RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 69 28 MARCH 2013 PAGES 7357 TO 7436

HELD AT

CIVIC CENTRE, RUSTENBURG, NORTH WEST PROVINCE



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za

Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



Page 7357 Page 7359 [PROCEEDINGS ON 28 MARCH 2013] GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes it was important 1 2 [09:36] CHAIRPERSON: 2 for me to understand the age because I think -The Commission resumes. 3 You're still under oath National Commissioner. Mr Bizos 3 MR BIZOS SC: No you're quite right to 4 you may proceed with your cross-examination. 4 want to deal with the age but the principle that is 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR BIZOS SC (CONTD.): 5 enunciated by Mr Haysom is that a principle which you, the present head of the police, accepts that it is still a Thank you Mr Chairman. Mr Chairman we handed in an article 6 6 7 7 by Mr Nicolas Haysom yesterday FFF and I think it's going problem. to be FFF19 but subject to the confirmation by our learned 8 GENERAL PHIYEGA: 8 No. I don't because the 9 9 friend. Is it 19? situation, the circumstances that prevail now are 10 MS PILLAY: 10 different. That's correct, Chair, it's FFF19. 11 11 MR BIZOS SC: Do you say that the problem 12 MR BIZOS SC: Thank you. Now 12 that he is dealing with did not exist during the last year? 13 Commissioner I want to refer you to an article by Mr 13 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I would look at it 14 Nicolas Haysom, a lawyer, called "Licence to Kill Part One. 14 differently during the last year. 15 The South African Police And The Use of Deadly Force." Have 15 MR BIZOS SC: Sorry, I didn't hear your you got a copy in front of you? 16 16 complete answer, please speak up. 17 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Yes, I do. 17 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I would look at it 18 MR BIZOS SC: I want to read the first 18 differently during the last year. 19 paragraph which is headed The Problem. "In the execution 19 MR BIZOS SC: Do you say that the problem that he poses did not exist in 2012? 20 of their duties South African Police use firearms and 20 21 inflict deadly force too readily and in unacceptable 21 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I have said I'd look at 22 circumstances. The use of firearms including shotguns on 22 it differently because it is different circumstances. 23 crowds and gatherings in unrest areas has become a 23 MR BIZOS SC: Does the problem exist or disturbing feature of police crowd control and township 24 24 not? 25 25 policing. The use of firearms in these situations has led In my opinion it does **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Page 7358 Page 7360 to the violation of the most precious of all the human 1 not. 2 MR BIZOS SC: 2 rights, the right to life. The practice of recruiting It does not exist? 3 policemen on township patrols with SSG shot and combat 3 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes. 4 rifles serves as an effective illustration." Have you any 4 MR BIZOS SC: Very well. I now want to 5 comment to make in relation to the present situation of the turn to page four. The first paragraph on that page "The South African Police, is it still the problem that Mr use of R1 and R4 rifle. Do you see the paragraph? 6 6 7 Haysom addresses? 7 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I see that. 8 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I think I'd like to 8 I'll read it. "The use of MR BIZOS SC: 9 first ask a question. What's the age of this document? 9 R1 or R4 rifles in crowd control or indeed any law No I'll give you that, I'll 10 MR BIZOS SC: enforcement duties is equally disturbing. These rifles are 10 give you that. weapons of war, to discharge high velocity unstable bullet 11 11 12 CHAIRPERSON: It's written after the 12 which tends to tumble upon striking the target and Kannemeyer Commission Report was handed down, 1987. In the 13 13 accordingly produces very severe injuries. A shot in the 14 period before democracy arrived in '94 and describes the 14 leg or an arm of an adult victim may well result in death, 15 situation as it was some years before that. 15 usually through the loss of blood and the damage to the 16 GENERAL PHIYEGA: 16 Okay. bone, muscle and arteries of the victim is severe. An 17 CHAIRPERSON: Particularly as I said in 17 independent forensic consultant Doctor D Klatzow who tested 18 relation to the Kannemeyer Commission Report which related 18 the effect of R4 bullet on a pig's head concluded that it 19 to an incident near Uitenhage where a number of people were 19 could never be justified in crowd control." I want to skip 20 killed by the police. 20 the middle portion with question and answer of Professor 21 MR BIZOS SC: Sorry. Commissioner, you 21 Bunge. "This revealing evidence of the effect of R4 rifles have a right to ask the question that you did but do you on adult victims must indicate that the use amounts to believe that this problem may have been a problem then but 23 intentional killing." Now never mind the date, in August 24 it is not a problem now? Is that why you asked the 24 last year were R1's and R4's used by the police on the question? 25 crowd?

Page 7361 Page 7363 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I've indicated that we know. If you don't that then you must tell us but 1 I think you'll forgive me if I say that you certainly know, 2 yesterday that the equipment, the nature of equipment that 2 3 was used will be answered by my commander. at least by hearsay, even if you haven't physically seen 4 MR BIZOS SC: We are entitled and choose 4 people using R1's and R4's and R5's, you do know that on 5 5 that basis don't you, R4's have been used? to ask you whether such arms were used last year? You as 6 GENERAL PHIYEGA: the head of the police. Don't tell me what I must ask your That, Judge, I would 6 7 7 commanders. We know what to do if and when they come. I agree with but the question that is being asked to me is whether those things were used - if I read that sentence 8 am asking you do you agree that R1's and R4's were used 9 9 last year which had deadly effect on the people that were "indicate that their use amounts to intentional killings" I shot at? What do you say, what do you say? I'm not 10 think that was where I am saying I cannot judge whether it 10 interested at this stage what your commanders may say. 11 was intentional or whatever but on the records what I've 11 12 12 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos you don't have to seen, what you've seen those types of arms may have been 13 give the witness a lecture, you asked her a question, let's 13 used. 14 get her to answer. If she doesn't answer directly I will 14 CHAIRPERSON: It's not may have been used 15 take it up with her. 15 MR BIZOS SC: Thank you Mr Chair. 16 16 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Or were used. 17 CHAIRPERSON: But there's no reason to 17 CHAIRPERSON: They were used and we also 18 think she won't give you a direct answer to that question 18 know that the use of those weapons did lead to the death of 19 so let her - give her a chance to do it, don't give her a 19 some of the protestors. 20 lecture as well, that's not necessary. 20 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Correct. 21 MR BIZOS SC: 21 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, for what it is Yes. 22 GENERAL PHIYEGA: 22 worth it is one R1 that was used and R5's, not the R4's, I've given you my 23 answer and I'm not able to answer the question if that 23 for what it is worth. 24 24 answer is insufficient. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, no it is - thank 25 CHAIRPERSON: Would you mind giving me you for the correction. Page 7362 Page 7364 MR SEMENYA SC: 1 the answer again? Give me the answer again. 1 - it's a range of these. 2 GENERAL PHIYEGA: My answer was that type 2 CHAIRPERSON: I'm trying to point - I 3 of question will be better answered by those who must confess I was under the impression that there were 4 commissioned the firearms, who knew what was used, who had R4's used as well. I'm informed now that I was under a 5 the expertise to do so. I'm not able to answer you on that misconception and I'm pleased to be corrected so - but one and I am saying if that is not acceptable, Judge, then 6 anyway let's not waste further time on this. Please move 6 I'm not able to answer that question. 7 7 CHAIRPERSON: 8 MR BIZOS SC: 8 Commissioner I think you'll When did you learn that the sort of arms that were used were deadly arms? When did you 9 forgive me if I say it's not as easy as that. The fact is you are the head of the police service -10 10 learn that? 11 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I am. MR MAHLANGU: The sort or the short of 11 12 CHAIRPERSON: The use of those particular 12 arms? The sort of arms. 13 13 weapons is to some extent a policy matter, it's the policy GENERAL PHIYEGA: Before I even joined 14 the police. 14 of the police service to equip some of its members with 15 those weapons for use in certain circumstances so that's 15 MR BIZOS SC: Before? **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I even joined the 16 where the question comes from. Now the question was simply 16 17 a factual one as I understand it, were such weapons used by 17 police. 18 the police during 2012 and the answer to that I take it has 18 MR BIZOS SC: Before you even joined the 19 got to be yes. Isn't that so? 19 police. Did you know on the 17th when you made the 20 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know, Judge. statement praising the police and the 20th August when you 21 CHAIRPERSON: Well we know from Marikana 21 again praised the police that such arms were used by the that - from all the facts about Marikana, Exhibit L, the 22 police against the crowd? briefing that you would have got, the information we have 23 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Yes.

24

24 is that certain members of the service who were on the

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

scene and fired, fired R1's, R4's and R5's so that's a fact

were used in August and we will show that a number of the

Now that you know that they

MR BIZOS SC:

```
Page 7365
                                                                                                                            Page 7367
    people that were killed were killed by such arms, would you
                                                                        table that's been drawn up there's six or seven out of 34
2
    have praised them if you knew that?
                                                                        that were shot from the back.
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                    3
3
                                Mr Bizos, wouldn't it have
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                    How many?
4
    depended on the circumstances under which those weapons
                                                                    4
                                                                               MS HEMRAJ SC:
                                                                                                      Six or seven that I've
5
    were used and whether they were really used for purposes of
                                                                        counted out of 34.
                                                                    6
    self or private defence or for some other purpose? So I
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      If you look at Annexure C
6
7
    think the question in the generalised form without some
                                                                    7
                                                                        perhaps if you can refer to it -
8
    kind of qualification isn't helpful.
                                                                    8
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                    I'll come to those details.
9
                                                                    9
           MR BIZOS SC:
                               If you knew that such
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      And then give the exhibit
10
    weapons were used did you consider them appropriate weapons
                                                                   10
                                                                        numbers. FFF20 is it? Yes thank you. Now if you look at
11
    to be used against the crowd?
                                                                        that a medical legal report Marikana Mine Deaths, it has an
                                                                    11
12
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                    12
                                     It absolutely depends
                                                                        annexure, a number of annexures at the end actually. One
13
    on the circumstances under which those weapons were used.
                                                                    13
                                                                        of the annexures is annexure, I'm not sure if it's
14
           MR BIZOS SC:
                               Let me go a bit forward
                                                                    14
                                                                        annexures or annexure but whichever it is, it's Annexure C,
15
    because during the course of this morning we will give you
                                                                        Table of Autopsy Findings Summarised and there are lists of
    the details of where the wounds were and what the nature of
16
                                                                    16
                                                                        deceased persons on whom autopsies were performed and in
    the wounds were. I want you to assume for the purposes of
17
                                                                    17
                                                                        the column headed (iv), that's a Roman four, there is the,
18
    my next question that the majority of the people that were
                                                                        what's described as Reconciled Main Cause of Death, Main
19
    killed were shot in the back or on the side and they were
                                                                    19
                                                                        Injury and one works through that document you'll see that
20
    deadly shots. Please assume that I have correctly
                                                                    20
                                                                        there are in all, as to be expected, 34 autopsies done.
21
    summarised what is on the medical reports before the
                                                                   21
                                                                        And if one counts up those that are shots from the rear or
22
                                                                    22
                                                                        the main injury is from the rear I don't think you get a
    Commission and in your counsel's possession. Please accept
23
    that as a fact for the purposes of the question. You can't
                                                                   23
                                                                        majority. Then in Annexure D there's a summary of Selected
                                                                    24
24
    possibly believe that bullets can turn around can you?
                                                                        Aspects of Autopsy Findings and if you look against the
25
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     I don't know, you've
                                                                        number eight in that annexure you'll see number shot from
                                                        Page 7366
                                                                                                                            Page 7368
                                                                        the rear of the body is seven. So according to this
    asked me a question, do you want me to answer?
1
2
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                        document - I don't want to stop you asking the question but
                                 Please make an assumption
3
    of what I am telling you before I put the question. Are
                                                                    3
                                                                        I want to stop you asking the question on the basis that's
4
    you prepared for the purposes of the questions I'm about to
                                                                    4
                                                                        a majority. You can say to the witness according to this
5
    ask you to accept that the majority of the people that were
                                                                        document seven out of 34 which is approximately 20% were
    killed were shot in the back by hard ammunition. Are you
                                                                    6
                                                                        shot from the rear that in itself is a significant number
6
7
    prepared to accept that for the purposes of the question
                                                                    7
                                                                        and you can ask her to comment but you can't put it on the
                                                                    8
8
    that will follow?
                                                                        basis of the majority because that is not in accordance
9
                                                                    9
    [09:57] GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                        with your own document.
                                         I'm not able to accept
    it, I haven't seen it, I haven't been briefed, it is
                                                                    10
10
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                    Yes. Thank you, Mr
11
    unfair.
                                                                    11
                                                                        Chairman, for the correction, it is my fault. I have added
12
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                 You're not prepared to
                                                                    12
                                                                        the back and the side and I will amend the question that
13
    accept the assumption that the people were shot in the back
                                                                    13
                                                                        the majority, the majority were shot in the back or the
    and they were shot by hard ammunition, why are you not
                                                                    14
                                                                        side. Sorry that I assumed that in using the word "the
14
15
    prepared to accept that hypothesis for the purposes of your
                                                                    15
                                                                        back." I should have said and I do now put that the
    evidence?
                                                                    16
16
                                                                        majority were shot either in the back or on the side.
17
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      I am just saying that
                                                                    17
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      Correct because Annexure D
18
    because I haven't seen it, I haven't been briefed, I don't
                                                                    18
                                                                        again, item seven, says number shot from one or both sides
19
    know about that report, so it's unfair for me really to say
                                                                    19
                                                                        of the body is 21, so that 21 over 34 is -
                                                                    20
20
    I accept.
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     70%.
21
           COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ SC:
                                                                    21
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      No, no 21 over 34 in fact
    you relying on this medical legal report for putting that
                                                                        is three over five which is about 60% but that's a
    question?
                                                                    23
23
                                                                        majority.
24
          MR BIZOS SC:
                                 Yes.
                                                                    24
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                    The majority. Let me
           MS HEMRAJ SC:
                                  Because if you look at the
                                                                        repeat the question as corrected by members of the
```

of police actions?

ARCHIVE FOR

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

Page 7369 Page 7371 Commission. If the evidence of the experts is that 60% or **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Not a particular 2 more of the victims that died were shot either in the back structure but it depends on what the criticism is and it 3 or on the side, if you accept that for the purposes of the will be dealt by the respective environment. 4 question, do you agree that people who attack policemen 4 MR BIZOS SC: Is there any structure in 5 don't finish up being shot dead unless they are face to the police which takes regard to what the judges of the face with a policeman? Constitutional Court or the Court of Appeal or the 6 6 7 MR SEMENYA SC: 7 Objection. It doesn't provincial divisions say about police conduct? 8 follow, Chair. 8 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** As I've said the 9 9 MR BIZOS SC: Do you - prepare to accept various environments that are affected by the comment would 10 that the evidence of the entry of the wound of those who 10 deal with the comment. died was not in their faces or their chests or their legs 11 MR BIZOS SC: I'm sorry I didn't hear, 11 or the front part of their body, accept that please. 12 12 please speak up. 13 MR SEMENYA SC: 13 That's not the evidence, **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I have said the various environments that are affected by the comment will actively 14 Chair. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON: I think it might help if deal with the comment that has been raised. you turned your machine on, your microphone on, Mr Semenya, MR BIZOS SC: That's not very clear to then what you say will be on record. me. Is there any particular body that actually looks at 17 17 18 MR SEMENYA SC: 18 the judgments of the courts, the articles written by 19 CHAIRPERSON: Please proceed. 19 lawyers or academics? Is there such a body that says those 20 MR SEMENYA SC: That is not the evidence, 20 who are entrusted to interpret the Constitution and the 21 Chair. That proposition can't be put like my learned laws of the country say that this police practice is wrong. 21 22 22 colleague is putting it. Is there such a body? 23 MR BIZOS SC: We will do the medical 23 CHAIRPERSON: I think you must define the 24 stuff, the medical matters in detail -24 body more precisely. I understand the point you're making, 25 CHAIRPERSON: No, Mr Bizos, the position various criticisms are made of the police by courts and Page 7370 Page 7372 is you're perfectly entitled to put general questions along writers of articles and presumably enters the newspaper, 1 the lines, on the lines you're putting them to the witness, all sorts of possible sources of complaints and criticism. 2 3 asking her to make an assumption which is going to backed 3 I understand your question that is there a dedicated 4 up yes by the report. Mr Semenya's complaint is the 4 section as it were in the police whose job it is to keep an 5 5 assumption you're asking her to make isn't going to be eye open for complaints and criticism of various kinds 6 which can then be referred to the relevant section for the backed up by the only evidence you're going to put so all 6 7 the evidence has been lead so far but if it's a matter that 7 necessary action. Is that your question? 8 8 in a sense to which you may have to go back to the drawing MR BIZOS SC: Yes. 9 9 board and you can deal with it later in the cross-CHAIRPERSON: I think you could put it a 10 bit more clearly. I take it the witness now understands 10 examination then that might be a sensible way to do it. But at the moment the complaint is that what you're putting what the question is or do you want me to repeat it? 11 11 12 isn't in accord with your own medical legal report which 12 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** Ja, Judge, I am saying 13 Exhibit FFF20. Whether that's correct or not is something 13 the number of those judgments are diverse, if a judgment is 14 given on how we have handled for instance a procurement 14 we can sort out later but I suggest have a look at it and 15 unless it will interfere with the flow and the structure of 15 matter, when it comes to my office I will ask the CFO, the 16 16 your cross-examination but if that's not a problem head of administration to look at that and tomorrow when an 17 MR BIZOS SC: Let's do it after we have 17 issue such as this one that you're raising comes I'll look 18 done the other topics -18 at it and it will go to ORS. The executives they will have 19 CHAIRPERSON: Yes the other topics that 19 to deal with it. So there isn't any sitting structure, the 20 you want to only sitting structure would be legal alerting me to the 21 MR BIZOS SC: We have a lot to put before issues and I will direct them to the respective the Commission and the witness in relation and it may make environments to deal with them. That's the answer I am it easier. What I want to ask you is this, is there a 23 giving you. 24 structure in the police force that studies the criticisms 24 CHAIRPERSON: Didn't you ask whether

there was a special section in the police force, sorry, I

number.

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

```
Page 7373
                                                                                                                             Page 7375
    beg your pardon, the police service whose job it was to
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     It was brought to my
 2
    look out for complaints and criticisms and then -
                                                                         attention and I was going to ask for a correction, Mr
 3
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                An ombudsman.
                                                                         Chair. You have repeatedly said that the matter is before
 4
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 No it wouldn't necessarily
                                                                         the Commission. Does that mean that the police force is
 5
    be an ombudsman and then refer them presumably if necessary
                                                                         not prepared to take heed to what has been happening before
    to the National Commissioner herself so that they can then
                                                                         the Commission and taking urgent action? But is it just
 6
 7
    be dealt with by the appropriate section. I thought that
                                                                     7
                                                                         sitting back and saying well we will do nothing until the
    was the question you've asked but anyway even if I'm wrong
                                                                     8
                                                                         Commission has finished?
8
                                                                     9
 9
     perhaps that question might -
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           I have not said so.
           MR BIZOS SC:
10
                                No, no that is the
                                                                    10
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     Well for instance have you,
11
    question.
                                                                    11
                                                                         as soon as this advice from Mr De Rover came to your
12
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      I'll again say it, we
                                                                    12
                                                                         notice, say SAPS is immediately instructed to stop using
                                                                    13
                                                                         5.56 calibre weapons, did you do that?
13
    have a legal department, we have a legal department that
                                                                    14
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
    would advise me on any matter related to legal but because
                                                                                                      It is not what Mr De Rover
                                                                    15
    I work with diverse environments if it's a matter that has
                                                                         said, Mr De Rover said, there must be a reassessment and
    got to do with DNA issues that have been ruled by the court
                                                                         what he said was the reassessment was urgent and should be
    I will take it to the forensic services to look into. If
                                                                    17
                                                                         effected without delay. The reassessment wouldn't
17
    it is a matter such as this one I'll take it to ORS. If
                                                                         necessarily lead to the conclusion that in all cases these
19
    it's a matter that relates to tenders and all those things
                                                                    19
                                                                         calibre weapons, 5.56 calibre weapons shouldn't be used.
20
    I'll take it to the CFO, so I don't have just a unit
                                                                    20
                                                                         So I don't think the question is entirely accurate, but
21
    looking, it's not only this, I deal with diverse, multiple
                                                                    21
                                                                         perhaps you can reformulate it and put it correctly.
22
    expertise environments in the police.
                                                                    22
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     I will reword it. Thank
23
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                    23
                                                                         you, Mr Chairman. I'll put the question in this form. Do
                                Have you yourself looked
24
    into the question when you assumed office of any criticisms
                                                                    24
                                                                         you agree that Mr De Rover, with Mr De Rover that the use
25
    of police conduct and in relation to their conduct and
                                                                         of these weapons in crowd control must be urgently
                                                         Page 7374
                                                                                                                             Page 7376
     respect for human life? Did you study anything, did you
                                                                     1
                                                                         reviewed?
     read any judgements, did you ask to be advised what they
 2
                                                                     2
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                            We shall reassess.
 3
     ought to do and what they aught not to do?
                                                                     3
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                      I beg your pardon.
 4
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                       I'm being advised on
                                                                     4
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                            We shall reassess.
 5
    many things including that, advocate.
                                                                     5
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                      You haven't done anything
            MR BIZOS SC:
 6
                                 In paragraph 75 of the
                                                                     6
                                                                         about it.
 7
     affidavit of Mr De Rover, he says "in my discussions with
                                                                     7
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                            No.
                                                                     8
8
     SAPS we arrive at the conclusion that following Marikana
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                      Do you consider it an
 9
     the reassessment of the employment of 5.56 calibre weapons
                                                                     9
                                                                         urgent matter?
10
    in crowd management situation is urgent and must be
                                                                    10
                                                                                                            I do say we shall
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
     effected without delay." Was that opinion of your expert,
11
                                                                    11
                                                                         reassess and we'll reassess many recommendations that we
12
     Mr De Rover, brought to your attention?
                                                                    12
                                                                         are going to be getting including this one.
                                                                    13
13
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                       I will repeat the
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                      The question was do you
14
     question, the answer that I gave yesterday. That this is
                                                                    14
                                                                         consider it an urgent matter?
15
     expert input to the Commission by the an expert and the
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                    15
                                                                                                        Mr Bizos, please don't
     outcomes of this Commission. You are going to look at
16
                                                                    16
                                                                         raise your voice like that, it's not necessary.
17
     everything, at this point in time it is his opinion, it is
                                                                    17
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                      It's because I'm hoping
18
    his expert position and we would have a post commission
                                                                    18
                                                                         that she will speak up a little so that I can hear her Mr
     period as the SAPS to look at all the recommendations that
                                                                    19
                                                                         Chairman and it -
    we'll be coming across. At this point in time no.
                                                                    20
                                                                         [10:17] CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                          I'm not sure that she has
21
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Mr Bizos I'm sorry, I think
                                                                    21
                                                                         the same problems with respect that you have -
    it's paragraph 89.
                                                                    22
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                      That I have but I will try
22
           MR BIZOS SC:
                               89 yes -
                                                                    23
23
          CHAIRPERSON:
                                  I think you had the wrong
                                                                    24
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                        But we have sympathy for
```

this problem and I'm sure she's doing her best to speak up

question about it?

ARCHIVE FOR

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

```
Page 7377
                                                                                                                            Page 7379
     but if you raise your voice the way you do it might be
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     You can put it to her but I
2
     construed as having an intimidatory effect which is not
                                                                        don't want her to be ambushed, so I wanted to give her an
     desirable. I'm sure you don't intend to do that.
3
                                                                        opportunity, if she needs it, to study the passage, if
4
            MR BIZOS SC:
                                  Thank you, Mr Chairman.
                                                                        there's a particular passage - perhaps the way to do it is
5
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   Mr Operator, is it possible
                                                                    5
                                                                        this, is there a particular passage you want to rely on -
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
    to amplify your equipment in such a way that the answers
                                                                    6
                                                                                                   Yes
6
                                                                    7
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     You might tell her now what
7
     given, Mr Bizos seems to be raising his voice himself
                                                                        that is, give her a chance during the tea adjournment to
    without artificial amplification but if you can amplify the
                                                                    8
8
                                                                    9
9
     answers of the witness it might help Mr Bizos and also the
                                                                        read it and then question her about it afterwards.
                                                                    10
10
    rest of us.
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                   Yes, well I will tell you
                                                                    11
                                                                        that I think you know about this document because you
11
            MR BIZOS SC:
                                  Thank you, Mr Chairman. Mr
                                                                    12
                                                                        yourself I think went to Parliament if I [inaudible] I'm
12
     Chairman, we have placed before you another document.
                                                                    13
                                                                        corrected, you were there the day after. It doesn't matter
13
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   - document.
                                                                    14
14
            MR BIZOS SC:
                                  That is so.
                                                                        whether you were there or not but on page three you see the
15
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   That will be FFF21, Ms
                                                                    15
                                                                        heading "Does the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power."
     Pillay?
                                                                        Will you please read that and this is what I am going to
16
17
                                                                        ask you questions about. I now turn to the final medical
            MS PILLAY:
                               That's correct, Chair.
                                                                    17
18
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   Thank you.
                                                                        legal report and the report will be an evaluation of
19
            MR BIZOS SC:
                                  Is it 20?
                                                                    19
                                                                        clinical records of survivors by Doctors Steve Naidoo and
20
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                   21, 20 was the previous
                                                                    20
                                                                        Doctor Reggie Perumo? -
                                                                   21
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     I'm sorry to interrupt you,
21
     document. The legal deaths report is 20, FFF20 and this is
                                                                    22
                                                                        Mr Bizos, the second document to which you refer, the
22
     FFF21 and this is a presentation portfolio committee on
23
                                                                    23
                                                                        report on evaluation of clinical records of survivors has
     police of the 26th March which was two days ago.
24
                                                                    24
                                                                        not got an exhibit number. It's obviously a separate
            MR BIZOS SC:
                                  Have you got a copy of it
                                                                        document. The medical legal report on the deaths is FFF20
25
    in front of you?
                                                        Page 7378
                                                                                                                            Page 7380
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      No I don't have. You
                                                                        now this one will have to be 22 I think.
1
                                                                    2
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
2
    didn't give me.
                                                                                                    Yes
3
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                Mr - tells me that he put a
                                                                    3
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      So the report on the
4
    copy on your desk.
                                                                    4
                                                                        evaluation of clinical records of survivors is Exhibit
5
                                                                    5
                                                                        FFF22.
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      Okay.
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                If it isn't -
                                                                    6
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     21 and 22. Thank you Mr -
6
7
           MR SEMENYA SC:
                                                                    7
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                   Okay.
                                                                                                      No, no, no 21 is the
                                Have you got it?
8
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                    8
                                                                        warning light one which you've - which we've already marked
9
           MR SEMENYA SC:
                                                                    9
                                   We've got it yes.
                                                                        so unless you want for convenience to make them 20 and 21
10
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 Mr Bizos, do you think it
                                                                    10
                                                                        in sequence but we've already marked the warning light one
    would be fair to give the witness an opportunity to read
                                                                    11
                                                                        20. We should be capable of handling a 20 and 22. Right.
11
12
    the document or perhaps she's aware of it already in view
                                                                    12
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                    I want to ask you -
    of the fact that it was a presentation -
13
                                                                    13
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      And the same point applies
14
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                    14
                                                                        of course. I don't want anything in the nature of an
                                I will deal with only -
                                                                   15
15
                                                                        ambush. If there's a passage that you want to refer to and
    well I just want to ask her whether she knows anything
    about the document and I she doesn't have to read the whole
                                                                        it's a fairly lengthy one I think we must give the witness
17
    document, Mr Chairman, with respect. I'm going to question
                                                                    17
                                                                        an opportunity to read it beforehand but I don't know how
                                                                    18
18
    her on only one aspect.
                                                                        you're going to use this document for cross-examination but
                                                                    19
19
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  It may be said that she
                                                                        at least bear that point in mind.
    doesn't have to read the whole document now but I think she
                                                                    20
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     I will, Mr Chairman. Do
21
    should possibly read the whole document fairly soon in the
                                                                        you know whether the police conducted a forensic
22 course of her professional duties if she hasn't done so
                                                                        investigation into the nature of the injuries sustained by
    already.
                                                                    23
                                                                        survivors of the massacre?
23
         MR BIZOS SC:
                                Yes. Could I put my
                                                                    24
                                                                               GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                          I think IPID could do
```

that, not the police.

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

	D 7004		D 7000
1	Page 7381 MR BIZOS SC: I beg your pardon.	1	Page 7383 CHAIRPERSON: We'll hear whether the
2	GENERAL PHIYEGA: The police would not be	2	modification is of the nature you've described.
3	expected to do that but maybe IPID.	3	MR BIZOS SC: Do you know that 84 people
4	MR BIZOS SC: Do you know whether that	4	were injured?
5		5	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes, I do.
	body has done it or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I don't know because	6	
6		7	•
7	I'm not part of it.		
8	MR BIZOS SC: In order to decide whether	8	MR BIZOS SC: Records were kept to the
9	or not people were injured in self defence or wanton as a	9	nature of their injuries.
10	punishment the nature of the injuries of those who had	10	GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes.
11	survived were of some importance including to you to decide	11	MR BIZOS SC: Where those injuries were
12	whether or not the so-called self defence story was true.	12	on what part of the body, what side of the body would be of
13	CHAIRPERSON: Microphone light is on, do	13	importance in deciding for all us including the
14	you want to say something?	14	Commissioner whether the shots were fired in self defence
15	MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, Chair, as a matter	15	or as a matter of aggression against those people. Not a
16	of law if a death like this results out of police conduct	16	question of expert opinion, if they were shot at the back
17	it is a different legal entity that takes over to do the	17	you and I can draw an inference and if they were shot in
18	pathologies. It cannot be expected of the police to do it	18	front you and I can draw an inference. If they were shot
19	themselves.	19	on the side another possible inference. Please answer the
20	MR BIZOS SC: I'll change the question	20	question.
21	slightly in order to get on. The nature of the injuries,	21	CHAIRPERSON: Now the time has come to
22	those wounded but lived would be useful evidence to decide	22	ask the question. Ask the question in a form which as you
23	whether or not the police shot in self defence or not.	23	obliges the witness to answer it.
24	What is your answer?	24	MR BIZOS SC: Yes. Did you take any
25	GENERAL PHIYEGA: I didn't hear an	25	steps to ascertain before judging the police what those
1	Page 7382	1	Page 7384
1	answer, I heard a statement.	1	injuries were and their nature?
2	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied	2	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time
2	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree?	2	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the
2 3 4	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for	2 3 4	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still
2 3 4 5	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that.	2 3 4 5	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out.
2 3 4 5 6	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but	2 3 4 5 6	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the
2 3 4 5 6 7	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming	2 3 4 5 6 7	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide whether the police acted in self defence or not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what happened. We close our eyes to all other evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide whether the police acted in self defence or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what happened. We close our eyes to all other evidence. CHAIRPERSON: There was other evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide whether the police acted in self defence or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know. MR BIZOS SC: Why don't you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what happened. We close our eyes to all other evidence. CHAIRPERSON: There was other evidence that was visible to her in respect of which she could close
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide whether the police acted in self defence or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know. MR BIZOS SC: Why don't you know? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, that also is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what happened. We close our eyes to all other evidence. CHAIRPERSON: There was other evidence that was visible to her in respect of which she could close her eyes which is an assumption that you're making with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide whether the police acted in self defence or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know. MR BIZOS SC: Why don't you know? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, that also is a matter expert field by various scientifically trained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what happened. We close our eyes to all other evidence. CHAIRPERSON: There was other evidence that was visible to her in respect of which she could close her eyes which is an assumption that you're making with the question but the other factor is of course, I take it you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide whether the police acted in self defence or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know. MR BIZOS SC: Why don't you know? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, that also is a matter expert field by various scientifically trained people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what happened. We close our eyes to all other evidence. CHAIRPERSON: There was other evidence that was visible to her in respect of which she could close her eyes which is an assumption that you're making with the question but the other factor is of course, I take it you had a briefing from the police. Had you seen the video
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide whether the police acted in self defence or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know. MR BIZOS SC: Why don't you know? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, that also is a matter expert field by various scientifically trained people. MR BIZOS SC: With respect I disagree. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what happened. We close our eyes to all other evidence. CHAIRPERSON: There was other evidence that was visible to her in respect of which she could close her eyes which is an assumption that you're making with the question but the other factor is of course, I take it you had a briefing from the police. Had you seen the video footage that was broadcast or some of it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	answer, I heard a statement. CHAIRPERSON: That was an implied question, is it correct or do you agree? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yes I'm just asking for that. CHAIRPERSON: He did make a statement but by implication he was saying do you agree. So assuming that that implied question was expressed are you able to answer it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: Judge, I'm going to ask to be asked that question again because I would like to answer something I understand. MR BIZOS SC: The nature of the wounds on the injured would be of importance to decide whether the police shot in self defence or wanton shooting in order to punish people. Those injuries were they relevant to decide whether the police acted in self defence or not? GENERAL PHIYEGA: I do not know. MR BIZOS SC: Why don't you know? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, that also is a matter expert field by various scientifically trained people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	injuries were and their nature? GENERAL PHIYEGA: No because at that time you would know that it was on the 17th and it was on the 20th and the processes you are talking about were still rolling out. MR BIZOS SC: But why would you take the say-so of the police that they acted in self defence without examining the evidence that may have contradicted it? GENERAL PHIYEGA: There are other circumstances that informed that decision. MR BIZOS SC: What circumstances were those. GENERAL PHIYEGA: The attack of the police. MR BIZOS SC: The police version of what happened. We close our eyes to all other evidence. CHAIRPERSON: There was other evidence that was visible to her in respect of which she could close her eyes which is an assumption that you're making with the question but the other factor is of course, I take it you had a briefing from the police. Had you seen the video

Page 7387

Page 7385 MR BIZOS SC: I must disclose, Mr I mean that's one point it occurs to me. I'm not sure that 1 Chairman, that we have 61 records and not 84 because some it is guite as straightforward as you suggest to the 2 3 of them could not be found as a result - despite our 3 witness but perhaps you can reformulate the question in a 4 efforts in respect of the people that are wounded. I want 4 way which puts the nub of your point to the witness. 5 5 to put this to you in regard to the injured miners. In 61 MR BIZOS SC: Yes. 6 CHAIRPERSON: of the recorded cases of gunshot wounds 33 cases or 64% of Without incurring the 6 7 those injuries were sustained by regular gunshots firing 7 objection which prima facie is sharp ammunition. 33 cases of the 64 of the injuries were 8 MR BIZOS SC: 8 Having regard to the number 9 9 sustained by regular gunshots firing sharp ammunition and of injuries 64% with sharp ammunition and only 7% with 10 you will find that, Mr Chairman, in clinical reports -10 rubber bullets can we infer that the police used many more CHAIRPERSON: I found it, it's on page 11 11 sharp ammunition to, against the crowd then rubber bullets? 12 12 two. MS PHIYEGA: I'm not able to, because 13 MR BIZOS SC: Page two. 13 really it is important for me to have the base line facts, 14 CHAIRPERSON: A D1 type of injury. 14 what shots were fired of the sharp ammunition, of the 15 MR BIZOS SC: Only 7% of the injuries 15 rubber bullets, that inference will then start making sense were caused by rubber bullets. I will ask you to accept 16 16 to me. At this point in time I'm not able to make it. the correctness of this. Are the police expected, if they 17 17 MR BIZOS SC: Even, if one may make some 18 must use fire as a last resort in terms of the orders, to 18 allowance in the comparison that not on the number of 19 use rubber bullets and not sharp ammunition, in terms of 19 rubber bullets because rubber bullets may not leave an 20 the Standing Orders which you yourself wrote Exhibit S 20 injury, but nevertheless do the figures not show to you 21 about. 21 that the favoured weapon of the police were sharp 22 GENERAL PHIYEGA: 22 ammunition? Maybe before I answer 23 let me ask another question so that I can contextualise my 23 MR SEMENYA SC: Chairperson, objection. 24 CHAIRPERSON: 24 answer. What I've heard you say, you've given me some 25 number of people who were - whose deaths have been found to Page 7386 be of rubber bullets and others of other forms of -1 2 MR BIZOS SC: Sharp ammunition. 3 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Okay. What may assist

Before, Mr Semenya, makes his point. Mr Bizos, I'd like to say something about the Page 7388 question you are asking. I think you should look at slide 211 of exhibit L and compare it with sort of, and also slide 257, what those two slides indicate, this is not a question of inference from injuries of people but actual facts apparently of munitions expended at the two scenes. 5 6 According to 211 533 less then lethal, what they call 7 munitions were expended at scene 1 of which 522 were rubber 8 bullets or balls or whatever the correct expression is. As 9 far as the lethal ammunition is concerned if one includes 10 both warning and shots fired by way of warning shots and 11 shots fired actually towards people the total is 284. As 12 far as these, as far as this information is concerned it 13 would appear to indicate, if correct, that 533, sorry 522 14 rubber bullets or balls were fired compared with 284 sharp 15 point ammunition of which 175 were fired at people as 16 opposed to 109 as warnings. Then if one turns to 257 one 17 moves there to scene 2, there the figures tend to support 18 you because there were 30, out of total of just over 300 so 19 called munitions and there were only 30 rubber balls fired 20 compared with 120 fired towards people and 148 by way of 21 warning. Now that I would suggest is a more, is a safer 22 basis for asking the kind of questions you want to ask 23 rather then this other basis you're proceeding on which is 24 subject I would think -25 MR BIZOS SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman, I

4 us is also to understand that the other six how many rubber 5 bullets were shot because it will give me a context? MR BIZOS SC: 6 We can have a look at that 7 but on the face of it, on the face of the injuries do you agree that if the figures are correct much less rubber 8 9 bullets were used than sharp ammunition. 10 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** I don't know but I'm asking the question. Okay how many rubber bullets were 11 12 shot? 13 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, you've turned 14 on your microphone did you wish to say something? 15 MR SEMENYA SC: Again the question is misleading, Chair. One cannot on the face of injuries 17 compute that less type ammunition was used than the other. 18 MR BIZOS SC: Commonsense would show, Mr 19 Chairman, with respect, common sense would show that the number of injuries would in all probability correspond to 21 the percentage of bullets or -22 [10:37] CHAIRPERSON: It would depend on a number 23 of factors including the ability of those who fire rubber 24 bullets to hit the target compared with the ability of 25 those who used sharp ammunition to hit some kind of target.

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

```
Page 7389
                                                                                                                           Page 7391
    will modify the question and I was about to say that it may
                                                                    1
                                                                        is FFF20.
    well be that the numbers may be effected because rubber
2
                                                                    2
                                                                               MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                     Yes.
3
    bullets do not usually, may not leave a mark on the target.
                                                                    3
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       And at the beginning it
4
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Depending I think at the
                                                                    4
                                                                        says a report by Doctors Naidoo and Fahrumu followed by
5
                                                                    5
                                                                        annexures and in the report part that's near the front of
    distance they're also fired.
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                    6
6
                                                                        the certificate -
                                Yes, the distance.
7
                                                                    7
                                                                               MS PHIYEGA:
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 I presume the short way
                                                                                                     Chairperson, can I say
                                                                    8
8
    there'd be a bruise but at a further range -
                                                                        something -
9
                                                                    9
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                I will take both and thank
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       A ten page section on page
    you very much for drawing our attention. I will combine
                                                                   10
                                                                        8.
10
                                                                   11
                                                                               MS PHIYEGA:
11
    the two and change the question which I think respect will
                                                                                                     Ja.
                                                                   12
12
    satisfy my learned friend, Mr Semenya. We have figures of
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       Is the passage that is
13
    how many people, how many people were injured by sharp
                                                                   13
                                                                        being, you're being referred to and I think counsel, is in
14
    ammunition and how many shots were made with sharp
                                                                   14
                                                                        fact referring to paragraph 2 which is at the top of page
    ammunition and we have similar figures for rubber bullets
                                                                   15
                                                                        8, is that right, Mr Bizos?
15
    ammunition. Taking them both together it would appear that
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
16
                                                                   16
                                                                                                     Yes.
17
    most of the people that were injured were injured with
                                                                   17
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       Give the witness a chance
18
    sharp ammunition, will you accept that please?
                                                                   18
                                                                        to read it first before you pepper her with questions.
19
           CHAIRPERSON HEMRAJ:
                                          Mr Bizos, would it
                                                                   19
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     Well I am informed that the
20
    not be most of the people that are part of this data base
                                                                   20
                                                                        document was available to her in the - it doesn't matter -
21
    on which this analysis was done, that there might have been
                                                                   21
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     Yesterday.
    other people shot by rubber balls that didn't actually go
22
                                                                   22
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       Yes I'm sure she has got a
23
    to hospital and don't form part of the database?
                                                                   23
                                                                        lot of other things to look at, not only documents relating
24
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                Well we can make allowance
                                                                   24
                                                                        to our commission but ongoing matters relating to her
25
    for that as well, as a part of the possibilities of the
                                                                   25
                                                                        office. So we would give her a chance.
                                                        Page 7390
                                                                                                                           Page 7392
    lesser number of evidence shots but we cannot overcome the
1
                                                                    1
                                                                               MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                  Chairperson, I want to say
    fact that I want the witness to assume that most of the
2
                                                                        something here. This is very intricate and technical
3
    people injured and that did not die were injured by sharp
                                                                        report. I need time to read it. The anecdotal approach
4
    ammunition. Were you prepared to assume that?
                                                                        you are taking to these issues that are being raised are
5
                                                                    5
           MS PHIYEGA:
                                Not quite -
                                                                        compromising the quality of my responses and I would appeal
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                But why?
                                                                    6
                                                                        to be given time to read this report.
6
7
           MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                    7
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    - provided for, just
                               Particularly because of the
                                                                    8
8
    point that has just been raised.
                                                                        looking at a section of the warning lights document during
9
                                                                    9
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                        the tea adjournment, perhaps we can, and as you've probably
                                 Yes
10
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                In 29 of the 34 deceased
                                                                   10
                                                                        got other calls in the time during the tea adjournment to
    miners were shot by high velocity firearm ammunition. That
11
                                                                   11
                                                                        deal with urgent problems arising in the office but, and
12
    will be found on page 8 of FFF20, Mr Chairman.
                                                                   12
                                                                        also drinking tea which is necessary as well. But perhaps
           CHAIRPERSON:
13
                                 Thank you.
                                                                   13
                                                                        we can give her an opportunity to look at this document.
14
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                And there are six cases of
                                                                   14
                                                                        If she's not ready by the end of the scheduled time for
15
                                                                   15
                                                                        ending the tea adjournment we can give her some extra time
    rubber bullets. Are you prepared to assume that? Have you
                                                                   16
16
    answered?
                                                                        because as I told you before I don't think we must allow
17
           MS PHIYEGA:
                                No, I haven't answered.
                                                                   17
                                                                        cross-examination by ambush and putting a document to
           MR BIZOS SC:
18
                                Are you still looking it.
                                                                   18
                                                                        someone and saying look at this passage, you haven't read
19
           MS PHIYEGA:
                                I haven't answered because
                                                                        the rest, please answer can have that effect. I'm not sure
    I'm busy moving around pages with you. I haven't seen this
                                                                        - I'm not suggesting you're doing it deliberately but it's
21
    report.
                                                                   21
                                                                        something that happens. So perhaps if we can do it that
22
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  National Commissioner, it
                                                                   22
                                                                        way we will meet the request by the witness, that she be
    is the document medical legal report.
                                                                   23
                                                                        dealt with fairly but also not hamper you in putting the
         MS PHIYEGA:
                              Okay.
                                                                   24
                                                                        points to her that you wish to put.
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 Marikana mine deaths which
                                                                   25
                                                                               MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                   We'll be giving -
```

	Page 7202		Page 7395
1	Page 7393 CHAIRPERSON: We can take the tea	1	MR BIZOS SC: You haven't read it yet?
2	adjournment now if you like, that might solve the problem.	2	MS PHIYEGA: My homework was FFF20.
3	It's a bit early but -	3	MR BIZOS SC: Yes well there were two
4	MR BIZOS SC: Yes.	4	pieces of work not serious -
5	CHAIRPERSON: I'm in your hands, you must	5	CHAIRPERSON: Never mind the homework,
6	tell me what you consider appropriate.	6	it's not a very long thing. It's page 3, I won't adjourn
7	MR BIZOS SC: Yes and I want to be fair	7	and if you - embarrassed to read it to yourself quietly in
8	to her, by putting the question that I would want her to	8	public. If you can, we'll stop for a couple of minutes,
9	answer.	9	give you chance to read it and if you when you're done so
10		-	you feel yourself ready to answer such questions as Mr
	-	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	MR BIZOS SC: So that she can apply her	11	Bizos may ask you about it we can carry on.
12	mind to it. What I, what all this is about and you can	12	MS PHIYEGA: I've read it.
13	look at the document and prepare your answer. That it is	13	CHAIRPERSON: Are you now ready, National
14	abundantly clear that non lethal ammunition, that is rubber	14	Commissioner?
15	bullets played a minor role in the operation as evidenced	15	MS PHIYEGA: Ja, thank you, Chair.
16	by the injuries of, on the people that lived, scene 2 and	16	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, the witness is
17	more particularly in scene 2.	17	now ready.
18	CHAIRPERSON: That's your question and to	18	MR BIZOS SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
19	some extent what you say is backed up already by the	19	CHAIRPERSON: We may proceed.
20	passage in exhibit L that I referred you to.	20	MR BIZOS SC: Yes. I want to refer you
21	MR BIZOS SC: Yes.	21	to exhibit FFF21, headed warning lights flashing policing
22	CHAIRPERSON: But all these matters can	22	in South Africa 2013/14 presentation to the portfolio
23	be put and discussed after the tea adjournment and if,	23	committee on the police dated the 26th of March 2013, a few
24	National Commissioner, if you don't have enough time during	24	days ago by the Institute of Security Studies. I want you
25	the ordinary, more or less quarter of an hour for tea and	25	to please turn to page 3. The second paragraph headed does
	D 7304		D 720/
1	Page 7394	1	Page 7396 the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a
1 2	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know	1 2	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a
2	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at	2	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened
2	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of	2	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had
2 3 4	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us	2 3 4	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases
2 3 4 5	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do,	2 3 4 5	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12
2 3 4 5 6	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer	2 3 4 5 6	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police
2 3 4 5 6 7	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a	2 3 4 5 6 7	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness whether she has had an opportunity of reading exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in the police (HSRC). 41% of population do not trust the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness whether she has had an opportunity of reading exhibit FFF21, that's the one that was handed in this morning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in the police (HSRC). 41% of population do not trust the police at all (HSRC). 35% of South Africans interviewed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness whether she has had an opportunity of reading exhibit FFF21, that's the one that was handed in this morning, Commissioner. Did you have an opportunity of reading it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in the police (HSRC). 41% of population do not trust the police at all (HSRC). 35% of South Africans interviewed for future fact in 2012 admitted to being scared of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness whether she has had an opportunity of reading exhibit FFF21, that's the one that was handed in this morning, Commissioner. Did you have an opportunity of reading it? MS PHIYEGA: I have FFF20, that's what I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in the police (HSRC). 41% of population do not trust the police at all (HSRC). 35% of South Africans interviewed for future fact in 2012 admitted to being scared of the police. Now the Institute for Security Studies do you know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness whether she has had an opportunity of reading exhibit FFF21, that's the one that was handed in this morning, Commissioner. Did you have an opportunity of reading it? MS PHIYEGA: I have FFF20, that's what I was asked to read.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in the police (HSRC). 41% of population do not trust the police at all (HSRC). 35% of South Africans interviewed for future fact in 2012 admitted to being scared of the police. Now the Institute for Security Studies do you know about them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness whether she has had an opportunity of reading exhibit FFF21, that's the one that was handed in this morning, Commissioner. Did you have an opportunity of reading it? MS PHIYEGA: I have FFF20, that's what I was asked to read. MR BIZOS SC: Only on the numbers. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in the police (HSRC). 41% of population do not trust the police at all (HSRC). 35% of South Africans interviewed for future fact in 2012 admitted to being scared of the police. Now the Institute for Security Studies do you know about them? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness whether she has had an opportunity of reading exhibit FFF21, that's the one that was handed in this morning, Commissioner. Did you have an opportunity of reading it? MS PHIYEGA: I have FFF20, that's what I was asked to read. MR BIZOS SC: Only on the numbers. You haven't read this document, does the SAPS have a problem	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in the police (HSRC). 41% of population do not trust the police at all (HSRC). 35% of South Africans interviewed for future fact in 2012 admitted to being scared of the police. Now the Institute for Security Studies do you know about them? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: Have you any reason to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you need a little bit more time just let us know, I know you also are sometimes troubled with problems that arise at your head office that you have to deal with as a matter of urgency. So if you need a bit more time please let us know. We'll now take the tea adjournment. What we'll do, we'll take it till quarter past, that's a little bit longer than usual but that's gives the, National Commissioner, a chance I hope to read what she has to read and deal with such other fires that are burning in her office at the moment. We will now adjourn for tea. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:26] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. National Commissioner, you're still under oath. MS PHIYEGA: Yes, I am. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos? MR BIZOS SC: I want to ask the witness whether she has had an opportunity of reading exhibit FFF21, that's the one that was handed in this morning, Commissioner. Did you have an opportunity of reading it? MS PHIYEGA: I have FFF20, that's what I was asked to read. MR BIZOS SC: Only on the numbers. You haven't read this document, does the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the SAPS have a problem with abuse of power. In 2001/02 a total of 416 brutality related criminal cases were opened at the IPID against police officials. By 2011/12 this had increased by 313% to 1 722 cases or an average of 5 cases each day. Of 720 deaths reported to the IPID in 2011/12 there was evidence of criminality on the part of the police in a 162 cases or one in five cases 22%. 2011/12 SAPS charged 1 050 of their own members for corruption related offences. IFS Research in 2011 identified 50 people who experienced or witnessed police abuse and only one had tried to report it. SAPS facing 841 512 civil cases, 512 000 civil cases in relation to assault, rands, civil claims in relation to assault and 1.1 billion in relation to shooting incidents. Total claims have doubled in the last two years to 14.8 billion. Addition 66% of the adult population think that corruption is a widespread problem in the police (HSRC). 41% of population do not trust the police at all (HSRC). 35% of South Africans interviewed for future fact in 2012 admitted to being scared of the police. Now the Institute for Security Studies do you know about them? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do.

before you came here this morning?

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

MS PHIYEGA: It was post my attendance.

```
Page 7397
                                                                                                                          Page 7399
            MS PHIYEGA:
                                I have my own reservations
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     Sorry?
 1
                                                                    1
     because we've clashed in terms of data and statistics with
                                                                    2
                                                                              MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                   It was post my attendance,
2
3
     them.
                                                                    3
                                                                        this came since I was there.
4
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                 I'm sorry I didn't hear
                                                                    4
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     I presume, that regard
5
    you. Please speak up.
                                                                       being had to the nature of the allegations made it's
           MS PHIYEGA:
6
                                I have my own reservation.
                                                                    6
                                                                       something that the police service would wish to revert to
7
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                    7
                                 What are your reservations?
                                                                       the portfolio committee on an answer because there's quite
8
           MS PHIYEGA:
                                We as SAPS have clashed on
                                                                    8
                                                                        serious allegations made.
                                                                    9
9
     data and information on how it is clustered.
                                                                              MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                  Yes.
10
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                 They make assertions of
                                                                   10
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     To the portfolio committee
    fact in what I have read to you and also opinions, which
                                                                   11
                                                                       which is a very important body and presumably you owe it to
11
    facts do you say they've got wrong?
                                                                        the portfolio committee and you owe it to the police
12
                                                                   12
13
            MS PHIYEGA:
                                I think there are a number
                                                                   13
                                                                        service itself.
14
    of things that we would have to look into in relation to
                                                                   14
                                                                              MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                  Yes.
     this one. You asked me about whether I trusted them, I
                                                                   15
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     To answer these allegations
15
    said we have had clashes in terms of data integrity or data
16
                                                                       insofar as there are inaccuracies and things stated which
17
    commonality. Even our stats when we announce them they
                                                                   17
                                                                       are perhaps out of context or unfair or exaggerated, would
18
    would respond differently to the statistics that we have
                                                                   18
                                                                       that be correct?
                                                                   19
                                                                              MS PHIYEGA:
19
    collected. So I first responded to that. Now you are
                                                                                                  It is correct, Judge, and I
20
    asking me about this, I would need to take this and look at
                                                                   20
                                                                       was also in a different committee, it wasn't this
21
                                                                   21
                                                                       committee.
    some of the issues that are being raised. For instance you
                                                                   22
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
22
    know if I just look at a very simple indicator here, they
                                                                                                     Yes, yes I know. Now the
23
                                                                   23
                                                                       reason I asked you this question is, I understand you're
    talk about the growth of the brutality cases. What we are
                                                                   24
                                                                       bit of a disadvantage in dealing with these allegations
24
    not given here is also against how many arrests that we
25
    have made, does it turn out to be a 0.0009 or 10% or
                                                                       here, particularly having seen the document for the first
                                                       Page 7398
                                                                                                                          Page 7400
                                                                        time today. I presume though that some kind of fairly
1
    whatever. We need to, in statistical ethics you must give
2
    those base lines. So that people can understand the
                                                                    2
                                                                        detailed response will be prepared in due course to give to
    context of what is being done here. This is anecdotal.
3
                                                                    3
                                                                        the committee with, would that be correct?
4
            MR BIZOS SC:
                                 Would you regard that any
                                                                    4
                                                                               MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                    I'd be happy to do so,
5
    of the facts stated, the number of cases for instance, the
                                                                    5
                                                                        Judge.
    number of arrests involved complaints, would you actually
                                                                    6
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      No I take it, if I may,
6
7
    not accept their allegations of fact -
                                                                    7
                                                                        you'll forgive me for saying it, I think it's something you
8
           MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                    8
                                I would -
                                                                        owe to the committee and indeed to the service, the police
9
                                                                    9
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                        service, isn't that right? Obviously you couldn't give the
                                 Never mind their opinions.
                                                                        necessary instructions until you knew about the document
    The facts that they put down, do you have reason to
                                                                   10
10
                                                                        yourself. But now you do know about it, I take it you'll
11
     disbelieve any of them?
                                                                   11
12
           MS PHIYEGA:
                                I'd like to note them as
                                                                   12
                                                                        be giving those instructions that a response be prepared?
                                                                   13
13
    their position but I really would like to test the veracity
                                                                               MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                    Yes, Judge.
    of that against our own data.
                                                                   14
                                                                               CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      Alright. Can you more or
14
15
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                   15
                                                                        less tell us when that's likely to be ready, I know that's
                                  May I interrupt you for a
    moment, just to ask a question which may or may not have
                                                                        a difficult question, and if you can't answer it, I won't
16
17
     dealt, to shorten the proceedings. You recently were
                                                                   17
                                                                        be critical. But if you know more or less when it will be
18
    before the Portfolio Committee on Police yourself, weren't
                                                                   18
                                                                        ready and if we're still busy with our task if it could be
19
    you?
                                                                   19
                                                                        made available to us because I think that would be helpful
20
            MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                   20
                                                                        and it probably be a better response to this document then
                                Yes.
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  And this presentation by
21
                                                                   21
                                                                        kind of answers that you'll be able to give sitting, seated
    the Institute for Security Studies, which was made on the
                                                                        as you are, having been faced with this document for the
    26th of March, had that been brought to your attention
                                                                   23
                                                                        first time today, would that be correct?
```

24

track this process and try and let you have our response,

Yes, Judge, we will fast

MS PHIYEGA:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

13

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

1

15

22

23

Page 7403

Page 7401

the latest by mid April.

2 CHAIRPERSON: Obviously, Mr Bizos, if that happens and there are points which you consider 3 4 relevant for our commission to which you don't agree you'll get an opportunity to deal with them.

5

MR BIZOS SC: So I will leave it, I will leave it at that except that I want one more question. Would these allegations, are these allegations coming to you as new or was there a problem long before you saw it

10 published in a document such as this?

MS PHIYEGA: I have read about a lot of allegations in the press and yes there are other challenges that we are having internally but as I have promised the Judge, we will respond to this, we will contextualise, we will give you our facts.

16 MR BIZOS SC: Yes.

17 MS PHIYEGA: In relation to that.

18 MR BIZOS SC: You agree, you agree that 19 there are allegations of fact which are reasonably rebutted 20 if there are incorrect, for instance the billions of money

21 that has been claimed for wrongful acts by the police,

22 that's quite easily checked?

23 MS PHIYEGA: I can tell you before I 24 respond to you, that this figure that you see here is a 25

result of a litigation report, the first litigation report

MS PHIYEGA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON: And then how much was actually paid out because you may, you will find clearly a disparity between the two. But even so of course the amounts paid out may well present quite a startling picture and may form the basis for the kind of questions that, Mr Bizos, is asking you.

> MS PHIYEGA: Sure.

[11:46] CHAIRPERSON: The only point I make and I think it's a point you've made, is just to look at the claims themselves. I am not suggesting Mr Bizos is only doing that either, but just look at the claims themselves gives rise to a very misleading impression. So if you - if I, maybe suggest when you respond, deal with the, over a representative period, the amount claimed and the amounts actually paid out, because that will give a more accurate picture of the nature of the problem. My impression from press reports, is it is still quite a serious problem but we'll deal with that when we get there.

MR BIZOS SC: I agree, with respect, in relation to the amount, and I know from my personal experience that sometimes fantastic sums copied from other jurisdictions, millions are made, but let us we deal with a more closer issue. The number of people killed by the police, is that something that is debatable or do you

Page 7402

that I have requested as the national commissioner and from

1994 and this is not reflected here, that's why I answer 2

3 comprehensively and give feedback to this commission and if

4 I may just touch on the 14 billion again, again it's not

5 the money that is going to be paid. We, the formula we are

using to calculate the 14 billion in my report we'll talk 6

7 about that. When we receive any letter that says I want 30

8 million we count that as a letter, before it even becomes a

9 summons, before it even goes to court. So this is a highly

10 exaggerated number, I'll qualify it uncharacterised and my

report that I'm going to give to the commission will talk 11

12 to that because I wanted to understand as a national

13 commissioner the civil claims. I called for the litigation 14

report. I asked our legal department to do that so that I

can tell you that this number is a number of a report that

I commissioned and it is misinterpreted. 16

17 CHAIRPERSON: National Commissioner, may 18 I make a suggestion which I hope you won't take umbrage at.

We all know that people can claim anything, it doesn't

necessarily mean when the case is finally disposed of they

get anywhere near the amount they've claimed. 21

> MS PHIYEGA: Yes.

RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

CHAIRPERSON: So what would be a helpful

24 exercise if I may suggest it, is to take a couple of years

and show how much was claimed.

1 accept the figures?

> GENERAL PHIYEGA: Yesterday, a number was bandied that 720 deaths reported by IPID were caused by the

police but now when we read this, we see that there was

evidence of criminality in 162. It's those type of

messages that confuses the environment, and we would like 6

7 to also give you our own feedback that we've got back from

IPID because we don't count those people, it is the report

of IPID but we would like to look at those, and I just

10 worry about the anecdotal information that keeps on rearing

11 its head in the media, and we are bringing it even in the

12 Commission, so I'd like to ask for an opportunity to

respond to each of those bullets.

14 CHAIRPERSON: One of the interesting 15 points that flows from this document, is the second bullet 16 here about the deaths, which Mr Bizos has read. It appears

17 from that, that it's not - it's misleading just to look at

18 the number of deaths at the hands of the police or in

19 police custody and so forth. Some of them may be

20 justifiable homicides, in fact, insofar as the facts

21 indicate that to be so. But what appears from these

22 certificates, if they are correct, is that about one in

23 five of the deaths in police custody, or regarding the

24 police, because presumably sometimes it would be someone

who is not yet in custody, who's been shot. One in five of

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

Page 7404

24 exercise as well.

MR BIZOS SC:

I want to refer you to

```
Page 7405
                                                                                                                             Page 7407
     those, there's evidence of criminality on the part of the
                                                                         paragraphs 10 of your statement, exhibit FFF3, have you got
                                                                         it in front of you? Sorry, page 3 of FFF3, the witness's
 2
    police. So the startling, so if you take the number of
 3
     deaths, and you then look - take 20% of those, you will
                                                                     3
                                                                         statement. Have you got it, Commissioner?
 4
     still of course have quite a significant figure which gives
                                                                     4
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           I have my statement.
 5
    rise to concern, but the point I am making is, it's
                                                                         You said which paragraph?
                                                                     6
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
    misleading just to look at the deaths. You've got to
                                                                                                      Paragraph 10.
 6
                                                                     7
 7
     factor in the fact that some of these deaths may have
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                           10.
                                                                     8
8
    occurred in circumstances where there's no blame attaching
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                      I am sure that you wrote
                                                                     9
 9
     to the police, but this statistic is certainly for the
                                                                         that paragraph. Can you please read it out for the benefit
     2011/2012 period, indicates that there were 162 cases which
                                                                    10
                                                                         of all?
10
                                                                    11
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
11
    in itself is quite an alarming figure but obviously nowhere
                                                                                                           "I lead an organisation
                                                                    12
12
     near as alarming as the figure of 720, which is the number
                                                                         dedicated to upholding the law, to serving and protecting
13
     of deaths. But even so, we mustn't be complacent, 162
                                                                    13
                                                                         the community and its property pursuant to the SAPS
14
     deaths is 162 deaths too many and that's obviously a matter
                                                                         constitutional mandate. I therefore discharge this mandate
     for concern. Would you agree? I am sure you will agree
                                                                         through my leadership and operational" -
15
                                                                    16
16
    with that. Yes, the point made to me by Commissioner
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                       You've left out some words.
17
    Hemraj is even the one in five may itself be misleading,
                                                                    17
                                                                         "I lead an organisation dedicated to upholding the law,
18
    because evidence of criminality doesn't mean evidence that
                                                                         serving and protecting the community and its property
19
     is correct, unless it's accepted by a court or accepted by
                                                                    19
                                                                         pursuant to the SAPS constitutional mandate." I think it
20
     the police when they settle a claim. But still, this is
                                                                    20
                                                                         should be "in congruent with the bill of rights as
21
     the aspect that must be looked at, not just the number of
                                                                    21
                                                                         enshrined in our constitution which guarantees rights to
22
     deaths, or even the number of deaths where there's evidence
                                                                    22
                                                                         peaceful and unarmed demonstrations and/or protests."
23
    of criminality in the docket, but one has to look further
                                                                    23
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                            I'd agree.
                                                                    24
24
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
     at that figure and see whether the evidence has been
                                                                                                       Oh, I beg your pardon, I
                                                                         was quoting from the earlier one, you were quoting from the
25
    accepted either by the police by implication, by paying
                                                         Page 7406
                                                                                                                             Page 7408
     even possibly without acknowledging liability, or where a
 1
                                                                     1
                                                                         up to date one. So I am sorry, my fault, sorry.
     court has actually found liability.
                                                                     2
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                          Okay. Can I continue?
 2
 3
            GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                       I think, Judge, what we
                                                                     3
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      Disregard my interruption,
 4
     will do really, is still look at those and even maybe go to
                                                                         which is irrelevant.
                                                                     5
 5
     history, because when you talk about evidence of
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                          "I therefore discharge
 6
     criminality, I think in an environment such as this one
                                                                     6
                                                                         this mandate through my leadership and operational command
 7
                                                                     7
                                                                         structures of men and women committed to serve with
     that talk about being innocent until proved otherwise, I
                                                                     8
 8
     would like us to look at history, and be able to look at,
                                                                         professionalism, with integrity, honesty, compassion and
 9
     maybe not even 2012/2011, just look maybe at the two past
                                                                         commitment to excellence and placing service to what is
     years to say, did we get convictions and all those, and I
                                                                    10
                                                                         before. There's no future in any society without law and
10
11
     would take the advice that you've given, for us to come
                                                                    11
                                                                         order. South Africa is a young democracy. It's highly
12
     back.
                                                                    12
                                                                         dependent on focused, deliberate and directed law
13
                                                                    13
            CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Yes, it's convictions - of
                                                                         enforcement. Public order is the bedrock without which our
14
     course, it's not necessarily convictions, in certain cases
                                                                    14
                                                                         democracy cannot succeed."
                                                                    15
15
     one mightn't be able to identify the offender. So might
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     Did you write this
                                                                    16
16
     for example, have an inquest finding by a magistrate, who
                                                                         paragraph?
17
     finds that someone was killed by an unidentifiable
                                                                    17
                                                                                GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                          Yes, it is my
                                                                    18
18
     policeman or policewoman for that matter, and so that would
                                                                         statement.
19
     also be a relevant statistic to bear in mind. It isn't
                                                                    19
                                                                                MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                     Did you draft the same
    very appropriate for us to debate this at this stage. All
                                                                    20
                                                                         section number 10, as it appears on FFF2?
21
     I am saying is, the figures we get must be sufficiently
                                                                    21
                                                                                CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                      That's the passage that I
22 detailed for us to use them to make worthwhile findings.
                                                                         read out thinking it was the one you were reading from, it
     Obviously, Mr Bizos and his clients will assist us in that
                                                                    23
                                                                         was my mistake, but it is your earlier statement which you
```

24

25

did swear to on the 7th of, I think it was of March.

Chair, can I just point out,

MS PILLAY:

Page 7411

```
Page 7409
    just to avoid confusion, that in fact you were reading the
    correct passage from the correct statement. But Mr Bizos
2
3
    has taken the witness to FFF3 initially, which is currently
4
    now Mr Bizos is going to FFF2, which is the statement of
5
    the 12th of March.
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                  Oh, I see, well so I
6
7
    withdraw my apologies to those. I thought I was reading
    the wrong statement but anyway, it doesn't matter, the
8
9
    statement I think is signed by the witness, so both of
10
    them, she acknowledges responsibility for but Mr Bizos,
11
    you've heard what Ms Pillay says.
12
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                 Thank you for the
13
    correction, I got the order wrong. The words that don't
14
    appear in one of them, are the words "in congruent with the
    bill of rights as enshrined in our constitution, which
15
     guarantees rights to peaceful and unarmed demonstration
16
17
     and/or protests," which is the final paragraph.
18
           COMMISSIONER TOKOTA:
                                             But Mr Bizos, you
19
    referred her specifically to FFF3.
20
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                Yes. That's what I read
21
    out.
22
           COMMISSIONER TOKOTA:
                                             FFF2, that one is
23
    FFF2.
           MR BIZOS SC:
24
                                 This one is 2.
25
           COMMISSIONER TOKOTA:
                                             Ves
                                                       Page 7410
1
```

FFF2, and that's the final statement, which the witness has accepted is hers, with the exception of page 7, and FFF3 is the initial statement of the 7th of March. 5 CHAIRPERSON: We now know what the 6 numbering is, and we know what the chronological order is, 7 but what we also know, is that the witness signed both. 8 MR BIZOS SC: The latest one. 9 GENERAL PHIYEGA: Alright. 10 MR BIZOS SC: Yes, my suspicion was correct, Mr Chairman, that the words -11 12 CHAIRPERSON: I mean what's the significance of it? It seems rather -13 14 MR BIZOS SC: Why was it left out? 15 CHAIRPERSON: Well, you ask that 16 question. 17 MR BIZOS SC: Why was it left out, and by 18 whom? Is the question clear, Commissioner? Why were the 19 words "in congruent with the bill of rights as enshrined in our constitution, which guarantees rights to peaceful and 21 unarmed demonstration and/or protests?" That was in the 22 first one, and taken out - in FFF3 and taken out when FFF2 23 was put together. Why were those words left out? 24 GENERAL PHIYEGA: You would know that 25 when I started giving my testimony, amendments were made to

different from ours, the statement of the 12th of March, is

MR BIZOS SC: Which one was the first in 2 time? 3 GENERAL PHIYEGA: What's the question, 4 let me answer. 5 CHAIRPERSON: I didn't ask you a question, but is it relevant? Both of them are statements 6 7 which she signed and swore to, the words which don't appear 8 in one, nevertheless appear in a statement which she signed 9 and swore to. So there may be some significance which I 10 can't quite see why the words were left out, it may have been thought to be unnecessary, but the fact of the matter 11 12 is that she was prepared to accept responsibility for the 13 fuller version, and there's nothing wrong with the fuller 14 version, it's very - no one will quarrel with those words, 15 so I am not quite sure how the point helps her. Yes, Mr 16 Mpofu? 17 MR MPOFU: Sorry, it's the first time -18 I've just looked at the statements, the one of the 12th, does not have the reference to the bill of rights. The one of the 7th does, so I think Mr Bizos is then correct that 21 the subsequent statement is where the reference to the bill of rights was removed. I think that's the gist of it. 22 MS PILLAY: Chair, just to add to that, 23

24 and just to avoid confusion, if we could just - because I

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

25 understand that Commissioner Tokota's numbering may be

Page 7412 the statement because I kept on saying, I signed a statement, I see this other one has other things, and all 3 that, and I think in that process, problems arose. But I am totally not averse to those issues that were raised. I full subscribe to them. 6 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair for the -7 CHAIRPERSON: Can you help us? 8 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, for the record, 9 the mistake is that of the legal team. 10 MR BIZOS SC: The legal team? Well, I 11 want to know from my learned friend which member of the 12 legal teams decided to leave those words out? 13 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, is that going to 14 help us to answer the terms of reference? There may be 15 some kind of internal disciplinary proceedings among the 16 legal team which doesn't concern us. Let's carry on with 17 material matters. 18 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, with respect, with the greatest of respect, these are vital words, they 20 are vital words which do not appear on the, what was 21 intended to be the final statement of the witness. 22 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, the fact is she 23 actually affirmed, she actually swore to the first 24 statement on the 7th, so she was prepared to affirm those words under oath. When she came here, they gave us both

7

15

9

1

8

9

10

Page 7415

Page 7416

Page 7413 documents. They didn't conceal from us that she previously

2 said these words and had second thoughts and left them out.

3 So it doesn't look as if there were any second thoughts. I

4 won't stop you from asking the question, but I just,

5 perhaps improperly have expressed the view that I don't

think it's going to help us, but if I am wrong, and you can 6

7 persuade me that you are right, please carry on.

8 MR BIZOS SC: Well, I don't want to

belabour the point, Mr Chairman, but the respect of the

10 police of the "congruent with the bill of rights enshrined

11 in our constitution, which guarantees rights of peaceful

and unarmed demonstrations and/or protests," are absolutely 12

13 vital and in order to make a just decision in relation to

14 this matter, to be told that it was a mistake by the legal

15 team, is not a minor matter, it must have been a deliberate

action by someone. We want to know who that someone was, 16

if not the witness. 17

18 [12:06] CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya has told us it

19 wasn't the witness, it was one of his team and I am not

20 sure that it's within our terms of reference to find out

21 who it was. I don't think the President is likely to

22 appoint another Commission to find out, and I don't think

23 that will be necessary in any event. The fact is this

24 witness has already said under oath - affirmed under oath

25 by a signature what was in the first draft. We've been allegations I would like to engage the ISS on.

2 MR BIZOS SC: Finally on this point, when

you were asked why there is a certain attitude against the

police, did it occur to you that you may have given some of

the reasons set out by the Institute instead of just

6 calling it beautiful?

> MR SEMENYA SC: The question is unclear

8 to us. We won't be able to follow the answer.

9 CHAIRPERSON: I think you should

particularise the question a bit more than you have. It is 10

11 a little bit on the vague side and I'm not sure whatever

12 answer we get is going to help us, so - I'm not stopping

you from asking the question, but I think you can

14 particularise it a bit more.

> MR BIZOS SC: I don't know what my

learned friend's problem is, but I'll try and clarify it.

When you were asked by Al Jazeera why criminals behave the 17

18 way they do you might have mentioned some of the things

19 that are contained in FFF21 in the paragraph that I read

20 out.

21

1

4

5

11

14

MS PHIYEGA: I have already mentioned a

22 few minutes ago that I find serious problems with some of

23 the statements that are being made by ISS and therefore I

24 would not have mentioned the type of issues that they are

mentioning because I don't agree with some of them.

told by senior counsel for the police that the omission was

2 something which was done I take it by inadvertence by a

3 member of his team, and who it was is a matter you can

4 discuss with him afterwards. Frankly I'm not interested in

who it is. Whoever it is may hang his or her head in shame 5

but I'm not interested in that person's identify. It 6

7 sounds to me like a very large storm in a very small teacup

and I suggest we move on to something else.

MR BIZOS SC: Commissioner, the paragraph in either form is really something that we all would have

expected from the South African police, even more in its 11 12 original form, but that's a small matter as his lordship

13 ruled. What I want to ask is this that this paragraph

praising the noble function of the police is expected to 14

15 perform in terms of is to be welcomed. But what we are

16 dealing here with is what happened on the, particularly the

17 16th of August, and we have in the exhibit that we have put

18 today before you statements by the Institute of Security

19 Studies that purport to put facts and opinions completely

or substantially different to what you say the police that

21 you lead stand for. Is it a matter of concern to you that

22 the allegations made by the Institute are made

contradicting to what you say in your affidavit?

MS PHIYEGA: What helps me to respond to

25 this is that you're saying allegations, and it is ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Page 7414

MR BIZOS SC: I will go to the next

subject, but -

3 CHAIRPERSON: Remember that the national

commission has said we're going to get their response -

MR BIZOS SC: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON: Which they can give to the

7 portfolio committee to what the ISS has said, and I've said

to you that if there's anything in that response that you

feel is incorrect which this commission should know about,

10

you'll be given an opportunity to do so.

MR BIZOS SC: Yes.

12 CHAIRPERSON: So you're leading the

13 matter but not necessarily forever.

> MR BIZOS SC: Yes, now, the other matter

15 that you were to go through is the document that really -

the documents that really say what sort of ammunition was

17 used and you asked for an opportunity to read the document,

particularly D3 and Exhibit FFF22 and you were given an 18

19 opportunity to go through. It's 20 and 22, D3. Have you

20 read them? Have you understood them?

21 MS PHIYEGA: Is it this report on medico-

22 legal?

23

MR BIZOS SC: I'm sorry?

24 MS PHIYEGA: Is it the report on the

medico-legal?

	Page 7417		Page 7419
1	MR BIZOS SC: Yes, no -	1	MR BIZOS SC: Page?
2	CHAIRPERSON: It's FFF22.	2	CHAIRPERSON: E on page 4.
3	MR BIZOS SC: The clinical record, FFF22,	3	COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And the author's
4	D3.	4	remarks on the last paragraph on page 1 as well which
5	MS PHIYEGA: I have FFF20.	5	qualified the finding.
6	MR BIZOS SC: I'm sorry, again, I didn't	6	MR BIZOS SC: This is why I say
7	hear you.	7	"provisional", Mr Chairman, but there can be no doubt with
8	MS PHIYEGA: I have FFF20.	8	the information available most were from the rear, but –
9	MR BIZOS SC: You don't have FFF22?	9	CHAIRPERSON: What's more accurate to say
10	MS PHIYEGA: No, I don't.	10	is of the 61 patients who, in respect of whom information
11	MR BIZOS SC: Well, it's short paragraph.	11	was available, it was clear – it seemed clear from 29 of
12	I will read it to you. FFF22, D3, "Shot Directions and	12	those that where the shot direction was extracted, that 20
13	Trajectory", that's the paragraph.	13	of them had been, appear to have been shot from the rear.
14	CHAIRPERSON: It's FFF22, page 3, and on	14	That appeared from the nursing care notes. Whether that is
15	that page the paragraph which begins on that page is headed	15	a sufficiently representative sample for one to draw
16	"3. Shot Directions and Trajectory". That's the pages, Mr	16	conclusions as to overwhelming probability as to everybody,
17	Bizos, yes?	17	I'm not sure, but anyway, I suppose I shouldn't comment.
18	MR BIZOS SC: Yes. The record's	18	It's for the witness to give us her views. It sounds as if
19	available. May I read it to you?	19	there's going to be a bit of a debate between the experts
20	MS PHIYEGA: Yes.	20	on this and Mr Naidoo is going to come, I beg your pardon,
21	MR BIZOS SC: "The records available were	21	Dr Naidoo is going to come on the one side and possibly
22	not helpful in determining of direction in most cases. In	22	your side may have someone else from the other, but in the
23	just 29 cases where shot direction could be extracted the	23	meanwhile based on this provisional finding, are you
24	victims appear to have been shot from the rear in most	24	prepared to give us some kind of provisional response?
25	cases, from the front in three cases and from the side in	25	MS PHIYEGA: No.
	Page 7418		Page 7420
1	Page 7418 six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot	1	Page 7420 MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr
1 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot		MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr
2	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual	2	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased
2 3	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure	2	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it?
2 3 4	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is	2 3 4	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do.
2 3 4 5	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of	2 3 4 5	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from
2 3 4 5 6	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully	2 3 4 5 6	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75%
2 3 4 5 6 7	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that heading. It's critical. MR BIZOS SC: "That's why I say the information addition is - and define the determination of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8. MS PHIYEGA: I was looking for the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. MR BIZOS SC: Yes, it's a collection of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that heading. It's critical. MR BIZOS SC: "That's why I say the information addition is - and define the determination of suspects such as the trajectory of missiles, of angles of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8. MS PHIYEGA: I was looking for the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. MR BIZOS SC: Yes, it's a collection of the – both the side and the rear. Now, I want to bring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that heading. It's critical. MR BIZOS SC: "That's why I say the information addition is - and define the determination of suspects such as the trajectory of missiles, of angles of attacks through the body due to meagreness of detail and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8. MS PHIYEGA: I was looking for the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. MR BIZOS SC: Yes, it's a collection of the – both the side and the rear. Now, I want to bring to your notice that the defence version is that the deceased
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that heading. It's critical. MR BIZOS SC: "That's why I say the information addition is - and define the determination of suspects such as the trajectory of missiles, of angles of attacks through the body due to meagreness of detail and lack of pure forensic observation." I have read that. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8. MS PHIYEGA: I was looking for the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. MR BIZOS SC: Yes, it's a collection of the – both the side and the rear. Now, I want to bring to your notice that the defence version is that the deceased or members of the crowd did not rush to attack the police,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that heading. It's critical. MR BIZOS SC: "That's why I say the information addition is - and define the determination of suspects such as the trajectory of missiles, of angles of attacks through the body due to meagreness of detail and lack of pure forensic observation." I have read that. I don't know that it changes because I said as provisional as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8. MS PHIYEGA: I was looking for the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. MR BIZOS SC: Yes, it's a collection of the – both the side and the rear. Now, I want to bring to your notice that the defence version is that the deceased or members of the crowd did not rush to attack the police, but they turned away on the side when the police shot them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that heading. It's critical. MR BIZOS SC: "That's why I say the information addition is - and define the determination of suspects such as the trajectory of missiles, of angles of attacks through the body due to meagreness of detail and lack of pure forensic observation." I have read that. I don't know that it changes because I said as provisional as the it may be —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8. MS PHIYEGA: I was looking for the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. MR BIZOS SC: Yes, it's a collection of the – both the side and the rear. Now, I want to bring to your notice that the defence version is that the deceased or members of the crowd did not rush to attack the police, but they turned away on the side when the police shot them. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we object. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that heading. It's critical. MR BIZOS SC: "That's why I say the information addition is - and define the determination of suspects such as the trajectory of missiles, of angles of attacks through the body due to meagreness of detail and lack of pure forensic observation." I have read that. I don't know that it changes because I said as provisional as the it may be — CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, it may also be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8. MS PHIYEGA: I was looking for the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. MR BIZOS SC: Yes, it's a collection of the – both the side and the rear. Now, I want to bring to your notice that the defence version is that the deceased or members of the crowd did not rush to attack the police, but they turned away on the side when the police shot them. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we object. This document does not support the position at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	six cases. 28 cases were unspecified in respect of shot direction. The analyst believes that access to the actual notes of the doctors and operation theatre procedure records would greatly clarify the unspecified. It is further submitted that the extrapolation from the cases of non-direction would suggest that when all records are fully analysed most gunshots were likely to be found to have been shot in the rear of the victims." As provisional as the opinion may be, would you accept that the high degree of probability was that most of the people that were shot and survived were shot from the, to use the words, from the rear of the victims? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, in all fairness, Mr Bizos must read the last paragraph appearing under that heading. It's critical. MR BIZOS SC: "That's why I say the information addition is - and define the determination of suspects such as the trajectory of missiles, of angles of attacks through the body due to meagreness of detail and lack of pure forensic observation." I have read that. I don't know that it changes because I said as provisional as the it may be —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR BIZOS SC: We'll leave that there, Mr Chairman, but may we turn to FFF20 regarding the deceased miners on page 8? You got it? MS PHIYEGA: Yes I do. MR BIZOS SC: 21 or 62% were shot from the side and further 7 or 21% were shot in the rear. 75% of the deceased miners were shot either in the side or in the rear. MR MAHLANGU: On which page are you reading? Which page of this document? MR BIZOS SC: Page 8 point 3. MS PHIYEGA: Yes. MR BIZOS SC: Direction of shots. MR MAHLANGU: Oh, page 8. MS PHIYEGA: I was looking for the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. You lost me when you went to the 75%. MR BIZOS SC: Yes, it's a collection of the – both the side and the rear. Now, I want to bring to your notice that the defence version is that the deceased or members of the crowd did not rush to attack the police, but they turned away on the side when the police shot them. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we object. This

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

3

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

11

12

Page 7423

Page 7424

Page 7421

given by the people who have given evidence that they 2

turned away from where the police were to the side in order

to bypass the kraal and run away. That is the evidence.

4 MR SEMENYA SC: That evidence has not

5 been tendered in this commission.

MR BIZOS SC: Well, my memory must be failing me, but I am sure that I am correct that the two witnesses that gave evidence that were part of that group said what I have summarised. Let me put it another way. People, if they attacked the police and the police shot in self-defence must have faced the victims, must have faced one another.

MR SEMENYA SC: Chairperson, the defence is one of private defence. Even from the side if I think a member is in imminent danger and I'm entitled in law to shoot from the side. I'd do that. And it would be justified in the example I give. The proposition which my learned colleague is putting to the witness is unfair and may be misleading.

MR BIZOS SC: - dispute. Let me try. If members of the crowd attacked the police and the police shot them in self-defence would you have expected the injuries to occur on the back and/or the side? [12:26] MS PHIYEGA: It can't be just an easy, in my pedestrian view of the matter, it can't just be an easy killing them?

MS PHIYEGA: I will still say shooting a person on the head, depend on circumstances in the issue that we are dealing with.

MR BIZOS SC: Were the orders and your own letter say that if you have to shoot, shoot the lower part of the body, would anybody who deliberately shot a person in the head be in violation of the order?

MS PHIYEGA: In all instances, even in church I'm not a lawyer, circumstances are taken into account. When that happens all circumstances will be considered so that you can establish whether there was deliberateness, whether something else happened. So those circumstances are critical and I believe even what we are discussing now depends on circumstances.

MR BIZOS SC: Can you please tell us what circumstances would, in terms of the standing orders be expected to be taken into consideration before shooting a person in the head? What circumstances?

MS PHIYEGA: I'm addressing myself to this situation and I'll answer your question addressing myself to the situation we are discussing. I have said earlier on I do not know where the head was standing, was it up, was it on the floor, was it crouching, those are the

circumstances that will have to be taken into account when

Page 7422

assessment of saying because I was injured on the side or

- 2 because when I saw the gun I turned, I don't know, I'm not
- 3 able to say yes or no because those that were there maybe
- 4 can explain that because when I face a lion and want to
- 5 stab the lion and if the lion jumps and I see danger I may
- 6 not necessarily go that way, I may turn and the lion may
- 7 hurt me at the back and yet I might have wanted to kill the
- lion. So it's not an easy answer, I can't give a definite 8
- 9 answer to that. It's a very difficult one to answer.

MR BIZOS SC: On page FFF 20, page 8 and also paragraph 8 the deceased miners or the deceased miners 8 of them, that is 24% of the victims died from gunshot wounds to the head or face.

13 14 MS PHIYEGA: I see it.

15 MR BIZOS SC: You see that. Shooting people at the head is a sure way of killing them. There is 17 no more delicate part of the body then the head. Do you agree with that? 18

19 MS PHIYEGA: Again it depends, I mean we saw people crouching were they shot on the head standing up 21 straight, were they trying to duck the bullets and met

22 those that were going to the ground, I don't know, I won't

agree because -

MR BIZOS SC: I didn't ask you that. I

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

asked you if shooting people in the head is a sure way of

you answer a question such as this.

2 MR BIZOS SC: Why would anybody be on his haunches if he was taking part in an operation of attacking the police? Why would he be on his knees or so low down so

that he had to be shot in the head?

MS PHIYEGA: The few pictures I saw of the approaching people in some of the instances, they were not walking straight and tall as I am. Some were walking like this, some were, so I say those circumstances are critical.

MR BIZOS SC: How does a person on his haunches and putting down his head, the way you indicated threaten the policeman with a very efficient firearm in front of him?

MR SEMENYA SC: Mr Commissioner, we have slide exhibit L, slide 206 which shows that the group of people immediately before the shooting

MR BIZOS SC: Well if my learned friend, is referring to 206 nobody seems to be on his knees.

CHAIRPERSON: I don't think she confined herself on his knees, she demonstrated with her own body, which something which didn't go on the record of course.

23 She first of all held herself erect, instead of standing

24 upright and then she said like this, and then she sort of

leant forward as some of those people do on slide 206, but

Page 7425

Page 7427

I think this is the point that doesn't deserve more than a before the commission as to how many if any of these deaths couple more questions in my mind, before you move onto the 2 were brought about in circumstances of self defence or 3 next one. I don't want to stop you but I just private defence but the police's version is that they all 4 MR BIZOS SC: One only, Mr Chair. as I understand it, now if they all were then the standing 5 CHAIRPERSON: order wouldn't have applied. If of course some of them Or you can ask two. 6 MR BIZOS SC: Would you consider shooting 6 7 somebody in the head under any circumstances minimum force 7 8 or maximum force? 9 MS PHIYEGA: In my opinion which 10 currently informs me I would say if circumstances will 10 determine whether one defines something as maximum or 11 11 12 12 minimum. At this point in time I would have said yesterday when I answered you to say the necessary force to defend 13 13 oneself. 14 14 15 15 MR BIZOS SC: Now if you have a look at FF22 and FFF20 of the report you will see only six of the 29 cases where people were shot could, the direction could 17 17 18 be ascertained, only 11% of them show to be shot in the 19 front of their body. F3 page 3, Mr Chair, of FF22 and FF2 19 20 page 8. 20 21 MR SEMENYA SC: The cover appearing under 21 22 paragraph 3 tells us there's a note. "Somebody sustained 22 23 several wounds of different tracks thus will show an 23 24 24 overlap of numbers in the list above." 25 25 MR BIZOS SC: This may be an overlap but Page 7426 it only shows 11 out of the 29 and in the other instance 1 1 2 only 6 of the deceased, 6 of the deceased and 6 of the 3 injured making a total of 12 and 11% were shot in front. 3 4 This is the overlap that I think that my learned friend, 4 allegation. 5 5 speaks about. But would you, if the report is correct that a very small number of the people were shot in front would 6 7 that negate the truth of the allegation by the police that 7 8 8 they acted in self defence? 9 9 MS PHIYEGA:

weren't in self defence then of course the standing order, would well apply. But we're in the, in a controversial area at the moment because we don't know at this stage whether all were in self defence or none were in self defence or some were and some weren't. But your question doesn't cater for that complexity. MR BIZOS SC: Yes. Mr Chairman, we can't ignore the fact that there isn't a single video that we have seen in which there is a short space between any protestor and the policeman and I have that fact as an incontrovertible fact and we are going to argue that this idea of comparing it to a bank robber a bit away from the policeman with a gun knows that he is, must get in first and he shoots, if the policeman shoots him obviously it would be self defence. But where we, we must not close our eyes, well it's a contradiction really of the things that we have not seen. We have not seen any hand to hand attack or any one being in personal danger and I'm entitled -CHAIRPERSON: I'm aware of that. All I'm Page 7428 MR BIZOS SC: I'm entitled with respect to put the questions on the basis of the evidence that we have, that this idea of shooting in self defence is a false CHAIRPERSON: That comment of yours illustrates that my comment is correct. I put to you that your question didn't allow for the complexity of the situation. That your question was that there was a breach of the standing orders and what I'm saying to you was the standing orders don't provide for shooting in self defence and insofar as self defence may or may not be applicable,

I have said front, backside, 10 those circumstances that I mentioned earlier on still 11 persist. 12 MR BIZOS SC: May we now turn to the 13 deceased miners at, please have a look at FFF20 page 8. The 34 fatal injuries were all as a result of bullet wounds 14 15 to the upper body. That is 100% of the people who died on 16 the 16th of August were shot in the upper parts of their 17 body. Does that indicate that the standing orders of 18 shooting in the lower part of the body negative the defence 19 of self defence or -20 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, before she 21 answers the question. I'm not sure that's a fair question because the standing orders as I understand don't deal with killings in self defence. There's a general thing at the 24 end of the standing order saying this doesn't apply to self defence. Now of course it's a controversial question ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

MR BIZOS SC: CHAIRPERSON: And elicit a meaningful answer from the witness. MR SEMENYA SC: Mr Chair, perhaps also to take into account that in terms of Captain Mohlaki the closest cartridge to body is 5.4 metres. CHAIRPERSON: I suppose, Mr Bizos, has to take that in account to his question. Frame your question

Yes.

standing order. But any way, you and I have had a debate

which I think will enable you to refine your question in a

way which will cater for the complexity of the situation.

- of a breach of the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

there's no question -

MR BIZOS SC:

CHAIRPERSON:

```
Page 7429
                                                                                                                         Page 7431
    the way you wish but endeavour to deal with the
                                                                       videos correctly, will come to a different conclusion, but
    complexities so the answer we get is helpful and
                                                                   2
 2
                                                                       it's an argument I think can better be proceeded with at
 3
    meaningful.
                                                                   3
                                                                       that stage, rather than with this witness.
 4
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                Have you seen the videos,
                                                                   4
                                                                              MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                   5
 5
    the video material in exhibit L?
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     Because I don't know that
           MS PHIYEGA:
                                                                       her answers, and I say this with great respect, one way to
 6
                               I have seen some of those.
                                                                   6
 7
    Exhibit L is slide -
                                                                   7
                                                                       t'other, are really going to help us.
    [12:46] MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                   8
                                                                              MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                    I didn't really expect any
 8
                                  Have you seen the
                                                                   9
                                                                       different answer but I merely put the question, not as to
 9
    photographs produced, reproduced in exhibit L?
10
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                  10
                                                                       our learned friends, that if they have such evidence, we
                                     Again, maybe just to
    take me to that slide, you said exhibit L is slide what?
11
                                                                  11
                                                                       haven't yet seen it, Mr Chairman, and we'll proceed from
12
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                 Well, it's a general
                                                                  12
                                                                       that. Now in IFF22 -
13
    question, he says, have you seen the photographs in exhibit
                                                                  13
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     I think you mean FFF22.
14
    L? I think you've said you've seen them. We can assume
                                                                  14
                                                                              MR BIZOS SC:
    that you've seen the pictures, the photographs.
                                                                  15
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     You said IFF, I don't know
15
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                       an IFF.
16
                                     Yes. I've seen a
                                                                  16
    number of them.
17
                                                                  17
                                                                              MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                    I am sorry, yes, the first
18
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                Have you seen any
                                                                  18
                                                                       F was a bit short of a line.
    photograph of a protester near enough any policeman where
19
                                                                  19
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     FFF22, page?
20
    the policeman was in danger of being stabbed or shot by the
                                                                  20
                                                                              MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                    Yes. Annexure B, it's a
21
    protester? Have you seen any such joint presence, anywhere
                                                                  21
                                                                       table, and what it shows that six injuries were sustained
22
    near one another?
                                                                  22
                                                                       to the upper body with only 25 injuries been sustained to
23
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                     I saw police in danger.
                                                                  23
                                                                       the lower body.
24
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                No, that's a judgment. I
                                                                  24
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                     National Commissioner, I
    asked you, whether you saw any photograph of a short
25
                                                                       think you'll find this annexure is the last page of the
                                                       Page 7430
                                                                                                                         Page 7432
    distance between a protester and a policeman? Please
                                                                       exhibit. "Analysis of selected aspects of findings of
 1
    answer that question.
 2
                                                                   2
                                                                       survivors." Is that correct, Mr Bizos?
 3
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      The proximity I saw
                                                                   3
                                                                              GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                                                                                        Sorry, exhibit?
 4
    shows police in danger.
                                                                   4
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    The last page of the
 5
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                I ask that the witness be
                                                                       exhibit, and it's headed "analysis of selected aspects of
    directed to answer the question, Mr Chairman.
                                                                       findings of survivors."
 6
                                                                   6
 7
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                   7
                                                                              MR BIZOS SC:
                                  It's an answer by
                                                                                                   Yes, it's really a
                                                                   8
 8
    implication. If you say that she hasn't answered the
                                                                       summarised figure that I have given based on those pages.
 9
                                                                   9
    question directly, it's a matter you can argue later. You
                                                                              CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                                                    Now that we've both
10
    can ask us to draw an adverse inference, perhaps. But I
                                                                  10
                                                                       directed the witness to the page, perhaps you would like to
11
    think she has given the answer. It stands with full right.
                                                                  11
                                                                       ask her the questions based on it.
12
    You may be able to use it in your favour. Mr Semenya may
                                                                  12
                                                                              MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                   That 66 injuries were
13
    be able to use it in his. I don't know that we can, I can
                                                                  13
                                                                       sustained to the upper body with only 25 injuries being
14
    push her further than that.
                                                                  14
                                                                       sustained on the lower body, that is in relation to the
15
           MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                  15
                                                                       people who were injured. Do you agree that the vast
                                Well, I am going to put to
    you that there is no evidence, either photographic or
                                                                       majority were the victims of excessive force and not, or
                                                                  16
17
    video, to show that any police officer was in imminent
                                                                  17
                                                                       maximum force and not with minimum force?
18
    danger from any attack from any protester.
                                                                  18
                                                                              MR SEMENYA SC:
                                                                                                      Chairperson, the witness
19
                                                                       must be directed to a very critical note which appears to
           GENERAL PHIYEGA:
                                      In my response, I say I
                                                                  19
20
    do not agree.
                                                                  20
                                                                       those stats, and it reads "the above includes only the 61
                                 Mr Bizos, I think that this
21
           CHAIRPERSON:
                                                                  21
                                                                       cases where documentation was available. 2. Categories
   is a matter that can be more fruitfully, if I may say so,
                                                                       will overlap because of occasional multiplicity of injuries
    dealt with in argument. You may say that the answer you've
                                                                       on the same survivor, thus totalling of figures between
                                                                  23
24 been given is incorrect, you can demonstrate from videos.
                                                                  24
                                                                       columns and rows will bear no meaningful result."
25 Mr Semenya may say that, if you read the - look at the
                                                                  25
                                                                              MR BIZOS SC:
                                                                                                   Well, I make that
   ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE
```

Page 7433 Page 7435 reservation, but I don't understand the note to negate actually sometimes, full of surprises, let's see whether these figures of 26 and 25 in any material respect but I 2 you are going to get, prior to this occasion. 2 MR BIZOS SC: 3 suppose from the witness, we can't expect any answer other 3 No. no. 4 than that you don't want to deal with it because it's a 4 CHAIRPERSON: What do you say, National 5 technical matter. Is that our answer? Commissioner, do you agree with counsel is putting to you, or do you disagree? **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** 6 6 Counsel, that's your 7 answer. 7 GENERAL PHIYEGA: I disagree, it's 8 MR BIZOS SC: absolutely premature that there's evidence that is going to Is it your answer? 9 9 **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** That's your answer. be led here, the arguments that are going to be taken, I 10 MR BIZOS SC: What is your answer? 10 disagree. 11 11 CHAIRPERSON: Was that the answer you **GENERAL PHIYEGA:** My answer is, I have 12 12 said, the shots at this point the circumstances would have expect, Mr Bizos? Was that the answer you expected? 13 to be taken - you can't read the numbers alone, you must 13 MR BIZOS SC: I think that I do not 14 read the numbers with the circumstances. We had spoken 14 accept the correct -15 15 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I didn't ask you if about pictures, we've spoken about other things, we have 16 spoken about crouching, we have spoken about people who 16 you accept it, did you expect it? 17 17 were upright, I don't have those facts and you also right MR BIZOS SC: 18 now, are not able to give me those facts. So it's a 18 CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you've got 19 difficult thing to answer even for yourself. 19 more questions to ask on -20 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, I see it's five 20 MR BIZOS SC: No, not on this issue. 21 minutes to one. I don't know whether you are going to go 21 CHAIRPERSON: On other topics on Tuesday? 22 onto another topic now. 22 Are you finished this point? 23 MR BIZOS SC: I want to round off this 23 MR BIZOS SC: I've finished this point, I 24 topic. am going to go onto another matter and I understand that 24 25 CHAIRPERSON: Right, round off the topic, this may be a convenient stage. Page 7434 Page 7436 CHAIRPERSON: 1 and then I want to make an appeal to parties on an 1 It is a convenient stage. 2 housekeeping aspect which - you round off the topic. Before we adjourn, I would just like to say that what has 3 MR BIZOS SC: I want to put to you that been happening recently, is articles, as I understand it, 4 the post-mortem reports and the clinical records indicate a been sent to the parties by other parties beforehand, but 5 total deviation from what is expected of the police in any they haven't been given to us. So we only see them for the situation, and what they show that there was a deviation, 6 6 first time when they are mentioned in examination or cross-7 7 or what is expected from the police by the standing orders, examination, and we've done our best to speed read them 8 the legislation, and our constitution. And I want to put here at the table, but it's not been very easy, and we 9 to you that the force employed was disproportional, would appreciate in future, if parties are going to excessive and extreme and manifestly unlawful. 10 exchange or give each other articles and other material, 10 11 which will be used in cross-examination, that we could be 11 MR SEMENYA SC: Are we are the stage of 12 argument, Chair? 12 given it a day or so beforehand, so we can study it 13 13 MR BIZOS SC: No, I am putting it to overnight beforehand. It enables us to follow the evidence 14 CHAIRPERSON: I am not under oath, so I 14 more easily and to in fact, sometimes even contribute to 15 15 the discussion. So that's a favour we ask, and I don't decline to answer any questions. It's a rhetorical 16 question, but insofar it's a question, it's directed to Mr think it's an unreasonable one. I expect the parties will 17 Bizos. The suggestion is that this is basically a point 17 comply with that. It remains for me to wish all concerned, 18 for argument. 18 a very happy and enjoyable Easter weekend, we will resume 19 MR BIZOS SC: Yes, but I have to put it 19 at 10 o'clock on Tuesday morning. The Commission adjourns. 20 [COMMISSION ADJOURNED] 20 to her. 21 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, you've put it to 21 22 her. 22 MR BIZOS SC: Yes, I know what the answer 23 23 is going to be, but that will not prevent me -24 CHAIRPERSON: Well, you know, you know, 25

averse 7412:4 **avoid** 7409:1 7410:24

Page 1

A
ability 7386:23,24
able 7361:23 7362:5,7
7366:9 7382:8
7387:12,16 7400:21
7406:8,15 7415:8
7400.8,13 7413.8
7422.3 7430.12,13
absolutely 7365:12
7413:12 7435:8
abundantly 7393:14
abuse 7379:15 7394:24
7396:1,10
academics 7371:19
accept 7365:22 7366:5
7366:7,9,13,15,20
7369:3,9,12 7385:16
7389:18 7398:7
7404:1 7410:12
7418:9 7435:14,16
acceptable 7362:6
accepted 7405:19,19,25
7411:3
accepts 7359:6
access 7418:2
accord 7370:12
account 7423:11,25
7428:22,25
accurate 7375:20
7403:16 7419:9
acknowledges 7409:10
acknowledging 7406:1
acted 7382:17 7384:7
7426:8
action 7372:7 7375:6
7413:16
actions 7370:25
actively 7371:14
acts 7401:21
actual 7388:4 7418:2
add 7410:23
added 7368:11
addition 7396:15
7418:17
addresses 7358:7
addressing 7423:20,21
adjourn 7394:10
7395:6 7436:2
ADJOURNED 7436:20
adjournment 7379:8
7392:9,10,15 7393:2
7393:23 7394:5
adjourns 7394:11
7436:19
administration
7372:16
admitted 7396:19
adult 7360:14,22
7396:15
adverse 7430:10
advice 7375:11 7406:11
advise 7373:14
advised 7374:2,4
advocate 7374:5
affidavit 7374:7

IVIA
7414:23
affirm 7412:24
affirmed 7412:23
7413:24
Africa 7395:22 7408:11
African 7357:15,20
7358:6 7414:11
Africans 7396:18
age 7358:9 7359:2,4 aggression 7383:15
ago 7377:23 7395:24
7415:22
agree 7361:8 7363:7
7369:4 7375:24
7382:3,7 7386:8
7401:4,18,18 7403:20
7405:15,15 7407:23
7415:25 7422:18,23
7430:20 7432:15
7435:5
Al 7415:17 alarming 7405:11,12
alerting 7372:20
allegation 7426:7
7428:4
allegations 7398:7
7399:5,8,15,24
7401:8,8,12,19
7414:22,25 7415:1
allow 7392:16 7428:7
allowance 7387:18
7389:24
Alright 7393:10 7400:14 7411:9
7400.14 7411.9
ambush 7380:15
7392:17
ambushed 7379:2
amend 7368:12
amendments 7411:25
ammunition 7366:6,14
7385:8,9,19 7386:2,9
7386:17,25 7387:9,11
7387:14,22 7388:9,15 7389:14,15,16,18
7390:4,11 7393:14
7390:4,11 7393:14 7416:16
amount 7402:21
7403:15,21
amounts 7360:22
7363:9 7403:5,15
amplification 7377:8
amplify 7377:6,8
analysed 7418:7
analysis 7389:21
7432:1,5
analyst 7418:2
and/or 7407:22
7409:17 7411:21 7413:12 7421:23
anecdotal 7392:3
7398:3 7404:10
angles 7418:18
annexure 7367:6,12,13
7367:14,14,23,25
7260.17 7421.20 25

7368:17 7431:20,25

Kana Commission of in
annexures 7367:12,13 7367:14 7391:5
announce 7397:17
answer 7359:16
7360:20 7361:14,14
7361:18,23,23,24
7362:1,1,2,5,7,18
7366:1 7372:22
7374:14 7381:24
7382:1,9,12,25
7383:19,23 7385:22
7385:24 7392:19
7393:9,13 7395:10
7399:7,15 7400:16
7402:2 7410:4
7412:14 7415:8,12
7412:14 7413.8,12
7424:1 7428:20
7429:2 7430:2,6,7,11
7430:23 7431:9
7433:3,5,7,8,9,10,11
7433:19 7434:15,23
7433:19 7434:15,23 7435:11,12
answered 7361:3
7362:3 7390:16,17,19
7425:13 7430:8
answers 7377:6,9
7400:21 7426:21
7431:6
anybody 7423:7 7424:2
anyway 7364:6 7373:8
7409:8 7419:17
apologies 7409:7
apparently 7388:5
appeal 7371:6 7392:5
7434:1
appear 7388:13
7389:16 7409:14
7410:7,8 7412:20
7417:24 7419:13
appeared 7419:14
appearing 7418:14
7425:21
appears 7404:16,21
7408:20 7432:19
applicable 7428:11
applied 7427:5
applies 7380:13
apply 7393:11 7426:24
7427:7
appoint 7413:22
appreciate 7436:9
approach 7392:3
approaching 7424:7
appropriate 7365:10
7373:7 7393:6
7406:20
approximately 7368:5
April 7401:1
area 7427:8
area 7427:8 areas 7357:23
argue 7427:16 7430:9
argument 7430:23
7431:2 7434:12,18
arguments 7/35.0

arm 7360:14
arms 7361:5 7363:12
7364:9,9,12,12,21
7365:1
arose 7412:3
arrests 7397:24 7398:6
arrive 7374:8
arrived 7358:14
arteries 7360:16
article 7357:6,13
The state of the s
articles 7371:18 7372:1
7436:3,10
artificial 7377:8
ascertain 7383:25
ascertained 7425:18
asked 7358:24 7361:13
7363:7 7366:1 7373:8
7382:11 7394:21
7397:15 7399:23
7402:14 7415:3,17
7416:17 7422:25
7429:25
asking 7361:8 7368:2,3
7370:3,5 7382:4
7386:11 7388:1,22
7397:20 7403:7
7413:4 7415:13
aspect 7378:18 7405:21
7434:2
aspects 7367:24 7432:1
_
7432:5
assault 7396:12,13
assertions 7397:10
assessment 7422:1
assist 7386:3 7406:23
assume 7365:17,20
7390:2,4,15 7429:14
assumed 7368:14
7373:24
assuming 7382:7
assumption 7366:2,13
7370:3,5 7384:20
attaching 7405:8
attack 7369:4 7384:14
7420:20 7427:22
7430:18
attacked 7421:10,21
attacking 7424:3
attacks 7418:19
attendance 7398:25
7399:2
attention 7374:12
7375:2 7389:10
7398:23
attitude 7415:3
aught 7374:3
August 7360:23
7364:20,25 7414:17
7426:16
, ,,
author's 7419:3

autopsies 7367:16,20

Autopsy 7367:15,24

7400:19 7417:19,21

7419:8,11 7432:21

available 7391:20

average 7396:4

```
aware 7378:12 7427:24
B 7431:20
back 7365:19 7366:6
  7366:13 7367:2
  7368:12,13,15,16
  7369:2 7370:8 7375:7
  7383:16 7404:7
  7406:12 7421:23
  7422:7
backed 7370:3,6
  7393:19
backside 7426:9
balls 7388:8,14,19
  7389:22
bandied 7404:3
bank 7427:17
base 7387:13 7389:20
  7398:2
based 7419:23 7432:8
  7432:11
basically 7434:17
basis 7363:5 7368:3,8
  7388:22,23 7403:6
  7428:2
bear 7380:19 7406:19
  7432:24
beautiful 7415:6
bedrock 7408:13
beg 7373:1 7376:3
  7381:1 7407:24
  7419:20
beginning 7391:3
begins 7417:15
behave 7415:17
belabour 7413:9
believe 7358:23
  7365:24 7423:14
believes 7418:2
benefit 7407:9
best 7376:25 7436:7
better 7362:3 7400:20
  7431:2
bill 7407:20 7409:15
  7410:19.21 7411:19
  7413:10
billion 7396:13,15
  7402:4,6
billions 7401:20
bit 7365:14 7372:10
  7393:3 7394:1,4,6
  7399:24 7415:10,11
  7415:14 7419:19
  7427:17 7431:18
blame 7405:8
blood 7360:15
board 7370:9
body 7368:1,19
  7369:12 7371:17,19
  7371:22,24 7381:5
  7383:12,12 7399:11
  7418:19 7422:17
  7423:7 7424:21
```

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

arguments 7435:9

arising 7392:11

7425:19 7426:15.17 7426:18 7428:23 7431:22.23 7432:13 7432:14 **bone** 7360:16 breach 7428:8,14 **briefed** 7366:10,18 **briefing** 7362:23 7384:22 bring 7420:18 **bringing** 7404:11 broadcast 7384:23 **brought** 7374:12 7375:1 7398:23 7427:2 **bruise** 7389:8 brutality 7396:2 7397:23 **bullet** 7360:11,18 7404:15 7426:14 **bullets** 7365:24 7385:16,19 7386:1,5 7386:9,11,21,24 7387:10,11,15,19,19 7388:8,14 7389:3,15 7390:15 7393:15 7404:13 7422:21 Bunge 7360:21 **burning** 7394:9 busy 7390:20 7400:18 bypass 7421:3 \mathbf{C} C 7367:6,14

calculate 7402:6 calibre 7374:9 7375:13 7375:19,19 call 7388:6 called 7357:14 7388:19 7402:13 calling 7415:6 calls 7392:10 can't 7400:16 7410:10 7421:24,25 7422:8 7427:12 7433:3,13 capable 7380:11 Captain 7428:22 care 7419:14 carry 7395:11 7412:16 7413:7 cartridge 7428:23 case 7402:20 cases 7375:18 7385:6,6 7385:8 7390:14 7396:2,4,4,7,7,11,12 7397:23 7398:5 7405:10 7406:14 7417:22,23,25,25 7418:1,1,5 7425:17 7432:21 Categories 7432:21 cater 7427:11 7428:17 Cause 7367:18 caused 7385:16 7404:3 certain 7362:15,24

7406:14 7415:3

ARCHIVE

certainly 7363:2 7405:9 certificate 7391:6 certificates 7404:22 **CFO** 7372:15 7373:20 Chair 7357:10 7361:16 7363:21 7369:8,14,21 7375:3 7377:17 7381:15 7382:20 7386:16 7395:15 7408:25 7410:23 7412:6,8 7418:13 7420:22 7425:4,19 7428:21 7434:12 **Chairman** 7357:6,6 7368:11 7375:23 7376:19 7377:4,11,12 7378:17 7380:20 7385:2,10 7386:19 7388:25 7390:12 7395:18 7411:11 7412:18 7413:9 7419:7 7420:2 7427:12 7430:6 7431:11 challenges 7401:12 chance 7361:19 7379:8 7391:17,25 7394:8 7395:9 change 7381:20 7389:11 **changes** 7418:21 **charged** 7396:8 checked 7401:22 chests 7369:11 **choose** 7361:4 chronological 7411:6 **church** 7423:10 circumstances 7357:22 7359:9,22 7362:15 7365:4,13 7384:11,12 7405:8 7423:3,10,11 7423:14,15,17,19,25 7424:9 7425:7,10 7426:10 7427:2 7433:12,14 civil 7396:11.12.12 7402:13 **claim** 7402:19 7405:20 **claimed** 7401:21 7402:21,25 7403:15 claims 7396:13,14 7402:13 7403:11,12 clarify 7415:16 7418:4 clashed 7397:2,8 clashes 7397:16 clear 7371:16 7393:14 7411:18 7419:11,11 clearly 7372:10 7403:3 clients 7406:23 clinical 7379:19,23 7380:4 7385:10 7417:3 7434:4 close 7384:17,19 7427:20 closer 7403:24

closest 7428:23 clustered 7397:9 **colleague** 7369:22 7421:18 **collected** 7397:19 collection 7420:17 column 7367:17 columns 7432:24 combat 7358:3 combine 7389:10 come 7361:7 7367:8 7383:21 7406:11 7419:20,21 7431:1 comes 7362:16 7372:15 7372:17 coming 7374:20 7401:8 **command** 7408:6 commander 7361:3 **commanders** 7361:7,11 **comment** 7358:5 7368:7 7371:9,10,14 7371:15 7419:17 7428:5,6 commission 7357:2 7358:13,18 7365:22 7369:1 7370:22 7374:15,16,18 7375:4 7375:6,8 7391:24 7394:11,11,12 7401:4 7402:3,11 7404:12 7413:22 7416:4,9 7421:5 7427:1 7436:19,20 commissioned 7362:4 7402:16 commissioner 7357:3 7357:13 7358:21 7362:8 7366:21 7373:6 7383:14 7390:22 7393:24 7394:7,13,19 7395:14 7402:1,13,17 7405:16 7407:3 7409:18,22,25 7410:25 7411:18 7414:9 7419:3 7424:15 7431:24 7435:5 commitment 7408:9 committed 7408:7 **committee** 7377:22 7395:23 7398:18 7399:7,10,12,20,21 7400:3,8 7416:7 common 7386:19 commonality 7397:17 Commonsense 7386:18 **community** 7407:13,18 **compare** 7388:2 **compared** 7386:24 7388:14,20 comparing 7427:17 comparison 7387:18 compassion 7408:8 competence 7396:24 complacent 7405:13

complaints 7372:2.5 7373:2 7398:6 **complete** 7359:16 completely 7414:19 complexities 7429:2 complexity 7427:11 7428:7,17 comply 7436:17 comprehensively 7402:3 compromising 7392:5 **compute** 7386:17 **conceal** 7413:1 concern 7405:5,15 7412:16 7414:21 **concerned** 7388:9,12 7436:17 **concluded** 7360:18 conclusion 7374:8 7375:18 7431:1 conclusions 7419:16 **conduct** 7371:7 7373:25,25 7381:16 conducted 7380:21 **confess** 7364:3 **confined** 7424:20 confirmation 7357:8 confuses 7404:6 confusion 7409:1 7410:24 congruent 7407:20 7409:14 7411:19 7413:10 **consider** 7365:10 7376:8,14 7393:6 7401:3 7425:6 consideration 7423:18 considered 7423:12 constitution 7371:20 7407:21 7409:15 7411:20 7413:11 7434:8 constitutional 7371:6 7407:14,19 construed 7377:2 consultant 7360:17 **contained** 7415:19 **CONTD** 7357:5 context 7386:5 7398:3 7399:17 contextualise 7385:23 7401:14 **continue** 7408:2 contradicted 7384:8 contradicting 7414:23 contradiction 7427:21 contribute 7436:14 control 7357:24 7360:9 7360:19 7375:25 controversial 7426:25 7427:7 convenience 7380:9 convenient 7435:25 7436:1 **convictions** 7406:10,13

copied 7403:22 copy 7357:16 7377:24 7378:4 correct 7357:10 7363:20 7368:17 7370:13 7377:17 7382:3 7383:7 7386:8 7388:8,13 7399:18,19 7400:3,23 7404:22 7405:19 7409:2,2 7410:20 7411:11 7421:7 7426:5 7428:6 7432:2 7435:14 corrected 7364:5 7368:25 7379:13 correction 7363:25 7368:11 7375:2 7409:13 correctly 7365:20 7375:21 7431:1 correctness 7385:17 correspond 7386:20 **corruption** 7396:8,16 couldn't 7400:9 counsel 7383:7 7391:13 7414:1 7433:6 7435:5 counsel's 7365:22 count 7402:8 7404:8 **counted** 7367:5 country 7371:21 **counts** 7367:21 couple 7395:8 7402:24 7425:2 course 7365:15 7378:22 7380:14 7384:21 7400:2 7403:4 7405:4 7406:14 7424:22 7426:25 7427:5,6 court 7371:6,6 7373:16 7402:9 7405:19 7406:2 courts 7371:18,25 cover 7425:21 **criminal** 7396:2 criminality 7396:6 7404:5 7405:1.18.23 7406:6 criminals 7415:17 critical 7400:17 7418:15 7423:14 7424:10 7432:19 criticism 7371:2 7372:2 7372:5 criticisms 7370:24 7371:25 7373:2,24 cross 7370:9 7436:6 cross-examination 7357:4,5 7370:16 7380:18 7392:17 7436:11 crouching 7422:20 7423:24 7433:16 crowd 7357:24 7360:9 7360:19,25 7364:22 7365:11 7374:10

7406:14

complaint 7370:4,11

7375:25 7387:11
7420:20 7421:21
crowds 7357:23
currently 7409:3
7425:10
custody 7404:19,23,25
custouy 7 10 1.17,23,23
D
D 7360:17 7367:23
7368:17
damage 7360:15
danger 7421:15 7422:5
7427:23 7429:20,23
7430:4,18
data 7389:20 7397:2,9
7397:16,16 7398:14
database 7389:23
date 7360:23 7408:1
dated 7395:23
day 7379:13 7396:5
7436:12
days 7377:23 7395:24
De 7374:7,12 7375:11
7375:14,15,24,24
dead 7369:5
deadly 7357:15,21
7361:9 7364:9
7365:20
deal 7359:4 7370:9
7371:10,15 7372:19
7372:22 7373:21
7378:14 7392:11
7394:3,8 7401:5
7403:14,19,23
7426:22 7429:1
7433:4
dealing 7359:12
7399:24 7414:16
7423:4
dealt 7371:3 7373:7
7392:23 7398:17
7430:23
death 7360:14 7363:18
7367:18 7381:16
deaths 7367:11
7377:21 7379:25
7385:25 7390:25
7396:5 7404:3,16,18
7404:23 7405:3,6,7 7405:13,14,14,22,22
7427:1
debatable 7403:25
debate 7406:20
7419:19 7428:15
deceased 7367:16
7390:10 7420:2,7,19 7422:11,11 7426:2,2
7422:11,11 7426:2,2
7426:13
decide 7381:8,11,22
7382:14,16
decided 7412:12
deciding 7383:13
decision 7384:11
7413:13

7407:12,17
defence 7365:6 7381:9
7381:12,23 7382:15 7382:17 7383:14
7384:7 7420:19
7421:13,14 7426:8,18 7426:19,23,25 7427:2
7427:3,6,9,10,20
7428:3,10,11
defend 7425:13 define 7371:23 7418:17
defined 7382:24
defines 7425:11 definite 7422:8
degree 7418:9
delay 7374:11 7375:17
deliberate 7408:12 7413:15
deliberately 7392:20 7423:7
deliberateness 7423:13 delicate 7422:17
democracy 7358:14
7408:11,14
demonstrate 7430:24 demonstrated 7424:21
demonstration 7409:16 7411:21
demonstrations
7407:22 7413:12 department 7373:13,13
7402:14
depend 7386:22 7423:3 depended 7365:4
dependent 7408:12
Depending 7389:4
depends 7365:12 7371:2 7422:19
7423:15
described 7367:18 7383:2
describes 7358:14
deserve 7425:1
desirable 7377:3 desk 7378:4
despite 7385:3
detail 7369:24 7418:19 detailed 7400:2
7406:22
details 7365:16 7367:8
determination 7418:17 determine 7425:11
determining 7417:22
deviation 7434:5,6
didn't 7410:5 7413:1 7417:6 7431:8
7435:15
die 7390:3 died 7369:2,11 7422:12
7426:15
different 7359:10,22 7381:17 7399:20
7381:17 7399:20 7411:1 7414:20
7425:23 7431:1,9
differently 7359:14,18

```
difficult 7400:16
  7422:9 7433:19
direct 7361:18 7372:21
directed 7408:12
  7430:6 7432:10,19
  7434:16
direction 7417:22,23
  7418:2 7419:12
  7420:13 7425:17
Directions 7417:12,16
directly 7361:14
  7430:9
disadvantage 7399:24
disagree 7382:23
  7435:6,7,10
disbelieve 7398:11
discharge 7360:11
  7407:14 7408:5
disciplinary 7412:15
disclaimer 7418:25
disclose 7385:1
discuss 7414:4
discussed 7393:23
discussing 7423:15,22
discussion 7436:15
discussions 7374:7
disparity 7403:4
disposed 7402:20
disproportional 7434:9
dispute 7421:20
Disregard 7408:3
distance 7389:5,6
  7430:1
disturbing 7357:24
  7360:10
diverse 7372:13
  7373:15.21
divisions 7371:7
DNA 7373:16
docket 7405:23
Doctor 7360:17
  7379:20
doctors 7379:19 7391:4
  7418:3
document 7358:9
  7367:19 7368:2,5,9
  7377:12.13.21
  7378:12,16,17,20,21
  7379:11,22,25
  7380:18 7390:23
  7391:20 7392:8,13,17
  7393:13 7394:23
  7399:25 7400:10,20
  7400:22 7401:10
  7404:15 7416:15,17
  7420:10,23,25
documentation
  7432:21
documents 7391:23
  7413:1 7416:16
doesn't 7391:20
  7402:19 7405:18
  7409:8 7412:16
  7413:3 7425:1
  7426:24 7427:11
```

```
7403:12
don't 7404:8 7409:13
  7410:7 7413:5.8.21
  7413:22 7415:15,25
  7417:9,10 7418:21
  7430:13 7431:5,15
  7433:1,4,17,21
  7435:18 7436:15
doubled 7396:14
doubt 7396:24 7419:7
Dr 7419:21
draft 7408:19 7413:25
draw 7383:17,18
  7419:15 7430:10
drawing 7370:8
  7389:10
drawn 7367:1
drinking 7392:12
duck 7422:21
due 7400:2 7418:19
duties 7357:20 7360:10
  7378:22
D1 7385:14
D3 7416:18,19 7417:4
  7417:12
          \mathbf{E}
E 7418:24 7419:2
earlier 7407:25
 7408:23 7423:23
  7426:10
early 7393:3
easier 7370:23
easily 7401:22 7436:14
Easter 7436:18
easy 7362:9 7421:24,25
  7422:8 7436:8
effect 7360:18,21
  7361:9 7377:2
  7392:19
effected 7374:11
  7375:17 7389:2
effective 7358:4
efficient 7424:13
efforts 7385:4
eight 7367:25
either 7368:16 7369:2
  7403:12 7405:25
  7414:10 7420:7
  7430:16
elicit 7428:19
embarrassed 7395:7
employed 7434:9
employment 7374:9
enable 7428:16
enables 7436:13
endeavour 7429:1
enforcement 7360:10
  7408:13
engage 7415:1
```

enjoyable 7436:18

enshrined 7407:21

7413:10

enters 7372:1

entirely 7375:20

7409:15 7411:19

```
entitled 7361:4 7370:1
  7421:15 7427:23
  7428:1
entity 7381:17
entrusted 7371:20
entry 7369:10
enunciated 7359:5
environment 7371:3
  7404:6 7406:6
environments 7371:9
  7371:14 7372:22
  7373:15,22
equally 7360:10
equip 7362:14
equipment 7361:2,2
  7377:6
erect 7424:23
establish 7423:12
ethics 7398:1
evaluation 7379:18,23
  7380:4
event 7413:23
everybody 7419:16
evidence 7360:21
  7366:16 7369:1,10,13
  7369:20 7370:6,7
  7381:22 7384:8,17,18
  7390:1 7396:6 7404:5
  7405:1,18,18,22,24
  7406:5 7420:25,25
  7421:1,3,4,8 7428:2
  7430:16 7431:10
  7435:8 7436:13
evidenced 7393:15
exaggerated 7399:17
  7402:10
examination 7370:10
  7436:6,7
examining 7384:8
example 7406:16
  7421:17
excellence 7408:9
exception 7411:3
excessive 7432:16
  7434:10
exchange 7436:10
execution 7357:19
executives 7372:18
exercise 7402:24
  7406:24
exhibit 7362:22 7367:9
  7370:13 7379:24
  7380:4 7385:20
  7388:2 7393:20
  7394:17 7395:21
  7407:1 7414:17
  7416:18 7424:16
  7429:5,7,9,11,13
  7432:1,3,5
exist 7359:12,20,23
  7360:2
expect 7431:8 7433:3
  7435:12,16 7436:16
expected 7367:20
  7381:3,18 7385:17
```

7359:22 7397:18

decline 7434:15

dedicated 7372:3

doing 7376:25 7392:20

7414:11,14 7421:22

7423:18 7434:5,7	favour 7430:12
7425.16 7434.3,7	7436:15
expended 7388:5,7	favoured 7387:21
experience 7403:22	feature 7357:24
experienced 7396:10	feedback 7402:3
expert 7374:11,15,15	7404:7
7374:18 7382:21	feel 7395:10 7416:9
7383:16	FFF 7357:7 7422:10
expertise 7362:5	7431:14
7373:22	FFF19 7357:8,11
experts 7369:1 7419:19	FFF2 7408:20 7409:4
explain 7422:4 expressed 7382:8	7409:22,23 7411:2,22 FFF20 7367:10
7413:5	7370:13 7377:21
expression 7388:8	7379:25 7390:12
extent 7362:13 7393:19	7391:1 7394:20
extra 7392:15	7395:2 7417:5,8
extracted 7417:23	7420:2 7425:16
7419:12	7426:13
extrapolation 7418:5	FFF21 7377:15,22
extreme 7434:10	7394:18 7395:21
eye 7372:5	7415:19
eyes 7384:17,20	FFF22 7380:5 7416:18
7427:21	7417:2,3,9,12,14 7431:13,19
F	FFF3 7407:1,2 7409:3
F 7431:18	7409:19 7411:3,22
face 7369:5,6 7386:7,7	FF2 7425:19
7386:16 7422:4,13	FF22 7425:16,19
faced 7400:22 7421:11	field 7382:21
7421:11	figure 7401:24 7405:4
faces 7369:11	7405:11,12,24 7432:8
facie 7387:7	figures 7386:8 7387:20
facing 7396:11	7388:17 7389:12,15
fact 7362:9,25 7365:23	7404:1 7406:21
7368:21 7378:13 7390:2 7391:14	7432:23 7433:2 final 7379:17 7409:17
7396:19 7397:11	7411:2 7412:21
7398:7 7401:19	finally 7402:20 7415:2
7404:20 7405:7	find 7385:10 7403:3
7409:1 7410:11	7413:20,22 7415:22
7412:22 7413:23	7431:25
7427:13,15,16	finding 7406:16 7419:5
7436:14	7419:23
factor 7384:21 7405:7	findings 7367:15,24
factors 7386:23 facts 7362:22 7387:13	7406:22 7432:1,6 finds 7406:17
7388:5 7397:12	finish 7369:5
7398:5,10 7401:15	finished 7375:8
7404:20 7414:19	7435:22,23
7433:17,18	fire 7385:18 7386:23
factual 7362:17	firearm 7390:11
Fahrumu 7391:4	7424:13
failing 7421:7	firearms 7357:20,22,25
fair 7378:11 7393:7	7362:4
7426:21	fired 7362:25,25
fairly 7378:21 7380:16 7392:23 7400:1	7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,11,14,15,19
fairness 7418:13	7388:20 7389:5
false 7428:3	fires 7394:9
fantastic 7403:22	firing 7385:7,9
far 7370:7 7388:9,12	first 7357:18 7358:9
7388:12	7360:5 7391:18
fast 7400:24	7397:19 7399:25
fatal 7426:14	7400:23 7401:25
for 14 72 (0.11 7400.1	7410.1 17 7411.22

7412:23 7413:25 7424:23 7427:18 7431:17 7436:6 five 7368:22 7396:7 7404:23,25 7405:17 7433:20 **flashing** 7395:21 floor 7423:24 flow 7370:15 flows 7404:15 focused 7408:12 follow 7366:8 7369:8 7415:8 7436:13 **followed** 7391:4 following 7374:8 **footage** 7384:23 force 7357:15,21 7370:24 7372:25 7375:4 7425:7,8,13 7432:16,17,17 7434:9 **forensic** 7360:17 7373:17 7380:21 7418:20 **forever** 7416:13 **forgive** 7362:9 7363:2 7400:7 form 7365:7 7375:23 7383:22 7389:23 7403:6 7414:10,12 forms 7386:1 formula 7402:5 forth 7404:19 forward 7365:14 7424:25 found 7385:3,11,25 7390:12 7406:2 7418:7 four 7360:5 7367:17 Frame 7428:25 **Frankly** 7414:4 friend 7357:9 7389:12 7412:11 7424:18 7426:4 friends 7431:10 friend's 7415:16 **front** 7357:16 7369:12 7377:25 7383:18 7391:5 7407:2 7417:25 7424:14 7425:19 7426:3,6,9 **fruitfully** 7430:22 full 7412:5 7430:11 7435:1 fuller 7410:13,13 fully 7418:6 **function** 7414:14 further 7364:6 7389:8 7405:23 7418:5 7420:6 7430:14 future 7396:19 7408:10 7436:9 F3 7425:19 G

getting 7376:12 gist 7410:22 give 7358:10.11 7361:13,18,19,19 7362:1 7365:15 7367:9 7378:2,11 7379:2,8 7380:16 7386:5 7391:17,25 7392:13,15 7395:9 7398:1 7400:2,9,21 7401:15 7402:3,11 7403:16 7404:7 7416:6 7419:18,24 7421:17 7422:8 7433:18 7436:10 given 7361:22 7372:14 7377:7 7385:24 7392:6 7397:24 7406:11 7415:4 7416:10,18 7421:1,1 7430:11,24 7432:8 7436:5,12 gives 7394:7 7403:13 7405:4 giving 7361:25 7372:23 7392:25 7400:12 7411:25 **go** 7365:14 7370:8 7372:18 7389:22 7406:4 7416:1,15,19 7422:6 7424:22 7433:21 7435:24 goes 7402:9 going 7357:7 7370:3,5 7370:6 7374:16 7375:2 7376:12 7378:17 7379:16 7380:18 7382:10 7402:5,11 7409:4 7412:13 7413:6 7415:12 7416:4 7419:19,20,21 7422:22 7427:16 7430:15 7431:7 7433:21 7434:24 7435:2,8,9,24 7436:9 great 7431:6 greatest 7412:19 greatly 7418:4 ground 7422:22 group 7421:8 7424:16 growth 7397:23 guarantees 7407:21 7409:16 7411:20 7413:11 gun 7422:2 7427:18 **gunshot** 7385:6 7422:12 gunshots 7385:7,9 7418:7 Η hamper 7392:23

hand 7427:22,22

7394:18

handed 7357:6 7358:13

handled 7372:14 **handling** 7380:11 hands 7393:5 7404:18 hang 7414:5 **happened** 7384:17 7414:16 7423:13 happening 7375:5 7436:3 happens 7392:21 7401:3 7423:11 happy 7400:4 7436:18 hard 7366:6,14 hasn't 7430:8 haunches 7424:3,12 haven't 7390:17,19,20 7392:18 7394:23 7395:1 7431:11 7436:5 **Haysom** 7357:7,14 7358:7 7359:5 head 7359:6 7360:18 7361:6 7362:10 7372:16 7394:3 7404:11 7414:5 7422:13,16,17,20,25 7423:3,8,19,23 7424:5,12 7425:7 headed 7357:19 7367:17 7395:21,25 7417:15 7432:5 **heading** 7379:15 7418:15 hear 7359:15 7371:11 7376:18 7381:25 7383:1 7397:4 7417:7 heard 7382:1 7385:24 7409:11 **hearsay** 7363:3 heed 7375:5 held 7424:23 help 7369:15 7377:9 7412:7,14 7413:6 7415:12 7431:7 helpful 7365:8 7400:19 7402:23 7417:22 7418:24 7429:2 helps 7410:15 7414:24 Hemraj 7366:21,25 7367:4 7389:19 7405:17 7419:3 high 7360:11 7390:11 7418:9 highly 7402:9 7408:11 history 7406:5,8 hit 7386:24.25 homework 7395:2,5 **homicides** 7404:20 **honesty** 7408:8 hope 7394:8 7402:18 **hoping** 7376:17 hospital 7389:23 hospitals 7383:6 hour 7393:25 housekeeping 7434:2 HSRC 7396:17,18 human 7358:1 7374:1

7410:1,17 7411:22

fault 7368:11 7408:1

gatherings 7357:23

generalised 7365:7

hurt 7422:7

hypothesis 7366:15 idea 7427:17 7428:3 identified 7396:9 **identify** 7406:15 7414:6 IFF 7431:15,16 IFF22 7431:12 IFS 7396:9 ignore 7427:13 illustrates 7428:6 illustration 7358:4 immediately 7375:12 7424:17 **imminent** 7421:15 7430:17 implication 7382:7 7405:25 7430:8 **implied** 7382:2,8 importance 7381:11 7382:14 7383:13 important 7359:1 7387:13 7399:11 impression 7364:3 7403:13,17 improperly 7413:5 inaccuracies 7399:16 inadvertence 7414:2 **inaudible** 7379:12 **incident** 7358:19 **incidents** 7396:14 **includes** 7388:9 7432:20 **including** 7357:22 7374:5 7376:12 7381:11 7383:13 7386:23 incontrovertible 7427:16 **incorrect** 7401:20 7416:9 7430:24 increased 7396:4 incurring 7387:6 independent 7360:17 **indicate** 7360:22 7363:9 7388:3.13 7404:21 7426:17 7434:4 indicated 7361:1 7424:12 indicates 7405:10 **indicator** 7397:22 **infer** 7387:10 inference 7383:17,18 7383:19 7387:15 7388:4 7430:10 inflict 7357:21 information 7362:23 7388:12 7397:9 7404:10 7418:17 7419:8,10 informed 7364:4 7384:11 7391:19

IVIč
initial 7411:4
initially 7409:3
injured 7381:9 7382:14 7383:4 7385:5
7389:13,17,17 7390:3
7390:3 7422:1 7426:3 7432:15
injuries 7360:13
7380:22 7381:10,21 7382:16 7383:9,11
7384:1 7385:7,8,15 7386:7,16,20 7387:9
7386:7,16,20 7387:9 7388:4 7393:16
7421:23 7426:14
7431:21,22 7432:12 7432:13,22
injury 7367:19,22 7385:14 7387:20
innocent 7406:7
input 7374:15 inquest 7406:16
insofar 7399:16
7404:20 7428:11 7434:16
instance 7372:14
7375:10 7397:21 7398:5 7401:20
7426:1
instances 7423:9 7424:7
Institute 7395:24
7396:20 7398:22 7414:18,22 7415:5
instructed 7375:12
instructions 7400:10 7400:12
insufficient 7361:24
integrity 7397:16 7408:8
intend 7377:3 intended 7412:21
intentional 7360:23
7363:9,11 interested 7361:11
7414:4,6
interesting 7404:14 interfere 7370:15
internal 7412:15
internally 7401:13 interpret 7371:20
interrupt 7379:21
7398:15 interruption 7408:3
interviewed 7396:18
intimidatory 7377:2 intricate 7392:2
investigation 7380:22 involved 7398:6
IPID 7380:24 7381:3
7396:3,5 7404:3,8,9 irrelevant 7408:4
irrelevant 7408:4 isn't 7400:9 7406:19
7427:13 IS S 7415:1,23 7416: 7
issue 7372:17 7403:24
7423:3 7435:20

issues 7372:21 7373:16
7392:4 7397:21
7412:4 7415:24
item 7368:18
it's 7392:20 7393:3
7395:6,6 7399:5
7400:7 7402:4
7403:10 7404:5,17,17
7405:5,19 7406:13,14
7408:11 7410:14,17
7413:6,20 7416:19
7417:2,11,14 7418:15
7419:18 7420:17
7422:8,9 7426:25 7427:21 7429:12
7430:7,9 7431:2,20
7432:5,7 7433:4,18
7433:20 7434:15,16
7434:16 7435:7
7436:8,16
iv 7367:17
I'd 7387:25 7398:12
7400:4 7404:12
7407:23 7421:16
I'll 7402:10 7415:16
7423:21
I'm 7387:1,12,16
7390:20 7391:22
7392:19,20 7393:5
7397:4 7402:11
7414:4,6 7415:11,12 7416:23 7417:6
7418:23 7417:0
7420:24 7421:15
7420:24 7421:13
7426:21 7427:23,24 7427:24 7428:1,9
I've 7395:12 7410:18
7416:7 7429:16

Ja 7372:12 7391:11 7395:15 **Jazeera** 7415:17 job 7372:4 7373:1 ioined 7364:13.16.18 ioint 7429:21 iudge 7362:6.20 7363:6 7363:10 7372:12 7382:10 7399:19 7400:5,13,24 7401:14 7406:3 judgements 7374:2 judges 7371:5 judging 7383:25 **judgment** 7372:13 7429:24 judgments 7371:18 7372:13 jumps 7422:5 jurisdictions 7403:23 justifiable 7404:20 **justified** 7360:19 7421:17

7435:23

K
Kannemeyer 7358:13
7358:18
keep 7372:4
keeps 7404:10
kept 7383:8 7412:1
kill 7357:14 7422:7
killed 7358:20 7365:1,
7365:19 7366:6
7403:24 7406:17
killing 7360:23 7422:1
7423:1
killings 7363:9 7426:2
kind 7365:8 7386:25
7388:22 7400:1,21
7403:6 7412:15
7419:24
kinds 7372:5
Klatzow 7360:17
knees 7424:4,19,21
knew 7362:4 7365:2,9
7400:10
know 7361:7 7362:20
7362:21 7363:1,2,4 7363:18 7364:19,24
7363:18 7364:19,24
7365:25 7366:19
7379:11 7380:17,21
7381:4,6 7382:18,19
7383:3 7384:3
7386:10 7394:1,1,5 7396:20 7397:22
7396:20 7397:22
7399:22 7400:11,15
7400:17 7402:19
7403:21 7411:5,6,7
7411:24 7412:11
7413:16 7415:15
7416:9 7418:21
7422:2,22 7423:23
7427:8 7430:13
7427:8 7430:13 7431:5,15 7433:21
7434:23,25,25
knows 7378:15 7427:1
kraal 7421:3
т

L 7362:22 7388:2 7393:20 7424:16 7429:5,7,9,11,14 lack 7418:20 large 7414:7 latest 7401:1 7411:8 law 7360:9 7381:16 7407:12,17 7408:10 7408:12 7421:15 laws 7371:21 lawyer 7357:14 7423:10 lawyers 7371:19 lead 7363:18 7370:7 7375:18 7407:11,17 7414:21 leadership 7407:15 7408:6 **leading** 7416:12 leant 7424:25

learn 7364:8.10 learned 7357:8 7369:21 7389:12 7412:11 7415:16 7421:18 7424:18 7426:4 7431:10 leave 7387:19 7389:3 7401:6,7 7412:12 7420:1 lecture 7361:13,20 led 7357:25 7435:9 **left** 7407:16 7410:10 7411:14,17,23 7413:2 leg 7360:14 legal 7366:22 7367:11 7370:12 7372:20 7373:13,13,14 7377:21 7379:18,25 7381:17 7390:23 7402:14 7412:9,10,12 7412:16 7413:14 7416:22 legislation 7434:8 legs 7369:11 lengthy 7380:16 lesser 7390:1 **lethal** 7388:6,9 7393:14 letter 7402:7,8 7423:6 let's 7412:16 7435:1 let's 7361:13 7364:6 7370:17 **liability** 7406:1,2 **Licence** 7357:14 life 7358:2 7374:1 **light** 7380:8,10 7381:13 lights 7392:8 7395:21 line 7387:13 7431:18 lines 7370:2.2 7398:2 lion 7422:4,5,5,6,8 list 7425:24 lists 7367:15 **litigation** 7401:25,25 7402:13 little 7376:18 7394:1,6 7415:11 lived 7381:22 7393:16 long 7395:6 7401:9 longer 7394:6 look 7359:13,17,21 7366:25 7367:6,10,24 7370:14 7372:16,17 7373:2,17 7374:16,19 7386:6 7388:1 7391:23 7392:13,18 7393:13 7397:14,20 7397:22 7403:10,12 7404:9,17 7405:3,6 7405:23 7406:4,8,8,9 7413:3 7425:15 7426:13 7430:25 looked 7373:23 7405:21 7410:18 looking 7373:21 7390:18 7392:8 7420:15 looks 7371:17

informs 7425:10

lordship 7414:12 loss 7360:15 lost 7420:16 lot 7370:21 7391:23 7401:11 low 7424:4 lower 7423:6 7426:18 7431:23 7432:14 M machine 7369:16 magistrate 7406:16 **MAHLANGU** 7364:11 7420:9,14 main 7367:18,18,22 **majority** 7365:18 7366:5 7367:23 7368:4,8,13,13,16,23 7368:24 7432:16 making 7371:24 7384:20 7387:15 7405:5 7426:3 management 7374:10 mandate 7407:14,14,19 7408:6 manifestly 7434:10 **March** 7357:1 7377:23 7395:23 7398:23 7408:24 7409:5 7411:1,4 Marikana 7362:21,22 7367:11 7374:8 7390:25 mark 7389:3 marked 7380:8,10 **massacre** 7380:23 material 7412:17 7429:5 7433:2 7436:10 matter 7362:13 7370:7 7372:15 7373:14,15 7373:18,19 7375:3 7376:9,14 7379:13 7381:15 7382:21 7383:15 7391:20 7394:3 7405:14 7406:18 7409:8 7410:11 7413:14.15 7414:3.12.21 7416:13 7416:14 7421:25 7430:9,22 7433:5 7435:24 matters 7369:24 7391:24 7393:22 7396:24 7412:17 maximum 7425:8,11 7432:17 meagreness 7418:19 mean 7375:4 7387:1 7402:20 7405:18 7411:12 7422:19 7431:13 meaningful 7428:19 7429:3 7432:24

media 7404:11

medical 7365:21

ARCHIVE

Tel: 011 440 3647 Fax: 011 440 9119

7366:22 7367:11 7369:23.24 7370:12 7379:17.25 7390:23 medico 7416:21 medico-legal 7416:25 meet 7392:22 member 7412:11 7414:3 7421:15 members 7362:14,24 7368:25 7396:8 7420:20 7421:21 memory 7421:6 men 7408:7 mentioned 7415:18,21 7415:24 7426:10 7436:6 mentioning 7415:25 merely 7431:9 messages 7404:6 met 7422:21 metres 7428:23 microphone 7369:16 7381:13 7386:14 mid 7401:1 middle 7360:20 mightn't 7406:15 million 7402:8 millions 7403:23 mind 7360:23 7361:25 7380:19 7393:12 7395:5 7398:9 7406:19 7425:2 mine 7367:11 7390:25 miners 7385:5 7390:11 7420:3,7 7422:11,11 7426:13 minimum 7425:7,12 7432:17 minor 7393:15 7413:15 **minutes** 7395:8 7415:22 7433:21 misconception 7364:5 misinterpreted 7402:16 misleading 7386:16 7403:13 7404:17 7405:6.17 7421:19 **missiles** 7418:18 mistake 7408:23 7412:9 7413:14 modification 7383:2 modify 7389:1 Mohlaki 7428:22 moment 7370:11 7394:10 7398:16 7427:8 money 7401:20 7402:5 morning 7365:15 7394:18 7398:24 7436:19 move 7364:6 7414:8 7425:2 moves 7388:17 moving 7390:20 **Mpofu** 7410:16,17

multiple 7373:21

multiplicity 7432:22 munitions 7388:5.7.19 muscle 7360:16 mustn't 7405:13 Naidoo 7379:19 7391:4 7419:20,21 national 7357:3 7373:6 7390:22 7393:24 7394:7,13 7395:13 7402:1,12,17 7416:3 7431:24 7435:4 nature 7361:2 7365:16 7380:14,22 7381:10 7381:21 7382:13 7383:2,9 7384:1 7399:5 7403:17 near 7358:19 7391:5 7402:21 7405:12 7429:19,22 necessarily 7373:4 7375:18 7402:20 7406:14 7416:13 7422:6 necessary 7361:20 7372:7 7373:5 7376:16 7392:12 7400:10 7413:23 7425:13 7397:20 7398:1 needs 7379:3 **negative** 7426:18

need 7392:3 7394:1,4 negate 7426:7 7433:1 never 7360:19,23 7395:5 7398:9 nevertheless 7387:20 7410:8 new 7401:9 news 7384:25 newspaper 7372:1 Nicolas 7357:7,14 noble 7414:14 non 7393:14 non-direction 7418:6 note 7398:12 7425:22 7432:19 7433:1 notes 7418:3 7419:14 notice 7375:12 7420:19 nub 7387:4 number 7358:19 7364:25 7367:12,25 7367:25 7368:6,18

7372:13 7374:25

7379:24 7385:25

7390:1 7397:13

7402:15 7403:24

7426:6 7429:17

numbering 7410:25

7411:6

7386:20,22 7387:8,18

7398:5,6 7402:10,15

7404:2,18 7405:2,12

7405:21,22 7408:20

7389:2 7394:22 ordinary 7393:25 7425:24 7433:13.14 organisation 7407:11 nursing 7419:14 7407:17 original 7414:12 \mathbf{o} **ORS** 7372:18 7373:18 oath 7357:3 7394:13 ought 7374:3 7412:25 7413:24,24 **outcomes** 7374:16 7434:14 overcome 7390:1 object 7420:22 overlap 7425:24,25 7426:4 7432:22 objection 7369:7 7387:7,23 overnight 7436:13 **obliged** 7382:25 overwhelming 7419:16 owe 7399:11,12 7400:8 **obliges** 7383:23 observation 7418:20 o'clock 7436:19 **obviously** 7379:24 P 7400:9 7401:2 7405:11,14 7406:23 page 7360:5,5 7379:14 7427:19 7385:11,13 7390:12 occasion 7435:2 7391:9,9,14 7395:6 occasional 7432:22 7395:25 7407:2 7411:3 7417:14,15,15 occur 7415:4 7421:23 occurred 7405:8 7418:24 7419:1,2,4 occurs 7387:1 7420:3,9,10,11,14 **offences** 7396:9 7422:10,10 7425:19 offender 7406:15 7425:20 7426:13 office 7372:15 7373:24 7431:19,25 7432:4,10 7391:25 7392:11 pages 7390:20 7417:16 7394:3,9 7432:8 officer 7430:17 paid 7402:5 7403:3,5 officials 7396:3 7403:16 Oh 7407:24 7409:6 paragraph 7357:19 7420:14 7360:5,6 7374:6,22 Okay 7358:16 7378:5,7 7391:14 7395:25 7386:3,11 7390:24 7407:5,6,9 7408:16 7408:2 7409:17 7414:9,13 ombudsman 7373:3,5 7415:19 7417:11,13 **omission** 7414:1 7417:15 7418:14,24 oneself 7425:14 7419:4 7422:11 ongoing 7391:24 7425:22 open 7372:5 **opened** 7396:2 **operation** 7393:15 7418:3 7424:3 7419:20 operational 7407:15 7408:6 Operator 7377:5

opinion 7359:25

7418:9 7425:9

7398:9 7414:19

opportunity 7378:11

7392:13 7394:17,19

7382:15 7408:11,13

7409:13 7411:6

7413:13 7421:2

7423:8 7426:24

orders 7385:18,20

7427:5,6 7428:15

7428:9,10 7434:7

7423:5,17 7426:17,22

7379:3 7380:17

7401:5 7404:12

7416:10,17,19

opposed 7388:16

order 7381:8,21

opinions 7397:11

7374:11.17 7383:16

paragraphs 7407:1 **pardon** 7373:1 7376:3 7381:1 7407:24 Parliament 7379:12 part 7357:14 7369:12 7381:7 7383:12 7389:20.23.25 7391:5 7396:6 7405:1 7421:8 7422:17 7423:7 7424:3 7426:18 particular 7362:12 7371:1,17 7379:4,5 particularise 7415:10

particularly 7358:17 7390:7 7393:17 7399:25 7414:16 7416:18

7415:14

parties 7434:1 7436:4,4 7436:9,16

parts 7426:16 passage 7379:3,4,5 7380:15 7391:12 7392:18 7393:20 7408:21 7409:2 pathologies 7381:18

numbers 7367:10

7408:22 7409:20

Page 7

patients 7419:10
patrols 7358:3
paying 7405:25 peaceful 7407:22
7409:16 7411:20
7413:11 pedestrian 7421:25
people 7358:19 7361:9
7363:4 7365:1,18 7366:5,13 7369:4
7381:9 7382:16,22
7383:3,15 7385:4,25
7388:4,11,15,20 7389:13,13,17,20,22
7390:3 7393:16
7396:9 7398:2
7402:19 7403:24 7404:8 7418:10
7421:1,10 7422:16,20
7422:25 7424:7,17,25 7425:17 7426:6,15
7423:17 7420:0,13
pepper 7391:18
percentage 7386:21 perfectly 7370:1
perform 7414:15
performed 7367:16 period 7358:14
7374:19 7403:15
7405:10
persist 7426:11 person 7423:3,8,19
7424:11
personal 7403:21 7427:23
persons 7367:16
person's 7414:6 persuade 7413:7
Perumo 7379:20
photograph 7429:19,25 photographic 7430:16
photographs 7429:9,13
7429:15
physically 7363:3 picture 7403:5,17
pictures 7424:6
7429:15 7433:15 pieces 7395:4
pig's 7360:18
Pillay 7357:10 7377:16 7377:17 7408:25
7409:11 7410:23
placed 7377:12 placing 7408:9
played 7393:15
please 7359:16 7364:6
7365:20,22 7366:2 7369:12,19 7371:12
7376:15 7379:16
7383:19 7389:18 7392:19 7394:4
7395:25 7397:5
7407:9 7413:7
7423:16 7426:13 7430:1
pleased 7364:5

point 7364:2 7371:24 7374:17.20 7380:13 7380:19 7387:1.4.16 7387:25 7388:15 7390:8 7403:9,10 7405:5,16 7408:25 7410:15 7413:9 7415:2 7420:11 7425:1,12 7433:12 7434:17 7435:22,23 points 7392:24 7401:3 7404:15 policeman 7369:6 7406:18 7424:13 7427:15,18,19 7429:19,20 7430:1 policemen 7358:3 7369:4 policewoman 7406:18 **police's** 7427:3 **policing** 7357:25 7395:21 policy 7362:13,13 **population** 7396:16,17 portfolio 7377:22 7395:22 7398:18 7399:7,10,12 7416:7 **portion** 7360:20 **poses** 7359:20 **position** 7369:25 7374:18 7398:13 7420:23 possession 7365:22 possibilities 7389:25 **possible** 7372:2 7377:5 7383:19 **possibly** 7365:24 7378:21 7406:1 7419:21 post 7374:18 7398:25 7399:2 post-mortem 7434:4 **power** 7379:15 7394:24 7396:1 practice 7358:2 7371:21 praised 7364:21 7365:2 **praising** 7364:20 7414:14 precious 7358:1 precisely 7371:24 premature 7435:8 **prepare** 7369:9 7393:13 prepared 7366:4,7,12 7366:15 7375:5 7390:4,15 7400:2,12 7410:12 7412:24 7419:24 **presence** 7429:21 present 7358:5 7359:6 7403:5 presentation 7377:22 7378:13 7395:22

press 7401:12 7403:18 presumably 7372:1 7373:5 7399:11 7404:24 presume 7389:7 7399:4 7400:1 **prevail** 7359:9 prevent 7434:24 **previous** 7377:20 previously 7413:1 prima 7387:7 **principle** 7359:4,5 **prior** 7435:2 **private** 7365:6 7421:14 7427:3 probability 7386:20 7418:10 7419:16 **probably** 7392:9 7400:20 **problem** 7357:19 7358:6,23,23,24 7359:7,11,19,23 7370:16 7376:25 7379:15 7393:2 7394:23 7396:1,16 7401:9 7403:17,18 7415:16 **problems** 7376:21 7392:11 7394:2 7412:3 7415:22 procedure 7418:3 **proceed** 7357:4 7369:19 7382:25 7395:19 7431:11 proceeded 7431:2 proceeding 7388:23 proceedings 7357:1 7398:17 7412:15 process 7400:25 7412:3 processes 7384:4 procurement 7372:14 produced 7429:9 **produces** 7360:13 professional 7378:22 professionalism 7408:8 **Professor** 7360:20 **promised** 7401:13 property 7407:13,18 **proposition** 7369:21 7421:17 **protecting** 7407:12,18 protester 7429:19,21 7430:1.18 **protestor** 7427:15 protestors 7363:19 **protests** 7407:22 7409:17 7411:21 7413:12 **proved** 7406:7 **provide** 7428:10 **provided** 7392:7 provincial 7371:7 **provisional** 7418:8,21 7419:7,23,24 proximity 7430:3 public 7395:8 7408:13

published 7401:10 punish 7382:16 **punishment** 7381:10 pure 7418:20 purport 7414:19 **purpose** 7365:6 purposes 7365:5,17,23 7366:4,7,15 7369:3 pursuant 7407:13,19 push 7430:14 put 7366:3 7368:7,15 7369:21 7370:1,6,21 7372:9 7375:21,23 7378:3,24 7379:1 7385:5 7392:24 7393:23 7398:10 7411:23 7414:17,19 7421:9 7428:2,6 7430:15 7431:9 7434:3,8,19,21 **puts** 7387:4 **putting** 7366:22 7369:22 7370:2,11 7392:17,23 7393:8 7421:18 7424:12 7434:13 7435:5 O qualification 7365:8 qualified 7419:5 qualify 7402:10 **quality** 7392:5 quarrel 7410:14

quarter 7393:25 7394:6 questions 7366:4 7370:1 7379:17 7388:22 7391:18 7395:10 7403:6 7425:2 7428:2 7432:11 7434:15 7435:19 quietly 7395:7 quite 7359:3 7387:2 7390:5 7399:7 7401:22 7403:5,18 7405:4.11 7410:10.15 quoting 7407:25,25 R

raise 7376:16 7377:1 raised 7371:15 7390:8 7392:4 7397:21 7412:4 raising 7372:17 7377:7 rands 7396:12 range 7364:1 7389:8 read 7357:18 7360:8 7363:8 7374:2 7378:11,16,20,21 7379:9,16 7380:17 7391:18 7392:3,6,18 7394:8,8,21,23 7395:1,7,9,12 7397:11 7401:11 7404:4,16 7407:9

7415:19 7416:17.20 7417:12.19 7418:14 7418:20,24 7430:25 7433:13,14 7436:7 readily 7357:21 reading 7394:17,19 7408:22 7409:1,7 7420:10 reads 7432:20 ready 7392:14 7395:10 7395:13,17 7400:15 7400:18 **really** 7365:5 7366:19 7387:13 7398:13 7406:4 7414:10 7416:15,16 7427:21 7431:7,8 7432:7 rear 7367:21,22 7368:1 7368:6 7417:24 7418:8,12 7419:8,13 7420:6,8,18 **rearing** 7404:10 reason 7361:17 7396:23 7398:10 7399:23 reasonably 7401:19 reasons 7415:5 reassess 7376:2,4,11,11 reassessment 7374:9 7375:15,16,17 **rebutted** 7401:19 receive 7402:7 recommendations 7374:19 7376:11 Reconciled 7367:18 record 7369:17 7412:8 7417:3 7424:22 recorded 7385:6 records 7363:11 7379:19,23 7380:4 7383:8 7385:2 7417:21 7418:4,6 7434:4 record's 7417:18 recruiting 7358:2 refer 7357:13 7367:7 7373:5 7379:22 7380:15 7395:20 7406:25 reference 7410:19,21 7412:14 7413:20 referred 7372:6 7391:13 7393:20 7409:19 referring 7391:14 7424:19 **refine** 7428:16 reflected 7402:2 reformulate 7375:21 7387:3 **regard** 7371:5 7385:5 7387:8 7398:4 7399:4

7398:21

President 7413:21

regarding 7404:23

7420:2

Reggie 7379:20

				Page
regular 7385:7,9	responsibility 7409:10	saying 7362:6 7363:10	7387:15	7430:4 7431:21
related 7358:18	7410:12	7366:17 7372:12	sensible 7370:10	side 7365:19 7368:12
7373:14 7396:2,8	rest 7377:10 7392:19	7375:7 7382:7	sent 7436:4	7368:14,16 7369:3
relates 7373:19	result 7360:14 7385:3	7392:18 7400:7	sentence 7363:8	7383:12,19 7415:11
relating 7391:23,24	7401:25 7426:14	7406:21 7412:1	separate 7379:24	7417:25 7419:21,22
relation 7358:5,18	7432:24	7414:25 7422:1	sequence 7380:10	7420:6,7,18,21
7370:22 7373:25	results 7381:16	7426:24 7428:9	serious 7395:4 7399:8	7421:2,14,16,23
7396:12,13,13	resume 7436:18	says 7368:18 7371:19	7403:18 7415:22	7422:1
7397:14 7401:17	resumes 7357:2	7374:7 7391:4 7402:7	serve 7408:7	sides 7368:18
7403:21 7413:13	7394:11,12	7409:11 7429:13	serves 7358:4	signature 7413:25
7432:14	revealing 7360:21	say-so 7384:7	service 7362:10,14,24	signed 7409:9 7410:7,8
relevant 7372:6	revert 7399:6	scared 7396:19	7373:1 7399:6,13	7411:7 7412:1
7382:16 7401:4	reviewed 7376:1	scene 7362:25 7388:7	7400:8,9 7408:9	significance 7410:9
7406:19 7410:6	reword 7375:22	7388:17 7393:16,17	services 7373:17	7411:13
rely 7379:5	rhetorical 7434:15	scenes 7388:5	serving 7407:12,18	significant 7368:6
relying 7366:22	rifle 7360:6	scheduled 7392:14	set 7415:5	7405:4
remains 7436:17	rifles 7358:4 7360:9,10	scientifically 7382:21	settle 7405:20	similar 7389:15
remarks 7419:4	7360:21	seated 7400:21	seven 7367:1,4 7368:1	simple 7397:22
Remember 7416:3	right 7358:2,22 7359:3	second 7379:22	7368:5,18	simply 7362:16
removed 7410:22	7380:11 7391:15	7395:25 7404:15	severe 7360:13,16	sincerity 7396:24
repeat 7368:25 7372:11	7400:9 7413:7	7413:2,3	shame 7414:5	single 7427:13
7374:13 7382:24	7430:11 7433:17,25	section 7372:4,6,25	sharp 7385:8,9,19	sitting 7372:19,20
repeatedly 7375:3	rights 7358:2 7407:20	7373:7 7391:9 7392:8	7386:2,9,25 7387:9	7375:7 7400:21
report 7358:13,18	7407:21 7409:15,16	7408:20	7387:11,14,21	situation 7358:5,15
7366:19,22 7367:11	7410:19,22 7411:19	Security 7395:24	7388:14 7389:13,14	7359:9 7374:10
7370:4,12 7377:21	7411:20 7413:10,11	7396:20 7398:22	7389:18 7390:3	7423:21,22 7428:8,17
7379:18,18,23,25	rise 7403:13 7405:5	7414:18	she's 7392:14	7434:6
7380:3 7390:21,23	robber 7427:17	see 7360:6,7 7367:19	shoot 7421:16 7423:6,6	situations 7357:25
7391:4,5 7392:3,6	role 7393:15	7367:25 7379:14	shooting 7382:15	six 7367:1,4 7386:4
7396:11,25 7401:25	rolling 7384:5	7401:24 7404:4	7396:14 7422:15,25	7390:14 7418:1
7401:25 7402:6,11,14	Roman 7367:17	7405:24 7409:6	7423:2,18 7424:17	7425:16 7431:21
7402:15 7404:8	round 7433:23,25	7410:10 7412:2	7425:6 7426:18	skip 7360:19
7416:21,24 7425:16	7434:2	7422:5,14,15 7425:16	7428:3,10	slide 7388:1,3 7424:16
7426:5	Rover 7374:7,12	7433:20 7435:1	shoots 7427:19,19	7424:16,25 7429:7,11
reported 7396:5 7404:3	7375:11,14,15,24,24	7436:5	short 7364:11 7389:7	7429:11
reports 7365:21	rows 7432:24	seen 7363:3,12,12	7417:11 7427:14	slides 7388:3
7385:10 7403:18	rubber 7385:16,19	7366:10,18 7384:22	7429:25 7431:18	slightly 7381:21
7434:4	7386:1,4,8,11,23	7384:24 7390:20	shorten 7398:17	7382:24
representative 7403:15	7387:10,11,15,19,19	7399:25 7427:14,22	shot 7358:3 7360:13	small 7414:7,12 7426:6
7419:15	7388:7,14,19 7389:2	7427:22 7429:4,6,8	7361:10 7365:19	society 7408:10
reproduced 7429:9	7389:15,22 7390:15	7429:13,14,15,16,18	7366:6,13,14 7367:2	solve 7393:2
request 7392:22	7393:14	7429:21 7431:11	7367:25 7368:6,13,16	somebody 7425:7,22
requested 7402:1	ruled 7373:16 7414:13	selected 7367:23	7368:18 7369:2,5	soon 7375:11 7378:21
Research 7396:9	run 7421:3	7432:1,5	7381:23 7382:15	sorry 7358:21 7359:15
reservation 7397:6	rush 7420:20	self 7365:6 7381:9,12	7383:16,17,18 7386:5	7368:14 7371:11
7433:1	R1 7360:6,9 7363:22	7381:23 7382:15,17	7386:12 7389:22	7372:25 7374:21
reservations 7397:1,7	R1's 7360:24 7361:8	7383:14 7384:7	7390:11 7404:25	7379:21 7388:13
resort 7385:18	7362:25 7363:4 R4 7360:6,9,18,21	7426:8,19,23,24	7417:12,16,23,24	7397:4 7399:1 7407:2 7408:1,1 7410:17
respect 7374:1 7376:21 7378:17 7382:23	R4 7360:6,9,18,21 R4 's 7360:24 7361:8	7427:2,6,9,9,20 7428:3,10,11	7418:1,8,10,11	7408:1,1 7410:17
7378:17 7382:23		self-defence 7421:11,22	7419:12,13 7420:5,6	7418:23 7417:6
/ 204.17 / 202:4	7362:25 7363:4,5,22	Semenya 7363:21	7420:7,21 7421:10,22 7422:20 7423:7	7418:23 7431:17
	7364.4		1444.4U 1443.1	1434.3
7386:19 7389:11	7364:4 P5 's 7362:25 7363:4 22	•		cort 7364.0 11 12
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19	7364:4 R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22	7364:1 7369:7,13,16	7424:5 7425:17,18	sort 7364:9,11,12
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1	R5 's 7362:25 7363:4,22	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20	7370:14 7388:2
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1	R5 's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21 respond 7397:18	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15 SAPS 7374:8,19	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18 7415:7 7418:13	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11 7389:14 7390:1	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2 South 7357:15,20
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21 respond 7397:18 7401:14,24 7403:14	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15 SAPS 7374:8,19 7375:12 7379:15	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18 7415:7 7418:13 7420:22 7421:4,13	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11 7389:14 7390:1 7420:13 7433:12	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2 South 7357:15,20 7358:6 7395:22
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21 respond 7397:18 7401:14,24 7403:14 7404:13 7414:24	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15 SAPS 7374:8,19 7375:12 7379:15 7394:23 7396:1,7,11	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18 7415:7 7418:13 7420:22 7421:4,13 7424:15 7425:21	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11 7389:14 7390:1 7420:13 7433:12 shouldn't 7419:17	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2 South 7357:15,20 7358:6 7395:22 7396:18 7408:11
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21 respond 7397:18 7401:14,24 7403:14 7404:13 7414:24 responded 7397:19	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15 SAPS 7374:8,19 7375:12 7379:15 7394:23 7396:1,7,11 7397:8 7407:13,19	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18 7415:7 7418:13 7420:22 7421:4,13 7424:15 7425:21 7428:21 7430:12,25	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11 7389:14 7390:1 7420:13 7433:12 shouldn't 7419:17 show 7364:25 7386:18	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2 South 7357:15,20 7358:6 7395:22 7396:18 7408:11 7414:11
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21 respond 7397:18 7401:14,24 7403:14 7404:13 7414:24 responded 7397:19 response 7400:2,12,20	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15 SAPS 7374:8,19 7375:12 7379:15 7394:23 7396:1,7,11 7397:8 7407:13,19 satisfy 7389:12	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18 7415:7 7418:13 7420:22 7421:4,13 7424:15 7425:21 7428:21 7430:12,25 7432:18 7434:11	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11 7389:14 7390:1 7420:13 7433:12 shouldn't 7419:17 show 7364:25 7386:18 7386:19 7387:20	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2 South 7357:15,20 7358:6 7395:22 7396:18 7408:11 7414:11 so-called 7381:12
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21 respond 7397:18 7401:14,24 7403:14 7404:13 7414:24 responded 7397:19 response 7400:2,12,20 7400:25 7416:4,8	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15 SAPS 7374:8,19 7375:12 7379:15 7394:23 7396:1,7,11 7397:8 7407:13,19 satisfy 7389:12 saw 7401:9 7422:2,20	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18 7415:7 7418:13 7420:22 7421:4,13 7424:15 7425:21 7428:21 7430:12,25 7432:18 7434:11 Semenya's 7370:4	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11 7389:14 7390:1 7420:13 7433:12 shouldn't 7419:17 show 7364:25 7386:18 7386:19 7387:20 7402:25 7425:18,23	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2 South 7357:15,20 7358:6 7395:22 7396:18 7408:11 7414:11 so-called 7381:12 space 7427:14
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21 respond 7397:18 7401:14,24 7403:14 7404:13 7414:24 responded 7397:19 response 7400:2,12,20 7400:25 7416:4,8 7419:24 7430:19	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15 SAPS 7374:8,19 7375:12 7379:15 7394:23 7396:1,7,11 7397:8 7407:13,19 satisfy 7389:12 saw 7401:9 7422:2,20 7424:6 7429:23,25	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18 7415:7 7418:13 7420:22 7421:4,13 7424:15 7425:21 7428:21 7430:12,25 7432:18 7434:11 Semenya's 7370:4 senior 7414:1	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11 7389:14 7390:1 7420:13 7433:12 shouldn't 7419:17 show 7364:25 7386:18 7386:19 7387:20 7402:25 7425:18,23 7430:17 7434:6	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2 South 7357:15,20 7358:6 7395:22 7396:18 7408:11 7414:11 so-called 7381:12 space 7427:14 speak 7359:16 7371:12
7386:19 7389:11 7403:20 7412:18,19 7413:9 7418:1 7419:10 7428:1 7431:6 7433:2 respective 7371:3 7372:21 respond 7397:18 7401:14,24 7403:14 7404:13 7414:24 responded 7397:19 response 7400:2,12,20 7400:25 7416:4,8	R5's 7362:25 7363:4,22 S S 7385:20 safer 7388:21 sample 7419:15 SAPS 7374:8,19 7375:12 7379:15 7394:23 7396:1,7,11 7397:8 7407:13,19 satisfy 7389:12 saw 7401:9 7422:2,20 7424:6 7429:23,25 7430:3	7364:1 7369:7,13,16 7369:18,20 7378:7,9 7381:15 7382:20 7386:13,15 7387:23 7387:24 7389:12 7412:6,8 7413:18 7415:7 7418:13 7420:22 7421:4,13 7424:15 7425:21 7428:21 7430:12,25 7432:18 7434:11 Semenya's 7370:4	7424:5 7425:17,18 7426:3,6,16 7429:20 shotguns 7357:22 shots 7365:20 7367:21 7383:14 7387:14 7388:10,10,11 7389:14 7390:1 7420:13 7433:12 shouldn't 7419:17 show 7364:25 7386:18 7386:19 7387:20 7402:25 7425:18,23	7370:14 7388:2 7416:16 7424:24 sorts 7372:2 sounds 7414:7 7419:18 sources 7372:2 South 7357:15,20 7358:6 7395:22 7396:18 7408:11 7414:11 so-called 7381:12 space 7427:14

target 7360:12 7386:24

7386:25 7389:3

7420:25

tall 7424:8

Page 9

speaks 7426:5
special 7372:25
specifically 7409:19
speed 7436:7
spoken 7433:14,15,16 7433:16
SSG 7358:3
stab 7422:5
stabbed 7429:20
stage 7361:11 7406:20 7427:8 7431:3
7434:11 7435:25
7436:1
stand 7414:21
standing 7385:20
7422:20 7423:17,23 7424:23 7426:17,22
7424:23 7420:17,22
7428:9,10,15 7434:7
stands 7430:11
start 7387:15
started 7411:25 startling 7403:5 7405:2
stated 7398:5 7399:16
statement 7364:20
7382:1,6 7407:1,3,4
7408:18,23 7409:2,4
7409:8,9 7410:8,21 7411:1,2,4 7412:1,2
7411:1,2,4 7412:1,2
statements 7410:6,18
7414:18 7415:23
statistic 7405:9
7406:19 statistical 7398:1
statistics 7397:2,18
stats 7397:17 7432:20
steps 7383:25
Steve 7379:19
stop 7368:2,3 7375:12 7395:8 7413:4 7425:3
stopping 7415:12
storm 7414:7
story 7381:12
straight 7422:21
7424:8 straightforward
7387:2
striking 7360:12
structure 7370:15,24
7371:2,4 7372:19,20
structures 7408:7 studies 7370:24
7395:24 7396:20
7398:22 7414:19
study 7374:1 7379:3
7436:12
stuff 7369:24 subject 7357:8 7388:24
7416:2
submitted 7418:5
subscribe 7412:5
subsequent 7410:21
substantially 7414:20
succeed 7408:14

suggest 7370:14 7387:2 7388:21 7402:24 7403:14 7414:8 suggesting 7392:20 suggestion 7402:18 summarised 7365:21 7367:15 7421:9 summary 7367:23 summons 7402:9 sums 7403:22 **support** 7388:17 **suppose** 7419:17 7428:24 7433:3 sure 7367:13 7376:20 7376:25 7377:3 7387:1 7391:22 7392:19 7403:8 7405:15 7407:8 7410:15 7413:20 7415:11 7419:17 7421:7 7422:16,25 surprises 7435:1 **survived** 7381:11 **survivor** 7432:23 survivors 7379:19,23 7380:4,23 7432:2,6 **suspects** 7418:18 suspicion 7411:10 sustained 7380:22 7385:7,9 7425:22 7431:21,22 7432:13 swear 7408:24 swore 7410:7,9 sympathy 7376:24

7419:15

7418:6

7403:11

7434:17

7432:8

7420:23

7426:21

7418:11

7432:14

7412:23

T table 7367:1.15 7431:21 7436:8 take 7361:15 7362:18 7372:10 7373:17,18 7373:20 7375:5 7383:24 7384:6,21 7389:9 7393:1 7394:5 7394:6 7397:20 7400:6,11 7402:18,24 7405:2,3 7406:11 7414:2 7428:22,25 7429:11 taken 7409:3 7411:22 7411:22 7423:10,18 7423:25 7433:13 7435:9 takes 7371:5 7381:17 talk 7397:23 7402:6,11 7406:5,7 talking 7384:4 7420:24

task 7400:18 tea 7379:8 7392:9,10 7392:12,15 7393:1,23 7393:25 7394:5,10 teacup 7414:7 team 7412:9,10,16 7413:15,19 7414:3 teams 7412:12 technical 7392:2 7433:5 tell 7361:6 7363:1 7379:7,10 7393:6 7400:15 7401:23 7402:15 7423:16 **telling** 7366:3 tells 7378:3 7425:22 ten 7391:9 tend 7388:17 tendered 7421:5 tenders 7373:19 tends 7360:12 terms 7385:18,19 7397:2,16 7412:14 7413:20 7414:15 7423:17 7428:22 test 7398:13 tested 7360:17 **testimony** 7411:25 thank 7357:6,12 7361:16 7363:24,24 7367:10 7368:10 7375:22 7377:4,11,18 7380:6 7388:25 7389:9 7390:13 7395:15,18 7409:12 that's 7387:1 7391:5 7393:18 7394:6,7,18 7394:20 7400:15,15 7401:22 7402:2 7405:14 7408:21 7409:20 7410:22 7411:2 7414:12 7417:13.16 7418:16 7426:21 7429:24 7433:6,9 7436:15 **theatre** 7418:3 there'd 7389:8 there's 7399:7 7405:1,8 7405:22 7408:10 7410:13 7416:8 7419:19 7425:22 7426:23 7428:12 7435:8 they're 7389:5 they've 7397:12 7402:21 thing 7395:6 7426:23 7433:19 things 7363:8 7373:19 7374:5 7391:23 7397:14 7399:16

7427:21 7433:15 think 7357:7 7358:8 7359:2 7361:18 7362:8 7363:2,10 7365:7 7367:22 7369:15 7371:23 7372:9 7374:21,24 7375:20 7378:10,20 7379:11,12 7380:1,16 7380:24 7388:1,24 7389:4,11 7391:13 7392:16 7396:16 7397:13 7400:7,19 7403:10 7406:3,6 7407:19 7408:24 7409:9 7410:20,22 7412:3 7413:6,21,22 7415:9,13 7421:14 7424:20 7425:1 7426:4 7428:16 7429:14 7430:11,21 7431:2,13,25 7435:13 7435:18 7436:16 **thinking** 7408:22 thought 7373:7 7409:7 7410:11 thoughts 7413:2,3 **threaten** 7424:13 three 7368:22 7379:14 7417:25 till 7394:6 time 7364:6 7374:17,20 7383:21 7384:2 7387:16 7392:3,6,10 7392:14,15 7393:24 7394:1,4 7400:1,23 7410:2,17 7425:12 7436:6 today 7400:1,23 7414:18 **TOKOTA** 7409:18,22 7409:25 **Tokota's** 7410:25 told 7392:16 7413:14 7413:18 7414:1 tomorrow 7372:16 top 7391:14 topic 7433:22,24,25 7434:2 topics 7370:18,19 7435:21 total 7388:11,18 7396:2 7396:14 7426:3 7434:5 **totalling** 7432:23 totally 7412:4 touch 7402:4 township 7357:24 7358:3 track 7400:25 tracks 7425:23 trained 7382:21 trajectory 7417:13,16 7418:18 tried 7396:11

true 7381:12 trust 7396:17 trusted 7397:15 truth 7426:7 try 7376:22 7400:25 7415:16 7421:20 trying 7364:2 7422:21 Tuesday 7435:21 7436:19 tumble 7360:12 turn 7360:5 7365:24 7379:17 7395:25 7397:25 7420:2 7422:6 7426:12 turned 7369:16 7386:13 7420:21 7421:2 7422:2 turns 7388:16 two 7377:23 7385:12 7385:13 7388:3,5 7389:11 7395:3 7396:15 7403:4 7406:9 7421:7 7425:5 type 7362:2 7385:14 7386:17 7404:5 7415:24 types 7363:12 t'other 7431:7 U

Uitenhage 7358:19 **umbrage** 7402:18 unacceptable 7357:21 unarmed 7407:22 7409:16 7411:21 7413:12 uncharacterised 7402:10 unclear 7415:7 understand 7359:2 7362:17 7371:24 7372:3 7382:12 7386:4 7398:2 7399:23 7402:12 7410:25 7426:22 7427:4 7433:1 7435:24 7436:3 understands 7372:10 understood 7416:20 unfair 7366:11,19 7399:17 7421:18 unidentifiable 7406:17 unit 7373:20 unlawful 7434:10 unnecessary 7410:11 unreasonable 7436:16 unrest 7357:23 unspecified 7418:1,4 **unstable** 7360:11 **upholding** 7407:12,17

sufficiently 7406:21

troubled 7394:2

7412:2 7415:18

urgent 7374:10 7375:6

upper 7426:15,16

upright 7424:24

urgency 7394:4

7433:17

7431:22 7432:13

Page 10

				Page 10
7375:16 7376:9,14	7395:21	7409:14 7410:7,10,14	7436:19	7426:1
7392:11	warnings 7388:16	7411:11,19,23	10% 7397:25	
urgently 7375:25	wasn't 7413:19	7412:12,19,20,25	10:17 7376:20	3
use 7357:15,20,22,25	wasn't 7399:20	7413:2 7418:11	10:37 7386:22	3 7395:6,25 7407:2
7360:6,8,22 7362:12	waste 7364:6	work 7373:15 7395:4	100% 7426:15	7417:14,16 7420:11
7362:15 7363:9,18	way 7370:10 7377:1,6	works 7367:19	109 7388:16	7425:19,22
7375:24 7380:18	7379:4 7387:4	worry 7404:10	11 7426:1	30 7388:18,19 7402:7
7385:18,19 7406:22	7388:10,20 7389:7	worth 7363:22,23	11% 7425:18 7426:3	300 7388:18
7418:11 7430:12,13	7392:22 7415:18	worth 7303.22,23 worthwhile 7406:22	11:26 7394:12	313% 7396:4
useful 7381:22	7421:9 7422:6,16,25	worthwine 7400.22 wouldn't 7427:5	11:46 7403:9	33 7385:6,8
usual 7394:7	7424:12 7428:15,17	wouldn't 7365:3	12 7426:3	34 7367:1,5,20 7368:5
usually 7360:15 7389:3	7424.12 7428.13,17	7373:4 7375:17	12 /420.3 12th 7409:5 7410:18	7368:19,21 7390:10
usuany /300.13 /389.3		wound 7369:10	7411:1	7426:14
v	weapon 7387:21	wound 7309.10 wounded 7381:22	12:06 7413:18	35% 7396:18
vague 7415:11	weapons 7360:11	7385:4	12:26 7421:24	35% /390:18
	7362:13,15,17			4
various 7371:9,13,25	7363:18 7365:4,10,10	wounds 7365:16,17	12:46 7429:8	
7372:5 7382:21	7365:13 7374:9	7382:13 7385:6	120 7388:20	4 7418:24 7419:2
vast 7432:15	7375:13,19,19,25	7422:13 7425:23	14 7402:4,6	41% 7396:17
velocity 7360:11	weekend 7436:18	7426:14	14.8 7396:15	416 7396:2
7390:11	welcomed 7414:15	write 7408:15	148 7388:20	
veracity 7398:13	went 7379:12 7383:6	writers 7372:1	16th 7414:17 7426:16	5
version 7384:16	7420:16	written 7358:12	162 7396:7 7404:5	5 7396:4
7410:13,14 7420:19	weren't 7398:18	7371:18	7405:10,13,14	5.4 7428:23
7427:3	7427:6,10	wrong 7371:21 7373:8	17th 7364:19 7384:3	5.56 7374:9 7375:13,19
victim 7360:14,16	we'll 7392:25 7394:5,5	7374:24 7397:12	175 7388:15	50 7396:9
victims 7360:22 7369:2	7394:6 7395:8 7402:6	7409:8,13 7410:13	19 7357:9	512 7396:11,12
7417:24 7418:8,12	7403:19 7420:1	7413:6	1987 7358:13	522 7388:7,13
7421:11 7422:12	7431:11	wrongful 7401:21	1994 7402:2	533 7388:6,13
7432:16	we're 7400:18 7416:4	wrote 7385:20 7407:8		
video 7384:22 7427:13	7427:7		2	6
7429:5 7430:17	we've 7397:2 7404:7	Y	2 7388:17 7391:14	6 7426:2,2,2
videos 7429:4 7430:24	7413:25 7432:9	year 7359:12,14,18	7393:16,17 7409:24	60% 7368:22 7369:1
7431:1	7433:15 7436:7	7360:24 7361:5,9	7432:21	61 7385:2,5 7419:10
view 7378:12 7413:5	we'll 7374:20 7376:11	years 7358:15 7396:15	20 7377:19,20,21	7432:20
7421:25	7383:1	7402:24 7406:10	7380:9,11,11 7416:19	62% 7420:5
views 7419:18	we've 7378:9 7380:8,10	yesterday 7357:7	7419:12 7422:10	64 7385:8
violation 7358:1 7423:8	what's 7410:3 7411:12	7361:2 7374:14	20th 7364:20 7384:4	64% 7385:6 7387:9
visible 7384:19	7419:9	7391:21 7404:2	20% 7368:5 7405:3	66 7432:12
vital 7412:19,20	whichever 7367:14	7425:12	2001/02 7396:1	66% 7396:15
7413:13	who's 7404:25	young 7408:11	2011 7396:9	00707330.13
voice 7376:16 7377:1,7	widespread 7396:16	you'll 7400:7,11,21	2011 /12 7396:3,5,7	7
voice 7370.10 7377.1,7	wish 7386:14 7392:24	7401:4 7416:10	2011/12 7370.3,5,7 2011/2012 7405:10	7 7411:3 7420:6
	7399:6 7429:1	7431:25	2012 7359:20 7362:18	7 /411.3 /420.0 7th 7408:24 7410:20
walking 7424:8,8	7436:17	you're 7388:23	7396:19	
want 7357:13,18	withdraw 7409:7	7391:13 7392:20	2012/2011 7406:9	7411:4 7412:24
	witness 7361:13 7368:4			7% 7385:15 7387:9
7359:4 7360:4,19 7365:17 7366:1		7395:9 7399:23	2013 7357:1 7395:23	70% 7368:20
	7370:2,22 7372:10	7414:25 7416:12	2013/14 7395:22	720 7396:5 7404:3
7368:2,3 7370:20,23	7377:9 7378:11	you've 7392:9 7403:10	206 7424:16,19,25	7405:12
7372:11 7378:15	7380:16 7382:25	7405:6 7406:11	21 7368:19,19,21	722 7396:4
7379:2,5 7380:9,12	7383:23 7387:3,4	7407:16 7409:11	7377:20 7380:6,7,9	75 7374:6
7380:14,15 7381:14	7390:2 7391:17	7429:14,14,15	7420:5	75% 7420:6,15,16
7385:4 7388:22	7392:22 7394:16	7430:23 7434:21	21% 7420:6	
7390:2 7392:1 7393:7	7395:16 7409:3,9	7435:18	211 7388:2,6	8
7393:8 7394:16	7411:2,7 7412:21		22 7380:1,6,11 7416:19	8 7390:12 7391:10,15
7395:20,24 7401:7	7413:17,19,24	0	22% 7396:7	7420:3,11,14 7422:10
7402:7 7406:25	7419:18 7421:18	0.0009 7397:25	24% 7422:12	7422:11,12 7425:20
7412:11 7413:8,16	7428:20 7430:5	000 7396:12	25 7431:22 7432:13	7426:13
7414:13 7420:18	7431:3 7432:10,18	050 7396:8	7433:2	84 7383:3 7385:2
7422:4 7425:3 7433:4	7433:3	09:36 7357:2	257 7388:3,16	841 7396:11
7433:23 7434:1,3,8	witnessed 7396:10	09:57 7366:9	26 7433:2	89 7374:22,23
wanted 7379:2 7402:12	witnesses 7421:8		26th 7377:23 7395:23	
7422:7	witness's 7407:2	1	7398:23	9
wanton 7381:9 7382:15	women 7408:7	1 7388:7 7396:4,8	28 7357:1 7418:1	94 7358:14
war 7360:11	won't 7413:4 7415:8	7419:4	284 7388:11,14	
warning 7380:8,10	word 7368:14	1.1 7396:13	29 7390:10 7417:23	
7388:10,10,21 7392:8	words 7407:16 7409:13	10 7407:1,6,7 7408:20	7419:11 7425:17	
A R C H I V E F O	R JUSTICE			