RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 60 06 MARCH 2013 PAGES 6331 TO 6411

HELD AT

CIVIC CENTRE, RUSTENBURG, NORTH WEST PROVINCE



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: <u>realtime@pixie.co.za</u> Web Address: http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278



		1	
1	Page 6331 [PROCEEDINGS ON 6 MARCH 2013]	1	Page 6333 have an answer.
2	[09:33] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr	2	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, is it a new
2	Magidiwana, you're still under oath.	2	photograph that's been taken or is it one that's part of
		3 4	the hard drive?
4 5		4 5	
	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, I understand you still have questions to ask in cross-examination.		MR NGALWANA: No, it's part of the hard drive, Chair.
6		6 7	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGALWANA (CONTD.):		
8	Yes, Chairperson, thank you. Mr Magidiwana, you'll recall	8 9	still owe us some pathways, don't you? MR NGALWANA: Yes.
9 10	that yesterday as we parted you were disputing whether there was a road on the, shall we say the northern side of	9 10	
10	the big kraal, on the other side of the big kraal. You	10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12	maintained there was a fence there, not a path on exhibit	12	one to the missing pathways that are still owed, is that
12	EEE8.3, which you can also see at EEE8.2. Do you still	12	right? MR NGALWANA: Yes, I will, and in fact
14	dispute that there is a path running on the top side of	14	the reason why one of the juniors, fellow juniors are not
14	that big kraal in that photograph?	14	here is because that is what's being sorted out with Ms
16	MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, as I've already	16	Pillay, as I understand it.
17	mentioned, there's a fence. I know that fence very well, I	17	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman,
18	know which direction it takes, how it is, in what condition	18	Commissioners, may I also just make a request to my learned
10	it is.	19	friend, slide 198 that he referred to quite a number of
20	MR NGALWANA: Are you saying there is no	20	times, we are not able to locate that photograph in the
20	path that is capable of being passable, in other words that	20	photographs that were provided to us by SAPS. Can my
22	there is no path on which people can walk at the top end of	22	learned friend also please assist us with the source of
23	that big kraal?	22	that one? Who's photo, or rather who took the photograph
23	MR MAGIDIWANA: People who want to go to	23	exactly?
25	the shack place, settlement goes through a path that goes	25	CHAIRPERSON: The other question is
	Page 6332		Page 6334
1	past that red shack and then joins the other road that	1	whether it's a photograph or whether it's a still from a
2	leads to the shack settlement.	2	video?
3	MR NGALWANA: Perhaps if the picture is	3	MR MPOFU: Yes.
4	ready that shows a vehicle on that path, which will be a	4	MR MADLANGA SC: Yes, yes, yes, is it a
5	new exhibit, may I ask that it be shown so that we can zoom	5	photograph or is it a video still or from a video? Thank
6	in?	6	you, thank you, Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON: We're in trouble this	7	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, just to remind,
8	morning because we haven't got Ms Pillay here, but	8	while we are talking about 198, which is something I wanted
9	hopefully Mr Madlanga could deputise for her.	9	to also place on the record yesterday, that just to remind
10	MS LUPUWANA: Chairperson, I think it	10	Mr Ngalwana that that photograph was specifically disputed,
11	will be EEE12.	11	the time that the police have allocated to it. If you
12	CHAIRPERSON: E12?	12	remember there was that debate with Mr Phatsha as to
13	MS LUPUWANA: EEE12, yes.	13	whether it's the singing up and down or whether it's 15:47,
14 15	CHAIRPERSON: No, that can't be right.	14	as it says in 198, so it's just - so it's even more so if
15 16	We've just finished 11, that's the photograph of the 13th	15	it cannot be located.
16 17	of August, so this is EE12, EEE12. Is that right?	16 17	CHAIRPERSON: It should be located,
17 19	MS LUPUWANA: Yes, Chairperson.	17 19	otherwise if it's not located I don't know what value we
18 10	CHAIRPERSON: How do we describe it, Mr	18 19	can give it, but presumably it's somewhere so it will be
19 20	Ngalwana?	19 20	capable of being found if diligent enough search is
20	MR NGALWANA: It will be a photograph		conducted and when we find it we will be perhaps on the way to finding out what time it was
21	showing numerous paths that lead to Nkaneng, other than the	21 22	to finding out what time it was. MR NGALWANA: Chair, I've also asked that
22 23	one that the witness says is the only path to Nkaneng. CHAIRPERSON: When was it taken?		
25		23 24	this exhibit EEE12 be printed so that they can be distributed instead of simply being shown to us.
21	MR NGALWANA: That I'm not sure about,	24	uistributed instead of simply being shown to us.
24 25	but it would have been I don't know Chairporson I don't	JE	CHAIDDEDSON: Obvice no cortainly we
25	but it would have been - I don't know, Chairperson, I don't	25	CHAIRPERSON: Oh yes, no, certainly we

	-	
Page 63	35	Page 6337
1 need it, a hard copy of it. Is this now EEE12 that's being	1	being used by pedestrians to get to Nkaneng.
2 shown?	2	MR NGALWANA: For the [inaudible] of the
3 MR NGALWANA: Correct, Chair.	3	path it was wide enough to accommodate that vehicle. May I
4 CHAIRPERSON: Are you now going to zoom	4	ask the technician to draw a line in perhaps red from the
5 in on the fence?	5	beginning - there are two parallel paths that run below the
6 MR NGALWANA: Yes. Well, on what is	6	small kraal where the witness said the group was initially,
7 alleged to be the fence, which we maintain is a road.	7	where he said the group dashed from A to B, can we start
8 CHAIRPERSON: Or what you say is a fence?	8	there? On EEE8.12 if you can zoom out, EEE8.12, the one we
9 MR NGALWANA: Well, the witness -	9	had just now.
10 CHAIRPERSON: Or you say this is the	10	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not EEE8.12,
11 scene -	11	it's EEE12, as I understand it.
12 MR NGALWANA: The witness says it's a	12	MR NGALWANA: Sorry, yes EEE12.
13 fence which is not capable of being walked on by people, a	s 13	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not – well
14 I understand it.	14	EEE12 -
15 CHAIRPERSON: Oh, alright.	15	MR NGALWANA: Is the picture we just had.
16 MR NGALWANA: May I ask that we zoom into	16	Can you give it a definition which will show us the paths,
17 that road, and showing where the red vehicle is, can you	17	because I want to show where we should start. It should
18 put a cursor there maybe?	18	start from where the witness said the group had
19CHAIRPERSON:And the witness said that	19	congregated. You can then run along the path that was in
20 there is an entrance through the fence in line with the red	20	blue, joining the path with the vehicle. No, no, it would
21 shack and I see two red shacks on the photograph. In fact		be on the other side of that kraal, yes, and then drawing a
22 even on EEE8.1 one can see what looks like a fence and or		line right down that path to the right of the screen. Now,
23 can see - one can't see a red car because it wasn't taken	23	Mr Magidiwana, you must accept - can we enlarge that or
24 at that time, but you can see the two red shacks. So even	24	zoom in? Hopefully the lines will stay where they are. Mr
25 possibly the enlargement of EEE8.1 will help us because it	25	Magidiwana, from where you say your group moved, it was
Page 63	26	Page 6338
1 does look to me as if the fence is visible on EEE8.1.	1	possible, was it not, for the group to follow the paths now
2 MR MPOFU: And 8.3, Chair, there are even	2	being indicated to you, particularly joining that straight
3 people walking on it, on the road.	3	line at the top of the picture on your way to Nkaneng. It
 people walking on it, on the road. CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 	3 4	line at the top of the picture on your way to Nkaneng. It may take longer, but it will get you there.
4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well.	4 5	may take longer, but it will get you there.
4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my	4 5	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 	4 5 s 6	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 	4 5 6 7	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 	4 5 6 7 8	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road.
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 	4 5 6 7 8 9	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 12 fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right?
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 12 fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the 13 vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 12 fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the 13 vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, 14 so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	may take longer, but it will get you there.MR MAGIDIWANA:Sir, I do not know whatyou want me to say.Everything that you have indicatedthere, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part ofthe fence and not the road.MR NGALWANA:Are you saying it is notpossible for people to walk on that path at the top of thescreen indicated by the straight line that goes to theright?MR MAGIDIWANA:If you wanted to proceedfrom there to the shack settlement you would have used the
 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and
 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not talking about a gap; I'm talking about an entire road that 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and thereafter join the other various roads that leads to the
 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not talking about a gap; I'm talking about an entire road that would eventually lead to Nkaneng. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and thereafter join the other various roads that leads to the settlement. I explained to you yesterday that what you
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 12 fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the 13 vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, 14 so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. 15 MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not 16 talking about a gap; I'm talking about an entire road that 17 would eventually lead to Nkaneng. 18 CHAIRPERSON: My understanding was that 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and thereafter join the other various roads that leads to the settlement. I explained to you yesterday that what you have indicated is a fence, a fence that is built for the
4CHAIRPERSON:And 8.1 as well.5MR NGALWANA:Well, I'm grateful to my6learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes7doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there8is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana -9CHAIRPERSON:No, no, that's not right.10He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said11it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the12fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the13vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave,14so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said.15MR NGALWANA:Well, Chairperson, I'm not16talking about a gap; I'm talking about an entire road that17would eventually lead to Nkaneng.18CHAIRPERSON:My understanding was that19he said that there was a path, you asked him about paths	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 to 19	 may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and thereafter join the other various roads that leads to the settlement. I explained to you yesterday that what you have indicated is a fence, a fence that is built for the stock, the cattle that are being kept there do not get into
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 12 fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the 13 vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, 14 so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. 15 MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not 16 talking about a gap; I'm talking about an entire road that 17 would eventually lead to Nkaneng. 18 CHAIRPERSON: My understanding was that 19 he said that there was a path, you asked him about paths 20 Nkaneng and he said that at that point on the path that 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and thereafter join the other various roads that leads to the settlement. I explained to you yesterday that what you have indicated is a fence, a fence that is built for the stock, the cattle that are being kept there do not get into the settlement.
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 12 fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the 13 vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, 14 so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. 15 MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not 16 talking about a gap; I'm talking about an entire road that 17 would eventually lead to Nkaneng. 18 CHAIRPERSON: My understanding was that 19 he said that there was a path, you asked him about paths 20 Nkaneng and he said that at that point on the path that 21 runs parallel with the fence there's an entrance of some 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and thereafter join the other various roads that leads to the settlement. I explained to you yesterday that what you have indicated is a fence, a fence that is built for the stock, the cattle that are being kept there do not get into the settlement. MR NGALWANA: Are you disputing what your
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 12 fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the 13 vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, 14 so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. 15 MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not 16 talking about a gap; I'm talking about an entire road that 17 would eventually lead to Nkaneng. 18 CHAIRPERSON: My understanding was that 19 he said that there was a path, you asked him about paths 20 Nkaneng and he said that at that point on the path that 21 runs parallel with the fence there's an entrance of some 22 kind which enables people to get to and from Nkaneng. So 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 16 17 18 20 21 20 21 22 23	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and thereafter join the other various roads that leads to the settlement. I explained to you yesterday that what you have indicated is a fence, a fence that is built for the stock, the cattle that are being kept there do not get into the settlement. MR NGALWANA: Are you disputing what your lawyer said earlier on that there are in fact people being
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And 8.1 as well. 5 MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm grateful to my 6 learned Mr Mpofu for making that clear because the witnes 7 doesn't seem to want to admit that. He simply says there 8 is a fence, and I when ask him again, Mr Magidiwana - 9 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, that's not right. 10 He didn't say that. He said there's a fence and he said 11 it's possible to get into Nkaneng through a gap in the 12 fence or an entrance of some kind more or less in the 13 vicinity of the red shacks. That's the evidence he gave, 14 so it wasn't summarised incorrectly, what he said. 15 MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not 16 talking about a gap; I'm talking about an entire road that 17 would eventually lead to Nkaneng. 18 CHAIRPERSON: My understanding was that 19 he said that there was a path, you asked him about paths 20 Nkaneng and he said that at that point on the path that 21 runs parallel with the fence there's an entrance of some 22 kind which enables people to get to and from Nkaneng. So 23 whether it's a road you can drive a car down is irrelevant 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 16 17 18 20 21 20 21 22 23	may take longer, but it will get you there. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I do not know what you want me to say. Everything that you have indicated there, as I've indicated in my ball pen here, is part of the fence and not the road. MR NGALWANA: Are you saying it is not possible for people to walk on that path at the top of the screen indicated by the straight line that goes to the right? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you wanted to proceed from there to the shack settlement you would have used the road that you saw yesterday being used by many people and thereafter join the other various roads that leads to the settlement. I explained to you yesterday that what you have indicated is a fence, a fence that is built for the stock, the cattle that are being kept there do not get into the settlement. MR NGALWANA: Are you disputing what your lawyer said earlier on that there are in fact people being shown, seemingly walking on that path? If you look at EEE2

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

		I	
	Page 6339		Page 6341
1	EEE8.2?	1	your point, isn't it? It sounds to me as if he's right, Mr
2	MR NGALWANA: Yes, EEE8.2 and EEE8.3, and	2	Ngalwana, unless I'm misunderstanding.
3	I believe EEE8.1 as well where you'll see two or three	3	MR NGALWANA: My learned friend is
4	people there. Are you disputing what your lawyer said	4	running way ahead of me. I am simply asking about whether
5	earlier?	5	that path is capable of being walked by human beings.
6	MR MPOFU: Okay, Chairperson, honestly,	6	CHAIRPERSON: I think you're actually
7	Mr Ngalwana must not misquote me.	7	taking a couple of steps backwards, but never mind. Carry
8	CHAIRPERSON: No, no, no, don't get	8	on with this line and we may eventually get to an entry
9	excited, people. Just make your objection. It's bad	9	point to the settlement.
10	enough having to deal with objections without having to try	10	MR NGALWANA: Are you disputing, Mr
11	to make peace between warring advocates. I don't like	11	Magidiwana, that that road at the top of the kraal is
12	that.	12	capable of being walked upon? There is in fact a path
13	MR MPOFU: Well, I'd like to define them,	13	there on which people can walk.
14	Chairperson.	14	MR MAGIDIWANA: The road that is being
15	CHAIRPERSON: I don't like it, I don't	15	used by the people is the one I told you about. What made
16	want to encourage that. So just, don't be prosaic. Just	16	us turn around the kraal in an endeavour to join that road
17	say why he's wrong, why you think he's wrong -	17	is because we know that it's the only road that can be
18	MR MPOFU: Well, that is exactly the	18	accessed in order to go to the settlement.
19	objection, that he's misquoting me, that there are dozens	19	[09:53] MR NGALWANA: You're not answering my
20	of roads there, that's not – I thought the issue, his issue	20	question. I'm not talking about access to the road. You
21	was whether those roads can be accessed. That is the	21	are now on that path, it is possible to walk on that path.
22	issue. It's not whether there are roads and there are	22	Forget access to it. You're already on that road, it's
23	people. Of course there are roads and there are people and	23	possible to walk it.
24	there are cars and there are all sorts of things.	24	MR MAGIDIWANA: There is no road there.
25	CHAIRPERSON: What is important at this	25	The road is on the other side of the fence.
	Dama (240		Dome (242
1	Page 6340 stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now	1	Page 6342 MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road
1	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now	1	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road
2	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection	2	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at
	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be		MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking.
2 3 4	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put.	2 3 4	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets
2 3	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said,	2 3	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement.
2 3 4 5	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about	2 3 4 5	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I
2 3 4 5 6	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said,	2 3 4 5 6	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement.
2 3 4 5 6 7	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those people are walking on is on the settlement side of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in exhibit EEE8.3?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those people are walking on is on the settlement side of the fence or on the kraal side of the fence. If it's on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in exhibit EEE8.3? MR MAGIDIWANA: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those people are walking on is on the settlement side of the fence or on the kraal side of the fence. If it's on the kraal side of the fence then the point being put by counsel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in exhibit EEE8.3? MR MAGIDIWANA: That's right. MR NGALWANA: And that road, as is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those people are walking on is on the settlement side of the fence or on the kraal side of the fence. If it's on the kraal side of the fence then the point being put by counsel for the police is correct. If it's on the township side of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in exhibit EEE8.3? MR MAGIDIWANA: That's right. MR NGALWANA: And that road, as is the road parallel to it, on top of it, are both accessible on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those people are walking on is on the settlement side of the fence or on the kraal side of the fence. If it's on the kraal side of the fence then the point being put by counsel for the police is correct. If it's on the township side of the fence then obviously you can't get through there until	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in exhibit EEE8.3? MR MAGIDIWANA: That's right. MR NGALWANA: And that road, as is the road parallel to it, on top of it, are both accessible on the left-hand side of the picture. If you can put in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those people are walking on is on the settlement side of the fence or on the kraal side of the fence. If it's on the kraal side of the fence then the point being put by counsel for the police is correct. If it's on the township side of the fence then obviously you can't get through there until you come to a spot where there's a gap in the fence. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in exhibit EEE8.3? MR MAGIDIWANA: That's right. MR NGALWANA: And that road, as is the road parallel to it, on top of it, are both accessible on the left-hand side of the picture. If you can put in that picture again, EEE, I think it will be 12, and focusing on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those people are walking on is on the settlement side of the fence or on the kraal side of the fence. If it's on the kraal side of the fence then the point being put by counsel for the police is correct. If it's on the township side of the fence. So that seems to be the issue. Now you say you were referring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in exhibit EEE8.3? MR MAGIDIWANA: That's right. MR NGALWANA: And that road, as is the road parallel to it, on top of it, are both accessible on the left-hand side of the picture. If you can put in that picture again, EEE, I think it will be 12, and focusing on the left-hand side of that picture, now it is possible to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	stage, that he said to the witness that the witness was now contradicting what you had put. So if your objection relates to a misstatement of what you had put it would be helpful if you reminded us of what you did put. MR MPOFU: Okay. What I said, Chairperson. is when you were explaining the issue about the red shack was that on EEE8.3 behind, or rather on the left-hand side of those red shacks you can even see at least three people walking on the road there. So the road is there, as the Chairperson was also pointing it out, but the question is whether the road or all the roads that you see in Nkaneng could be accessed without going through the gap. That's the only issue. CHAIRPERSON: To put the same point differently, the question is whether those - the path those people are walking on is on the settlement side of the fence or on the kraal side of the fence. If it's on the kraal side of the fence then obviously you can't get through there until you come to a spot where there's a gap in the fence. So that seems to be the issue. Now you say you were referring to the people walking on - in effect on the settlement side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NGALWANA: Well, then there is a road on the other side of the fence on which three people at least are walking. MR MAGIDIWANA: That is where the streets begin, leading to the settlement. CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, but do I understand you correctly? Are you saying there is a path which is actually a road, but it's on the settlement side of the fence? MR MAGIDIWANA: There is a fence, Mr Chairperson. There is a fence, Mr Chairperson, on the other side of the fence that is on the settlement side there is a road which runs parallel to the fence. CHAIRPERSON: And is that the road that we see the three people walking on, or standing on, in exhibit EEE8.3? MR MAGIDIWANA: That's right. MR NGALWANA: And that road, as is the road parallel to it, on top of it, are both accessible on the left-hand side of the picture. If you can put in that picture again, EEE, I think it will be 12, and focusing on the left-hand side of that picture, now it is possible to access that path which you say there is a wire, or a fence,

1	Page 6343	4	Page 6345
	that come from where you say your group was, one could have	1	indeed on the settlement side of the fence.
2	followed any of those paths to access the road that you say	2	MR NGALWANA: Chairperson, I'm going to
3	is inaccessible and in fact they didn't have to even walk	3 4	approach it in a different angle, save to say that it's
4 5	on those paths; they can walk in the open veld to get there, more to the left-hand side, the bottom of the	4 5	going to be the evidence of the police that there are other ways of accessing Nkaneng other than the one that the
6	screen.	6	witness describes. For now I want to explore again a
7		7	
8	MR MAGIDIWANA: No, it is not so, Sir. This is what I really don't like about what you're saying.	7 8	question I've asked on numerous occasions and to which I'm
8 9	MR NGALWANA: Well, that is going to be	。 9	yet to receive a straight answer. The evidence of the
10	the evidence -	9 10	police will be that when Nyala 1 deployed - I'm not talking about Nyala 4 - the first Nyala on slide 193, when the
10	CHAIRPERSON: Don't worry about what you	11	first Nyala deployed numerous people dispersed voluntarily,
12	like or don't like. Just answer the question. But do I	12	some of whom took the main path to Nkaneng, the one that
13	understand your position to be this, that what we see at	13	the witness said is the only path to Nkaneng. There was no
14	the moment is a photograph of the scene which shows far	14	police blocking that path at the time when the first Nyala
15	more than we can see on EEE8.3 because it not only shows	14	deployed barbed wire. That is going to be the evidence of
16	the two cars that we can see on EEE8.3, but it also shows	16	the police. I'm asking for your comment.
17	another car further to the left. Now what counsel, as I	17	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman,
18	understand it, is putting to you is, he appears to be	18	Commissioners, looking at this picture I see the very last
19	conceding by what he shows on the picture that there isn't	19	Nyala to the far left already very close to the Nyala in
20	a path close to the two cars we can see on EEE8.3 which	20	front of it. So I would imagine that that yellow broken
21	goes through the fence into the township. But in order to	21	line indicates that that Nyala must have moved from where
22	get to the township you've got to go further to the left,	22	that broken line starts from the far left. If that be so,
	it looks like much further to the left from the photograph,	23	it means that the deploying started at the beginning of
24	beyond the other car that's much further down to the left.	24	that broken line, the beginning that I've just indicated.
	That's what counsel is putting, as I understand it. That	25	Now if that be so, if that be so, this picture shows the
	Dama (244		
	Page 6344		Page 6346
1	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he	1	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of
2	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I	2	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the
2 3	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand?	2 3	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people,
2 3 4	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be	2 3 4	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or
2 3 4 5	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of	2 3 4 5	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at
2 3 4 5 6	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way.	2 3 4 5 6	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all
2 3 4 5 6 7	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths	2 3 4 5 6 7	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't have to be a path.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't have to be a path. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but the next question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going back. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, but what you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't have to be a path. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but the next question is, whether you can access that road from the open veld and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going back. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, but what you're putting is once the deployment started people moved away
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't have to be a path. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but the next question is, whether you can access that road from the open veld and you can only, in the vicinity of the two kraals we see in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going back. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, but what you're putting is once the deployment started people moved away and you're using 15:40 - you're using 193 to illustrate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't have to be a path. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but the next question is, whether you can access that road from the open veld and you can only, in the vicinity of the two kraals we see in EEE8.3, and you can't access that road from the open veld	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going back. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, but what you're putting is once the deployment started people moved away
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't have to be a path. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but the next question is, whether you can access that road from the open veld and you can only, in the vicinity of the two kraals we see in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going back. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, but what you're putting is once the deployment started people moved away and you're using 15:40 - you're using 193 to illustrate that. The truth is that if you look at your own slide 192
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't have to be a path. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but the next question is, whether you can access that road from the open veld and you can only, in the vicinity of the two kraals we see in EEE8.3, and you can't access that road from the open veld if there isn't a gap in the fence. So the key question is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going back. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, but what you're putting is once the deployment started people moved away and you're using 15:40 - you're using 193 to illustrate that. The truth is that if you look at your own slide 192 it seems the deployment of the wire must have started at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	[inaudible] the lines depicted [inaudible] cars. Now he says no, you know, so are those lines wrong or did I misunderstand? MR NGALWANA: No I'm not saying you'll be able to travel that way. I say that is one possible way of accessing that road, it's not the only way. CHAIRPERSON: If there are other paths that are closer, more accessible in the vicinity of the two cars we see on EEE8.3, then I'd expect them to be depicted. I wouldn't think we would waste time by looking at another path much further to the left which goes to the left of another car that isn't even visible on EEE8.3. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chair, the important point that must be kept in mind here is that people who are walking don't have to walk on a path, there is an open veld here, they can access that road through any, it doesn't have to be a path. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but the next question is, whether you can access that road from the open veld and you can only, in the vicinity of the two kraals we see in EEE8.3, and you can't access that road from the open veld if there isn't a gap in the fence. So the key question is, is there a gap in the fence in the vicinity of the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people that are supposed to have started moving and some of them are way beyond the kraal. They are somewhere in the vicinity of the shack just above 15:43. Now those people, I've not been given an impression that they were running or anything and one assumes that they were walking and look at the distance that they must have walked from the koppie all the way there, and if the suggestion, as my learned friend's question says, is that they only started moving when the deploying of the wire began, it doesn't make sense to me. The simple point I'm making therefore is that the question is misleading, and I would object to it. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, what do you say about that? MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, I'm not saying that we are only concentrating on the people who are near the kraal. There is a whole line of people going back. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, but what you're putting is once the deployment started people moved away and you're using 15:40 - you're using 193 to illustrate that. The truth is that if you look at your own slide 192 it seems the deployment of the wire must have started at 15:40 which would mean that, it took about three minutes

1	Page 6347 course we've then given the people three minutes to walk	1	Page 6349 encircled?
2	from where they were at 15:40, then when we see them at	2	MR NGALWANA: At the time when the police
3	15:43. So I think the whole question is a bit messy. So I	3	first deployed the first barbed wire, there was no barbed
4	think to meet Mr Madlanga's point it would be fair if you	4	wire that was closing off the main route going to Nkaneng.
5	reformulated the question to make it clear that your case	5	In fact, in your own evidence when you were asked in chief
6	is that the order to deploy the wire was given at 15:40	6	was the crowd at, referring to slide 191, the same number
7	when I assume, when one can assume the deployment started,	7	as when the barbed wire was deployed, you said there are
8	and 15:43 shows what happened three minutes later; a	8	people who left. Clearly your group could also have left
9	substantial amount of wire deployed and a substantial	9	with those people who left at the time the first barbed
10	number of people already leaving the scene.	10	wire was deployed. You chose not to. Instead you dashed
11	MR NGALWANA: Well, perhaps - thank you,	11	towards the police line when the fourth Nyala was
12	Chairperson, and thanks to my learned friend - it's the	12	deploying, when the fourth Nyala was deployed.
13	inelegance of the question. Mr Magidiwana, the instruction	13	MR MAGIDIWANA: You see, Sir, as you say
14	to deploy the wire was given at around 20 to 4. That is	14	we were just to disperse there, it's very difficult for
15	roughly the time during which the first Nyala started	14	people who had been staying at that place for a very long
16	deploying the barbed wire. Then people started dispersing	16	time not going to work without a decision whether we are
17	when they saw the first Nyala deploying the wire. Your	17	going or not going.
18	group did not disperse with the people who were dispersing	17	MR NGALWANA: You must accept – well, let
19	after the first Nyala deployed the wire? Why did your	10	me tell you this. By Thursday the 16th of August, the
20	group not disperse at that time?	20	police knew that a number of people had been killed by the
21	MR MAGIDIWANA: Your question, Sir, it's	20	striking workers, including two police officers.
22	easy, because first of all there, there was some	22	[10:13] That happened on Monday the 13th. I'm talking
23	discussions taking place. When these people started	23	about the incidents of Monday the 13th. The killing
24	encircling us nobody knew what their intention was, whether	24	happened after General Mpembe and other officers allowed,
25	to arrest us or to kill us. The best was that we should	25	or permitted your group, the Noki group, the armed group,
	Page 6348		Page 6350
1	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential	1	to walk past the police line and said they were going to
1 2	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced.	1 2	
	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have		to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was
2	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing	2	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what
2 3	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that	2 3	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons
2 3 4	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would	2 3 4	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that
2 3 4 5 6 7	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would	2 3 4 5	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks you about this question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was saved amongst those that ran towards Marikana?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks you about this question? MR MAHLANGU: The question again was?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was saved amongst those that ran towards Marikana? MR NGALWANA: In your own evidence, I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks you about this question? MR MAHLANGU: The question again was? MR NGALWANA: What particularly annoys	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was saved amongst those that ran towards Marikana? MR NGALWANA: In your own evidence, I've just related it to you, you said there were fewer number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks you about this question? MR NGALWANA: What particularly annoys you about this question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was saved amongst those that ran towards Marikana? MR NGALWANA: In your own evidence, I've just related it to you, you said there were fewer number of people remaining on the koppie after the barbed wire was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks you about this question? MR MAHLANGU: The question again was? MR NGALWANA: What particularly annoys you about this question? MR MAGIDIWANA; I am supposed to feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was saved amongst those that ran towards Marikana? MR NGALWANA: In your own evidence, I've just related it to you, you said there were fewer number of people remaining on the koppie after the barbed wire was deployed than there were before the barbed wire was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks you about this question? MR MAHLANGU: The question again was? MR NGALWANA: What particularly annoys you about this question? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am supposed to feel that way. People died in the, people died seriously there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was saved amongst those that ran towards Marikana? MR NGALWANA: In your own evidence, I've just related it to you, you said there were fewer number of people remaining on the koppie after the barbed wire was deployed than there were before the barbed wire was deployed, so there are people who walked or ran to their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks you about this question? MR MAHLANGU: The question again was? MR NGALWANA: What particularly annoys you about this question? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am supposed to feel that way. People died in the, people died seriously there in, they could not run away. You say we should have run	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was saved amongst those that ran towards Marikana? MR NGALWANA: In your own evidence, I've just related it to you, you said there were fewer number of people remaining on the koppie after the barbed wire was deployed than there were before the barbed wire was deployed, so there are people who walked or ran to their safety, to Nkaneng.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	leave, to leave that place and go to our residential places. The song then started and the movement commenced. As we were singing and moving, the police must have realised where we wanted to go out and they were closing that place. Even the place that they had left open, that gap, they did that purposely because they knew we would endeavour to go through there and that is where they would close us up and shoot us. As you said, nobody ran in the direction in which you said the people ran back to where they had actually been from. They also had no way of doing it except going through the kraal. Now your question becomes difficult as to why we did not disperse when all endeavours were made to have us encircled there so that we should not go away. MR NGALWANA: I notice, Mr Magidiwana, that every time we deal with this question you become agitated and you raise your voice. What particularly irks you about this question? MR MAHLANGU: The question again was? MR NGALWANA: What particularly annoys you about this question? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am supposed to feel that way. People died in the, people died seriously there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to walk past the police line and said they were going to escort them to the koppie. General Mpembe and the other officers believed Mambush when he said that the group was going to lay down their arms at the koppie. Instead what happened, they assaulted police officers and other persons and killed at least two police officers. Now, with that knowledge in mind, having experienced that as the police, does it make sense to you that they would do the same thing on Thursday to allow an armed group of people to go past the police line, armed with sharp weapons and ammunition? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, let me tell you again. No workers proceeded to the police. It was the police that proceeded to the workers, encircled them and shot them. MR NGALWANA: You had numerous other ways to go. You could walk away from the police line. MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, which person was saved amongst those that ran towards Marikana? MR NGALWANA: In your own evidence, I've just related it to you, you said there were fewer number of people remaining on the koppie after the barbed wire was deployed than there were before the barbed wire was deployed, so there are people who walked or ran to their

	Page 6351		Page 6353
1	time of the shooting, which person was saved amongst those	1	direction the armed group was charging, or dashing.
2	who were running towards Marikana?	2	CHAIRPERSON: I think we must be very
3	CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if counsel can	3	careful that if a clip is shown it's relevant. My
4	answer that question, but if you do a bit of arithmetic,	4	understanding at the moment - and if I'm wrong you will
5	there were 2 000 people on the koppie initially and after	5	correct me, I trust - is that we're busy at the moment with
6	Mr Mathunjwa spoke it would seem some people left. We see	6	what is described as approach 1. The way it worked was the
7	pictures of people having left. At the end there were 270-	7	strikers, or some of them, made a first attempt to get past
8	odd people arrested and there were 34 people dead. It	8	for whatever reason, it's not necessary to speculate about
9	sounds as if quite a lot of people succeeded in getting	9	it. But when the Nyala came with the wire they then moved
10	away from the koppie without being hurt, so I don't know	10	back in an arc, according to your case, and had another
11	that the question you ask is very helpful, but perhaps Mr	11	attempt to get through. That's approach 2 and that's close
12	Ngalwana can be able to answer it.	12	to the kraal. They were also blocked off by the Nyala,
13	MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, sorry, I	13	Nyala 4, I think. They then went round the kraal and on
14	think either we entertain the witness's question or we	14	the other side of the kraal it would appear the shooting
15	don't. It's obviously a rhetorical question. What he's	15	
			started. There wasn't shooting at the time of either
16	saying –	16	approach 1 or approach 2, so if you're going to show us a
17	CHAIRPERSON: No, he's asked a question	17	video clip of what happened at the time of approach 3, when
18	of counsel. It didn't sound rhetorical to me and normally	18	the firing, it's not going to help us in relation to the
19	I don't allow witnesses to ask counsel questions –	19	point presently under discussion, so what happens in the
20	MR MPOFU: Yes.	20	case – firstly, in the time of approach 1, and secondly at
21	CHAIRPERSON: But in this particular	21	the time of approach 2. So if your clip is relevant for
22	occasion he's asking a question, presumably his future	22	approach 1, show it. If it's only relevant to show
23	answers may depend upon the question he's asked, so I think	23	shooting at approach 3 then, with respect, it's not going
24	-	24	to help us.
25	MR MPOFU: Ja.	25	MR NGALWANA: Yes, it does relate to
	Dama (252		Dama / 254
1	Page 6352 CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr	1	Page 6354 approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it
1 2		1 2	
	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr		approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover - it
2	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question.	2	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated	2 3	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which	2 3 4	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but –
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of	2 3 4 5	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which	2 3 4 5 6	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but –
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people	2 3 4 5 6 7	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON:So I propose allowing MrNgalwana to answer the question.MR MPOFU:Thank you, Chairperson. No,all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeatedfor what the witness said.What he's saying is whichperson survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not ofthe 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions.Hespecifically asked the question with regard to the peoplewho ran, who took the alternative route.CHAIRPERSON:I'm not going to allow a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON:So I propose allowing MrNgalwana to answer the question.MR MPOFU:Thank you, Chairperson. No,all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeatedfor what the witness said.What he's saying is whichperson survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not ofthe 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions.Hespecifically asked the question with regard to the peoplewho ran, who took the alternative route.CHAIRPERSON:I'm not going to allow alengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal withthe question and then maybe we move on to another issue.MR NGALWANA:Well, Chairperson, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON:So I propose allowing MrNgalwana to answer the question.MR MPOFU:Thank you, Chairperson. No,all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeatedfor what the witness said.What he's saying is whichperson survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not ofthe 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions.greecifically asked the question with regard to the peoplewho ran, who took the alternative route.CHAIRPERSON:I'm not going to allow alengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal withthe question and then maybe we move on to another issue.MR NGALWANA:Well, Chairperson, withrespect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him. CHAIRPERSON: If you don't propose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not? MR MAGIDIWANA: We say we were going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him. CHAIRPERSON: If you don't propose answering it, then don't, and let's move on to the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not? MR MAGIDIWANA: We say we were going towards the path that leads to Nkaneng.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him. CHAIRPERSON: If you don't propose answering it, then don't, and let's move on to the next question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not? MR MAGIDIWANA: We say we were going towards the path that leads to Nkaneng. MR NGALWANA: But that was going to –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him. CHAIRPERSON: If you don't propose answering it, then don't, and let's move on to the next question. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not? MR MAGIDIWANA: We say we were going towards the path that leads to Nkaneng. MR NGALWANA: But that was going to – MR MAGIDIWANA: Just a minute before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him. CHAIRPERSON: If you don't propose answering it, then don't, and let's move on to the next question. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chairperson. The witness persists that the armed group – you persist	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not? MR MAGIDIWANA: We say we were going towards the path that leads to Nkaneng. MR MAGIDIWANA: But that was going to – MR MAGIDIWANA: Just a minute before the next question, Sir. You have not answered my question. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him. CHAIRPERSON: If you don't propose answering it, then don't, and let's move on to the next question. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chairperson. The witness persists that the armed group – you persist that the armed group was not running towards the police. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not? MR MAGIDIWANA: We say we were going towards the path that leads to Nkaneng. MR NGALWANA: But that was going to – MR MAGIDIWANA: Just a minute before the next question, Sir. You have not answered my question. I want to give you this question. This question is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him. CHAIRPERSON: If you don't propose answering it, then don't, and let's move on to the next question. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chairperson. The witness persists that the armed group – you persist that the armed group was not running towards the police. I propose playing a clip, I think it's the Al Jazeera clip,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not? MR MAGIDIWANA: We say we were going towards the path that leads to Nkaneng. MR NGALWANA: But that was going to – MR MAGIDIWANA: Just a minute before the next question, Sir. You have not answered my question. I want to give you this question. This question is problematic to you. It's causing a problem. The people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: So I propose allowing Mr Ngalwana to answer the question. MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. No, all I'm saying is that the question must be then repeated for what the witness said. What he's saying is which person survived who was escaping towards Marikana, not of the 3 000 who was going in all sorts of directions. He specifically asked the question with regard to the people who ran, who took the alternative route. CHAIRPERSON: I'm not going to allow a lengthy debate on this point, but Mr Ngalwana can deal with the question and then maybe we move on to another issue. MR NGALWANA: Well, Chairperson, with respect, it's quite correct in saying I'm not here to answer the witness's questions. The question he has posed to me in my respectful submission has nothing to do with the point I'm raising with him. CHAIRPERSON: If you don't propose answering it, then don't, and let's move on to the next question. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chairperson. The witness persists that the armed group – you persist that the armed group was not running towards the police. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approach 3, Chairperson. I thought it would cover – it seems to me, perhaps I'm incorrect, that the witness is saying that they never went towards the police, whether at 1 or 2 or 3, and I wanted to show in 3 that they were in fact going towards the police, but – CHAIRPERSON: You can do that, but I think it might be a little bit unhelpful in this context where we're busy with approach 1. I don't want to tell you how to run your cross-examination, but speaking for myself I'd like it if you were to raise an issue which would be relevant later with approach 3. Before you can carry on, I won't stop you, provided that it's made clear to the witness that what you're showing him is approach 3, not approach 1. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, you disputed that you were going towards the police at the first attempt to breach the police line. That is correct, is it not? MR MAGIDIWANA: We say we were going towards the path that leads to Nkaneng. MR NGALWANA: But that was going to – MR MAGIDIWANA: Just a minute before the next question, Sir. You have not answered my question. I want to give you this question. This question is

Rustenburg

		1	
	Page 6355		Page 6357
1	already tired of shooting, they then decided to take the	1	forward from where he was, came to the front window of the
2	people, put them into the vans and take them to hospital.	2	negotiation Nyala and said – I read the words before, I'll
3	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, that's not	3	read them again – "These hippos would not leave this place
4	directly relevant to the question you're being asked now.	4	and you will all die today, and added that he would not be
5	Please confine yourself to answering the questions of	5	returning again." If that evidence is given by the police,
6	counsel.	6	will it be correct?
7	MR MAGIDIWANA: I've answered that	7	MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I am still saying it
8	question, Sir.	8	again, what Mambush said to that policeman did not involve
9	CHAIRPERSON: That's for us to judge, but	9	us. The discussion between the two of them pertained only
10	Mr Ngalwana, please put your next question.	10	to them. It did not involve us. What really involved us
11	MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chairperson. On	11	was when they were using the loudhailer where he was asked
12	the second attempt to breach the police line, you were	12	go and say this, he would go and say it and they would ask
13	dashing towards the police line. That is why the police	13	him go and say this and then he would say.
14	used water cannons and teargas to ward you off, away from	14	CHAIRPERSON: No, but my question is
15	the police line. You cannot dispute that, can you?	15	this. After the first, or rather when the first Nyala
16	MR MAGIDIWANA: I deny that. The reason	16	starting deploying the wire, did Mambush go up to the,
17	the police sprayed water and used the teargas on us was an	17	what's described as the negotiation Nyala and say something
18	endeavour to stop us from going through that road to	18	to the policeman inside?
19	Nkaneng which they were busy closing. They didn't want us	19	MR MAGIDIWANA: Why I'm saying we were
20	to go through there.	20	not involved, Mambush from time to time went to the Nyalas
21	CHAIRPERSON: I noticed that you became	21	to say something. If indeed he said the words that have
22	very agitated before you gave that answer, raised your	22	been quoted here, this was between him and the person with
23	voice and waved your arms around. Have you left the first	23	whom he was talking there.
24	approach? Are we now on the second approach?	24	[10:33] CHAIRPERSON: I understand. I take it
25	MR NGALWANA: Yes, Chairperson.	25	when he went to the Nyala you didn't hear what he said. Is
	Page 6356		Page 6358
1	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question	1	that what you're saying?
2	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before	2	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear.
	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the		that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment	2 3 4	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when
2 3	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala –	2 3	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went –
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy	2 3 4	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the	2 3 4 5	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki? MR MAGIDIWANA: I was there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them what the police were doing there. In fact, correction, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki? MR MAGIDIWANA: I was there. CHAIRPERSON: And was Mr Noki there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them what the police were doing there. In fact, correction, it was before they started deploying, they were positioning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki? MR MAGIDIWANA: I was there. CHAIRPERSON: And was Mr Noki there? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mambush was also there,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them what the police were doing there. In fact, correction, it was before they started deploying, they were positioning themselves to start deploying the barbed wire. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki? MR MAGIDIWANA: I was there. CHAIRPERSON: And was Mr Noki there? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mambush was also there, Sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them what the police were doing there. In fact, correction, it was before they started deploying, they were positioning themselves to start deploying the barbed wire. He approached the Nyala with McIntosh. I'm looking at slide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki? MR MAGIDIWANA: I was there. CHAIRPERSON: And was Mr Noki there? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mambush was also there, Sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them what the police were doing there. In fact, correction, it was before they started deploying, they were positioning themselves to start deploying the barbed wire. He approached the Nyala with McIntosh. I'm looking at slide 156 of exhibit L, Chairperson. He was told that the police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki? MR MAGIDIWANA: I was there. CHAIRPERSON: And was Mr Noki there? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mambush was also there, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Now, is this allegation which the police make that after the command was given for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them what the police were doing there. In fact, correction, it was before they started deploying, they were positioning themselves to start deploying the barbed wire. He approached the Nyala with McIntosh. I'm looking at slide 156 of exhibit L, Chairperson. He was told that the police were there for the safety, for safety and security reasons
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki? MR MAGIDIWANA: I was there. CHAIRPERSON: And was Mr Noki there? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mambush was also there, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Now, is this allegation which the police make that after the command was given for the wire to be deployed and the first Nyala started	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them what the police were doing there. In fact, correction, it was before they started deploying, they were positioning themselves to start deploying the barbed wire. He approached the Nyala with McIntosh. I'm looking at slide 156 of exhibit L, Chairperson. He was told that the police were there for the safety, for safety and security reasons - I'm looking at the third bullet point - and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to ask a question about the first approach. According to exhibit L, before the first approach, after Brigadier Calitz had given the command to Colonel Makhuvele to start with the deployment of the wire, the barbed wire, and when the first Nyala – this is slide 192 – when the first Nyala started to deploy the barbed wire one of the strikers, one of the representatives of the strikers, came to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and stated, "These hippos would not leave this place and you will all die today, and added that he would not be returning again." Now according to what follows thereafter it appears that the person who it is alleged came to the gate, to the front window of the negotiation Nyala and said that was Mambush. Now, you were a member of the group that we see standing in the red oval on slide 193. Is that right? That's what you admitted yesterday. Now, I take it Mambush was with you, Mr Noki? MR MAGIDIWANA: I was there. CHAIRPERSON: And was Mr Noki there? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mambush was also there, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Now, is this allegation which the police make that after the command was given for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that what you're saying? MR MAGIDIWANA: I did not hear. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, no, okay, alright. So you didn't hear what he said, but is it correct that when the first Nyala started deploying the wire Mambush went – Mr Noki went forward from the group, of which you were a member, and spoke to a policeman in the negotiation Nyala? MR MAGIDIWANA: There was a lot of confusion going on then. There was people going up and down. We wanted to move away. If he did go at that time, possibly that I did not see. CHAIRPERSON: Proceed, thank you. MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Well, let me stay on scene 1 as a question arises - approach 1. The police's evidence, Mr Magidiwana, will be that when the instruction was given to deploy the barbed wire Mr Noki, Mambush, approached the negotiating Nyala and asked them what the police were doing there. In fact, correction, it was before they started deploying, they were positioning themselves to start deploying the barbed wire. He approached the Nyala with McIntosh. I'm looking at slide 156 of exhibit L, Chairperson. He was told that the police were there for the safety, for safety and security reasons

1	Page 6359	1	Page 6361
1	You cannot dispute that because you say you didn't hear	1	told you what he was discussing with them.
2	him, or can you?	2	MR MPOFU: Yes, and I did not object, but
3	MR MAGIDIWANA: What I am saying, Sir, I	3	now you've just put it to say, but he told you.
4	am not going to turn away from what I've been saying.	4	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, he left out the
5	MR NGALWANA: Well, the evidence will be	5	"presumably" when he put the question to which you
6	further that after Mambush had been told what the purpose	6	objected, but now the "presumably" has been reinserted, we
7	of the police's presence was there, for safety and	7	can carry on.
8	security, he then went back to the group of protestors and	8	MR MPOFU: Ja, it must be made clear to
9	addressed them. You were there.	9	the witness.
10	MR MAGIDIWANA: What did he say?	10	MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know that.
11	MR NGALWANA: Presumably he told you what	11	MR NGALWANA: So you're saying he didn't
12	he had been discussing with the people in the Nyala, the	12	tell you what he was discussing with the police in the
13	negotiating Nyala, which would seem to suggest that the	13	negotiating Nyala?
14	group, including yourself, knew what Mambush was discussing	14	MR MAGIDIWANA: I said earlier to you
15	with the people in the Nyala. Would you care to comment?	15	whenever Mambush went to speak to the police without us
16	MR MAGIDIWANA: How can I have knowledge	16	asking him to go and say that, I would not know what it is
17	of that when Mambush did not meet me?	17	that he said to the police.
18	MR NGALWANA: Because he came back and	18	MR MADLANGA SC: Chairman, Commissioners,
19	told you what he was discussing with the police.	19	I'm quite loathe to keep on interrupting - just a matter of
20	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, is my learned	20	clarity, not so much an objection this time - when my
21	friend now testifying or is that going to be the evidence	21	learned friend reverted to this line of cross-examination
22	of what Mambush said?	22	he said he will go back to approach 1. That's what he
23	MR NGALWANA: I said presumably -	23	said, and then he took Mr Magidiwana to slide 156 and the
24	MR MPOFU: Because according to this	24	time reflected there is 10:40. Can my learned friend
25	bullet it just says he spoke to the group. I suppose if	25	please clarify the timing? Why I say this is because I
	Page 6360		Page 6362
1	the police knew what he said they would have put it in	1	understand approach 1 to have been much, much later in the
2	their so-called presentation.	2	afternoon.
3	CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps Mr X will be able	3	MR NGALWANA: Chair, I understand that.
4	to help us on this point, or give evidence on the point.	4	I'm taking my time to get there, which is where I'm going
5	Whether it helps us or not depends whether his evidence is	5	NOW.
6	true, but I take it we can assume the police didn't have Mr	6	CHAIRPERSON: The point is by saying
7	X's statement when this exhibit L was compiled, so –	7	you're going back to approach 1, which is then interpreted
8	MR MPOFU: Well, if that's the answer	8	to the witness, he then thinks you're talking about
9	we'd like it to be given -	9	something that happened shortly after 20 to 4 in the
10	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I mean, Mr –	10	afternoon. When you then put something to him that
11	MR MPOFU: - so that we can cross-examine	11	happened about 20 to 11 in the morning there is scope for
12	Mr X.	12	confusion, so in order to get clarity, as Mr Madlanga says,
13	CHAIRPERSON: There may well also be	13	it would be helpful if the earlier time were put to the
14	[inaudible]. The point is, you can't say because it's not	14	witness so he knows exactly what you're talking about. If
15	in exhibit L, therefore we must assume it's not correct,	15	we want to get answers which will be of value to us later
16	because there is another inference which I put to you. But	16	on, not answer that can be argued away if they are
	anyway, it's pointless for you and me to debate this at	17	unfortunate for one side or the other, on the basis there
17		18	were some elements of confusion.
17 18	this stage. Let's get Mr Ngalwana in the spotlight to deal		
	this stage. Let's get Mr Ngalwana in the spotlight to deal with this point.	19	MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, the
18			MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, the propositions I've just put to you relate to 10:40 in the
18 19	with this point.	19	-
18 19 20	with this point. MR NGALWANA: Chairperson, you'll	19 20	propositions I've just put to you relate to 10:40 in the morning, which were events that formed the precursor to the deployment of the barbed wire later that afternoon. It was
18 19 20 21	with this point. MR NGALWANA: Chairperson, you'll remember - perhaps I should use this word every time I put	19 20 21	propositions I've just put to you relate to 10:40 in the morning, which were events that formed the precursor to the
18 19 20 21 22	with this point. MR NGALWANA: Chairperson, you'll remember - perhaps I should use this word every time I put these propositions to the witness - I said Mr Mambush, or Mambush approached the negotiating Nyala, was told what the purpose of the police presence there was and then he turned	19 20 21 22	propositions I've just put to you relate to 10:40 in the morning, which were events that formed the precursor to the deployment of the barbed wire later that afternoon. It was
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	with this point. MR NGALWANA: Chairperson, you'll remember - perhaps I should use this word every time I put these propositions to the witness - I said Mr Mambush, or Mambush approached the negotiating Nyala, was told what the	19 20 21 22 23	propositions I've just put to you relate to 10:40 in the morning, which were events that formed the precursor to the deployment of the barbed wire later that afternoon. It was at that time that the police were setting up to deploy

	Dage (2)2		Dage (2)(E
1	Page 6363 evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki, Mambush, was	1	Page 6365 which the witness had not agreed. So if it's correct that
2	in fact told what the purpose of the barbed wire was,	2	he stopped at that point, I haven't got a recollection
2	because you said earlier that you didn't know whether they	3	myself, but if it's correct, you can only put that that's
4	were putting barbed wire in order to kill you or to	4	the passage ending there with which the witness had agreed.
		-	
5	encircle you, if I understood you correctly. Mambush knew	5	MR NGALWANA: I want of ask him if he
6	what the purpose for the barbed wire was and he was one of	6	agrees with the rest of the paragraph.
7	the leaders of the group. The evidence will be that he was	7	CHAIRPERSON: Then it's a bit misleading,
8	told that the purpose of the barbed wire was to protect the	8	with respect, to say a passage was put to you, paragraph so
9	police, the media and the striking workers. Presumably, as	9	and so, and you agreed with it, without saying you agreed
10	leader of the group, he would have told you this. What is	10	with this part but there's another bit that comes that
11	your comment?	11	wasn't put to you, do you also agree with that or do you
12	MR MAGIDIWANA: On the side of the	12	disagree with that. That would be, with respect, a fair
13	reporters, the media, the reporters were actually being	13	way of putting the question and I'm sure that you would
14	stopped from going to the strikers by the police. The	14	wish to be fair to him.
15	strikers were calling them to come and listen to what the	15	MR NGALWANA: I'm going to read you a
16	problems were, to be told what it is that the people	16	passage, Mr Magidiwana, for what it's worth now, and I want
17	wanted. The police were not keen that the reporters should	17	you to tell us whether you agree or disagree. Mr X says,
18	go to the strikers.	18	"I heard a gunshot being fired from our members and I saw
19	MR NGALWANA: You said in your evidence-	19	that," and he mentions one person and leaves a couple of
20	in-chief – are you quite done in answering that question,	20	dashes, "had firearms, pistol, in their possession". Do
21	making that comment?	21	you agree with that version?
22	MR MAGIDIWANA: I am that.	22	MR MAGIDIWANA: I denied knowing, seeing
23	MR NGALWANA: You said in your evidence-	23	anything pertaining to it. I said the people who had guns
24	in-chief, when asked whether –	24	and were shooting were the police. I went past the hippo
25	MR MAGIDIWANA: Where?	25	and when we come on the other side of the hippo they shot
	Page 6364		Page 6366
1	Page 6364 MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you	1	Page 6366 at us.
1 2		1 2	-
	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you		at us.
2	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed	2	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny
2	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement,	2 3	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people?
2 3 4	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his	2 3 4	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the
2 3 4 5	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26.	2 3 4 5	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission.
2 3 4 5 6	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on	2 3 4 5 6	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came	2 3 4 5 6 7	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're referring to now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're referring to now? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're referring to now? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in other words whether they were going towards Nkaneng. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're referring to now? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: How did you know that you'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in other words whether they were going towards Nkaneng. I deliberately left out the rest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're referring to now? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: How did you know that you'd gone past?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in other words whether they were going towards Nkaneng. I deliberately left out the rest. MR NGALWANA: Can my learned friend allow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're referring to now? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: How did you know that you'd gone past? MR MAGIDIWANA: On the video, and this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in other words whether they were going towards Nkaneng. I deliberately left out the rest. MR NGALWANA: Can my learned friend allow me to ask the question, Chairperson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're referring to now? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: How did you know that you'd gone past? MR MAGIDIWANA: On the video, and this is why I could not see him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in other words whether they were going towards Nkaneng. I deliberately left out the rest. MR NGALWANA: Can my learned friend allow me to ask the question, Chairperson? MR MPOFU: Well, then prefix it on what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: Who's the person you're referring to now? MR MAGIDIWANA: MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: MR MAGIDIWANA: On the video, and this is why I could not see him. Chairperson:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in other words whether they were going towards Nkaneng. I deliberately left out the rest. MR NGALWANA: Can my learned friend allow me to ask the question, Chairperson? MR MPOFU: Well, then prefix it on what actually happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who gone past? MR MAGIDIWANA: MR MAGIDIWANA: On the video, and this is why I could not see him. I see. MR NGALWANA: I see. MR NGALWANA: I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in other words whether they were going towards Nkaneng. I deliberately left out the rest. MR NGALWANA: Can my learned friend allow me to ask the question, Chairperson? MR MPOFU: Well, then prefix it on what actually happened. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, just stop. He	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: MR MAGIDIWANA: On the video, and this is why I could not see him. CHAIRPERSON: CHAIRPERSON: I see. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR NGALWANA: In your evidence when you were asked by your lawyer, when asked whether you agreed with Mr X's version at paragraph 26 of Mr X's statement, you said you agree with Mr X on – at paragraph 26 of his statement. Let me read you what Mr X says in paragraph 26. He says, "While there," he's talking about the koppie on the 16th, "there came police hippos and they surrounded us with wire. By then we were singing. Thereafter came another police vehicle and started to spray us with water. We started moving to the direction of eastern side, on the direction of Nkaneng squatter camp. I heard a gunshot" – MR MPOFU: No, Chairperson, once again, if my learned friend is going to rely on something that I said he must then say it the way I said it. I stopped that sentence right where he has just stopped it and I specifically said do you agree with Mr X on that aspect, in other words whether they were going towards Nkaneng. I deliberately left out the rest. MR NGALWANA: Can my learned friend allow me to ask the question, Chairperson? MR MPOFU: Well, then prefix it on what actually happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at us. CHAIRPERSON: So you specifically deny that gunshots were fired by some of your people? MR MAGIDIWANA: I saw the gun for the first time in the videos in the Commission. CHAIRPERSON: So as far as you're concerned the allegation that members of your group, a member or members of your group, fired shots first is not correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If he was the first person to shoot, Mr Chairman, then he would have shot at us because we are ahead of him. because we are ahead of him. We had already gone past. CHAIRPERSON: I'm saying the one who was alleged to have had a gun in our group. CHAIRPERSON: MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying the one who gone past? MR MAGIDIWANA: MR MAGIDIWANA: On the video, and this is why I could not see him. I see. MR NGALWANA: I see. MR NGALWANA: I see.

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

Page 6367 1 MR NGALWANA: Those are the people who 2 were chosen for their bravery, their strength – people who 3 would stand their ground and would not allow anything to 4 stand in their way. 5 [10:53] MR MAGIDIWANA: Am I no more a human 6 being, now I am a "makarapa?" 7 MR NGALWANA: The armed group, as we have 8 shown in numerous photos. 9 MR MAGIDIWANA: Which of people were not 10 armed there? 11 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, I don't think you 12 should ask questions. I allowed you before but strictly 13 speaking you shouldn't ask questions. You should just 14 answer questions. If there's something unclear about a 15 question you can say the question is not clear to me for 16 this reason, but don't ask questions. Things get totally 17 out of hand if you ask questions and the advocate answers 18 questions; things should be the other way around. He 19 should ask questions and you should answer them. 20 MR NGALWANA: Do you have, for lack of a 21 better description, scarification marks on your chest that 22 were performed on the 14th of August 2012 during the ritual 23 performance at the koppie? 24 MR MAGIDIWANA: That is me from the 25 beginning, nothing like that was ever done for me. What	Page 6369 1 Mahlangu's interpretation was much broader. The question 2 was, as the Chairperson put it regarding to the chest and, 3 Mr Mahlangu, said "imzimbeni" which means on your entire 4 body. If that can just be corrected. 5 MR MAHLANGU: Could I correct that? 6 CHAIRPERSON: Yes. 7 MR MAHLANGU: Thanks, Chair. 8 MR MAGIDIWANA: When that is being done 9 I'm home, but I don't know other homes, I'm referring to my 10 own home. It's been done from the head throughout the 11 body, even the back. The only thing that we don't do at my 12 home is the "nxeti", that is the cutting off of the finger. 13 CHAIRPERSON: The question you were asked 14 specifically was, have you got scarification marks on your 15 chest. I understand you to say you've got marks on your 16 head, on your back and so on, you've got all those at home. 17 Do you have scarification marks on your chest? 18 MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes, on the chest there 19 are. 20 CHAIRPERSON: And do I understand you to 21 say that all those marks were put there when you were at 22 NR MAGIDIWANA: Yes, Sir. 24 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, and when was that? 25 MR MAGIDIWANA: In December, in December
Page 6368 Was ever done on me in that rituals was something that was done at home. MR NGALWANA: Can you deny, or do you deny that you had scarification marks made on you while you were at the koppie? Whether it was on the 9th or the 10th or the 12th or the 14th. Any time - MR MAGIDIWANA: No there's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: At any time during August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying no, there's nothing like that. MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying no, there's nothing like that. MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm saying nothing, there's no such a thing. MR NGALWANA: Are you denying that you have scarification marks on your chest? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those which I have were done at home. CHAIRPERSON: So does that mean you have scarification marks on your chest but they were put there before you started working for Lonmin when you were still at home? MR MANDELWANA: Answers, just to assist, Mr	Page 6370 of 2011 when I returned home, that was done to me. I will again go home and this will still be done. CHAIRPERSON: You mean you're going to have more done when you go home? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I see it's 11 o'clock. Perhaps this an appropriate stage to take the tea adjournment. Unless there's something you want to ask before that? MR NGALWANA: No. On this issue, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but do you want to ask him before tea or after tea? MR NGALWANA: We can do it after tea. Kit's fine. CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will take the tea adjournment. I should announce that this afternoon we'll be stopping at half past 2. So we'll take the lunch adjournment at 1, resume at half past 1 and sit for an hour until half past 2, but now we take the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:39] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr Magidiwana, you're still under oath. Mr Ngalwana, you're still cross-examining. MZOXOLO MAGIDIWANA: s.u.o.

1	Page 6371 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGALWANA (CONTD.):	1	Page 6373 MR NGALWANA: I'm not an expert. I think
2	Thank you Chair. Mr Magidiwana, I'll take it you'll have	2	the experts would possibly be in a position to look at your
3	no objection to present yourself to a medical practitioner	3	body and tell us when these rituals were performed, whether
4	with a view to ascertaining the age of your scarification	4	this was in 2011 or recent in 2012, when the scarification
5	marks, if you say they were done in 2011 and the police say	5	marks were done, not when the rituals were done.
6	they were done towards the latter part of 2012.	6	MR MAHLANGU: I said the traditional
7	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, it's not the latter	7	scarification.
8	part August 2012. I take it that it wouldn't be relevant	8	MR NGALWANA: Thank you.
9	if they were done after August 2012 and I don't know	9	MR MAHLANGU: Insertions.
10	whether a medical science is able to distinguish between,	10	CHAIRPERSON: Counsel answered your
11	by a test done in March 2013 whether the scarification	11	question, can you answer his? He said would you be
12	marks were done in August 2012 or December 2011. But	12	prepared to submit yourself to an examination in order to
13	perhaps that should be a bit clearer.	13	enable a -
14	MR NGALWANA: Well, I'm not a medical	14	MR MAGIDIWANA: No, he has not answered
15	scientist, Chair, so I would imagine the doctors will be	15	my question. I don't understand him.
16	able to tell us whether that is possible or not.	16	CHAIRPERSON: He did answer the guestion.
			•
17 18	CHAIRPERSON: Would you be prepared to be examined by an expert, a medical expert, in an attempt to	17 18	You asked him why, what would the purpose of the test be. The answer he gave was, he says in order to ascertain if
19	ascertain whether the scarification marks you have were	19	possible the age of the scarification marks you have,
20	done in about, say December 2011, or whether they're more	20	whether they date back from 2011 or whether they're more
20	recent than that and about are six or seven months old?	20	recent than that and date back from some time in 2012,
22	MR MAGIDIWANA: If I may ask this	22	particularly I take it, in August 2012 when the police
22	question. What would be the cause of this investigation	22	allege that you were subjected to scarification exercises.
23 24	about rituals performed at my home, what is that for?	23 24	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, yes I accept
24 25	Secondly the counsel asking me now himself is a Xhosa, Mr	24 25	that, but except that Mr Ngalwana said that perhaps there
25	Secondly the course asking the now himself is a knosa, wi	25	that, but except that we hydrwaria said that perhaps there
	Page 6372		Page 6374
1	Page 6372 Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals,	1	Page 6374 would be such an expert.
1 2	Page 6372 Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home.	1 2	
	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals,		would be such an expert.
2	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home.	2	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by
2 3	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my	2 3	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but -
2 3 4	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared	2 3 4	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear.
2 3 4 5	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to	2 3 4 5	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson.
2 3 4 5 6	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification	2 3 4 5 6	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be such an expert.CHAIRPERSON:I thought I covered that byusing the word "possibly," but -MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Oh, sorry, I didn't hear.Sorry, Chairperson.MR MAGIDIWANA:MR MAGIDIWANA:That would require, MrChairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathersfrom home to be present there and also in the presence ofmy lawyers.MR NGALWANA:So in the presence of allthe persons you've just mentioned you would have noobjection to subjecting yourself to a medical test?MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes. Yes, but if theytell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would be such an expert.CHAIRPERSON:I thought I covered that byusing the word "possibly," but -MR MPOFU:MR MPOFU:Oh, sorry, I didn't hear.Sorry, Chairperson.That would require, MrChairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathersfrom home to be present there and also in the presence ofmy lawyers.MR NGALWANA:So in the presence of allthe persons you've just mentioned you would have noobjection to subjecting yourself to a medical test?MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes. Yes, but if theytell you that this is a tradition that was performed at myhome and as you are also seated there I believe that as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he was told what the purpose of the examination was, or will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they tell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my home and as you are also seated there I believe that as a Xhosa you must have undergone some of these traditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he was told what the purpose of the examination was, or will be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they tell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my home and as you are also seated there I believe that as a Xhosa you must have undergone some of these traditions. MR NGALWANA: Alright, let me just -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he was told what the purpose of the examination was, or will be. MR MAGIDIWANA: He confirms, Sir, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they tell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my home and as you are also seated there I believe that as a Xhosa you must have undergone some of these traditions. MR NGALWANA: Alright, let me just - CHAIRPERSON: I take it that if the test,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he was told what the purpose of the examination was, or will be. MR MAGIDIWANA: He confirms, Sir, that why he's asking, is what the reason for this kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they tell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my home and as you are also seated there I believe that as a Xhosa you must have undergone some of these traditions. MR NGALWANA: Alright, let me just - CHAIRPERSON: I take it that if the test, if you consented to having the test done and the tests were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he was told what the purpose of the examination was, or will be. MR MAGIDIWANA: He confirms, Sir, that why he's asking, is what the reason for this kind of exercise.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they tell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my home and as you are also seated there I believe that as a Xhosa you must have undergone some of these traditions. MR NGALWANA: Alright, let me just - CHAIRPERSON: I take it that if the test, if you consented to having the test done and the tests were done, as I understand there are three possibilities. One
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he was told what the purpose of the examination was, or will be. MR MAGIDIWANA: He confirms, Sir, that why he's asking, is what the reason for this kind of exercise. MR NGALWANA: I thought I've already –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they tell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my home and as you are also seated there I believe that as a Xhosa you must have undergone some of these traditions. MR NGALWANA: Alright, let me just - CHAIRPERSON: I take it that if the test, if you consented to having the test done and the tests were done, as I understand there are three possibilities. One
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he was told what the purpose of the examination was, or will be. MR MAGIDIWANA: He confirms, Sir, that why he's asking, is what the reason for this kind of exercise. MR NGALWANA: I thought I've already – okay, let me say it again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they tell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my home and as you are also seated there I believe that as a Xhosa you must have undergone some of these traditions. MR NGALWANA: Alright, let me just - CHAIRPERSON: I take it that if the test, if you consented to having the test done and the tests were done, as I understand there are three possibilities. One is the doctors may say they can't say what the age of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chairperson, I wonder if he does not have this rituals, cuttings from his own home. MR NGALWANA: I take it the answer to my question, Mr Magidiwana, is that you will not be prepared to present yourself for medical examination in order to ascertain the age, approximate age of your scarification marks. Is that correct? MR MAGIDIWANA: If you could answer my question, please Sir. CHAIRPERSON: I don't think you need to answer the second question, as to whether you've got scarification marks or not is not relevant to our Terms of Reference. But he wants to know in respect of his, the test you want done on him, what the purpose is, and I think in order for him to give an answer it will be help if he was told what the purpose of the examination was, or will be. MR MAGIDIWANA: He confirms, Sir, that why he's asking, is what the reason for this kind of exercise. MR NGALWANA: I thought I've already – okay, let me say it again. CHAIRPERSON: In case he doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would be such an expert. CHAIRPERSON: I thought I covered that by using the word "possibly," but - MR MPOFU: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear. Sorry, Chairperson. MR MAGIDIWANA: That would require, Mr Chairperson, the presence of my elders, my grandfathers from home to be present there and also in the presence of my lawyers. MR NGALWANA: So in the presence of all the persons you've just mentioned you would have no objection to subjecting yourself to a medical test? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. Yes, but if they tell you that this is a tradition that was performed at my home and as you are also seated there I believe that as a Xhosa you must have undergone some of these traditions. MR NGALWANA: Alright, let me just - CHAIRPERSON: I take it that if the test, if you consented to having the test done and the tests were done, as I understand there are three possibilities. One is the doctors may say they can't say what the age of the marks is. Secondly they could say they were more recent than December 2011 and were probably done about August

1	Page 6375 of course support the evidence you've given. But whatever	1	Page 6377 that you were part of the "makarapa" group which was to
2	conclusion they come to, that would have to be reported	2	confront the police if they stood in your way.
2	back to the Commission, whether it was in your favour or	3	MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know what you're
4	against you or neutral.	4	talking about.
5	MR MAGIDIWANA: I am saying, Chairperson,	5	MR NGALWANA: That this group underwent a
6	the body is here, I performed these rituals when I went	6	ritual at which the scarification was made and that the
7	home in 2011. But if this test has to be done, as I have	7	purpose of this ritual was to make them invisible, strong,
8	said my elders has to be there, my lawyers has to be there.	8	invincible, and that that is why that group advanced or
9	MR NGALWANA: Very well, thank you. Let	9	dashed towards the police line because they believed
10	me just get this out of the way. Mr Magidiwana, earlier I	10	themselves to be invincible, invisible, and too strong for
11	suggested to you that the reason your group was dressed in	11	the police.
12	the manner that they are, or were dressed in thick layers	12	MR MAGIDIWANA: Is it us who went to the
13	of clothing and some blankets, I now want to put it to you	13	police? It's the police that closed the road on which we
14	what the version of the police is, is that the reason for	14	were going to the settlement.
15	that type of dress was to protect themselves from rubber	15	MR NGALWANA: The version of the police
16	bullets and from tear smokes used by the police to disperse	16	will be that that group charged at the police, because
17	the crowd.	17	we're talking about scene 1 now.
18	MR MAGIDIWANA: Can't you feel a gun that	18	MR MAGIDIWANA: What you are saying is
19	is being shot at you?	19	not so, Sir.
20	MR NGALWANA: I'm talking about rubber	20	MR NGALWANA: The police's version, as
21	rounds.	21	I've indicated before to you, is that you were with the
22	MR MAGIDIWANA: What I know is that that	22	group along the railway line, led by Mr Noki, among others.
23	instrument is a gun. I don't know much about rubber	23	MR MAGIDIWANA: That's not true. That's
24	bullets.	24	a lie.
25	MR NGALWANA: And the police evidence	25	MR NGALWANA: Well, one way, we already
	Page 6376		Page 6378
1	will be that this group of which you were part and of which	1	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of
2	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation	2	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to
2 3	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves	2 3	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they
2 3 4	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear	2 3 4	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you
2 3 4 5	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke.	2 3 4 5	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you
2 3 4 5 6	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like	2 3 4 5 6	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August
2 3 4 5 6 7	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like flies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your cell phone number available so that these tests can be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like flies. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your cell phone number available so that these tests can be done. The answer to that is either yes or no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like flies. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that you, among others, were appointed for, were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your cell phone number available so that these tests can be done. The answer to that is either yes or no. MR MPOFU: But he might be entitled to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like flies. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your cell phone number available so that these tests can be done. The answer to that is either yes or no. MR MPOFU: But he might be entitled to legal advice on that issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like flies. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that you, among others, were appointed for, were appointed in a committee of five persons. CHAIRPERSON: Is it suggested he was a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your cell phone number available so that these tests can be done. The answer to that is either yes or no. MR MPOFU: But he might be entitled to legal advice on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: Why does he need legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like flies. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that you, among others, were appointed for, were appointed in a committee of five persons.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your cell phone number available so that these tests can be done. The answer to that is either yes or no. MR MPOFU: But he might be entitled to legal advice on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: Why does he need legal advice to, the question is whether he's prepared to give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like flies. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that you, among others, were appointed for, were appointed in a committee of five persons. CHAIRPERSON: Is it suggested he was a member of the committee or he was appointed by the committee?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your cell phone number available so that these tests can be done. The answer to that is either yes or no. MR MPOFU: But he might be entitled to legal advice on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: Why does he need legal advice to, the question is whether he's prepared to give his, he's not suggesting there's any compulsion. He simply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 will be that this group of which you were part and of which Mr Noki, Mambush was part, was intent on a confrontation with the police and that is why they protected themselves in the manner that they did against rubber rounds and tear smoke. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's nothing like that. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that Mr Noki's threat to the police that they were going to die there is an indication of what that group would be about. MR MAGIDIWANA: You know this thing you're always saying about Mambush, it's the child of another person who died for no reason. It hurts me because I know he did nothing wrong. We were killed there like flies. MR NGALWANA: The evidence of the police will be that you, among others, were appointed for, were appointed in a committee of five persons. CHAIRPERSON: Is it suggested he was a member of the committee or he was appointed by the committee? MR NGALWANA: No, sorry, let me take that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have a way of ascertaining that, but one other way of ascertaining it and putting it beyond doubt is for you to provide your cell phone number to the police so that they can do some - I think it's called triangulation - if you had your cell phone at that time to discover where you were, whether you were there or not on the 13th of August 2012. Would you be prepared to give the police, in private, your cell phone number at the time of the 13th of August 2012 so that they can perform this exercise? MR MPOFU: Chairperson, I would prefer it, if Mr Ngalwana is serious about this suggestion, that they approach us so that we can consult with the witness on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: I understand the purpose of the comment. He simply asked are you prepared to make your cell phone number available so that these tests can be done. The answer to that is either yes or no. MR MPOFU: But he might be entitled to legal advice on that issue. CHAIRPERSON: Why does he need legal advice to, the question is whether he's prepared to give his, he's not suggesting there's any compulsion. He simply asked, are you prepared to give your cell phone number.

1	Page 6379	1	Page 6381
1	Chairperson.	1	MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone did not get
2	CHAIRPERSON: No, I'm asking -	2	lost; it was taken by the police. I was expecting that it
3	MR MPOFU: No, then let them do it that	3	would be returned.
4	way and see how far they go.	4	MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, we don't
5	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Alright. The	5	really need your cell phone, we need your cell phone
6	offer effectively is that, Mr Ngalwana, that you should	6	number. I think you do remember what it was. You do
7	raise that with his legal advisors first, and does it imply	7	remember what your cell phone number was on the 13th August
8	that there may be a measure of cooperation?	8	2012?
9	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson.	9	MR MAGIDIWANA: The phone does not work
10	MR NGALWANA: Chairperson, I want the	10	on its own, it only works when it has got a SIM card.
11	witness's reaction. What I'm asking is whether he would be	11	MR NGALWANA: You do remember your cell
12	prepared or not, but an answer has already been suggested	12	phone number?
13	to him. So for what it's worth I -	13	MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't remember it
14	CHAIRPERSON: He hasn't answered the	14	anymore.
15	question yet. Do you have a cell phone, or on the 13th of	15	CHAIRPERSON: Your cell phone provider,
16	August did you have a cell phone?	16	who was the cell phone provider? Service provider, sorry.
17	[11:59] MR MAGIDIWANA: I had a phone, I was in	17	Can you remember that?
18	the house.	18	MR MAGIDIWANA: I was with MTN.
19	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I know that. You deny	19	CHAIRPERSON: And when you came out of
20	that you were at the railway line on the 13th. I asked a	20	hospital did you get another cell phone?
21	different question. Did you have a cell phone on the 13th	21	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes, Sir, I bought
22	August?	22	another phone when I came out of hospital.
23	MR MAGIDIWANA: I've had a phone for	23	CHAIRPERSON: Now did you get your old
24 25	quite some time. I still have it, yes. CHAIRPERSON: So you had it on the 13th?	24 25	number? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, Sir, I'm not using my
25	CHAIRFERSON. So you had it on the Tstin	25	WK MAGIDI WANA. No, SI, THI Hot using my
	Page 6380		
			Page 6382
1	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I	1	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned.
2	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone.	2	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the
2 3	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my	2 3	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your
2 3 4	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August?	2 3 4	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number?
2 3 4 5	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in	2 3 4 5	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem.
2 3 4 5 6	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house.	2 3 4 5 6	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've	2 3 4 5 6 7	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number? MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone number was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number? MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone number was changed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MAGIDIWANA:I had it, that when Istarted working I had a phone.CHAIRPERSON:You still didn't answer myquestion.Did you have the phone on the 13th August?MR MAGIDIWANA:It was with me, yes, inthe house.I see, alright, and you'veCHAIRPERSON:I see, alright, and you'vestill got that phone?Yes.MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Same number?MR MAGIDIWANA:My phone number waschanged.CHAIRPERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MAGIDIWANA:I had it, that when Istarted working I had a phone.CHAIRPERSON:You still didn't answer myquestion. Did you have the phone on the 13th August?MR MAGIDIWANA:It was with me, yes, inthe house.I see, alright, and you'vestill got that phone?Yes.MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Same number?MR MAGIDIWANA:My phone number waschanged.CHAIRPERSON:MR MAGIDIWANA:The police came to me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MAGIDIWANA:I had it, that when Istarted working I had a phone.CHAIRPERSON:You still didn't answer myquestion. Did you have the phone on the 13th August?MR MAGIDIWANA:It was with me, yes, inthe house.CHAIRPERSON:I see, alright, and you'vestill got that phone?MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Same number?MR MAGIDIWANA:My phone number waschanged.CHAIRPERSON:My phone number waschanged.MR MAGIDIWANA:The police came to mewhilst I was at hospital and they took it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MAGIDIWANA:I had it, that when Istarted working I had a phone.CHAIRPERSON:You still didn't answer myquestion.Did you have the phone on the 13th August?MR MAGIDIWANA:It was with me, yes, inthe house.I see, alright, and you'veCHAIRPERSON:I see, alright, and you'vestill got that phone?Yes.MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Same number?MR MAGIDIWANA:My phone number waschanged.MR MAGIDIWANA:CHAIRPERSON:The police came to mewhilst I was at hospital and they took it.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:If that's so it would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MAGIDIWANA:I had it, that when Istarted working I had a phone.CHAIRPERSON:You still didn't answer myquestion. Did you have the phone on the 13th August?MR MAGIDIWANA:It was with me, yes, inthe house.CHAIRPERSON:I see, alright, and you'vestill got that phone?MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Same number?MR MAGIDIWANA:My phone number waschanged.CHAIRPERSON:Men was it changed?MR MAGIDIWANA:The police came to mewhilst I was at hospital and they took it.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:If that's so it wouldappear that the questions your asked doesn't need to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MAGIDIWANA:I had it, that when Istarted working I had a phone.CHAIRPERSON:You still didn't answer myquestion. Did you have the phone on the 13th August?MR MAGIDIWANA:It was with me, yes, inthe house.CHAIRPERSON:I see, alright, and you'vestill got that phone?MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Same number?MR MAGIDIWANA:My phone number waschanged.CHAIRPERSON:When was it changed?MR MAGIDIWANA:The police came to mewhilst I was at hospital and they took it.CHAIRPERSON:If that's so it wouldappear that the questions you've asked doesn't need to beanswered because the police already have the number, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at Nkaneng on the 13th of August or near the railway line on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MAGIDIWANA:I had it, that when Istarted working I had a phone.CHAIRPERSON:You still didn't answer myquestion. Did you have the phone on the 13th August?MR MAGIDIWANA:It was with me, yes, inthe house.CHAIRPERSON:I see, alright, and you'vestill got that phone?MR MAGIDIWANA:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:Same number?MR MAGIDIWANA:My phone number waschairperson:Same number?MR MAGIDIWANA:My phone number waschanged.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:The police came to mewhilst I was at hospital and they took it.CHAIRPERSON:CHAIRPERSON:If that's so it wouldappear that the questions you've asked doesn't need to beanswered because the police already have the number, ifthat's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at Nkaneng on the 13th of August or near the railway line on that day at the time when the confrontation took place with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number? MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone number was changed. CHAIRPERSON: When was it changed? MR MAGIDIWANA: The police came to me whilst I was at hospital and they took it. CHAIRPERSON: If that's so it would appear that the questions you've asked doesn't need to be answered because the police already have the number, if that's correct. MR NGALWANA: There's something called	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at Nkaneng on the 13th of August or near the railway line on that day at the time when the confrontation took place with the police. That would be the purpose of the enquiry. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number? MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone number was changed. CHAIRPERSON: When was it changed? MR MAGIDIWANA: The police came to me whilst I was at hospital and they took it. CHAIRPERSON: If that's so it would appear that the questions you've asked doesn't need to be answered because the police already have the number, if that's correct. MR NGALWANA: There's something called SIM swap. Do you know about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at Nkaneng on the 13th of August or near the railway line on that day at the time when the confrontation took place with the police. That would be the purpose of the enquiry. I don't understand the relevance of the answer you gave to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number? MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone number was changed. CHAIRPERSON: When was it changed? MR MAGIDIWANA: The police came to me whilst I was at hospital and they took it. CHAIRPERSON: If that's so it would appear that the questions you've asked doesn't need to be answered because the police already have the number, if that's correct. MR NGALWANA: There's something called SIM swap. Do you know about that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I know that, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at Nkaneng on the 13th of August or near the railway line on that day at the time when the confrontation took place with the police. That would be the purpose of the enquiry. I don't understand the relevance of the answer you gave to that situation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number? MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone number was changed. CHAIRPERSON: When was it changed? MR MAGIDIWANA: The police came to me whilst I was at hospital and they took it. CHAIRPERSON: If that's so it would appear that the questions you've asked doesn't need to be answered because the police already have the number, if that's correct. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's something called SIM swap. Do you know about that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I know that, yes. MR MAGIDIWANA: So that if you've lost your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at Nkaneng on the 13th of August or near the railway line on that day at the time when the confrontation took place with the police. That would be the purpose of the enquiry. I don't understand the relevance of the answer you gave to that situation. MR MAGIDIWANA: That can be done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number? MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone number was changed. CHAIRPERSON: When was it changed? MR MAGIDIWANA: The police came to me whilst I was at hospital and they took it. CHAIRPERSON: If that's so it would appear that the questions you've asked doesn't need to be answered because the police already have the number, if that's correct. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's something called SIM swap. Do you know about that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I know that, yes. MR NGALWANA: So that if you've lost your phone or your phone has been taken from you, you are able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at Nkaneng on the 13th of August or near the railway line on that day at the time when the confrontation took place with the police. That would be the purpose of the enquiry. I don't understand the relevance of the answer you gave to that situation. MR MAGIDIWANA: That can be done. CHAIRPERSON: It can be done?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had it, that when I started working I had a phone. CHAIRPERSON: You still didn't answer my question. Did you have the phone on the 13th August? MR MAGIDIWANA: It was with me, yes, in the house. CHAIRPERSON: I see, alright, and you've still got that phone? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Same number? MR MAGIDIWANA: My phone number was changed. CHAIRPERSON: When was it changed? MR MAGIDIWANA: The police came to me whilst I was at hospital and they took it. CHAIRPERSON: If that's so it would appear that the questions you've asked doesn't need to be answered because the police already have the number, if that's correct. MR MAGIDIWANA: There's something called SIM swap. Do you know about that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I know that, yes. MR MAGIDIWANA: So that if you've lost your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	old number because I was expecting my phone to be returned. CHAIRPERSON: Would you object to the service provider providing your number to the police? Your old number? MR MAGIDIWANA: I would have a problem. CHAIRPERSON: Why? MR MAGIDIWANA: What would happen to me as, if I have a problem as they had caused this criminal act against me? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that answer. Could you repeat it? MR MAGIDIWANA: If my number was to be used with the brutal action they did against me, what would happen? CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I don't understand that. The purpose of the test apparently would be to ascertain whether your cell phone was in your home at Nkaneng on the 13th of August or near the railway line on that day at the time when the confrontation took place with the police. That would be the purpose of the enquiry. I don't understand the relevance of the answer you gave to that situation. MR MAGIDIWANA: That can be done.

Rustenburg

	Page 6383		Page 6385
1	CHAIRPERSON: So you wouldn't object then	1	give that information. So I don't think you need take the
2	to the service provider giving details of the number you	2	matter with him any further, unless you consider there are
3	had on the 13th of August to the police?	3	other aspects to this point you want to deal with, with
4	MR MAGIDIWANA: I said I would have a	4	him.
5	problem if my number would be used for one or the other	5	MR NGALWANA: Let me just make it clear,
6	reason and thereafter I have problems pertaining to it.	6	Chairperson. I've been referring to the 13th of August.
7	CHAIRPERSON: I see. You've given your	7	Of course this is going to cover the entire August to
8	answer, thank you.	8	ascertain where he was all that period, say from the 8th of
9	MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, I take it	9	August until the 17th of August.
10	you're no longer using the number that you had on the 13th	10	CHAIRPERSON: Where he was when he had
11	of August. Is that correct?	11	his cell phone with him. Mr Magidiwana, I'm afraid I'm a
12	MR MAGIDIWANA: That is so.	12	little bit confused now. I understood you to say to Mr
13	MR NGALWANA: Yes, I'm not using it	13	Ngalwana, go ahead, do what you want to do, and then I said
14	anymore?	14	that that means that you wouldn't object to your service
15	MR MAGIDIWANA: What is the meaning of	15	provider giving details to the police that are sought by
16	yes?	16	them in relation to the cell phone that was in the presence
17	MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana, are you	17	of you, because they had your ID number. I understood your
18	still using the number that you had on the 13th of August	18	counsel to say that you have a problem.
19	2012, or not?	19	MR MPOFU: No, not the counsel, Chair.
20	MR MAGIDIWANA: No.	20	The witness said he has a problem because he doesn't know
21	MR NGALWANA: Thank you. So if the	21	what the police will do with it.
22	number is provided to the police and you are no longer	22	CHAIRPERSON: Don't interrupt me, let me
23	using that number, what possible threat can the police pose	23	carry on. What exactly - can you explain to me what
24	to you, assuming that what you are assuming, that they will	24	exactly the problem is?
25	cause you harm? What possible harm can the police cause	25	MR MAGIDIWANA: I said let him go ahead
1	Page 6384	1	Page 6386
1	you when you give them a number that you no longer use?	1	and do whatever it is that he wants to do. MR NGALWANA: That doesn't quite answer
2	MR MAGIDIWANA: Better ask the police.	2	
3	MR NGALWANA: Would it give you any	2	· · ·
1 4		3	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is
4	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under	4	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to
5	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell	4 5	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number?
5 6	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012?	4 5 6	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit
5 6 7	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do	4 5 6 7	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he
5 6 7 8	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it.	4 5 6 7 8	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing
5 6 7 8 9	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly?	4 5 6 7 8 9	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first
5 6 7 8 9 10	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't remember the number, so I take it the police know his ID	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying something that wasn't correct. So that's why I asked him
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't remember the number, so I take it the police know his ID number. I take it the police can get the information from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying something that wasn't correct. So that's why I asked him what the problem was, but it wasn't a problem in relation
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't remember the number, so I take it the police know his ID number. I take it the police can get the information from the service provider by using the ID. He's already said he	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying something that wasn't correct. So that's why I asked him what the problem was, but it wasn't a problem in relation to refusing to give his cell phone number. So if you put
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't remember the number, so I take it the police know his ID number. I take it the police can get the information from the service provider by using the ID. He's already said he doesn't object to them doing that. If they -	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying something that wasn't correct. So that's why I asked him what the problem was, but it wasn't a problem in relation to refusing to give his cell phone number. So if you put the question, reformulate the question, put it correctly,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't remember the number, so I take it the police know his ID number. I take it the police can get the information from the service provider by using the ID. He's already said he doesn't object to them doing that. If they - MR MPOFU: Mr Chairperson, no, he didn't,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying something that wasn't correct. So that's why I asked him what the problem was, but it wasn't a problem in relation to refusing to give his cell phone number. So if you put the question, reformulate the question, put it correctly, then we can get his answer.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't remember the number, so I take it the police know his ID number. I take it the police can get the information from the service provider by using the ID. He's already said he doesn't object to them doing that. If they - MR MPOFU: Mr Chairperson, no, he didn't, he said he had a problem.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying something that wasn't correct. So that's why I asked him what the problem was, but it wasn't a problem in relation to refusing to give his cell phone number. So if you put the question, reformulate the question, put it correctly, then we can get his answer. MR MAGIDIWANA: If they have anything to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't remember the number, so I take it the police know his ID number. I take it the police can get the information from the service provider by using the ID. He's already said he doesn't object to them doing that. If they - MR MPOFU: Mr Chairperson, no, he didn't, he said he had a problem. CHAIRPERSON: If they refuse to give it	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying something that wasn't correct. So that's why I asked him what the problem was, but it wasn't a problem in relation to refusing to give his cell phone number. So if you put the question, reformulate the question, put it correctly, then we can get his answer. MR MAGIDIWANA: If they have anything to do now with my ID number that does not only affect me
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	comfort if the police were to give you an undertaking under oath that they will do you no harm if you provide the cell phone number that you had on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said go ahead, do it. MR NGALWANA: Do what exactly? MR MAGIDIWANA: What you want to do. MR NGALWANA: So you will give us - you will give the police your cell phone number that you had or used on the 13th of August 2012? MR MAGIDIWANA: It's not me who's going to give it. I don't know the number but I'm saying they can go ahead and do whatever they want to do with it. CHAIRPERSON: Remember he said he doesn't remember the number, so I take it the police know his ID number. I take it the police can get the information from the service provider by using the ID. He's already said he doesn't object to them doing that. If they - MR MPOFU: Mr Chairperson, no, he didn't, he said he had a problem.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Chairperson's question. What is the problem? What is the nature of the problem that you have in refusing to provide your cell phone number? CHAIRPERSON: No, I think that's a bit unfair, Mr Ngalwana. That's not what he says. He says he can't remember the cell phone number. So he's not refusing to give it, because he can't give it. That's the first point. In relation to the question of approaching the service provider, your approaching the service provider and asking for information in respect of the cell phone issued to the person with his ID number, which you have, I take it, I understood his attitude to be that you could go ahead and do that, but it was suggested by his counsel that he had a problem, and to be fair to his counsel, a problem had been mentioned. So I'm not suggesting counsel is saying something that wasn't correct. So that's why I asked him what the problem was, but it wasn't a problem in relation to refusing to give his cell phone number. So if you put the question, reformulate the question, put it correctly, then we can get his answer. MR MAGIDIWANA: If they have anything to

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

Page 6387	Page 6389
1 it.	1 Let's not waste any more time on an issue which you say in
2 MR NGALWANA: Well, the Chairperson has,	2 any event is a waste of time. So let Mr Ngalwana proceed.
3 as I understand it, already made a ruling about subpoenaing	3 MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. I'll
4 the service providers.	4 move to the next point. The evidence of the police will be
5 CHAIRPERSON: I said it could be done if	5 that you obtained a police firearm during the 13th of
6 necessary.	6 August attack on the police and that that is the firearm
7 MR NGALWANA: It appears this is not	7 that was found in your possession on the 16th of August
8 taking us far, but let me just put this to the witness. Mr	8 2012. There will in fact be an eyewitness account of that,
9 Magidiwana, I take it when you say - and we're really	9 of - sorry, of the firearm being retrieved from you on the
10 exhausting this point, which really was an insignificant	10 16th August of 2012.
11 thing when we began, we thought it might be easier to just	11 MR MAHLANGU: If that could be repeated
12 tell us what we want. I take it when you give a broad	12 for me, Sir?
13 brash answer that says the police can do whatever they	13 MR NGALWANA: There will be an eyewitness
14 please, if I'm misquoting you please correct me -	14 account of the firearm of the police being retrieved from
15 MR MAGIDIWANA: I'll speak in Xhosa to	15 you. I'm talking about the firearm of one of the policemen
16 you because I want you to understand. I don't know what it	16 who were killed on the 13th of August 2012. Would you care
17 is that you want me to say further.	17 to comment?
18 MR NGALWANA: I take it when you say the	18 [12:19] MR MAGIDIWANA: To you, Sir, I look like
19 police can do whatever they please, you include your	19 a criminal. What I did when the police came with this
20 concerns to the police approaching the - I'm putting it to	20 allegation about the firearm, I gave them my fingerprints
21 the witness, I'd like him to answer. You say we can do as	21 and said they can go ahead, investigate and whatever they
22 we please, or the police can do as they please.	22 find should please come back to me, but up to today they
23 MR MAGIDIWANA: You were interested in my	23 have not come back. Another thing, they said I appeared
24 phone number, now you have gone over to my ID, but I'm	24 shooting on the video and I said to them I would like to
25 saying if you're interested in my ID now my lawyers have	25 see that video. It's up to today that I have not seen that
Page 6388	Page 6390
1 got to be involved.	1 thing. To be accused of things like this that one hasn't
2 CHAIRPERSON: I suggested to Mr Ngalwana,	2 done is really hurting.
3 he's probably got your ID number already and if he hasn't	3 MR NGALWANA: My instructions are that
4 got it, presumably he can get it from Lonmin because Lonmin	4 your fingerprints were never taken from you, because you
5 have it, I assume. So I am not sure that your lawyer's	5 were continuously in hospital. So there are no fingerprint
6 involved in that. In exhibit EEE2 there's an annexure,	6 tests to be brought to you.
7 which is a statement which you signed, in which you denied	7 MR MAGIDIWANA: Go to Pule; he will tell
8 the allegations against you but stated that your ID number	8 you.
9 was 8809036254080, and your ID number also appears on a	9 MR NGALWANA: In one of the statements
10 document obtained from Lonmin, which is also a part of that	10 you made to the police – there are in fact two cases; in
11 same exhibit, which was handed in by your counsel when he	11 the one you were charged with public violence, illegal
12 led you in chief. So I don't think we need to spend any	12 gathering, and possession of a dangerous weapon at the
13 more time trying to ascertain your ID number; we have it	13 koppie. In another case under a different case number you
14 already.	14 were charged with possession of an unlicensed firearm at
15 MR MAGIDIWANA: Let them go ahead.	15 Wonderkop Hill. In regard to the first statement - I'm
16 MR NGALWANA: Thank you, I'll take that	16 saying first in the sequence in which I have mentioned them
17 as a consent for us -	17 - the one in relation to which you were charged with public
18 MR MPOFU: No, you must not take it as	18 violence, illegal gathering and possession of a dangerous
19 consent. Chairperson, you know, honestly this is a	19 weapon –
20 monumental waste of time. I made a kind offer to give the	20 MR MPOFU: Sorry, Chairperson, without
21 witness legal advice on this issue, which would have	21 being pedantic, the witness was not charged with anything
22 obviated all this - I don't even know what to call it,	22 at that stage.
23 that's been going on for the past 20 minutes. You know -	23 MR NGALWANA: The offences that were
	24 being investigated
24 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, we've heard what	24 being investigated –
24CHAIRPERSON:Mr Mpofu, we've heard what25you say. It's water under the bridge to some extent.	25 CHAIRPERSON: No, that's a different

		r –	
1 r	Page 6391 natter. The point taken, albeit pedantic, sounds correct.	1	Page 6393 violence?
2	MR NGALWANA: That's why I'm rephrasing	2	MR MAGIDIWANA: I was asked one thing,
	t. The charges that were being investigated against you	3	Sir. I was asked if I was present on the mountain on the
	were public violence - now if I can go back to my question.	4	16th of June, and I said yes. Pertaining to the other
	Nith regard to the investigation of public violence,	5	things, I said I don't know anything about those.
	llegal gathering, and possession of a dangerous weapon,	6	MR NGALWANA: The police version is that
	you said, among other things, "I don't deny the allegation	7	that gathering was illegal. If that is correct, then it is
	but against me." In your evidence-in-chief of course you	, 8	reasonable to – well, if that is correct you cannot really
	denied that you made such a blanket acknowledgement of the	9	reasonably deny the allegation of illegal gathering, can
	allegations. Do you remember in relation to which	10	you?
	allegations you said you do not deny? Let me give you the	11	CHAIRPERSON: - require legal knowledge
	allegations again, just to help you. Public violence, is	12	on his part. Doesn't it require even now, but didn't it
	hat the allegation that you said you did not deny?	13	require legal knowledge on his part at the time he was at
14	MR MAGIDIWANA: No. No, Sir. I will	14	the koppie for him to have the necessary mens rea? You're
	stop you again. Pule is the person who came to me after I	14	not asking him – well, are you asking him whether he denies
	had regained my conscious, the person who carrie to the arter r	16	the allegation that he's guilty of attending the illegal
	present on the mountain on the 16th and I said, yes, I was	17	gathering? Because would the question of mens rea not be
	here.	18	relevant? So I'm not sure if you can put to him as boldly
10 I 19	MR NGALWANA: That's not really dealing	10	
	with my question.	20	as you do that he can't deny the allegation. MR NGALWANA: That's fine, Chair. I'll
20 V 21	MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, I do not wish	20	move on. So if I understand you correctly, you're saying
	o intervene. My memory is that the admission is in the	21	you were not denying that you were at the koppie on the 16th
	singular and not in the plural.	22	of August 2012. Is that correct?
23 S 24	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos is correct. On	23 24	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.
	bage 1576(B) of exhibit EEE2 he says, "I don't deny the	24 25	MR MAGIDIWANA: Tes. MR NGALWANA: Shall I take it then that
20 F	age 1370(b) of exhibit LEEZ he says, Tuont using the	23	
	Page 6392		Page 6394
1 a	allegation against me", in the singular. It looks like	1	you did not deny - and I just want to hear your answer -
2 5	singular.	2	you do not deny the allegation of illegal gathering?
3	MR BIZOS SC: What is being put to him	3	CHAIRPERSON: How does he know it was an
	that he admitted the allegations. There are three	4	illegal gathering or not? He's not a lawyer.
	allegations, but the admission relates to a singular	5	MR NGALWANA: Do you know what the
6 8	allegation.	6	possession of a dangerous weapon is? Do you know what a
7	MR NGALWANA: Chairperson, perhaps my	7	dangerous weapon is?
	earned friend, Mr Bizos, is hard of hearing. I am	8	MR MAGIDIWANA: There Pule questioned me
9 á	adopting –	9	about a firearm and my response was that I have never had a
10	CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, don't make personal	10	firearm. I don't even know how to use it.
	remarks about counsel. It doesn't become you. It's not	11	MR NGALWANA: No, we're coming to the
	the behaviour we expect of good counsel, and I'm sure you	12	firearm. We're not there yet. What I'm asking you, you do
	strive to be a good counsel, so behave yourself and just	13	know that "incula" is a dangerous weapon, don't you?
	carry on in the normal way.	14	MR MAGIDIWANA: He had also explained
15	MR NGALWANA: Yes, no, I apologise. I	15	that, yes, and I said to him, I told him what it is that I
	apologise.	16	was in possession of. This is the one that I've repeatedly
17	MR BIZOS SC: It's alright, noted for	17	told you about.
	[inaudible].	18	MR NGALWANA: You do know that "incula"
19	MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Mr Bizos.	19	is a dangerous weapon, don't you?
	Chairperson, clearly the reason I'm taking the witness	20	MR MAGIDIWANA: Oh.
-	through each of the allegations is to ascertain which of	21	MR NGALWANA: Do you or don't you?
	these allegations he says he does not deny in the	22	"Incula" is a dangerous weapon. Do you dispute that?
	statement. I'm not suggesting that he says he did not deny	23	MR MAGIDIWANA: I have said, oh.
	all three of them. When you said you did not deny the	24	MR NGALWANA: What does that mean? Are
	allegation put against you, were you referring to public	25	you saying yes or no?
A 5	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE		

1	Page 6395 MR MAGIDIWANA: You are saying to me,	1	Page 6397 MR NGALWANA: The question is you knew
2	you're putting it to me that an "incula" is a dangerous	2	both that you had in your hand on the 16th "incula" and you
3	weapon, and my response to you is, yes, oh.	3	also knew that it was dangerous. Yes or no?
4	MR NGALWANA: So you are agreeing with	4	MR MAGIDIWANA: [Inaudible] then.
5	me?	5	MR NGALWANA: Please answer my question.
6	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.	6	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes, I'm answering your
7	MR NGALWANA: You do not deny that on the	7	question. I say, yes, I had it.
8	16th you had a "incula" at the koppie?	8	[12:39] MR NGALWANA: I know you had it. The
9	MR MAGIDIWANA: I've explained to you.	9	question is that you had knowledge of the fact when you did
10	MR NGALWANA: Yes, you said you'd	10	this statement at 1576(A) of exhibit EEE2, you had in your
11	borrowed it from someone who was sitting next to you, but	11	knowledge at that time that in your hand on the 16th of
12	you were in possession of it on the 16th of August at the	12	August you had "incula" and you also knew that it was a
13	koppie.	13	dangerous weapon.
14	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.	14	MR MAGIDIWANA: I had said yes to that
15	MR NGALWANA: Now, "incula" is a	15	question.
16	dangerous weapon. You were in possession of it on the 16th.	16	CHAIRPERSON: How long did you have it in
17	You knew that fact when you made the statement at 1576(A) -	17	your hand?
18	MR MPOFU: Chairperson, once again I	18	MR MAGIDIWANA: After the song that was
19	don't want to be pedantic or argue subtle issues of law,	19	being sung at the time, that was when I got rid of it.
20	but if the possession that is being discussed here is	20	CHAIRPERSON: Did you give it back to the
21	possession in the legal sense with animus possidendi and	21	person from whom you had taken it?
22	what not, then the question must be put clear as to whether	22	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes, I put it down where
23	he means possession in the legal sense of the word or the	23	he was seated because it belonged to him.
24	mere fact that the thing was physically in his hand.	24	CHAIRPERSON: How long did you have it in
25	MR NGALWANA: I'm not making any legal	25	your hand approximately?
	Page 6396		Page 6398
1	Page 6396 argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't	1	Page 6398 MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I
1 2	Page 6396 argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection.	1 2	5
	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't		MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I
2	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection.	2	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch.
2 3	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word	2 3	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an
2 3 4	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure	2 3 4	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour?
2 3 4 5	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal	2 3 4 5	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how
2 3 4 5 6	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context,	2 3 4 5 6	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because
2 3 4 5 6 7	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?" MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of possessing of a dangerous weapon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?" MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: You've already agreed with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of possessing of a dangerous weapon? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mr Chairperson, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?" MR NGALWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: You've already agreed with me that "incula" is a dangerous weapon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of possessing of a dangerous weapon? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mr Chairperson, the "incula" is in fact a dangerous weapon but the idea was, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?" MR NGALWANA: You've already agreed with me that "incula" is a dangerous weapon. MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of possessing of a dangerous weapon? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mr Chairperson, the "incula" is in fact a dangerous weapon but the idea was, I took it there because my hands had been tired, to enjoy the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?" MR NGALWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of possessing of a dangerous weapon? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mr Chairperson, the "incula" is in fact a dangerous weapon but the idea was, I took it there because my hands had been tired, to enjoy the song by clapping this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?" MR NGALWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of possessing of a dangerous weapon? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mr Chairperson, the "incula" is in fact a dangerous weapon but the idea was, I took it there because my hands had been tired, to enjoy the song by clapping this. CHAIRPERSON: You're not answering my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?" MR MGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of possessing of a dangerous weapon? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mr Chairperson, the "incula" is in fact a dangerous weapon but the idea was, I took it there because my hands had been tired, to enjoy the song by clapping this. CHAIRPERSON: You're not answering my question. Did you think that taking a weapon of this kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	argument, Chair. I'm just going with the facts. I don't understand the objection. CHAIRPERSON: You did use the word "possess" in the legal term of [inaudible] and I'm not sure that the witness knows what the word "possess" in a legal context necessarily means, or not just a legal context, this particular legal context, because it has different meanings depending on the different contexts, so the point, the objection really, I think is that you're putting a question to the witness which is not a fair question because you're assuming knowledge which you can't expect him to have. If you spell it out in detail it might be different, but I think that the point has to be upheld. MR NGALWANA: Mr Magidiwana – I was using shorthand. Mr Magidiwana, you cannot dispute, and you do not, in fact, dispute that you had in your hand on the 16th of August at the koppie at some stage "incula?" MR NGALWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MAGIDIWANA: I would not know, Sir. I don't know how long the minute is, I did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: A few minutes, half an hour, an hour? MR MAGIDIWANA: It depends on how interesting the song is and how long it's extended because of the interest. CHAIRPERSON: Was it less than half an hour, approximately? It would have been a very long song if it went on for half an hour. MR MAGIDIWANA: That's why I'm saying I don't know how long it was. I did not estimate how long the song is going to take. I also did not have a watch. CHAIRPERSON: Did you think that being in possession of a – sorry, did you think that having in your hand an object of this kind for the duration of the song constituted a criminal offence, that you're guilty of possessing of a dangerous weapon? MR MAGIDIWANA: Mr Chairperson, the "incula" is in fact a dangerous weapon but the idea was, I took it there because my hands had been tired, to enjoy the song by clapping this. CHAIRPERSON: You're not answering my

Rustenburg

1	Page 6399	1	Page 6401
1	purposes, that that constituted a criminal offence?	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NGALWANA (CONTD.):
2	MR MAGIDIWANA: No, no I didn't think of	2	Mr Magidiwana, let me take you to the second attempt by
3	it, it never came to me. I never thought about it.	3	your group to reach what you call the main path that goes
4	MR NGALWANA: There is another reason -	4	to Nkaneng. Can I take you to slide 200 of exhibit L? The
5	I'm coming to the possession of the firearm in a moment.	5	police's version is that that is the formation that your
6	There is another reason that the police will advance for	6	group took, and that is the direction in which it was
7	you and your front group being dressed in the manner that	7	going. Are you disputing that?
8	they were on the 16th of August at least, that you were a	8	MR MAGIDIWANA: That is how we were
9	group of both committee members and the "makarapas" who	9	walking.
10	were over-nighting on the mountain and that a decision had	10	MR NGALWANA: Do you see the people
11	been taken by the strikers that the "makarapas" and the	11	scattering, it appears, as far as the bottom of the kraal,
12	committee members would sleep on the mountain overnight	12	the second kraal, the lower kraal, towards the 1,2,3, there
13	until their demands were met by the employer. Would you	13	are about three or four shacks there, I don't know how
14	care to comment?	14	many, there are a number of shacks at the bottom of the
15	MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know what you're	15	page. You see that?
16	talking about.	16	MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't see them.
17	MR NGALWANA: So you're denying it?	17	MR NGALWANA: Don't you see the scattered
18	MR MAGIDIWANA: Moreover, there's nothing	18	people at the bottom of the page, seemingly going towards
19	like that.	19	those, going in the direction of the bottom of the page
20	MR NGALWANA: You also had allegations of	20	towards a, well, towards the bottom of the page?
21	possession of unlicensed firearm at Wonderkop Hill	21	MR MAGIDIWANA: No, I cannot see them,
22	preferred, or investigated against you. You made a	22	Sir. Maybe you see them, but I don't see them.
23	statement to the police in that regard in which you denied	23	MR NGALWANA: We're looking at slide 200
24	the allegation against you. Now in chief it was suggested	24	of exhibit L.
25	that the second statement may be a correction of the first	25	MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, people who are clear
25	that the second statement may be a correction of the hist	25	
	Dogo (400		Dago (402
1	Page 6400	1	Page 6402
1	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about	1	here that I see are those who are walking towards that
2	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny."	2	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road.
	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness	2 3	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road,"
2 3 4	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired?	2 3 4	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little
2 3 4 5	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes,	2 3 4 5	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page?
2 3 4 5 6	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the	2 3 4 5 6	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.
2 3 4 5	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes,	2 3 4 5	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the
2 3 4 5 6	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the	2 3 4 5 6	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth.	2 3 4 5 6 7	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly. MR MAHLANGU: His response is that "I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, what I'm saying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly. MR MAHLANGU: His response is that "I would appreciate it."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, what I'm saying to you is I was going to Nkaneng and there were people who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly. MR MAHLANGU: His response is that "I would appreciate it." CHAIRPERSON: We'll adjourn until 1:30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, what I'm saying to you is I was going to Nkaneng and there were people who were following behind me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly. MR MAHLANGU: His response is that "I would appreciate it." CHAIRPERSON: We'll adjourn until 1:30. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, what I'm saying to you is I was going to Nkaneng and there were people who were following behind me. MR NGALWANA: Yes, you've told us that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly. MR MAHLANGU: His response is that "I would appreciate it." CHAIRPERSON: We'll adjourn until 1:30. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:33] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, what I'm saying to you is I was going to Nkaneng and there were people who were following behind me. MR NGALWANA: Yes, you've told us that. I'm making a different point. Can you dispute that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly. MR MAHLANGU: His response is that "I would appreciate it." CHAIRPERSON: We'll adjourn until 1:30. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:33] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr Magidiwana, you're still under oath. Mr Ngalwana, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, what I'm saying to you is I was going to Nkaneng and there were people who were following behind me. MR NGALWANA: Yes, you've told us that. I'm making a different point. Can you dispute that the people on the outside of the U-shaped golden arrow are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly. MR MAHLANGU: His response is that "I would appreciate it." CHAIRPERSON: We'll adjourn until 1:30. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:33] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, what I'm saying to you is I was going to Nkaneng and there were people who were following behind me. MR NGALWANA: Yes, you've told us that. I'm making a different point. Can you dispute that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because they seem to be contradictory, the one talks about "I do not deny" and the other one says "I deny." CHAIRPERSON: I notice that the witness has his head down. Are you tired? MR MAGIDIWANA: I am in fact tired, yes, because what the counsellor is asking is just not the truth. CHAIRPERSON: That's not a reason to be tired. You've been in the witness box quite a long time. If you're tired and you're not able to focus properly on the questions and the answers then I can adjourn until 1:30 to enable you to recover. Alternatively, if your not able to answer the questions and you're happy, that you're not unable to answer the questions and you're happy to carry on, we can carry on until 1 o'clock, but I did notice that you hung your head and you looked as if you were not coping properly. MR MAHLANGU: His response is that "I would appreciate it." CHAIRPERSON: We'll adjourn until 1:30. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [13:33] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Mr Magidiwana, you're still under oath. Mr Ngalwana, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here that I see are those who are walking towards that road. MR NGALWANA: When you say "that road," are you talking about the road that runs past the little kraal towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes. MR NGALWANA: Do you also see on the curve of that sort of horseshoe-shaped arrow, at the bottom of it, in other words where the arrow curves, do you see that there are people scattered there? MR MAGIDIWANA: No, then maybe I don't see properly then. MR NGALWANA: Would you be in the position to dispute, or will you dispute if I say to you that the police say that those are people busy dispersing in directions other than the direction that your group is taking? MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, what I'm saying to you is I was going to Nkaneng and there were people who were following behind me. MR NGALWANA: Yes, you've told us that. I'm making a different point. Can you dispute that the people on the outside of the U-shaped golden arrow are

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

FOR JUSTICE

RCHIVE

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

	Page 6403		Page 6405
1	MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people who are at	1	you –
2	the back, they are going to the place where we are going.	2	MR MAGIDIWANA: Don't say then that I was
3	MR NGALWANA: Will you dispute when the	3	in front if that, only to find that you understand it.
4	police say those people are not following your group, but	4	MR NGALWANA: You were in the front
5	are scattering in other directions?	5	group, were you not?
6	MR MAGIDIWANA: Maybe you think, Sir,	6	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes, it is like that.
7	that now I trust a police officer.	7	MR NGALWANA: If you are in the front
8	MR NGALWANA: Whether you trust the	8	group, how would you know that the people on the outside of
9	police officer or not is besides the point. They will be	9	the circle towards the bottom of the page and those on the
10	making, giving evidence under oath, and if they give	10	left-hand side of the page were following your direction?
11	evidence under oath and say these people were scattering in	11	MR MAGIDIWANA: We all ran away and we
12	other directions than the one that your group is following,	12	all went back.
13	would you be able to dispute that?	13	MR NGALWANA: Come now, Mr Magidiwana.
14	MR MAGIDIWANA: There is no such, Sir.	14	If you are in the front group there is no way of knowing
15	CHAIRPERSON: I take it that means you'll	15	what people behind you are doing.
16	dispute their evidence.	16	MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, we all ran away and
17	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.	17	all returned, which means went back, and our intention was
18	MR NGALWANA: Now when you took that	18	to go back to that road, or the path that we all wanted to
19	approach, going towards where the police barbed wire was	19	access, the one that I also wanted to access so that I
20	being deployed, is that where the water cannons and tear	20	could get home.
21	smoke was used by the police?	21	MR NGALWANA: The front group, of which
22	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.	22	you were one, comprised some 200 people. Will you dispute
23	MR NGALWANA: When that happened, why did	23	that?
24	you not change direction and walk away from where the tear	24	MR MAGIDIWANA: I never counted the
25	smoke and the water cannon was coming?	25	people.
	Page 6404		Page 6406
1	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one.	1	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every
2	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back	2	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived?
	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you		MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we
2	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would	2	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying.
2	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the	2	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to
2 3 4	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following	2 3 4	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in
2 3 4 5	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going?	2 3 4 5	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I can see them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR NGALWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NGALWANA:Did you know each and everyone of those 200-odd people, where they lived?MR MAGIDIWANA:I'm also sure that as weare here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying.MR NGALWANA:So if evidence were led tosay that many of the people in that group did not live inNkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Notthe majority, many, or some of them.MR MAGIDIWANA:If you say that peoplewill lose lives and then you have to run away, are yougoing to run to the veld or are you going to run to anearer place where other people are staying?MR NGALWANA:You cannot dispute, canyou, that some of the people in the front group did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people ran away and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those people and even those who stay in Nkaneng, I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people ran away and then came back because they wanted to pass through the way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those people and even those who stay in Nkaneng, I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR NGALWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people ran away and then came back because they wanted to pass through the way in which we also wanted to pass.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those people and even those who stay in Nkaneng, I don't know where exactly they are staying, but what I know is that all those people were on their way to Nkaneng.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people ran away and then came back because they wanted to pass through the way in which we also wanted to pass. MR NGALWANA: We know, both because you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those people and even those who stay in Nkaneng, I don't know where exactly they are staying, but what I know is that all those people were on their way to Nkaneng. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And you could see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people ran away and then came back because they wanted to pass through the way in which we also wanted to pass. MR NGALWANA: We know, both because you told us and from slide 206, that you were towards the front	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those people and even those who stay in Nkaneng, I don't know where exactly they are staying, but what I know is that all those people were on their way to Nkaneng. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And you could see that from where you were?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR NGALWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people ran away and then came back because they wanted to pass through the way in which we also wanted to pass. MR NGALWANA: We know, both because you told us and from slide 206, that you were towards the front of the group. So how would you know what -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those people and even those who stay in Nkaneng, I don't know where exactly they are staying, but what I know is that all those people were on their way to Nkaneng. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And you could see that from where you were? MR MAGIDIWANA: I know that as we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR MAGIDIWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people ran away and then came back because they wanted to pass through the way in which we also wanted to pass. MR NGALWANA: We know, both because you told us and from slide 206, that you were towards the front of the group. So how would you know what - MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, I was not in front.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those people and even those who stay in Nkaneng, I don't know where exactly they are staying, but what I know is that all those people were on their way to Nkaneng. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And you could see that from where you were? MR MAGIDIWANA: I know that as we were walking that we were on our way to Nkaneng.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MAGIDIWANA: We did take another one. MR NGALWANA: Yes, but then you came back in the same direction, as depicted in slide 205. Do you now see a scattering of people outside the circle? Would you still persist that the people on the outside of the circle, more towards the bottom of the page, are following your group in the direction in which you are going? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm still saying that, Sir. MR NGALWANA: You will see that there are people still outside that U-curve on the left-hand side of that U-curve, further from the kraal. Can you see that? MR NGALWANA: I can see them. MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that those people at the time were headed in a northerly direction, sort of towards the left of the page? MR MAGIDIWANA: Those people ran away and then came back because they wanted to pass through the way in which we also wanted to pass. MR NGALWANA: We know, both because you told us and from slide 206, that you were towards the front of the group. So how would you know what -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NGALWANA: Did you know each and every one of those 200-odd people, where they lived? MR MAGIDIWANA: I'm also sure that as we are here, you don't know as to where all of us are staying. MR NGALWANA: So if evidence were led to say that many of the people in that group did not live in Nkaneng, would you be in a position to dispute that? Not the majority, many, or some of them. MR MAGIDIWANA: If you say that people will lose lives and then you have to run away, are you going to run to the veld or are you going to run to a nearer place where other people are staying? MR NGALWANA: You cannot dispute, can you, that some of the people in the front group did not live in Nkaneng, can you? MR MAGIDIWANA: I don't know all those people and even those who stay in Nkaneng, I don't know where exactly they are staying, but what I know is that all those people were on their way to Nkaneng. COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And you could see that from where you were? MR MAGIDIWANA: I know that as we were

		r	
	Page 6407		Page 6409
1	statement to the police in relation to allegations of	1	statement, to obtain a statement from me, and I told him
2	possession of unlicensed firearm at Wonderkop Hill on 16	2	that I cannot make a statement to him in the absence of my
3	August 2012.	3	attorney and he then forced me, or insisted.
4	MR HANABE: You say he made allegations?	4	MR NGALWANA: Yes, eventually you did
5	MR NGALWANA: No, he made a statement in	5	make the statement to Captain Pule, in which you denied the
6	relation to allegations pertaining to possession of	6	allegation against you regarding the possession of an
7	unlicensed firearm at Wonderkop Hill on 16 August 2012.	7	unlicensed firearm.
8	MR MAGIDIWANA: I was never found in	8	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes.
9	possession of a firearm.	9	MR NGALWANA: And you got the impression
10	MR NGALWANA: Yes, in that statement you	10	 now if you got the impression that he believed you, why
11	denied the allegation.	11	would he seek to implicate you? Sorry, that's not a
12	MR MAGIDIWANA: And yet I was never found	12	correct question to ask, Chair. I withdraw that. If you
13	in possession of a firearm.	13	got the impression that Captain Pule believed you in
14	MR NGALWANA: Well, the police will lead	14	relation to the unlicensed firearm, why would he seek to
15	evidence that you were indeed found with an unlicensed	15	implicate you in relation to the other three allegations?
16	firearm.	16	CHAIRPERSON: I don't think that's a
17	MR MAGIDIWANA: They must also show those	17	question that he can be expected to answer. He doesn't
18	videos that they say they had.	18	know what motive the captain had for doing what he says the
19	MR NGALWANA: You said in your evidence-	19	captain did. I don't know that that's an appropriate
20	in-chief that when you told – if I remember correctly –	20	question to ask the witness. I assume it can be argued
21	when you told Captain Pule this, he believed, you got the	21	obviously at the end of the day, but I don't see how you
22	impression that he believed you.	22	can expect the witness to answer the question. You'll ask
23	MR MAGIDIWANA: Yes, because what he said	23	him to speculate. What will the value of his speculation
24	was that this was also confusing to him because all these	24 25	be?
25	things that are brought by the police in fact are not the	25	MR NGALWANA: Thank you, Chair. Let me
	Page 6408		Page 6410
1	Page 6408 same things.	1	Page 6410 take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage
1 2		1 2	
	same things.	_	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage
2	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to –	2	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may
2 3	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it	2 3	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not
2 3 4	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule	2 3 4	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4?
2 3 4 5	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of	2 3 4 5	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA
2 3 4 5 6	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to	2 3 4 5 6	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre."
2 3 4 5 6 7	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told	2 3 4 5 6 7	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as crisply as that to the witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a matter of caution the Chairperson could issue a warning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as crisply as that to the witness. MR NGALWANA: Alright. If you got the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a matter of caution the Chairperson could issue a warning. CHAIRPERSON: I'm told that a video clip
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as crisply as that to the witness. MR NGALWANA: Alright. If you got the impression that Captain Pule believed you when you told him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a matter of caution the Chairperson could issue a warning. CHAIRPERSON: I'm told that a video clip is going to be shown which may contain the pictures of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as crisply as that to the witness. MR NGALWANA: Alright. If you got the impression that Captain Pule believed you when you told him that you were not carrying a firearm, did he take the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a matter of caution the Chairperson could issue a warning. CHAIRPERSON: I'm told that a video clip is going to be shown which may contain the pictures of the deceased who were killed on the 16th of August, and if there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as crisply as that to the witness. MR NGALWANA: Alright. If you got the impression that Captain Pule believed you when you told him that you were not carrying a firearm, did he take the statement – let me start that way. You made the statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a matter of caution the Chairperson could issue a warning. CHAIRPERSON: I'm told that a video clip is going to be shown which may contain the pictures of the deceased who were killed on the 16th of August, and if there are any people present in the auditorium today who were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as crisply as that to the witness. MR NGALWANA: Alright. If you got the impression that Captain Pule believed you when you told him that you were not carrying a firearm, did he take the statement – let me start that way. You made the statement before Captain Pule, not so, relating to the possession of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a matter of caution the Chairperson could issue a warning. CHAIRPERSON: I'm told that a video clip is going to be shown which may contain the pictures of the deceased who were killed on the 16th of August, and if there are any people present in the auditorium today who were related to those people or were among their loved ones and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as crisply as that to the witness. MR NGALWANA: Alright. If you got the impression that Captain Pule believed you when you told him that you were not carrying a firearm, did he take the statement – let me start that way. You made the statement before Captain Pule, not so, relating to the possession of a firearm, unlicensed firearm, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a matter of caution the Chairperson could issue a warning. CHAIRPERSON: I'm told that a video clip is going to be shown which may contain the pictures of the deceased who were killed on the 16th of August, and if there are any people present in the auditorium today who were related to those people or were among their loved ones and they think that looking at the video clip, if the pictures
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	same things. [13:53] MR NGALWANA: Was that in relation to – when you say you got the impression that Captain – is it Captain? - that Pule believed you, that Captain Pule believed you, were you referring to the charge of possession of unlicensed firearm, or were you referring to the allegations in relation to public violence, illegal gathering, and possession of dangerous weapons? MR MAGIDIWANA: He believed after he told me about the firearm and I explained to him that in fact what I was in possession of was a stick. MR NGALWANA: Now we know, as you have conceded, that that was not entirely correct because at some stage you were carrying a – at the koppie – CHAIRPERSON: I thought we had a debate about what "in possession of" means in relation to merely having it, so I'm not sure that you could put it quite as crisply as that to the witness. MR NGALWANA: Alright. If you got the impression that Captain Pule believed you when you told him that you were not carrying a firearm, did he take the statement – let me start that way. You made the statement before Captain Pule, not so, relating to the possession of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	take you back to the third approach. I believe the footage is EEE3 point something. EEE3.4. Just for certainty, may I ask one of the evidence leaders to confirm whether or not that is the video taken from the Reuters camera, EEE3.4? MS PILLAY: Chair, EEE3.4 is the ENCA footage, "SA seeks to understand Marikana massacre." CHAIRPERSON: I think, as far as I can recall, as Adv Hemraj reminds me, it in fact is a compilation which contains footage from various media houses, I think. Is that correct? MS PILLAY: The Reuters footage is UU3. I don't know if that's the one you wanted. MR NGALWANA: That's the one I'm looking for. Thank you. UU3 footage, may I ask the technicians to play UU3, please? I'm not sure if there needs to be a warning to be issued because certainly the part I want to show does not show any deceased persons. Perhaps as a matter of caution the Chairperson could issue a warning. CHAIRPERSON: I'm told that a video clip is going to be shown which may contain the pictures of the deceased who were killed on the 16th of August, and if there are any people present in the auditorium today who were related to those people or were among their loved ones and

1 I give them the opportunity to leave the auditorium and I 1 towards them, but we see policemen, as far as the two 2 ask that the clip not be shown until two minutes have 2 behind are concerned, are shooting without anyone being 3 elapsed after what I've just said has been interpreted. 3 shown as the victim, or the people – 4 [VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED] 4 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, that's a point 5 MR NGALWANA: Can you dispute - and we'll 5 you can make later in argument. The question asked by Mr 6 play it again if we have to – can you dispute that the 6 Ngalwana is a more focussed question, simply is, do you se 7 police who are firing are retreating? Do you want to see 7 policemen moving backwards while they're shooting, and de 8 the video again? 9 MR MAGIDIWANA: What is the reason for 9 and do you see it on this clip. Once he's given his 11 MR NGALWANA: Well, it appears because 11 think Mr Ngalwana must move to the next point because th 12 them fortar group is charging at them, is running towards 12 clip speaks for itself. What one can see on it may be a 13 them. Can we play the footage again, please?
3 elapsed after what I've just said has been interpreted. 3 shown as the victim, or the people – 4 [VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED] 4 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, that's a point 5 MR NGALWANA: Can you dispute - and we'll 5 you can make later in argument. The question asked by Mr 6 play it again if we have to – can you dispute that the 7 Ngalwana is a more focussed question, simply is, do you se 7 police who are firing are retreating? Do you want to see 7 policemen moving backwards while they're shooting, and de 8 the video again? 9 MR MAGIDIWANA: What is the reason for 9 and do you see it on this clip. Once he's given his 10 them to retreat, Sir? 10 answer, which I suspect I know what it will be, then I 11 MR NGALWANA: Well, it appears because 11 think Mr Ngalwana must move to the next point because th 12 the front group is charging at them, is running towards 12 clip speaks for itself. What one can see on it may be a 13 them. Can we play the footage again, please? 13 subject matter for argument, but there's no point in 14 [VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED] 14 debating the point any
4[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]4CHAIRPERSON:Mr Bizos, that's a point5MR NGALWANA:Can you dispute - and we'll5you can make later in argument. The question asked by Mr6play it again if we have to - can you dispute that the6Ngalwana is a more focussed question, simply is, do you see7police who are firing are retreating? Do you want to see7policemen moving backwards while they're shooting, and do8the video again?9MR MAGIDIWANA:What is the reason for99MR MAGIDIWANA:What is the reason for9and do you see it on this clip. Once he's given his10them to retreat, Sir?10answer, which I suspect I know what it will be, then I11MR NGALWANA:Well, it appears because1112the front group is charging at them, is running towards12clip speaks for itself. What one can see on it may be a13them. Can we play the footage again, please?13subject matter for argument, but there's no point in14[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]14debating the point any further with the witness, but his15MR MAGIDIWANA:If you can.17MR MAGIDIWANA:18MR AGIDIWANA:If you can.17MR MAGIDIWANA:19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?2
5MR NGALWANA: play it again if we have to - can you dispute + and we'll police who are firing are retreating? Do you want to see police who are firing are retreating? Do you want to see mode again?5you can make later in argument. The question asked by Mr Ngalwana is a more focussed question, simply is, do you see policemen moving backwards while they're shooting, and do you see protesters moving forward. That's the question, and do you see it on this clip. Once he's given his9MR MAGIDIWANA: MR MAGIDIWANA:What is the reason for What is the reason for 109and do you see it on this clip. Once he's given his answer, which I suspect I know what it will be, then I their font group is charging at them, is running towards 1311think Mr Ngalwana must move to the next point because th the front group is charging at them, is running towards subject matter for argument, but there's no point in 1412clip speaks for itself. What one can see on it may be a subject matter for argument, but there's no point in the what me are subject matter for argument, but there's no point in the what MAGIDIWANA: the what we are the seeing?13wull expect will now be able to answer it.17MR NGALWANA: MR MAGIDIWANA: what is the policemen that are 2017MR MPOFU: MR MAGIDIWANA: Do you see that person to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally, about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the to split hairs, bat whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
6play it again if we have to – can you dispute that the police who are firing are retreating? Do you want to see6Ngalwana is a more focussed question, simply is, do you see7police who are firing are retreating? Do you want to see7policemen moving backwards while they're shooting, and do8the video again?8you see protesters moving forward. That's the question,9MR MAGIDIWANA:What is the reason for910them to retreat, Sir?10answer, which I suspect I know what it will be, then I11MR NGALWANA:Well, it appears because1112the front group is charging at them, is running towards1213them. Can we play the footage again, please?1314[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]1415MR MAGIDIWANA:Can I dispute what we are16seeing?1417MR NGALWANA:If you can.18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person19who's fallen as to where he's facing?1420MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are21shooting at the crowd retreating?2121shooting at the crowd retreating?21
7police who are firing are retreating? Do you want to see7policemen moving backwards while they're shooting, and do8the video again?9MR MAGIDIWANA: What is the reason for8you see protesters moving forward. That's the question, and do you see it on this clip. Once he's given his10them to retreat, Sir?10answer, which I suspect I know what it will be, then I11MR NGALWANA: Well, it appears because11think Mr Ngalwana must move to the next point because th12the front group is charging at them, is running towards12clip speaks for itself. What one can see on it may be a13them. Can we play the footage again, please?13subject matter for argument, but there's no point in14[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]14debating the point any further with the witness, but his15MR MAGIDIWANA: Can I dispute what we are15question at the moment is what I've said it was and the16seeing?14MR MOGIDIWANA: Do you see that person1718MR MAGIDIWANA: If you can.17MR MPOFU: Chairperson, not one who wants19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA: Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
8the video again?9MR MAGIDIWANA:What is the reason for8you see protesters moving forward. That's the question,9MR MAGIDIWANA:What is the reason for9and do you see it on this clip. Once he's given his10them to retreat, Sir?10answer, which I suspect I know what it will be, then I11MR NGALWANA:Well, it appears because11think Mr Ngalwana must move to the next point because th12the front group is charging at them, is running towards12clip speaks for itself. What one can see on it may be a13them. Can we play the footage again, please?13subject matter for argument, but there's no point in14[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]14debating the point any further with the witness, but his15MR MAGIDIWANA:Can I dispute what we are15question at the moment is what I've said it was and the16seeing?17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MPOFU:Chairperson, not one who wants18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
9MR MAGIDIWANA:What is the reason for them to retreat, Sir?9and do you see it on this clip.Once he's given his10them to retreat, Sir?10answer, which I suspect I know what it will be, then I11MR NGALWANA:Well, it appears because11think Mr Ngalwana must move to the next point because th12the front group is charging at them, is running towards12clip speaks for itself.What one can see on it may be a13them. Can we play the footage again, please?13subject matter for argument, but there's no point in14[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]14debating the point any further with the witness, but his15MR MAGIDIWANA:Can I dispute what we are15question at the moment is what I've said it was and the16seeing?17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MPOFU:Chairperson, not one who wants18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
10them to retreat, Sir?10answer, which I suspect I know what it will be, then I11MR NGALWANA:Well, it appears because11think Mr Ngalwana must move to the next point because th12the front group is charging at them, is running towards12clip speaks for itself. What one can see on it may be a13them. Can we play the footage again, please?13subject matter for argument, but there's no point in14[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]14debating the point any further with the witness, but his15MR MAGIDIWANA:Can I dispute what we are15question at the moment is what I've said it was and the16seeing?16witness I would expect will now be able to answer it.17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MPOFU:Chairperson, not one who wants18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
11MR NGALWANA:Well, it appears because11think Mr Ngalwana must move to the next point because th12the front group is charging at them, is running towards12clip speaks for itself.What one can see on it may be a13them. Can we play the footage again, please?13subject matter for argument, but there's no point in14[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]14debating the point any further with the witness, but his15MR MAGIDIWANA:Can I dispute what we are1516seeing?16witness I would expect will now be able to answer it.17MR NGALWANA:If you can.1718MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
12the front group is charging at them, is running towards them. Can we play the footage again, please? [VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]12clip speaks for itself. What one can see on it may be a subject matter for argument, but there's no point in debating the point any further with the witness, but his question at the moment is what I've said it was and the witness I would expect will now be able to answer it.16seeing?16witness I would expect will now be able to answer it.17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are shooting at the crowd retreating?18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the Chairperson is in this context totally different to the previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally, about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
13them. Can we play the footage again, please?13subject matter for argument, but there's no point in14[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]14debating the point any further with the witness, but his15MR MAGIDIWANA:Can I dispute what we are15question at the moment is what I've said it was and the16seeing?16witness I would expect will now be able to answer it.17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MAGIDIWANA:18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?14Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
14[VIDEO RECORDING PLAYED]14debating the point any further with the witness, but his15MR MAGIDIWANA:Can I dispute what we are15question at the moment is what I've said it was and the16seeing?16witness I would expect will now be able to answer it.17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
15MR MAGIDIWANA:Can I dispute what we are15question at the moment is what I've said it was and the16seeing?16witness I would expect will now be able to answer it.17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MPOFU:Chairperson, not one who wants18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
16seeing?16witness I would expect will now be able to answer it.17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MPOFU:Chairperson, not one who wants18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
17MR NGALWANA:If you can.17MR MPOFU:Chairperson, not one who wants18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
18MR MAGIDIWANA:Do you see that person18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the19who's fallen as to where he's facing?18to split hairs, but that question as posed now by the20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally,21shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
19who's fallen as to where he's facing?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the20MR NGALWANA: Is the policemen that are 21 shooting at the crowd retreating?19Chairperson is in this context totally different to the 2021shooting at the crowd retreating?21about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
20MR NGALWANA:Is the policemen that are policemen that are20previous question posed by the Chairperson, incidentally, about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the21shooting at the crowd retreating?21
21 shooting at the crowd retreating?21 about whether, as reformulated now to say do you see the
22 MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, these police 22 strikers moving forward, which he was answering to the
23 officers are shooting and this person is falling and as 23 question earlier posed by the question, do you see them
24 this person is falling, he is facing towards the road. His 24 moving towards the police, and –
25 head is facing the direction which he intended to take. 25 CHAIRPERSON: I thought it was amounting
Page 6412 Page 641
1 CHAIRPERSON: You didn't answer the 1 to the same thing, but I understand your point. It may
2 question. What you were asked was, do you agree that what 2 well be something different, so let's reformulate the
3 one can see on the screen is policemen moving backwards and 3 question. Let's pose the question the way I put it
4 strikers moving forward towards them? Can you see that? 4 originally. On the clip, do you see protesters moving
5 That's the question. 5 towards the police, and do you see policemen moving
6 MR MAGIDIWANA: Sir, that is not true. 6 backwards?
7 The police officers, the ones who are shooting and they 7 MR MAGIDIWANA: In this clip we never
8 blocked the road, whereas people wanted to go to Nkaneng. 8 even reached the road. If the police then had shot us
9 CHAIRPERSON: Do I understand that answer 9 after in fact we had crossed, or passed that road, then I
10to mean that you do not agree that this clip shows10would say then they are telling the truth. So what this
11 policemen moving backwards and strikers moving forwards 11 man is saying, I'm still saying it that it is not true.
12towards them?12CHAIRPERSON:Mr Magidiwana, do me a
13MR MAGIDIWANA:People were not walking13favour, don't bang the table in front of you with your
14 towards, to the police.14 hand. That's the first point. The second point is, you
15CHAIRPERSON:We have his answer, Mr15still haven't answered the question. The question, it may
16 Ngalwana. It's pointless taking it any further. 16 well be, to be fair, that you don't understand the question
17 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: No, no, Nr 17 as posed, so I'll ask Mr Ngalwana to put it to you again,
18 Interpreter, you must interpret this clip. You didn't 18 so please listen carefully to the question. I know you
19 interpret that part. I don't agree with that – 19 want to make points about what you see on the video.
20 MR HANABE: Oh, this clip? 20 You've already discussed it in your evidence. Your counsel
21 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairperson, may I ask 21 will have an opportunity to argue it later, and he will
22 that we take note that there are two policemen behind the 22 also have an opportunity to cross-examine the policemen
23 car, shooting, and three policemen, at least two car 24 long the in front of them, also shooting, because we are
23car, shooting, and three policemen, at least two car23about it, but leave that to your counsel. Just answer the24lengths in front of them, also shooting, because we are24question that Mr Ngalwana is going to ask you, and no more25going to submit that we do not see any protesters coming25MR NGALWANA:I'm sorry, Sir, for making

1	Page 6415 you angry. I'm doing my job. I'm going to ask the	1	that in mind for the future	Page 6417
2	technicians to play the clip one last time. What I want	2	MR NGALWANA:	Thank you, Chair, I just
3	you to look out for are three things. The first is a	3	have one more –	mank you, onan, i just
4	policeman firing at the crowd, back-paddling, moving	4	CHAIRPERSON:	Perhaps this –
5	backwards. The second is a group of people charging	5	MR NGALWANA:	I did have one more clip,
	towards the police, in other words running towards that	6	but -	r did have one more clip,
6		_	CHAIRPERSON:	One more clin? Well when
7	policemen. The third is the line of cars, the position of	7		One more clip? Well, when
8	the line of cars in relation to the crowd that is running	8	you say one more clip, is it	
9	towards the police. Please, I want to be sure that you	9	likely to cause him distress	
10	understand that.	10	MR NGALWANA:	Yes, Chair.
11	MR MPOFU: Sorry, I'm sorry, sorry.	11	CHAIRPERSON:	Alright. Well, think about
12	Chairperson, could you please – I see that the witness	12	•	Ne'll resume on Monday morning at
13	looks like he's crying. If maybe the Chairperson could	13	10 o'clock.	
14	enquire if he's okay?	14	[COMMISSION ADJ	OURNEDJ
15	CHAIRPERSON: Your counsel says that he	15		
16	thinks that you're crying. Is that correct? Are you	16		
17	crying?	17		
18	[14:13] MR MAGIDIWANA: He must continue talking,	18		
19	the counsel.	19		
20	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, but we don't want	20		
21	to put you under undue stress and reduce you to tears by	21		
22	the questions that you're getting. Anyway, counsel will	22		
23	then proceed, but I'm sure he will do so gently. Mr	23		
24	Ngalwana, you know one can understand this was the incident	24		
25	in which he was very badly injured, nearly died, and his	25		
1	Page 6416			
1	comrades were killed. So it's something that watching it			
2	again and again, I'm sure causes him great distress and I			
3	can understand him being very moved to see it.			
4	MR NGALWANA: I understand that, Chair.			
5	Chair, if this is a proper time –			
6	CHAIRPERSON: I see he is still crying.			
7	I'm sure he's trying to be brave and I don't want to			
8	criticise him and suggest that there's anything wrong with			
9	his reaction to what he saw. We're not here to inflict			
10	torture and pain on people. I would have thought it might			
11	be appropriate for us to take the adjournment at this			
12	stage.			
13	MR NGALWANA: I agree, Chairperson.			
14	CHAIRPERSON: I see you're nodding your			
15	head, Mr Mpofu?			
16	MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson, I'm			
17	indebted to the Chairperson and Commissioners.			
18	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ngalwana, I don't know			
19	what the future holds as far as your cross-examination is			
20	concerned. You've shown the clip to him. With respect,			
21	I'm not sure that his reaction, what he sees or doesn't see			
22	can help us very much to answer the questions. Do you have			
23	any more clips of that kind to show him, because I can			
24	understand that it evokes a tremendous emotional turmoil			
	within him when he sees these clips. So I hope you'll bear			
A	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE			

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page 1
A	agreeing 6395:4	6363:20 6397:6	6379:20 6380:17	6350:21,22 6356:5,7
able 6333:20 6344:5	agrees 6365:6	6398:23 6413:22	6386:18 6391:16	6358:16,20 6362:22
	ahead 6341:4 6366:12	answers 6351:23	6393:2,3 6412:2	6362:24 6363:2,4,6,8
6351:12 6360:3	6384:7,16 6385:13,25	6362:15 6367:17	6413:5	6403:19
6371:10,16 6380:24	6386:14 6388:15	6368:25 6400:11	asking 6341:4 6345:16	basis 6362:17
6400:10,12 6403:13	6389:21	anymore 6381:14	6351:22 6361:16	bear 6416:25
6413:16	Al 6352:24	6383:14	6371:25 6372:19	began 6346:9 6387:11
absence 6409:2	albeit 6391:1	anyway 6360:17	6379:2,11 6386:12	beginning 6337:5
accept 6337:23 6349:18	allegation 6356:22	6415:22	6393:15,15 6394:12	6345:23,24 6367:25
6373:24	6366:7 6389:20	apologise 6392:15,16	6400:6	behave 6392:13
access 6341:20,22	6391:7,13 6392:1,6	apparently 6382:16	aspect 6364:16	behaviour 6392:12
6342:23 6343:2	6392:25 6393:9,16,19	appear 6353:14	aspect 0304.10 aspects 6385:3	beings 6341:5
6344:16,19,21,24	6394:2 6399:24	6380:17 6384:25	assaulted 6350:5	believe 6339:3 6374:15
6405:19,19	6407:11 6409:6	appeared 6389:23	assist 6333:22 6368:25	6410:1
accessed 6339:21		appears 6343:18	assume 6347:7,7	believed 6350:3 6377:9
6340:12 6341:18	allegations 6388:8			
accessible 6342:19	6391:10,11,12 6392:4	6356:12 6387:7	6360:6,15 6388:5 6409:20	6407:21,22 6408:4,5 6408:9,20 6409:10,13
6344:8	6392:5,21,22 6399:20	6388:9 6401:11		
accessing 6344:6	6407:1,4,6 6408:7	6411:11	assumes 6346:5	belonged 6397:23
6345:5	6409:15	appointed 6376:18,19	assuming 6383:24,24	best 6347:25
accommodate 6337:3	allege 6373:23	6376:21	6396:11	better 6367:21 6384:2
account 6389:8,14	alleged 6335:7 6356:13	appreciate 6400:19	attack 6389:6	beyond 6343:24 6346:2
accused 6390:1	6366:16	approach 6345:3	attempt 6353:7,11	6378:2
acknowledgement	allocated 6334:11	6353:6,11,16,16,17	6354:16 6355:12	big 6331:11,11,15,23
6391:9	allow 6350:9 6351:19	6353:20,21,22,23	6371:18 6401:2	bit 6347:3 6351:4
act 6382:9	6352:10 6364:19	6354:1,8,11,13,14	attending 6393:16	6354:7 6365:7,10
action 6382:13	6367:3	6355:24,24 6356:2,3	attitude 6386:14	6371:13 6385:12
add 6333:10	allowed 6349:24	6358:14 6361:22	attorney 6409:3	6386:6
added 6356:10 6357:4	6367:12	6362:1,7 6378:12	auditorium 6410:22	Bizos 6391:21,24
addressed 6359:9	allowing 6352:1	6403:19 6410:1	6411:1	6392:3,8,17,19
6360:25	alright 6335:15 6355:3	approached 6358:17	August 6332:16	6412:21 6413:4
adjourn 6400:11,20	6358:3 6374:17	6358:21 6360:23	6349:19 6367:22	blanket 6391:9
adjourned 6406:25	6379:5 6380:7	approaching 6386:10	6368:9 6371:8,9,12	blankets 6375:13
6417:14	6392:17 6408:19	6386:11 6387:20	6373:22 6374:23	blocked 6353:12
adjournment 6370:8	6415:20 6417:11	appropriate 6370:7	6378:6,9 6379:16,22	6412:8
6370:17,19,20	alternative 6352:9	6409:19 6416:11	6380:4 6381:7	blocking 6345:14
6416:11 6417:12	Alternatively 6400:12	approximate 6372:6	6382:18 6383:3,11,18	blue 6337:20
ADJOURNS 6370:21	ammunition 6350:10	approximately 6397:25	6384:6,13 6385:6,7,9	body 6369:4,11 6373:3
6400:21	amount 6347:9	6398:9	6385:9 6389:6,7,10	6375:6
admission 6391:22	amounting 6413:25	arc 6353:10	6389:16 6393:23	boldly 6393:18
6392:5	angle 6345:3	argue 6395:19 6414:21	6395:12 6396:17	borrowed 6395:11
admit 6336:7	angry 6415:1	argued 6362:16	6397:12 6399:8	bottom 6342:25 6343:5
admitted 6356:16	animus 6395:21	6409:20	6407:3,7 6410:21	6401:11,14,18,19,20
6392:4	annexure 6388:6	argument 6372:25	available 6378:16	6402:8 6404:6 6405:9
adopting 6392:9	announce 6370:17	6396:1 6413:5,13		bought 6381:21
Adv 6410:8	annoys 6348:20	arises 6358:14	B	box 6400:9
advance 6399:6	answer 6333:1 6343:12	arithmetic 6351:4	B 6337:7	brash 6387:13
advanced 6377:8	6345:8 6351:4,12	armed 6349:25 6350:9	back 6346:17 6348:9	brave 6416:7
advice 6378:19,21	6352:2,15 6355:22	6350:10 6352:22,23	6353:10 6359:8,18	bravery 6367:2
6388:21	6360:8 6362:16	6353:1 6367:7,10	6361:22 6362:7	breach 6354:17
advisors 6379:7	6367:14,19 6372:3,8	arms 6350:4 6355:23	6369:11,16 6373:20	6355:12
advocate 6367:17	6372:11,15,24,25	arrest 6347:25	6373:21 6375:3	bridge 6388:25
advocates 6339:11	6373:11,16,18	arrested 6351:8	6376:24 6389:22,23	Brigadier 6356:3
affect 6386:24	6378:17 6379:12	arrow 6402:8,9,23	6391:4 6397:20	brings 6346:24
afraid 6385:11	6380:3 6382:11,21	ascertain 6371:19	6403:2 6404:2,18	broad 6387:12
afternoon 6362:2,10,22	6383:8 6386:2,22	6372:6 6373:18	6405:12,17,18	broader 6369:1
6362:24 6370:17	6387:13,21 6394:1	6382:17 6385:8	6406:24 6410:1	broken 6345:20,22,24
age 6371:4 6372:6,6	6397:5 6400:13,14	6388:13 6392:21	backwards 6341:7	brought 6390:6
6373:19 6374:21	6409:17,22 6412:1,9	ascertaining 6371:4	6412:3,11 6413:7	6407:25
agitated 6348:17	6412:15 6413:10,16	6378:1,2	6414:6 6415:5	brutal 6382:13
6355:22	6414:23 6416:22	asked 6334:22 6336:19	back-paddling 6415:4	built 6338:18
agree 6364:4,16	answered 6354:22	6345:7 6349:5	bad 6339:9	bullet 6358:24 6359:25
6365:11,17,21 6412:2	6355:7 6373:10,14	6351:17,23 6352:8	badly 6415:25	bullets 6375:16,24
	6379:14 6380:18	6355:4 6357:11	ball 6338:7	busy 6353:5 6354:8
6412:10,19 6416:13	6414:15	6358:17 6363:24	bang 6414:13	6355:19 6402:15
agreed 6364:2 6365:1,4	answering 6341:19	6364:2,2 6369:13	barbed 6345:15	
6365:9,9 6396:19	6352:19 6355:5	6373:17 6378:15,23	6347:16 6349:3,3,7,9	С
ARCHIVE FO	R J U S T L C E			

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
Calitz 6356:3	6345:17 6361:18	6400:22 6417:14	6393:23 6408:13,24	dead 6351:8
call 6388:22 6401:3	6366:11 6391:21	COMMISSIONER	6409:12 6410:10	deal 6339:10 6348:16
called 6378:4 6380:20	Chairperson's 6386:3	6406:20 6412:17	6415:16	6352:11 6360:18
calling 6363:15	change 6403:24	Commissioners	corrected 6369:4	6385:3
camera 6410:4	changed 6380:12,13	6333:18 6345:18	6376:24	dealing 6391:19
camp 6364:11	charge 6408:5	6361:18 6416:17	correction 6358:18	debate 6334:12
cannon 6403:25	charged 6377:16	committee 6376:19,21	6399:25	6352:11 6360:17
cannons 6355:14	6390:11,14,17,21	6376:22 6399:9,12	correctly 6342:7	6408:15
6403:20	charges 6391:3	compilation 6410:9	6363:5 6386:21	debating 6413:14
can't 6332:14 6335:23	charging 6353:1	compiled 6360:7	6393:21 6407:20	deceased 6410:17,21
6340:20 6344:21	6411:12 6415:5	comprised 6405:22	counsel 6340:18	December 6369:25,25
6360:14 6374:21	chest 6367:21 6368:17	compulsion 6378:22	6343:17,25 6351:3,18	6371:12,20 6374:23
6375:18 6386:8,9	6368:21 6369:2,15,17	comparison 0578.22 comrades 6416:1	6351:19 6355:6	decided 6355:1
6393:19 6396:11	6369:18	conceded 6408:13	6371:25 6373:10	decision 6349:16
capable 6331:21	chief 6349:5 6388:12	conceding 6343:19	6385:18,19 6386:15	6399:10
6334:19 6335:13	6399:24	concentrating 6346:15	6386:16,17 6388:11	define 6339:13
6336:25 6341:5,12	child 6376:13	concerned 6364:24	6392:11,12,13	definition 6337:16
Cape 6369:22	chose 6349:10	6366:7 6413:2	6414:20,23 6415:15	deliberately 6364:18
				÷
captain 6407:21 6408:3	chosen 6367:2	6416:20	6415:19,22	demands 6399:13
6408:4,4,20,23	circle 6404:4,6 6405:9	concerns 6387:20	counsellor 6386:25	denied 6365:22 6388:7
6409:5,13,18,19	clapping 6398:22	conclusion 6375:2	6400:6	6391:9 6399:23
car 6335:23 6336:23	clarify 6361:25	condition 6331:18	counted 6405:24	6407:11 6409:5
6343:17,24 6344:12	clarity 6361:20	conducted 6334:20	couple 6341:7 6365:19	denies 6393:15
6412:23,23	6362:12	confine 6355:5	course 6339:23 6347:1	deny 6355:16 6366:2
card 6381:10	clear 6336:6 6344:25	confirm 6410:3	6375:1 6385:7 6391:8	6368:3,4 6379:19
care 6359:15 6389:16	6347:5 6354:12	confirms 6372:18	cover 6354:1 6385:7	6391:7,11,13,25
6399:14	6361:8 6367:15	confront 6377:2	covered 6374:2	6392:22,23,24 6393:9
careful 6353:3	6385:5 6395:22	confrontation 6376:2	criminal 6382:8	6393:19 6394:1,2
carefully 6414:18	6401:25	6382:19	6389:19 6398:17	6395:7 6400:2,2
carry 6341:7 6354:11	clearer 6371:13	confused 6385:12	6399:1	denying 6368:16
6361:7 6385:23	clearly 6349:8 6352:25	confusing 6407:24	crisply 6408:18	6393:22 6399:17
6392:14 6400:14,15	6392:20	confusion 6358:9	criticise 6416:8	depend 6351:23
carrying 6408:14,21	clip 6352:24,24,25	6362:12,18	crossed 6414:9	depending 6396:8
cars 6336:24 6339:24	6353:3,17,21 6410:19	congregated 6337:19	cross-examination	depends 6360:5 6398:5
6343:16,20 6344:1,9	6410:24 6411:2	conscious 6391:16	6331:6,7 6354:9	depicted 6344:1,9
6415:7,8	6412:10,18,20 6413:9	consent 6388:17,19	6361:21 6371:1	6404:3
case 6347:5 6353:10,20	6413:12 6414:4,7	consented 6374:19	6401:1 6416:19	deploy 6347:6,14
6372:23 6390:13,13	6415:2 6416:20	consider 6385:2	cross-examine 6360:11	6356:6 6358:16
cases 6390:10	6417:5,7,8,8	consistent 6374:25	6414:22	6362:23
cattle 6338:19	clips 6416:23,25	constituted 6398:17	cross-examining	deployed 6345:9,11,15
cause 6371:23 6383:25	close 6343:20 6345:19	6399:1	6370:24 6400:24	6346:25 6347:9,19
6383:25 6410:25	6348:8 6353:11	consult 6378:12	crowd 6349:6 6360:25	6349:3,7,10,12
6417:9	closed 6377:13	contain 6410:20	6375:17 6411:21	6350:22,23 6356:24
caused 6382:8	closer 6344:8	contains 6410:9	6415:4,8	6403:20
causes 6416:2	closing 6348:4 6349:4	CONTD 6331:7 6371:1	crying 6415:13,16,17	deploying 6345:23
causing 6354:24	6355:19	6401:1	6416:6	6346:9 6347:16,17
caution 6410:18	clothing 6375:13	context 6354:7 6396:6	cursor 6335:18	6349:12 6356:25
cell 6378:3,5,8,16,23	Colonel 6356:4	6396:6,7 6413:19	curve 6402:8	6357:16 6358:5,19,20
6379:15,16,21 6381:5	come 6340:21 6343:1	contexts 6396:8	curves 6402:9	deployment 6346:19,22
6381:5,7,11,15,16,20	6363:15 6365:25	continue 6415:18	cutting 6369:12	6347:7 6356:4
6382:17 6384:5,12	6375:2 6378:24	continuously 6390:5	cuttings 6372:2	6362:22
6385:11,16 6386:5,8	6389:22,23 6405:13	contradicting 6340:2		deputise 6332:9
6386:12,20	comes 6365:10	contradiction 6340:24	D	describe 6332:18
certainly 6334:25	comfort 6384:4	contradictory 6400:1	dangerous 6390:12,18	described 6353:6
6410:16	coming 6394:11 6399:5	cooperation 6379:8	6391:6 6394:6,7,13	6357:17
certainty 6410:2	6403:25 6412:25	coping 6400:16	6394:19,22 6395:2,16	describes 6345:6
Chair 6333:6 6334:6,22	command 6356:4,23	copy 6335:1	6396:20,24 6397:3,13	description 6367:21
6335:3 6336:2	commenced 6348:2	correct 6335:3 6340:19	6398:18,20 6408:8	detail 6396:12
0000.0000.4	comment 6345:16	6352:14 6353:5	dark 6342:24,25	details 6383:2 6385:15
		6354:17 6357:6	dashed 6337:7 6349:10	didn't 6336:10 6343:3
6344:13 6358:13	6359.15 6363.11 21	0007.1/000/.0		
6344:13 6358:13 6362:3 6369:7 6371:2	6359:15 6363:11,21 6378:15 6389:17		6377.0	6331118 6333110
6344:13 6358:13 6362:3 6369:7 6371:2 6371:15 6376:24	6378:15 6389:17	6358:4 6360:15	6377:9 dashas 6365:20	6351:18 6355:19 6357:25 6358:4
6344:13 6358:13 6362:3 6369:7 6371:2 6371:15 6376:24 6385:19 6389:3	6378:15 6389:17 6399:14	6358:4 6360:15 6365:1,3 6366:9	dashes 6365:20	6357:25 6358:4
6344:13 6358:13 6362:3 6369:7 6371:2 6371:15 6376:24 6385:19 6389:3 6393:20 6396:1	6378:15 6389:17 6399:14 Commission 6331:2	6358:4 6360:15 6365:1,3 6366:9 6369:5 6372:7	dashes 6365:20 dashing 6353:1	6357:25 6358:4 6359:1 6360:6
6344:13 6358:13 6362:3 6369:7 6371:2 6371:15 6376:24 6385:19 6389:3 6393:20 6396:1 6409:12,25 6410:5	6378:15 6389:17 6399:14 Commission 6 331:2 6366:5 6370:16,21,21	6358:4 6360:15 6365:1,3 6366:9 6369:5 6372:7 6380:19 6383:11	dashes 6365:20 dashing 6353:1 6355:13	6357:25 6358:4 6359:1 6360:6 6361:11 6363:3
6344:13 6358:13 6362:3 6369:7 6371:2 6371:15 6376:24 6385:19 6389:3 6393:20 6396:1	6378:15 6389:17 6399:14 Commission 6331:2	6358:4 6360:15 6365:1,3 6366:9 6369:5 6372:7	dashes 6365:20 dashing 6353:1	6357:25 6358:4 6359:1 6360:6

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

die 6356:10 6357:4 6376:10 died 6348:23,23 6376:14 6415:25	don't 6332:25,25 6333:8 6334:17 6339:8,11,15,15,16	either 6351:14 6353:15 6378:17	exercises 6373:23 exhausting 6387:10	finding 6334:21
6376:10 died 6348:23,23 6376:14 6415:25	6339:8,11,15,15,16	6378:17	exhausting 6387.10	
died 6348:23,23 6376:14 6415:25			canausing 0507.10	fine 6370:15 6378:25
6376:14 6415:25		elapsed 6411:3	exhibit 6331:12 6332:5	6393:20
	6343:8,11,12 6344:15	elders 6374:7 6375:8	6334:23 6342:16	finger 6369:12
	6351:3,10,15,19	elements 6362:18	6356:2 6358:22	fingerprint 6390:5
different 6345:3	6352:18,19 6354:8	Elliotdale 6369:22	6360:7,15 6362:25	fingerprints 6389:20
6379:21 6390:13,25	6361:10 6367:11,16	emotional 6416:24	6388:6,11 6391:25	6390:4
6396:7,8,13 6402:22	6369:9,11 6371:9	employer 6399:13	6397:10 6401:4,24	finished 6332:15
6413:19 6414:2	6372:10 6373:15	enable 6373:13	expect 6344:9 6392:12	firearm 6389:5,6,9,14
differently 6340:15	6375:23 6377:3	6400:12	6396:11 6409:22	6389:15,20 6390:14
difficult 6348:12	6381:4,13 6382:10,15	enables 6336:22	6413:16	6394:9,10,12 6399:5
6349:14	6382:21 6384:15	ENCA 6410:5	expected 6409:17	6399:21 6407:2,7,9
diligent 6334:19	6385:1,22 6387:16	encircle 6363:5	expecting 6381:2	6407:13,16 6408:6,10
direction 6331:18	6388:12,22 6391:7,25	encircled 6348:13	6382:1	6408:21,24,24 6409:7
6348:9 6353:1	6392:10 6393:5	6349:1 6350:13	experienced 6350:7	6409:14
6364:10,11 6401:6,19	6394:10,13,19,21	encircling 6347:24	expert 6371:18,18	firearms 6365:20
6402:16 6403:24	6395:19 6396:1	encourage 6339:16	6373:1 6374:1	fired 6365:18 6366:3,8
6404:3,7,16 6405:10	6398:2,12 6399:15	endeavour 6341:16	experts 6373:2	firing 6353:18 6411:7
6411:25	6401:13,16,17,22	6348:7 6355:18	explain 6385:23	6415:4
directions 6352:7	6402:11 6405:2	endeavours 6348:13	explained 6338:17	first 6345:10,11,14
6402:16,24 6403:5,12	6406:4,16,17 6409:16	enjoy 6398:21	6394:14 6395:9	6347:15,17,19,22
directly 6355:4	6409:19,21 6410:12	enlarge 6337:23	6408:10	6349:3,3,9 6353:7
disagree 6365:12,17	6412:19 6414:13,16	enlargement 6335:25	explaining 6340:6	6354:16 6355:23
discover 6378:5	6415:20 6416:7,18	enquire 6415:14	explore 6345:6	6356:2,3,5,6,24
discussed 6395:20	dotted 6346:25	enquiry 6382:20	extended 6398:6	6357:15,15 6358:5
	doubt 6378:2	entertain 6351:14	extent 6388:25	6366:5,8,10 6379:7
	dozens 6339:19	entire 6336:16 6369:3	eyewitness 6389:8,13	6386:9 6390:15,16
0	draw 6337:4	6385:7	E12 6332:12	6399:25 6414:14
discussion 6353:19	drawing 6337:21	entirely 6408:13	LIE 0552.12	6415:3
	dress 6375:15	entitled 6378:18	F	firstly 6353:20
	dressed 6375:11,12	entrance 6335:20	facing 6411:19,24,25	five 6376:19
disperse 6347:18,20	6399:7	6336:12,21,25	fact 6333:13 6335:21	flies 6376:16
	drive 6333:4,6 6336:23	entry 6341:8	6338:22 6341:12	focus 6400:10
	driving 6336:24	escaping 6352:6	6343:3 6349:5 6354:5	focusing 6342:21
dispersed 6345:11	duration 6398:16,25	escort 6350:2	6358:18 6363:2	focussed 6413:6
dispersing 6347:16,18	duration 0398.10,25	estimate 6398:12	6389:8 6390:10	follow 6338:1
6402:15	E	event 6389:2	6395:17,24 6396:16	followed 6343:2
	earlier 6338:22 6339:5	events 6362:21	6397:9 6398:20	following 6402:20
6355:15 6359:1	6361:14 6362:13	eventually 6336:17	6400:5 6407:25	6403:4,12 6404:6
6394:22 6396:15,16	6363:3 6375:10	6341:8 6409:4		6405:10
	6413:23	evidence 6336:13	6408:10,25 6410:8 6414:9	follows 6356:12
6402:14,14,22 6403:3	easier 6387:11		facts 6396:1	foot 6336:25
,		6343:10 6345:4,8,15 6340:5 6350:10		
	eastern 6364:10	6349:5 6350:19	fair 6347:4 6365:12,14	footage 6410:1,6,9,11
6411:5,6,15 disputed 6334:10	6369:22	6357:5 6358:15	6386:16 6396:10	6410:14 6411:13
-	easy 6347:22	6359:5,21 6360:4,5 6362:25 6363:1 7 10	6414:16 faller 6411:10	forced 6409:3
	EEE 6342:21	6362:25 6363:1,7,19 6363:22 6364:1	fallen 6411:19	Forget 6341:22
	EEE12 6332:11,13,16	6363:23 6364:1 6366:22 6375:1 25	falling 6411:23,24	formation 6401:5
6338:21 6339:4	6334:23 6335:1	6366:22 6375:1,25	far 6343:14 6345:19,22	formed 6362:21
6341:10 6401:7	6337:11,12,14	6376:8,17,24 6389:4	6366:6 6379:4 6387:8	forward 6357:1 6358:6
	EEE2 6338:23 6388:6	6403:10,11,16 6406:5	6401:11 6410:7	6412:4 6413:8,22
distinguish 6371:10	6391:25 6397:10	6407:15,19 6410:3	6413:1 6416:19	forwards 6412:11
	EEE3 6338:24 6410:2	6414:20	favour 6375:3 6414:13	found 6334:19 6389:7
	EEE3.4 6410:2,4,5	evidence-in-chief	feel 6348:22 6375:18	6407:8,12,15
	EEE8.1 6335:22,25	6391:8	fellow 6333:14	four 6401:13
doctors 6371:15	6336:1 6339:3	evokes 6416:24	fence 6331:12,17,17	fourth 6349:11,12
	EEE8.12 6337:8,8,10	exactly 6333:24	6335:5,7,8,13,20,22	friend 6333:19,22
	EEE8.2 6331:13	6339:18 6362:14	6336:1,8,10,12,21	6341:3 6347:12
doesn't 6336:7 6344:16	6339:1,2	6384:9 6385:23,24	6338:8,18,18 6340:17	6359:21 6361:21,24
	EEE8.3 6331:13	6406:18	6340:17,18,20,21,24	6364:13,19 6392:8
(000 1E (001 1 = -1	6339:2 6 340:7	examination 6372:5,16	6341:25 6342:2,9,10	friend's 6346:8
6380:17 6384:17,21	6342:16 6343:15,16	6373:12	6342:11,12,13,23	front 6345:20 6356:8
6385:20 6386:2			(242,01,0244,02,02)	
6385:20 6386:2 6392:11 6393:12	6343:20 6344:9,12,21	examined 6371:18	6343:21 6344:22,23	6356:13,25 6357:1
6385:20 6386:2 6392:11 6393:12 6409:17 6416:21	6343:20 6344:9,12,21 6344:24	excited 6339:9	6345:1	6399:7 6404:21,23
6385:20 6386:2 6392:11 6393:12 6409:17 6416:21 doing 6348:10 6358:18	6343:20 6344:9,12,21 6344:24 EE12 6332:16	excited 6339:9 Excuse 6342:6	6345:1 fewer 6350:20	6399:7 6404:21,23 6405:3,4,7,14,21
6385:20 6386:2 6392:11 6393:12 6409:17 6416:21 doing 6348:10 6358:18 6384:21 6405:15	6343:20 6344:9,12,21 6344:24	excited 6339:9	6345:1	6399:7 6404:21,23

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

		-		Page
further 6343:17,22,23	6385:7 6388:23	6416:15	6394:2,4 6408:7	investigated 6390:24
6343:24 6344:11	6396:1 6398:13	headed 6404:15	illustrate 6346:20	6391:3 6399:22
6359:6 6385:2	6401:7,18,19 6402:19	hear 6357:25 6358:2,4	imagine 6345:20	investigation 6371:23
6387:17 6404:12	6403:2,2,19 6404:7	6359:1 6374:4 6394:1	6371:15	6391:5
6412:16 6413:14	6406:11,11 6410:20	heard 6364:11 6365:18	implicate 6409:11,15	invincible 6377:8,10
future 6351:22 6416:19	6412:25 6414:24	6388:24	imply 6379:7	invisible 6377:7,10
6417:1	6415:1	hearing 6392:8	important 6339:25	involve 6357:8,10
0417.1	golden 6402:23	help 6335:25 6353:18	6344:13	involved 6357:10,20
G		6353:24 6360:4	impression 6346:4	6372:25 6388:1,6
	good 6392:12,13			
gain 6344:24	grandfathers 6374:7	6372:15 6391:12	6407:22 6408:3,20	in-chief 6363:20,24
gap 6336:11,16	grateful 6336:5	6416:22	6409:9,10,13	6407:20
6340:13,21 6344:22	great 6416:2	helpful 6340:4 6351:11	imzimbeni 6369:3	irks 6348:17
6344:23 6348:6	ground 6367:3	6362:13	inaccessible 6343:3	irrelevant 6336:23
gate 6356:13	group 6337:6,7,18,25	helps 6360:5	inaudible 6337:2	isn't 6341:1 6343:19
gathering 6390:12,18	6338:1 6343:1	Hemraj 6406:20	6344:1,1 6360:14	6344:12,22
6391:6 6393:7,9,17	6347:18,20 6349:8,25	6410:8	6392:18 6396:4	issue 6339:20,20,22
6394:2,4 6408:8	6349:25,25 6350:3,9	he's 6339:17,17,19	6397:4	6340:6,13,22,24
General 6349:24	6352:22,23 6353:1	6341:1 6351:15,17,22	incident 6415:24	6352:12 6354:10
6350:2	6356:15 6358:6	6351:23 6352:5	incidentally 6413:20	6370:10 6378:13,19
gently 6415:23	6359:8,14,25 6363:7	6364:6 6372:19	incidents 6349:23	6388:21 6389:1
		6378:21,22 6384:20	include 6387:19	6410:18
getting 6351:9 6415:22	6363:10 6366:7,8,16 6366:23 6367:7			
give 6334:18 6337:16	6366:23 6367:7	6386:8 6388:3	including 6349:21	issued 6386:12 6410:16
6354:23 6360:4	6375:11 6376:1,10	6393:16 6394:4	6359:14	issues 6395:19
6372:15 6378:7,21,23	6377:1,5,8,16,22	6411:19 6413:9	incorrect 6354:2	it's 6333:5 6334:1,1,13
6384:1,3,4,11,12,15	6399:7,9 6401:3,6	6415:13,14 6416:7	incorrectly 6336:14	6334:13,14,14,17,18
6384:24 6385:1	6402:16,24 6403:4,12	Hill 6390:15 6399:21	incula 6394:13,18,22	6335:12 6336:11,23
6386:9,9,20 6387:12	6404:7,22 6405:5,8	6407:2,7	6395:2,8,15 6396:17	6337:11 6339:9,22
6388:20 6391:11	6405:14,21 6406:6,14	hippo 6365:24,25	6396:20,24 6397:2,12	6340:17,19 6341:17
6397:20 6403:10	6411:12 6415:5	hippos 6356:9 6357:3	6398:20	6341:22 6342:8
6411:1	guilty 6393:16 6398:17	6364:7	indebted 6416:17	6344:6 6345:3
given 6346:4 6347:1,6	gun 6366:4,16 6375:18	holds 6416:19	indicated 6338:2,6,7,11	6347:12,21 6349:14
6347:14 6356:3,23	6375:23	home 6368:2,19,23	6338:18 6345:24	6351:15 6352:14,24
6357:5 6358:16	guns 6365:23	6369:9,10,12,16	6346:25 6377:21	6353:3,8,18,22,23
6360:9 6375:1 6383:7	gunshot 6364:11	6370:1,2,4 6371:24	indicates 6345:21	6354:12,24 6360:14
6413:9	6365:18	6372:2 6374:8,15	indication 6376:10	6360:15,17 6365:1,3
giving 6383:2 6385:15	gunshots 6366:3	6375:7 6382:17	inelegance 6347:13	6365:7,16 6369:10
6403:10		6405:20	inference 6360:16	6370:6,15 6371:7
go 6331:24 6341:18	H	homes 6369:9	inflict 6416:9	6376:13 6377:13
6343:22 6348:1,4,7	hairs 6413:18	honestly 6339:6	information 6384:19	6378:4 6379:13
6348:14,25 6350:9,16	half 6370:18,19,20	6388:19	6385:1 6386:12	6384:14 6388:25
6355:20 6357:12,12	6398:3,8,10	hope 6416:25	initially 6337:6 6351:5	6389:25 6392:11,17
6357:13,16 6358:10	HANABE 6407:4	hopefully 6332:9	injured 6415:25	6398:6 6412:16
		1 0	0	
6361:16,22 6363:18	6412:20	6337:24	Insertions 6373:9	6416:1 1'd 6220:12 6244:0
6364:24 6370:2,4	hand 6367:17 6395:24	horseshoe-shaped	inside 6357:18	I'd 6339:13 6344:9
6379:4 6384:7,16	6396:16,23 6397:2,11	6402:8	insignificant 6387:10	6354:10 6356:1
6385:13,25 6386:14	6397:17,25 6398:16	hospital 6355:2	insisted 6409:3	6387:21
6388:15 6389:21	6414:14	6380:15 6381:20,22	instruction 6347:13	I'll 6357:2 6371:2
6388:15 6389:21 6390:7 6391:4	6414:14 handed 6388:11	6390:5	instruction 6347:13 6358:16	I'll 6357:2 6371:2 6387:15 6388:16
6390:7 6391:4	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21	6390:5	6358:16	6387:15 6388:16
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4	6358:16 instructions 6390:3	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18 6353:23 6354:5,16,18	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8 harm 6383:25,25	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2 hurts 6376:14 <u>I</u>	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19 interpretation 6369:1	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9 6410:25 6411:3
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18 6353:23 6354:5,16,18	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8 harm 6383:25,25	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2 hurts 6376:14 <u>I</u>	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19 interpretation 6369:1	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9 6410:25 6411:3 6413:15
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18 6353:23 6354:5,16,18 6354:20 6355:18 6358:9,9 6359:4,21	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8 harm 6383:25,25 6384:5	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2 hurts 6376:14 <u>I</u> ID 6384:18,20 6385:17 6386:13,24 6387:24	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19 interpretation 6369:1 interpreted 6362:7 6411:3	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9 6410:25 6411:3
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18 6354:20 6355:18 6354:20 6355:18 6358:9,9 6359:4,21 6362:4,7 6363:14	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8 harm 6383:25,25 6384:5 hasn't 6379:14 6388:3 6390:1	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2 hurts 6376:14 ID 6384:18,20 6385:17 6386:13,24 6387:24 6387:25 6388:3,8,9	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19 interpretation 6369:1 interpreted 6362:7 6411:3 Interpreter 6412:18	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9 6410:25 6411:3 6413:15 J
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18 6354:20 6355:18 6354:20 6355:18 6358:9,9 6359:4,21 6362:4,7 6363:14 6364:13,17,24	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8 harm 6383:25,25 6384:5 hasn't 6379:14 6388:3 6390:1 haven't 6332:8 6365:2	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2 hurts 6376:14 ID 6384:18,20 6385:17 6386:13,24 6387:24 6387:25 6388:3,8,9 6388:13	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19 interpretation 6369:1 interpreted 6362:7 6411:3 Interpreter 6412:18 interrupt 6385:22	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9 6410:25 6411:3 6413:15 J Ja 6351:25 6360:10
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18 6354:20 6355:18 6354:20 6355:18 6358:9,9 6359:4,21 6362:4,7 6363:14 6364:13,17,24 6365:15 6370:3	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8 harm 6383:25,25 6384:5 hasn't 6379:14 6388:3 6390:1 haven't 6332:8 6365:2 6414:15	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2 hurts 6376:14 ID 6384:18,20 6385:17 6386:13,24 6387:24 6387:25 6388:3,8,9 6388:13 idea 6398:20	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19 interpretation 6369:1 interpreted 6362:7 6411:3 Interpreter 6412:18 interrupt 6385:22 interrupting 6361:19	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9 6410:25 6411:3 6413:15 J Ja 6351:25 6360:10 6361:8 6370:12
6390:7 6391:4 6405:18 6412:8 goes 6331:25,25 6338:11 6343:21 6344:11 6401:3 going 6333:10 6335:4 6340:12 6343:9 6345:2,4,15 6346:16 6348:11 6349:4,16,17 6349:17 6350:1,4 6352:7,10 6353:16,18 6354:20 6355:18 6354:20 6355:18 6358:9,9 6359:4,21 6362:4,7 6363:14 6364:13,17,24	handed 6388:11 hands 6398:21 happen 6382:7,14 happened 6347:8 6349:22,24 6350:5 6353:17 6362:9,11 6364:22 6403:23 happens 6353:19 happy 6400:13,14 hard 6333:4,5 6335:1 6392:8 harm 6383:25,25 6384:5 hasn't 6379:14 6388:3 6390:1 haven't 6332:8 6365:2	6390:5 hour 6370:19 6398:4,4 6398:9,10 house 6379:18 6380:6 houses 6410:10 human 6341:5 6367:5 hung 6400:16 hurt 6351:10 hurting 6390:2 hurts 6376:14 ID 6384:18,20 6385:17 6386:13,24 6387:24 6387:25 6388:3,8,9 6388:13	6358:16 instructions 6390:3 instrument 6375:23 intended 6411:25 intent 6376:2 intention 6347:24 6405:17 interest 6398:7 interested 6387:23,25 interesting 6398:6 interpret 6412:18,19 interpretation 6369:1 interpreted 6362:7 6411:3 Interpreter 6412:18 interrupt 6385:22	6387:15 6388:16 6389:3 6393:20 6414:17 I've 6331:16 6334:22 6338:7 6345:7,24 6346:4 6350:19 6355:7 6359:4 6362:20,25 6372:21 6376:24 6377:21 6379:23 6385:6 6394:16 6395:9 6410:25 6411:3 6413:15 J Ja 6351:25 6360:10

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
join 6338:16 6341:16	6404:12	listen 6363:15 6414:18	6376:2,13	moment 6343:14
joining 6337:20 6338:2	kraals 6344:20,24	little 6354:7 6385:12	Mambush's 6358:25	6353:4,5 6399:5
joins 6332:1		6402:4	man 6414:11	6413:15
judge 6355:9	L	live 6406:6,15	manner 6375:12	Monday 6349:22,23
June 6393:4	L 6356:2 6358:22	lived 6406:2	6376:4 6399:7	6417:12
juniors 6333:14,14	6360:7,15 6362:25	lives 6406:10	March 6331:1 6371:11	months 6371:21
	6401:4,24	loathe 6361:19	Marikana 6350:18	monumental 6388:20
K	lack 6367:20	locate 6333:20	6351:2 6352:6 6410:6	morning 6332:8
keen 6363:17	law 6395:19	located 6334:15,16,17	marks 6367:21 6368:4	6362:11,21 6417:12
keep 6361:19	lawyer 6338:22 6339:4	long 6349:15 6397:16	6368:17,21 6369:14	motive 6409:18
kept 6338:19 6344:14	6364:2 6394:4	6397:24 6398:2,6,9	6369:15,17,21 6371:5	mountain 6391:17
key 6344:22	lawyers 6374:9 6375:8	6398:12,12 6400:9	6371:12,19 6372:7,12	6393:3 6399:10,12
kill 6347:25 6363:4	6387:25	longer 6338:4 6383:10	6373:5,19 6374:22	move 6352:12,19
killed 6349:20 6350:6	lawyer's 6388:5	6383:22 6384:1	massacre 6410:6	6358:10 6389:4
6376:15 6389:16	lay 6350:4	Lonmin 6368:22	Mathunjwa 6351:6	6393:21 6413:11
6410:21 6416:1	layers 6375:12	6388:4,4,10	matter 6361:19 6385:2	moved 6337:25
killing 6349:23	lead 6332:21 6336:17	look 6336:1 6338:23	6391:1 6410:18	6345:21 6346:19
kind 6336:12,22	6407:14	6342:24 6346:5,21	6413:13	6353:9 6356:25
6372:19 6388:20	leader 6363:10	6373:2 6389:18	McIntosh 6358:21	6416:3
6398:16,24 6416:23	leaders 6363:7 6410:3	6415:3	mean 6346:23 6360:10	movement 6348:2
knew 6347:24 6348:6	leading 6342:5	looked 6400:16	6368:20 6370:3 6304:24 6412:10	moving 6346:1,8
6349:20 6359:14 6360:1 6363:5	leads 6332:2 6338:16 6354:19	looking 6344:10 6345:18 6358:21,24	6394:24 6412:10	6348:3 6364:10 6412:3 4 11 11
6395:17 6396:23,24	learned 6333:18,22	6401:23 6410:13,24	meaning 6383:15 meanings 6396:8	6412:3,4,11,11 6413:7,8,22,24
6397:1,3,12	6336:6 6341:3 6346:7	looks 6335:22 6343:23	means 6345:23 6369:3	6414:4,5 6415:4
know 6331:17,18	6347:12 6359:20	6392:1 6415:13	6385:14 6395:23	Mpembe 6349:24
6332:25 6334:17	6361:21,24 6364:13	lose 6406:10	6396:6 6403:15	6350:2
6338:5 6341:17	6364:19 6392:8	lost 6380:23 6381:2	6405:17 6408:16	Mpofu 6334:3,7 6336:2
6344:2 6351:3,10	leave 6348:1,1 6356:10	lot 6351:9 6358:8	measure 6379:8	6336:6 6339:6,13,18
6361:10,16 6363:3	6357:3 6411:1	loudhailer 6357:11	media 6363:9,13	6340:5 6351:13,20,25
6366:17 6369:9	6414:23	loved 6410:23	6410:9	6352:3 6359:20,24
6371:9 6372:13	leaves 6365:19	lower 6401:12	medical 6371:3,10,14	6360:8,11 6361:2,8
6375:22,23 6376:12	leaving 6347:10	lunch 6370:18 6406:25	6371:18 6372:5	6364:12,21,23
6376:15 6377:3	led 6377:22 6388:12	LUPUWANA 6332:10	6374:12	6368:24 6373:24
6379:19 6380:21,22	6406:5	6332:13,17	meet 6347:4 6359:17	6374:4 6378:10,18,25
6384:15,18 6385:20	left 6343:17,22,23,24	·	member 6356:15	6379:3,9 6384:22
6386:25 6387:16	6344:11,11 6345:19	M	6358:7 6366:8	6385:19 6388:18,24
6388:19,22,23 6393:5	6345:22 6348:5	Madlanga 6332:9	6376:21	6390:20 6395:18
6394:3,5,6,10,13,18	6349:8,8,9 6351:6,7	6333:17 6334:4	members 6365:18	6413:17 6415:11
6397:8 6398:1,2,12	6355:23 6361:4	6345:17 6361:18	6366:7,8 6399:9,12	6416:15,16
6399:15 6401:13	6362:25 6364:18	6362:12	memory 6391:22	MTN 6381:18
6404:20,22 6405:8	6402:5 6404:16	Madlanga's 6347:4	mens 6393:14,17	MZOXOLO 6331:4
6406:1,4,16,17,18,22	left-hand 6340:8	Mahlangu 6338:25	mentioned 6331:17	6370:25 6400:25
6408:12 6409:18,19	6342:20,22 6343:5	6348:19 6368:25	6374:11 6386:17	
6410:12 6413:10	6404:11 6405:10	6369:3,5,7 6373:6,9	6390:16	<u> </u>
6414:18 6415:24	legal 6378:19,20,24	6389:11 6400:18	mentions 6365:19	nature 6386:4
6416:18	6379:7 6388:21	Mahlangu's 6369:1	mere 6395:24	near 6346:16 6369:22
knowing 6365:22	6393:11,13 6395:21	main 6345:12 6349:4	merely 6408:16	6382:18
6405:14	6395:23,25 6396:4,5	6401:3	messy 6347:3	nearer 6406:12
knowledge 6350:7	6396:6,7	maintain 6335:7	met 6399:13	nearly 6415:25
6359:16 6393:11,13	lengths 6412:24	maintained 6331:12	mind 6341:7 6344:14	necessarily 6396:6
6396:11 6397:9,11	lengthy 6352:11	majority 6406:8	6350:7 6417:1	necessary 6353:8
known 6366:23	let's 6352:19 6360:18	makarapa 6366:24,25	minute 6354:21 6398:2	6387:6 6393:14
knows 6362:14 6396:5	6389:1 6414:2,3	6367:6 6377:1	minutes 6346:23	need 6335:1 6336:25
koppie 6346:6 6350:2,4	lie 6377:24	makarapas 6399:9,11 Mahharapa (256:4	6347:1,8 6388:23	6372:10 6378:20
6350:21 6351:5,10	line 6335:20 6337:4,22	Makhuvele 6356:4	6398:3 6411:2	6380:17 6381:5,5
6364:6 6367:23	6338:3,11 6341:8	making 6336:6 6346:10	misleading 6346:11	6385:1 6388:12
6368:5,13 6390:13	6342:25 6345:21,22	6363:21 6395:25	6365:7	needs 6410:15
6202.14 00 6205 0 12	6345:24 6346:16,25 6349:11 6350:1,10,16	6402:22 6403:10	misquote 6339:7	negotiating 6358:17
6393:14,22 6395:8,13		6414:25	misquoting 6339:19	6359:13 6360:23 6361:13
6396:17 6408:14		Mamburk (250.2		
6396:17 6408:14 kraal 6331:11,11,15,23	6354:17 6355:12,13	Mambush 6350:3	6387:14 missing 6222:11	
6396:17 6408:14 kraal 6331:11,11,15,23 6337:6,21 6340:17,18	6354:17 6355:12,13 6355:15 6361:21	6356:14,17,20,25	missing 6333:11	negotiation 6356:9,14
6396:17 6408:14 kraal 6331:11,11,15,23 6337:6,21 6340:17,18 6341:11,16 6346:2,16	6354:17 6355:12,13 6355:15 6361:21 6377:9,22 6379:20	6356:14,17,20,25 6357:8,16,20 6358:5	missing 6333:11 misstatement 6340:3	negotiation 6356:9,14 6357:2,17 6358:7
6396:17 6408:14 kraal 6331:11,11,15,23 6337:6,21 6340:17,18 6341:11,16 6346:2,16 6348:11 6353:12,13	6354:17 6355:12,13 6355:15 6361:21 6377:9,22 6379:20 6382:18 6415:7,8	6356:14,17,20,25 6357:8,16,20 6358:5 6358:17 6359:6,14,17	missing 6333:11 misstatement 6340:3 misunderstand 6344:3	negotiation 6356:9,14 6357:2,17 6358:7 neutral 6375:4
6396:17 6408:14 kraal 6331:11,11,15,23 6337:6,21 6340:17,18 6341:11,16 6346:2,16	6354:17 6355:12,13 6355:15 6361:21 6377:9,22 6379:20	6356:14,17,20,25 6357:8,16,20 6358:5	missing 6333:11 misstatement 6340:3	negotiation 6356:9,14 6357:2,17 6358:7

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Rustenburg

			1	Page
6399:3 6405:24	objected 6361:6	parted 6331:9	6335:21 6343:14,23	possessing 6398:18
6407:8,12 6414:7	objection 6339:9,19	particular 6351:21	photographs 6333:21	possession 6365:20
new 6332:5 6333:2	6340:2 6361:20	6396:7	photos 6367:8	6389:7 6390:12,14,18
Nkaneng 6332:21,22	6371:3 6374:12	particularly 6338:2	physically 6395:24	6391:6 6394:6,16
6336:11,17,20,22	6396:2,9	6348:17,20 6373:22	picture 6332:3 6337:15	6395:12,16,20,21,23
6337:1 6338:3	objections 6339:10	pass 6404:18,19	6338:3 6342:20,21,22	6398:15 6399:5,21
6340:12 6345:5,12,13	obtain 6409:1	passable 6331:21	6343:19 6345:18,25	6407:2,6,9,13 6408:6
6349:4 6350:24	obtained 6388:10	passage 6365:4,8,16	pictures 6351:7	6408:8,11,16,23
6354:19 6355:19	6389:5	passed 6404:24 6414:9	6410:20,24	6409:6
6364:11,17 6382:18	obviated 6388:22	passed 0404.24 0414.9 path 6331:12,14,21,22	Pillay 6332:8 6333:16	possibilities 6374:20
	obviously 6340:20	6331:25 6332:4,22	-	-
6401:4 6402:19	e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6410:5,11	possible 6336:11
6406:7,15,17,19,23	6351:15 6409:21	6336:19,20 6337:3,19	pistol 6365:20	6338:1,10 6341:21,23
6412:8	occasion 6351:22	6337:20,22 6338:10	place 6331:25 6334:9	6342:22 6344:5
Nkanya 6369:22	occasions 6345:7	6338:23 6340:15	6347:23 6348:1,5,5	6371:16 6373:19
nodding 6416:14	odd 6351:8	6341:5,12,21,21	6349:15 6356:10	6383:23,25
Noki 6349:25 6356:17	offence 6398:17 6399:1	6342:7,23 6343:20	6357:3 6382:19	possibly 6335:25
6356:19 6358:6,16	offences 6390:23	6344:11,15,17	6403:2 6406:12	6358:11 6373:2
6363:1 6376:2	offer 6379:6 6388:20	6345:12,13,14	places 6348:2	6374:3
6377:22	officer 6403:7,9	6354:19 6401:3	play 6410:15 6411:6,13	possidendi 6395:21
Noki's 6376:9	officers 6349:21,24	6405:18	6415:2	practitioner 6371:3
normal 6392:14	6350:3,5,6 6411:23	paths 6332:21 6336:19	PLAYED 6411:4,14	precursor 6362:21
normally 6351:18	6412:7	6337:5,16 6338:1	playing 6352:24	prefer 6378:10
northerly 6404:16	oh 6334:25 6335:15	6342:24,25 6343:2,4	please 6333:22 6355:5	preferred 6399:22
northern 6331:10		6344:7	6355:10 6361:25	
	6374:4 6394:20,23			prefix 6364:21
note 6412:22	6395:3 6412:20	pathways 6333:8,11	6372:9 6387:14,14,19	prepared 6371:17
noted 6392:17	okay 6339:6 6340:5	peace 6339:11	6387:22,22 6389:22	6372:4 6373:12
notice 6348:15 6400:3	6358:3 6372:22	pedantic 6390:21	6397:5 6410:15	6378:7,15,21,23
6400:15	6415:14	6391:1 6395:19	6411:13 6414:18	6379:12
noticed 6355:21	old 6371:21 6381:23	pedestrians 6337:1	6415:9,12	presence 6359:7
number 6333:19	6382:1,4	pen 6338:7	plural 6391:23	6360:24 6374:7,8,10
6347:10 6349:6,20	once 6346:19 6364:12	perform 6378:9	point 6336:20 6340:14	6385:16
6350:20 6378:3,8,16	6395:18 6413:9	performance 6367:23	6340:18 6341:1,9	present 6371:3 6372:5
6378:23 6380:10,11	ones 6410:23 6412:7	performed 6367:22	6344:14 6346:10	6374:8 6391:17
6380:18,25 6381:6,7	open 6343:4 6344:15	6371:24 6373:3	6347:4 6352:11,17	6393:3 6410:22
6381:12,24 6382:1,3	6344:19,21 6348:5,25	6374:14 6375:6	6353:19 6358:24	presentation 6360:2
6382:4,12 6383:2,5	opportunity 6411:1	period 6385:8	6360:4,4,14,19	presently 6353:19
		-		
6383:10,18,22,23	6414:21,22	permitted 6349:25	6362:6 6365:2	presumably 6334:18
6384:1,6,12,15,18,19	order 6341:18 6343:21	persist 6352:22 6404:5	6376:25 6385:3	6351:22 6359:11,23
6385:17 6386:5,8,13	6347:6 6362:12	persists 6352:22	6386:10 6387:10	6360:25 6361:5,6
6386:20,24 6387:24	6363:4 6372:5,15	person 6350:17 6351:1	6389:4 6391:1 6396:8	6363:9 6388:4
6388:3,8,9,13	6373:12,18	6352:6 6356:12	6396:13 6402:22	previous 6413:20
6390:13 6401:14	originally 6414:4	6357:22 6365:19	6403:9 6410:2 6413:4	printed 6334:23
numerous 6332:21	outside 6402:23 6404:4	6366:11,13 6376:14	6413:11,13,14 6414:1	private 6378:8
6345:7,11 6350:15	6404:5,11 6405:8	6386:13 6391:15,16	6414:14,14	probably 6374:23
6367:8	oval 6356:15	6397:21 6411:18,23	pointing 6340:10	6388:3
nxeti 6369:12	overnight 6399:12	6411:24	pointless 6360:17	problem 6354:24
Nyala 6345:9,10,10,11	over-nighting 6399:10	personal 6392:10	6412:16	6382:5,8 6383:5
6345:14,19,19,21	owe 6333:7,8	persons 6350:5	points 6414:19	6384:23 6385:18,20
		-		-
6347:15,17,19	owed 6333:11	6374:11 6376:19	policeman 6357:8,18	6385:24 6386:3,4,16
6349:11,12 6353:9,12	o'clock 6370:6 6400:15	6410:17	6358:7 6415:4	6386:16,19,19
6353:13 6356:5,6,9	6417:13	pertained 6357:9	policemen 6389:15	problematic 6354:24
6356:14,24 6357:2,15		pertaining 6365:23	6411:20 6412:3,11,22	problems 6363:16
6357:17,25 6358:5,7	P	6383:6 6393:4 6407:6	6412:23 6413:1,7	6383:6
6358:17,21 6359:12	page 6391:25 6401:15	Phatsha 6334:12	6414:5,22 6415:7	proceed 6338:13
6359:13,15 6360:23	6401:18,19,20 6402:5	phone 6378:3,5,8,16,23	police's 6358:15 6359:7	6358:12 6389:2
6361:13	6404:6,16 6405:9,10	6379:15,16,17,21,23	6377:20 6401:5	6415:23
Nyalas 6357:20	pain 6416:10	6380:2,4,8,11,24,24	portion 6364:25	proceeded 6350:12,13
1.9 4145 000 7.120	paragraph 6364:3,4,5	6381:1,5,5,7,9,12,15	pose 6383:23 6414:3	PROCEEDINGS
0	6365:6,8	6381:16,20,22 6382:1	posed 6352:15 6413:18	6331:1
oath 6331:3 6370:23	,		-	
/ 31 1 1 25.	parallel 6336:21	6382:17 6384:6,12	6413:20,23 6414:17	proper 6416:5
6384:5 6400:23	6337:5 6342:13,19	6385:11,16 6386:5,8	position 6343:13	properly 6400:10,17
6403:10,11	part 6333:3,5 6338:7	6386:12,20 6387:24	6373:2 6378:24	6402:12
			6402:14 6406:7	propose 6352:1,18,24
object 6346:11 6361:2	6365:10 6371:6,8	photo 6333:23		
object 63 46:11 6361:2 6382:2 6383:1	6365:10 6371:6,8 6376:1,2 6377:1	photograph 6331:15	6415:7	propositions 6360:22
object 6346:11 6361:2				
object 63 46:11 6361:2 6382:2 6383:1	6376:1,2 6377:1	photograph 6331:15	6415:7	propositions 6360:22

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

protect 63 63.8 6375.15 railway 6377.22 relate 6333.25 6362.20 right and 6382.25 relate 6383.25 6362.20 right and 6382.25 relate 6383.25 folds.25 64138 6414.24 6378.25 6398.12 6378.25 6398.12 6378.25 6398.12 6378.22 6398.12 6378.22 6398.12 6378.12 639.25 638.12 639.25 6368.17 637.24 6419.21 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.6 637.22 6378.7 6378.18 6378.12 6378.12 6378.12 6378.12 6378.12 6378.12 6378.12 6378.12					Page
protestere 6376.3 protestere 6172:2 c379/20 c410:23 c6392.18 c410:23 relate 6350.20 c410:23 rid. 6397.19 c410:23 c6392.15 c332.12 c333.12	protect 6363:8 6375:15	railway 6377:22	relate 6353:25 6362:20	rhythmic 6398:25	
protesters 6412:25 raise 6348:17 6354:10 relates 6340:3 6322:5 relates 6352:12 relates 6355:18 relates 6355:12 relates 6355:12 relates 6355:12 relates 6355:12 relates 6355:12 relates 6352:1 relates 6352:1 relates 6352:1 relates 6352:1 relates 6352:1 relates 6352:1 relates 635:21 relates 634:10 relates 632:11 relates 634:10 relates 634:10 </td <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td>	-			•	
6413.8 6414.4 6379:7 relating 6408:23 7633:12 6336:9 6412:13 provide 6378:3 6384.5 ransing 635:217 relating 6408:23 6331:12 6337:22 6337:12 6414:11,11 6380:5 ransing 635:21 relating 6408:23 relating 6408:23 relating 6337:22 637:42 6414:11,11 6381:5 6381:5 reak 648.8,9 6359:10 relating 6408:27,16 relating 635:16 6351:15 6351:16 6351:15 6351:16 6351:15 6351:16 6351:12 6352:12 6354:12 6354:12 6354:12 6354:15 6351:15 6352:17 6353:16 6371:16 6372:16 6372:16 6372:16 6372:12 6375:16 6372:12 6375:16 6372:13 6352:12 6354:12 6332:12 6333:12 6334:12 634:14:14,01 636:17 6373:18 6372:13 6372:12 6373:16 637:17 6373:16 637:17 6333:16 637:17 6333:16 637:17 6333:17 6334:12 6344:14:14,017,20:22 6333:17 6334:12 6344:14:14,017,20:22 6343:17 6334:14:14:14:14:14	-				
protestors 6359:8 raised 6355:22 relation 6355:18 6337:22.2 6338:12 6411:11,11 says 6332:22 6331:12 6336:13 6336	-			8	
provide 6378:3 6384.5 raising 635:17 relation 6375:18 6341:16 42:17 sample 532:22 6334.15 6386.5 rend 648.89, 0350:16 6381:15.10 6335:16 6336:12 6335:12 6332:26 6336:15.10 7635:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6335:16 6336:12 6336:12 6336:13 6336:12 6336:13 6336:12 6336:13 6336:12 6336:13 6336:12 6336:13 6336:13 637:16 6337:16 6337:16 6337:16 6336:13 636:13 6336:12 636:13 6336:13 636:12 6416:15:15 6408:12,22 634:14,18,19 6415:15 6408:12,22 634:14,18,19 6331:16 337:16 337:17 6333:17 6333:17 6333:17 6334:17 6346:13 636:18 6336:12 636:18					
6386.5 ran 6348.9 (6350:18 6382:16 (6366:10.7) 6352:16 (6364:15 6352:12 (6332:12) 6354:12 (6332:21 6350:23 (632:2) 6390:17 (641:12) 6404:17 (461:11) 6407:12 (6408:2.7) 6340:25 (634:12) 6382:12 (6332:21) reach 6401:3 relevant 6382:21 6375:16 (637:12) 6368:7,7 (637:13) 6368:7,7 (637:13) 6382:15 (638:11,11) reach 6401:3 relevant 6353:2.1,22 6335:17 (637:13) 6382:17 (633:2) 6386:7,7 (638:7) 6368:7,7 (638:7) 6368:7,7 (638:7) 6369:12 (632:4) 6378:13 (637:2) 6358:17 (633:2) 6378:13 (637:2) 6358:17 (637:13) 6391:23 (637:2) 858:17 (635:14) 637:14 (537:2) 6356:17 (637:13) 6391:23 (637:2) 858:16 (638:2) <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	-		8	-	
provide 633:21 6350:12 632:2 6300:17 6391:10 ritual 6367:22 6377:6.7 634:25 6354:12 638:22 6407:1.6 6408:2.7.16 6407:1.6 6408:2.7.16 6372:1.6 373:3.5 636:1.7.10:6 532:1.2 636:4.5 6381:15.10.16 6382:3 reached 641:4.8 relevance 638:2.21 6375:6 636:1.7.10:6 337:1.10:6 332:1.2 636:1.0:6 337:1.		0			U U
6354:12 (38):22 provider 638):23:14 6404:17 (405:11):16 6407:1.6 (6402:7.16) 6407:1.6 (6402:7.16) 6372:1 (6373:5.5) 6362:1 (6373:5.5) 6362:1 (6373:5.5) 6362:1 (6373:5.5) 6362:1 (6373:5.5) 6362:1 (6373:5.5) 6362:1 (6373:5.5) 6362:1 (6373:5.5) 6362:1 (6375:6) 6362:1 (6375:6) 6362:1 (6375:6) 6362:1 (6375:6) 6362:1 (6375:6) 6362:1 (6375:6) 6362:1 (6375:6) 6400:2 (400:18) 6362:1 (6375:7) 6362:1 (6375:7) 6362:1 (6375:7) 6362:1 (6375:7) 6362:1 (6375:1) 6341:1 (617:1) 6351:5 6372:1 (6372:1) 6341:1 (6371:1) 6363:1 (7 (6375:1) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1)) 6372:1 (6375:1) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1)) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1)) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1)) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1)) 6362:1 (7 (6375:1)) 6372:1 (2 (6375:1)) 6372:1 (2 (6375:1)) 6372:1 (2 (6375:1)) 6372:1 (2 (6375			,		
provide G380.25 rea (393:14,17) 6409:14,15 64158 G372:1 G373:3.5 G362:12 G364:5.6 G381:15,16 G382:3 reached (414:8) relevant (633:3:12) G363:10 G332:1 G365:15 G367:10 G355:15 G373:18 G355:17 G373:18 G355:17 G373:18 G351:10 G332:1 G351:10 G332:1 G351:17 G373:18 G351:17 G373:18 G351:17 G373:18 G351:17 G373:18 G351:17 G373:18 G341:24,15 G415:15 G312:12 G324:37 G341:24,15 G415:15 G312:12 G324:37 G341:24,15 G415:14 G415:15 G312:12 G324:37 G341:24,15 G415:16 G312:12 G324:37 G341:24,15 G415:16 G312:12 G324:37 G341:24,15 G415:16 G312:12 G324:37 G341:24,15 G415:16 G312:12 G323:17 G334:17 G341:24,15 G415:16 G312:12 G323:17 G334:17 G341:24,15 G415:16 G312:12 G323:17 G334:17 G341:24,15 G415:16 G37:11 G341:24,15 G415:16 G37:11 G341:14 G410:11 G412:14 G410:17 G412:12 G323:17 G334:17 G369:17 G371:13,17 G369:17 G371:13,17 G369:17 G371:13,17 G369:17 G371:13,17 G369:17 G371:14,17 G369:17 G371:14,17 G369:17 G371:14,17 G369:17 G371:14,17 G369:17 G371:17,17 G373:17,12 G367,17 G369:17 G371:17,17 G373:17,13 G364:16,17 G369:17 G371:17,17 G373:					
6381:15:16:16 6382:2 reach 6401:3 relevance 6382:21 6375:6 6365:17.6373:18 6382:6384:20 reaction 6379:11 redvance 6382:21 6375:6 6386:17.6373:18 6382:6384:20 readion 635:22.12 6375:6 6386:17.6373:18 6386:17.6373:18 providers 6387:4 6416-9.21 reading 636:12 reading 636:12 reading 636:12 providers 6387:4 reading 636:24 reading 636:24 reading 636:24 reading 636:21 6341:24:16.17.20.22 SC 6333:17 633:4 64087.4 reading 636:24 reading 636:21 reading 636:21 6341:24:25:6477:13 6341:21:632:13 64082.4,23 6381:5 6387:10 6381:17:638:18 6382:17:633:18 6399:12 6384:18:638:16 6392:21 64082.4,20.2 reason 6333:14:633:8 reminind 634:7.9 reminind 634:7.9 641:24:24 6372:12:639:2.3 6372:14 6372:11 6372:14:633:8 6389:11 6382:11 6382:11 6382:11 6382:11 6382:11 6382:11 6382:11 6382:12 6382:11 6382:11 6382:12 6377:14 <					
6383:12 c384:12 case:15 c386:17 c386:17 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>,</td><td></td></t<>	-		-	,	
6383:15 6386:11,11 reaction 6379:11 6354:11 6357:17 6336:33 6391:25 6391:25 6391:25 6392:26 6392:11 6382:11 6382:12 6382:14 6382:12 6342:21 6342:21 6392:25 6392:14 6392:26 6392:19 6381:15 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:14 6372:12 6373:19 6392:12 6372:14 6372:12 6372:14 6372:12 6372:14 6372:14 6372:12 6372:14 6372:12 6372:14 6372:12 6372:14 6372:12 6372:14 6372:12 6372:14 6372:12 6372:14 6372:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
providing \$387:4 6416:9:21 6371:8 6372:12 6330:23 6400:26409:18 public 6390:11.17 6355:15 6409:531 6340:9.211 6411:44.16,17,202.25 5633:17 5631:45.17 5631:45.17 5631:45.17 5631:45.17 5631:45.17 5631:45.25 5633:17 6532:16 6492:13.1 6442:13.14,18,18 6391:21 6392:33:17 6332:16 5392:33:17 6332:16 5392:33:17 6332:16 5392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6353:16 6392:33:17 6372:17 6372:17 6372:16:37:17 6372:16:37:17 6372:16:37:17 6372:16:37:17 6372:16:37:17 6372:12:16:37:17 6372:12:16:37:17 6372:12:13:37:17 6372:12:13:37:17 6372:12:13:37:17 6372:12:13:37:17 6372:12:13:37:17 6372:14:14:14 6339:12:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:					
providing G382:3 6391:4 read 6357:2,3 c364:5 c393:18 c390:9,11 c341:11 c341:14,16,17,2022 c451:15 c341:14,16,17,2022 c451:15 c341:14,16,17,2022 c451:15 c341:14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,14,18,19 c451:15 c341:14,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,12,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,12,12,12 c451:12 c341:14,12,18,19 c451:12 c341:14,14,12,10 c451:12 c341:14,14,12,10 c451:12 c341:14,14,112 c451:12 c341:14,14,112 c451:12 c341:14,14,112 c451:12 c341:14,14,112 c451:12 c341:14,14,112 c451:12 c341:14,14,112 c451:12 c					
public 6390:11,17 6365:15 rely 6364:13 6341:14.16,17,20.23 SC 6333:17 6334:4 6408:7.7 reading 6362:14 reading 6362:14 reading 6362:14 6341:12.425:25 6341:14.16,17,20.23 6341:14.245:17.6361:14 6341:22.15:25 6362:16.321:16:364:17 6352:16.371:17 6352:16.371:17 6352:16.371:17 6352:16.371:17 6352:16.371:17 6356:17.6371:41 6377:16.371:47 6375:11.16 6372:17.6371:47 6372:16.373:47 6375:11.17 6372:16.373:47 6375:11.17 6372:16.373:47 6375:11.17 6372:17.6371:41 6372:19.6375:11.14 reminde 634:1.9 reget 6372:42.25 6340:11.2 6339:12.23.37 6372:10.22.23 6402:10 6372:16.20 6376:14 6375:11.17 reget 6372:42.25 6340:11.1 6404:4 6339:11.22.23 6402:10 9urposely 638:6 6392:20.6399:4.6 6389:11 round 6352:13 round 6352:13 round 6352:13 6402:10 6372:17 6372:14 6371:17 6372:14 6371:17 6372:14 6372:17 6372:14 6372:17 6372:17 6372:17 6372:17 6372:14 6372:17 63				-	
6391:4.5.12 6392.25 reading 6364:24 remaining 6350:21 6341:24.25 6342:18 6345:17 6361:18 7916 6390:7 6391:15 realised 6384:4 remaining 6350:21 6342:13 (418,19) 6342:12 (321,18):19 6408:7 realised 6384:4 remaining 6350:21 6342:12 (432,12):16 6342:12 (421,2):25 6409:51:13 realised 6384:4 reading 6364:17 6351:16 (637:11) 6354:16 (637:12) 6368:17,12 (14:38) 6409:22:03 (4412:8) reason 6333:14 (6353:8) reason 6333:14 (637:12) reason 6333:14 (637:12) 6373:17,2 (12:37):12 (12:37):					
6408.7 ready 6322.4 remarks 6392.11 6342.13,14,18,19 6391.21 (3922.3,17) 6394.88 6407.21 6384.88 6407.21 6384.23 (3637.10) 6384.21 (324.22,25) 6346.21 (325.23) 6409.5,13 6390.2 6391.19 6384.18 6386.8 6402.2,3.4 6405.18 6366.41,72.1 (357.14,21) 6409.5,13 6390.2 6391.19 6384.18 6386.8 6402.2,3.4 6405.18 6366.21 (377.14,11,2) 637.17 (378.14) 6355.18 6307.16 6373.19.23 6377.6 6372.10 (323.21) 637.12 (373.14,23) 637.17 (378.14) 6377.16 (378.14) 6377.16 (378.14) 6339.20 (339.26) 6402.10 (333.16) 6382.16,20 6376.14 (438.36) reasonable 639.8 resonable 639.8 resonable 639.12 round 6337.13 6402.24 (403.5,11 9438.56 (363.2,24) reasonable 639.8 resonable 639.12 round 6337.13 6342.15 (376.4 science 637.11 (634.31) 6360.21 (361.3,5) recoile 6332.15 recoile 6333.11 redue 6337.14 6342.25 (363.1,3) round 6337.13 6342.25 (367.2,4) 637.17 (376.4 scientic 637.15 (377.17) 6366.21 (367.2,4) scientic 637.16 (377.17) 6366.21 (369.2,2) 637.17 (377					
Pule 6390:7 6391:15 realised 634:8 realised 634:21 c6343:2 634:21,61,91 6412:21 scattification 6367:21 6408:4,20,23 6381:5 6387:9,10 6381:13,17 6384:17 6343:21,25,25 6368:4,17,21 6369: 6409:5,13 6392:6 6373:17 6309:2 6391:10 6331:10 6407:20 6412:22,3,4 6405:18 6369:17 6377:4,17 6302:24 6363:2,68 reason 6333:14 6353:8 reason 6335:16 637:16 reminde 6340:42 6414:8,9 6377:26,17 6378:4,17 6377:17 6378:14 6372:16,12 6375:17 6376:14 6383:16 6392:21 639:10 round 637:31 6400:11 6382:16,20 6370:14 6383:6 6392:21 c6392:10 c6402:10 scene 6335:11 6340:21 6361:3.5 reasonable 639:38 reparted 6372:42,25 6340:11 round 6375:13 6400:10 9ut 6355:16 6360:1,6 reasonable 639:38 reported 6375:2.2 c6376:17 round 6375:12 6477:10 6377:17 6368:21 6365:2 receive 634:8 reported 6375:2.2 6376:4 scene 6371:10 scene 6371:10 scene 6371:10 5372:10 638:16 6377:17 6376:2 6377:17 round 6375:12					
6394:8 6407:21 really 6343:8 6357:10 630:21 6381:6,77.11 6344:21,25,25 scarification 6367:21 6409:5,13 6390:2 6391:19 6381:5 6377:10 6381:13,17 6384:17 6351:8 6377:13 6369:17 6371:41,17 6352:16,20 6393:8 6396:9 6391:10 6407:20 6411:24 6412:8 6373:19,23 6377:6 6377:17 6355:16 6367:16 remind 634:7,9 6414:4.8,9 6373:19,23 6377:6 6377:17 6355:16 6367:16 remind 634:7,9 6414:4.8,9 6373:19,23 6377:6 6377:17 6355:16 337:11 remind 6340:4 roads 638:16 6337:12 6373:19,23 6377:6 6375:16 340:2,34 6376:14 6383:6 6376:14 6383:6 6399:11 rounds 6351:13 6402:24 6403:5,11 purposes 6399:1 econos 6358:25 resonable 6393:8 resonable 6394:8					
6-6098:4,20,23 6381:5 (387:9,10 6381:13,17 (338:17) 6385:18 (377:13) 6368:4,172,10 (369:17) purpose 6359:6 6393:8 6396:9 6391:10 6407:20 6411:24 6412.8 6372:6,12 6373:17, 6360:24 66373:17 6355:16 (337:14) 6372:14,16 (337):14 6372:14,16 (337):14 6372:14,16 (338):16 (339):20 6401:17 6377:7 6378:14 6372:14,16 (337):1 6372:14,16 (337):14 6339:21,22,23 6400:117 6382:16,20 637:14,18 (336:4) 6382:11 roughly 637:15 6402:10 9urposes 6399:1 6392:20 (399:4,6 6389:11 roughly 637:15 6402:44 6350:21 0361:1,6 reasonably 639:8 reported 6375:22 6376:14 8389:11 6362:10,13,20 recelve 63458 reported 6375:22 6376:14 science 6371:10 6364:22 6365:3,8,11 recelve 63458 reported 6375:12 6376:14 science 6371:10 6362:10,13,20 recelve 63458 reported 6375:12 reform 6337:13 6366:12 6341:4 (346):4 (354):9 6371:13 6366:12 6352:21 recolve 6352:18 recore 6372:12 6376:12 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
6409:5,13 6390:2 (39):19 6384:18 (6386:8) 6402:2,34 (405:18) 6372:16 (257:34) 6360:24 (363:2,6.8) reason 6333:14 (353:8) remind 6340:4) roads 6338:16 (359:2) 6373:19,23 (377:6) 6372:19 (378:14) 6372:19 (378:14) 6372:19 (378:14) reason 6333:14 (353:8) reason 6333:14 (353:8) reason 6333:14 (353:8) reason 6333:14 (353:8) reason 6332:11 roads 638:16 (359:20) 6410:17 6402:17 637:12 637:17 635:12 637:11 636:17 636:17 636:17 637:17 635:12 637:11 637:11 637:11 637:11 637:11 637:11 637:11					
purpose 6359:6 6393:8 6396:9 6391:10 6407:20 6411:24 6412:8 6372:14.16 6373:17 6360:24 6363:2.6.8 6373:14,16 6373:17 6375:19 6375:11,14 6375:19 6375:11,14 6372:14,16 6373:17 6372:14,16 6373:17 6375:19 6375:11,14 6332:16 239:21 6339:11 6339:22 6339:11 6339:21 purposes (399:1 6392:12 06399:4.6 6382:11 round 6333:13 6410:4 6355:16 0530:1.16 6392:20 6399:4.6 6382:11 round 6333:13 6400:4 6355:10 630:1.16 reasonably 6393:8 repeated 6375:2 6376:14 6382:11 6362:10 13.20 reseason 6358:23 reperters 6363:13.1 round 6337:15 6376:14 6382:21 6360:2.16 receive 6345:8 reporters 6363:13.1 run (3375:19 6348:24 6347:10 6332:14 6382:21 6369:2.21 6365:1 record 6334:9 reguret 6371:15 6406:10,11,11 6342:26 6348:24 6389:22 6397:22 record 6334:19 reguret 6337:16 6332:12,32:4 resporte 6352:14 6332:12,32:4 6332:12,32:4 6332:12,32:4 6332:12,32:4 6332:12,32:4 6332:12,32:4 6332:12,32:4 <					
6360:24 6363:2,6,8 reason 6333:14 6353:8 reminde 6340:4 reminde 6340:4 read 6338:16 6339:20 reattered 640:17 6372:14 (6373:17 6355:16 637:16 6372:19,23 6377:6 6339:21,22,23 6340:11 6402:10 6382:16,20 6376:14 (6383:6 6339:21,22,23 6330:21,22,23 6402:10 6402:10 6382:11 reminde 6352:4 6339:21,22,23 6340:11 6402:44 6435.11 6402:44 6435.11 6302:14 (383:6410:9 reasonab(393:8 6399:11 round 6375:12 6376.4 scene 635:11 633:1 6360:21 6361:3,5 recall 6331:8 6410.8 reported 6375:2 6376:14 6375:19 6376.4 science 6371:10 6362:21 6361:3,5 recoll 6334:9 reported 6375:2 6336:17 runing 6337:14 6347:10 6352:14 6387:16 6391:8 recoll 6334:9 representatives 6366:8 runing 6337:14 6342:16 6351:1 6387:16 6363:1 6369:22 resonable 6334:9 representatives 636:8 6348:24 6351:2 scene 637:10 sciene 637:110					
6372:14,16 6373:17 6355:16 6367:16 reminds 640:4 roads 6338:16 6339:20 scattered 640:17 6377:7 6378:16,20 6376:14 6383:6 6372:19 6375:11,14 reminds 6410:8 6339:21,22,23 6340:11 scattering 6401:11 purposes 0399:1 6307:210 6375:11,14 resonable 6393:8 6389:11 roughly 6347:15 6402:3 6402:3 6402:3 6402:3 6402:4 6402:3 6402:4 6402:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:11 6402:24 6403:5,11 6402:10 6402:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:10 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:12 6337:11 6337:11 6337:12 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:12 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:11 6337:12 634					
6377:7 6378:14 6372:19 6375:11,14 reminds 6410:8 6339:21,22,23 6402:10 gurposely 6348:6 6320:20 6399:4. 6330:21,22,23 6340:11 c4002:24 6403:5.11 purposes 6399:1 6400:8 6411:9 repact 6372:24,25 6340:11 c4004:4 6340:14,18 6340:2,3,4 reasonable 6393:8 reasonable 6393:8 repacted (352:24 round 6375:21 6376:4 scene 6335:11 6343:14 6360:21 6361:3,5 recall 6331:8 6410:8 reported 6375:2 round 6375:315,19 (348:24 science 6371:10 6362:16 6361:3,5 recelle 631:6 6411:4 reported 6375:2 reported 6375:19 (348:24 science 6371:10 6362:17 6369:2,21 recollection 632:25 reported 6371:10 science 6371:10 science 6371:10 6387:18 6392:11 recollection 632:25 require 633:18 require 634:64 639:11 require 634:64 639:11 require 634:64 639:11 require 634:64 639:12 scarch 634:19 scarch 634:12 scarch 634:12 scarch 633:12 scarch 633:12 scarch 634:12 scarc				<i>*</i>	
6382:16.20 6376:14 6383:6 repeat 6372:24,25 6340:11 coughly 6347:15	-				
purposely 6348:6 639:220 6399:4.6 6382:11 rough 637:15 6400:24 6403:5,11 purposes 6399:1 6400:8 6411:9 reasonable 639:38 6389:11 round 6351:16 6404:4 6340:14,18 6342:20 reasonable 639:38 reasonably 639:9 reparated 6351:1 round 6375:21 6376:4 science 6335:11 6343:1 6360:21 6361:3,5 recall 6331:8 6410:8 reported 6375:2 6376:4 science 6371:10 6362:20 6369:2,1 6365:2 recollection 6352:25 6363:17 rulin 6387:3 science 6371:10 6364:25 6365:3,8,11 recollection 6352:25 refuguet 6374:6 6393:11 ruling 6387:3 science 6371:10 6362:22 6393:18 6411:14 require 6374:6 6393:11 ruling 6331:14 6412:2 science 6371:20 6408:17 6414:3,17 refore 637:1,20 regipter 6408:25 respect 6352:14 ruling 633:12 second 6355:12,24 6387:20 6395:2 6336:13 6337:4 6332:1 6382:1 6410:5 6372:13 6365:1 6387:20 6395:2 6336:13 6337:4 6332:1 6336:12 6342:13 6372:14 6336:14 6387:20 6395:2					
purposes 6399:1 put 6335:18 6340:2,3.4 6340:14,18 6342:20,44 640e:4 reasonable 6393:8 6389:11 round 6375:21 6376:4 rounds 6375:21 6376:4 6389:11 640e:4 rounds 6375:21 6376:4 could 6352:9 640e:4 scene 6335:11 6343:1 6362:10,13,20 6360:10,16 6362:10,13,20 reasonable 6393:8 receive 6345:8 reparted (5375:2 reporters 6363:13,13 recoile 6352:25 rounds 6375:12,02,03 6375:12 6376:4 rounds 6375:15,20,23 6375:13 6376:4 receive 6345:8 science 6371:10 scienci 6372:22 science 6371:10 scienci 6372:22 science 6371:10 scienci 6372:22 science 6371:10 scienci 6372:22 scienci 6372:22 6375:13,20,33:19 receive 6332:12 scienci 6372:12 scienci 6372:22 c335:12 scienci 6371:15 scienci 6372:22 scienci 6372:12 scienci 6372:12 scienci 6371:15 scienci 6372:12 scienci 6371:10 scienci 6331:14 scienci 6371:15 scienci 6371:15 scienci 6372:12 scienci 6371:10 scienci 6372:12 scienci 6371:15 scienci 6371:15 scienci 6371:12 scienci 6371:10 scienci 6372:12 scienci 6371:10 scienci 6371:12 scienci 6371:10 scienci 6371:12 scienci 6371:10 scienci 6371:12 scienci 6371:10 scienci 6371:12 scienci 6371:12 scienci 6371:12 scienci	-				
put (335):18 6340:2.0 reasonable 6393:8 6389:11 round 6375:21 6376:4 scene 6335:11 6343:1 6340:14,18 6342:20 reasonably 6393:9 repatedly 6394:16 route 6349:4 6352:9 6377:17 6360:21 6361:3,5 recall 6331:8 6410.8 rephrasing 6391:2 raiber 6375:21 6376:4 science 6371:10 6362:12 6365:3,8,11 receive 6345:8 reported 6375:2 6377:13 science 6371:10 6364:22 6365:3,8,11 6387:8 6391:8 6406:10,1,11 6342:25 6337:14 6375:21 6392:3 6355:12,0,23 require 6374:6 6393:11 require 6374:6 6393:11 6411:14 6393:12,13 6406:10,1,11 6342:25 6332:14 6412:3 scope 6362:11 6392:25 6397:22 recover 6400:12 require 6374:6 6393:11 6341:14 6393:12,13 6414:13 6372:13 6356:12 scent 6335:12, 6372:1 6402:1 scient 6374:15 6397:2 6387:20 6354:12 6336:13 6374:4 6336:13 6374:4 6340:12,12 c414:14 6333:18 6410:2 second 6355:12,24 6410:25 6336:13 6374:2 6336:13 6356:12 score 6336:12 score 6332:16 score		,		e .	-
6340:14,18 6342:20 reasonably 6393:9 repeatedly 6394:16 route 6349:4 6352:9 6347:10 6358:14 6350:21 0360:1.16 recail 6331:8 6410:8 reporters 6363:13,13 6376:4 rsience 6371:10 6362:10 0362:20 0365:2,811 6365:2 recoilection 6352:25 6363:17 recoilection 6352:25 6363:17 ruling 6387:3 science 6371:10 6368:12 0369:2,21 6365:2 recoilection 6352:25 6331:14 6363:17 reduite 6333:18 reduite 6333:18 reduite 6333:14 6348:24 6354:9 6342:24 6354:9 6342:25 6333:14 6342:25 6333:14 6342:25 6333:14 6342:25 6333:14 6341:4 6346:4 6351:2 6342:25 6335:12,24 6341:4 6346:4 6351:2 scench 6334:19 6342:25 6336:21 6412:3 scench 6334:19 6342:13 6361:2 6372:11 6392:25 6372:11 6392:25 6372:11 6392:25 6372:11 6392:25 6372:11 6392:25 6372:11 6392:25 6372:11 6392:25 6372:12 6374:22 6412:3 6412:3 6412:4 6412:14 6372:12 6374:22 6412:4 6412:3 6412:4 6412:15 6412:4 6412:15 6412:4 6412:15 6372:12 6374:22 6372:12 6374:22 6372:12 6374:22 6372:12 6374:22 6372:13 6376:21 6416:20 555			-		
6355:2,10 6360:1,16 reasons 6358:23 rephrasing 6391:2 rubbe 6375:15,20,23 6377:17 6362:10,13,20 receive 6345:8 reported 6375:2 6367:14 ruling 6387:3 ruing 6387:3 6362:10 6369:2,21 6365:2 recoile 6334:9 reported 6375:12 6368:24 6348:24 6349:25 6368:20 6375:15 6392:3 6366:2 6368:20 6375:15 6392:3 6367:14 6372:12 6372:12 6372:12 6372:12 6372:12 6372:12 6372:12 6372:12 6382:22 6333:14 6411:14 6393:12,13 6371:15 6372:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6332:12 6372:11 6399:25 6372:13 6372:11 6399:25 6372:13 6372:13 6372:13 6372:13 6372:12 6372:12 6372:11 6399:25 6410:2,12 6410:2,12 6410:2,12 6410:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 64101:2,12 <t< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	•				
6360:21 6360:21 6361:3,5 recall 6331:8 6410:8 reporter 6363:13,13 run 6337:5,19 6378:3 scope 6378:16 scope 6378:16 scope 6337:5,19 6387:8 scope 6337:5,19 6387:8 scope 6337:5,19 6387:8 scope 6337:1 scope 6337:2 6337:1 scope 6337:2 6337:1 scope 6337:2 6337:1 scope 6337:1 scope 6337:1 scope 6337:1 scope 6337:1 <th< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>		•			
6362:10,13,20 receive 6345:8 reporters 6363:13,13 ruling 6387:3 scientist 6371:15 6364:25 6365:3,8,11 6365:17 6363:17 reporters 6363:13,13 ruling 6387:3 scientist 6371:15 6363:21 6369:2,21 6365:22 record 6334:9 require 6374:6 6393:18 require 6374:6 6393:11 6416:10,11,11 6342:25 6343:6 6395:22 6397:22 recover 6400:12 require 6374:6 6393:11 6314:14 6346:4 6351:2 search 6334:19 6415:21 rediatial 6348:1 require 6374:15 6397:3 residential 6348:1 residential 6348:1 runs 6336:21 6342:13 6372:12 6397:2 putting 6343:18,25 6336:13 6337:4 6353:23 6355:8,12 6400:24 6415:5 6400:2,12 6414:14 6346:19 6363:4 64407.8 6356:15 response 6370:13 response 6370:16 safety 6350:24 6358:23 6400:2,12 6414:14 6335:23,23,24 6335:23 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:16 6366:12 6349:13 6382:9 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
6364:25 6363:17 run 6337:5,19 6348:24 scope 6362:11 6368:21 6365:2 representatives 6356:8 6348:24 6354:9 screen 6337:22 6333:12 6387:8 6391:8 6392:3 6406:10,11,11 6342:25 6343:14 6412:3 6395:22 6397:22 6335:17,20 require 6374:6 6393:11 6411:12 search 6334:19 6408:17 6413:13,17 6433:12,23,24 respect 6352:14 respect 6352:14 6314:16:63 c335:22.36:411:12 search 6335:12,224 6415:5 9utting 6343:18,25 6336:13 6336:12 6335:21,23,24 respect 6352:16 c352:23 6415:5 second 6355:12,24 6415:5 6365:13 6378:2 reduce 6415:21 response 6394:9 6338:23 6352:16 safety 6350:24 6352:23 security 6358:23 safety 6350:24 6349:22 6349:22 6335:22,23,23,24 save 6335:12 save 6335:22,33:21 save 6335:23 save 6352:13 save 6353:20 save 6352:13 save 6352:13 save 6352:23 security 6358:23 security 6358:23					
6368:21 6369:2,21 6365:2 representatives 6356:8 6348:24 6348:24 6337:8 6346:10,11,11 6342:25 6337:8 6337:8 6302:10,11,11 6342:25 6337:8 6406:10,11,11 6342:25 6337:8 6412:3					
6375:13 6386:20,21 record 6334:9 request 6333:18 6406:10,11,11 6342:25 6334:6 6387:8 6391:8 6392:3 RECORDING 6411:4 6393:12,13 6341:4 6346:4 6351:2 6395:22 6397:22 6397:22 6335:12,23,22 recover 6400:12 require 6341:4 6346:4:6351:2 search 6334:19 6415:21 red 6335:12,22,4 respect 6352:14 runs 6336:21 6332:1 6337:12 6410:2,1 635:2,1 6410:2,1 6410:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1 635:2,1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6387:8 6391:8 6392:3 6392:25 6393:18 RECORDING 6411:4 6411:14 require 6374:6 6393:11 6393:12,13 running 6331:14 6341:4 6346:4 6351:2 6412:3 6341:4 6346:4 6351:2 6395:22 6397:22 6408:17 6414:3,17 6413:1 6412:3 6341:4 6346:4 6351:2 6352:23 6411:12 search 6334:19 search 6334:16 6371:21 6371:22 6371:21 6371:21 6371:21 6371:21 6371:22 6411:21 seardh 6374:26 6371:22 6371:22 6371:22 6371:22 6351:23 seardit 6335:21 6351:23 6352:23 6352:23 6352:24 6352:23 6352:23 seardit 6332:11 6340:22 6340:22 634					
6392:25 6393:18 6411:14 6393:12,13 6341:4 6346:4 6351:2 search 6334:19 6395:22 6397:22 recover 6400:12 required 6408:25 6352:23 6411:12 search 6334:19 6408:17 6414:3,17 red 6332:1 6335:17,20 respect 6352:23 6411:12 second 6355:12,24 6415:21 6335:21,23,24 respect 6352:14 runs 6336:21 6342:13 6372:11 6399:25 6365:13 6374:2 6340:7,8 6356:15 6372:13 6386:12 6402:4 6401:2,12 6414:14 6396:9 reference 6372:13 respectful 6352:16 SA 6410:6 6371:25 6374:22 6396:9 referring 6338:25 response 6394:9 6395:3 safety 6350:24 6358:23 6359:8 guestioned 6394:8 6340:22 6349:6 resume 6370:19 save 6355:18 6351:1 6340:812 6342:15 6355:5 6367:12,13,14 6408:5,6 6370:21,22 6400:21 6358:15 6351:16 6352:4,23,24 6335:9 6335:21,23,24 6335:9 6410:24 reformulate 6386:21 retort 6358:25 6416:9 6351:16 6352:4,23,24 6342:15 6355:16,17,18,19 reformulate 6386:21 retort 6358:25 6416:9 6351:16 6350:25 6416:22 <	,		-		
6395:22 6397:22 recover 6400:12 required 6408:25 6352:23 6411:12 seated 6374:15 6397: 6408:17 6414:3,17 red 6332:1 6335:17,20 residential 6348:1 6415:6,8 runs 6336:21 6342:13 6372:11 6399:25 putting 6343:18,25 6335:23 635:8,12 6335:23 635:8,12 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:4 6412:5 second 6353:20 6372:13 6386:12 6372:13 6386:12 6372:13 6386:12 6372:13 6386:12 6371:25 6374:22 secondly 6353:20 6371:25 6374:22 secondly 6351:10 6371:25 6374:22 secondly 6351:20 6371:25 6374:22 secondly 6353:20 6351:13 6352:14 6340:8;25 12 6353:23 6359:7 seco 6351:13 6352:14 6340:8;25 12 6353:23 6359:12 seco 6371:20 6338:25 seco 6371:20 6338:15 6371:22 seco 6371:12 6351:15 6340:8;25 6392:25 seco 6371:12 6351:16 6355:12			1		
6408:17 6414:3,17 6415:21 red 6332:1 6335:17,20 6335:21,23,24 residential 6348:1 respect 6352:14 6415:6,8 runs 6336:21 6342:13 second 6355:12,24 putting 6343:18,25 6336:13 6337:4 6336:13 6337:4 6353:23 6365:8,12 6352:13 6386:12 6410:2.12 6414:14 6415:5 6365:13 6378:2 6387:20 6395:2 reduce 6415:21 6416:20 Safety 6350:24 6358:23 secondly 6353:20 0 referred 6333:19 6410:25 6410:25 Safety 6350:24 6358:23 security 6358:23 0 referring 6338:25 rest 6364:18 6365:6 SAPS 6333:21 see 6331:13 6335:21,2 0 6410:25 resume 6370:19 save 6345:3 6335:23,23,23,24 6335 0 6351:19 6352:15 6385:6 6392:25 resume 6370:19 save 6345:3 6335:13 (6335:21, 6357:25,5 6367:12,13,14 6408:5,6 6330:17 6400:11,13 reflected 6361:24 6400:22 reformulate 638:21 reture 6370:12 savid 6338:15 6347:17 6344:9,20,24 6355: 6345:13 (6356:14 6351:6 6356:12 6416:22 reformulate 638:21 reture 6370:1 6351:20 6338:9 6358:16 6366:4 6371:26 6372:4 6389:37 6352:14 6361:19 refise 6384:24					
6415:21 6335:21,23,24 respect 6352:14 runs 6336:21 6342:13 6372:11 6399:25 putting 6343:18,25 6336:13 6337:4 6336:12 6336:12 6402:4 6401:2,12 6414:14 6346:19 6363:4 6340:7,8 6356:15 6372:13 6386:12 6416:20 S 6410:25 6410:20 6336:21 6396:9 reference 6372:13 respectful 6352:16 SA 6410:6 safety 6350:24 6358:23 6371:25 6374:22 6396:9 referred 6333:19 6410:25 6400:18 response 6394:9 6395:3 6450:24 6358:23 6358:23 6359:7 secondly 6353:20 questione 6394:8 6340:22 6349:6 resume 6370:19 save 6345:3 6335:21,5 6357:12 6340:82,57 63417:12 save 6350:18 6351:1 6340:82,12 6342:15 6335:119 6352:15 6355:6 6367:12,13,14 64008:5,6 6417:12 save 6331:20 6351:1 6344:9,20,24 6345:: 6344:9,20,24 6345:: 6344:9,20,24 6345:: 6344:9,20,24 6345:: 6344:9,20,24 6345:: 6344:9,20,24 6345:: 6356:18 6366:4 6347:2 6349:13 6356:15 6356:15 6416:9 6358:16 6356:15 6416:9 6358:11 6356:25 6416:9 6358:11 6356:15 6358:1			-		
putting 6343:18,25 6336:13 6337:4 6353:23 6365:8,12 6402:4 6401:2,12 6414:14 6346:19 6363:4 6340:7,8 6356:15 6372:13 6386:12 6372:13 6386:12 6416:20 5353:23 6365:8,12 6401:2,12 6414:14 6355:13 6378:2 reduce 6415:21 6416:20 5363:23 6359:7 5362:26 6372:20 6371:25 6374:22 6400:18 6353:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6358:23 6359:7 6359:8 5362:32,32,24 6339 6359:8 5362:32,32,24 6339 6359:8 5362:32,32,24 6339 6359:8 5362:32,32,24 6339 6359:8 5362:32,32,24 6339 6359:25 6351:16 6351:16 6351:16 6352:16 6336:32,23,23,24 6339 6359:28 5362:42,525 6343:13,15,16,20 6336:12 4630:8,12 6339:23 6351:16 6351:16 6352:17 6336:12 4630:8,12 6339:23 6351:16 6356:15 6347:2 6349:13 6331:16 6356:15 6347:2 6349:13 6351:20 6338:19 6341:32,17 6341:9,20,24 6345:15 6351:16 6356:15 6365:14 6366:4 6365:15 6341:12,17 6342:7 6343:8 6344:4 6370:6 6379:4 6389 6351:16 6350:25 6416:9 6351:16 6350:25 6416:9 6351:16 6356:15 6416:9 6351:16 6350:25 6383:17 6389:25 64					-
6346:19 6363:4 6340:7,8 6356:15 6372:13 6386:12 6415:5 6365:13 6378:2 reduce 6415:21 6416:20 S secondly 6353:20 6396:9 referred 6333:19 6410:25 respectful 6352:16 SA 6410:6 6371:25 6374:22 Q referring 6338:25 referring 6338:25 respectful 6355:6 SAPS 6333:21 see 6331:13 6335:21, questione 6394:8 6340:22 6349:6 resume 6370:19 save 6345:3 6335:23, 6359:7 see 6331:13 6335:21, 6355:5 6367:12,13,14 6385:6 resume 6370:19 save 6350:18 6351:1 6340:8,12 6342:15 6416:22 reflected 6361:24 retort 6358:25 6417:12 save 6331:16 6351:1 6340:8,12 6342:15 6380:17 6400:11,13 reflected 6361:24 retort 6358:25 6416:9 saving 6331:20 6338:9 6358:11 6366:20,22 6416:22 reformulate 6347:5 retrie d 6311:10 retrie d 6370:1 63451:16 6352:15 6400:14,6415 6350:25 6416:9 6351:16 6356:15 6400:14 6415:22 6414:2 retort 6358:26 6416:9 6351:16 6356:15 6383:13 6382:1 6351:16 6350:25 6383:7 6389:25 6363:20 6379:24 reformul			-		
6365:13 6378:2 reduce 6415:21 6416:20 S secondly 6353:20 6387:20 6395:2 Reference 6372:13 respectful 6352:16 SA 6410:6 6371:25 6374:22 6396:9 6410:25 6400:18 response 6394:9 6395:3 6358:23 6359:7 6359:8 Q referred 6333:19 6440:25 response 6394:9 6395:3 6358:23 6359:7 6359:8 questioned 6394:8 6340:22 6349:6 resume 6370:19 save 6345:3 6335:23,23,24 6339 questions 6331:6 6366:14 6369:9 6417:12 save 6345:3 63340:8,12 6342:15 6355:5 6367:12,13,14 6408:5,6 6370:21,22 6400:21 save 6338:15 6347:17 63449,20,24 6345: 6380:17 6400:11,13 reformulate 6386:21 retort 6358:25 6416:9 6351:16 6356:15 6416:22 reformulate 6386:21 retured 6370:1 6343:120 6338:9 6383:16 632:4,5,14 6416:22 reformulate 6386:21 retured 6370:1 6342:7 6343:8 6344:4 6370:6 6379:4 6389 6416:22 reformulate 6386:21 retured 6370:1 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6435:224 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.020</td> <td></td>				0.020	
6387:20 6395:2 Reference 6372:13 respectful 6352:16 SA 6410:6 6371:25 6374:22 6396:9 6410:25 referred 6333:19 6400:18 6358:23 6359:7 safety 6350:24 6358:23 security 6358:23 Q referring 6338:25 rest 6364:18 6365:6 SAPS 6333:21 see 6331:13 6335:21,7 questioned 6394:8 6340:22 6349:6 resume 6370:19 save 6345:3 6340:8,12 6342:15 6355:5 6367:12,13,14 6408:5,6 6370:21,22 6400:21 sawe 6338:15 637:17 63449:20,24 6345:3 6380:17 6400:11,13 reformulate 6386:21 reformulate 6386:21 retort 6358:25 6416:9 6351:16 6350:12 6416:22 guicker 6372:24 6414:2 reformulate 6347:5 6413:21 retreing 6411:7,21 retrieved 6389:9,14 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6370:6 6379:4 638:25 6332:14 6361:19 refusing 6386:4,8,20 refusing 6386:4,8,20 refusing 6386:4,8,20 refusing 6386:4,8,20 refusing 6352:16 6399:23 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6346:17 regarid 6391:16 regarid 6399:13 returned 6370:1 6354:3 6357:7,19 6401:22,22 6402:1,				S	
6396:9 referred 6333:19 6410:25 response 6394:9 6395:3 6400:18 safety 6350:24 6358:23 6358:23 6359:7 security 6358:23 6359:8 Q referring 6338:25 rest 6364:18 6365:6 SAPS 6333:21 see 6331:13 6335:21,3 6359:8 questioned 6394:8 6340:22 6349:6 resume 6370:19 save 6345:3 63408:121 6355:5 6367:12,13,14 6408:5,6 6370:21,22 6400:21 saw 638:15 6347:17 6344:9,20,24 6345: 6360:14 6369:9 6417:12 saw 638:15 6347:17 6340:8,12 6342:15 6340:8,12 6342:15 6355:5 6367:12,13,14 6408:5,6 6370:21,22 6400:21 saw 638:15 6347:17 6344:9,20,24 6345: 6416:22 reformulate 6386:21 retort 6358:25 6416:9 6331:20 6338:9 6358:16 6350:15 6416:22 reformulate 6347:5 reformulate 6347:5 retured 6370:1 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6352:14 6361:19 refuse 6384:24 returned 6370:1 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6366:2 6400:9 regard 6352:8 6390:15 returned 6370:1 6358:16 359:3,4 6402:9,12 6404:4,1 6386:2 6400:9 regard 6352:8 6390:15				SA 6410:6	
Q6410:256400:186358:23 6359:76359:8questioned 6394:86340:22 6349:6rest 6364:18 6365:6SAPS 6333:21see 6331:13 6335:21,26351:19 6352:156366:14 6369:96417:12save 6345:36336:23,23,24 63396355:5 6367:12,13,146408:5,66417:12save 6350:18 6351:16340:8,12 6342:156356:16 6392:256385:6 6392:256370:21,22 6400:21save 6358:256344:9,20,24 6345:6356:16 636:14 6361:24reflected 6361:246400:226365:18 6366:46347:2 6349:136380:17 6400:11,136414:2reformulate 6386:21retort 6358:256416:96351:16 6356:156416:22reformulate 6386:21retort 6358:256416:96358:16 6350:256383:1 66350:156416:22reformulate 6347:5retreat 6411:10saying 6331:20 6338:96358:11 6366:20,216352:14 6361:196413:21returned 6370:16351:16 6352:4,5,146401:10,15,16,17,26352:14 6361:19regard 6352:8 6390:156381:3 6382:16354:3 6357:7,196401:22,22 6402:1,6362:2 6400:9regard 6352:8 6390:156357:56358:16 6359:3,46402:9,12 6404:4,16386:2 6400:9regard 6352:86399:23returning 6356:116366:156409:21 6411:7,126357:52regard 6369:2regard 6361:216376:13 6377:186413:8,9,12,21,236409:6regard 6361:2returning 6356:116366:156409:21 6411:7,126365:9 6366:156409:236357:56357:56356:156409:21 6411:7,126408					
Qreferring 6338:25rest 6364:18 6365:6SAPS 6333:21see 6331:13 6335:21,2questioned 6394:86340:22 6349:6resume 6370:19save 6345:36335:23,23,24 6339questions 6331:66366:14 6369:96417:12saved 6350:18 6351:16340:8,12 6342:156351:19 6352:156385:6 6392:25resumes 6331:26354:25,256343:13,15,16,206355:5 6367:12,13,146408:5,66370:21,22 6400:216355:18 6366:46347:2 6349:136380:17 6400:11,13reflected 6361:246400:226416:96351:6 6356:156416:22reformulate 6386:21retort 6358:256416:96351:1 6365:156416:22reformulate 6347:5retreat 6411:10saying 6331:20 6338:96358:11 6366:20,216416:22reformulate 6347:5retrieved 6389:9,146346:15 6350:256383:7 6389:25quick c333:19 6351:9refusing 6386:4,8,206381:3 6382:16351:16 6355:1,146351:16 6352:4,5,146366:20 6379:24retung 6386:4,8,206381:3 6382:16354:3 6357.7,196401:22,22 6402:1,6366:20 6400:9regard 6391:166405:176358:16 6359:3,46402:9,12 6404:4,16386:2 6400:9regard 639:236357:56365:9 6366:156409:21 6411:7,18quoted 6357:22regarding 6369:2returning 6356:116365:13 6377:186413:8,9,12,21,236409:6reverted 6361:21reinserted 6361:6reverted 6361:216376:13 6377:186413:8,9,12,21,236409:6reinserted 6361:6rhorical 6351:15,186384:15 6386:176414:4,5,19 6415			-	-	•
questioned 6394:8 questions 6331:66340:22 6349:6 6366:14 6369:9resume 6370:19 6417:12save 6345:36335:23,23,24 63396351:19 6352:156385:6 6392:25resumes 6331:26354:25,256340:8,12 6342:156355:5 6367:12,13,146408:5,66370:21,22 6400:216354:25,256343:13,15,16,206380:17 6400:11,13reformulate 6386:216400:226365:18 6366:46347:2 6349:136416:22reformulate 6386:21retort 6358:256416:96351:6 6356:156416:22reformulate 6347:5retreat 6411:10saying 6331:20 6338:96358:11 6366:20,216416:22reformulate 6347:5retreed 6411:7,216342:7 6343:8 6344:46370:6 6379:4 6380quicke 6372:246413:21retrieved 6389:9,146346:15 6350:256383:7 6389:256352:14 6361:19refusing 6386:4,8,206381:3 6382:16354:3 63577,196401:10,15,16,17,26352:26 6379:24regarined 6391:16redos176358:1 6359:3,46402:9,12 6402:1,6365:20 6379:24regarined 6391:16returning 6356:116361:11 6362:66404:12,13 6406:206408:17regaring 6369:26357:56365:9 6366:156409:21 6411:7,18quoted 6357:22regarding 6369:26357:56365:13 6377:186413:8,9,12,21,23reture 6361:21reture 6361:216376:13 6377:186413:8,9,12,21,23reture 6361:21reture 6361:216376:13 6377:186413:8,9,12,21,23reture 6361:21reture 6361:216376:13 6377:186413:8,9,12,21,23reture 6361:6ret	Q				see 6331:13 6335:21,22
questions 6331:66366:14 6369:96417:12saved 6350:18 6351:16340:8,12 6342:156351:19 6352:156385:6 6392:25resumes 6331:26354:25,256343:13,15,16,206355:5 6367:12,13,146408:5,66370:21,22 6400:21saw 6338:15 6347:176344:9,20,24 6345:6367:16,17,18,19reflected 6361:246400:226365:18 6366:46347:2 6349:136380:17 6400:11,13reformulate 6386:21retort 6358:256416:96351:6 6356:156400:226414:2retort 6358:256416:96351:6 6356:156416:22reformulate 6347:5retreat 6411:10saying 6331:20 6338:96358:11 6366:20,216416:22reformulate 6347:5retreed 6389:9,146346:15 6350:256383:7 6389:25quicker 6372:246413:21retrieved 6389:9,146346:15 6350:256383:7 6389:256352:14 6361:19refusing 6386:4,8,20resured 6370:16351:16 6352:4,5,146401:10,15,16,17,26363:20 6379:24regained 6391:166405:176358:1 6359:3,46402:9,12 6404:4,16386:2 6400:9regard 6352:8 6390:15returning 6356:116365:9 6366:156404:12,13 6406:206408:176391:5 6399:23resures 6410:4,11reverted 6361:216366:11,14 6375:56412:3,4,25 6413:16409:6regard 6361:21retures 6410:4,116368:11,14 6375:56412:3,4,25 6413:1reture 6361:21retures 6410:4,116368:11,21 6368:176414:4,5,19 6415:1		-			6335:23,23,24 6339:3
6351:19 6352:15 6385:6 6392:25 resumes 6331:2 6354:25,25 6343:13,15,16,20 6355:5 6367:12,13,14 6408:5,6 6370:21,22 6400:21 saw 6338:15 6347:17 6344:9,20,24 6345: 6367:16,17,18,19 reflected 6361:24 6400:22 6365:18 6366:4 6347:2 6349:13 6380:17 6400:11,13 reformulate 6386:21 retort 6358:25 6416:9 6355:16 6356:15 6400:14 6415:22 6414:2 retreat 6411:10 saying 6331:20 6338:9 6358:11 6366:20,21 6416:22 reformulated 6347:5 retreat 6411:10 saying 6331:20 6338:9 6358:11 6366:20,21 6416:22 reformulated 6347:5 retreat 6411:10 saying 6331:20 6338:9 6358:11 6366:20,21 6416:22 reformulated 6347:5 retreat 6411:10 saying 6331:20 6338:9 6388:7 6389:25 6352:14 6361:19 refusing 6386:4,8,20 resumed 6370:1 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6363:20 6379:24 regard 6352:8 6390:15 returning 6356:11 6361:11 6362:6 6404:12,13 6406:20 6408:17 6391:5 6399:23 regard 6352:8 6390:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 6409:6 regard 6350:2					
6355:5 6367:12,13,14 6367:16,17,18,19 6380:17 6400:11,13 6416:226408:5,6 reflected 6361:24 reformulate 6386:21 6414:26370:21,22 6400:21 6400:22saw 6338:15 6347:17 6365:18 6366:4 6416:96344:9,20,24 6345: 6347:2 6349:136400:14 6415:22 6416:226414:2 reformulated 6347:5 6413:21retort 6358:25 retreat 6411:10 retrieved 6389:9,146312:0 6338:9 6342:7 6343:8 6344:46370:6 6379:4 6380 6351:6 6350:25quicker 6372:24 quite 6333:19 6351:9refusing 6386:4,8,20 refusing 6386:4,8,20returned 6370:1 6405:176342:7 6343:8 6344:4 6351:16 6352:4,5,146370:6 6379:4 6380 6401:10,15,16,17,26352:14 6361:19 6363:20 6379:24 6408:17 6408:17refusing 6386:4,8,20 regard 6352:8 6390:15 6391:5 6399:236381:3 6382:1 6357:56354:3 6357:7,19 6355:11 6365:9 6366:156402:9,12 6404:4,1 6365:9 6366:15quoted 6357:22regarding 6369:2 6409:6 reinserted 6361:6Reuters 6410:4,11 reverted 6361:21 retorical 6351:15,186384:15 6386:176413:8,9,12,21,23 6413:8,9,12,21,23	-				
6367:16,17,18,19 reflected 6361:24 6400:22 6365:18 6366:4 6347:2 6349:13 6380:17 6400:11,13 reformulate 6386:21 retort 6358:25 6416:9 6351:6 6356:15 6410:22 6414:2 retreat 6411:10 saying 6331:20 6338:9 6370:6 6379:4 6380 6416:22 reformulated 6347:5 retreat 6411:10 retreating 6411:7,21 6346:15 6350:25 6383:7 6389:25 quicker 6372:24 6413:21 retrieved 6389:9,14 6346:15 6350:25 6383:7 6389:25 6383:7 6389:25 quite 6333:19 6351:9 refusing 6386:4,8,20 resing 6386:4,8,20 returned 6370:1 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6363:20 6379:24 regained 6391:16 6405:17 6358:1 6359:3,4 6402:9,12 6404:4,1 6386:2 6400:9 regard 6352:8 6390:15 returning 6356:11 6361:11 6362:6 6404:12,13 6406:20 6408:17 6391:5 6399:23 regard 6369:2 6357:5 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 6409:6 reinserted 6361:6 retorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6413:8,9,12,21,23 retorical 6351:15,18 retorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1		6408:5,6			6344:9,20,24 6345:18
6380:17 6400:11,13 6400:14 6415:22 reformulate 6386:21 6414:2 retort 6358:25 retreat 6411:10 6416:9 6351:6 6356:15 6416:22 6414:2 reformulated 6347:5 retreating 6411:7,21 6342:7 6343:8 6344:4 6370:6 6379:4 6380 quicker 6372:24 6413:21 retrieved 6389:9,14 retrieved 6389:9,14 6346:15 6350:25 6383:7 6389:25 quite 6333:19 6351:9 refusing 6386:4,8,20 refusing 6386:4,8,20 returned 6370:1 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6363:20 6379:24 regained 6391:16 6405:17 6358:1 6359:3,4 6402:9,12 6404:4,1 6386:2 6400:9 regard 6352:8 6390:15 6357:5 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 6408:17 6391:5 6399:23 returning 6356:11 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 quoted 6357:22 regarding 6369:2 Reuters 6410:4,11 6376:13 6377:18 6413:8,9,12,21,23 6409:6 reinserted 6361:6 retorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1		,	-		
6400:14 6415:22 6414:2 retreat 6411:10 saying 6331:20 6338:9 6358:11 6366:20,21 6416:22 reformulated 6347:5 6413:21 retreating 6411:7,21 6342:7 6343:8 6344:4 6370:6 6379:4 6380:25 quite 6333:19 6351:9 6413:21 refuse 6384:24 retreved 6389:9,14 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6352:14 6361:19 refusing 6386:4,8,20 refusing 6386:4,8,20 returned 6370:1 6354:3 6357:7,19 6401:22,22 6402:1, 6363:20 6379:24 regard 6352:8 6390:15 6405:17 6358:1 6359:3,4 6402:9,12 6404:4,1 6386:2 6400:9 regard 6352:8 6390:15 6357:5 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 6408:17 6391:5 6399:23 regarding 6369:2 6409:6 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 6409:6 reinserted 6361:6 reverted 6361:21 6376:13 6377:18 6413:8,9,12,21,23 retorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1					
6416:22 reformulated 6347:5 retreating 6411:7,21 6342:7 6343:8 6344:4 6370:6 6379:4 6380 quicker 6372:24 6413:21 retrieved 6389:9,14 6346:15 6350:25 6383:7 6389:25 quite 6333:19 6351:9 refusing 6386:4,8,20 refusing 6386:4,8,20 returned 6370:1 6351:16 6352:4,5,14 6401:10,15,16,17,2 6363:20 6379:24 regained 6391:16 6405:17 6358:1 6359:3,4 6402:9,12 6404:4,1 6386:2 6400:9 regard 6352:8 6390:15 6307:5 6365:9 6366:15 6404:12,13 6406:20 6408:17 6391:5 6399:23 regard 6369:2 returning 6356:11 6368:11,14 6375:5 6412:3,4,25 6413:11 quoted 6357:22 regarding 6369:2 reverted 6361:21 reverted 6361:21 6376:13 6377:18 6413:8,9,12,21,23 R reinserted 6361:6 retorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1					6358:11 6366:20,21
quicker 6372:246413:21retrieved 6389:9,146346:15 6350:256383:7 6389:25quite 6333:19 6351:9refuse 6384:24returned 6370:16351:16 6352:4,5,146401:10,15,16,17,26352:14 6361:19refusing 6386:4,8,20refusing 6386:4,8,206381:3 6382:16354:3 6357:7,196401:22,22 6402:1,6363:20 6379:24regard 6391:166405:176358:1 6359:3,46402:9,12 6404:4,16386:2 6400:9regard 6352:8 6390:156405:176361:11 6362:66404:12,13 6406:206408:176391:5 6399:23returning 6356:116365:9 6366:156409:21 6411:7,18quoted 6357:22regarding 6369:2Reuters 6410:4,116368:11,14 6375:56412:3,4,25 6413:1Rreinserted 6361:6returnial 6351:15,186384:15 6386:176414:4,5,19 6415:1		reformulated 6347:5			6370:6 6379:4 6380:7
quite 6333:19 6351:9refuse 6384:24returned 6370:16351:16 6352:4,5,146401:10,15,16,17,26352:14 6361:19refusing 6386:4,8,20refusing 6386:4,8,206381:3 6382:16354:3 6357:7,196401:22,22 6402:1,6366:2 6400:9regard 6352:8 6390:156405:176358:1 6359:3,46402:9,12 6404:4,16386:2 6400:9regard 6352:8 6390:156391:5 6399:236357:56365:9 6366:156404:12,13 6406:206408:176391:5 6399:23regarding 6369:26409:66357:56365:9 6366:156409:21 6411:7,18quoted 6357:22regarding 6369:2reverted 6361:216376:13 6377:186413:8,9,12,21,236413:8,9,12,21,23Rreinserted 6361:6retorical 6351:15,186384:15 6386:176414:4,5,19 6415:1	quicker 6372:24				
6352:14 6361:19 refusing 6386:4,8,20 6381:3 6382:1 6354:3 6357:7,19 6401:22,22 6402:1, 6363:20 6379:24 regained 6391:16 6405:17 6358:1 6359:3,4 6402:9,12 6404:4,1 6386:2 6400:9 6391:5 6399:23 6357:5 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 quoted 6357:22 regard 6352:8 6390:15 6409:21 6357:5 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 R reinserted 6361:6 reverted 6361:21 6376:13 6377:18 6413:8,9,12,21,23 R reinserted 6361:6 retorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1		refuse 6384:24		6351:16 6352:4,5,14	6401:10,15,16,17,21
6363:20 6379:24 regained 6391:16 6405:17 6358:1 6359:3,4 6402:9,12 6404:4,1 6386:2 6400:9 6391:5 6399:23 returning 6355:11 6361:11 6362:6 6409:21 6404:12,13 6406:20 6408:17 6391:5 6399:23 regarding 6357:5 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 quoted 6357:22 regarding 6369:2 6409:6 reverted 6361:21 6376:13 6377:18 6413:8,9,12,21,23 R reinserted 6361:6 rhetorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1	-				6401:22,22 6402:1,7
6386:2 6400:9 6408:17 regard 6352:8 6390:15 6391:5 6399:23 returning 6356:11 6357:5 6361:11 6362:6 6365:9 6366:15 6404:12,13 6406:20 quoted 6357:22 regarding 6369:2 6409:6 reverted 6361:21 6368:11,14 6375:5 6412:3,4,25 6413:1 R reinserted 6361:6 returning 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1	/ 31 1 1/32				6402:9,12 6404:4,10
6408:17 6391:5 6399:23 6357:5 6365:9 6366:15 6409:21 6411:7,18 quoted 6357:22 regarding 6369:2 6409:6 Reuters 6410:4,11 6368:11,14 6375:5 6412:3,4,25 6413:1 R reinserted 6361:6 retorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			-	6404:12,13 6406:20
quoted 6357:22regarding 6369:2 6409:6Reuters 6410:4,11 reverted 6361:216368:11,14 6375:5 6376:13 6377:186412:3,4,25 6413:1 6413:8,9,12,21,23Rreinserted 6361:6reverted 6361:21 rhetorical 6351:15,186384:15 6386:176414:4,5,19 6415:1					
R 6409:6 reverted 6361:21 6376:13 6377:18 6413:8,9,12,21,23 reinserted 6361:6 rhetorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1					6412:3,4,25 6413:1,6
R reinserted 6361:6 rhetorical 6351:15,18 6384:15 6386:17 6414:4,5,19 6415:1	4 all at 14				
	R	reinserted 6361:6	rhetorical 6351:15,18		6414:4,5,19 6415:12
	ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Rustenburg

				Page
6416:3,6,14,21	6345:1 6353:14	specifically 6334:10	striking 6349:21	talking 6334:8 6336:16
seeing 6365:22 6411:16	6362:17 6363:12	6352:8 6364:16	6363:9	6336:16 6341:20
seek 6409:11,14	6364:10 6365:25	6366:2 6369:14	strive 6392:13	6345:9 6349:22
seeks 6410:6	6404:11 6405:10	speculate 6353:8	strong 6377:7,10	6357:23 6362:8,14
seemingly 6338:23	signed 6388:7	6409:23	subject 6413:13	6364:6 6375:20
6401:18	SIM 6380:21 6381:10	speculation 6409:23	subjected 6373:23	6377:4,17 6389:15
seen 6389:25	simple 6346:10	spell 6396:12	subjecting 6374:12	6399:16 6402:4
sees 6416:21,25	simply 6334:24 6336:7	spend 6388:12	submission 6352:16	6415:18
sense 6346:9 6350:8	6341:4 6378:15,22 6413:6	split 6413:18	submit 6373:12 6412:25	talks 6400:1 tea 6370:7,13,13,14,17
6395:21,23 sentence 6364:15,25	singing 6334:13 6348:3	spoke 6351:6 6358:7 6359:25	subpoenaed 6384:25	6370:20
sequence 6390:16	6364:8	spot 6340:21	subpoenaing 6387:3	tear 6375:16 6376:4
serious 6378:11	singular 6391:23	spot 0340.21 spotlight 6360:18	substantial 6347:9,9	6403:20,24
seriously 6348:23	6392:1,2,5	spray 6364:9	substantial 0547.9,9 subtle 6395:19	teargas 6355:14,17
service 6380:25	Sir 6331:16 6338:5,25	sprayed 6355:17	succeeded 6351:9	tears 6415:21
6381:16 6382:3	6343:7 6347:21	squatter 6364:11	suggest 6359:13 6416:8	technician 6337:4
6383:2 6384:20	6349:13 6350:11,17	stage 6340:1 6360:18	suggested 6375:11	technicians 6410:14
6385:14 6386:11,11	6350:25 6354:22	6370:7 6390:22	6376:20 6379:12	6415:2
6387:4	6355:8 6356:21	6396:17 6408:14	6386:15 6388:2	tell 6349:19 6350:11
setting 6362:23	6357:7 6359:3	6416:12	6399:24	6354:8 6361:12
settlement 6331:25	6369:23 6370:5	stand 6367:3,4	suggesting 6378:22	6365:17 6371:16
6332:2 6338:14,17,20	6372:9,18 6377:19	standing 6342:15	6386:17 6392:23	6373:3 6374:14
6340:16,23 6341:9,18	6381:21,25 6389:12	6356:15	suggestion 6346:7	6387:12 6390:7
6342:5,8,12 6345:1	6389:18 6391:14	start 6337:7,17,18	6378:11	telling 6414:10
6377:14	6393:3 6398:1	6356:4 6358:20	summarised 6336:14	term 6396:4
seven 6371:21	6401:22,25 6402:18	6408:22	sung 6397:19	Terms 6372:12
shack 6331:25 6332:1,2	6403:6,14 6404:9,23	started 6345:23 6346:1	support 6375:1	test 6371:11 6372:14
6335:21 6338:14	6405:16 6411:10,22	6346:8,19,22 6347:7	suppose 6359:25	6373:17 6374:12,18
6340:7 6346:3	6412:6 6414:25	6347:15,16,23 6348:2	supposed 6346:1	6374:19 6375:7
shacks 6335:21,24	sit 6370:19	6353:15 6356:6,24	6348:22	6382:16
6336:13 6340:8	sitting 6395:11	6358:5,19 6364:9,10	sure 6332:24 6365:13	testifying 6359:21
6401:13,14	situation 6382:22	6368:22 6380:2	6388:5 6392:12	tests 6374:19 6378:16
sharp 6350:10	six 6371:21	starting 6357:16	6393:18 6396:4	6390:6
shoot 6348:8 6366:11	sleep 6399:12	starts 6345:22	6406:3 6408:17	thank 6331:8 6334:5,6
shooting 6351:1	slide 6333:19 6345:10	stated 6356:9 6388:8	6410:15 6415:9,23	6347:11 6352:3,21
6353:14,15,23 6355:1	6346:21 6349:6	statement 6360:7	6416:2,7,21	6355:11 6358:12,13
6365:24 6389:24	6356:6,16 6358:21	6364:3,5 6388:7	surrounded 6364:7	6371:2 6373:8 6375:9
6411:21,23 6412:7,23 6412:24 6413:2,7	6361:23 6401:4,23 6404:3,21	6390:15 6392:23 6395:17 6396:23	<pre>survived 6352:6 suspect 6413:10</pre>	6379:5 6383:8,21 6388:16 6389:3
shorthand 6396:15	small 6337:6	6397:10 6399:23,25	swap 6380:21	6392:19 6409:25
shortly 6362:9	small 0537.0 smoke 6376:5 6403:21	6407:1,5,10 6408:22	s.u.o 6331:4 6370:25	6410:14 6416:16
shot 6350:14 6365:25	6403:25	6408:22 6409:1,1,2,5	6400:25	6417:2
6366:11 6375:19	smokes 6375:16	statements 6390:9	0+00.23	thanks 6347:12 6369:7
6414:8	song 6348:2 6397:18	stay 6337:24 6358:14	Т	that's 6332:15 6333:3,3
shots 6366:8	6398:6,9,13,16,22,25	6406:17	table 6414:13	6335:1 6336:9,13
shouldn't 6367:13	sorry 6337:12 6351:13	staying 6349:15 6406:4	take 6338:4 6355:1,2	6337:10,13 6339:20
show 6337:16,17	6368:24 6371:7	6406:12,18	6356:17 6357:24	6340:13,25 6342:17
6353:16,22,22 6354:4	6374:4,5 6376:23	steps 6341:7	6360:6 6362:24	6343:24,25 6353:11
6407:17 6410:17,17	6381:16 6382:10,15	stick 6408:11	6370:7,16,18,20	6353:11 6355:3,9
6416:23	6389:9 6390:20	stock 6338:19	6371:2,8 6372:3	6356:16 6360:8
showing 6332:21	6392:10 6398:15	stood 6377:2	6373:22 6374:18	6361:22 6365:3
6335:17 6354:13	6409:11 6414:25	stop 6354:12 6355:18	6376:23 6383:9	6377:23,23 6378:25
shown 6332:5 6334:24	6415:11,11,11	6364:23 6391:15	6384:18,19 6385:1	6380:16,19 6386:6,7
6335:2 6338:23	sort 6402:8 6404:16	stopped 6363:14	6386:13 6387:9,12,18	6386:9,18 6388:23
6353:3 6367:8	sorted 6333:15	6364:14,15 6365:2	6388:16,18 6393:25	6390:25 6391:2,19
6410:20 6411:2	sorts 6339:24 6352:7	stopping 6370:18	6398:13 6401:2,4	6393:20 6398:11
6413:3 6416:20	sought 6385:15	straight 6338:2,11	6403:15 6404:1	6400:8 6409:11,16,19
shows 6332:4 6343:14	sound 6351:18	6345:8	6406:24 6408:21	6410:12,13 6412:5
6343:15,16,19	sounds 6341:1 6351:9	streets 6342:4	6410:1 6411:25	6413:4,8 6414:14
6345:25 6347:8	6391:1	strength 6367:2	6412:22 6416:11	there's 6331:17
6352:25 6412:10	source 6333:22	stress 6415:21	taken 6332:23 6333:3	6336:10,21 6340:21
side 6331:10,11,14	so-called 6360:2	strictly 6367:12	6335:23 6380:24	6365:10 6367:14
6337:21 6340:8,16,17	speak 6361:15 6387:15	strikers 6353:7 6356:7	6381:2 6390:4 6391:1	6368:7,11,15 6370:8
6340:18,19,23	speaking 6354:9	6356:8 6363:14,15,18	6397:21 6399:11	6376:6 6378:22
6341:25 6342:2,8,12	6367:13	6399:11 6412:4,11	6410:4	6380:20 6388:6
6342:12,20,22 6343:5	speaks 6413:12	6413:22	takes 6331:18	6399:18 6413:13
ARCHIVE FO	R JUSTICE	I		L

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

Rustenburg

				Page
6416:8	today 6356:10 6357:4	underwent 6377:5	6372:14 6375:13	whilst 6380:15
they're 6371:20	6389:22,25 6410:22	undue 6415:21	6379:10 6384:10,16	who's 6333:23 6366:13
6373:20 6413:7	TOKOTA 6412:17	unfair 6386:7	6385:3,13 6387:12,16	6384:14 6411:19
thick 6375:12	told 6341:15 6358:22	unfortunate 6362:17	6387:17 6394:1	wide 6337:3
thing 6350:8 6368:15	6359:6,11,19 6360:23	unhelpful 6354:7	6395:19 6410:16	window 6356:8,13,25
6369:11 6376:12	6361:1,3 6363:2,8,10	unlicensed 6390:14	6411:7 6414:19	6357:1
6387:11 6389:23	6363:16 6372:16	6399:21 6407:2,7,15	6415:2,9,20 6416:7	wire 6342:23 6345:15
6390:1 6393:2	6394:15,17 6402:21	6408:6,24 6409:7,14	wanted 6334:8 6338:13	6346:9,22,24 6347:6
6395:24 6414:1	6404:21 6407:20,21	upheld 6396:13	6348:4 6354:4	6347:9,14,16,17,19
things 6339:24 6367:16	6408:9,20 6409:1	use 6360:21 6384:1	6358:10 6363:17	6349:3,4,7,10
6367:18 6390:1	6410:19	6394:10 6396:3	6404:18,19 6405:18	6350:21,22 6353:9
6391:7 6393:5	top 6331:14,22 6338:3	UU3 6410:11,14,15	6405:19 6410:12	6356:5,5,7,24,25
6407:25 6408:1	6338:10 6341:11	U-curve 6404:11,12	6412:8	6357:16 6358:5,16,20
6415:3 6417:8	6342:19	U-shaped 6402:23	wants 6372:13 6386:1	6362:22,24 6363:2,4
think 6332:10 6333:7	torture 6416:10	e shupeu 0102.23	6413:17	6363:6,8 6364:8
6339:17 6341:6	totally 6367:16 6413:19	V	ward 6355:14	6403:19
6342:21 6344:10	township 6340:19	value 6334:17 6362:15	warning 6410:16,18	wish 6365:14 6391:21
	6343:21,22	6409:23		withdraw 6409:12
6347:3,4 6351:14,23	,		warring 6339:11	
6352:24 6353:2,13	tradition 6374:14	vans 6355:2	wasn't 6335:23	witness 6332:22 6335:
6354:7 6367:11	traditional 6373:6	various 6338:16 6410:9	6336:14 6353:15	6335:12,19 6336:6
6372:10,14 6373:1	traditions 6374:16	vehicle 6332:4 6335:17	6365:11 6386:18,19	6337:6,18 6340:1,1
6376:25 6378:4	travel 6344:5	6337:3,20 6364:9	waste 6344:10 6388:20	6340:25 6345:6,13
6381:6 6385:1 6386:6	tremendous 6416:24	veld 6343:4 6344:15,19	6389:1,2	6352:5,22 6354:2,13
6388:12 6396:9,13	triangulation 6378:4	6344:21 6348:25	watch 6398:2,13	6360:22 6361:9
6398:14,15,24 6399:2	trouble 6332:7	6406:11	watching 6416:1	6362:8,14 6365:1,4
6403:6 6409:16	true 6360:6 6377:23	version 6364:3 6365:21	water 6355:14,17	6368:25 6378:12
6410:7,10,24 6413:11	6412:6 6414:11	6375:14 6377:15,20	6364:9 6388:25	6385:20 6387:8,21
6417:11	trust 6353:5 6403:7,8	6393:6 6401:5	6403:20,25	6388:21 6390:21
thinks 6362:8 6415:16	truth 6346:21 6400:7	vicinity 6336:13 6344:8	waved 6355:23	6392:20 6396:5,10
third 6358:24 6410:1	6414:10	6344:20,23 6346:3	way 6334:20 6338:3	6400:3,9 6408:18
6415:7	try 6339:10	victim 6413:3	6341:4 6344:5,5,6	6409:20,22 6413:14
Thirdly 6374:24	trying 6388:13 6416:7	video 6334:2,5,5	6346:2,7 6348:10,23	6413:16 6415:12
thought 6339:20	turmoil 6416:24	6353:17 6366:19	6353:6 6364:14	witnesses 6351:19
6354:1 6372:21	turn 6341:16 6359:4	6389:24,25 6410:4,19	6365:13 6367:4,18	witness's 6351:14
6374:2 6387:11	turned 6360:24	6410:24 6411:4,8,14	6375:10 6377:2,25	6352:15 6379:11
6399:3 6408:15	two 6335:21,24 6337:5	6414:19	6378:1,1 6379:4	wonder 6372:1
6413:25 6416:10	6339:3 6343:16,20	videos 6366:5 6407:18	6392:14 6404:18	Wonderkop 6390:15
threat 6376:9 6383:23	6344:8,20,23 6349:21	view 6371:4	6405:14 6406:19,23	6399:21 6407:2,7
three 6339:3 6340:9			6408:22 6414:3	won't 6354:12
6342:2,15 6346:23	6350:6 6357:9 6390:10 6411:2	Village 6369:22 violence 6390:11,18	ways 6345:5 6350:15	word 6360:21 6374:3
	6412:22,23 6413:1			
6347:1,8 6374:20		6391:4,5,12 6393:1	weapon 6390:12,19	6395:23 6396:3,5
6392:4,24 6401:13	type 6375:15	6408:7	6391:6 6394:6,7,13	words 6331:21 6357:2
6409:15 6412:23	U	visible 6336:1 6344:12	6394:19,22 6395:3,16	6357:21 6364:17
6415:3		voice 6348:17 6355:23	6396:20 6397:13	6402:9 6415:6
Thursday 6349:19	unable 6400:14	voluntarily 6345:11	6398:18,20,24	work 6349:16 6381:9
6350:9	unclear 6367:14		weapons 6350:10	worked 6353:6
t ime 6334:11,21	undergone 6374:16	W	6408:8	workers 6349:21
6335:24 6336:24	understand 6331:5	walk 6331:22 6338:10	went 6353:13 6354:3	6350:12,13 6363:9
6344:10 6345:14	6333:16 6335:14	6341:13,21,23 6343:3	6357:20,25 6358:5,6	working 6368:22
6347:15,20 6348:16	6337:11 6342:7	6343:4 6344:15	6359:8 6361:15	6380:2
6349:2,9,16 6351:1	6343:13,18,25	6347:1 6350:1,16	6365:24 6375:6	works 6381:10
6353:15,17,20,21	6357:24 6362:1,3	6403:24	6377:12 6398:10	worry 6343:11
6357:20,20 6358:10	6369:15,20 6372:24	walked 6335:13 6341:5	6405:12,17	worth 6365:16 6379:1
6360:21 6361:20,24	6373:15 6374:20	6341:12 6346:6	weren't 6336:24	wouldn't 6344:10
6362:4,13,23 6366:5	6378:14 6382:10,15	6350:23	we'd 6360:9	6371:8 6383:1
6368:6,9 6373:21	6382:21 6387:3,16	walking 6336:3	we'll 6370:18,18	6385:14
6378:5,8 6379:24	6393:21 6396:2	6338:23 6340:9,16,23	6400:20 6411:5	wrong 6339:17,17
6382:19 6388:13,20	6404:25 6405:3	6342:3,15 6344:15	6417:12	6344:2 6353:4
6389:1,2 6393:13	6410:6 6412:9 6414:1	6346:5 6401:9 6402:1	we're 6332:7 6353:5	6376:15 6416:8
6396:22 6397:11,19	6414:16 6415:10,24	6406:23 6412:13	6354:8 6377:17	05/0.15 0710.0
0370.22 0371.11,17	6416:3,4,24	want 6331:24 6336:7	6387:9 6394:11,12	X
6400.9 6404.15				
6400:9 6404:15 6415:2 6416:5		6337:17 6338:6	6401:23 6416:9	X 6360:3,12 6364:4,5
6415:2 6416:5	understanding 6336:18	6220.16 6245 6	120^{2} $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	6261.16 6265.17
6415:2 6416:5 times 6333:20	6353:4	6339:16 6345:6	we've 6332:15 6347:1	6364:16 6365:17
6415:2 6416:5 times 6333:20 timing 6361:25	6353:4 understood 6363:5	6354:8,23 6355:19	6376:25 6388:24	Xhosa 6371:25 6374:1
6415:2 6416:5 times 6333:20	6353:4			6364:16 6365:17 Xhosa 6371:25 6374:1 6387:15 X's 6360:7 6364:3,3

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

			Page
6310.73 6378.6 8	6 6331.1		
	0 0331.1		
	8		
, ,			
	8809030254080 0388:9		
	0		
	e		
	9th 6368:5		
,			
. ,			
,			
6393:4,22 6395:8,12			
6410:21			
17th 6385:9			
191 6349:6			
192 6346:21 6356:6			
193 6345:10 6346:20,24			
6356:16			
198 6333:19 6334:8,14			
2			
2 6351:5 6353:11.16.21			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
270 6351:7			
6362:24			
10.00			
4			
2 M			
4 6345:10 6347:14			
4 6345:10 6347:14 6353:13 6362:9			
	$\begin{array}{r} 6395:16\ 6396:16,24\\ 6397:2,11\ 6399:8\\ 6410:21\\ 17th\ 6385:9\\ 191\ 6349:6\\ 192\ 6346:21\ 6356:6\\ 193\ 6345:10\ 6346:20,24\\ 6356:16\\ 198\ 6333:19\ 6334:8,14\\ \hline \hline 2\\ 2\ 6351:5\ 6353:11,16,21\\ 6354:4\ 6370:18,20\\ 20\ 6347:14\ 6362:9,11\\ 6388:23\\ 200\ 6401:4,23\ 6405:22\\ 200-odd\ 6406:2\\ 2011\ 6370:1\ 6371:5,12\\ 6374:23,25\ 6375:7\\ 2012\ 6367:22\ 6368:10\\ 6371:6,8,9,12\ 6373:4\\ 6373:21,22\ 6374:24\\ 6378:7,9\ 6381:8\\ 6383:19\ 6384:6,13\\ 6389:8,10,16\ 6393:23\\ 6407:3,7\\ 2013\ 6331:1\ 6371:11\\ 205\ 6404:21\\ 26\ 6364:3,4,5\\ 270\ 6351:7\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 3\\ 3\ 6352:7\ 6353:17,23\\ 6354:1,4,4,11,13\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $