

IDT TENDER REPORT

PROGRAMME NAME:

PROJECT NAME: CONSTRUCTION OF NEW TOILETS AND REPAIRS / MAINTENANCE TO EXISTING TOILETS

CLUSTER 5

Nkholi Primary School Phiri Primary School Sedibathuto Primary School Reutwile Junior Primary School

PROFESSIONAL TEAM: CRANE REGISTERED QUANTITY SURVEYORS

QUANTITY SURVEYOR'S REPORT



PROJECT NAME: CONSTRUCTION OF NEW TOILETS AND REPAIRS /

TENDER:CR757

TENDER DETAILS

Report completed: 28 March 2012	
Tender Advertised	N/A
Tender Documents Available	15-Mar-12
Tender Site Inspection Meeting	N/A
Tender Closing Date	22-Mar-12
Validity of Tenders	60 (Sixty) Days
Tender Expiry Date	21-May-12
Tender Documents Drawn	8
Contract Period	40 Calendar days
Tenders Received	4
Contract Type	JBCC
Broforcefiel Decuments Consiling	DDDCA

1.2 PARTICULARS

PROFESSIONAL TEAM

Project Managers/Cluster Managers: CRANE REGISTERED QUANTITY SURVEYORS

Architects:
Quantity Surveyors: CRANE REGISTERED QUANTITY SURVEYORS

Electrical Engineers Civil Engineers: Structural Engineers.

Land Surveyors: Social Facilitators:

1.3 Scope of Project

PROJECT SCOPE

Cluster 7 - Construction of new toilets : Five Toilet blacks built to Four Schools:

Nkholi Primary School
Phiri Primary School
Sedipathuto Primary School
Reutwile Junior Primary School

Repairs, maintenance and upgrade to existing facility and associated services

Demolition of existing unsuitable structures Associated external works, etc.

Car.	
-	
4	
2	
E	
เก	
w	
w	
Œ	
0	
>	
Ψ.	
ōc.	
S	
>-	
=	
=	
- 5	
3	
0	

Builders Work including P&G	3673642
Provisional Sums	2 412 400
Allow for Profit on Provisional Sums	0.00
Allow for Altendance on Provisional Sums	0.00
Contingencies	0.00
Escalation	0.00
Sub-Total	6 086 042
Value Added Tax	852 045,89
TOTAL	6 938 087.9

1.5			Builders Work Estimate
	Builders Work including P&G	3 673 642.05	
	Provisional Sums	excluded	
	Allow for Profit on Provisional Sums	0.00	
	Allow for Attendance on Provisional Sums	0.00	
	Contingencies	excluded	
	Escalation	excluded	
	Sub-Total	3 673 642.05	
	Value Added Tax	514 309.89	
	TOTAL	4 187 951.94	

ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE

	1.6				Tenders of	on a Compar	ative Basis					
SASIS	#	Name of Tenderer	Tendered Amount	Variance with Tender Estimate	Builders Work Amount	Variance with Builders Work Estimate	Original Valid Tax Clearance Certificate Submitted	CIDB Grade Required	CIDB Grade Submitted	Compulsory Site inspection attended	Certificate of Amended Founding Statement Submitted (CIPRO Issued)	Tender further considered
TENDERERS ON COMPARATIVE BASIS	1	MIH/HELDO JV	R 10 280 670.48	48,18%	7 530 534.48	79.81%	YES	Between 4GB and 6GB	Proof of application submitted, 5GB PE not verified	N/A	YES	NO
RERS ON C	2	REMBU CONSTRUCTION	R 6 183 231 87	-10.88%	3 433 095.87	-18.02%	YES	Between 4GB and 6GB	4G8 PE	N/A	YES	NO
TENDE	3	GO-LUTHI CONSTRUCTION AND VALUERS	R 6 948 692 94	0.15%	4 198 556.94	0.25%	YES	Between 4GB and 6GB	5GB PE	NA	YES	Yes
-	4	AUNTY X TRADING ENTERPRISE	R 8 889 999.97	28-13%	6 139 863.97	ba.	YES	Between 4GB and 6GB	4 GB PE, 4CE PE	N/A.	Yes	Yes
	5			(RC	1 00		(A)					

1.6.1	# (Cold solice		Anna M	growth the		
TENDERERS ON PARATIVE BASIS BA CHART	# 10 000 000 00 # 6 000 000 00 # 9 1000 000 00	ARCH	VE FOR JU	STICE Tenderers	on Comparative Basis	
TENDER IPARATIV CHA	A 1 an main					 _
NO.	Tend		Tenderer			

1.7 Report on Tenderers Eliminated

REPORT ON TENDERS
ELIMINATED

MH/HELDO JV has been recommended for cluster 1, cluster 4 and cluster 6, should they be appointed for the clusters, this would maximise their resources and could pose a risk to delivery if A awarded any further clusters and is therefore not considered for this cluster.

B. REMBU CONSTRUCTION has been recommended for cluster 2, and cluster 7, should they be appointed for the clusters, this would maximise their resources and could pose a risk to delivery if awarded any further clusters and is therefore not considered for this cluster.

MMARY

The remaining two Tenderer are further considered in the "Risk analysis" table.

RISK ANALYSIS REPORT



REPORT ON TENDERERS: Responsive & complying with conditions.

1.8.1 GO-LUTHI CONSTRUCTION AND VALUERS

		Item		Comments	Points (se per Scorec and Legen 6)	Additional Information
SECTION 1	- %	1 Tender Amount		R 6 948 692 94		
	- 2	2 Tenderer's Address		12 Simpson Crecent, Midfield estate, Midrand		
		3 Owner's Names, HDI status & ID numbers (0.4=female & 5.9=Mates)		Madzebe, Lufuno (100% HDI) 7912315304088 Male (100%)		
	. 1	4 Certificate of Amended Founding statement/CIPRO certificate submitted (CIPRO	Cissued)	Yes		
	5 CIDB Grade			5GB PE		
	- 8	6 Compliance with Conditions		Yes		
	7 List of Previous Projects			See attached schedule *	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
	38	8 Qualification & Competence of Key Staff		Very Good	7	10
	. 1	9 Availability of Plant & Equipment		Very Good	4	Use Quality References
~	10	0 Financial Performance		Very Good	1.8	Template
	1	1 Trade References	1/1	Tenderar's reliability is Good	1	
SECTION	12	2 Quality Performance on Similar Scale Projects	$\mathbb{N}I$	Very Good	12	
SEC	1	3 Previous Exeperience on Similar Scale Projects	As	Very Good/ Str. / / St/ C.	14	
	1	4 Time Performance on Previous Projects		Very Good	10.5	
			A -	4 KC / 10 H	50.3	
		Quality points scored (Minimum of 60% of total quality points to be scored). Tenderer achieved Quality points of 84.5% out of a total of 70 points				
		NB:!!! Please score Quality points and eliminate on this section before com-	pleting other s	ections of the Scoresard (P.7.table 2 Method 4)		

	-					
÷		T	2 1 2 1 7			
Т			Previous Projects			
1	4	No. Name of Project	Name of Project Manager & Tel No.	Name of Client & Tel No.	Value of Project	Year
1	S S	1 Repair and renovations to 2Classroom blocks at Malakeng Serctele Primary School	Ndidali Quantity Surveyors 015 291 4029		R 1 100 000	Mar-09

No.	Name of Project	Name of Project Manager & Tel No.	Name of Client & Tel No.	Value of Project	Year
1	Repair and renovations to 2Classroom blocks at Malakeng Serctele Primary School	Ndidali Quantity Surveyors 015 291 4029		R 1 100 000	Mar-0
- 2	Construction of 16 Classrooms at Ntambo High School		Department of Public Works 015 284 7000	R 2 800 000	Mar-0

		Workload			
no No.	Name of Project	Name of Project Manager & Tel No.	Name of Client & Tel No.	Value of Project	n Date
SECTION	1 Renovation of Tshilwavhusiku Police station		National Department of Public Works	R 1 200 000	
20	2				
	0				
100	LUTHI CONSTRUCTION AND VALUERS is capable of completing this project with success.				
6 G0	-LUTHI CONSTRUCTION AND VALUERS is capable of completing this project with success.				

1.8.2 AUNTY X TRADING ENTERPRISE

_	Item	Comments	Points (as per Scorecord Lageral)	Additional Information
	1 Tender Amount	R 8 889 999 97		
- 1	2 Tenderer's Address	265 Pasteur Road, Blaekheath, Cresta		
SECTION 1	3/Owner's Names, HDI status & ID numbers (0.4=femilie & 5.5=Misles)	Makhetha, Moses Nhilanhian (100% HDI) 5601105463083 Male (50%), Ndaba, Zanele Hyacinthia (100% HDI) 6606090244086 Female (50%)		
	4 Certificate of Amended Founding statement/CIPRO certificate submitted (CIPRO issued	f) Yes		
	5 CIDB Grade	4 GB PE, 4CE PE	4	
	6 Compliance with Conditions	Yes		
	7 List of Previous Projects	See attached schedule *		
	8 Qualification & Competence of Key Staff	Good	6	AF .
	9 Availability of Plant & Equipment	Good	3	Use Quality References
	10 Financial Performance	Most projects completed in contractual period	2.2	Template
	11 Trade References	Good	100 PER 100 PE	
10000	12 Quality Performance on Similar Scale Projects	Good	12	
1	13 Previous Exeperience on Similar Scale Projects	Good	17	ii ii
	14 Time Performance on Previous Projects	Good	12	
- 1		The strong strong	53.2	
- 1	Quality points scored (Minimum of 60% of total quality points to be scored)	Trenderer achieved Quality points of 77% out of a	total of 70 points	
	NB:!!! Please score Quality points and eliminate on this section before completing of	ther sections of the Socrecard (P.7 (able 2 Method 4)		
		Lune, Jane or to have		
	SUMMARY:	Touth Clareth		
SECTION	A R C AUNTY X TRADING ENTERPRISE scored Quality points of 53.2	HIVE FOR JUSTICE		

200	Previous Projects								
ž	No.	Name of Project	Name of Project Manager & Tel No.	Name of Client & Tel No.	Value of Project	Year			
Ë	- 1	Renovation to storm drainage to schools	Mbali Mbalha 031 267 2120	Phila Dube 031 369 7401	R 4 364 262	Jul-05			
SEC	- 2	Renovations to offices and ablutions	Nkululeko Michana 031 765 1011	Ithula 031 907 8911	R 3 947 041	Oct-65			
	3								

50			Workload			
	No.	Name of Project	Name of Project Manager & Tel No.	Name of Client & Tel No.	Value of Project	n Date
SECTION	1	Construction of 10 classrooms	Rob Johnson 031 201 3538	Phila Dube 031 369 7400	R 2 179 669	Feb-
SE	- 2	Niemeyer Hospital	Michelle Barnard 036 631 1888	Mondi Mhlungu 031 369 7400	R 2 813 432	Mar-1
	3					
9						
5						
SEC		ITY X TRADING ENTERPRISE canable of completing this project with success				

EVALUATION TEMPLATE

Name of Project Manager/Evaluator:A.Beke	
Project Name: CONSTRUCTION OF NEW TOILETS AND REPAIRS	1
MAINTENANCE TO EXISTING TOILETS	
Date:28 March 2012	
Signature:	
Tenderer Name: GO-LUTHI CONSTRUCTION AND VALUERS	



Score Variables	Scale R FOOD STORE	Score	Comments
Qualification & Competence of Key Staff (10)	Use scale of 1-5, where 15-poor 2-fax 3-good 3-tV Good 6-E collect. ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE	7	
Availability of Plant & Equipment (5)	Use scale of 1-5, where 1=poor, 2=fair, 3=good, 4=V. Good, 5=Excellent		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		4	
	Use scale of 1-5, where 1=poor, 2=fair, 3=good, 4=V. Good, 5=Excellent		
Trade References (2)		1	
Refer to Scorecard legend for Points			
Average Score		12	



QUALIFICATIONS & COMPENTENCIES

Years of Experience-1 to 2 Years of Experience-3 to 5 Years of Experience-above 6

Sco 2: 1,1 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1,
1. 1. 1.
1.
3.
TRADING ENTERPRISE
TRADING ENTERPRISE



QUALIFICATIONS & COMPENTENCIES

Qualifications	Experience	
	Experience	Scor
3	4	3.7
1	1	1.0
2		1.3
1	1	1.0
n to the Evaluation Score	card on Tender Repo	7.0
each!		GO-LUTHI CONSTRUCTION AND VALUERS
	4	GO-EUTHI CONSTRUCTION AND VALUERS
-	3	-
	2	7
3	1	
		_
-	1	-
—	-	-
	3	
4	4	
		٦
	2	⊣ .
—		7
	1	⊣
		2.5
- 1 +		1
1		2
of 1 for each)		7
T T	2	⊣
	1	1
1	0.5	-
	0.5	-
	1	1
	2	
		7
1		1
		_
4		
cate		2
- / Se Ca		
all your perfect		
JUSTICE		7
	0.5	-
1	2	-
		┥
	of 1 for each)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1



	CRANC GUARATRY SCHWARFE, JAMES, MARKE BAR BAR MANAGERS	Sport of the and can be well in	And a langeth						
event also			HIVE FOR JUSTICE	ARC				6.64	
stated in herogel her man do at or					Marian Marian				enemo-e
(t) sessentation falors	SMONETS - Exposition by Long - California - Freing 5.5 to represent		r	,	81	ri	PT.	178	
(\$1) stockerff sustreriff as considerativities a	continued against the proof of 1961 of 2000 to 1961 with the water sets	,	•	,	E)	и	ži.	es .	
obour Especialisms for Stealing Scale Projects	interesting to be prought and a poorer trained in the arts		\$	*	n .	a	62	46	
straderit olasie sallmile nd aanministrat şiğe			4	1		er	ri .	ti.	-
	###\$							0	200 100 100
\$490000		NAME CINID	HBING CRIMED	NAME CANADO	Hissi Finelb	AND CAMED	wood Cleads	amid agench.	STANSON S
			Minde	TOTAL THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	SHOUNDS LIKE	SHEETING.	DE HOUSE	SEE SCHOOL C	I SURGE.
wat prints		1190	1165		Control of the last of the las				
tinjest to as		90,1921,921,98	06.000 A00.00	37939 62-73					
\$14Q 20 44		egeanistif elminat - slints	100	10					
pie/kid yole		propos Streety openweering	E entitle (* 1462D)	preprintences constru	_				
printe		presentable Of in militarities 2	agoogs a professory named.						
our s for the			100	13					
Configure (col)		BYYC BOX 1030	STORESK	100160/109					
Averag \$40		MONAGE PROPERTY	turia inta	united and deal					
		Allower							
THE STATE OF THE S	979	49K46 T X W ED	- 60 of 2 for 10 d 2	MARIE HAID	81000 LIKES	41005 2 20013	Acres C MANY 1	many alloway	COMMON?

TALE TO CHECKE IS EXPERIMENTED EXPOSED CHARTEST WAS A SECURIFICATION OF THE SECURIFICATI



	more models of the metrous plants. IRROYZVENS YTTMAND WARRY.	Antiferrod necline cert is wede	Parent of the Astronomy Activity						
autog offe			ARCHIVE FOR JUSTICE			i indicated a	e maré	CAL .	
Halifa has been seen to the seen of the					COLUMN TO SERVICE	and the same of			
(E) stempto had bein	described the state of the stat	e e	,		ri .	974		n	
(82) strajorii kunisarii na assaurahari	Brooks of a family training training training to the way				24			231	
majorit alast talent at according on	Andread Chand to a group of class County I years \$4. In value and	5			12			**	
stodart dask udank at commendat y		,	·		c)			n	
Selfe in Co.	CALLED LINE AND THE CONTROL OF			1,000,000		Contract to the			
		4PS LIMO	open Cheeks	03H1 2 BCRN	made / haddo	100,053,111.67	errof 2 104.65	AND SERVICE	tronsout.
		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE			KARREN S	OF CONCERNATION	KIKSBERGI	Ranawana	STATES.
more for in-		2100	tiet						
toeloog to		00,000 tot 1 N	64 168 905 90						
angus.		1	ation stand to brustinged landing			_			_
profession		propy Small strong Sample	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		_				
simbod is		of greeks in adout recoverability of a matter are true they	anders ander unbranded in middenstand added			_			_
Marri Fare		modernit grant makes							
tingani pi		1006100278	00C0162638						
making y		(PP)M	store.						
		midikanis							
tagationing .	1PIQ	ALC TAND							-
	774	45.4 1 4.45	elect S trielD	REFER CAMED	erace haveto	Made S (new \$2)	Associations)	BARRY OF BRIDGE	Comments

The sent Thick Section (1964) (In Contract to Contract



90/10 SCORECARD-CONTRACTORS-LARGE SCALE PROJECTS TENDERERS ON A COMPARATIVE BASIS AND CALCULATION OF POINTS

idt

PROGRAMME NAME: RR
PROJECT: RR

	OH	ULIVA III E	PUPLO	-	OUFO!

The comparative Price/Financial offer of the Lowest Qualifying Tenderer (pre)	R 6 948 692,94
Sum of the occur for quality and Prick (hearical offer of the subression aboring the highest number of points (sm)	70,30

					DIFFORM	NESCT FOR	HTS.ITES									MALITY FORITS	me		-	0.5		2.5	PRICE PORTS O	200		
I NAME OF YEADERSH	MER	ru	0.000	Courty (5'1)	Otomo	Santa (640	• (mq)	Locali	wmu	Table Compressioned Anders	Guesty Partnersance on Sweller Seate Projects (1974)	Province Shake State Projects (DPI)	Flee Performance an Province Projects (151)	Ocularation & Congressions of Buy Saat (NOIL)	Availability of Plant & Equipment (NG	Personal Personalisis (2)	Trade Activisaces (I)	Date of Gooding Points Scored by Tendence (TE	Total Quality Peles	Totalered PricoFinancial Celler	Total Princi Plants	Polat Prins Febris (1974)	Som of Fotal Graffs & Price Prices (1971)	Corobined Sears for Quality & Anco-Paramosal Otter	PAR
	OE VICTOR HEP - Ports awaren for each current you so on	Political	Www.	- Porm	Voster	Parts	Nadki	Norte.	Yearles	Poets	- L	Parts	Perio	Posts	No.	None	Pain	Aroda		Country from the Property Co.	Micro Monada (M.) (Trido- SMSHE)	Printerphile.	Ma-Milas	See of Total Deality & Proc Pales (1900)	War est a (1+je stand D	-
	13/	- 6		0.0	969	- 0	000		100		Jih mines	4								68	#10.00	198	40.00	6010	51.2	
	71	in		- 11	010	- 1	100	- 0	nia.		A										H0.00	190	658	40.00	91.0	-
	11	- 0	10	0.0	050		No.		Ten:		and the set	1								100	HOX	130	40.00	40.00	352	
danum errorementum anniversit	1 100	- 10	0	1 00	40		No.		res .		100		. 8	16		-	. 0.0		90.3	96.30	9.6 945 957,34	1,000	20.00	70.30	100	
	2001	14				- 6			Dia:		1000	1	- 11				33	1	13.7	53.29	75 5 7000 7000 700	1972	9.4	\$7.51	5.9	- 10



90/10 SCORECARD LEGEND



	TOTAL POINTS	CRITERIA	DESCRIPTION OF CRITERIA	POINTS
EMPOWERMENT POINTS	10			
IDI	2			
		NEP=NOP*EP/100, Where NEP' = Points awarded for equity ownership		
		by an HDI,NOP= The maximum number of points awarded for equity	Points allocated to entities owned by Black	Allocation of points in line with the
		ownership by an HDI, EP = The percentage of equity ownership by an	Historically disadvantaged individuals(Black=Male & Female	formula in the Scorecard, refer to
		HDI within the enterprise or business, determined in accordance with	Indians, Coloureds & Africans)	p.11 of the preferential procuremen
		sub-regulations (1), (2), (3) and (4).	Planto, colonicas a Alicaray	regulations,2001.
Voman Equity	5	NEP=NOP*EP/100, Where NEP = Points awarded for equity ownership	TOTAL VISIA STATE OF THE STATE	
		by an HDI,NOP= The maximum number of points awarded for equity	Points allocated to entities owned by Black	5
		ownership by an HDI, EP = The percentage of equity ownership by an	Historically disadvantaged individuals(Black=Male & Female	3
		HDI within the enterprise or business, determined in accordance with sub-regulations (1), (2), (3) and (4).	Indians, Coloureds, & Africans)	1.5
		sub-regulators (1), (2), (3) and (4).		0
DISABILITY	1			
Disabled	1	Yes/ No		140
oducu		TES/ NO	Points allocated to entities owned by individuals with disabilities	1/0
очтн	1		BIGHINGUIS WICH CISQUITICES	
outh		Yes/ No	Points allocated to entities owned by	1/0
			individuals who are less than 35 years old.	
OCALITY (Provincial)	1		Points allocated to entities residing in the	
tesident in the Province		Yes/ No	Province in consideration.	1/0
FUNCTIONAL PROPERTY.	70			
FUNCTIONALITY POINTS	70			
		100%		20
		80.00%		20 16
% Experience On Similar	20	60.00%		12
Scale Projects	20	40.00%	Points allocated for track record based on	8
		20.00%	the similar scale of previous projects	4
		0.00%	executed by tenderer in consideration	0
		Excellent		15
Quality Performance On		V.Good Good		12
Similar Scale Projects	15	Fair		9
		Poor Pox 14	Points allocated for quality based on the quality of previous projects executed by	3
		Unacceptable Kross of St	tenderer in consideration	0
		EBC CONTRACTOR C	tenderer ar contractation	ĮV.
		Excellent As		10
		V.Good One of the h	and l	o .
		*.0002		0
Qualification & Competence	10	Good & Jane	-44	6
Qualification & Competence of Key Staff	10	Good Fair	Points allocated for required compentencies	6
Qualification & Competence of Key Staff	10	Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC	Points allocated for required compentencies a qualification of allocated personnel for the	6 4 2 2
Qualification & Competence of Key Staff	10	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Unacceptable	Points allocated for required compentencies a qualification of allocated personnel for the project in consideration	0
Qualification & Competence of Key Staff	10	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Uraccelert Excelert	Paints allocated for required compentencies & qualification of allocated personnel for the project in consideration	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on		Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Unacceptable	project in consideration	0
of Key Staff	10	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Unacceptable Excellent V.Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on		Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on		Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Unacceptable	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on		Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent Excellent Excellent	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects	15	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant &		Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTI Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant &	15	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Unacceptable Excellent V.Cood Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Fair	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant &	15	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant &	15	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTIC Unacceptable Excellent V.Cood Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good For Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant &	15	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project	0 15
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment	15	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVEFORJUSTE Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Polists allocated for trade references from	0 15 12 9 6 3 0 5 5 4 3 2 2 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment	15	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTI Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Fair Foor Foor Food Food Food Food Food Foo	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 5 4 3 2 1 1 0 2 2 1,5
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment	15	Good Fair Poor Viscoelent V.Good Good Fair Poor Viscoelent V.Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Polints allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing ower, credit	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 5 4 3 2 1 1 0 2 2 1,5
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment	15	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTI Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Fair Foor Foor Food Food Food Food Food Foo	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 5 4 3 2 1 1 0 2 2 1,5
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment	15	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Food Foor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Food Foor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit level available, etc.)	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 5 4 3 2 1 1 0 2 2 1,5
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & quipment	15	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Foor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Foor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Foor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Fair Foor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding devel available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 5 4 3 2 1 1 0 2 2 1,5
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & quipment	5	Good Fair Poor Ursacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Fair Poor Ursacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Fair Fair Poor Ursacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Foor Ursacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit level available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of labourers, creditors, c	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & quipment	5	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Cood Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Cood Good Good Fair Poor Cood Good Good Good Fair Poor Cood Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit feed available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of labouers, creditors, guarantors, suppliers, plant hier, sub-	0 15 12 9 6 3 0 5 4 3 2 1 1 0 0 2 2 1.5 1 1 0 0 2 2 1.5 0 3 2 1 1 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment Trade References	5	Good Fair Poor Uracceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Uracceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit level available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of labourers, creditors, c	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment	5 2 3	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVEFORJUSTIC Unacceptable Excellent V.Cood Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit feed available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of labouers, creditors, guarantors, suppliers, plant hier, sub-	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment Trade References	15 5 2 W2 =Total evaluation	Good Fair Poor Uracceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Uracceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit feed available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of labouers, creditors, guarantors, suppliers, plant hier, sub-	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment Trade References	15 5 2 W2 =Total evaluation So =Quality points all	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTI Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Decellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Decellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit feed available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of labouers, creditors, guarantors, suppliers, plant hier, sub-	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment Trade References	15 5 2 W2 =Total evaluation So =Quality points all	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Cood Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit level available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of laboures, creditors, guarantos, suppliers, plant hies, subconfractors, etc. on time) Formula used to calculate Quality points	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment Trade References	15 5 2 W2 =Total evaluation So =Quality points all	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Cood Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Foor Unacceptable Excellent W—WZ x So/Ms Doints for quality as per Scorecard Scotled to the Letchere under consideration Excellent to be accepted for Quality is 60 % of	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit level available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of laboures, creditors, guarantos, suppliers, plant hies, subconfractors, etc. on time) Formula used to calculate Quality points	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment Trade References Financial Performance Quality Formula	5 2 W2 = Total evaluation So = Quality points all Ms = Maximum possib	Good Fair Poor ARCHIVE FOR JUSTE Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Good Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair For Quality as per Scorecard points for quality as per Scorecard coated to the tenderer under consideration te score for quality in respect of a submission Minimum points to be scored for Quality is 60 % of Formula 2 Option 1,A=(1-{p-pm/pm})	Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit level available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of laboures, creditors, guarantors, suppliers, plant hire, subcontractions, etc on time) Formula used to calculate Quality points the total Points.	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
of Key Staff Time Performance on Previous Projects Availability of Plant & Equipment Trade References	15 5 2 W2 =Total evaluation So =Quality points all	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Cood Good Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Fair Poor Unacceptable Excellent V.Good Good Fair Foor Unacceptable Excellent W—WZ x So/Ms Doints for quality as per Scorecard Scotled to the Letchere under consideration Excellent to be accepted for Quality is 60 % of	project in consideration Points allocated for turn-around period versus the contract period based on previous projects executed by tenderer in consideration Points allocated for the availability of key required plant & equipment for the project in consideration Points allocated for trade references from suppliers (payment record, check outstanding debts, purchasing power, credit level available, etc.) Points allocated for the financial management record for previous projects executed (payment of laboures, creditors, guarantos, suppliers, plant hies, subconfractors, etc. on time) Formula used to calculate Quality points	0 15 12 9 6 6 3 0 0 5 4 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 0 3 2 2 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

•

- Notes:

 1. Tenderers are required to soore minimum points of 60% for Quality stated in tender data

 2. Tenderers who fail to neet the required minimum number of points for quality stated in the tender data will be rejected

 3. Tenderers who fail to disclose information as per the returnable schedules will be disqualified

- 4. Tenderers to submit the following means of verification:
 a) Project list of current and previous projects
 b) Performance and quiety reports from consultants
 c) Certified certificates of qualification of key staff and CV's including references
 d) Traceable References for projects completed
 c) Schodule of plant and equipment
 f) Traceable references for suppliers

RECOMMENDATION



PROJECT NAME: CONSTRUCTION OF NEW TOILETS AND REPAIRS /
MAINTENANCE TO EXISTING TOILETS

TENDER: CR 757

Cluster 5

Recommendation 1: Highest scorer GO-LUTHI CONSTRUCTION AND VALUERS for an amount of R6, 948, 692.94 and 93 points Recommendation 2: Second highest scorer AUNTY X TRADING ENTERPRISE for an amount of R8, 889 999.97 and 92.06 points