RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

# COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

# MARIKANA

# **BEFORE TRIBUNAL**

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON MR TOKOTA SC MS HEMRAJ SC

#### HELD ON

DAY 33 21 JANUARY 2013 PA

PAGES 3512 TO 3637

# HELD AT

CIVIC CENTRE, RUSTENBURG, NORTH WEST PROVINCE



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037 Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335 E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za



		r –	
	Page 3512		Page 3514
1	[PROCEEDINGS ON 21 JANUARY 2013]	1	CHAIRPERSON: Previously I had indicated
2	[10:11] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	2	when parties all more or less from the same side were going
3	During the recess you will have seen that it has been	3	to cross-examine, that I would call upon their
4 5	announced that the period to which the Commission is	4 5	representatives in order of seniority to cross-examine. It's been represented to me that that sometimes is
	operated and extended, evidence to be heard up to the end of May and the report to be filed and presented to the	6	unworkable and I've decided to proceed in future on an ad
6 7	President before the end of June. Now in the light of that	7	hoc basis, in other words in the case of each witness where
8	extension my fellow commissioners and I have decided we'd	8	there's a – after the evidence leaders have cross-examined
9	like to have a meeting with all the parties and their	9	and the Police and Lonmin and NUM and AMCU have cross-
10	representatives, really their representatives. If it would	10	examined, that I would then decide on an ad hoc basis
11	be possible for us to have the meeting at lunchtime, during	11	preferably, possibly in most cases would be subject to an
12	lunchtime tomorrow – we're not available today. If that's	12	agreement between the affected parties as to the order of
13	not convenient, please, I would be grateful if the	13	cross-examination. So are you going to cross-examine now,
14	representatives would let us know during the tea	14	Mr Ntsebeza?
15	adjournment, but it's very important, I think, that we	15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: You'll be happy to hear,
16	should have a meeting to discuss the way forward,	16	Mr Chairman, that I will cross-examine now.
17	particularly in the light of the extension that's been	17	CHAIRPERSON: So my happiness is caused
18	granted.	18	by two almost contradictory causes. Mr Ntsebeza - I did
19	Welcome. I must welcome you all back. I'm	19	remind you, you are still under oath, didn't I, Brigadier?
20	please to see that everyone looks refreshed and ready to	20	So that reminder stands. Mr Ntsebeza, please cross-
21	continue the harmonious cooperation that we received up to	21	examine.
22	now. I think Brigadier Mkhwanazi is in the witness box. I	22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman,
23	must remind you, you are still under oath.	23	members of the Commission. Brigadier Mkhwanazi, we are
24	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.	24	meeting for the first time.
25	CHAIRPERSON: At one point his cross-	25	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
	Page 3513		Page 3515
1	examination Mr Bruinders for AMCU indicated that he wished	1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see you nod.
2	to reserved his cross-examination until after the recess.	2	BRIG MKHWANAZI: First time inside here.
3	I see Mr Bruinders isn't here, but Ms Barnes is. Are you	3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, yes, and just for
4	going to cross-examine the witness on behalf of AMCU?	4	the record, I represent the families of the people who died
5	MS BARNES: Chair, you'll be happy to	5	on the 16th, just for you to know. You appreciate that?
6	hear that we have no questions for this witness.	6	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir.
7	CHAIRPERSON: I don't know that I'm happy	7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I think their
8	to hear that, but it's important each party puts things	8	interests, it is fair to say, is to find out to what extent
9	that the party considers important to each witness, but I	9	the Commission will assist them through evidence from you
10	take it that you take the view that the points you wished	10	and from other witnesses, in establishing what happened on
11	to put have been put by others already.	11	that day, how it happened, why it happened, and all of
12	MS BARNES: That's correct.	12	that. You do appreciate that? You understand that?
13 14	CHAIRPERSON: Then I think Mr Fischer	13 14	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But I have established
	indicated that the Human Dights Commission also wanted to	14	
	indicated that the Human Rights Commission also wanted to ask some questions Am I correct? Is there –		
15	ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there -	15	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong - you
15 16	ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there – MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele.		that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong - you were not there on the day it happened?
15	ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there – MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele. I'm here on behalf of the Human Rights Commission, junior	15 16	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong – you were not there on the day it happened? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
15 16 17	ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there – MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele.	15 16 17	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong - you were not there on the day it happened?
15 16 17 18	ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there – MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele. I'm here on behalf of the Human Rights Commission, junior counsel for the Human Rights Commission. Mr Fischer	15 16 17 18	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong – you were not there on the day it happened? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You will look at it, I
15 16 17 18 19	ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there – MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele. I'm here on behalf of the Human Rights Commission, junior counsel for the Human Rights Commission. Mr Fischer unfortunately was unable to join us, though he's still part	15 16 17 18 19	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong – you were not there on the day it happened? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You will look at it, I am sure, it has been dealt with by those who have put
15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there –</li> <li>MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele.</li> <li>I'm here on behalf of the Human Rights Commission, junior counsel for the Human Rights Commission. Mr Fischer unfortunately was unable to join us, though he's still part of our legal team. I am here, accompanied by Ms Hardy of</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong – you were not there on the day it happened? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You will look at it, I am sure, it has been dealt with by those who have put questions to you, that you came here to give evidence of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there – MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele.</li> <li>I'm here on behalf of the Human Rights Commission, junior counsel for the Human Rights Commission. Mr Fischer unfortunately was unable to join us, though he's still part of our legal team. I am here, accompanied by Ms Hardy of the Centre for Applied Legal Studies. We would like an</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong – you were not there on the day it happened? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You will look at it, I am sure, it has been dealt with by those who have put questions to you, that you came here to give evidence of another kind. You are not here to give an eyewitness
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there – MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele. I'm here on behalf of the Human Rights Commission, junior counsel for the Human Rights Commission. Mr Fischer unfortunately was unable to join us, though he's still part of our legal team. I am here, accompanied by Ms Hardy of the Centre for Applied Legal Studies. We would like an opportunity to cross-examine the brigadier. We understood	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong – you were not there on the day it happened? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You will look at it, I am sure, it has been dealt with by those who have put questions to you, that you came here to give evidence of another kind. You are not here to give an eyewitness account of what happened on the day.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>ask some questions. Am I correct? Is there – MS JELE: Chairperson, Nokukhanya Jele.</li> <li>I'm here on behalf of the Human Rights Commission, junior counsel for the Human Rights Commission. Mr Fischer unfortunately was unable to join us, though he's still part of our legal team. I am here, accompanied by Ms Hardy of the Centre for Applied Legal Studies. We would like an opportunity to cross-examine the brigadier. We understood that representatives for the families wished to cross-</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	that you yourself - you will correct me if I'm wrong – you were not there on the day it happened? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You will look at it, I am sure, it has been dealt with by those who have put questions to you, that you came here to give evidence of another kind. You are not here to give an eyewitness account of what happened on the day. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.

		-	
	Page 3516		Page 3518
1	told by the chairman of the Commission that you are under	1	it would be evidence that would be prejudicial to you or to
2	oath.	2	the Police or those whom you represent. Do you understand
3	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct.	3	that question?
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: What do you understand	4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
5	that to mean? Sometimes we take that for granted, you	5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I'm asking you this
6	know, and I proceed on a different basis. What does that	6	because up to now - and we have put it to the witnesses
7	mean to you, to be giving evidence under oath?	7	that have gone before you – there has been a disturbing
8	BRIG MKHWANAZI: My understanding is that	8	absence of evidence that speaks to what happened on that
9	all what I will definitely answer or be in a position to	9	day. I'm just putting it to you. For instance we have not
10	give before this Commission must be, at least be the truth	10	been able to get from the Police footage of what happened
11	and the only truth.	11	when that first volley of bullets was unleashed, and of any
12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now simply put, it means	12	other footage coming from the Police of what happened in
13	that you must speak the truth.	13	the small koppie. Do you understand what I'm saying? Do
14	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.	14	you understand?
15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And do you also	15	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
16	understand that if you don't speak the truth, then it	16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And it may well be that
17	colours the nature of your evidence?	17	two possibilities exist. It may well be that that evidence
18	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	18	exists but it's being suppressed and it's not being brought
19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, and when you have	19	to this Commission. You understand that's a possibility?
20	taken an oath there's usually a follow-up phrase to say	20	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
21	that the oath is binding on your conscience.	21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And it may well be that
22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	22	that evidence existed, but was destroyed or deleted. I'm
23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you understand it to	23	not saying by you.
24	mean what?	24	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not so, Sir, not so.
25	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, my understanding	25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm simply raising
	Page 3517		Page 3519
1	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that	1	possibilities.
2	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I	2	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand.
2 3	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will	2 3	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed
2 3 4	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me	2 3 4	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of
2 3 4 5	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say.	2 3 4 5	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being
2 3 4 5 6	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means	2 3 4 5 6	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was
2 3 4 5 6 7	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of	2 3 4 5 6 7	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this Commission about what you know? That is right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this Commission about what you know? That is right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. As I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	possibilities.BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, and if we proceedon that premise, when you come here and you knew either ofthese two possibilities, the evidence existed but is beingwithheld and you know where it is, or the evidence wasdeliberately destroyed and you know about that, because youhave taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understandthat telling the truth under oath entails you to tell thisCommission about what you know?BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.As I'vesaid, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this Commission about what you know? That is right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. As I've said, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. For instance, one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	possibilities.BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, and if we proceedon that premise, when you come here and you knew either ofthese two possibilities, the evidence existed but is beingwithheld and you know where it is, or the evidence wasdeliberately destroyed and you know about that, because youhave taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understandthat telling the truth under oath entails you to tell thisCommission about what you know?BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand. As I'vesaid, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes. For instance, oneof – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	possibilities.BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, and if we proceedon that premise, when you come here and you knew either ofthese two possibilities, the evidence existed but is beingwithheld and you know where it is, or the evidence wasdeliberately destroyed and you know about that, because youhave taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understandthat telling the truth under oath entails you to tell thisCommission about what you know? That is right?BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand. As I'vesaid, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes. For instance, oneof – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of thethings that I found disturbing from those from SAPS who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	possibilities.BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, and if we proceedon that premise, when you come here and you knew either ofthese two possibilities, the evidence existed but is beingwithheld and you know where it is, or the evidence wasdeliberately destroyed and you know about that, because youhave taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understandthat telling the truth under oath entails you to tell thisCommission about what you know? That is right?BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand. As I'vesaid, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes. For instance, oneof – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of thethings that I found disturbing from those from SAPS whohave testified before you, is the fact that there doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this Commission about what you know? That is right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. As I've said, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. For instance, one of – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of the things that I found disturbing from those from SAPS who have testified before you, is the fact that there doesn't seem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission. I'm not saying that's a fact; I'm simply putting it as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this Commission about what you know? That is right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. As I've said, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. For instance, one of – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of the things that I found disturbing from those from SAPS who have testified before you, is the fact that there doesn't seem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all of the events of the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission. I'm not saying that's a fact; I'm simply putting it as a proposition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this Commission about what you know? That is right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. As I've said, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. For instance, one of – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of the things that I found disturbing from those from SAPS who have testified before you, is the fact that there doesn't seem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all of the events of the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Before the witness answers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission. I'm not saying that's a fact; I'm simply putting it as a proposition. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I have done so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	possibilities.BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, and if we proceedon that premise, when you come here and you knew either ofthese two possibilities, the evidence existed but is beingwithheld and you know where it is, or the evidence wasdeliberately destroyed and you know about that, because youhave taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understandthat telling the truth under oath entails you to tell thisCommission about what you know?That is right?BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand. As I'vesaid, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes. For instance, oneof – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of thethings that I found disturbing from those from SAPS whohave testified before you, is the fact that there doesn'tseem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all ofthe events of the 16th.CHAIRPERSON:Before the witness answersthat, I take it that was intended to be a question. Before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission. I'm not saying that's a fact; I'm simply putting it as a proposition. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I have done so already.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this Commission about what you know? That is right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. As I've said, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. For instance, one of – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of the things that I found disturbing from those from SAPS who have testified before you, is the fact that there doesn't seem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all of the events of the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Before the witness answers that, I take it that was intended to be a question. Before the witness answers the question, Mr Semenya has indicated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission. I'm not saying that's a fact; I'm simply putting it as a proposition. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I have done so already. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And it is evidence which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	possibilities. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Right, and if we proceed on that premise, when you come here and you knew either of these two possibilities, the evidence existed but is being withheld and you know where it is, or the evidence was deliberately destroyed and you know about that, because you have taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understand that telling the truth under oath entails you to tell this Commission about what you know? That is right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I understand. As I've said, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. For instance, one of – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of the things that I found disturbing from those from SAPS who have testified before you, is the fact that there doesn't seem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all of the events of the 16th. CHAIRPERSON: Before the witness answers that, I take it that was intended to be a question. Before the witness answers the question, Mr Semenya has indicated that he wishes to say something.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission. I'm not saying that's a fact; I'm simply putting it as a proposition. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I have done so already. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And it is evidence which because you have taken that kind of oath which is binding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	possibilities.BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, and if we proceedon that premise, when you come here and you knew either ofthese two possibilities, the evidence existed but is beingwithheld and you know where it is, or the evidence wasdeliberately destroyed and you know about that, because youhave taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understandthat telling the truth under oath entails you to tell thisCommission about what you know? That is right?BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand. As I'vesaid, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes. For instance, oneof – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of thethings that I found disturbing from those from SAPS whohave testified before you, is the fact that there doesn'tseem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all ofthe events of the 16th.CHAIRPERSON:Before the witness answersthat, I take it that was intended to be a question. Beforethe witness answers the question, Mr Semenya has indicatedthat he wishes to say something.MR SEMENYA SC:Chair, perhaps Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission. I'm not saying that's a fact; I'm simply putting it as a proposition. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I have done so already. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And it is evidence which because you have taken that kind of oath which is binding on your conscience, you would disclose it even if I propose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	possibilities.BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, and if we proceedon that premise, when you come here and you knew either ofthese two possibilities, the evidence existed but is beingwithheld and you know where it is, or the evidence wasdeliberately destroyed and you know about that, because youhave taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understandthat telling the truth under oath entails you to tell thisCommission about what you know? That is right?BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand. As I'vesaid, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes. For instance, oneof – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of thethings that I found disturbing from those from SAPS whohave testified before you, is the fact that there doesn'tseem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all ofthe events of the 16th.CHAIRPERSON:Before the witness answersthat, I take it that was intended to be a question. Beforethe witness answers the question, Mr Semenya has indicatedthat he wishes to say something.MR SEMENYA SC:Chair, perhaps MrNtsebeza can be particular. We have disclosed a whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	and hence been working with that a lot of years, is that really it binds me to say really it's something that if I don't give a proper understanding of the situation, it will actually be, it's a thing that will actually, may hound me to say I never said exactly what I was supposed to say. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. It also means that there is a duty upon you to make a full disclosure of everything that you know. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And full disclosure would also entail you saying to this Commission things that are privy only to you, but which may be helpful to this Commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: There may be information which only you and your colleagues know, you know it to exist, but which has not been disclosed to this Commission. I'm not saying that's a fact; I'm simply putting it as a proposition. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I have done so already. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And it is evidence which because you have taken that kind of oath which is binding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	possibilities.BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Right, and if we proceedon that premise, when you come here and you knew either ofthese two possibilities, the evidence existed but is beingwithheld and you know where it is, or the evidence wasdeliberately destroyed and you know about that, because youhave taken that oath to tell the truth, do you understandthat telling the truth under oath entails you to tell thisCommission about what you know? That is right?BRIG MKHWANAZI:I understand. As I'vesaid, what I know I will definitely disclose, if I know.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes. For instance, oneof – and I'll make the submission at the end – one of thethings that I found disturbing from those from SAPS whohave testified before you, is the fact that there doesn'tseem to have been a detailed or even an analysis at all ofthe events of the 16th.CHAIRPERSON:Before the witness answersthat, I take it that was intended to be a question. Beforethe witness answers the question, Mr Semenya has indicatedthat he wishes to say something.MR SEMENYA SC:Chair, perhaps Mr

2150	January 2013 Marikana Comm	112210	on of inquiry Rustenburg
	Page 3520		Page 3522
1	mean exhibit L. The proposition cannot be correct that we	1	referring to.
2	haven't given any detailed account of what happened.	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I come to –
3	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you heard what	3	CHAIRPERSON: - order general 262 –
4	Mr Semenya has said, and when the interpreter has	4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
5	interpreted it, then you can reply.	5	CHAIRPERSON: And in paragraph 13 under
6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: May I put it on a	6	the heading "Debriefing" it set out what must happen and a
7	simpler basis, I believe, because I was going to get to the	7	thorough evaluation must be conducted and, if possible,
8	particulars. My sense so far of these witnesses who have	8	video coverage must be shown. Now I think what $\ensuremath{Mr}$ Ntsebeza
9	come before you - particularly like you, because they were	9	is putting to you is as far as we could make out from
10	not there on that day, or when they were on that day they	10	what's being said so far, no such evaluation took place and
11	didn't bring much evidence or footage of what happened on	11	no video coverage was shown. Now that's the impression
12	the ground and I have in mind witness Botha - that there	12	that I must profess I got. Whether I share Mr Ntsebeza's
13	doesn't seem from their evidence to have been any internal	13	impression, if that's an incorrect impression and there
14	review of the events that took place on the 16th that led	14	will be evidence that a thorough evaluation was conducted
15	to the deaths of the members of the families whom I	15	and video coverage was shown and there's full coverage
16	represent. Do you understand that?	16	available as to what happened at all the phases, then one
17	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I do understand.	17	would have expected that to have been forthcoming earlier.
18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: You understand that.	18	If it's forthcoming later, I suppose better late than
19	For instance Colonel Botha told the Commission that there	19	never, but that's the basis of the question. So it's
20	was a meeting in Potchefstroom after the events on the	20	specifically, your attention is specifically directed to
21	16th, a nine-day meeting, and my impression of his evidence	21	paragraph 13 of standing order general 262 which states
22	was that he would let us believe, and very incredulously,	22	what must happen, which appears not to have happened.
23	that throughout that period no footage for instance was	23	That's the basis of the question. If I've messed up your
24	shown there from any unit, whether it's from the water	24	cross-examination, Mr Ntsebeza, I apologise.
25	canon unit, from the helicopters, from the Nyalas, no	25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, you saw it
1	Page 3521 footage at all was dealt with in that nine-day period of	1	Page 3523 from my nodding, which doesn't get recorded, that I was
2	meeting, no analysis, no internal review on the basis of	2	with you all the way. It's exactly the substance of it.
3	that kind of footage.	3	MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I just say, and
4	[10:31] You understand what I'm putting to you? That's	4	I don't want to mess up my learned friend's cross-
5	what he said, and it's generally accepted by everybody,	5	examination, but I'm not clear why we should wonder about
6	that was the tenor of his evidence. Do you understand	6	that inquiry, why my learned friend for the Police
7	that?	7	shouldn't simply tell us whether there was a thorough
8	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I do understand.	, 8	investigation or not.
9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now that is remarkable,	9	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, sometimes
10	is it not, that when people meet for nine days to do an	10	people ask questions in response, and that's a fair
11	examination or meet as a consequence of what had happened	11	question. I don't know whether you're able to answer it at
12	on the 16th, which internationally is known to have been an	12	this stage, but if you're not I'd be grateful if you'd give
13	unfortunate event, that in that period of time no attention	12	us the answer as soon as you can.
14	was paid to any footage, no attention seems to have been	14	MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, I'm sorry to –
14	paid to establishing why there was no footage. Isn't that	15	if my memory serves me correct, that question was asked of
16	a disturbing feature of behaviour by SAPS post the events	16	the witness and others, and the evidence was – and perhaps
17	of the 16th?	17	Mr Ntsebeza wants to take it further – the evidence was
18	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure	18	that this was not, there was no inquiry in terms of the
19	but maybe what can I indicate that there was a session, a	19	standing order. That I remember well because we put what
20	session of which I was part of it myself, in Potchefstroom,	20	the order said and he said no, that was not done. So I
20	of which at this stage I'm not sure which one are we	20 21	thought I would just remind you, the Commission, of what is
22	referring to because the one I was part of it was only for	22	already on record.
22	three days, if I remember properly, and the purpose was	22	CHAIRPERSON: I remember that also and my
23	clear why we were supposed to be there, but I'm not sure if		comments were based upon that evidence, but it may well be
24 25	the one as it says Potchefstroom, which one are we	24 25	that that evidence was incorrect. So that's why Mr Semenya
	R C H I V E F O R I U S T I C E	20	that that evidence was incorrect. So that's why will Semenya
1 million 1			

1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 3524 may appropriate reply where he can, to the point raised by Mr Burger. But Mr Ntsebeza is busy with a different point. Here's a witness called on the standing orders whose job was to go to Potchefstroom to assist in relation to the compliance with the standing orders, and that's why he's asking these questions. But we mustn't anticipate too many	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 3526 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, ja. No, we'll come to that, but what I just want to deal with now - and also apropos to what Mr Semenya indicated is his waiting with baited breath and zeal for evidence that might pop up – let's just get to basics first. There is an exhibit in this Commission, and I think it's exhibit S, and I'll read
7	of the questions he wants to ask because I'm sure he's	7	it to you. 3.3, particularly in your capacity as who you
8	worked it out very carefully in advance.	8	are, now over and above what the chairman indicated to you
9	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, the reply I can	9	in terms of standing orders. Now that exhibit contains a
10	give is there is no any video footage that was played	10	circular from MV Phiyega, who's the national commissioner
11	there. The evidence was given. What is troubling me is	11	for SAPS. That's your national commissioner, is it right?
12 13	that there is an innuendo that there has been suppression of evidence and I'm waiting with zeal and eager to see	12 13	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you'll see in 3.3
13	whether Mr Ntsebeza is going to put the possibility beyond	13	thereof on the second page it says, "POP Operational
15	just the two he has because the other possibility is	15	Commanders must ensure that video footage is taken of the
16	there's no such material and he has no basis to be going	16	crowd throughout the phases, and including during the use
17	that direction.	17	of minimum force. A record keeper must also record
18	CHAIRPERSON: I understand that point as	18	everything on the operational diary. The member who gives
19	well, but the question we're busy with at the moment is	19	the command for action must not be party in the action."
20	whether there was an evaluation, a thorough evaluation as	20	But I think for me having you there as the expert that you
21	is envisaged in para 13, sub-para 3 of the standing order.	21	have admitted you are in terms of POP, that is a standard
22	That's really the point that Mr Ntsebeza is concerned with,	22	injunction. Do you accept that?
23	but Mr Ntsebeza, just for the sake of good housekeeping	23	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct, yes Sir.
24 25	maybe you should put the other possibility Mr Semenya has mentioned, but before you do that, he will tell us in reply	24 25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It's not something in relation to which we can simply be fobbed off by saying
25	mentioned, but before you do that, he will tell us in repry	23	relation to which we can simply be tobbed on by saying
	Page 3525		Page 3527
1			6
	to Mr Burger whether the evidence we've heard so far that	1	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a
2	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the	2	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely
2 3	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not.	2 3	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be
2 3 4	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation	2 3 4	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you
2 3 4 5	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we	2 3 4 5	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else
2 3 4	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done.	2 3 4	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you
2 3 4 5 6	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done.	2 3 4 5 6	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see.
2 3 4 5 6 7	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me	2 3 4 5 6 7	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main purpose was to look to the issues, standing orders,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier, you'll forgive me if I seem to be sidetracking. I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier, you'll forgive me if I seem to be sidetracking. I am pursuing this line of questioning on an assumption which I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main purpose was to look to the issues, standing orders, national instructions, as well as the procedures and to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier, you'll forgive me if I seem to be sidetracking. I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main purpose was to look to the issues, standing orders, national instructions, as well as the procedures and to look at that. That was the main reason.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier, you'll forgive me if I seem to be sidetracking. I am pursuing this line of questioning on an assumption which I want all of us to make, namely the disturbing news over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main purpose was to look to the issues, standing orders, national instructions, as well as the procedures and to look at that. That was the main reason. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And to prepare for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier, you'll forgive me if I seem to be sidetracking. I am pursuing this line of questioning on an assumption which I want all of us to make, namely the disturbing news over the weekend, I assume that you read papers, you listen to radio
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main purpose was to look to the issues, standing orders, national instructions, as well as the procedures and to look at that. That was the main reason. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And to prepare for a commission which had already been indicated, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier, you'll forgive me if I seem to be sidetracking. I am pursuing this line of questioning on an assumption which I want all of us to make, namely the disturbing news over the weekend, I assume that you read papers, you listen to radio and all of that, and on the assumption that the initial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main purpose was to look to the issues, standing orders, national instructions, as well as the procedures and to look at that. That was the main reason. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And to prepare for a commission which had already been indicated, I would assume, is going to take place, or which you anticipated would take place, how do we plan for that commission? That was the main [inaudible] of that meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see.</li> <li>BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it?</li> <li>However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier, you'll forgive me if I seem to be sidetracking. I am pursuing this line of questioning on an assumption which I want all of us to make, namely the disturbing news over the weekend, I assume that you read papers, you listen to radio and all of that, and on the assumption that the initial reports are accurate, there was a report – did you get a report? – that journalists from The Star newspaper went to Groenpunt with the portfolio committee of Correctional</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	an evaluation as envisaged in para 13 sub-para 3 of the standing order was in fact conducted or not. MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm the observation of Mr Bizos that that question was asked. The answer we have on record is it was not done. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now Brigadier, let me thank you for volunteering what I was going to ask you anyway, that at least you participated in three days of debate in the Potchefstroom gathering. Did I get you right that you were involved in three days of discussion in Potchefstroom, and I suppose that was three days of discussing what had happened on the 16th, amongst other things, or even on the 13th? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, the main purpose was to look to the issues, standing orders, national instructions, as well as the procedures and to look at that. That was the main reason. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And to prepare for a commission which had already been indicated, I would assume, is going to take place, or which you anticipated would take place, how do we plan for that commission? That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	well it's not there, it was not done. There must be a reason either why it was not done, which will be extremely remarkable, or it was done except that we will not be showing it because it is not the kind of thing that you want the chairman and his commissioners and everybody else here to see. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Correct, thank you. You see whether or not I'm going to be able to produce evidence is a challenge. You must appreciate that. Isn't it? However, if you do have the evidence you made the evidence available, especially when you have given an oath to speak the truth, to assist the Commission, etcetera, etcetera. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: You know, Brigadier, you'll forgive me if I seem to be sidetracking. I am pursuing this line of questioning on an assumption which I want all of us to make, namely the disturbing news over the weekend, I assume that you read papers, you listen to radio and all of that, and on the assumption that the initial reports are accurate, there was a report – did you get a report? – that journalists from The Star newspaper went to

Page 35281BRIG MKHWANAZI:No, I couldn't. I1responsibility than what you as a group of police2didn't.2may have decided should be the approach to will3MR NTSEBEZA SC:You didn't read that.3Commission has to know or not know. Do you a4No, I will not take you to task for not having read that or4a proposal?5not having seen that on television, but just for purposes5BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I fe6of this exercise –6indicated right from the beginning when we star7MR SEMENYA SC:But Chair, I must object.7really, I'm actually of the understanding that I m8There is no connection between that episode, whatever its8actually speak the truth and I have as well indic9I having this.10prepared as well to indicate what I think should11CHAIRPERSON:I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza11what's supposed to be done; if I have to do, I w12to explain to us what connection then I won't allow13MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I take it or14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a pe15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committed16indicate what connection he says there is. If the witness16speaking the truth under oath.	hat the accept that as nave rted that nust ated that m still be done or
<ul> <li>2 didn't.</li> <li>3 MR NTSEBEZA SC: You didn't read that.</li> <li>4 No, I will not take you to task for not having read that or</li> <li>5 not having seen that on television, but just for purposes</li> <li>6 of this exercise –</li> <li>7 MR SEMENYA SC: But Chair, I must object.</li> <li>8 There is no connection between that episode, whatever its</li> <li>9 merits, and what happened in Marikana, about which we're</li> <li>10 having this.</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza</li> <li>12 to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but</li> <li>13 obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow</li> <li>14 the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's</li> <li>15 no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to</li> <li>2 may have decided should be the approach to with a proposal to be done; if upper source to the proposal to be done; if upper source to the proposal t</li></ul>	hat the accept that as nave rted that nust ated that m still be done or
3MR NTSEBEZA SC:You didn't read that.3Commission has to know or not know. Do you a4No, I will not take you to task for not having read that or5a proposal?5not having seen that on television, but just for purposes5BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I f6of this exercise –6indicated right from the beginning when we star7MR SEMENYA SC:But Chair, I must object.7really, I'm actually of the understanding that I m8There is no connection between that episode, whatever its9I have indicated other issues even before and I'9merits, and what happened in Marikana, about which we're9I have indicated other issues even before and I'10having this.10prepared as well to indicate what I think should11CHAIRPERSON:I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza1112to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but12do that.13obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow13MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I take it or14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a period15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committed	accept that as nave rted that nust ated that m still be done or
<ul> <li>4 No, I will not take you to task for not having read that or not having seen that on television, but just for purposes of this exercise –</li> <li>7 MR SEMENYA SC: But Chair, I must object.</li> <li>8 There is no connection between that episode, whatever its merits, and what happened in Marikana, about which we're having this.</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to</li> <li>4 a proposal?</li> <li>5 BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I have indicated right from the beginning when we star really, I'm actually of the understanding that I m actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic no connection, if any, there was, but the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to</li> <li>4 a proposal?</li> <li>5 BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I have indicated right from the beginning when we star really, I'm actually of the understanding that I m actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I have as well indic actually speak the truth and I hav</li></ul>	nave rted that nust ated that m still be done or
5not having seen that on television, but just for purposes5BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I for indicated right from the beginning when we start6of this exercise –6indicated right from the beginning when we start7MR SEMENYA SC:But Chair, I must object.7really, I'm actually of the understanding that I m8There is no connection between that episode, whatever its8actually speak the truth and I have as well indicated9merits, and what happened in Marikana, about which we're9I have indicated other issues even before and I'10having this.10prepared as well to indicate what I think should11CHAIRPERSON:I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza1112to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but12do that.13obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow13MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I take it or14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a period15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committed	rted that nust ated that m still be done or
<ul> <li>6 of this exercise –</li> <li>7 MR SEMENYA SC: But Chair, I must object.</li> <li>8 There is no connection between that episode, whatever its</li> <li>9 merits, and what happened in Marikana, about which we're</li> <li>10 having this.</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza</li> <li>12 to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but</li> <li>13 obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow</li> <li>14 the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's</li> <li>15 no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to</li> <li>6 indicated right from the beginning when we stat</li> <li>7 really, I'm actually of the understanding that I m</li> <li>8 actually speak the truth and I have as well indic</li> <li>9 I have indicated other issues even before and I'</li> <li>10 prepared as well to indicate what I think should</li> <li>11 Was expecting Mr Ntsebeza</li> <li>12 do that.</li> <li>13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I take it or</li> <li>14 word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a period of the question of the should an opportunity to</li> <li>15 you to state it under oath that you are committed</li> </ul>	rted that nust ated that m still be done or
7MR SEMENYA SC:But Chair, I must object.7really, I'm actually of the understanding that I m8There is no connection between that episode, whatever its9actually speak the truth and I have as well indic9merits, and what happened in Marikana, about which we're9I have indicated other issues even before and I'10having this.10prepared as well to indicate what I think should11CHAIRPERSON:I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza1112to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but12do that.13obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow13MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I take it or14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a perior15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committee	nust ated that m still be done or
<ul> <li>8 There is no connection between that episode, whatever its</li> <li>9 merits, and what happened in Marikana, about which we're</li> <li>10 having this.</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza</li> <li>12 to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but</li> <li>13 obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow</li> <li>14 the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's</li> <li>15 no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to</li> <li>8 actually speak the truth and I have as well indic</li> <li>9 I have indicated other issues even before and I'</li> <li>10 prepared as well to indicate what I think should</li> <li>11 Was expecting Mr Ntsebeza</li> <li>12 do that.</li> <li>13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I take it or</li> <li>14 word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a period source of the source of the</li></ul>	ated that m still be done or
<ul> <li>9 merits, and what happened in Marikana, about which we're</li> <li>9 I have indicated other issues even before and I'</li> <li>10 having this.</li> <li>11 CHAIRPERSON: I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza</li> <li>12 to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but</li> <li>13 obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow</li> <li>14 the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's</li> <li>15 no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to</li> <li>9 I have indicated other issues even before and I'</li> <li>10 prepared as well to indicate what I think should</li> <li>11 what's supposed to be done; if I have to do, I we do that.</li> <li>12 do that.</li> <li>13 MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I take it or</li> <li>14 word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a period word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a period word, but you cost that you are committee</li> </ul>	m still be done or
10having this.10prepared as well to indicate what I think should11CHAIRPERSON:I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza11what's supposed to be done; if I have to do, I w12to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but12do that.13obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow13MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I take it or14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a per15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committee	be done or
11CHAIRPERSON:I was expecting Mr Ntsebeza11what's supposed to be done; if I have to do, I w12to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but12do that.13obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow13MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I take it or14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a per15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committee	
12to explain to us what connection, if any, there was, but12do that.13obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow13MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I take it or14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a per15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committee	vill have to
13obviously if there isn't a connection then I won't allow13MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I take it or14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a per15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committee	
14the question, but I can't disallow it on the basis there's14word, but you know, it helps sometimes for a per15no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to15you to state it under oath that you are committed	
15 no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to 15 you to state it under oath that you are committed	ו your
15 no connection unless he, till he's had an opportunity to 15 you to state it under oath that you are committed	erson like
16 indicate what connection he says there is. If the witness 16 speaking the truth under oath.	
17 of course didn't see the reports then I do not know whether 17 CHAIRPERSON: You got that comr	nitment
18 he can take it further with this witness, but let's see how 18 now, Mr Ntsebeza. We can carry on to the next	
19 he handles the point you've raised. 19 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you.	•
20 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman. 20 CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to a	ask him
21 The only basis I am seeking to explore with the brigadier, 21 about paragraph 3.5 or not, or should I do it?	
22 the relevance of the news items that I am referring the 22 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Chair, I was go	ing to
23 brigadier to has actually been raised in a tangential way 23 ask it at a different –	5
24 by Mr Semenya himself when he says please bring us 24 CHAIRPERSON: Okay, ask it at the	e time
25 evidence. I want to tell the brigadier on the assumption 25 that you consider it the most appropriate.	
Page 3529 1 that those reports may or may not be true, but if they are 1 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. You say yo	Page 3531
2 true that those who are in officialdom are not disinclined 2 the three days at Potchefstroom were spent by y	
3 to remove evidence that may – 3 actually did you do in the three days?	ou mat
4 MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair, no. No. 4 BRIG MKHWANAZI: In Potchefstroo	om what
5 CHAIRPERSON: I don't think that if 5 happened is we were – I think if I'm correct were	
6 something happened at Groenpunt, as reported in the press 6 eight. Our task was just to assist after the final	
7 and on television, is correct, it doesn't really assist us 7 has been compiled by the group of the command	
8 in deciding whether something similar happened in this 8 working on the day, hence they were divided into	
<ul> <li>9 particular case, and I think, with respect, that regard</li> <li>9 So when they come together, come back and do</li> </ul>	• •
10 being had to the time constraints to which we're subject, 10 presentation, our task was to be able to advise, I	
11 there may be more fruitful lines of investigation to be 11 say what has to be, how it has to be written. Es	
12 followed than that one and I'm disallowing the question, 12 what we play a big role on was the issues of terr	. ,
13 upholding the objection. But before you proceed, are you 13 the police terminologies, we actually looked at the	-
14 going to ask him about sub-paragraph 3.5 of exhibit S 14 That's what actually we managed to work most of	
15 before you proceed further? 15 on just to make sure that the document to be su	
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted -16 actually correct.	t you
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted -16 actually correct.17CHAIRPERSON:And if you aren't, I'd -17MR NTSEBEZA SC:What was it that	•
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted –16 actually correct.17CHAIRPERSON:And if you aren't, I'd –17MR NTSEBEZA SC:What was it that18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Well if the chair –18 wanted to make sure had been properly drafted	or written?
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted –16actually correct.17CHAIRPERSON:And if you aren't, I'd –17MR NTSEBEZA SC:What was it that18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Well if the chair –18wanted to make sure had been properly drafted19CHAIRPERSON:No, but it's your cross-19BRIG MKHWANAZI:As I've said, method	or written? ost of
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted –16actually correct.17CHAIRPERSON:And if you aren't, I'd –17MR NTSEBEZA SC:What was it that18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Well if the chair –18wanted to make sure had been properly drafted19CHAIRPERSON:No, but it's your cross-19BRIG MKHWANAZI:As I've said, me20examination; you carry on.20ours we'd just have to look to the final product, me	or written? ost of not to
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted –16actually correct.17CHAIRPERSON:And if you aren't, I'd –17MR NTSEBEZA SC:What was it tha18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Well if the chair –18wanted to make sure had been properly drafted19CHAIRPERSON:No, but it's your cross-19BRIG MKHWANAZI:As I've said, mediation:20examination: you carry on.20ours we'd just have to look to the final product, mediation:2021[10:51]MR NTSEBEZA SC:No, let's leave21change the product that is, what is written there	or written? ost of not to , because
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted –16actually correct.17CHAIRPERSON:And if you aren't, I'd –17MR NTSEBEZA SC:What was it that18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Well if the chair –18wanted to make sure had been properly drafted19CHAIRPERSON:No, but it's your cross-19BRIG MKHWANAZI:As I've said, me20examination; you carry on.20ours we'd just have to look to the final product, me21[10:51]MR NTSEBEZA SC:No, let's leave21change the product that is, what is written there22Groenpunt alone, and I accept the ruling of the chair, with22what was supposed to be brought here was supposed to be brough	or written? ost of not to , because posed to be
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted –16actually correct.17CHAIRPERSON:And if you aren't, I'd –17MR NTSEBEZA SC:What was it tha18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Well if the chair –18wanted to make sure had been properly drafted19CHAIRPERSON:No, but it's your cross-19BRIG MKHWANAZI:As I've said, m20examination: you carry on.20ours we'd just have to look to the final product, n21[10:51]MR NTSEBEZA SC:No, let's leave21change the product that is, what is written there22Groenpunt alone, and I accept the ruling of the chair, with22what was supposed to be brought here was supp23respect. What I am seeking to convey to you and to seek23exactly what happened on the day in the 16th.	or written? ost of not to , because posed to be We were just
16MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, I wanted –16actually correct.17CHAIRPERSON:And if you aren't, I'd –17MR NTSEBEZA SC:What was it that18MR NTSEBEZA SC:Well if the chair –18wanted to make sure had been properly drafted19CHAIRPERSON:No, but it's your cross-19BRIG MKHWANAZI:As I've said, me20examination; you carry on.20ours we'd just have to look to the final product, me21[10:51]MR NTSEBEZA SC:No, let's leave21change the product that is, what is written there22Groenpunt alone, and I accept the ruling of the chair, with22what was supposed to be brought here was supposed to be brough	or written? ost of not to , because posed to be We were just

		1	
	Page 3532		Page 3534
1	something must say it in this way, it must not be in this	1	won't call that that it was a reviewal, because if it was,
2	way. People were allowed to put their story on their own	2	like we were reviewing, strictly reviewing, we were going
3	in their groups, come back, give the feedback to us, and we	3	to come out with the recommendation to say this is what
4	look at that together, holistically, we look at it and say	4	needs to be done. But I believe without doubt that it was
5	what needs to be rectified, but what was the most thing was	5	not going to be correct because the Commission was already
6	done on that time was the terminology, not changing	6	appointed.
7	anything. Everything was the way it was.	7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: But you do know, and you
8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Very interesting choice	8	accept, and this is where the chairman's reference to 3.5
9	of words from you, Brigadier – not change anything. Was	9	may be apposite for me to put to you, you will see in
10	there an inclination, did you detect there was an	10	exhibit S, 3.5, that you see there every time minimum
11	inclination on the part of others or some of others to	11	force, and here we're not even talking minimum force but
12	change things from the way they were? Why was there an	12	every time minimum force is used, a review of the action
13	emphasis on not changing things?	13	taken must always be done by an officer holding the rank of
14	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It is normal definitely	14	captain and above, who was not part of the action and was
15	in such a situation that everybody must come onboard and	15	also not on the scene, and that would be a person like you
16	assist to make sure that whatever has happened, it doesn't	16	particularly.
17	happen again, and your question as well is to say why the	17	BRIG MKHWANAZI: But you agree,
18	choice of words, "no changes made." This is not the first	18	Counsellor, it is like that, procedurally we have to do
19	time, Counsellor, this question is being asked from me. It	19	that way. I agree with you. However, I was explaining the
20	was asked even before to indicate whether were there any	20	purpose of my invitation in Potchefstroom.
21	changes in anything. That's why I'm touching back on it.	21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay, let's leave
22	I'm tapping on it because it was even touched before,	22	Potchefstroom aside and let's focus on the requirement in
23	whereby I explained the same way that we never changed	23	3.5. Do you know as a commissioned officer in your rank,
24	anything. The only thing what we did was to actually look	24	quite clearly one above the rank of captain, whether as a
25	to the terminologies and everything and that's the reason.	25	consequence of this injunction which came to you by way of
1	Page 3533	1	Page 3535 a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer
1	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide	1	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer
2	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when	2	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on
2 3	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there.	2 3	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the
2 3 4	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what	2 3 4	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the
2 3 4 5	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal	2 3 4 5	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people?
2 3 4 5 6	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those	2 3 4 5 6	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge,
2 3 4 5 6 7	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and	2 3 4 5 6 7	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come back with some recommendation, it was because of that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must always be done? Whether there is a commission or no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come back with some recommendation, it was because of that that when we were there we never made recommendation because the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must always be done? Whether there is a commission or no commission appointed yet, that is a compliance issue. Are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come back with some recommendation, it was because of that that when we were there we never made recommendation because the belief was the commission has been appointed, hence it has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must always be done? Whether there is a commission or no commission appointed yet, that is a compliance issue. Are you saying under oath before your God that you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come back with some recommendation, it was because of that that when we were there we never made recommendation because the belief was the commission has been appointed, hence it has been appointed, let's prepare now the submission, and with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must always be done? Whether there is a commission or no commission appointed yet, that is a compliance issue. Are you saying under oath before your God that you want to stick with your answer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come back with some recommendation, it was because of that that when we were there we never made recommendation because the belief was the commission has been appointed, hence it has been appointed, let's prepare now the submission, and with the belief that the commission will assist, that has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must always be done? Whether there is a commission or no commission appointed yet, that is a compliance issue. Are you saying under oath before your God that you want to stick with your answer? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come back with some recommendation, it was because of that that when we were there we never made recommendation because the belief was the commission has been appointed, hence it has been appointed, let's prepare now the submission, and with the belief that the commission will assist, that has actually happened even before, that if the commission is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must always be done? Whether there is a commission or no commission appointed yet, that is a compliance issue. Are you saying under oath before your God that you want to stick with your answer? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, in my understanding and my answer I gave from the beginning, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come back with some recommendation, it was because of that that when we were there we never made recommendation because the belief was the commission has been appointed, hence it has been appointed, let's prepare now the submission, and with the belief that the commission will assist, that has actually happened even before, that if the commission is appointed, obviously there will be some recommendation that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must always be done? Whether there is a commission or no commission appointed yet, that is a compliance issue. Are you saying under oath before your God that you want to stick with your answer? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, in my understanding and my answer I gave from the beginning, I would like to indicate that if it was done, it may happen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There's nothing specific to say I'm trying to hide something or do what, but that's what actually we did when we were there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Would you say that what you were doing there would be amounting to an internal review or the incidences of violence, particularly those that involved the police either on the 13th as victims and on the 16th as perpetrators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it can be part of, but I will differ because it was going to be very difficult because already the commission was already appointed and to go deeper, the normal way how we do our issues to say we need to as well maybe to give recommendation if we review, if we review we need to give recommendation to say what has to be done. That's why even before the chairperson instructed me to say I must come back with some recommendation, it was because of that that when we were there we never made recommendation because the belief was the commission has been appointed, hence it has been appointed, let's prepare now the submission, and with the belief that the commission will assist, that has actually happened even before, that if the commission is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a circular on the 20th of July 2012, whether any officer reviewed the action that had been taken, particularly on the two days where violence resulted in the death of the police, the 13th, and of course three miners, and on the 16th, the death of those people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my knowledge, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now of course I always want to remind you that you are under oath and because it's something that you must remember, I want you to think very carefully about this. Are you saying that as head of training for POPs, that neither you nor anyone of a rank above that of captain complied with that regulation or injunction, which you expressed in peremptory terms, I must remind you, no exceptions as to what must be done, and no exceptions as to how frequently it must be done, it must always be done? Whether there is a commission or no commission appointed yet, that is a compliance issue. Are you saying under oath before your God that you want to stick with your answer? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, in my understanding and my answer I gave from the beginning, I

	5		
1 1	Page 3536	1	Page 3538 be more direct to you. Are you saying under oath, you
	booked at after any situation that has taken place.	1	
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Brigadier, I give you an	2	never was part of internal review envisaged in that
	pportunity now to think carefully because this kind of	3	paragraph 3.5? For all the reasons that 3.5 injunction
	njunction is the kind of injunction that would refer to	4	says the person must be, not generally in this matter, are
-	ou. Firstly, you hold the rank of brigadier, which is	5	you saying that you were never at a meeting with others or
	bove the rank of captain. Secondly, you were not part on	6	by yourself, where the purpose was to do an internal
-	our evidence of the action, neither on the 13th, nor on	7	review, and I am giving you an opportunity to think very
	he 16th, and on the evidence that I've gathered you've	8	carefully, because you are under oath.
-	iven in chief and under cross-examination, you were not on	9	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, as I said,
	he scene. Is that right?	10	in the three days we sat with all the commanders whereby
11	BRIG MKHWANAZI: You're correct.	11	they were divided into groups, task was given to them and
12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Am I right therefore in	12	they compiled the information. The final product, all of
	aying you would be the obvious person, particularly if we	13	us, we came together. We carved the final product, we made
	egard this as a POPs operation, which it should have been	14	our comment on the final product. As I have said, the most
15 –	and I'm going to come to you and deal with the units that	15	part we commented on, was on the technologies to make sure
	vere deployed on the 16th, but if we proceed on the basis	16	the document actually entails everything properly as it is
	his is a crowd control issue, it's a POPs issue, you are	17 10	going to be submitted for the commission. Brigadier, if
	ead of training in POPs, you are an expert as to what must	18	you at any other stage, you think of revisiting this -
	e used, what ammunition, what equipment, how, what the	19 20	CHAIRPERSON: I am going to take the
	umbers, all of those things, you would be the obvious	20 21	adjournment in a moment, but before we do that, Mr
	erson to be involved in this kind of internal review, and am asking you again, are you saying under oath that we	21 22	Ntsebeza, what is your question as to whether this witness has ever participated in any review as envisaged by the
	vill never find out that you were part of a process that	22	paragraph which was referred to? Confined to the three
	lid exactly this?	23 24	days as Potchefstroom, or was it a question asked
24 u 25	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I would say	24 25	generally, because he hasn't answered a general question,
20		20	
	Page 3537		Page 3539
	o, that's not correct, because basically I'm based in	1	only confined his answer to what happened at Potchefstroom.
	lorthern Cape as an operational officer, provincial head in	2	Perhaps, before we take the adjournment, we could just wrap
	Operational Response Services. The only time I could be in	3	this point up.
	position to be part of the process, Counsellor, you are	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, you will recall
	alking about, I will be part of it on an invitation or	5	that in anyone, I said, let us now forget about the three
	nstruction by the head office. However, if I'm based in	6	days and I wanted us to focus on the expectation of 3.5.
	lead office as well, will be an instruction, hence	7	Now forget about the three days now. I am isolating what
-	11:11] BRIG MKHWANAZI: - hence I was pacing at	8	is contained in 3.5. Did you ever, either in yourself
	lead Office before and my job was to look to the skilled	9 10	alone, or with others, forfeit the expectations of 3.5.
	levelopment of all specialised units. That includes cope	10 11	Did you ever sit, meet, discuss, do exactly what is - do a roview of the action?
	s well and others, but hence I've moved to Northern Cape	11 12	review of the action? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I will say
	now, I am not in that position anymore, but if I am	12 13	
	ppointed, I will definitely be part of that, but as an bvious person as the counsellor is saying, I will	13 14	again, no, we never have a specific meeting for the purpose of reviewing, because if we review, it will at the end, if
	lefinitely say "no", it was not going to be in that	14 15	I am part of that really, that is my understanding, we will
	position, because if I am in Head Office and I am in charge	16	have to give recommendation, and I have indicated as well,
-	of the skilled development training of the specialised	17	to say, already the commission was appointed. To have
	peration, I can initiate, I can ask permission to go and	18	recommendation, it was not going to be the correct thing.
	ictually start doing what actually 3.5 is saying, but in	19	And I have indicated as well that right when I was sitting
	his position I can't, I must be instructed or I must be	20	here, I was instructed by the chairperson to give the
	ppointed to go and be part of that particular team or	20	recommendation. I went back, I wrote them, I came back
- 0	perinted to go and so part of that particular toath of		
22 n	navbe alone in person. I can do that if I am appointed to	22	with them. If we have done it before. I could have diven
	naybe alone in person, I can do that, if I am appointed to	22 23	with them. If we have done it before, I could have given it at the same time, because I was going to know exactly
23 d	lo like that, but I am not an obvious person.	23	it at the same time, because I was going to know exactly
23 d 24	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		-

	Page 3540		Page 3542
1	everything, in my presence, it never happened in that way.	1	CHAIRPERSON: On the assumption that it
2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, now finally on this	2	didn't happen, as far as I know no documents has been
3	point, do you know of anyone, who is of the rank of captain	3	discovered by the police which constitutes a record of any
4	and above, who undertook a review of the action that must	4	such review. So on the assumption that such review wasn't
5	always be done by an officer of that rank?	5	held, I think you already said that a review should've been
6	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in my own knowledge,	6	held. Is that correct?
7	counsellor, as 3.5 paragraph actually provides, and I have	7	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct,
8	said already, it can happen but on my knowledge I never	8	Counsellor. I've said as per 3.5, I've said it has
9	knew about it, but even now, I don't know who has done	9	actually – it should take place. The only thing I'm saying
10	that, but in my presence, I have indicated why I feel	10	is I'm not sure if it did.
11	strongly why it was not done in that way.	11	CHAIRPERSON: Ja, no what I'm telling you
12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, maybe let me de-	12	is that as far as I'm aware, no document indicating that
13	escalate it further, apart from that kind of review	13	such review was ever held has been discovered by the
14	envisaged in 3.5, do you know of any review, whatsoever, of	14	police. So on the assumption that such review didn't
15	the action that took place on the 16th in particular, but	15	happen, Mr Ntsebeza will ask such further questions as he
16	also stating the 16th that was ever done for purposes of	16	wishes.
17	establishing the pros and cons of the operation that	17	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe as well,
18	resulted in the deaths of so many people?	18	Commissioner, to allow me to rectify one part that the
19	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal	19	inspection log I'm referring to which I was part of it,
20	it has to be done, but in my knowledge, no, I did not pick	20	Senior Counsel Semenya was not part of it but others were
21	it up, except only the task given to me only. If it was	21	there. Just to rectify that part.
22	done, I don't have the knowledge of this.	22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Let me just repeat
23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Here as a person who is	23	quickly step by step where I want to get to. You see, on
24	head of training of POP and when you come from tea, I will	24	your own evidence you were not there on the day that people
25	be putting to you that this was a POPs operation, I find	25	got shot on the 16th of August, is that correct?
	Page 3541		Page 3543
1	Page 3541 that again, a very remarkable answer.	1	Page 3543 BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct.
1 2		1 2	-
	that again, a very remarkable answer.		BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct.
2	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn	2	BRIG MKHWANAZI:It's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:And in the language of
2 3	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea	2 3	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action.
2 3 4	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment.	2 3 4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
2 3 4 5	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	2 3 4 5	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the
2 3 4 5 6	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes.	2 3 4 5 6	BRIG MKHWANAZI:It's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:And in the language of2.5 you were not part of the action.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:And you were not on thescene.You were not on the scene.You were not on the scene.You were not -BRIG MKHWANAZI:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now at some stage before
2 3 4 5 6 7	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were	2 3 4 5 6 7	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BRIG MKHWANAZI:It's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:And in the language of2.5 you were not part of the action.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:And you were not on thescene.You were not on the scene.You were not on the scene.You were not -BRIG MKHWANAZI:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now at some stage beforeyou came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correctme if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about youhaving to come and testify here in the capacity that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior Counsel Semenya and the team, yes. There was a meeting of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior Counsel Semenya and the team, yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I would assume that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BRIG MKHWANAZI:It's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:And in the language of2.5 you were not part of the action.BRIG MKHWANAZI:BRIG MKHWANAZI:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:And you were not on thescene.You were not on the scene.You were not on the scene.You were not -BRIG MKHWANAZI:Yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Now at some stage beforeyou came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correctme if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about youhaving to come and testify here in the capacity that youcame in.Is that right?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Yes, Sir. With SeniorCounsel Semenya and the team, yes.There was a meeting ofcourse to prepare me.MR NTSEBEZA SC:And I would assume thatpart of what you will be expected to do would be to beAnd I would be to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I must indicate that what I said it may happen it took place, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior Counsel Semenya and the team, yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I would assume that part of what you will be expected to do would be to be filled in on what happened, what units were there, who they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I must indicate that what I said it may happen it took place, but not to my knowledge and there are other issues that took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I would assume that part of what you will be expected to do would be to be filled in on what happened, what units were there, who they were recommended by, what positions they took, who were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I must indicate that what I said it may happen it took place, but not to my knowledge and there are other issues that took place such as inspection log and all that, which were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior Counsel Semenya and the team, yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I would assume that part of what you will be expected to do would be to be filled in on what happened, what units were there, who they were recommended by, what positions they took, who were their negotiators and all of that, you would be told those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I must indicate that what I said it may happen it took place, but not to my knowledge and there are other issues that took place such as inspection log and all that, which were conducted with the company of Counsellor Semenya and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior Counsel Semenya and the team, yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I would assume that part of what you will be expected to do would be to be filled in on what happened, what units were there, who they were recommended by, what positions they took, who were their negotiators and all of that, you would be told those kinds of things in that kind of a meeting. Am I assuming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I must indicate that what I said it may happen it took place, but not to my knowledge and there are other issues that took place such as inspection log and all that, which were conducted with the company of Counsellor Semenya and the team at Marikana itself and I may definitely not have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior Counsel Semenya and the team, yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I would assume that part of what you will be expected to do would be to be filled in on what happened, what units were there, who they were recommended by, what positions they took, who were their negotiators and all of that, you would be told those kinds of things in that kind of a meeting. Am I assuming correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I must indicate that what I said it may happen it took place, but not to my knowledge and there are other issues that took place such as inspection log and all that, which were conducted with the company of Counsellor Semenya and the team at Marikana itself and I may definitely not have a knowledge if there is something that has happened of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior Counsel Semenya and the team, yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I would assume that part of what you will be expected to do would be to be filled in on what happened, what units were there, who they were recommended by, what positions they took, who were their negotiators and all of that, you would be told those kinds of things in that kind of a meeting. Am I assuming correct? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that again, a very remarkable answer. MR CHAIRPERSON: - Perhaps you can turn that comment into a question after we have taken the tea adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [11:40] CHAIRPERSON: The commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Mr Ntsebeza, you were going to turn a comment into a question. MR NTSEBEZA: Thank you, Mr Chair. Well, Brigadier, I was just going to - in turning the comment into a question. Don't you find it is very remarkable and unbelievable that there doesn't seem to have been, even to your knowledge, there doesn't seem to have been any exercise by the police to do a review of the action that brought about the deaths of the people mentioned were a subject of inquiry in this commission. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I must indicate that what I said it may happen it took place, but not to my knowledge and there are other issues that took place such as inspection log and all that, which were conducted with the company of Counsellor Semenya and the team at Marikana itself and I may definitely not have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And in the language of 2.5 you were not part of the action. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you were not on the scene. You were not on the scene. You were not - BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now at some stage before you came to testify here, you must have, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, you must have had a discussion about you having to come and testify here in the capacity that you came in. Is that right? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. With Senior Counsel Semenya and the team, yes. There was a meeting of course to prepare me. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And I would assume that part of what you will be expected to do would be to be filled in on what happened, what units were there, who they were recommended by, what positions they took, who were their negotiators and all of that, you would be told those kinds of things in that kind of a meeting. Am I assuming correct?

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

		r	
	Page 3544		Page 3546
1	Budlender that you are actually an expert in POP, your	1	being compelled to maybe to do things in that way and I
2	views as to what happened on the day would be solicited.	2	think it's totally optional and as a result really I never
3	Not so?	3	chose to kept a diary every time on what is happening here,
4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, but as I have said	4	if I'm inside here because really there are a lot of
5	that your question was, was there any discussion prior.	5	documents that are been given to me like Exhibit L is here.
6	Yes, I'm saying there were discussions, yes, everything was	6	If anything I have to refer myself I refer myself. If I
7	discussed and the preparation was to say I'm going to come	7	have to write now a diary it means it will be finished,
8	in, this is what actually has happened. Yes, definitely	8	Counsellor, before this commission is done because this is
9	it's a lot of things that was discussed, but to come to a	9	a big document. I have to write from the back to the end,
10	conclusion to everything – I never came to that position to	10	really. Not to mean I'm sarcastic to my answer but really
11	say this is the conclusion but since I came in the	11	it's a lot of things I've dealt with. If I have a diary
12	commission the question that is came I have indicated what	12	for how many pages I will write there.
13	went wrong. I have indicated what went right during that	13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, I don't want to
14	position.	14	offend you, Brigadier, but you know, I'm just putting
15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Can we simplify it in	15	questions. I just want to be sure that I've been fair to
16	this way? You would've been told as the person was not	16	you by putting a question as to whether you kept a diary
17 18	there, you would've been told this is what happened. Did	17 10	because if you kept one then you know, it would assist this
18	that happen? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	18 19	commission. You appreciate that, don't you? You have a
	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And there would have	20	diary that documented what you did after the 16th or on the
20 21	been an expectation from you to say well this was compliant	20 21	16th with respect to Marikana. Hey, this is what I did. I met with brigadier so and so, General Annandale, Mckintosh
22	with POPs standing orders, this was not strictly in	22	and all of that. We had a meeting, those kinds of things.
22	compliance with POPs orders etcetera, etcetera. Would that	23	If it is there, it might assist us, wouldn't it?
24	have happened? Did that happen?	24	BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, you're correct,
25	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in that session,	25	Counsellor. Yes, it can help but as I've said really I
	Page 3545		Page 3547
1			0
1	Counsellor, because if I remember properly, when I arrived	1	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary.
2	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only	2	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to
	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to		never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come
2 3 4	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were	2 3 4	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the
2 3 4 5	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not	2 3 4 5	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place?
2 3 4 5 6	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this	2 3 4 5 6	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross	2 3 4 5 6 7	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific task I was supposed to deal with and really to write each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, if some is right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific task I was supposed to deal with and really to write each and every day, I couldn't do that. Definitely I couldn't -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, if some is right here and some I saw them we were at Potchefstroom. Yes, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific task I was supposed to deal with and really to write each and every day, I couldn't do that. Definitely I couldn't - MR NTSEBEZA SC: I deally especially and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, if some is right here and some I saw them we were at Potchefstroom. Yes, I saw one of them there at Potchefstroom, but all of them,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific task I was supposed to deal with and really to write each and every day, I couldn't do that. Definitely I couldn't - MR NTSEBEZA SC: I deally especially and when you got to know that there was a commission that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, if some is right here and some I saw them we were at Potchefstroom. Yes, I saw one of them there at Potchefstroom, but all of them, Counsellor, the one I saw at that stage is the one that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific task I was supposed to deal with and really to write each and every day, I couldn't do that. Definitely I couldn't - MR NTSEBEZA SC: I deally especially and when you got to know that there was a commission that was coming, shouldn't you have kept a diary so that you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, if some is right here and some I saw them we were at Potchefstroom. Yes, I saw one of them there at Potchefstroom, but all of them, Counsellor, the one I saw here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific task I was supposed to deal with and really to write each and every day, I couldn't do that. Definitely I couldn't - MR NTSEBEZA SC: I deally especially and when you got to know that there was a commission that was coming, shouldn't you have kept a diary so that you have a fair record of what you did in connection with your – with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, if some is right here and some I saw them we were at Potchefstroom. Yes, I saw one of them there at Potchefstroom, but all of them, Counsellor, the one I saw at that stage is the one that came up right here. The one I saw here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Let's forget about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific task I was supposed to deal with and really to write each and every day, I couldn't do that. Definitely I couldn't - MR NTSEBEZA SC: I deally especially and when you got to know that there was a commission that was coming, shouldn't you have kept a diary so that you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, if some is right here and some I saw them we were at Potchefstroom. Yes, I saw one of them there at Potchefstroom, but all of them, Counsellor, the one I saw here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I was actually prepared to talk only about training, only specifically training but as I came inside here, I had to answer to other issues as well. But now when we were preparing I think maybe it can happen the time was not enough to say maybe we can just say now holistically this what went wrong or this what was. But as I was cross questioned here, I managed to say this was wrong or this was right. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Just by the way, do you keep any diary or pocket book of your activities that covered everything that you did in relation to your involvement with this Marikana incident? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, not at all. I didn't kept any but we do have diaries we keep and anything that we actually do during the course of the day, but on issues of Marikana I couldn't because there was a specific task I was supposed to deal with and really to write each and every day, I couldn't do that. Definitely I couldn't - MR NTSEBEZA SC: I deally especially and when you got to know that there was a commission that was coming, shouldn't you have kept a diary so that you have a fair record of what you did in connection with your – with whatever you would do as a witness in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	never felt that it is necessary for me to keep a diary. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now before you came to testify and around the time that you were preparing to come and testify, did you see any video footage of any of the incidents that took place? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, Counsellor. Yes, I saw some of the video footage and some of them of course were shown to me even inside here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I'm asking about what you saw outside the commission. So what video footage were you shown? I'm not saying describe what it was. Was it video footage which you were told this is video footage that was taken by POPs as they should've done or this is video footage that we got from elsewhere? BRIG MKHWANAZI: The videos I saw is videos were by Aljazeera. That's one I saw. MR NTSEBEZA SC: When was that and where? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, if some is right here and some I saw them we were at Potchefstroom. Yes, I saw one of them there at Potchefstroom, but all of them, Counsellor, the one I saw at that stage is the one that came up right here. The one I saw here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Let's forget about what you saw here. I'm more interested in what you saw

		1	
	Page 3548		Page 3550
1	you saying that the only video material you saw in your	1	a guy who was taking videos as well for SAPS. I'm not sure
2	preparation to come and testify here was video material	2	about his name myself.
3	that was provided by the media outlets? Aljazeera for	3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now as head of POPs
4	instance.	4	Training, who knows that it is a requirements or the
5	BRIG MKHWANAZI: The one I saw were from	5	standing orders for video material to be taken, why didn't
6	the media and I was informed as well that there were other	6	you ask for that video material to be given to you so that
7	video footage taken by the police as well. I was informed	7	you can do what you have to do? No, I'm asking an entirely
8	on that one but I've never seen that one. But the one I	8	other question, Mr Interpreter, that didn't he ask where is
9	saw myself was by the media.	9	this video material that the police took, I want to see it
10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Were you told that	10	
11	there's video material that the police had taken of the	11	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, as I've said
12	events which the police will make available later?	12	I have a discussion and the whole purpose is to know if do
13	BRIG MKHWANAZI: What I was told was that	13	we have anything, and he mentioned that he got the video
14	there is video footage which were taken by the police as	14	
15	well but I never saw it when I was there, Counsellor.	15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
16	That's what I'm saying. I saw only the one from media.	16	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That definitely, I spoke
17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Who told you that there's other video material that was taken by the police?	17 18	with him, I questioned in that way that – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Very good.
19	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well it was discussed as	19	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja.
20	we were actually viewing the, what's it name, the videos as	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Then did you say wait a
20	well. Discussed as we were busy looking to the final	20	minute, I want to see it?
22	product of what's supposed to be submitted as if it's got	22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, but Counsellor, as
23	visuals and everything, the information here. It was	23	I've said, I asked –
24	discussed at that stage but specifically I cannot say that	24	CHAIRPERSON: Come on, Brigadier, it's a
25	so and so told me because it wasn't like a specific	25	simple question. Did you ask to see the video material
	Page 3549		Page 3551
1	Page 3549 question but it was a discussion as we busy there.	1	Page 3551 which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you
1 2	question but it was a discussion as we busy there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Brigadier, are you	2	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it?
	question but it was a discussion as we busy there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Brigadier, are you saying you don't know who told you that there is other	-	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see
2 3 4	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shown	2 3 4	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I
2 3 4 5	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?	2	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose
2 3 4 5 6	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.         MR NTSEBEZA SC:       Brigadier, are you         saying you don't know who told you that there is other         video material taken by the police which will be shown         later on?         BRIG MKHWANAZI:       If I remember properly,	2 3 4 5 6	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was
2 3 4 5 6 7	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of AI Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown later	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown laterwhich had been taken by the police? It's a simple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of AI Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown laterwhich had been taken by the police? It's a simplequestion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown laterwhich had been taken by the police? It's a simplequestion.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I have said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of AI Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown laterwhich had been taken by the police? It's a simplequestion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown laterwhich had been taken by the police? It's a simplequestion.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I have saidit was a discussion emanating from the meeting - a question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of AI Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is did I see any footage. I indicated that I've seen the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown laterwhich had been taken by the police? It's a simplequestion.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I have saidit was a discussion emanating from the meeting - a questionspecifically from a person.How ever I have a discussion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is did I see any footage. I indicated that I've seen the media one and the one from SAPS I asked, as I was talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown laterwhich had been taken by the police? It's a simplequestion.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I have saidit was a discussion emanating from the meeting - a questionspecifically from a person.However I have a discussionwith a video operator as well, just in passing, discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of AI Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is did I see any footage. I indicated that I've seen the media one and the one from SAPS I asked, as I was talking to the video operator, and he indicated that he took some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question but it was a discussion as we busy there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Brigadier, are you saying you don't know who told you that there is other video material taken by the police which will be shown later on? BRIG MKHWANAZI: If I remember properly, I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it's Public Order Policing, that's the person I have a discussion but it was a discussion with everybody but there was definitely a mention of that in that part. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Brigadier, who told you that there was video material which will be shown later which had been taken by the police? It's a simple question. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I have said it was a discussion emanating from the meeting - a question specifically from a person. However I have a discussion with a video operator as well, just in passing, discussing and he said yes, I took some video when I was working.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of AI Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is did I see any footage. I indicated that I've seen the media one and the one from SAPS I asked, as I was talking to the video operator, and he indicated that he took some video footage and whilst I was of the opinion that it can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>question but it was a discussion as we busy there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Brigadier, are you saying you don't know who told you that there is other video material taken by the police which will be shown later on? BRIG MKHWANAZI: If I remember properly, I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it's Public Order Policing, that's the person I have a discussion but it was a discussion with everybody but there was definitely a mention of that in that part. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Brigadier, who told you that there was video material which will be shown later which had been taken by the police? It's a simple question. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I have said it was a discussion emanating from the meeting - a question specifically from a person. However I have a discussion with a video operator as well, just in passing, discussing and he said yes, I took some video when I was working. That's the part that came up.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of AI Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is did I see any footage. I indicated that I've seen the media one and the one from SAPS I asked, as I was talking to the video operator, and he indicated that he took some video footage and whilst I was of the opinion that it can be, to be shown in future, and I don't know what is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question but it was a discussion as we busy there.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are yousaying you don't know who told you that there is othervideo material taken by the police which will be shownlater on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly,I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it'sPublic Order Policing, that's the person I have adiscussion but it was a discussion with everybody but therewas definitely a mention of that in that part.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told youthat there was video material which will be shown laterwhich had been taken by the police? It's a simplequestion.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I have saidit was a discussion emanating from the meeting - a questionspecifically from a person.However I have a discussionwith a video operator as well, just in passing, discussingand he said yes, I took some video when I was working.That's the part that came up.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who was that video	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of AI Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is did I see any footage. I indicated that I've seen the media one and the one from SAPS I asked, as I was talking to the video operator, and he indicated that he took some video footage and whilst I was of the opinion that it can be, to be shown in future, and I don't know what is the position on that, but I'm saying this is what I find out if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question but it was a discussion as we busy there. MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are you saying you don't know who told you that there is other video material taken by the police which will be shown later on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly, I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it's Public Order Policing, that's the person I have a discussion but it was a discussion with everybody but there was definitely a mention of that in that part. MR NTSEBEZA SC:MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told you that there was video material which will be shown later which had been taken by the police? It's a simple question.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I have said it was a discussion emanating from the meeting - a question specifically from a person. However I have a discussion with a video operator as well, just in passing, discussing and he said yes, I took some video when I was working. That's the part that came up. MR NTSEBEZA SC:MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who was that video operator whom we talked to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is did I see any footage. I indicated that I've seen the media one and the one from SAPS I asked, as I was talking to the video operator, and he indicated that he took some video footage and whilst I was of the opinion that it can be, to be shown in future, and I don't know what is the position on that, but I'm saying this is what I find out if I, the time I was talking with, that's all, and there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question but it was a discussion as we busy there. MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, are you saying you don't know who told you that there is other video material taken by the police which will be shown later on?BRIG MKHWANAZI:If I remember properly, I have a discussion with a video operator for, I think it's Public Order Policing, that's the person I have a discussion but it was a discussion with everybody but there was definitely a mention of that in that part. MR NTSEBEZA SC:Brigadier, who told you that there was video material which will be shown later which had been taken by the police? It's a simple question.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Counsellor, I have said it was a discussion emanating from the meeting - a question specifically from a person. However I have a discussion with a video operator as well, just in passing, discussing and he said yes, I took some video when I was working. That's the part that came up. MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who was that video operator whom we talked to? [12:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which was referred to by the policeman who mentioned to you that he'd taken it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I didn't ask to see it because even the one of Al Jazeera I was just shown, I never asked in that fashion because as I've said my purpose to be there was clear what I have to look at. So I was shown what I was shown, but I never asked specifically where is that material, no. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Really I want to put it to you that you are being disingenuous with this Commission, that you are being evasive, that you are not being truthful, contrary to your swearing on oath that you'll speak the truth. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not agreeing with the comment because really, your question is did I see any footage. I indicated that I've seen the media one and the one from SAPS I asked, as I was talking to the video operator, and he indicated that he took some video footage and whilst I was of the opinion that it can be, to be shown in future, and I don't know what is the position on that, but I'm saying this is what I find out if I, the time I was talking with, that's all, and there's nothing I'm hiding at all.

		1	
	Page 3552		Page 3554
1	rank and position should know what is contained in the	1	video footage, but as to say which angle and where he
2	other video material that this video operator of all people	2	managed to take pictures, I didn't ask that because I was
3	is referring to?	3	of the opinion that it will come out as the others are
4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I did. I did feel	4	here, that's all what I knew by this, because there's
5	like I would like to see it because it can give a different	5	nothing I thought of differently on that.
6	angle of the situation, but I couldn't see it. There's	6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I propose to move on,
7	nothing I could do so far, but I couldn't see it yet.	7	but let me just ask a few questions around this. Do you
8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: What do you mean there	8	accept now that you are there under oath, that it would
9	was nothing you could not do?	9	have been a good thing for you to have asked the operator
10	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Sorry?	10	the following, "Man, what is in that video material? Where
11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay, I'm sorry. What	11	is that video material? I want to see it now that I've
12	do you mean that there was nothing that you could do about	12	seen the other video material from Al Jazeera."
13	it? You are the brigadier and the video operator I assume	13	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, if I recall
14	was of a rank way below you. Your police unit, the police	14	right, this discussion we have is before the time as well
15	unit you train, could have been reflected in that video	15	when we're going to see it and view everything, and all
16	material. It was the duty of the unit that you train, on	16	what came in my mind was that everything actually maybe can
17	injunctions from the national commissioner, to take video	17	be there or maybe can be the same, or can differ from each
18	footage all the time and then when you get told that there	18	other and all that, and really, I felt that position that
19	is footage that was taken by the Police, you show a	19	there wasn't much to go deeper on that, but I knew, as I
20	remarkable reluctance to know what is contained in that.	20	said to you, that there was video footage taken as well by
21	What do you call that?	21	SAPS and he indicated to me that he was part of the whole
22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's not the case,	22	scene. He took something, especially on koppie 1, but
23	Counsellor, that I never took it serious. However, I have	23	definitely to say is this the same or not the same, I never
24	that belief that like all video footage available it will	24	went into details on that.
25	come forward, if there's any, but as I'm saying I have a	25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I see, and then at the
1	Page 3553 discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he	1	Page 3555 end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that
1 2	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he	1 2	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that
2	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought		end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would
	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same,	2	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go
2 3	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the	2 3	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen
2 3 4	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown.	2 3 4	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go
2 3 4 5	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video	2 3 4 5	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?"
2 3 4 5 6	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material	2 3 4 5 6	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my
2 3 4 5 6 7	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video	2 3 4 5 6 7	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant -	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's he.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say I want to see yours, definitely I felt that's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's he. CHAIRPERSON: He. When you asked him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say I want to see yours, definitely I felt that's not definitely, I couldn't do that, but it never came even in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's he. CHAIRPERSON: He. When you asked him what, when you asked, did you ask him what was depicted on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say I want to see yours, definitely I felt that's not definitely, I couldn't do that, but it never came even in my mind to say I must do it in that fashion. But the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's he. CHAIRPERSON: He. When you asked him what, when you asked, did you ask him what was depicted on the video material he took, you answered, not in detail.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say I want to see yours, definitely I felt that's not definitely, I couldn't do that, but it never came even in my mind to say I must do it in that fashion. But the only thing I'm saying, yes, discussion we had with him, but to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's he. CHAIRPERSON: He. When you asked him what, when you asked, did you ask him what was depicted on the video material he took, you answered, not in detail. So that indicates that you must have asked him in general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say I want to see yours, definitely I felt that's not definitely, I couldn't do that, but it never came even in my mind to say I must do it in that fashion. But the only thing I'm saying, yes, discussion we had with him, but to say I can go back and say show me yours now, I have seen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's he. CHAIRPERSON: He. When you asked him what, when you asked, did you ask him what was depicted on the video material he took, you answered, not in detail. So that indicates that you must have asked him in general terms what was on it, and what did he say?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say I want to see yours, definitely I felt that's not definitely, I couldn't do that, but it never came even in my mind to say I must do it in that fashion. But the only thing I'm saying, yes, discussion we had with him, but to say I can go back and say show me yours now, I have seen the one of media, no, I couldn't take it in that position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's he. CHAIRPERSON: He. When you asked him what, when you asked, did you ask him what was depicted on the video material he took, you answered, not in detail. So that indicates that you must have asked him in general terms what was on it, and what did he say? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja-no, it's correct, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say I want to see yours, definitely I felt that's not definitely, I couldn't do that, but it never came even in my mind to say I must do it in that fashion. But the only thing I'm saying, yes, discussion we had with him, but to say I can go back and say show me yours now, I have seen the one of media, no, I couldn't take it in that position. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, Brigadier, I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	discussion with the video operator and he indicated that he got video footage as well, of which sometime I thought maybe that it's the same or maybe it cannot be the same, but there was nothing much I could do as I was of the opinion that it will be shown. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Did you ask the video operator what incident or incidents that video material covers, details of what he has, or she has? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not in detail, Sir. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'll just ask you for the last time, why were you reluctant - CHAIRPERSON: Before you ask something for the last time, you said not in detail. So that indicates you did ask him to some extent, him or her – was it a he or a she? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's he. CHAIRPERSON: He. When you asked him what, when you asked, did you ask him what was depicted on the video material he took, you answered, not in detail. So that indicates that you must have asked him in general terms what was on it, and what did he say? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja-no, it's correct, we were discussing more on the position where in koppie 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	end of that video footage that you saw, you realised that this is all from the media. Do you agree that it would have been at that stage an opportune moment for you to go back to that video operator, say "Oh yeah, I've seen everything now, but can we now see the video footage taken by the Police that we talked about earlier?" BRIG MKHWANAZI: Well, what came in my mind at that time, Counsellor, was that the video footage we are seeing, it may happen it's the same. That's how I felt, and I never went further like to say show us whether yours, it can have a different angle or what, but I felt that what comes up there, it's fine and it will be shown as well if there's a need, the one of SAPS as well, if it is there it's possible that it can be shown, it can be shown. That's what I felt, but strictly for me to sit down and say I want to see yours, definitely I felt that's not definitely, I couldn't do that, but it never came even in my mind to say I must do it in that fashion. But the only thing I'm saying, yes, discussion we had with him, but to say I can go back and say show me yours now, I have seen the one of media, no, I couldn't take it in that position. MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, Brigadier, I want to know why. I hear you say you couldn't do it or you

	-	T	
	Page 3556		Page 3558
1	terms of your standing orders is a requirement? You get	1	POP should have dealt with?
2	satisfied with only media footage. Why didn't you ask for	2	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, partly I
3	your footage?	3	will agree in a sense to say any gathering that is taking
4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I do not	4	place, it will be the duty that has to be performed by
5	have a real reason into that to say why not because	5	members of Public Order Policing. However, I must indicate
6	submissions were actually done by everybody when they were	6	as well that the situation changes every time. That
7	preparing, and this is, was the question in passing with me	7	demands a lot of intervention to solve the problem.
8	with the member to say do you have yours, and he say he got	8	CHAIRPERSON: That's not an exact answer
9	his, and I thought whatever was coming, because if you	9	to the question. The question was, you saw the video, you
10	check here, it's different footages that are attached in	10	were told what happened, do you agree with the proposition
11	exhibit L, and for me somehow I felt that they are included	11	that counsel put to you, in the light of what you saw and
12	as well, you know. But to strictly say now tell me, is	12	what you were told, it's your opinion that this should have
13	this one from Al Jazeera or media, or this one, is it from	13	remained a POP event? I think that's the question and I
14	the Police, was not easy to ask that type of question	14	don't think you've answered -
15	because everything was there and it's attached here and I	15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's the question.
16	felt clearly, I felt clearly to say it may happen as well	16	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I do agree.
17	his are there as well. That's how I felt. Because if I	17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed it is a situation
18	mention Al Jazeera, it's because when you're starting the	18	where - and I'm sure you'll agree with me as a trainer of
19	footage, that's where you can pick it up that it's Al	19	that unit – that POP from other provinces should have been
20	Jazeera. That is media, you can pick up easy. That's why	20 21	called. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
21 22	I asked the question as well, but if you look to the exhibit L it's a lot of video footage that are there, may	22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: In fact, as I did some
22	happen as well they are there. So I never took as if they	22	little research, it does appear that there are many other
23	are not included.	23	POP members who are on reserve. So those could have been
25	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Am I correct in	25	called as well. I'm just talking about that unit.
20	WIN WIGEBEZH SO. AIT I CONCEL IN	20	canca as went. This just talking about that ant.
	Page 3557		Page 3559
1	accepting that the answer to this question is the one you	1	[12:20] BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, ja, they
2	have just given me, that you have no real reason why you	2	will be called.
3	didn't ask for this video footage to be produced?		
		3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And how many – what kind
4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I have asked	3 4	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from,
4 5	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never		5
	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is	4	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about?
5 6 7	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never	4 5 6 7	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we
5 6 7 8	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator.	4 5 6 7 8	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each
5 6 7 8 9	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I	4 5 6 7 8 9	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public
5 6 7 8 9 10	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this	4 5 7 8 9 10	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure with the total figure now at this stage, how many they are.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed. Indeed. Now I would like to debate with you, and you may	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure with the total figure now at this stage, how many they are. MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed. Indeed. Now I would like to debate with you, and you may or may not have been asked by some of my colleagues some of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure with the total figure now at this stage, how many they are. MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Sorry?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed. Indeed. Now I would like to debate with you, and you may or may not have been asked by some of my colleagues some of these questions, but I will avoid asking questions that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure with the total figure now at this stage, how many they are. MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Sorry? MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less, estimate?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed. Indeed. Now I would like to debate with you, and you may or may not have been asked by some of my colleagues some of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure with the total figure now at this stage, how many they are. MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Sorry? MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less, estimate? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It may not be correct,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed. Indeed. Now I would like to debate with you, and you may or may not have been asked by some of my colleagues some of these questions, but I will avoid asking questions that have been put to you. Now would I be correct in putting to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure with the total figure now at this stage, how many they are. MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Sorry? MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less, estimate?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed. Indeed. Now I would like to debate with you, and you may or may not have been asked by some of my colleagues some of these questions, but I will avoid asking questions that have been put to you. Now would I be correct in putting to you that after having considered everything that you were	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure with the total figure now at this stage, how many they are. MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Sorry? MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less, estimate? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It may not be correct, but if I have to guess now, it can be 8 000 or four, I'm
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the question and I got the answer. The only part I never asked was when the visuals are being shown to us to say is that one for the Police. That's the only thing I'd never done, but I've asked the question from the video operator. That's the only thing. Even if you go to exhibit L, I cannot even now go in and say this one is for Police, this one is not for the Police. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Ntsebeza, you've taken that point as far as it could be taken. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I think so. CHAIRPERSON: You might consider moving on to another point. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Indeed. Indeed. Indeed. Now I would like to debate with you, and you may or may not have been asked by some of my colleagues some of these questions, but I will avoid asking questions that have been put to you. Now would I be correct in putting to you that after having considered everything that you were told, which you have seen on video footage, the situation	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of numbers are we talking about, how many police from, let's assume, POP from all the provinces, what number are we talking about? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe counsellor, we need to rectify maybe the term 'reserve'. In each province, those provinces, each province there is Public Order Policing that actually work within that province. We don't have a POP that is reserved there. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON: I wanted to know from you, how many, how many POP people are there available in the country to deal with this ordinary P-O-P style situation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure with the total figure now at this stage, how many they are. MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Sorry? MR NTSEBEZA SC: More or less, estimate? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It may not be correct, but if I have to guess now, it can be 8 000 or four, I'm not sure, between that, I'm not sure.

	Page 3560		Page 3562
1	that I've conducted, that you are talking thousands of POP	1	made privy to, there were three to 4 000 people gathered on
2	members. That place could have been saturated like, and	2	a mountain and I'm saying to you, would you agree with me
3	now you look this and you see it. There would have been a	3	that there is a viable alternative that could have been
4	POP to each protestor if you accept the bandied about	4	resorted to and I'm giving you scenarios. Scenario 1, this
5	number of 3 000 or 4 000 and there would still have been	5	could easily have been contained as a POP operation,
6	left many more. Do you agree with that?	6	because the place could have been saturated with POP
7	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I do agree,	7	members, drawn from all the provinces. Is that a fair
8	but due maybe to other delivery protest issues that were	8	proposition to make?
9	there at that stage, I think maybe it has actually had an	9	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
10	effect whereby a lot of members can be called at the same	10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And ideally this is an
11	time.	11	operation that should have been under a POP operational
12	CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, you're doing	12	commander?
13	something that I must ask you not to do. You are not	13	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct.
14	confining your answer to the question you're asked. You,	14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you know, but I only
15	as it were, seeing what the next question - what you think	15	assume, but you know that General Allandale is not POP, is
16	the next question is going to be, and answering that in	16	he not?
17	advance. That's not what witnesses are expected to do and	17	MR SEMENYA SC: Objection, Chair.
18	if you go on doing it, I shall have to speak to you again.	18	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, what's your
19	But the question was, simple question, were there enough	19	objection?
20	people, POP people there available to come to more than	20	MR SEMENYA SC: General Allandale was not
21	outnumber the people on the Hill. The answer to that, yes.	21	the operational commander. The evidence is clear, it was
22	You then start giving the reason why you think that they	22	Brigadier Calitz, who is a POP commander.
23	weren't brought there. You weren't asked that. If counsel	23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: I was not asking that
24	wants you to answer that question, he'll ask you. You only	24	question, but thank you for the answer from the
25	make difficulties for yourself and you waste our time	25	representative of SAPS. But if you were to answer my
	Page 3561		Page 3563
	Tuge 5501		
1	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering	1	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?
1 2	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're	1 2	8
	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to		question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?
2	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're	2	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
2 3	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to	2 3	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been in
2 3 4	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine	2 3 4	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?
2 3 4 5	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay.	2 3 4 5	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.
2 3 4 5 6	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of	2 3 4 5 6	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces,	2 3 4 5 6 7	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:CAlitz.Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:CHAIRPERSON:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Who should have been in charge? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Calitz. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, what counsel, Mr Semenya has said, was that the operational commander was Brigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I agree. I agree. I'm just going with you through the personnel that have been involved in this whole saga. You know Colonel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:CAIITZ.Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Who should have been in charge? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Calitz. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, what counsel, Mr Semenya has said, was that the operational commander was Brigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I agree. I agree. I'm just going with you through the personnel that have been involved in this whole saga. You know Colonel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga. You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Who should have been in charge? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Calitz. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, what counsel, Mr Semenya has said, was that the operational commander was Brigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I agree. I agree. I'm just going with you through the personnel that have been involved in this whole saga. You know Colonel Scott, is it, ja, what Scott? BRIG MKHWANZI: Lieutenant Colonel? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANZI: Lieutenant Colonel, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: He spent very little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four was the right number, there would have been – the people on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel, yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel, yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:He spent very littletime in POP, isn't it, especially it is counter insurgency.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four was the right number, there would have been – the people on the Hill would have been outnumbered by POP policemen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:BRIG MKHWANAZI:Lieutenant Colonel?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Lieutenant Colonel, yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:He spent very littletime in POP, isn't it, especially it is counter insurgency.BRIG MKHWANAZI:BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four was the right number, there would have been – the people on the Hill would have been outnumbered by POP policemen. Obviously if there were 8 000, even more so. He started to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel, yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:He spent very littletime in POP, isn't it, especially it is counter insurgency.BRIG MKHWANZI:MR NTSEBEZA SC:And has it appeared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four was the right number, there would have been – the people on the Hill would have been outnumbered by POP policemen. Obviously if there were 8 000, even more so. He started to give a reason why maybe they didn't get all the POP people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel, yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:He spent very littletime in POP, isn't it, especially it is counter insurgency.BRIG MKHWANZI:MR NTSEBEZA SC:And has it appearedcorrect – have you been able to establish and I'm asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four was the right number, there would have been – the people on the Hill would have been outnumbered by POP policemen. Obviously if there were 8 000, even more so. He started to give a reason why maybe they didn't get all the POP people there, but you didn't ask him. If you want to ask him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Lieutenant Colonel, yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:He spent very littletime in POP, isn't it, especially it is counter insurgency.BRIG MKHWANAZI:MR NTSEBEZA SC:And has it appearedcorrect – have you been able to establish and I'm askingyou now as a witness, who it was who was in charge on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four was the right number, there would have been – the people on the Hill would have been outnumbered by POP policemen. Obviously if there were 8 000, even more so. He started to give a reason why maybe they didn't get all the POP people there, but you didn't ask him. If you want to ask him that, you can. If you don't want to, you don't have to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not?BRIG MKHWANAZI:That's correct.MR NTSEBEZA SC:Who should have been incharge?BRIG MKHWANAZI:Calitz.CHAIRPERSON:No, no, what counsel, MrSemenya has said, was that the operational commander wasBrigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes, no, I agree. Iagree.I'm just going with you through the personnel thathave been involved in this whole saga.You know ColonelScott, is it, ja, what Scott?BRIG MKHWANZI:BRIG MKHWANZI:Lieutenant Colonel?MR NTSEBEZA SC:Yes.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Lieutenant Colonel, yes.MR NTSEBEZA SC:He spent very littletime in POP, isn't it, especially it is counter insurgency.BRIG MKHWANAZI:MR NTSEBEZA SC:And has it appearedcorrect – have you been able to establish and I'm askingyou now as a witness, who it was who was in charge on theday?I know the other answer that came from elsewhere, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four was the right number, there would have been – the people on the Hill would have been outnumbered by POP policemen. Obviously if there were 8 000, even more so. He started to give a reason why maybe they didn't get all the POP people there, but you didn't ask him. If you want to ask him that, you can. If you don't want to, you don't have to. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now all I'm proposing to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Who should have been in charge? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Calitz. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, what counsel, Mr Semenya has said, was that the operational commander was Brigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I agree. I agree. I'm just going with you through the personnel that have been involved in this whole saga. You know Colonel Scott, is it, ja, what Scott? BRIG MKHWANZI: Lieutenant Colonel? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANZI: Lieutenant Colonel, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Lieutenant Colonel, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Lieutenant Colonel, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: He spent very little time in POP, isn't it, especially it is counter insurgency. BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And has it appeared correct – have you been able to establish and I'm asking you now as a witness, who it was who was in charge on the day? I know the other answer that came from elsewhere, but have you been able as yourself, to establish who was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	frankly, by asking questions – sorry, by answering questions that you're not being asked. Now I'm sure you're doing it out of a desire to be helpful, but I just want to tell you, you're not being helpful. So please just confine yourself to the questions, okay. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now the estimation of the number of police, POPS, just POPS from all provinces, your own estimation is about 8 000, is that right, to go with that number? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, I said I'm not sure with the figure. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: I've never checked. CHAIRPERSON: You said four to eight as I understand it? There were something like 3 000 people at the scene, so there would have been, if it was – if four was the right number, there would have been – the people on the Hill would have been outnumbered by POP policemen. Obviously if there were 8 000, even more so. He started to give a reason why maybe they didn't get all the POP people there, but you didn't ask him. If you want to ask him that, you can. If you don't want to, you don't have to. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now all I'm proposing to you as an expert in that area, is to suggest to you that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question, General Allandale is not a POP member, is he not? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Who should have been in charge? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Calitz. CHAIRPERSON: No, no, what counsel, Mr Semenya has said, was that the operational commander was Brigadier Calitz and that Brigadier Calitz is a POP member? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes, no, I agree. I agree. I'm just going with you through the personnel that have been involved in this whole saga. You know Colonel Scott, is it, ja, what Scott? BRIG MKHWANZI: Lieutenant Colonel? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANZI: Lieutenant Colonel, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANZI: Lieutenant Colonel, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Lieutenant Colonel, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Lieutenant Colonel, yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And has it appeared correct – have you been able to establish and I'm asking you now as a witness, who it was who was in charge on the day? I know the other answer that came from elsewhere, but have you been able as yourself, to establish who was in charge?

		1	
1	Page 3564 MR NTSEBEZA SC: Who was in charge of	1	Page 3566
	5		MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now I don't know what
2 3	that command on the 16th, who gave the directions as to what must be done?	2 3	you think about it, from everything that we've heard, this
3 4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I will try		did not appear to me to be a scenario that called for
	5	4 5	hostage negotiation? There were no hostages?
5	to be straight to the answer if you ask the operational commander, was Brigadier Calitz.		BRIG MKHWANAZI: There wasn't any hostage situation.
6	5	6	
7		7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: It was not a counter
8	next question can I ask you a question. You said that it	8	insurgence scenario, a terrorism scenario where, whatever
9	relates to a point he's asked already. You said there	9	you understand by terrorism. That was not the situation
10 11	should have been a POP operation? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Correct, Sir.	10	from everything that you know of it? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
12	CHAIRPERSON: And there should have been	11 12	
12			
13	a POP operation commander as in fact there was, correct? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It was.	13 14	transit kind of scenario, cash in transit heist scenario? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's true.
14			
	CHAIRPERSON: Now the plan that was drawn up, should that have been drawn up by a POP person with POP	15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And from your training
16 17	expertise?	16	as a POP negotiator, you will know that this was a situation that called for a POP trained pagatiator. And I
17	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	17 18	situation that called for a POP trained negotiator. And I will tell you what features this POP trained negotiator
19	CHAIRPERSON: Do you know who drew up the	19	would have had. Firstly, a negotiator, POP trained
20	plan?	20	negotiator would have realised that this is a situation
20	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I was informed, I	20	where people want to protest and his duty would be to
22	know, Sir.	22	negotiate for the facilitation of a peaceful protest.
23	CHAIRPERSON: Who was it?	22	Would you agree with that?
24	BRIG MKHWANAZI: What I know was that a	24	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, you're
25	team was put in place, a team of planners and Lieutenant	25	correct on that, but can I ask something -
	··· ·· [··· [···· · ]· ··· · ]·		g
	Page 3565		Page 3567
1	Page 3565 Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who	1	Page 3567 MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no.
1 2	0	1 2	
	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who		MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no.
2	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed
2 3	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told.	2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions,
2 3 4	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just	2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell
2 3 4 5	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to	2 3 4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said,
2 3 4 5 6	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length	2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked and you're actually wasting our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC:No, no, no.CHAIRPERSON:The question was addressedto me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions,but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tellyou whether you can ask it.BRIG MKHWANAZI:Ja, Chairperson, I'mactually worried with your comments, whereby you said,maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime thequestion that maybe still going to be asked.CHAIRPERSON:That's correct. Thequestion may not be asked and you're actually wasting ourtime, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the question you wanted to ask me or do you want to ask me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you will correct me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the question you wanted to ask me or do you want to ask me another question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you will correct me if I'm wrong, MacIntosh is from the Hostage Unit, a hostage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the question you wanted to ask me or do you want to ask me another question? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He doesn't want any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you will correct me if I'm wrong, MacIntosh is from the Hostage Unit, a hostage negotiator?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the question you wanted to ask me or do you want to ask me another question? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He doesn't want any more.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you will correct me if I'm wrong, MacIntosh is from the Hostage Unit, a hostage negotiator? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the question you wanted to ask me or do you want to ask me another question? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He doesn't want any more. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not exactly, Chair, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct me if I'm wrong, MacIntosh is from the Hostage Unit, a hostage negotiator? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's true. MR NTSEBEZA SC: He is not your typical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the question you wanted to ask me or do you want to ask me another question? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He doesn't want any more. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not exactly, Chair, but I want just to make a comment that I feel a little bit more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct MR NTSEBEZA SC: And you will correct me if I'm wrong, MacIntosh is from the Hostage Unit, a hostage negotiator? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's true. MR NTSEBEZA SC: He is not your typical POP or POP team negotiator?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the question you wanted to ask me or do you want to ask me another question? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He doesn't want any more. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not exactly, Chair, but I want just to make a comment that I feel a little bit more confined now. I feel like I should say yes, no, yes, no,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Colonel Scott was part of the team and he is the person who actually thrown the plan with the instruction given to him that's what I was told. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now for example, just talking about alternatives, I'll put these alternatives to you, because they may have been canvassed at great length with you in the days that you have been on the stand. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MR NTSEBEZA SC: It has been suggested in some of the evidence that I read in the transcript, that SAPS used negotiators as a way of resolving the impasse on the mountain. Are you aware of that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's correct. MR NTSEBEZA SC: But as an expert I want to make – I want to bounce off certain views with you. Firstly, it appears that the negotiator who was used, was McIntosh? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct me if I'm wrong, MacIntosh is from the Hostage Unit, a hostage negotiator? BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's true. MR NTSEBEZA SC: He is not your typical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, no, no. CHAIRPERSON: The question was addressed to me. You can't answer. A witness can't ask questions, but tell me what your question is first and I'll then tell you whether you can ask it. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Ja, Chairperson, I'm actually worried with your comments, whereby you said, maybe I'm wasting time when I explain maybe sometime the question that maybe still going to be asked. CHAIRPERSON: That's correct. The question may not be asked. You're answering a question which may not be asked and you're actually wasting our time, that's all. But - and I'm sure those present who were still at the bar, always say to their clients, answer the question, don't answer other questions as well, because sometimes things go badly if you do that. And that was a bit of friendly advice I've given you. Is that the question you wanted to ask me or do you want to ask me another question? MR NTSEBEZA SC: He doesn't want any more. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Not exactly, Chair, but I want just to make a comment that I feel a little bit more

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

Rustenburg

	Page 3568		Page 3570
1	question is, do you agree? Then I should say, yes or no.	1	would say, you'd go say there, if you are going to be
2	That's all then. I don't have this freedom of making sure	2	gathering here, in a democracy that is allowed. If you
3	I answer to my ability –	3	want to protest here in a democracy that is allowed, but
4	CHAIRPERSON: What you're entitled to say	4	you are not permitted to carry these kinds of weapons,
5	-	5	don't bring these weapons here. If you want to gather here
6	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Understand like, ja.	6	for four weeks or for a month, you can do so. How is that
7	CHAIRPERSON: If counsel puts a	7	as a proposition?
8	proposition to you and Oprah Winfrey style says to you, yes	8	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Agree.
9	or no -	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: For instance it would be
10	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I can -	10	quite permissible for a negotiator from POP, especially now
11	CHAIRPERSON: I'd like to qualify or	11	there was an identified group as the leaders or presumed
12	explain, that you can do.	12	leaders of that group to say this is what I propose. If
13	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. That's what I'm	13	these rules are not stuck to, there will be consequences,
14	asking, Sir.	14	and actually you spell the consequences. Let me provide a
15	CHAIRPERSON: All right.	15	few scenarios. In negotiating your negotiator would say,
16	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Can I do that, please?	16	if you don't disarm, we will come in with water cannons,
17	CHAIRPERSON: Now you know that.	17	and it's not going to be one water canon or two water
18	[12:40] MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. Now for instance,	18	cannons, it's going to be 10 to 15. Mind you the place is
19	may I propose to you that in the circumstances of what we	19	saturated with POP members, 3000, 4000, we'll now get in
20	now know, so many people on the koppie what happened, all	20	with water cannons, and if I remind you of the good old
21	of that. Your negotiator, I'm talking about now	21	days the water cannons will have pepper spray and dyes, so
22	negotiators from POP, would have appreciated that their	22	we'll get to know who was here and was not going in terms
23	people want to be gathering on the mountain. It may be	23	of the rules. Just in case you think we have now opted
24 25	illegal in terms of whatever Act to do so but a negotiator would go in there and say, if you want to stay on this	24 25	out, we'll come with tear gas and just in case you think that is all, we'll come with stun grenades, and if we have
25	would go in there and say, if you want to stay on this	25	that is all, well come with sturi grenaues, and if we have
	Page 3569		Page 3571
1	mountain for four weeks, stay for four weeks but there are	1	to go to that option, we'll come with rubber bullets. Now
2	going to be terms. Let's negotiate terms in terms of which	-	
1 ~	going to be terms. Let's negotiate terms in terms of which	2	how is that as a proposition of what a negotiator from POP
3	you can stay on this mountain.	2 3	how is that as a proposition of what a negotiator from POP would be putting to those 3000 people?
3	you can stay on this mountain.	3	would be putting to those 3000 people?
3 4	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if	3 4	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but -
3 4 5	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the	3 4 5	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you
3 4 5 6 7 8	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or	3 4 5 6 7 8	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm trying to explore	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I use that as a premise because it's generally accepted that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm trying to explore alternatives which you as a POP trainer, and I'm talking	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I use that as a premise because it's generally accepted that there were people who were identified as the leaders. You
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm trying to explore alternatives which you as a POP trainer, and I'm talking now about negotiations – the negotiation, if the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I use that as a premise because it's generally accepted that there were people who were identified as the leaders. You see, my sense, and I want to bounce this off to you, I get
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm trying to explore alternatives which you as a POP trainer, and I'm talking now about negotiations – the negotiation, if the negotiation is seeking to promote peaceful protests to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I use that as a premise because it's generally accepted that there were people who were identified as the leaders. You see, my sense, and I want to bounce this off to you, I get the sense that the plan, the operation seemed to have been
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm trying to explore alternatives which you as a POP trainer, and I'm talking now about negotiations – the negotiation, if the negotiation is seeking to promote peaceful protests to allow gatherings to take place, your negotiator, looking at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>would be putting to those 3000 people?</li> <li>BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of</li> <li>saying yes all the way.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you</li> <li>going to explain what the but means?</li> <li>BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but,</li> <li>Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation</li> <li>where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there,</li> <li>it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to</li> <li>explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is</li> <li>there, is identified, those plans can be made properly.</li> <li>MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your</li> <li>only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I</li> <li>use that as a premise because it's generally accepted that</li> <li>there were people who were identified as the leaders. You</li> <li>see, my sense, and I want to bounce this off to you, I get</li> <li>the sense that the plan, the operation seemed to have been</li> <li>set up from the perspective of police who seemed scared</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm trying to explore alternatives which you as a POP trainer, and I'm talking now about negotiations – the negotiation, if the negotiation is seeking to promote peaceful protests to allow gatherings to take place, your negotiator, looking at the situation, people there armed and what have you, would	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I use that as a premise because it's generally accepted that there were people who were identified as the leaders. You see, my sense, and I want to bounce this off to you, I get the sense that the plan, the operation seemed to have been set up from the perspective of police who seemed scared rather and therefore had to be protected rather than from a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm trying to explore alternatives which you as a POP trainer, and I'm talking now about negotiations – the negotiation, if the negotiation is seeking to promote peaceful protests to allow gatherings to take place, your negotiator, looking at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>would be putting to those 3000 people?</li> <li>BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of</li> <li>saying yes all the way.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you</li> <li>going to explain what the but means?</li> <li>BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but,</li> <li>Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation</li> <li>where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there,</li> <li>it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to</li> <li>explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is</li> <li>there, is identified, those plans can be made properly.</li> <li>MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your</li> <li>only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I</li> <li>use that as a premise because it's generally accepted that</li> <li>there were people who were identified as the leaders. You</li> <li>see, my sense, and I want to bounce this off to you, I get</li> <li>the sense that the plan, the operation seemed to have been</li> <li>set up from the perspective of police who seemed scared</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you can stay on this mountain. MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I don't know if there is any proposition that supports, there is any authority that supports that proposition. CHAIRPERSON: - the proposition, the witness can say there's no authority and I don't agree or the witness can say, yes, I do agree, or the witness can say, yes, I do agree but I'd like to qualify the answer by adding the following. He can do any of those things. I don't think - was wrong with the rest. Counsel asks questions, they don't have to quote authority in support of every question they ask. So I think we'll let him carry on but if he misbehaves further you can bring it to my attention again and I'll deal with it. Yes, Mr Ntsebeza? MR NTSEBEZA SC: I'm trying to explore alternatives which you as a POP trainer, and I'm talking now about negotiations – the negotiation, if the negotiation is seeking to promote peaceful protests to allow gatherings to take place, your negotiator, looking at the situation, people there armed and what have you, would seek firstly – and I propose to you – would seek firstly to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would be putting to those 3000 people? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, but - MR NTSEBEZA SC: Don't be ashamed of saying yes all the way. CHAIRPERSON: You say yes but, are you going to explain what the but means? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm using this but, Counsellor, I agree with you, however in a normal situation where there are leaders of the march, everybody's there, it's easy for the negotiator to get those position to explain those position in a proper way. If everybody is there, is identified, those plans can be made properly. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Ja, well it seems your only apparent qualification is if there were leaders. I use that as a premise because it's generally accepted that there were people who were identified as the leaders. You see, my sense, and I want to bounce this off to you, I get the sense that the plan, the operation seemed to have been set up from the perspective of police who seemed scared rather and therefore had to be protected rather than from a police service which is trained to deal with unruly crowds,

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

		r	
	Page 3572		Page 3574
1	The plan, as we have now known it, seemed to have been	1	think, is it his Lieutenant General Macintosh and the
2	premised on protecting the police from what could happen to	2	protestors, you put that he didn't get out of the police
3	them rather than promoting peaceful protest, lawful	3	vehicle and your suggestion was that that was an
4	gathering.	4	inappropriate way of negotiating. Mr Semenya objected,
5	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I'm not sure	5	drew my attention to 124 and 125, but they actually show
6	about that, Sir.	6	something else and that's what happened on the 15th when
7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: For instance the police,	7	the NUM representatives went there. The earlier pictures
8	every footage that we have seen of the police, they	8	which deal with the negotiation between, I think it's
9	negotiate from inside the Nyalas. Have you seen that?	9	Lieutenant General Macintosh and other police negotiators
10	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.	10	and the protestors, one finds that at 97 and 98, 97, I
11	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And this was canvassed	11	think is the narrative, 98 is a picture, and that does
12	at great length with, they bring barbed wire and you	12	appear to have been taken from inside the police vehicle,
13	indicated to, in the question that has been put to you by	13	with the protestors outside and the police inside, and the,
14	Mr Musi that that barbed has the potential to cause a	14	so unless Mr Semenya can show us something better than what
15	stampede. It's one of the indications that the plan was	15	he showed us earlier, 124 and 125, I propose to reverse my
16	premised on there being a need to protect the police rather	16	ruling and allow you to ask the question you want to ask.
17	than promote peaceful gathering, peaceful protest.	17	But let me ask Mr Semenya first if he wants to say
18	ADV SEMENYA SC: I think – in fairness to	18	something.
19	the witness, he could be shown slide 124, 125 which are	19	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I'm just verifying
20	negotiators who are outside the Nyala and engaged with the	20	the observation is correct, the Chair is making. I'm just
21	crowd, on exhibit L.	21	verifying slide 162, whether the negotiators were there.
22	CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Semenya, I	22	CHAIRPERSON: It may have been there but
23	was actually looking through exhibit L myself for those	23	that was on the 16th, you see, the earlier negotiations,
24	slides, but you found them before I did and I'm grateful.	24	just with the police present, is dealt with around about
25	Mr Ntsebeza, I think Mr Semenya is correct. If one looks	25	96, 97 and there it does appear as if the police, for
	Page 3573		Page 3575
1			
1	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection	1	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the
2	-	1 2	
	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection		reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the
2	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think	2	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle.
2 3	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the	2 3	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner
2 3 4	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one.	2 3 4	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of -
2 3 4 5	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that	2 3 4 5	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were
2 3 4 5 6	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal	2 3 4 5 6	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is	2 3 4 5 6 7	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Agree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the Wednesday before the AMCU and the NUM people got there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the Wednesday before the AMCU and the NUM people got there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now as I come to an end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the Wednesday before the AMCU and the NUM people got there. And he was making the point, or seeking to make the point that it was inappropriate for the police negotiators, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now as I come to an end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the Wednesday before the AMCU and the NUM people got there. And he was making the point, or seeking to make the point that it was inappropriate for the police negotiators, when they were there by themselves, not with AMCU people there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now as I come to an end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the Wednesday before the AMCU and the NUM people got there. And he was making the point, or seeking to make the point that it was inappropriate for the police negotiators, when they were there by themselves, not with AMCU people there, to negotiate from a vehicle. That's the point he's making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now as I come to an end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the Wednesday before the AMCU and the NUM people got there. And he was making the point, or seeking to make the point that it was inappropriate for the police negotiators, when they were there by themselves, not with AMCU people there, to negotiate from a vehicle. That's the point he's making and I wouldn't allow him to ask the question because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now as I come to an end CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, before you come to an end, Mr Ntsebeza, I want to revisit a point – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: I upheld an objection by Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the Wednesday before the AMCU and the NUM people got there. And he was making the point, or seeking to make the point that it was inappropriate for the police negotiators, when they were there by themselves, not with AMCU people there, to negotiate from a vehicle. That's the point he's making and I wouldn't allow him to ask the question because I thought that at that stage the police negotiator did get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	at slides 124 and 125, it coincides with my recollection that the negotiators were outside the Nyalas. So I think that question can be withdrawn and you can proceed to the next one. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I accept that correction. Can I put it to you further that the ideal equipment – now accept what I've already said, the place is saturated with POP police – the ideal equipment that should have been part of containing that crowd – and this is what the negotiator would have taken to these people – but as an alternative the ideal equipment – correct me if I'm wrong – would have been less lethal or non-lethal equipment, as I said, not one water cannon, very many, 10 to 15 water cannons, pepper spray, dye, tear gas, and all those kinds of equipment which the outstanding orders say should be used when dealing with crowds of the nature that we are talking about. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Agree. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now as I come to an end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reasons that we can perhaps understand, remained in the police vehicle. MR SEMENYA SC: On the 16th at the corner of - CHAIRPERSON: I know the 16th, AMCU were there and they spoke on the 16th. MR SEMENYA SC: No, no, I'm making a different, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: Sorry. MR SEMENYA SC: At the corner or the bottom of 162, you would see Mr Mathunjwa, but there is a negotiator who is standing outside there as well. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, no, I understand. The negotiator has got out of the vehicle and stood there when the AMCU representative was there. But I think Mr Ntsebeza was asking questions about the earlier negotiations which were conducted on the Tuesday and the Wednesday before the AMCU and the NUM people got there. And he was making the point, or seeking to make the point that it was inappropriate for the police negotiators, when they were there by themselves, not with AMCU people there, to negotiate from a vehicle. That's the point he's making and I wouldn't allow him to ask the question because I

		1	
	Page 3576		Page 3578
1	reverse my ruling. But before I do that, obviously I must	1	done, but to say why the plan stands that way or maybe it
2	give you a chance to deal with it. Mr Ntsebeza, am I	2	is a plan now that talks to protecting SAPS, then I can't
3	correct in what I put to Mr Semenya?	3	answer because all what I read, the plan indicates that it
4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, that –	4	was a plan that was actually supposed to be executed.
5	CHAIRPERSON: The questions that you were	5	CHAIRPERSON: It's already just after 1,
6	seeking to ask related to the initial negotiations between	6	so when you reach a suitable stage let me know and we can
7	the police negotiators and the protestors, which commenced,	7	take the adjournment.
8	I think, on the Tuesday, the 14th, and as far as we can see	8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
9	at that stage, the police never got out of the vehicle.	9	Brigadier, I was just going to suggest to you on this
10	That was the point you were seeking to make to the witness,	10	aspect that you will agree with me that ideally a POP
11	am I correct?	11	negotiator would never go to a situation of that nature and
12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes Chairman.	12	purport to negotiate from inside a Nyala, as is depicted in
13	CHAIRPERSON: Therefore I wrongly	13	the footage of what happened, particularly on the night of
14 15	disallowed your question. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.	14 15	the 15th. Do you disagree with that? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir, but I would
15	CHAIRPERSON: So let's see if Mr Semenya	16	like to indicate that I'm not sure what led them to do
17	wants to persist in his objection. Mr Ntsebeza, you may	17	that, but yes, it's always build confidence between the two
18	ask the question you wanted to ask.	18	people when they negotiate at that level, because we have
19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Now, Brigadier, you are	19	to build trust, as we do.
20	aware that the critical day – I'm not discussing the others	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: This is exactly what I'm
21	- the critical day we are talking about is the 16th because	21	trying to indicate to you, that a counter insurgency
22	that's the day on which the events, 15, 16, that's when	22	commander was placed in charge of what is traditionally a
23	there was supposed to be a negotiation and there was	23	POP scenario. There was no insurgency here.
24	supposed to be a decision, disarm and arrest and all of	24	BRIG MKHWANAZI: It's true, I did agree
25	that. Now there seems to be a suggestion that the order	25	with you to say he was a negotiator, hostage negotiator.
1	Page 3577	1	Page 3579 MR NTSEREZA SC: And therefore what was
1	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore	1	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was
2	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and	1 2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the
	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore	2	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would
2 3	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator	2 3	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the
2 3 4	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the	2 3 4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter
2 3 4 5	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern	2 3 4 5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task.
2 3 4 5 6	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a	2 3 4 5 6	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal,
2 3 4 5 6 7	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been followed to deal with the situation to reach a position to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always it will be the OPS commander or the overall commander
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been followed to deal with the situation to reach a position to say the situation is solved peacefully without any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always it will be the OPS commander or the overall commander together coming to an agreement to say what has to be done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been followed to deal with the situation to reach a position to say the situation is solved peacefully without any violence. However, to say this was right or wrong is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always it will be the OPS commander or the overall commander together coming to an agreement to say what has to be done with the situation before them at that stage, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been followed to deal with the situation to reach a position to say the situation is solved peacefully without any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always it will be the OPS commander or the overall commander together coming to an agreement to say what has to be done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been followed to deal with the situation to reach a position to say the situation is solved peacefully without any violence. However, to say this was right or wrong is difficult for me because as I was not there, I'm not sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always it will be the OPS commander or the overall commander together coming to an agreement to say what has to be done with the situation before them at that stage, but procedurally to say who does what job, it definitely, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been followed to deal with the situation to reach a position to say the situation is solved peacefully without any violence. However, to say this was right or wrong is difficult for me because as I was not there, I'm not sure how they took that type of a decision to handle the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always it will be the OPS commander or the overall commander together coming to an agreement to say what has to be done with the situation before them at that stage, but procedurally to say who does what job, it definitely, it has to go the way you are saying, Counsellor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been followed to deal with the situation to reach a position to say the situation is solved peacefully without any violence. However, to say this was right or wrong is difficult for me because as I was not there, I'm not sure how they took that type of a decision to handle the situation in that way. It may happen there were reasons	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always it will be the OPS commander or the overall commander together coming to an agreement to say what has to be done with the situation before them at that stage, but procedurally to say who does what job, it definitely, it has to go the way you are saying, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And finally, and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	to, or the decision to disarm and disrupt and therefore arrest was consequent upon negotiations having failed and my proposition was that quite unlike what a POP negotiator would have done in the circumstances, and proceeding on the basis that he must negotiate for certain rules to govern the gathering, to govern the protest, is different to a negotiator who proceeds on the basis that the police are in danger, they fear for their lives, and therefore must be protected, and I was saying some of the indications that the plan was not the former, which your negotiators would have done, the plan was there to actually put an ultimatum to these people and to deal with the consequences of the ultimatum not being adhered to. Do you disagree with that? [13:00] BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I indicated from the beginning that I do agree with you when you talk about the procedures that actually maybe should have been followed to deal with the situation to reach a position to say the situation is solved peacefully without any violence. However, to say this was right or wrong is difficult for me because as I was not there, I'm not sure how they took that type of a decision to handle the situation in that way. It may happen there were reasons really that actually led to that situation, of which it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And therefore what was an analysis of what the threat was, in other words the threat analysis, was not done by a POP person who would have approached that on a different basis than a counter insurgency task. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, it's normal, definitely as you said, a POP commander was supposed to be the person - MR NTSEBEZA SC: A POP threat analysis would have established that this was not a situation that called for R1s, for all those, in fact that called for those kinds of units, SRT, NUI, you know, NIU. They saturate the place with large numbers of POP with the kind of equipment that I've alluded to. Not so? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Counsellor, I, it's difficult for me to say yes or no because definitely always it will be the OPS commander or the overall commander together coming to an agreement to say what has to be done with the situation before them at that stage, but procedurally to say who does what job, it definitely, it has to go the way you are saying, Counsellor. MR NTSEBEZA SC: And finally, and then I'll be done, there could have been better use of

1	Page 3580 Lonmin could have been used; intelligence from NIA could	1	Page 3582 MS HEMRAJ SC: But in the structure of
1	have been used and planned thereon, and POP intelligence.	-	the South African Police Services is there a unit of
2		2 3	
3			negotiators who are called upon by different units when
4	be like that.	4	there's an event or a situation?
5	MR NTSEBEZA SC: But it doesn't seem it	5	BRIG MKHWANAZI: No we, under crowd
6	was the case.	6	management we don't have a unit like that. Yes, there are
7	BRIG MKHWANAZI: On my understanding	7	offices or units where we talk about hostage negotiation.
8	crime intelligence was there, that's what I know –	8	That one is an office there, we know exactly if it come to
9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: That's what I –	9	those issues, but under crowd management itself we don't
10	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm not sure if others	10	have an office, but they are within Public Order Policing,
11	were in –	11	those members who can handle the issues of negotiation.
12	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.	12	That means even an operational commander can handle issues
13	CHAIRPERSON: There's going to be further	13	of negotiation as well, but as a unit we don't have in that
14	cross-examination. Are you ready now – not now, but after	14	way.
15	the lunch adjournment, will you be ready to cross-examine	15	MS HEMRAJ SC: And do these persons
16	on behalf of the Human Rights Commission?	16	receive specialised training then in negotiation in POP, in
17	MS JELE: I would be, Chairperson, yes.	17	situations where POP is managing the event?
18	Thank you.	18	BRIG MKHWANAZI: There was training in
19	CHAIRPERSON: Very well. We've gone over	19	that, but it's quite a long time, Commissioner, that there
20	a little bit, so we'll come back at about 10 past 2 and	20	was training on that part, but normally it comes out as
21	we'll take the lunch adjournment.	21	when people are receiving the training under Regulation of
22	[COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]	22	Gatherings Act as negotiators, but as specialised that
23	[14:26] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.	23	within Public Order Policing they receive a special
24	I'm sorry that the resumption is later than we thought it	24	training on the other side is not in that position.
25	would be, but we had a meeting with the evidence leaders	25	MS HEMRAJ SC: That training is very
	Page 3581		Page 3583
1	Page 3581 about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we	1	Page 3583 different from what other negotiators would receive, for
1 2		1 2	-
	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we		different from what other negotiators would receive, for
2	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that.	2	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators?
2 3	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath.	2 3	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ,
2 3 4	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir.	2 3 4	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even
2 3 4 5	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross-	2 3 4 5	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage
2 3 4 5 6	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the	2 3 4 5 6	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under
2 3 4 5 6 7	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are.	2 3 4 5 6 7	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZ1: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZ1: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order Policing, but it's advisable in each and every POP unit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had said we would know, for the families.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order Policing, but it's advisable in each and every POP unit that we must have members who can actually have that skill	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had said we would know, for the families. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to ask you a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order Policing, but it's advisable in each and every POP unit that we must have members who can actually have that skill who can be able to actually handle issues of negotiation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had said we would know, for the families. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to ask you a question about that at the end of the day, but seeing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order Policing, but it's advisable in each and every POP unit that we must have members who can actually have that skill who can be able to actually handle issues of negotiation. As I indicated from the beginning that you receive this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had said we would know, for the families. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to ask you a question about that at the end of the day, but seeing you've raised it, I'll raise it with you now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order Policing, but it's advisable in each and every POP unit that we must have members who can actually have that skill who can be able to actually handle issues of negotiation. As I indicated from the beginning that you receive this type of training in conjunction with Regulation of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had said we would know, for the families. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to ask you a question about that at the end of the day, but seeing you've raised it, I'll raise it with you now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order Policing, but it's advisable in each and every POP unit that we must have members who can actually have that skill who can be able to actually handle issues of negotiation. As I indicated from the beginning that you receive this type of training in conjunction with Regulation of Gatherings Act to say how are you actually going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZ1: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had said we would know, for the families. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to ask you a question about that at the end of the day, but seeing you've raised it, I'll raise it with you now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I am aware the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order Policing, but it's advisable in each and every POP unit that we must have members who can actually have that skill who can be able to actually handle issues of negotiation. As I indicated from the beginning that you receive this type of training in conjunction with Regulation of Gatherings Act to say how are you actually going to negotiate if there are issues that need negotiation during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZI: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had said we would know, for the families. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to ask you a question about that at the end of the day, but seeing you've raised it, I'll raise it with you now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I am aware the only opposition that we've had received notice of, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about housekeeping matters, which took a bit longer than we thought and I apologise to all concerned because of that. Brigadier, I must remind you, you're still under oath. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, Sir. CHAIRPERSON: Before the cross- examination resumes, is the cross-examiner sitting in the front row? Yes, you are. MS JELE: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. The – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, before you cross- examine, Commissioner Hemraj wishes to ask a question. MS HEMRAJ SC: Brigadier, do I understand that Public Order Policing have their own negotiators stationed with Public Order Policing? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Commissioner, it's not exactly that should be, or it is a standard that there must be negotiators as a unit or as an office under Public Order Policing, but it's advisable in each and every POP unit that we must have members who can actually have that skill who can be able to actually handle issues of negotiation. As I indicated from the beginning that you receive this type of training in conjunction with Regulation of Gatherings Act to say how are you actually going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	different from what other negotiators would receive, for example hostage negotiators? BRIG MKHWANAZ1: It would differ, Commissioner, yes, because under hostage negotiation, even though I'd never done that type of a course under hostage negotiation, it differ most of the time because under hostage negotiation we will be referring maybe to a situation of maybe kidnapping or whatever, maybe inside the house, a person will come and interact into that position. It would differ a bit, ja. MS HEMRAJ SC: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON: Yes? MR NTSEBEZA SC: Mr Chairman, may I just make a request? It's not a follow-up question. Mr Chairman, I had indicated at the end of our last session that I was going to be engaged tomorrow and on Wednesday, and I'm just worried about that application that we had said we would know, for the families. CHAIRPERSON: I was going to ask you a question about that at the end of the day, but seeing you've raised it, I'll raise it with you now. MR NTSEBEZA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: As far as I am aware the

1	Page 3584	1	Page 3586
1	application is a partial opposition from NUM, set out in	1	will return to the Commission.
2	the submissions which - on the table when we came back this	2	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Madlanga, I'd like your
3	afternoon - in paragraph 7 of the submissions it's set out	3	assistance in this regard. If the cross-examination of the
4	that NUM wishes certain of the material contained in your	4	brigadier is concluded today or some time tomorrow, and the
5	presentation to be excluded. I was going to suggest that	5	application could not be moved for the reasons Mr Ntsebeza
6	at the end of the day you and Mr Tip should have a meeting	6	has indicated, I take it, it would be possible for the NUM
7	to see whether there's not some way forward in that regard.	7	witnesses to be called. Is that right, Mr Tip?
8	I'm reluctant to order – speaking for myself, and I think	8	MR TIP SC: That's correct, Mr Chairman,
9	my fellow commissioners agree with me, the application, it	9	yes.
10	would be appropriate for the application to be granted for	10	CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Anyway, let's
11	your clients to make the presentation they seek to make.	11	hear what Mr Madlanga has to say.
12	The problem relates to the prayer that cross-examination be	12	MR MADLANGA SC: No, that takes care of
13	excluded. My attitude to that, prima facie, is I'd like to	13	the concerns we had, Chair, because we had given out a
14	hear those who will be deprived of the right to cross-	14	notice that the order would be this witness and then that
15	examine by such an order. I'd like to hear from them what	15	application, but if that's what Mr Tip says, then it takes
16	their attitude is. I don't think it would be right for me	16	care of –
17	to take away their right to cross-examine before they've	17	CHAIRPERSON: And Mr Ntsebeza could
18	even had a hearing, and equally it would be important for	18	scarcely be heard to object to NUM witnesses being heard
19	them to know what it is that you wish to present. I	19	next.
20	understand it has been made available to them. The only	20	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, I'm not objecting at
21	position that I am aware of comes from the side of NUM, and	21	all, Mr Chairman. I'm actually grateful to my colleagues,
22	it set out its limited opposition and relates to, it's set	22	but especially also to the Commission.
23	out in para 7 of the submissions. There was a mention at	23	CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay.
24	some stage earlier of opposition of some kind from SAPS.	24	MR NTSEBEZA SC: And may I also sneak out
25	don't know whether you and Mr Semenya have settled your	25	when I am able to do so?
	Page 3585		Page 3587
1	differences in that regard, but again it may well be that	1	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, so it doesn't sound as
2	whatever reservations there were from the side of SAPS, can	2	if you and Mr Semenya and Mr Tip will be able to have a
3	also be satisfactorily dealt with. So if those points can	3	discussion. Possibly you could do so telephonically –
4	be dealt with satisfactorily, then the application would be	4	MR NTSEBEZA SC: No, we will do it before
5	– Mr Semenya?		
•		5	we move the application.
6	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to	5 6	
			we move the application.
6	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application.	6	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation
6 7	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition?	6 7	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be
6 7 8	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition?	6 7 8	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation
6 7 8 9	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is	6 7 8 9 10	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the –
6 7 8 9 10	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm	6 7 8 9 10 11	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't have to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can –
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't have to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, in which case you would have to be here to argue it, and it	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can – MR NTSEBEZA SC: We have –
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't have to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, in which case you would have to be here to argue it, and it may well be that the application would not succeed in the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can – MR NTSEBEZA SC: We have – CHAIRPERSON: - some kind of accord.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't have to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, in which case you would have to be here to argue it, and it may well be that the application would not succeed in the light of the opposition. So what you're telling us is you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can – MR NTSEBEZA SC: We have – CHAIRPERSON: - some kind of accord. Perhaps it's not appropriate to take a source of the objection of accord.</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SEMENYA SC:Chair, we intend tooppose the application.CHAIRPERSON:Full-blooded opposition?MR SEMENYA SC:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:What I was saying to you iseither premature or falls away completely, but what I'mtrying to say to you was that if the application is goingto succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn'thave to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, inwhich case you would have to be here to argue it, and itmay well be that the application would not succeed in thelight of the opposition. So what you're telling us is youwon't be here tomorrow and Wednesday and you'd like to move	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can – MR NTSEBEZA SC: We have – CHAIRPERSON: - some kind of accord. Perhaps it's not appropriate to discuss it now in – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, in the face of a</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SEMENYA SC:Chair, we intend tooppose the application.CHAIRPERSON:Full-blooded opposition?MR SEMENYA SC:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:What I was saying to you iseither premature or falls away completely, but what I'mtrying to say to you was that if the application is goingto succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn'thave to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, inwhich case you would have to be here to argue it, and itmay well be that the application would not succeed in thelight of the opposition. So what you're telling us is youwon't be here tomorrow and Wednesday and you'd like to moveyour application when you're here, because you can't move	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can – MR NTSEBEZA SC: We have – CHAIRPERSON: - some kind of accord. Perhaps it's not appropriate to discuss it now in – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, in the face of a full-blown application, we haven't seen in what way it's</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't have to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, in which case you would have to be here to argue it, and it may well be that the application would not succeed in the light of the opposition. So what you're telling us is you won't be here tomorrow and Wednesday and you'd like to move your application when you're here, because you can't move it when you're not here.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can – MR NTSEBEZA SC: We have – CHAIRPERSON: - some kind of accord. Perhaps it's not appropriate to discuss it now in – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, in the face of a full-blown application, we haven't seen in what way it's going to be a full-blown opposition, but maybe that it will</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't have to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, in which case you would have to be here to argue it, and it may well be that the application would not succeed in the light of the opposition. So what you're telling us is you won't be here tomorrow and Wednesday and you'd like to move your application when you're here, because you can't move it when you're not here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That is exactly what I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can – MR NTSEBEZA SC: We have – CHAIRPERSON: - some kind of accord. Perhaps it's not appropriate to discuss it now in – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, in the face of a full-blown application, we haven't seen in what way it's going to be a full-blown opposition, but maybe that it will be argued without any papers being filed. But I will tak</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEMENYA SC:Chair, we intend tooppose the application.CHAIRPERSON:Full-blooded opposition?MR SEMENYA SC:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:What I was saying to you iseither premature or falls away completely, but what I'mtrying to say to you was that if the application is goingto succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn'thave to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, inwhich case you would have to be here to argue it, and itmay well be that the application would not succeed in thelight of the opposition. So what you're telling us is youwon't be here tomorrow and Wednesday and you'd like to moveyour application when you're here, because you can't moveit when you're not here.MR NTSEBEZA SC:That is exactly what Iwas going to request from the Commission, but if it is	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>we move the application. CHAIRPERSON: Ja. MR NTSEBEZA SC: I had a conversation with Mr Tip and I indicated to him that I'm going to be consulting with my team and take a view of the objections that the – CHAIRPERSON: I don't know the nature of the objection of Mr Semenya, but again you'll be able to ascertain that from him and see whether there isn't some way that you can – MR NTSEBEZA SC: We have – CHAIRPERSON: - some kind of accord. Perhaps it's not appropriate to discuss it now in – MR NTSEBEZA SC: Well, in the face of a full-blown application, we haven't seen in what way it's going to be a full-blown opposition, but maybe that it will be argued without any papers being filed. But I will talk to my colleague and find out, you know, what the areas are</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't have to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, in which case you would have to be here to argue it, and it may well be that the application would not succeed in the light of the opposition. So what you're telling us is you won't be here tomorrow and Wednesday and you'd like to move your application when you're here, because you can't move it when you're not here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That is exactly what I was going to request from the Commission, but if it is possible, without compromising the work of the Commission,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>we move the application.</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SEMENYA SC:Chair, we intend tooppose the application.CHAIRPERSON:Full-blooded opposition?MR SEMENYA SC:Yes.CHAIRPERSON:What I was saying to you iseither premature or falls away completely, but what I'mtrying to say to you was that if the application is goingto succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn'thave to be here.But if there's going to be opposition, inwhich case you would have to be here to argue it, and itmay well be that the application would not succeed in thelight of the opposition.So what you're telling us is youwon't be here tomorrow and Wednesday and you'd like to moveyour application when you're here, because you can't moveit when you're not here.MR NTSEBEZA SC:That is exactly what Iwas going to request from the Commission, but if it ispossible, without compromising the work of the Commission,if I could be permitted to move the application either on	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>we move the application.</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, we intend to oppose the application. CHAIRPERSON: Full-blooded opposition? MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: What I was saying to you is either premature or falls away completely, but what I'm trying to say to you was that if the application is going to succeed without opposition, then obviously you wouldn't have to be here. But if there's going to be opposition, in which case you would have to be here to argue it, and it may well be that the application would not succeed in the light of the opposition. So what you're telling us is you won't be here tomorrow and Wednesday and you'd like to move your application when you're here, because you can't move it when you're not here. MR NTSEBEZA SC: That is exactly what I was going to request from the Commission, but if it is possible, without compromising the work of the Commission,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>we move the application.</pre>

1	Page 3588 heard in some way before the Commission, and I obviously	1	Page 3590 possibility. You didn't follow it through with the
2	would, one would wish that to happen in a way which is	2	assertion that you definitely would be leading evidence to
3	least hurtful and emotionally stressful for them, but on	3	that effect, and in the circumstances there's significant
4	the other hand one has to remember the rights of other	4	prejudice to his clients, as is evidenced by the fact that
5	parties involved and that they shouldn't be unduly	5	this has already gone out on what used to be called the
6	prejudiced, but I'm sure it may be possible for you and Mr	6	wires, through Media 24 and the world has already been
7	Semenya to come to some kind of accord in that regard.	7	informed that that allegation has been made. What do you
8	MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, before Mr Ntsebeza	8	say about that?
9	slinks away, I wish to record a concern my client has.	9	MR NTSEBEZA SC: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
10	Media 24 is quoting Mr Ntsebeza, and I would like to make	10	I think the basis has always been there and what Mr Semenya
11	the quotation straight. He complains that there is a	11	says was speculation, it was only posed to the witness as a
12	shortage of information and absence of evidence and they	12	possibility. It was never put to him as a fact. It was
13	quote him as saying, "It may well be that the evidence	13	endeavouring to find out from him under oath whether he is
14	existed but was destroyed or deleted. I'm not saying by	14	aware of, or whether there is evidence that might be
15	you." Now the concern we have –	15	existing that may even have been destroyed. Now up to that
16	CHAIRPERSON: Is this what Media 24 has	16	point there was a general acceptance that there is no video
17	report –	17	footage provided by SAPS. The witness in the course of his
18	MR SEMENYA SC: Correct.	18	cross-examination revealed, quite to the surprise –
19	CHAIRPERSON: - in respect of the cross-	19	certainly to my surprise - that not only was there someone
20	examination this morning?	20	who said he had recorded video footage of what happened,
21	MR SEMENYA SC: Correct.	21	but that it was there and that he did not have any reason
22	CHAIRPERSON: I see.	22	to pursue and insist on it being made available. Now
23	MR SEMENYA SC: And the concern, Chair,	23	that's one of the possibilities that are raised, that there
24	is I was waiting for Mr Ntsebeza to finish his cross-	24	may be video footage there that is there, that is being
25	examination to see if there was any basis for all this	25	withheld from us.
	Page 3589		Page 3591
1	postulates and hypotheses he was carrying. I even said I'm	1	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Budlender, can you help
2	very zealous to know where he is going with this line of		÷ .
_	very zealous to know where he is going with this line of	2	us on this? My understanding was that there was some SAPS
3	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for	2 3	us on this? My understanding was that there was some SAPS media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was
3	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for	3	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was
3 4	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with	3 4	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available –
3 4 5	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police	3 4 5	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes.
3 4 5 6	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was	3 4 5 6	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type	3 4 5 6 7	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video
3 4 5 6 7 8	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case	3 4 5 6 7 8	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review? MR SEMENYA SC: No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage that we saw in the Commission was as I understand it, taken
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review? MR SEMENYA SC: No. CHAIRPERSON: So we can eliminate that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage that we saw in the Commission was as I understand it, taken by SAPS video operators. Is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review? MR SEMENYA SC: No. CHAIRPERSON: So we can eliminate that. As far as the suppression of videos and documents, the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage that we saw in the Commission was as I understand it, taken by SAPS video operators. Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: That's correct, Chair.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review? MR SEMENYA SC: No. CHAIRPERSON: So we can eliminate that. As far as the suppression of videos and documents, the suggestion of suppression of videos was –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage that we saw in the Commission was as I understand it, taken by SAPS video operators. Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It sounds to me, Mr
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review? MR SEMENYA SC: No. CHAIRPERSON: So we can eliminate that. As far as the suppression of videos and documents, the suggestion of suppression of videos was – MR SEMENYA SC: Video footage.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage that we saw in the Commission was as I understand it, taken by SAPS video operators. Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It sounds to me, Mr Ntsebeza, that you may have to reformulate what you've said
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review? MR SEMENYA SC: No. CHAIRPERSON: So we can eliminate that. As far as the suppression of videos and documents, the suggestion of suppression of videos was – MR SEMENYA SC: Video footage. CHAIRPERSON: We can concentrate on that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage that we saw in the Commission was as I understand it, taken by SAPS video operators. Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It sounds to me, Mr Ntsebeza, that you may have to reformulate what you've said and make it clear that you do not specifically allege that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review? MR SEMENYA SC: No. CHAIRPERSON: So we can eliminate that. As far as the suppression of videos and documents, the suggestion of suppression of videos was – MR SEMENYA SC: Video footage. CHAIRPERSON: We can concentrate on that. Now Mr Ntsebeza, what do you say about Mr Semenya's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage that we saw in the Commission was as I understand it, taken by SAPS video operators. Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It sounds to me, Mr Ntsebeza, that you may have to reformulate what you've said and make it clear that you do not specifically allege that any material of that kind was suppressed or destroyed, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	questioning. Now if there was no substance or basis for this line of cross-examination he engaged, together with the fact that there may have been another review by Police somewhere to which the witness was not privy, if there was no basis for this, it is totally unfair to put these type of propositions to witnesses. It's damaging to the case the SAPS is making. CHAIRPERSON: Let's deal with the two points. In respect of a possible review of which the witness was not privy, am I correct in saying no information of such a review has been made available to the evidence leaders? MR SEMENYA SC: Because it doesn't exist. CHAIRPERSON: Exactly, so there wasn't another review? MR SEMENYA SC: No. CHAIRPERSON: So we can eliminate that. As far as the suppression of videos and documents, the suggestion of suppression of videos was – MR SEMENYA SC: Video footage. CHAIRPERSON: We can concentrate on that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	media footage – not media, SAPS video footage which was made available – MR BUDLENDER SC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON: Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: Chair, there is video footage which is taken on the days in question. There were, it's taken, as I understand it, by POPs photographers, or POPs video recorders, who ceased at a early stage, and the complaint, if there's a complaint, is they ceased too early and they didn't, they weren't there at the time of the shootings, but there is no – there can be no doubt that there was video material from POP recorders and that it is available on the SAPS hard drive, and has been made available to everybody. CHAIRPERSON: Some of the video footage that we saw in the Commission was as I understand it, taken by SAPS video operators. Is that correct? MR BUDLENDER SC: That's correct, Chair. CHAIRPERSON: It sounds to me, Mr Ntsebeza, that you may have to reformulate what you've said and make it clear that you do not specifically allege that

	Page 3592		Page 3594
1	misapprehension, that no SAPS material was made available	1	that footage must have been destroyed.
2	to the parties.	2	CHAIRPERSON: He says, Mr Semenya, it's
3	[14:45] MR NTSEBEZA SC: May I put it on this	3	not quite as simple as that. What he says is, he is trying
4	basis, Mr Chairman, I have always been seeking to angle my	4	to establish inferentially as it were that there is a
5	questions on what POPs standard regulations or standing	5	possibility. He says the instructions are that operators
6	orders are and that is why I pointed out to the witness	6	must always see to it that the video images are taken or
7	that there is a standing order that says they must always	7	what happens in these operations. That doesn't appear to
8	take footage of anything, everything. There is no footage	8	have happened, certainly in respect of the later stages
9	that has been suggested or has been given to us that gives	9	there is nothing at all. If the regulations were complied
10	everything that happened on that day. The one footage that	10	with, there would have been material of that kind.
11	was taken, and I don't know, that is why I was keen to	11	Therefore he suggests there is a possibility that the
12	understand from the witness whether that is the footage	12	regulations were complied with but the material is not
13	that he was referring top, whether he had pursued that	13	available. But I agree with you, I think he put the
14	operator, is the footage that was taken from the air.	14	proposition a bit higher than that to the witness and that
15	Which was more like the National Geographic documentary	15	led the Media 24 reporter to phrase it the way it was done.
16	than it was a reflection of what was taking place on the	16	That's why I think it would be appropriate for Mr Ntsebeza
17	ground. That is the footage that I am aware of and that is	17	acting in accordance with this highest traditions of our
18	why I was seeking to pursue the witness as to whether that	18	profession to make it clear that he was making no positive
19	was the way. But I have always being saying, given that in	19	assertion that that happened. He was merely probing a
20	your training as a trainer you know it is standard	20	possibility against the background of the regulations
21	procedure, there is even a circular that is to that effect	21	applicable to POP operators. Would that be a fair summary
22	that serves you much - is the evidence of any footage that	22	of what you propose to say?
23	reflects the operations because if it is there and it has	23	MR SEMENYA SC: Exactly, Mr Chairman.
24	never been disclosed to us then there are various	24 25	CHAIRPERSON: Would you be happy with
25	scenarios.	25	that formulation?
	Page 3593		Page 3595
1	Page 3593 One) it may have been that it is deliberately	1	Page 3595 MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that.
1 2		1 2	
	One) it may have been that it is deliberately		MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that.
2	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't	2	MR SEMENYA SC:I'm happy with that.CHAIRPERSON:And you will also wish me
2 3	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could	2 3	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the
2 3 4	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr	2 3 4	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the
2 3 4 5	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said,	2 3 4 5	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was?
2 3 4 5 6	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other,	2 3 4 5 6	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to
2 3 4 5 6 7	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SEMENYA SC:I'm happy with that.CHAIRPERSON:And you will also wish meof course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was?MR SEMENYA SC:I would be indebted to the Commissioner.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SEMENYA SC:I'm happy with that.CHAIRPERSON:And you will also wish meof course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was?MR SEMENYA SC:I would be indebted to the Commissioner.CHAIRPERSON:Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of witnesses, we may well hear the NUM witnesses sooner than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, clearly there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of witnesses, we may well hear the NUM witnesses sooner than we expected. From the perspective of my client, we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, clearly there was no basis even to remotely suggest that there is suppression	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of witnesses, we may well hear the NUM witnesses sooner than we expected. From the perspective of my client, we would be interested to understand the version on what happened on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, clearly there was no basis even to remotely suggest that there is suppression of evidence and if there is no basis, it's just simply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of witnesses, we may well hear the NUM witnesses sooner than we expected. From the perspective of my client, we would be interested to understand the version on what happened on the 11th of August, that Saturday when the shooting took
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, clearly there was no basis even to remotely suggest that there is suppression of evidence and if there is no basis, it's just simply improper for a cross-examiner to, firstly wait for half an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of witnesses, we may well hear the NUM witnesses sooner than we expected. From the perspective of my client, we would be interested to understand the version on what happened on the 11th of August, that Saturday when the shooting took place. Because it might well be relevant in the context of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, clearly there was no basis even to remotely suggest that there is suppression of evidence and if there is no basis, it's just simply improper for a cross-examiner to, firstly wait for half an hour, the witness was told your under oath, you must tell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of witnesses, we may well hear the NUM witnesses sooner than we expected. From the perspective of my client, we would be interested to understand the version on what happened on the 11th of August, that Saturday when the shooting took place. Because it might well be relevant in the context of probing the relationship between the two trade unions and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, clearly there was no basis even to remotely suggest that there is suppression of evidence and if there is no basis, it's just simply improper for a cross-examiner to, firstly wait for half an hour, the witness was told your under oath, you must tell the truth, you know what it means on your conscience and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of witnesses, we may well hear the NUM witnesses sooner than we expected. From the perspective of my client, we would be interested to understand the version on what happened on the 11th of August, that Saturday when the shooting took place. Because it might well be relevant in the context of probing the relationship between the two trade unions and the change in moo din the crowd between the Friday and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	One) it may have been that it is deliberately being suppressed or it has been destroyed or it doesn't exist. Now I cannot understand what other basis I could have laid and in the light, with great respect, Mr Chairman, in the light of what the witness also said, merely that he was told whether in discussion or the other, that there was police footage and I could not find out from him so that I could say oh well if that is the footage, then that footage was made available by the evidence leaders. For instance I'm not aware whether that footage is the footage that he refers to, is footage that was taken on the ground by Nyalas, by whatever, water cannons and all of those things. So there was a basis and I felt it was my duty in assisting the commission to establish from this witness whether or not he is aware of material that may deliberately have been withheld from us. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, clearly there was no basis even to remotely suggest that there is suppression of evidence and if there is no basis, it's just simply improper for a cross-examiner to, firstly wait for half an hour, the witness was told your under oath, you must tell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SEMENYA SC: I'm happy with that. CHAIRPERSON: And you will also wish me of course to ask the Media 24 reporter to ensure that the new formulation is communicated in the same way as the early one was? MR SEMENYA SC: I would be indebted to the Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I take it there is a representative of the Media 24 here? Did you get what was said? They got it from you? So you sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You sent it out to all your subscribers not just to Media 24? You will see to it that the reformulations are sent out? And if you haven't got it exactly you can get it at the end of the day from the recorders. Mr Burger? MR BURGER SC: Chair, may I raise something for clarification? In view of the new order of witnesses, we may well hear the NUM witnesses sooner than we expected. From the perspective of my client, we would be interested to understand the version on what happened on the 11th of August, that Saturday when the shooting took place. Because it might well be relevant in the context of probing the relationship between the two trade unions and

	-		
1	Page 3596	1	Page 3598
1	CHAIRPERSON: That's one of the matters	1	Chair, that one of them was not here.
2	we were proposing to raise with the parties at the meeting	2	CHAIRPERSON: - wasn't here yet.
3	that we suggested to be held tomorrow.	3	MR MPOFU: Yes, that is correct.
4	MR BURGER SC: Well may I raise it today,	4	CHAIRPERSON: But you hoped he would be
5	because I'm concerned about a number of things? Firstly we	5	here later in the day.
6	expected the five clients of my learned friend, Mr Mpofu to	6	MR MPOFU: Which is why –
7	be at court today because you would remember that they were	7	CHAIRPERSON: I don't want to waste time,
8	called here and the wires went out on that day and we were	8	I was proposing to ask you if he was here at the end of the
9	told that on today, they would be coming here and I would	9	day and if he wasn't, then we would have done something.
10	like to know have statements been taken and will we get a	10	But any way when Mr Burgers raised the point it was
11	version from them in particular on that incident, so that	11	appropriate.
12	when I have to ask questions of NUM at least I know the	12	MR MPOFU: Ja, no he is not here, Chair,
13	other side of the story. Added to that, on the 28th of	13	but we, Mr Madlanga and I just had a quick meeting outside
14	November last year there was an open debate between my	14	to deal with the issue of the subpoenas and we had agreed
15	learned friend, Mr Budlender and Mr Mpofu as to witness	15	that what we would propose is an extension to a particular
16	statements to be produced by Mr Mpofu on behalf of his	16	date for, which now has been communicated to me is the 4th
17	clients.	17	of February and Chair, we have made attempts to find the
18	There was then an undertaking recorded that there	18	fifth person. I can simply just make an undertaking that
19	would be three statements filed by that Friday. One	19	he has now been reached. He was at work, we don't know
20	dealing with the events of the 16th of August, one dealing	20	what the circumstances are and we will come with an
21	with the events of the 15th of August and one dealing	21	explanation on the 4th but he is available or rather he is
22	importantly with the events of the 9th to the 16th of	22	not present here.
23	August. We have not received three witness statements by	23	CHAIRPERSON: I think he had better be
24	the 21st of January 2013. We have received two statements	24	here on the 4th as well.
25	and the two statements are particularly unhelpful on the	25	MR MPOFU: Ja no I plead that we deal
20		20	
	Page 3597		Page 3599
1	incident we are interested in, that is the 11th of August.	1	with it at that time, Chair.
2	The one statement simply says I was present during the	2	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I will now formally
3	march to the NUM offices on 11 August 2012 but was at the	3	record that his presence is required on the 4th.
4	back and could not see what happened. So far so good. And	4	MR MPOFU: Yes.
5	the other one said, on or about the 10th or the 11th of	5	CHAIRPERSON: And that you undertake to
6	August 2012 I got wind of the fact that the rock drill	6	communicate it to him.
7	operators had taken a decision to go on strike.	7	MR MPOFU: As well, thank you Chair. May
8	Now if I have to cross-examine on this basis, I	8	1-
9	will do so. But it's the most peculiar situation that we	9	CHAIRPERSON: What is his name?
10	are in our fourth month into the commission and we don't	10	MR MPOFU: His name is Mlambisa
11	know what one of the party's version is of what might well	11	Gxokokwana G-X-O-K-O-K-W-A-N-A. He was the fifth person.
12	be a critical issue.	12	So what I propose, Chair -
13	CHAIRPERSON: I was proposing to raise	13	CHAIRPERSON: And are the other four
		14	
14		14	gentlemen -
14 15	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some	14	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of
	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were		-
15 16	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might	15	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that -
15 16 17	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might come later in the day. So I was going to raise that with	15 16 17	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that - CHAIRPERSON: Okay, call them up. Let
15 16 17 18	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might come later in the day. So I was going to raise that with Mr Mpofu at the end of the day's hearing. But seeing you	15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that - CHAIRPERSON: Okay, call them up. Let them come up and let's tell them to come, to be here on the
15 16 17 18 19	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might come later in the day. So I was going to raise that with Mr Mpofu at the end of the day's hearing. But seeing you raise it now, let's get all the points you have raised out	15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that - CHAIRPERSON: Okay, call them up. Let them come up and let's tell them to come, to be here on the 4th.
15 16 17 18 19 20	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might come later in the day. So I was going to raise that with Mr Mpofu at the end of the day's hearing. But seeing you raise it now, let's get all the points you have raised out of the way. Let's give Mr Mpofu a chance to answer the	15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that - CHAIRPERSON: Okay, call them up. Let them come up and let's tell them to come, to be here on the 4th. MR MPOFU: Their names are Vuzi Mohale,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might come later in the day. So I was going to raise that with Mr Mpofu at the end of the day's hearing. But seeing you raise it now, let's get all the points you have raised out of the way. Let's give Mr Mpofu a chance to answer the points you have made.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that - CHAIRPERSON: Okay, call them up. Let them come up and let's tell them to come, to be here on the 4th. MR MPOFU: Their names are Vuzi Mohale, Thembele Ntakana, Longeni Mabutchana and Thembinkosi Mcho.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might come later in the day. So I was going to raise that with Mr Mpofu at the end of the day's hearing. But seeing you raise it now, let's get all the points you have raised out of the way. Let's give Mr Mpofu a chance to answer the points you have made. MR MPOFU: Chair, let me start with the	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that - CHAIRPERSON: Okay, call them up. Let them come up and let's tell them to come, to be here on the 4th. MR MPOFU: Their names are Vuzi Mohale, Thembele Ntakana, Longeni Mabutchana and Thembinkosi Mcho. CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, thank you
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might come later in the day. So I was going to raise that with Mr Mpofu at the end of the day's hearing. But seeing you raise it now, let's get all the points you have raised out of the way. Let's give Mr Mpofu a chance to answer the points you have made. MR MPOFU: Chair, let me start with the issue of the subpoenaed witnesses. Indeed Chair, Mr Burger	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that - CHAIRPERSON: Okay, call them up. Let them come up and let's tell them to come, to be here on the 4th. MR MPOFU: Their names are Vuzi Mohale, Thembele Ntakana, Longeni Mabutchana and Thembinkosi Mcho. CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, thank you for coming. I take it, they arranged to pay your witness
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	that with Mr Mpofu tomorrow but I also was told that some of the people who were told to come back on the 21st were here, and one wasn't. It was anticipated that he might come later in the day. So I was going to raise that with Mr Mpofu at the end of the day's hearing. But seeing you raise it now, let's get all the points you have raised out of the way. Let's give Mr Mpofu a chance to answer the points you have made. MR MPOFU: Chair, let me start with the	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	MR MPOFU: Yes, if we could dispose of that - CHAIRPERSON: Okay, call them up. Let them come up and let's tell them to come, to be here on the 4th. MR MPOFU: Their names are Vuzi Mohale, Thembele Ntakana, Longeni Mabutchana and Thembinkosi Mcho. CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon, thank you

			in or inquiry Rustenbul
	Page 3600		Page 3602
1	subpoenas on the basis that you will be back here on the	1	its pillaymichael@lrc.org.za. So Ms Pillay didn't receive
2	4th of February. Please be here at quarter to 10 on the	2	it from Mr Msimang, as a result of which it wasn't
3	morning of Monday the 4th of February and you are excused	3	distributed to the parties. That's why no one else has
4	for the moment and Mr Mpofu will read out your names so	4	seen it.
5	that they are on record, so that it will be part of the	5	MR BURGER SC: So if the learned friend
6	official records of today's proceedings. But you are	6	can then just correct that my facts are wrong to statements
7	excused until quarter to 10 on Monday the 4th of February,	7	I have which are quite unhelpful, is by Mr Magwedwana and
8	thank you. You may now leave. You can go back and sit in	8	Mr Putsa.
9	the auditorium.	9	MR MPOFU: Well that's the same thing as
10	MR MPOFU: Thank you Chairperson, I have	10	saying the one you don't have is the one of Booi.
11	already read their names into the record. Regarding this	11	CHAIRPERSON: I must confess, I'm not
12	second issue, Chair, I think maybe my learned friend Mr	12	sure I understand really what's going on Sir, perhaps you
13	Burger has not checked his facts correctly. What happened	13	must bear in mind my slowness of understanding and take me
14	is as he correctly stated was that the arrangement was	14	through it gently.
15	between us and the evidence leaders to supply those three	15	[15:05] Am I correct in thinking the problem relates to
16	statements, which was made in November. I will take my	16	the events of the 11th of August where according to what we
17	learned friend's word for the date. That was done. The	17	were told at the inspection in loco there was a
18	statements were actually furnished, not two but three, as	18	confrontation between members of AMCU and NUM in the
19	undertaken. Obviously Mr Burgers has not seen the other	19	vicinity of the NUM offices, and what we saw were signs of
20	one and I think maybe he must talk to Ms Pillay and try to	20	attempted forcible entry into the NUM offices and we were
20	secure the third statement. The, and then maybe we can	21	then told by the gentleman who's since been murdered what
22	deal with it. It's just based on the incorrect factual	22	happened – that was recorded – and he was going to come and
22	basis, what he said. If after that he still wants to raise	22	give evidence and confirm it but he can't, but presumable
		23	we will do something with that evidential material that was
24 25	issues he can raise them directly with me. My	24 25	put before the inspection, at the inspection. We were also
25	understanding is that one of the reasons why these people	25	put before the inspection, at the inspection. We were also
	Page 3601		Page 3603
1	are being excused is exactly that once those three people	1	then told on the same occasion by – it must have been AMCU
2	have testified either the subpoenas might fall away or	2	representatives or possibly certain representatives of the
3	whatever and that's why we are doing these extensions. If	3	strikers, that shots were fired from the NUM side and one
4	-	4	person I think escaped over a fence. The suggestion was
5	CHAIRPERSON: Depending on how extensive	5	that another had died. The evidence leaders, as I
6	their evidence is.	6	understand it, have endeavoured to find any reference to
7	MR MPOFU: Correct and if not, then	7	the person who allegedly died and can't do so, and Mr
8	obviously the evidence leaders might either subpoena other	8	Mathunjwa eventually conceded in cross-examination that the
9	people or Mr Burger can subpoena other people or whatever	9	report that someone had been killed on that occasion was
10	he wants to do but the arrangement is that those three	10	not correct. Is that what we're talking about?
11	people will be called and if there are gaps, either the	11	MR SEMENYA SC: That's the incident,
12	evidence leaders or any other party will do as it pleases.	12	Chair. That's exactly the incident.
13	Either approach us, if they want a cordial arrangement or	13	MR BURGER SC: It's indeed correct, if I
14	subpoena the people if they just want to go that way. And	14	may say that, and Mr Mathunjwa got a wrong message. AMCU
15	Chair, sorry I just didn't want to commit myself without	15	got a wrong message, namely that people had been killed, or
16	looking. I suspect the statement that Mr Burger is	16	a person had been killed, but that version is typically a
17	missing, is that of Simphiwe Booi, which was supplied and	17	version which must come from clients represented by my
18	on paragraph 23 and 24 up to 25, 26 it does deal with the	18	learned friend Mr Mpofu, and that's why we've been waiting
19	events of the 11th.	19	for months now for that version to come forward. We're now
20	MR BUDLENDER: just explain what has	20	told that it was sent on the 5th of December to the wrong
20	happened, we have managed to unravel it. There was indeed	20	email address. It doesn't help me to prepare my NUM cross-
21	Mr Booi's statement which does deal to some extent with the	21	examination.
	he is provide the second		
23	events of that day was sent by email on the 5th of December	23 24	
24	to an address, something called Kameshni by Mr Mpofu's	24 25	course of the day the particular statement will be
25	attorneys. But it's the wrong address by about 100 yards,	25	redirected from the correct address to, of evidence leaders
A	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	L	

1	Page 3604		Page 3606
1	to your correct address and by early this evening you'll be	1	certain witnesses as a fact that there would be evidence
2	in a position to start preparing your cross-examination on	2	that Nyalas were driven over the bodies of people lying at
3	that point. Is that correct?	3	the scene.
4	MR MPOFU: That's correct, Chair, and for	4	MR MPOFU: Yes.
5	the clerical error, or whatever it was, I must apologise	5	CHAIRPERSON: One assumes you got that
6	and I understand where Mr Burger is coming from, but what I	6	information from one or other of the witnesses with whom
7	want to dispel, Chair, is that I made any undertakings to	7	you'd consulted.
8	bring a particular type of evidence. All I did say when we	8	MR MPOFU: Yes.
9	were talking about the three people was that they would	9	CHAIRPERSON: And you said there would be
10	have three, there would be three different witnesses to try	10	evidence to that effect.
11	and cover the field, as it were, and that at least one of	11	MR MPOFU: Yes.
12	them would cover the entire period and one would do the	12	CHAIRPERSON: The statements that have
13	16th and so on, and that's how we selected them, but as to,	13	now been produced, filed, are the only witnesses you
14	if the quality of that evidence is not what is expected,	14	propose calling –
15	well then –	15	MR MPOFU: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON: If the witnesses don't	16	CHAIRPERSON: I don't understand. Are
17	cover the whole period and there are gaps and we need	17	you going to call other witnesses when you haven't given
18	further information –	18	statements?
19	MR MPOFU: Correct.	19	MR MPOFU: Yes, Chair. Well, Chairperson
20	CHAIRPERSON: - I will request the	20	-
21	evidence leaders to subpoena further persons.	21	CHAIRPERSON: On what basis are they
22	MR MPOFU: Correct.	22	going to come before us?
23	CHAIRPERSON: Alternatively we look at	23	MR MPOFU: Ja, well Chairperson, let me –
24	the statements of the gentlemen who'd been subpoenaed and	24	CHAIRPERSON: Ridiculous is perhaps an
25	see whether they can deal with the gaps.	25	appropriate word to use, but not in respect of what I've
-			
	Page 3605		Page 3607
1	Page 3605 MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to –	1	Page 3607 said.
1 2		1 2	
	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to –		said.
2	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary	2	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me
2 3	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with	2 3	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair –
2 3 4	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed.	2 3 4	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do.
2 3 4 5	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you.	2 3 4 5	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace
2 3 4 5 6	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider,	2 3 4 5 6	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the	2 3 4 5 6 7	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now. CHAIRPERSON: It's not getting ridiculous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to provide those one or two witnesses. That does not mean by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now. CHAIRPERSON: It's not getting ridiculous at all –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to provide those one or two witnesses. That does not mean by any stretch of the imagination that the two or three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now. CHAIRPERSON: It's not getting ridiculous at all – MR MPOFU: It is, Chair. These are not –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to provide those one or two witnesses. That does not mean by any stretch of the imagination that the two or three statements that we did to assist to bridge that gap is all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now. CHAIRPERSON: It's not getting ridiculous at all – MR MPOFU: It is, Chair. These are not – CHAIRPERSON: No –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to provide those one or two witnesses. That does not mean by any stretch of the imagination that the two or three statements that we did to assist to bridge that gap is all the evidence that we are ever going to call in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now. CHAIRPERSON: It's not getting ridiculous at all – MR MPOFU: It is, Chair. These are not – CHAIRPERSON: No – MR MPOFU: - that these are all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to provide those one or two witnesses. That does not mean by any stretch of the imagination that the two or three statements that we did to assist to bridge that gap is all the evidence that we are ever going to call in this Commission. For example, we've called Bishop Seoka. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now. CHAIRPERSON: It's not getting ridiculous at all – MR MPOFU: It is, Chair. These are not – CHAIRPERSON: No – MR MPOFU: - that these are all the witnesses that we're going to call.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to provide those one or two witnesses. That does not mean by any stretch of the imagination that the two or three statements that we did to assist to bridge that gap is all the evidence that we are ever going to call in this Commission. For example, we've called Bishop Seoka. We might call a whole lot of other people –
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now. CHAIRPERSON: It's not getting ridiculous at all – MR MPOFU: It is, Chair. These are not – CHAIRPERSON: No – MR MPOFU: - that these are all the witnesses that we're going to call. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofu, it's undignified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to provide those one or two witnesses. That does not mean by any stretch of the imagination that the two or three statements that we did to assist to bridge that gap is all the evidence that we are ever going to call in this Commission. For example, we've called Bishop Seoka. We might call a whole lot of other people – CHAIRPERSON: If you're going to call a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR MPOFU: Or we'll look for people to – CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if it's necessary any witness who we thought may give evidence to deal with an aspect that's important will be subpoenaed. MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you. CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger, can we put that issue to bed for the moment and can we now – alright. MR SEMENYA SC: Only with one rider, Chair, if I may, that those statements don't cover the tractor story. I'm still keen to see this tractor – MR MPOFU: The Nyala – CHAIRPERSON: The Nyala story? MR SEMENYA SC: The Nyala going over bodies, because that must have been from a particular witness Mr Mpofu was referring to. MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you know this is getting to the ridiculous now. CHAIRPERSON: It's not getting ridiculous at all – MR MPOFU: It is, Chair. These are not – CHAIRPERSON: No – MR MPOFU: - that these are all the witnesses that we're going to call.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said. MR MPOFU: No, certainly not. But let me just explain, Chair – CHAIRPERSON: Yes, please do. MR MPOFU: - or maybe let's try and trace the problem. What happened here is simply this. Some time in October or November the evidence leaders, because there was some delay of the Police evidence, asked if we can step in by producing one or two witnesses to bridge that gap. You'll remember there was a long debate about the five names and this, that and the other. The resolution of that was that we kindly said we don't give you two, we'll give you three witnesses. That, we made it clear and the chairperson fortunately came to my rescue in this because you said, Chairperson, your words were, "We cannot be forced to bring witnesses at a particular time," or rather to do our entire case, but what we undertook to do was to provide those one or two witnesses. That does not mean by any stretch of the imagination that the two or three statements that we did to assist to bridge that gap is all the evidence that we are ever going to call in this Commission. For example, we've called Bishop Seoka. We might call a whole lot of other people –

	Page 3608		Page 3610
1	MR MPOFU: Of course.	1	MR MPOFU: Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON: - tell people in good time	2	CHAIRPERSON: Mr Burger I think indicated
3	that you're calling them and give statements.	3	he wanted to say something. Is that right?
4	MR MPOFU: Yes, we would –	4	MR BURGER SC: No, what I wanted to raise
5	CHAIRPERSON: I understood that there was	5	we'll then raise tomorrow. I would like to know if further
6	an arrangement in place that the parties would indicate	6	witnesses are to be called by Mr Mpofu, which I find a
7	what witnesses they were going to call and thereafter	7	startling proposition to start off with. By when will we
8	provide statements. But perhaps I can ask Mr Madlanga to	8	receive it and on which subject? Because I'm cross-
9	deal with that before you –	9	examining now for weeks on end, apparently without proper
10	MR MADLANGA SC: Mr Chairman,	10	instructions from one of my sides.
11	Commissioners, my learned friend Mr Mpofu is quite correct	11	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, you will be able to
12	as to the origin of the arrangement, or the coming into the	12	deal with that at the meeting that I propose we have at
13	picture of the victims at the time. To avoid a public	13	lunchtime tomorrow, and quite clearly we've got to have
14	contradiction between us I think perhaps the best way, or	14	final lists of witnesses prepared through, and witnesses
15	the practical way is for Mr Mpofu and I to just engage each	15	can't be added just as people go along as the shoe pinches,
16	other and see whether there is a possibility of his other	16	and statements of what the witnesses are going to say must
17	witnesses, that is over and above the three, being called	17	also be available sooner rather than later, in the
18	sooner rather than later. Perhaps we should not get into	18	interests of the smooth working of the Commission, regard
19 20	any detail about that, but it is a fact that there was a timetable that the partice set for themselves and that it	19 20	being had to the time constraints that we're operating
20	timetable that the parties set for themselves and that it seems it is not everybody who has complied with that	20	under, and the interests of fairness to everybody. Mr Semenya, anything to say? Alright. Are you ready now to
22	timetable. But I will take it no further than that. I	22	cross-examine? Would you please put yourself on record and
23	will see whether between Mr Mpofu and I we cannot reach	23	spell your name for the benefit of those who have to
24	some sort of practical and acceptable arrangement.	24	transcribe the proceedings?
25	CHAIRPERSON: There are two points I want	25	MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. Ms
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Page 3609		Page 3611
1	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting	1	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for
2	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way	2	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South
2 3	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list	2 3	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission.
2 3 4	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for	2 3 4	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of
2 3 4 5	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is,	2 3 4 5	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I
2 3 4 5 6	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about	2 3 4 5 6	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I
2 3 4 5 6 7	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had	2 3 4 5 6 7	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will
2 3 4 5 6 7	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be	2 3 4 5 6 7	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness' statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness' statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file – CHAIRPERSON: The IPID file is one thing,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said. Thank you. And if I may begin, the Human Rights Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file – CHAIRPERSON: The IPID file is one thing, but the question of your, you put that there would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said. Thank you. And if I may begin, the Human Rights Commission who I'm here representing, has a particular role to play in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file – CHAIRPERSON: The IPID file is one thing, but the question of your, you put that there would be evidence which you would be leading –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said. Thank you. And if I may begin, the Human Rights Commission who I'm here representing, has a particular role to play in this process in that it seeks to assist the commission of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file – CHAIRPERSON: The IPID file is one thing, but the question of your, you put that there would be evidence which you would be leading – MR MPOFU: By my clients –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said. Thank you. And if I may begin, the Human Rights Commission who I'm here representing, has a particular role to play in this process in that it seeks to assist the commission of inquiry as best it can and support the process that this commission of inquiry is following, and for that reason the evidence that will be brought before this Commission is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file – CHAIRPERSON: The IPID file is one thing, but the question of your, you put that there would be evidence which you would be leading – MR MPOFU: By my clients – CHAIRPERSON: And you haven't indicated which witness you're going to call to say that, but anyway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said. Thank you. And if I may begin, the Human Rights Commission who I'm here representing, has a particular role to play in this process in that it seeks to assist the commission of inquiry as best it can and support the process that this commission of inquiry is following, and for that reason the evidence that will be brought before this Commission is essentially uniquely evidence of experts and individuals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file – CHAIRPERSON: The IPID file is one thing, but the question of your, you put that there would be evidence which you would be leading – MR MPOFU: By my clients – CHAIRPERSON: And you haven't indicated which witness you're going to call to say that, but anyway MR MPOFU: We'll do so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said. Thank you. And if I may begin, the Human Rights Commission who I'm here representing, has a particular role to play in this process in that it seeks to assist the commission of inquiry as best it can and support the process that this commission of inquiry is following, and for that reason the evidence that will be brought before this Commission is essentially uniquely evidence of experts and individuals who'd be able to contextualise the issues that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file – CHAIRPERSON: The IPID file is one thing, but the question of your, you put that there would be evidence which you would be leading – MR MPOFU: By my clients – CHAIRPERSON: And you haven't indicated which witness you're going to call to say that, but anyway MR MPOFU: We'll do so. CHAIRPERSON: That matter will be dealt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said. Thank you. And if I may begin, the Human Rights Commission who I'm here representing, has a particular role to play in this process in that it seeks to assist the commission of inquiry as best it can and support the process that this commission of inquiry is following, and for that reason the evidence that will be brought before this Commission is essentially uniquely evidence of experts and individuals who'd be able to contextualise the issues that the commission of inquiry is dealing with. I'm attempting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	to make. The first is we're going to have a meeting tomorrow lunchtime, all the parties, to discuss the way forward, and that will involve the question of a final list of witnesses being provided and dates being fixed for statements. That's the first point. The second point is, Mr Mpofu must know who the witness was who told him about the Nyalas riding over people because he said he had evidence to that effect and he put it to the witness that that would be the evidence. So I will expect him to be forthcoming tomorrow with the witness who says that and a copy in due course of that witness's statement. MR MPOFU: Well Chair, the statement is already there. You'll find more than one of the statements making that allegation in the IPID file, but we'll deal with it tomorrow. In the IPID file – CHAIRPERSON: The IPID file is one thing, but the question of your, you put that there would be evidence which you would be leading – MR MPOFU: By my clients – CHAIRPERSON: And you haven't indicated which witness you're going to call to say that, but anyway MR MPOFU: We'll do so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jele, J-E-L-E, I'm junior counsel for the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, attorneys on record for the South African Human Rights Commission. CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier, in view of everything that's happened since I last reminded you, I remind you again, you're under oath, and remember what I told you about answering the questions you were asked and not answering other questions as well because that will only unnecessarily lengthen proceedings. Ms Jele, would you like to start cross-examining? MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson, and if I may, Brigadier, thank you for your patience as well throughout this process. I know you were testifying for quite some time during the course of December and we do appreciate your presence here today, it must be said. Thank you. And if I may begin, the Human Rights Commission who I'm here representing, has a particular role to play in this process in that it seeks to assist the commission of inquiry as best it can and support the process that this commission of inquiry is following, and for that reason the evidence that will be brought before this Commission is essentially uniquely evidence of experts and individuals who'd be able to contextualise the issues that the

		-	
	Page 3612		Page 3614
1	the issues that have previously been addressed in your	1	of what happened at Marikana, and as a consequence he does
2	testimony, and based both on your initial written	2	an analysis of the applicable policy. I want to refer
3	statements, as well as the evidence that you have provided	3	specifically to your knowledge in terms of the skills
4	here in chief before the Commissioner, I would like to	4	development facilitator that you were and refer you to
5	address a number of issues with you. The issues that I	5	paragraph 3 of your statement before this commission of
6	wish to address are all based on the opinions provided and	6	inquiry where you detail your responsibilities as skills
7	to be made into, as I understand it, exhibits before this	7	development facilitator.
8	particular Commission, one of which I understand you might	8	CHAIRPERSON: Before that is interpreted,
9	have had an opportunity to see and that's Mr White. Can	9	can I ask you, point out that we haven't been given copies
10	you confirm that you have had an opportunity to read	10	of the annexures to this. We only got the statement and
11	through the provisional statement of Gary White?	11	the annexures include for example the Paton report which we
12	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I got it. I've read,	12	haven't been given copies of. I trust that that's an
13	even though it's a big document, yes, but I tried to read	13	omission that will be remedied in due course.
14	it.	14	MS JELE: We shall remedy it as soon as
15	MS JELE: I appreciate it is a large	15	we are able, thank you.
16	document. I just want to check at this stage whether or	16	[15:25] Brigadier, do you have paragraph 3 of your
17	not all relevant parties did receive a copy of it on Friday	17	initial statement before you?
18	when it was emailed and whether or not the commissioners	18	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm trying just to check
19	have a copy of it available to them at this time. Ms	19	if I've got it here. I'm just afraid maybe –
20	Pillay, the commissioners don't seem to have a copy. We	20	MS JELE: We have a copy available if you
21	provided a few copies this morning. Would it be possible	21	need us to bring it forward.
22	to provide them with one?	22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, it's okay. I'll
23	MS PILLAY: Chair, we do have copies here	23	share with the interpreter. I've got it here.
24	which we were intending to hand up once they were made	24	MS JELE: I'm glad to hear that. The
25	exhibits and once they were referred to.	25	first item that you describe as part of your
1	Page 3613 CHAIRPERSON: Well, the suggestion is we		Page 3615
		1	
2		1 2	responsibilities is to identify training needs as
2	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can	1 2 3	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I
3	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage.	2	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to
3 4	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may,	2 3	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over
3 4 5	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute	2 3 4	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier?
3 4 5 6	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary	2 3 4 5	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is
3 4 5 6 7	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement –	2 3 4 5 6 7	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on –
3 4 5 6	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that –	2 3 4 5 6	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is
3 4 5 6 7 8	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?"
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to some elements of Commissioner Titus's provisional witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to some elements of Commissioner Titus's provisional witness statement. I anticipate I won't get around to them today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Thank you very much,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to some elements of Commissioner Titus's provisional witness statement. I anticipate I won't get around to them today. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I see. Alright, well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Thank you very much, Advocate.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to some elements of Commissioner Titus's provisional witness statement. I anticipate I won't get around to them today. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I see. Alright, well now we've got it, I suggest you start your cross-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Thank you very much, Advocate. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, what mostly
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to some elements of Commissioner Titus's provisional witness statement. I anticipate I won't get around to them today. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I see. Alright, well now we've got it, I suggest you start your cross- examination till about half past 3, round about then I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Thank you very much, Advocate. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, what mostly happened is that some of the training interventions that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to some elements of Commissioner Titus's provisional witness statement. I anticipate I won't get around to them today. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I see. Alright, well now we've got it, I suggest you start your cross- examination till about half past 3, round about then I'm going to take the tea adjournment, but tell me at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Thank you very much, Advocate. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, what mostly happened is that some of the training interventions that are being actually proposed on a financial year are based
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to some elements of Commissioner Titus's provisional witness statement. I anticipate I won't get around to them today. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I see. Alright, well now we've got it, I suggest you start your cross- examination till about half past 3, round about then I'm going to take the tea adjournment, but tell me at that stage more or less when an appropriate stage has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Thank you very much, Advocate. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, what mostly happened is that some of the training interventions that are being actually proposed on a financial year are based on issues that have happened and some based on what members
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Thank you very much, Advocate. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, what mostly happened is that some of the training interventions that are being actually proposed on a financial year are based on issues that have happened and some based on what members has to be developed at because it should be a continuity in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get them on a preliminary anticipatory basis and we can mark them as exhibits at the appropriate stage. MS JELE: In that vein, if I may, Chairperson, might I also request Ms Pillay to distribute copies of Commissioner Titus's succinct preliminary statement – CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that – MS JELE: - which we were only be in a position to provide earlier this morning. CHAIRPERSON: Do we need that now while this witness is in the box? I mean at the table, the witness stand. MS JELE: I will be making reference to some elements of Commissioner Titus's provisional witness statement. I anticipate I won't get around to them today. CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I see. Alright, well now we've got it, I suggest you start your cross- examination till about half past 3, round about then I'm going to take the tea adjournment, but tell me at that stage more or less when an appropriate stage has been reached to take that adjournment. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	responsibilities is to identify training needs as identified through operational shortcomings. That would, I presume, mean that you would have to apply your mind as to formulating elements of training that might evolve over time. Would that be a correct interpretation, Brigadier? MR HANABE: Sorry, Advocate, where is that written? Because I don't have it on – MS JELE: 3.1 on page, at the top of page 2. MR HANABE: Okay, where it says, "I was initially based in Visible Policing?" MS JELE: If you just turn the page, you should find 3.1 at the top of that page. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Oh, 3.1? MS JELE: Yes. MR HANABE: Thank you very much, Advocate. BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, what mostly happened is that some of the training interventions that are being actually proposed on a financial year are based on issues that have happened and some based on what members has to be developed at because it should be a continuity in developing members.

	Page 3616		Page 3618
1	aware of the contents of existing policy and also pay	1	MS JELE: Keeping this particular
2	attention to developments nationally and internationally	2	statement in mind, would you agree, Brigadier, that
3	that may have a bearing on valuable training in the future,	3	spontaneous gatherings are uniquely challenging to Police,
4	would you not?	4	but also uniquely useful from a training perspective to
5	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	5	gain insight into potential future measures that might be
6	MS JELE: Now Brigadier, 3.2 of your	6	taken –
7	statement you indicate that another one of your	7	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
8	responsibilities was to monitor and evaluate the	8	MS JELE: Also in your statement, under
9	implementation and adherence to these policies and	9	paragraph 6 of your statement, you confirm that you were in
10	standards and national instructions. Correct?	10	your position as skills development facilitator tasked with
11	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	11	supervising and monitoring training so you can check the
12	MS JELE: One of the basic difficulties,	12	adherence and implementation to policies with a view to
13	however, Brigadier, you will agree with me, in crowd	13	continuously improving the training and execution of crowd
14	management is that situations where crowds are concerned	14	management as a whole. You would see that?
15	are usually fluid and one isn't necessarily sure from one	15	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.
16	minute to the next what challenges one might face?	16	MS JELE: Based on the statement I would
17	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	17	presume - and do correct me if I'm wrong, Brigadier - that
18	MS JELE: I would like to refer you to	18	you would be involved as skills development facilitator in
19	exhibit R. I'm hoping you have a copy before you,	19	discussions directly relating to any changes in policy that
20	Brigadier. If not, I'm sure we can arrange to have one	20	may affect training with regard to crowd management?
21	brought to you.	21	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct. The
22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Exhibit R – no, I don't	22	respective office will actually invite me. If I'm invited,
23	have it.	23	yes, I would participate.
24	MS JELE: It would be the document issued	24	MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier.
25	by the Ministry of Police dated 29 August 2011.	25	Chairperson, this would be an appropriate time for the
	Page 3617		Page 3619
1	Page 3617 BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it.	1	adjournment.
1 2	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If	1 2	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it.		adjournment.
2	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If	2	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
2 3	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document,	2 3	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining.
2 3 4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I	2 3 4	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were
2 3 4 5	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the	2 3 4 5	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining.
2 3 4 5 6	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993."	2 3 4 5 6	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've
2 3 4 5 6 7	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have a couple of –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were part of the process of identifying issues for the following
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have a couple of – BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm struggling to see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were part of the process of identifying issues for the following financial year that would need to go about training. Are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have a couple of – BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm struggling to see the paragraph, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were part of the process of identifying issues for the following financial year that would need to go about training. Are you acquainted with the international instruments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have a couple of – BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm struggling to see the paragraph, please. MS JELE: The paragraph begins, "The challenges of effective implementation."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were part of the process of identifying issues for the following financial year that would need to go about training. Are you acquainted with the international instruments specifically that might be relevant to this field?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have a couple of – BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm struggling to see the paragraph, please. MS JELE: The paragraph begins, "The challenges of effective implementation." BRIG MKHWANAZI: The challenges of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were part of the process of identifying issues for the following financial year that would need to go about training. Are you acquainted with the international instruments specifically that might be relevant to this field? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I haven't been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have a couple of – BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm struggling to see the paragraph, please. MS JELE: The paragraph begins, "The challenges of effective implementation." BRIG MKHWANAZI: The challenges of effective –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were part of the process of identifying issues for the following financial year that would need to go about training. Are you acquainted with the international instruments specifically that might be relevant to this field? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I haven't been actually involved into that position whereby - yes, on a issue tactically, yes, but on issues on skill development
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have a couple of – BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm struggling to see the paragraph, please. MS JELE: The paragraph begins, "The challenges of effective implementation." BRIG MKHWANAZI: The challenges of effective – MS JELE: Second to last at the bottom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were part of the process of identifying issues for the following financial year that would need to go about training. Are you acquainted with the international instruments specifically that might be relevant to this field? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I haven't been actually involved into that position whereby - yes, on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Oh, okay. Got it. MS JELE: Glad to hear it, Brigadier. If you would turn to page 6 of that particular document, paragraph before last the Ministry of Police states, and I quote – I hope you have it, that's paragraph 6, above the words, "The Regulation of Gatherings Act 205 of 1993." BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes. MS JELE: It is stated, "Although the current legal framework makes provision for some negotiation and application procedures to hold a public protest, gathering, or march, it happens frequently that some of these public protests start spontaneously. The latter raises serious challenges since the SAPS are not afforded the opportunity to plan in advance and thus have to respond in a reactive manner." I just will want to have a couple of – BRIG MKHWANAZI: I'm struggling to see the paragraph, please. MS JELE: The paragraph begins, "The challenges of effective implementation." BRIG MKHWANAZI: The challenges of effective –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adjournment. [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] [15:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. Brigadier, you're still under oath. Ms Jele, you were cross-examining. MS JELE: Thank you, Chairperson. I've dealt with the issue of policies. Now in reaching some of his conclusions Gary White makes specific reference to a number of international documents, and as I understand it, the standing order does require attention to international standards in formulating policy that relates to crown management. Would I be correct in that respect? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I saw that, yes. MS JELE: Since in your capacity as skills development facilitator you mentioned that you were part of the process of identifying issues for the following financial year that would need to go about training. Are you acquainted with the international instruments specifically that might be relevant to this field? BRIG MKHWANAZI: No, I haven't been actually involved into that position whereby - yes, on a issue tactically, yes, but on issues on skill development part, like checking on other countries and all that, no we

## Marikana Commission of Inquiry

	Sundary 2010 Maritana Comm		n or material
	Page 3620		Page 3622
1	minister makes specific reference – and I'm again referring	1	Semenya, with respect for example to choices of deployment
2	to exhibit R – to at least one of these international	2	and the like. The four specific themes that I would like
3	instruments. I want to understand your answer. Is it that	3	to cover in order so that we might address each, one after
4	you yourself don't have experience in those instruments?	4	the other, are first the issue of training, secondly the
5	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I mean myself as a	5	issue of planning, thirdly the issue of plan
6	person not involved before.	6	implementation, and lastly the issue of debriefing. With
7	MS JELE: In light of your responsibility	7	regard to training, Brigadier, you gave in your statements
8	as the skills development facilitator, Brigadier, I would	8	a detailed outline of the type of training that is received
9	put to you it's rather surprising and that one would expect	9	in relation to crowd management by SAPS officials, correct?
10	you to be familiar with those international standards that	10	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
11	are also relevant to skills development and training of law	11	MS JELE: You mentioned that - at
12	enforcement officers in crowd management situations.	12	paragraph 5.1 - a platoon member will undergo training of
13	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Maybe I misunderstood	13	just three weeks. Is that correct?
14	your questions, I mean sorry, your question, because if we	14	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
15	talk about crowd management as such we have benchmarked	15	MS JELE: And that has been the case for
16	crowd management from other countries and we have used	16	quite some time, in your knowledge?
17	their techniques and try and see if maybe we can develop	17	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
18	programmes that are actually going to assist us in South	18	MS JELE: And the person who would be
19	Africa, looking to the crowd dynamics and all that. So	19	commanding that platoon, the platoon commander training
20	maybe I misunderstood you on that one.	20	would take just one week. Is that correct, Brigadier?
21	MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier, that is	21	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Do you mean one week on
22	what I was getting at. Perhaps if I just cite an example	22	training?
23	of one of these documents. The Basic Principles on the Use	23	MS JELE: I would refer to paragraph 5.2
24 25	of Force and Firearms, which was adopted in Cuba in 1990	24 25	at page –
25	and which was explicitly referred to by the minister as one	25	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct, yes.
	Page 3621		Page 3623
1	of the relevant instruments in crowd management at page 8	1	PCT, platoon commander training. We are talking about
2	of exhibit R.	2	officers receiving training as platoon commanders.
3	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I saw it when I	3	MS JELE: At a higher level than when we
4	read the document, but I may point out that from a long	4	speak of OCT training, this particular training lasts just
5	time we've been using other techniques from other countries	5	four weeks, correct?
6	like Belgium, as well as like France as well, to our crowd	6	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.
7	management techniques.	7	MS JELE: Brigadier, is this a once-off
8	MS JELE: And I would assume that for	8	when it comes to the training, or does it take place more
9	development of future crowd management policy you keep up	9	often than once in a member's employment life at SAPS?
10	to date on what might be taking place either at the	10	BRIG MKHWANAZI: In some of the training
11	international level or in other countries with similar	11	interventions it can be a once-off, others can be a
12	systems perhaps to our own?	12	refresher course, but mostly what should be done with crowd
13	BRIG MKHWANAZI: That's correct.	13	management most of the time is that there must be some
14	MS JELE: White's statement, Gary White's	14	rehearsal as well most of the time. That is in-service
15	statement, I'm sure you'll be happy to hear, states that in	15	training that needs to be given to the platoon if the
16	his view South African policies are in line with policies	16	situation actually permits regarding to, or maybe if there
17	applicable in other countries, such as the United Kingdom.	17	are no other problems around in that particular province,
18	I'm referring specifically to section 2 of Gary White's	18	then those members can have rehearsals or refresher
19	statement where he deals with policy issues. However,	19	courses.
20	there are four themes that I would like to cover with you	20	MS JELE: Are these refresher courses
21	where in his expert opinion there were serious shortcomings	21	shorter than the original courses or the same length?
22	in the actions of the SAPS at Marikana during these events.	22	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Refresher courses
23	I am acutely aware, Brigadier, that you were not there on	23	normally will be shorter.
24	the day and I will be asking questions with regard to the	24 25	MS JELE: And when you spoke of
	testimony that you gave when examined by my colleague, Mr	25	rehearsals I presume you're referring to some form of
		L	

		<u> </u>	
1	Page 3624	1	Page 3626
1	practical aspect to the training. What portion of say for	1	World Cup.
2	example the three weeks of a platoon member would actually	2	MS JELE: The difficulty that we face at
3	be practical training?	3	this juncture, Brigadier, is that Commissioner Titus will
4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: If the situation	4	indicate, as is set out specifically in paragraph 27 of his
5	permits, especially with PMT, platoon members training,	5	provisional statement, that the training provided POP
6	here we talk about non-commission officers, this one is	6	specifically and police generally in crowd management is
7	whereby the platoon commander takes his own platoon normally to rehearse, to make sure everybody's still in a	7	woefully insufficient.
8		8	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I understand, I see
9	good position to do what's supposed to be done. That is rehearsal, but depend to the situation; if everything is	9 10	the paragraph, as I see the statement now. I'm not sure
10 11	calm, there's no problem, then the platoon commander can do	11	really if it's not sufficient maybe based on what position. Maybe if I can have more information that it's not
12	that.	12	sufficient because of 1, 2, 3, maybe I can be able to
13	MS JELE: And when you mentioned the	13	comment.
14	refresher courses, are they obligatory or do members choose	14	MS JELE: I appreciate, Brigadier, that
15	whether or not they need to be refreshed in crowd	15	with regard to Commissioner Titus's provisional statement
16	management skills?	16	specifically you have not had an opportunity to read it, so
17	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Normally it depends to	17	perhaps we can come back to the details of the content of
18	the situation; if some shortcomings have been identified	18	Commissioner Titus's provisional statement. I pause at
19	and all that then the refresher course will come into	19	this juncture to ask Ms Pillay if this is an appropriate
20	being. Members definitely they will be actually be taken	20	time to enter the provisional witness statement as an
21	in for refresher courses, depending to the situation, hence	21	exhibit.
22	we need to make sure that we stay in the same position to	22	MS PILLAY: Chair, it will be exhibit
23	be able to deal with the situation in the country.	23	WW1.
24	MS JELE: If I understand you correctly,	24	CHAIRPERSON: We don't know yet what Mr
25	Brigadier, that means that there is no obligation on a SAPS	25	White MBE, what exhibit number his is going to be?
	Page 3625		Page 3627
1	member who functions within the area of crowd management,	1	MS PILLAY: That statement, White could
2	POP specifically, to return to training to update or	2	be WW2.
3	upscale their skills throughout their employment period.	3	[16:14] MS JELE: Brigadier, specifically with
4 5	Is that correct? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I won't agree into that	4 5	regard to training and formulation of training policy, whilst we'll deal with the comments made by Commissioner
6	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I won't agree into that because it is normally happening. If I'm correct, you	6	Titus at a later stage, I'm sure you're aware that the
7	know, even before the end of the year last year we have	7	Ministry of Police certainly has made certain statements
8	refresher courses running, you know, for the members as	8	about the type of training received by individuals
9	well. It is definitely happening and the only thing is to	9	responsible for crowd management.
10	say will it be like after so much month or after so much	10	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I saw the policy by
11	year a member has to go back, but as I've said, it will be	11	the minister.
12	determined by the challenges that we are having to make	12	MS JELE: If I may refer you again to
13	sure that members go back for the refresher courses, if	13	exhibit R, this time to page 16 of exhibit R, underneath
14	there's a need into that. If I may make an example as well	14	the heading "Training of members attached to Public Order
15	with Soccer World Cup members were taken again for training	15	Policing Unit."
16	for refresher into a lot of issues because we have to look	16	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Got it.
17	to the issues how to handle Soccer World Cup, so it's a lot	17	MS JELE: In light of the fact that this
18	of techniques we receive from the side of French police and	18	is a statement issued to the parliamentary portfolio
19	those techniques were brought in. Trainers from France	19	committee on 29 August 2011, I quote from the first
20	came in, in the country, and members had to go through	20	paragraph of this statement that says, "Comparative
21	again and as a result I went for that course as well	21	research has shown that the current level of training for
22	myself. I went through to it. It was a refresher course	22	SAPS members in crowd management and control lacks content.
23	to me because I've been into a number of courses in Public	23	Basic and refresher training for police and others involved
24	Order Policing, but because of Soccer World Cup I had to go	24	in law enforcement should include courses not only on human
25	back so that I can be able to work properly during Soccer	25	and constitutional rights, but also scientific techniques
A	RCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	I	

, ,	
Page 3628	Page 3630
1 and other best practices for the professional discharge of	1 this slide, Brigadier?
2 their functions within the public protest environment." Do	2 BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I see training of
3 you see that quote?	3 members.
4 BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes.	4 MS JELE: The ICD's position and
5 MS JELE: What is your reaction to seeing	5 recommendation in the second column seems to be "The SAPS
6 that the ministry itself, of police, position on training	6 to provide updated training to members of the Public Order
7 is that it is woefully insufficient?	7 Policing units. These members must be trained on a regular
8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree that we need to	8 basis and on current and international best practices."
9 do more. I agree with that, yes.	9 You see that, Brigadier?
10 MS JELE: During seemingly the course of	10 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I see that.
11 the same couple of days at parliament in August 2011 the	11 MS JELE: If you would now go to slide
12 ICD, as it was then called, also made a presentation to the	12 28, Brigadier. It is a continuation of the challenges and
13 parliamentary portfolio committee. Perhaps I might get	13 recommendations and there's just one line and it relates to
14 some assistance from Ms Pillay as to the exact exhibit	14 command and control, and in it, it is stated, "Members
15 number of this document?	15 involved in a crowd control situation must only act on
16 MS PILLAY: Just refresh me, which	16 instruction and direction of the commander. Commanders
17 document specifically?	17 need additional training and must be able to provide good
18 MS JELE: It is a selection of slides by	18 command and control throughout the operation." You see
19 the ICD entitled, as I read the cover page, "Presentation	19 that?
20 to the Portfolio Committee on Police, 30 August 2011,	20 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I see that, Ma'am. 21 MS JELE: In August 2011, correct me,
21 Parliament."	3
22 MS PILLAY: That document hasn't been	<ul> <li>22 Brigadier, you were still skills development facilitator?</li> <li>23 BRIG MKHWANAZI: Sorry, August?</li> </ul>
<ul><li>entered as an exhibit yet, so it would get a new exhibit</li><li>number. It will be WW3.</li></ul>	24 MS JELE: 2011.
25 MS JELE: Thank you, Ms Pillay.	25 BRIG MKHWANAZI: 2011, yes I was still,
25 WIS JELE. THAIK YOU, WIS FINAY.	
Page 3629	Page 3631
1 CHAIRPERSON: What does one call it?	1 yes, I was still.
2 MS JELE: It's the Presentation to the	2 MS JELE: In your recollection, were
3 Portfolio Committee of Police, Briefing on Crowd Control,	3 these recommendations brought to your attention?
4 and for the rest of the parties it's volume E, item 3. I	4 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I would say no, because
5 understand you've been provided with a copy of these	5
	5 what is happening, my office work together with the
6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to	<ul><li>5 what is happening, my office work together with the</li><li>6 national office division training, which is the human</li></ul>
<ul><li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li><li>7 assist again.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>5 what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>6 national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>7 resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>6 national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>7 resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>8 us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>6 national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>7 resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>8 us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>9 towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>6 national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>7 resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>8 us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>9 towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>10 we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>6 national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>7 resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>8 us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>9 towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>10 we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>11 working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>6 national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>7 resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>8 us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>9 towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>10 we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>11 working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>12 our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>6 national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>7 resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>8 us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>9 towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>10 we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>11 working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>12 our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>13 from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>14 actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>15 in anything.</li> <li>16 MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> <li>17 a chance to do it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>in anything.</li> <li>MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> <li>mentioned earlier, one of the descriptions of your</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> <li>17 a chance to do it.</li> <li>18 MS JELE: To be fair, we weren't sure we</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>in anything.</li> <li>MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> <li>mentioned earlier, one of the descriptions of your</li> <li>responsibilities is to identify training needs. I must say</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> <li>17 a chance to do it.</li> <li>18 MS JELE: To be fair, we weren't sure we</li> <li>19 would need to refer to them until very recently,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>in anything.</li> <li>MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> <li>mentioned earlier, one of the descriptions of your</li> <li>responsibilities is to identify training needs. I must say</li> <li>I'm having difficulty reconciling that description of your</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> <li>17 a chance to do it.</li> <li>18 MS JELE: To be fair, we weren't sure we</li> <li>19 would need to refer to them until very recently,</li> <li>20 Chairperson.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>in anything.</li> <li>MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> <li>mentioned earlier, one of the descriptions of your</li> <li>responsibilities is to identify training needs. I must say</li> <li>I'm having difficulty reconciling that description of your</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> <li>17 a chance to do it.</li> <li>18 MS JELE: To be fair, we weren't sure we</li> <li>19 would need to refer to them until very recently,</li> <li>20 Chairperson.</li> <li>21 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. WW3.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>in anything.</li> <li>MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> <li>mentioned earlier, one of the descriptions of your</li> <li>responsibilities is to identify training needs. I must say</li> <li>I'm having difficulty reconciling that description of your</li> <li>responsibilities with the description of the process that</li> <li>you've just given. To what extent were you identifying</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> <li>17 a chance to do it.</li> <li>18 MS JELE: To be fair, we weren't sure we</li> <li>19 would need to refer to them until very recently,</li> <li>20 Chairperson.</li> <li>21 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. WW3.</li> <li>22 MS JELE: I'd like to make specific focus</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>in anything.</li> <li>MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> <li>responsibilities is to identify training needs. I must say</li> <li>I'm having difficulty reconciling that description of your</li> <li>responsibilities with the description of the process that</li> <li>you've just given. To what extent were you identifying</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to</li> <li>7 assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> <li>17 a chance to do it.</li> <li>18 MS JELE: To be fair, we weren't sure we</li> <li>19 would need to refer to them until very recently,</li> <li>20 Chairperson.</li> <li>21 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. WW3.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>in anything.</li> <li>MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> <li>responsibilities is to identify training needs. I must say</li> <li>I'm having difficulty reconciling that description of your</li> <li>responsibilities with the description of the process that</li> <li>you've just given. To what extent were you identifying</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 slides, Brigadier. Have you not? I will ask Ms Pillay to assist again.</li> <li>8 BRIG MKHWANAZI: I don't have it.</li> <li>9 CHAIRPERSON: He's not the only one who</li> <li>10 hasn't been provided with a copy.</li> <li>11 MS JELE: My apologies, Chairperson. I</li> <li>12 understood that these copies had been provided earlier.</li> <li>13 CHAIRPERSON: Well, to be fair, if they</li> <li>14 were provided late last week and we only came together</li> <li>15 effectively this morning and we started immediately, so I</li> <li>16 suppose those responsible for distributing them didn't get</li> <li>17 a chance to do it.</li> <li>18 MS JELE: To be fair, we weren't sure we</li> <li>19 would need to refer to them until very recently,</li> <li>20 Chairperson.</li> <li>21 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. WW3.</li> <li>22 MS JELE: I'd like to make specific focus</li> <li>23 to slide 25 entitled "Challenges and recommendations."</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is happening, my office work together with the</li> <li>national office division training, which is the human</li> <li>resource development. So human resource will indicate to</li> <li>us what needs to be done, then we'll align our training</li> <li>towards whatever they are actually indicating to us what</li> <li>we're supposed to do. In other words, my office as it was</li> <li>working with specialised training, we cannot initiate on</li> <li>our own. However, we can maybe request if there is a need</li> <li>from division HRD, then they will be the people who</li> <li>actually will be in a position to give us the way forward</li> <li>in anything.</li> <li>MS JELE: If I may ask, as I have</li> <li>responsibilities is to identify training needs. I must say</li> <li>I'm having difficulty reconciling that description of your</li> <li>responsibilities with the description of the process that</li> <li>you've just given. To what extent were you identifying</li> <li>those needs, and perhaps give us examples of how you went</li> </ul>

1	Page 3632	1	Page 3634
1	or around specialised units my task in the beginning of the	1	MS JELE: I would at this stage already
2	financial year will be to identify what are the training	2	ask the brigadier to have a look at Commissioner Titus's
3	needs for specific component. In other words, each	3	provisional witness statement, which is only recently
4	component will have its own trainers. They will indicate	4	obtained, so that we might deal with any elements that I
5	to me to say these are our training needs, members need to	5	wish to cover contained therein. Thank you, Chairperson.
6	be trained into these. Then for me then together working	6	I return then to page 21, the last paragraph on that page.
7	with them in our committees, which is training committee,	7	It is stated, and in many respects you have referred to
8	we will then sit down and discuss those training needs that	8	these issues but in some not, "All along the SAPS Policing
9	have been identified. Then we consolidate and give it to	9	was aligned to the Belgium model which seems to be a more
10	human resource development, which is the national SAPS	10	narrow approach. This model is based on distant policing
11	training division. That's where now they will decide with	11	of crowds and would include discussions, negotiation and
12	the funding and actually indicating how the programmes will	12	persuasive methods. It's obvious that the distance
13	be actually be presented in the course of the financial	13	approach provides more room for protesters to provoke and
14	year.	14	throw objects which could cause injury to people and damage
15	MS JELE: You stated earlier that there	15	to property. The model also provides that the police may
16	is a process of attempting to upgrade training of POP and	16	negotiate with for example a small delegation from the
17	other crowd management officials if needs be. Does this	17	crowd. Unfortunately this distant approach leans too
18	identification process include broadening training or	18	easily in resorting to the use of force, especially where
19	changing training at any given time?	19	crowds would overstep boundaries set by the police which in
20	BRIG MKHWANAZI: The whole process will	20	most instances construed as posing a threat to the police."
21	be to improve with the type of training that can be put in	21	I now turn to page 22. "In planning towards effective
22	place if there's a need.	22	crowd control during the 2010 FIFA Soccer World Cup
23	MS JELE: If I could refer you again to	23	Tournament, the SAPS conducted research on different models
24	the policy and guidelines issued by the ministry, and I am	24	and decided to engage on the French model which is
25	referring to a document signed on 29 August 2011 and	25	underpinned by a wider approach than the Belgium model.
	Page 3633		Page 3635
1	specifically at page 21 -	1	The French model drastically cuts down on the distance and
2	CHAIRPERSON: 21?	2	requires that the police should be literally a few metres
3	MS JELE: Yes, Chairperson.	3	in front of the crowd. This provides the opportunity to
4	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Are we referring to the	4	restrain forward movement of the crowd and a possibility
5	Ministry of Police document?	5	for snatchers to pick on certain individuals who ought to
6	MS JELE: We are, Brigadier.	6	be the most provocative within the crowd. This model also
7	BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, page 21, I'm there.	7	provides better formation and position of platoons in crowd
8	MS JELE: I'm going to refer you to the	8	control and redirecting a process to lesser significant
9	very last paragraph at page 21, and I understand that this	9	areas. Training on this model were since introduced, but
10	might be a lengthy process, but I will ask the	10	never formalised."
11	commissioners as well as yourself, Brigadier, and my	11	[16:34] Thank you very much. Brigadier, in your capacity
10		4.0	
12	colleagues, to bear with me. I would like to quote that	12	at the time in August 2011, were these recommendations
12	colleagues, to bear with me. I would like to quote that last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as	12 13	at the time in August 2011, were these recommendations brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at
			C C
13	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as	13	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at
13 14	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this	13 14	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then?
13 14 15	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission.	13 14 15	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it,
13 14 15 16	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: This particular point is	13 14 15 16	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it, Advocate, and as I've said from the beginning only division
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: This particular point is going to be quite a long point to deal with?	13 14 15 16 17	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it, Advocate, and as I've said from the beginning only division HRD nationally will actually give us the way forward what to do, but I heard about it. MS JELE: You would agree that to
13 14 15 16 17 18	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: This particular point is going to be quite a long point to deal with? MS JELE: I'm nearly done with this specific point, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Right, may I suggest you	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it, Advocate, and as I've said from the beginning only division HRD nationally will actually give us the way forward what to do, but I heard about it.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: This particular point is going to be quite a long point to deal with? MS JELE: I'm nearly done with this specific point, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Right, may I suggest you finish this specific point, then we'll take the adjournment	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it, Advocate, and as I've said from the beginning only division HRD nationally will actually give us the way forward what to do, but I heard about it. MS JELE: You would agree that to implement this particular new model would lead to drastic changes in the format of training and what the POP members
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: This particular point is going to be quite a long point to deal with? MS JELE: I'm nearly done with this specific point, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Right, may I suggest you finish this specific point, then we'll take the adjournment till tomorrow. You might like to give the witness some	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it, Advocate, and as I've said from the beginning only division HRD nationally will actually give us the way forward what to do, but I heard about it. MS JELE: You would agree that to implement this particular new model would lead to drastic
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: This particular point is going to be quite a long point to deal with? MS JELE: I'm nearly done with this specific point, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Right, may I suggest you finish this specific point, then we'll take the adjournment till tomorrow. You might like to give the witness some homework, something to read and study overnight, so as to	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it, Advocate, and as I've said from the beginning only division HRD nationally will actually give us the way forward what to do, but I heard about it. MS JELE: You would agree that to implement this particular new model would lead to drastic changes in the format of training and what the POP members specifically learn at present? BRIG MKHWANAZI: There will be a change
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: This particular point is going to be quite a long point to deal with? MS JELE: I'm nearly done with this specific point, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Right, may I suggest you finish this specific point, then we'll take the adjournment till tomorrow. You might like to give the witness some homework, something to read and study overnight, so as to facilitate matters in the morning, and we will obviously	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it, Advocate, and as I've said from the beginning only division HRD nationally will actually give us the way forward what to do, but I heard about it. MS JELE: You would agree that to implement this particular new model would lead to drastic changes in the format of training and what the POP members specifically learn at present? BRIG MKHWANAZI: There will be a change of course because we'll be adopting the French technique at
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	last paragraph as well as the first paragraph on page 22 as I deem them directly relevant to the issues before this Commission. CHAIRPERSON: This particular point is going to be quite a long point to deal with? MS JELE: I'm nearly done with this specific point, Chairperson. CHAIRPERSON: Right, may I suggest you finish this specific point, then we'll take the adjournment till tomorrow. You might like to give the witness some homework, something to read and study overnight, so as to	<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	brought to your attention? Did you become aware of them at any stage then? BRIG MKHWANAZI: Yes, I heard about it, Advocate, and as I've said from the beginning only division HRD nationally will actually give us the way forward what to do, but I heard about it. MS JELE: You would agree that to implement this particular new model would lead to drastic changes in the format of training and what the POP members specifically learn at present? BRIG MKHWANAZI: There will be a change

	Page 3636
1	technique in our issues when we handle situations.
2	MS JELE: Brigadier, if one looks at the
3	criticisms made in this document of the potential for use
4	of force under the Belgium system, does that description
5	not bring Marikana to mind and the events at Marikana to
6	mind? I would refer you, Brigadier, in order to assist for
7	example to paragraph 21 where it is stated that the
8	distance specifically that is required in order to
9	implement the Belgium model allows protesters to throw
10	objects, damage property, leading too easily in resorting
11	to the use of force.
12	BRIG MKHWANAZI: I won't disagree with
13	the comment made. The only thing I'm trying to figure out
14	the, or visualise the situation in reality, if you have a
15	situation whereby you have to deal with a crowd and your
16	members are closer, what will be the situation and when
17	they are in a distance. I'm trying just to visualise on
18	that to say what can be my comment on here, on this, but
19	always there will be some cases that support the statement
20	to say why the distance can be actually, can contribute to
21	give a chance to the participants to be able to throw
22	stones, whereas in a French technique, the closer we are
23	maybe they won't be able to, actually to do such throwing
24	of stone and damaging of property, but as you say, does it
25	figure, or trigger out the Marikana issue, I can say yes,
	Page 3637
1	but it will depend what was the situation on the day, what
2	was the distance of the police, where the police were.
3	Looking to what we are reading now, yes I can just have to
4	try and visualise that and look at that, and I was not
5	there then mouthe it can be a problem too
	there, then maybe it can be a problem too.
6	MS JELE: Would you agree with me,
6 7	
	MS JELE: Would you agree with me,
7	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different
7 8	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is
7 8 9	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of
7 8 9 10	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop
7 8 9 10 11	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term?
7 8 9 10 11 12	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree. MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree. MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier. Chairperson, I thought this might be an appropriate time
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree. MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier. Chairperson, I thought this might be an appropriate time for the adjournment.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree. MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier. Chairperson, I thought this might be an appropriate time for the adjournment. CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will adjourn
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree. MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier. Chairperson, I thought this might be an appropriate time for the adjournment. CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will adjourn until 09:30 tomorrow morning.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree. MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier. Chairperson, I thought this might be an appropriate time for the adjournment. CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will adjourn until 09:30 tomorrow morning.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS JELE: Would you agree with me, Brigadier, that engaging in questions about the different models and their value with regard to crowd management is useful in order to learn lessons about what kind of training and/or crowd management policy one could develop in the long term? BRIG MKHWANAZI: I agree. MS JELE: Thank you, Brigadier. Chairperson, I thought this might be an appropriate time for the adjournment. CHAIRPERSON: The Commission will adjourn until 09:30 tomorrow morning.

A	ADV 3572:18	3603:1,14	3611:15 3612:15	3552:13 3559:5
	advance 3524:8	ammunition 3536:19	3626:14	3562:15 3621:8
ability 3568:3	3560:17 3617:14	amounting 3533:5	appreciated 3568:22	assumes 3606:5
able 3518:10 3523:11	advice 3567:17	analysis 3519:17	approach 3530:22	assuming 3543:21
3527:9 3531:10	advisable 3581:18	3521:2 3579:2,3,9	3601:13 3634:10,13	assumption 3527:17,2
3563:20,23 3581:20	advise 3531:10	3613:25 3614:2	3634:17,25	3528:25 3542:1,4,14
3586:25 3587:2,12	Advocate 3615:6,17	and/or 3637:10	approached 3579:4	attached 3556:10,15
3610:11 3611:23	3635:16			3627:14
3614:15 3624:23	affect 3618:20	angle 3552:6 3554:1	appropriate 3513:24	attempted 3602:20
3625:25 3626:12		3555:11 3592:4	3524:1 3530:25	
3630:17 3636:21,23	<b>afforded</b> 3617:14	Annandale 3546:21	3584:10 3587:17,25	<b>attempting</b> 3611:24
absence 3518:8	afraid 3614:19	annexure 3519:25	3594:16 3598:11	3632:16
3588:12	Africa 3620:19	annexures 3614:10,11	3606:25 3613:3,21	attempts 3598:17
accept 3526:22 3529:22	African 3582:2 3611:3	announced 3512:4	3618:25 3626:19	attention 3521:13,14
3530:3 3534:8 3554:8	3621:16	answer 3516:9 3523:11	3637:14	3522:20 3569:16
3560:4 3573:5,7	afternoon 3584:3	3523:13 3525:5	apropos 3526:3	3574:5 3577:24
acceptable 3608:24	3599:22	3535:20,22 3539:1	<b>area</b> 3561:24 3625:1	3616:2 3619:10
acceptance 3590:16	<b>ago</b> 3591:25	3541:1 3545:4	areas 3587:22 3635:9	3631:3 3635:13
accepted 3521:5	agree 3534:17,19	3546:10 3557:1,5	<b>aren't</b> 3529:17	attitude 3584:13,16
3571:17	3555:2 3558:3,10,16	3558:8 3560:14,21,24	<b>argue</b> 3585:15	attorneys 3601:25
accepting 3557:1	3558:18 3560:6,7	3562:24,25 3563:22	argued 3587:21	3611:2
accompanied 3513:20	3562:2 3563:9,10	3564:5 3567:3,14,15	armed 3569:22	auditorium 3600:9
accord 3587:16 3588:7	3566:23 3568:1	3568:3 3569:10	3571:25	August 3542:25
account 3515:22	3569:8,9,10,25	3578:3 3597:20	arrange 3616:20	3595:21 3596:20,21
3519:25 3520:2	3570:8 3571:10	3620:3	arranged 3599:23	3596:23 3597:1,3,6
accurate 3527:21	3573:18 3577:15	answered 3538:25	arrangement 3600:14	3602:16 3616:25
acquainted 3619:18	3578:10,24 3584:9	3553:19 3558:14	3601:10,13 3608:6,12	3627:19 3628:11,20
act 3568:24 3581:23	3594:13 3616:13	answering 3560:16	3608:24	3630:21,23 3632:25
	3618:2 3625:5 3628:8	3561:1 3567:11	arrest 3576:24 3577:2	3635:12
3582:22 3617:6	3628:9 3635:19	3611:7,8	arrived 3545:1	authority 3569:6,8,13
3630:15	3637:6,12	answers 3519:19,21	ascertain 3587:13	available 3512:12
acting 3594:17	agreed 3598:14	anticipate 3524:6	ashamed 3571:5	3522:16 3527:12
action 3526:19,19	agreeing 3551:15	3613:16	aside 3534:22	3548:12 3552:24
3534:12,14 3535:2	agreement 3514:12	anticipated 3525:21	asked 3523:15 3525:5	3559:14 3560:20
3536:7 3539:11	3579:18	3597:16	3532:19,20 3538:24	3584:20 3589:13
3540:4,15 3541:14				
3543:3	aim 3611:25	anticipatory 3613:2	3550:23 3551:5,7,17	3590:22 3591:4,15,1
actions 3621:22	air 3592:14	anymore 3537:12	3553:17,18,20 3554:9	3592:1 3593:9
3631:23	Al 3551:4 3554:12	anyway 3525:9	3556:21 3557:4,6,8	3594:13 3598:21
activities 3545:11	3556:13,18,19	3586:10 3609:21	3557:19 3560:14,23	3610:17 3612:19
acutely 3615:25	<b>align</b> 3631:8	apart 3540:13	3561:2 3564:9 3567:9	3614:20
3621:23	aligned 3634:9	apologies 3629:11	3567:11,12 3597:24	avoid 3557:20 3608:1
<b>ad</b> 3514:6,10	<b>Aljazeera</b> 3547:16	apologise 3522:24	3607:8 3611:7	aware 3542:12 3565:1
added 3596:13 3610:15	3548:3	3581:2 3604:5	asking 3518:5 3524:6	3576:20 3583:23
adding 3569:11	Allandale 3562:15,20	apparent 3571:16	3536:22 3547:9	3584:21 3590:14
additional 3630:17	3563:1	apparently 3610:9	3550:7 3553:25	3592:17 3593:11,16
address 3601:24,25	allegation 3590:7	appear 3558:23 3566:3	3557:20 3561:1	3616:1 3621:23
3603:21,25 3604:1	3609:14	3574:12,25 3594:7	3562:23 3563:20	3627:6 3635:13
3612:5,6 3622:3	allege 3591:23	appeared 3563:19	3568:14 3575:16	
addressed 3567:2	allegedly 3603:7	appears 3522:22	3621:24	В
	allow 3528:13 3542:18	3565:16	asks 3564:7 3569:12	back 3512:19 3531:9
3612:1	3569:21 3574:16	applicable 3594:21	aspect 3578:10 3605:4	3532:3,21 3533:17
adhered 3577:13	3575:23	3614:2 3621:17	3624:1	3539:21,21 3546:9
adherence 3616:9	allowed 3532:2 3570:2	application 3583:17	assertion 3590:2	3555:4,20 3580:20
3618:12	3570:3	3584:1,9,10 3585:4,7	3594:19	3584:2 3597:4,15,24
<b>adjourn</b> 3637:16	allows 3636:9	3585:12,16,19,24	assist 3515:9 3524:4	
ADJOURNED 3637:18				3600:1,8 3625:11,13
adjournment 3512:15	alluded 3579:14	3586:5,15 3587:5,19	3527:13 3529:7	3625:25 3626:17
3538:20 3539:2	alright 3586:10,23	3617:10	3531:6 3532:16	background 3594:20
3541:4 3578:7	3605:7 3610:21	Applied 3513:21	3533:21,24 3546:17	<b>badly</b> 3567:16
3580:15,21 3613:20	3613:17	3611:2	3546:23 3607:20	<b>baited</b> 3526:4
3613:22 3619:1	alternative 3562:3	<b>apply</b> 3615:3	3611:18 3620:18	bandied 3560:4
3633:21 3637:15	3573:11	appointed 3533:12,19	3629:7 3636:6	<b>bar</b> 3567:14
ADJOURNS 3541:5	Alternatively 3604:23	3533:20,23 3534:6	assistance 3586:3	barbed 3572:12,14
3580:22 3619:2	alternatives 3565:5,5	3535:18 3537:13,21	3628:14	Barnes 3513:3,5,12
admitted 3526:21	3569:18	3537:22 3539:17	assisting 3531:24	based 3523:24 3537:1
	<b>AMCU</b> 3513:1,4	apposite 3534:9	3593:15	3591:25 3600:22
adopted 3620.24	ANICO 3313.1,4	apposite 5554.7	5575.15	5571.25 5000.22
adopted 3620:24 adopting 3635:24	3514:9 3575:5,15,18	appreciate 3515:5,12	assume 3525:21	3612:2,6 3615:11,20

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

3627:23         brigatifer 551:22         360:11/243007:22         361:223362:62:2         353:12           basics 351:47, 10.351:66         3522:12,225         352:11/23:25:14         356:11/23:15:36:51         352:12/23:25:14:32:68         353:11/2           basics 351:47, 10.351:66         3522:12/23:35:21:0         353:17/10:35:66:11         352:12/32:35:14:35:66:1         352:21:22:57         355:11/3:35:56:1         350:21:35:75:75         355:11/3:35:56:21         350:12:35:14:32:68:64         350:12:35:14:32:68:64         350:12:35:14:32:68:64         350:12:35:14:32:58:64         350:12:35:14:32:58:64         350:12:35:14:32:36:16:16         353:33:16:33:14:13:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:					Page 2
3627:23         brigatifer 551:22         360:11/243007:22         361:223362:62:2         353:12           basics 351:47, 10.351:66         3522:12,225         352:11/23:25:14         356:11/23:15:36:51         352:12/23:25:14:32:68         353:11/2           basics 351:47, 10.351:66         3522:12/23:35:21:0         353:17/10:35:66:11         352:12/32:35:14:35:66:1         352:21:22:57         355:11/3:35:56:1         350:21:35:75:75         355:11/3:35:56:21         350:12:35:14:32:68:64         350:12:35:14:32:68:64         350:12:35:14:32:68:64         350:12:35:14:32:58:64         350:12:35:14:32:58:64         350:12:35:14:32:36:16:16         353:33:16:33:14:13:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:16:11:14:33:	3626:10 3634:10	bridge 3607:9,20	3579:11,11 3582:3	3605:9,20 3606:19	3621:25
basically 357:1         3513:22 351419.23         3608:17 3610.6         chairman 351416.22         3633:12           basics 3526:5         3525:73 357:15         3328:21,232,53 358:7         actal 3006:11         3527:33 358:0         colours 3516:17           5329:14,21,23556:16         3542:7,10,236:61,23         3566:16,18,20         3576:41,2357:88         3566:41,2357:88           5359:24,3557:5         3352:11,3655:22         3570:21,3573:14         3576:41,23578.8         3560:12,3580:24           5359:24,350:356:16         3541:7,10,356:64         3577:11         3584:23,3590:9         3531:9,352:31:16           5359:24,350:31,42,0         3566:46         cmmon 3570:25,3570:1         3594:23,360:10         3531:19,353:24:3597:3           3592:4,350:31,42,0         3561:14,25,66:12         attrasest 356:16         chairenges 36:16:16         354:47,353:44:373.25           3500:1,23,300:21         360:21,72,300:7,20         360:12         360:12         360:12         356:13           3531:10         360:12,72,300:7,20         360:12         360:12         356:13         357:13         357:12,300:7,20         357:12,300:7,20         357:12,300:7,20         356:13         360:12         356:13,300:7         360:12,300:7,20         360:12,300:7,20         360:12,300:7,20         360:12,300:7,20         360:12,300:7,20         360	<b>basic</b> 3616:12 3620:23	Briefing 3629:3	3586:7 3590:5 3596:8	3607:3 3609:12	colleagues 3517:16
basics 3226-5         3522:7         352:7         352:1         362:1         351:1         351:1         351:1         353:1			3601:11,24 3607:22	3612:23 3626:22	3557:19 3586:21
basis 334/47,10,25166         3528,212,22,53382.9         calms 6364.11         3527,4328.68         3523.14         3526.12         3536.23         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.12         3536.13         3502.12         3536.12         3536.13         3502.12         3536.13         3502.12         3536.13         3532.14         3536.12         3536.13         3532.14         3536.12         3536.13 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-				
33207 3521:2         3536:2,5 338:17         cahon 5737:13         3576:4,12 358:20         column 3630:5           3522:19:23 352:416         3549:17,10 3546:14,21         3559:25 3577:1         3552:19:23 3557:2         3550:12 355:22         3570:21 3557:2         3552:19:3355:22         3570:21 3557:2         3552:19:3355:23         3570:21 355:22         3533:16:335:19:335:23         3570:21 355:22         3533:16:335:19:335:16:335:19:335:16:335:19:335:16:335:19:335:16:335:19:335:16:335:19:335:16:335:19:335:16:336:19:335:10:33					
3522-19.23         35241-21         35461-21         35461-21         3576-41         23572-41         23576-41         23572-41         23576-41         23572-41         23576-41         23576-41         23576-41         23576-41         23572-41			-		
3528:14,21         3536:16         3549:23         3570:16         3580:12         3520:23         3570:16         3550:23         3570:16         3550:23         3570:12         3520:23         3570:12         3520:23         3570:12         3520:23         3570:12         3520:23         3570:12         3520:23         3570:12					
3559:23         3570:21         3552:22         3570:21 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	-				
3579-4 358:25         350:12 350:22         359:21 350:23         359:22 3570:17         353:10 353:23:15           359:24 3590:31, 14,20         3576:19 3578:9         arano 350:25 3570:17         353:10 353:21:353:43           3600:12 360:21         361:41:23 163:24         enno 350:22 3570:17         350:24 359:32:10         351:02 135:44:79           3600:12 360:21         361:41:23 166:13.20         s66:14:16 361:55:25         3572:11         challenge 357:10         356:02:1         358:20:24           360:21 363:12         361:66:13.30.36:17:2         360:22:358:19         360:21:360:22:3         3570:16:24           361:12 360:27:3         361:23:360:27:3         360:21:360:27:3         3570:16:24         3570:16:24           355:22 3577:15         362:37:362:42:3         353:11:363:12:1         353:12:1         353:21:2         3570:25:38:371:17:2           356:16         360:22:363:6,11         360:22:363:6,11         353:14:33:36:6         360:32:2:3         358:12:358:22:3         358:12:358:12:358:12:358:20:3599:18:13           361:13 360:13         360:22:363:6,11         363:21:3:35:11:353:51:13:33:36:6         363:22:3:35:11:35:35:12:358:12:35:35:12:358:12:35:35:12:358:12:35:12:35:23:35:12:35:23:35:12:35:23:35:12:35:23:35:12:35:23:35:12:35:35:12:35:23:35:12:35:35:12:35:23:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:23:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35:35:12:35					
3589:23, 73590:10         3563:88, 8564:6         canvased 3555:6         challenge 3516:10         3532:16, 3534:3           3592:43597.81, 42, 00         3576:11         3556:12         challenge 3516:10         3540:24, 3539:25           3600:12, 3360:21         3614:10, 2015:52.5         3578:2358:14         3507:21         3607:13, 20, 21         3542:3352:02.4           bear 360:21, 361:14, 12, 361:32.4         3616:6, 13, 20, 361:7         3609:27         3609:23, 350:03         3632:12, 350:22         3552:2355:43           bear 360:21, 361:27:7         3602:23, 360:37         360:21         350:25         3571:1         3600:12         3509:20, 3571:1           3555:23, 3571:1         3662:2, 363:61.1         3669:27         369:37:13         353:10,					
3592:4 3597:8         3570:19 3578:9         crwassed 356:6         chailenge 3527:10         3536:15 3539:25           3593:21 3597:8         3581:31,2 3586:4         3577:11         chailenge 3527:10         354:47,9 3547:3,25           3613:2,25 360.8         361:41:63 61:55:25         3578:2 3587:19         360:71:3 300:21         354:47,9 3547:3,25           bearing 361:66         3618:2,17:24 3619:44         310:15         3530:12         3560:05         3578:25 3571:14           bearing 361:66         3618:2,17:24 360:27.1         362:71:362:07         3588:11         3619:12         3570:25 3571:14           bearing 361:61         360:22 363:6,11         3535:13 358:6         3532:12 3552:24         35997:19,17:24           358:12 3657:16         366:2,5,14:3627.5         Cape 3537:2,11         366:32,23         360:22 360:17,13           358:16 356:2,6         365:16         366:2,6,3677,13         Care 358:12,13 52:23         360:22 360:17,12           356:16 356:2,6         365:2,1         3552:12 30:04:8         3538:13 3550:14         3558:12 3552:23           366:42         366:13 3670:15         3539:12 3550:14         3558:12 3551:12 360:14         366:23 363:12           366:42         366:13 360:14         care 358:12 3550:14         3558:12 3571:13 3551:18         3571:13 3551:12					
3593.21 3597.8         3581.3,12 3386.4         3572.11         challenge 3527.10         3540.24 3543.11           3613.22 36006.21         361.41.2 361.55.25         3578.2 3388.1 4 3573.20         361.71.2         300.21 358.14 3573.20         361.71.2         300.21 358.14 3573.20         361.71.2         300.21 356.16         354.22 355.24         3552.22 555.43           bear 300.21 350.65         361.82.17.24 3619.4         capacity 352.67         361.91.2         capacity 352.67         361.82.37.72.4         363.11         362.91.2 360.27         3560.20 3570.16.24           3551.21 352.21         362.61.34 562.7.3         Capacity 352.67         363.21.2 3552.67         3580.20 3582.8         3580.20 3582.8         3580.20 3582.8         3580.20 3582.8         3580.20 3582.23         3577.19.21 3577.24         363.22 303.61.6         363.22 303.61.6         363.22 303.61.6         363.22 303.61.6         363.22 303.61.71.12         3580.20 3582.8         3580.20 3582.8         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1         3580.20 3582.1 </td <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-				
3600.123 5006.21         36114.42 3613.24         cm1 3528.14 357.20         challenges 3616.16         3544.79 3547.325           3613.22 3500.8         3614.16 3615.52         3578.23 3585.19         36171.320.21         3544.23 350.24           bear 3602.13 3633.12         3616.6,13.20 3617.2         3602.23 3603.7         3623.12         3552.23 577.24           35572.2 3571.5         3627.7 3624.25         3635.11         3629.17 3664.21         3570.25 357.12           3551.21 367.71.5         3626.27, 3624.22         3635.11         3629.17 3664.21         3588.9 3588.7           3551.21 367.71.5         3632.23 3633.6,11         3535.13 3536.6         36352.23         3606.22 3631.17,17.24           3551.1         3636.61         3639.22 3633.6,11         3535.13 3536.6         36352.23         3606.22 3631.17,17.24           3551.1         3563.61         3635.2.2         3635.1.1         3535.13 3556.6         36352.23         3606.22 3631.19           3564.23 363.1.1         3563.1.1         3535.1.3         3556.6         3635.2.1         366.2.1         3568.1.2         366.2.1         3568.2.2         3661.2.1         3662.1.2         3662.1.2         3662.1.2         3662.1.2         3662.1.2         3662.1.2         3662.1.2         3662.1.2         3662.2.2         3660.1.2					
3613.225 3630.8         3614-16 3615.25         3578:2 3585:19         3617:173.20.21         3548:2 3550:24           bear 3602:13 3633:12         3616:6,13.20 3617:2         36023:3603.7         3625:12 3629:23         3552:23 5554:3           begining 3530:6         3618:2,17,24 36194         capacity 352:67         3630:12         3630:12           353522 3577.15         3622:7,7 362422         3635:11         3629:17 3666:21         3578:23 557:14           3653:16         3626:3,14 3627.3         Capacity 353:14         3529:17 3666:21         3578:23 357:14           3550:16 3596:16         3636:2,6 3637.71         care 3566:12,16         change 353:21 359:24         3500:23 559:14           3550:16 3596:16         363:6,2 6357.71         care 3566:12,16         change 352:12 328:12         300:22 363:4,17,19           363:42 363:52         3572:12 3604:8         3538:8         change 353:218,21         300:22 3624:19           363:42 363:51         3550:16 358:13         carrying 358:14         3552:12 358:12 358:12 358:12         coming 352:12 362:13           363:42         363:31 363:51:13         3570:23 24 358:06         363:21         coming 352:12 356:13 357:12 358:12           363:42         363:51:13 355:13 357:13 358:13         carrying 358:13         356:12 365:13 377:14 358:94           363:12 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
bear         3616:6,13,20,3617.2         3602:23,3603.7         3625:12,3629:23         3552:23,3554.3           bear         3616:6,13,20,3617.2         3601:2         3630:12         3562:23,3571.1         3600:20,3570:16,24           beginning,3530:6         3623:7,3624:25         3635:11         3541:13,3619:14         3629:17,3636:21         3570:23,3571.12         3580:20,3570:16,24           3535:22,3571:5         3623:7,3624:25         3635:11         3530:66         3635:23         3570:23,3571.13         3529:17,3636:21         3539:13,3536:6         3635:23         3597:15,72.4         3589:20,3599:18,17.24           begins 3617.19         3630:22,3633:6,11         3530:64         3535:12,3536:6         3635:23         3598:20,3599:18,18         3598:20,3599:18,18         3588:13         3636:24         3588:13         3636:24         3588:13         3636:24         3588:13         3636:24         3588:13         3636:21         3598:13,858:12         3588:13         3636:21         3598:13,858:12         3588:13         3538:13         3538:13         3538:14         3568:12,358:13         3563:21         3579:18,372:12         3588:13         3569:13,352:12         3569:13,352:12         3569:13,352:12         3569:13,356:12         3558:13         3563:12         3558:13         3563:12         3558:13         3569:1				0	
bearing 3616:3         3618:2.17.24 3619:4         3610:15         3630:12         3530:13         3560:13         3550:16         3530:12         3530:16         3530:16         3530:12         3530:16         3530:16         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530:12         3530					
bed 3605:7         3619:25 3620:8,21         capacity 3526:7         challenging 3618:3         3570:23 3571:1           beginning 3530:6         3621:23 3622:7.20         3543:11 3619:14         3629:17 3636:21         3589:20 3877:19.21           3551:12 3632:1         3626:3.14 3627:3         Capa 357:2.11         change 3576:2 3597:20         3589:20 382:9           3551:16         3620:70 6360:1.9.12         captain 3534:14.23         3535:1.2 3532:9         3589:20 382:9           behalf 3513:4,17         3634:2 3635:11         3536:6         3635:23         3598:20 3597:18,17.24           behalf 3513:4,17         3636:2,3 6377.7,13         care 3586:12,16         3538:6 3618:19         3558:6 3618:19           3634:25 3635:25         3571:16 3561:4         3558:24         acrufully 3524:8         3635:21         comes 3555:12 3582:20           3634:25 3635:25         3507:16 3614:21         carry 3529:20.25         3632:19         comes 3555:12 3582:20           3552:24         brought 3518:18         acrry 3529:20.25         3632:19         coming 3518:12           3554:4         3507:23 3577:4         3566:21 3600:13         3566:12 3600:13         3566:4           3524:4         brought 3518:18         acrry 3529:14         3566:12 3600:13         3564:13 3601:9           beleter 3522:18 3571:14					
beginning 3530:6         3621:23 36227.20         3543:22 357:23 20         357:23 27:23 20         357:23 27:23 20         357:23 27:23 20           3535:23 357:15         3623:7 362:42         3635:11         360:17 363:21         360:21 353:22         3580:12 353:22         3580:12 353:22         3580:12 353:22         3580:12 353:22         3580:12 353:22         3598:20 359:18,18           behaviour 3521:16         bring 3520:11 3528:24         sacrefully 3524:8         3538:23 332:18,21         360:22 360:37.13         carefully 3524:8         3538:23 332:18,21         360:22 360:37.13           3636:4.9         360:16 350:15 3570:5         35351:1 3536:6         3635:23         3538:23 332:18,21         3636:24         3538:2         3558:6 5618:19         362:17           3636:4.9         360:16:42:1         carry 3529:20,25         3537:16 366:43         3545:23 357:16 366:43         3545:23 357:16 366:43         3545:23 356:9           beliew 350:7,22         broadening 363:18:1         carved 358:13         carved 358:13         366:42         356:12,33         366:42         356:12,33         366:29         366:29         366:29         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21         366:21	<b>bed</b> 3605:7				
3535:22         3623:77:15         3623:77:15         3623:77:15         3623:77:15         3535:12         3535:12         3538:21         3598:20         3598:21         3598:20         3598:21         3598:20         3598:21         3598:20         3598:21         3598:20         3598:21         3598:20         3598:21         3598:20         3598:21         3508:21         3508:21         3560:22         3602:22         3602:23         3602:23         3602:23         3602:23         3602:23         3602:23         3602:23         3602:23         3636:21         3558:21 <td>beginning 3530:6</td> <td></td> <td>1 0</td> <td>0 0</td> <td></td>	beginning 3530:6		1 0	0 0	
3635:16         3629:6 3630:1,9,12         captain 3534:14,24         353:212 359:24         359:21 359:24           behaif 3513:4,17         3634:2 363:11         353:13 353:66         3655:23         369:20 369:91:8,18           358:16 359:616         363:62:6 363:7,13         care 338:12,16         change 353:21:8,21         360:22 362:41:9           363:21 6 359:16         356:15 3570:5         353:18 353:63         363:52.1         3558:6         363:52.1           363:42 365:25         357:12 360:48         353:88         change 353:21:6         363:21.9           363:42 365:25         353:01:8 356:13         363:21.9         363:21.9         363:22:13           363:42 365:24         357:14 3556:1         3550:14         3558:42         3552:24           benefit 361:02.3         361:62:1 362:19         354:42         355:13 359:21         354:42         355:22:22           363:13         357:23         361:21 365:19         354:24 355:22:22         360:14.18         3608:12           benefit 361:02.3         361:21 365:19         354:11.3         357:22 356:10         356:12 356:10         356:12 356:10         356:12 356:10         356:12 356:10         356:12 356:13           benefit 361:02.3         361:21 360:12         cars 351:41 13636:19         checke 356:13         356			3635:11	3629:17 3636:21	
begins 3617:19         3630:22 3633:6,11         3535:13 3536:6         3635:23         3598:20 3599:18,18           3580:16 5596:16         3634:2 3635:11         3540:3         changed 3532:23         3600:22 3603:17,19           3580:16 5596:16         bring 3520:11 3528:24         carefulty 3524:8         3558:13 3536:3         3635:21         3606:22 3624:19           3636:49         3607:16 364:9         3607:16 364:21         arrefulty 3524:8         3558:13 3536:3         3635:21         commig 3532:12 3584:21 3626:13           3636:49         3607:16 364:21         arrefulty 3524:8         3538:16         changing 3532:6.13         3584:21 3626:13           3552:24         broadening 3632:18         arrvig 3589:1         3578:12         3579:18 356:9         3579:18 356:9           3534:4         3551:12 358:13         arrvig 3588:13         arrvig 3588:13         3570:22 4352:20         3564:2 360:13         3564:2 360:13           3608:12 3657:12         3661:21 3625:13         3570:22 4352:20         afsta 3651:13         3570:22 4350:22         afsta 365:13         3570:22 43630:13         3564:2 360:13         3564:2 360:13         3564:2 360:13         3564:2 360:14         3564:2 360:13         3564:2 360:13         3564:2 360:13         3564:2 360:14         3564:2 360:14         3562:12 358:14         3564:2 360:14         3		3626:3,14 3627:3	- · ·	change 3531:21 3532:9	3583:9 3588:7
behaff 3513:4,17         3634:2 3637:11         3540:3         changed 352:23         360:22 362:19           3580:16 3596:16         3636:2,6 3637:713         carefully 3524:8         3558:6 3618:19         360:22 3624:19           Belgium 3621:6 363:49         3569:15 3570:5         3559:13 358:8         changed 3532:19         3666:2 3636:49           3634:25 363:19,21         3636:5         3571:12 360:48         3538:8         changed 3537:16 366:4         3568:12 362:19           3552:24         broadening 3632:18         carry 3529:20,25         3563:21,24 3564:1         3579:18 359:69           3554:4         351:22 354:15         carry 3589:13         check 356:10 3612:16         3569:23 360:46           3534:4         351:22 351:15         carry 3529:22,22         checked 3561:13         3569:23 360:46           benefiti 3610:23         361:21 623:362:19         3564:23 652:22         360:464         3561:12           benefiti 3610:23         361:23 589:13         3570:23,24 3580:6         3600:13         command 352:19           351:23 557:15         berliewei 3518:11         3570:23,258:18         3579:71,71,717,7173 3582:12         checking 3619:23         3564:12,102         3564:12         3564:13           benefiti 3610:23         361:21         361:21         361:21         361:21			-		
3580:16 3596:16         3636:2,6 3637.7,13         care 3586:12,16         changes 352:18,21         3506:22 3624:19           behaviour 3521:16         bring 3520:11 3528:24         3538:13         3535:12         3636:4,9         3606:22 3624:19         3626:17           3636:4,9         3607:16 3614:21         arry 3529:20,25         3633:21         comes 355:12 358:20         3578:12 362:14         3588:12         comes 355:12 358:20         3584:21 3623:8           3552:24         broadening 3632:18         3530:18 3569:14         3563:21,24         3579:18 3596:9         3579:18 3596:9           3543:4         3551:23 381:12         carry dig 358:1         3577:16 3563:4         3579:18 3596:9           benefit 3610:23         3616:21 3625:19         3545:24 355:22         3600:13         3599:23 3004:6           3538:13         3570:23 22 3458:06         3600:13         3564:2 3601:14         3568:12,12         command 3526:19           3541:125 3628:1         3611:21         case 3514:11 3636:19         3600:13         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3630:14         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:2 3621:1         3564:1 3 3571:1         3577:1 3251:1         3	begins 3617:19				
behaviour 3521:16         bring 5320:11 3528:21         carefully 3524:8         3558:63 (18:19)         3626:17           Belgium 3621:6 3634:9         3569:15 3570:5         3535:11 3536:3         3635:21         comes 3555:12 358:20           3634:25 3635:25         3636:5         3530:18 3509:14         charging 3532:6,13         3636:5           3533:19,21         3636:5         3530:18 3509:14         charge 3537:16 3563:4         3558:22 3556:9           3552:24         broadening 3632:18         3570:4         3556:12 3566:2         3559:12 3566:1           3534:4         3551:22 3541:15         carved 3589:13         check 356:10 3612:16         3608:12           benefiti 3610:23         3616:21 3625:19         3545:24 3550:12 3561:23 3632:19         3561:13 3635:13         3570:12,32,24 3580:6         3600:13           berefiti 3610:23         3616:21 3601:20         ass51:5 1359:8         checked 356:10 3612:14         3564:6,13 3578:22           3630:8         3597:13,578:17,19         Builets 3518:11 3571:1         ass51:4 1362:15         ass51:4 1362:19         ass51:1 356:21 3577:13 352:12 322:19 362:15           bind 3517:2         build 3578:17,19         cause 3514:11 363:12 3677:14 363:14         choose 354:14         a602:21 9 362:19 362:12 362:21 362:15           bind 3517:2         3604:6 360:5:6 3610:2         3571:4 352:2	-				
Belgium 3621:6 3634:9         3569:15 3570:5         3535:11 3536:3         3635:2,1         comes 355:12 358:2,0           36364:25 3635:25         3572:12 3604:8         3538:8         carry 3529:20,25         3632:19         comes 355:12 358:2,1           belief 3533:19,21         3636:5         3530:18 3569:14         charge 3571:6 3563:4         3563:2,12,4 3564:1         3563:2,12,4 3564:1         3570:2,12,4 3564:1         3570:2,12,4 3564:1         3569:2,12,4 3564:1         3570:2,2         3609:1,23 359:2,3 3604:6           3534:4         3551:22 3541:15         carved 3538:1,3         carved 3538:1,3         comead 352:19         364:18 3618:1         command 352:6,19           benchmarked 3620:15         361:21 365:1,3         3570:2,22 4356:0:         3600:13         command 352:6,19           beraeved 3587:25         3631:3 3635:1,3         3570:2,32 4380:6:         3600:1,3         commander 3549:25           3630:8         Budlendr 354:1         3666:12, 13636:19         checka 356:10 3612:4         commander 3549:25           3630:8         J591:1,5,7,700         case 3514:17 3636:19         choice 3522:8,18         3579:7,17,17 3582:12           3612:13 354:13 360:12         a552:13 595:15,16         caused 3514:17         choice 352:4,14         3622:19 362:19           3610:21         3600:13 3601:9,16         carruiny 358:2				-	
3634:25         3537:212         3604:421         3538:8         changing 3532:6,13         3584:21         3632:19           3636:4,9         3636:5         3630:18         3501:18         3501:18         3502:18         3571:16         3563:1           3532:22         broadening         3632:19         3562:12         3552:23         3571:22         3556:10         3545:22         3556:9           3534:4         3521:22         3541:21         3522:356:9         3562:12         3596:15         3578:22         3596:15           benefiti 3610:23         3616:21         3562:19         361:121         carved         3581:13         3570:23,244         3560:12.0         3562:12,22,256:376:10         3562:12,22,256:376:10         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:19         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13         3562:12,22,26:360:13         3562:12,22,256:360:13			-		
3636-4.9         3607:16 3614:21         carry 3529:20,25         3632:19         coming 3518:12           belief 3533:19,21         3636:5         3530:18 3569:14         3552:24         3542:23 2556:9           believe 3520:7,22         brought 3518:18         carry 61 358:13         3570:24         3563:21,24 3564:1         3579:23 3604:6           benchmarked 3620:15         3560:23 3611:21         carve 3538:13         carved 3538:13         3600:13         command 5526:19           beraevel 3587:25         3631:3 3655:13         3570:23,24 3580:6         3600:13         commander 3549:25           beraevel 3587:25         3631:3 3651:13         3585:15 3589:8         check 3556:10 3612:41         3564:23 3601:4,18           3630:8         3591:15,7,20         case 3514:11 3636:19         chief 3536:9 3612:4         3562:12,21,22 3563:7           3611:23 598:23         3596:15 3077:12         acase 3514:11 3636:19         choice 3522:8,18         3579:7,17,17,17 3582:12           3635:7         build 3578:17.19         cause 3514:17         cause 3514:17         circuta 3526:10         35317:7,25 358:10           3600:13         3500:19,16         cause 3514:18         circuta 3526:10         3537:7,25 358:10           bidg 3531:12 3546:9         35251:3597:7:1         a560:12,3757:7         35601:20         3557:	-				
belief 3533:19,21         3636:5         35x0:18 3569:14         charge 3537:16 3563:4         3545:22 3556:9           3552:24         broadening 3632:18         3570:4         3569:12         3579:12         3569:12         3579:18         3579:12         3579:13         3579:13         3579:12         3579:13         3579:13         3579:12         3599:23         3604:6           3533:44         3560:23         3611:21         care 3514:7         3529:9         3614:18         3608:12         command 3526:19           benefit 3610:23         3616:21         3625:13         3570:23,24         3580:6         3600:13         checkad 361:12         3664:13         3578:22         3560:23         3561:22         3567:23         3562:12,21,22         3567:23         3562:12,21,22         3567:23         3562:12,21,22         3567:23         3562:12,21,22         3567:23         3562:12,31,22         3567:23         3567:23         3579:23         3598:13         3579:23         cause 3572:14         3634:14         3622:19         3622:19         3622:19         3622:19         3622:19         3622:19         3622:19         3622:19         3579:23         3596:13         3597:23         Centre 3513:12         3577:4         3590:10         3577:4         3590:13         3607:17					
3552:24         broadening 3632:18         3570:4         3563:21,24 3564:1         379:18 3596:9           believe 3520:7,22         3534:4         3531:2         3534:4         3570:4         3579:23 3604:6         3699:23 3604:6           3534:4         3531:2 3541:15         3563:21,24 356:10 3612:16         3608:12         3600:13         command 3526:19         354:13         3656:12,13         3564:2,130         3564:13         3564:13         3564:13         3564:6,13 3578:22         3563:28         3569:30:14,18         3579:7,177         3579:7,177         358:12         3569:31:13         3569:32:14         3604:13 3578:12         3664:13 3578:22         3569:21:12         3662:13 660:12         3662:14         3662:14 66:13 3578:22         3662:14         3662:14 66:16:13         3662:14         3662:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:					-
believe 3520:7,22         brought 3518:18         carved 3588:13         carved 3588:14         carved 3588:12         carved 3586:12,13         carved 3566:12,13         carved 3566:12,13         carved 3566:12,13         carved 3566:12,13         carved 3566:12,13         carved 3561:14         carved 3561:15         carved 3561:14         carved 3561:14         carved 3561:15         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:17         carved 3561:17         carved 3561:17         carved 3561:17         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16         carved 3561:16 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-				
3534:4         3531:22         3531:22         3541:15         carved         5381:3         check         3561:12         3608:12           benefit         3610:23         3616:21         3625:19         354:24         3526:12         3612:16         3608:12           beraved         3587:25         3631:3         3635:13         3570:23,24         3580:6         3600:13         cenced         3561:13         3564:2         3562:12,21,22         3563:12,3         3562:12,21,22         3563:14         3564:13         3570:23,24         3580:6         3600:13         centered         3564:13         3570:23,255:13         3561:13         3570:23,255:13         3561:13         3570:23,255:13         3561:13         3570:23,255:13         3561:13         3570:23,255:13         3561:13         3570:23,255:13         3561:12         3564:13         3562:12,21,22,2563:1         3525:13         3525:13         3525:13         3525:13         3525:12,21         cause 3514:17         cences         3526:10         3517:25         3561:13         3561:13         3577:43         3502:10         35317:25         3531:12         3546:13         3577:43         3502:10         35317:25         3562:10         35317:25         3562:10         35317:25         3561:13         3561:13         3561:13<					
benchmarked 3620:15         3560:23 3611:21         case 3514:7 3529:9         3614:18 3618:11         command 3526:19           bereaved 3587:25         3616:21 3625:19         3545:24 3552:22         3600:13         command: 3549:25           best 3608:14 3611:19         Bruinders 3513:1,3         3570:23,24 3580:6         3600:13         command: 3549:25           3630:8         3590:15,7,20         cases 3514:11 3636:19         choice 3522:8,18         3570:22,328,18           3630:7         3591:15,7,20         cases 3514:11 3636:19         choice 3522:8,18         3572:17,17,17 358:12           better 3522:18 3574:14         3596:15 3601:20         cases 3514:17         cause 3514:17         cause 3514:17         cause 352:14         3622:19 3623:1           3612:13         3596:4 3597:23         a525:1, 3595:15,16         cause 3514:17         cause 3513:21 3611:1         choice 3526:10         3531:7,25 3538:10           3612:13         350:4 3597:23         350:4 3597:23         350:19 3577:5         3577.7 4 3590:3         3577.7 4 3590:3         3577.7 4 3590:3         3576.7 3527.7           35117.23         360:25 3603:13         3584:4 3603:2 3606:1         3598:20         command 3526:19         command 3526:19           35117.23         3600:13 3601:9,16         certain 3565:15 3577.5         3577.7 4 3590:3         357					
benefit 3610:23         3616:21 3625:19         3545:24 3552:22         checked 3561:13         3564:2 3630:14,18           beraved 3587:25         3631:3 3635:13         3570:23,24 3580:6         3500:13         3564:2 3630:14,18           beraved 3587:25         Bruinders 3513:1,3         3585:15 3589:8         3600:13         3564:2 3630:14,18           3611:25 3628:1         Budlender 3544:1         3506:15 3589:8         3564:2 3630:14,18         3564:2 3630:14,18           3630:8         3991:1,5,7,20         cases 3514:11 3636:19         chief 3536:9 3612:4         3564:2 212,12,2 32563:7           better 3522:18 3574:14         3596:15 3601:20         cases 3514:11         cases 3514:11         cases 3514:17         cause 3512:14         3622:11         3622:19 3623:1           big 3531:12 3546:9         3525:1 3595:15,16         caused 3514:17         caused 3514:17         cause 3514:18         caused 3514:17         3623:2 3630:16         commander 3526:10           361:21         3506:12 3601:21         3600:13 3601:2,16         cartram 356:15 357:13         3517:23         3600:13 362:12         3577:7 3635:5         commanding 362:19           binding 3516:21         3500:12 3601:21         3600:12 3601:12         3577:7 3635:15         3598:10         3598:10         3598:10         3598:10         3598:12         3598:12					
bereaved 3587:25         3631:3 3635:13         3570:23,24 3580:6         3600:13         commander 3549:25           best 3608:14 3611:19         Bruinders 3513:1,3         3585:15 3589:8         3600:13         checking 3619:23         3562:12,21,22 3563:7           3611:25 3628:1         Budlender 3544:1         3607:17 3622:15         chied 3536:9 3612:4         3566:12,21,22 3563:7           3611:3 579:23 3598:23         build 3578:17,19         case 3514:11 3636:19         choice 3532:8,18         3579:7,17,17 3582:12           better 3522:18 3574:14         Burger 3523:3 3524:2         case 3514:17         choice 3546:3         commander 3526:15           big 3531:12 3546:9         3555:1 3595:15,16         caused 3591:10,12         3535:1 3592:21         3622:2 3630:16           3611:2         3600:13 3601:9,16         certain 3565:15 3577:5         3577:4 3590:3         commander 3576:7           binds 3517:2         3602:5 3603:13         3600:19         3602:7 3635:5         citai 3620:22         3541:13           binds 3517:2         3601:4         s604:6 3610:2         3627:7         3555:1         3577:4 3590:3         commented 3576:7           3581:1 3583:10         3600:19         3607:22 360:16         3592:12         3567:3 352:22         3561:13           binds 367:17.23 3580:20         Burgers 3598:10					
best 3608:14 3611:19         Bruinders 3513:1,3         3585:15 3589:8         checking 3619:23         3562:12,21,22 3563:7           3630:8         3591:1,5,7,20         cases 3514:11 3636:19         choice 3532:8,18         3577;7,17,17 3582:12           better 3522:18 3574:14         3596:15 3601:20         cases 3514:11 3636:19         choice 3522:1         3622:19 3623:1           3630:8         3591:1,5,7,20         cases 3514:11 3636:19         choice 3522:1         3622:19 3623:1           3630:7         bullets 3518:11 3571:1         cause 3514:18         choice 3624:14         3624:7,11 3630:16           3630:8         3596:4 3597:23         Centre 3513:21 3611:1         cricular 3526:10         3531:7,22 3588:10           3517:23         3600:3 3601:9,16         3584:4 3603:2 3606:11         3577:4 3590:3         commenced 3576:7           3517:23         3604:6 3605:6 3610:2         3627:7 3635:5         cite 3620:22         3541:3,8,10 3551:15           3581:1 3583:10         3600:19         3607:2 3611:25         clarify 358:25         3636:13,18           3599:11         3600:19         3607:2 3611:25         clarify 354:24         3516:13 877:3           3591:13 3551:15         3599:1         3507:4 3599:17         3567:13 3591:2         3567:13 8791:7           3581:1 3583:10         3600:19 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td>					,
3611:25 3628:1 3630:8         Budlender 3544:1 3591:1,5,7,20         3607:17 3622:15 cases 3514:11 3636:19 cases 3514:11 3636:19 cases 3514:13 3566:12,13         chief 3536:9 3612:4 chice 3532:8,18         3564:6,13 3578:22 3579:7,17,17 3582:12           3579:23 3598:23 3635:7         build 3578:17,19         cases 3514:17 build 3578:17,19         cases 3514:17 cause 3572:14 3634:14         chose 3624:14         3622:19 3624:13           3612:13         builets 3518:11 3571:1 build 3578:12 3546:9         3596:4 3597:23         caused 3514:17         caused 3514:17         chose 3646:3         commanders 3526:15           3612:13         3596:4 3597:23         caused 3591:10,12         3535:1 3592:21         a623:2 3630:16           3517:23         3600:13 3601:9,16         certain 3565:15 3777:5         3577:4 3590:3         commanding 3622:19           binds 3517:2         3604:6 3605:6 3610:2         3627:7 3635:5         cit a620:22         3541:3,8,10 3551:15           Bibng 350:14 3606:2         Burgers 3598:10         3560:12 3607:1 3599:17         3557:3637:3         3567:7 3627:5           3601:13 3601:12         catiff 3619:25         3636:13,18         3636:13,18         3567:23 3694:18         3567:7 3627:5           binds 351:12         3549:1         3506:12 3666:2         attring 351:23 3519:23         3511:43562:21         commented 3538:15           binds 351:12         35					
3630:8         3591:1,5,7,20         cases 3514:11 3636:19         choice 3532:8,18         3579:7,17,17 3582:12           better 3522:18 3574:14         3596:15 3601:20         cases 356:12,13         cause 3571:14         cause 3571:14         cause 3571:14         cause 3571:14         cause 3514:17         choice 3522:8,18         caces 3622:1         3622:19 3623:1           big 3531:12 3546:9         3525:1 3595:15,16         caused 3514:17         chose 3646:3         commanders 3526:15           big 3531:12 3546:9         3596:4 3597:23         ceased 3591:10,12         3533:1 3592:21         3623:2 3630:16           3612:13         3596:4 3597:23         Genter 3513:21 3611:1         circumar as 568:19         commanding 3622:19           binding 3516:21         3600:13 3601:9,16         certain 3565:15 3577:5         3577:4 3590:3         commenced 3576:7           3517:23         3602:5 3603:13         3584:4 3603:2 3606:1         3598:20         commenced 3576:7           binds 3517:2         3610:4         certain 3583:25         clarification 3595:17         3567:23 3626:13           3581:1 3583:10         3600:19         3607:2 3611:25         3551:6 3550:21         3549:1           bix 3560:12         3549:1         3507:7 3627:5         3551:6 3562:12         3549:1           bix 3560:12         Calitz		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · ·
3579:23         build         3578:17,19         cause         3572:14         3632:14         3624:7,11         3630:16           beyond         3524:14         Burger         3523:3         3524:12         cause         3514:12         cause         3514:12         cause         3514:12         cause         3514:12         cause         3526:13         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3531:7,25         3537:4         3590:16         circumstances         3561:13         357:4         3590:3         commenced         357:15           Bishop         3607:12         3600:6         3610:2         3607:13         3590:19         3594:18         ciarification         3595:17         3567:23         362:13           Bishop         3600:19         3607:12         3511:3         3591:19         3591:19         3591:19         3591:19         3591:23         3591:12         3561:6         3562:17         3636:13,18         commented         3531:7; 323:59         commented         3531:7; 352:21         3591:14         3591:19         3551:16	3630:8		cases 3514:11 3636:19	choice 3532:8,18	
3635:7         bullets 3518:11 3571:1         caused 3514:17         chose 3546:3         commanders 3526:15           big 3531:12 3546:9         3525:1 3595:15,16         caused 3514:18         circular 3526:10         3531:7,25 3538:10           bid 3531:12 3546:9         3525:1 3597:23         Centre 3513:21 3611:1         circular 3526:10         3623:2 3630:16           binding 3516:21         3600:13 3601:9,16         certain 3565:15 3577:5         3577:4 3590:3         commanders 358:19           binds 3517:2         3604:6 3605:6 3610:2         3527:7 3635:5         certain 3563:15         3598:20         commented 3576:7           bit 3567:17,23 580:20         Burgers 3598:10         3607:2 3611:25         3660:19         3607:2 3611:25         clarification 3595:17         3567:3 3627:3           3594:14         busy 3524:2,19 3548:21         3607:2 3611:25         3551:6 3562:21         commented 3538:15           bodies 3605:14 3606:2         C         3524:3 3524:9 352:7         3591:23 3594:18         3567:7 3627:5           bodies 3601:22         Calitz 3562:22 3563:5         3541:9 3562:17         3556:16,16 3593:19         3514:23 3515:9           bodies 3601:22         Calitz 3564:6         3567:22 3569:4         3610:13         3517:17 3518:19           bodies 3605:14 3606:1         3605:23 3606:17         3588:83 359	better 3522:18 3574:14	3596:15 3601:20	cash 3566:12,13	choices 3622:1	
beyond 3524:14 big 3531:12 3546:9 3612:13         Burger 3523:3 3524:2 3525:1 3595:15,16 3596:4 3597:23         causes 3514:18 ceased 3591:10,12         circular 3526:10 3535:1 3592:21         3531:7,25 3538:10 3623:2 3630:16           binding 3516:21 3517:23         3600:13 3601:9,16 3600:13 3601:9,16         certain 3565:15 3517:5 3584:4 3603:2 3606:1 3627:7 3635:5         3577:4 3590:3 3578:20         comment 3538:14 circumstances 3568:19         comment 3538:14 3538:14           binds 3517:2         3604:6 3605:6 3610:2 3617:7,23 3580:20         3607:7 3635:5 Burgers 3598:10         circuinar 3526:10 3590:19 3594:8         3590:19 3594:8           bit 3567:17,23 3580:20         Burgers 3598:10         3600:19 3607:2 3611:25         3627:7 3627:7         3551:6 3562:21         3636:13,18           bit 3567:17,23 3594:14         busy 3524:2,19 3548:21         3607:12 351:5 3519:23         3591:23 3594:18         3567:7 3635:5           boides 3605:14 3606:2         C         2         3549:1         3523:3 3524:9 352:7         3607:13         comments 3523:24           Booi 3601:17 3602:10         C         3523:3 352:9 3534:1         3556:16,16 3593:19         3516:1,10 3517:11,13           book 3545:11         3552:21 3599:17         3585:6 3586:13         3560:12,2 3606:17         3593:19 3516:1,10 3517:11,13           3617:22 3609:14         3605:23 3606:17         3593:19 3595:16         3590:4 3596:6,17         3520:2 35220	3579:23 3598:23	<b>build</b> 3578:17,19	cause 3572:14 3634:14	<b>choose</b> 3624:14	3624:7,11 3630:16
big 3531:12 3546:9 3612:13       3525:1 3595:15,16 3596:4 3597:23       ceased 3591:10,12 Centre 3513:21 3611:1       3535:1 3592:21 circumstances 3568:19 3577:4 3590:3       3623:2 3630:16 commanding 3622:19 commeneed 3576:7         binding 3516:21 3517:23       3600:13 3601:9,16 3600:13 3601:9,16       certain 3565:15 3577:5 3584:4 3603:2 3606:1       3577:4 3590:3 3577:4 3590:3       3623:2 3630:16         binds 3517:2       3604:6 3605:6 3610:2       3584:4 3603:2 3606:1       3598:20       comment 3538:14         binds 3517:2       3610:4       3627:7 3635:5       cite 3620:22       3541:3,8,10 3551:15         bit 3567:17,23 3580:20       3600:19       3607:2 3612:5       3591:23 3594:18       3636:13,18         bit 3567:17 3605:14 3606:2       562:14 3606:2       3549:1       3567:22 3563:5       3549:1       3551:23 3524:9 3528:7       3607:13       comments 3523:24       3567:7 3627:5         bodies 3605:14 3606:2       C       C       3529:4,18,22 3530:22       clearly 3534:24       3513:14,17,18         Booi's 3601:22       Calitz 3562:22 3563:5       3541:9 3567:17       3556:16,16 3593:19       3514:23 3515:19         both 3575:11       3560:23 3606:17       3569:17       3558:6 3586:13       3610:13       3519:10 3520:19         3617:23       609:21 3629:1       3598:19 3599:17,20       3588:6 3586:13       3610:13       35			caused 3514:17	<b>chose</b> 3546:3	
3612:13       3596:4 3597:23       Centre 3513:21 3611:1       circumstances 3568:19       commanding 3622:19         binding 3516:21       3600:13 3601:9,16       3507:4 3590:3       3577:4 3590:3       3577:4 3590:3       3577:4 3590:3       3577:4 3590:3       3577:4 3590:3       3598:10       3508:10       3507:17,23 3580:20       3501:4       3601:6       3607:27 3635:5       cite 3620:22       3541:3,8,10 3551:15       3567:23 3626:13       3567:23 3626:13       3567:23 3626:13       3567:23 3626:13       36361:1,8       3606:19       3607:2 3611:25       clarification 3595:17       3567:23 3626:13       36361:3,18       commented 3538:15       36361:3,18       3607:2 3611:25       3591:23 3594:18       3567:7 3627:5       commented 3538:15       s567:22 3569:4       3607:13       3511:4,17,18       3567:7 3627:5       commented 3531:1,1,17,13       3514:23 3515:9       3514:23 3515:9       3514:23 3515:9       3514:23 3515:9       3514:23 3515:9       3514:23 3515:9       3514:23 3515:9       3514:23 3515:9       3514:23 3515:9       3517:17,3518:19       3516:1,10 3517:11,13       3516:1,10 3517:11,13       3516:1,10 3517:11,13       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19 </td <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td><b>circular</b> 3526:10</td> <td></td>		0		<b>circular</b> 3526:10	
binding 3516:21 3517:23       3600:13 3601:9,16 3602:5 3603:13       certain 3565:15 3577:5 3584:4 3603:2 3606:1       3577:4 3590:3 3598:20       commenced 3576:7 comment 3538:14         binds 3517:2       3604:6 3605:6 3610:2       3627:7 3635:5       cit 3620:22       3541:3,8,10 3551:15         Bishop 3607:22       3610:4       3627:7 3635:5       certainly 3583:25       clarify 3619:25       3567:23 3626:13         3594:14       Burgers 3598:10       3600:19       3607:2 3611:25       3551:6 3562:21       36363:83         3594:14       busy 3524:2,19 3548:21       3627:7       3551:6 3562:21       3633583:15         Booi's 3605:14 3606:2       3549:1       chair 3513:5 3519:23       3591:23 3594:18       3567:7 3627:5         Booi's 3601:22       C       3523:3 3524:9 3528:7       3607:13       commission 3512:2,4         Booi's 3601:22       S563:8,8 3564:6       3567:22 3569:4       3610:13       3514:23 3515:9         bottom 3575:11       3552:21 3599:17       3588:8,23 3591:7,20       3593:19 3595:16       3500:17 3503:19       3519:10 3520:19         soft1:23       a605:23 3606:17       3593:19 3595:16       3590:4 3596:6,17       3523:21 3525:20,22       3593:19 3595:16       3500:17 3509:19       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19	8				
3517:23       3602:5 3603:13       3584:4 3603:2 3606:1       3598:20       comment 3538:14         binds 3517:2       3604:6 3605:6 3610:2       3627:7 3635:5       cite 3620:22       3541:3,8,10 3551:15         Bishop 3607:22       3610:4       3590:19 3594:8       clarification 3595:17       3636:13,18         bit 3567:17,23 3580:20       3600:19       3607:2 3611:25       clear 3521:24 3523:5       3636:13,18         bit 3567:17,23 3580:20       3600:19       3607:2 3611:25       clear 3521:24 3523:5       3636:13,18         boits 3523:14 3525:5       busy 3524:2,19 3548:21       3607:2 3611:25       3591:23 3594:18       3591:23 3594:18       3597:7 3627:5         bodies 3605:14 3606:2       549:1       chair 3513:5 3519:23       3607:13       comments 3523:24       3567:7 3627:5         bodies 3601:17 3602:10       C       3523:3 3524:9 3528:7       3607:13       clearly 3534:24       3513:14,17,18         Booi 3601:17 3602:10       C       3556:22 3569:4       3567:22 3569:4       3610:13       3514:23 3515:9         book 3545:11       3552:21 3599:17       3588:8,23 3591:7,20       3593:19 3595:19       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19         3617:23       3607:21 3,24 3608:7       3593:19 3595:16       3590:14 3596:6,17       3500:4 3596:6,17       3526:6 3527:13					
binds 3517:2       3604:6 3605:6 3610:2       3627:7 3635:5       cite 3620:22       3541:3,8,10 3551:15         Bishop 3607:22       3610:4       certainly 3583:25       clarification 3595:17       3567:23 3626:13         bit 3567:17,23 3580:20       Burgers 3598:10       3590:19 3594:8       3607:2 3611:25       3551:6 3562:21       36363:13,18         stass 3594:14       busy 3524:2,19 3548:21       3607:2 3611:25       3551:6 3562:21       3567:7 3627:5         Bitos 3605:14 3606:2       Booi's 3601:17 3602:10       C       3523:3 3524:9 3528:7       35607:13 commented 3538:15         Booi's 3601:20       C       3563:8,8 3564:6       3567:22 3569:4       3610:13       certical 3604:5       s516:1,10 3517:11,13         Botha 3520:12,19       call 3514:3 3534:1       3574:19,20 3575:8       certical 3604:5       3517:17 3518:19       3516:1,10 3517:11,13         Botha 3520:12,19       call 3514:3 3534:1       3577:22 3569:4       3610:13       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19         bottom 3575:11       3605:23 3606:17       3605:23 3606:17       3597:22,3 3598:1,12       3603:17 3609:19       3519:10 3520:19       3519:10 3520:19         boundaries 3634:19       called 3524:3 3558:20       3597:22,3 3598:1,12       3603:17 3609:19       350:3 3533:1,19,21       350:3 3533:1,19,21         boun					
Bishop 3607:22         3610:4         certainly 3583:25         clarification 3595:17         3567:23 3626:13           bit 3567:17,23 3580:20         3600:19         3600:19         3607:2 3611:25         3591:13 3583:10         36360:19         3607:2 3611:25         3551:6 3562:21         36361:3,18           3590:19 3594:14         busy 3524:2,19 3548:21         3607:2 3611:25         3551:6 3562:21         35381:1         3567:7 3627:5           bodies 3605:14 3606:2					
bit 3567:17,23 3580:20 3581:1 3583:10 3594:14Burgers 3598:10 3600:193590:19 3594:8 3607:2 3611:25 3627:7clarify 3619:25 clear 3521:24 3523:5 3551:6 3562:213636:13,18 commented 3538:15 commented 3538:15boils 3605:14 3606:2 Booi 3601:17 3602:10					
3581:1 3583:10 3594:14       3600:19 busy 3524:2,19 3548:21 3549:1       3607:2 3611:25 3627:7       clear 3521:24 3523:5 3551:6 3562:21       commented 3538:15 comments 3523:24         Bizos 3523:14 3606:2 Booi 3601:17 3602:10			-		
3594:14       busy 3524:2,19 3548:21       3627:7       3551:6 3562:21       comments 3523:24         Bizos 3523:14 3525:5       bodies 3605:14 3606:2       3549:1       chair 3513:5 3519:23       3591:23 3594:18       3567:7 3627:5         Booi 3601:17 3602:10       C       3523:3 3524:9 3528:7       3607:13       clearly 3534:24       3513:14,17,18         Booi's 3601:22       C       3563:8,8 3564:6       3567:22 3569:4       3510:13       3514:23 3515:9         book 3545:11       3563:8,8 3564:6       3567:22 3569:4       3610:13       3514:23 3515:9         bottom 3575:11       3552:21 3599:17       3585:6 3586:13       clearly 3575:8       clearly 3595:19       3519:10 3520:19         bottom 3575:11       3605:23 3606:17       3588:8,23 3591:7,20       3593:19 3595:16       3590:4 3596:6,17       3526:6 3527:13         boundaries 3634:19       3609:21 3629:1       3597:22,23 3598:1,12       3603:17 3609:19       3533:22 353:20       3598:17 3599:1,7,12         box 3512:22 3613:12       558:25 3559:2       3600:12 3601:15       3603:12 3604:4,7       3603:12 3604:4,7       3531:1,19,21					
Bizos 3523:14 3525:5         3549:1         chair 3513:5 3519:23         3591:23 3594:18         3567:7 3627:5           bodies 3605:14 3606:2					
bodies 3605:14 3606:2 Booi 3601:17 3602:10C3523:3 3524:9 3528:7 3529:4,18,22 3530:223607:13 clearly 3534:24commission 3512:2,4 3513:14,17,18Booi's 3601:22 book 3545:11Calitz 3562:22 3563:5 3563:8,8 3564:63567:22 3569:4 3567:22 3569:43610:13 3567:22 3569:43516:1,10 3517:11,13 3516:1,10 3517:11,13Botha 3520:12,19 bottom 3575:11 3617:23call 3514:3 3534:1 3552:21 3599:173574:19,20 3575:8 3585:6 3586:13 3606:17clerical 3604:5 3588:8,23 3591:7,203519:10 3520:19 3593:19 3595:16bottom 3575:11 3607:21,23,24 3608:7 3571:193605:23 3606:17 3609:21 3629:13588:8,23 3591:7,20 3597:22,23 3598:1,12clerical 3604:5 3593:19 3595:163519:10 3520:19 3590:4 3596:6,17boundaries 3634:19 box 3512:22 3613:12called 3524:3 3558:20 3560:10 3566:3,173598:17 3599:1,7,12 3603:12 3604:4,7closer 3636:16,22 coincides 3573:1 colleague 3587:223533:17,3541:5,5,6					
Booi 3601:17 3602:10C3529:4,18,22 3530:22clearly 3534:243513:14,17,18Booi's 3601:22Calitz 3562:22 3563:53541:9 3562:173556:16,16 3593:193514:23 3515:9book 3545:113563:8,8 3564:63567:22 3569:43610:133516:1,10 3517:11,13Botha 3520:12,19call 3514:3 3534:13574:19,20 3575:8clerical 3604:53517:17 3518:19bottom 3575:113552:21 3599:173585:6 3586:13client 3588:9 3595:193519:10 3520:193617:233605:23 3606:173588:8,23 3591:7,203593:19 3595:163590:4 3596:6,173522:21 3525:20,22bounce 3565:153607:21,23,24 3608:73593:19 3595:163590:4 3596:6,173526:6 3527:133571:193609:21 3629:13597:22,23 3598:1,123603:17 3609:193530:3 3533:11,19,21boundaries 3634:19called 3524:3 3558:203598:17 3599:1,7,12closer 3636:16,223533:22 3534:5box 3512:22 3613:123558:25 3559:23600:12 3601:153603:12 3604:4,7colleague 3587:223539:17 3541:5,5,6					
Booi's 3601:22Calitz 3562:22 3563:53541:9 3562:173556:16,16 3593:193514:23 3515:9book 3545:113563:8,8 3564:63567:22 3569:43610:133516:1,10 3517:11,13Botha 3520:12,19call 3514:3 3534:13574:19,20 3575:8clerical 3604:53519:10 3520:19bottom 3575:113552:21 3599:173585:6 3586:13client 3588:9 3595:193519:10 3520:193617:233605:23 3606:173588:8,23 3591:7,20client 3588:9 3595:193519:10 3520:19bounce 3565:153607:21,23,24 3608:73593:19 3595:163590:4 3596:6,173526:6 3527:133571:193609:21 3629:13597:22,23 3598:1,123603:17 3609:193530:3 3533:11,19,21boundaries 3634:19called 3524:3 3558:203598:17 3599:1,7,12closer 3636:16,223533:22 3534:5box 3512:22 3613:123558:25 3559:23600:12 3601:15coincides 3573:13535:17,18 3538:17breath 3526:43560:10 3566:3,173603:12 3604:4,7colleague 3587:223539:17 3541:5,5,6	<b>Booi</b> 3601:17 3602:10	C			
book 3545:113563:8,8 3564:63567:22 3569:43610:133516:1,10 3517:11,13Botha 3520:12,19call 3514:3 3534:13574:19,20 3575:8clerical 3604:53517:17 3518:19bottom 3575:113552:21 3599:173585:6 3586:13client 3588:9 3595:193519:10 3520:193617:233605:23 3606:173588:8,23 3591:7,20clients 3567:14 3584:113523:21 3525:20,22bounce 3565:153607:21,23,24 3608:73593:19 3595:163590:4 3596:6,173526:6 3527:133571:193609:21 3629:13597:22,23 3598:1,123603:17 3609:193530:3 3533:11,19,21boundaries 3634:19called 3524:3 3558:203598:17 3599:1,7,12closer 3636:16,223533:22 3534:5box 3512:22 3613:123558:25 3559:23600:12 3601:153603:12 3604:4,7colleague 3587:223539:17 3541:5,5,6	<b>Booi's</b> 3601:22	Calitz 3562:22 3563:5		-	
Botha 3520:12,19call 3514:3 3534:13574:19,20 3575:8clerical 3604:53517:17 3518:19bottom 3575:113552:21 3599:173585:6 3586:133585:6 3586:133517:17 3518:193617:233605:23 3606:173588:8,23 3591:7,20client 3588:9 3595:193519:10 3520:19bounce 3565:153607:21,23,24 3608:73593:19 3595:163590:4 3596:6,173526:6 3527:133571:193609:21 3629:13597:22,23 3598:1,123603:17 3609:193530:3 3533:11,19,21boundaries 3634:19called 3524:3 3558:203598:17 3599:1,7,123603:17 3609:193533:22 3534:5box 3512:22 3613:123558:25 3559:23600:12 3601:15coincides 3573:13535:17,18 3538:17breath 3526:43560:10 3566:3,173603:12 3604:4,7colleague 3587:223539:17 3541:5,5,6	book 3545:11				
bottom 3575:11 3617:233552:21 3599:17 3605:23 3606:17 3607:21,23,24 3608:7 3571:193585:6 3586:13 3593:19 3595:16 3593:19 3595:16client 3588:9 3595:19 3590:4 3596:6,17 3603:17 3609:193519:10 3520:19 3523:21 3525:20,22 3520:22 3530:3 3533:11,19,21boundaries 3634:19 box 3512:22 3613:12called 3524:3 3558:20 3558:25 3559:2 3600:10 3566:3,173598:17 3599:1,7,12 3603:12 3604:4,7client 3588:9 3595:19 3590:4 3596:6,17 3603:17 3609:193519:10 3520:19 3523:21 3525:20,22 3523:21 3525:20,22 3530:3 3533:11,19,21boundaries 3634:19 box 3512:22 3613:12called 3524:3 3558:20 3558:25 3559:2 3600:12 3601:15 3603:12 3604:4,7client 3588:9 3595:19 3590:4 3596:6,17 3603:17 3609:193519:10 3520:19 3526:6 3527:13 3530:3 3533:11,19,21	Botha 3520:12,19				
<b>bounce</b> 3565:15       3607:21,23,24 3608:7       3593:19 3595:16       3590:4 3596:6,17       3523:20,22 <b>boundaries</b> 3634:19       3609:21 3629:1       3597:22,23 3598:1,12       3603:17 3609:19       3530:3 3533:11,19,21 <b>boundaries</b> 3634:19 <b>called</b> 3524:3 3558:20       3598:17 3599:1,7,12       closer 3636:16,22       3533:22 3534:5 <b>boundaries</b> 3526:4       3560:10 3566:3,17       3603:12 3604:4,7       colleague 3587:22       3539:17 3541:5,5,6	bottom 3575:11		-		
3571:193609:21 3629:13597:22,23 3598:1,123603:17 3609:193530:3 3533:11,19,21boundaries 3634:19called 3524:3 3558:203598:17 3599:1,7,12closer 3636:16,223533:22 3534:5box 3512:22 3613:123558:25 3559:23600:12 3601:15coincides 3573:13535:17,18 3538:17breath 3526:43560:10 3566:3,173603:12 3604:4,7colleague 3587:223539:17 3541:5,5,6	3617:23	3605:23 3606:17	3588:8,23 3591:7,20		3523:21 3525:20,22
boundaries3634:19called3524:33558:203598:173599:1,7,12closer3636:16,223533:223533:223534:5box3512:223613:123558:253559:23600:123601:15coincides3573:13535:17,183538:17breath3526:43560:103566:3,173603:123604:4,7colleague3587:223539:173541:5,5,6	bounce 3565:15			-	
box 3512:22 3613:123558:25 3559:23600:12 3601:15coincides 3573:13535:17,18 3538:17breath 3526:43560:10 3566:3,173603:12 3604:4,7colleague 3587:223539:17 3541:5,5,6					
breath 3526:4 3560:10 3566:3,17 3603:12 3604:4,7 colleague 3587:22 3539:17 3541:5,5,6	boundaries 3634:19				
<u> </u>		Press, March and Arts			
ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	breath 3526:4		3603:12 3604:4,7	colleague 3587:22	3539:17 3541:5,5,6

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

#### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

#### Rustenburg

,				5
r				Page
3541:16 3544:12	confine 3561:4	3616:19 3629:5,10	crime 3579:25 3580:8	3518:9 3520:10,10
3545:21 3546:8,18	confined 3538:23	cordial 3601:13	critical 3576:20,21	3531:8,23 3542:24
3547:10 3551:11	3539:1 3567:24	<b>corner</b> 3575:3,10	3597:12	3544:2 3545:16,19
3580:16,22,22,23	confining 3560:14	correction 3573:6	criticisms 3636:3	3563:22 3576:20,21
3585:22,23 3586:1,22	confirm 3525:4	Correctional 3527:23	cross 3512:25 3513:23	3576:22 3583:20
3588:1 3591:18	3602:23 3612:10	correctly 3600:13,14	3514:9,20 3523:4	3584:6 3592:10
3593:15 3597:10	3618:9	3624:24	3529:19 3543:25	3595:14 3596:8
3607:22 3610:18	confrontation 3602:18	<b>couldn't</b> 3528:1	3545:7 3581:5,10	3597:17 3598:5,9
3611:3,16,18,20,21	<b>conjunction</b> 3581:22	3545:17,19,19 3552:6	3584:14 3588:19,24	3601:23 3603:24
	0	3552:7 3555:17,21,23		
3611:24 3612:8	connection 3528:8,12		3603:21 3610:8	3621:24 3637:1
3614:5 3619:2,2,3	3528:13,15,16	counsel 3513:18	3613:18	days 3521:10,23 3525:9
3633:15 3637:16,18	3545:23	3542:20 3543:14	cross-examination	3525:11,12 3531:2,3
commissioned 3534:23	<b>cons</b> 3540:17	3558:11 3560:23	3513:2 3514:13	3535:3 3538:10,24
commissioner 3526:10	conscience 3516:21	3563:6 3568:7	3522:24 3536:9	3539:6,7 3565:7
3526:11 3542:18	3517:24 3593:24	3569:12 3611:1	3580:14 3584:12	3570:21 3585:25
3552:17 3581:11,15	consequence 3521:11	counsellor 3521:18	3586:3 3589:4	3591:8 3628:11
3582:19 3583:4	3534:25 3614:1	3530:5 3532:19	3590:18 3603:8	day's 3597:18
3595:7 3612:4 3613:6	3631:23	3533:9 3534:18	3604:2	<b>de</b> 3540:12
3613:15 3626:3,15,18	consequences 3570:13	3535:7,21 3536:25	cross-examine 3513:4	deal 3526:2 3536:15
3627:5 3634:2	3570:14 3577:12	3537:4,14 3538:9	3513:22 3514:3,4,13	3545:18 3559:15
commissioners 3512:8	consequent 3577:2	3539:12 3540:7,19	3514:16 3580:15	3569:16 3571:23
3527:5 3584:9	<b>consider</b> 3530:25	3541:17,21 3542:8	3584:17 3597:8	3574:8 3576:2
3608:11 3612:18,20	3557:15	3545:1 3546:8,25	3610:22	3577:12,17 3589:10
3633:11	considered 3557:22	3547:7,21 3548:15	cross-examined 3514:8	3598:14,25 3600:22
commit 3601:15	considers 3513:9	3549:15 3550:11,22	cross-examiner 3581:6	3601:18,22 3604:25
commitment 3530:17	consolidate 3632:9	3551:14 3552:23	3593:22	3605:3 3608:9
committed 3530:15	constitutes 3542:3	3554:13 3555:8	cross-examining	3609:14 3610:12
committee 3527:23	constitutional 3627:25	3556:4 3557:4 3558:2	3611:10 3619:5	3624:23 3627:5
3627:19 3628:13,20	constraints 3529:10	3559:7,16 3560:7	crowd 3526:16 3536:17	3633:17 3634:4
3629:3 3632:7	3610:19	3564:4 3571:10	3572:21 3573:9	3636:15
committees 3632:7	<b>construed</b> 3634:20	3572:5 3577:14	3581:25 3582:5,9	dealing 3573:16
communicate 3599:6	consulted 3606:7	3579:6,15,21	3595:24 3616:13	3596:20,20,21
communicated 3595:4	consulting 3587:9	counsellor's 3567:25	3618:13,20 3620:12	3611:24
3597:25 3598:16	contained 3539:8	counter 3563:17		deals 3621:19
company 3541:21	3552:1,20 3562:5	3566:7 3578:21	3620:15,16,19 3621:1	dealt 3515:19 3521:1
1 0	,		3621:6,9 3622:9	
Comparative 3627:20	3584:4 3634:5	3579:4	3623:12 3624:15	3546:11 3558:1
compelled 3546:1	containing 3573:9	<b>countries</b> 3619:23	3625:1 3626:6 3627:9	3574:24 3585:3,4
<b>compiled</b> 3531:7	contains 3526:9	3620:16 3621:5,11,17	3627:22 3629:3	3609:24 3619:7
3538:12	content 3626:17	country 3559:15	3630:15 3632:17	death 3535:3,5
complains 3588:11	3627:22	3624:23 3625:20	3634:17,22 3635:3,4	deaths 3520:15
complaint 3591:11,11	<b>contents</b> 3616:1	<b>couple</b> 3617:16	3635:6,7 3636:15	3540:18 3541:15
completely 3585:11	<b>context</b> 3571:24,25	3628:11	3637:8,10	<b>debate</b> 3525:10
compliance 3524:5	3595:22	course 3528:17 3535:4	<b>crowds</b> 3571:23	3557:18 3596:14
3535:18 3544:23	contextualise 3611:23	3535:8 3543:15	3573:16 3616:14	3607:10
compliant 3544:21	continuation 3630:12	3545:16 3547:8	3634:11,19	debriefing 3522:6
complied 3535:13	continue 3512:21	3583:5 3590:17	crown 3619:11	3622:6
3594:9,12 3608:21	continuity 3615:22,25	3595:3 3603:24	Cuba 3620:24	December 3601:23
<b>component</b> 3632:3,4	continuously 3618:13	3608:1 3609:11	Cup 3571:24 3625:15	3603:20 3611:14
compromising 3585:23	contradiction 3608:14	3611:14 3614:13	3625:17,24 3626:1	decide 3514:10 3632:11
conceded 3603:8	contradictory 3514:18	3623:12 3624:19	3634:22	decided 3512:8 3514:6
concentrate 3589:23	contrary 3551:12	3625:21,22 3628:10	current 3617:9 3627:21	3530:2 3634:24
concern 3588:9,15,23	contribute 3636:20	3632:13 3633:25	3630:8	deciding 3529:8
concerned 3524:22	control 3536:17	3635:24	cuts 3635:1	decision 3576:24
3581:2 3596:5	3627:22 3629:3	<b>courses</b> 3623:19,20,21		3577:1,21 3597:7
3616:14	3630:14,15,18	3623:22 3624:14,21	D	<b>deem</b> 3633:14
concerns 3586:13	3634:22 3635:8	3625:8,13,23 3627:24	damage 3634:14	deeper 3533:12
concession 3529:24	convenient 3512:13	court 3529:25 3596:7	3636:10	3554:19
concluded 3586:4	conversation 3587:7	cover 3604:11,12,17	damaging 3589:8	definitely 3516:9
			0 0	
conclusion 3544:10,11	convey 3529:23	3605:9 3621:20	3636:24	3519:12 3532:14
conclusions 3619:8	<b>cooperation</b> 3512:21	3622:3 3628:19	danger 3577:8	3537:13,15 3541:22
<b>conducted</b> 3522:7,14	<b>cope</b> 3537:10	3634:5	dangerous 3571:25	3544:8 3545:19
	2010 01 00	coverage 3522:8,11,15	date 3598:16 3600:17	3549:10 3550:16
3525:3 3541:21	copies 3612:21,23		0 (01 10	0000000000000
3560:1 3575:17	3613:6 3614:9,12	3522:15	3621:10	3554:23 3555:16,17
3560: <b>1</b> 3575:17 3634:23	3613: <b>6</b> 3614:9,12 3629:12	3522:15 covered 3545:12	dated 3616:25	3579:7,16,20 3590:2
3560:1 3575:17 3634:23 confess 3602:11	3613:6 3614:9,12 3629:12 <b>copy</b> 3609:11 3612:17	3522:15 covered 3545:12 3613:24	<b>dated</b> 3616:25 <b>dates</b> 3609:4	3579:7,16,20 3590:2 3624:20 3625:9
3560:1 3575:17 3634:23	3613:6 3614:9,12 3629:12 <b>copy</b> 3609:11 3612:17 3612:19,20 3614:20	3522:15 covered 3545:12	dated 3616:25	3579:7,16,20 3590:2

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

Email: realtime@mweb.co.za

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

#### Rustenburg

				Page
delegation 3634:16	3575:8 3577:6 3579:4	division 3631:6,13	3584:24 3613:10	3624:5 3634:18
deleted 3518:22	3582:3 3583:1	3632:11 3635:16	3629:12 3631:17	essentially 3611:22
3588:14	3604:10 3634:23	document 3531:15	3632:15	establish 3563:20,23
deliberately 3519:7	3637:7	3538:16 3542:12	early 3591:11,12	3593:15 3594:4
3593:1,17	differently 3554:5	3546:9 3612:13,16	3595:5 3604:1	established 3515:14
delivery 3560:8	difficult 3533:11	3616:24 3617:3	easily 3562:5 3634:18	3579:10
demands 3558:7	3577:20 3579:16	3621:4 3628:15,17,22	3636:10	establishing 3515:10
democracy 3570:2,3	difficulties 3560:25	3632:25 3633:5	easy 3556:14,20	3521:15 3540:17
depend 3624:10 3637:1	3616:12	3636:3	3571:12	estimate 3559:20
depending 3601:5	difficulty 3626:2	documentary 3592:15	effect 3560:10 3590:3	estimation 3561:6,8
3624:21	3631:19	documented 3546:19	3592:21 3606:10	etcetera 3527:13,13
depends 3624:17	<b>din</b> 3595:24	documents 3542:2	3609:8	3544:23,23
depicted 3553:18	direct 3538:1	3546:5 3589:20	effective 3617:20,22,25	<b>evaluate</b> 3616:8
3578:12	directed 3522:20	3619:9 3620:23	3634:21	evaluation 3522:7,10
deployed 3536:16	direction 3524:17	doesn't 3519:16	effectively 3629:15	3522:14 3524:20,20
deployment 3622:1	3630:16	3520:13 3523:1	eight 3531:6 3561:14	3525:2
deprived 3584:14	directions 3564:2	3529:7 3532:16	either 3519:4 3527:2	evasive 3551:11
<b>describe</b> 3547:11	directly 3600:24	3541:12,13 3567:20	3533:7 3539:8	evening 3604:1
3614:25	3618:19 3633:14	3580:5 3587:1	3585:11,24 3601:2,8	event 3521:13 3557:25
<b>description</b> 3631:19,20	disagree 3577:13	3589:15 3593:2	3601:11,13 3621:10	3558:13 3582:4,17
3636:4	3578:14 3636:12	3594:7 3603:21 doing 2522:5 3527:10	elements 3613:15	events 3519:18 3520:14
descriptions 3631:17	disallow 3528:14	doing 3533:5 3537:19	3615:4 3634:4	3520:20 3521:16
desire 3561:3 destroyed 3518:22	disallowed 3576:14	3560:12,18 3561:3	eliminate 3589:19	3548:12 3576:22
<b>destroyed</b> 3518:22 3519:7 3588:14	disallowing 3529:12 disarm 3570:16	3601:3 3631:23 <b>don't</b> 3513:7 3516:16	email 3601:23 3603:21 emailed 3612:18	3596:20,21,22 3601:19,23 3602:16
3590:15 3591:24	3576:24 3577:1	3517:3 3523:4,11	emanating 3549:16	3621:22 3636:5
3593:2 3594:1	discharge 3628:1	3529:5 3540:9,22	emotionally 3588:3	eventually 3603:8
detail 3553:9,13,19	disclose 3517:24	3541:11,24 3545:25	emphasis 3532:13	everybody 3521:5
3608:19 3614:6	3519:12	3546:13,18 3549:3,23	employment 3623:9	3527:5 3532:15
detailed 3519:17	disclosed 3517:17,25	3549:24 3551:20	3625:3	3549:9 3556:6
3520:2 3622:8	3519:24 3592:24	3558:14 3559:11	endeavoured 3603:6	3571:13 3591:16
details 3553:8 3554:24	disclosure 3517:7,10	3561:22,22 3566:1	endeavouring 3590:13	3608:21 3610:20
3626:17	discovered 3542:3,13	3567:15 3568:2	enforcement 3620:12	everybody's 3571:11
<b>detect</b> 3532:10	discuss 3512:16	3569:4,8,12,13	3627:24	3624:8
determined 3625:12	3539:10 3587:17	3570:5,16 3571:5	engage 3608:15	evidence 3512:5 3514:8
develop 3620:17	3609:2 3632:8	3582:6,9,13 3584:16	3634:24	3515:9,20 3516:7,17
3637:10	discussed 3539:24	3584:25 3587:11	engaged 3572:20	3517:22 3518:1,8,17
developed 3557:24	3544:7,9 3548:19,21	3604:16 3605:9	3583:16 3589:4	3518:22 3519:5,6
3615:22	3548:24	3606:16 3607:12	engaging 3637:7	3520:11,13,21 3521:6
developing 3615:23	discussing 3525:13	3612:20 3615:7	ensure 3526:15 3595:3	3522:14 3523:16,17
development 3537:10	3549:18 3553:23	3616:22 3620:4	3615:24	3523:24,25 3524:11
3537:17 3614:4,7	3576:20	3626:24 3629:8	entail 3517:11	3524:13 3525:1
3618:10,18 3619:15	discussion 3525:11	doubt 3534:4 3591:14	entails 3519:9 3538:16	3526:4 3527:9,11,11
3619:22 3620:8,11	3543:10 3544:5	drafted 3531:18	enter 3626:20	3528:25 3529:3
3621:9 3630:22	3549:1,7,9,9,16,17	drastic 3635:20	entered 3628:23	3536:7,8 3542:24
3631:7 3632:10	3550:12 3553:1	drastically 3635:1	entire 3604:12 3607:17	3561:25 3562:21
developments 3616:2	3554:14 3555:19	drawn 3562:7 3564:15	entirely 3550:7	3565:10 3580:25
diaries 3545:15	3587:3 3593:6	3564:16 drow 2564:10 2574:5	entitled 3568:4 3628:19	3588:12,13 3589:14
diary 3526:18 3545:11 3545:22 3546:3,7,11	discussions 3544:6 3618:19 3634:11	drew 3564:19 3574:5	3629:23	3590:2,14 3592:22
, ,	disinclined 3529:2	drill 3597:6 drive 3591:15	<b>entry</b> 3602:20 <b>environment</b> 3628:2	3593:9,21 3599:25 3600:15 3601:6 8 12
3546:16,19 3547:1 <b>didn't</b> 3514:19 3520:11	disingenuous 3551:10	drive 3591:15 driven 3606:2	envisaged 3524:21	3600:15 3601:6,8,12 3602:23 3603:5,25
3528:2,3,17 3550:5,8	dispel 3604:7	due 3560:8 3609:11	3525:2 3538:2,22	3604:8,14,21 3605:3
3551:3 3554:2	dispose 3599:15	3614:13	3540:14	3606:1,10 3607:7,8
3555:24,24,25 3556:2	disrupt 3577:1	duty 3517:7 3552:16	episode 3528:8	3607:21 3609:8,9,18
3557:3 3561:20,21	distance 3634:12	3558:4 3566:21	equally 3584:18	3611:21,22 3612:3
3574:2 3590:1	3635:1 3636:8,17,20	3593:15	equipment 3536:19	evidenced 3590:4
3591:12 3601:15	3637:2	dye 3573:14	3573:7,8,11,12,15	evidential 3602:24
3602:1 3629:16	distant 3634:10,17	dyes 3570:21	3579:14	evolve 3615:4
died 3515:4 3603:5,7	distribute 3613:5	dynamics 3620:19	error 3604:5	exact 3558:8 3628:14
differ 3533:10 3554:17	distributed 3602:3		escalate 3540:13	exactly 3517:5 3523:2
3583:3,6,10	distributing 3629:16	E	escaped 3603:4	3531:23 3536:24
differences 3585:1	disturbing 3518:7	<b>E</b> 3629:4	especially 3527:12	3539:10,23 3559:24
different 3516:6 3524:2	3519:15 3521:16	eager 3524:13	3531:11 3545:20	3567:22 3578:20
3530:23 3552:5	3527:18	earlier 3522:17 3555:6	3554:22 3563:17	3581:16 3582:8
2555 11 255C 10	divided 3531:8 3538:11	3574:7,15,23 3575:16	3570:10 3586:22	3585:21 3589:16
3555:11 3556:10		5574.7,15,25 5575.10	3570:10 3500:22	

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

# Rustenburg

				Page
3594:23 3595:14	explanation 3598:21	<b>fence</b> 3603:4	3578:13 3589:22	3628:2
3601:1 3603:12	explicitly 3620:25	field 3604:11 3619:19	3590:17,20,24 3591:3	funding 3632:12
examination 3513:1	explore 3528:21	FIFA 3634:22	3591:3,8,17 3592:8,8	furnished 3600:18
3521:11 3523:5	3569:17	<b>fifth</b> 3598:18 3599:11	3592:10,12,14,17,22	further 3523:17
3529:20 3543:25	expressed 3535:14	figure 3559:17 3561:11	3593:7,8,9,11,12,12	3528:18 3529:15
	extended 3512:5	8	3593.7,8,9,11,12,12	
3581:6 3588:20,25		3636:13,25		3540:13 3542:15
3603:22 3613:19	extending 3599:25	file 3609:14,15,16	footages 3556:10	3555:10 3569:15
<b>examine</b> 3513:24	extension 3512:8,17	filed 3512:6 3587:21	force 3526:17 3534:11	3573:6 3580:13
3514:21 3581:11	3598:15	3596:19 3606:13	3534:11,12 3620:24	3604:18,21 3608:22
3584:15	extensions 3601:3	<b>filled</b> 3543:18	3634:18 3636:4,11	3610:5
examined 3514:10	extensive 3601:5	<b>final</b> 3531:6,20 3538:12	forced 3607:16	<b>future</b> 3514:6 3551:20
3621:25	extent 3515:8 3553:14	3538:13,14 3548:21	forcible 3602:20	3616:3 3618:5 3621:9
examining 3610:9	3601:22 3631:21	3609:3 3610:14	forfeit 3539:9	
example 3565:4 3583:2	extremely 3527:2	finally 3540:2 3579:22	forget 3539:5,7	G
3607:22 3614:11	eyewitness 3515:21	financial 3615:20	3547:23	gain 3618:5
3620:22 3622:1		3619:17 3632:2,13	forgive 3527:16	gap 3607:9,20
3624:2 3625:14	F	find 3515:8 3536:23	form 3623:25	gaps 3601:11 3604:17
			formalised 3635:10	
3634:16 3636:7	face 3587:18 3616:16	3540:25 3541:11		3604:25
examples 3631:22	3626:2	3551:21 3587:22	formally 3599:2	Gary 3612:11 3613:25
exceptions 3535:15,16	<b>facie</b> 3584:13	3590:13 3593:7	format 3635:21	3619:8 3621:14,18
excluded 3584:5,13	facilitate 3633:24	3598:17 3603:6	formation 3635:7	gas 3570:24 3573:14
<b>excused</b> 3600:3,7	facilitation 3566:22	3609:13 3610:6	former 3577:10	gather 3570:5
3601:1	facilitator 3614:4,7	3615:13	formulating 3615:4	gathered 3536:8
executed 3578:4	3618:10,18 3619:15	<b>finds</b> 3574:10	3619:11	3562:1
execution 3618:13	3620:8 3630:22	<b>fine</b> 3555:12	formulation 3594:25	gathering 3525:10
exercise 3528:6	fact 3517:18 3519:16	<b>finish</b> 3588:24 3633:21	3595:4 3627:4	3558:3 3568:23
3541:14	3525:3 3558:22	finished 3546:7	forthcoming 3522:17	3570:2 3572:4,17
exhibit 3520:1 3526:5,6	3564:13 3579:11	<b>Firearms</b> 3620:24	3522:18 3609:10	3577:6 3617:11
3526:9 3529:14	3589:5 3590:4,12	<b>fired</b> 3603:3	fortunately 3607:14	gatherings 3569:21
3534:10 3546:5	3597:6 3606:1	first 3514:24 3515:2	forward 3512:16	3581:23 3582:22
3556:11,22 3557:9	3608:19 3627:17	3518:11 3526:5	3552:25 3584:7	3617:6 3618:3
3572:21,23 3616:19	facts 3600:13 3602:6	3532:18 3567:4	3603:19 3609:3	general 3522:3,21
3616:22 3620:2	factual 3600:22	3574:17 3609:1,5	3614:21 3631:14	3537:24 3538:25
3621:2 3626:21,22,25	failed 3577:2	3614:25 3622:4	3635:4,17	3546:21 3553:20
3627:13,13 3628:14	fair 3515:8 3523:10	3627:19 3633:13	found 3519:15 3572:24	3562:15,20 3563:1
3628:23,23	3545:23 3546:15	firstly 3536:5 3565:16	four 3559:22 3561:14	3574:1,9 3590:16
exhibits 3612:7,25	3562:7 3594:21	3566:19 3569:23,23	3561:16 3569:1,1	generally 3521:5
3613:3	3629:13,18	3593:22 3596:5	3570:6 3599:13	3538:4,25 3571:17
exist 3517:17 3518:17	fairness 3572:18	3615:25	3621:20 3622:2	3626:6
3589:15 3593:3	3610:20	<b>Fischer</b> 3513:13,18	3623:5	gentleman 3602:21
existed 3518:22 3519:5	fall 3601:2	five 3596:6 3597:24	fourth 3597:10	gentlemen 3599:14
3588:14	falls 3585:11	3607:10	framework 3617:9	3604:24
existing 3590:15	familiar 3620:10	<b>fixed</b> 3609:4	France 3621:6 3625:19	gently 3602:14
3616:1	families 3513:23	fluid 3616:15	frankly 3561:1	Geographic 3592:15
exists 3518:18	3515:4 3520:15	fobbed 3526:25	freedom 3568:2	getting 3605:17,18
expect 3609:9 3620:9	3583:18	focus 3534:22 3539:6	French 3625:18	3620:22
expectation 3539:6	far 3520:8 3522:9,10	3629:22	3634:24 3635:1,24	give 3515:20,21
3544:21	3525:1 3542:2,12	follow 3590:1	3636:22	3516:10 3517:3
expectations 3539:9	3552:7 3557:13	followed 3529:12	frequently 3535:16	3523:12 3524:10
expected 3522:17	3576:8 3583:23	3577:17	3617:11	3532:3 3533:13,14
3543:17 3560:17	3589:20 3597:4	<b>following</b> 3554:10	Friday 3585:25	3536:2 3539:16,20
3595:19 3596:6	fashion 3551:5 3555:18	3569:11 3611:20	3595:24 3596:19	3552:5 3561:20
3604:14	fear 3577:8	3619:16	3612:17	3576:2 3597:20
expecting 3528:11	<b>feature</b> 3521:16	follow-up 3516:20	friend 3523:6 3596:6	3602:23 3605:3
experience 3620:4	features 3566:18	3583:14	3596:15 3600:12	3607:12,12 3608:3
expert 3526:20 3536:18	February 3598:17	footage 3518:10,12	3602:5 3603:18	3631:14,22 3632:9
3543:24 3544:1	3600:2,3,7	3520:11,23 3521:1,3	3608:11	3633:22 3635:17
3561:24 3565:14	feedback 3532:3	3521:14,15 3524:10	friendly 3567:17	3636:21
3621:21	feel 3540:10 3552:4	3526:15 3547:4,7,11	friend's 3523:4	given 3520:2 3524:11
expertise 3564:17	3567:23,24	3547:12,13,14 3548:7	3600:17	3527:12 3536:9
		· · ·		
experts 3611:22	<b>fees</b> 3599:24	3548:14 3550:14	front 3581:7 3635:3	3538:11 3539:22
explain 3528:12 3567:8	fellow 3512:8 3584:9	3551:16,19 3552:18	<b>fruitful</b> 3529:11	3540:21 3546:5
3568:12 3571:8,13	felt 3547:1 3554:18	3552:19,24 3553:2	<b>full</b> 3517:7,10 3522:15	3550:6 3557:2 3565:2
3601:20 3607:3	3555:10,11,15,16	3554:1,20 3555:1,5,8	Full-blooded 3585:8	3567:17 3586:13
explained 3532:23	3556:11,16,16,17	3556:2,3,19,22	full-blown 3587:19,20	3592:9,19 3606:17
explaining 3534:19	3593:14	3557:3,23 3572:8	functions 3625:1	3614:9,12 3623:15

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

	guidelines 3632:24	3621:15	3571:14,18 3615:2	3578:3
gives 3526:18 3592:9	<b>guy</b> 3550:1	heard 3512:5 3520:3	3624:18 3632:9	indicating 3542:12
<b>giving</b> 3516:7 3538:7	Gxokokwana 3599:11	3525:1 3566:2	identify 3615:1	3631:9 3632:12
	G-X-O-K-O-K-W-A	3586:18,18 3588:1	3631:18 3632:2	indications 3572:15
glad 3597:25 3614:24	3599:11	3635:15,18	identifying 3619:16	3577:9
3617:2		hearing 3584:18	3631:21	individuals 3611:22
<b>go</b> 3524:4 3533:12,24	<u> </u>	3597:18	<b>illegal</b> 3568:24	3627:8 3635:5
	half 3593:22 3613:19	heavier 3529:25	images 3594:6	inferentially 3594:4
3555:3,20 3557:9,10	HANABE 3615:6,10	heist 3566:13	imagination 3607:19	information 3517:15
3560:18 3561:8	3615:14,16	held 3542:5,6,13	immediately 3629:15	3538:12 3548:23
3567:16 3568:25	hand 3588:4 3612:24	3596:3	impasse 3565:11	3588:12 3589:13
3570:1 3571:1	handle 3577:21	helicopters 3520:25	<b>implement</b> 3635:20	3604:18 3606:6
3578:11 3579:21	3581:20 3582:11,12	help 3546:25 3591:1	3636:9	3626:11
3597:7 3600:8	3625:17 3636:1	3603:21	implementation 3616:9	<b>informed</b> 3548:6,7
	handles 3528:19	helpful 3517:12 3561:3	3617:20,25 3618:12	3564:21 3590:7
3619:17 3625:11,13	happen 3522:6,22	3561:4	3622:6	initial 3527:20 3576:6
3625:20,24 3630:11	3532:17 3535:23	helps 3530:14	important 3512:15	3612:2 3614:17
<b>God</b> 3535:19	3540:8 3541:18	Hemraj 3581:11,12	3513:8,9 3584:18	<b>initially</b> 3615:11
<b>going</b> 3513:4 3514:2,13	3542:2,15 3544:18,24	3582:1,15,25 3583:11	3605:4	<b>initiate</b> 3537:18
3520:7 3524:14,16	3545:5 3555:9	<b>Here's</b> 3524:3	importantly 3596:22	3631:11
3525:8,21 3527:9	3556:16,23 3572:2	<b>Hey</b> 3546:20	impression 3520:21	injunction 3526:22
3529:14 3530:20,22	3577:22 3588:2	<b>he'd</b> 3551:2	3522:11,13,13	3534:25 3535:14
	happened 3515:10,11	<b>he's</b> 3513:19 3524:5,7	<b>improper</b> 3593:22	3536:4,4 3538:3
3536:15 3537:15	3515:11,16,22 3518:8	3528:15 3564:9	improve 3632:21	injunctions 3552:17
3538:17,19 3539:18	3518:10,12 3520:2,11	3575:22 3629:9	improving 3618:13	<b>injury</b> 3634:14
3539:23 3541:8,10	3521:11 3522:16,22	<b>he'll</b> 3560:24	inappropriate 3574:4	innuendo 3524:12
3544:7 3554:15	3525:13 3528:9	hide 3533:1	3575:20	inquiry 3523:6,18
3559:25 3560:16	3529:6,8 3531:5,23	hiding 3551:23	inaudible 3525:23	3541:16 3611:19,20
3563:10 3567:9	3532:16 3533:22	higher 3594:14 3623:3	incidences 3533:6	3611:24 3614:6
3569:2 3570:1,17,18 3570:22 3571:8	3539:1 3540:1	highest 3594:17	incident 3545:13	<b>inside</b> 3515:2 3545:3
3578:9 3580:13	3541:23,25 3543:18 3544:2,8,17,24	<b>Hill</b> 3560:21 3561:18 <b>hoc</b> 3514:7,10	3553:7 3596:11 3597:1 3603:11,12	3546:4 3547:8 3572:9
3581:23 3583:16,19	3558:10 3568:20	hold 3536:5 3617:10	incidents 3547:5	3574:12,13 3578:12 3583:8
3584:5 3585:12,14,22	3574:6 3578:13	holding 3534:13	3553:7	insight 3618:5
3587:8,20 3589:2	3590:20 3592:10	holistically 3532:4	inclination 3532:10,11	insist 3590:22
3597:17 3602:12,22	3594:8,19 3595:20	3545:6	include 3614:11	inspection 3541:20
3605:13,23 3606:17	3597:4 3600:13	homework 3633:23,25	3627:24 3632:18	3542:19 3602:17,25
3606:22 3607:21,24	3601:21 3602:22	hope 3617:5	3634:11	3602:25
3608:7 3609:1,21	3607:6 3611:5 3614:1	hoped 3598:4	<b>included</b> 3556:11,24	<b>instance</b> 3518:9
3610:16 3613:20	3615:19,21	hoping 3616:19	<b>includes</b> 3537:10	3519:13 3520:19,23
	happening 3546:3	hostage 3565:20,20	including 3519:25	3548:4 3568:18
3633:8,17	3625:6,9 3631:5	3566:4,5 3578:25	3526:16	3569:25 3570:9
good 3524:23 3550:18	happens 3594:7	3582:7 3583:2,4,5,7	incorrect 3522:13	3572:7 3593:11
3554:9 3570:20	3617:11	hostages 3566:4	3523:25 3600:22	instances 3634:20
3597:4 3599:22	happiness 3514:17	hound 3517:4	incredulously 3520:22	<b>instructed</b> 3533:16,24
	happy 3513:5,7	hour 3593:23	indebted 3595:6	3537:20 3539:20
3630:17	3514:15 3594:24	house 3583:9	<b>indicate</b> 3521:19	instruction 3537:6,7
govern 3577:5,6	3595:1 3621:15	housekeeping 3524:23	3528:16 3530:10	3565:2 3630:16
0	hard 3591:15	3581:1	3532:20 3535:23	instructions 3525:17
	Hardy 3513:20	HRD 3631:13 3635:17	3541:18 3558:5	3594:5 3610:10
0	harmonious 3512:21	human 3513:14,17,18	3578:16,21 3608:6	3616:10
	hasn't 3538:25 3577:24	3580:16 3611:3,16	3616:7 3626:4 3631:7	instruments 3619:18
3586:21	3628:22 3629:10	3627:24 3631:6,7	3632:4	3620:3,4 3621:1
0	haven't 3520:2 3587:19	3632:10	indicated 3513:1,14	insufficient 3626:7
3593:4	3595:14 3606:17	hurtful 3588:3	3514:1 3519:21	3628:7
grenades 3570:25	3609:20 3614:9,12	hypotheses 3589:1	3525:20 3526:3,8	insurgence 3566:8
<b>Groenpunt</b> 3527:23	3619:20,24	I	3530:6,8,9 3539:16	insurgency 3563:17
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	head 3535:11 3536:18		3539:19 3540:10	3578:21,23 3579:5
ground 3520:12 3569:24 3592:17	3537:2,6,7,9,16	ICD 3628:12,19	3544:12,13 3551:16	intelligence 3579:24,24
/ 31 1 1.92	3540:24 3550:3 heading 3522:6	ICD's 3630:4	3551:18 3553:1	3579:25,25 3580:1,2 3580:8
group 3530:1 3531:7	3627:14	ideal 3573:6,8,11 ideally 3545:20	3554:21 3572:13 3577:14 3581:21	intend 3585:6
	hear 3513:6,8 3514:15	3557:24 3562:10	3583:15 3586:6	intended 3519:20
groups 3531:8 3532:3	3555:23 3584:14,15	3578:10	3587:8 3609:20	intending 3612:24
3538:11	3586:11 3595:18	identification 3632:18	3610:2	interact 3583:9
guess 3559:22	3614:24 3617:2	identified 3570:11	indicates 3553:14,20	interest 3551:25,25
ARCHIVE FOR	JUSTICE		,	, 

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

				Page
interested 3547:24	3523:2 3526:6,24	K	3596:6,15 3600:12,17	3636:2
3553:25 3595:20	3527:1 3529:19	Kameshni 3601:24	3602:5 3603:18	lot 3517:1 3531:13
3597:1	3535:9 3536:17	keen 3592:11 3605:10	3608:11	3544:9 3546:4,11
interesting 3532:8	3540:19 3550:24	keep 3545:11,15 3547:1	leave 3529:21 3534:21	3556:22 3558:7
interests 3515:8	3552:22 3553:3,16,22	3621:9	3600:8	3560:10 3607:23,25
3610:18,20	3555:9,12,14 3556:10		led 3520:14 3577:23	3625:16,17
internal 3520:13	3556:15,18,19,22	keeper 3526:17	3578:16 3594:15	lunch 3580:15,21
3521:2 3533:5	3558:12 3562:13	<b>Keeping</b> 3618:1	left 3560:6	lunchtime 3512:11,12
3536:21 3538:2,6	3565:13 3570:17,18	kept 3545:15,22 3546:3	legal 3513:20,21 3611:2	3609:2 3610:13
international 3619:9	3571:12,17 3572:15	3546:16,17	3617:9	lying 3606:2
3619:10,18 3620:2,10	3574:8 3578:5,17,24	kidnapping 3583:8	length 3565:6 3572:12	lying 5000.2
3621:11 3630:8	3579:6,15 3581:15,18	killed 3603:9,15,16	3623:21	M
internationally		kind 3515:21 3517:23	lengthen 3611:9	Mabutchana 3599:21
-	3582:19 3583:14	3521:3 3527:4 3536:3		
3521:12 3616:2	3584:3,22 3587:17,19	3536:4,21 3540:13	<b>lengthy</b> 3633:10	MacIntosh 3565:20
interpretation 3615:5	3589:8 3591:9 3594:2	3543:21 3557:25	lesser 3635:8	3574:1,9
interpreted 3520:5	3603:13 3605:2,18,24	3559:3 3566:13	lessons 3637:9	Madlanga 3586:2,11
3614:8	3612:13 3614:22	3579:13 3584:24	lethal 3573:12	3586:12 3598:13
interpreter 3520:4	3620:9 3625:17	3587:16 3588:7	let's 3526:5 3528:18	3608:8,10
3550:8 3614:23	3626:10,11 3629:2,4	3591:24 3594:10	3529:21 3533:20	Magwedwana 3602:7
intervention 3558:7	3634:12	3637:9	3534:21,22 3559:5	main 3525:15,18,23
interventions 3615:19	<b>I'd</b> 3523:12 3529:17	kindly 3607:12	3569:2 3576:16	3613:24
3623:11	3557:7 3569:10	kinds 3543:21 3546:22	3586:10 3589:10	making 3568:2 3574:20
introduced 3635:9	3583:5 3584:13,15	3570:4 3573:14	3597:19,20 3599:18	3575:7,19,22 3589:9
investigation 3523:8	3586:2 3629:22	3579:12	3607:5	3594:18 3609:14
3529:11	<b>I'll</b> 3519:14 3526:6	<b>Kingdom</b> 3621:17	Let's 3547:23	3613:14
invitation 3534:20	3553:10 3569:16,25	knew 3519:4 3540:9	level 3578:18 3621:11	<b>Man</b> 3554:10
3537:5	3579:23 3583:21	3554:4,19	3623:3 3627:21	managed 3531:14
invite 3618:22	3614:22	knowledge 3535:6,24	Lieutenant 3563:13,15	3545:8 3554:2
invited 3618:22	I've 3514:6 3519:11		3564:25 3574:1,9	3601:21
<b>involve</b> 3609:3	3522:23 3531:19	3540:6,8,20,22	life 3623:9	management 3581:25
<b>involved</b> 3525:11	3536:8 3537:11	3541:13,19,23 3614:3	light 3512:7,17 3558:11	3582:6,9 3616:14
3533:7 3536:21	3550:11,23 3551:5,16	3622:16	3585:17 3593:4,5	3618:14,20 3619:12
3563:11 3588:5	3554:11 3555:4	known 3521:12 3572:1	3620:7 3627:17	3620:12,15,16 3621:1
3618:18 3619:21	3557:8 3573:7	knows 3550:4	limited 3584:22	3621:7,9 3622:9
3620:6 3627:23	3577:25 3579:14	koppie 3518:13	line 3527:17 3589:2,4	3623:13 3624:16
3630:15		3553:23 3554:22		
involvement 3545:13	3583:25 3606:25	3568:20	3621:16 3629:25 3630:13	3625:1 3626:6 3627:9
	3612:12 3614:19,23			3627:22 3632:17
<b>in-service</b> 3623:14	3617:24,25 3619:6	L	lines 3529:11	3637:8,10
<b>IPID</b> 3609:14,15,16	3625:11,23 3635:16	L 3519:25 3520:1	<b>list</b> 3609:3	managing 3582:17
isn't 3513:3 3521:15	J	3546:5 3556:11,22	listen 3527:19	manner 3617:15
3527:10 3528:13		3557:9 3572:21,23	lists 3610:14	march 3571:11 3597:3
3587:13 3616:15	<b>ja</b> 3526:1 3542:11	lacks 3627:22	literally 3635:2	3617:11
isolating 3539:7	3544:4 3546:13	laid 3593:4	little 3558:23 3563:16	Marikana 3528:9
issue 3535:18 3536:17	3547:18 3550:19,22	language 3543:2	3567:23 3580:20	3541:22 3545:13,17
3536:17 3597:12,23	3559:1 3561:10	large 3579:13 3612:15	lives 3577:8	3546:20 3614:1
3598:14 3600:12	3563:12 3567:6	lastly 3622:6	<b>loco</b> 3602:17	3621:22 3636:5,5,25
3605:7 3619:7,22	3568:6 3571:15	lasts 3623:4	log 3541:20 3542:19	<b>mark</b> 3613:3
3622:4,5,5,6 3636:25	3583:10 3587:6	late 3522:18 3629:14	long 3582:19 3607:10	material 3524:16
issued 3616:24 3627:18	3598:12,25 3606:23	law 3529:25 3620:11	3621:4 3633:17	3548:1,2,11,18
3632:24	3617:24,25	3627:24	3637:11	3549:4,12 3550:5,6,9
issues 3525:16 3530:9	January 3512:1	lawful 3572:3	Longeni 3599:21	3550:25 3551:8
3531:12 3533:13	3596:24		longer 3581:1	3552:2,16 3553:7,19
3541:19 3545:4,17	<b>Jazeera</b> 3551:4	lay 3569:24	<b>Lonmin</b> 3514:9 3580:1	3554:10,11,12
3560:8 3581:20,24	3554:12 3556:13,18	lead 3635:20	look 3515:18 3525:16	3555:25 3584:4
3582:9,11,12 3600:24	3556:20	leaders 3514:8 3570:11	3525:18 3531:20	3591:14,24 3592:1
3611:23 3612:1,5,5	<b>Ja-no</b> 3553:22	3570:12 3571:11,16	3532:4,4,24 3537:9	3593:16 3594:10,12
3613:24 3615:21	<b>job</b> 3524:3 3537:9	3571:18 3580:25	3551:6 3556:21	3602:24
	3579:20	3589:14 3593:10	3560:3 3604:23	<b>Mathunjwa</b> 3575:11
3610.16 22 2621.10		3600:15 3601:8,12		
3619:16,22 3621:19		3603:5,25 3604:21	3605:1 3625:16 3634:2 3637:4	3603:8,14 matter 3538:4 3609:24
3625:16,17 3633:14	join 3513:19		1 3034 / 303 / 4	1 III3HER 1313X14 1609174
3625:16,17 3633:14 3634:8 3636:1	journalists 3527:22	3607:7		
<b>3625:16,17 3633:14</b> 3634:8 3636:1 <b>item</b> 3614:25 3629:4	journalists 3527:22 July 3535:1	3607:7 leading 3590:2 3609:18	looked 3531:13 3536:1	matters 3581:1 3596:1
3625:16,17 3633:14 3634:8 3636:1 item 3614:25 3629:4 items 3528:22	journalists 3527:22 July 3535:1 juncture 3626:3,19	3607:7 leading 3590:2 3609:18 3636:10	looked 3531:13 3536:1 looking 3531:24	<b>matters</b> 3581:1 3596:1 3633:24
3625:16,17 3633:14 3634:8 3636:1 item 3614:25 3629:4 items 3528:22 it's 3512:15 3513:8	journalists 3527:22 July 3535:1 juncture 3626:3,19 June 3512:7	3607:7 leading 3590:2 3609:18	looked 3531:13 3536:1 looking 3531:24 3548:21 3569:21	<b>matters</b> 3581:1 3596:1 3633:24 <b>Ma'am</b> 3630:20
3625:16,17 3633:14 3634:8 3636:1 item 3614:25 3629:4 items 3528:22 it's 3512:15 3513:8 3514:5 3517:2,4	journalists 3527:22 July 3535:1 juncture 3626:3,19 June 3512:7 junior 3513:17 3611:1	3607:7 leading 3590:2 3609:18 3636:10	looked 3531:13 3536:1 looking 3531:24 3548:21 3569:21 3572:23 3601:16	<b>matters</b> 3581:1 3596:1 3633:24 <b>Ma'am</b> 3630:20 <b>MBE</b> 3626:25
3625:16,17 3633:14 3634:8 3636:1 item 3614:25 3629:4 items 3528:22 it's 3512:15 3513:8 3514:5 3517:2,4 3518:18,18 3520:24	journalists 3527:22 July 3535:1 juncture 3626:3,19 June 3512:7	3607:7 leading 3590:2 3609:18 3636:10 leans 3634:17 learn 3635:22 3637:9	looked 3531:13 3536:1 looking 3531:24 3548:21 3569:21 3572:23 3601:16 3620:19 3637:3	matters 3581:1 3596:1 3633:24 Ma'am 3630:20 MBE 3626:25 Mcho 3599:21
3625:16,17 3633:14 3634:8 3636:1 item 3614:25 3629:4 items 3528:22 it's 3512:15 3513:8 3514:5 3517:2,4	journalists 3527:22 July 3535:1 juncture 3626:3,19 June 3512:7 junior 3513:17 3611:1 J-E-L-E 3611:1	3607:7 leading 3590:2 3609:18 3636:10 leans 3634:17	looked 3531:13 3536:1 looking 3531:24 3548:21 3569:21 3572:23 3601:16	<b>matters</b> 3581:1 3596:1 3633:24 <b>Ma'am</b> 3630:20 <b>MBE</b> 3626:25

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

#### Rustenburg

				Page 8
Mckintosh 3546:21	3534:10,11,12	3599:9,10 3610:23	3532:23 3533:18	3530:15,16 3535:9,19
mean 3516:5,7,24	minister 3620:1,25	names 3599:20 3600:4	3536:23 3538:2,5	3536:22 3538:1,8
3520:1 3546:10	3627:11	3600:11 3607:11	3539:13 3540:1,8	3541:7 3551:12
3552:8,12 3607:18	ministry 3616:25	narrative 3574:11	3541:25 3544:10	3554:8 3581:3
3613:12 3615:3	3617:4 3627:7 3628:6	narrow 3634:10	3546:2 3547:1 3548:8	3590:13 3593:23
3620:5,14 3622:21	3632:24 3633:5	national 3525:17	3548:15 3551:5,7	3611:6 3619:4
means 3516:12 3517:6	minute 3550:21	3526:10,11 3552:17	3552:23 3554:23	object 3528:7 3586:18
3546:7 3571:8	3616:16	3592:15 3616:10	3555:10,17 3556:23	objected 3574:4
3582:12 3593:24	misapprehension	3631:6 3632:10	3557:5,7 3561:13	objecting 3586:20
3624:25	3592:1	nationally 3616:2	3576:9 3578:11	<b>objection</b> 3529:13
measures 3618:5	misbehaves 3569:15	3635:17	3583:5 3590:12	3562:17,19 3573:24
media 3548:3,6,9,16	missing 3601:17	nature 3516:17	3592:24 3635:10	3576:17 3587:12
3551:17 3555:2,21	misunderstood	3541:24 3573:16	new 3595:4,17 3628:23	3589:25
	3620:13,20	3578:11 3587:11	3635:20	
3556:2,13,20 3588:10				objections 3587:9
3588:16 3590:6	MKHWANZI 3563:13	nearly 3633:18	news 3527:18 3528:22	<b>objects</b> 3634:14
3591:3,3 3594:15	Mlambisa 3599:10	necessarily 3537:25	newspaper 3527:22	3636:10
3595:3,9,11,12	model 3634:9,10,15,24	3616:15	NIA 3580:1	obligation 3624:25
meet 3521:10,11	3634:25 3635:1,6,9	necessary 3547:1	<b>night</b> 3578:13	obligatory 3624:14
3539:10	3635:20 3636:9	3605:2	<b>nine</b> 3521:10	observation 3525:4
meeting 3512:9,11,16	models 3634:23 3637:8	<b>need</b> 3533:13,14	nine-day 3520:21	3574:20
3514:24 3520:20,21	Mohale 3599:20	3555:13 3559:8	3521:1	obtained 3634:4
3521:2 3525:23	moment 3524:19	3572:16 3581:24	NIU 3579:12	<b>obvious</b> 3536:13,20
3538:5 3539:13	3538:20 3555:3	3604:17 3613:8,11	<b>nod</b> 3515:1	3537:14,23 3634:12
3543:14,21 3546:22	3600:4 3605:7	3614:21 3619:17	nodding 3523:1	obviously 3528:13
3549:16 3580:25	moments 3591:25	3624:15,22 3625:14	Nokukhanya 3513:16	3533:23 3561:19
3584:6 3596:2	Monday 3600:3,7	3628:8 3629:19	non-commission	3576:1 3585:13
3598:13 3609:1	<b>monitor</b> 3616:8	3630:17 3631:12	3624:6	3588:1 3600:19
3610:12	monitoring 3618:11	3632:5,22	non-lethal 3573:12	3601:8 3605:2
member 3526:18	month 3570:6 3597:10	needs 3532:5 3534:4	normal 3532:14	3633:24
3556:8 3563:1,8	3625:10	3615:1 3623:15	3533:12 3540:19	occasion 3603:1,9
3622:12 3624:2	months 3603:19	3631:8,18,22 3632:3	3571:10 3579:6	<b>OCT</b> 3623:4
3625:1,11	<b>moo</b> 3595:24	3632:5,8,17	normally 3582:20	October 3607:7
members 3514:23	morning 3588:20	negotiate 3566:22	3623:23 3624:8,17	offend 3546:14
3520:15 3558:5,24	3600:3 3612:21	3569:2 3572:9	3625:6	office 3537:6,7,9,16
3560:2,10 3562:7	3613:10 3629:15	3575:22 3577:5	Northern 3537:2,11	3581:17 3582:8,10
3570:19 3581:19			notice 3583:24,25	
	3633:24 3637:17	3578:12,18 3581:24 3634:16		3618:22 3631:5,6,10 officer 3534:13,23
3582:11 3602:18	mountain 3562:2		3586:14	,
3615:21,23 3623:18	3565:12 3568:23	<b>negotiating</b> 3569:24	November 3596:14	3535:1 3537:2 3540:5
3624:5,14,20 3625:8	3569:1,3	3570:15 3574:4	3600:16 3607:7	officers 3530:1 3620:12
3625:13,15,20	move 3554:6 3585:18	negotiation 3566:4	Ntakana 3599:21	3623:2 3624:6
3627:14,22 3630:3,6	3585:19,24 3587:5	3569:19,20 3574:8	Ntsebeza's 3522:12	offices 3582:7 3597:3
3630:7,14 3632:5	moved 3537:11 3586:5	3576:23 3581:20,24	<b>NUI</b> 3579:12	3602:19,20
3635:21 3636:16	<b>movement</b> 3635:4	3582:7,11,13,16	NUM 3514:9 3574:7	official 3600:6
member's 3623:9	moving 3557:15	3583:4,6,7 3617:10	3575:18 3584:1,4,21	officialdom 3529:2
memory 3523:15	<b>Mpofu</b> 3596:6,15,16	3634:11	3586:6,18 3595:18	officials 3622:9
mention 3549:10	3597:14,18,20,22	negotiations 3569:19	3596:12 3597:3	3632:17
3556:18 3584:23	3598:3,6,12,25	3573:25 3574:23	3602:18,19,20 3603:3	<b>oh</b> 3555:4 3593:8
mentioned 3524:25	3599:4,7,10,15,20	3575:17 3576:6	3603:21	3615:14 3617:1
3541:15,24 3550:13	3600:4,10 3601:7	3577:2	number 3559:5 3560:5	okay 3530:24 3534:21
3551:1 3619:15	3602:9 3603:18	negotiator 3565:16,21	3561:7,9,17 3596:5	3552:11 3559:12,12
3622:11 3624:13	3604:4,19,22 3605:1	3565:24 3566:16,17	3612:5 3619:9	3561:5 3586:23
		3566:18,19,20	3625:23 3626:25	3599:17 3614:22
3631:17	3003:3,11,13.10.20	5500.10.17.20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3605:5,11,15,16,20 3605:22,24 3606:4,8			3615:10 3617:1
merely 3593:6 3594:19	3605:22,24 3606:4,8	3568:21,24 3569:21	3628:15,24	3615:10 3617:1 old 3570:20
<b>merely</b> 3593:6 3594:19 <b>merits</b> 3528:9	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20	<b>old</b> 3570:20
<b>merely</b> 3593:6 3594:19 <b>merits</b> 3528:9 <b>mess</b> 3523:4	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13
<b>merely</b> 3593:6 3594:19 <b>merits</b> 3528:9 <b>mess</b> 3523:4 <b>message</b> 3603:14,15	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15
<b>merely</b> 3593:6 3594:19 <b>merits</b> 3528:9 <b>mess</b> 3523:4 <b>message</b> 3603:14,15 <b>messed</b> 3522:23	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 <b>Mpofu's</b> 3601:24	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21 methods 3634:12	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 <b>Mpofu's</b> 3601:24 <b>Msimang</b> 3602:2	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20 3565:11 3568:22	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9 3573:2 3593:13	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9 once-off 3623:7,11
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21 methods 3634:12 metres 3635:2	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 <b>Mpofu's</b> 3601:24 <b>Msimang</b> 3602:2 <b>murdered</b> 3602:21	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20 3565:11 3568:22 3572:20 3573:2	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9 once-off 3623:7,11 open 3596:14
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21 methods 3634:12 metres 3635:2 mind 3520:12 3554:16	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 <b>Mpofu's</b> 3601:24 <b>Msimang</b> 3602:2 <b>murdered</b> 3602:21 <b>Musi</b> 3572:14	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20 3565:11 3568:22 3572:20 3573:2 3574:9,21 3575:20	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9 3573:2 3593:13 3606:2 3609:7	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9 once-off 3623:7,11 open 3596:14 operated 3512:5
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21 methods 3634:12 metres 3635:2 mind 3520:12 3554:16 3555:8,18 3570:18	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 <b>Mpofu's</b> 3601:24 <b>Msimang</b> 3602:2 <b>murdered</b> 3602:21 <b>Musi</b> 3572:14 <b>mustn't</b> 3524:6	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20 3565:11 3568:22 3572:20 3573:2 3574:9,21 3575:20 3576:7 3577:10	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9 3573:2 3593:13 3606:2 3609:7 0	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9 once-off 3623:7,11 open 3596:14 operated 3512:5 operating 3610:19
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21 methods 3634:12 metres 3635:2 mind 3520:12 3554:16 3555:8,18 3570:18 3602:13 3615:3	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 <b>Mpofu's</b> 3601:24 <b>Msimang</b> 3602:2 <b>murdered</b> 3602:21 <b>Musi</b> 3572:14	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20 3565:11 3568:22 3572:20 3573:2 3574:9,21 3575:20 3576:7 3577:10 3581:13,17 3582:3,22	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9 3573:2 3593:13 3606:2 3609:7 <u>O</u> oath 3512:23 3514:19	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9 once-off 3623:7,11 open 3596:14 operated 3512:5 operating 3610:19 operation 3536:14
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21 methods 3634:12 metres 3635:2 mind 3520:12 3554:16 3555:8,18 3570:18 3602:13 3615:3 3618:2 3636:5,6	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 <b>Mpofu's</b> 3601:24 <b>Msimang</b> 3602:2 <b>murdered</b> 3602:21 <b>Musi</b> 3572:14 <b>mustn't</b> 3524:6 <b>MV</b> 3526:10	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20 3565:11 3568:22 3572:20 3573:2 3574:9,21 3575:20 3576:7 3577:10 3581:13,17 3582:3,22 3583:1,2	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9 3573:2 3593:13 3606:2 3609:7 <u>O</u> oath 3512:23 3514:19 3516:2,7,20,21	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9 once-off 3623:7,11 open 3596:14 operated 3512:5 operating 3610:19 operation 3536:14 3537:18 3540:17,25
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21 methods 3634:12 metres 3635:2 mind 3520:12 3554:16 3555:8,18 3570:18 3602:13 3615:3 3618:2 3636:5,6 miners 3535:4	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 Mpofu's 3601:24 Msimang 3602:2 murdered 3602:21 Musi 3572:14 mustn't 3524:6 MV 3526:10 N	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20 3565:11 3568:22 3572:20 3573:2 3574:9,21 3575:20 3576:7 3577:10 3581:13,17 3582:3,22 3583:1,2 <b>neither</b> 3535:12 3536:7	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9 3573:2 3593:13 3606:2 3609:7 <u>O</u> oath 3512:23 3514:19 3516:2,7,20,21 3517:23 3519:8,9	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9 once-off 3623:7,11 open 3596:14 operated 3512:5 operating 3610:19 operation 3536:14 3537:18 3540:17,25 3561:25 3562:5,11
merely 3593:6 3594:19 merits 3528:9 mess 3523:4 message 3603:14,15 messed 3522:23 met 3546:21 methods 3634:12 metres 3635:2 mind 3520:12 3554:16 3555:8,18 3570:18 3602:13 3615:3 3618:2 3636:5,6	3605:22,24 3606:4,8 3606:11,15,19,23 3607:2,5 3608:1,4,11 3608:15,23 3609:6,12 3609:19,23 3610:1,6 Mpofu's 3601:24 Msimang 3602:2 murdered 3602:21 Musi 3572:14 mustn't 3524:6 MV 3526:10 N name 3548:20 3550:2	3568:21,24 3569:21 3570:10,15 3571:2,12 3573:10 3575:12,14 3575:24 3577:3,7 3578:11,25,25 <b>negotiators</b> 3543:20 3565:11 3568:22 3572:20 3573:2 3574:9,21 3575:20 3576:7 3577:10 3581:13,17 3582:3,22 3583:1,2	3628:15,24 <b>numbers</b> 3536:20 3559:4 3579:13 <b>Nyala</b> 3572:20 3578:12 3605:11,12,13 <b>Nyalas</b> 3520:25 3572:9 3573:2 3593:13 3606:2 3609:7 <u>O</u> oath 3512:23 3514:19 3516:2,7,20,21	old 3570:20 omission 3614:13 onboard 3532:15 once 3601:1 3612:24,25 3623:9 once-off 3623:7,11 open 3596:14 operated 3512:5 operating 3610:19 operation 3536:14 3537:18 3540:17,25

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

				Page
3630:18	overall 3579:17	3608:6,20 3609:2	<b>Phiyega</b> 3526:10	3621:4 3633:16,17,19
operational 3526:14,18	overnight 3633:23	3612:17 3629:4	photographers 3591:10	3633:21
3537:2,3 3549:25	overstep 3634:19	partly 3558:2	photographs 3527:24	pointed 3592:6
3562:11,21 3563:7		party 3513:8,9 3526:19	phrase 3516:20	points 3513:10 3585:3
3564:5 3582:12	P	3601:12	3594:15	3589:11 3597:19,21
3615:2	pacing 3537:8	party's 3597:11	pick 3540:20 3556:19	3608:25
operations 3592:23	page 3526:14 3615:8,8	passing 3549:18 3556:7	3556:20 3635:5	police 3514:9 3518:2,10
3594:7	3615:12,13 3617:3	patience 3611:12	picture 3574:11	3518:12 3523:6
operator 3549:7,18,22	3621:1 3622:24	<b>Paton</b> 3614:11	3608:13	3530:1 3531:13
3551:18 3552:2,13	3627:13 3628:19	pause 3626:18	pictures 3554:2 3574:7	3533:7 3535:4
3553:1,7 3554:9	3633:1,7,9,13 3634:6	pay 3599:23 3616:1	<b>Pillay</b> 3600:20 3602:1	3541:14 3542:3,14
3555:4 3557:8	3634:6,21	<b>PCT</b> 3623:1	3612:20,23 3613:5	3548:7,11,12,14,18
3592:14	pages 3546:12	peaceful 3566:22	3626:19,22 3627:1	3549:4,13 3550:9
operators 3591:19	paid 3521:14,15	3569:20 3572:3,17,17	3628:14,16,22,25	3552:14,14,19 3555:6
3594:5,21 3597:7	papers 3527:19	peacefully 3577:18	3629:6	3556:14 3557:7,10,11
opinion 3551:19 3553:5	3587:21	peculiar 3597:9	pillaymichael@lrc.or	3559:4 3561:7
3554:3 3558:12	para 3524:21 3525:2	people 3515:4 3521:10	3602:1	3571:21,23 3572:2,7
3621:21	3584:23	3523:10 3532:2	pinches 3610:15	3572:8,16 3573:8
opinions 3612:6	paragraph 3522:5,21	3535:5 3540:18	place 3520:14 3522:10	3574:2,9,12,13,24,25
opportune 3555:3	3530:21 3535:25	3541:15 3542:24	3525:21,22 3536:1	3575:2,20,24 3576:7
		3552:2 3559:14	·	
<b>opportunity</b> 3513:22 3528:15 3536:3	3538:3,23 3540:7 3584:3 3601:18	3560:20,20,21	3540:15 3541:18,20 3542:9 3547:5	3576:9 3577:7 3582:2 3589:5 3593:7 3607:8
3538:7 3612:9,10	3614:5,16 3617:4,5	3561:15,17,20 3562:1	3553:24 3558:4	3616:25 3617:4
3617:14 3626:16	3617:18,19 3618:9	3566:21 3568:20,23	3560:2 3562:6	3618:3 3625:18
3635:3	3622:12,23 3626:4,9	3569:22 3571:3,18,25	3564:25 3569:21	3626:6 3627:7,23
<b>oppose</b> 3585:7	3627:20 3633:9,13,13	3573:10 3575:18,21	3570:18 3573:7	3628:6,20 3629:3
opposition 3583:24	3634:6 3636:7	3577:12 3578:18	3579:13 3592:16	3633:5 3634:15,19,20
3584:1,22,24 3585:8	parliament 3628:11,21	3582:21 3597:15	3595:22 3608:6	3635:2 3637:2,2
3585:13,14,17	parliamentary 3627:18	3600:25 3601:1,9,9	3621:10 3623:8	<b>policeman</b> 3551:1
3587:20	3628:13	3601:11,14 3603:15	3632:22	<b>policemen</b> 3561:18
<b>Oprah</b> 3568:8	part 3513:19 3521:20	3604:9 3605:1 3606:2	placed 3578:22	<b>policies</b> 3616:9 3618:12
<b>OPS</b> 3579:17	3521:22 3532:11	3607:23 3608:2	plan 3525:22 3564:15	3619:7 3621:16,16
opted 3570:23	3533:10 3534:14	3609:7 3610:15	3564:20 3565:2	policing 3549:8 3558:5
option 3571:1	3536:6,23 3537:4,5	3631:13 3634:14	3571:20 3572:1,15	3559:10 3581:13,14
optional 3546:2	3537:13,21 3538:2,15	pepper 3570:21	3577:10,11,25 3578:1	3581:18 3582:10,23
order 3514:4,12 3522:3	3539:15,24 3542:18	3573:14	3578:2,3,4 3617:14	3615:11 3625:24
3522:21 3523:19,20	3542:19,20,21 3543:3	peremptory 3535:14	3622:5	3627:15 3630:7
3524:21 3525:3	3543:17 3549:10,20	performed 3558:4	planned 3580:2	3634:8,10
3549:8 3558:5	3554:21 3557:5	period 3512:4 3520:23	planners 3564:25	policy 3614:2 3616:1
3559:10 3576:25	3565:1 3573:9	3521:1,13 3604:12,17	planning 3622:5	3618:19 3619:11
3581:13,14,17	3582:20 3600:5	3625:3	3634:21	3621:9,19 3627:4,10
3582:10,23 3584:8,15	3614:25 3619:16,23	permissible 3570:10	<b>plans</b> 3571:14	3632:24 3637:10
3586:14 3592:7	3631:24	permission 3537:18	platoon 3622:12,19,19	<b>pop</b> 3526:4,14,21
3595:17 3615:24	partial 3584:1	permits 3623:16	3623:1,2,15 3624:2,5	3540:24 3544:1
3619:10 3622:3	participants 3636:21	3624:5	3624:7,7,11	3557:25 3558:1,13,19
3625:24 3627:14	participate 3618:23	permitted 3570:4	platoons 3635:7	3558:24 3559:5,11,14
3630:6 3636:6,8	participated 3525:9	3585:24	play 3531:12 3611:17	3560:1,4,20 3561:18
3637:9	3538:22	perpetrators 3533:8	played 3524:10	3561:20,25 3562:5,6
orders 3524:3,5	particular 3519:24	persist 3576:17	plead 3598:25	3562:11,15,22 3563:1
3525:16 3526:9	3529:9 3537:21	person 3530:14	please 3512:13,20	3563:8,17 3564:10,13
3544:22,23 3550:5	3540:15 3596:11	3534:15 3536:13,21	3514:20 3528:24	3564:16,16 3565:24
3556:1 3573:15	3598:15 3603:24	3537:14,22,23 3538:4	3561:4 3568:16	3565:24 3566:16,17
3592:6	3604:8 3605:14	3540:23 3544:16	3600:2 3607:4	3566:18,19 3568:22
ordinary 3559:15	3607:16 3611:17	3549:8,17 3564:16	3610:22 3617:18	3569:18 3570:10,19
origin 3608:12	3612:8 3617:3 3618:1	3565:1 3579:3,8	pleases 3601:12	3571:2 3573:8 3577:3
original 3623:21	3623:4,17 3633:16	3583:9 3598:18	<b>PMT</b> 3624:5	3578:10,23 3579:3,7
0		2500 11 2602 4 5 16	pocket 3545:11	3579:9,13 3580:2
ought 3635:5	3635:20	3599:11 3603:4,7,16		
outlets 3548:3	3635:20 particularly 3512:17	3620:6 3622:18	<b>point</b> 3512:25 3524:1,2	3581:18 3582:16,17
outlets 3548:3 outline 3622:8	3635:20 <b>particularly</b> 3512:17 3520:9 3526:7 3533:6	3620:6 3622:18 <b>personnel</b> 3563:10		3581:18 3582:16,17 3591:14 3594:21
outlets 3548:3 outline 3622:8 outnumber 3560:21	3635:20 particularly 3512:17	3620:6 3622:18	<b>point</b> 3512:25 3524:1,2	
outlets 3548:3 outline 3622:8	3635:20 <b>particularly</b> 3512:17 3520:9 3526:7 3533:6	3620:6 3622:18 <b>personnel</b> 3563:10	<b>point</b> 3512:25 3524:1,2 3524:18,22 3528:19	3591:14 3594:21
outlets 3548:3 outline 3622:8 outnumber 3560:21	3635:20 <b>particularly</b> 3512:17 3520:9 3526:7 3533:6 3534:16 3535:2	3620:6 3622:18 personnel 3563:10 persons 3582:15	point 3512:25 3524:1,2 3524:18,22 3528:19 3530:18 3539:3	3591:14 3594:21 3625:2 3626:5
outlets 3548:3 outline 3622:8 outnumber 3560:21 outnumbered 3561:18	3635:20 <b>particularly</b> 3512:17 3520:9 3526:7 3533:6 3534:16 3535:2 3536:13 3578:13	3620:6 3622:18 personnel 3563:10 persons 3582:15 3597:24 3604:21	point 3512:25 3524:1,2 3524:18,22 3528:19 3530:18 3539:3 3540:3 3557:13,16	3591:14 3594:21 3625:2 3626:5 3632:16 3635:21
outlets 3548:3 outline 3622:8 outnumber 3560:21 outnumbered 3561:18 outside 3547:10	3635:20 <b>particularly</b> 3512:17 3520:9 3526:7 3533:6 3534:16 3535:2 3536:13 3578:13 3596:25	3620:6 3622:18 personnel 3563:10 persons 3582:15 3597:24 3604:21 perspective 3571:21	point 3512:25 3524:1,2 3524:18,22 3528:19 3530:18 3539:3 3540:3 3557:13,16 3564:9 3573:22	3591:14 3594:21 3625:2 3626:5 3632:16 3635:21 <b>POPs</b> 3535:12 3536:14
outlets 3548:3 outline 3622:8 outnumber 3560:21 outnumbered 3561:18 outside 3547:10 3572:20 3573:2	3635:20 particularly 3512:17 3520:9 3526:7 3533:6 3534:16 3535:2 3536:13 3578:13 3596:25 particulars 3520:8	3620:6 3622:18 personnel 3563:10 persons 3582:15 3597:24 3604:21 perspective 3571:21 3595:19 3618:4	point 3512:25 3524:1,2 3524:18,22 3528:19 3530:18 3539:3 3540:3 3557:13,16 3564:9 3573:22 3575:19,19,22	3591:14 3594:21 3625:2 3626:5 3632:16 3635:21 <b>POPs</b> 3535:12 3536:14 3536:17,18 3540:25
outlets 3548:3 outline 3622:8 outnumber 3560:21 outnumbered 3561:18 outside 3547:10 3572:20 3573:2 3574:13 3575:12	3635:20 particularly 3512:17 3520:9 3526:7 3533:6 3534:16 3535:2 3536:13 3578:13 3596:25 particulars 3520:8 parties 3512:9 3514:2	3620:6 3622:18 personnel 3563:10 persons 3582:15 3597:24 3604:21 perspective 3571:21 3595:19 3618:4 persuasive 3634:12	point 3512:25 3524:1,2 3524:18,22 3528:19 3530:18 3539:3 3540:3 3557:13,16 3564:9 3573:22 3575:19,19,22 3576:10 3590:16	3591:14 3594:21 3625:2 3626:5 3632:16 3635:21 <b>POPs</b> 3535:12 3536:14 3536:17,18 3540:25 3544:22,23 3547:13

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

#### Rustenburg

5				Dogo 1
				Page 1
portfolio 3527:23	3547:3 3556:7 3604:2	3610:9	public 3549:8 3551:25	3572:13 3573:3,25
3627:18 3628:13,20	presence 3540:1,10	properly 3521:23	3558:5 3559:9	3574:16 3575:23
3629:3	3599:3 3611:15	3531:18 3538:16	3581:13,14,17	3576:14,18 3581:11
<b>portion</b> 3624:1	present 3567:13	3545:1 3549:6	3582:10,23 3608:13	3583:14,20 3591:8
posed 3590:11	3574:24 3584:19	3571:14 3625:25	3617:10,12 3625:23	3609:3,17 3620:14
posing 3634:20	3597:2 3598:22	property 3634:15	3627:14 3628:2	questioned 3545:8
position 3516:9 3537:4	3635:22	3636:10,24	3630:6	3550:17
3537:12,16,20	presentation 3531:10	proposal 3530:4	purport 3578:12	questioning 3527:17
3544:10,14 3551:21	3584:5,11 3628:12,19	propose 3517:24	<b>purpose</b> 3521:23	3589:3
3552:1 3553:23	3629:2	3554:6 3568:19	3525:16,25 3534:20	questions 3513:6,15
3554:18 3555:21	presented 3512:6	3569:23 3570:12	3538:6 3539:13,25	3515:20 3523:10
3571:12,13 3577:17	3632:13	3574:15 3594:22	3550:12 3551:5	3524:6,7 3542:15
3582:24 3583:9	President 3512:7	3598:15 3599:12	purposes 3528:5	3546:15 3554:7
3584:21 3604:2	press 3529:6	3606:14 3610:12	3540:16	3557:20,20 3561:1,2
3613:10 3618:10	presumable 3602:23	proposed 3615:20	<b>pursue</b> 3590:22	3561:5 3567:3,15
3619:21 3624:9,22	<b>presume</b> 3615:3	proposing 3561:23	3592:18	3569:13 3575:16
3626:10 3628:6	3618:17 3623:25	3596:2 3597:13	pursued 3592:13	3576:5 3592:5
3630:4 3631:14	presumed 3570:11	3598:8	pursuing 3527:17	3596:12 3611:7,8
3635:7	previously 3514:1	proposition 3517:19	<b>put</b> 3513:11,11 3515:19	3620:14 3621:24
positions 3543:19	3612:1	3520:1 3558:10	3516:12 3518:6	3637:7
<b>positive</b> 3594:18	prima 3584:13	3562:8 3568:8 3569:5	3520:6 3523:19	quick 3598:13
possibilities 3518:17	Principles 3620:23	3569:6,7 3570:7	3524:14,24 3532:2	quickly 3542:23
3519:1,5 3590:23	prior 3513:25 3544:5	3571:2 3577:3	3534:9 3537:25	quite 3534:24 3570:10
possibility 3518:19	privy 3517:12 3562:1	3593:25 3594:14	3551:9 3557:21	3577:3 3582:19
3524:14,15,24 3590:1	3589:6,12	3610:7	3558:11 3559:25	3590:18 3594:3
3590:12 3593:25	probing 3594:19	propositions 3589:8	3564:25 3565:5	3602:7 3608:11
3594:5,11,20 3608:16	3595:23	pros 3540:17	3572:13 3573:6	3610:13 3611:14
3635:4	problem 3558:7	protect 3572:16	3574:2 3576:3	3622:16 3633:17
possible 3512:11	3584:12 3602:15	protected 3571:22	3577:11 3589:7,25	quotation 3588:11
3522:7 3555:14	3607:6 3624:11	3577:9	3590:12 3592:3	quote 3569:13 3588:13
3585:23 3586:6	3637:5	protecting 3572:2	3594:13 3602:25	3617:5 3627:19
3588:6 3589:11	problems 3623:17	3578:2	3605:6,25 3609:8,17	3628:3 3633:12
3612:21	procedurally 3534:18	protest 3560:8 3566:21	3610:22 3620:9	<b>quoting</b> 3588:10
possibly 3514:11	3579:20	3566:22 3570:3	3632:21	<b>quoting</b> 5568.10
3587:3 3603:2	procedure 3592:21	3572:3,17 3577:6	puts 3513:8 3568:7	R
post 3521:16	procedures 3522:21 procedures 3525:17	3617:11 3628:2	<b>Putsa</b> 3602:8	<b>R</b> 3616:19,22 3620:2
postulates 3589:1	3577:16 3617:10	protesters 3634:13	putting 3517:18 3518:9	<b>X</b> 3610.19,22 3620.2 3621:2 3627:13,13
Potchefstroom 3520:20	proceed 3514:6 3516:6	3636:9	3521:4 3522:9	radio 3527:19
3521:20,25 3524:4	3519:3 3529:13,15	protestor 3560:4	3540:25 3546:14,16	raise 3583:21 3595:16
3525:10,12 3531:2,4	3536:16 3573:3	protestors 3574:2,10	3557:21 3571:3	3596:2,4 3597:13,17
3534:20,22 3538:24	proceeding 3577:4	3574:13 3576:7	<b>P-O-P</b> 3559:15	3597:19 3600:23,24
3539:1 3547:19,20	proceedings 3512:1	protests 3569:20	1-0-1 3339.13	3610:4,5
potential 3572:14	3600:6 3610:24	3617:12	Q	raised 3524:1 3528:19
3618:5 3636:3	3611:9	provide 3570:14	qualification 3571:16	3528:23 3583:21
practical 3608:15,24	proceeds 3577:7	3607:18 3608:8	qualify 3568:11	3590:23 3597:19
•	-		3569:10	3598:10
3624:1,3 practices 3628:1	<b>process</b> 3536:23 3537:4 3611:13,18,19	3612:22 3613:10		
3630:8	3611:13,18,19 3619:16 3631:20	3630:6,17 provided 3535:25	<b>quality</b> 3604:14	raises 3617:13
		provided 3535:25	quarter 3600:2,7	raising 3518:25
<b>prayer</b> 3584:12	3632:16,18,20	3548:3 3590:17	<b>question</b> 3518:3	rank 3534:13,23,24
preferably 3514:11	3633:10 3635:8	3609:4 3612:3,6,21	3519:20,21 3522:19	3535:12 3536:5,6
prejudice 3590:4	produce 3527:9	3626:5 3629:5,10,12	3522:23 3523:11,15	3540:3,5 3552:1,14
prejudiced 3588:6	produced 3557:3	3629:14	3524:19 3525:5	reach 3577:17 3578:6
prejudicial 3518:1	3596:16 3606:13	provides 3540:7	3528:14 3529:12	3608:23
<b>preliminary</b> 3613:2,6	producing 3607:9	3634:13,15 3635:3,7	3532:17,19 3537:25	reached 3598:19
premature 3585:11	<b>product</b> 3531:6,20,21	<b>province</b> 3559:9,9,10	3538:21,24,25 3541:3	3613:22
premise 3519:4	3538:12,13,14	3623:17	3541:8,11 3544:5,12	reaching 3619:7
3571:17	3548:22	provinces 3558:19	3546:16 3549:1,14,16	reaction 3628:5
premised 3572:2,16	profess 3522:12	3559:5,9 3561:7	3550:8,25 3551:15	reactive 3617:15
preparation 3544:7	profession 3594:18	3562:7	3556:7,14,21 3557:1	read 3526:6 3527:19,24
3547:25 3548:2	professional 3628:1	provincial 3537:2	3557:5,8 3558:9,9,13	3528:3,4 3565:10
prepare 3525:19	programmes 3620:18	provision 3617:9	3558:15 3560:14,15	3578:3 3600:4,11
2 = 222.2102 = 42.15	3632:12	provisional 3612:11	3560:16,19,19,24	3612:10,12,13 3621:4
3533:20 3543:15		2612.15 2676.5 15 10	3562:24 3563:1	3626:16 3628:19
3603:21	promote 3569:20	3613:15 3626:5,15,18		
3603:21 prepared 3530:10	3572:17	3626:20 3634:3	3564:8,8 3567:2,4,9	3633:23
3603:21 prepared 3530:10 3545:2 3610:14	3572:17 promoting 3572:3	3626:20 3634:3 provocative 3635:6	3564:8,8 3567:2,4,9 3567:11,11,15,18,19	3633:23 <b>reading</b> 3637:3
3603:21 prepared 3530:10	3572:17	3626:20 3634:3	3564:8,8 3567:2,4,9	3633:23

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

			1 J	
	1	r	r	Page
3580:15 3610:21	3633:8 3636:6	remarkable 3521:9	3546:20 3583:25	<b>row</b> 3581:7
real 3556:5 3557:2	reference 3534:8	3527:3 3541:1,11	3588:19 3589:11	rubber 3571:1
realised 3555:1	3603:6 3613:14	3552:20	3593:4 3594:8	rules 3569:24 3570:13
3566:20		remedied 3614:13		
	3619:8 3620:1		3606:25 3619:12	3570:23 3577:5
reality 3636:14	referred 3538:23	remedy 3614:14	3622:1 3631:24	ruling 3529:22 3574:1
really 3512:10 3517:2,2	3551:1 3612:25	remember 3521:23	respective 3618:22	3576:1
3524:22 3529:7	3620:25 3634:7	3523:19,23 3535:10	respects 3634:7	running 3625:8
3530:7 3539:15	referring 3521:22	3545:1 3549:6 3588:4	respond 3617:15	<b>R1s</b> 3579:11
3545:18 3546:2,4,10	3522:1 3528:22	3596:7 3607:10	<b>response</b> 3523:10	
3546:10,25 3551:9,15	3542:19 3552:3	3611:6	3537:3,25	S
3554:18 3567:25	3583:7 3592:13	remind 3512:23	responsibilities 3614:6	<b>S</b> 3526:6 3529:14
			-	
3577:23 3602:12	3605:15 3620:1	3514:19 3523:21	3615:1 3616:8	3534:10
3626:10	3621:18 3623:25	3535:9,15 3570:20	3631:18,20	<b>saga</b> 3563:11
reason 3525:18 3527:2	3632:25 3633:4	3581:3 3611:6	responsibility 3530:1	sake 3524:23
3532:25 3556:5	refers 3593:12	reminded 3611:5	3620:7	<b>SAPS</b> 3519:15 3521:1
3557:2 3560:22	reflected 3552:15	reminder 3514:20	responsible 3627:9	3526:11 3550:1
3561:20 3590:21	reflection 3592:16	remotely 3593:20	3629:16	3551:17 3554:21
3611:20	reflects 3592:23	remove 3529:3	rest 3569:12 3629:4	
				3555:13 3562:25
reasons 3538:3 3575:1	reformulate 3591:22	repeat 3542:22 3611:25	restrain 3635:4	3565:11 3578:2
3577:22 3586:5	reformulations	reply 3520:5 3524:1,9	result 3546:2 3602:2	3584:24 3585:2
3600:25	3595:13	3524:25	3625:21	3589:9 3590:17
recall 3539:4 3554:13	refresh 3628:16	report 3512:6 3527:21	resulted 3535:3	3591:2,3,15,19
receive 3581:21	refreshed 3512:20	3527:22 3588:17	3540:18	3592:1 3617:13
3582:16,23 3583:1	3624:15	3603:9 3614:11	resumes 3512:2 3541:5	3621:22 3622:9
3602:1 3610:8	refresher 3623:12,18	reported 3529:6	3541:6 3580:22,23	3623:9 3624:25
3612:17 3625:18	3623:20,22 3624:14	<b>reporter</b> 3594:15	3581:6 3619:2,3	3627:22 3630:5
received 3512:21	3624:19,21 3625:8,13	3595:3	resumption 3580:24	3632:10 3634:8,23
3583:24,25 3596:23	3625:16,22 3627:23	reports 3527:21	return 3586:1 3625:2	sarcastic 3546:10
3596:24 3622:8	regard 3529:9 3536:14	3528:17 3529:1	3634:6	sat 3538:10
3627:8	3584:7 3585:1 3586:3	represent 3515:4	revealed 3590:18	satisfactorily 3585:3,4
receiving 3582:21	3588:7 3610:18	3518:2 3520:16	reverse 3574:15 3576:1	satisfied 3556:2
3623:2	3618:20 3621:24	representative 3562:25	review 3520:14 3521:2	saturate 3579:13
recess 3512:3 3513:2	3622:7 3626:15	3575:15 3595:9	3533:6,14,14 3534:12	saturated 3560:2
recollection 3573:1	3627:4 3637:8	representatives	3536:21 3538:2,7,22	3562:6 3570:19
3631:2	regarding 3600:11	3512:10,10,14	3539:11,14,25 3540:4	3573:8
recommendation	3623:16	3513:23 3514:4	3540:13,14 3541:14	Saturday 3595:21,25
3533:14,15,17,18,23	regular 3630:7	3574:7 3603:2,2	3542:4,4,5,13,14	saw 3522:25 3547:7,1
3533:25 3534:3	regulation 3535:13	represented 3514:5	3589:5,11,13,17	3547:15,16,19,20,21
3539:16,18,21,25	3581:22 3582:21	3603:17	reviewal 3534:1	3547:22,24,24 3548
3630:5	3617:6	representing 3611:17	3539:24	3548:5,9,15,16
recommendations	regulations 3592:5	request 3583:14	reviewed 3535:2	3555:1 3558:9,11
3629:23 3630:13	3594:9,12,20	3585:22 3604:20	reviewing 3534:2,2	3591:18 3602:19
3631:3 3635:12	<b>rehearsal</b> 3623:14	3613:5 3631:12	3539:14	3619:13 3621:3
recommended 3543:19	3624:10	require 3599:24	revisit 3573:22	3627:10
		-		
reconciling 3631:19	rehearsals 3623:18,25	3619:10	revisiting 3538:18	saying 3517:11,18
record 3515:4 3523:22	rehearse 3624:8	required 3599:3 3636:8	rider 3605:8	3518:13,23 3526:25
3525:6 3526:17,17	related 3576:6	requirement 3534:22	ridiculous 3605:17,18	3535:11,19 3536:13
3542:3 3545:23	relates 3564:9 3584:12	3556:1	3606:24	3536:22 3537:14,19
3588:9 3599:3 3600:5	3584:22 3602:15	requirements 3550:4	riding 3609:7	3538:1,5 3542:9
3600:11 3610:22	3619:11 3630:13	requires 3635:2	right 3519:3,10	3544:6 3547:11
3611:2		rescue 3607:14	3525:10 3526:11	3548:1,16 3549:3
	relating 3618:19			-
recorded 3523:1	relation 3524:4	research 3558:23	3530:6 3536:10,12	3551:21 3552:25
3590:20 3596:18	3526:25 3529:24	3559:25 3627:21	3539:19 3543:12	3555:19 3562:2
3602:22	3545:12 3622:9	3634:23	3544:13 3545:9	3571:6 3577:9
recorders 3591:10,15	relationship 3595:23	reservations 3585:2	3547:18,22 3554:14	3579:21 3585:10
3595:15	relevance 3528:22	reserve 3558:24 3559:8	3561:8,17 3568:15	3588:13,14 3589:12
records 3600:6	relevant 3595:22	reserved 3513:2	3577:19 3584:14,16	3592:19 3602:10
			-	
rectified 3532:5	3612:17 3619:19	3559:11	3584:17 3586:7	says 3521:25 3526:14
rectify 3542:18,21	3620:11 3621:1	resolution 3607:11	3610:3 3633:20	3528:16,24 3538:4
3559:8	3633:14	resolving 3565:11	rights 3513:14,17,18	3568:8 3586:15
redirected 3603:25	relied 3579:25	resorted 3562:4	3580:16 3588:4	3590:11 3592:7
redirecting 3635:8	reluctance 3552:20	resorting 3634:18	3611:3,16 3627:25	3594:2,3,5 3597:2
refer 3536:4 3546:6,6	reluctant 3553:11	3636:10	rock 3597:6	3609:10 3615:10
	3584:8	<b>resource</b> 3631:7,7	role 3531:12 3611:17	3627:20
3614:2,4 3616:18			0.001.10	
3622:23 3627:12	remained 3557:25	3632:10	<b>room</b> 3634:13	scarcely 3586:18
			room 3634:13 round 3613:19	scarcely 3586:18 scared 3571:21

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

				Page
scenario 3562:4 3566:3	3573:25 3574:4,14,17	3635:8	3561:1 3573:21	3592:5,7 3619:10
3566:8,8,13,13	3574:19 3575:3,7,10	signs 3602:19	3575:9 3580:24	stands 3514:20 3578:1
3578:23	3576:3,16 3584:25	similar 3529:8 3621:11	3601:15 3615:6	Star 3527:22
scenarios 3562:4	3585:5,6,9 3587:2,12	Simphiwe 3601:17	3620:14 3630:23	start 3537:19 3560:22
3570:15 3592:25	3588:7,8,18,21,23	simple 3549:13	sort 3608:24	3597:22 3604:2
scene 3534:15 3536:10	3589:15,18,22	3550:25 3560:19	sound 3587:1	3610:7 3611:10
3543:6,6 3554:22	3590:10 3593:18,19	3594:3	sounds 3591:21	3613:18 3617:12
3561:16 3606:3	3594:2,23 3595:1,6	simpler 3520:7	South 3582:2 3611:2	started 3530:6 3561:19
scientific 3627:25	3603:11 3605:8,13	simplify 3544:15	3620:18 3621:16	3629:15
Scott 3563:12,12	3610:21 3622:1	simply 3516:12	speak 3516:13,16	starting 3556:18
3565:1	Semenya's 3589:24	3517:18 3518:25	3527:12 3530:8	startling 3610:7
second 3526:14	Senior 3542:20	3523:7 3526:25	3551:13 3560:18	state 3530:15
3600:12 3609:5	3543:13	3593:21 3597:2	3605:25 3623:4	stated 3600:14 3617:8
3617:23 3629:25	seniority 3514:4	3598:18 3607:6	speaking 3530:16	3630:14 3632:15
3630:5	sense 3520:8 3558:3	Sir 3515:6,13 3518:24	3584:8	3634:7 3636:7
	3571:19,20		speaks 3518:8	statement 3597:2
secondly 3536:6 3622:4	sent 3595:10,11,13	3526:23 3543:13	-	
section 3621:18	· · ·	3553:9 3564:11,22	special 3582:23	3600:21 3601:16,22
secure 3600:21	3601:23 3603:20	3568:14 3572:6	specialised 3537:10,17	3603:24 3609:11,12
see 3512:20 3513:3	Seoka 3607:22	3578:15 3581:4	3582:16,22 3631:11	3612:11 3613:7,16
3515:1 3524:13	series 3519:25	3602:12	3632:1	3614:5,10,17 3616:7
3526:13 3527:6,9	serious 3552:23	sit 3539:10 3555:15	<b>specific</b> 3533:1 3539:13	3618:2,8,9,16
3528:17,18 3534:9,10	3617:13 3621:21	3600:8 3632:8	3545:17 3548:25	3621:14,15,19 3626:5
3542:23 3547:4	serves 3523:15 3592:22	sitting 3539:19 3581:6	3619:8 3620:1 3622:2	3626:9,15,18,20
3550:9,21,25 3551:3	service 3571:23	situation 3517:3	3629:22 3632:3	3627:1,18,20 3634:3
3551:16 3552:5,6,7	Services 3527:24	3532:15 3536:1	3633:19,21	3636:19
3554:11,15,25 3555:5	3537:3 3582:2	3552:6 3553:24	specifically 3522:20,20	statements 3596:10,16
3555:16 3560:3	session 3521:19,20	3557:23 3558:6,17	3531:25 3545:3	3596:19,23,24,25
3571:19 3574:23	3544:25 3583:15	3559:15 3566:6,9,17	3548:24 3549:17	3600:16,18 3602:6
3575:11,25 3576:8,16	set 3522:6 3571:21	3566:20 3569:22	3551:7 3591:23	3604:24 3605:9
3584:7 3587:13	3584:1,3,22,22	3571:10 3577:17,18	3613:24 3614:3	3606:12,18 3607:20
3588:22,25 3594:6	3608:20 3626:4	3577:22,23 3578:11	3619:19 3621:18	3608:3,8 3609:5,13
3595:12 3597:4	3634:19	3579:10,19 3581:25	3625:2 3626:4,6,16	3610:16 3612:3
3604:25 3605:10	settled 3584:25	3582:4 3583:8 3597:9	3627:3 3628:17	3622:7 3627:7
3608:16,23 3612:9	seven 3531:5	3623:16 3624:4,10,18	3633:1 3635:22	states 3522:21 3617:4
3613:17 3617:17	share 3522:12 3614:23	3624:21,23 3630:15	3636:8	3621:15
3618:14 3620:17	shoe 3610:15	3636:14,15,16 3637:1	speculation 3590:11	stating 3540:16
3626:8,9 3628:3	shooting 3595:21	situations 3582:17	spell 3570:14 3610:23	stationed 3581:14
,	-	3616:14 3620:12	spent 3531:2 3563:16	
3629:25 3630:2,9,10	shootings 3591:13 shortage 3588:12			stay 3568:25 3569:1,3
3630:18,20		3636:1	<b>spoke</b> 3550:16 3575:6	3624:22
seeing 3555:9 3560:15	<b>shortcomings</b> 3615:2	skill 3581:19 3619:22	3623:24	step 3542:23,23 3607:8
3583:20 3597:18	3621:21 3624:18	<b>skilled</b> 3537:9,17	spontaneous 3618:3	stick 3535:20
3628:5	shorter 3623:21,23	skills 3614:3,6 3618:10	spontaneously 3617:12	stone 3636:24
seek 3529:23 3569:23	shot 3542:25	3618:18 3619:15	spray 3570:21 3573:14	stones 3636:22
3569:23 3584:11	shots 3603:3	3620:8,11 3624:16	<b>SRT</b> 3579:12	stood 3575:14
seeking 3528:21	<b>shouldn't</b> 3523:7	3625:3 3630:22	stage 3521:21 3523:12	story 3532:2 3596:13
3529:23 3569:20	3545:22 3588:5	slide 3572:19 3574:21	3538:18 3543:8	3605:10,12
3575:19 3576:6,10	<b>should've</b> 3542:5	3629:23 3630:1,11	3547:21 3548:24	straight 3564:5
3592:4,18	3547:13	slides 3572:24 3573:1	3555:3 3559:17	3588:11
seeks 3611:18	<b>show</b> 3552:19 3555:10	3628:18 3629:6	3560:9 3575:24	stressful 3588:3
seemingly 3628:10	3555:20 3574:5,14	<b>slinks</b> 3588:9	3576:9 3578:6	stretch 3607:19
seen 3512:3 3528:5	<b>showed</b> 3574:15	slowness 3602:13	3579:19 3584:24	strictly 3534:2 3544:22
3548:8 3551:16	showing 3527:4	small 3518:13 3634:16	3591:11 3612:16	3555:15 3556:12
3554:12 3555:4,20	shown 3520:24 3522:8	smooth 3610:18	3613:3,21,21 3627:6	strike 3571:25 3597:7
3557:23 3572:8,9	3522:11,15 3547:8,11	snatchers 3635:5	3634:1 3635:14,25	strikers 3603:3
3587:19 3600:19	3549:4,12 3551:4,7,7	<b>sneak</b> 3586:24	stages 3594:8	strongly 3540:11
3602:4	3551:20 3553:5	Soccer 3625:15,17,24	stampede 3572:15	structure 3582:1
	3555:12,14,14 3557:6	3625:25 3634:22	stand 3565:7 3613:13	struggling 3617:17
selected 3604:13	3572:19 3627:21	solicited 3544:2	standard 3526:21	stuck 3570:13
		solve 3558:7	3581:16 3592:5,20	Studies 3513:21 3611:2
selected 3604:13 selection 3628:18 Semenya 3519:21 23	side 35 [202 35820024	SULL JJJJ0.1	-	study 3633:23
<b>selection</b> 3628:18 <b>Semenya</b> 3519:21,23	side 3514:2 3582:24	solved 3577.18	standarde 4616.10	
selection 3628:18 Semenya 3519:21,23 3520:4 3523:9,25	3584:21 3585:2	solved 3577:18	standards 3616:10	
selection 3628:18 Semenya 3519:21,23 3520:4 3523:9,25 3524:9,24 3525:4	3584:21 3585:2 3596:13 3603:3	somebody 3597:25	3619:11 3620:10	stun 3570:25
selection 3628:18 Semenya 3519:21,23 3520:4 3523:9,25 3524:9,24 3525:4 3526:3 3528:7,24	3584:21 3585:2 3596:13 3603:3 3625:18	<b>somebody</b> 3597:25 <b>soon</b> 3523:13 3614:14	3619:11 3620:10 standing 3522:21	stun 3570:25 style 3559:15 3568:8
selection 3628:18 Semenya 3519:21,23 3520:4 3523:9,25 3524:9,24 3525:4 3526:3 3528:7,24 3529:4 3541:21	3584:21 3585:2 3596:13 3603:3 3625:18 sides 3610:10	<b>somebody</b> 3597:25 <b>soon</b> 3523:13 3614:14 <b>sooner</b> 3595:18	3619:11 3620:10 standing 3522:21 3523:19 3524:3,5,21	<pre>stun 3570:25 style 3559:15 3568:8 subject 3514:11</pre>
selection 3628:18 Semenya 3519:21,23 3520:4 3523:9,25 3524:9,24 3525:4 3526:3 3528:7,24 3529:4 3541:21 3542:20 3543:14	3584:21 3585:2 3596:13 3603:3 3625:18 sides 3610:10 sidetracking 3527:16	somebody 3597:25 soon 3523:13 3614:14 sooner 3595:18 3608:18 3610:17	3619:11 3620:10 <b>standing</b> 3522:21 3523:19 3524:3,5,21 3525:3,16 3526:9	stun 3570:25 style 3559:15 3568:8 subject 3514:11 3529:10 3541:16
selection 3628:18 Semenya 3519:21,23 3520:4 3523:9,25 3524:9,24 3525:4 3526:3 3528:7,24 3529:4 3541:21	3584:21 3585:2 3596:13 3603:3 3625:18 sides 3610:10	<b>somebody</b> 3597:25 <b>soon</b> 3523:13 3614:14 <b>sooner</b> 3595:18	3619:11 3620:10 standing 3522:21 3523:19 3524:3,5,21	<pre>stun 3570:25 style 3559:15 3568:8 subject 3514:11</pre>

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

**RealTime Transcriptions** 

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

### Rustenburg

				Page 1
3525:25 3533:20	surprise 3590:18,19	3564:25,25 3565:1,24	3582:4 3584:7	3633:22
submissions 3556:6	surprising 3620:9	3587:9	3585:14 3590:3	<b>time</b> 3514:24 3515:2
3584:2,3,23	suspect 3601:16	tear 3570:24 3573:14	3591:11 3624:11	3521:13 3529:10
submitted 3531:15	swearing 3551:12	technique 3635:24	3625:14 3630:13	3530:24 3531:14
3538:17 3548:22	<b>system</b> 3636:4	3636:1,22	3632:22	3532:6,19 3534:10,12
subpoena 3599:25	systems 3621:12	techniques 3620:17	<b>they've</b> 3584:17	3537:3 3539:23
3601:8,9,14 3604:21		3621:5,7 3625:18,19	thing 3517:4 3527:4	3545:5 3546:3 3547:3
subpoenaed 3597:23	Т	3627:25	3532:5,24 3539:18	3551:22 3552:18
3604:24 3605:4	table 3584:2 3613:12	technologies 3538:15	3542:9 3554:9	3553:11,13 3554:14
subpoenas 3598:14	tactically 3619:22	telephonically 3587:3	3555:19 3557:7,9,25	3555:8 3558:6
3600:1 3601:2	take 3513:10,10 3516:5	television 3528:5	3602:9 3609:16	3560:11,25 3563:17
subscribers 3595:11,12	3519:20 3523:17	3529:7	3625:9 3636:13	3567:8,13 3582:19
substance 3523:2	3525:21,22 3528:4,18	tell 3519:8,9 3523:7	things 3513:8 3515:25	3583:6 3586:4
3589:3	3530:13 3538:19	3524:25 3528:25	3517:11 3519:15,25	3591:13 3598:7
sub-para 3524:21	3539:2 3542:9	3556:12 3561:4	3525:14 3532:12,13	3599:1 3605:25
3525:2	3552:17 3554:2	3566:18 3567:4,4	3536:20 3543:21	3607:6,16 3608:2,13
sub-paragraph	3555:21 3569:21	3569:25 3593:23	3544:9 3546:1,11,22	3610:19 3611:14
3529:14	3578:7 3580:21	3599:18 3607:25	3567:16 3569:11	3612:19 3615:5
succeed 3585:13,16	3584:17 3586:6	3608:2 3613:20	3593:14 3596:5	3618:25 3621:5
<b>succinct</b> 3613:6	3587:9 3592:8 3595:8	telling 3519:9 3542:11	think 3512:15,22	3622:16 3623:13,14
sufficient 3626:10,12	3599:23 3600:16	3585:17	3513:13 3515:7	3626:20 3627:13
suggest 3561:24 3578:9	3602:13 3603:23	tenor 3521:6	3522:8 3526:6,20	3632:19 3635:12
3584:5 3593:20	3608:22 3613:20,22	term 3559:8 3637:11	3529:5,9 3530:10	3637:14
3613:18 3633:20	3622:20 3623:8	terminologies 3531:12	3531:5 3535:10	timetable 3608:20,22
suggested 3565:9	3633:21	3531:13 3532:25	3536:3 3538:7,18	<b>Tip</b> 3584:6 3586:7,8,15
3592:9 3596:3	taken 3516:20 3517:23	terminology 3532:6	3542:5 3545:5,25	3587:2,8
suggestion 3574:3	3519:8 3526:15	terms 3523:18 3526:9	3546:2 3549:7	<b>Titus</b> 3626:3 3627:6
3576:25 3589:21	3534:13 3535:2	3526:21 3535:14	3557:14 3558:13,14	<b>Titus's</b> 3613:6,15
3603:4 3613:1	3536:1 3541:3	3553:21 3556:1	3560:9,15,22 3566:2	3626:15,18 3634:2
suggests 3594:11	3547:13 3548:7,11,14	3568:24 3569:2,2,2	3569:12,14 3570:23	today 3512:12 3586:4
<b>suitable</b> 3578:6	3548:18 3549:4,13	3570:22 3614:3	3570:24 3572:18,25	3596:4,7,9 3597:25
summary 3594:21	3550:5 3551:2	terrorism 3566:8,9	3573:2 3574:1,8,11	3599:24,25 3611:15
supervising 3618:11	3552:19 3554:20	testified 3519:16	3575:15 3576:8	3613:16
supplied 3601:17	3555:5 3557:12,13	3601:2	3584:8,16 3590:10	today's 3600:6
supply 3600:15	3573:10 3574:12	testify 3543:9,11	3594:13,16 3598:23	told 3516:1 3520:19
support 3569:13	3591:8,9,18 3592:11	3547:3,4,25 3548:2	3600:12,20 3603:4	3543:20 3544:16,17
3611:19 3636:19	3592:14 3593:12	testifying 3611:13	3608:14 3610:2	3547:12 3548:10,13
supports 3569:5,6	3594:6 3596:10	testimony 3612:2	thinking 3602:15	3548:17,25 3549:3,11
suppose 3522:18	3597:7 3618:6	3621:25	third 3600:21	3552:18 3557:23
3525:12 3629:16	3624:20 3625:15	thank 3514:22 3525:8	thirdly 3622:5	3558:10,12 3565:3
supposed 3517:5	takes 3586:12,15	3527:8 3528:20	thorough 3522:7,14	3593:6,23 3596:9
3521:24 3530:11	3624:7	3530:19 3541:9	3523:7 3524:20	3597:14,15 3602:17
3531:22,22 3545:18	talk 3545:2 3577:15	3562:24 3572:22	thought 3513:24	3602:21 3603:1,20
3548:22 3576:23,24	3582:7 3587:21	3578:8 3580:12,18	3523:21 3553:2	3609:6 3611:7
3578:4 3579:7 3624:9	3600:20 3620:15	3581:8 3583:11	3554:5 3556:9	tomorrow 3512:12
3631:10	3624:6	3590:9 3599:7,22	3575:24 3580:24	3583:16 3585:18
suppressed 3518:18	talked 3549:22 3555:6	3600:8,10 3605:5	3581:2 3587:24	3586:4 3596:3
3591:24 3593:2	talking 3534:11 3537:5	3610:1,25 3611:11,12	3605:3 3637:14	3597:14 3609:2,10,15
suppression 3524:12	0	3611:16 3613:23	thousands 3560:1	
	3551:17,22 3558:25	3614:15 3615:16		3609:25 3610:5,13
3589:20,21 3593:20 3515:10 3521:18	3559:4,6 3560:1		threat 3579:2,3,9	3633:22 3637:17
sure 3515:19 3521:18	3565:5 3568:21	3618:24 3619:6	3634:20	top 3592:13 3615:8,13
3521:21,24 3524:7	3569:18 3573:17	3620:21 3628:25	three 3521:23 3525:9	total 3559:17
3531:15,18 3532:16	3576:21 3603:10	3629:21 3634:5	3525:11,12 3531:2,3	totally 3546:2 3589:7
3538:15 3542:10	3604:9 3623:1	3635:11 3637:13	3535:4 3538:10,23	touched 3532:22
3546:15 3550:1	talks 3578:2	Thembele 3599:21	3539:5,7 3562:1	touching 3532:21
3558:18 3559:16,23	tangential 3528:23	Thembinkosi 3599:21	3596:19,23 3600:15	Tournament 3634:23
3559:23 3561:2,10	tapping 3532:22	themes 3621:20 3622:2	3600:18 3601:1,10	trace 3607:5
3567:13 3568:2	task 3528:4 3531:6,10	theoretical 3589:25	3604:9,10,10 3607:13	tractor 3605:10,10
3572:5 3577:20	3538:11 3540:21	thereof 3526:14	3607:19 3608:17	trade 3595:23
2570 16 2500 10	3545:18 3579:5	thereon 3580:2	3622:13 3624:2	traditionally 3578:22
3578:16 3580:10		there's 3514:8 3516:20	throw 3634:14 3636:9	traditions 3594:17
3588:6 3602:12	3632:1	<b>ulere s</b> 5514.8 5510.20		
/ 35 1 1/25-1	3632:1 tasked 3618:10	3522:15 3524:16	3636:21	<b>train</b> 3552:15,16
3588:6 3602:12			3636:21 <b>throwing</b> 3636:23	train 3552:15,16 trained 3566:17,18,19
3588:6 3602:12 3616:15,20 3621:15	tasked 3618:10	3522:15 3524:16		
3588:6 3602:12 3616:15,20 3621:15 3624:8,22 3625:13 3626:9 3627:6 3629:18	<b>tasked 36</b> 18:10 <b>tea</b> 3512:14 3540:24	3522:15 3524:16 3528:14 3533:1	throwing 3636:23	<b>trained</b> 3566:17,18,19 3571:23 3630:7 3632:6
3588:6 3602:12 3616:15,20 3621:15 3624:8,22 3625:13 3626:9 3627:6	<b>tasked 36</b> 18:10 <b>tea</b> 3512:14 3540:24 3541:3 3613:20	3522:15 3524:16 3528:14 3533:1 3551:22 3552:6,25	throwing 3636:23 thrown 3565:2	<b>trained</b> 3566:17,18,19 3571:23 3630:7

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

-				Page 1
25(0.18 2502.20			2599.24 2602.19	
3569:18 3592:20 trainers 3625:19	<b>ultimatum</b> 3577:11,13 <b>unable</b> 3513:19	upheld 3573:24	3588:24 3603:18	3574:7 3596:8
3632:4	unbelievable 3541:12	upholding 3529:13 upscale 3625:3	want 3523:4 3526:2 3527:5,18 3528:25	3625:21,22 3631:22 weren't 3591:12
training 3535:12	undergo 3622:12	upscale 3023.3 use 3526:16 3571:17	3535:9,10,19 3537:25	3629:18
3536:18 3537:17	underneath 3627:13	3579:23 3606:25	3542:23 3546:13,15	weren't 3560:23,23
3540:24 3545:2,3	underpinned 3634:25	3620:23 3634:18	3550:9,21 3551:9	we'd 3512:8 3531:20
3550:4 3566:15	understand 3515:12,25	3636:3,11	3554:11 3555:16,22	we'll 3526:1 3569:14
3581:22 3582:16,18	3516:4,16,23 3518:2	<b>useful</b> 3618:4 3637:9	3555:24 3561:3,21,22	3570:19,22,24,25
3582:20,21,24,25	3518:13,14,19 3519:2	usually 3516:20	3565:14,15 3566:21	3571:1 3580:20,21
3592:20 3615:1,4,19	3519:8,11 3520:16,17	3616:15	3567:18,20,23	3605:1 3607:12
3616:3 3618:4,11,13	3520:18 3521:4,6,8	5010.12	3568:23,25 3570:3,5	3609:14,23 3610:5
3618:20 3619:17	3524:18 3561:15	V	3571:19 3573:22	3627:5 3631:8
3620:11 3622:4,7,8	3566:9 3568:6 3575:1	valuable 3616:3	3574:16 3575:25	3633:21 3635:24
3622:12,19,22 3623:1	3575:13 3581:12	<b>value</b> 3637:8	3598:7 3601:13,14,15	we're 3512:12 3524:19
3623:2,4,4,8,10,15	3584:20 3591:9,18	various 3592:24	3604:7 3608:25	3528:9 3529:10
3624:1,3,5 3625:2,15	3592:12 3593:3	vehicle 3574:3,12	3612:16 3614:2	3534:11 3554:15
3626:5 3627:4,4,8,14	3595:20 3602:12	3575:2,14,22,25	3617:15 3620:3	3603:10,19 3605:23
3627:21,23 3628:6	3603:6 3604:6	3576:9	wanted 3513:14	3609:1 3610:19
3630:2,6,17 3631:6,8	3606:16 3612:7,8	<b>vein</b> 3613:4	3529:16 3531:18	3631:10
3631:11,18 3632:2,5	3619:9 3620:3	verifying 3574:19,21	3539:6 3559:13	we've 3525:1 3566:2
3632:7,8,11,16,18,19	3624:24 3626:8	version 3595:20	3567:18 3576:18	3580:19 3583:24
3632:21 3635:9,21	3629:5 3633:9	3596:11 3597:11	3610:3,4	3603:18 3607:22
3637:10	understanding 3516:8	3603:16,17,19	wants 3523:17 3524:7	3610:13 3613:18
transcribe 3610:24	3516:25 3517:3	viable 3562:3	3560:24 3574:17	3621:5
transcript 3565:10	3530:7 3535:22	vicinity 3602:19	3576:17 3600:23	whatsoever 3540:14
transit 3566:13,13	3539:15 3580:7	victims 3533:7 3608:13	3601:10	what's 3522:10
tried 3612:13	3591:2 3600:25	video 3522:8,11,15	wasn't 3531:24 3542:4	3530:11 3562:18
trigger 3636:25	3602:13	3524:10 3526:15	3548:25 3551:24	3602:12 3624:9
troubling 3524:11	understood 3513:22	3547:4,7,10,12,13,14	3554:19 3566:5	whilst 3551:19 3627:5
<b>true</b> 3529:1,2 3565:22	3608:5 3629:12	3548:1,2,7,11,14,18	3589:16 3597:16	White 3612:9,11
3566:14 3578:24	undertake 3599:5	3549:4,7,12,18,19,21	3598:2,9 3602:2	3613:25 3619:8
trust 3578:19 3614:12	undertaken 3600:19	3550:5,6,9,13,25	waste 3560:25 3598:7	3626:25 3627:1
<b>truth</b> 3516:10,11,13,16	undertaking 3596:18	3551:18,19 3552:2,2	wasting 3567:8,12	White's 3621:14,14,18
3519:8,9 3527:13	3598:18	3552:13,15,17,24	water 3520:24 3570:16	<b>who'd</b> 3604:24 3611:23
3530:8,16 3551:13	undertakings 3604:7	3553:1,2,6,7,19	3570:17,17,20,21	who's 3526:10 3602:21
3593:24	undertook 3540:4	3554:1,10,11,12,20	3573:13,13 3593:13	wider 3634:25
truthful 3551:12	3607:17	3555:1,4,5,8,25	way 3512:16 3523:2	wind 3597:6
try 3564:4 3600:20	undignified 3605:24	3556:22 3557:3,8,23	3528:23 3532:1,2,7	Winfrey 3568:8
3604:10 3607:5	unduly 3588:5	3558:9 3589:22	3532:12,23 3533:12	wire 3572:12
3620:17 3637:4	<b>unfair</b> 3589:7	3590:16,20,24 3591:3	3534:19,25 3540:1,11	wires 3590:6 3596:8
trying 3533:1 3569:17	unfortunate 3521:13	3591:7,10,14,17,19	3544:16 3545:10	wish 3584:19 3588:2,9
3578:21 3585:12	unfortunately 3513:19	3594:6	3546:1 3550:17	3595:2 3612:6 3634:5
3594:3 3614:18	3634:17	videos 3547:15,16	3552:14 3565:11	wished 3513:1,10,23
3636:13,17	unhelpful 3596:25	3548:20 3550:1	3571:6,13 3574:4	wishes 3519:22
<b>Tuesday</b> 3575:17	3602:7	3589:20,21	3577:22,25 3578:1	3542:16 3581:11
3576:8	unions 3595:23	view 3513:10 3554:15	3579:21 3582:14	3584:4
<b>turn</b> 3513:25 3541:2,8	<b>uniquely</b> 3611:22	3587:9 3595:17	3584:7 3587:14,19	withdrawn 3573:3
3615:12 3617:3	3618:3,4	3611:4 3618:12	3588:1,2 3592:19	withheld 3519:6
3634:21	unit 3520:24,25	3621:16	3594:15 3595:4	3590:25 3593:17
<b>turning</b> 3541:10	3552:14,15,16	viewing 3548:20	3597:20 3598:10 3601:14 3608:14 15	witness 3512:22 3513:4
<b>two</b> 3514:18 3518:17 3519:5 3524:15	3558:19,25 3565:20 3581:17,18 3582:2,6	views 3544:2 3565:15 violence 3533:6 3535:3	3601:14 3608:14,15 3609:2 3631:14	3513:6,9 3514:7 3519:19,21 3520:12
3535:3 3570:17 3578:17 3589:10	3582:13 3627:15 <b>United</b> 3621:17	3577:19 <b>Visible</b> 3615:11	3635:17 <b>weapons</b> 3570:4,5	3523:16 3524:3 3528:16,18 3538:21
3595:23 3596:24,25	units 3536:15 3537:10	visualise 3636:14,17	Wednesday 3575:18	3545:24 3563:21
3600:18 3605:25	3543:18 3579:12	3637:4	3583:16 3585:18	3567:3 3569:8,9,9
3607:9,12,18,19	3582:3,7 3630:7	visuals 3548:23 3557:6	week 3622:20,21	3572:19 3576:10
3608:25	3632:1	voice 3587:25	3629:14	3586:14 3589:6,12
type 3556:14 3577:21	unleashed 3518:11	volley 3518:11	weekend 3527:19	3590:11,17 3592:6,12
3581:22 3583:5	unnecessarily 3611:9	<b>volume</b> 3629:4	weeks 3569:1,1 3570:6	3592:18 3593:5,16,23
		volunteering 3525:8	3610:9 3622:13	3594:14 3596:15,23
3589:7 3604:8 3622.8	unrave sour 2	, stanceering 5545.0		
3589:7 3604:8 3622:8 3627:8 3632:21	unravel 3601:21 unruly 3571:23	Vuzi 3599·20	3623:5 3624:2	1,099:20,000,000
3627:8 3632:21	unruly 3571:23	<b>Vuzi</b> 3599:20	3623:5 3624:2 welcome 3512:19.19	3599:23 3605:3,15 3609:6.8,10,21
3627:8 3632:21 typical 3565:23	<b>unruly 35</b> 71:23 <b>unworkable 3</b> 514:6	Vuzi 3599:20 W	welcome 3512:19,19	3609:6,8,10,21
3627:8 3632:21	unruly 3571:23 unworkable 3514:6 update 3625:2	W	welcome 3512:19,19 went 3527:22 3539:21	3609:6,8,10,21 3613:12,13,15
3627:8 3632:21 typical 3565:23	<b>unruly 35</b> 71:23 <b>unworkable 3</b> 514:6		welcome 3512:19,19	3609:6,8,10,21

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119

RealTime Transcriptions

### Marikana Commission of Inquiry

358.86.320.8       355.11.3558.18       3320.17.3586.7.8       3580.17.367.13.356.13         3597.33.364.10.16       3007.10.3009.13       335.5.353.83.6       3320.17.358.7.16         3600.73.367.91.16       335.5.353.83.6       335.5.353.83.6       3362.17.358.7.16         3600.73.367.91.16       3364.92.22.360.1.5       3364.92.22.360.1.5       5.2.362.2.3         3600.16.1       3009.21.301.16       3364.92.22.360.1.5       5.2.362.2.3         3600.16.1       3009.21.301.16       3364.92.0.2.260.1.3       7         3600.16.1       3009.21.301.16       3364.92.0.2.260.1.3       7         361.10.16       3009.21.301.16       3364.92.0.2.260.1.3       7         361.10.362.3       your vs 35.15.23       3361.9       302.7.4       3361.9         3000.25       3600.1.1       309.20.2.4       3361.9       302.1.1       303.1.1         301.10.362.3       your vs 35.1.2.3       335.1.3       307.1.3       307.1.4       307.1.3       9       307.1.4       307.1.4         301.10.362.3       your s35.92.3       300.3.1.3       306.1.1.3       303.1.4       307.1.3       303.1.4       307.1.3       303.1.4       307.1.3       303.1.4       307.1.4       302.1.3       307.1.4       302.1.3       307.1.4       <					Page 15
3186-03208       3351-13 3581-13 3581-18       3320-17 3580-718       3350-17 3580-718         3590-17 3580-718       33671-13 360-123 3006-10,13       330-123 3553-13 358-16       3320-17 3522-13         3600-73 300-70,13       330-123 3006-10,13       3315,13 3581-15       5320-17 3622-12         3600-73 300-70,13       330-13 350-11       330-123 3006-10,13       330-17 350-21         3600-73 300-70,13       330-14 3000-12       330-14 3000-12       300-22       300-22         3600-73 300-71       300-72 4008-3       300-72       300-72       300-72         3600-16       300-72 4008-3       300-72       300-72       7       73543,23         3610-16       300-72       300-72       300-72       7       73543,23         3610-16       300-72       300-75       300-75       300-75       300-75       300-75         3611-10       300-72       300-75	witnesses 3515:10	3526:13 3527:16	<b>16th</b> 3515:5 3519:18	5	Ŭ
3500:17       3507:13       3507:12       3507:13       3507:12					
3589:8355:18.18       3007:10       3001:12       3331:23       3336:123       3361:					
3907.23     3604.10.16     3621-15     3355.5     3356.8.16       3066.27     3057.8     3532.11     3555.11     3555.12       3606.27     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7       3609.71     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7       3609.71     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3753.5.6.3       3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3753.5.6.3       3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7       3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3753.5.6.3       3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7       3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7       36113     3617.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7       3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7       36113     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7        36113     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7     3609.7        36113      3609.7      3609.7      3609.7      3609.7        36113      3609.7      3609.7      3609.7      3609.7        36113      3609.7      3609.7      3609.7      3609.7        36113      3609.7<	-				
306:23 3606:16,13 306:71 8279:131.6 306:71 8279:131.6 306:72 8079:131.6 306:72 8079:131.6 306:72 8079:131.6 306:72 8079:13 306:72 8079:13 30799:13 30799:13 3079:13 3079:13 30799:13 3079:13 30799				<b>3.2</b> 3022.23	
3060:17 3607:913.16       33561:1355618       3364:2374.23       364:374.23         3007:18.23 3067.17       381.3355.71       3561:2374.23       3753.5.6.3576.21         3000:16       3000:21 3611.6       3590:20.23 0041.3       7         3000:1352.13 3534.1       352.13 357.12       3551.13 356.11       16:34 3635.11         3555.13 3531.1       3557.21 3558.14       3557.21 3558.14       3557.21 3558.14         3006:25 366.12.23       3583.21 3590.22       361.13 3556.12       2         3006:25 366.12.23       3583.21 3590.2       2       361.13 3556.12       361.13 3556.12         3006:25 366.12.23       3583.21 3590.2       2       361.13 356.22       9       377.14 10, 10, 2.5         3071:15 3017.6       3561.2       363.10 1 360.21       3651.12 3556.13       363.10 1 360.21       363.11 355.1       361.13 356.21         308:13 353.11 3551.13 2590.6       3562.13 357.44 357.51       363.10 1 63.02.1       363.10 1 63.22       377.10 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 10, 2.5       357.41 10, 359.61 12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td></td>				6	
3607.18.25 30087,17         3581:3 35817,19.20         3564-2 3574.23           3610.16         3609.21 3611.6         3575:35,5357.21           3610.13         3609.21 3611.6         3575:35,5357.21           3557:35,572.13         3627.6         3575:35,535.357.21           3557:35,583.511.6         3557:12 3558.11         162 3574:21 3575:11           3606:25         3557:12 3588:14         3585:18 300:17           3607:15 3617.6         zeliabor.17         2625:3631:22           3607:15 3617.6         zeliabor.17         2625:3631:22           3607:15 3617.6         zeliabor.15 359:2         3621:18 350:12           3607:15 3617.6         zeliabor.359:2         3621:18 362:0:12           3631:10 3632:3         363:10 363:12         303:12 362:12           363:10 363:23         360:15,15,19 3650:21         363:351:1           363:10 363:12         363:12,15,19 3650:25         363:12 363:12           363:10 363:12         363:12,15,19 363:12         363:12 363:12           363:11 30:26         355:23 359:19         363:12,13 351:2:1 363:12,17           363:11 30:26         355:22 3554:22         363:13 363:12           363:11 30:26         355:22 3554:22         363:13 363:12           363:11 30:36:13         355:22 3654:15         363					
3609-4 3610:C <sub>1</sub> ,14,14         3607:24 3608:3         3575:35.5 357:62.1         7           3610:16         3609:21 3611:6         359:62:22 3604:13         73584:3.23           worlufly 360:73 362:57         3027:6         16:34 3635:11         16:34 3635:11           300:15 30:16:3333:14         3555:12 3558:14         3552:13 357:22         3552:13 3579:22         3552:13 3579:22         3552:13 3579:22         3552:13 3579:22         3552:13 3579:22         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10.12.5         9         3574:10:10:10:2.5         9         3574:10:10:10:2.5         9         3574:10:10:10:2.5         9         3574:10:10:10:2.5         9         3574:10:10:10:2.5         9         363:10:3:35:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:				<b>6</b> 3617:3,5 3618:9	
3610.16     3609:21 3611.6     3509:20.22 3604.13     7 3584.3.23       worduly 3626.7 3628.7     3627.6     16:14 3627.73     16:13 367.73       sword 3528.13 353.41     3528.19 355.68     3528.12 357.11     3629.73       3255.18 361.61     3557.12 358.11     1993 360.72     3621.73       3606.25     3638.21 3501.22     3532.13 353.41     1993 360.72       3606.25     3638.21 3501.2     3629.5 3631.21     22       3607.15 361.6     357.12 358.14     1993 361.6     357.12 358.14       3607.15 361.76     realow 5359.2     2011 3616.25 3627.19     9 33574.25       3611.10 3632.3     0     2011 3616.25 3627.19     9 33574.10, 10.25       363.12 359.10     0     2013 3616.25 3627.19     3633.12       363.12 353.15     903.351.1     3633.12     3633.12       363.12 353.15     903.353.12     3633.12     3633.12       363.12 353.15     363.12     363.12     363.12       363.12 353.15     363.12     363.12     363.12       363.12 359.10     355.24 3575.21     353.13 353.14     353.12       363.11 363.67     100 3597.21     354.33 354.21     363.12       363.12 359.14     103.557.23     355.24 3575.21     363.12       363.15 7     359.02.13     363.13 363.67     <					
witness 3 6000-11       3619-4 3623-25       16:14 3627-3       7.3384-1,335         wonder 3523:5       you've \$315:525       16:34 3635:11       16:34 3635:11       16:34 3635:11         3358:13 3331:4       3557:12 3588:14       3557:12 3588:14       3557:12 3583:12       3021:13 8026:12         30625 3636:12.23       3552:12 3532:12 359:22       352:14 73 352:0       2       3031:18 8026:12         306025       wordt 3521:14 3550:10       zasta 352:12       2       3535:13 356:15       366:11:0.025         3051:13 10:362:23       3559:22       360:11:3 3026:12       2350:22 362:12       3033:12       90 3574:10.025         3051:13 10:362:20       365:11:1,13 350:12       366:11:0 3632:12       363:12       306:21 456:23       3674:10.025         3051:13 12:12       303:12       303:12       303:12       303:12       303:12       303:12         3051:13 12:12       3052:13 50:12       355:12       355:12       356:12       366:12       355:12       366:12       356:12       366:12       356:13         3051:15 12:12       3051:15       356:12       356:12       356:13       356:13       356:13       356:13         wordta 35:14       355:12       357:12       357:12       356:13       356:13       356:13<					
working         362:76         162:357:41         State				7 3584:3,23	
wond wond 3522:5         you've 3515:25         jou 2 3574:21 3575:11         gs 359:22 3561:8, 19           3585:18 3013:16         3557:12 3558:14         3595:12 358:14         3993 3617:6         3621:1           3506:25         356:22 358:12         358:21 3897:22         2         358:21 3897:22         3621:1           3606:25         353:18 379:22         2         358:21 3897:22         3621:1         3562:1           3607:15 3617.6         3561:33:14 4359:10         0         3559:22 3560:5.5         3561:8,15:19 3562:1         3632:4 357:12         3632:4 357:12         3632:4 357:12         3632:4 357:12         3632:4 357:12         3632:4 357:12         3632:4 353:12         3632:4 353:12         3632:4 353:12         3634:13         3634:12         3634:13 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
von it 3528:13 3354:1 3558:18 3613:16 3558:18 3613:16 3558:18 3613:16 3552:18 3579:2 352:18 3579:2 352:18 3579:2 3532:18 3579:2 3552:18 3579:2 3552:18 3579:2 3556:21 3589:19 300:25:2 300:252:3 631:5 3055:22 3589:19 300:252:3 631:5 3055:22 3589:19 300:3552:2 3589:19 300:355:24 3589:19 300:367:17 3588:17 3055:24 3589:2 303:21:1 3366:2 3032:21:1 3366:2 3030:24:25 302:25 303:31:2 3033:12 3056:2 3030:24:25 3031:2 3033:12 3056:2 3030:24:25 3031:2 3030:24:25 3031:2 3033:12 3056:1 3030:24:25 3031:2 3034:6 30367:1 3155:24 3378:5 100:3601:25 3556:24 3578:5 100:3601:25 3556:24 3578:5 100:3601:25 3556:24 3578:1 300:257 310:19 3002:16 3236:118 3236:10:18 3236:					
3383.18 3613.16 30255 3661.223 390625 words 3514/7 4532.9 words 3514/7 4532.9 xeal 3524.13 350.4 3607.15 3617.6 3607.15 3617.6 3607.15 3617.6 3607.15 3617.6 3607.15 3617.6 3607.15 3617.6 3617.16 3627.2 works 351.14 3559.10 works 351.14 3559.10 000 3559.22 3560.55 3632.224.22 3543.3 000 3559.22 3560.55 3632.24.22 3632.5 3632.11.0 3632.2 works 3531.14 3559.10 000 3559.22 3560.55 3632.24.22 3632.5 3632.11.0 3632.2 works 3531.14 3559.10 000 3559.22 3560.55 3632.24.22 3632.5 3632.11.0 3632.2 works 3531.14 362.6 1 3552.4 3571.24 3560.1 3552.4 3572.3 3555.24 3572.3 3555.24 3572.3 3561.12 3561.12 3556.24 3572.1 3555.24 3572.3 3561.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 3562.12 356					
a0255 3036:12,23       3639:123       3629:5 3631:21       3629:5 3631:21         3000:25       xcal 3524:13 3526:4       xcal 3524:13 3526:4       ycal 3524:13 3526:4       ycal 3524:13 3526:4         301:10 302:25       ycal 3524:13 3526:4       ycal 3524:13 3526:4       ycal 3534:13 3526:4       ycal 3534:13 3526:4       ycal 3534:13 3526:4       ycal 3534:13 3526:4       ycal 3534:12,13 3636:2:1       3030:24,25 3632:2:5       ya3574:10,10,25       y7 3574:10,10,25       y7 3574:10,10,25       y7 3574:10,10,25       y7 3574:10,10,25       y7 3574:10,10,25       y7 3574:10,10,25       y8 3574:3574       ya356:23 3696:12       3030:24,25 3622:25       ya355:24 3578:5       ya355:24 3578:5       ya355:24 3578:5       ya355:24 3578:5       ya355:24 3578:5       ya356:12 3535:13 977;3.6       ya355:24 3578:5       ya359:12 3354:12       ya358:12 3354:13       ya358:12 33574:13       ya354:13:13 33524:22       ya363:12 33524:22       ya36:12       ya36:12 32:1				3621:1	
vard 3530:14 3000:17 300625         3629:5 3631:21 Z         2         9th 3596:22 96 3574:23         9th 3596:22 96 3574:23           3607:15 3617:6         zeatous 3589:2         3621:18 3666:12 2.5 5431:3         257 3574:10,10,25         96 3574:23         97 3574:10,10,25         98 3574:10,10,25         98 3574:10,10,25         98 3574:10,10,25         98 3574:10,110			1993 3617:6		
3600-25     23580-20     23580-20     96     3572-21       36110     3572-18     3572-18     362-11-22     25     352-18       36110     3652-23     0     2013     363-22     96     3574-10,10,25       36352-18     0     0     3552-22     356-22     355-22     356-22     356-22     356-22     356-24     355-22     355-22     355-22     355-22     356-24     2013     351-21     2013     352-11     355-22     355-23     355-23     <				9	
4000:25         2         23580:20         3615:9         96         3574:25           3532:18         3579:2         aia324:13         3526:4         25         543:3         96         3574:25         97         3574:10.10.25         98         3574:12         101         3554:13         35351:12         2013:1351:1359:06.12         35351:12         35011.12         35351:12         35011.15		3629:5 3631:21		<b>9th</b> 3596:22	
words         532:18         357:21:3579:22         zeal 352:41:33526:4         zeal 352:41:3356:4         zeal 352:41:20:3630:21         98:3574:10;10:25         363:12:356:4:3374:5         gat 2011:361:62:5:3627:10         363:12:356:4:3374:5         gat 2012:335:1:3597:3,6         zeal 352:4:357:4:3         gat 2012:335:1:3597:3,6         zeal 352:4:357:4:3         gat 2012:335:1:3597:3,6         zeal 355:4:2         zeal 355:4:2         zeal 355:4:2:2         zeal 355:4:4:2         zeal 355:4:2         zeal 355:4:3:3         zeal 355:4:3:3         zeal 355:4:3:3:3:3:3:3:4:2:1         zeal 355:4:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:					
3532:18 3579:2       zeal 3524:13 35264       z.5 3543:3       98 3574:10,11         3631:10 3632:3       2010 3634:22       2010 3634:22       2011 3634:22         work 3531:14 359:10       000 3559:22 3560:5.5       3638:17       3635:12         3625:23 563:15       95:30 3637:17       3635:12       3632:23         work 351:14 359:06       3555:23 3574:20       2013 353:13 3597:36         3634:12 350:06       3556:24 3578:5       21 3512:1 3590:64         3634:12 350:06       3626:4 3578:5       21 3512:1 3590:62.4         3634:22       10 3570:18 357:313       21 3512:1 3590:62.4         3634:21       3626:12       3634:6 3636:7         3634:22       10 3570:18 357:31       21 3512:1 3630:12,7         3634:22       10 3570:18 357:31       21 3512:1 3630:12,7         3555:24 3575:23       10:31 3521:4       3596:24 3577:15         would've 3544:16,17       100 3601:25       23 3601:18         35467:7.91.2       3601:19 3602:1       20 3616:25 3627:19         35467:92.1       11 3577:3       23 3601:18         3612:2 3615:7       11:40 3577:6       23 3601:18         3612:2 3615:7       14:40 3576:16       23 362:25         3612:2 3615:7       14:40 3576:16       3352:25 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>					
3607:115       367:12       2010       3534:23       2010       3634:22         work       3531:14       3552:33       3552:33       3561:13       3634:22       2011       361:12       360:24.23       360:24.23       360:24.23       360:24.23       360:24.23       360:24.23       360:24.23       360:24.23       363:12       360:24.23       363:12       360:24.23       363:12       360:24.23       363:14       356:24       356:					
orck         3531:14         3559:23         3598:19         000         2011         3612:53         3631:14         3598:23         3598:19         3631:11         3630:21         3630:21,23         3630:21         3630:21,23         3630:21,23         3630:21         3630:21,23         3630:21,23         3630:21,23         3630:21,25         3630:21,25         3630:21,27         3633:12         3630:21,27         3633:12         3630:21,27         3633:12         3630:21,27         3633:12,35         357,23         011         3512:12         3634:22         201         3512:13         3531:14         3590:21         3536:24         3507:18         3562:43         3531:14         3590:21         3538:17         106 3597:25         21         3511:13         3536:12         3538:17         106 3601:25         23         3601:18         3639:12         3539:13         3631:13         3634:21         3538:17         106 3601:25         23         3601:18         3629:23         3539:1		zealous 3589:2	<b>20th</b> 3535:1		
3388:23 3598:19       000 3559:22 3560:5;       3561:8,15,19 3562:1         3025:25 3631:5;       3561:8,15,19 3562:1       3653:22         worked 3524:8       200 3637:17       2012 3535:1 3596:24         3031:11 3632:6       1 3552:3 3554:22       205 3617:6         worked 3571:24 3590:6       362:12 3573:13       2012 3535:1 3596:24         3634:19 3667:7       3580:20 3600:2,7       23 3631:1 3633:12,7,9         3634:6 3636:7       3580:20 3600:2,7       23 3631:1 3634:21         3583:13       10:11 3512:2       24 3588:10,16 3590:6         3555:14 375:23       10:31 352:1:3 5373:13       10:13 3512:1 3590:18         wouldn't 3546:23       10:31 352:1:2       24 3588:10,16 3590:6         3555:14 3575:24       10:31 352:1:2       24 3588:10,16 3590:6         3555:13       10:31 352:1:2       25 3601:18       3630:12         wrue 3539:2       11:3597:3       26 3601:18       27 3626:4         wrue 3515:15 3543:10       12:00 3549:23       29 361:25 3627:19       3632:25         3573:11 3575:25       13:451:2       23 352:14       362:25       362:25         360:2:3609:12       12:40 3568:18       352:52:13 357:15       3632:25       3632:25         360:2:3699:11       13:352:2:52:13 357:15       3632:25:14 35	3631:10 3632:3		<b>2010</b> 3634:22		
3585:23 3598:19       000 3559:22 3560:5.5       3628:11,20 3630:21         worked 3524:8       09:30 3637:17       3630:24,25 3632:25         3634:19 3610:18       1       2012 3535:1 3597:3,6         364:19 3610:18       13552:23 3554:22       205 3617.6         world 3571:12 3526:1       3626:12 3578:5       21512:1 3633:1,2,7,9         3634:22       10 3570:18 3573:13       21st 3596:24 3597:15         3634:23       10:11 3512:2       23 3601:18         world 3571:43       350:20 3600:2,7       22 3631:13 634:21         3585:13       10:11 3512:2       24 3588:10,16 3590:6         3585:14 3575:23       10:31 3521:4       3594:15 3595:3,9,11         world va 354:16,17       100 3601:25       25 3601:18 3629:23         write 3531:21 3545:18       3001:19 3602:16       27 3626:4         write 3531:11,18,21       13591:13 512:359:1       3632:25         3544:13 3545:7,8       12:20 3559:1       3632:25         3544:13 3545:7,8       11:40 354:16       29 361:625 3627:19         3544:13 5345:7       13 352:5:13 357:1.1       3632:25         3573:11 357:25       13:4352:5:1       3632:25         360:2:3 359:21       352:5:2       31 361:5:836:7         360:2:23       13:353:5:1353:7 <td< td=""><td>work 3531:14 3559:10</td><td>0</td><td><b>2011</b> 3616:25 3627:19</td><td>   </td><td></td></td<>	work 3531:14 3559:10	0	<b>2011</b> 3616:25 3627:19		
	3585:23 3598:19	<b>000</b> 3559:22 3560:5,5	3628:11,20 3630:21		
working $3517.1$ $9230$ $3637.17$ $3635.12$ $3549.19$ $3610.18$ $1$ $2012$ $3535.1$ $3597.3.6$ $3631.11$ $3632.6$ $1$ $3552.3$ $3554.22$ $205$ $3617.6$ $30rd1$ $3517.13$ $3562.4$ $3578.5$ $21512.1$ $363.1.2,7.9$ $3634.22$ $10$ $3570.18$ $3573.13$ $21st$ $3563.1.2,7.9$ $3634.22$ $10$ $3570.18$ $3573.13$ $21st$ $3563.4.2$ $3581.20$ $3600.2,7$ $22$ $3634.6$ $363.6.7$ $3583.17$ $100t$ $3597.5$ $23$ $3634.61$ $3555:24$ $3575.23$ $10.31$ $3521.4$ $3594.16$ $3555:24$ $3575.23$ $10.31$ $3521.4$ $3594.15$ $3555:24$ $3575.23$ $10.31$ $3521.4$ $3594.15$ $3555:24$ $3575.23$ $10.31$ $3521.4$ $3504.15$ $3555:24$ $3575.23$ $11.3597.3$ $26$ $3601.18$ $3564.79,12$ $3601.19$ $3602.16$ $27$ $362.24$ $354.13$ $357.45$ $21.3597.1.5$ $23.630.12$ $354.413$ $3574.51.5$ $3634.23$ $3632.25$ $3562.0$ $3569.12$ $12.40$ $3564.18$ $3574.51.5$ $362.25$ $3561.15$ $361.17$ $3574.51.5$ $361.19$ $361.17$ $3572.19$ $3574.51.5$ $361.27$ $3352.24.21$ $3560.53$ $3574.51.5$ $361.15$ $361.62.23$ $3357.14$ $362.23$	3625:25 3631:5	3561:8,15,19 3562:1	3630:24,25 3632:25		
working 3517:1         3539:13         2012 3535:1 3597:3,6           3549:19 3610:18         3552:423 3554:22         203 3512:1 3596:24           3631:11 3622:6         3552:4 3578:5         3634:6 3636.7           36251:15,17,24 3526:1         10 3570:18 3573:13         214 3596:24 3597:15           3634:22         10 3570:18 3573:13         214 3596:24 3597:15           3583:17         10th 3597:5         23 3601:18           worlid 3567:7         3580:20 3600:2,7         23 3601:18           3555:4 3575:23         10:31 3521:4         3594:15 3595:3,9,11           3585:17         100 3601:25         25 3601:18 3629:23           write 3531:25 3545:18         11h 3597:21 3597:1,5         26 3601:18           write 3531:21 3654:12         13597:1,5         26 3601:18           write 3531:11,18,21         11:11 3537:8         28 3630:12           3612:23 615:7         11:40 354:16         28 1430:12           wrong 3515:15 3543:10         12:00 3549:23         29 3616:25 3627:19           3546:7,9,12         3574:515         3 3524:21 3525:2           3602:6 3603:14,15,20         124 3572:19 3573:1         3626:12 3629:4           wrong 3576:13         3352:2,1 3524:21         35348:1,0:23 3537:19           344:33337         33 3526:7,13	worked 3524:8		3635:12		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	working 3517:1 3531:8				
3631:11 3632:6       1 3553:23 3554:22       205 3617:6         world 3571:24 3590:6       3562:4 3578:5       21 3512:1 3633:1,2,7,9         3628:15,17,24 3626:1       10 3570:18 3578:13       21 st 3596:24 3597:15         3583:17       10h 3597:5       23 3601:18         worlid 3567:7       3552:4 3578:23       10:31 3521:4         3555:4 3575:23       10:11 3512:2       24 3588:10,16 3590:6         3555:4 3575:23       10:51 3529:21       3595:12 3601:18         worlid 3567:7       10:51 3529:21       3595:12 3601:18         worlid 3531:25 3545:18       10:51 3529:21       3595:12 3601:18         write 3531:25 3545:18       11:11 357:8       28 630:12         write 3531:11,18,21       11:11 3557:8       28 630:12         3546:7,9,12       3601:19 3602:16       27 362:64         write 3531:15 3543:10       12:20 3559:1       3632:25         3566:20 3569:12       12:40 357:19 3573:1       3560:5 3627:19         3573:11 3575:25       13 352:25,21 3573:1       3560:5 3561:15         3602:6 3603:14,15,20       3574:5,15       3613:19 3614:5,16         3602:6 3603:14,15,20       3574:5,15       3613:19 3614:5,16         3613:19 3614:5,16       3525:2       31 3615:8,13,14         WW3 362:23       1		1			
world3571:243562:43578:5213512:13633:1,2,7,93634:22103570:183573:133634:63636:63656:143583:17100:133570:183573:133634:213583:17100:133521:2233601:18wouldn't3546:2310:113512:2243585:1310:513529:213595:123595:123585:1310:513529:213595:123501:18wouldv'e3544:133594:123601:18362:23write3511:11.18,213601:12263601:18softin356:123545:7811:113577:828354:13354:13354:13354:13355:12356:13354:1312:10359:113529:91362:25362:25356:12356:123572:193573:113513:15354:13357:113574:153133524:21352:13618:173574:51.553156:4536:1533524:21356:153618:173574:51.553156:4536:1533524:21326:16wrongly3576:133525:24352:253356:153354:3536:15wrongly3576:133525:21352:21325:213535:4536:153354:43356:77333526:71:333526:71:333526:71:3wrongly3576:133525:243538:3359:16.893538:3359:16.89wrongly3576:133525:213535:43536:7333536:15yards3601		1 3553:23 3554:22			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
worried 3567:7       3580:20 3600:2,7       22 3633:13 3634:21         3583:17       10th 3597:5       23 3601:18         wouldn't 3546:23       10:11 3512:2       24 3588:10,16 3590:6         3555:24 3575:23       10:31 3521:4       3594:15 3595:3,9,11         3585:13       10:51 3529:21       3595:12 3601:18         would've 3544:16,17       100 3601:25       25 3601:18         write 3531:25 3545:18       11th 3597:3       26 3601:18         3546:7,9,12       3601:19 3602:16       27 3626:4         write 3531:11,18,21       11:11 3537:8       28 3630:12         3612:2 3657:1       12:00 3549:23       29 3616:25 3627:19         3544:13 3545:7.8       12:20 3559:1       3632:25         3565:20 3569:12       12:40 3568:18       3         3573:11 3575:25       124 3572:19 3573:1       3         3502:6 360:14,15,20       125 3572:19 3573:1       3         3601:81/7       33 3525:13 366:7       33 3326:7,13         wrongy 3576:13       13 3525:14 3533:7       32 3616:6         WW1 3626:23       13th 3525:14 3533:7       33 3526:7,13         WW3 3628:24 3629:21       13:00 3577:14       35 3529:14 3533:19         yards 3601:25       14:45 3592:3       3540:7,14 3532:19 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
wouldn't 3546:23 3355:24 3575:2310:31 3521:2 10:31 3521:4 3594:15 3595:3,9,11 3599:12 3601:18 3594:15 3595:3,9,11 3599:12 3601:1824 3588:10,16 3590:6 3599:3,9,11 3599:12 3601:18 25 3601:18 3629:23 25 3601:18 3629:23 26 3601:18 26 3601:19 3602:16would've 3544:16,17 wrap 3539:2 write 3531:25 354:18 3612:2 3615:7 3612:2 3615:7100 3601:25 3601:19 3602:16 27 3626:425 3601:18 27 3626:4write 3531:11,18,21 3612:2 3615:7 3546:12 3615:711:40 3541:6 12:00 3549:23 220 3616:25 3627:19 3544:13 3545:7,8 3577:19 3601:25 3577:19 3601:25 3577:19 3601:25 3574:5,1522 3616:25 3627:19 3626:22 3629:4 31 3525:24 3525:2 3626:63 361:15 3626:12 3629:4 31 3525:14 3533:7 33 3524:21 3525:133 3626:12 3629:4 31 3525:14 3533:7 33 3526:7,13WW1 3626:23 wrong 3576:13 wrong 3576:13 wrong 355:413 3525:14 3533:7 355:4 3536:7 3535:4 3536:733 3526:7,13 3534:13 13 3525:14 3533:7 33 3526:7,13 33 3526:7,13 33 3526:7,13 33 3526:7,13 33 3526:7,13 33 3526:7,13 33 3526:21 3540:7,14 35325:14 3538:3,3 3539:6,8,9 3540:7,14 3542:8 3540:7,14 3					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			,		
would've $3544:16,17$ 100 $3601:25$ 25 $3601:18 \ 3629:23$ wrap $3539:2$ 11 $3597:3$ 26 $3601:18 \ 3629:23$ write $3531:25 \ 3545:18$ 11th $3595:21 \ 3597:1,5$ 26 $252:3,21$ $3601:19 \ 3602:16$ 27 $3626:4$ written $3531:11,18,21$ 11:11 $3537:8$ 28 $3630.12$ $3612:2 \ 3615:7$ 11:40 \ 3541:628th $3596:13$ wrong $3515:15 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 354:151 \ 357:12 \ 3577:19 \ 3601:25$ 29 $3616:25 \ 3627:19$ $3574:13 \ 3575:25 \ 3574:5,15$ 3 $3524:21 \ 3525:2$ 3 $602:6 \ 3603:14,15,20$ $3618:17 \ 3574:5,15$ 3 $3524:21 \ 3525:2$ $3602:6 \ 3603:14,15,20$ 13 $3525:2,21 \ 3524:21 \ 3626:12 \ 3629.44 \ 3555:4 \ 3536:7 \ 3555:4 \ 3555:4 \ 14.45 \ 3536:7 \ 3534:8,10,23 \ 3537:19 \ 354:43 \ 3536:7 \ 356:7 \ 3576:12 \ 3566:7 \ 3576:12 \ 3566:7 \ 3576:12 \ 3566:7 \ 3576:12 \ 3566:7 \ 3576:12 \ 3566:7 \ 3576:12 \ 3566:7 \ 3576:12 \ 3566:7 \ 357$					
wrap $3539:2$ 11 $3597:3$ 26 $3601:18$ write $3531:25 3545:18$ 11 th $3595:21 3597:15$ $3601:19 3602:16$ 27 $3626:4$ written $3531:11,18,21$ 11:11 $3537:8$ 28 $3630:12$ $3612:2 3615:7$ 11:40 $3541:6$ 28th $3596:13$ wrong $3515:15 3543:10$ 12:00 $3599:1$ $3632:25$ $3544:13 3545:7,8$ 12:00 $3599:1$ $3632:25$ $3565:20 3569:12$ 12:40 $3568:18$ $3574:19 3573:1$ $3577:19 3573:1$ $3574:515$ $33524:21 3525:2$ $3602:6 3603:14,15,20$ $3574:5,15$ $3613:19 3614:5,16$ $3574:19 3573:1$ $3525:2$ $3161:8,17$ wrongly $3576:13$ $3525:2$ $3161:8,17$ wrote $3539:21$ $3525:2$ $31615:8,13,14$ WW3 $3628:24 3629:21$ $3535:4 3536:7$ $3.3 3526:7,13$ wW3 $3628:24 3629:21$ $14:26 3580:23$ $3534:8,10,23 3537:19$ $\frac{7}{yards } 3601:25$ $3574:15 3574:13$ $353:22 3354:8,33539:6,8,9$ $361:17 3365:5:4$ $15 3570:18 3573:13$ $30628:20$ $year 3596:14 3615:20$ $3576:22$ $356:356:12 4$ $3570:19 3577:14$ $15:05 3602:15$ $4 3560:5 3562:1$ $414:26 3580:23$ $3538:3,3 3539:6,8,9$ $361:17 3625:7,7,11$ $3574:6 3578:14$ $3628:20$ $3600 3570:19 3571:3$ $3560:12 5y:24$ $3576:22$ $3560:13 19:3570:11$ $3554:3619:3$ $you'' 1351:3:18 3606:7$ $16:3576:22 3627:13$ $you'' 1351:3:13 3514:15$ $3514:16$ $you''' 1351:3:3514:15$ $3514:16$ $you''' 351:3:3514:16:3576:22$					
write       3531:25       3546:7,9,12       3601:19       3602:16       27       3626:4         written       3511:11,11,2,11       3601:19       3602:16       28       3630:12         3612:2       3615:15       3543:10       12:00       3549:23       29       3616:25       3627:19         3544:13       3545:7,8       12:20       3559:1       3632:25       3632:25         3565:20       3569:12       12:40       3568:18       3524:21       3525:2         3575:11       3575:25       124       3572:19       3573:11       3524:21       3526:12         3612:17       3574:5,15       3512:19       3524:21       3526:15       361:19       361:19       361:15       361:19       361:15       361:15       361:19       361:15       361:15       361:15       361:15       361:15       361:15       361:15       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:15       352:4:13       352:4:13       352:4:13       352:4:13       352:4:13       352:4:15       361:19       361:15       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       362:12       352:4:13 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
written $3531:11,18,21$ 11:11 $3537:8$ 28 $3630:12$ $3612:2$ $3615:7$ 11:40 $3541:6$ <b>28th</b> $3596:13$ $wrong 3515:15 3543:10$ 12:00 $3549:23$ 29 $3616:25 3627:19$ $3544:13 3545:7.8$ 12:20 $3559:1$ $3632:25$ $3565:20 3569:12$ 12:40 $3568:18$ 3 $3577:19 3601:25$ 3574:5,153 $3524:21 3525:2$ $3602:6 3603:14,15,20$ 125 $3572:19 3573:1$ 3 $3524:21 3525:2$ $3618:17$ 3574:5,153 $613:19 3614:5,16$ wrongly $3576:13$ 13 $3522:5,21 3524:21$ $3626:12 3629:4$ $3525:21$ 3535:4 $3536:7$ 3.2 $3616:6$ WW1 $3626:23$ 13:00 $3577:14$ 3.5 $3529:14 3530:21$ WW2 $3628:24 3629:21$ 13:00 $3577:14$ 3.5 $3529:14 3530:21$ $14:26 3580:23$ $3538:33 3539:68,9$ yards $3601:25$ 14:45 $3592:3$ $3540:7,14 3542:8$ year $3596:14 3615:20$ $3574:6 3578:14$ $362:2,14$ $3596:21$ $4$ $3560:5 3562:1$ year $3596:14 3615:20$ $3574:6 3578:14$ $362:2,14$ $3596:21$ year $3517:1$ 15:05 $3602:15$ $4 3560:5 3562:1$ year $3517:1$ 15:05 $3602:15$ $4 3560:5 3562:1$ year $3517:1$ 15:25 $3614:16$ $3598:16,21,224$ $3598:14,30:23,77:19$ $3598:16,21,224$ $3598:16,21,224$ $3598:16,21,224$ $3599:19,3000:23,7$ $you'' 13513:5 3514:15$ $15:25 3614:16$ $3599:19,3000:23,7$ $3599:19,3000:23,7$ $3599:19,3000:370:19$ </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
wrong $3515:15\ 3543:10$ 12:00 $3549:23$ 29 $3616:25\ 3627:19$ $3544:13\ 3545:7,8$ 12:20 $3559:1$ $3632:25$ $3565:20\ 3569:12$ 12:40 $3568:18$ $3632:25$ $3577:19\ 3601:25$ $3574:5,15$ $3524:21\ 3525:2$ $3602:6\ 3603:14,15,20$ $3574:5,15$ $3552:12\ 3572:19\ 3573:1$ $3618:17$ $3574:5,15$ $3612:19\ 3614:5,16$ wrongly $3576:13$ $13\ 3522:5,21\ 3524:21$ $3626:12\ 3629:4$ wrote $3539:21$ $3525:2$ $31\ 3615:8,13,14$ WW1 $3626:23$ 13th $3525:14\ 3533:7$ $3.2\ 3616:6$ WW2 $3628:24\ 3629:21$ $13:00\ 3577:14$ $3.5\ 3529:14\ 3530:21$ $\frac{V}{2}$ $14:26\ 3580:23$ $3538:3,3\ 3539:6,8,9$ yards $3601:25$ $3576:22$ $3576:12\ 3570:18\ 3573:13$ $year\ 3596:14\ 3615:20\ 3676:21\ 15:05\ 3602:15\ 15:25\ 3614:16\ 3596:21\ 15:25\ 3614:16\ 3596:21\ 15:25\ 3614:16\ 3596:21\ 15:25\ 3614:16\ 3596:12\ 3596:$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			3632:25		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
wrongly 3576:13       13 3522:5,21 3524:21       3626:12 3629:4         wrote 3539:21       3525:2       3.1 3615:8,13,14         WW1 3626:23       13th 3525:14 3533:7       3.2 3616:6         WW2 3627:2       3535:4 3536:7       3.3 3526:7,13         WW3 3628:24 3629:21       13:00 3577:14       3.5 3529:14 3530:21          14th 3576:8       3534:8,10,23 3537:19          14:26 3580:23       3538:3,3 3539:6,8,9         yards 3601:25       14:45 3592:3       3540:7,14 3542:8         yean 3596:14 3615:20       3576:22       3000 3570:19 3571:3         3619:17 3625:7,7,11       3596:21       4 3560:5 3562:1         you'd 3523:12 3570:1       15:25 3614:16       4th 3598:16,21,24         3598:18 3606:7       15:54 3619:3       3599:3,19 3600:2,3,7         you'll 3513:5 3514:15       16 3576:22 3627:13       4000 3570:19					
wrote 3539:21       3525:2       3.1 3615:8,13,14         WW1 3626:23       13th 3525:14 3533:7       3.2 3616:6         WW2 3627:2       3535:4 3536:7       3.3 3526:7,13         WW3 3628:24 3629:21       13:00 3577:14       3.5 3529:14 3530:21          14th 3576:8       3534:8,10,23 3537:19          14:26 3580:23       3538:3,3 3539:6,8,9         yards 3601:25       14:45 3592:3       3540:7,14 3542:8         yean 3596:14 3615:20       3576:22       3000 3570:19 3571:3         3619:17 3625:7,7,11       15th 3574:6 3578:14		3574:5,15	3613:19 3614:5,16		
WW1 3626:23       13th 3525:14 3533:7       3.2 3616:6         WW2 3627:2       3535:4 3536:7       3.3 3526:7,13         WW3 3628:24 3629:21       13:00 3577:14       3.5 3529:14 3530:21         Y       14th 3576:8       3534:8,10,23 3537:19         yards 3601:25       14:45 3592:3       3540:7,14 3542:8         yeah 3555:4       15 3570:18 3573:13       30 3628:20         year 3596:14 3615:20       3576:22       3576:22         3619:17 3625:7,7,11       15th 3574:6 3578:14       4         3632:2,14       3596:21       4         years 3517:1       15:05 3602:15       4         you'd 3523:12 3570:1       15:25 3614:16       4th 3598:16,21,24         3585:18 3606:7       15:54 3619:3       3599:3,19 3600:2,3,7         you'll 3513:5 3514:15       16 3576:22 3627:13       4000 3570:19	wrongly 3576:13	<b>13</b> 3522:5,21 3524:21			
WW2 3627:2       3535:4 3536:7       3.3 3526:7,13         WW3 3628:24 3629:21       13:00 3577:14       3.5 3529:14 3530:21         Y       14th 3576:8       3534:8,10,23 3537:19         yards 3601:25       14:45 3592:3       3538:3,3 3539:6,8,9         yeah 3555:4       15 3570:18 3573:13       30 3628:20         3619:17 3625:7,7,11       3576:22       3000 3570:19 3571:3         3632:2,14       3596:21       4 3560:5 3562:1         you'd 3523:12 3570:1       15:25 3614:16       4 3598:16,21,24         3585:18 3606:7       15:54 3619:3       3599:3,19 3600:2,3,7         you'll 3513:5 3514:15       16 3576:22 3627:13       4000 3570:19	wrote 3539:21	3525:2	<b>3.1</b> 3615:8,13,14		
WW2 3627:2       3535:4 3536:7       3.3 3526:7,13         WW3 3628:24 3629:21       13:00 3577:14       3.5 3529:14 3530:21         Y       14th 3576:8       3534:8,10,23 3537:19         yards 3601:25       14:45 3592:3       353573:13         yeah 3555:4       15 3570:18 3573:13       30 3628:20         3619:17 3625:7,7,11       35th 3574:6 3578:14       30 3628:20         3632:2,14       3596:21       4 3596:21         years 3517:1       15:05 3602:15       4 3560:5 3562:1         you'd 3523:12 3570:1       15:25 3614:16       4th 3598:16,21,24         3585:18 3606:7       15:54 3619:3       3599:3,19 3600:2,3,7         you'll 3513:5 3514:15       16 3576:22 3627:13       4000 3570:19	WW1 3626:23	13th 3525:14 3533:7	<b>3.2</b> 3616:6		
WW3 3628:24 3629:21       13:00 3577:14       3.5 3529:14 3530:21         Y       14th 3576:8       3538:3,3 3539:6,8,9         yards 3601:25       14:45 3592:3       3540:7,14 3542:8         year 3596:14 3615:20       3576:22       3576:22         3619:17 3625:7,7,11       3596:21       4 3560:5 3562:1         year 3517:1       15:05 3602:15       4 3560:5 3562:1         you'd 3523:12 3570:1       15:25 3614:16       4th 3598:16,21,24         3585:18 3606:7       15:54 3619:3       3599:3,19 3600:2,3,7         you'll 3513:5 3514:15       16 3576:22 3627:13       4000 3570:19	<b>WW2</b> 3627:2		<b>3.3</b> 3526:7,13		
Y14th 3576:83534:8,10,23 3537:19yards 3601:2514:26 3580:233538:3,3 3539:6,8,9yeah 3555:415 3570:18 3573:1330 3628:20year 3596:14 3615:203576:223000 3570:19 3571:33619:17 3625:7,7,1115th 3574:6 3578:14	WW3 3628:24 3629:21		-		
Y14:26 3580:233538:3,3 3539:6,8,9yards 3601:2514:45 3592:33540:7,14 3542:8yeah 3555:415 3570:18 3573:1330 3628:20year 3596:14 3615:203576:223000 3570:19 3571:33619:17 3625:7,7,1115th 3574:6 3578:14					
yards 3601:25       14:45 3592:3       3540:7,14 3542:8         yeah 3555:4       15 3570:18 3573:13       30 3628:20         year 3596:14 3615:20       3576:22       3000 3570:19 3571:3         3619:17 3625:7,7,11       15th 3574:6 3578:14       30 3628:20         3632:2,14       3596:21       4         years 3517:1       15:05 3602:15       4 3560:5 3562:1         you'd 3523:12 3570:1       15:25 3614:16       4th 3598:16,21,24         3585:18 3606:7       15:54 3619:3       3599:3,19 3600:2,3,7         you'll 3513:5 3514:15       16 3576:22 3627:13       4000 3570:19	Y				
yeah 3555:415 3570:18 3573:1330 3628:20year 3596:14 3615:203576:223000 3570:19 3571:33619:17 3625:7,7,1115th 3574:6 3578:143596:213632:2,143596:214 3560:5 3562:1you'd 3523:12 3570:115:25 3614:164th 3598:16,21,243585:18 3606:715:54 3619:33599:3,19 3600:2,3,7you'll 3513:5 3514:1516 3576:22 3627:134000 3570:19	vards 3601:25				
year 3596:14 3615:20       3576:22       3000 3570:19 3571:3         3619:17 3625:7,7,11       15th 3574:6 3578:14       3596:21         3632:2,14       3596:21       4         3596:21       15:05 3602:15       4 3560:5 3562:1         you'd 3523:12 3570:1       15:25 3614:16       4th 3598:16,21,24         3585:18 3606:7       15:54 3619:3       3599:3,19 3600:2,3,7         you'll 3513:5 3514:15       16 3576:22 3627:13       4000 3570:19					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
3632:2,14       3596:21       4         years 3517:1       15:05 3602:15       4 3560:5 3562:1         you'd 3523:12 3570:1       15:25 3614:16       4th 3598:16,21,24         3585:18 3606:7       15:54 3619:3       3599:3,19 3600:2,3,7         you'll 3513:5 3514:15       16 3576:22 3627:13       4000 3570:19	C		0000 00/0.17 00/1.0		
years         3517:1         15:05         3602:15         4         3560:5         3562:1           you'd         3523:12         3570:1         15:25         3614:16         4th         3598:16,21,24           3585:18         3606:7         15:54         3619:3         3599:3,19         3600:2,3,7           you'll         3513:5         3514:15         16         3576:22         3627:13         4000         3570:19			4		
you'd 3523:12 3570:115:25 3614:164th 3598:16,21,243585:18 3606:715:54 3619:33599:3,19 3600:2,3,7you'll 3513:5 3514:1516 3576:22 3627:134000 3570:19					
3585:18         3606:7         15:54         3619:3         3599:3,19         3600:2,3,7           you'll         3513:5         3514:15         16         3576:22         3627:13         4000         3570:19	-				
you'll 3513:5 3514:15 16 3576:22 3627:13 4000 3570:19					
	you'll 3513:5 3514:15	<b>16</b> 3576:22 3627:13	4000 3570:19		

Tel: 011 021 6457 Fax: 011 440 9119