, I will	12	happening now, they feel that they are more vulnerable now
13	oblige, Chairperson, but once again, I must say this,	13	as the identifiable group that is travelling to the
14	because I need to emphasise it. I would not be asking this	14	Commission, and most of them are on the witness list, which
15	witness on these issues if he was not led on them, but I	15	is the reason why they come here. So as I said it's that
16	accept what the Chairperson has said and I will move on	16	tangential effect and so we are obviously, we're going to
17	after tea.	17	meet with them over lunch and see what advice we can give
18	Thank you.	18	as to those who might want to still travel here, or even
19	CHAIRPERSON: You've made the point	19	those who might want to participate in the strike and those
20	already that some of these points he was led on and you and	20	kinds of things. So I'm just bringing it really just to
21	I had a discussion yesterday. The fact that he's led on	21	say should it – fortunately there are not people that are
22	them, doesn't really take it any further. You can always	22	going to be called next week or anything like that, but
23	take the line, I'm not going to cross-examine you on it,	23	insofar as it is relevant to the work of the Commission I
24	because you have direct knowledge of it. I deal with the	24	thought I should bring it to your attention.
25	direct witnesses.	25	CHAIRPERSON: Thanks for bringing it to
	Page 9688		Page 9690
1	MR MPOFU: And we know he wasn't there.		my attention. Looking at the timetable it doesn't look as
		1	
2	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as	1 2	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes
2	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as	2	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say.	2 3	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair.	2 3 4	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and	2 3 4 5	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now.	2 3 4 5 6	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:I'm not to be taken ashaving accepted what you say.MR MPOFU:I accept that, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Which you've said over andover again and you say it again now.MR MPOFU:I accept that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:I'm not to be taken ashaving accepted what you say.MR MPOFU:I accept that, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Which you've said over andover again and you say it again now.MR MPOFU:I accept that.CHAIRPERSON:We will take the tea	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON:I'm not to be taken ashaving accepted what you say.MR MPOFU:I accept that, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Which you've said over andover again and you say it again now.MR MPOFU:I accept that.CHAIRPERSON:We will take the teaadjournment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON:I'm not to be taken ashaving accepted what you say.MR MPOFU:I accept that, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Which you've said over andover again and you say it again now.MR MPOFU:I accept that.CHAIRPERSON:We will take the teaadjournment.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:I'm not to be taken ashaving accepted what you say.MR MPOFU:I accept that, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Which you've said over andover again and you say it again now.MR MPOFU:I accept that.CHAIRPERSON:We will take the teaadjournment.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][11:40]CHAIRPERSON:The Commission resumes.Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed.GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:s.o.e.CHAIRPERSON:Mr Mpofu, you're stillcross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully, yes. Chairperson, before I continue with the General, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, I just want to ask you if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully, yes. Chairperson, before I continue with the General, I hate to be always the bringer of bad news, but I've been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, I just want to ask you if you have copies of the following documents, whether if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully, yes. Chairperson, before I continue with the General, I hate to be always the bringer of bad news, but I've been asked to bring to the attention of the Commission that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, I just want to ask you if you have copies of the following documents, whether if you have them or if you've seen them, and if you do whether you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully, yes. Chairperson, before I continue with the General, I hate to be always the bringer of bad news, but I've been asked to bring to the attention of the Commission that there are developments in the Marikana area which might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, I just want to ask you if you have copies of the following documents, whether if you have them or if you've seen them, and if you do whether you can help us with them. Do you have the JOCOM minutes of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully, yes. Chairperson, before I continue with the General, I hate to be always the bringer of bad news, but I've been asked to bring to the attention of the Commission that there are developments in the Marikana area which might have an indirect effect on our proceedings. The –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, I just want to ask you if you have copies of the following documents, whether if you have them or if you've seen them, and if you do whether you can help us with them. Do you have the JOCOM minutes of the 17th of August?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully, yes. Chairperson, before I continue with the General, I hate to be always the bringer of bad news, but I've been asked to bring to the attention of the Commission that there are developments in the Marikana area which might have an indirect effect on our proceedings. The – CHAIRPERSON: I've read the newspaper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, I just want to ask you if you have copies of the following documents, whether if you have them or if you've seen them, and if you do whether you can help us with them. Do you have the JOCOM minutes of the 17th of August? <u>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:</u> 17de, nee,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully, yes. Chairperson, before I continue with the General, I hate to be always the bringer of bad news, but I've been asked to bring to the attention of the Commission that there are developments in the Marikana area which might have an indirect effect on our proceedings. The – CHAIRPERSON: I've read the newspaper reports and heard the radio reports, and in fact I think I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, I just want to ask you if you have copies of the following documents, whether if you have them or if you've seen them, and if you do whether you can help us with them. Do you have the JOCOM minutes of the 17th of August? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: 17de, nee, Voorsitter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: I'm not to be taken as having accepted what you say. MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Which you've said over and over again and you say it again now. MR MPOFU: I accept that. CHAIRPERSON: We will take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, you're still cross-examining, on the last lap now of your cross- examination. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chair. Hopefully, yes. Chairperson, before I continue with the General, I hate to be always the bringer of bad news, but I've been asked to bring to the attention of the Commission that there are developments in the Marikana area which might have an indirect effect on our proceedings. The – CHAIRPERSON: I've read the newspaper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if they'll be giving evidence for some time, and one hopes sincerely that by the time they do come to give evidence the present problems will be over. There was a previous approach to the Commission last year in respect of witnesses in respect of whose safety there were fears and I drew the attention of the attorneys concerned to the provisions of the Witness Protection Act, which does deal with not only witnesses in trials, but also witnesses giving evidence before commissions. So that machinery and that protection provided by the act is available, so I'm not sure that your clients would actually wish to avail themselves of that at this stage, but it is something to bear in mind if things get worse and they feel that that kind of protection is required. But thank you for bringing it to my attention. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.): Thank you, Chairperson. General, I just want to ask you if you have copies of the following documents, whether if you have them or if you've seen them, and if you do whether you can help us with them. Do you have the JOCOM minutes of the 17th of August? <u>GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:</u> 17de, nee,

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

140	r May 2013 Markaria Corrit	113510	Show the second se
	Page 9691		Page 9693
1	evidence there was a JOCOM meeting on that day. Correct?	1	day meeting, but I understood your evidence to be that at
2	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Reg,	2	the beginning of the meeting there was a slideshow and a
3	Voorsitter.	3	narrative version, and those are the documents I'm
4	CHAIRPERSON: I think they've been handed	4	referring to. But as the Chairperson says, we will deal
5	in as exhibits, have they Ms Pillay?	5	with that with the legal representatives. But am I correct
6	MR MPOFU: No, that's why I'm asking –	6	that the narratives and the slideshow was presented at the
7	MS PILLAY: They're not exhibits, Chair.	7	beginning by Lieutenant-Colonel Scott?
8	CHAIRPERSON: If they're relevant, I take	8	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
9	it they're on the police hard drive, are they?	9	nee, beide bewysstuk L en die narratiewe was die eindproduk
10	MR MPOFU: It's not. Well, I don't know,	10	gewees. Dit was nie, voor die tyd was daar nie so 'n
11	Mr Semenya maybe can help us. The witness says there was	11	pertinente "slideshow" of narratiewe voorgelê nie. Daar
12	such a meeting; whether the minute are there, I haven't	12	was wel foto's gewys en video's wat ek na verwys het.
13	seen them.	13	CHAIRPERSON: I take it that means that
14	CHAIRPERSON: - necessary enquiries have	14	there are slides that ended up, as the movie producers
15	been made on someone's laptop computer and when we have the	14	would say, on the cutting room floor because they didn't
16	answer we'll be told. Perhaps you can then move on to	15	make it into exhibit L, and presumably the slides you saw
17	something else in the meanwhile, if there is something else	10	were not only those in exhibit L; you presumably saw some
18	you can move on to.	18	others as well which are not included in exhibit L because
19	-		
	MR MPOFU: Thank you, yes. And then also you testified that at the Potchefstroom meeting there was a	19 20	they were thought to be superfluous or unnecessary or
20		20	whatever. Would that be right? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Pertinent
21	slideshow and a narrative version thereof. Do you still		
22	have copies of those documents?	22	verwysend na foto's, Voorsitter, ja. Uit die totale foto's
23	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	23	beskikbaar was daar sekere foto's gekies wat ten beste die
24	die "slideshow" is bewysstuk L, en die narratiewe weet ek	24 25	situasie kon illustreer.
25	is ook ingehandig. Ek weet nie of dit 'n bewysstuk nommer	25	CHAIRPERSON: I take it Mr Semenya, all
	Page 9692		Page 9694
1	het nie.	1	the slides that were seen at Potchefstroom are part of the
2	CHAIRPERSON: The whole narrative portion	2	police hard drive?
3	is in exhibit L. I don't know, for example if you look at	3	MR SEMENYA SC: As far as we're
4	slide 203 for example, and 204, they are narrative portions	4	concerned, yes Chair.
5	of exhibit L. I don't know whether that's the narrative to	5	MS JELE: Sorry, Chairperson, just to be
6	which you're referring, or was there another narrative	6	of assistance, we do have a document called "Marikana
7	portion that's not part of exhibit L?	7	Narratives" specifically. I don't know if that's the
8	MR MPOFU: And sorry, General –	8	document that my learned colleague is referring to. It's a
9	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	9	document that's part of the folder "New Stuff from SAPS
10	nee, daar is 'n totale verskillende dokument, so daar is	10	Final Presentation," and the title of the document is the
11	dit plus 'n totale ander dokument.	11	"Marikana Narrative." It's a PDF document of some 140
12	MR MPOFU: Yes. No, sorry Mr Chairperson	12	pages.
13	-	13	CHAIRPERSON: Well that's a very helpful
14	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, sorry to	14	comment you made, but I think we can probably sort it out
15	interrupt you. I'm not sure that this witness will	15	once we've adjourned. It's not necessary for us to spend
16	necessarily be able to help us, but I would imagine that	16	hearing time on it, but thank you for bringing it to our
17	enquiries directed to counsel appearing for the police will	17	attention. It's a point to follow up. Mr Mpofu?
18	produce what you're looking for. I'm not saying the	18	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Okay,
19	witness doesn't know the answer, but I suspect he may not,	19	now General, it's correct, isn't it, that you - or rather,
20	but that wouldn't be the end of the search because -	20	the information that you had about the events in respect of
21	MR MPOFU: No, absolutely not, Chair. I	21	which the actual shooting and all that, all the events
22	accept that, Chairperson. All I want to establish through	22	where you were not present, when you were at the JOC, at
23	him is only that their existence exit. We'll obviously	23	the Lonmin JOC, that those events were as reported to you
24	approach the legal representatives. Just a last issue on	24	primarily by Generals Naidoo and Calitz. Correct?
25	this; I accept that exhibit L is the product of the nine-	25	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Hoofsaaklik
	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE		

Page 96951die twee betrokke offisiere, maar nie uitgesluit ander nie.1to do it.2MR MPOFU:Yes. Yes, and knowing what we2GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:3know now, General Naidoo would have given you the briefing3maar die bedoeling was nie dat die oorhoo4mainly about scene 2 and Brigadier Calitz about scene 1.4Generaal-Majoor Mpembe, die waarskuwin5Correct?5COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Ge6GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,6understand this issue, if the National Instru	Page 9697
2MR MPOFU:Yes. Yes, and knowing what we2GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:3know now, General Naidoo would have given you the briefing3maar die bedoeling was nie dat die oorhood4mainly about scene 2 and Brigadier Calitz about scene 1.4Generaal-Majoor Mpembe, die waarskuwin5Correct?5COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:	0
<ul> <li>3 know now, General Naidoo would have given you the briefing</li> <li>4 mainly about scene 2 and Brigadier Calitz about scene 1.</li> <li>5 Correct?</li> <li>3 maar die bedoeling was nie dat die oorhoo</li> <li>4 Generaal-Majoor Mpembe, die waarskuwin</li> <li>5 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Generaal-Walter Generaal-</li></ul>	Voorsitter
4mainly about scene 2 and Brigadier Calitz about scene 1.4Generaal-Majoor Mpembe, die waarskuwin5Correct?5COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:Generaal-Majoor Mpembe, die waarskuwin	Voorsitter,
5 Correct? 5 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Ge	
6 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 6 understand this issue, if the National Instru	eneral, just to
7 Naidoo pertinent rondom "scene" 2, maar Calitz in terme van 7 2011, doesn't that say if the STF is mobilis	
8 beide. 8 commander of the STF is the commander i	n charge of the
9 MR MPOFU: And it was as a result of 9 scene?	
10 those briefings that you formed the view – secondary as it 10 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Kommissaris,
11 is – that both events, in respect of both events the deaths 11 dit sal slegs wees as hulle uitsluitlik gemob	
12 were as a result of self-defence/private defence? 12 in terme van een van die vier aspekte wat	
13 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek, 13 onder (a) tot (d) dink ek, of dan die taking	
14     Voorsitter.       14     Nasionale Kommissaris, maar nie in 'n Ope	nbare Orde konteks
15 MR MPOFU: Now the overall commander was 15 nie.	_
16     General Mpembe. That's correct, hey?       16     MR MPOFU:       Alright, the Potch	
17 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek, 17 meeting ended on the 6th of September.	
18     Voorsitter.       18     GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	Dit is
19     MR MPOFU:     So according to your plan or     19     korrek, Voorsitter.	
20 planning, General Mpembe is the person who was scheduled to 20 MR MPOFU: And is it correct	-
21 give the warning to the protesters as the overall 21 one week thereafter General Mpembe was	arrested by the
22 commander. Correct? 22 police?	
23 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis nie 23 MR SEMENYA SC: Sorry, wha	t's the
24   korrek nie, Voorsitter, nee.   24   relevance of that, Chair?	
25 MR MPOFU: Well, your evidence - and I'll 25 CHAIRPERSON: Do you give	me the
Page 9696	Page 9698
1 get the reference now - is that the overall commander was 1 assurance that this question is relevant?	•
2 going to give the verbal warning. I'll find it just now. 2 to say what it is, but as counsel do you gi	-
3 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 3 assurance it's relevant?	
4 nie my getuienis, soos ek dit onthou nie. 4 MR MPOFU: Yes, I do, Chair	
	somewhere?
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading s6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –	
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading s6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –	somewhere?
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading s6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don	somewhere? 't have to say
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading statement6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som	somewhere? 't have to say
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading statement6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere.
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading statement6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading set6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter,
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading set6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.12[11:59]12GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading statement6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.1212GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading set6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.9MR MPOFU:Okay.89MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.1212GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.14weet nie wat die datum is nie en so ver el	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading set6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.12GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.15deur IPID ondersoekers en nie noodwend	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading set6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.12GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.14weet nie wat die datum is nie en so ver el15CHAIRPERSON:Unless I've misunderstood15deur IPID ondersoekers en nie noodwend16the evidence, I don't see how Major-General Mpembe could16nie.	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading set6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.1212GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.14weet nie wat die datum is nie en so ver el15CHAIRPERSON:Unless I've misunderstood15deur IPID ondersoekers en nie noodwend16the evidence, I don't see how Major-General Mpembe could16nie.17have done it because most of the time he's in the JOC,17MR MPOFU:And since the q	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading set6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.1212GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:1313operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.15deur IPID ondersoekers en nie noodwend16the evidence, I don't see how Major-General Mpembe could16nie.17have done it because most of the time he's in the JOC,17MR MPOFU:And since the q18alternatively in a helicopter.Is that correct?18relevance has been raised, are you aware	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading se6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.12GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.15deur IPID ondersoekers en nie noodwend16the evidence, I don't see how Major-General Mpembe could16nie.17have done it because most of the time he's in the JOC,17MR MPOFU:And since the q18alternatively in a helicopter.Is that correct?18relevance has been raised, are you aware19GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,19arrest had anything to do with the events	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the at Marikana? Voorsitter,
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading s6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.12GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.15CHAIRPERSON:Unless I've misunderstood16the evidence, I don't see how Major-General Mpembe could16nie.17have done it because most of the time he's in the JOC,17MR MPOFU:And since the q18alternatively in a helicopter.Is that correct?18relevance has been raised, are you aware19GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,20GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:20dis korrek in die geval, maar ook 'n oorhoofse bevelvoerder20GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the at Marikana? Voorsitter, erde aanranding
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading st6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.1212GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.14weet nie wat die datum is nie en so ver el15CHAIRPERSON:Unless I've misunderstood15deur IPID ondersoekers en nie noodwend16the evidence, I don't see how Major-General Mpembe could16nie.17have done it because most of the time he's in the JOC,17MR MPOFU:18alternatively in a helicopter.Is that correct?1820dis korrek in die geval, maar ook 'n oorhoofse bevelvoerder20GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:20dis korrek in die geval, maar ook 'n oorhoofse bevelvoerder20GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:21gee nie die waarskuwing nie. Dis altyd die operasionele21 <td>somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek k bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the at Marikana? Voorsitter, erde aanranding</td>	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek k bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the at Marikana? Voorsitter, erde aanranding
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading st6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.8where.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.1212GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:13operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge14noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.14weet nie wat die datum is nie en so ver el15CHAIRPERSON:Unless I've misunderstood16nie.16the evidence, I don't see how Major-General Mpembe could16nie.17have done it because most of the time he's in the JOC,17MR MPOFU:And since the q18alternatively in a helicopter.Is that correct?18relevance has been raised, are you aware19GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Voorsitter,19arrest had anything to do with the events20dis korrek in die geval, maar ook 'n oorhoofse bevelvoerder20GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:21gee nie die waarskuwing nie.	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek to bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the at Marikana? Voorsitter, erde aanranding "persone."
5MR MPOFU:Okay, and you're also saying5CHAIRPERSON:It's leading set6not in your evidence and not in your statement?6MR MPOFU:It is –7GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dat Generaal7CHAIRPERSON:No, you don8Mpembe die waarskuwing sou gee, nee.9MR MPOFU:Okay.9MR MPOFU:It's leading som10COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ:The warning has10CHAIRPERSON:Alright, you11been given by the commander in charge on the field.11for the moment.1212GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Die12[11:59]GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:1313operasionele bevelvoerder, Brigadier Calitz, maar nie13ek is bewus dat daar 'n arrestasie plaasge1414noodwendig in persoon hy nie maar onder sy opdrag.15cHAIRPERSON:Unless I've misunderstood16the evidence, I don't see how Major-General Mpembe could16nie.1717have done it because most of the time he's in the JOC,17MR MPOFU:And since the q18alternatively in a helicopter. Is that correct?18relevance has been raised, are you aware20dis korrek in die geval, maar ook 'n oorhoofse bevelvoerder20GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:21gee nie die waarskuwing nie.Dis altyd die operasionele21as ek reg het dan is dit te doen met bewee22bevelvoerder wat die verantwoordelikheid het.23MR MPOFU:I think you said <td>somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek &lt; bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the at Marikana? Voorsitter, erde aanranding "persone." tat I act for,</td>	somewhere? 't have to say ewhere. can carry on E: Voorsitter, vind het. Ek < bewus is was dit ig deur die polisie uestion of its whether or not the at Marikana? Voorsitter, erde aanranding "persone." tat I act for,

1	Page 9699	1	Page 9701
1	16th? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek kan net	1	CHAIRPERSON: And I understood the
2		2	witness to agree with that –
3	aanvaar dit is so. Ek het dit so, ja.	3	MR MPOFU: Yes.
4	MR MPOFU: Okay, do you know of any other	4	CHAIRPERSON: - to say that some he was
5	member of the police service who since the 16th of August up	5	already busy with, or that the police were busy with.
6	to now, whether there have been arrests or disciplinary	6	MR MPOFU: No, thank you –
7	measures taken in respect of the Marikana incidents, apart	7	CHAIRPERSON: That it's not proceeding
8	from that particular arrest?	8	with actually that –
9	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	9	MR MPOFU: Ja, that's correct. Okay –
10	ek is nie seker of daar ander polisiebeamptes ook in	10	CHAIRPERSON: It's more the point of
11	dieselfde geval as wat Generaal Mpembe voor gearresteer was	11	measures –
12	ook gearresteer was nie.	12	MR MPOFU: Yes, okay. Forget what the
13	MR MPOFU: Yes, I accept that. Whether	13	Chairperson said. I'm saying it to you. Ja, we had the
14	he was arrested alone or with other people, what I'm asking	14	reference to the Chairperson. I'm saying to you that would
15	you is do you know if there's been another incident	15	you agree that in addition to not implementing the
16	separate from this one in which the police who were	16	learnings, that the failure of the police to take action -
17	involved in Marikana have either been arrested or placed	17	criminal or departmental – against, or rather in respect of
18	under disciplinary proceedings?	18	the Marikana incident, given for example what we know now,
19	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	19	that there are people at that same koppie, might have
20	ek is nie pertinent bewus nie.	20	disastrous consequences in the sense that some of the
21	CHAIRPERSON: I would imagine this	21	people who might have been deterred by those actions might
22	information, insofar as it's relevant, you can obtain from	22	well be deployed this afternoon to the same place?
23	the counsel for the police. I don't see why it's necessary	23	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya.
24	for you to ask this witness, but I'm not stopping you for	24	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we are not aware
25	the moment, but I'm just suggesting to you that if this	25	of any prima facie evidence justifying departmental
	Page 9700		Page 9702
1	Page 9700 information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through	1	Page 9702 disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution.
1 2	0	1 2	
	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through		disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution.
2	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way	2	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to
2 3	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission.	2 3	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one
2 3 4	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it	2 3 4	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were
2 3 4 5	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of	2 3 4 5	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when
2 3 4 5 6	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a	2 3 4 5 6	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said
2 3 4 5 6 7	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the	2 3 4 5 6 7	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial – CHAIRPERSON: - if they've learned from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and IPID in fact were called in, as I understand it, on the 16th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial – CHAIRPERSON: - if they've learned from what happened -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and IPID in fact were called in, as I understand it, on the 16th when the dust had settled. So I'm not sure that it's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial – CHAIRPERSON: - if they've learned from what happened - MR MPOFU: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and IPID in fact were called in, as I understand it, on the 16th when the dust had settled. So I'm not sure that it's an appropriate question to ask the witness or suggest to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial – CHAIRPERSON: - if they've learned from what happened - MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - mistakes that were made,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and IPID in fact were called in, as I understand it, on the 16th when the dust had settled. So I'm not sure that it's an appropriate question to ask the witness or suggest to the witness that the police should have taken steps. Any steps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial – CHAIRPERSON: - if they've learned from what happened - MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - mistakes that were made, obviously they must put in place measures to avoid the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and IPID in fact were called in, as I understand it, on the 16th when the dust had settled. So I'm not sure that it's an appropriate question to ask the witness or suggest to the witness that the police should have taken steps. Any steps that were taken or should have been taken would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial – CHAIRPERSON: - if they've learned from what happened - MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - mistakes that were made, obviously they must put in place measures to avoid the recurrence or repetition of those mistakes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and IPID in fact were called in, as I understand it, on the 16th when the dust had settled. So I'm not sure that it's an appropriate question to ask the witness or suggest to the witness that the police should have taken steps. Any steps that were taken or should have been taken would have been in the hands of IPID, so I think the question may need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial – CHAIRPERSON: - if they've learned from what happened - MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - mistakes that were made, obviously they must put in place measures to avoid the recurrence or repetition of those mistakes. MR MPOFU: Ja, no fair enough.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and IPID in fact were called in, as I understand it, on the 16th when the dust had settled. So I'm not sure that it's an appropriate question to ask the witness or suggest to the witness that the police should have taken steps. Any steps that were taken or should have been taken would have been in the hands of IPID, so I think the question may need to be reformulated in the light of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	information is relevant you can obviously obtain it through the police and it can be put before us if necessary by way of some kind of admission. MR MPOFU: Well, maybe let me then put it more forthrightly. I'd like you to comment, that one of the criticisms that we're going to place on the police is a matter that we have, that was certainly raised with the National Commissioner and I know it was raised by this Chairperson with you, namely that the attitude that no proceedings, internal or external, should be taken awaiting the outcome of the Commission, can have disastrous consequences. Would you agree with that? CHAIRPERSON: - say no proceedings, but the point I raised was no – MR MPOFU: Remedial – CHAIRPERSON: - if they've learned from what happened - MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - mistakes that were made, obviously they must put in place measures to avoid the recurrence or repetition of those mistakes. MR MPOFU: Ja, no fair enough. CHAIRPERSON: They can't just wait until	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	disciplinary steps or criminal prosecution. CHAIRPERSON: That's not an objection to the question. The real objection to the question is one that I think you should consider and that is while you were away a point was raised by your colleague Mr Ntsebeza when he was questioning the National Commissioner, and she said that disciplinary steps, or contemplated disciplinary steps or criminal steps against members of the force – sorry, I beg their pardon for the moment – service, really have to be initiated by IPID. She said "IPID polices us." So as I understood the evidence, and as I understand the act since we then looked at during the adjournment, the initiative for taking criminal steps against members of the service is taken by IPID. In other words, the police don't investigate themselves; that is in the hands of IPID and IPID in fact were called in, as I understand it, on the 16th when the dust had settled. So I'm not sure that it's an appropriate question to ask the witness or suggest to the witness that the police should have taken steps. Any steps that were taken or should have been taken would have been in the hands of IPID, so I think the question may need to be reformulated in the light of that. MR MPOFU: Alright. Are you aware that

		r –	
	Page 9703		Page 9705
1	did not stop them from being charged with among other	1	answer the witness can give to the questions you've asked
2	things murder and all sorts of things?	2	is going to assist us as Commissioners in answering the
3	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, I don't want to	3	points that arise for report under the Terms of Reference.
4	stop you but I don't understand the relevance of that	4	MR MPOFU: Okay, fine, Chairperson.
5	question. The people to whom you are now referring were	5	Well, he was part of the decision that resulted in the
6	not members of the police, so they weren't subject to the -	6	arrest of the one group and not the other, but be that as
7	as I understand it - to the investigatory powers of IPID,	7	it may, I'll –
8	which investigates potential prosecutions in respect of	8	CHAIRPERSON: How is this witness part of
9	criminal offences by members of the service, and also in	9	the decision which led to the arrest of the one and not the
10	respect of disciplinary proceedings. You are asking a	10	other? He may well have been -
11	question now about people who weren't subject to the	11	MR MPOFU: According to the minutes –
12	jurisdiction of IPID, namely your clients, who despite the	12	CHAIRPERSON: You know, he may well have
13	fact that they made statements to IPID, have subsequently	13	been a party to the decision to arrest the one, as you put
14	been charged. I think some of them were already under	14	it, in other words, your clients.
15	arrest on particular charges. But I don't understand the	15	MR MPOFU: Yes.
16	relevance of that for the matters that we have to	16 17	CHAIRPERSON: But he could not have been
17 10	investigate. MR MPOFU: Well, the relevance of that is	17 10	properly a party to any decision to arrest or not to arrest
18 10		18 10	any members of the police service who would be subject to
19 20	that whatever the justification, Chair, I'm not interested in the red tape but the reality of the situation is that we	19 20	IPID, and he would have no authority at all and if he tried to tell IPID what to do he might well find himself at the
20	are sitting here as South Africans with a situation where	20	receiving end of disciplinary proceedings because it would
22	the people that I represent, despite their interactions	22	be an improper intervention into the affairs of IPID, which
23	with IPID, were kept in cells, allegedly tortured, and	23	is intended to be an independent body.
24	charged, whereas as we are sitting now about 10 months	24	MR MPOFU: Ja, well that's not what I
25	later after the incident, for whatever red-tape reason the	25	said. I said he was part of the reason for the arrest of
	Page 9704		Page 9706
1	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are	1	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but
2	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened.	2	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else.
2 3	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that	2 3	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your
2 3 4	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID	2 3 4	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are
2 3 4 5	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the	2 3 4 5	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations
2 3 4 5 6	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are"upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened.CHAIRPERSON:Isn't that a question thatshould really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID?If IPIDinvestigated and found no prima facie evidence against thepolice, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them.	2 3 4 5 6	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest?
2 3 4 5 6 7	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct	2 3 4 5 6 7	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>persons similarly investigated for the same incident are</li> <li>"upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that</li> <li>should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID</li> <li>investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the</li> <li>police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them.</li> <li>If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct</li> <li>by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary</li> <li>proceedings, then one would have expected them to have</li> <li>taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this</li> <li>witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The</li> <li>fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's</li> <li>actually indictment, they're still subject to pending</li> <li>criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>persons similarly investigated for the same incident are</li> <li>"upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that</li> <li>should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID</li> <li>investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the</li> <li>police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them.</li> <li>If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct</li> <li>by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary</li> <li>proceedings, then one would have expected them to have</li> <li>taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this</li> <li>witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The</li> <li>fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's</li> <li>actually indictment, they're still subject to pending</li> <li>criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their trials have, we understand it, been not proceeded yet, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the relevance of the questions as asked of this witness. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their trials have, we understand it, been not proceeded yet, and I take it no basis has been put to the prosecution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the relevance of the questions as asked of this witness. There are a number of issues which have to be decided, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their trials have, we understand it, been not proceeded yet, and I take it no basis has been put to the prosecution authorities as to why the charges should be withdrawn.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the relevance of the questions as asked of this witness. There are a number of issues which have to be decided, not necessarily by the Commission but they certainly have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their trials have, we understand it, been not proceeded yet, and I take it no basis has been put to the prosecution authorities as to why the charges should be withdrawn. Whether there was torture, that really calls to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the relevance of the questions as asked of this witness. There are a number of issues which have to be decided, not necessarily by the Commission but they certainly have to be decided, particularly in relation to the charges against
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their trials have, we understand it, been not proceeded yet, and I take it no basis has been put to the prosecution authorities as to why the charges should be withdrawn. Whether there was torture, that really calls to be a subject of another matter and from you say it appears that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the relevance of the questions as asked of this witness. There are a number of issues which have to be decided, not necessarily by the Commission but they certainly have to be decided, particularly in relation to the charges against your clients, but I'm not sure that –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their trials have, we understand it, been not proceeded yet, and I take it no basis has been put to the prosecution authorities as to why the charges should be withdrawn. Whether there was torture, that really calls to be a subject of another matter and from you say it appears that IPID did take action, did investigate, and in fact not only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the relevance of the questions as asked of this witness. There are a number of issues which have to be decided, not necessarily by the Commission but they certainly have to be decided, particularly in relation to the charges against your clients, but I'm not sure that – MR MPOFU: Okay, Chairperson, I –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their trials have, we understand it, been not proceeded yet, and I take it no basis has been put to the prosecution authorities as to why the charges should be withdrawn. Whether there was torture, that really calls to be a subject of another matter and from you say it appears that IPID did take action, did investigate, and in fact not only caused criminal charges to be accepted, but presumably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the relevance of the questions as asked of this witness. There are a number of issues which have to be decided, not necessarily by the Commission but they certainly have to be decided, particularly in relation to the charges against your clients, but I'm not sure that – MR MPOFU: Okay, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: - it's relevant at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	persons similarly investigated for the same incident are "upholding law and order" as if nothing has happened. CHAIRPERSON: Isn't that a question that should really be asked, if it's relevant, to IPID? If IPID investigated and found no prima facie evidence against the police, it may or may not be a basis for criticism of them. If they did find evidence prima facie of criminal conduct by the police or conduct which justifies disciplinary proceedings, then one would have expected them to have taken the necessary steps. But I don't see how this witness can answer for IPID's actions or omissions. The fact that your clients are still – I don't think it's actually indictment, they're still subject to pending criminal proceedings, flows from the fact that they were arrested in respect of alleged criminal offences. Their trials have, we understand it, been not proceeded yet, and I take it no basis has been put to the prosecution authorities as to why the charges should be withdrawn. Whether there was torture, that really calls to be a subject of another matter and from you say it appears that IPID did take action, did investigate, and in fact not only caused criminal charges to be accepted, but presumably applied for warrants of arrest for inter alia General	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the one group. That's exactly the point I'm making, but I'll move on to something else. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, your complaint is that those cases where your clients are complainants, those have not resulted in any investigations leading to arrest? MR MPOFU: Yes, that's the point, but also the point is that the one, at least my clients were arrested. Once again the "complainant" would have been people like him because at least when we went to court it was General Van Zyl, who was part of his team, who testified and justified, and in part of the justification he used material from the JOC, where this witness was sitting. But I'll leave it. CHAIRPERSON: - not understand the relevance of the questions as asked of this witness. There are a number of issues which have to be decided, not necessarily by the Commission but they certainly have to be decided, particularly in relation to the charges against your clients, but I'm not sure that – MR MPOFU: Okay, Chairperson, I – CHAIRPERSON: - it's relevant at this stage of this witness.

			1.5
	Page 9707		Page 9709
1	I've said it would seem that there are certain rules for	1	been withdrawn provisionally or otherwise.
2	ordinary people and other rules for the police, who are	2	MR MPOFU: Yes, the other one is public
3	both in terms of IPID statements at least described as	3	violence, which is also strange because there was no public
4	murder suspects at the minimum, because all the statements	4	there, but the other one -
5	that are in the IPID statements put your name and what have	5	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no. No, no, the
6	you, constable so-and-so, and under that other block it	6	definition of the offence "public violence" might well be -
7	says "murder suspect" and those people are roaming the	7	I'm not saying it is - might well be covered by the event,
8	streets, enforcing so-called law and order.	8	but we won't go there –
9	CHAIRPERSON: You know, people could be	9	MR MPOFU: It's not. I've checked. It's
10	murder suspects but if the investigations don't produce	10	not, but let's not debate it now, Chairperson. It's
11	evidence which amounts to a prima facie case, then the	11	definitely not. It's a wayward charge, and then the other
12	prosecution authorities don't charge them. So, but it's	12	one is under the Illegal Gatherings Act, which is also
13	not our -	13	unfounded because as we know the attendance of such a
14	MR MPOFU: Yes, and in our case they had	14	gathering is not an offence, but I don't want to bore you
15	a prima facie case of 34 murders?	15	with our defences. Then the one that is – oh, and then
16	CHAIRPERSON: It's not our function –	16	there's one of, under the Dangerous Arms Act, which is
17	MR MPOFU: Really.	17	ridiculous because no individual was identified with any
18	CHAIRPERSON: - to tell the prosecution	18	act - rather, particular weapon. But the charges are
19	authorities at this stage what to do. We may make	19	hanging over their heads nevertheless.
20	recommendations to them in our report.	20	Chairperson, can we move – thank you, General,
21	MR MPOFU: Fine. Okay. General –	21	I'll move on to something else. This is a point probably
22	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, have any	22	in your favour, but I just want to clarify this. There is,
23	-	23	to put it mildly, confusion in the statements as to whether
24	MR MPOFU: Sorry, Commissioner.	24	what Mr Mathunjwa reported after the visit to the koppie on
25	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Have any enquiries	25	the 15th was an undertaking or a promise that the people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	investigations where your clients are complainants, have any enquiries been addressed to the offices of the Director of Public Prosecutions? MR MPOFU: Yes, actually their release was the result of our intervention because what happened is when Brigadier Van Zyl and the prosecutor suggested that they should not be given bail because the offences are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	persuade them to disarm. Believe me, I've done an analysis; I can tell you there are X-number of people who believe in the one version and the others who believe in the other version. All I want to establish is that you at least – CHAIRPERSON: He said in chief that he could not say there was a promise that Mr Mathunjwa –
9	under schedule 6, we then said well, what is the offence.	9	MR MPOFU: Yes, that's what I want to
10	Then they said it's murder. Then we said well, give us the	10	confirm.
11	list of the deceased, and they gave us the 34 people,	11	[12:19] CHAIRPERSON: He already said that in
12	including Mr Noki and others, and it was as a result of	12	chief, that he does not say and he cannot say that Mr
13	that that we then wrote to the National Prosecutor, the	13	Mathunjwa promised that the arms would be laid down the
14	President, the Minister of Justice, and so on, and as a	14	next morning. He most he can say was that he appeared to
15	result of that then the charges of murder were	15	be confident - I think I used the word "overly," with which
16	provisionally withdrawn, not totally withdrawn, but the	16	he agreed – he appeared to be confident that he would be
17	other charges are still hanging over their heads, including	17	able to persuade them. That was his evidence-in-chief. I
18	in a way the murder charges are half hanging over their	18	don't know whether you want to take it further than that.
19	charges.	19	MR MPOFU: I do. Given what you know and
20	CHAIRPERSON: The charges that are still	20	what the Chairperson has said, would you then say that
21	hanging over their heads, what are they?	21	those people who belong to the other camp that says Mr
22	MR MPOFU: Well, the ones of half hanging	22	Mathunjwa made a firm undertaking are wrong and mistaken,
23	is murder -	23	or is it another combo?
24	CHAIRPERSON: That has provisionally been	24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
25	withdrawn. No, I'm talking about the ones that have not	25	ek het gesê dat dit was nie 'n belofte nie, maar my

	Dere 0711		Dama 0712
1	Page 9711 interpretasie was dat dit waarskynlik gaan gebeur in terme	1	Page 9713 of 'n OOP kundige nie.
2	van die besprekings wat ons gehad het selfs oor die detail	2	MR MPOFU: And yet you were, depending on
3	van die ontwapening.	3	which version one believes, second in command, or third in
4	MR MPOFU: Ja, but your counsel said it	4	command on a POP operation. Correct?
5	was a promise, so someone must have said to him it was a	5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
6	promise.	6	nee, ek het telkens verwys dat dit 'n hibriede situasie
7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	7	was. Dit was nie 'n suiwer Openbare Orde situasie nie.
8	ek dink dis 'n kwessie van interpretasie. Die woord "ek	8	MR MPOFU: Yes well, you are partly
9	belowe," "I promise" was nie in my teenwoordigheid aan my	9	responsible for that broadening insofar as you brought in
10	gemaak nie.	10	the Special Task Force, which the Special Task Force by the
11	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, if you look at	11	way has escaped the demilitarisation description. They're
12	paragraph 15 of the witness's statement, exhibit GGG1,	12	still called a force. Correct?
13	you'll see the way he put it, that Mr Mathunjwa indicated	13	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
14	that he convinced that the group will lay down their arms,	14	die situasie het hom sodanig verleen vir die ontplooiing
15	their weapons, on Thursday, 16 August, when he will address	15	van addisionele eenhede, soos ek reeds verduidelik het, en
16	them again at 9 o'clock.	16	die naam is Spesiale Taakmag, Special Task Force.
17	MR MPOFU: I accept that, Chairperson.	17	MR MPOFU: Yes, what was your rank on the
18	That's why I'm saying he belongs to that camp.	18	30th of September 2012?
19	CHAIRPERSON: But that's been his	19	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Generaal-
20	evidence from the beginning. Other people talk about a	20	Majoor.
21	promise, but I take it they must be asked why they said it,	21	MR MPOFU: Okay, it's the current rank.
22	in the light of –	22	When did you acquire that rank?
23	MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson –	23	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: 1ste Augustus
24	CHAIRPERSON: - in the light of what the	24	2012.
25	evidence is, I think even the transcript of what Mr	25	MR MPOFU: Okay, sorry, that's my fault.
	Page 9712		Page 9714
1	Mathunjwa said. I don't see how this witness can help us.	1	I got dyslexic. What I wanted to ask you is what was your
2	His evidence goes as far as it goes. You can ask the other	2	rank on the 31st of July 2012?
3	people when they come how they interpret it differently,	3	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Brigadier,
4	but surely he can't say how they interpret it differently,	4	Voorsitter.
5	can he?	5	MR MPOFU: So when you tracked to
6	MR MPOFU: Alright. Anyway General, we	6	Marikana from Pretoria you had been a general of one week
7	spoke I think on the first day a lot about what I regarded	7	standing?
8	as the lost opportunity for a peaceful resolution in	8	CHAIRPERSON: I think you're not only – I
9	respect of Mr Mathunjwa's intervention. So I don't want us	9	won't repeat what you said, but not only do you have the
10	to go back there. What I want to ask you is that we're	10	problem that you mentioned earlier, your mathematics isn't
11	going to argue that there was yet another lost opportunity	11	very good either, because he'd been a major-general for
12	for a peaceful resolution as presented by Bishop Seoka.	12	just under two weeks.
13	Firstly, were you aware of his intervention? If so, what	13	MR MPOFU: Yes, less than two weeks, more
14	role did you play, if any?	14	than a week, whichever way – is the glass half full or half
15	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	15	empty. Were you a general for just more than a week?
16	ek het nie die biskop ontmoet nie. Ek het geen interaksie	16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Vir 12 dae.
17	met hom gehad nie. Ek het verneem dat daar, ek dink tussen	17	MR MPOFU: So just to get the picture
18 19	hom en Generaal Mbombo, as ek dit nie mis het nie, was daar interaksie gewees en dan ook tussen hom en ek dink van die	18 19	correct, here you were a general of 12 days' standing, and somehow you, because the other two persons, one had gone to
19 20	Lonmin verteenwoordigers.	20	the hospital allegedly, and the other one was in the Lonmin
20	MR MPOFU: And is it also correct that	20	chopper. So effectively when the people were shot it was
22	you have said that you may be many things, but a POP expert	22	left, you were the effective person in the JOC, the highest
23	is not one of them?	23	leader of the operation available?
24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
25	dis korrek. Ek het my nie voorgehou as 'n POP kundige nie,	25	ek sal graag wil twee aspekte meld rondom die vraag. Ek
20		1	5 5

	maj 2010 maintana oomin		
	Page 9715		Page 9717
1	het die pertinente funksies van die Komponenthoof	1	skakel, kom ons noem dit die skakel tussen die polisie en
2	Gespesialiseerde Operasies reeds vervul vanaf die 26ste	2	Lonmin.
3	Oktober 2011. Voorsitter, en dan die JOC was dan die tyd	3	MR MPOFU: And it also involved a
4	wat Generaal Mpembe in die lug was, was beide myself en	4	partnership in respect of the identification of individuals
5	Luitenant-Generaal Mbombo by die JOC teenwoordig.	5	who were suspected and information in relation thereto.
6	MR MPOFU: So was General Mbombo at the	6	Correct?
7	JOC when the people were killed, in your estimation?	7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
8	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	8	daar is 'n verantwoordelikheid - dit was, het te doen gehad
9	ek weet nie of sy binne die JOC of buite die JOC was nie.	9	met ondersoeke, so daar is 'n verantwoordelikheid op
10	Ek weet Generaal Mbombo het, was telkens was sy buite die	10	persone om samewerking te gee aan die polisie, en dit was
11	fisiese vertrek gewees, so ek weet nie op die betrokke	11	dan ook sodanig versoek aan Lonmin en ek dink dit was ook
12	stadium of sy binne of buite was nie.	12	tussen Generaal Mpembe en verteenwoordigers was daar dan
13	MR MPOFU: Yes, accepting that she would	13	gekyk na die identifikasie van potensiële betrokkenes.
14	walk in and out, when the people were killed, was she in	14	MR MPOFU: Yes. No, that's exactly the
15	the vicinity of the JOC?	15	point. The Lonmin management gave their commitment in
16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	16	terms of that they will provide the assistance if they
17	ja die pertinente tye soos ons nou weet toneel 1 en toneel	17	know, if they have information in terms of individuals
18	2 was sy dan of binne-in die JOC of dan buite die JOC	18	involved they will obviously share it with the police in
19	gewees, maar in die nabye omgewing van die JOC.	19	pursuance of this partnership. Correct?
20	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, in the hopes of	20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
21	shortening the discussion, if you look at exhibit GGG5,	21	korrek.
22	which is Lieutenant-General Mbombo's statement, you'll see	22	MR MPOFU: And it also involved the
23	in paragraph 19.5 she says that she returned, she said she	23	establishment and the use of the, what is known as the
24	left the JOC after the 13:30 meeting and then she came	24	Lonmin JOC, in other words the mere fact that the police
25	back, she says, at about 15:20. She says, "I returned to	25	JOC was located within the premises of Lonmin. Correct?
	Page 9716		Page 9718
1	the JOC where I was advised that the dispersal, disarming,	1	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2	and arresting process is unfolding," and she doesn't say	2	dit was 'n polisie JOC maar dit was gesetel op die gronde,
3	she left. She therefore we can assume that from, according	3	of in die fasiliteite van Lonmin.
4	to her from 15:20 she was either in or near (as they say in	4	[12:39] MR MPOFU: Yes, but it was commonly known
5	the charge sheets) the JOC.	5	among the police as the Lonmin JOC. Correct?
6	MR MPOFU: Now I'm sure you followed some	6	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
7	of the discussions that took place yesterday, or that you	7	ek weet nie. Dit was die polisie JOC. As ek verwys het
8	know of at least – well, you definitely know from the	8	daarna, het ek verwys na die JOC.
9	statement, our opening statement, that one of the issues	9	MR MPOFU: Okay, look, I don't want to
10	that we referred to is the relationship between Lonmin and	10	belabour the point, but I'll read you a statement of – I
11	SAPS as having been, had a causal effect, or we call it a toxic relationship, so a death-causing effect as it were.	11	won't even put it in as an exhibit because there are too
12		12 13	many examples of this, but a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe who is a Lonmin employee. She said, "I was in charge
13	That's just our version. What I want to know from you is		
14	that at least what you are aware of is that the National	14 15	of managing Lonmin's joint operation centre, Lonmin JOC," and then various other policemen referred to the JOC as the
15	Commissioner on the 13th appealed for a partnership – that's what she chose to call it – a partnership between Lonmin	15 16	Lonmin JOC –
16 17	and SAPS. Is that correct?	10	CHAIRPERSON: You say "various other
18	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Soos u dit	18	policemen." She doesn't sound like she's from the police –
19	parafraseer is dit sekerlik redelik korrek.	19	MR MPOFU: Okay, sorry, yes, yes, no I'm
20	MR MPOFU: Yes, and that partnership, or	20	sorry. Various policemen, I mean it's all over the
20	"samewerking" I think is what you called it, manifested	20	documentation, referred to – maybe I was over exaggerating
21	itself in many ways, including for example Mr Sinclair,	22	when I'm saying commonly, but let's just say some people
22	Graham Sinclair being installed as the liaison person to	22	refer to it as the Lonmin JOC.
24	manage the partnership. Correct?	23	MR BURGER SC: No, Amanda refers to a
24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Hy was die	24	Lonmin JOC. That's different from the SAP JOC.
20		25	Loning Sol. That's and only on the SAL JOO.
	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE		

	5		
	Page 9719		Page 9721
1	MR MPOFU: Okay, fine. Did anyone, any	1	happened? Chairperson, sorry, she goes on, "As a result of
2	policeman not commonly refer to the JOC as the Lonmin JOC,	2	the SAPS presence I (the Lonmin employee) was afforded
3	that you're aware of?	3	access to the SAPS radio and I was instructed by Graham
4	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Mpofu, I think	4	Sinclair to log the various reports received on the SAPS
5	you have to frame the question differently in the light of	5	radio, as well as the calls made directly to Lonmin's
6	the point Mr Burger has made. It appears as if –	6	crisis centre on the log sheet."
7	MR MPOFU: I have just done that.	7	CHAIRPERSON: I hear that. It does seem
8	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I'm not sure you	8	though from what the witness has said, that he didn't
9	did. It appears that there were two JOCS. There was a	9	understand it that way. You could ask him a few more
10	Lonmin JOC and there was the police JOC which was situated	10	questions to sort of tidy up the point, as it were –
11	on the Lonmin premises. Now you are asking about the,	11	MR MPOFU: Okay.
12	you're just asking about the JOC. It's not clear which one	12	CHAIRPERSON: But it sounds as if these
13	you're referring to. The question is whether the police,	13	are questions that should be directed to other witnesses
14	any members of the police service referred to the police	14	and not to him. But anyway, ask a few more questions first
14	JOC as the Lonmin JOC. That's the question –	15	just to –
	-		-
16	MR MPOFU: Okay, well then – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know what the	16 17	MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: - so we can get as much
17			5
18	answer is, but that's the way the question –	18	information from him on the point –
19	MR MPOFU: Ja, then Chairperson, I'm	19	MR MPOFU: Okay, alright. Fine. As one
20	afraid then we might have to hand in the statement. Listen	20	of the manifestations of this partnership, you can't
21	to this; this is what Amanda Van Der Merwe says. "Although	21	dispute that at some stage there was a JOC jointly used by
22	the JOC was a Lonmin setup and run centre, the South	22	the police and SAPS and with access to police radios by
23	African Police Service started using these facilities	23	Lonmin staff?
24	together with Lonmin once their presence on the mine	24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
25	increased." Was that your experience? In other words, it	25	ek dink op die Sondag die 12de, as ek dit nie mis het nie,
	Page 9720		Page 9722
1	was the same place?	1	ek dink 18:00, het die polisie – en ek weet nie of dit
2	MR BURGER SC: Chair, if I may assist,		
		2	Generaal Mpembe of Brigadier Calitz of Generaal Mbombo was
3	-	2 3	Generaal Mpembe of Brigadier Calitz of Generaal Mbombo was nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar
3 4	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was		nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar
	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters	3	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die
4	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched-	3 4 5	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer.
4 5 6	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the	3 4	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it
4 5 6 7	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms	3 4 5 6 7	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio
4 5 6	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was	3 4 5 6	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday
4 5 6 7 8	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend	3 4 5 6 7 8	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a
4 5 6 7 8 9	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I don't have those statements at hand now, all I want to rely	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie. CHAIRPERSON: - put our radio there, and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I don't have those statements at hand now, all I want to rely on is what Van Der Merwe says here, and for the –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie. CHAIRPERSON: - put our radio there, and an occurrence book. What happened after that, after the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I don't have those statements at hand now, all I want to rely on is what Van Der Merwe says here, and for the – CHAIRPERSON: Read it to me again. I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie. CHAIRPERSON: - put our radio there, and an occurrence book. What happened after that, after the evening of the 12th?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I don't have those statements at hand now, all I want to rely on is what Van Der Merwe says here, and for the – CHAIRPERSON: Read it to me again. I thought I –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie. CHAIRPERSON: - put our radio there, and an occurrence book. What happened after that, after the evening of the 12th? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I don't have those statements at hand now, all I want to rely on is what Van Der Merwe says here, and for the – CHAIRPERSON: Read it to me again. I thought I – MR MPOFU: 'Although the JOC was a Lonmin	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie. CHAIRPERSON: - put our radio there, and an occurrence book. What happened after that, after the evening of the 12th? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dan deur die Maandag die 13de, en ek weet nie op watter
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I don't have those statements at hand now, all I want to rely on is what Van Der Merwe says here, and for the – CHAIRPERSON: Read it to me again. I thought I – MR MPOFU: "Although the JOC was a Lonmin setup and run centre, the South African Police Service	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie. CHAIRPERSON: - put our radio there, and an occurrence book. What happened after that, after the evening of the 12th? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dan deur die Maandag die 13de, en ek weet nie op watter stadium nie, is daar dan toe 'n aparte fasiliteit
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched-covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I don't have those statements at hand now, all I want to rely on is what Van Der Merwe says here, and for the – CHAIRPERSON: Read it to me again. I thought I – MR MPOFU: 'Although the JOC was a Lonmin setup and run centre, the South African Police Service started using these facilities together with Lonmin once	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie. CHAIRPERSON: - put our radio there, and an occurrence book. What happened after that, after the evening of the 12th? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dan deur die Maandag die 13de, en ek weet nie op watter stadium nie, is daar dan toe 'n aparte fasiliteit beskikbaar gestel vir die polisie wat hulle hul eie JOC
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	there's a complex of buildings and part of the complex was occupied by Lonmin and they used that as their headquarters during the incidents. Then there was a major thatched- covered area which was the police JOC. It was on the Lonmin property, but as I understand the statement by Ms Amanda Van Der Merwe she refers to that component which was the Lonmin JOC. The debate starts with my learned friend putting that it was generally amongst the police to refer to their JOC as the Lonmin JOC. Now I wonder what the factual basis for that is? CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu says he has some statements by members of the police service who so describe it, and if he's correct in that then that's a proper question. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, simply because I don't have those statements at hand now, all I want to rely on is what Van Der Merwe says here, and for the – CHAIRPERSON: Read it to me again. I thought I – MR MPOFU: "Although the JOC was a Lonmin setup and run centre, the South African Police Service	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	nie, maar ek dink Generaal Mbombo het opdrag gegee, maar het hulle 'n JOC gestig en die JOC was gestig gewees in die Lonmin JOC. Kom ek verwys daarna na 'n radiokamer. CHAIRPERSON: It was a radio room. So it sounded as if the Lonmin already had a JOC. It was a radio room and the police established a JOC there on the Sunday night at 6 o'clock. That's what he said, established a police JOC in the Lonmin JOC. The Lonmin JOC consisted of a radio room. That's my understanding of what he's saying. Is dit reg, Generaal-Majoor? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis reg, ja. Ons het basies 'n lessenaar, soos ek dit verstaan, gekry en daar het ons ons radio gehad en daar het ons 'n voorvalleboek bygehou so deur 'n radio-operateur van die polisie. CHAIRPERSON: - put our radio there, and an occurrence book. What happened after that, after the evening of the 12th? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dan deur die Maandag die 13de, en ek weet nie op watter stadium nie, is daar dan toe 'n aparte fasiliteit

1	Page 9723	1	Page 9725
1	ook al daarna wil verwys, kon vestig. Maar Lonmin het nog	1	issued to Lonmin staff was still in their possession,
2	steeds voortgegaan met hulle eie JOC, net soos hulle was.	2 3	wherever they were. Whether they were at Lonmin or at
4	Ons het net verhuis. Ons het uitgetrek en ons het na ons eie fasiliteit beweeg. Dit was dan in die fasiliteit wat	3 4	home, I don't know, but that they were still in possession of SAPS radios.
4 5	die Nasionale Kommissaris eers vergader het met die	4 5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek probeer
6	offisiere die aand. Toe het sy geskuif van die fasiliteit	6	nog daar uitkom, Voorsitter. Ek probeer nog die pertinente
	na 'n ander fasiliteit vir die vergadering met Lonmin, en	7	vraag antwoord. Ek het nog nie daarby uitgekom nie. Ek sê
7	0 0	8	
8	toe teruggekeer weer na die polisie JOC fasiliteit toe. MR MPOFU: Ja, okay, let's accept –	。 9	soos ek verneem het was daar dan 'n radio beskikbaar gestel in die Lonmin JOC vir die monitering van die gebeure sodat
9	MR MPOFU: Ja, okay, let's accept – GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Net 'n laaste		ů ů
10		10	as daar pertinent 'n CCTV in die betrokke omgewing is waar
11	opmerking, jammer Voorsitter, jammer. So as 'n persoon of	11	daar dan nou opgemerk word in terme van die gesprekke van
12	persone verwys het na Lonmin JOC aanvaar ek het hulle na	12 13	die polisie –
13	die oorspronklike fasiliteit van Lonmin verwys en nie na	_	MR MAHLANGU: So that if there was a CCTV
14	die polisie JOC nie.	14	in the vicinity –
15	MR MPOFU: Ja, well the sum total is that	15	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, it's the other way
16	at some stage Lonmin and SAPS used the same facility and at	16	around. He's saying that if there wasn't a CCTV camera in
17 18	another stage the two facilities were separated, but they were both situated at the Lonmin premises. Is that	17 18	the vicinity where something was happening which is being discussed by the police on the radio. I think that's what
18		18	discussed by the police on the radio, I think that's what he's saying. Is dit korrek?
20	correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is	20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis reg, dat
20	korrek, Voorsitter.	20	hulle dan daardie beelde kan addisioneel en dit dan onder
22	MR MPOFU: And also that even, or at	22	ons aandag bring in terme van addisionele informasie.
23	least let's say by the 14th, even when the separation had	23	MR MPOFU: Okay, I'll take that as a yes,
24	happened the police radios that had been issued to Lonmin	24	they had those radios, but you are probably just giving us
25	staff were still being used by them.	25	the reason why they had the radios.
20		20	
	Page 9724		Page 9726
1	Page 9724 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	1	Page 9726 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
1 2		1 2	-
	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,		GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas	2	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud.
2 3	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC.	2 3	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you.
2 3 4	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring	2 3 4	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement
2 3 4 5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone	2 3 4 5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but
2 3 4 5 6	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV	2 3 4 5 6	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an
2 3 4 5 6 7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC.	2 3 4 5 6 7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's  MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the witness – sorry, I understand Mr Mpofu's point is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at 14:22 SAPS reported and I recorded that there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the witness – sorry, I understand Mr Mpofu's point is that radio equipment belonging to the police was in the Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at 14:22 SAPS reported and I recorded that there were approximately 2 000 persons at the koppie and that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the witness – sorry, I understand Mr Mpofu's point is that radio equipment belonging to the police was in the Lonmin JOC. That's the question he asked you. Did I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at 14:22 SAPS reported and I recorded that there were approximately 2 000 persons at the koppie and that they needed instructions on 'whether or not they should shoot at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the witness – sorry, I understand Mr Mpofu's point is that radio equipment belonging to the police was in the Lonmin JOC. That's the question he asked you. Did I misunderstand you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at 14:22 SAPS reported and I recorded that there were approximately 2 000 persons at the koppie and that they needed instructions on 'whether or not they should shoot at the mob.' This report came via SAPS radio and I recorded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the witness – sorry, I understand Mr Mpofu's point is that radio equipment belonging to the police was in the Lonmin JOC. That's the question he asked you. Did I misunderstand you? MR MPOFU: No, Chair. Yes, well the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at 14:22 SAPS reported and I recorded that there were approximately 2 000 persons at the koppie and that they needed instructions on 'whether or not they should shoot at the mob.' This report came via SAPS radio and I recorded it on the log sheet as I was instructed to do. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the witness – sorry, I understand Mr Mpofu's point is that radio equipment belonging to the police was in the Lonmin JOC. That's the question he asked you. Did I misunderstand you? MR MPOFU: No, Chair, Yes, well the earlier question was that they were both situated within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at 14:22 SAPS reported and I recorded that there were approximately 2 000 persons at the koppie and that they needed instructions on 'whether or not they should shoot at the mob.' This report came via SAPS radio and I recorded it on the log sheet as I was instructed to do. I understood this to mean that the SAPS had requested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the witness – sorry, I understand Mr Mpofu's point is that radio equipment belonging to the police was in the Lonmin JOC. That's the question he asked you. Did I misunderstand you? MR MPOFU: No, Chair. Yes, well the earlier question was that they were both situated within Lonmin premises, to which he said yes. The follow-up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at 14:22 SAPS reported and I recorded that there were approximately 2 000 persons at the koppie and that they needed instructions on 'whether or not they should shoot at the mob.' This report came via SAPS radio and I recorded it on the log sheet as I was instructed to do. I understood this to mean that the SAPS had requested authorisation from the Commissioner to use water cannons,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is onder korreksie, maar die CCTV monitering vind plaas in die Lonmin JOC. CHAIRPERSON: He said the CCTV monitoring was taking place in the Lonmin JOC. In other words someone was sitting in the Lonmin JOC, looking at all the TV screens, seeing what the CCTV was showing. I think that's - MR MAHLANGU: From inside the Lonmin JOC. VOORSITTER: Ja, verstaan ek korrek wat u gesê het? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Heeltemal korrek. Daar is later, ek dink op die Woensdag was daar 'n skakel deurgetrek na die polisie JOC waar daar dan nou een monitor was dat ook van die kameras dan kon gemoniteer word. CHAIRPERSON: I understand that the witness – sorry, I understand Mr Mpofu's point is that radio equipment belonging to the police was in the Lonmin JOC. That's the question he asked you. Did I misunderstand you? MR MPOFU: No, Chair, Yes, well the earlier question was that they were both situated within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, 'n radio, enkelvoud. MR MPOFU: Okay, let me just assist you. I promise, Chair, this was not intended. This statement was meant, I was just meant to use it as a reference, but at this rate we're going to have to have it handed up as an exhibit, so copies will be made during the lunch. It's a statement of Amanda Van Der Merwe, 21 – or rather GGG23 I think. 23, yes. CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit GGG23 will be the statement by Amanda Van Der Merwe. MR MPOFU: Yes, it comes from the Lonmin bundle, volume 1, page 216A to 216B. It's just a two-page statement. I'll just read a section which you – if you need the full statement we'll organise that we get it, but you should be able to answer from this. She says, after explaining why she had the radio, "On 14 August 2012 at 14:22 SAPS reported and I recorded that there were approximately 2 000 persons at the koppie and that they needed instructions on 'whether or not they should shoot at the mob.' This report came via SAPS radio and I recorded it on the log sheet as I was instructed to do. I understood this to mean that the SAPS had requested

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Maritana oonin		
	Page 9727		Page 9729
	subsequent report at 15:50 on the same day was also	1	to sit until half past 1 and take the lunch adjournment
	received," sorry, this is now paragraph 7, the last	2	then. Do you think you will be able to finish by half past
3	paragraph, "was also received via SAPS radio. Information	3	1? I know it's difficult to predict; you don't know how
4	was that SAPS was planning to 'go into the mob.' I	4	long the answers will be and what we call injury time, but
5	assumed, although I did not know, that the SAPS would try	5	as far as you can see is there a reasonable prospect you'll
6	to disperse the mob on that day." And I'm not –	6	be finished by half past 1?
7	CHAIRPERSON: Did I hear you, is that the	7	MR MPOFU: There's a reasonable prospect
8	14th?	8	which I want to –
9	MR MPOFU: 14th, ja. I'm not asking you	9	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so I think we'll
10	about the contents of the statement at this stage. We'll	10	take a short adjournment now for five minutes and then
11	ask other people, or even Ms Van Der Merwe. All I'm saying	11	we'll carry on if necessary till 25 –
12	is that this confirms that she got this information that	12	MR MPOFU: Till 25 to 2, yes. Thanks,
13	she's talking about because she was in possession of the	13	Chairperson.
14	SAPS radio, as she had explained. Are you with me?	14	CHAIRPERSON: Alright.
15	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	15	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
16	maar ek het nie ontken dat daar sodanige radio was nie. Ek	16	[13:05] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
	het net gesê nie radio's nie; enkelvoud, een radio.	17	Generaal-Majoor, u is nog steeds onder eed.
18	MR MPOFU: Since you've mentioned it,	18	CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e.
19	fourthly, another manifestation of the partnership was the	19	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu.
	joint use of the CCTV material by both Lonmin and the	20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MPOFU (CONTD.):
	police. Correct?	21	Another, I think the fifth or the sixth manifestation was
22	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	22	that – is it the sixth? - yes, was that Mr Botes about
	dis korrek. Ons het toegang tot die beelde gehad.	23	whom we have heard before and who –
24	MR MPOFU: And there was also what one	24	CHAIRPERSON: GGG19.
	might call the joint deployment of the medical staff which	25	MR MPOFU: Yes, exhibit GGG19, says at
	Page 9728		Page 9730
1	was at FHA2, if I'm not mistaken, which included the	1	paragraph 41, and I'm just asking you to check if you're
2	Netcare staff contracted by Lonmin. Correct?	2	aware of this or not, "That on the 14th of August an
3	[12:59] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek hoor die	3	increasing number of senior police officers had arrived at
4	tolk het dit reg vertaal, ja, forward holding area 1, not	4	the mine. This included a large number of senior police
5	2, maar daar was –	5	officers. A request was made by Brigadier Pretorius, who
6	MR MPOFU: Whichever one.	6	was as I understood it, in charge of the SAPS JOC, that I
7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: - personeel –	7	should move to the SAPS JOC on a full-time basis as the
8	MR MPOFU: 1 or 2. No, it doesn't	8	liaison person between the SAPS and Lonmin." And then he
9	matter, in one of the forward holding areas.	9	says, "I told her that I could not work in the SAPS JOC
10	CHAIRPERSON: The actual area, which of	10	without the CCTV surveillance equipment which was in the
11	the two it was isn't material for the point you're making.	11	Lonmin JOC." That shows that by then the separation that
12	The point you're making is that the Netcare people who were	12	you spoke about had happened, and then at 46 he says that
13	contracted to Lonmin were made available to the operation –	13	eventually he moved in, ja, but "Once I had moved into the
14	MR MPOFU: Yes.	14	SAPS JOC permanently, on 14th August there was always a
15	CHAIRPERSON: - on the 16th.	15	representative from management on a rotating basis with
16	MR MPOFU: Yes, in fact according to your	16	me." So that's the important part now, and then the one
		17	that concerns you is paragraph 47. He says, "By the 14th
17	evidence-in-chief they formed part of what you described as		
	evidence-in-chief they formed part of what you described as the, I think the forward operational staff, something like	18	and in discussions I picked up amongst members of SAPS who
18		18 19	and in discussions I picked up amongst members of SAPS who would hold some discussions within my earshot, I was able
18	the, I think the forward operational staff, something like		
18 19 20	the, I think the forward operational staff, something like that. I can't remember the exact expression.	19	would hold some discussions within my earshot, I was able
18 19 20 21	the, I think the forward operational staff, something like that. I can't remember the exact expression. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is reg.	19 20	would hold some discussions within my earshot, I was able to ascertain that consideration was already being given,
18 19 20 21	the, I think the forward operational staff, something like that. I can't remember the exact expression. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is reg. Die gekontrakteerde mediese personeel het saam met ons	19 20 21	would hold some discussions within my earshot, I was able to ascertain that consideration was already being given, particularly in discussions between General Annandale and
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	the, I think the forward operational staff, something like that. I can't remember the exact expression. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is reg. Die gekontrakteerde mediese personeel het saam met ons gewerk.	19 20 21 22	would hold some discussions within my earshot, I was able to ascertain that consideration was already being given, particularly in discussions between General Annandale and Colonel Scott, on how SAPS could best attend to disarming
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	the, I think the forward operational staff, something like that. I can't remember the exact expression. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is reg. Die gekontrakteerde mediese personeel het saam met ons gewerk. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, it's now 1	19 20 21 22 23	would hold some discussions within my earshot, I was able to ascertain that consideration was already being given, particularly in discussions between General Annandale and Colonel Scott, on how SAPS could best attend to disarming the crowd on the koppie. I overheard some of the

	D	1	D 0722
1	Page 9731 from there. Once again I don't want to ask you about the	1	Page 9733 that quickly.
2	contents of what is being discussed, simply to say that	2	MR MPOFU: Yes. Some members of the
3	there was a permanent representative of management in the	3	police, and once again I won't put it as high as all or
4	SAPS JOC, and that discussions about the operations were	4	common or whatever, but some members of the police referred
5	conducted within earshot of those people. I'm not going	5	to that chopper as the Lonmin chopper to your knowledge.
		6	Correct?
6	into the gist. Is that how you understood it? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
	mnr Botes was daar deur die dag en dan was daar 'n ander		
8	Ū.	8 9	ek weet nie hoe polisiemanne daarna verwys nie. Ek kan net
	verteenwoordiger vir die nagskof, en dan was daar CCTV		vir u vertel my betrokkenheid en wat ek weet van die
10	operateurs gewees, ek dink daar was een, soms twee. Die	10 11	tjopper. MR MPOFU: Very well.
11	persoon van bestuur waarna verwys word, daar was nie 'n	12	5
12	permanente sodanige persoon nie. Daar het persone gekom en		
13	gegaan en in gesprek met mnr Botes.	13	mnr De Waal, wie 'n werknemer is van Protea Coin, het vir
14	MR MPOFU: Okay, so it was a 24/7	14	my gesê dit is 'n tjopper waarmee hy gekom het wat
15	permanent presence. Now of course in the seventh place	15	beskikbaar is, 'n Squirrel tjopper. Hy het ook gemeld daar
16	there's the issue that we have dealt with on several	16	is 'n ander tjopper wat gebruik word deur Protea Coin wat
17	occasions, which was the use during the operation of the	17	buite, ek dink hy het gesê wat 'n sleutelpunt, tussen
18	Lonmin chopper, or Coin Security chopper –	18	sleutelpunte vlieg maar op die buite perimeter.
19	MR BURGER SC: Chair, there was no Lonmin	19	Voorsitter, dit is wat ek weet van die betrokke tjopper.
20 21	chopper. I don't want to keep on objecting to it. We've objected two months ago and I thought my learned friend	20 21	Ek weet nie hoe daar verwys word deur persone en wat is die basis nie.
22	understand it then. There was a Coin chopper. There was	22	MR MPOFU: Okay, well I put it to you
23	no Lonmin chopper in the air during the period under	22	that the – and I'm afraid I don't have it at hand now, but
23	investigation.	23	one of the entries in the OB refers for example to General
25	MR MPOFU: Once again, General, are you	25	Mbombo having just left in the Lonmin chopper. I'll find
20		20	Noonbo having just left in the commit chopper. Thinka
	Page 9732		Page 9734
1	aware that this chopper was referred to interchangeably as	1	it, Chair. I have read it to the Commission before, but I
2	the Lonmin chopper and as the Coin –	2	don't have it at hand now, but that's not important. The
3	CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to stop you,	3	point is there was a chopper offered by, in the same way as
4	but look, Lonmin witnesses will come; you could ask them	4	the Netcare example by a contractor to Lonmin which was
5	about the chopper –	5	used by the police. Correct?
6	MR MPOFU: No, I'm talking about the	6	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
7	police. It was referred to by the police.	7	ek het getuig dat ek weet van die tjopper, en ek het ook
8	CHAIRPERSON: That's a matter, I	8	gesê as daar ander persone is wat sodanig daarna verwys het
9	understand the police may have called it the Lonmin	9	dan is dit waarskynlik so.
10	chopper.	10	MR MPOFU: Ja. No, I know your evidence.
11	MR MPOFU: Yes.	11	I was just bringing to your attention that it was so
12	CHAIRPERSON: That's what appears from	12	referred to in an occurrence book, but that's not the
13	some of the statements.	13	point. In the eighth place, did the police use a Lonmin
14	MR MPOFU: Yes, it does.	14	employee called George as their interpreter when they were
15	CHAIRPERSON: But it doesn't make it the	15	interacting with the protesters?
16	Lonmin chopper, but it may well have been, there may well	16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek weet nie
17	have been some connection between Lonmin and the Coin	17	of die persoon se naam George is nie, maar daar was 'n
18	Security people. That's something you can probe when	18	persoon beskikbaar gestel op ons versoek wat kon in
19	people from Lonmin come and give evidence –	19	Fanagalo oordrag doen.
20	MR MPOFU: That's common cause, I think.	20	MR MPOFU: Ja-no, that's fine.
21	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, so -	21	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry to interrupt you, Mr
22	MR MPOFU: 1 don't want to probe	22	Mpofu. My colleague, Commissioner Tokota has found what
23	something that's common cause. All I'm saying is the	23	you were referring to.
N. 1		-	
24 25	police referred – CHAIRPERSON: Alright, well just ask him	24 25	MR MPOFU: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: If you look at exhibit

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

FOR JUSTICE

	Page 9735		Page 9737
1	FFF25, which is the occurrence register –	1	Lonmin –
2	MR MPOFU: Oh, yes.	2	MR MPOFU: Lonmin chopper, ja.
3	CHAIRPERSON: It's the entries of the 16th	3	CHAIRPERSON: - helicopter, and the
4	of August. There are two entries that are relevant, 1004,	4	helicopter that Lonmin had available to it under its
5	11:55, and 1005, 12:05. 11:55 says that, "Brigadier	5	contract with Protea Coin was then used, with Lonmin's
6	Tsiloane reported that the president of AMCU just left the	6	permission obviously, to ferry certain policemen around.
7	base on his own to visit the group at the koppie. He left	7	MR MPOFU: Ja.
8	the rest of the group of AMCU behind and the Lonmin-branded	8	CHAIRPERSON: That's the point, provided
9	Combi with other members of AMCU drove to the koppie." So	9	-
10	they used the Lonmin Combi for AMCU, but then the next	10	MR MPOFU: Ja, the labelling doesn't
11	entry is 1005, "Chopper 1 reported that there are other	11	matter –
12	chopper on air which they don't know. General Mpembe	12	CHAIRPERSON: That's the point, vir wat
13	confirmed that is Lonmin chopper with Provincial	13	dit werd is. We can now move on to the next one.
14	Commissioner General Mbombo, as she is visiting the place."	14	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. So
15	MR MPOFU: Okay.	15	there's no difference in my stuff. And then of course on
16	CHAIRPERSON: So that's the reference.	16	the 15th when the union presidents were escorted to the
17	MR MPOFU: That's the reference, thank	17	koppie, there were Lonmin executives who travelled with the
18	you. That's what I was looking for, yes.	18	police and the so mentioned presidents. I think it was a
19	CHAIRPERSON: It's actually the reference	19	Mr Kwadi. Correct?
20	to the Protea Coin helicopter.	20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Korrek,
21	MR MPOFU: It's certainly, yes – well,	21	Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the ninth place, the
22 23	it's, ja, it's a reference to the Lonmin chopper, which is also the Protea Coin chopper. That's the point. It's just	22 23	MR MPOFU: And in the ninth place, the people who were arrested, the people that I represent were
23 24	one of those references that is doomed because Mr Burger	23 24	taken from the koppie and they were processed at the Lonmin
24 25	last year when I referred to this objected, and I couldn't	24	number 1 Shaft. Correct?
20		20	
	Page 9736		Page 9738
1	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was	1	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
2	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others.	2	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter.
	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and		GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you
2 3 4	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else.	2 3 4	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint
2 3 4 5	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and
2 3 4 5 6	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year	2 3 4 5 6	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and yourselves. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I	2 3 4 5 6 7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and yourselves. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Daar was 'n
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit isKorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and yourselves. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Daar was 'n toeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek. MR MPOFU: In the eleventh place, at the 6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was Mr Botes. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was MrBotes.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dis korrek,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves. Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was MrBotes.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dis korrek,Voorsitter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was MrBotes.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dis korrek,Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:In the twelfth place, and I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was MrBotes.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dis korrek,WR MPOFU:In the twelfth place, and I'mnot sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnesses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was MrBotes.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dis korrek,Voorsitter.In the twelfth place, and I'mnot sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnessesreferred to twice a day meetings which were held between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they weren't there at the invitation of Lonmin. He accepted it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was MrBotes.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dis korrek,WR MPOFU:In the twelfth place, and I'mnot sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnesses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they weren't there at the invitation of Lonmin. He accepted it then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was MrBotes.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dis korrek,Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:In the twelfth place, and I'mnot sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnessesreferred to twice a day meetings which were held betweenthe NUM and the police, and I think Lonmin management. Are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they weren't there at the invitation of Lonmin. He accepted it then. MR MPOFU: Well, I still accept it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and yourselves. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Daar was 'n toeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek. MR MPOFU: In the eleventh place, at the 6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was Mr Botes. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: In the twelfth place, and I'm not sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnesses referred to twice a day meetings which were held between the NUM and the police, and I think Lonmin management. Are you aware of that? If not, let's move on. Sorry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they weren't there at the invitation of Lonmin. He accepted it then. MR MPOFU: Well, I still accept it, Chair. That's why I said to the witness that chopper was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and yourselves. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Daar was 'n toeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek. MR MPOFU: In the eleventh place, at the 6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was Mr Botes. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: In the twelfth place, and I'm not sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnesses referred to twice a day meetings which were held between the NUM and the police, and I think Lonmin management. Are you aware of that? If not, let's move on. Sorry Chairperson, I think subject to correction by Mr Tip, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they weren't there at the invitation of Lonmin. He accepted it then. MR MPOFU: Well, I still accept it, Chair. That's why I said to the witness that chopper was interchangeably referred to –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dit iskorrek, Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:And in the tenth place, as youhave already indicated, on the 13th there was a jointbriefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin andyourselves.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Daar was 'ntoeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek.MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:In the eleventh place, at the6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was MrBotes.Correct?GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE:Dis korrek,Voorsitter.MR MPOFU:In the twelfth place, and I'mnot sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnessesreferred to twice a day meetings which were held betweenthe NUM and the police, and I think Lonmin management.Areyou aware of that? If not, let's move on.SorryChairperson, I think subject to correction by Mr Tip, thatwas the evidence of either the late Mr Gegeleza or Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they weren't there at the invitation of Lonmin. He accepted it then. MR MPOFU: Well, I still accept it, Chair. That's why I said to the witness that chopper was interchangeably referred to – CHAIRPERSON: I think I understand the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and yourselves. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Daar was 'n toeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek. MR MPOFU: In the eleventh place, at the 6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was Mr Botes. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: In the twelfth place, and I'm not sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnesses referred to twice a day meetings which were held between the NUM and the police, and I think Lonmin management. Are you aware of that? If not, let's move on. Sorry Chairperson, I think subject to correction by Mr Tip, that was the evidence of either the late Mr Gegeleza or Mr Setelele. I've been corrected, it was Gcilitshana, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they weren't there at the invitation of Lonmin. He accepted it then. MR MPOFU: Well, I still accept it, Chair. That's why I said to the witness that chopper was interchangeably referred to – CHAIRPERSON: I think Lunderstand the point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and yourselves. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Daar was 'n toeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek. MR MPOFU: In the eleventh place, at the 6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was Mr Botes. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: In the twelfth place, and I'm not sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnesses referred to twice a day meetings which were held between the NUM and the police, and I think Lonmin management. Are you aware of that? If not, let's move on. Sorry Chairperson, I think subject to correction by Mr Tip, that was the evidence of either the late Mr Gegeleza or Mr Setelele. I've been corrected, it was Gcilitshana, but let's leave it at what I said. You don't know these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	find the reference, but that's the reference that I was referring to, among others. CHAIRPERSON: Maybe you can move on and look at something else. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. MR BURGER SC: I must just say last year when I objected my learned friend and I discussed it. I explained the facts to him; he accepted it, and he dropped the point thereafter. MR MPOFU: No – MR BURGER SC: It wasn't that he was looking for a reference which he couldn't find. I explained to him that what was referred to as the Lonmin chopper was not a Lonmin chopper, it was a Coin chopper and that they had a contract to work for Lonmin, but that they weren't there at the invitation of Lonmin. He accepted it then. MR MPOFU: Well, I still accept it, Chair. That's why I said to the witness that chopper was interchangeably referred to – CHAIRPERSON: I think I understand the point. MR MPOFU: Ja.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: And in the tenth place, as you have already indicated, on the 13th there was a joint briefing of the National Commissioner by Lonmin and yourselves. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Daar was 'n toeligting tussen Lonmin en polisiebestuur, dis korrek. MR MPOFU: In the eleventh place, at the 6AM JOCOM meeting on the 16th one of the attendees was Mr Botes. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek, Voorsitter. MR MPOFU: In the twelfth place, and I'm not sure if you're aware of this, the NUM witnesses referred to twice a day meetings which were held between the NUM and the police, and I think Lonmin management. Are you aware of that? If not, let's move on. Sorry Chairperson, I think subject to correction by Mr Tip, that was the evidence of either the late Mr Gegeleza or Mr Setelele. I've been corrected, it was Gcilitshana, but let's leave it at what I said. You don't know these people. Say it's just one of the NUM witnesses –

	Dama 0720		Dec. 0741
1	Page 9739 it clear that he had attended the regular Lonmin security	1	Page 9741 MR MPOFU: Because it's unlucky, it's the
2	briefings in the morning. That was not a SAPS briefing and	2	ominous 13, ja, and the most symbolic of these in terms of
3	that was to report by Lonmin on the events overnight and	3	significance. It is that when the people were shot, the
4	the like. On some occasions a SAPS officer would attend	4	overall commander, the chief of the JOC was in the Lonmin
5	those briefings, but they were not joint meetings with SAPS	5	chopper/Coin chopper, and not at the JOC. Correct?
6	per se.	6	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
7	MR MPOFU: Okay. Yes, Mr Tip is correct,	7	ek het gister verwys dat die tjopper, sover ek kan onthou
8	but that's exactly on Mr Tip's description now, were you	8	moes in die omgewing van 4 uur opgegaan het en was min of
0 9	aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings?	9	meer seker vir 20 minute in die lug. So as Adv Mpofu
9 10	MR BURGER SC: Then I don't follow the	10	verwys na die toneel 1 soos ons nou weet, dan was Generaal
11	debate. I thought my learned friend was putting indicia of		-
12	a toxic relationship between my client and the SAPS. Now	11 12	Mpembe nie in die lug ten tyde van dit nie, maar potensieel ten tyde van die skietery op toneel 2.
12	he's lost me on this one. "I hold a briefing in the	12	
	-	13	MR MPOFU: Okay, so even if we miss the coincidence that I'm trying to draw, what we do know is
14 15	morning and NUM people came. At times the police is there." Is this an – does he rely on this for a toxic	14	that at some stage around the time of the killings he was
	-		in that chopper.
16 17	relationship? CHAIRPERSON: That's one of the indicia	16 17	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Waarskynlik
	upon which he relies –	18	tydens van die sterftes, ja.
18 19	MR MPOFU: Yes.	19	
20	CHAIRPERSON: Let him get it on record.	20	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I don't want to suggest another deal, but I'm really rounding off. I'm
20	It can be argued later that it's not an indicia that proves	20	left with a few minutes. Oh, do I still have 16 minutes?
22	anything, if that's what you say. He will say –	22	Okay, I won't necessarily use it all, Chairperson, but I'll
23	MR BURGER SC: No, I just don't follow	23	use as much of it as I can. Now having had this kind of
24	it.	24	relationship – let me take one step back. You accept that
25	CHAIRPERSON: He will say the cumulative	25	at the route of the whole Marikana situation was a labour
	Page 9740		Page 9742
1	Page 9740 effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's	1	Page 9742 dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly?
1 2		1 2	
	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's		dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly?
2	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors	2	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed
2 3	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is	2 3	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately.
2 3 4	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right?	2 3 4	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3 4 5	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak.
2 3 4 5 6	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to	2 3 4 5 6	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said
2 3 4 5 6 7	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but	2 3 4 5 6 7	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat ek verstaan teenwoordig was, en dan die 15de die aand wat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat ek verstaan teenwoordig was, en dan die 15de die aand wat dit ook met beide unies was. Ek weet nie of daar ander	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die teenwoordigheid van die polisie was nie as gevolg van
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat ek verstaan teenwoordig was, en dan die 15de die aand wat dit ook met beide unies was. Ek weet nie of daar ander vergaderings was pertinent nie [onhoorbaar] betrokke was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die teenwoordigheid van die polisie was nie as gevolg van arbeidsverskille, en die polisie was daar in terme van 'n
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat ek verstaan teenwoordig was, en dan die 15de die aand wat dit ook met beide unies was. Ek weet nie of daar ander vergaderings was pertinent nie [onhoorbaar] betrokke was nie.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die teenwoordigheid van die polisie was nie as gevolg van arbeidsverskille, en die polisie was daar in terme van 'n verskeidenheid van kriminaliteit, en ek weet nie of dit reg
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat ek verstaan teenwoordig was, en dan die 15de die aand wat dit ook met beide unies was. Ek weet nie of daar ander vergaderings was pertinent nie [onhoorbaar] betrokke was nie. MR MPOFU: And in the thirteenth place,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die teenwoordigheid van die polisie was nie as gevolg van arbeidsverskille, en die polisie was daar in terme van 'n verskeidenheid van kriminaliteit, en ek weet nie of dit reg is om te sê dat die arbeidsgeskille die "root cause" was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat ek verstaan teenwoordig was, en dan die 15de die aand wat dit ook met beide unies was. Ek weet nie of daar ander vergaderings was pertinent nie [onhoorbaar] betrokke was nie. MR MPOFU: And in the thirteenth place, and I'm going to stop now, not because there are not other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die teenwoordigheid van die polisie was nie as gevolg van arbeidsverskille, en die polisie was daar in terme van 'n verskeidenheid van kriminaliteit, en ek weet nie of dit reg is om te sê dat die arbeidsgeskille die "root cause" was vir al die gevolge nie.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat ek verstaan teenwoordig was, en dan die 15de die aand wat dit ook met beide unies was. Ek weet nie of daar ander vergaderings was pertinent nie [onhoorbaar] betrokke was nie. MR MPOFU: And in the thirteenth place, and I'm going to stop now, not because there are not other examples but because –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die teenwoordigheid van die polisie was nie as gevolg van arbeidsverskille, en die polisie was daar in terme van 'n verskeidenheid van kriminaliteit, en ek weet nie of dit reg is om te sê dat die arbeidsgeskille die "root cause" was vir al die gevolge nie. MR MPOFU: Okay, let's forget whether it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effect of all these things is to show collusion. That's what he's busy doing. He's busy piling up all the factors which will form the basis of his argument at the end. Is that right? MR MPOFU: That's right, Chairperson. All I wanted to ask the witness, if he was aware - if he was not aware, I'll move on – if he was aware and then I was going to go to the toxicity of that arrangement as to whether they were there by invitation of Lonmin or not, but obviously if he was not aware, even that toxic thing doesn't arise. Were you aware of SAPS' involvement in such meetings as described by Mr Tip? [13:24] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek weet nie watse dag word daar na verwys nie. Ek is net bewus van die interaksie wat Generaal Mpembe gehad het met NUM, AMCU, en dan ook Lonmin se arbeidsverteenwoordiger wat ek verstaan teenwoordig was, en dan die 15de die aand wat dit ook met beide unies was. Ek weet nie of daar ander vergaderings was pertinent nie [onhoorbaar] betrokke was nie. MR MPOFU: And in the thirteenth place, and I'm going to stop now, not because there are not other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dispute between two private citizens, to put it broadly? In other words between Lonmin and the protesters, viewed aggregately. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek is bewus daar was 'n loonverskil, of 'n loon aanspraak. MR MPOFU: Yes, and that nobody, least of all me, quibbles with the fact that somewhere along the line there were criminal activities, and that is why I said to the National Commissioner the presence of the police nobody can question, but that at the base of these happenings was the wage dispute. In fact all your slides started with the description of the economic issues, the dispute, sometimes incorrectly described as a rivalry between AMCU and NUM as the root cause, but you dealt with those, what we call labour issues, or economic issues, as the root cause. Correct? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, die teenwoordigheid van die polisie was nie as gevolg van arbeidsverskille, en die polisie was daar in terme van 'n verskeidenheid van kriminaliteit, en ek weet nie of dit reg is om te sê dat die arbeidsgeskille die "root cause" was vir al die gevolge nie.

1 y	Page 9743 You correctly concentrated, there was alongside that a	1	Page 9745 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, two –
	abour issues/economic issue between these parties?	2	MR MPOFU: Thank you. One of the
3	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is reg,	3	questions that –
	/oorsitter.	4	CHAIRPERSON: Two as in two.
5	MR MPOFU: You also know that there is a	5	MR MPOFU: As in two, excluding this one.
	constitutional imperative on SAPS to act impartially?	6	CHAIRPERSON: No, not excluding this one.
7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is	7	Two as in two.
	orrek.	8	MR MPOFU: Well, okay. Okay, well then
9	MR MPOFU: Now you also know that – to	9	the first one I'll not make it a question; I'll make the
	but it crudely, and this is something we touched on	10	comment, which is that that –
	resterday – the view of the protesters, or at least the	11	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no comment, Mr
-	ones that I represent, put simply and crudely, is that	12	Mpofu. There are time constraints. I've indicated to you
	heir employer Lonmin, with the assistance of the police,	13	that I was prepared to –
	or solicited the assistance of the police and both parties	14	MR MPOFU: I would have finished by now,
	n collusion acted to their detriment, to the deaths that	15	Chairperson.
	occurred, the injuries, and the arrests.	16	CHAIRPERSON: Well, finish quickly.
17	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	17	MR MPOFU: Yes. The opportunity that
18 c	lis nie waar nie.	18	you're talking about – I think it's just out of cordiality
19	MR MPOFU: It's not correct that they	19	to the witness – the opportunity to explain anything you'll
20 ŀ	hold that view, or it's not correct that it is so, in other	20	get in re-examination from Mr Semenya. That's all I wanted
21 v	vords that the two parties acted in collusion?	21	to say. But what I want to ask you is, is it really the
22	MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That the	22	case of SAPS, as indicated by you, I think and one or two
23 p	parties acted in collusion or to their detriment.	23	other people, in your statement that these people were
24	MR MPOFU: Well, if these were two	24	killed in self-defence, in private defence, and in defence
25 p	parties in dispute, having their own dispute, and the SAPS	25	of the media people?
1 v	Page 9744 vas committed to acting, and not only acting impartially	1	Page 9746 GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
	but being seen to be acting impartially, can you blame the iew that the collusion as manifested by the 13 examples	2 3	nee, in die beskerming van eie of ander lewens. As dit die media sou insluit, dan is dit so.
	hat I gave you, gave the distinct impression that the	4	CHAIRPERSON: If it includes the media,
	olice were siding with Lonmin in that dispute?	5	so be it.
6	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	6	MR MPOFU: Okay, so you yourself are not
7 e	k kan nie kommentaar lewer in terme waarop persone, 'n	7	aware that the – I mean I accept what you're saying. It
8 p	persoon of persone hulle persepsies vorm nie. Voorsitter,	8	could have been the media or postman or whoever, but you
9 e	n ek het verskeie vrae geantwoord, maar ek het nog nie 'n	9	yourself are not a subscriber to the view that these people
10 k	ans gekry om te verduidelik in terme van hoe ek die	10	were killed inter alia in defence of the media?
11 v	ennootskap ervaar het tussen die Suid-Afrikaanse	11	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
12 F	olisiediens en pertinent dan nou in die geval Lonmin nie.	12	ons het, ek het aangedui dat die neutrale gebied wat
13	CHAIRPERSON: Someone else can answer	13	daargestel was en die beskerming was ook dan vir die
14 t	hat question. Mr Mpofu, is that the end of your cross-	14	beskerming van die media. Die selfverdediging, ek kan nie
15 e	examination?	15	dink dat iemand pertinent gesê het ek het opgetree om
16	MR MPOFU: Not quite, Chairperson, but	16	pertinent 'n lid van die media se lewe te beskerm nie.
	m rounding off. I would like to finish, Chairperson, if	17	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, if the
18 –		18	Chairperson can promise me that I won't be prejudiced by
19	CHAIRPERSON: How much longer are you	19	not putting certain issues, which have already been
	joing to be?	20	covered, but what I'd intended to do was to put certain
21 22 -	MR MPOFU: Just one more aspect that, not	21 22	propositions, but I can skip that stage really, as long as one –
23	CHAIRPERSON: How long is the aspect	23	CHAIRPERSON: I indicated to you that
1 4 0			5
	joing to take?	24	things that this witness said based on hearsay, you're
	joing to take? MR MPOFU: Two questions.	24 25	things that this witness said based on hearsay, you're entitled to say I'm not going to question you on it, I'm

		1	
	Page 9747		Page 9749
1	not to be taken as admitting what you said, I will deal	1	fairness, you had dealt with most of these in the body of
2	with the witnesses who were your informants, who gave you	2	the cross-examination. Thank you, Chairperson, I have
3	your direct information, but I cannot be held to have	3	nothing further.
4	conceded or not attacked any of those propositions that are	4	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Mpofu. We'll
5	based on hearsay. That I said to you and you said it over	5	now adjourn until half past 2.
6	and over again, and I think we can make that as a general	6	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, just before – I'm
7	proposition relating to everything he said based upon what	7	sorry, one second. The current arrangement, I'm saying
8	other people told him, as opposed to his own knowledge.	8	this because I might not be here tomorrow, the current
9	MR MPOFU: Okay, then I'll make one broad	9	arrangement regarding General Phiyega, Mr Semenya –
10	statement. I put it to you, General, that firstly you had	10	CHAIRPERSON: I understand Ms Jele says
11	no authorisation to be at the, involved in this whole	11	she's going to be at least a day and -
12	sordid business –	12	MR MPOFU: Yes, so Thursday –
13	CHAIRPERSON: You put that already, Mr	13	CHAIRPERSON: And some of the other
14	Mpofu.	14	counsel are going to ask questions as well.
15	MR MPOFU: Yes. No, I'm going to give a	15	MR MPOFU: Of course, and there's re-
16	broad – I promise, Chair. You had no reason to be involved	16	examination.
17	in this sordid affair in the first place insofar as you	17	CHAIRPERSON: And there's re-examination.
18	went there uninvited and unauthorised; that you had no	18	MR MPOFU: Yes.
19	authority to deploy neither the STF nor the NIU; and that	19	CHAIRPERSON: So I don't think any
20	nevertheless within 72 hours of your arrival there you	20	arrangement is in place to invite the National Commissioner
21	miraculously climbed up to play a very significant role,	21	back by tomorrow –
22	notably by handpicking the overall coordinator for	22	MR MPOFU: Certainly not tomorrow.
23	planning, playing a major role in appointing the chief	23	CHAIRPERSON: Not tomorrow. It's a
24	negotiator, determining the starting time of the operation,	24	matter you can discuss –
25	shortening the time available for adequate briefings, and	25	MR MPOFU: So our working arrangement –
	Page 9748		Page 9750
1	Page 9748 negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter	1	Page 9750 CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can
1 2	5	1 2	-
	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter		CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can
2	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the	2	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya -
2 3	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the	2 3	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have.
2 3 4	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON:It's a matter you candiscuss with Mr Semenya -MR MPOFU:I have.CHAIRPERSON:And the evidence leaders.
2 3 4 5	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON:It's a matter you candiscuss with Mr Semenya-MR MPOFU:I have.CHAIRPERSON:And the evidence leaders.MR MPOFU:It's just for now, but if you
2 3 4 5 6	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON:It's a matter you candiscuss with Mr SemenyaMR MPOFU:I have.CHAIRPERSON:And the evidence leaders.MR MPOFU:It's just for now, but if youneed to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON:It's a matter you candiscuss with Mr SemenyaMR MPOFU:I have.CHAIRPERSON:And the evidence leaders.MR MPOFU:It's just for now, but if youneed to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON:It's a matter you candiscuss with Mr Semenya -MR MPOFU:I have.CHAIRPERSON:And the evidence leaders.MR MPOFU:It's just for now, but if youneed to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:It's a matter you candiscuss with Mr Semenya-MR MPOFU:I have.CHAIRPERSON:And the evidence leaders.MR MPOFU:It's just for now, but if youneed to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][14:52]CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry that we areresuming late, but there were important matters we had toattend to in chambers.U is nog steeds onder eed,Generaal-Majoor.So.e.CHARL ANNANDALE:s.o.e.CHAIRPERSON:Ms Jele, I understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON:It's a matter you candiscuss with Mr Semenya -MR MPOFU:I have.CHAIRPERSON:And the evidence leaders.MR MPOFU:It's just for now, but if youneed to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][14:52]CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry that we areresuming late, but there were important matters we had toattend to in chambers.U is nog steeds onder eed,Generaal-Majoor.S.o.e.CHARL ANNANDALE:s.o.e.CHAIRPERSON:Ms Jele, I understandyou're going to be the next cross-examiner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, I understand you're going to be the next cross-examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you answered them. Is there anything else you want to add in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON:It's a matter you candiscuss with Mr Semenya -MR MPOFU:I have.CHAIRPERSON:And the evidence leaders.MR MPOFU:It's just for now, but if youneed to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair.CHAIRPERSON:Alright, thank you.[COMMISSION ADJOURNSCOMMISSION RESUMES][14:52]CHAIRPERSON:I'm sorry that we areresuming late, but there were important matters we had toattend to in chambers.U is nog steeds onder eed,Generaal-Majoor.So.e.CHARL ANNANDALE:s.o.e.CHAIRPERSON:Ms Jele, I understandyou're going to be the next cross-examiner.CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE:Thank you,Chairperson, I do have a few questions for the Major-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you answered them. Is there anything else you want to add in relation to the general proposition put to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, I understand you're going to be the next cross-examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, I do have a few questions for the Major- General. Major-General, as you are aware, it is my client,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you answered them. Is there anything else you want to add in relation to the general proposition put to you? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, I understand you're going to be the next cross-examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, I do have a few questions for the Major- General. Major-General, as you are aware, it is my client, the Human Rights Commission, that sought the assistance of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you answered them. Is there anything else you want to add in relation to the general proposition put to you? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter nee, ek sal net op elkeen van die sal ek net gaan sê ek het 'n rede gehad, ek was gemagtig gewees om daar – ek het	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, I understand you're going to be the next cross-examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, I do have a few questions for the Major- General. Major-General, as you are aware, it is my client, the Human Rights Commission, that sought the assistance of Mr White in establishing, or in offering this Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you answered them. Is there anything else you want to add in relation to the general proposition put to you? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter nee, ek sal net op elkeen van die sal ek net gaan sê ek het	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, I understand you're going to be the next cross-examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, I do have a few questions for the Major- General. Major-General, as you are aware, it is my client, the Human Rights Commission, that sought the assistance of Mr White in establishing, or in offering this Commission his views on the SAPS actions with regard to the matters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you answered them. Is there anything else you want to add in relation to the general proposition put to you? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter nee, ek sal net op elkeen van die sal ek net gaan sê ek het 'n rede gehad, ek was gemagtig gewees om daar – ek het gemagtig gewees om – maar ek kan nie in detail ingaan nie.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, I understand you're going to be the next cross-examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, I do have a few questions for the Major- General. Major-General, as you are aware, it is my client, the Human Rights Commission, that sought the assistance of Mr White in establishing, or in offering this Commission his views on the SAPS actions with regard to the matters that this Commission is dealing with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you answered them. Is there anything else you want to add in relation to the general proposition put to you? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter nee, ek sal net op elkeen van die sal ek net gaan sê ek het 'n rede gehad, ek was gemagtig gewees om daar – ek het gemagtig gewees om – maar ek kan nie in detail ingaan nie. Ek het reeds nou getuig na al die aspekte wat reeds hier na verwys is en ek ontken elkeen van dit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: MS Jele, I understand you're going to be the next cross-examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, I do have a few questions for the Major- General. Major-General, as you are aware, it is my client, the Human Rights Commission, that sought the assistance of Mr White in establishing, or in offering this Commission his views on the SAPS actions with regard to the matters that this Commission is dealing with. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek is bewus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	negating or ignoring the opportunities presented by inter alia Mr Mathunjwa, and that you as an individual had the largest footprint in the massacre, and that you and the likes of you provided the answer for the POPs who took over from General Mpembe, and also that some of your evidence here has been tailored, for example in respect of the numbers of people who had to be dealt with, to suit the case, and that you overstepped the legal mark in respect of the deployments which were inappropriate, unauthorised, and unnecessary. Do you have any comment? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek stem nie met een van dit saam nie. [13:44] MR MPOFU: Thank you. Thank you, Chairperson – CHAIRPERSON: Most of the propositions were put to you in the course of cross-examination and you answered them. Is there anything else you want to add in relation to the general proposition put to you? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter nee, ek sal net op elkeen van die sal ek net gaan sê ek het 'n rede gehad, ek was gemagtig gewees om daar – ek het gemagtig gewees om – maar ek kan nie in detail ingaan nie. Ek het reeds nou getuig na al die aspekte wat reeds hier na verwys is en ek ontken elkeen van dit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: It's a matter you can discuss with Mr Semenya - MR MPOFU: I have. CHAIRPERSON: And the evidence leaders. MR MPOFU: It's just for now, but if you need to shift it, Mr Semenya and I will inform you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [14:52] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry that we are resuming late, but there were important matters we had to attend to in chambers. U is nog steeds onder eed, Generaal-Majoor. CHARL ANNANDALE: s.o.e. CHAIRPERSON: MS Jele, I understand you're going to be the next cross-examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, I do have a few questions for the Major- General. Major-General, as you are aware, it is my client, the Human Rights Commission, that sought the assistance of Mr White in establishing, or in offering this Commission his views on the SAPS actions with regard to the matters that this Commission is dealing with. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek is bewus daarvan, Voorsitter.

	Way 2013 Wankana comin		in or inquiry Rustenbur
	Page 9751		Page 9753
1	would be a need for isiXhosa translation. Very well, I	1	33, the statements reads, "That a plan to make large
2	will be dealing specifically with you, Major-General, with	2	numbers of arrests in a crowd numbering in the thousands
3	a number of headline topics, if I might call them that, and	3	was inherently risky." He does not criticise the SAPS for
4	I will deal with each of these one after the other, but	4	wanting to make thousands of arrests; he understands that
5	before I actually dive into these topics, there is one	5	the SAPS would be attempting to make arrests of "large
6	matter at the very outset that I need to clarify with	6	numbers" of persons among that crowd numbering in the
7	regard to certain aspects of Gary White's statement that	7	thousands. Correct?
8	you dealt with at some length during the course of your	8	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
9	examination-in-chief. If I could refer you, Major-General,	9	ja, hy verwys net na "significant numbers."
10	to paragraph 4.4.20 and 4.4.21 of Gary White's preliminary	10	MS JELE: And even if one were to take
11	statement, which is exhibit WW4 - WW2, apologies, paragraph	11	the smaller number, say 170 persons were intended to be
12	4.4.20, page 32, and 4.4.21.	12	arrested, that number alone would be a significant number
13	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dankie,	13	of arrests, would you not say, Major-General?
14	Voorsitter, ek het die betrokke paragrawe voor my.	14	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Opsigself is
15	MS JELE: As I understood your criticism	15	170 'n groot getal. In verhouding tot drie en 'n half
16	of Gary White's statement during your examination-in-chief,	16	duisend is dit maar iets soos 15%.
17	Major-General, it was that White appeared to assume that	17	MS JELE: Major-General, can we agree
18	the SAPS were intent on effecting the arrest of thousands	18	that effecting the arrest of 170 persons, whether they're
19	of people. Was that one of your main criticisms? Am I	19	amongst thousands or not, would be effecting the arrest of
20	correct, Major-General?	20	a significant number of persons?
21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is	21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
22	korrek, Voorsitter.	22	ons kan ooreenstem.
23	MS JELE: Now Major-General, I don't	23	MS JELE: Can I therefore suggest that
24	intend revisiting the issue of whether or not the target of	24	your criticism of Mr White, which was that his reasoning
25	SAPS was 3 000 or 300 persons that has been dealt with by	25	was flawed in assuming the SAPS sought to arrest thousands
	Page 9752		Page 9754
1	some of my colleagues, but I would like you to look	1	does not in fact appear in his statement, and that at the
2	specifically at paragraph 4.4.20. Nowhere in that	2	very least that aspect of your criticism of Mr White,
3			
	paragraph certainly, would you agree, Major-General, does	3	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he
4	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS	3 4	
4 5	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS		unless you can point me to another paragraph where he
		4	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has
5	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons?	4 5	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis?
5 6	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific	4 5 6	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
5 6 7	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his	4 5 6 7	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit,
5 6 7 8	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a	4 5 6 7 8	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam.
5 6 7 8 9	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they	4 5 6 7 8 9	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-
5 6 7 8 9 10	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that	4 5 7 8 9 10	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major- General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major- General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major- General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General?	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major- General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major- General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major- General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste sin, "might have numbered," maar dan het hy dit reggestel	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do not speak of those issues that have consistently been
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste sin, "might have numbered," maar dan het hy dit reggestel deur te sê "lack of clarity."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do not speak of those issues that have consistently been raised before this Commission about whether or not Potch
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste sin, "might have numbered," maar dan het hy dit reggestel deur te sê "lack of clarity." MS JELE: He doesn't use that expression.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do not speak of those issues that have consistently been raised before this Commission about whether or not Potch was or was not a debriefing and whether or not there was a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste sin, "might have numbered," maar dan het hy dit reggestel deur te sê "lack of clarity." MS JELE: He doesn't use that expression. He says at the beginning of the paragraph, "The plan was	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do not speak of those issues that have consistently been raised before this Commission about whether or not Potch was or was not a debriefing and whether or not there was a full debriefing after the events. What I would like us to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste sin, "might have numbered," maar dan het hy dit reggestel deur te sê "lack of clarity." MS JELE: He doesn't use that expression. He says at the beginning of the paragraph, "The plan was unclear." Do you see that, Major-General?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do not speak of those issues that have consistently been raised before this Commission about whether or not Potch was or was not a debriefing and whether or not there was a full debriefing after the events. What I would like us to focus on first and foremost is the requirements for debriefing as a matter of course, which we would submit
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste sin, "might have numbered," maar dan het hy dit reggestel deur te sê "lack of clarity." MS JELE: He doesn't use that expression. He says at the beginning of the paragraph, "The plan was unclear." Do you see that, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk dit	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do not speak of those issues that have consistently been raised before this Commission about whether or not Potch was or was not a debriefing and whether or not there was a full debriefing after the events. What I would like us to focus on first and foremost is the requirements for debriefing as a matter of course, which we would submit
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste sin, "might have numbered," maar dan het hy dit reggestel deur te sê "lack of clarity." MS JELE: He doesn't use that expression. He says at the beginning of the paragraph, "The plan was unclear." Do you see that, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk dit op, dis korrek, Voorsitter.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do not speak of those issues that have consistently been raised before this Commission about whether or not Potch was or was not a debriefing and whether or not there was a full debriefing after the events. What I would like us to focus on first and foremost is the requirements for debriefing as a matter of course, which we would submit would have been needed on the 13th, and in order to do that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Gary White suggest that the difficulty he has with the SAPS actions was an intention to arrest thousands of persons? In fact, the difficulty that he expresses in that specific paragraph was the apparent lack of clarity in the plan, his view being that it was either to disperse, allowing a number of persons to go home or leave the koppie, as they might will, or to encircle, disarm, and arrest, and that nowhere in that paragraph does he suggest he believes the SAPS were intent on arresting thousands of persons, or protesters. Am I correct, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ja die enigste aspek wat hy verwys het na is in die laaste sin, "might have numbered," maar dan het hy dit reggestel deur te sê "lack of clarity." MS JELE: He doesn't use that expression. He says at the beginning of the paragraph, "The plan was unclear." Do you see that, Major-General? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek merk dit op, dis korrek, Voorsitter. MS JELE: And if you look at paragraph	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	unless you can point me to another paragraph where he suggests the arrest of thousands was intended by SAPS, has no basis? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, ek dink dit is redelik, noudat dit so opgeklaar is, is dit, stem ek saam. MS JELE: Thank you very much, Major-General. Now if I might start with the schematic approach I referred to you during the course of my introduction, one of the first issues – and while I accept that it might be out of sequence, but our notes, I assure you, hopefully evince some kind of order in our madness – is the issue of debriefing broadly. Now when I speak of debriefing I do not speak of those issues that have consistently been raised before this Commission about whether or not there was a full debriefing after the events. What I would like us to focus on first and foremost is the requirements for debriefing as a matter of course, which we would submit would have been needed on the 13th, and in order to do that I will refer you to a number of documents, if I might. You

1	Page 9755 the very basic level a debriefing with respect to those	1	Page 9757
2	events would have been required at that date?	2	We could look at specifically clause 13 of that document. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dis korrek,
3	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is	2	Voorsitter. Die nabetragting na verwys in Staande Order
4	korrek, ek stem saam.	4	262 is 'n ander nabetragting. Voorsitter ja, ek dink nie
4 5			
	5 5	5	dit is korrek om te sê in "hindsight" nie. Ek dink dit is
6	document that we'd indicated we would refer to, it's in	6	nabetragting soos in "debriefing," nabetragting wat gehou
7	file 4, the IPID files, and its pages marked 712, 713 –	7	word na afloop –
8	CHAIRPERSON: Is this the document headed	8	MS JELE: Chairperson, I don't speak
9	"Member in charge Captain Khumalo, report by commander, EHW		Afrikaans, so I will rely on the interpretation during the
10	Rustenburg?"	10	course of this exchange.
11	MS JELE: It is, Chairperson.	11	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I'm trying to think of
12	CHAIRPERSON: That will be exhibit GGG24.	12	what the correct translation would be actually, in
13	MS JELE: I wish to refer to the column	13	retrospect I suppose, in retrospect –
14	that's towards the middle of that page, under which it says	14	MR MAHLANGU: In retrospect, in thinking
15	"Nature of duty, changes, and casualties." I'll wait for	15	back.
16	the Major-General to have the document in front of him.	16	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
17	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	17	ek dink, the psychologists, social workers and so on, that
18	ek het nommer 13, IPID volume A. Ek probeer net op die	18	would be in Afrikaans "ontlonting," meer as "debriefing."
19	bladsy –	19	MNR MAHLANGU: Ontlonting, ignite?
20	MS JELE: Volume A, file 4, pages 712 and	20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ontlonting is
21	713, the stamped pages at the top that's beginning with	21	-
22	000.	22	[15:12] CHAIRPERSON: Debriefing is presumably
23	MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: 712.	23	the improper English translation for "ontlonting," but what
24	MS JELE: Now again, Major-General, the	24	you mean is it's a different kind of debriefing from the
25	column marked "Nature of duty, changes, and casualties," do	25	debriefing referred to in the Standing Order 262, which it
	Page 9756		-
1	you see that?	1	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is
2	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van	2	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on
2 3	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek.	2 3	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for
2 3 4	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can	2 3 4	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that
2 3 4 5	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words	2 3 4 5	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making?
2 3 4 5 6	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of	2 3 4 5 6	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is
2 3 4 5 6 7	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular	2 3 4 5 6 7	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station,"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing" wat ek aanvaar hulle gedoen het op die lede in terme van trauma ontlonting. Ek sien dan pertinent op bladsy 724	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek. MS JELE: We have no record of such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing" wat ek aanvaar hulle gedoen het op die lede in terme van trauma ontlonting. Ek sien dan pertinent op bladsy 724 word dit vermeld "counselling, debriefing and trauma	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek. MS JELE: We have no record of such debriefing or any notes that might have been taken, and as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing" wat ek aanvaar hulle gedoen het op die lede in terme van trauma ontlonting. Ek sien dan pertinent op bladsy 724 word dit vermeld "counselling, debriefing and trauma maintenance."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek. MS JELE: We have no record of such debriefing or any notes that might have been taken, and as we read Standing Order 262, specifically its subparagraph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing" wat ek aanvaar hulle gedoen het op die lede in terme van trauma ontlonting. Ek sien dan pertinent op bladsy 724 word dit vermeld "counselling, debriefing and trauma maintenance." MS JELE: Having established therefore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek. MS JELE: We have no record of such debriefing or any notes that might have been taken, and as we read Standing Order 262, specifically its subparagraph 4, it talks of preventing recurrences of identified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing" wat ek aanvaar hulle gedoen het op die lede in terme van trauma ontlonting. Ek sien dan pertinent op bladsy 724 word dit vermeld "counselling, debriefing and trauma maintenance." MS JELE: Having established therefore that it was accepted to have Health and Wellness staff on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek. MS JELE: We have no record of such debriefing or any notes that might have been taken, and as we read Standing Order 262, specifically its subparagraph 4, it talks of preventing recurrences of identified mistakes, identifying shortcomings. Surely being aware for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing" wat ek aanvaar hulle gedoen het op die lede in terme van trauma ontlonting. Ek sien dan pertinent op bladsy 724 word dit vermeld "counselling, debriefing and trauma maintenance." MS JELE: Having established therefore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek. MS JELE: We have no record of such debriefing or any notes that might have been taken, and as we read Standing Order 262, specifically its subparagraph 4, it talks of preventing recurrences of identified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing" wat ek aanvaar hulle gedoen het op die lede in terme van trauma ontlonting. Ek sien dan pertinent op bladsy 724 word dit vermeld "counselling, debriefing and trauma maintenance." MS JELE: Having established therefore that it was accepted to have Health and Wellness staff on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerns what went wrong during the incident. This is debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek. MS JELE: We have no record of such debriefing or any notes that might have been taken, and as we read Standing Order 262, specifically its subparagraph 4, it talks of preventing recurrences of identified mistakes, identifying shortcomings. Surely being aware for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you see that? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Aard van diens, veranderings, en ongevalle, ek het dit. Dis korrek. MS JELE: Perhaps, Major-General, you can be of assistance to us. We understand the words handwritten thereafter to indicate that the nature of duties of the individuals that have signed this particular roster is "debriefing." Are we correct in that assumption? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, as ons kyk na links bo waar daar staan "Stasie/Station," staan daar "EHW Rustenburg." Voorsitter, so die aard van dienste, ek verstaan dit dat dit lede of personeel was van "Employee Health and Wellness," so dit sal wees maatskaplike werkers, sielkundiges en/of kapelane. So Voorsitter, die "debriefing" wat ek daar lees is in terme van die aard van diens is dan nou in terme van "debriefing" wat ek aanvaar hulle gedoen het op die lede in terme van trauma ontlonting. Ek sien dan pertinent op bladsy 724 word dit vermeld "counselling, debriefing and trauma maintenance." MS JELE: Having established therefore that it was accepted to have Health and Wellness staff on deck, I would submit to you, Major-General, if I might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	debriefing of what was the emotional or traumatic effect on the person concerned which gives rise to the need for counselling or something, or therapy or something of that kind. Is that the point you're making? MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: That is correct, Chairperson. MS JELE: Major-General, as clearly stated by the Chairperson what the Standing Order refers to specifically in its clause 13 is a different type of debriefing. My question is, would it not be appropriate after a day like the 13th to have specifically the type of debriefing, not just health and wellness, which incidentally was catered for, but that specifically referred to in clause 13 of the Standing Order 262? GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is korrek. MS JELE: We have no record of such debriefing or any notes that might have been taken, and as we read Standing Order 262, specifically its subparagraph 4, it talks of preventing recurrences of identified mistakes, identifying shortcomings. Surely being aware for example at the time that striking miners continued to wish

		1	
1	Page 9759	1	Page 9761
1	MR BOOI: I'm trying to get hold of these	1	would understand the questions and we would understand the
2	documents that are being referred to here, and – MS JELE: The Standing Order is exhibit	2 3	answers. MS JELE: Certainly, Chairperson, and
3	SS2. I refer to paragraph 13 of that exhibit.	3 4	
4			perhaps you'll give me the opportunity to rephrase my
5	CHAIRPERSON: Page 10 of 11 of exhibit	5	question, the issue being that operational briefing would
6	SS2.	6	be just as important to inform those officers, the SAPS
7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter	7	members of the ground, as trauma debriefing would be.
8	ja, daar is 'n verwagting dat daar sodanige verslag sal	8	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
9	wees.	9	beide is noodsaaklik.
10	MS JELE: My question, Major-General, was	10	CHAIRPERSON: There's a distinction. May
11	that there would be a record of such a debriefing and that	11	I suggest there may be a distinction. Trauma debriefing, I
12	it would inform things going forward, surely.	12	would have thought should probably take place sooner after
13	MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: Record as in	13	the occurrence than operational debriefing, particularly if
14	"verslag," as ek sê dat daar 'n verslag moet wees, it means	14	the member concerned is likely to be involved in operations
15	that there should be a report so that it entails a record.	15	shortly thereafter. You wouldn't want someone who's
16	MS JELE: In light of the continuing	16	suffering from trauma as a result of a particular
17	events, that process ending in a report you have just	17	occurrence to be sent into battle again, as it were, if one
18	described, would have had to have taken place Monday	18	may use that expression, unless and until that person had
19	evening, Tuesday at the latest. Would you not agree,	19	received therapy. Would you agree with that?
20	Major-General?	20	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	21	as 'n leek voel ek ook dat dit so gou as moontlik, maar ek
22	sekerlik so gou as moontlik in terme van na so 'n	22	weet daar is twee kampe in die sielkundige in terme van of
23	gebeurtenis, maar ons moet net dalk kyk na redelikheid en	23	dit moet onmiddellik gebeur of eers na 'n pertinente
24	kyk na die omstandighede wat hom afgespeel het na die 13de.	24	tydsverloop.
25	MS JELE: Major-General, under the	25	CHAIRPERSON: As a layperson he's
	Page 9760		Page 9762
1	Page 9760 circumstances there were a number of individuals that were	1	Page 9762 inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the
1 2	5	1 2	Page 9762 inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One
	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were		inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the
2	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been	2	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One
2 3	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we	2 3	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after
2 3 4	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the	2 3 4	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a
2 3 4 5	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer	2 3 4 5	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned
2 3 4 5 6	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list,	2 3 4 5 6	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as	2 3 4 5 6 7	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, may I suggest that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek. Ek het verwys na die drie vlakke van
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, may I suggest that for the sake of clarity one draws a distinction between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek. Ek het verwys na die drie vlakke van "operational debriefing."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, may I suggest that for the sake of clarity one draws a distinction between what one can call psychological debriefing, you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek. Ek het verwys na die drie vlakke van "operational debriefing." CHAIRPERSON: I think the word is levels,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, may I suggest that for the sake of clarity one draws a distinction between what one can call psychological debriefing, you know effectively kind of trauma therapy, I take it, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek. Ek het verwys na die drie vlakke van "operational debriefing." CHAIRPERSON: I think the word is levels, three levels of operational debriefing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, may I suggest that for the sake of clarity one draws a distinction between what one can call psychological debriefing, you know effectively kind of trauma therapy, I take it, and debriefing in terms of Standing Order 262, if there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek. Ek het verwys na die drie vlakke van "operational debriefing." CHAIRPERSON: I think the word is levels, three levels of operational debriefing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, may I suggest that for the sake of clarity one draws a distinction between what one can call psychological debriefing, you know effectively kind of trauma therapy, I take it, and debriefing in terms of Standing Order 262, if there's a difference, what one can call operational debriefing. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek. Ek het verwys na die drie vlakke van "operational debriefing." CHAIRPERSON: I think the word is levels, three levels of operational debriefing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, may I suggest that for the sake of clarity one draws a distinction between what one can call psychological debriefing, you know effectively kind of trauma therapy, I take it, and debriefing in terms of Standing Order 262, if there's a difference, what one can call operational debriefing. So if you're happy to adopt that terminology, operational	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek. Ek het verwys na die drie vlakke van "operational debriefing." CHAIRPERSON: I think the word is levels, three levels of operational debriefing. MR MAHLANGU: Three stages of? Three levels – CHAIRPERSON: "Vlakke," is three levels.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	circumstances there were a number of individuals that were on duty on both the Monday and the Thursday. It has been referred to, I'm happy to specify the statements that we have reflecting that fact for the sake of reference for the record. We understand for example that Warrant-Officer Rakodi, whose statement is number 38 in the SAPS list, Warrant-Officer Makukule, whose statement is number 44, as well as Cebekhulu, whose statement is number 140, all of these individuals make it plain that they were there both on Monday and on Thursday, and for this reason I would insist that the debriefing referred to in Standing Order 262, knowing that your personnel was on the ground, knowing that they face a continuing group of demonstrators, would have specifically needed to be done sooner rather than later, regardless of the consideration that you have just expressed. CHAIRPERSON: Ms Jele, may I suggest that for the sake of clarity one draws a distinction between what one can call psychological debriefing, you know effectively kind of trauma therapy, I take it, and debriefing in terms of Standing Order 262, if there's a difference, what one can call operational debriefing. So if you're happy to adopt that terminology, operational debriefing as opposed to psychological debriefing, then I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	inclined to agree, but he's aware of the fact that in the field of psychology there are two views, or two camps. One group says the trauma therapy should happen as soon after the event as possible. The other says there should be, a time period should elapse first before the person concerned receives therapy. I think that's a translation of the answer. MNR MAHLANGU: Is dit korrek? That is correct. MS JELE: I appreciate that, but under circumstances where the SAPS is facing the same group on the same koppie, operational debriefing would identify mistakes that might have been made on the 13th that might inform operations or planning going forward for the rest of the week, Major-General. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter, dis korrek. Ek het verwys na die drie vlakke van "operational debriefing." CHAIRPERSON: I think the word is levels, three levels of operational debriefing. MR MAHLANGU: Three stages of? Three levels – CHAIRPERSON: "Vlakke," is three levels. GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Die eerste

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	inay 2010 Marikana oonin		
	Page 9763		Page 9765
1	bevelvoerders, en dan die oorhoofse bevelvoerder,	1	debriefing," with a long rectangle surrounding the word
2	operasionele bevelvoerder tipies en die ander	2	"briefing" at the top.
3	bevelvoerders, en dan die vlak wat polisie en ander	3	CHAIRPERSON: That will be exhibit GGG25.
4	rolspelers by betrokke is. Ek sou verwag dat ten minste	4	MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson.
5	vlak 1, en dan sover prakties moontlik ook vlak 2	5	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Before you look at
6	plaasgevind het.	6	this document, those records that counsel refers to of the
7	MS JELE: Major-General, we have no	7	debriefings, where would they normally be? With the unit,
8	record of that taking place after the 13th. Now perhaps	8	would they be stored with the unit or the commander?
9	there might be some somewhere, but we have none. Would you	9	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Kommissaris,
10	accept that if it did not take place, especially within	10	dit sal waarskynlik by die Openbare Orde eenheid op argief
11	amongst the commanders as you put it, who were then	11	geplaas word.
12	considering their planning and operations going forward,	12	COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Sorry, General, I
13	that this would be an oversight? Sorry, an oversight at	13	just want to check the debriefing which was referred to by
14	the very least. A serious omission might actually be a	14	counsel is said to be the one referred to in Standing Order
15	better characterisation.		-
	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	15	262. I just want to check whether the debriefing envisaged
16		16	in Standing Order 262 is the debriefing of each and every
17	ek het verneem, ek dink vanaf Generaal Mpembe en Brigadier	17	stage of the events, or the debriefing of the whole event
18	Calitz, dat daar wel nabetragting plaasgevind het na die	18	after it has occurred?
19	13de.	19	[15:32] MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: Chair –
20	MS JELE: We'll deal with the –	20	COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: What I want to
21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter	21	know, if you see subparagraph 5 says "Trainers and
22	ja, die besonderhede in terme van datum en tyd, wie	22	instructors must attend the debriefing." Now I could
23	betrokke was, of daar rekord gehou was, dit kan ek	23	imagine on the 13th, when there's a debriefing on the 14th
24	ongelukkig nie mee help nie.	24	you must call the trainers and the instructors to come and
25	MS JELE: Major-General, in your	25	attend that debriefing, that's the one which must be
	D		Dama 07/ (
1	Page 9764 experience would those records be in writing, or some of	1	Page 9766 recorded.
2	them be in writing?	2	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Kommissaris,
3	CHAIRPERSON: What sort of oral records	3	ja. Subparagraaf 5 is 'n tameletjie want in praktyk is dit
4	could you have?	4	bitter moeilik gegewe die aantal openbare orde insidente
5	MS JELE: I thought oral records would	5	wat ons in 'n jaar doen, wat partykeer 10 tot 12 000 is.
6	refer to certainly whatever information we might garner	6	COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Now what I want to
7	from General Mpembe and Brigadier Calitz when they testify,	7	check is whether the debriefings referred to in your
8	but the question is notes –	8	evidence, namely those which were not recorded are actually
9	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes.	9	the debriefings as envisaged in the Standing Order?
9 10	MS JELE: - something that we can look	9 10	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Kommissaris,
11	at.	11	dit sal op die vlak 2 "debriefing" wees soos verwys in die
12	CHAIRPERSON: Ja.	12	Staande Order.
12	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	12	MS JELE: If I might, the document
13	my ervaring is dat vlak 2 en verseker vlak 3, dat daar	14	entitled "Briefing" that I referred to earlier, Major-
14	geskrewe rekord sal wees.	. –	General, I would ask you to turn specifically to chapter 9,
	-	15	
16		16 17	which I believe is at page 6 and which is entitled
17	considering that General Mpembe and Brigadier Calitz would	17 18	"Debriefing," and I would like to read that first
18 10	fit into level 2, that we will have the opportunity to		paragraph. I certainly hope the interpreters have it in front of them. The paragraph roads
19	obtain whatever written notes they might have of that	19	front of them. The paragraph reads –
20	particular debriefing, if it took place. Now if I might	20	CHAIRPERSON: I think the fifth word in
21	specifically refer you to a document that we circulated to	21	the first line is "process."
22	all parties during the course of yesterday, which is	22	MS JELE: I think it is too, Chairperson.
23	entitled "Briefing 1" in the hard drive specifically, the	23	"Debriefing is an important process which is to be
24	title of which at the top in the top margin is "Crowd	24 25	considered just as important as the briefing process.
	management for platoon commanders, briefing and	25	Without good debriefing after public order interventions,
1.1	RCRIVE FOR LUSIICE	1	

	Page 9767		Page 9769
1	it is not possible to assess one's actions for the	1	apologies. If you can just repeat the question.
2	improvement of techniques and better command and control	2	Apologies.
3	during the operation. During debriefing members can give	3	MS JELE: Certainly. Major-General, when
4	their input, thus the commanders are able to have another	4	one looks – and perhaps we can turn to exhibit GGG3 while
5	viewpoint of the operation." Do you see that paragraph,	5	we're at it, if one looks at the record of the
6	Major-General?	6	Potchefstroom meeting, it has been consistently stated
7	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is	7	naturally that it did not shape the fully fledged
8	korrek, ek lees hom so.	8	debriefing that is required. However, the process would
9	MS JELE: If I turn to page 8 under the	9	have been one to identify those issues that would assist
10	heading "Structure," 11, again I'd like to read that first	10	these Commissioners, those issues that I would submit are
11	paragraph. It says that, "The structure of the debriefing	11	specified in 13(4) of the Standing Order, that that process
12	should be done on the same structure as that in which the	12	in order to assist the Commission would require
13	members functioned during the public operation. In other	13	establishing whether there were any shortcomings, and
14	words, if a section was tasked to perform a certain	14	recording learning processes to enhance good practices and
15	function, the debriefing must be done only with that	15	address or prevent recurrences of identified mistakes.
16	section. The same should be done at higher levels where	16	This is part of the process, even if it were simply aimed
17	the commanders do their own debriefing with the information	17	at assisting this Commission, that would have been part of
18	received from the lower levels, as members will be	18	any such discussions.
19	reluctant to air their views to higher levels, together	19	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
20	with the fact that large debriefings cannot function	20	nee, dit was nie deel van die besprekings nie. As daar
21	correctly." Do you read that, Major-General?	21	enige aspekte uitgekom het was dit net soos wat die feite
22	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Ek het dit so	22	voorgelê was wat ons dit geïdentifiseer het.
23	gelees, dis korrek, Voorsitter.	23	CHAIRPERSON: It's not quite as simple as
24	MS JELE: Taken together with your	24	that, because in the process the facts were identified and
25	earlier statements about the various levels of debriefing,	25	an element of hindsight was involved because you could now
	Page 9768		Page 9770
1	is it not an essential element of debriefing that the	1	see with the benefit of hindsight what happened, and
2	junior officers, the officers on the ground also have an	2	inasmuch as hindsight is helpful for the future to make
3	opportunity within their units to have that debriefing	3	sure that when you're in a similar situation in the future,
4	session?	4	what you've now discovered by hindsight in respect of event
5	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Dit is	5	A you can then factor into your plan for what's going to
6	korrek, dis die sogenaamde vlak 1 terugvoer wat ek na	6	happen in the case of event B. So there is an element
7	verwys het.	7	surely of what counsel is putting to you is what happened
8	MS JELE: You were obviously alert to	8	at Potchefstroom, even if it wasn't the main purpose, but
9	certain aspects of these difficulties, Major-General, as	9	it was a kind of a by-product, surely?
10	you stated in your examination-in-chief that you avoided	10	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: U is reg,
11	participating in certain elements of The Roots discussions	11	Voorsitter, aspekte soos die video-opnames, aspekte soos
12	in order not to stifle debate amongst members that were	12	die radiokommunikasie, dit het voortgespruit uit die
13	junior to you in rank.	13	terugvoer.
14	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Soos	14	MS JELE: And if one looks specifically
15	geparafraseer, korrek. Ek dink ek het die woord gebruik	15	at the attendance record in exhibit GG3, we see hardly
16	"inhibeer."	16	anyone below the rank of captain – I don't know if you've
17	MS JELE: I'll apologise for my non-	17	had a chance to just peruse through, but in your
18	existent Afrikaans, having been unable to read the	18	recollection, if you don't want to double check, there
19	transcript. If I might simply state with respect to the	19	weren't people below the role of captain. There might have
20	events at Potchefstroom, whatever their nature, the purpose	20	been a few warrant-officers.
21	was in fulfilling the bills that one would identify in	21	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: U is reg.
22	subparagraph 4 of paragraph 13 of SO262, to the extent that	22 22	Dit was meestal offisiere gewees, enkele adjudant-
23	it might be relevant and of assistance to this Commission. Correct?	23	offisiere. Ek weet nie, ek kan nie onthou of daar iemand
24	1 Va Va Va - 11	24 25	onder adjudant-offisier was nie, en dit was pertinent die waterkapen operateurs en dan die video operateurs
25	MAJOR-GENERAL ANNANDALE: Chairperson, my	25	waterkanon operateurs en dan die video-operateurs.
A			

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

		10010	Rustenburg
	Page 9771		Page 9773
1	CHAIRPERSON: There was one Constable	1	you indicated between for example Modiba and his members,
2	Segale of the POP Rustenburg. There were three warrant-	2	Gaffley and his, is something of which there would be a
3	officers, Warrant-Officer Dicks of POP Johannesburg, and	3	record, which I'm sure this Commission would appreciate.
4	two from POP North West, that's Nhlomo and Masinye, and one	4	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter
5	from POP Springs, Ramanala. All the others were	5	nee, die rekord het ek verwys na vlak 2, vlak 3. Vlak 1 is
6	commissioned officers, two lieutenants and everybody else	6	individueel bevelvoerder met die lede wat dit, baie van
7	above that rank.	7	hulle weet ek het dit sommer die aand na die insident van
8	MS JELE: Indeed. Thank you,	8	die 16de, het begin met dit. So daar is nie pertinente
9	Chairperson, and as a result, imaging that you appreciate	9	rekords nie.
10	that you might stifle debate amongst even higher ranks,	10	MS JELE: Well, in that case we certainly
11	considering the positions that those view junior members of	11	look forward to the testimony of Modiba, Gaffley, and their
12	SAPS had within this framework, would it be fair to say	12	colleagues. I'm going to turn now to an entirely different
13	that the Potchefstroom process did not yield the benefit of	13	topic, Chairperson, specifically moving away from the issue
14	the level 1 process in full that you described to us	14	of debriefing and moving into the issue of planning, which
15	earlier? And we do not have the benefit of that level 1	15	will take up quite a lengthy period of my discussions with
16	process before us.	16	the Major-General. I see it's 5 to 4. Chairperson, would
17	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	17	you wish to take the adjournment or continue for a moment
18	maar Potch was nooit bedoel om 'n vlak 1 nabetragting te	18	until we reconvene tomorrow?
19	wees nie. Dit is iets, die vlak 1 wat plaasgevind het is	19	CHAIRPERSON: How long do you anticipate
20	die bevelvoerders en dan die pertinente lede op die grond	20	the first subheading of the topic will take?
21	wat betrokke was by die uitvoer van die operasies.	21	MS JELE: At least 20 minutes,
22	MS JELE: Indeed, Major-General, and to	22	Chairperson.
23	the extent that there were elements of that process, as the	23	CHAIRPERSON: Well I think in the
24	Chairperson alluded to, that would be of importance and/or	24	circumstances we should take the adjournment now and resume
25	value to this Commission, the elements that junior officers	25	at 9:30 tomorrow.
	Page 9772		Page 9774
1	and level 1 officers who were on the ground brought to that	1	MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson.
2	process was not available in Potch, and has not been made	2	CHAIRPERSON: The documents, we were
3	available?	3	given a batch of documents yesterday. Are there any
4	GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Nee,	4	specific documents that you would like the Major-General to
5	Voorsitter, vlak 1 het plaasgevind reeds tussen die	5	look at closely this evening to expedite matters tomorrow?
6	bevelvoerders en hulle onderskeie lede lank voor	6	MS JELE: We've already discussed that
7	Potchefstroom, en om 'n praktiese voorbeeld te gebruik,	7	with the SAPS team. We wanted to make sure that the Major-
8	byvoorbeeld NIU sou Kolonel Modiba, sou hy net met sy	8	General had seen all of these videos that we hope to refer
9	onderoffisiere sou hy gepraat het, en Luitenant-Kolonel	9	to and we understand he'll have the opportunity do that
10	Gaffley sou met Taakmag. So dit is die vlak 1 wat verwys	10	during the course of this evening. What we will be doing
11	word in die bladsy 8 van die paragraaf wat u na my verwys	11	is trying to curtail any of those documents that we have
12	het, van 11, en 'n groot persentasie van daardie selfde	12	distributed and any use of those as much as we possibly can
13	bevelvoerders was dan nou teenwoordig by Potchefstroom.	13	during the course of this evening, but unfortunately it
14	CHAIRPERSON: Major-General, have you	14	looks like we'll have to refer to all of them.
15	looked at the heading of this document GGG3? It reads	15	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Well then, it
16	"Call-up, debriefing, and preparation for the judicial	16	seems the sensible thing to do for us is to adjourn now
17	commission of inquiry," and so on. So there appears to	17	until 9:30 tomorrow.
18	have been some element of debriefing. Would you agree?	18	[COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
19	[15:52] GENERAAL-MAJOOR ANNANDALE: Voorsitter,	19	
20	daar was verseker elemente van nabetragting, maar soos ek	20	
20	verduidelik het, daar was nie 'n swot analise gedoen in	20	
. 33	~ 1 3 9 1 1 8 8 1 / h		
22	terme van wat ons gaan sê het sterk punte, swak punte,	22	
23	ensovoorts nie.	23	
24	MS JELE: We certainly agree on that,	24	
	Major-General, and as you indicated earlier, the process	25	
A	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	1	

A aan 9644:24 9651:12 9676:7 9677:5,11 9711:9 9717:10,11 aand 9723:6 9740:17 9773:7 aandag 9725:22 aandui 9668:2 9679:20 aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25 9666:24	actual 9646:12 9655:20 9694:21 9728:10 add 9748:17 addisioneel 9669:13 9725:21 addisionele 9659:21 9713:15 9725:22 addition 9701:15 address 9711:15 9769:15 addressed 9708:1,3 adequate 9747:25 adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	9753:17 9754:24 9759:19 9761:19 9762:1 9772:18,24 agreed 9665:15 9682:23 9710:16 agreement 9644:12 agter 9659:16 9669:23 agterste 9659:15 aimed 9769:16 air 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 aksies 9665:3,3 al 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 alert 9768:8	9663:6 and/or 9771:24 answer 9655:11 9656:5 9656:20,24,25 9657:19 9659:23 9661:4,15 9663:20 9671:11,14 9679:9 9680:2,15 9685:1,3 9691:16 9692:19 9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 answered 9665:11 9748:17	9677:9 9688:21 9720:6 9728:4,10 areas 9728:9 argief 9765:10 argue 9663:12 9680:5 9680:10,16,21 9686:21 9712:11 argued 9739:21 argument 9653:11,14 9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
9676:7 9677:5,11 9711:9 9717:10,11 aand 9723:6 9740:17 9773:7 aandag 9725:22 aandui 9668:2 9679:20 aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	add 9748:17 addisioneel 9669:13 9725:21 addisionele 9659:21 9713:15 9725:22 addition 9701:15 address 9711:15 9769:15 addressed 9708:1,3 adequate 9747:25 adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	9762:1 9772:18,24 <b>agreed</b> 9665:15 9682:23 9710:16 <b>agreement</b> 9644:12 <b>agter</b> 9659:16 9669:23 <b>agterste</b> 9659:15 <b>aimed</b> 9769:16 <b>air</b> 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 <b>aksies</b> 9665:3,3 <b>al</b> 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 <b>alert</b> 9768:8	<b>answer</b> 9655:11 9656:5 9656:20,24,25 9657:19 9659:23 9661:4,15 9663:20 9671:11,14 9679:9 9680:2,15 9685:1,3 9691:16 9692:19 9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	areas 9728:9 argief 9765:10 argue 9663:12 9680:5 9680:10,16,21 9686:21 9712:11 argued 9739:21 argument 9653:11,14 9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
9711:9 9717:10,11 aand 9723:6 9740:17 9773:7 aandag 9725:22 aandui 9668:2 9679:20 aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	addisioneel 9669:13 9725:21 addisionele 9659:21 9713:15 9725:22 addition 9701:15 address 9711:15 9769:15 addressed 9708:1,3 adequate 9747:25 adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	agreed 9665:15 9682:23 9710:16 agreement 9644:12 agter 9659:16 9669:23 agterste 9659:15 aimed 9769:16 air 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 aksies 9665:3,3 al 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 alert 9768:8	9656:20,24,25 9657:19 9659:23 9661:4,15 9663:20 9671:11,14 9679:9 9680:2,15 9685:1,3 9691:16 9692:19 9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	argief 9765:10 argue 9663:12 9680:5 9680:10,16,21 9686:21 9712:11 argued 9739:21 argument 9653:11,14 9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
9711:9 9717:10,11 aand 9723:6 9740:17 9773:7 aandag 9725:22 aandui 9668:2 9679:20 aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	9725:21 addisionele 9659:21 9713:15 9725:22 addition 9701:15 address 9711:15 9769:15 addressed 9708:1,3 adequate 9747:25 adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	9682:23 9710:16 agreement 9644:12 agter 9659:16 9669:23 agterste 9659:15 aimed 9769:16 air 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 aksies 9665:3,3 al 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 alert 9768:8	9657:19 9659:23 9661:4,15 9663:20 9671:11,14 9679:9 9680:2,15 9685:1,3 9691:16 9692:19 9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	argue 9663:12 9680:5 9680:10,16,21 9686:21 9712:11 argued 9739:21 argument 9653:11,14 9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
aand 9723:6 9740:17 9773:7 aandag 9725:22 aandui 9668:2 9679:20 aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	addisionele 9659:21 9713:15 9725:22 addition 9701:15 address 9711:15 9769:15 addressed 9708:1,3 adequate 9747:25 adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	agreement 9644:12 agter 9659:16 9669:23 agterste 9659:15 aimed 9769:16 air 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 aksies 9665:3,3 al 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 alert 9768:8	9661:4,15 9663:20 9671:11,14 9679:9 9680:2,15 9685:1,3 9691:16 9692:19 9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	9680:10,16,21 9686:21 9712:11 argued 9739:21 argument 9653:11,14 9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
9773:7 aandag 9725:22 aandui 9668:2 9679:20 aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	9713:15 9725:22 addition 9701:15 address 9711:15 9769:15 addressed 9708:1,3 adequate 9747:25 adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	agter 9659:16 9669:23 agterste 9659:15 aimed 9769:16 air 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 aksies 9665:3,3 al 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 alert 9768:8	9671:11,14 9679:9 9680:2,15 9685:1,3 9691:16 9692:19 9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	9686:21 9712:11 argued 9739:21 argument 9653:11,14 9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
aandui 9668:2 9679:20 aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	addition 9701:15 address 9711:15 9769:15 addressed 9708:1,3 adequate 9747:25 adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	agterste 9659:15 aimed 9769:16 air 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 aksies 9665:3,3 al 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 alert 9768:8	9680:2,15 9685:1,3 9691:16 9692:19 9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	argued 9739:21 argument 9653:11,14 9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
aandui 9668:2 9679:20 aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	address 9711:15 9769:15 addressed 9708:1,3 adequate 9747:25 adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	aimed 9769:16 air 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 aksies 9665:3,3 al 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 alert 9768:8	9691:16 9692:19 9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	argument 9653:11,14 9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
aangedui 9669:12 9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	9769:15 <b>addressed</b> 9708:1,3 <b>adequate</b> 9747:25 <b>adjourn</b> 9749:5 9774:16 <b>adjourned</b> 9694:15 9774:18 <b>adjournment</b> 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	air 9731:23 9735:12 9767:19 aksies 9665:3,3 al 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 alert 9768:8	9704:11 9705:1 9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	9658:7,8 9670:3 9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
9675:9 9679:17,21 9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	<b>addressed</b> 9708:1,3 <b>adequate</b> 9747:25 <b>adjourn</b> 9749:5 9774:16 <b>adjourned</b> 9694:15 9774:18 <b>adjournment</b> 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	9767:19 <b>aksies</b> 9665:3,3 <b>al</b> 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 <b>alert</b> 9768:8	9719:18 9726:16 9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	9686:13 9740:3 arguments 9686:14 argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
9746:12 aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	<b>adequate</b> 9747:25 <b>adjourn</b> 9749:5 9774:16 <b>adjourned</b> 9694:15 9774:18 <b>adjournment</b> 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	<b>aksies</b> 9665:3,3 <b>al</b> 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 <b>alert</b> 9768:8	9744:13 9748:4 9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	<b>arguments</b> 9686:14 <b>argument's</b> 9678:24 <b>arms</b> 9709:16 9710:13
aanhaal 9645:1 aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	adjourn 9749:5 9774:16 adjourned 9694:15 9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	<b>al</b> 9665:6 9666:25 9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 <b>alert</b> 9768:8	9762:7 <b>answered</b> 9665:11	argument's 9678:24 arms 9709:16 9710:13
aanname 9673:25 aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	9774:16 <b>adjourned</b> 9694:15 9774:18 <b>adjournment</b> 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	9723:1 9742:22 9748:23 <b>alert</b> 9768:8	answered 9665:11	arms 9709:16 9710:13
aanranding 9698:21 aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	<b>adjourned</b> 9694:15 9774:18 <b>adjournment</b> 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	9748:23 <b>alert</b> 9768:8		
aanspraak 9742:5 aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	9774:18 adjournment 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12	<b>alert</b> 9768:8	97/8.17	
aantal 9766:4 aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	<b>adjournment</b> 9685:4 9688:9 9702:12		J/+0.1/	9711:14
aanvaar 9674:12 9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25	9688:9 9702:12		answering 9705:2	arrangement 9669:8
9699:3 9723:12 9756:17 <b>aanvaarbare</b> 9665:1 <b>aanval</b> 9650:22,25		algemene 9654:3	answers 9729:4 9761:2	9670:5 9740:8 9749
9756:17 aanvaarbare 9665:1 aanval 9650:22,25		alia 9704:23 9746:10	anticipate 9773:19	9749:9,20,25
<b>aanvaarbare</b> 9665:1 <b>aanval</b> 9650:22,25	9729:1,10 9773:17,24	9748:2	antwoord 9656:9	arrest 9698:19 9699:8
aanval 9650:22,25	ADJOURNS 9688:10	alle 9651:9 9672:12	9679:5,12,22 9725:7	9703:15 9704:23
	9729:15 9750:8	alleged 9704:15	anybody 9658:17	9705:6,9,13,17,17,2
J000.24	<b>adjudant</b> 9770:22	allegedly 9703:23	9659:10 9685:22	9706:6 9751:18
aanvanklik 9659:19	adjudant-offisier	9714:20	9686:10	9752:5,10 9753:18,1
9668:9 9677:25	9770:24	alles 9649:5 9672:1	<b>anyway</b> 9712:6	9753:25 9754:4
aanvanklike 9679:6	admission 9700:3	9682:7	9721:14	arrestasie 9698:13
aard 9756:2,11,16	admitting 9747:1	allowing 9686:20,21	apart 9699:7 9742:25	arrested 9697:21
<b>able</b> 9653:13 9661:4	adopt 9760:23	9752:8	aparte 9722:23	9698:24,25 9699:14
9676:14 9692:16	adopted 9643:25	alluded 9771:24	apologies 9750:25	9699:17 9704:15,24
9070.14 9092.10 9710:17 9726:16	adv 9677:3 9741:9	almal 9673:20 9675:12	9751:11 9769:1,2	9706:9 9737:23
9729:2 9730:19	advice 9661:25 9689:17	9675:13	apologise 9768:17	9753:12
9729:2 9730:19 9767:4	advised 9689:9 9716:1	alongside 9743:1	apparent 9752:7	arresting 9716:2
	advokaat 9676:24	alright 9648:2,6,16	apparently 9654:25	9752:12
absolute 9652:17 absolutely 9692:21	9685:24	9697:16 9698:10	9678:21 9681:20	arrests 9699:6 9743:1
accept 9650:13 9665:4	af 9673:23,24	9702:23 9712:6	appealed 9716:15	9753:2,4,5,13
9665:13 9683:18,19	<b>affair</b> 9747:17	9721:19 9729:9,14	appear 9754:1	<b>arrival</b> 9747:20
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	affairs 9705:22	9732:25 9745:1	appeared 9710:14,16	arrived 9730:3
9684:4,5 9687:16	afforded 9721:2	9750:7	9751:17	arrow 9678:13,13
9688:4,7 9692:22,25	<b>afgehaak</b> 9668:10,20	alternatively 9696:18	appearing 9692:17	9681:19,20,22
9699:13 9711:17	afgekordon 9666:17	altyd 9655:6 9696:21	appears 9652:17	arrows 9681:19
9723:9 9736:18	afgespeel 9759:24	<b>Amanda</b> 9718:12,24	9704:20 9719:6,9	ascertain 9730:20
9741:24 9746:7 9754:12 9763:10	afloop 9757:7	9719:21 9720:8	9732:12 9772:17	asked 9656:23 9661:1
	afraid 9665:10 9719:20	9726:8,11	<b>applied</b> 9704:23	9684:25 9685:15
accepted 9685:9 9686:5	9733:23	AMCU 9735:6,8,9,10	apply 9651:14	9688:20 9704:4
9688:3 9704:22	African 9719:23	9740:16 9742:14	applying 9653:1	9705:1 9706:16
9736:8,16 9756:22	9720:23	amending 9669:19	appointing 9747:23	9711:21 9724:20
accepting 9665:12	Africans 9703:21	ammunisie 9650:2	appreciate 9762:10	asking 9655:12 9660:
9715:13	<b>Afrikaans</b> 9646:17	ammunition 9643:16	9771:9 9773:3	9661:3,13 9665:14
access 9675:23 9676:14	9672:19 9757:9,18	9645:4 9649:24	approach 9690:5	9678:12 9686:15
9676:18,21 9721:3,22	9768:18	9651:16 9652:2,9	9692:24 9754:10	9687:2,5,14 9691:6
accessing 9685:20	<b>afskrikmiddel</b> 9659:21	9653:8 9654:1,7,13	appropriate 9702:18	9699:14 9703:10
9686:8	afstand 9663:17	9655:15 9657:6,12,15	9758:11	9719:11,12 9727:9
accords 9675:19	<b>afternoon</b> 9701:22	9658:10 9658:10	approved 9645:6	9730:1
accurately 9660:23	<b>afvuur</b> 9650:2	amounts 9686:25	9647:20	<b>asook</b> 9666:1
achieve 9665:19,21	aggregately 9742:3	9707:11	<b>approximately</b> 9726:19	aspect 9682:13 9704:2
acquire 9713:22	aggressiewe 9665:3	amplify 9656:7	<b>April</b> 9657:19	9742:25 9744:21,23
act 9690:8,11 9698:24	ago 9731:21	analise 9772:21	arbeidsgeskille	9754:2
9702:11 9709:12,16	0		9742:21	
9709:18 9743:6	<b>agree</b> 9649:21,21 9653:24 9658:13,23	analysis 9710:3 ander 9657:21 9666:2	arbeidsverskille	<b>aspects</b> 9751:7 9768:9 <b>aspek</b> 9752:15
acted 9743:15,21,23			9742:19	
acting 9744:1,1,2	9658:23,25 9660:4,17	9668:16,17 9672:14		aspekte 9697:12
action 9645:6 9701:16	9660:18 9663:15	9692:11 9695:1	arbeidsverteenwoor	9714:25 9748:23
9704:21	9664:18 9667:24	9699:10 9723:7	9740:16	9769:21 9770:11,11
actions 9701:21	9669:7 9670:4,17	9731:8 9733:16	<b>arch</b> 9681:21	assess 9767:1
9704:11 9750:21	9673:9 9678:16	9734:8 9740:18	<b>area</b> 9663:13 9664:20	assist 9705:2 9720:2
9752:5 9767:1	9681:4 9685:18	9746:2 9757:4 9763:2	9666:17 9667:5,6	9726:3 9769:9,12
activities 9742:8	9686:6 9700:12	9763:3	9669:9,10,13 9670:25	assistance 9694:6
ARCHIVE EO	9701:2,15 9752:3	andere 9649:2,22	9674:9 9675:24	9717:16 9743:13,14

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

9750:19 9756:5	9750:18 9758:22	<b>beoog</b> 9671:18	<b>Bishop</b> 9712:12	<b>busy</b> 9656:22 9701:5,5
9768:23	9762:1	beplan 9666:22	<b>biskop</b> 9712:16	9740:2,2
assisting 9662:9		beplanning 9669:24	<b>bitter</b> 9766:4	bygehou 9722:16
9769:17	B	<b>besig</b> 9679:11	bladsy 9644:24,24,25	byvoorbeeld 9772:8
<b>assume</b> 9660:16	<b>B</b> 9644:25 9645:3	<b>beskerm</b> 9668:8	9755:19 9756:18	by-product 9770:9
9671:12 9680:15	9652:15,17 9770:6	9746:16	9772:11	J I
9685:6,10 9686:3	back 9648:13 9660:18	<b>beskermde</b> 9669:12	<b>blame</b> 9744:2	C
9716:3 9751:17	9664:3 9667:23	beskerming 9656:11	<b>block</b> 9707:6	C 9652:16,16
assumed 9727:5	9672:5 9682:20	9746:2,13,14	blocked 9676:12	cake 9656:14
assuming 9674:19	9710:1 9712:10	<b>beskikbaar</b> 9693:23	<b>bo</b> 9644:24 9756:10	Calitz 9668:18 9694:2
9753:25	9715:25 9741:24	9722:24 9725:8	<b>bodies</b> 9660:12	9695:4,7 9696:13
,	9749:21 9757:15	9733:15 9734:18	body 9705:23 9749:1	9722:2 9763:18
assumption 9680:21				
9685:12,12 9756:8	background 9665:15	besonderhede 9763:22	<b>boog</b> 9679:21,23	9764:7,17
assumptions 9680:18	9665:18	besprekings 9711:2	9682:8	call 9654:20 9686:25
assurance 9698:1,3	<b>bad</b> 9688:19	9769:20	<b>BOOI</b> 9759:1	9716:11,16 9727:25
<b>assure</b> 9754:13	baie 9665:6,7 9668:11	<b>best</b> 9730:22	<b>book</b> 9722:19 9734:12	9729:4 9742:15
attack 9658:16,19,25	9675:12 9773:6	<b>beste</b> 9693:23	<b>bore</b> 9709:14	9751:3 9760:19,22
9659:3,4 9660:8,20	<b>bail</b> 9708:8	bestemming 9679:18	Botes 9729:22 9731:8	9765:24
9665:14,20 9682:21	<b>balls</b> 9654:23	<b>bestuur</b> 9731:11	9731:13 9738:11	called 9646:19 9681:2
9682:24,24 9683:1	<b>barbed</b> 9658:12 9663:4	betrokke 9668:2,19	<b>bow</b> 9681:21	9681:23 9686:24
attacked 9747:4	9664:21 9666:8	9672:2,13 9673:4,21	<b>bo-op</b> 9644:17 9668:9	9689:22 9694:6
attacking 9659:9	9667:6 9674:7	9675:10 9677:7	<b>breach</b> 9665:14	9702:16 9713:12
9683:6,9 9685:21	base 9735:7 9742:10	9682:7 9684:1 9695:1	9681:20	9716:21 9732:9
9686:10	<b>based</b> 9746:24 9747:5,7	9715:11 9725:10	breaching 9671:9	9734:14 9736:25
attempt 9681:20	<b>basic</b> 9659:12 9660:18	9733:19 9740:19	9673:9,16	<b>calls</b> 9656:14 9660:19
attempting 9753:5	9660:19 9755:1	9751:14 9762:25	briefing 9695:3 9738:5	9704:19 9721:5
attend 9730:22 9739:4	Basically 9653:5	9763:4,23 9771:21	9739:2,13 9761:5	Call-up 9772:16
9750:11 9765:22,25	basies 9676:8 9677:7	betrokkenes 9717:13	9764:23,25 9765:2	camera 9725:16
attendance 9709:13	9679:15 9722:14	betrokkenheid 9733:9	9766:14,24	camp 9710:21 9711:18
9770:15	<b>basis</b> 9704:6,17	<b>better</b> 9661:15 9674:25	briefings 9695:10	camps 9762:2
attended 9739:1	9720:12 9730:7,15	9685:1 9763:15	9739:2,5 9747:25	cannons 9726:24
attendees 9738:10	9733:21 9740:3	9767:2	Brigadier 9668:18	<b>can't</b> 9700:23 9712:4
attention 9688:20	9754:5	bevelvoerder 9696:13	9695:4 9696:13	9721:20 9728:19
9689:24 9690:1,7,16	batch 9774:3	9696:20,22 9697:3	9708:7 9714:3 9722:2	captain 9755:9 9770:1
9694:17 9734:11	battle 9761:17	9763:1,2 9773:6	9730:5 9735:5	9770:19
attitude 9700:9	bear 9662:3 9690:14	bevelvoerders 9668:2	9763:17 9764:7,17	care 9659:8
attorneys 9690:7	<b>bedoel</b> 9682:10	9668:19 9763:1,3	bring 9688:20 9689:24	carefully 9665:12
August 9690:22 9699:5	9771:18	9771:20 9772:6,13	9725:22	carried 9664:1
0		· · · · · ·		
9711:15 9726:17	bedoeling 9666:25	<b>bevestig</b> 9655:7	bringer 9688:19	carry 9698:10 9729:11
9730:2,14 9735:4	9697:3	beweeg 9659:15,19	bringing 9689:20,25	case 9651:4 9652:17
9754:25	bedreiging 9668:17	9665:2 9668:11	9690:15 9694:16	9654:23,24,25
Augustus 9713:23	<b>beelde</b> 9725:21 9727:23	9670:10 9671:5	9734:11	9674:23 9680:25
authorisation 9726:24	<b>beg</b> 9702:9	9675:6,8 9676:9	broad 9747:9,16	9687:8 9707:11,14,1
9747:11	beginning 9693:2,7	9677:11,11,13,23	broadening 9713:9	9745:22 9748:8
authorities 9704:18	9711:20 9752:19	9723:4	broadly 9742:1	9770:6 9773:10
9707:12,19	9755:21	beweerde 9698:21	9754:15	cases 9706:4 9708:1
authority 9705:19	beginsels 9656:10	beweging 9659:7,17,18	brought 9713:9 9772:1	casualties 9755:15,25
9747:19	<b>beheer</b> 9666:18	9677:24 9679:6,17,19	buckshot 9645:5	catered 9758:14
automatic 9664:23	beide 9665:25,25	9679:21	9652:9	causal 9716:11
avail 9690:12	9666:3 9693:9 9695:8	bewus 9668:4 9672:12	buildings 9720:3	cause 9683:20 9732:20
available 9665:16				
	9715:4 9740:18	9673:22,24 9675:13	<b>buite</b> 9668:6 9671:18	9732:23 9742:14,16
9667:7 9690:11	9761:9	9698:13,14 9699:20	9672:1,2 9715:9,10	9742:21
9714:23 9728:13	belabour 9718:10	9740:15 9742:5	9715:12,18 9733:17	caused 9704:22
9737:4 9747:25	belangrik 9665:6	9750:23	9733:18	<b>CCTV</b> 9724:2,4,7
9772:2,3	<b>believe</b> 9658:9 9710:2,4	bewysstuk 9644:16,17	buitekant 9670:23	9725:10,13,16
avoid 9700:20	9710:4 9766:16	9691:24,25 9693:9	<b>bullets</b> 9644:12	9727:20 9730:10
avoided 9768:10	<b>believes</b> 9713:3	bewysstuknommer	9649:23 9653:21,25	9731:9
awaiting 9700:10	9752:11	9644:18	9654:7,23 9655:2,15	Cebekhulu 9760:8
aware 9672:6,7	belofte 9710:25	beyond 9654:24	9726:25	cells 9703:23
9698:18 9701:24	belong 9710:21	9657:11	<b>bundle</b> 9726:13	centre 9718:14 9719:2
9702:23 9712:13	belonging 9724:19	<b>bietjie</b> 9673:25	Burger 9718:24 9719:6	9720:23 9721:6
9702.25 9712.15			0	
9716:14 9719:3	belongs 9711:18	<b>bigger</b> 9678:20	9720:2 9731:19	certain 9707:1 9737:6
9730:2 9732:1	belowe 9711:9	<b>bills</b> 9768:21	9735:24 9736:6,11	9746:19,20 9751:7
9738:15,18 9739:9	<b>benader</b> 9672:13	<b>binne</b> 9715:9,12	9739:10,23	9767:14 9768:9,11
0740.771011	bonotit 0770.1 0771.12	<b>binne-in</b> 9715:18	<b>bus</b> 9689:10	certainly 9684:16
9740:6,7,7,10,11 9742:25 9746:7	<b>benefit</b> 9770:1 9771:13 9771:15	<b>birdshot</b> 9645:4 9652:9	business 9747:12	9700:7 9706:18

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

				Page 3
9735:21 9749:22	9739:1	9700:8 9702:6 9706:3	<b>contain</b> 9662:16	9748:16 9749:15
9752:3 9761:3 9764:6	clearly 9643:15 9660:7	9707:22,24,25	CONTD 9690:17	9751:8 9754:11,21
9764:16 9766:18	9758:8	9716:15 9726:24	9729:20	9757:10 9764:22
9769:3 9772:24	client 9739:12 9750:18	9734:22 9735:14	contemplated 9702:7	9774:10,13
9773:10	clients 9690:12 9703:12	9738:5 9742:9	<b>content</b> 9645:15	<b>court</b> 9706:10
<b>chair</b> 9648:5 9656:17	9704:12 9705:14	9749:20 9765:5,12,20	contents 9727:10	covered 9643:10
9657:10 9660:9,15,21	9706:4,8,20 9708:2	9766:6	9731:2	9709:7 9720:6
9662:3 9669:17	climbed 9747:21	Commissioners 9681:8	context 9655:15 9663:4	9746:20
9684:11 9686:3,17	closed 9675:22 9676:20	9705:2 9769:10	continue 9679:2 9681:5	cow 9679:1 9681:3
9688:4,17 9691:7	closely 9774:5	commissions 9690:10	9688:18 9773:17	criminal 9701:17
9692:21 9694:4	coded 9682:3	commitment 9717:15	continued 9678:21	9702:1,8,13 9703:9
9697:24 9698:4	Coin 9731:18,22	committed 9744:1	9758:23	9704:7,14,15,22
9701:24 9703:19	9732:2,17 9733:13,16	common 9683:20	continues 9657:4	9742:8,25
9720:2 9724:22	9735:20,23 9736:14	9732:20,23 9733:4	continuing 9759:16	crisis 9721:6
9726:4 9731:19	9737:5	commonly 9653:21	9760:13	critical 9662:17
9734:1 9736:19	coincidence 9741:14	9718:4,22 9719:2	contract 9736:15,25	9674:22
9738:24 9747:16	colleague 9694:8	comparative 9665:11	9737:5	criticise 9643:14
9750:6 9765:19	9702:5 9734:22	comparatively 9665:18	contracted 9728:2,13	9753:3
<b>Chairman</b> 9681:7	colleagues 9752:1	complainant 9706:9	contractor 9734:4	criticism 9704:6
9684:14	9773:12	complainants 9706:5	contradicting 9656:23	9751:15 9753:24
chambers 9750:11	collusion 9740:1	9708:2	contrary 9674:20	9754:2
chance 9770:17	9743:15,21,23 9744:3	complaint 9706:4	<b>control</b> 9767:2	criticisms 9700:6
changes 9755:15,25	<b>Colonel</b> 9730:22	<b>complex</b> 9720:3,3	controlled 9666:18,20	9751:19
chapter 9766:15	colour 9682:3	complied 9653:2	conversation 9660:22	cross 9674:15 9683:10
characterisation	colours 9681:9 9682:1	comply 9675:2	9660:25	9687:3 9688:15
9763:15	9682:4	complying 9674:24	<b>convinced</b> 9711:14	9744:14
charge 9696:11 9697:8	column 9755:13,25	component 9720:8	coordinator 9747:22	crossed 9683:15
9707:12 9709:11	<b>Combi</b> 9735:9,10	<b>computer</b> 9691:15	<b>copies</b> 9648:14 9690:19	crossing 9685:21
9716:5 9718:13	<b>combo</b> 9710:23	conceded 9747:4	9691:22 9726:7	9686:10
9730:6 9755:9	come 9664:3 9672:8,21	concentrated 9743:1	copy 9648:8 9662:21	cross-examination
charged 9703:1,14,24	9673:14 9689:10,15	<b>concept</b> 9646:6	cordiality 9745:18	9686:17,19 9690:17
charges 9703:15	9690:3 9712:3 9732:4	concerned 9688:25	<b>correct</b> 9647:19 9651:4	9729:20 9748:16
9704:18,22 9706:19	9732:19 9765:24	9690:7 9694:4 9758:3	9653:11 9663:5	9749:2 9750:16
9708:15,17,18,19,20	comes 9726:12	9761:14 9762:5	9670:6 9672:24	cross-examine 9687:23
9709:18	coming 9661:17	concerns 9730:17	9676:15 9685:14	cross-examiner
CHARL 9643:4	9683:16	9758:1	9691:1 9693:5	9750:15
9729:18 9750:13	<b>command</b> 9652:6	conclusion 9657:11	9694:19,24 9695:5,16	cross-examining
check 9730:1 9765:13	9675:3 9713:3,4	9683:2	9695:22 9696:18	9661:24 9688:15
9765:15 9766:7	9767:2	conduct 9704:7,8	9697:17,20 9701:9	<b>crowd</b> 9645:2,7,20
9770:18	<b>commander</b> 9695:15	conducted 9731:5	9712:21 9713:4,12	9646:10,14,23
checked 9709:9	9695:22 9696:1,11	confident 9710:15,16	9714:18 9716:17,24	9647:14 9649:9
chief 9661:19,24	9697:8,8 9741:4	<b>confirm</b> 9710:10	9717:6,19,25 9718:5	9651:23 9655:13
9710:7,12 9741:4	9755:9 9765:8	confirmed 9735:13	9720:15 9723:19	9675:17,19 9726:25
9747:23	commanders 9652:3	<b>confirms</b> 9727:12	9727:21 9728:2	9730:23 9753:2,6
<b>chopper</b> 9714:21	9763:11 9764:25	confusion 9709:23	9733:6 9734:5	9764:24
9731:18,18,20,22,23	9767:4,17	connection 9698:25	9737:19,25 9738:6,11	crucial 9666:12
9732:1,2,5,10,16	<b>comment</b> 9686:16	9732:17	9739:7 9741:5	9682:19 9683:17
9733:5,5,25 9734:3	9694:14 9700:5	consequences 9700:12	9742:16 9743:19,20	crudely 9743:10,12
9735:11,12,13,22,23	9745:10,11 9748:10	9701:20	9751:20 9752:13	<b>CS</b> 9650:7
9736:14,14,14,19	commission 9643:2,16	consider 9702:4	9753:7 9756:8	<b>CS-gas</b> 9649:3
9737:2 9741:5,16	9682:19 9686:15,22	consideration 9730:20	9757:12 9758:7	cumulative 9739:25
chopper/Coin 9741:5	9688:10,10,11,20	9760:15	9762:9 9768:24	current 9655:24
<b>chose</b> 9674:25 9716:16	9689:1,9,14,23	considered 9766:24	corrected 9738:21,25	9713:21 9749:7,8
circulated 9764:21	9690:5 9700:11	considering 9754:24	correction 9738:19	<b>curtail</b> 9774:11
circumstances 9645:8	9706:18 9729:15,15	9763:12 9764:17	correctly 9743:1	curved 9681:22
9652:11 9760:1	9729:16 9734:1	9771:11	9767:21	curving 9681:12,14
9762:11 9773:24	9750:8,8,19,20,22	consisted 9722:10	couldn't 9735:25	cutting 9693:15
citizens 9742:1	9754:17 9768:23	consistently 9754:16	9736:12	
claim 9685:19	9769:12,17 9771:25	9769:6	counsel 9673:1 9692:17	D
clarifies 9648:16	9772:17 9773:3	Consolidation 9647:17	9698:2 9699:23	<b>d</b> 9697:13
/ 31 1 1 22		constable 9707:6	9711:4 9749:14	daai 9668:10 9669:23
clarify 9709:22 9751.6	9//4:18			
clarify 9709:22 9751:6 clarify 9649:7 9752:7	9774:18 commissioned 9771:6	9771:1	9/65:6.14 9//0:/	90/1:1/90//91/14
clarity 9649:7 9752:7	commissioned 9771:6	9771:1 constitute 9673:15.16	9765:6,14 9770:7 counselling 9756:19	9671:17 9677:9,12,14 9677:23 9682:10
<b>clarity 96</b> 49:7 9752:7 9752:17 9760:18	commissioned 9771:6 Commissioner 9654:21	constitute 9673:15,16	counselling 9756:19	9677:23 9682:10
<b>clarity 96</b> 49:7 9752:7 9752:17 9760:18 <b>clause</b> 9757:1 9758:10	<b>commissioned</b> 9771:6 <b>Commissioner</b> 9654:21 9655:3,17 9656:3	<b>constitute</b> 9673:15,16 <b>Constitution</b> 9656:4	<b>counselling</b> 9756:19 9758:4	9677:23 9682:10 daarby 9725:7
<b>clarity 96</b> 49:7 9752:7 9752:17 9760:18	commissioned 9771:6 Commissioner 9654:21	constitute 9673:15,16	counselling 9756:19	9677:23 9682:10

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

#### Rustenburg

				Page
daargestel 9746:13	9762:18,20 9764:20	9727:11	9647:22 9649:5	9766:13 9772:15
daarna 9650:23 9677:6	9765:1,13,15,16,17	describe 9720:14	9650:7,7,15 9651:5	documentation
9718:8 9722:5 9723:1	9765:22,23,25	described 9707:3	9657:25 9659:5	9718:21
9733:8 9734:8	9766:11,17,23,25	9728:17 9740:12	9663:7,16 9665:7	documents 9645:12
<b>daarso</b> 9679:14	9767:3,11,15,17,25	9742:13 9759:18	9668:2,15 9669:4	9690:19 9691:22
<b>daarvan</b> 9668:4	9768:1,3 9769:8	9771:14	9674:12 9675:11	9693:3 9754:23
9750:24	9772:16,18 9773:14	description 9713:11	9676:2 9695:13,23	9759:2 9774:2,3,4,11
<b>dae</b> 9714:16	debriefings 9765:7	9739:8 9742:12	9696:20,21 9711:8	doen 9698:21 9717:8
dag 9731:8 9740:14	9766:7,9 9767:20	<b>despite</b> 9643:17	9712:25 9722:14	9734:19 9766:5
dalk 9759:23	deceased 9708:11	9703:12,22 9724:25	9725:20 9727:23	doesn't 9651:14
danger 9664:9,13	decided 9706:17,19	detail 9711:2 9748:22	9738:8,12 9743:18	9660:16 9687:22
Dangerous 9709:16	<b>decision</b> 9705:5,9,13,17	determination 9674:22	9752:22 9756:3	9690:1 9692:19
dankie 9644:22,23	<b>deck</b> 9756:23	determined 9653:12	9757:2 9762:17	9697:7 9716:2
9751:13	<b>deel</b> 9643:20 9644:5,15	9671:2 9683:7	9767:23 9768:6	9718:18 9728:8
<b>darker</b> 9681:12	9649:3 9664:25	determining 9747:24	disarm 9710:1,2	9732:15 9737:10
dat 9657:8 9659:6,17	9769:20	<b>deterred</b> 9701:21	9752:10	9740:11 9752:18
9666:16,22 9668:1,20	<b>defence</b> 9695:12	<b>detriment</b> 9743:15,23 <b>deur</b> 9644:23 9655:7	<b>disarming</b> 9716:1 9730:22	<b>doing</b> 9740:2 9774:10
9672:12 9673:20,23 9673:24 9675:13	9745:24,24 9746:10 <b>defences</b> 9709:15	9669:12 9677:12	disastrous 9700:11	<b>dokument</b> 9644:6,19
9677:4,13,15,22	defensief 9650:24	9679:21 9698:15,15	9701:20	9651:9 9692:10,11 <b>Donderbuise</b> 9649:3
9679:14,19 9682:10	defensiewe 9664:16	9722:16,22 9731:8	disciplinary 9699:6,18	don't 9643:13 9647:11
9685:24 9696:7	9666:1	9722:16,22 9751:8 9733:16,20 9752:17	9702:1,7,7 9703:10	9649:21 9652:19
9697:3 9698:13	defensive 9662:18	deurgetrek 9724:14	9702:1,7,7 9703:10 9704:8 9705:21	9649:21 9652:19
9710:25 9711:1	9663:3,3 9664:11	developments 9688:21	discourage 9665:14	9658:3,9 9659:8
9712:17 9713:6	9665:20 9667:22	deviated 9676:19	discouraging 9665:19	9661:12,21 9663:20
9724:15 9725:20	<b>deference</b> 9661:14	<b>Dicks</b> 9771:3	discovered 9770:4	9666:12 9667:7,14
9727:16 9734:7	defined 9669:9,18	<b>didn't</b> 9645:25 9672:18	discuss 9749:24 9750:2	9674:20 9679:9
9741:7 9742:21	9670:5 9671:1	9685:9 9693:15	discussed 9658:12,16	9680:2,4 9681:1,13
9746:12,15 9756:12	9678:19	9721:8	9725:18 9731:2	9684:16,21 9686:12
9759:8,14 9761:21	defines 9670:3	diens 9756:3,16	9736:7 9774:6	9687:9 9691:10
9763:4,18 9764:14,14	<b>definisie</b> 9651:11,11	dienste 9756:12	discussing 9674:22	9692:3,5 9694:7
date 9755:2	definisies 9651:7	dieselfde 9699:11	9683:18	9696:16 9698:7
datum 9698:14	definitely 9709:11	difference 9737:15	discussion 9687:21	9699:23 9702:14
9763:22	9716:8	9760:22	9715:21	9703:3,4,15 9704:10
day 9672:7 9680:20	definition 9643:13,23	different 9682:4	discussions 9716:7	9704:12,25 9707:10
9691:1 9693:1 9712:7	9646:8 9648:19	9718:25 9757:24	9730:18,19,21,24,25	9707:12 9709:14
9727:1,6 9738:16	9650:14 9653:7	9758:10 9773:12	9731:4 9768:11	9710:18 9712:1,9
9749:11 9758:12	9709:6	differently 9712:3,4	9769:18 9773:15	9718:9 9719:17
days 9714:18	definitions 9643:17	9719:5	dispersal 9716:1	9720:18 9725:3
<b>De</b> 9733:13	<b>definitive</b> 9661:4	differs 9645:14	disperse 9645:7	9729:3 9731:1,20
deal 9687:24 9690:8	<b>dek</b> 9669:14	difficult 9662:12	9726:25 9727:6	9732:3,22 9733:23
9693:4 9741:20	demarcated 9669:9	9729:3	9752:8	9734:2 9735:12
9747:1 9751:4	9670:24	difficulties 9768:9	dispersing 9671:22	9738:22 9739:10,23
9763:20	demilitarisation	difficulty 9704:25	<b>dispute</b> 9674:4,5	9741:19 9749:19
dealing 9648:17	9713:11	9752:4,6	9684:14,17 9721:21	9751:23 9757:8
9750:22 9751:2	demonstrate 9758:24	<b>dik</b> 9668:7	9742:1,11,13 9743:25	9770:16,18
dealt 9704:24 9731:16	demonstration 9646:25	ding 9668:15,16,17	9743:25 9744:5	doomed 9735:24
9742:14 9748:7	demonstrations	dink 9697:13 9711:8	disputed 9674:15	double 9770:18
9749:1 9751:8,25	9647:16	9712:17,19 9717:11	9676:11 9684:11,19	draad 9663:18 9666:1
deaths 9666:9,12	demonstrators 9663:10	9721:25 9722:1,3	9685:9	9666:23 9668:6,9,10
9695:11 9743:15	9760:13	9724:13 9731:10	disputing 9672:20	9668:14 9670:9
death-causing 9716:12	departmental 9701:17	9733:17 9746:15	9677:18	9676:25
<b>debate</b> 9643:11	9701:25	9754:7 9757:4,5,17	distance 9663:10	draadkar 9668:20,21
9684:21 9709:10	departure 9674:6	9763:17 9768:15	9669:1	draadkarre 9666:25
9720:9 9739:11	depending 9713:2	direct 9687:24,25	distinct 9744:4	9668:3
9768:12 9771:10	<b>depicted</b> 9659:11	9747:3 9752:24	distinction 9653:25	<b>draai</b> 9677:14
debating 9652:22	9669:2	directed 9692:17	9654:12,12 9655:14	draft 9643:25 9646:4,5
9658:9	depicts 9675:18	9721:13	9666:13 9760:18	9646:6,14 9647:9,18
dobmoting 0754.1515	<b>deploy</b> 9667:8,15	direction 9675:17,18	9761:10,11	9652:23,25 9653:4
<b>debriefing</b> 9754:15,15	9747:19	directions 9672:15,25	distributed 9774:12	drafted 9667:20
9754:18,19,21 9755:1			<b>dive</b> 9751:5	<b>draw</b> 9741:14
9754:18,19,21 9755:1 9756:8,15,16,19,25	deployed 9701:22	directly 9687:8 9721:5		
9754:18,19,21 9755:1 9756:8,15,16,19,25 9757:6,18,22,24,25	<b>deployed</b> 9701:22 <b>deployment</b> 9674:7	Director 9708:3	<b>document</b> 9647:5	drawn 9653:25
9754:18,19,21 9755:1 9756:8,15,16,19,25 9757:6,18,22,24,25 9758:2,11,13,19	<b>deployed</b> 9701:22 <b>deployment</b> 9674:7 9727:25	<b>Director</b> 9708:3 <b>direk</b> 9675:8	<b>document</b> 9647:5 9648:17 9662:5	<b>drawn</b> 9653:25 <b>draws</b> 9760:18
9754:18,19,21 9755:1 9756:8,15,16,19,25 9757:6,18,22,24,25 9758:2,11,13,19 9759:11 9760:11,19	<b>deployed</b> 9701:22 <b>deployment</b> 9674:7 9727:25 <b>deployments</b> 9748:9	Director 9708:3 direk 9675:8 direkte 9677:24	<b>document</b> 9647:5 9648:17 9662:5 9694:6,8,9,10,11	drawn 9653:25 draws 9760:18 dreigement 9668:16
9754:18,19,21 9755:1 9756:8,15,16,19,25 9757:6,18,22,24,25 9758:2,11,13,19	<b>deployed</b> 9701:22 <b>deployment</b> 9674:7 9727:25	<b>Director</b> 9708:3 <b>direk</b> 9675:8	<b>document</b> 9647:5 9648:17 9662:5	<b>drawn</b> 9653:25 <b>draws</b> 9760:18

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

				Pag
9762:17	9771:23,25	9769:13	9734:25 9751:11	feel 9689:12 9690:14
drive 9691:9 9694:2	eleventh 9738:9	establishment 9717:23	9755:12 9759:3,4,5	feite 9769:21
9764:23	elkeen 9748:20,24	estimation 9715:7	9765:3 9769:4	<b>fell</b> 9683:22
dropped 9736:8	emotional 9758:2	evading 9680:8	9770:15	fence 9676:12 9678:2,3
drove 9735:9	emphasise 9687:14	evening 9722:20	exhibits 9648:15	ferry 9737:6
druk 9668:5	employ 9665:20	9759:19 9774:5,10,13	9691:5,7	<b>fetch</b> 9656:17 9679:1
duidelike 9654:15	employee 9718:13	event 9667:17 9709:7	existence 9692:23	<b>FFF1</b> 9662:13,15
duisend 9753:16	9721:2 9734:14	9738:24 9762:4	existence 9092.23 existent 9768:18	<b>FFF25</b> 9735:1
dust 9702:17	9721.2 9734.14 9756:13	9765:17 9770:4.6	exists 9657:14	FHA2 9728:1
duties 9756:7		,	exit 9692:23	<b>field</b> 9655:20 9696:11
	employer 9743:13	events 9694:20,21,23		
duty 9755:15,25 9760:2	employment 9664:21	9695:11,11 9698:19	expanded 9649:14	9762:2
dyslexic 9714:1	empty 9714:15	9739:3 9754:19	expansion 9645:16	<b>fifth</b> 9729:21 9766:20
	encircle 9752:10	9755:2 9759:17	expected 9704:9	<b>fights</b> 9661:22
E	enclosed 9663:13	9765:17 9768:20	expedite 9774:5	file 9656:17 9755:7,20
earlier 9646:4 9669:15	9664:20	eventually 9730:13	experience 9719:25	<b>files</b> 9755:7
9669:18 9682:23	<b>enclosure</b> 9670:3	everybody 9675:1	9764:1	final 9681:23 9694:10
9714:10 9724:23	endangered 9667:21	9771:6	experienced 9655:1	find 9649:14 9662:8,9
9766:14 9767:25	ended 9693:14 9697:17	evidence 9649:18	expert 9712:22	9662:11,21 9685:12
9771:15 9772:25	enforced 9653:1	9653:13 9669:15,18	explain 9745:19	9696:2 9704:7
earshot 9730:19 9731:5	enforcing 9707:8	9674:4,5,14,20,23	explained 9682:18	9705:20 9733:25
easier 9670:16	English 9646:17,18	9676:10,12,22 9684:5	9727:14 9736:8,13	9736:1,12
economic 9742:12,15	9757:23	9685:6 9686:3,7,7	<b>explaining</b> 9726:17	<b>fine</b> 9645:4 9652:18
eed 9643:3 9688:12	enhance 9769:14	9690:2,3,10 9691:1	expressed 9760:16	9658:7 9661:20
9729:17 9750:11	enige 9666:24 9668:18	9693:1 9695:25	expresses 9752:6	9705:4 9707:21
	-		-	
<b>een</b> 9657:21 9666:2	9668:19 9769:21	9696:6,16 9701:25	expression 9653:15	9719:1 9721:19
9668:9,15 9697:12	enigste 9671:4 9752:15	9702:11 9704:5,7	9728:19 9752:18	9734:20
9724:14 9727:17	enkele 9673:21 9770:22	9707:11 9711:20,25	9761:18	<b>finger</b> 9664:3
9731:10 9748:12	enkelvoud 9726:2	9712:2 9732:19	extent 9768:22 9771:23	<b>finish</b> 9728:24 9729:2
<b>eenhede</b> 9713:15	9727:17	9734:10 9738:20	external 9700:10	9744:17 9745:16
<b>eenheid</b> 9765:10	<b>enquiries</b> 9691:14	9748:5 9750:4 9766:8	<b>extreme</b> 9645:7	finished 9657:9 9729:0
eenvoudig 9677:10	9692:17 9707:25	evidence-in-chief		9745:14
9679:22 9682:10	9708:3	9710:17 9728:17	F	fire-arms 9643:24
eers 9668:12 9679:19	ensovoorts 9665:9	evince 9754:14	face 9760:13	9644:1 9645:3
9723:5 9761:23	9772:23	exact 9656:23 9728:19	facie 9701:25 9704:5,7	9649:19,22 9651:8
eerste 9650:1 9659:7	entails 9759:15	exactly 9667:11 9674:1	9707:11,15	9652:2,8
9659:18 9762:24	enter 9681:6	9675:23 9696:23	facilities 9719:23	<b>firing</b> 9643:16
effect 9674:8 9688:22	entirely 9773:12	9697:20 9706:1	9720:24 9723:17	firm 9710:22
9689:8.16 9716:11.12	entitled 9746:25	9717:14 9739:8	facility 9723:16	first 9644:1 9649:19
9740:1 9758:2	9764:23 9766:14,16	exaggerating 9718:21	<b>facing</b> 9660:1 9762:11	9663:2 9674:7,7
effecting 9751:18	entrance 9666:18,19	examination 9657:4	fact 9683:21 9687:21	9680:9 9681:20
9753:18,19	9678:24 9679:3	9674:16 9687:4	9688:24 9702:16	9712:7 9721:14
effective 9714:22	entries 9733:24 9735:3	9688:16 9744:15	9703:13 9704:12,14	9745:9 9747:17
effectively 9652:16	9735:4	9749:16	9704:21 9717:24	9754:12,20 9762:5
9689:5 9714:21	entry 9735:11	examination-in-chief	9728:16 9742:7,11	9766:17,21 9767:10
9760:20	envisaged 9765:15	9751:9,16 9768:10	9752:6 9754:1 9760:4	9773:20
EHW 9755:9 9756:11	9766:9	examining 9653:4	9762:1 9767:20	firstly 9712:13 9747:1
eie 9675:11 9677:7	en/of 9756:14	example 9692:3,4	factor 9770:5	fisiese 9715:11
9722:24 9723:2,4	equally 9654:8	9701:18 9716:22	factors 9740:2	fit 9764:18
9746:2	equipment 9724:19	9733:24 9734:4	facts 9736:8 9769:24	<b>five</b> 9729:10
eiendom 9656:11	9730:10	9748:6 9758:23	factual 9720:12	flawed 9753:25
eight 9673:17	ervaar 9744:11	9760:5 9773:1	fail 9662:16 9664:8	fledged 9769:7
eighth 9734:13	ervaring 9764:14	examples 9652:16	<b>failure</b> 9701:16	floor 9693:15
einde 9677:25 9682:8		9718:12 9740:23		flows 9704:14
	escape 9658:3		<b>fair</b> 9700:22 9771:12	
eindproduk 9693:9	escaped 9713:11	9744:3	<b>fairness</b> 9749:1	focus 9754:20
either 9656:5 9685:8	escorted 9737:16	exceptional 9652:11	<b>fall</b> 9643:17	<b>fokus</b> 9679:7
9686:5 9699:17	especially 9763:10	exchange 9757:10	<b>Fanagalo</b> 9734:19	<b>folder</b> 9694:9
9714:11 9716:4	essential 9768:1	excluding 9745:5,6	far 9646:13 9650:19	follow 9694:17 9739:1
9738:20 9752:8	essentially 9645:15	executives 9737:17	9694:3 9712:2 9729:5	9739:23
Ekskuus 9685:23	9649:15,16	exercise 9667:20	fase 9656:12	followed 9664:10
elaborate 9655:11	establish 9653:5 9662:2	exhibit 9645:22,23,23	faset 9657:8	9716:6
	9692:22 9710:5	9646:1,1 9647:25,25	fasiliteit 9722:23	following 9645:1
elapse 9762:5		9648:13,16 9692:3,5	9723:4,4,6,7,8,13	9649:8,8 9651:17,22
elapse 9762:5	established 9653:23			
element 9768:1	established 9653:23 9658:14 9667:4 6 12	9692.7 25 9693.16 17	fasiliteite 9718·3	9652.14 9658.13
element 9768:1 9769:25 9770:6	9658:14 9667:4,6,12	9692:7,25 9693:16,17 9693:18 9711:12	fasiliteite 9718:3 fault 9713:25	9652:14 9658:13 9664:10 9674:8
element 9768:1 9769:25 9770:6 9772:18	9658:14 9667:4,6,12 9667:16 9722:8,9	9693:18 9711:12	fault 9713:25	9664:10 9674:8
element 9768:1 9769:25 9770:6	9658:14 9667:4,6,12			

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

Rustenburg

		<b></b>		Pag
follow-up 9724:24	gainfully 9653:3	gemeenregtelike	9744:12	9721:1
footprint 9748:3	9661:10	9656:10	<b>gevat</b> 9675:14	going 9647:5,12
forbidden 9654:7,8	gap 9658:15 9659:2	gemeld 9733:15	gevolg 9650:22,24	9656:17 9662:12,13
force 9655:16 9657:5	9660:1 9666:10	gemerk 9644:23	9659:17 9742:18	9663:12 9664:2,3
9659:24 9665:13	9667:15 9669:19	gemobiliseer 9697:11	gevolge 9665:7 9742:22	9672:23 9673:13
9702:8 9713:10,10,12	9671:14 9675:20,22	gemoniteer 9724:15	gevolglik 9667:2	9675:24 9678:23
9713:16	gaping 9677:12	Generaal 9657:15	gevra 9658:21	9679:1,1 9680:1
forceful 9645:8	garner 9764:6	9696:7 9699:11	gewees 9657:21	9682:22 9683:6,9,11
foremost 9754:20	Gary 9751:7,10,16	9712:18 9713:19	9666:24 9668:21	9683:12,16 9685:15
foresight 9667:19,21	9752:4	9715:4,10 9717:12	9672:3 9679:18	9685:19,21 9687:23
forget 9701:12 9742:23	gas 9652:4	9722:2,2,3 9740:15	9693:10 9712:19	9689:16,22 9696:2
Forgive 9662:20	gathered 9689:6	9741:10 9763:17	9715:11,19 9722:4	9700:6 9705:2
form 9661:6 9740:3	gathering 9709:14	general 9643:7 9646:22	9731:10 9748:21,22	9712:11 9726:6
formation 9660:4	gatherings 9645:21	9655:9 9656:22	9770:22	9731:5 9740:8,22
formed 9658:15,16,17	9646:24 9647:15	9657:4 9665:10	geweld 9657:8	9744:20,24 9746:25
9658:25 9659:1,11,12	9709:12	9667:3 9668:24	gewelddadig 9665:25	9747:15 9749:11,14
9660:1,23,24 9669:8	Gcilitshana 9738:21,25	9671:6 9672:4 9674:2	gewerk 9728:22	9750:15 9759:12
9695:10 9728:17	geantwoord 9744:9	9674:22 9675:16	gewys 9693:12	9762:14 9763:12
forthrightly 9700:5	gearresteer 9699:11,12	9677:17 9678:8	geïdentifiseer 9769:22	9770:5 9773:12
fortunately 9689:21	gearresteerde 9698:22	9681:2,2 9682:14	<b>GGG1</b> 9711:12	good 9643:6,8 9714:11
forward 9655:2 9728:4	gebeur 9711:1 9761:23	9688:18 9690:18	GGG19 9729:24,25	9766:25 9769:14
9728:9,18 9759:12	gebeure 9725:9	9692:8 9694:19	GGG21 9644:16,17,21	gou 9759:22 9761:21
9762:14 9763:12	gebeurtenis 9759:23	9695:3,16,20 9697:5	<b>GGG22</b> 9648:5,7,13,16	graag 9714:25
9773:11	gebied 9669:12 9672:2	9697:21 9704:23	9651:7	Graham 9716:23
foto 9676:7	9677:24 9746:12	9706:11 9707:21	GGG23 9726:8,10	9721:3
fotos 9675:5	<b>gebly</b> 9676:3	9709:20 9712:6	<b>GGG24</b> 9755:12	granate 9650:6
foto's 9693:12,22,22,23	<b>gebruik</b> 9644:9 9650:7	9714:6,15,18 9715:6	<b>GGG25</b> 9765:3	grenades 9648:25
found 9643:23 9704:5	9655:6 9657:22	9730:21 9731:25	GGG3 9769:4 9772:15	9649:22 9650:5
9734:22	9673:3,21,22 9675:12	9733:24 9735:12,14	GGG5 9715:21	groep 9659:18 9679:13
fourthly 9727:19	9676:3 9733:16	9747:6,10 9748:5,18	<b>GG3</b> 9770:15	9682:7
frame 9719:5	9768:15 9772:7	9748:25 9749:9	gidsrelings 9668:13	groepie 9659:6 9676:6
framework 9771:12	gedeelte 9685:25	9750:18 9754:10	gist 9731:6	9677:2,5,8
free 9671:23	gedoen 9659:7 9756:17	9764:7,17 9765:12	gister 9741:7	grond 9771:20
friend 9681:10 9720:9	9772:21	9766:15 9774:8	give 9646:12 9648:13	gronde 9718:2
9731:21 9736:7	<b>gedraai</b> 9675:9	generalise 9674:2	9661:4,14 9689:17	groot 9753:15 9772:12
9739:11	gee 9651:12 9696:8,21	generally 9654:7	9690:3 9695:21	groterige 9684:3
front 9658:15 9659:1	9697:4 9717:10	9720:10	9696:2 9697:25	ground 9660:12
9670:13 9671:7,10,21	geel 9669:4 9677:21	Generals 9694:24	9698:2 9705:1	9760:12 9761:7
9672:9,9,16 9673:8	9679:16,20	George 9734:14,17	9708:10 9732:19	9768:2 9772:1
9675:2 9678:18	geen 9675:7 9676:25	gepantserde 9659:17	9747:15 9761:4	group 9689:13 9705:6
9755:16 9766:19	9712:16	geparafraseer 9768:15	9767:3	9706:1 9711:14
fulfilling 9768:21	<b>gegaan</b> 9731:13	geplaas 9765:11	given 9650:9,12,18,19	9735:7,8 9760:13
full 9648:15 9714:14	<b>gegee</b> 9722:3	gepraat 9772:9	9651:2 9665:17	9762:3,11
9726:15 9754:19	<b>Gegeleza</b> 9738:20	gesamentlik 9666:3	9687:1 9689:10	gun 9664:22,22
9771:14	<b>gegewe</b> 9766:4	gesetel 9718:2	9695:3 9696:11	9665:22
fully 9769:7	gegroepeer 9659:6	geskrewe 9764:15	9700:24 9701:18	
full-time 9730:7	gehad 9663:17 9665:7	geskuif 9723:6	9708:8 9710:19	Н
function 9707:16	9711:2 9712:17	Gespesialiseerde	9730:20 9774:3	haak 9668:11,14
9767:15,20	9717:8 9722:15	9715:2	gives 9758:3	haelgeweer 9644:9,9
functioned 9767:13	9727:23 9740:15	gesprek 9731:13	giving 9690:2,10	half 9708:18,22
funksies 9715:1	9748:21	gesprekke 9725:11	9725:24	9714:14,14 9728:25
<b>further</b> 9653:12 9669:6	gehoor 9650:11	gestel 9722:24 9725:8	glass 9714:14	9729:1,2,6 9749:5
9686:7 9687:22	gehou 9757:6 9763:23	9734:18	gloss 9654:20	9753:15
9710:18 9749:3	gekies 9693:23	gestig 9722:4,4	go 9651:19 9657:3	hampered 9689:1
<b>future</b> 9667:23 9770:2	gekom 9673:23 9682:8	gesê 9657:23 9669:24	9662:13 9666:8	HANABE 9663:23
9770:3	9731:12 9733:14	9671:17 9710:25	9672:8 9677:19	hand 9719:20 9720:18
	gekonsentreer 9677:9	9724:11 9727:17	9678:12 9689:7	9733:23 9734:2
G	gekontrakteerde	9733:14,17 9734:8	9709:8 9710:1	handed 9691:4 9726:6
gaan 9651:6 9668:12	9728:21	9746:15	9712:10 9727:4	handpicking 9747:22
9669:25 9673:23	<b>gekrap</b> 9644:17	getal 9753:15	9740:8 9752:9	hands 9702:15,21
/ 31   1/36.	gekry 9722:15 9744:10	getitel 9645:19	goal 9665:19	hands 9702:15,21 handskoene 9668:7
9675:14 9676:4		8		
9677:12 9679:15	gekyk 9717:13 geleentheid 9650:23	getuienis 9674:12	<b>goed</b> 9649:6	handwritten 9756:6
0711.1 0749.00		9696:4	goedgekeur 9644:4	hang 9668:14
9711:1 9748:20		activia 0666-16 0660-10	anoin 06(5.7	handing 0700 17 10 01
9772:22	gelees 9651:8 9767:23	getuig 9666:16 9668:19	<b>goeie</b> 9665:7	hanging 9708:17,18,21
		<b>getuig</b> 9666:16 9668:19 9734:7 9748:23 <b>geval</b> 9696:20 9699:11	<b>goeie</b> 9665:7 <b>goes</b> 9654:24 9670:13 9681:23 9712:2,2	hanging 9708:17,18,21 9708:22 9709:19 happen 9762:3 9770:6

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

······································			1 5	
				Pag
happened 9657:12	highest 9714:22	ignite 9757:19	9756:7 9760:1,9	interested 9648:15
9664:9,10 9674:19	hindsight 9667:18	ignoreer 9679:7 9682:7	individueel 9773:6	9673:13 9703:19
9683:8 9700:17	9757:5 9769:25	ignoring 9748:1	ineffective 9645:9	internal 9700:10
9704:2 9708:6 9721:1	9770:1,2,4	<b>Illegal</b> 9709:12	inform 9750:6 9759:12	interpret 9712:3,4
				<b>1</b>
9722:19 9723:24	<b>Hm</b> 9652:24	illustreer 9693:24	9761:6 9762:14	interpretasie 9675:5,1
9730:12 9770:1,7	hoe 9666:22 9722:25	imagine 9692:16	informal 9678:3	9677:8 9711:1,8
happening 9689:3,5,12	9733:8,20 9744:10	9699:21 9765:23	informants 9747:2	interpretation 9757:9
9725:17	hoekom 9668:2,23	imaging 9771:9	informasie 9725:22	interpreted 9663:21,22
happenings 9742:11	9675:6,8	impartially 9743:6	information 9687:1	interpreter 9734:14
happens 9674:15	hold 9730:19 9739:13	9744:1,2	9694:20 9699:22	interpreters 9766:18
happy 9760:3,23	9743:20 9759:1	imperative 9743:6	9700:1 9717:5,17	interrupt 9654:19
hard 9691:9 9694:2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9692:15 9734:21
	holding 9660:5 9728:4	implementation	9721:18 9727:3,12	
9764:23	9728:9	9662:18	9747:3 9764:6	interruption 9686:2
hash 9647:6,9,17	hom 9712:17,18,19	implementing 9701:15	9767:17	intervention 9705:22
hasn't 9647:20	9713:14 9759:24	importance 9683:17	<b>ingaan</b> 9748:22	9708:6 9712:9,13
hate 9688:19	9767:8	9771:24	ingang 9666:18	interventions 9766:25
haven't 9691:12	home 9725:3 9752:9	important 9658:11	ingehandig 9691:25	introduction 9754:11
headed 9647:5 9755:8	honestly 9658:8	9663:10,14 9682:17	inherently 9753:3	investigate 9702:15
heading 9646:12	Hoofsaaklik 9694:25	9682:18 9730:16	<b>inhibeer</b> 9768:16	9703:17 9704:21
			initiated 9702:10,24	
9767:10 9772:15	hoor 9728:3	9734:2 9750:10	,	investigated 9704:1,5
headline 9751:3	hope 9684:15 9764:16	9761:6 9766:23,24	initiative 9702:12	investigates 9703:8
headquarters 9720:4	9766:18 9774:8	impression 9744:4	<b>injured</b> 9655:1	investigation 9731:24
heads 9708:17,21	hoped 9728:24	<b>improper</b> 9705:22	injuries 9743:16	investigations 9702:24
9709:19	hopefully 9678:25	9757:23	<b>injury</b> 9729:4	9706:5 9707:10
health 9756:13,22,25	9688:17 9754:13	improvement 9767:2	<b>input</b> 9767:4	9708:2
9758:13	hopes 9690:2 9715:20	inappropriate 9748:9	inquiry 9685:13	investigatory 9703:7
hear 9721:7 9727:7	horseshoe 9669:8	inasmuch 9770:2	9772:17	invitation 9736:16
heard 9688:24 9729:23	9670:5 9671:13	incident 9698:25	<b>inside</b> 9724:9	9740:9
hearing 9694:16	9681:12,14	9699:15 9701:18	<b>insident</b> 9773:7	<b>invite</b> 9749:20
hearsay 9661:5	hospital 9714:20	9703:25 9704:1	insidente 9766:4	involved 9699:17
9746:24 9747:5	hours 9673:18 9747:20	9758:1	insist 9760:11	9717:3,18,22 9747:1
heel 9657:8	hul 9722:24	incidentally 9758:14	insluit 9665:8 9672:13	9747:16 9761:14
heeltemal 9666:17	hulle 9659:7,7,15,17,19	incidents 9699:7	9746:3	9769:25
9675:5 9724:12	9668:23 9670:22	9720:5 9754:25	<b>insluitend</b> 9673:3,3	<b>involvement</b> 9739:9
heightened 9689:10	9673:24 9675:6,8,13	inclined 9653:17	insofar 9689:23	9740:11
held 9738:16 9747:3	9676:2,3,4,7 9677:4	9762:1	9699:22 9713:9	<b>IPID</b> 9698:15 9702:10
helicopter 9696:18	9677:22,22,24	include 9649:21,22	9747:17	9702:10,14,15,16,21
9735:20 9736:24	9679:15 9697:11	9671:10 9672:16	installed 9716:23	9702:24,24 9703:7,1
9737:3,4	9722:4,24 9723:2,2	included 9693:18	instructed 9721:3	9703:13,23 9704:4,4
help 9671:7 9690:21	9723:12 9725:21	9728:1 9730:4	9726:22	9704:21 9705:19,20
9691:11 9692:16	9744:8 9756:17	includes 9643:24	instruction 9644:19	9705:22 9707:3,5
9712:1 9763:24	9762:25 9772:6	9649:23 9653:7,7	9646:9,14 9652:23,25	9755:7,18
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
helpful 9694:13 9770:2	9773:7	9746:4	9653:4 9654:21	<b>IPID's</b> 9704:11
HEMRAJ 9655:17	hulleself 9668:7	including 9645:4	9655:3 9656:2,2	irrelevant 9678:11
9678:2,7 9696:10	hulpbronne 9665:8,8	9652:9 9672:25	9697:6	<b>isiXhosa</b> 9663:21
9697:5 9706:3	9666:2	9673:5 9708:12,17	instructions 9646:20	9751:1
9707:22,25 9765:5	Human 9750:19	9716:22	9646:21,23 9647:6,6	isn't 9694:19 9704:3
herhaal 9685:24	hundreds 9666:11,11	incorrectly 9742:13	9652:6 9726:20	9714:10 9728:11
hey 9695:16	hy 9668:20 9696:14	increased 9719:25	instructors 9765:22,24	issue 9655:3 9658:7,11
he'd 9714:11	9716:25 9733:14,15	9720:25		,
			instruksies 9645:19	9658:12 9661:25
<b>he'll</b> 9774:9	9733:17 9752:15,16	increasing 9730:3	intelligent 9655:22	9667:17 9674:21
<b>he's</b> 9654:9 9686:23	9753:9 9772:8,9	independent 9705:23	intend 9751:24	9676:15,15 9682:16
9687:21 9696:17		<b>indicate</b> 9728:24	intended 9705:23	9682:22 9692:24
9720:15 9722:11	I	9756:6	9726:4 9746:20	9697:6 9731:16
9725:16,19 9739:13	identifiable 9689:13	indicated 9711:13	9753:11 9754:4	9743:2 9751:24
9740:2,2 9761:25	identification 9717:4	9738:4 9745:12,22	intent 9751:18 9752:12	9754:14 9761:5
9762:1	identified 9709:17	9746:23 9755:6	intention 9696:25	9773:13,14
1104.1				,
	9758:21 9769:15,24	9772:25 9773:1	9752:5	issued 9647:17 9652:6
hibriede 9713:6		indicates 9647:9	inter 9704:23 9746:10	9654:21 9723:24
<b>hibriede</b> 9713:6 <b>hier</b> 9644:17 9748:23	identifikasie 9717:13			
<b>hibriede</b> 9713:6 <b>hier</b> 9644:17 9748:23	identifikasie 9717:13 identify 9762:12	indicia 9739:11,17,21	9748:1	9725:1
<b>hibriede</b> 9713:6 <b>hier</b> 9644:17 9748:23			9748:1 interacting 9734:15	
hibriede 9713:6 hier 9644:17 9748:23 hierdie 9651:10 9668:6 9668:9,14 9670:21	identify 9762:12 9768:21 9769:9	indicia 9739:11,17,21 indictment 9704:13	interacting 9734:15	issues 9674:23 9687:1
hibriede 9713:6 hier 9644:17 9748:23 hierdie 9651:10 9668:6 9668:9,14 9670:21 9674:12 9675:10	identify 9762:12 9768:21 9769:9 identifying 9758:22	indicia 9739:11,17,21 indictment 9704:13 indirect 9688:22	interacting 9734:15 interactions 9703:22	<b>issues</b> 9674:23 9687:1, 9706:17 9716:9
hibriede 9713:6 hier 9644:17 9748:23 hierdie 9651:10 9668:6 9668:9,14 9670:21 9674:12 9675:10 9682:7	identify 9762:12 9768:21 9769:9 identifying 9758:22 iemand 9746:15	indicia 9739:11,17,21 indictment 9704:13 indirect 9688:22 9689:8	interacting 9734:15 interactions 9703:22 interaksie 9712:16,19	<b>issues</b> 9674:23 9687:1, 9706:17 9716:9 9742:12,15,15
hibriede 9713:6 hier 9644:17 9748:23 hierdie 9651:10 9668:6 9668:9,14 9670:21 9674:12 9675:10 9682:7 high 9689:7 9733:3	identify 9762:12 9768:21 9769:9 identifying 9758:22 iemand 9746:15 9770:23	indicia 9739:11,17,21 indictment 9704:13 indirect 9688:22 9689:8 individual 9709:17	<b>interacting</b> 9734:15 <b>interactions</b> 9703:22 <b>interaksie</b> 9712:16,19 9740:15	<b>issues</b> 9674:23 9687:11 9706:17 9716:9 9742:12,15,15 9746:19 9754:12,16
hibriede 9713:6 hier 9644:17 9748:23 hierdie 9651:10 9668:6 9668:9,14 9670:21 9674:12 9675:10	identify 9762:12 9768:21 9769:9 identifying 9758:22 iemand 9746:15	indicia 9739:11,17,21 indictment 9704:13 indirect 9688:22 9689:8	interacting 9734:15 interactions 9703:22 interaksie 9712:16,19	<b>issues</b> 9674:23 9687:15 9706:17 9716:9 9742:12,15,15

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

				Page 8
it's 9643:25 9644:21	9693:22 9699:3	junior 9768:2,13	knowing 9671:12	kruispad 9679:14
9645:15 9646:6,19	9700:22 9701:9,13	9771:11,25	9695:2 9760:12,12	<b>kry</b> 9644:6
9647:9 9648:15	9705:24 9706:24	jurisdiction 9703:12	knowledge 9687:24	kundige 9712:25
9649:14,16 9652:5,18	9711:4 9715:17	<b>Justice</b> 9708:14	9733:5 9747:8	9713:1 V 1: 0727 10
9658:13 9662:12,22	9719:19 9722:14	justification 9703:19 9706:12	known 9717:23 9718:4	Kwadi 9737:19 kwessie 9711:8
9667:18,19 9682:18 9685:9 9686:5,18	9723:9,15 9724:10 9727:9 9728:4	justified 9706:12	Kolonel 9668:18 9772:8	kwessle 9711.8 kyk 9657:7 9676:5,7
9689:15 9691:10	9730:13 9734:10	justifies 9704:8	<b>kom</b> 9668:23 9677:9	9677:1,1,2,6 9679:15
9694:8,11,15,17,19	9735:22 9736:23	justifying 9683:1	9717:1 9722:5	9684:1 9756:10
9698:3,5,9 9699:22	9737:2,7,10 9741:2	9701:25	kombinasie 9665:8	9759:23,24
9699:23 9701:7,10	9741:18 9752:15		kommentaar 9744:7	·
9702:17 9704:4,12	9753:9 9757:4 9759:8	K	Kommissaris 9655:8	L
9706:22 9707:12,16	9763:22 9764:12	kameras 9724:15	9697:10,14 9723:5	L 9691:24 9692:3,5,7
9708:10 9709:9,9,10	9766:3	kampe 9761:22	9765:9 9766:2,10	9692:25 9693:9,16,17
9709:11 9713:21	jaar 9766:5	kan 9644:6,6,15 9645:1	Kommissie 9674:13	9693:18
9718:20 9719:12	jammer 9654:4	9649:3 9651:6	Komponenthoof 9715:1	laaste 9655:6 9656:12
9725:15 9726:7,13 9728:23 9729:3	9723:11,11 jare 9665:6	9654:15 9668:2,13,19 9668:20 9672:13	<b>kon</b> 9668:23 9673:22	9656:12 9657:8 9670:23 9671:18
9735:3,19,21,22,22	<b>Ja-no</b> 9734:20	9673:20 9679:5.7	9676:9 9693:24	9723:10 9752:15
9735:23 9738:23	<b>Jele</b> 9694:5 9749:10	9684:1 9699:2	9723:1 9724:15	labelling 9737:10
9739:21 9740:24	9750:14,16,25	9725:21 9733:8	9734:18	labour 9741:25
9741:1,1 9743:19,20	9751:15,23 9752:18	9741:7 9744:7	konsep 9644:4 9645:19	9742:15 9743:2
9745:18 9749:23	9752:23 9753:10,17	9746:14 9748:22	9651:9	lack 9674:24 9752:7,17
9750:1,5 9755:6	9753:23 9754:9	9753:22 9763:23	konteks 9644:6	laid 9710:13
9757:24 9769:23	9755:5,11,13,20,24	9770:23	9657:18 9679:16,25	lank 9772:6
9773:16	9756:4,21 9757:8	kans 9744:10	9697:14	lap 9688:15
<b>I'd</b> 9700:5 9746:20	9758:8,18 9759:3,10	kapelane 9756:14	kontinuum 9657:7	laptop 9691:15
9767:10	9759:16,25 9760:17	keep 9661:13 9731:20	<b>koppie</b> 9671:25 9672:8	large 9730:4 9753:1,5
<b>I'll</b> 9661:20 9695:25 9696:2 9705:7 9706:2	9761:3 9762:10 9763:7,20,25 9764:5	keer 9650:1 9668:12 kept 9703:23	9672:12 9673:13,20 9676:3 9679:14	9767:20 largest 9748:3
9706:14,24 9709:21	9764:10,16 9765:4	Kept 9705.25 Khumalo 9755:9	9689:7 9701:19	late 9738:20 9750:10
9718:10 9725:23	9766:13,22 9767:9,24	killed 9715:7,14	9709:24 9726:19	latest 9759:19
9726:14 9733:25	9768:8,17 9769:3	9745:24 9746:10	9730:23 9735:7,9	launched 9660:20
9740:7 9741:22	9770:14 9771:8,22	killings 9689:11	9737:17,24 9752:9	law 9704:2 9707:8
9745:9,9 9747:9	9772:24 9773:10,21	9741:15	9762:12	<b>lay</b> 9711:14
9755:15 9768:17	9774:1,6	kind 9645:16 9690:15	korrek 9643:20	layperson 9761:25
<b>I'm</b> 9689:20 9690:11	<b>JOC</b> 9672:5 9694:22,23	9700:3 9741:23	9644:15 9647:2,22	lead 9645:4,5
9691:6 9692:15,18	9696:17 9706:13	9754:14 9757:24	9648:10 9650:8	leader 9714:23
9693:3 9699:14,24,25	9714:22 9715:3,5,7,9	9758:5 9760:20	9663:7 9669:4	leaders 9750:4
9701:13,14 9702:17	9715:9,15,18,18,19	9770:9 <b>kinds</b> 9689:20	9673:11 9695:13,17	leading 9678:20 9698:5
9703:19 9706:1,20 9708:25 9709:7	9715:24 9716:1,5 9717:24,25 9718:2,5	klius 9689:20 klein 9659:6 9677:9	9695:24 9696:20 9697:19 9712:25	9698:9 9706:6 learned 9681:10 9694:8
9711:18 9716:6	9718:7,8,14,15,16,23	9679:14	9716:19 9717:21	9700:16 9720:9
9718:19,22 9719:8,19	9718:25,25 9719:2,2	klink 9677:3	9723:21 9724:10,13	9731:21 9736:7
9727:6,9,11 9728:1	9719:10,10,12,15,15	knew 9673:14	9725:19 9727:23	9739:11
9730:1 9731:5 9732:6	9719:22 9720:6,9,11	knoppie 9668:5	9737:20 9738:2,8,12	learning 9769:14
9732:23 9733:23	9720:11,22 9721:21	know 9645:16 9647:11	9743:8 9751:22	learnings 9701:16
9738:14 9740:22	9722:4,4,5,7,8,10,10	9650:19,19 9653:3,12	9752:22 9755:4	<b>leave</b> 9658:7,8 9666:10
9741:14,20,20	9722:10,24,25 9723:2	9653:16 9655:9,21	9756:3 9757:2,5	9670:2 9674:9,17
9744:17 9746:25,25	9723:8,12,14 9724:3	9661:9 9667:7,14	9758:17 9762:8,17	9706:14 9738:22
9747:15 9749:6,7	9724:5,6,9,14,20	9675:24 9681:13	9767:8,23 9768:6,15	9752:9 Jacourg 0742:24
9750:9 9757:11	9725:9 9730:6,7,9,11	9683:3 9684:16	korreksie 9724:2	leaves 9742:24
9759:1 9760:3 9773:3 9773:12	9730:14 9731:4 9741:4,5	9685:9 9686:23 9688:1 9691:10	korrekte 9679:24 kortpad 9676:4	led 9687:15,20,21 9705:9
<b>I've</b> 9688:19,23	<b>JOCOM</b> 9690:21	9692:3,5,19 9694:7	kortste 9677:8,15	lede 9659:20 9664:25
9696:15 9707:1	9691:1 9738:10	9695:3 9699:4,15	9679:18,24 9682:9,11	9668:6 9669:22
9709:9 9710:2	<b>JOCS</b> 9719:9	9700:8 9701:18	kraal 9660:3 9670:13	9756:12,17 9762:25
9738:21 9745:12	Johannesburg 9771:3	9705:12 9707:9	9671:8,11,21 9672:10	9771:20 9772:6
	joint 9718:14 9727:20	9709:13 9710:18,19	9672:16 9673:8	9773:6
	9727:25 9738:4	9716:8,8,13 9717:17	9675:2,21,25 9676:21	leek 9761:21
ja 9644:15 9647:13	9739:5	9719:17 9725:3	9677:9 9678:18,23,24	lees 9644:6 9756:15
9650:7 9653:19	jointly 9721:21	9727:5 9729:3,3	9679:24 9681:4,23	9767:8
9656:9,24 9657:19	judgment 9686:25	9734:10 9735:12	9682:9,11	left 9683:12 9686:9
9661:8,11 9662:11	judicial 9772:16	9738:22 9741:14	kriminaliteit 9742:20	9714:22 9715:24
9678:10 9679:5,22 9680:22,25 9684:23	juis 9663:16 9676:2,2 July 9654:22 9714:2	9743:5,9 9760:19 9765:21 9770:16	kring 9676:7 kruis 9679:23	9716:3 9733:25 9735:6,7 9741:21
9080:22,23 9084:23	July 9034.22 9714.2	2103.21 2110.10	<b>M UIS</b> 7017.25	7155.0,1 7141.21

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Rustenburg

				Page
legal 9692:24 9693:5	9744:19	9772:20	9714:6 9741:25	meeting 9691:1,12,20
9748:8	Lonmin's 9718:14	maatreël 9666:1	mark 9658:24 9748:8	9693:1,2 9697:17
legislation 9655:19	9721:5 9737:5	maatreëls 9643:20	marked 9678:25	9715:24 9738:10
lei 9677:6	Lonmin-branded	9644:5 9664:16	9755:7,25	9769:6
lemmetjiesdraad	9735:8	maatskaplike 9756:14	Masinye 9771:4	meetings 9738:16
9668:8	look 9675:16 9678:12	machinery 9690:10	massacre 9748:3	9739:5,9 9740:12
length 9751:8	9690:1 9692:3	madness 9754:14	material 9661:6	<b>mekaar</b> 9676:24
lengthy 9773:15	9711:11 9715:21	<b>Magidiwana</b> 9674:6,24	9686:25 9706:13	9677:18
lessenaar 9722:14	9718:9 9732:4	9675:19 9682:19	9727:20 9728:11	meld 9714:25
let's 9660:18 9665:13	9734:25 9736:4	9683:2,13 9685:7	mathematics 9714:10	member 9699:5 9755:9
9666:10 9671:6	9752:1,23 9757:1	9686:4,7	Mathunjwa 9709:24	9761:14
9672:23 9678:23	9764:10 9765:5	Magidiwana's 9684:5	9710:8,13,22 9711:13	members 9657:5
9685:3 9709:10	9773:11 9774:5	mags 9659:21	9710:8,13,22 9711:13	9702:8,13 9703:6,9
9718:22 9723:9,23	looked 9702:12	magsvertoon 9665:1,2	Mathunjwa's 9674:6	9705:18 9719:14
	9772:15		9712:9	
9738:18,22 9742:23		9665:5 9666:3,23		9720:14 9730:18
let's 9678:24	looking 9662:6,7	magte 9665:2	matter 9654:12	9733:2,4 9735:9
level 9755:1 9764:18	9667:23 9690:1	Mahlanga 9646:16	9660:16 9676:19	9761:7 9767:3,13,18
9771:14,15 9772:1	9692:18 9724:6	MAHLANGU 9646:18	9678:18 9686:13	9768:12 9771:11
levels 9762:19,20,22,23	9735:18 9736:12	9646:21 9657:1	9700:7 9704:20	9773:1
9767:16,18,19,25	looks 9660:14 9769:4,5	9724:9 9725:13	9728:9 9732:8	memory 9676:13
<b>lewe</b> 9746:16	9770:14 9774:14	9757:14,19 9762:8,21	9737:11 9749:24	mense 9671:17 9673:23
lewens 9746:2	loon 9742:5	main 9751:19 9770:8	9750:1 9751:6	menslike 9665:8
<b>lewer</b> 9744:7	loonverskil 9742:5	maintain 9663:10	9754:21	mentioned 9714:10
liaison 9716:23 9730:8	loosely 9736:25	maintenance 9756:20	matters 9655:13	9727:18 9737:18
lid 9746:16	losgehaak 9668:12	majoor 9648:9 9657:16	9703:16 9750:10,21	mere 9717:24
lieutenants 9771:6	losstaande 9722:25	9713:20	9774:5	merk 9657:8 9669:3
Lieutenant-Colonel	lost 9712:8,11 9739:13	major 9720:5 9747:23	<b>Mbombo</b> 9712:18	9670:14 9677:4,13
9693:7	lot 9662:14 9712:7	9750:17 9754:9	9715:5,6,10 9722:2,3	9679:13 9752:21
Lieutenant-General	lower 9767:18	9766:14 9774:7	9733:25 9735:14	Merwe 9718:13
9715:22	lug 9715:4 9741:9,11	major-general 9696:16	Mbombo's 9715:22	9719:21 9720:8,19
life 9651:15 9664:9,13	Luitenant-Generaal	9714:11 9743:22	mean 9654:9 9655:18	9726:8,11 9727:11
9667:21	9715:5	9750:18 9751:2,9,17	9655:24 9667:7,8	met 9644:9 9651:6,8
liggame 9684:2	Luitenant-Kolonel	9751:20,23 9752:3,13	9718:20 9726:23	9666:17 9668:7,23
light 9656:1 9686:11	9772:9	9752:20 9753:13,17	9746:7 9757:24	9676:6 9677:2
9702:22 9711:22,24	lunch 9689:17 9726:7	9754:24 9755:16,23	meaning 9645:15	9679:17 9684:1
9719:5 9759:16	9729:1	9755:24 9756:4,23	9649:15 9652:23	9698:21 9712:17
likes 9748:4	lying 9660:12	9758:6,8,25 9759:10	9653:4	9717:9 9723:2,5,7
	• 0			
<b>Limited</b> 9666:19	<b>lyk</b> 9684:2	9759:13,20,25	<b>meaningful</b> 9660:24	9728:21 9731:13
line 9658:14,17,25	<b>lyn</b> 9659:7,18 9669:4	9762:15 9763:7,25	means 9653:15 9654:6	9740:15,18 9748:12
9659:10,12 9660:1,4	9669:23,23,23 9670:1	9765:19 9767:6,21	9658:23 9663:4,11,12	9772:8,10 9773:6,8
9660:19,19,22	9670:21 9671:5	9768:9,25 9769:3	9663:14 9665:17	meter 9659:16 9663:17
9664:22 9665:22	<b>lê</b> 9684:2	9771:22 9772:14,25	9667:17 9693:13	9669:4,22,23,24
9669:2,5,6 9670:6,6	L-formaat 9669:16	9773:16 9774:4	9759:14	9670:8,9
9670:18 9671:2,9,10	L191 9668:25	making 9654:9 9660:17	meant 9665:14 9683:4	method 9663:14
9671:23 9673:9,16		9672:21 9706:1	9726:5,5	9665:13
9675:18 9676:18	M	9728:11,12 9758:5	<b>measure</b> 9657:15	methods 9645:8
9678:22 9681:3,21	maak 9677:15	Makukule 9760:7	9666:6,9	9663:11
9687:23 9742:8	Maandag 9722:22	manage 9716:24	measures 9643:13,18	metre 9669:1,6,19
9766:21	maar 9644:17,19	management 9645:2,20	9643:24 9644:2,13	9670:6 9671:14
linies 9659:16	9650:24 9655:6	9646:10,14,24	9645:7 9646:8	metres 9665:16 9667:6
links 9756:10	9657:17 9665:7,9,25	9647:15 9649:9	9649:20 9650:13,18	9667:16 9676:13,19
list 9689:14 9708:11	9666:22 9667:1	9651:23 9655:13	9653:6,22 9662:19	9678:19
9760:6	9668:3,13,17,21	9717:15 9730:15	9663:3,3 9664:11,14	middle 9755:14
listen 9665:12 9719:20	9670:21 9673:22	9731:3 9738:17	9664:21 9666:6,14	mildly 9709:23
listening 9681:9	9676:2 9679:19	9764:25	9667:22 9699:7	mimicked 9664:1
live 9643:16 9649:23	9695:1,7 9696:13,14	managing 9718:14	9700:20 9701:11	min 9677:3 9679:21
9653:25 9655:15	9696:20 9697:3,14	manifestation 9727:19	media 9745:25 9746:3	9741:8
	9090.20 9097.3,14 9710:25 9715:19	9729:21	9746:4,8,10,14,16	mind 9648:12 9690:14
		manifestations 9721:20	medical 9727:25	mine 9719:24 9720:25
9658:9	0/18.7) 0/777.22	mannestations 9721.20		9730:4
9658:9 located 9717:25	9718:2 9722:3,3	manifested 0716.01		
9658:9 located 9717:25 log 9721:4,6 9726:22	9723:1 9724:2	manifested 9716:21	mediese 9728:21	
9658:9 located 9717:25 log 9721:4,6 9726:22 logies 9682:10	9723:1 9724:2 9727:16 9728:5	9744:3	<b>mee</b> 9763:24	miners 9758:23
9658:9 located 9717:25 log 9721:4,6 9726:22 logies 9682:10 long 9667:14 9684:6	9723:1 9724:2 9727:16 9728:5 9733:18 9734:17	9744:3 <b>margin</b> 9764:24	<b>mee</b> 9763:24 <b>meer</b> 9676:8 9677:3	<b>miners</b> 9758:23 <b>minimum</b> 9707:4
9658:9 located 9717:25 log 9721:4,6 9726:22 logies 9682:10 long 9667:14 9684:6 9729:4 9744:23	9723:1 9724:2 9727:16 9728:5 9733:18 9734:17 9741:11 9744:9	9744:3 <b>margin</b> 9764:24 <b>Marikana</b> 9650:4	<b>mee</b> 9763:24 <b>meer</b> 9676:8 9677:3 9679:21 9741:9	<b>miners</b> 9758:23 <b>minimum</b> 9707:4 <b>Minister</b> 9708:14
9658:9 located 9717:25 log 9721:4,6 9726:22 logies 9682:10 long 9667:14 9684:6 9729:4 9744:23 9746:21 9765:1	9723:1 9724:2 9727:16 9728:5 9733:18 9734:17 9741:11 9744:9 9748:22 9752:16	9744:3 <b>margin</b> 9764:24 <b>Marikana</b> 9650:4 9663:4 9688:21	<b>mee</b> 9763:24 <b>meer</b> 9676:8 9677:3 9679:21 9741:9 9757:18	miners 9758:23 minimum 9707:4 Minister 9708:14 minste 9763:4
9658:9 located 9717:25 log 9721:4,6 9726:22 logies 9682:10 long 9667:14 9684:6 9729:4 9744:23	9723:1 9724:2 9727:16 9728:5 9733:18 9734:17 9741:11 9744:9	9744:3 <b>margin</b> 9764:24 <b>Marikana</b> 9650:4	<b>mee</b> 9763:24 <b>meer</b> 9676:8 9677:3 9679:21 9741:9	<b>miners</b> 9758:23 <b>minimum</b> 9707:4 <b>Minister</b> 9708:14

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Rustenburg

				Page 1
9690:21 9705:11	9699:11 9704:24	9754:22 9760:14	9677:12	occurrence 9722:19
9729:10 9741:21,21	9715:4 9717:12	negating 9748:1	normally 9765:7	9734:12 9735:1
9773:21	9722:2 9735:12	negotiation 9662:15	North 9771:4	9761:13,17
miraculously 9747:21	9740:15 9741:11	9664:8	<b>northern</b> 9670:4	offence 9708:9 9709:6
mis 9712:18 9721:25	9748:5 9763:17	negotiator 9747:24	notably 9747:22	9709:14
<b>miskien</b> 9670:8	9764:7,17	neither 9654:8 9747:19	notes 9754:13 9758:19	offences 9703:9
mistaken 9710:22	<b>Mpofu's</b> 9724:18	Nellie 9648:20,22	9764:8,19	9704:15 9708:8
9728:1	murder 9703:2 9707:4	net 9645:1 9651:6	Notice 9647:17	offensief 9644:15
mistakes 9700:19,21	9707:7,10 9708:10,15	9654:15 9655:7	Notionally 9656:16	offensiewe 9643:20
9758:22 9762:13	9708:18,23	9667:2 9668:3 9676:8	<b>nou</b> 9657:24 9666:16	9644:5
9769:15	murders 9707:15	9677:23 9679:7,22	9668:13 9677:14	offensive 9643:13,18,24
misunderstand		9682:10 9684:1,2	9679:7 9715:17	9644:2,13 9645:7
9724:21	<u> </u>	9699:2 9723:2,3,10	9724:14 9725:11	9646:8 9648:18
misunderstood	naam 9713:16 9734:17	9727:17 9733:8	9741:10 9744:12	9649:20 9650:13,18
9696:15	nabetragting 9666:16	9740:14 9748:20,20	9748:23 9756:16	9653:6,22 9658:10
mnr 9679:18 9731:8,13	9757:3,4,6,6 9763:18	9753:9 9755:18	9772:13	offered 9734:3
9733:13 9757:19	9771:18 9772:20	9759:23 9769:21	noudat 9754:7	offering 9750:20
9762:8	nabye 9715:19	9772:8	Ntsebeza 9702:5	officer 9739:4
<b>mob</b> 9726:21 9727:4,6	nagskof 9731:9	Netcare 9728:2,12	NUM 9738:15,17,23	officers 9730:3,5
mobieliteit 9671:4	Naidoo 9694:24 9695:3	9734:4	9739:14 9740:16	9761:6 9768:2,2
<b>mobilised</b> 9697:7	9695:7 20715	neutrale 9746:12	9742:14	9771:3,6,25 9772:1
Modiba 9772:8 9773:1	name 9707:5	<b>never</b> 9730:24	<b>number</b> 9645:22,23	offices 9708:3
9773:11	<b>narratiewe</b> 9691:24	nevertheless 9709:19	9647:12 9648:3	offisiere 9695:1 9723:6
<b>moeilik</b> 9766:4	9693:9,11	9747:20	9664:11 9706:17	9770:22,23
moes 9741:8	<b>narrative</b> 9691:21	<b>new</b> 9643:11 9694:9	9730:3,4 9737:25	<b>oh</b> 9645:24 9663:22
moet 9651:8,11 9668:7	9692:2,4,5,6 9693:3	<b>news</b> 9688:19	9740:25 9751:3	9665:4 9684:15 9709:15 9735:2
9668:10,10,10,12 9669:25 9679:5	9694:11 narratives 9693:6	newspaper 9688:23 Ngalwana 9684:15	9752:9 9753:11,12,12 9753:20 9754:23	9709.13 9733.2 9741:21
9685:24,25 9697:4	9694:7	Ngaiwana 9084:13 Nhlomo 9771:4	9760:1,6,7,8	okay 9644:11 9651:13
9759:14,23 9761:23	nasionale 9645:19	Niemand 9669:25	<b>numbered</b> 9752:16	9654:5,17 9657:3,9
Mokubela 9668:18	9655:7 9697:14	nie-gewelddadig	numbering 9753:2,6	9658:6,7 9660:15,17
moment 9698:11	9723:5	9666:3,4	numbering 9755.2,0 numbers 9748:7	9661:20,25 9668:24
9699:25 9702:9	national 9644:19	night 9722:9	9753:2,6,9	9670:2,11 9672:4
9099.25 9702.9 9773:17	9646:9,14,19,21,23	niks 9657:23	Nyala 9659:19 9668:5	9678:1 9680:1
Monday 9759:18	9647:5,6 9654:21	nine 9692:25	9669:9,13 9670:8,23	9681:17 9686:1
9760:2,10	9655:3 9656:3 9697:6	ninth 9737:22	9671:18 9675:7,22	9687:6 9690:25
monitering 9724:2	9700:8 9702:6	NIU 9747:19 9772:8	9676:9,9,20 9677:6,7	9694:18 9696:5,9
9725:9	9708:13 9716:14	Nkaneng 9673:13	9677:11.12.13	9699:4 9701:9,12
monitor 9724:15	9738:5 9742:9	9675:14 9683:6,11,16	Nyalas 9668:1 9669:2	9705:4 9706:21
monitoring 9724:4	9749:20	9685:19,21 9686:9	9669:12 9670:22	9707:21 9713:21,25
months 9703:24	naturally 9769:7	<b>noem</b> 9717:1	9671:4 9674:7	9718:9,19 9719:1,16
9731:21	nature 9755:15,25	nog 9643:3 9644:20	9675:21	9721:11,19 9723:9
moontlik 9759:22	9756:6 9768:20	9655:6 9659:6		9725:23 9726:3
9761:21 9763:5	natuurlike 9676:8	9668:21 9669:13	O	9731:14 9733:22
morning 9643:7,8	<b>near</b> 9716:4	9670:8,10,21 9671:16	<b>O</b> 9644:16	9735:15 9739:7
9673:7 9674:3 9689:8	necessarily 9692:16	9688:12 9723:1	<b>OB</b> 9733:24	9741:13,22 9742:23
9710:14 9739:2,14	9706:18 9741:22	9725:6,6,7 9729:17	object 9665:22	9745:8,8 9746:6
<b>mount</b> 9653:14	necessary 9691:14	9744:9 9750:11	objected 9731:21	9747:9
mounted 9658:17	9694:15 9699:23	Noki 9674:8,16,25	9735:25 9736:7	<b>Oktober</b> 9715:3
9659:1 9660:8	9700:2 9704:10	9708:12	objecting 9731:20	om 9651:9,12 9659:19
move 9653:18 9655:2	9729:11	Noki's 9675:3	<b>objection</b> 9702:2,3	9664:16 9665:2,2,3
9658:6,11 9661:25	nee 9644:4 9650:1	nommer 9644:20	<b>oblige</b> 9687:13	9666:24,25 9668:3,15
	9656:24 9657:18	9676:9 9677:6,7	obscured 9660:13	9669:25 9671:5,18
9662:9 9663:25				9673:22 9675:10,14
9671:24 9678:17	9670:8 9671:4	9691:25 9755:18	<b>obtain</b> 9699:22 9700:1	
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5	non 9662:17 9768:17	9764:19	9676:3 9677:9,10,11
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14 9665:20	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7 9736:3 9737:13	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24 9696:8 9713:6 9746:2	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14 9665:20 <b>non-violent/defensive</b>	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15 9689:16 9692:23	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10 9742:21 9744:10
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7 9736:3 9737:13 9738:18 9740:7	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24 9696:8 9713:6 9746:2 9748:20 9769:20	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14 9665:20 <b>non-violent/defensive</b> 9664:14	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15 9689:16 9692:23 9700:1,20 9717:18	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10 9742:21 9744:10 9746:15 9748:21,22
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7 9736:3 9737:13 9738:18 9740:7 moved 9671:24	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24 9696:8 9713:6 9746:2 9748:20 9769:20 9772:4 9773:5	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14 9665:20 <b>non-violent/defensive</b> 9664:14 <b>noodsaaklik</b> 9761:9	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15 9689:16 9692:23 9700:1,20 9717:18 9737:6 9740:10	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10 9742:21 9744:10 9746:15 9748:21,22 9757:5 9771:18
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7 9736:3 9737:13 9738:18 9740:7 <b>moved</b> 9671:24 9730:13,13	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24 9696:8 9713:6 9746:2 9748:20 9769:20 9772:4 9773:5 <b>need</b> 9653:13 9655:2	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14 9665:20 <b>non-violent/defensive</b> 9664:14 <b>noodsaaklik</b> 9761:9 <b>noodweer</b> 9656:11	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15 9689:16 9692:23 9700:1,20 9717:18 9737:6 9740:10 9768:8	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10 9742:21 9744:10 9746:15 9748:21,22 9757:5 9771:18 9772:7
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7 9736:3 9737:13 9738:18 9740:7 <b>moved</b> 9671:24 9730:13,13 <b>movie</b> 9693:14	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24 9696:8 9713:6 9746:2 9748:20 9769:20 9772:4 9773:5 <b>need</b> 9653:13 9655:2 9661:10 9687:14	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14 9665:20 <b>non-violent/defensive</b> 9664:14 <b>noodsaaklik</b> 9761:9 <b>noodweer</b> 9656:11 <b>noodwendig</b> 9651:11	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15 9689:16 9692:23 9700:1,20 9717:18 9737:6 9740:10 9768:8 <b>occasions</b> 9731:17	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10 9742:21 9744:10 9746:15 9748:21,22 9757:5 9771:18 9772:7 omgewing 9715:19
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7 9736:3 9737:13 9738:18 9740:7 <b>moved</b> 9671:24 9730:13,13 <b>movie</b> 9693:14 <b>moving</b> 9670:25	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24 9696:8 9713:6 9746:2 9748:20 9769:20 9772:4 9773:5 <b>need</b> 9653:13 9655:2 9661:10 9687:14 9702:21 9726:15	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14 9665:20 <b>non-violent/defensive</b> 9664:14 <b>noodsaaklik</b> 9761:9 <b>noodweer</b> 9656:11 <b>noodwendig</b> 9651:11 9696:14 9698:15	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15 9689:16 9692:23 9700:1,20 9717:18 9737:6 9740:10 9768:8 <b>occasions</b> 9731:17 9739:4	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10 9742:21 9744:10 9746:15 9748:21,22 9757:5 9771:18 9772:7 <b>omgewing</b> 9715:19 9725:10 9741:8
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7 9736:3 9737:13 9738:18 9740:7 <b>moved</b> 9671:24 9730:13,13 <b>movie</b> 9693:14 <b>moving</b> 9670:25 9682:13 9773:13,14	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24 9696:8 9713:6 9746:2 9748:20 9769:20 9772:4 9773:5 need 9653:13 9655:2 9661:10 9687:14 9702:21 9726:15 9750:6 9751:1,6	non 9662:17 9768:17 non-violent 9663:11,14 9665:20 non-violent/defensive 9664:14 noodsaaklik 9761:9 noodweer 9656:11 noodwendig 9651:11 9696:14 9698:15 nooit 9771:18	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15 9689:16 9692:23 9700:1,20 9717:18 9737:6 9740:10 9768:8 <b>occasions</b> 9731:17 9739:4 <b>occupied</b> 9720:4	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10 9742:21 9744:10 9746:15 9748:21,22 9757:5 9771:18 9772:7 omgewing 9715:19 9725:10 9741:8 ominous 9741:2
9671:24 9678:17 9682:25 9687:10,16 9691:16,18 9706:2,24 9709:20,21 9730:7 9736:3 9737:13 9738:18 9740:7 <b>moved</b> 9671:24 9730:13,13 <b>movie</b> 9693:14 <b>moving</b> 9670:25 9682:13 9773:13,14 <b>Mpembe</b> 9695:16,20	9670:8 9671:4 9677:21 9679:5 9690:23 9692:10 9693:9 9695:24 9696:8 9713:6 9746:2 9748:20 9769:20 9772:4 9773:5 <b>need</b> 9653:13 9655:2 9661:10 9687:14 9702:21 9726:15	<b>non</b> 9662:17 9768:17 <b>non-violent</b> 9663:11,14 9665:20 <b>non-violent/defensive</b> 9664:14 <b>noodsaaklik</b> 9761:9 <b>noodweer</b> 9656:11 <b>noodwendig</b> 9651:11 9696:14 9698:15	9764:19 <b>obviously</b> 9656:6 9657:11 9683:10,15 9689:16 9692:23 9700:1,20 9717:18 9737:6 9740:10 9768:8 <b>occasions</b> 9731:17 9739:4	9676:3 9677:9,10,11 9677:11,14,22 9679:12,22 9717:10 9742:21 9744:10 9746:15 9748:21,22 9757:5 9771:18 9772:7 <b>omgewing</b> 9715:19 9725:10 9741:8

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

#### Rustenburg

				Page 1
omkring 9676:6 9677:2	<b>ook</b> 9644:9 9649:3	opportunities 9748:1	parafraseer 9716:19	9706:10 9707:2,7,9
omsingel 9676:6	9650:7 9651:11	opportunity 9651:3	paragraaf 9644:25	9708:11 9709:25
omstandighede 9667:1	9665:1,9 9666:25	9656:6 9665:17	9651:7,8 9772:11	9710:3,21 9711:20
9759:24	9673:24 9691:25	9667:17 9687:8	paragraph 9648:21,21	9712:3 9714:21
once 9643:25 9674:24	9696:20 9699:10,12	9712:8,11 9745:17,19	9649:8 9651:16	9715:7,14 9718:22
9676:11 9687:13	9712:19 9717:11,11	9761:4 9764:18	9711:12 9715:23	9727:11 9728:12
9694:15 9706:9,24	9723:1 9724:15	9768:3 9774:9	9727:2,3 9730:1,17	9731:5 9732:18,19
				,
9719:24 9720:24	9733:15 9734:7	opposed 9747:8	9751:10,11 9752:2,3	9737:23,23 9738:23
9730:13 9731:1,25	9740:16,18 9746:13	9760:24	9752:7,11,19,23,25	9739:14 9741:3
9733:3	9761:21 9763:5	<b>opposite</b> 9667:19	9754:3 9759:4	9745:23,23,25 9746:9
onder 9643:3 9645:3	<b>OOP</b> 9713:1	Opsigself 9753:14	9766:18,19 9767:5,11	9747:8 9748:7
9649:2,22 9651:7	<b>oor</b> 9665:6 9711:2	oral 9764:3,5	9768:22	9751:19 9770:19
9663:6 9668:9	oordrag 9734:19	orange 9675:18	paragrawe 9751:14	<b>pepper</b> 9652:1,4
9688:12 9696:14	ooreenstem 9753:22	9676:18 9678:13	paraphrasing 9683:13	perform 9767:14
9697:13 9724:2	oorhoofse 9696:20	9681:3,11,14,15,22	pardon 9702:9	perimeter 9733:18
9725:21 9729:17	9697:3 9763:1	oranje 9677:25	part 9644:12 9653:22	period 9731:23 9762:5
9750:11 9770:24	oorplaas 9679:13	9679:22,23 9682:8	9663:2 9670:13	9773:15
onderoffisiere 9772:9	oorspronklike 9723:13	orde 9697:14 9713:7	9676:11,12 9678:18	permanent 9731:3,15
onderskeid 9654:16	oortuig 9666:22	9765:10 9766:4	9692:7 9694:1,9	permanente 9731:12
9657:24	<b>oos</b> 9675:10	order 9645:20 9646:23	9705:5,8,25 9706:11	permanently 9730:14
onderskeie 9772:6	oostelike 9670:10	9647:14 9649:13	9706:12 9720:3	permission 9653:23
ondersoeke 9717:9	op 9644:23 9651:7	9653:6,13,15 9654:20	9728:17 9730:16	9737:6
ondersoekers 9698:15	9659:7,18 9668:14	9655:19 9664:5,6,7	9769:16,17	persentasie 9772:12
ondersteuning 9659:20	9669:4 9670:15,21	9675:20 9704:2	<b>participants</b> 9662:18	persentasie 9772:12 persepsies 9744:8
ondersteuning 9659:20 onduidelikheid	,		participate 9689:19	
	9671:17 9673:20	9707:8 9754:14,22		person 9695:20
9651:10	9675:9 9676:2 9677:7	9756:24 9757:3,25	participating 9768:11	9714:22 9716:23
ones 9708:22,25	9677:10 9679:7,7,13	9758:9,15,20 9759:3	particular 9699:8	9730:8 9758:3
9743:12	9682:7 9684:1,2	9760:11,21 9765:14	9703:15 9709:18	9761:18 9762:5
one's 9767:1	9715:11 9717:9	9765:16 9766:9,12,25	9756:7 9761:16	persone 9670:15
ongelukkig 9763:24	9718:2 9721:25	9768:12 9769:11,12	9764:20	9672:12 9673:22
ongevalle 9756:3	9722:22 9724:13	ordinary 9707:2	particularly 9706:19	9683:25 9698:22,23
onhoorbaar 9740:19	9733:18 9734:18	organise 9726:15	9730:21 9761:13	9717:10 9723:12
onmiddellik 9761:23	9741:12 9748:20	outcome 9700:11	parties 9743:2,14,21,23	9731:12 9733:20
<b>ons</b> 9644:6 9657:8	9752:22 9755:18	outset 9751:6	9743:25 9764:22	9734:8 9744:7,8
9663:17 9666:16,22	9756:17,18 9765:10	overall 9695:15,21	partly 9661:5,5 9713:8	personeel 9728:7,21
9666:22 9668:4,5	9766:11 9771:20	9696:1 9741:4	partnership 9716:15	9756:12
9669:24 9673:20	opdrag 9696:14 9722:3	9747:22	9716:16,20,24 9717:4	personnel 9663:11
9676:5,7 9677:1,1,2,5	openbare 9697:14	overheard 9730:23	9717:19 9721:20	9760:12
9679:5,7,8,12,15,19	9713:7 9765:10	overly 9710:15	9727:19	persons 9698:24,24
9682:7 9711:2	9766:4	overnight 9739:3	party 9705:13,17	9704:1 9714:19
9715:17 9717:1	opening 9652:13	oversight 9763:13,13	9730:24	9726:19 9751:25
9722:14,15,15,16,25	9716:9	overstepped 9748:8	partykeer 9766:5	9752:5,9,12 9753:6
9723:3,3,3,3 9725:22	operasies 9715:2	owned 9681:3	part 910015 pass 9675:20	9753:11,18,20
9727:23 9728:21	9771:21	o'clock 9710:1 9711:16	pass 9675.20 passages 9662:14	persoon 9696:14
9734:18 9741:10	operasionele 9696:13	9722:9 9728:24	passages 9002.14 path 9671:10,21 9672:9	9723:11 9731:11,12
9746:12 9753:22	9696:21 9763:2	o'clock 9672:7	9672:9,16,25 9673:8	9734:17,18 9744:8
9746:12 9755:22 9756:10 9759:23	operateurs 9731:10	0 CIUCK 9012.1	9673:14,15,16	persuade 9710:2,17
9766:5 9769:22	9770:25	P	9674:21,21 9675:2	persuade 9710:2,17 pertinent 9644:25
				-
9772:22	operation 9652:3	paadjie 9671:17	9682:15,17 9683:4,17	9656:10 9675:13
onthou 9696:4 9741:7	9713:4 9714:23	9676:25	9683:18,20,21 9684:8	9693:21 9695:7
9770:23	9718:14 9728:13	paaie 9672:14	9685:8,14,18,20	9699:20 9725:10
ontken 9727:16	9731:17 9747:24	pad 9672:13 9673:4,21	9686:5,8,11	9740:19 9744:12
9748:24	9767:3,5,13	9673:22 9675:8,9,12	<b>PDF</b> 9694:11	9746:15,16 9756:18
ontlonting 9756:18	operational 9728:18	9675:14 9676:2,4,8	peaceful 9712:8,12	9770:24
9757:18,19,20,23	9760:22,23 9761:5,13	9677:5,6,7,11,16	<b>pellets</b> 9645:4,5	pertinente 9644:7,8
ontmoedig 9665:3	9762:12,18,20	9679:17,18,24 9682:9	pending 9704:13	9651:10 9668:16,17
9666:24	operations 9645:3	9682:11 9684:1,3	people 9655:1 9660:5	9668:22 9677:4
	9649:10 9651:24	page 9662:21,22,22	9664:22 9666:7	9693:11 9715:1,17
ontmoet 9712:16		9663:8,9 9726:13	9667:19 9670:12,17	9725:6 9761:23
	9663:9 9731:4	1005.0,1120.15		
ontmoet 9712:16	9663:9 9731:4 9761:14 9762:14	9751:12 9752:25,25	9671:7,22,24 9672:8	9771:20 9773:8
ontmoet 9712:16 ontplooi 9664:25		-	9671:7,22,24 9672:8 9672:16,24 9673:7,12	9771:20 9773:8 peruse 9770:17
ontmoet 9712:16 ontplooi 9664:25 9666:25 9667:2	9761:14 9762:14 9763:12	9751:12 9752:25,25 9755:14 9759:5		peruse 9770:17
ontmoet 9712:16 ontplooi 9664:25 9666:25 9667:2 9668:1,6,14,15,21 9670:21 9671:16,19	9761:14 9762:14 9763:12 opgegaan 9741:8	9751:12 9752:25,25 9755:14 9759:5 9766:16 9767:9	9672:16,24 9673:7,12 9674:3 9675:1	<b>peruse</b> 9770:17 <b>Phiyega</b> 9749:9
ontmoet 9712:16 ontplooi 9664:25 9666:25 9667:2 9668:1,6,14,15,21 9670:21 9671:16,19 ontplooiing 9665:25	9761:14 9762:14 9763:12 opgegaan 9741:8 opgeklaar 9754:7	9751:12 9752:25,25 9755:14 9759:5 9766:16 9767:9 <b>pages</b> 9647:17 9694:12	9672:16,24 9673:7,12 9674:3 9675:1 9678:20 9683:16,20	<b>peruse</b> 9770:17 <b>Phiyega</b> 9749:9 <b>photographs</b> 9661:6
ontmoet 9712:16 ontplooi 9664:25 9666:25 9667:2 9668:1,6,14,15,21 9670:21 9671:16,19 ontplooiing 9665:25 9666:1,23 9668:3,23	9761:14 9762:14 9763:12 opgegaan 9741:8 opgeklaar 9754:7 opgemerk 9725:11	9751:12 9752:25,25 9755:14 9759:5 9766:16 9767:9 <b>pages</b> 9647:17 9694:12 9755:7,20,21	9672:16,24 9673:7,12 9674:3 9675:1 9678:20 9683:16,20 9687:1 9689:6,7,9,21	<b>peruse</b> 9770:17 <b>Phiyega</b> 9749:9 <b>photographs</b> 9661:6 9686:25
ontmoet 9712:16 ontplooi 9664:25 9666:25 9667:2 9668:1,6,14,15,21 9670:21 9671:16,19 ontplooiing 9665:25	9761:14 9762:14 9763:12 opgegaan 9741:8 opgeklaar 9754:7	9751:12 9752:25,25 9755:14 9759:5 9766:16 9767:9 <b>pages</b> 9647:17 9694:12	9672:16,24 9673:7,12 9674:3 9675:1 9678:20 9683:16,20	<b>peruse</b> 9770:17 <b>Phiyega</b> 9749:9 <b>photographs</b> 9661:6

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

# Rustenburg

		1	1	Page
picture 9659:11,13	polices 9702:10	9668:11 9766:3	processes 9769:14	9660:6 9685:20
9660:1 9675:16	<b>policing</b> 9653:24	predict 9729:3	produce 9692:18	9768:20 9770:8
9677:19 9714:17	9654:3,9,11 9655:12	preferable 9665:19	9707:10	purposes 9686:8,9
pictures 9683:22	9655:22 9657:7,13,14	preferably 9664:20	producers 9693:14	pursuance 9717:19
<b>piling</b> 9740:2	9657:14	prejudiced 9686:20	product 9692:25	put 9653:13 9656:22
<b>Pillay</b> 9648:5 9691:5,7	polisie 9659:7,18	9746:18	prohibited 9645:2,5	9664:2,11,14,18
pirotegniese 9649:6	9669:23 9698:15	prejudicing 9687:9	9649:9 9651:17,23	9666:14 9667:22
plaas 9679:25 9724:2	9717:1,10 9718:2,7	preliminary 9751:10	9652:1,2,5,9,14	9680:5 9684:13,17
plaasgevind 9679:6	9722:1,17,24 9723:8	premises 9717:25	prohibition 9651:15	9685:17 9686:14,20
9683:25 9698:13	9723:14 9724:14	9719:11 9723:18	promise 9709:25	9687:8 9700:2,4,20
9763:6,18 9771:19	9725:12 9742:18,19	9724:24	9710:8 9711:5,6,9,21	9704:17 9705:13
9772:5	9763:3	preparation 9772:16	9726:4 9746:18	9707:5 9709:23
place 9664:12,14	polisiebeamptes	prepared 9728:25	9747:16	9711:13 9718:11
9667:22 9671:21,23	9699:10	9745:13	promised 9710:13	9722:18 9733:3,22
9674:9,17 9681:16	polisiebestuur 9738:8	prescribed 9665:21	proper 9720:15	9742:1 9743:10,12
9700:6,20 9701:22	Polisiediens 9657:17	presence 9719:24	properly 9705:17	9746:20 9747:10,13
9716:7 9720:1 9724:5	9744:12	9720:25 9721:2	property 9664:9,13	9748:16,18 9763:11
9731:15 9734:13	polisiemanne 9733:8	9731:15 9742:9	9720:7	putting 9686:14 9687:
9735:14 9737:22	polisiesel 9698:22	present 9690:4 9694:22	propose 9661:12	9720:10 9739:11
9738:3,9,14 9740:21	polisie-kordon 9672:2	Presentation 9694:10	proposition 9747:7	9746:19 9770:7
9747:17 9749:20	polisiëring 9657:16,17	presented 9693:6	9748:18	<b>pyl</b> 9677:2,10,21,25
9758:25 9759:18	9657:23	9712:12 9748:1	propositions 9746:21	9679:16,20,22,23
9761:12 9763:8,10	<b>POP</b> 9652:3 9655:13	president 9708:14	9747:4 9748:15	<b>pyrotechnics</b> 9648:25
9764:20	9657:5,11 9663:11	9735:6	prosecution 9702:1	9649:21
<b>placed</b> 9699:17	9712:22,25 9713:4	presidents 9737:16,18	9704:17 9707:12,18	9049.21
<b>plain</b> 9760:9		presidents 9757:10,18 presies 9664:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
-	9771:2,3,4,5 <b>POPs</b> 9748:4	9669:25	prosecutions 9703:8 9708:4	
plan 9650:23 9695:19			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<b>question</b> 9655:12,18
9752:7,19 9753:1	portion 9692:2,7	presumably 9693:16	prosecutor 9708:7,13	9656:24 9658:2,2,22
9770:5	portions 9692:4	9693:17 9704:22	prospect 9729:5,7	9658:23,24 9660:4
planning 9695:20	<b>posisie</b> 9659:16 9675:7	9757:22	<b>Protea</b> 9733:13,16	9661:3,13,14 9662:1
9727:4 9747:23	9677:22,22,23 9679:7	<b>Pretoria</b> 9714:6	9735:20,23 9737:5	9665:11,11 9667:18
9762:14 9763:12	9679:13	<b>Pretorius</b> 9730:5	protect 9662:17	9667:19 9669:6
9773:14	posisieonering 9670:22	prevent 9769:15	9663:13	9673:7 9679:10
platoon 9764:25	posited 9651:15	preventing 9758:21	<b>protected</b> 9664:20	9680:2 9681:1,8,10
play 9712:14 9747:21	<b>position</b> 9674:5	previous 9690:4	9669:9	9684:25 9685:1,15
playing 9747:23	9686:24 9738:25	previously 9654:24	protection 9690:8,11	9686:3,19,20 9698:1
please 9653:19 9657:1	<b>positions</b> 9771:11	9655:1 9669:8	9690:15	9698:17 9702:3,3,18
9661:22 9665:12	positive 9643:18	prima 9701:25 9704:5	protesters 9695:21	9702:21 9703:5,11
9671:6 9679:10	possession 9725:1,3	9704:7 9707:11,15	9734:15 9742:2	9704:3 9706:25
plek 9664:16	9727:13	primarily 9694:24	9743:11 9752:13	9719:5,13,15,18
plus 9663:17 9666:23	possible 9678:23	<b>priority</b> 9663:15	protestors 9659:13	9720:16 9724:20,23
9692:11	9679:2 9762:4 9767:1	9664:12,13 9667:23	9660:2	9724:25 9742:10,24
point 9649:17 9653:18	possibly 9774:12	private 9742:1 9745:24	proved 9645:8	9744:14 9745:9
9654:9,10 9657:13	<b>postman</b> 9746:8	probably 9694:14	proves 9739:21	9746:25 9758:11
9660:16,23 9661:2	Potch 9754:17 9771:18	9709:21 9725:24	provide 9717:16	9759:10 9761:5
9662:10,17,25	9772:2	9761:12	provided 9690:11	9764:8 9769:1
9676:17 9678:13,13	Potchefstroom 9691:20	probe 9732:18,22	9737:8 9748:4	questioning 9702:6
9678:14,17,22 9679:3	9694:1 9697:16	probeer 9679:12	provides 9652:10	questions 9705:1
9681:3,5,15 9686:21	9768:20 9769:6	9725:5,6 9755:18	Provincial 9735:13	9706:16 9721:10,13
9687:11,19 9694:17	9770:8 9771:13	problem 9714:10	provision 9652:10	9721:14 9744:25
9696:24 9700:14	9772:7,13	problems 9681:9	provisionally 9708:16	9745:3 9749:14
9701:10 9702:5	potensieel 9673:3	9690:4	9708:24 9709:1	9750:17 9760:25
9706:1,7,8 9709:21	9741:11	procedure 9664:10	provisions 9690:8	9761:1
9717:15 9718:10	potensiële 9669:13	proceed 9653:3	psychological 9760:19	quibbles 9742:7
9719:6 9721:10,18	9717:13	proceeded 9704:16	9760:24	quick 9682:13
9724:18 9728:11,12	potential 9666:6,13	proceeding 9701:7	psychologists 9757:17	quickly 9733:1 9745:
9734:3,13 9735:23	9703:8	proceedings 9643:1	psychology 9762:2	quite 9689:7 9744:16
9736:9,22 9737:8,12	powers 9703:7	9688:22 9699:18	<b>public</b> 9645:19,20	9769:23 9773:15
9754:3 9758:5	praat 9666:2 9676:24	9700:10,13 9703:10	9646:23,24 9647:14	quoted 9646:4,8
pointed 9659:11	9676:24	9704:9,14 9705:21	9647:15 9708:4	quoting 9645:12
points 9643:10 9687:20	practice 9655:22,24	process 9716:2 9759:17	9709:2,3,6 9766:25	1
9705:3	practices 9769:14	9766:21,23,24 9769:8	9767:13	R
	prakties 9763:5	9769:11,16,24	<b>punt</b> 9676:2 9677:3,10	radio 9688:24 9721:3
1 Desire		J/U/11110444	Pane 2010.2 2011.3,10	1 auto 2000.24 2121.3
policeman 9719:2			9679.23 9682.8 0 10	0722.67111510
policeman 9719:2 policemen 9658:15	praktiese 9668:3	9771:13,14,16,23	9679:23 9682:8,9,10	9722:6,7,11,15,18
policeman 9719:2			9679:23 9682:8,9,10 <b>punte</b> 9772:22,22 <b>purpose</b> 9659:2,3	9722:6,7,11,15,18 9724:19,25 9725:8,1 9726:2,17,21 9727:2

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 13
9727:14,16,17	9770:15 9773:3	register 9735:1	residential 9674:9	rotating 9730:15
radiokamer 9722:5,25	recorded 9726:18,21	regterkant 9676:7	resolution 9712:8,12	rounding 9741:20
radiokommunikasie	9766:1,8	9677:5	respect 9643:22 9690:5	9744:17
9770:12	recording 9769:14	regtig 9654:4	9690:6 9694:20	rounds 9645:6
radios 9721:22 9723:24	records 9764:1,3,5	regular 9739:1	9695:11 9699:7	route 9658:3 9676:16
9725:4,24,25	9765:6	regulation 9645:20	9701:17 9703:8,10	9676:17,20 9678:23
radio's 9727:17	rectangle 9765:1 recurrence 9700:21	9646:24 9647:15	9704:15 9712:9	9679:2 9741:25 row 9669:1
radio-operateur 9722:16	recurrences 9700.21	9664:2,4 regulations 9671:1	9717:4 9748:6,8 9755:1 9768:19	rubber 9644:9,12,15
raised 9661:23 9698:18	9769:15	rekord 9763:23	9770:4	9645:6 9649:23
9700:7,8,14 9702:5	red 9681:23 9703:20	9764:15 9773:5	responding 9651:7	9653:21,25 9654:7,13
9754:17	rede 9668:22 9748:21	rekords 9773:9	responsible 9713:9	9654:23 9655:2,6,14
raising 9661:18	redelik 9716:19 9754:7	related 9682:15	rest 9735:8 9762:14	9656:12 9657:6,15,22
Rakodi 9760:6	redelikheid 9759:23	relating 9747:7	restricted 9645:2	9726:25
<b>Ramanala</b> 9771:5	red-tape 9703:25	relation 9706:19	9649:9 9651:18,23	<b>rubric</b> 9643:18
rank 9713:17,21,22	reeds 9668:20 9713:15	9717:5 9748:18	9652:4,5,14	<b>ruim</b> 9651:10
9714:2 9768:13	9715:2 9748:23,23	relationship 9716:10	restriction 9652:16	<b>rules</b> 9707:1,2
9770:16 9771:7	9772:5	9716:12 9739:12,16	restrictive 9654:23	<b>run</b> 9674:10,17
ranks 9771:10	refer 9657:1 9718:23	9741:24	result 9695:9,12 9708:6	9719:22 9720:23
rate 9726:6 reach 9683:11	9719:2 9720:10	release 9708:5 relevance 9697:24	9708:12,15 9721:1 9761:16 9771:9	runs 9676:13 rupturing 9666:7
reached 9670:18	9751:9 9754:23 9755:5,6,13 9756:24	9698:18 9703:4,16,18	<b>resulted</b> 9666:7,9,11	<b>Rustenburg</b> 9755:10
9683:4,8,9,21 9684:8	9759:4 9764:6,21	9706:16,25	9705:5 9706:5	9756:11 9771:2
9685:7,18 9686:4	9774:8,14	relevant 9652:5	resume 9773:24	ry 9668:5
reaching 9686:8	<b>reference</b> 9670:17	9689:23 9691:8	resumes 9643:2	
read 9650:3,3 9658:1	9696:1 9701:14	9698:1,3 9699:22	9688:10,11 9729:15	S
9662:13 9663:2	9705:3 9726:5	9700:1 9704:4	9729:16 9750:8	saam 9651:8 9658:5
9664:2 9665:21	9735:16,17,19,22	9706:22 9735:4	resuming 9750:10	9728:21 9748:12
9688:23 9718:10	9736:1,1,12 9760:4	9768:23	retrospect 9757:13,13	9754:8 9755:4
9720:20 9726:14	references 9735:24	relies 9739:18	9757:14	safety 9690:6
9734:1 9758:20	referred 9653:22	reluctant 9767:19	returned 9715:23,25	sake 9678:24 9760:4,18
9766:17 9767:10,21 9768:18	9668:25 9669:8 9673:1 9716:10	rely 9661:5 9720:18 9739:15 9757:9	revisiting 9751:24 re-examination	sal 9644:4,8,8 9650:1 9651:10 9657:8
reading 9651:20	9718:15,21 9719:14	<b>Remedial</b> 9700:15	9745:20 9749:17	9668:2 9671:4 9676:5
9664:4	9732:1,7,24 9733:4	remember 9657:18	ridiculous 9709:17	9676:8 9677:4,6,13
reads 9753:1 9766:19	9734:12 9735:25	9682:15 9728:19	<b>rifle</b> 9658:14	9679:13,24 9685:24
9772:15	9736:13,20 9738:16	repeat 9680:3 9685:1	rifles 9660:5 9664:23	9697:11 9714:25
real 9702:3	9754:11 9757:25	9714:9 9769:1	9665:23	9748:20,20 9756:13
reality 9703:20	9758:15 9759:2	repetition 9700:21	right 9654:10 9660:16	9759:8 9764:15
really 9655:10 9686:14	9760:3,11 9765:13,14	rephrase 9761:4	9677:17 9693:20	9765:10 9766:11
9687:2,22 9689:20	9766:7,14	report 9657:10 9700:24	9740:4,5	samewerking 9716:21
9702:9 9704:4,19	referring 9678:3,8,11	9705:3 9707:20	<b>Rights</b> 9750:19	9717:10
9707:17 9741:20 9745:21 9746:21	9681:10 9692:6 9693:4 9694:8 9703:5	9726:21 9727:1 9739:3 9755:9	<b>rigting</b> 9670:10 9675:10 9677:14,15	SAP 9718:25 SAPS 9663:11 9694:9
reason 9651:14	9719:13 9734:23	9759:15,17	9679:20	9716:11,17 9721:2,3
9661:18 9682:16,19	9736:2	reported 9694:23	rise 9758:3	9721:4,22 9723:16
9686:6 9689:15	refers 9648:24 9718:24	9709:24 9726:18	risky 9753:3	9725:4 9726:18,21,23
9703:25 9705:25	9720:8 9733:24	9735:6,11	rivalry 9742:13	9727:3,4,5,14 9730:6
9725:25 9747:16	9758:9 9765:6	reports 9688:24,24	road 9671:21 9675:21	9730:7,8,9,14,18,22
9760:10	reflecting 9760:4	9721:4	9675:24 9676:18,20	9731:4 9739:2,4,5,9
reasonable 9729:5,7	reformulated 9702:22	represent 9702:25	9676:21 9678:8,19	9739:12 9740:11
reasoning 9753:24	reg 9650:7,15 9651:5	9703:22 9737:23	9683:8,9,10,12,15	9743:6,25 9745:22
reasons 9682:17,21	9691:2 9698:21	9743:12	roaming 9707:7	9750:21 9751:18,25
received 9721:4 9727:2	9722:12,14 9725:20	representative 9730:15	roete 9677:8	9752:4,12 9753:3,5
9727:3 9761:19 9767:18	9728:4,20 9742:20 9743:3 9770:10,21	9731:3 <b>representatives</b>	<b>role</b> 9712:14 9747:21 9747:23 9770:19	9753:25 9754:4 9760:6 9761:6
<b>receives</b> 9762:6	regard 9652:15 9661:9	9692:24 9693:5	rolle 9668:8	9762:11 9771:12
receiving 9705:21	9708:1 9730:24	request 9675:3 9730:5	rolspelers 9763:4	9702:11 9771:12 9774:7
recollection 9770:18	9750:21 9751:7	requested 9726:23	rondom 9695:7	sat 9658:8
recommendations	regarded 9644:2	require 9769:12	9714:25	saw 9693:16,17
9707:20		required 9671:1	rooi 9676:6 9677:2	saying 9651:1,14
reconvene 9773:18	regarding 9643:12	9690:15 9755:2	room 9693:15 9722:6,8	9659:9,25 9665:13
record 9646:16	9655:13 9749:9	9769:8	9722:11	9670:19 9671:8
9739:20 9758:18,25	regardless 9760:15	requirement 9664:1	root 9742:14,16,21,24	9672:24 9680:14
9759:11,13,15 9760:5	reggestel 9752:16	requirements 9754:20	<b>Roots</b> 9768:11	9683:13 9686:2
9763:8 9769:5	regimes 9756:25	reserwe 9665:2	roster 9756:8	9692:18 9696:5
ARCHIVE FO	K JUSIICE			

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Rustenburg

,			1J	
		I	1	Page 1
9701:13,14 9709:7	self 9702:24	sheets 9716:5	9724:14	9734:21 9738:18
9711:18 9718:22	selfde 9772:12	she's 9718:18 9727:13	skare 9656:13	9749:7 9750:9
9722:11 9725:16,19	selfs 9644:4 9711:2	9749:11	skerppunt 9650:2	9763:13 9765:12
9727:11 9732:23	selfverdediging	<b>shift</b> 9750:6	skerppunt-ammunisie	sort 9659:1 9694:14
9746:7 9749:7	9656:11 9746:14	shoot 9726:20	9651:12	9721:10 9764:3
says 9646:6 9647:17	self-defence 9657:14	shooting 9681:15	skietery 9741:12	sorts 9703:2
9649:8 9651:17	9658:18,19 9659:2,4	9694:21	skins 9666:7	sou 9650:23,24 9666:16
9656:7,7 9657:10,11	9660:6 9682:22,23,25	shop 9682:3	skip 9746:21	9666:23 9669:14
9662:15 9663:9,19	9745:24	<b>short</b> 9729:10	Skok 9650:6	9670:8,9 9672:2
9664:8 9683:7	self-defence/private	shortcomings 9758:22	slegs 9666:17 9697:11	9676:9 9677:10
9691:11 9693:4	9695:12	9769:13	sleutelpunt 9733:17	9679:17 9696:8
9707:7 9710:21	Semenya 9656:24	shortening 9715:21	sleutelpunte 9733:18	9746:3 9763:4 9772:8
9715:23,25,25	9657:4,10 9660:9,11	9747:25	slide 9659:25 9660:9	9772:8,9,10
9719:21 9720:13,19	9660:16,21 9661:8,17	<b>shorter</b> 9676:16,19	9670:12 9676:5	sought 9750:19
9726:16 9729:25	9661:20 9681:7	shortest 9676:18	9679:8 9692:4	9753:25
9730:9,12,17 9735:5	9684:10,13 9691:11	9678:17,22 9679:1	slides 9693:14,16	sound 9718:18
9749:10 9752:19	9693:25 9694:3	9681:5	9694:1 9742:11	sounded 9722:7
9755:14 9762:3,4	9697:23 9701:23,24	shortly 9761:15	slideshow 9691:21,24	sounds 9645:16
9765:21 9767:11	9745:20 9749:9	shot 9683:3,14,20	9693:2,6,11	9646:14 9721:12
SC 9657:4 9660:9,11	9750:2,6	9684:6,7 9685:7,14	slightly 9682:4	South 9703:21 9719:22
9660:21 9661:8,20		9686:4 9714:21	singlity 9082.4 small 9645:5 9667:6,15	9720:23
9681:7 9684:10,13	Semenya's 9658:2 semi 9664:22	9741:3	smaller 9678:19	sover 9741:7 9763:5
9694:3 9697:23	semi-automatic	shotgun 9649:23	9753:11	sover 9741:79765:5 sowat 9659:16 9669:24
9094.3 9097.23 9701:24 9718:24	9658:14 9660:5	<b>show</b> 9659:24 9665:13	social 9757:17	9670:8
	9665:23			<b>so-and-so</b> 9707:6
9720:2 9731:19		9683:23 9696:25 9740:1	sodanig 9673:24	
9736:6,11 9738:24	<b>senior</b> 9730:3,4		9713:14 9717:11	so-called 9663:13
9739:10,23	sense 9678:8,9 9686:10	<b>showing</b> 9724:7	9734:8	9707:8
scene 9650:20 9672:6	9701:20	shows 9675:16 9730:11	sodanige 9666:24	<b>SO262</b> 9768:22
9686:23 9687:9	sensible 9661:17	side 9670:4,4	9675:7 9727:16	speak 9669:19 9684:18
9695:4,4,7 9697:9	9774:16	siding 9744:5	9731:12 9759:8	9689:6 9754:15,16
schedule 9708:9	sent 9761:17	sielkundige 9761:22	sodat 9668:20 9725:9	9757:8
scheduled 9695:20	sentences 9752:25	sielkundiges 9756:14	sogenaamde 9768:6	speaking 9651:2
schematic 9754:10	sentiment 9664:12	sien 9644:17 9676:6	solicited 9743:14	9671:23 9677:18
Scott 9693:7 9730:22	Seoka 9712:12	9677:6 9679:5 9684:2	someone's 9691:15	<b>Special</b> 9713:10,10,16
screens 9724:7	separate 9699:16	9756:18	sommer 9773:7	<b>specific</b> 9752:6 9774:4
se 9675:7 9734:17	separated 9723:17	sight 9659:13 9660:2,7	soms 9731:10	specifically 9694:7
9739:6 9740:16	separation 9723:23	signed 9756:7	Sondag 9721:25	9751:2 9752:2,24
9746:16	9724:25 9730:11	significance 9741:3	sonder 9659:6	9757:1 9758:10,12,14
search 9692:20	September 9697:17	significant 9747:21	soon 9762:3	9758:20 9760:14
second 9713:3 9749:7	9713:18	9753:9,12,20	sooner 9760:14	9764:21,23 9766:15
secondary 9695:10	sequence 9754:13	similar 9649:10 9770:3	9761:12	9770:14 9773:13
section 9648:17,20,20	serious 9667:4 9763:14	similarly 9704:1	<b>soos</b> 9650:22 9659:15	specifics 9662:1
9726:14 9767:14,16	serves 9676:13	simple 9654:19	9666:2 9671:16	specified 9769:11
security 9731:18	service 9699:5 9702:9	9655:12 9660:3	9673:21 9676:7	<b>specify</b> 9760:3
9732:18 9739:1	9702:13 9703:9	9769:23	9677:5 9679:20,21	<b>speech</b> 9684:6
see 9645:24 9646:6,13	9705:18 9719:14,23	simply 9660:17	9696:4 9713:15	spend 9694:15
9648:24 9651:16,20	9720:14,23	9720:17 9731:2	9715:17 9716:18	spending 9682:16
9653:2 9660:3,12	ses 9666:25 9669:12	9743:12 9768:19	9722:14 9723:2	Spesiale 9713:16
9669:2 9670:12,24	sesde 9669:13	9769:16	9725:8 9741:10	<b>spoke</b> 9712:7 9730:12
9678:5,14 9681:11,13	session 9768:4	<b>sin</b> 9677:15 9752:16	9753:16 9757:6	<b>spray</b> 9652:1,4
9689:17 9696:16	<b>Setelele</b> 9738:21	sincerely 9690:3	9766:11 9768:14	Springs 9771:5
9699:23 9704:10	sets 9648:15	Sinclair 9716:22,23	9769:21 9770:11,11	Squirrel 9733:15
9711:13 9712:1	settled 9702:17	9721:4	9772:20	<b>SS2</b> 9759:4,6
9715:22 9729:5	settlement 9678:4	<b>sit</b> 9664:16 9729:1	sordid 9747:12,17	<b>staan</b> 9668:7 9677:7
			66000000 0645.11111	9756:10,11
9752:20 9756:1	setup 9719:22 9720:23	sitting 9703:21,24	sorry 9645:11,11	· · · ·
9765:21 9767:5	<b>setup</b> 9719:22 9720:23 <b>seventh</b> 9731:15	9706:14 9724:6	9647:4 9654:18	<b>Staande</b> 9757:3
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16	<b>setup</b> 9719:22 9720:23 <b>seventh</b> 9731:15 <b>shade</b> 9681:12	9706:14 9724:6 situasie 9693:24 9713:6	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1	9766:12
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7	<b>setup</b> 9719:22 9720:23 <b>seventh</b> 9731:15 <b>shade</b> 9681:12 <b>Shaft</b> 9737:25	9706:14 9724:6 situasie 9693:24 9713:6 9713:7,14	9647:4 9654:18	9766:12 <b>Staanorde</b> 9654:15
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7 seen 9690:20 9691:13	<b>setup</b> 9719:22 9720:23 <b>seventh</b> 9731:15 <b>shade</b> 9681:12 <b>Shaft</b> 9737:25 <b>shape</b> 9769:7	9706:14 9724:6 situasie 9693:24 9713:6	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1	9766:12
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7	setup 9719:22 9720:23 seventh 9731:15 shade 9681:12 Shaft 9737:25 shape 9769:7 share 9717:18	9706:14 9724:6 situasie 9693:24 9713:6 9713:7,14	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1 9660:10 9663:22 9664:6 9665:4 9669:17 9671:11	9766:12 <b>Staanorde</b> 9654:15
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7 seen 9690:20 9691:13	<b>setup</b> 9719:22 9720:23 <b>seventh</b> 9731:15 <b>shade</b> 9681:12 <b>Shaft</b> 9737:25 <b>shape</b> 9769:7	9706:14 9724:6 situasie 9693:24 9713:6 9713:7,14 situated 9719:10	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1 9660:10 9663:22 9664:6 9665:4	9766:12 Staanorde 9654:15 stadium 9670:21
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7 seen 9690:20 9691:13 9694:1 9744:2 9774:8	setup 9719:22 9720:23 seventh 9731:15 shade 9681:12 Shaft 9737:25 shape 9769:7 share 9717:18	9706:14 9724:6 <b>situasie</b> 9693:24 9713:6 9713:7,14 <b>situated</b> 9719:10 9723:18 9724:23	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1 9660:10 9663:22 9664:6 9665:4 9669:17 9671:11	9766:12 <b>Staanorde</b> 9654:15 <b>stadium</b> 9670:21 9715:12 9722:23
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7 seen 9690:20 9691:13 9694:1 9744:2 9774:8 Segale 9771:2	setup 9719:22 9720:23 seventh 9731:15 shade 9681:12 Shaft 9737:25 shape 9769:7 share 9717:18 sharp 9645:3 9652:2,8	9706:14 9724:6 <b>situasie</b> 9693:24 9713:6 9713:7,14 <b>situated</b> 9719:10 9723:18 9724:23 <b>situation</b> 9662:16	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1 9660:10 9663:22 9664:6 9665:4 9669:17 9671:11 9680:13 9692:8,12,14	9766:12 <b>Staanorde</b> 9654:15 <b>stadium</b> 9670:21 9715:12 9722:23 <b>staff</b> 9721:23 9723:25
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7 seen 9690:20 9691:13 9694:1 9744:2 9774:8 Segale 9771:2 seker 9644:7 9668:1	setup 9719:22 9720:23 seventh 9731:15 shade 9681:12 Shaft 9737:25 shape 9769:7 share 9717:18 sharp 9645:3 9652:2,8 9653:8 9654:7,13	9706:14 9724:6 <b>situasie</b> 9693:24 9713:6 9713:7,14 <b>situated</b> 9719:10 9723:18 9724:23 <b>situation</b> 9662:16 9703:20,21 9741:25	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1 9660:10 9663:22 9664:6 9665:4 9669:17 9671:11 9680:13 9692:8,12,14 9694:5 9697:23	9766:12 <b>Staanorde</b> 9654:15 <b>stadium</b> 9670:21 9715:12 9722:23 <b>staff</b> 9721:23 9723:25 9725:1 9727:25 9728:2,18 9756:22
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7 seen 9690:20 9691:13 9694:1 9744:2 9774:8 Segale 9771:2 seker 9644:7 9668:1 9699:10 9741:9	setup 9719:22 9720:23 seventh 9731:15 shade 9681:12 Shaft 9737:25 shape 9769:7 share 9717:18 sharp 9645:3 9652:2,8 9653:8 9654:7,13 9657:6,12,15 9678:13	9706:14 9724:6 <b>situasie</b> 9693:24 9713:6 9713:7,14 <b>situated</b> 9719:10 9723:18 9724:23 <b>situation</b> 9662:16 9703:20,21 9741:25 9770:3	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1 9660:10 9663:22 9664:6 9665:4 9669:17 9671:11 9680:13 9692:8,12,14 9694:5 9697:23 9702:8 9707:24	9766:12 <b>Staanorde</b> 9654:15 <b>stadium</b> 9670:21 9715:12 9722:23 <b>staff</b> 9721:23 9723:25 9725:1 9727:25
9765:21 9767:5 9770:1,15 9773:16 seeing 9724:7 seen 9690:20 9691:13 9694:1 9744:2 9774:8 Segale 9771:2 seker 9644:7 9668:1 9699:10 9741:9 sekere 9693:23	setup 9719:22 9720:23 seventh 9731:15 shade 9681:12 Shaft 9737:25 shape 9769:7 share 9717:18 sharp 9645:3 9652:2,8 9653:8 9654:7,13 9657:6,12,15 9678:13 9678:17,22 9679:2	9706:14 9724:6 <b>situasie</b> 9693:24 9713:6 9713:7,14 <b>situated</b> 9719:10 9723:18 9724:23 <b>situation</b> 9662:16 9703:20,21 9741:25 9770:3 <b>six</b> 9673:17	9647:4 9654:18 9655:23 9658:1 9660:10 9663:22 9664:6 9665:4 9669:17 9671:11 9680:13 9692:8,12,14 9694:5 9697:23 9702:8 9707:24 9713:25 9718:19,20	9766:12 <b>Staanorde</b> 9654:15 <b>stadium</b> 9670:21 9715:12 9722:23 <b>staff</b> 9721:23 9723:25 9725:1 9727:25 9728:2,18 9756:22 <b>stage</b> 9659:3,10,10

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 15
9721:21 9723:16,17	9703:1,4 9732:3	9706:20 9716:6	9669:25 9671:5,19	9735:17 9736:5
9727:10 9741:15	9740:22,24	9719:8 9738:15	9673:22 9675:11,14	9737:14 9745:2
9746:21 9765:17	stopped 9661:2	9770:3 9773:3 9774:7	9676:3 9677:9,11,11	9748:13,13,25 9749:2
stages 9762:21	9664:19	surely 9667:8,14	9677:11,13,14	9749:4 9750:7,16
stamped 9755:21	<b>stopping</b> 9661:12	9712:4 9758:22	9679:12,22 9698:21	9754:9 9765:4 9771:8
standing 9649:13	9699:24	9759:12 9770:7,9	9717:8,10 9742:21	9774:1,15
9653:6,15 9654:20	stored 9765:8	surrounding 9765:1	9744:10 9746:16	Thanks 9689:25
9655:19 9664:5,6,7	stored 9765.8 straight 9678:22	surveillance 9730:10	9752:17 9757:5	9729:12
9681:2 9714:7,18	9681:6,19	suspect 9692:19 9707:7	9771:18 9772:7	thatched 9720:5
9756:24 9757:25	straightest 9678:17	suspected 9717:5	tea 9682:13 9684:25	therapy 9758:4
9758:9,15,20 9759:3	strange 9709:3	suspects 9707:4,10	9685:4 9687:10,17	9760:20 9761:19
9760:11,21 9765:14	streets 9707:8	swak 9772:22	9688:8	9762:3,6
9765:16 9766:9	strekking 9670:9	swot 9772:21	team 9706:11 9774:7	thereof 9662:15
9769:11	strictly 9651:2 9671:22	<b>sy</b> 9669:14 9677:3	<b>tear</b> 9652:4	9691:21
stands 9654:25 9674:16	<b>strike</b> 9689:19	9696:14 9715:9,10,12	teargas 9649:4 9650:5	thereto 9717:5
stap 9657:22	<b>strikers</b> 9669:1	9715:18 9723:6	9652:3	<b>there's</b> 9649:10 9661:1
start 9754:10	striking 9758:23	9772:8	techniques 9767:2	9681:13,21 9699:15
started 9671:22 9686:3	structure 9767:10,11	<b>symbolic</b> 9741:2	teen 9668:8	9709:16 9720:3
9719:23 9720:24	9767:12	sê 9664:16 9668:13	teenwoordig 9674:12	9729:7 9731:16
9742:12	stuff 9694:9 9737:15	9669:25 9671:16	9715:5 9740:17	9737:15 9749:15,17
starting 9643:11	stun 9648:25 9649:22	9673:23 9725:7	9772:13	9760:21 9761:10
9747:24	9650:4	9742:21 9748:20	teenwoordigheid	9765:23
starts 9720:9	stupid 9666:8	9752:17 9757:5	9711:9 9742:18	they'll 9690:2
Stasie/Station 9756:10	<b>sub</b> 9644:25 9645:5	9759:14 9772:22	telkens 9713:6 9715:10	they're 9691:7,8,9
state 9768:19	9651:20	<b>s.o.e</b> 9643:4 9688:13	tell 9660:23 9705:20	9704:13 9713:11
stated 9758:9 9768:10	subheading 9773:20	9729:18 9750:13	9707:18 9710:3	9753:18
9769:6	subject 9703:6,11		telling 9643:15	they've 9691:4 9700:16
statement 9643:18	9704:13,20 9705:18	Т	ten 9659:5 9693:23	thing 9649:18 9657:12
9658:13 9664:18	9738:19	Taakmag 9713:16	9741:11,12 9763:4	9740:10 9774:16
9672:21 9685:17	submit 9754:21	9772:10	tensions 9689:7,11	things 9661:19 9663:4
9696:6 9711:12	9756:23 9769:10	tailored 9748:6	tenth 9738:3	9667:20,22 9682:3
9715:22 9716:9,9	Subparagraaf 9766:3	take 9660:18 9667:13	terme 9650:23 9654:3	9689:20 9690:14
9718:10,12 9719:20	subparagraph 9650:4	9667:15 9672:4	9656:13 9659:21	9703:2,2 9712:22
9720:7 9726:4,8,11	9758:20 9765:21	9680:1,9 9681:5	9665:1 9669:14	9730:25 9740:1
9726:14,15 9727:10	9768:22	9682:13,20 9685:4	9670:9,22 9679:6	9746:24 9759:12
9745:23 9747:10	subparagraphs	9687:22,23 9688:8	9695:7 9697:12	think 9645:13,25
9751:7,11,16 9754:1	9652:15	9691:8 9693:13,25	9711:1 9725:11,22	9647:8 9648:16
9760:6,7,8	subscriber 9746:9	9701:16 9704:17,21	9742:19 9744:7,10	9649:14 9653:10
statements 9702:25	subsequent 9656:2	9710:18 9711:21	9756:15,16,17	9654:6 9656:14
9703:13 9707:3,4,5	9727:1	9725:23 9729:1,10	9759:22 9761:22	9663:20 9666:12,20
9709:23 9720:14,18	subsequently 9703:13	9741:24 9744:24	9763:22 9772:22	9680:5 9687:9
9732:13 9753:1	substance 9661:1	9753:10 9760:20	terminology 9760:23	9688:24 9691:4
9760:3 9767:25	succeed 9680:23	9761:12 9763:10	terms 9650:13 9662:12	9694:14 9698:23
static 9670:25 9671:1	9681:1	9773:15,17,20,24	9662:13,15 9705:3	9702:4,21 9703:14
statiese 9671:5,16	suffering 9761:16	taken 9661:25 9688:2	9707:3 9717:16,17	9704:12 9710:15
steeds 9643:3 9650:2	suggest 9653:18	9699:7 9700:10	9741:2 9760:21	9711:25 9712:7
9659:6 9688:12	9661:13 9702:18	9702:14,19,20,20	teruggekeer 9723:8	9714:8 9716:21
9723:2 9729:17	9741:20 9752:4,11	9704:10 9737:24	terugvoer 9768:6	9719:4 9724:7
9750:11	9753:23 9760:17	9747:1 9758:19,24	9770:13	9725:18 9726:9
<b>stel</b> 9671:5	9761:11	9759:18 9767:24	<b>terwyl</b> 9656:12 9668:15	9728:18 9729:2,9,21
stem 9658:5 9742:24	suggested 9708:7	takes 9667:14	9671:4	9732:20 9736:21
9748:12 9754:8	suggesting 9687:10	talk 9711:20	testified 9682:19	9737:18 9738:17,19
9755:4	9699:25	talking 9666:5 9667:5,5	9683:2 9691:20	9745:18,22 9747:6
step 9660:18 9662:16	suggestion 9661:2,17	9682:5 9708:25	9706:12	9749:19 9757:11
9672:4 9682:20,25	suggests 9754:4	9727:13 9732:6	testify 9764:7	9760:25 9762:6,19
9741:24	Suid-Afrikaanse	9745:18	testimony 9643:25	9766:20,22 9773:23
steps 9702:1,7,7,8,13	9657:17 9744:11	talks 9758:21	9773:11	<b>thinking</b> 9757:14
9702:19,19 9704:10	suit 9748:7	tameletjie 9766:3	thank 9643:6 9656:18	third 9713:3
sterftes 9683:25	suiwer 9713:7	tangential 9689:16	9656:21 9662:12	thirteenth 9740:21
9741:18	sum 9723:15	tape 9703:20	9663:1 9664:7	thought 9687:3
sterk 9772:22	Sunday 9722:8	target 9670:25 9751:24	9681:25 9682:12	9689:24 9693:19
STF 9697:7,8 9747:19	superfluous 9693:19	Task 9713:10,10,16	9685:5,5 9686:1	9720:21 9731:21
stick 9661:17	suppose 9757:13	tasked 9767:14	9687:18 9688:17	9739:11 9750:25
stifle 9768:12 9771:10	supposed 9696:24	te 9651:10,12 9659:20	9689:4 9690:15,18	9761:12 9764:5
stood 9662:1	sure 9673:14 9690:12	9664:16 9665:2,3	9691:19 9694:16,18	thousands 9666:11
stop 9652:19 9686:12	9692:15 9702:17	9666:24,25 9668:4,15	9701:6 9709:20	9751:18 9752:5,12
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE		1	L

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

#### Rustenburg

······································			1 J	
				Page
9753:2,4,7,19,25	tracked 9714:5	9675:10 9676:5	9693:19 9748:10	9716:13
9754:4	trainers 9765:21,24	9677:4,6,13 9679:13	upholding 9704:2	versions 9683:5
three 9652:15 9681:19	transcript 9657:2	9688:12 9716:18	usage 9655:20	verskeidenheid
9730:25 9762:20,21	9711:25 9768:19	9724:10 9729:17	<b>use</b> 9643:24 9644:1,12	9742:20
9762:21,23 9771:2	translation 9751:1	9733:9 9750:11	9645:1 9649:8,19	verskeie 9672:14
Thursday 9711:15	9757:12,23 9762:6	9770:10,21 9772:11	9651:17,22 9652:10	9744:9
9749:12 9760:2,10	trauma 9756:18,19,25	uit 9651:10 9672:12	9652:14 9653:7,7,21	verskil 9656:9
tidy 9643:9 9721:10	9760:20 9761:7,11,16	9693:22 9770:12	9655:14,15 9657:5,6	verskillend 9657:21
till 9729:11,12	9762:3	uiteendryf 9656:13	9657:6 9658:9	verskillende 9657:25
time 9644:1 9649:19	traumatic 9758:2	uiters 9665:6	9661:10 9670:17	9692:10
9653:1,3 9655:10	travel 9689:18	uitgekom 9725:7	9675:2 9717:23	verslag 9759:8,14,14
9660:13 9661:10	travelled 9737:17	9769:21	9726:5,24 9727:20	versoek 9717:11
9662:2 9667:10	travelling 9689:13	uitgesluit 9650:2	9731:17 9734:13	9734:18
9672:8 9680:3	trials 9690:9 9704:16	9695:1	9741:22,23 9752:18	versperring 9671:16,19
9682:16 9686:23	tried 9705:19	uitgetrek 9723:3	9761:18 9774:12	verstaan 9650:22
9690:2,3 9694:16	<b>TRT</b> 9659:15	uitkom 9725:6	usually 9689:9	9654:3,4 9677:21
9696:17 9729:4	true 9651:15 9664:18	uitmeet 9669:25	uur 9741:8	9722:15 9724:10
9741:15 9745:12	9675:22	uitsluiting 9644:7		9740:17 9756:12
9747:24,25 9758:23	try 9671:6 9727:5	9651:12	V	vertaal 9728:4
9762:5	trying 9653:5 9657:13	uitsluitlik 9697:11	value 9771:25	verteenwoordiger
times 9739:14	9662:21 9741:14	uitvoer 9771:21	vanaf 9677:24 9679:23	9731:9
timetable 9690:1	9757:11 9759:1	uitweg 9655:6 9656:12	9715:2 9763:17	verteenwoordigers
<b>Tip</b> 9738:19,24 9739:7	9757:11 9759:1	unable 9768:18	various 9718:15,17,20	9712:20 9717:12
9740:12	<b>Tsiloane</b> 9735:6			
9740:12 tipies 9763:2	<b>Tuesday</b> 9759:19	unauthorised 9747:18 9748:9	9721:4 9767:25 vas 9666:22 9668:12,14	vertel 9733:9 vertoon 9659:21
<b>Tip's</b> 9739:8	<b>turn</b> 9668:25 9676:21	<b>unclear</b> 9752:20	<b>vat</b> 9676:4	vertrek 9715:11
<b>title</b> 9694:10 9764:24	9683:12 9766:15	underline 9662:17	<b>vennootskap</b> 9744:11	<b>vervul</b> 9715:2
<b>tjopper</b> 9733:10,14,15	9767:9 9769:4	underlined 9662:7	<b>ver</b> 9668:3 9698:14	<b>verwag</b> 9763:4
9733:16,19 9734:7	9773:12	understand 9647:5	Veral 9666:3	verwagting 9759:8
9741:7	turning 9686:9	9654:8 9655:18,24	veralgemeniseer	<b>verwys</b> 9644:8,16
today 9657:19	tussen 9657:22,23	9681:8,10 9682:2,2	9673:20	9654:15 9656:10
toe 9675:7 9676:3,5	9669:22 9677:8	9683:1,3,14 9686:2	<b>verander</b> 9651:11	9669:22 9671:18
9677:22,23 9682:9,11	9712:17,19 9717:1,12	9697:6 9702:11,16	veranderings 9756:3	9675:11 9676:25
9722:23 9723:6,8,8	9733:17 9738:8	9703:4,7,15 9704:16	verantwoordelikheid	9677:4 9679:19
toegang 9727:23	9744:11 9762:25	9704:25 9706:15	9696:22 9717:8,9	9693:12 9713:6
toegeken 9644:18	9772:5	9720:7 9721:9	<b>verbal</b> 9696:2	9718:7,8 9722:5
toegelaat 9667:1	<b>TV</b> 9724:6	9724:17,18 9731:22	<b>verby</b> 9676:9,24	9723:1,12,13 9731:11
toeligting 9738:8	twee 9657:23 9659:16	9732:9 9736:21	9677:18	9733:8,20 9734:8
Tokota 9734:22	9668:1,9 9695:1	9749:10 9750:14	<b>verder</b> 9644:6 9651:6	9740:14 9741:7,10
9765:12,20 9766:6	9714:25 9731:10	9756:5 9760:5,25	9668:23	9748:24 9752:15
told 9689:5 9691:16	9761:22	9761:1,1 9774:9	verdere 9671:19	9753:9 9757:3
9730:9 9747:8	twelfth 9738:14	understanding 9654:22	verduidelik 9657:24	9762:17 9766:11
tolk 9728:4	<b>twice</b> 9738:16	9722:11	9668:4 9713:15	9768:7 9772:10,11
tomorrow 9749:8,21,22	<b>two</b> 9649:20 9666:6,13	understands 9753:4	9744:10 9772:21	9773:5
9749:23 9773:18,25	9667:13,15 9674:7	understood 9693:1	vergader 9723:5	verwysend 9693:22
9774:5,17	9683:5 9714:12,13,19	9701:1 9702:11	vergadering 9723:7	vestig 9723:1
toneel 9715:17,17	9719:9 9723:17	9726:23 9730:6	vergaderings 9740:19	vicinity 9715:15
9741:10,12	9728:11 9730:25	9731:6 9751:15	verhouding 9684:1	9725:14,17
top 9681:19 9755:21	9731:21 9735:4	undertaking 9709:25	9753:15	videos 9661:6 9683:23
9764:24,24 9765:2	9742:1 9743:21,24	9710:22	verhuis 9723:3	9774:8
topic 9643:11 9773:13	9744:25 9745:1,4,4,5	undisputed 9684:5	verkeerd 9675:5	video's 9693:12
9773:20	9745:7,7,22 9762:2,2	9685:8 9686:5	verkeerde 9677:14	video-operateurs
topics 9751:3,5	9771:4,6	unduly 9652:19	verleen 9713:14	9770:25
torture 9704:19	two-page 9726:13	9686:13	vermeld 9697:12	video-opnames
tortare 7701.17	tyd 9693:10 9715:3	unfolding 9716:2	9756:19	9770:11
	<b>tyu</b> 9095.10 9715.5			vier 9667:2 9697:12
tortured 9703:23	9763:22	unfortunate 9754:25	verneem 9712:17	VICI 7007.2 7077.12
tortured 9703:23		unfortunate 9754:25 unfortunately 9774:13	<b>verneem</b> 9712:17 9725:8 9763:17	view 9654:10 9695:10
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5	9763:22			
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5 total 9651:15 9723:15	9763:22 tyde 9659:6 9741:11,12 tydens 9741:18	unfortunately 9774:13	9725:8 9763:17	view 9654:10 9695:10
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5 total 9651:15 9723:15 totale 9666:17 9692:10	9763:22 tyde 9659:6 9741:11,12 tydens 9741:18 tydsverloop 9761:24	<b>unfortunately</b> 9774:13 <b>unfounded</b> 9709:13 <b>unies</b> 9740:18	9725:8 9763:17 <b>verseker</b> 9682:9 9764:14 9772:20	<b>view</b> 9654:10 9695:10 9743:11,20 9744:3
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5 total 9651:15 9723:15 totale 9666:17 9692:10 9692:11 9693:22	9763:22 tyde 9659:6 9741:11,12 tydens 9741:18 tydsverloop 9761:24 tye 9715:17	<b>unfortunately</b> 9774:13 <b>unfounded</b> 9709:13 <b>unies</b> 9740:18 <b>uninvited</b> 9747:18	9725:8 9763:17 verseker 9682:9 9764:14 9772:20 version 9660:8,20	<b>view</b> 9654:10 9695:10 9743:11,20 9744:3 9746:9 9752:8 9771:11
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5 total 9651:15 9723:15 totale 9666:17 9692:10 9692:11 9693:22 totally 9708:16	9763:22 tyde 9659:6 9741:11,12 tydens 9741:18 tydsverloop 9761:24 tye 9715:17 type 9758:10,12	unfortunately 9774:13 unfounded 9709:13 unies 9740:18 uninvited 9747:18 union 9737:16	9725:8 9763:17 verseker 9682:9 9764:14 9772:20 version 9660:8,20 9662:6 9664:8	view 9654:10 9695:10 9743:11,20 9744:3 9746:9 9752:8 9771:11 viewed 9742:2
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5 total 9651:15 9723:15 totale 9666:17 9692:10 9692:11 9693:22 totally 9708:16 touched 9743:10	9763:22 tyde 9659:6 9741:11,12 tydens 9741:18 tydsverloop 9761:24 tye 9715:17 type 9758:10,12 typed 9662:22	unfortunately 9774:13 unfounded 9709:13 unies 9740:18 uninvited 9747:18 union 9737:16 unit 9765:7,8	9725:8 9763:17 verseker 9682:9 9764:14 9772:20 version 9660:8,20 9662:6 9664:8 9670:11 9675:19	view 9654:10 9695:10 9743:11,20 9744:3 9746:9 9752:8 9771:11 viewed 9742:2 viewpoint 9767:5
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5 total 9651:15 9723:15 totale 9666:17 9692:10 9692:11 9693:22 totally 9708:16 touched 9743:10 township 9676:14	9763:22 tyde 9659:6 9741:11,12 tydens 9741:18 tydsverloop 9761:24 tye 9715:17 type 9758:10,12	unfortunately 9774:13 unfounded 9709:13 unies 9740:18 uninvited 9747:18 union 9737:16 unit 9765:7,8 units 9768:3	9725:8 9763:17 verseker 9682:9 9764:14 9772:20 version 9660:8,20 9662:6 9664:8 9670:11 9675:19 9682:20,24 9684:10	view 9654:10 9695:10 9743:11,20 9744:3 9746:9 9752:8 9771:11 viewed 9742:2 viewpoint 9767:5 views 9750:21 9762:2
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5 total 9651:15 9723:15 totale 9666:17 9692:10 9692:11 9693:22 totally 9708:16 touched 9743:10 township 9676:14 toxic 9716:12 9739:12	9763:22 tyde 9659:6 9741:11,12 tydens 9741:18 tydsverloop 9761:24 tye 9715:17 type 9758:10,12 typed 9662:22	unfortunately 9774:13 unfounded 9709:13 unies 9740:18 uninvited 9747:18 union 9737:16 unit 9765:7,8 units 9768:3 unlucky 9740:25	9725:8 9763:17 verseker 9682:9 9764:14 9772:20 version 9660:8,20 9662:6 9664:8 9670:11 9675:19 9682:20,24 9684:10 9684:13 9687:4	view 9654:10 9695:10 9743:11,20 9744:3 9746:9 9752:8 9771:11 viewed 9742:2 viewpoint 9767:5 views 9750:21 9762:2 9767:19
tortured 9703:23 tot 9697:13 9727:23 9753:15 9766:5 total 9651:15 9723:15 totale 9666:17 9692:10 9692:11 9693:22 totally 9708:16 touched 9743:10 township 9676:14	9763:22 tyde 9659:6 9741:11,12 tydens 9741:18 tydsverloop 9761:24 tye 9715:17 type 9758:10,12 typed 9662:22 types 9663:9	unfortunately 9774:13 unfounded 9709:13 unies 9740:18 uninvited 9747:18 union 9737:16 unit 9765:7,8 units 9768:3	9725:8 9763:17 verseker 9682:9 9764:14 9772:20 version 9660:8,20 9662:6 9664:8 9670:11 9675:19 9682:20,24 9684:10	view 9654:10 9695:10 9743:11,20 9744:3 9746:9 9752:8 9771:11 viewed 9742:2 viewpoint 9767:5 views 9750:21 9762:2

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

-				Page 7
<b>vir</b> 9668:4 9675:12	9676:25 9731:11	9733:9,14,16,16,17	weren't 9650:19	witnessed 9643:12
9677:3,15 9713:14	waarop 9744:7	9733:19,20 9734:8,18	werkers 9756:14	witnesses 9660:22
9714:16 9722:24	waarskuwing 9650:24	9737:12 9740:15,16	werklik 9668:22	9687:8,25 9690:6,9,9
9723:7 9725:9 9731:9	9696:8,21 9697:4	9740:17 9746:12	werknemer 9733:13	9721:13 9732:4
9733:9,13 9737:12	waarskynlik 9711:1	9748:23 9752:15	West 9771:4	9738:15,23 9747:2
9741:9 9742:22	9734:9 9741:17	9756:15,17 9757:6	westekant 9673:23	witness's 9656:19
9746:13	9765:10	9759:24 9763:3	western 9670:4	9711:12
visit 9709:24 9735:7	wage 9742:11	9766:5,5 9768:6	we'd 9755:6 9760:25	Woensdag 9724:13
visiting 9735:14	wait 9700:23 9755:15	9769:21,22 9771:19	we'll 9691:16 9692:23	wonder 9675:6 9720:11
vlak 9763:3,5,5			9726:15 9727:10	won't 9698:1 9709:8
, ,	walk 9674:9,16	9771:21 9772:10,11 9772:22 9773:6		
9764:14,14 9766:11	9715:14		9729:9,11 9749:4	9714:9 9718:11
9768:6 9771:18,19	walked 9671:12 9674:3	water 9726:24	9763:20 9774:14	9733:3 9741:22
9772:5,10 9773:5,5,5	9675:20	waterkannonne 9650:7	we're 9667:4 9689:16	9746:18
vlakke 9762:17,23	walking 9670:13,18	waterkanon 9770:25	9694:3 9700:6	woord 9673:3 9711:8
<b>vlieg</b> 9733:18	9671:7,13 9672:16,25	water-kannonne	9712:10 9726:6	9768:15
voel 9761:21	9673:8,15 9678:21	9665:9	9769:5	word 9644:4 9649:12
<b>voertuie</b> 9659:17	want 9643:13,15	watse 9740:14	we've 9669:7 9694:15	9649:12 9651:8,12
9665:9 9672:2	9644:9 9645:16	watter 9722:22	9700:24 9731:20	9653:5 9656:10
voertuig 9668:7,11	9646:1 9647:4,24	way 9653:14 9676:18	9774:6	9668:10,12,15
voet 9671:17	9650:1 9652:19	9678:17 9681:5,18	we'll 9647:25 9648:13	9669:12 9671:18
voetnota 9644:24	9662:2 9669:7 9675:5	9683:7 9685:17	9658:11 9668:24	9674:25 9675:9
voetpad 9675:7,10	9680:2,15 9684:24	9687:9 9700:2	9685:4	9697:11,12 9710:15
voldoende 9666:24	9686:12 9689:18,19	9708:18 9711:13	we're 9652:22 9664:3	9724:16 9725:11
volg 9675:11	9680:12 9689:18,19	9708:18 9711:13	9667:5 9677:18	9724:16 9723:11 9731:11 9733:16,20
0				
volgende 9679:20	9703:3 9709:14,22	9719:18 9721:9	9682:5	9740:14 9756:19
volle 9670:9	9710:5,9,18 9712:9	9725:15 9734:3	what's 9647:5 9681:22	9757:7 9762:19
volume 9726:13	9712:10 9716:13	ways 9716:22	9689:3,5,11 9697:23	9765:1,11 9766:20
9755:18,20	9718:9 9720:18	wayward 9709:11	9770:5	9772:11
voor 9659:20 9674:12	9729:8 9731:1,20	weapon 9709:18	whichever 9714:14	wording 9645:14
9693:10 9699:11	9732:3,22 9741:19	weapons 9711:15	9728:6	9649:10,14
9751:14 9772:6	9745:21 9748:17	week 9689:22 9697:21	White 9657:11 9750:20	words 9652:4,13
voorbeeld 9772:7	9761:15 9765:13,15	9714:6,14,15 9762:15	9751:17 9752:4	9653:15 9663:12
<b>voordat</b> 9682:7	9765:20 9766:3,6	weekend 9689:11	9753:24 9754:2	9669:18 9671:9,20
voorgehou 9712:25	9770:18	weeks 9714:12,13	White's 9657:9 9751:7	9674:8 9678:21
voorgelê 9693:11	wanted 9663:13	weer 9677:14 9723:8	9751:10,16	9702:14 9705:14
9769:22	9675:23 9681:4	weereens 9655:7	who's 9761:15	9717:24 9719:25
voorste 9659:16	9714:1 9740:6	9677:23	wie 9671:18 9673:22	9724:5 9742:2
	9745:20 9774:7	wees 9644:5,8,8 9649:3	9733:13 9763:22	9743:21 9756:5
voortgegaan 9723:2	wanting 9753:4		wielding 9658:14	9767:14
voortgespruit 9770:12		9650:1,24 9659:20	8	
voorvalleboek 9722:16	warning 9650:9,12,17	9670:1 9671:4	9664:22,22 9665:22	work 9688:25 9689:8
vorentoe 9665:2	9650:18 9651:2	9673:22 9677:10	wil 9714:25 9723:1	9689:23 9730:9
<b>vorige</b> 9677:22	9695:21 9696:2,10	9697:11 9756:13	windrigtings 9672:12	9736:15
<b>vorm</b> 9744:8	warrant 9771:2	9759:9,14 9764:15	wire 9658:12 9663:4	workers 9757:17
vra 9685:24	warrants 9704:23	9766:11 9771:19	9665:16 9666:8	working 9749:25
<b>vraag</b> 9654:3 9658:21	Warrant-Officer	weet 9644:16,18	9667:7 9674:8	works 9681:18
9679:12 9714:25	9760:5,7 9771:3	9657:16 9658:20	wire/defensive 9664:21	worse 9690:14
9725:7	warrant-officers	9659:22 9666:16	wish 9690:12 9755:13	worst 9666:6
vrae 9657:21,25 9744:9	9770:20	9668:18,22 9676:4	9758:23 9773:17	<b>wou</b> 9676:4
vreemd 9675:12	wasn't 9651:3,3	9679:19 9683:25	wit 9677:2,10	wouldn't 9661:24
v <b>roeër</b> 9679:17	9661:23 9667:9	9691:24,25 9698:14	withdrawn 9704:18	9692:20 9761:15
vulnerable 9689:12	9688:1 9725:16	9715:9,10,11,17	9708:16,16,25 9709:1	writing 9648:13 9764:1
vuurwapen 9644:8,10	9736:11 9770:8	9718:7 9722:1,22	witness 9647:16	9764:2
vuurwapens 9644:4	wat 9644:8 9650:2	9733:8,9,19,20	9653:20 9656:6	written 9764:19
9650:1 9656:9	9654:15 9663:17	9734:7,16 9740:14,18	9661:5,15,15,19	wrong 9674:10,18
9657:22	9664:15,25 9665:8	9741:10 9742:20	9685:11,13 9686:15	9687:6 9710:22
vyf 9669:23	9666:16,18 9668:1,6	9761:22 9770:23	9686:21,22 9687:15	9758:1
<b>JI</b> 2002.23	9668:7,13,22 9669:13	9701.22 9770.23	9689:14 9690:8	wrote 9708:13
W				
	9673:23 9675:9,10,12	weg 9651:10 9677:14	9691:11 9692:15,19	<b>WW2</b> 9751:11
Waal 9733:13	9675:13 9676:3,8,9	wel 9693:12 9763:18	9699:24 9701:2	<b>WW4</b> 9751:11
waar 9675:7 9676:6	9677:3,5,21,24	wellness 9756:13,22,25	9702:18,19 9704:11	<b>wys</b> 9677:23
9677:1,4,8,21 9679:6	9679:17,18 9685:25	9758:13	9705:1,8 9706:13,16	
9679:15 9683:25	9693:12,23 9696:22	went 9706:10 9747:18	9706:23 9712:1	X
9724:14 9725:10	9697:12,13 9698:14	9758:1	9721:8 9724:18	<b>X</b> 9678:25 9681:6
9743:18 9756:10	9699:11 9711:2	werd 9737:13	9736:19 9740:6	X-number 9710:3
waarmee 9733:14	9715:4 9722:24	weren't 9703:6,11	9745:19 9746:24	l
waarmee 9755.14	7713.177 <b>22.</b> 21	() <b>CI CH C J / 0 J / J</b>		
waarna 9671:17	9723:4 9724:10	9736:16 9770:19	9760:25	Y

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

				Page
year 9690:5 9735:25	<b>12</b> 9714:16,18 9766:5	<b>2N</b> 9648:20,22	<b>5.2.3</b> 9662:15,23,25	
9736:6	<b>12de</b> 9721:25	<b>20</b> 9741:9 9773:21	9663:3	
yellow 9669:2,5,6	12th 9722:20	<b>200</b> 9665:16	<b>50</b> 9669:6 9670:5	
9670:6 9681:11,13,21	<b>12:05</b> 9735:5	<b>2011</b> 9697:7 9715:3	9671:13	
yesterday 9643:10	12:19 9710:11	<b>2012</b> 9644:20 9646:20		
9646:15 9658:12,16	<b>12:39</b> 9718:4	9646:21,23 9647:8,18	6	
9664:19 9665:15	<b>12:59</b> 9728:3	9654:22 9713:18,24	<b>6</b> 9645:6 9668:21	
9667:4,16 9687:21	<b>13</b> 9741:2 9744:3	9714:2 9726:17	9670:8 9672:7	
9688:25 9716:7	9755:18 9757:1	9754:25	9677:12 9708:9	
9743:11 9764:22	9758:10,15 9759:4	<b>2013</b> 9643:1	9722:9 9766:16	
9774:3	9768:22	<b>203</b> 9692:4	<b>6AM</b> 9738:10	
yield 9771:13	13de 9722:22 9759:24	<b>204</b> 9692:4	<b>6th</b> 9697:17	
you'll 9711:13 9715:22	9763:19	<b>21</b> 9644:25 9647:16		
9729:5 9745:19	13th 9716:15 9738:4	9726:8	7	
9761:4	9754:22,25 9758:12	<b>210</b> 9659:25 9660:3,9	<b>7</b> 9727:2	
<b>you're</b> 9686:13	9762:13 9763:8	9660:10,14 9684:2	<b>712</b> 9755:7,20,23	
9688:14 9692:6,18	9765:23	<b>216A</b> 9726:13	<b>713</b> 9755:7,21	
9696:5 9714:8 9719:3	<b>13(4)</b> 9769:11	<b>216B</b> 9726:13	<b>72</b> 9747:20	
9719:12,13 9728:11	<b>13:05</b> 9729:16	<b>22</b> 9648:4,5	<b>724</b> 9756:18	
9728:12 9730:1	<b>13:24</b> 9740:13	<b>23</b> 9726:9		
9738:15 9745:18	13:30 9715:24	<b>24th</b> 9657:19	8	
9746:7,24 9750:15	<b>13:44</b> 9748:13	<b>24/7</b> 9731:14	<b>8</b> 9767:9 9772:11	
9758:5 9760:23	<b>14</b> 9643:1 9649:8	<b>25</b> 9667:6,15 9729:11	<b>80</b> 9663:17 9669:1,4,18	
9770:3	9726:17	9729:12	9669:22,24 9670:8	
<b>you've</b> 9656:19	14th 9723:23 9727:8,9	<b>26ste</b> 9715:2	<b>8434</b> 9657:4	
9687:19 9688:5	9730:2,14,17 9765:23	<b>260</b> 9676:13		
9690:20 9705:1	<b>14(5)</b> 9649:8 9651:15	<b>262</b> 9645:13,15 9649:13	9	
9727:18 9770:4,16	<b>14(5)(b)</b> 9651:9	9653:15 9654:15,24	<b>9</b> 9662:22,22 9697:6	
	<b>14:22</b> 9726:18	9656:1 9664:2,4,7	9710:1 9711:16	
Z	<b>14:52</b> 9750:9	9756:24 9757:4,25	9766:15	
<b>Zyl</b> 9706:11 9708:7	<b>140</b> 9694:11 9760:8	9758:15,20 9760:12	<b>9:30</b> 9773:25 9774:17	
	<b>15</b> 9711:12	9760:21 9765:15,16		
0	<b>15de</b> 9740:17			
<b>000</b> 9689:6 9726:19	<b>15th</b> 9709:25 9737:16	3		
9751:25 9755:22	<b>15%</b> 9753:16	<b>3</b> 9751:25 9764:14		
9766:5	<b>15:12</b> 9757:22	9773:5		
<b>000565</b> 9644:24	<b>15:20</b> 9715:25 9716:4	<b>30th</b> 9713:18		
<b>09:40</b> 9643:2	<b>15:32</b> 9765:19	<b>300</b> 9751:25		
	<b>15:40</b> 9671:21	<b>31st</b> 9714:2		
1	<b>15:50</b> 9727:1	<b>32</b> 9751:12		
<b>1</b> 9664:11 9695:4	<b>15:51</b> 9660:14	<b>33</b> 9753:1		
9715:17 9726:13	<b>15:52</b> 9772:19	<b>34</b> 9666:9 9707:15		
9728:4,8,23,25	<b>16</b> 9644:25 9666:10,10	9708:11		
9729:1,3,6 9735:11	9711:15 9741:21	<b>38</b> 9760:6		
9737:25 9741:10	<b>16de</b> 9773:8			
9763:5 9764:23	<b>16th</b> 9650:4 9699:1,5	4		
9768:6 9771:14,15,18	9702:16 9728:15	<b>4</b> 9659:19 9668:20		
9771:19 9772:1,5,10	9735:3 9738:10	9675:7,22 9676:20		
9773:5	<b>17de</b> 9690:23	9677:13 9741:8		
<b>1ste</b> 9713:23	<b>17th</b> 9690:22	9755:7,20 9758:21		
<b>10</b> 9689:6 9703:24	<b>170</b> 9753:11,15,18	9768:22 9773:16		
9759:5 9766:5	<b>18:00</b> 9722:1	<b>4.4.20</b> 9751:10,12		
<b>10:00</b> 9655:9	<b>19.5</b> 9715:23	9752:2		
<b>10:19</b> 9664:15	<b>191</b> 9670:12 9675:1	<b>4.4.21</b> 9751:10,12		
<b>10:39</b> 9671:20	<b>193</b> 9676:5 9677:1	9752:24		
<b>10:52</b> 9659:12	9679:12	<b>41</b> 9730:1		
<b>10:59</b> 9679:4	<b>194</b> 9675:16 9677:19,21	<b>44</b> 9760:7		
<b>100</b> 9659:16 9670:8	9678:12 9679:8,13	<b>46</b> 9730:12		
<b>1004</b> 9735:4		<b>47</b> 9730:17		
1005 9735:5,11	2			
11 9759:5 9767:10	<b>2</b> 9648:22 9695:4,7	5		
9772:12	9715:18 9726:19	<b>5</b> 9644:25 9651:16,20		
<b>11.2</b> 9664:4	9728:5,8 9729:12	9662:24 9668:21		
<b>11:40</b> 9688:11	9741:12 9749:5	9676:9 9677:6,7,11		
11:55 9735:5,5	9763:5 9764:14,18	9765:21 9766:3		
<b>11:59</b> 9698:12	9766:11 9773:5	9773:16		
ARCHIVE FO	8 LUSTICE			

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119